Progenitors influence patterning of the sensory epithelium.

Caryl A. Young[1], Emily Burt[2] and Vidhya Munnamalai[1,3]*

[1] University of Maine, Orono, ME

[2] Kansas State University

[3] The Jackson Laboratory, Bar Harbor, ME 04609, USA

* Correspondence:

Vidhya Munnamalai

The Jackson Laboratory

Bar Harbor, ME 04609, USA

Email: vidhya.munnamalai@jax.org

Phone: 207-288-6916

Keywords: Cochlea, sensory epithelium, progenitors, boundary, support cells

Running title: Boundary formation between the progenitor niche and sensory epithelium.

Funding: This work was supported by the National Institutes of Health (R21DC016376) to V.M.

Summary Statement

Mybl2 is a Wnt-regulated gene encoding a transcription factor that is expressed in the cochlear progenitor niche and influences the boundary formation between the niche and the sensory domain during mid-cochlear developmental stages, thereby regulating the size of the sensory epithelium.

Abstract

During embryonic development Wnt signaling has been shown to influence proliferation and sensory formation in the cochlea. How the dual nature of Wnt signaling is coordinated is unknown. In this study, we define a novel role for a Wnt regulated gene, *Mybl2* that was known to be important for proliferation, but also influences patterning and determines the size of the sensory epithelium in the murine cochlea. Using a quantitative spatial analysis approach and analyzing *Mybl2* loss-of-function cochleas, we show that *Mybl2* simultaneously specifies the progenitor niche and the size of the sensory domain, and influences the positioning of the medial sensory domain boundary via *Jag1* regulation during the mid-gestational stages. *Mybl2* conditional knockout also resulted in a decrease of proliferation within the progenitor niche. During the late embryonic stages, conditional knockout of *Mybl2* produced a wider sensory epithelium across the radial axis with an increase in ectopic inner hair cell formation. These data suggest that *Mybl2*-positive progenitors play a role in boundary formation and patterning the sensory epithelium.

1. Introduction

The sensory epithelium of the cochlea, the organ of Corti, has a highly specialized organization consisting of one row of sound-detecting inner hair cells and three rows of sound-amplifying outer hair cells across its radial axis. This organization of the sensory epithelium confers auditory processing by guiding afferent and efferent innervation to target inner hair cells and outer hair cells. The sensory epithelium is flanked by the inner sulcus (IS) epithelium along the medial edge of the coiled cochlea and the outer sulcus (OS) epithelium on the lateral edge of the coiled organ. Proper formation of the sensory epithelium and its organization is dependent on the correct placement of the sensory epithelial boundaries. However, the molecular mechanisms that determine their formation and their boundaries are unclear. Several studies suggest that multiple signaling pathways influence the formation of the medial versus the lateral compartments of the cochlea (Basch et al., 2016; Ellis et al., 2019; Hayashi et al., 2007; Huh et al., 2012; Hwang et al., 2010; Jansson et al., 2019; Munnamalai and Fekete, 2016; Munnamalai and Fekete, 2020; Munnamalai et al., 2012; Ohyama et al., 2010; Oliver et al., 2021; Petrovic et al., 2015).

Our previous studies showed that during development, the Wnt signaling pathway influenced the formation of the medial compartment, which comprises the IS domain and the medial sensory domain (MS) in the mouse cochlea or specified neural cell fates in the chicken cochlea (Munnamalai and Fekete, 2016; Munnamalai et al., 2017; Oliver et al., 2021). We also showed that the Wnt secretion enzyme, PORCN was enriched on the medial half of the cochlea between embryonic day (E)13.5-E14.5 (Oliver et al., 2021) during the stages when the IS, MS and lateral sensory (LS) and OS domains are established; thus, WNT ligand secretion is optimally positioned to promote the formation of the medial IS and MS domains, especially because WNT morphogens are classically considered to be short range morphogens (Routledge and Scholpp, 2019). For example, in the intestine, WNT ligands are secreted from the progenitor niche and are only dispersed via the action of cell division (Farin et al., 2016). As in several developing systems, activation of the Wnt pathway stimulated cell cycle re-entry in the cochlea (Jacques et al., 2012; Liu et al., 2022a; Samarajeewa et al., 2019; Samarajeewa et al., 2018). Several studies showed that the Wnt pathway also regulates the expression of *Jag1,* or *Serrate1* expression in the mouse and chicken cochleas respectively, which suggests that there is conservation in the regulation of the sensory domain by the Wnt pathway (Jacques et al., 2012; Munnamalai and

Fekete, 2016; Munnamalai et al., 2017). However, it is unknown how the Wnt pathway can

confer both progenitor and sensory specification.

Our recent studies showed that the SOX2-positive sensory domain undergoes refinement to be

repositioned from the medial edge on E12.5 to the center of the cochlear duct floor by E14.5

(Thompson et al., 2023). The SOX2-positive sensory domain will continue to form the sensory

epithelium. Upon differentiation, the support cells retain SOX2 expression, while the hair cells

do not (Dabdoub et al., 2008). In the E14.5 mouse cochlea, the medial IS domain houses a niche

of progenitor cells adjacent to the sensory domain. In this study, we investigate potential

molecular mechanisms via an uncharacterized gene in the cochlea, *Mybl2,* by which the Wnt

pathway simultaneously regulates the proliferation in the IS domain and the size of the JAG1-

mediated SOX2-positive sensory domain in the developing mouse cochlea. During the early otic

stages, the Wnt pathway positions the neurosensory domain in the inner ear (Zak and Daudet,

2021). Similarly, we determine whether Wnt-regulated MYBL2 plays a novel role in influencing

the positioning of the boundary between the IS domain and the sensory domain on E14.5.

2. Results

To determine how the Wnt pathway influences boundary formation between the IS domain and

the cochlear sensory epithelium, we first sought to compare the spatial positioning of the

prosensory domain on E12.5 relative to E14.5 (Figure 1). We immunolabeled for JAG1 and

SOX2 expression, two sensory domain markers, on E12.5 and E14.5 cochleas. (Figure 1A-1D).

On E12.5, JAG1 expression was positioned on the medial edge of the cochlea (Figure 1A). Since

JAG1-Notch signaling regulates the expression of SOX2 and the formation of sensory epithelia

(Kiernan et al., 2001; Kiernan et al., 2006), the SOX2-positive sensory domain was also located

on the medial edge of the cochlea (Figure 1B). By E14.5, both JAG1 and SOX2 expressions

were refined to re-position the sensory domain at the center of the cochlear duct floor (Figure

1C, 1D). How this refinement of JAG1 and SOX2 and the repositioning of the sensory domain

occurs is unknown. To determine the spatial relationship between Wnt signaling via PORCN

expression, we performed spatial analysis on PORCN by co-immunolabeling E14.5 sectioned

cochleas for PORCN, JAG1, and E-cadherin. E-cadherin was utilized as a marker for the LS

domain (Chacon-Heszele et al., 2012; Whitlon, 1993). We found that PORCN expression was

enriched in the medial half of the cochlea, and JAG1 expression lies within the PORCN

expression domain (Figure 1E). However, there was a large region where PORCN is expressed,

yet JAG1 expression was absent (Figure 1E, arrow). As previously shown, E-cadherin was

enriched in the LS (Figure 1F). At this stage, JAG1 was absent in the lateral domains of the

cochlear epithelium on E14.5; thus, JAG1 expression showed no overlap with E-cadherin. We

performed quantitative spatial analysis of PORCN, JAG1, and E-cadherin by

immunofluorescence (Figure 1G), which suggested that although JAG1 expression lies within

the PORCN domain, there must be some unknown factor that suppressed JAG1 expression in the

medial IS domain. Quantitative spatial analysis also showed that E-cadherin peak expression lies

in the LS domain where neither PORCN nor JAG1 are expressed (Figure 1G).

Jag1 was found to be a direct Wnt target gene in hair follicles (Estrach et al., 2006) and several

studies showed that *Jag1* expression was positively regulated by Wnt activation in the E12.5

cochlea (Jacques et al., 2012; Munnamalai and Fekete, 2016). We found Wnt regulation of *Jag1*

on E12.5 was consistent when we analyzed JAG1 expression in E12.5 *Emx2Cre; β–catenin*

(*β–cat*) conditional knockout (cKO) cochleas (Figure S1) as *Emx2* is expressed in the early

cochlea by E12.5 (Holley et al., 2010) (Figure S1A). In control cochleas, JAG1 is expressed on

the medial side, but is downregulated in *β-cat* cKOs (Figure S1B, 1C). JAG1 fluorescence levels

were decreased by 71% ± 8.8% (mean ± SEM, p-value = 1.04e-7) in the *β-cat* cKOs relative to

littermate control cochleas (Figure S1D). However, by E14.5, JAG1 was no longer expressed at

the medial edge, despite the expression of PORCN (Figure 1E, 1G), which suggests that

PORCN/ WNT regulation of JAG1 expression was dynamic (Munnamalai and Fekete, 2013;

Munnamalai and Fekete, 2016; Oliver et al., 2021). This observation was consistent with our

previous studies which showed that *Jag1* responsivity to Wnt activation was different on

different stages of cochlear development (Munnamalai and Fekete, 2016). A 6-hour bath

treatment of E12.5 cochleas *in vitro* by CHIR99021, a GSK-3β inhibitor that activates the Wnt

pathway, showed an up regulation of *Jag1*. However, when explants were treated one day later

Jag1 expression was suppressed.

To determine whether JAG1 was dynamically regulated by the Wnt pathway in a spatiotemporal

manner, we used *Isl1Cre* to induce later *β-cat* cKO as *Isl1Cre; Tdt* showed strong expression in

the mid-turn by E14.5 (Figure S2), where we performed quantitative analysis of domain sizes

(Figure 2). We analyzed JAG1 expression in *Isl1Cre*; *β-cat* cKO cochleas on E14.5, at which

timepoint, JAG1 and SOX2 domains were refined away from the medial edge of the cochlea

(Figure 1C, 1D). In the E14.5 control cochlea, the JAG1 domain, which labels the MS domain,

was positioned in the center of the cochlear duct floor (Figure 2A). As previously shown, E-

cadherin expression was absent in the JAG1-positive MS domain but was enriched in the LS

domain (Figure 2A'). In E14.5 *Isl1Cre*; *β-cat* cKO cochleas (Figure 2B), JAG1 expression was

not reduced like E12.5 *Emx2Cre; β-cat* cKOs. We quantified the temporal impact of *β-cat* cKO

between E13.5-E14.5 using *Isl1Cre* by measuring the widths of the radial domains in the E14.5

cochlea. To determine how each domain is influenced by temporal *β-cat*-deletion on E13.5, we

measured the total width of the cochlea, the widths of the medial and lateral compartments, and

the widths of each individual domain; the IS, MS, LS and OS (Figure 2C). The MS domain

width was measured using JAG1 as a marker and the IS domain width was measured from the

medial boundary of the MS domain to the luminal medial edge of the cochlea. The width of the

LS domain was measured using E-cadherin and SOX2 as shown in Figure 2C and the OS domain

width was measured from the lateral SOX2 boundary to the luminal lateral edge of the cochlea.

The total radial width of E14.5 control cochleas were measured to be 192.24 μM ± 3.12 μM,

while the total radial width of E14.5 *Isl1Cre*; *β-cat* cKO cochleas were significantly decreased to

177.91 μM ± 3.42 μM (p-value = 4.88e-5) (Figure 2D). However, the medial and lateral

compartments themselves did not significantly change in the *Isl1Cre*; *β-cat* cKO cochleas

relative to control cochleas (Figure 2E, 2F). The widths of the IS and MS domain were measured

and normalized to the width of the medial compartment (IS + MS domains). The IS domain was

larger and occupied 71% ± 3% of the medial compartment, while the MS domain was smaller

and occupied 29% ± 3% of the medial compartment in control cochleas (Figure 2G, 2H). In the

Isl1Cre; *β-cat* cKO cochleas, the IS domain decreased in size to occupy 52% ± 4% of the medial

compartment, while the MS domain expanded to occupy 48% ± 4% of the medial compartment

(p-value = 2.02e-6) (Figure 2G, 2H). Thus, there was a significant increase in the size of the

JAG1-positive MS domain in *Isl1Cre*; *β-cat* cKO cochleas relative to controls. This was also

evident from the increase in the region where E-cadherin expression was absent in the MS

domain (Figure 2B'). The widths of the LS and OS domains were not significantly different

between control and *Isl1Cre*; *β-cat* cKO cochleas (Figure 2I, 2J).

The increase in the size of the MS domain comes at the expense of the size of the IS domain on

E14.5. This led us to hypothesize that there exists a Wnt-regulated gene that is expressed in the

IS domain on E14.5 that suppresses the expression of JAG1 to drive the refinement of JAG1

from the medial edge of E12.5 to the center of the cochlear duct floor on E14.5 (Figure 1A- 1D).

To identify a potential Wnt-regulated gene, we performed RNA-sequencing on E14.0 cochleas

that were treated with CHIR99021 for 6 hours. We isolated genes that encode for transcription

factors with a log-fold change greater than 0.5 and examined their spatial expression by *in situ*

hybridization in E14.5 cochlear tissue. Consistent with PORCN expression on E14.5, *Mybl2*

encoded a transcription factor that was also found to be expressed on the medial side of the

cochlea, where PORCN enrichment was the highest. MYBL2 is most known for its role in

regulating the cell cycle during development and disease (Liu et al., 2022b; Musa et al., 2017;

Papetti and Augenlicht, 2011; Ward et al., 2018).

To determine whether the Wnt pathway spatially regulates *Mybl2* expression, we performed

quantitative spatial analysis using immunofluorescence staining for PORCN and *in-situ*

hybridization of *Mybl2* on E14.5 wildtype cochleas (Figure 3). PORCN was restricted to the

medial half of the cochlea on E14.5 (Figure 3A). We then performed *in situ* hybridization for

Mybl2 and found that *Mybl2* is enriched on the medial side of the cochlear duct floor (Figure

3B). We compared the spatial profiles of both PORCN and *Mybl2* and found that *Mybl2*

expression lies within the PORCN expression domain (Figure 3C). These data support that Wnt

spatially regulates *Mybl2* expression in the medial side of the cochlea during development. To

verify that *Mybl2* was regulated by the Wnt pathway, we generated E14.5 *Isl1Cre*; *β-cat* cKO

embryos to examine *Mybl2* expression by *in situ* hybridization in the cochlea (Figure 3D, 3E).

Mybl2 expression remained in the medial side of control cochleas (Figure 3D, dotted lines and

arrow), while in *Isl1Cre*; *β-cat* cKO cochleas, *Mybl2* expression was absent (Figure 3E, arrow).

Therefore, the Wnt pathway promotes the expression of *Mybl2* in the medial side of the cochlea.

The spatial expression of *Mybl2* made it a suitable candidate as a Wnt-regulated gene encoding a

transcription factor that could suppress JAG1 expression on the medial side of the cochlea. We

performed quantitative spatial analysis of JAG1 (Figure 4A) relative to *Mybl2* expression (Figure

4B) in the E14.5 wildtype cochlea. A single trace of JAG1 and *Mybl2* (Figure 4A, 4B) showed

that they were not expressed in the same domains of the cochlea. The lateral boundary of *Mybl2*

expression abutted the medial boundary of the JAG1-positive MS domain (Figure 4C). *Mybl2*

expression is enriched in the medial domain but there was a sharp decline in *Mybl2* expression

(Figure 4C, arrowhead) where JAG1 expression peaks within the MS domain; thus, based on its

expression relative to JAG1, *Mybl2* expression was located in the IS domain. This spatial

expression across the radial axis remained consistent in profile plots averaged across 8 cochleas

such that *Mybl2* expression was restricted to the IS domain, while JAG1 marked the MS domain

(Figure 4D). Thus, *Mybl2* and JAG1 form a boundary to give rise to the IS and MS domains

respectively.

Since MYBL2 is appropriately positioned in the IS domain to potentially refine JAG1 expression

to the center of the cochlear duct on E14.5, we examined the size of the JAG1-positive MS

domain in *Mybl2* cKO cochleas. To test this, we generated E14.5 *Fbxo2Cre^{ER}*; *Mybl2* cKO

embryos that were induced with tamoxifen beginning between E10.5 to E13.5. Embryos were

harvested on E14.5 and cochleas were immunolabeled for JAG1 and SOX2 to examine domain

sizes (Figure 5), as previously shown in E14.5 *Isl1Cre; βcat* cKO cochleas (Figure 2). In E14.5

control cochleas, JAG1 expression was refined to the center of the cochlear duct floor (Figure

5A). In *Fbxo2Cre^{ER}; Mybl2* cKO cochleas, the JAG1 domain was expanded (Figure 5B). Since

SOX2 is downstream of JAG1 and specifies the sensory domain we also immunolabeled for

SOX2 in control and *Mybl2* cKO cochleas (Fig. 5C, D). There were no significant differences

between E14.5 control and *Fbxo2Cre^{ER}; Mybl2* cKO cochleas in the total radial widths of the

cochlea (Figure 5E), the widths of the medial compartment (Figure 5F), or the widths of the

lateral compartment (Figure 5G). When we measured the widths of the IS and MS domains

normalized to the total width of the medial compartment, we found that the IS domain decreased

in size from occupying 67% ± 5% of the medial compartment in control cochleas to occupying

46% ± 5% of the medial compartment in *Fbxo2Cre^{ER}; Mybl2* cKO cochleas (Figure 5H). On the

other hand, the MS domain was expanded from occupying 33% ± 5% in the control cochleas to

44% $\pm$ 5% in *Mybl2* cKOs (p-value = 3.56e-6) (Figure 5I). This result followed the same trends

that we observed in the E14.5 *Isl1Cre; β-cat* cKO cochleas (Figure 2). The widths of the LS and

OS domains were not significantly different compared to control cochleas (Figure 5J, 5K).

Lastly, we measured the total SOX2 area in control and *Fbxo2Cre^{ER}; Mybl2* cKO cochleas and

we found a significant increase in SOX2 area by 30% $\pm$ 7% (p-value = 8.45e-6) in the *Mybl2*

cKO cochleas relative to controls (Figure 5L). These data show that *Mybl2* plays a role in

establishing the size of the prosensory domain during development. Since SOX2 was increased

in the *Fbxo2Cre^{ER}; Mybl2* cKOs we then utilized *Sox2Cre^{ER}* to drive Cre recombination in *Mybl2*

flox mice, predicting that SOX2 expression would drive more efficient Cre recombination in the

Mybl2 flox mice. We induced Cre recombination by administering tamoxifen from E10.5 to

E13.5. *Sox2Cre^{ER}; Mybl2* cKO cochleas also produced an expansion of the JAG1 and SOX2

domains on E14.5 compared to control cochleas (Figure 5M- 5P).

The role of MYBL2 was already known to be essential for proliferation and cell cycle

progression (Musa et al., 2017; Papetti and Augenlicht, 2011). However, in order to rule out that

the expansion of the SOX2 domain was not due to an increase in proliferation by other means

independent of MYBL2, we investigated the impact of the loss of *Mybl2* on proliferation in the

cochlea (Figure 6). Ki67, a marker for cells in mitosis, was expressed in cells isolated to the IS

domain in control cochleas (Figure 6A), in the same domain where *Mybl2* was expressed (Figure

6B). We generated E14.5 *Sox2CreER; Mybl2* cKO embryos and analyzed changes in

proliferation by Ki67 expression in E14.5 cochleas (Figure 6C, 6D). On E14.5, there was a

decrease in Ki67 labeling in the IS domain of *Mybl2* cKO cochleas, suggesting a decrease in

proliferation upon the loss of *Mybl2*.

To determine whether the JAG1 and SOX2 boundary effects leading to an expanded sensory

domain that we observed on E14.5 influenced the final outcome on cochlear patterning, we

analyzed E18.5 *Sox2Cre^{ER}; Mybl2* cKO cochleas (Figure 7). Tamoxifen was administered for an

additional day from E10.5 until E14.5 and harvested on E18.5. On E15.0, *Mybl2* was no longer

expressed in the cochlea; therefore, tamoxifen induction was not required after E14.5. We

immunolabeled whole mount cochleas for Myosin VI (MYO6) and SOX2 to label the hair cells

and sensory epithelium, or support cells respectively. Upon comparing the littermate control and

E18.5 *Sox2Cre^{ER}; Mybl2* cKO cochleas, we observed an expanded SOX2 domain and an overall

increase in SOX2 intensity (Figure 7A- 7D). In addition to the expanded sensory epithelium, we

also observed several ectopic inner hair cells resulting in hair cell doublets in the *Mybl2* cKO

cochleas (Figure 7C, arrows and 7C'). For more precise analysis of the effects on the SOX2-

positive epithelium, we generated optical sections of the whole mount images to quantify the

changes in SOX2 area and SOX2 intensity on these (Figure 7A'- 7D') because we observed an

accumulation and piling up of SOX2 positive cells medially in the *Mybl2* cKO cochleas, which

would contribute to changes in area (Figure 7D', magenta arrow). Both area and intensity of

SOX2 were variable in the control cochleas based on their longitudinal location in the base, mid-

region and apex (data not shown). Thus, we quantified SOX2 in the basal, mid, and apical

regions (Figure 7E, 7F). In summary, there was a significant increase in SOX2 area and SOX2

intensity in all regions of the cochlea, with the largest increase observed in the base and smallest

increase observed in the apex of the *Mybl2* cKO cochleas compared to the controls. In the base

we measured a 47% ± 16% (p-value = 7.8e-9) increase in SOX2 area, and a 59% ± 21% (p-value

= 2.93e-8) increase in SOX2 intensity. In the mid region of the cochlea, the loss of *Mybl2*

resulted in a 33% ± 12% (p-value = 3.58e-7) increase in SOX2 area, and a 43% ± 18% (p-value

= 3.19e-6) increase in SOX2 intensity. Lastly, in the apex we measured a 20% ± 11% (p-value =

1.44e-4) increase in SOX2 area and a 23% ±16% (p-value = 1.8e-3) increase in SOX2 intensity

in *Mybl2* cKOs compared to their control littermates. Although the average increase was the

smallest in the apex, we did observe maximum increases of 50% in SOX2 area and 72% in

SOX2 intensity in the apex within individual sections. Thus, loss of *Mybl2* in the apex is capable

of producing larger sensory epithelia. Despite previous reports that the *Sox2Cre^{ER}* mice results in

Sox2 haploinsufficiency and cochleas showed a decrease in *Sox2* levels relative to their control

littermates (Atkinson et al., 2018); we observed a significant increase in SOX2 in *Sox2Cre^{ER};*

Mybl2 cKO cochleas, which suggests that there was positive feed-forward loop to compensate

and further enhance SOX2 in *Sox2Cre^{ER}; Mybl2* cKO cochleas.

Although the primary effect of the loss of *Mybl2* was on the SOX2-positive sensory epithelium,

we also quantified the number of inner hair cell doublets in control and *Mybl2* cKO cochleas

(Figure 7G). We harvested E18.5 cochleas from *Sox2Cre^{ER}; Mybl2* cKO embryos and their 'no

Cre' control littermates, and separately generated E18.5 cochleas from *Sox2Cre^{ER(+/-)}*

heterozygous 'control' embryos. We included $Sox2Cre^{ER(+/-)}$ cochleas as the appropriate control

since previous reports showed the formation of ectopic inner hair cells due to $Sox2$

haploinsufficiency (Atkinson et al., 2018) because excess SOX2 itself is prohibitive to hair cell

formation (Dabdoub et al., 2008). Comparing the cochleas from these three groups and

performing a one-way ANOVA, we found that inner hair cell doublets increased to 78.5 ± 6.3 in

$Sox2Cre^{ER}$; $Mybl2$ cKO cochleas (p-value = 6e-11, Tukey test) and inner hair cell doublets

increased to 45 ± 4.9 in $Sox2Cre^{ER(+/-)}$ control cochleas (p-value = 2.04e-4, Tukey test) compared

to the 'no Cre' control cochleas that had 10.5 ± 1.8 inner hair cell doublets (Figure 7G). The

increase in inner hair cell doublets in $Sox2Cre^{ER}$; $Mybl2$ cKO cochleas were significantly higher

than the inner hair cells doublets in the $Sox2Cre^{ER(+/-)}$ control cochleas (p = 2.1e-4, Tukey test).

Upon measuring the total length of the cochleas, the $Mybl2$ cKOs were significantly shorter by

15% compared to the 'no Cre' littermate controls (p-value = 6e-7, Tukey post-hoc test), but were

only 8% significantly shorter compared to the $Sox2Cre^{ER(+/-)}$ control cochleas (p-value = 0.005,

Tukey post-hoc test). We then counted the number of aligned inner hair cells and the total

number of inner hair cells (aligned + ectopic) in the 'no Cre' control, $Sox2Cre^{ER(+/-)}$ control and

the $Sox2Cre^{ER}$; $Mybl2$ cKO cochleas. There were no significant differences in the number of

aligned inner hair cells per 1000 μm between the three groups. If the cochlea length was

decreased compared to the $Sox2Cre^{ER(+/-)}$ control cochleas but the number of aligned inner hair

cells do not change, then we would expect to see a decrease in the total number of inner hair cells

relative to $Sox2Cre^{ER}$ control cochleas. However, we did not observe any significant change in

the total number of inner hair cells per 1000 μm, which suggests that the number of ectopic inner

hair cells that were formed in the $Sox2Cre^{ER}$; $Mybl2$ cochlea was indeed higher than in the

$Sox2Cre^{ER(+/-)}$ control. In fact, the number of ectopic inner hair cells that were formed in $Mybl2$

cKOs increased by 74% relative to $Sox2Cre^{ER(+/-)}$ control cochleas, despite the shortened

cochlea by 8%.

3. Discussion

The Wnt pathway is most known for its proliferative role during development and disease (Chai

et al., 2012; Chai et al., 2011; Jacques et al., 2012; Munnamalai and Fekete, 2013; Munnamalai

and Fekete, 2016; Ng et al., 2019). In both the mouse and chicken inner ears, the Wnt pathway

also induced proliferation (Jacques et al., 2012; Munnamalai et al., 2017; Stevens et al., 2003).

As a result, the Wnt pathway holds promise for promoting regeneration in the mammalian

cochlea (Jan et al., 2013; McLean et al., 2017; Samarajeewa et al., 2019; Samarajeewa et al.,

2018). However, recent studies in the cochlea show that it is also necessary for early sensory

specification by regulating *Jag1* expression. JAG1-Notch signaling then regulates the expression

of SOX2 to establish the sensory domain and eventually the sensory epithelium. Other studies

show that the Wnt pathway also promotes differentiation (Davidson et al., 2012; Shi et al., 2010;

Shi et al., 2014) and the Wnt pathway likely possesses stage-dependent roles (Munnamalai and

Fekete, 2013). Thus, it is important to understand the multifaceted roles underlying Wnt

signaling. *Jag1* has been shown to be a direct Wnt target gene (Estrach et al., 2006). Consistent

with this our previous studies and several others showed that treatment of mouse cochleas with a

Wnt activator, or infection of chicken cochleas with a *Wnt-ligand* expressing virus showed an

increase in *Jag1*, or the chicken homologue, *Serrate1* expression (Jacques et al., 2012;

Munnamalai and Fekete, 2016; Munnamalai et al., 2017). Both are genes expressed on the neural

side of the cochlea (also known as the medial side in the mouse cochlea). Proliferation and

sensory specification are two distinct processes regulated by separate Wnt-mediated

mechanisms. We see this in the mouse cochlea on E14.5 where the proliferating progenitor niche

in the IS domain are segregated from the sensory domain. Our previous studies showed that

although there are several WNT ligands expressed in the cochlea, the WNT secretion enzyme

(Proffitt and Virshup, 2012), PORCN is spatially enriched on the medial side, which suggests

there is higher WNT secretion on the medial side (Oliver et al., 2021). Given that WNT

morphogens are short-range signaling molecules (Farin et al., 2016; Routledge and Scholpp,

2019), we examined the spatial context mid-development on E14.5 of both progenitors and the

JAG1-positive MS domain in the mouse cochlea. Both the progenitor niche and JAG1 expression

lie in the PORCN expressing domain on the medial half of the mouse cochlea. This suggested

that the Wnt pathway is capable of regulating both processes simultaneously, but the molecular

mechanisms controlling this is unknown.

Previous studies quantitatively showed that the SOX2 domain that was once located on the

medial edge on E12.5 was gradually repositioned to the center of the cochlear duct floor by

E14.5 (Thompson et al., 2023). It is during this stage a prominent pool of progenitors are

established in the IS domain where PORCN expression is enriched and adjacent to the SOX2-

positive cells in the sensory domain (Oliver et al., 2021). This led us to postulate that Wnt signals

influenced the boundary between the IS domain and the sensory domain. Thus, we sought to

identify the molecular mechanism by which the Wnt pathway can regulate two distinct processes

simultaneously while also refining the JAG1 domain and establishing the IS-sensory domain

boundary. Consistent with previous studies that showed early Wnt activation led to an increase in

JAG1 expression (Jacques et al., 2012; Munnamalai et al., 2017; Zak and Daudet, 2021), we

showed that early deletion of *β-catenin* resulted in a loss of JAG1 expression (Figure S1).

However, we also showed that JAG1 is dynamically regulated by the Wnt pathway, which

suggested there was a Wnt-regulated suppressor of *Jag1* expression. Once again, a later temporal

conditional deletion of β-catenin from E13.5 did not abolish JAG1 expression, but instead led to

an expansion in the size of the JAG1-postive MS domain at the expense of the IS domain and an

increase in the area of the SOX2-positive sensory domain. These data suggest that there is an

unknown Wnt-regulated gene expressed in the IS domain on E13.5-E14.5 that refines and re-

positions the JAG1-positive MS domain. Our Wnt-transcriptomic analysis and *in situ*

hybridization studies revealed that *Mybl2*, a previously uncharacterized gene in the cochlea, is

expressed in the IS domain. *Mybl2*, which encodes a transcription factor, is also known for its

role in regulating cell cycle progression.

Based on quantitative spatial analysis of *Mybl2* relative to JAG1, we hypothesized that MYBL2

was expressed in the right location to influence the formation of the medial boundary of the MS

domain, while maintaining a pool of proliferating cells in the IS domain. This would imply that,

apart from its role as a cell cycle regulator, MYBL2 plays a novel function in sensory epithelial

patterning by influencing the positioning of the sensory medial boundary. In support of this

hypothesis, MYBL2 ENCODE ChIP-seq data showed *Jag1* to be an MYBL2 target gene. When

we analyzed *Mybl2* cKO cochleas on E14.5, we found that there was an increase in the area of

the SOX2-positive sensory domain. It is interesting to note that this increase in SOX2 area

occurs despite a decrease in Ki67-positive proliferating cells in the IS domain in *Mybl2* cKO

cochleas. The SOX2 domain extends into the IS domain due to a relief in JAG1 suppression and

a medial shift in the IS-MS domain boundary. In this way, the Wnt pathway can simultaneously

regulate a progenitor niche by regulating *Mybl2* expression, while regulating *Jag1* expression in

the MS domain. However, it is through MYBL2, that the Wnt pathway determines the size of the

MS domain (Figure 8). Interestingly, we found no significant quantitative changes in the lateral

domains of the cochlea with the loss of *Mybl2*.

We expected that the increase in SOX2 area on E14.5 would leave a lasting impact on E18.5. As

such when we analyzed E18.5 *Sox2CreER; Mybl2* cKO cochleas, we found that both the size of

the SOX2-positive sensory epithelium and SOX2 levels were significantly enhanced compared

to 'no Cre' littermate control cochleas. These effects on the sensory epithelium were consistent

from the basal, mid, and apical regions of the cochlea. Previous studies showed that *Sox2*

haploinsufficiency was caused by the *Sox2Cre$^{ER(+/-)}$* line resulting in a decrease in *Sox2*

expression compared to 'no Cre' littermate controls (Atkinson et al., 2018). However, in our

experimental paradigm, because the conditional deletion of *Mybl2* expanded the SOX2 domain

(using two different Cre lines), this created a feed-forward loop to enhance *Sox2*-mediated Cre

recombination, ultimately resulting in compensation and an increase of SOX2 above that of

levels seen in the 'no Cre' control cochleas (Figure 8).

As a consequence of an expanded sensory epithelium and a shift in the medial boundary of the

MS domain, we expected that there could be an accompanying increase in the inner hair cells.

Excess SOX2 can also be repressive to *Atoh1* expression (Dabdoub et al., 2008) and it is likely

that the *Sox2* haploinsufficiency and the reported decreases in SOX2 levels in *Sox2Cre$^{ER(+/-)}$*

cochleas was permissive to ectopic inner hair cell formation (Atkinson et al., 2018). However, in

the context of *Sox2Cre^{ER}; Mybl2* cKO cochleas, we saw a significant increase in levels and

expansion of the SOX2 sensory epithelium on E18.5. We found a significant increase in the

formation of ectopic hair cells, but these effects were not due to haploinsufficiency due the

enhanced SOX2 levels. In fact, we surmise that the *Sox2Cre^{ER}; Mybl2* cKO cochleas have an

even greater potential for the formation of inner hair cells if it were not for the possible

repression of *Atoh1* expression by excess SOX2 (Figure 8). Despite this increase in SOX2, we

still saw a 74% greater increase of ectopic inner hair cells in *Sox2Cre^{ER}; Mybl2* cKO cochleas

relative to *Sox2Cre$^{ER+/-}$* cochleas, as a downstream effect of expanding the sensory epithelium.

In conclusion, the Wnt pathway is indeed capable of simultaneously regulating two different

processes: proliferation and sensory domain specification in a spatial manner through the

regulation and action of MYBL2. We also identify a novel role of MYBL2 as a transcription

factor that mediates boundary formation and patterning of the sensory epithelium spatially by

suppressing JAG1 expression. Thus, the cochlear progenitors play an unexpected role in

determining the size of the sensory epithelium. One possibility for the need for such a role by

progenitors is to maintain their 'stem cell-like' characteristics to maintain developmental

plasticity and replace damaged or dying cells until the sensory epithelium has fully formed.

4. Materials and Methods

Animal Husbandry

β–catenin flox (B6(Cg)-Ctnnb1^{tm1Knw}/J, Jax Strain #:022775) and *Mybl2 flox (*B6;B6CB-

Mybl2<tm2Sis>, RIKEN, Japan) mice were used to generate cKOs. *β–cat* mice were crossed

with *Emx2Cre* (B6.Cg-Emx2<tm2(cre)Sia>/SiaRbrc, RIKEN, Japan) mice for early cochlear

cKO by E12.5, and *Isl1Cre* (Isl1$^{tm1(cre)Sev}$/J, JAX Strain #:024242) for later cochlear knockout in

the mid-turn by E13.5. *Emx2Cre*; *β–cat* cKO embryos were harvested on E12.5. *Isl1Cre; β–cat*

cKO embryos were harvested on E14.5, late in the day. *Mybl2 flox* mice were crossed with

Fbxo2Cre^{ER} (Fbxo2$^{tm1.1(cre/ERT2)Akg}$/J JAX Strain#: 038582) or *Sox2Cre^{ER}* (B6;129S-

$^{Sox2tm1(cre/ERT2)}$Hoch/J JAX Strain #:017593) to generate cKOs. Mice were timed-mated, and the

day a plug was observed was designated E0.5. Pregnant dams were administered tamoxifen via

oral gavage (1mg/ 10g body weight) twice daily between E10.5-E13.5 for *Fbxo2Cre^{ER}; Mybl2*

cKOs. Pregnant dams were administered tamoxifen (1.5mg/ 10g body weight) once daily

between E10.5-E13.5 *Sox2Cre^{ER}; Mybl2* cKOs. *Fbxo2Cre^{ER}; Mybl2* cKO embryos were

harvested on E14.5. *Sox2Cre^{ER}; Mybl2* cKO embryos were harvested on E14.5 and E18.5. Swiss

Webster wildtype mice (Envigo, Indianapolis, IN, USA) were used generate E14.5 embryos for

expression studies. Ai9 (B6.Cg-Gt(ROSA)26Sor$^{tm9(CAG-tdTomato)Hze}$/J, Jax Strain #: 007909) mice

were used to show Tdt expression upon cre recombination. All animal procedures were

performed in accordance with the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC)

guidelines at The Jackson Laboratory.

 Histology

 Embryos were decapitated and heads were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) (Electron

 Microscopy Sciences, Hatfield, PA, USA) overnight at 4°C. Embryo heads were processed

 through 10%, 20%, and 30% sucrose solutions and cryofrozen in tissue freezing medium (TFM)

 (General Data Healthcare, Cincinnati, OH, USA). Cochleas of E18.5 embryos were fixed in 4%

 PFA and dissected as wholemounts.

 Immunofluorescence and in situ hybridization

 Sectioned tissues were blocked with 2% donkey serum (Jackson Immuno-Research, West Grove,

 Pennsylvania, USA) in PBS/0.5% Triton for 1 hour at room temperature followed by primary

 antibody incubation overnight at 4°C. The following day, tissues were incubated with Alexa-

 conjugated secondary antibodies for 2 hours at room temperature (Invitrogen) and nuclei were

 counterstained with DAPI (Abcam, Waltham, MA, USA). Tissues were mounted with

 Fluoromount G mounting medium (Life Technologies Corporation, Carlsbad, CA, USA).

 Primary antibodies included: rat anti- E-cadherin (1:250, AB11512, Abcam, Waltham, MA,

 USA), goat anti-Jagged1 (1:250, sc-6011, Santa Cruz Biotechnology, CA, USA), rat anti-Ki67

 (1:250, Ref:14-5698-82, Invitrogen, Waltham, MA, USA), goat-anti SOX2 (1:500, AF2018, R&

 D Systems, Minneapolis, MN, USA), rabbit anti-SOX2 (1:500, ab97959, Abcam, Cambridge,

 UK), rat anti-E-cadherin (1:250, ab11512, Abcam), rabbit anti-Myosin VI (1:500, Ref: 25-6791,

 Proteus, Waltham, MA, USA), rabbit anti-PORCN (1:250, PA5-43423, Invitrogen, Waltham,

 MA, USA). Antigen retrieval was performed for Ki67 immunolabeling with 10mM sodium

 citrate/ 0.05% Tween 20 buffer at pH 6.0 for 15 minutes at 99°C. RNAscope for *Mybl2* was

 performed according to the manufacturer's protocol (Advanced Cell Diagnostics, Newark, CA,

 USA). Probe used to detect transcripts: Mm_Mybl2 Ref # 563211.

 Data Acquisition and Image processing

 Image acquisition was performed on a Zeiss LSM800 confocal microscope (ZEISS, Oberkochen,

 BW, Germany) at The Jackson Laboratory Microscopy core and on a brightfield/ epifluorescence

 Olympus BX51 microscope with a Spot insight CMOS camera were used to acquire images at

 20X and 40X. Z-stacks were analyzed using the FIJI software (NIH). Measurements were made

on raw image data. For figure preparation, TIFF images were processed in Adobe Photoshop and

assembled in Adobe Illustrator (Adobe, San Jose, CA, USA).

Data Analysis and Quantification

Spatial profile analyses were performed along the floor of the cochlear duct using the FIJI

software. Profile expression data were plotted along the total widths of the respective cochleas,

averaged across samples, and plotted in R. The total width of the cochlea was measured from the

medial edge to the lateral edge on the luminal side. Fluorescent intensity data was normalized

between 0 and 1 with intensity minima at 0 and intensity maxima at 1.

Domain size measurements were performed using FIJI. Thresholding was used to determine

comparable fluorescent levels demarcating domain boundaries and the widths of the domains

were measured between these boundaries. The widths of the IS and MS domains were

normalized to the width of the medial compartment of the cochlea and the widths of the OS and

LS domains were normalized to the width of the lateral compartment of the cochlea. JAG1

immunolabeled the MS domain, E-cadherin and SOX2 immunolabeling were used to determine

the lateral boundary of the LS. The size of the total SOX2 domain on E14.5 was determined in

FIJI by setting a minimum threshold and measuring the area of the signal. On E18.5, orthogonal

projections were generated in FIJI, a threshold was set, and the total SOX2 area and intensity

was measured. All area and total intensity data were normalized to the respective control mean

values. The ectopic inner hair cells are hair cells that were observed medially to the main,

aligned, single row of inner hair cells and were counted along the total length of the cochlea.

Whole mount images were shown as both maximum projections and orthogonal optical sections.

Statistical analysis between control and cKO cochleas was performed in R by independent, two-

tailed Student's t test. To compare the three groups on E18.5 ('no Cre' control, $Sox2Cre^{ER(+/-)}$,

and $Sox2Cre^{ER}$; *Mybl2* cKOs) we performed a One-way ANOVA, followed by a post-hoc, Tukey

test to compare each group to one another. Corrected p-values were calculated and applied for

multiple comparisons.

5. Acknowledgements

The authors acknowledge the Microscopy Core at The Jackson Laboratory for equipment maintenance, upkeep, and assistance. The authors thank members of the Munnamalai lab for providing critical feedback on the manuscript.

6. Conflict of Interest

The authors declare no conflicts of interest or competing financial interests.

7. Author Contributions

C.A.Y., study conceptualization and design, development of methodology, acquisition, data analysis, writing and revision of the manuscript. E.B., study design, acquisition, data analysis, and revision of the manuscript. V.M., funding, material support, study conceptualization and design, development of methodology, acquisition, analysis, and interpretation of data; writing and revision of the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

8. Ethics Approval

All experiments were performed under IACUC protocol 18013: PI Vidhya Munnamalai, in compliance with the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services and reviewed by The Jackson Laboratory Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee.

9. Data Availability

The datasets used and/ or analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on request.

11. References

Atkinson, P. J., Dong, Y., Gu, S., Liu, W., Najarro, E. H., Udagawa, T. and Cheng, A. G. (2018). Sox2 haploinsufficiency primes regeneration and Wnt responsiveness in the mouse cochlea. *J Clin Invest* **128**, 1641-1656.

Basch, M. L., Brown, R. M., 2nd, Jen, H. I., Semerci, F., Depreux, F., Edlund, R. K., Zhang, H., Norton, C. R., Gridley, T., Cole, S. E., et al. (2016). Fine-tuning of Notch signaling sets the boundary of the organ of Corti and establishes sensory cell fates. *Elife* **5**.

Chacon-Heszele, M. F., Ren, D., Reynolds, A. B., Chi, F. and Chen, P. (2012). Regulation of cochlear convergent extension by the vertebrate planar cell polarity pathway is dependent on p120-catenin. *Development* **139**, 968-978.

Chai, R., Kuo, B., Wang, T., Liaw, E. J., Xia, A., Jan, T. A., Liu, Z., Taketo, M. M., Oghalai, J. S., Nusse, R., et al. (2012). Wnt signaling induces proliferation of sensory precursors in the postnatal mouse cochlea. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **109**, 8167-8172.

Chai, R., Xia, A., Wang, T., Jan, T. A., Hayashi, T., Bermingham-McDonogh, O. and Cheng, A. G. (2011). Dynamic expression of Lgr5, a Wnt target gene, in the developing and mature mouse cochlea. *J Assoc Res Otolaryngol* **12**, 455-469.

Dabdoub, A., Puligilla, C., Jones, J. M., Fritzsch, B., Cheah, K. S., Pevny, L. H. and Kelley, M. W. (2008). Sox2 signaling in prosensory domain specification and subsequent hair cell differentiation in the developing cochlea. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **105**, 18396-18401.

Davidson, K. C., Adams, A. M., Goodson, J. M., McDonald, C. E., Potter, J. C., Berndt, J. D., Biechele, T. L., Taylor, R. J. and Moon, R. T. (2012). Wnt/beta-catenin signaling promotes differentiation, not self-renewal, of human embryonic stem cells and is repressed by Oct4. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **109**, 4485-4490.

Ellis, K., Driver, E. C., Okano, T., Lemons, A. and Kelley, M. W. (2019). GSK3 regulates hair cell fate in the developing mammalian cochlea. *Dev Biol* **453**, 191-205.

Estrach, S., Ambler, C. A., Lo Celso, C., Hozumi, K. and Watt, F. M. (2006). Jagged 1 is a beta-catenin target gene required for ectopic hair follicle formation in adult epidermis. *Development* **133**, 4427-4438.

Farin, H. F., Jordens, I., Mosa, M. H., Basak, O., Korving, J., Tauriello, D. V., de Punder, K., Angers, S., Peters, P. J., Maurice, M. M., et al. (2016). Visualization of a short-range Wnt gradient in the intestinal stem-cell niche. *Nature* **530**, 340-343.

Hayashi, T., Cunningham, D. and Bermingham-McDonogh, O. (2007). Loss of Fgfr3 leads to excess hair cell development in the mouse organ of Corti. *Dev Dyn* **236**, 525-533.

Holley, M., Rhodes, C., Kneebone, A., Herde, M. K., Fleming, M. and Steel, K. P. (2010). Emx2 and early hair cell development in the mouse inner ear. *Dev Biol* **340**, 547-556.

Huh, S. H., Jones, J., Warchol, M. E. and Ornitz, D. M. (2012). Differentiation of the lateral compartment
of the cochlea requires a temporally restricted FGF20 signal. *PLoS Biol* **10**, e1001231.

Hwang, C. H., Guo, D., Harris, M. A., Howard, O., Mishina, Y., Gan, L., Harris, S. E. and Wu, D. K. (2010).
Role of bone morphogenetic proteins on cochlear hair cell formation: analyses of Noggin and Bmp2
mutant mice. *Dev Dyn* **239**, 505-513.

Jacques, B. E., Puligilla, C., Weichert, R. M., Ferrer-Vaquer, A., Hadjantonakis, A. K., Kelley, M. W. and
Dabdoub, A. (2012). A dual function for canonical Wnt/beta-catenin signaling in the developing
mammalian cochlea. *Development* **139**, 4395-4404.

Jan, T. A., Chai, R., Sayyid, Z. N., van Amerongen, R., Xia, A., Wang, T., Sinkkonen, S. T., Zeng, Y. A.,
Levin, J. R., Heller, S., et al. (2013). Tympanic border cells are Wnt-responsive and can act as progenitors
for postnatal mouse cochlear cells. *Development* **140**, 1196-1206.

Jansson, L., Ebeid, M., Shen, J. W., Mokhtari, T. E., Quiruz, L. A., Ornitz, D. M., Huh, S. H. and Cheng, A.
G. (2019). beta-Catenin is required for radial cell patterning and identity in the developing mouse
cochlea. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **116**, 21054-21060.

Kiernan, A. E., Ahituv, N., Fuchs, H., Balling, R., Avraham, K. B., Steel, K. P. and Hrabe de Angelis, M.
(2001). The Notch ligand Jagged1 is required for inner ear sensory development. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*
98, 3873-3878.

Kiernan, A. E., Xu, J. and Gridley, T. (2006). The Notch ligand JAG1 is required for sensory progenitor
development in the mammalian inner ear. *PLoS Genet* **2**, e4.

Liu, J., Xiao, Q., Xiao, J., Niu, C., Li, Y., Zhang, X., Zhou, Z., Shu, G. and Yin, G. (2022a). Wnt/beta-catenin
signalling: function, biological mechanisms, and therapeutic opportunities. *Signal Transduct Target Ther*
7, 3.

Liu, M., Du, Q., Mao, G., Dai, N. and Zhang, F. (2022b). MYB proto-oncogene like 2 promotes
hepatocellular carcinoma growth and glycolysis via binding to the Optic atrophy 3 promoter and
activating its expression. *Bioengineered* **13**, 5344-5356.

McLean, W. J., Yin, X., Lu, L., Lenz, D. R., McLean, D., Langer, R., Karp, J. M. and Edge, A. S. B. (2017).
Clonal Expansion of Lgr5-Positive Cells from Mammalian Cochlea and High-Purity Generation of Sensory
Hair Cells. *Cell Rep* **18**, 1917-1929.

Munnamalai, V. and Fekete, D. M. (2013). Wnt signaling during cochlear development. *Semin Cell Dev*
Biol **24**, 480-489.

Munnamalai, V. and Fekete, D. M. (2016). Notch-Wnt-Bmp crosstalk regulates radial patterning in the
mouse cochlea in a spatiotemporal manner. *Development* **143**, 4003-4015.

Munnamalai, V. and Fekete, D. M. (2020). The acquisition of positional information across the radial
axis of the cochlea. *Dev Dyn* **249**, 281-297.

Munnamalai, V., Hayashi, T. and Bermingham-McDonogh, O. (2012). Notch prosensory effects in the
Mammalian cochlea are partially mediated by Fgf20. *J Neurosci* **32**, 12876-12884.
618
619   **Munnamalai, V., Sienknecht, U. J., Duncan, R. K., Scott, M. K., Thawani, A., Fantetti, K. N., Atallah, N.**
620   **M., Biesemeier, D. J., Song, K. H., Luethy, K., et al.** (2017). Wnt9a Can Influence Cell Fates and Neural
621   Connectivity across the Radial Axis of the Developing Cochlea. *J Neurosci* **37**, 8975-8988.
622
623   **Musa, J., Aynaud, M. M., Mirabeau, O., Delattre, O. and Grunewald, T. G.** (2017). MYBL2 (B-Myb): a
624   central regulator of cell proliferation, cell survival and differentiation involved in tumorigenesis. *Cell*
625   *Death Dis* **8**, e2895.
626
627   **Ng, L. F., Kaur, P., Bunnag, N., Suresh, J., Sung, I. C. H., Tan, Q. H., Gruber, J. and Tolwinski, N. S.** (2019).
628   WNT Signaling in Disease. *Cells* **8**.
629
630   **Ohyama, T., Basch, M. L., Mishina, Y., Lyons, K. M., Segil, N. and Groves, A. K.** (2010). BMP signaling is
631   necessary for patterning the sensory and nonsensory regions of the developing mammalian cochlea. *J*
632   *Neurosci* **30**, 15044-15051.
633
634   **Oliver, B. L., Young, C. A. and Munnamalai, V.** (2021). Spatial and temporal expression of PORCN is
635   highly dynamic in the developing mouse cochlea. *Gene Expr Patterns*, 119214.
636
637   **Papetti, M. and Augenlicht, L. H.** (2011). MYBL2, a link between proliferation and differentiation in
638   maturing colon epithelial cells. *J Cell Physiol* **226**, 785-791.
639
640   **Petrovic, J., Galvez, H., Neves, J., Abello, G. and Giraldez, F.** (2015). Differential regulation of Hes/Hey
641   genes during inner ear development. *Dev Neurobiol* **75**, 703-720.
642
643   **Proffitt, K. D. and Virshup, D. M.** (2012). Precise regulation of porcupine activity is required for
644   physiological Wnt signaling. *J Biol Chem* **287**, 34167-34178.
645
646   **Routledge, D. and Scholpp, S.** (2019). Mechanisms of intercellular Wnt transport. *Development* **146**.
647   **Samarajeewa, A., Jacques, B. E. and Dabdoub, A.** (2019). Therapeutic Potential of Wnt and Notch
648   Signaling and Epigenetic Regulation in Mammalian Sensory Hair Cell Regeneration. *Mol Ther* **27**, 904-
649   911.
650
651   **Samarajeewa, A., Lenz, D. R., Xie, L., Chiang, H., Kirchner, R., Mulvaney, J. F., Edge, A. S. B. and**
652   **Dabdoub, A.** (2018). Transcriptional response to Wnt activation regulates the regenerative capacity of
653   the mammalian cochlea. *Development* **145**.
654
655   **Shi, F., Cheng, Y. F., Wang, X. L. and Edge, A. S.** (2010). Beta-catenin up-regulates Atoh1 expression in
656   neural progenitor cells by interaction with an Atoh1 3' enhancer. *J Biol Chem* **285**, 392-400.
657
658   **Shi, F., Hu, L., Jacques, B. E., Mulvaney, J. F., Dabdoub, A. and Edge, A. S.** (2014). beta-Catenin is
659   required for hair-cell differentiation in the cochlea. *J Neurosci* **34**, 6470-6479.
660
Stevens, C. B., Davies, A. L., Battista, S., Lewis, J. H. and Fekete, D. M. (2003). Forced activation of Wnt
signaling alters morphogenesis and sensory organ identity in the chicken inner ear. *Dev Biol* **261**, 149-
164.

Thompson, M. J., Young, C. A., Munnamalai, V. and Umulis, D. M. (2023). Early radial positional
information in the cochlea is optimized by a precise linear BMP gradient and enhanced by SOX2. *Sci Rep*
13, 8567.

Ward, C., Volpe, G., Cauchy, P., Ptasinska, A., Almaghrabi, R., Blakemore, D., Nafria, M., Kestner, D.,
Frampton, J., Murphy, G., et al. (2018). Fine-Tuning Mybl2 Is Required for Proper Mesenchymal-to-
Epithelial Transition during Somatic Reprogramming. *Cell Rep* **24**, 1496-1511 e1498.

Whitlon, D. S. (1993). E-cadherin in the mature and developing organ of Corti of the mouse. *J Neurocytol*
22, 1030-1038.

Zak, M. and Daudet, N. (2021). A gradient of Wnt activity positions the neurosensory domains of the
inner ear. *Elife* **10**.

Figures:

Figure 1:

Figure 1: The sensory domain is refined between E12.5 and E14.5.

(A, B) JAG1 and its downstream effector, SOX2 were both enriched at the medial edge of the cochlear duct on E12.5. (C, D) By E14.5, the JAG1 and SOX2 domains were repositioned to the middle of the duct. (E) PORCN was enriched in the medial half of the cochlea. JAG1 was expressed within the PORCN domain on E14.5. (F) JAG1 and E-cadherin have complementary, non-overlapping expression patterns on E14.5. (G) Spatial profile analysis of PORCN, E-cadherin, and JAG1 expression on E14.5 revealed their relative expression patterns. (N = 8 cochleas). Scale bar = 100μm

Figure 2:

Figure 2: JAG1 is spatiotemporally regulated by Wnt signaling.

(A) Immunolabeling for JAG1 and E-cadherin in E14.5 control cochlea. (A') E-cadherin was

absent in the MS domain, enriched in the LS domain and was complementary. (B) JAG1

expression in *Isl1cre*; *β-cat* cKO cochlea on E14.5 was not reduced but expanded. (B') E-

cadherin was further downregulated in the region of JAG1 expansion. (C) Indication of domains

and markers used. JAG1 labels the MS domain and defines the IS-MS boundary. E-cadherin is

enriched in the LS domain. SOX2 is enriched in the sensory domain (MS + LS). The lateral

boundary of SOX2 and E-cadherin segregate the LS and OS domains. (D- J) Quantification of

domain sizes in control and *Isl1cre; β-cat* cKOs on E14.5. (Control N = 6, n = 15 sections, *β-cat*

cKO N = 8, n = 19 sections) A two-tailed students t-test was performed for each quantification

and Bon Ferroni correction factor was applied for multiple comparisons for determining

significance. (D) Total Width p-value = 4.88e-5 (E) Medial Compartment Width p-value = 0.08,

(F) Lateral Compartment Width p-value = 0.89, (G) Inner Sulcus p-value = 2.02e-6, (H) Medial

Sensory p-value = 2.02e-6, (I) Lateral Sensory p-value = 0.21, (J) Outer Sulcus p-value = 0.21.

Solid line in A, A', B, B' marked the MS domain. P-value < 1e-4 indicated by ****. Scale bar =

100μm

Figure 3:

Figure 3: The Wnt pathway regulates *Mybl2* in the cochlea.

(A) Immunolabeling of PORCN on E14.5 was enriched on the medial half of a wildtype cochlea.

(B) *Mybl2* transcript expression in an E14.5 wildtype cochlea. (C) Quantitative spatial profile

comparing PORCN and *Mybl2* expression on E14.5 (N= 8 cochleas). (D- E) *Mybl2* expression in

control E14.5 cochlea and in its littermate *Is1lCre;β-cat* cKO cochlea on E14.5. Scale bar =

100μm

Figure 4:

Figure 4: Spatial juxtaposition of JAG1 and *Mybl2* in the E14.5 cochlea.

(A) Immunolabeling for JAG1 on E14.5 exhibited its central positing on the cochlear duct floor.

(B) *Mybl2* transcripts on E14.5 were present medially. (C) Representative single quantitative

spatial profile plot between JAG1 and *Mybl2*. (D) Average quantitative spatial profile plot

between JAG1 and *Mybl2* (N = 8 cochleas). Scale bar = 100µm

Figure 5:

Figure 5: *Mybl2* specifies the size of the medial prosensory domain.

(A) Central positioning of JAG1 domain in the E14.5 control cochlea. (B) E14.5 *Fbxo2Cre^{ER};*

Mybl2 cKO cochleas exhibited an expanded JAG1 domain. (C) SOX2 immunolabeling in control

cochlea on E14.5. (D) SOX2 was expanded in *Fbxo2Cre^{ER}; Mybl2* cKO cochleas. (E- L)

Quantification of domain sizes in control and *Fbxo2Cre^{ER}; Mybl2* cKOs on E14.5 (Control N =

6, n = 17 sections, *Mybl2* cKO N = 8, n = 21 sections). A two-tailed students t-test was

performed for each quantification and Bon Ferroni correction factor was applied for multiple

comparisons for determining significance. (E) Total Width was not significant, p-value = 0.98

(F- G) Medial Compartment Width, p-value = 0.14 and lateral Compartment Width, p-value =

0.60 were not significant. (H) Inner Sulcus p-value = 3.6e-6, (I) Medial Sensory domain p-value

= 3.6e-6. (J) Lateral Sensory domain p-value = 0.007. (K) Outer Sulcus p-value = 0.15, (L)

SOX2 domain p-value = 8.6e-6. (M) JAG1 immunolabeling in control cochlea on E14.5. (N)

SOX2 immunolabeling in control cochlea on E14.5. (O) The JAG1 domain was expanded in

Sox2Cre^{ER}; Mybl2 cKO cochleas on E14.5. (P) SOX2 immunolabeling was increased in

Sox2Cre^{ER}; Mybl2 cKO cochleas. P-value < 5e-4 indicated by ****. Scale bar = 100µm

Figure 6:

Figure 6: *Mybl2* is expressed and regulates proliferation in the progenitor niche in the

E14.5 cochlea.

(A) Ki67, a mitotic marker immunolabels proliferating cells in the E14.5 cochlea. (B) *Mybl2*

transcripts were expressed in the same region as the progenitor niche. (C) Littermate control

cochlea immunolabeled for Ki67 on E14.5. (D) Ki67 labeling was decreased in *Sox2Cre^{ER}*;

Mybl2 cKO cochleas. Scale bar = 100μm

Figure 7:

Figure 7: *Mybl2* is important for mature patterning of the sensory epithelium.

A) MYO6 immunolabels the single row of inner hair cells and three rows of outer hair cells in

whole mount control cochlea on E18.5. (A') Optical cross-section of a control cochlea. (B)

SOX2 immunolabels the sensory epithelium, or the support cells in whole mount control cochlea

on E18.5. (B') Optical cross-section of MYO6 and SOX2 labeling. (C) $Sox2Cre^{ER}$; $Mybl2$ cKOs

on E18.5 show ectopic inner hair cells in an additional row, labeled by MYO6. (C') Optical

cross-section of C. (D) SOX2 staining in $Sox2Cre^{ER}$; $Mybl2$ cKOs reveals an expanded sensory

domain with increased SOX2 levels on E18.5. (D') Optical cross-section of D with MYO6 and

SOX2 immunolabeling. Arrowhead shows medial expansion of SOX2-positive cells. (E-F)

Quantification of SOX2 area and SOX2 intensity in control and $Sox2Cre^{ER}$; $Mybl2$ cKO cochleas

on E18.5 in base, mid, and apex. (Control N = 12 cochleas, $Mybl2$ cKO N = 14 cochleas, base n

= 69 optical sections, mid n = 63 optical sections, apex n = 72 optical sections). Two-tailed

Students t-test performed for statistical significance. (E) SOX2 area quantification (base p-value

= 7.8e-9, mid p-value = 3.58e-7, apex p-value = 1.44e-4) (F) SOX2 intensity quantification (base

p-value = 2.93e-8, mid p-value = 3.19e-6, apex p-value = 1.83e-3. (G) Quantification of total

ectopic inner hair cells in 'no Cre' control cochlea, $Sox2Cre^{ER(+/-)}$ control cochlea, and

Sox2Cre^{ER}; Mybl2 cKO cochleas. Tukeys test performed comparing *Sox2Cre^{ER}; Mybl2* cKO (p-

value = 6e-11) and *Sox2Cre^{ER(+/-)}* control (p-value = 2.04e-4) to 'no Cre' control cochlea and

Sox2Cre^{ER}; Mybl2 cKO (p-value = 2.1e-4) to *Sox2Cre^{ER(+/-)}* control. ('no Cre' Control N = 12

cochleas, *Mybl2* cKO N = 14 cochleas, *Sox2Cre^{ER(+/-)}* control N = 9 cochleas. Bon Ferroni

correction factor was applied for multiple comparisons for determining significance. P-value <

0.001 indicated by ***, p-value < 5e-4 indicated by ****. Scale bar = 50μm

Figure 8:

Figure 8: Model for Wnt mediating dual roles in proliferation and patterning via

regulation of *Mybl2* in the cochlea. Wnt influences proliferation and patterning of the medial

compartment via regulation of *Mybl2*. MYBL2 inhibits JAG1 expression to specify the IS and

MS domains. *Mybl2* cKO results in increase in the size of the sensory domain.

Supplemental Figures:

Supplemental Figure 1:

Supplemental Figure 1: JAG1 is regulated by the Wnt signaling pathway.

(A) TDT expression upon *Emx2Cre* mediated recombination on E12.5. (B, C) Control cochlea

shows JAG1 expression on the medial edge, while early loss of *β-cat* resulted in a decrease in

JAG1 expression on E12.5. (D) Quantification of total JAG1 intensity in control and *Emx2Cre;*

β-cat cKO cochleas on E12.5. (Control N = 8 cochlea, n = 12 sections, *β-cat* cKO N = 8

cochleas, n = 12 sections, Students two-tailed t-test p-value = 1.04e-7). Scale bar = 100µm

Supplemental Figure 2:

855   **Supplemental Figure 2: *Isl1Cre* mediated recombination on E14.5.**

856   TDT expression in the mid turn of the E14.5 cochlea in *Isl1Cre*$^{(+/-)}$*;Tdt* mice. Scale bar = 100µm

857