Title: Algal Exudates Promote Conjugation in Marine Roseobacters

Authors: Yemima Duchin Rapp, Valeria Lipsman, Lilach Yuda, Ilya V Kublanov, Dor
Matsliyah and Einat Segev*

Affiliations:
Department of Plant and Environmental Sciences, Weizmann Institute of Science; Rehovot,
7610001, Israel.
*Corresponding author. Email: Einat.Segev@weizmann.ac.il

Abstract

Horizontal gene transfer (HGT) is a pivotal mechanism driving bacterial evolution, conferring
adaptability within dynamic marine ecosystems. Among HGT mechanisms, conjugation
mediated by type IV secretion systems (T4SSs) plays a central role in the ecological success
of marine bacteria. However, the conditions promoting conjugation events in the marine
environment are not well understood. Roseobacters, abundant marine bacteria commonly
associated with algae, possess a multitude of T4SSs. Many Roseobacters are heterotrophic
bacteria that rely on algal secreted compounds to support their growth. These compounds
attract bacteria, facilitating colonization and attachment to algal cells. Algae and their
metabolites bring bacteria into close proximity, potentially promoting bacterial HGT.
Investigation across various Roseobacters revealed that algal exudates indeed enhance
plasmid transfer through conjugation. While algal exudates do not influence the transcription
of bacterial conjugative machinery genes, they promote bacterial attachment, potentially
stabilizing proximity and facilitating HGT. Notably, under conditions where attachment is less
advantageous, the impact of algal exudates on conjugation is reduced. These findings suggest
that algae enhance bacterial conjugation primarily by fostering attachment and highlight the
importance of studying bacterial HGT within the context of algal-bacterial interactions.

Introduction
Horizontal gene transfer (HGT), a key process in bacterial evolution, is the transfer of genetic
material between a donor to an unrelated recipient. Bacteria, while maintaining small size
genomes[1], display extraordinary genetic variations that enable them extreme flexibility and
adaptability[1,2]. The ability of bacteria to obtain fragments of DNA in one single HGT event
supports this flexibility[2]. HGT allows bacteria to adapt to environmental changes by acquiring
new metabolic capabilities that facilitate various abilities such as symbiosis[3], antibiotic
resistance[4], metabolic activity[5] and pathogenicity[6] thereby enabling bacteria to inhabit new
and dynamic niches.

Horizontal transfer of genetic material is achieved by several main mechanisms, including 1) Transformation, which is the uptake of extracellular DNA[7], 2) Transduction, which is bacteriophage-mediated transfer[7], 3) Gene transfer agents (GTAs), that are bacteriophage-like particles that carry random pieces of the producing bacterial genome[8], and 4) Conjugation, which is the transfer of a plasmid between bacterial cells through direct cell-to-cell contact[7-9]. Conjugation typically occurs through the type IV secretion system (T4SS). T4SS is a versatile system found in both Gram-negative and Gram-positive bacteria. The T4SS enables the delivery of proteins and DNA between different bacterial strains, species, and even from bacteria to eukaryotic cells[10]. It is involved in a verity of processes such as toxin delivery, DNA release, DNA uptake, effector molecule translocation and conjugation[11]. The T4SS also facilitates cell-to-cell contact and biofilm formation between bacteria. In turn, cell-to-cell contact and a sessile lifestyle in a biofilm can improve the efficiency of the conjugative transfer[12-20].

HGT, and specifically conjugation that was demonstrated between Roseobacters[6,21-23], has been suggested as a central reason for the ecological success of Roseobacters[22]. These bacteria exhibit diverse metabolic capabilities and a wide range of symbiotic lifestyles[24]. Many Roseobacters engage in various interactions with algal hosts[25-30]. Algae exude various metabolites that support bacterial growth in the nutrient-poor oligotrophic ocean[24,25,31]. Thus, marine bacteria can secure a nutrient flux through proximity to an algal host. Algal exudates were shown to influence bacterial physiology[24-26,31,32] and shape algal-bacterial interactions[25,33]. In the vicinity of algal hosts, bacteria experience spatial proximity to one another, with certain bacteria attaching to the surface of the algal cells[25,32]. Since bacterial proximity, cell-to-cell contact, and attachment can promote HGT[13,21,34,35], algal exudates may promote attachment and consequently bacterial HGT.

Here, we sought to explore Roseobacter conjugation in the ecological context of an algal host. Therefore, our study employed Roseobacter species that were identified in environmental samples of algae[25,36]. As a model algal host, we used the unicellular alga *Emiliania huxleyi* (also termed G*ephyrocapsa huxleyi*)[37], which is widespread in modern oceans and was shown to harbor a rich community of bacteria, including Roseobacters[25,36,38]. First, we tested the ability of various Roseobacters to successfully conduct conjugation. Next, we examined the impact of algal exudates on bacterial conjugation. To understand the underlying mechanism, we monitored the expression of T4SS-encoding genes and bacterial attachment capabilities following exposure to algal exudates. Our findings demonstrate improved bacterial conjugation efficiency following exposure to algal exudates. While T4SS gene expression did

not increase in response to algal exudates, bacterial attachment capabilities did improve.

Conducting conjugation assays under conditions in which attachment is not beneficial,

resulted in a reduced impact of the algal exudates. Our data suggest that algal exudates

enhance bacterial conjugation primarily by promoting bacterial attachment.

Materials & Methods

Bacterial strains and growth conditions

The bacterial strains *Phaeobacter inhibens* DSM 17395 and *Dinoroseobacter shibae* DFL-12

were purchased from the German Collection of Microorganism and Cell Cultures (DSMZ,

Braunschweig, Germany) (Table S1). *P. inhibens* P72 was kindly provided by Prof. Juan Barja

from University of Santiago de Compostela, Spain, and Dr. Jörn Petersen from the Leibniz

Institute, DSMZ, Germany (Table S1). The bacterial strains of *Phaeobacter inhibens* DSM

17395 with a kanamycin-resistance cassette on its chromosome (*P. inhibens* DSM 17395[chr-

kana]), *Marinovum algicola* DG898 DSM 27768 with a gentamicin-resistance cassette on its

chromosome (*M. algicola* DG898 [chr-gent]), *Dinoroseobacter shibae* DFL-12 with a gentamicin-

resistance cassette on its native 126 kb plasmid (*D. shibae* DFL-12 [p126-gent]), *Dinoroseobacter*

shibae DFL-12 with a gentamicin-resistance cassette on its chromosome (*D. shibae* DFL-12

[chr-gent]), *P. inhibens* P72 with a kanamycin-resistance cassette on its native 57 kb plasmid (*P.*

inhibens P72 [p57-kana]), and *P. inhibens* P72 with a kanamycin-resistance cassette on its

chromosome (*P. inhibens* P72 [chr-kana]) were kindly provided by Dr. Jörn Petersen from the

Leibniz Institute, DSMZ, Germany (Table. S1).

D. shibae cultures were plated on ½ marine broth 2216 (MB) (Difco, USA) agar plates (MB,

18.7 g/L; agar, 16 g/L) with 30 µg/ml gentamicin antibiotic. *M. algicola* cultures were plated on

½ YTSS agar plates (yeast extract, 2 g/L; trypton, 1.25 g/L; sea salts, 20 g/L; agar, 16 g/L, all

purchased from Sigma-Aldrich) with 30 µg/ml gentamicin antibiotic. *P. inhibens* DSM 17395

cultures were plated on ½ YTSS agar plates with 30 µg/ml gentamicin or 150 µg/ml kanamycin

antibiotic. *P. inhibens* P72 was plated on ½ YTSS agar plates with 150 µg/ml kanamycin

antibiotic. Transconjugants of *D. shibae* were plated on ½ MB agar plates with 30 µg/ml

gentamicin and 150 µg/ml kanamycin antibiotics. Transconjugants of *P. inhibens* DSM 17395,

P. inhibens P72 and *M. algicola* were plated on ½ YTSS agar plates with 30µg/ml gentamicin

and 150 µg/ml kanamycin antibiotics.

Cultures of algae and bacteria were grown in artificial seawater (ASW) prepared according to

Goyet and Poisson[39]. ASW contained mineral salts (NaCl, 409.41 mM; Na_2SO_4, 28.22 mM;

KCl, 9.08 mM; KBr, 0.82 mM; NaF, 0.07 mM; Na_2CO_3, 0.20 mM; $NaHCO_3$, 2 mM; $MgCl_2$,

50.66 mM; $SrCl_2$, 0.09 mM), L1 trace elements ($FeCl_3 \cdot 6H_2O$, 3.15 mg/L; $Na_2EDTA \cdot 2H_2O$,

4.36 mg/L; $CuSO_4 \cdot 5H_2O$, 9.8 µg/L; $Na_2MoO_4 \cdot 2H_2O$, 6.3 µg/L; $ZnSO_4 \cdot 7H_2O$, 22 µg/L;

$CoCl_2 \cdot 6H_2O$, 10 µg/L; $MnCl_2 \cdot 4H_2O$, 180 µg/L) and L1 nutrients ($NaNO_3$, 882 µM; NaH_2PO_4,

36.22 µM). The components were dissolved in Milli-Q water (IQ 7003; Merck, Darmstadt,

Germany) and the pH adjusted to 8 with HCl. Stock solutions of L1 trace elements and L1

nutrients were purchased from the Bigelow Laboratory for Ocean Sciences (Boothbay, ME,

USA).

Cultures of *P. inhibens* DSM 17395, *P. inhibens* P72, and *M. algicola* were grown in ASW

medium supplemented with additional carbon (glucose, 5.5 mM, Sigma Aldrich), nitrogen

(NH_4Cl, 5 mM, Sigma Aldrich), sulfur (Na_2SO_4, 33 mM, Merck), and f/2 vitamins (thiamine HCl,

100 µg/L; biotin, 0.5 µg/L; vitamin B_{12}, 0.5 µg/L, Bigelow Laboratory for Ocean Sciences).

D. shibae cultures were cultivated with minor modifications including 5.5 mM succinate as a

carbon source (Sigma Aldrich) and different vitamins mix (adjusted from Gonzalez *et al.,*[40]

Thiamine HCl, 0.148 µM/L; biotin, 0.082 µM/L; Pyridoxine HCL, 0.486 µM/L; Nicotinic acid,

0.406 µM/L; Pantothenic acid, 0.228 µM/L; Cyanocobalamin, 0.0006 µM/L; pABA, 0.364

µM/L). This medium, ASW supplemented with vitamins and nutrients, is referred to as CNS.

Bacteria were cultivated at 30 °C under constant shaking at 130 rpm.

Algal strain, growth conditions and monitoring

The axenic algal strain of *Emiliania huxleyi* CCMP3266 (also termed G*ephyrocapsa huxleyi*[37])

was purchased from the National Center for Marine Algae and Microbiota (Bigelow Laboratory

for Ocean Sciences, Maine, USA). Algae were grown in ASW (as described above)

supplemented with f/2 vitamins. Algae were grown in standing cultures in a growth room at 18

°C under a light/dark cycle of 16/8 h. Illumination intensity during the light period was 150

mmoles/m^2/s. Absence of bacteria in axenic algal cultures was monitored periodically both by

plating on ½ YTSS plates and under the microscope.

Cultures of *E. huxleyi* were grown as follows; algal cells concentrations from a late exponential

phase culture were counted by a CellStream CS-100496 flow cytometer (Merck, Darmstadt,

Germany) using 561 nm excitation and 702 nm emission. Algal cells were gated according to

event size and fluorescence intensity. An inoculum of 10^5 algal cells was introduced into 100

ml of ASW and incubated as described above. Algal growth in cultures was monitored by a

CellStream flow cytometer as described. For each biological replicate 50,000 events were

recorded.

Algal filtrates

To obtain algal filtrates, algal cultures were grown as described above for 12 days (Fig. S6).

An initial washing of the filters was conducted by passing 100 ml ASW medium through the

filter (Thermo scientific, 0.22 µm PES, 250ml). Then, algal cultures were vacuum filtered, and

filtrates pH was adjusted to pH 8 (Thermo Scientific Eutech pH 700 Meter) with HCl, for

identical pH levels across both control and treatment. This step was followed by a second
filtration since the titration procedure was conducted in non-sterile conditions. The ASW
medium used as control was subjected to the same procedure. To validate that vacuum
filtration did not rupture the algal cells, the filters were suspended in ASW and the algal
population was assessed using flow cytometry.
154

155 **Monitoring bacterial growth**
156 Bacterial cultures were monitored daily by OD_{600} measurements using plastic cuvettes in a
157 spectrophotometer (Ultrospec 2100 pro, Biochrom).
158

Conjugation assay
D. shibae DFL-12 [p126-gent], *P. inhibens* P72 [p57-kana]*, P. inhibens* DSM 17395 [p262-gent], *P. inhibens*
DSM 17395 [p78-gent] and *P. inhibens* DSM 17395 [p65-gent] with plasmids carrying antibiotic
resistance genes were used as donor strains for conjugation. *P. inhibens* DSM 17395[chr-gent],
P. inhibens DSM 17395[chr-kana]*, P. inhibens* P72 [chr-kana], *M. algicola* DG898 [chr-gent], and *D. shibae*
DFL-12 [chr-gent] with chromosomes carrying antibiotic resistance genes were used as recipient
strains for conjugation (Table S2). The conjugation assay involved several stages: first,
preparing pre-cultures of pure donors and recipients; then, mating in liquid or agar plates; and
finally selection on selective agar plates.
Pre-cultures: Bacteria were inoculated from a frozen stock (-80 °C) onto selective agar plates
and incubated 2-4 days at 30 °C. Then, bacteria were transferred from the plates to ASW
medium and adjusted to an OD_{600} of 0.1. Next, bacteria were diluted to an OD_{600} of 0.001 in
the medium previously specified for each strain. Pre-cultures were grown to an OD_{600} of 0.3,
followed by centrifugation of 10 min at 3,200 g. Bacteria were washed with ASW and
centrifuged again for 10 min at 3,200 g. Samples were adjusted to an OD_{600} of 25 using ASW.
Mating: For conjugation assay in liquid media (Fig. 1, Fig. 2), the treatment consisted of 75%
fresh algal filtrates diluted in 25% ASW (v/v, ratio of 3:1). Both control (ASW) and treated
cultures were supplemented with L1 trace elements, L1 nutrients, 5.5 mM glucose, vitamin
mix, 33 mM Na_2SO_4, and 5 mM NH_4Cl. The final control and treatment medium are referred
to as CNS, with the treatment containing 75% volume of algal filtrates and 25% volume of
ASW (v/v), in contrast to the control which consists solely of ASW. To each 14 ml tube
(Corning Falcon Polypropylene round bottom tube), 2.4 ml of the medium, 100 µl of the donor
strain, and 20 µl of the recipient strain were added. The tubes were mixed and incubated at
30 °C for 24 h without shaking.
For mating on agar plates (Fig. 6), agar (16 g) was added to 250 ml of ASW medium (w/v) and
autoclaved. After cooling, 750 ml of algal filtrates or ASW were added as treatment or control
respectively (v/v). Both control and treated cultures were supplemented with L1 trace

elements, L1 nutrients, vitamin mix, Glucose, Na_2SO_4, and NH_4Cl (consisting of the CNS

medium). The mediums were mixed and poured onto the plates. Then, 20 µl of donor cells

and 4 µl of recipient cells were mixed and plated on the agar plates, followed by 24 h incubation

at 30 °C. For mating on MB agar plates (Fig. S3), 20 µl of donor cells and 4 µl of recipient cells

were mixed and plated on MB agar plates, followed by 24 h incubation at 30 °C.

Selection and quantification: for conjugation in liquid media, each tube was plated in serial

dilutions in two technical replicates on three selective plates: one for the donor, one for the

recipient and one for the transconjugants. For conjugation on agar plates, bacteria were

scraped from the plate, resuspended in 150 µl of ASW, and plated in serial dilutions as

described for the conjugation assay in liquid. Plates were incubated for 48-96 h at 30 °C.

Colonies were counted and quantified. Importantly, transconjugants can grow on selective

plates containing a single antibiotic, used for quantifying the donors and recipients, as they

possess resistance to both antibiotics. However, our data indicated that there were 2-5 orders

of magnitude fewer transconjugants compared to donors and recipients. Therefore, the growth

of transconjugants on single-antibiotic plates is negligible in comparison to cell numbers of

donors or recipients.

Validation: From each donor-recipient conjugation pair, seven transconjugant colonies were

re-streaked on double-antibiotics plates. After three rounds of re-streaking, single

transconjugant colonies were subjected to DNA extraction using Wizard Genomic DNA

Purification Kit (Promega), and the DNA was used for PCR amplification using the PCRBIO

HS Taq Mix Red polymerase. For each transconjugant, three sets of primers were designed

for validation: a primer set specific for the donor, a primer set specific for the recipient and

primer set specific for the transferred plasmid (Table S3, Table S4).

Quantitative Real Time-PCR (qRT-PCR)

Pre-cultures of *P. inhibens* P72 [p57-kana] and *D. shibae* DFL-12 [p126-gent] bacteria were grown as

previously described. At OD_{600} of 0.3, cells were harvested by centrifugation at 3,200 g for 10

min at room-temperature and transferred into ASW (control) and algal filtrates (treatment).

The treatment consisted of 75% fresh algal filtrates diluted in 25% of ASW (v/v). Both control

and treated cultures were supplemented with L1 trace elements, L1 nutrients, vitamin mix,

33 mM Na_2SO_4, and 5 mM NH_4Cl. The final control and treatment medium is referred to as

CNS, with the treatment containing 75% volume of algal filtrates and 25% volume of ASW

(v/v), in contrast to the control which consists solely of ASW. *P. inhibens* P72 [p57-kana] cultures

were additionally supplemented with 5.5 mM Glucose, and *D. shibae* DFL-12 [p126-gent] cultures

were supplemented with 5.5 mM succinate. After 30 min of incubation at 30 °C with shaking

at 130 rpm, the cells were centrifuged at 3,200 g for 10 min at 4 °C. Cells were transferred

into 450 µL of RLT buffer containing 1% β-mercapto-ethanol and kept at -80 °C until RNA

extraction. RNA was extracted using the Isolate II RNA mini kit (Meridian Bioscience, London,
UK) according to the manufacturer instructions. Cells were ruptured by adding low Binding
Silica Grinding Beads (100 μm) (SPEX, Metuchen, Netherland) to the thawed sample and
were then beaten for 5 min at 30 mHz. For further removal of genomic DNA, RNA samples
were treated with 2 μL Turbo DNAse (ThermoFisher) in a 60 μL reaction volume. RNA samples
were cleaned and concentrated using RNA Clean & Concentrator TM-5 kit (Zymo Research,
Irvine, CA, USA) according to the manufacturer instructions. Equal concentrations of RNA
were utilized for cDNA synthesis using Superscript IV (ThermoFisher), according to
manufacturer instructions. qRT-PCR was conducted in 384-well plates using the SensiFAST
SYBR Lo-ROX Kit (Meridian Bioscience) in a QuantStudio 5 (384-well plate) qRT-PCR cycler
(Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA). The qRT-PCR program ran according to enzyme
requirements for 40 cycles. Primers are listed in table S5. Primer efficiencies were calculated
through standard curves using the QuantStudio 5 software. Gene expression ratios were
analyzed as previously shown[41], by geometric averaging of the housekeeping genes *gyrA*,
and *recA*. To validate the absence of genomic DNA contamination, the same procedure and
program were applied on RNA samples that were not reverse transcribed.
239

Reporter strains
The T4SS reporter strains were constructed by cloning the *virB* promoter upstream of a gene
encoding the super-folded green fluorescent protein (sfGFP) in the pBBR1MCS-5 vector[42]
(kindly provided by Prof. Kenneth M. Peterson, Louisiana State University Medical Center,
USA) (Table 6).
Construction of *P. inhibens* P72 reporter strains: The *virB* promoter from the 184 kb
plasmid was amplified using primers 987 and 988 (Table S4). The *virB* promoter from the 57
kb plasmid was amplified using primers 989 and 990 (Table S4). The amplified fragments
were cloned separately into the pYDR1 vector (Table S6) upstream of a sfGFP encoding gene,
using restriction-free cloning[43,44]. The resulting plasmids, pYDR3 for the 184 kb plasmid and
pYDR10 for the 57 kb plasmid (Table S6) were introduced into competent *P. inhibens* P72
cells by electroporation. Preparations of competent cells was performed as previously
described[45], with slight modifications. Shortly, cells were grown to an OD_{600} of 0.7 in ½ YTS
with 40 g/L of sea salts (also termed full salts medium). Bacteria were washed 3 times using
10% (v/v) ice-cold glycerol, centrifuged each time for 5 min at 4 °C and 5,500 g. The competent
cells were subsequently adjusted to an OD_{600} of 20 using 10% (v/v) ice-cold glycerol, frozen
in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80°C. Electroporation was conducted with 300 μl aliquot of
electrocompetent cells, using 10 μg of DNA in a 2 mm cuvette at 2.5 V, followed by 4 h
recovery in ½ YTS with full salts. The transformed cells were then plated on ½ YTSS plates
containing 50 μg/ml gentamicin. Resistant colonies were re-streaked three times. Sequencing

and PCR validation of the reporter strains was conducted using primers 132 and 255 (Table
S5).

Construction of *D. shibae* reporter strains: The *virB* promoter from the 191 kb plasmid was
amplified using primers 974 and 975 (Table S4). The *virB* promoter from the 126 kb plasmid
was amplified using primers 972 and 973 (Table S4). The amplified fragments were separately
cloned into pYDR1 vector (Table S6) upstream of a gene encoding the sfGFP protein, using
restriction-free cloning[43,44]. The resulting plasmids, pYDR6 for the 191 kb plasmid and pYDR7
for the 126 kb plasmid (Table S6) were conjugated into *D. shibae* as previously described[45],
with slight modifications. Shortly, the constructs were introduced into competent *E. coli* ST18
DSM 22074, carrying a *hemA* deletion that results in defective tetrapyrrole biosynthesis
(purchased from DSMZ). Hence, the mutant strain requires addition of 5-aminolevulinic acid
to grow. The donor *E. coli* ST18 containing the pYDR6 or pYDR7 plasmids was mated with
the recipient *D. shibae.* Cultures of *D. shibae* recipients were cultivated for 24 h at 30 °C to an
OD_{600} of 0.4 in MB medium. The donor *E. coli* ST18 was grown in LB medium supplemented
with 50 µg/ml 5-aminolevulinic acid (Sigma-Aldrich) at 37 °C to an OD_{600} of 0.3. Both cultures
were centrifuged, concentrated 25-fold, and mixed in a donor-to-recipient ratio of 10:1. Then,
50 µl drops of the mixed cultures were plated on ½ MB plates containing 50 µg/ml 5-
aminolevulinic acid, and incubated for 24 h at 30 °C. Cells were scraped from the plate,
resuspend in 100 µl of MB, and plated on ½ MB plates containing 80 µg/ml gentamicin.
Colonies of transconjugants were re-streaked three times. Sequencing and PCR validation of
the reporter strains was conducted using primers 132 and 255 (Table S5).

Reporter strain assay: Cultures of the reporter strains were adjusted to an OD_{600} of 0.001
and grown in ASW (control) and algal filtrates (treatment). The treatment consisted of 75%
fresh algal filtrates diluted in 25% of ASW (v/v). Both control and treatment cultures were
supplemented with L1 trace elements, L1 nutrients, vitamin mix, 33 mM Na_2SO_4, and 5 mM
NH_4Cl. *P. inhibens* P72 cultures were additionally supplemented with 5.5 mM Glucose, and
D. shibae cultures were supplemented with 5.5 mM succinate. The final control and treatment
medium is referred to as CNS, with the treatment containing 75% volume of algal filtrates and
25% volume of ASW (v/v), in contrast to the control which consists solely of ASW. Cultures
were grown at 30 °C with shaking at 130 rpm and harvested at an OD_{600} of 0.3 for GFP
visualization. Bacterial populations were monitored using a Merck CellStream flow cytometer,
excited at 561 nm, collected at 615–789 nm. GFP was evaluated by determining a GFP
fluorescence threshold (according to autofluorescence of control cultures) and calculating the
percentage of bacterial cells with fluorescence intensity higher than the threshold. For each
biological replicate 100,000 events were recorded. Results are presented as percentages of
the fluorescent bacteria ratio. Calculation involved dividing each control/treated sample by the
average value of the respective control/treated empty vector (EV). For example, the value for

each biological replicate of the 184 kb reporter strain control was divided by the average value

of biological samples from the EV control. Similarly, the value for each biological replicate of

the 184 kb reporter strain supplemented with algal filtrates was divided by the average value

of biological samples from the EV control supplemented with algal filtrates.

Genetic manipulation of Roseobacter bacteria

To insert an antibiotic resistance gene into the 65 kb plasmid of *P. inhibens* DSM 17395, the

mutant strain ES172 (Table S1) was generated by inserting a kanamycin-resistant gene in an

intergenic region between gene PGA1_RS20320 and gene PGA1_RS19930. Regions of

approximately 1000 bp upstream and downstream of the intergenic region were PCR-

amplified using primers 1169, 1170, 1171 and 1172, respectively (Table S4). The amplified

fragments were assembled and cloned into the pYDR8 vector (Table S6) using restriction-free

cloning[43,44]. The resulting plasmid (pYDR9, Table S6) was introduced into competent *P.*

inhibens by electroporation. Preparations of competent cells was performed as previously

described[45], with slight modifications. Shortly, cells were grown to an OD_{600} of 0.7 in ½ YTS

with 40 g/L of sea salts (also termed full salts medium). Bacteria were washed 3 times using

10% (v/v) ice-cold glycerol, centrifuged each time for 5 min at 4 °C and 5,500 g. The competent

cells were subsequently adjusted to an OD_{600} of 20 using 10% (v/v) ice-cold glycerol, frozen

in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80°C. Electroporation was conducted with 300 µl aliquot of

electrocompetent cells, using 10 µg of DNA in a 2 mm cuvette at 2.5 V, followed by 4 h

recovery in ½ YTS with full salts. The transformed cells were then plated on ½ YTSS plates

containing 150 µg/ml kanamycin, and resistant colonies were validated by PCR and DNA

sequencing.

Attachment assay

Bacterial cultures were diluted to an optical density of 0.01 at 600 nm (OD_{600}) using 150 µl of

75% fresh algal filtrates diluted in 25% of ASW (v/v) for treatment and ASW for control. Both

control and treated cultures were supplemented with L1 trace elements, L1 nutrients, vitamin

mix, 33 mM Na_2SO_4, and 5 mM NH_4Cl and 5.5 mM Glucose. The final control and treatment

medium is referred to as CNS, with the treatment containing 75% volume of algal filtrates and

25% volume of ASW (v/v), in contrast to the control which consists solely of ASW. The diluted

cultures were dispensed into 96-well flat-bottom plates to initiate the assay. To minimize

evaporation during incubation, the plates were securely covered with UV-treated Parafilm. The

bacterial cultures were incubated at 30 °C for 48 hours. The attachment assay was carried out

following established protocols[46,47]. After incubation, the plates were subjected to the following

steps: The culture medium was carefully aspirated, and the wells were rinsed twice with 1×

phosphate-buffered saline (PBS, obtained from Sartorius, Beit HaEmek, Israel). This process

aimed to remove non-adherent bacterial cells. The plates were dried by exposing them to a

temperature of 55 °C for 20 minutes. The dried plates were submerged in a solution of 0.1%

crystal violet. The plates were then incubated at room temperature for 10 minutes to allow the

crystal violet to interact with the attached cells. The staining solution was discarded, and the

plates were washed twice with PBS to remove excess crystal violet. The plates were left to

dry overnight at room temperature to ensure complete fixation of the stained cells. To quantify

the attached cells, the remaining crystal violet was extracted by adding 200 µL of 33% acetic

acid to each well. The plates were incubated at room temperature for 15 minutes to facilitate

extraction. Absorbance measurements were conducted at a wavelength of 595 nm using the

TECAN microplate reader. The absorbance values were normalized to a blank consisting of

the same growth medium, without bacterial cells, that was subjected to the same protocol.

Generating the *Roseobacteraceae* T4SS dataset

All *Roseobacteraceae* genomes (of any quality) available in the Integrated Microbial Genomes

& Microbiomes (IMG/M) database[48] were scanned for the presence of the *virB8* gene using

the IMG "Function search" tool and K03202 KEGG (Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and

Genomes[49]) Orthology (KO) designation for VirB8. The *virB8* genes (K03203) were found in

320 out of 898 *Roseobacteraceae* genomes. The respective scaffolds were scanned for the

presence of other *vir* genes (*virB1-B7, virB9-B11* and *virD4*) and were assessed as

representing either plasmids or chromosomes (Table S7). Within these 320 genomes a total

of 564 *virB8* genes were located on 553 scaffolds. Further assignment of the scaffolds to

plasmids or chromosomes was conducted using the presence or absence of plasmid

operational taxonomic unit (pOTU) designation that was assigned during genome annotation

in IMG and according to scaffold size. Scaffolds with no operational taxonomic unit designation

larger than 300 kb were marked as chromosomes. Scaffolds with no operational taxonomic

unit designation and smaller than 300 kb were marked as unknowns. Of note, the T4SS is an

extremely versatile apparatus. Conjugative T4SSs typically consist of the archetypal

VirB/VirD4 components (encoded by *virB1-11* together with *virD4*). Yet, the precise number of

needed genes and their essentiality for a functional T4SS remain poorly understood,

particularly in non-model bacteria[50-53]. Consequently, due to the difficulty in predicting which

T4SS is conjugative, we set stringent criteria and defined a potentially functional conjugative

T4SS (*i.e.,* complete T4SS) as one that includes all the *vir* genes that comprise the T4SSs

located on the conjugative plasmids of *D. shibae* and *P. inhibens* P72. Accordingly, T4SSs

homologous to Vir but possessing other KO assignments (e.g., Tra), that were not

experimentally shown to be conjugative in Roseobacters, were not included in this analysis.

In total, our analysis identified 387 out of the 553 scaffolds as carrying a complete set of T4SS

genes. However, it is possible that partially complete T4SSs in our analysis or homologous
T4SSs (e.g., Tra) may be functional as well[54].

Results

Establishing a conjugation assay using different Roseobacters

To monitor conjugation among various Roseobacters, we examined the ability of four different
bacterial species - *Phaeobacter inhibens* P72, *Dinoroseobacter shibae, Marinovum algicola,*
and *Phaeobacter inhibens* DSM 17395 - to act as donors and recipients in conjugation assays.
These strains have been widely studied by us[25,55] and by others[22,56-61] as representative
Roseobacters that specializes in interactions with microalgae. To establish a conjugation
assay that can be followed in the lab, each strain was labeled with an antibiotic resistance
gene on either its native plasmid or chromosome, allowing us to track the different participants
using selection on antibiotics (Fig. 1A).

First, the *P. inhibens* P72 strain, carrying two complete T4SSs on both its 184 kb and 57 kb
native plasmids (Fig. S1), was assessed as a donor. For the conjugation assays, the 57 kb
plasmid was marked with a kanamycin-resistance gene and mated with three recipient strains:
P. inhibens DSM 17395, *D. shibae*, and *M. algicola*, which were marked with a gentamicin-
resistance gene on their chromosome (Fig. 1B). Second, the *D. shibae* strain, carrying two
complete T4SSs on both its 126 kb and 191 kb native plasmids (Fig. S1), was evaluated as a
donor. For the conjugation assays, the 126 kb plasmid was marked with a gentamicin-
resistance gene and mated with two recipient strains: *P. inhibens* P72 and *P. inhibens* DSM
17395, both marked with a kanamycin-resistance gene on their chromosome (Fig. 1C).

Following mating and selection, all assays resulted in colonies that exhibit resistance towards
both kanamycin and gentamicin. To confirm that the double-resistance colonies were indeed
transconjugants, several colonies were randomly chosen from each donor-recipient pair and
the presence of the acquired plasmid, and the recipient chromosome was validated via PCR
reactions. All tested transconjugants were confirmed to be the recipient strains that acquired
the 57 kb plasmid (Fig. S2). Of note, several of these donor-recipient pairs were previously
reported to execute successful conjugation under different experimental conditions[22],[23].

Finally, we evaluated the ability of *P. inhibens* DSM 17395 to act as a donor. The *P. inhibens*
DSM 17395 strain harbors three native plasmids of 65 kb, 78 kb, and 262 kb, and carries a
complete T4SS on its chromosome (Fig. S1). We used three strains in which each of the native
plasmids is marked with a kanamycin-resistance gene. The three strains were used as donors
in conjugation assays with either *D. shibae* and *M. algicola* as recipients that were marked
with a chromosomal gentamicin-resistance gene (Fig. 1D). Our results show that under our
experimental conditions *P. inhibens* DSM 17395 is not capable of transferring any of its

plasmids to recipient bacteria. To further support this result, we repeated the conjugation
assays on agar plates instead of liquid media (Fig. S3), as previous studies demonstrated
enhanced conjugation efficiency on solid surfaces like agar plates[13,62]. However, *P. inhibens*
DSM 17395 was not capable of transferring any of its plasmids to recipient bacteria during
conjugation on agar plates. As control, all experiments were accompanied by conjugation
assays with a donor-recipient pair that was previously shown to result in successful
conjugation[22]. Our data thus demonstrated that the antibiotic resistance-marked plasmids of
P. inhibens P72 and *D. shibae* can be successfully transferred via conjugation to various
Roseobacter recipients.

Fig. 1. Establishing a robust conjugation assay. A) Schematic representation of the conjugation assay. The
donor strain (light blue) carries an antibiotic resistance gene (yellow) on a native plasmid, while the recipient strain
(grey) harbors a chromosomal antibiotic resistance gene (blue). Successful plasmid transfer from the donor to the
recipient will result in transconjugants that are resistant to both antibiotics. **B-D)** Results of conjugation assays in

liquid medium performed using different donor strains. **B)** *P. inhibens* P72 [p57-kana] served as a donor strain, carrying
a kanamycin-resistance gene on its native 57 kb plasmid. The recipient strains *M. algicola* [chr-gent], *D. shibae* [chr-gent]
and *P. inhibens* DSM 17395[chr-gent], were marked with a gentamicin-resistance gene on their chromosome. **C)** *D.*
shibae [p126-gent] served as a donor strain, carrying a gentamicin-resistance gene on its native 126 kb plasmid.
Recipient strains were *P. inhibens* DSM 17395[chr-kana] and *P. inhibens* P72 [chr-kana], both marked with a kanamycin-
resistance gene on their chromosome. **D)** *P. inhibens* DSM 17395 served as a donor strain, carrying a kanamycin-
resistance gene on its native 65 kb, 78 kb or 262 kb plasmids (*P. inhibens* DSM 17395 [p65-gent], *P. inhibens* DSM
17395 [p78-gent] and *P. inhibens* DSM 17395 [p262-gent] respectively). Recipient strains were *M. algicola* [chr-gent] and *D.*
shibae [chr-gent], marked with a gentamicin-resistance gene on their chromosome. As a control, *P. inhibens* P72 [p57-]
[kana] carrying a kanamycin-resistance gene on its native 57 kb plasmid was used as a donor and mated with the
same recipients. Dots represent individual biological replicates, and lines indicate the mean values. Conjugation
efficiency was calculated by normalizing transconjugant cell numbers to donor cell numbers. DSM 17395 indicates
the *P. inhibens* DSM 17395 strain.

Algal exudates enhance bacterial conjugation

Next, we investigated whether bacterial conjugation is influenced by proximity to an algal cell.
To distinguish between proximity and the possible impact of algae as a surface for attachment,
we chose to expose bacteria to algal exudates and not to actual algae. This approach allowed
us to mimic the "chemical presence" of algae and probe its influence on conjugation between
Roseobacter bacteria. We performed conjugation assays in which bacteria were treated with
algal filtrates and compared them to control assays with untreated bacteria. Both treated and
control assays were supplemented with essential nutrients to support bacterial growth. The
conjugation assays were performed with *P. inhibens* P72 and *D. shibae* as plasmid donors to
the recipients *D. shibae, M. algicola*, and *P. inhibens* DSM 17395 (Fig. 2). Our results show
that conjugation assays that were supplemented with algal filtrates resulted in increased
conjugation efficiency compared to untreated bacteria.

Algal exudates enhance conjugation efficiency beyond the bacterial growth-promoting
effect

Algal exudates contain metabolites that are known to promote bacterial growth[24,31,63]. To
understand whether enhanced conjugation is merely the result of increased bacterial growth,
we monitored the growth of donor strains, recipient strains, and transconjugants in pure
cultures that were supplemented with algal filtrates, and compared them to untreated control
cultures (Fig. 3A). Both treated and control cultures were supplemented with essential
nutrients to support bacterial growth. Our data show that most bacteria displayed a shorter lag
phase upon treatment with algal filtrates, a phenomenon previously documented by our
laboratory[64]. Furthermore, although the assays were performed in nutrient replete conditions,
several strains exhibited higher yields upon treatment with algal exudates.

Now, we aimed to determine whether algal filtrates enhance conjugation efficiency beyond
their impact on bacterial growth dynamics. We therefore calculated the impact of algal filtrates
on the growth of donors and recipients and compared it to the impact on growth of the
transconjugants (Table 1). Our analysis demonstrate that algal exudates enhanced
transconjugants cell numbers beyond the exudate impact on both donors and recipients,
across all mated pairs. These findings suggest that algal filtrates enhance conjugative plasmid
transfer beyond the growth promoting effect.

Fig. 2. Algal exudates increase conjugation efficiency. Conjugation assays in liquid medium were performed
using either *P. inhibens* P72 ^p57-kana as a donor, marked with a kanamycin-resistance gene on its native 57 kb
plasmid, or *D. shibae* ^p126-gent as a donor, marked with a gentamicin-resistance gene on its native 126 kb plasmid.
For *P. inhibens* P72 ^p57-kana, three strains were tested as recipients: *M. algicola* ^chr-gent, *D. shibae* ^chr-gent and *P.*
inhibens DSM 17395^chr-gent, all marked with a gentamicin-resistance gene on their chromosome. For *D. shibae* ^p126-
^gent, the recipient strain was *P. inhibens* DSM 17395^chr-kana, chromosomally marked with a kanamycin-resistance
gene. Mating was performed with cultures treated under control conditions in CNS medium (orange dots) or with

algal filtrates (green dots). Conjugation efficiency was calculated by normalizing transconjugant cell numbers to donor cell numbers. The title above each graph denotes *Donor/Recipient*. Dots represents individual biological samples, and lines indicate the mean values. Statistical significance was calculated using a t-test, with p<0.05 marked as *, and p<0.01 marked as **. DSM 17395 indicates the *P. inhibens* DSM 17395 strain.

Fig. 3. Algal filtrates effect on bacterial growth. Bacterial cultures of recipients (left column), transconjugants (middle column) and donors (right column), were treated with either CNS medium as control conditions (orange dots) or with algal filtrates (green dots). Growth was evaluated by measuring the optical density using a wavelength

of 600 nm (O.D.$_{600}$). Each dot represents the average of three biological replicates. The title above the column of
transconjugants denotes *Donor/Recipient.*

Table 1. Algal filtrates enhance conjugation efficiency beyond bacterial growth promotion. The effect of
algal filtrates on the growth of donors and recipients was calculated and compared to that of the transconjugants.
Percentages were calculated by averaging colony forming units (CFU) numbers of donors, recipients and
transconjugants separately (Table S8), for each conjugation pair (Fig. 2). Then, by dividing the averaged CFU
numbers under algal filtrate treatment to the control, we determined the increase induced by the treatment in each
group. For example, *P. inhibens* P72 $^{p57-kana}$ demonstrated 78% increase in CFU numbers due to the algal filtrates.
As can be seen, the increase in in transconjugants numbers exceeded the increase observed for both donors and
recipients across all conjugation pairs.

Donor	Recipient	Algal filtrate impact on bacterial cell numbers		
		Donors	Recipients	Transconjugants
D. shibae $^{p126-gent}$	*P. inhibens* DSM 17395$^{chr-kana}$	-14%	45%	59%
P. inhibens P72 $^{p57-kana}$	*D. shibae* $^{chr-gent}$	78%	79%	142%
P. inhibens P72 $^{p57-kana}$	*M. algicola* $^{chr-gent}$	15%	-26%	625%
P. inhibens P72 $^{p57-kana}$	*P. inhibens* DSM 17395$^{chr-gent}$	61%	36%	210%

Expression of the conjugation machinery is not influenced by algal exudates

499
To understand the mechanism underlying enhanced bacterial conjugation in response to algal
exudates, we monitored the expression of the bacterial T4SS genes. The T4SS is mainly
encoded by the *virB* operon while the *virD* operon carries several additional genes necessary
for proper functioning of the T4SS[11,65]. To evaluate the impact of algal exudates on the
expression of the T4SS, we analyzed expression levels in the donor strains *P. inhibens* P72
and *D. shibae* using qRT-PCR with primers that target the T4SS central genes *virB4*, *virB8*
and *virD4*. We compared expression levels of bacterial cultures that were supplemented with
algal filtrates versus non-treated cultures. Both treated and control cultures were
supplemented with essential nutrients to support bacterial growth.
The results demonstrated that gene expression levels are similar between untreated cultures
and cultures that were supplemented with algal filtrates, for both bacterial strains. Only
expression of the *virD4* gene in *P. inhibens* P72 treated with algal filtrates showed slightly
higher expression levels than untreated cultures (Fig. 4A). Additionally, the expression levels
of *virB4*, *virB8* and *virD4* were comparable to those of the housekeeping genes, suggesting
that under experimental conditions that support conjugation, the T4SS genes are expressed
in a basal level but are not upregulated (Fig. S4).

Due to the rarity of conjugation events[66,67], we questioned whether a qRT-PCR analysis, which
averages RNA from the entire population, would possess the necessary sensitivity to detect
such infrequent incidents. Instead, we aimed to observe the process at a single-cell resolution.
Therefore, we developed reporter strains that contain a *gfp* gene under the *virB* promoter (Fig.
4B). However, analysis of these reporter strains using flow cytometry, following exposure to
algal exudates, did not reveal increased expression (Fig. 4C). Taken together, these results
suggest that algal-secreted compounds do not affect the expression of the T4SS machinery.
523

Fig. 4. Algal exudates do not influence the expression of genes encoding the T4SS. A) Relative gene
expression of *virB4*, *virB8* and *virD4* genes was assessed using qRT-PCR. RNA was extracted from bacterial
cultures of *D. shibae* [p126-gent] and *P. inhibens* P72 [p57-kana] donor strains following treatment with either CNS medium
as control conditions (orange dots) or with algal filtrates (green dots). RNA was extracted when bacterial cultures
reached OD_{600} of 0.3. Each data point represents a biological replicate, lines represent the mean values of

biological replicates. **B)** Schematic representation of the T4SS transcriptional reporter strains. The promoter of the
virB operon (blue) was fused to GFP (green), cloned into a replicative plasmid, and transformed into *D. shibae* and
P. inhibens P72. Activation of the native promoter is expected to lead to activation of the reporter construct, resulting
in the expression of GFP and emission of a fluorescent signal. **C)** Reporter strains were generated using the T4SS
promoters of the 57 kb and 184 kb native plasmids of *P. inhibens* P72, and the 126 kb and 191 kb native plasmids
of *D. shibae.* Bacteria carrying these constructs were supplemented either with CNS as a control (orange dots) or
algal exudates (green dots). Dots represent the percentage of fluorescent bacteria. For each biological sample, the
value was normalized to the average of its corresponding control (see Methods section). Each dot represents a
biological replicate, and lines represent the mean values.

Algal exudates increase bacterial attachment capabilities

In the absence of increased expression of T4SS genes upon exposure to algal exudates, the
mechanism behind the enhanced bacterial conjugation remains unclear. We hypothesized
that enhanced conjugation might be facilitated by increased bacterial adhesion. Previous
research in our laboratory has shown that algal exudates enhance the attachment of wild-type
bacteria from the *P. inhibens* DSM 17395 strain[46]. Now, we sought to investigate whether
similar effects are observed when the diverse Roseobacters in our current study are exposed
to exudates (Fig. 5). Using an attachment assay, we evaluated the adhesion capabilities of
the various donors and recipients, and tested whether algal exudates affect their adhesion.
Our results show that algal exudates significantly improve the attachment capabilities of *P.*
inhibens P72 and *P. inhibens* DSM 17395 strains. *D. shibae* and *M. algicola* in contrast, do
not appear to have attachment capabilities in our experimental conditions. Of note, in all our
conjugation assays, either the donor or recipient strain possessed attachment capabilities that
are enhanced following exposure to algal exudates. Therefore, increased attachment could
explain the enhanced conjugation efficiency.

Fig. 5. Algal exudates promote bacterial surface attachment. Bacterial donor and recipient strains were treated either with CNS as control (orange dots) or with algal filtrates (green dots). The bacterial donor strains were *P. inhibens* P72 [p57-kana] marked with a kanamycin-resistance gene on its native 57 kb plasmid and *D. shibae* [p126-gent] marked with a gentamicin-resistance gene on its native 126 kb plasmid. The recipient strains were *P. inhibens* DSM 17395[chr-gent] and *M. algicola* [chr-gent] marked with a gentamicin-resistance gene on the chromosome. Attachment was assessed by measuring the absorbance at $O.D._{595}$ of the Crystal Violet dye from bacterial cells that were strongly attached to 96-well plates following an attachment assay (see Methods section). Each sample was normalized to Crystal Violet extracted from the same medium but without bacteria. Each strain and treatment consisted of n=7 wells. Dots represent individual biological replicates, and lines indicate the mean values. Statistical significance was calculated using a t-test, with $0.0001 < p < 0.001$ marked as ***, and $p < 0.0001$ marked as ****.

Conjugation enhancement by algal exudates is reduced when conducted on solid surface

We further investigated the correlation between enhanced conjugation and heightened bacterial attachment triggered by algal exudates. To understand if the enhanced conjugation efficiency resulted from increased attachment, we conducted the conjugation assay under conditions where attachment no longer conferred an advantage. Thus, we performed conjugation assays on agar plates using a dense, thoroughly mixed inoculum of both donors and recipients. Unlike in liquid-based conjugation assays where bacteria swim and locate their conjugation partners, assays on plates facilitate immediate contact between all bacteria, thereby eliminating the advantage of attachment[13,62]. The conjugation assays on plates were conducted using *P. inhibens* P72 and *D. shibae* as plasmid donors, while recipients included *D. shibae, M. algicola*, and *P. inhibens* DSM 17395 (Fig. 6). Assays were treated with algal filtrates and compared to untreated conditions, and all assays were supplemented with essential nutrients to support bacterial growth. Our findings reveal that the majority of conjugation assays supplemented with algal filtrates exhibited conjugation efficiencies comparable to the control. Thus, when attachment no longer confers an advantage, the impact of algal exudates on bacterial conjugation is reduced. These results support the role of algal exudates in promoting bacterial attachment, thus facilitating enhanced conjugation.

Fig. 6. Algal exudates exhibit a lower impact on conjugation when performed on agar plates. Conjugation

assays on agar plates were performed using either *P. inhibens* P72 [p57-kana] as a donor, marked with a kanamycin-

resistance gene on its native 57 kb plasmid, or *D. shibae* [p126-gent] as a donor, marked with a gentamicin-resistance

gene on its native 126 kb plasmid. For *P. inhibens* P72 [p57-kana], three strains were tested as recipients: *M. algicola*

[chr-gent], *D. shibae* [chr-gent] and *P. inhibens* DSM 17395[chr-gent], all marked with a gentamicin-resistance gene on their

chromosome. For *D. shibae* [p126-gent], the recipient strain was *P. inhibens* DSM 17395[chr-kana], chromosomally marked

with a kanamycin-resistance gene. Mating was performed with cultures treated under control conditions with CNS

medium (orange dots) or with algal filtrates (green dots). Conjugation efficiency was calculated by normalizing

transconjugant cell numbers to donor cell numbers. The title above each graph denotes *Donor/Recipient*. Dots

represents individual biological samples, and lines indicate the mean values. Statistical significance was calculated

using a t-test, with $p < 0.05$ marked as *. DSM 17395 indicates the *P. inhibens* DSM 17395 strain.

Discussion

HGT and the ecological success of Roseobacters

The current study reveals that algal exudates increase bacterial conjugation, and consequently HGT, among Roseobacter bacteria. HGT has been suggested to play important roles in the resilience of marine bacteria[68] and is considered key in the ecological abundance and success of Roseobacters[69-71]. Various mechanisms for HGT have been observed in Roseobacters, including GTAs[68] and conjugation[21]. Moreover, Roseobacters have many native plasmids[70,71], up to a dozen plasmids in a single bacterium[70]. Many of these plasmids harbor T4SSs[13] that may facilitate conjugative HGT, potentially supporting rapid adaptation. Indeed, 27% of sequenced Roseobacters genomes harbor all genes needed for a functional conjugative T4SS (Fig. S5). Conjugation initiation and the successful transfer of DNA was shown to be impacted by factors such as signaling molecules, temperature, cell physiology, population density, pH, and nutrients[13,66,72]. However, in marine bacteria, little is known about the conditions required to induce HGT, particularly conjugation. Here, we show that algal secreted metabolites promote HGT in Roseobacters.

Bacterial HGT in the algal phycosphere

In marine ecosystems, algae provide bacteria with nutrients[24,31,63] and an attachment surface[25,32]. By attaching to an algal cell, bacteria can secure a steady flux of algal exudates in the algal phycosphere, which is the immediate volume surrounding the algal cell. Indeed, Roseobacter bacteria often co-occur with various algal hosts in the environment and in laboratory cultures[25,38,73-75]. As multiple bacteria can attach onto an algal host, they may engage in processes that require cell-to-cell contact, such as conjugation. Therefore, proximity and attachment onto an algal host may increase the chances for plasmid transfer events among bacteria in the algal phycosphere[13,21,34,35]. Interestingly, environmental experiments with phytoplankton-derived dissolved organic matter demonstrated attraction of bacteria harboring T4SS genes, as shown by metagenomic analysis[76]. Moreover, algal exudates support bacterial growth and metabolism[77], further enhancing bacterial density, which in turn could augment the chances of bacterial HGT.

Algal exudates increase attachment and enhance HGT

HGT mechanisms rely on proximity, with conjugation specifically requiring cell-to-cell contact[78]. Therefore, conditions that stabilize and facilitate cell-to-cell contact will enhance the opportunities for conjugation events[20]. Indeed, conjugation in biofilms was extensively studied[13]. Conjugation is enhanced in biofilms, and further stimulates biofilm development[14-19]. Specifically, it was shown that factors mediating formation and stabilization of cell-to-cell

contacts in biofilms promote T4SS mediated gene transfer[20]. Additionally, attachment to abiotic surfaces was also demonstrated to increased conjugation[79]. Recent studies have revealed that microalgae and Roseobacter bacteria create a shared algal-bacterial extracellular matrix, fostering algal-bacterial aggregation[46]. Algal exudates stimulate bacterial exopolysaccharide production, which contributes to the formation of a joint algal-bacterial extracellular matrix. Our data illustrate that algal exudates primarily enhance Roseobacter conjugation by promoting bacterial attachment. Consequently, it is plausible that algal-bacterial aggregates may facilitate enhanced conjugation, although further investigation is required to confirm this hypothesis.

The T4SS genomic location

Establishing a conjugation assay resulted in characterizing Roseobacter strains capable of serving as donors in conjugation, as well as a strain with a chromosomally encoded T4SS unable to donate a plasmid during conjugation (Fig. 1D). Various bacteria carry the T4SS-encoding genes on plasmids, chromosomes, and even on both[80,81]. While plasmid-encoded T4SSs are known to commonly mediate conjugation[82], chromosomally encoded T4SSs rarely mobilize a plasmid to a recipient strain[80]. Chromosomally encoded T4SSs are mainly involved in processes such as protein secretion, ssDNA secretion into the extracellular milieu, natural transformation, and symbiosis[54,58,81,83-89]. Of note, within sequenced Roseobacters genomes, 23% carry only plasmid-encoded T4SSs, 2% carry only chromosome-encoded T4SSs, and 1% carry T4SSs on both the chromosome and a plasmid (Fig. S5). Given the notable fraction of chromosome-encoded T4SSs in Roseobacters, the function of these secretion systems merits further exploration[58].

The algal impact on bacterial HGT and evolution

The evolution of marine heterotrophic bacteria is linked to their micro-algal hosts, as manifested by the many examples of algal-bacterial chemical exchanges that likely arose during their co-evolution[25-27,55,57,90]. The current study reveals the impact that algal exudates have on bacterial conjugation, potentially through bacterial attachment, which adds another layer to our understanding of bacterial evolution in the ocean. Algal exudates represent a distinct chemical signature of a given algal species[91], and consequently, attract phylogenetically and functionally discrete populations of bacteria[76,77,92]. By attracting specific bacterial populations, algae have the potential to affect the bacterial partners that perform genetic exchange, thus possibly influencing bacterial evolution.

Acknowledgments

We are grateful to Dr. Jörn Petersen (Leibniz Institute, DSMZ, Germany) for generously sharing many valuable bacterial strains. We thank Prof. Juan Barja (University of Santiago de Compostela, Spain) for kindly sharing the *P. inhibens* P72 bacterial strain. We thank all members of the Segev lab for insightful comments and discussions.

Author contribution

YDR and ES designed the study. YDR, VL, LY, DM, and IVK performed and analyzed experiments. YDR and ES wrote the manuscript. All authors discussed the results and contributed to the final manuscript.

Data availability

Strains and plasmids used in this study are listed in Tables S1 and S6, respectively. Engineered bacteria and plasmids are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request. Primers designed for bacterial identification and engineering and for qRT-PCR analysis, are available in Tables S4 and S5 respectively. Data used for supplementary Fig. S5 is presented in Table S7.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interest.

Funding

This study was supported by funds received from the Weizmann SAERI program granted to Y.D.R, the European Research Council (ERC StG 101075514), the Israeli Science Foundation (ISF 947/18), and the de Botton Center for Marine Science, granted to E.S.

References

1. Ochman, H., Lawrence, J.G., and Groisman, E.A. (2000). Lateral gene transfer and the nature of bacterial innovation. Nature *405*, 299-304. 10.1038/35012500.

2. Hacker, J., and Carniel, E. (2001). Ecological fitness, genomic islands and bacterial pathogenicity. A Darwinian view of the evolution of microbes. EMBO Rep *2*, 376-381. 10.1093/embo-reports/kve097.

3. Wardell, G.E., Hynes, M.F., Young, P.J., and Harrison, E. (2022). Why are rhizobial symbiosis genes mobile? Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci *377*, 20200471. 10.1098/rstb.2020.0471.

4. Mazel, D., and Davies, J. (1999). Antibiotic resistance in microbes. Cell Mol Life Sci *56*, 742-754. 10.1007/s000180050021.

5. Hackl, T., Laurenceau, R., Ankenbrand, M.J., Bliem, C., Cariani, Z., Thomas, E., Dooley, K.D., Arellano, A.A., Hogle, S.L., Berube, P., et al. (2023). Novel integrative elements and genomic plasticity in ocean ecosystems. Cell *186*, 47-62 e16. 10.1016/j.cell.2022.12.006.

6. Tomasch, J., Ringel, V., Wang, H., Freese, H.M., Bartling, P., Brinkmann, H., Vollmers, J., Jarek, M., Wagner-Dobler, I., and Petersen, J. (2022). Fatal affairs - conjugational transfer of a dinoflagellate-killing plasmid between marine Rhodobacterales. Microb Genom *8*. 10.1099/mgen.0.000787.

7. Thomas, C.M., and Nielsen, K.M. (2005). Mechanisms of, and barriers to, horizontal gene transfer
between bacteria. Nat Rev Microbiol *3*, 711-721. 10.1038/nrmicro1234.

8. von Wintersdorff, C.J., Penders, J., van Niekerk, J.M., Mills, N.D., Majumder, S., van Alphen, L.B.,
Savelkoul, P.H., and Wolffs, P.F. (2016). Dissemination of antimicrobial resistance in microbial
ecosystems through horizontal gene transfer. Front Microbiol *7*, 173. 10.3389/fmicb.2016.00173.

9. Grohmann, E., Muth, G., and Espinosa, M. (2003). Conjugative plasmid transfer in Gram-positive bacteria.
Microbiol Mol Biol Rev *67*, 277-301. 10.1128/MMBR.67.2.277-301.2003.

10. Wong, J.J., Lu, J., and Glover, J.N. (2012). Relaxosome function and conjugation regulation in F-like
plasmids - a structural biology perspective. Mol Microbiol *85*, 602-617. 10.1111/j.1365-
2958.2012.08131.x.

11. Waksman, G. (2019). From conjugation to T4S systems in Gram-negative bacteria: a mechanistic biology
perspective. EMBO Rep *20*. 10.15252/embr.201847012.

12. van Elsas, J.D., and Bailey, M.J. (2002). The ecology of transfer of mobile genetic elements. FEMS
Microbiol Ecol *42*, 187-197. 10.1111/j.1574-6941.2002.tb01008.x.

13. Stalder, T., and Top, E. (2016). Plasmid transfer in biofilms: a perspective on limitations and opportunities.
NPJ Biofilms Microbiomes *2*, 16022-. 10.1038/npjbiofilms.2016.22.

14. May, T., and Okabe, S. (2008). *Escherichia coli* harboring a natural IncF conjugative F plasmid develops
complex mature biofilms by stimulating synthesis of colanic acid and Curli. J Bacteriol *190*, 7479-7490.
10.1128/JB.00823-08.

15. Skippington, E., and Ragan, M.A. (2011). Lateral genetic transfer and the construction of genetic
exchange communities. FEMS Microbiol Rev *35*, 707-735. 10.1111/j.1574-6976.2010.00261.x.

16. Molin, S., and Tolker-Nielsen, T. (2003). Gene transfer occurs with enhanced efficiency in biofilms and
induces enhanced stabilisation of the biofilm structure. Curr Opin Biotechnol *14*, 255-261. 10.1016/s0958-
1669(03)00036-3.

17. Reisner, A., Holler, B.M., Molin, S., and Zechner, E.L. (2006). Synergistic effects in mixed *Escherichia coli*
biofilms: conjugative plasmid transfer drives biofilm expansion. J Bacteriol *188*, 3582-3588.
10.1128/JB.188.10.3582-3588.2006.

18. Stenløkke Madsen, J., Burmølle, M., Hestbjerg Hansen, L., and Johannes Sørensen, S. (2012). The
interconnection between biofilm formation and horizontal gene transfer. FEMS Immunol Med Microbiol
65, 183–195.

19. Ghigo, J.M. (2001). Natural conjugative plasmids induce bacterial biofilm development. Nature *412*, 442-
445. 10.1038/35086581.

20. Schmitt, A., Jiang, K., Camacho, M.I., Jonna, V.R., Hofer, A., Westerlund, F., Christie, P.J., and Berntsson,
R.P. (2018). PrgB promotes aggregation, biofilm formation, and conjugation through DNA binding and
compaction. Mol Microbiol *109*, 291-305. 10.1111/mmi.13980.

21. Petersen, J., and Wagner-Dobler, I. (2017). Plasmid transfer in the ocean - a case study from the
Roseobacter group. Front Microbiol *8*, 1350. 10.3389/fmicb.2017.01350.

22. Birmes, L., Freese, H.M., and Petersen, J. (2021). RepC_soli: a novel promiscuous plasmid type of
Rhodobacteraceae mediates horizontal transfer of antibiotic resistances in the ocean. Environ Microbiol
23, 5395-5411. 10.1111/1462-2920.15380.

23. Patzelt, D., Michael, V., Pauker, O., Ebert, M., Tielen, P., Jahn, D., Tomasch, J., Petersen, J., and
Wagner-Dobler, I. (2016). Gene flow across genus barriers - conjugation of *Dinoroseobacter shibae's* 191-
kb killer plasmid into *Phaeobacter inhibens* and AHL-mediated expression of type IV secretion systems.
Front Microbiol *7*, 742. 10.3389/fmicb.2016.00742.

24. Buchan, A., LeCleir, G.R., Gulvik, C.A., and Gonzalez, J.M. (2014). Master recyclers: features and
functions of bacteria associated with phytoplankton blooms. Nat Rev Microbiol *12*, 686-698.
10.1038/nrmicro3326.

25. Segev, E., Wyche, T.P., Kim, K.H., Petersen, J., Ellebrandt, C., Vlamakis, H., Barteneva, N., Paulson,
J.N., Chai, L., Clardy, J., and Kolter, R. (2016). Dynamic metabolic exchange governs a marine algal-
bacterial interaction. Elife *5*. 10.7554/eLife.17473.

26. Barak-Gavish, N., Frada, M.J., Ku, C., Lee, P.A., DiTullio, G.R., Malitsky, S., Aharoni, A., Green, S.J.,
Rotkopf, R., Kartvelishvily, E., et al. (2018). Bacterial virulence against an oceanic bloom-forming
phytoplankter is mediated by algal DMSP. Sci Adv *4*, eaau5716. 10.1126/sciadv.aau5716.

27.   Amin, S.A., Hmelo, L.R., van Tol, H.M., Durham, B.P., Carlson, L.T., Heal, K.R., Morales, R.L.,
Berthiaume, C.T., Parker, M.S., Djunaedi, B., et al. (2015). Interaction and signalling between a
cosmopolitan phytoplankton and associated bacteria. Nature *522*, 98-101. 10.1038/nature14488.

28.   Wang, H., Tomasch, J., Jarek, M., and Wagner-Dobler, I. (2014). A dual-species co-cultivation system to
study the interactions between Roseobacters and dinoflagellates. Front Microbiol *5*, 311.
10.3389/fmicb.2014.00311.

29.   Wang, H., Tomasch, J., Michael, V., Bhuju, S., Jarek, M., Petersen, J., and Wagner-Dobler, I. (2015).
Identification of genetic modules mediating the Jekyll and Hyde interaction of *Dinoroseobacter shibae* with
the dinoflagellate *Prorocentrum minimum*. Front Microbiol *6*, 1262. 10.3389/fmicb.2015.01262.

30.   Miller, T.R., Hnilicka, K., Dziedzic, A., Desplats, P., and Belas, R. (2004). Chemotaxis of *Silicibacter* sp.
strain TM1040 toward dinoflagellate products. Appl Environ Microbiol *70*, 4692-4701.
10.1128/AEM.70.8.4692-4701.2004.

31.   Larsson, U., and Hagström, A. (1979). Phytoplankton exudate release as an energy source for the growth
of pelagic bacteria. Marine Biology *52*, 199–206. 10.1007/BF00398133.

32.   Frank, O., Michael, V., Pauker, O., Boedeker, C., Jogler, C., Rohde, M., and Petersen, J. (2015). Plasmid
curing and the loss of grip-the 65-kb replicon of *Phaeobacter inhibens* DSM 17395 is required for biofilm
formation, motility and the colonization of marine algae. Syst Appl Microbiol *38*, 120-127.
10.1016/j.syapm.2014.12.001.

33.   Cirri, E., and Pohnert, G. (2019). Algae-bacteria interactions that balance the planktonic microbiome. New
Phytol *223*, 100-106. 10.1111/nph.15765.

34.   Aminov, R.I. (2011). Horizontal gene exchange in environmental microbiota. Front Microbiol *2*, 158.
10.3389/fmicb.2011.00158.

35.   Christensen, B.B., Sternberg, C., and Molin, S. (1996). Bacterial plasmid conjugation on semi-solid
surfaces monitored with the green fluorescent protein (GFP) from Aequorea victoria as a marker. Gene
173, 59-65. 10.1016/0378-1119(95)00707-5.

36.   Green, D.H., Echavarri-Bravo, V., Brennan, D., and Hart, M.C. (2015). Bacterial diversity associated with
the coccolithophorid algae *Emiliania huxleyi* and *Coccolithus pelagicus* f. *braarudii*. Biomed Res Int *2015*,
194540. 10.1155/2015/194540.

37.   Bendif, E.M., Probert, I., Archontikis, O.A., Young, J.R., Beaufort, L., Rickaby, R.E., and Filatov, D. (2023).
Rapid diversification underlying the global dominance of a cosmopolitan phytoplankton. ISME J *17*, 630-
640. 10.1038/s41396-023-01365-5.

38.   Gonzalez, J.M., Simo, R., Massana, R., Covert, J.S., Casamayor, E.O., Pedros-Alio, C., and Moran, M.A.
(2000). Bacterial community structure associated with a dimethylsulfoniopropionate-producing aorth
Atlantic algal bloom. Appl Environ Microbiol *66*, 4237-4246. 10.1128/AEM.66.10.4237-4246.2000.

39.   Goyet, C., and Poisson, A. (1989). New determination of carbonic acid dissociation constants in seawater
as a function of temperature and salinity Deep Sea Research Part A. Oceanographic Research Papers
36, 1635-1654. 10.1016/0198-0149(89)90064-2.

40.   Gonzalez, J.M., Mayer, F., Moran, M.A., Hodson, R.E., and Whitman, W.B. (1997). *Microbulbifer*
*hydrolyticus g*en. nov., sp. nov., and *Marinobacterium georgiense* gen. nov., sp. nov., Two Marine Bacteria
from a Lignin-Rich Pulp Mill Waste Enrichment Community. Int J Syst Bacteriol *47*, 369-376.
10.1099/00207713-47-2-369.

41.   Vandesompele, J., De Preter, K., Pattyn, F., Poppe, B., Van Roy, N., De Paepe, A., and Speleman, F.
(2002). Accurate normalization of real-time quantitative RT-PCR data by geometric averaging of multiple
internal control genes. Genome Biol *3*, RESEARCH0034. 10.1186/gb-2002-3-7-research0034.

42.   Kovach, M.E., Elzer, P.H., Hill, D.S., Robertson, G.T., Farris, M.A., Roop II, R.M., and Peterson, K.M.
(1995). Four new derivatives of the broad-host-range cloning vector pBBR1MCS, carrying different
antibiotic-resistance cassettes. Gene, 175-176. 10.1016/0378-1119(95)00584-1.

43.   Erijman, A., Shifman, J.M., and Peleg, Y. (2014). A single-tube assembly of DNA using the transfer-PCR
(TPCR) platform. Methods Mol Biol *1116*, 89-101. 10.1007/978-1-62703-764-8_7.

44.   Unger, T., Jacobovitch, Y., Dantes, A., Bernheim, R., and Peleg, Y. (2010). Applications of the Restriction
Free (RF) cloning procedure for molecular manipulations and protein expression. J Struct Biol *172*, 34-
44. 10.1016/j.jsb.2010.06.016.

45.   Piekarski, T., Buchholz, I., Drepper, T., Schobert, M., Wagner-Doebler, I., Tielen, P., and Jahn, D. (2009).
Genetic tools for the investigation of Roseobacter clade bacteria. BMC Microbiol *9*, 265. 10.1186/1471-
2180-9-265.

46. Lipsman, V., Shlakhter, O., Rocha, J., and Segev, E. (2024). Bacteria contribute exopolysaccharides to
an algal-bacterial joint extracellular matrix. NPJ Biofilms Microbiomes *10*, 36. 10.1038/s41522-024-00510-
y.

47. O'Toole, G.A. (2011). Microtiter dish biofilm formation assay. J Vis Exp, 2437. 10.3791/2437.

48. Chen, I.A., Chu, K., Palaniappan, K., Pillay, M., Ratner, A., Huang, J., Huntemann, M., Varghese, N.,
White, J.R., Seshadri, R., et al. (2019). IMG/M v.5.0: an integrated data management and comparative
analysis system for microbial genomes and microbiomes. Nucleic Acids Res *47*, D666-D677.
10.1093/nar/gky901.

49. Kanehisa, M., Furumichi, M., Sato, Y., Ishiguro-Watanabe, M., and Tanabe, M. (2021). KEGG: integrating
viruses and cellular organisms. Nucleic Acids Res *49*, D545-D551. 10.1093/nar/gkaa970.

50. Juhas, M., Crook, D.W., and Hood, D.W. (2008). Type IV secretion systems: tools of bacterial horizontal
gene transfer and virulence. Cell Microbiol *10*, 2377-2386. 10.1111/j.1462-5822.2008.01187.x.

51. Lawley, T.D., Klimke, W.A., Gubbins, M.J., and Frost, L.S. (2003). F factor conjugation is a true type IV
secretion system. FEMS Microbiol Lett *224*, 1-15. 10.1016/S0378-1097(03)00430-0.

52. Guglielmini, J., Neron, B., Abby, S.S., Garcillan-Barcia, M.P., de la Cruz, F., and Rocha, E.P. (2014). Key
components of the eight classes of type IV secretion systems involved in bacterial conjugation or protein
secretion. Nucleic Acids Res *42*, 5715-5727. 10.1093/nar/gku194.

53. Christie, P.J., Whitaker, N., and Gonzalez-Rivera, C. (2014). Mechanism and structure of the bacterial
type IV secretion systems. Biochim Biophys Acta *1843*, 1578-1591. 10.1016/j.bbamcr.2013.12.019.

54. Hua, M., Dai, D., Du, P., Chen, N., Duan, A., Yue, J., Jia, H., Rong, C., Li, A., Zeng, H., and Chen, C.
(2022). A chromosome-encoded T4SS independently contributes to horizontal gene transfer in
Enterococcus faecalis. Cell Rep *41*, 111609. 10.1016/j.celrep.2022.111609.

55. Abada, A., Beiralas, R., Narvaez, D., Sperfeld, M., Duchin-Rapp, Y., Lipsman, V., Yuda, L., Cohen, B.,
Carmieli, R., Ben-Dor, S., et al. (2023). Aerobic bacteria produce nitric oxide via denitrification and
promote algal population collapse. ISME J *17*, 1167-1183. 10.1038/s41396-023-01427-8.

56. Grotkjær, T., Bentzon-Tilia, M., D'Alvise, P., Dierckens, K., Bossier, P., & Gram, L. (2016). *Phaeobacter
inhibens* as probiotic bacteria in non-axenic *Artemia* and algae cultures. Aquaculture *462*, 64-69.
10.1016/j.aquaculture.2016.05.001.

57. Seyedsayamdost, M.R., Case, R.J., Kolter, R., and Clardy, J. (2011). The Jekyll-and-Hyde chemistry of
Phaeobacter gallaeciensis. Nat Chem *3*, 331-335. 10.1038/nchem.1002.

58. Mansky, J., Wang, H., Ebert, M., Hartig, E., Jahn, D., Tomasch, J., and Wagner-Dobler, I. (2021). The
influence of genes on the "killer plasmid" of *Dinoroseobacter shibae* on its symbiosis with the dinoflagellate
Prorocentrum minimum. Front Microbiol *12*, 804767. 10.3389/fmicb.2021.804767.

59. Biebl, H., Allgaier, M., Tindall, B.J., Koblizek, M., Lunsdorf, H., Pukall, R., and Wagner-Dobler, I. (2005).
Dinoroseobacter shibae gen. nov., sp. nov., a new aerobic phototrophic bacterium isolated from
dinoflagellates. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol *55*, 1089-1096. 10.1099/ijs.0.63511-0.

60. Frank, O., Goker, M., Pradella, S., and Petersen, J. (2015). Ocean's Twelve: flagellar and biofilm chromids
in the multipartite genome of *Marinovum algicola* DG898 exemplify functional compartmentalization.
Environ Microbiol *17*, 4019-4034. 10.1111/1462-2920.12947.

61. Prado, S., Montes, J., Romalde, J.L., and Barja, J.L. (2009). Inhibitory activity of *Phaeobacter* strains
against aquaculture pathogenic bacteria. Int Microbiol *12*, 107-114.

62. Bradley, D.E., Taylor, D.E., and Cohen, D.R. (1980). Specification of surface mating systems among
conjugative drug resistance plasmids in *Escherichia coli* K-12. J Bacteriol *143*, 1466-1470.
10.1128/jb.143.3.1466-1470.1980.

63. Hornak, K., Kasalicky, V., Simek, K., and Grossart, H.P. (2017). Strain-specific consumption and
transformation of alga-derived dissolved organic matter by members of the *Limnohabitans*-C and
Polynucleobacter-B clusters of *Betaproteobacteria*. Environ Microbiol *19*, 4519-4535. 10.1111/1462-
2920.13900.

64. Sperfeld, M., Narváez-Barragán, D.A., Malitsky, S., Frydman, V., Yuda, L., Rocha, J., and Segev, E.
(2023). Bacterial lag phase shortening is triggered by methyl groups. BioRxiv, preprint.
https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.06.06.543872.

65. Guzman-Herrador, D.L., and Llosa, M. (2019). The secret life of conjugative relaxases. Plasmid *104*,
102415. 10.1016/j.plasmid.2019.102415.

66. Koraimann, G., and Wagner, M.A. (2014). Social behavior and decision making in bacterial conjugation.
Front Cell Infect Microbiol *4*, 54. 10.3389/fcimb.2014.00054.

67. Goessweiner-Mohr, N., Arends, K., Keller, W., and Grohmann, E. (2014). Conjugation in Gram-positive
bacteria. Microbiol Spectr *2*, PLAS-0004-2013. 10.1128/microbiolspec.PLAS-0004-2013.

68. McDaniel, L.D., Young, E., Delaney, J., Ruhnau, F., Ritchie, K.B., and Paul, J.H. (2010). High frequency
of horizontal gene transfer in the oceans. Science *330*, 50. 10.1126/science.1192243.

69. Wagner-Dobler, I., and Biebl, H. (2006). Environmental biology of the marine Roseobacter lineage. Annu
Rev Microbiol *60*, 255-280. 10.1146/annurev.micro.60.080805.142115.

70. Petersen, J., Frank, O., Goker, M., and Pradella, S. (2013). Extrachromosomal, extraordinary and
essential-the plasmids of the Roseobacter clade. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol *97*, 2805-2815.
10.1007/s00253-013-4746-8.

71. Brinkhoff, T., Giebel, H.A., and Simon, M. (2008). Diversity, ecology, and genomics of the Roseobacter
clade: a short overview. Arch Microbiol *189*, 531-539. 10.1007/s00203-008-0353-y.

72. Frost, L.S., and Koraimann, G. (2010). Regulation of bacterial conjugation: balancing opportunity with
adversity. Future Microbiol *5*, 1057-1071. 10.2217/fmb.10.70.

73. Buchan, A., Gonzalez, J.M., and Moran, M.A. (2005). Overview of the marine roseobacter lineage. Appl
Environ Microbiol *71*, 5665-5677. 10.1128/AEM.71.10.5665-5677.2005.

74. Alavi, M., Miller, T., Erlandson, K., Schneider, R., and Belas, R. (2001). Bacterial community associated
with *Pfiesteria*-like dinoflagellate cultures. Environ Microbiol *3*, 380-396. 10.1046/j.1462-
2920.2001.00207.x.

75. Riemann, L., Steward, G.F., and Azam, F. (2000). Dynamics of bacterial community composition and
activity during a mesocosm diatom bloom. Appl Environ Microbiol *66*, 578-587. 10.1128/AEM.66.2.578-
587.2000.

76. Raina, J.B., Lambert, B.S., Parks, D.H., Rinke, C., Siboni, N., Bramucci, A., Ostrowski, M., Signal, B.,
Lutz, A., Mendis, H., et al. (2022). Chemotaxis shapes the microscale organization of the ocean's
microbiome. Nature *605*, 132-138. 10.1038/s41586-022-04614-3.

77. Camara Dos Reis, M., Romac, S., Le Gall, F., Marie, D., Frada, M.J., Koplovitz, G., Cariou, T., Henry, N.,
de Vargas, C., and Jeanthon, C. (2022). Exploring the phycosphere of *Emiliania huxleyi*: from bloom
dynamics to microbiome assembly experiments. Mol Ecol. 10.1111/mec.16829.

78. Amabile-Cuevas, C.F., and Chicurel, M.E. (1996). A possible role for plasmids in mediating the cell-cell
proximity required for gene flux. J Theor Biol *181*, 237-243. 10.1006/jtbi.1996.0128.

79. Arias-Andres, M., Klumper, U., Rojas-Jimenez, K., and Grossart, H.P. (2018). Microplastic pollution
increases gene exchange in aquatic ecosystems. Environ Pollut *237*, 253-261.
10.1016/j.envpol.2018.02.058.

80. Zhang, R., LiPuma, J.J., and Gonzalez, C.F. (2009). Two type IV secretion systems with different functions
in *Burkholderia cenocepacia* K56-2. Microbiology *155*, 4005-4013. 10.1099/mic.0.033043-0.

81. Novak, K.F., Dougherty, B., and Pelaez, M. (2001). *Actinobacillus actinomycetemcomitans* harbours type
IV secretion system genes on a plasmid and in the chromosome. Microbiology *147*, 3027-3035.
10.1099/00221287-147-11-3027.

82. Virolle, C., Goldlust, K., Djermoun, S., Bigot, S., and Lesterlin, C. (2020). Plasmid transfer by conjugation
in Gram-negative bacteria: from the cellular to the community level. Genes (Basel) *11*.
10.3390/genes11111239.

83. Alegria, M.C., Souza, D.P., Andrade, M.O., Docena, C., Khater, L., Ramos, C.H., da Silva, A.C., and
Farah, C.S. (2005). Identification of new protein-protein interactions involving the products of the
chromosome- and plasmid-encoded type IV secretion loci of the phytopathogen *Xanthomonas*
axonopodis pv. citri. J Bacteriol *187*, 2315-2325. 10.1128/JB.187.7.2315-2325.2005.

84. Llosa, M., Gomis-Ruth, F.X., Coll, M., and de la Cruz Fd, F. (2002). Bacterial conjugation: a two-step
mechanism for DNA transport. Mol Microbiol *45*, 1-8. 10.1046/j.1365-2958.2002.03014.x.

85. Hamilton, H.L., Dominguez, N.M., Schwartz, K.J., Hackett, K.T., and Dillard, J.P. (2005). *Neisseria*
gonorrhoeae secretes chromosomal DNA via a novel type IV secretion system. Mol Microbiol *55*, 1704-
1721. 10.1111/j.1365-2958.2005.04521.x.

86. Guglielmini, J., Quintais, L., Garcillan-Barcia, M.P., de la Cruz, F., and Rocha, E.P. (2011). The repertoire
of ICE in prokaryotes underscores the unity, diversity, and ubiquity of conjugation. PLoS Genet *7*,
e1002222. 10.1371/journal.pgen.1002222.

87. Nas, M.Y., White, R.C., DuMont, A.L., Lopez, A.E., and Cianciotto, N.P. (2019). *Stenotrophomonas*
maltophilia encodes a VirB/VirD4 type IV secretion system that modulates apoptosis in human cells and

promotes competition against heterologous bacteria, including *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. Infect Immun
87. 10.1128/IAI.00457-19.

88.   Callaghan, M.M., Heilers, J.H., van der Does, C., and Dillard, J.P. (2017). Secretion of chromosomal DNA
by the *Neisseria gonorrhoeae* type IV secretion system. Curr Top Microbiol Immunol *413*, 323-345.
10.1007/978-3-319-75241-9_13.

89.   Wangthaisong, P., Piromyou, P., Songwattana, P., Wongdee, J., Teamtaisong, K., Tittabutr, P., Boonkerd,
N., and Teaumroong, N. (2023). The type IV secretion system (T4SS) mediates symbiosis between
bradyrhizobium sp. SUTN9-2 and legumes. Appl Environ Microbiol *89*, e0004023. 10.1128/aem.00040-
23.

90.   Shibl, A.A., Isaac, A., Ochsenkuhn, M.A., Cardenas, A., Fei, C., Behringer, G., Arnoux, M., Drou, N.,
Santos, M.P., Gunsalus, K.C., et al. (2020). Diatom modulation of select bacteria through use of two
unique secondary metabolites. PNAS *117*, 27445-27455. 10.1073/pnas.2012088117.

91.   Kalscheur, K.N., Rojas, M., Peterson, C.G., Kelly, J.J., and Gray, K.A. (2012). Algal exudates and stream
organic matter influence the structure and function of denitrifying bacterial communities. Microb Ecol *64*,
881-892. 10.1007/s00248-012-0091-1.

92.   Teeling, H., Fuchs, B.M., Becher, D., Klockow, C., Gardebrecht, A., Bennke, C.M., Kassabgy, M., Huang,
S., Mann, A.J., Waldmann, J., et al. (2012). Substrate-controlled succession of marine bacterioplankton
populations induced by a phytoplankton bloom. Science *336*, 608-611. 10.1126/science.1218344.

950