Title: Refining the taxonomy of the order *Hyphomicrobiales* (*Rhizobiales*) based on whole genome

comparisons of over 130 genus type strains

Authors: George C. diCenzo[1*], Yuqi Yang[1], J. Peter W. Young[2], and Nemanja Kuzmanović[3*]

Affiliations: [1] Department of Biology, Queen's University, Kingston, ON, K7P 0S7, Canada

[2] Department of Biology, University of York, York, YO10 5DD, United Kingdom

[3] Julius Kühn Institute (JKI) - Federal Research Centre for Cultivated Plants, Institute for Plant Protection

in Horticulture and Urban Green, Braunschweig, 38104, Germany

* Corresponding authors: George diCenzo (george.dicenzo@queensu.ca) and Nemanja

Kuzmanović (kuzmanovic1306@gmail.com; nemanja.kuzmanovic@julius-kuehn.de)

Keywords: *Hyphomicrobiales*, *Rhizobiales*, *Phyllobacteriaceae*, *Bartonellaceae*, family boundaries

ABSTRACT

The alphaproteobacterial order *Hyphomicrobiales* consists of 38 families comprising 155 validly published genera as of June 2023. The order *Hyphomicrobiales* was first described in 1957 and underwent important revisions in 2020. However, several inconsistencies in the taxonomy of this order remain, and there is a need for a consistent framework for defining families within the order. We propose a common genome-based framework for defining families within the order *Hyphomicrobiales*, suggesting that families represent monophyletic groups in core-genome phylogenies that share pairwise average amino acid identity values above ~75% when calculated from a core set of 59 proteins. Applying this framework, we propose the formation of four new families and to reassign the genera *Salaquimonas*, *Rhodoblastus*, and *Rhodoligotrophos* into *Salaquimonadaceae* fam. nov., *Rhodoblastaceae* fam. nov., and *Rhodoligotrophaceae* fam. nov., respectively, and the genera *Albibacter*, *Chenggangzhangella*, *Hansschlegelia*, and *Methylopila* into *Methylopilaceae* fam. nov.. We further propose to unify the families *Bartonellaceae*, *Brucellaceae*, *Phyllobacteriaceae*, and *Notoacmeibacteraceae* as *Bartonellaceae*; the families *Segnochrobactraceae* and *Pseudoxanthobacteraceae* as *Segnochrobactraceae*; the families *Lichenihabitantaceae* and *Lichenibacteriaceae* as *Lichenihabitantaceae*; and the families *Breoghaniaceae* and *Stappiaceae* as *Stappiaceae*. Lastly, we propose to reassign several genera to existing families. Specifically, we propose to reassign the genus *Pseudohoeflea* to the family *Rhizobiaceae*; the genera *Oricola*, *Roseitalea*, and *Oceaniradius* to the family *Ahrensiaceae*; the genus *Limoniibacter* to the emended family *Bartonellaceae*; the genus *Faunimonas* to the family *Afifellaceae*; and the genus *Pseudochelatococcus* to the family *Chelatococcaceae*. Our data also support the recent proposal to reassign the genus *Prosthecomicrobium* to the family *Kaistiaceae*.

INTRODUCTION

The order *Hyphomicrobiales* of the class *Alphaproteobacteria* consists of 38 families encompassing 155

valid genera (List of Prokaryotic names with Standing in Nomenclature; LPSN; accessed 25 June 2023).

It was known by the name *Rhizobiales* Kuykendall 2006 [1] from 2005 until 2020, when Hördt and

colleagues [2] pointed out that this was an illegitimate later synonym of *Hyphomicrobiales* Douglas

1957 (Approved List 1980) [3]. This order contains phenotypically diverse organisms, including

terrestrial and aquatic bacteria, plant mutualists, and plant, animal, and human pathogens. Moreover,

all known alpha-rhizobia (alphaproteobacterial nitrogen-fixing legume symbionts) are found within

the order *Hyphomicrobiales* and are spread across seven families (*Rhizobiaceae*, *Phyllobacteriaceae*,

Brucellaceae, *Nitrobacteriaceae*, *Methylobacteriaceae*, *Xanthobacteriaceae*, and *Devosiaceae*).

We recently proposed a genome-based framework for defining genera within the family

Rhizobiaceae [4], which is the largest family within the order *Hyphomicrobiales* with 20 validly published

genera as of 25 June 2023. While applying our framework to refine the family *Rhizobiaceae* [4], we

wondered whether any genera currently assigned to the family *Rhizobiaceae* should be reassigned to

novel families. This prompted us to evaluate family-level classifications within the order

Hyphomicrobiales. Here, we propose a genome-based framework for defining families within the order

Hyphomicrobiales. We then apply this framework and propose the unification of several families, the

formation of four new families, and the reassignment of multiple genera.

METHODS

Datasets

Core-proteome phylogenies and overall genomic relatedness indices (OGRIs) were calculated using

the genomes of 138 *Hyphomicrobiales* strains (**Dataset S1**). Where applicable, five *Caulobacterales*

strains were included as an outgroup (**Dataset S2**). Most strains were genus type strains. The

exceptions were the species type strains "*Pararhizobium mangrovi*" BGMRC 6574[T], which was included

as preliminary analyses suggested that this strain may belong to a new genus potentially in a novel

family, and *Hyphomicrobium denitrificans* ATCC 51888[T], which was included as the genome sequence

of the *Hyphomicrobium* genus type strain was unavailable. Where noted, we included an additional

four species type strains from the genus *Bartonella* and the recently proposed *"Flavimaribacter*

sediminis" WL0058[T] (**Dataset S3**). All genomes were downloaded from the National Center for

Biotechnology Information (NCBI) Genome database.

For construction of a 16S rRNA gene phylogeny, full-length 16S rRNA gene sequences were

extracted from the genome sequences of the 143 strains used in the genome-based analyses, where

available. If the genome did not contain a full length 16S rRNA gene sequence, the strain's 16S rRNA

gene sequence was downloaded from NCBI GenBank via links embedded in the LPSN database [5]. In

cases where more than one distinct 16S rRNA gene sequence was extracted from a whole genome

sequence, all unique sequences were kept. This dataset was supplemented with the 16S rRNA gene

sequences of nine genus type strains that lacked publicly available whole genome sequences but were

related to strains that were candidates for reclassification. These 16S rRNA gene sequences were

downloaded from the LPSN database (**Dataset S4**).

Identification of core gene sets

Initially, the GET_HOMOLOGUES software package version 05052023 [6] and GET_PHYLOMARKERS

software package version 2.4.5_17nov2022 [7] were used to identify non-recombining single-copy

marker genes present in all 143 genomes, as described previously [8]. This led to the identification of

19 marker genes, which we termed "core_143". Next, we used GET_HOMOLOGUES and custom scripts

to identify single-copy marker genes present in at least 95% of the target genomes; the stringent

filtering of GET_PHYLOMARKERS was not used. This led to the identification of 256 marker genes,

which we termed "perc95_143". During preliminary investigations of the perc95_143 gene set, we

observed that five strains (*Chenggangzhangella methanolivorans* CHL1^T, *Methylobrevis pamukkalensis*

VKM B-2849^T, *Nitratireductor aquibiodomus* JCM 21793^T, *Liberibacter crescens* BT-1^T, and *Methyloligella*

halotolerans VKM B-2706^T) lacked between 12% and 28% of these genes (see **Text S1**). We therefore

repeated the above analyses using a reduced set of 138 strains that excluded those five strains. Two

additional strains, *Segnochrobactrum spirostomi* Sp-1^T and *Ahrensia kielensis* DSM 5890^T, lacked 9% and

7% of the perc95_143 genes, respectively; however, these two strains were kept in the dataset as they

were the only representatives of their respective families. All other strains lacked less than 5% of the

perc95_143 genes. Using the reduced dataset of 138 strains, we identified a core set of 59 non-

recombining, single-copy marker genes present in all 138 strains (termed "core_138") and 267 single-

copy genes present in at least 95% of the target genomes (termed "perc95_138"). A summary of the

four gene sets is provided in **Table 1**.

Calculation of overall genome relatedness indices

Core-proteome average amino acid identity (cpAAI) was computed as the proportion of differences

(including gaps) in pairwise comparisons of protein sequences encoded by a given core gene set.

These calculations were performed as described previously [4], and were dependent on the 'ape'

package version 5.7-1 in R version 4.3.0 [9]. Whole-proteome average amino acid identity (wpAAI;

usually simply known as AAI) was computed using EzAAI version 1.2.2 [10], with default parameters

and the dependency Prodigal version 2.6.3 [11].

Core-proteome phylogenies

For the core_143 and core_138 gene sets, GET_PHYLOMARKERS was used to align and concatenate

the encoded proteins and to remove non-informative sites; alignment was performed using Clustal

Omega version 1.2.4 [12]. For the perc95_143 and perc95_138 gene sets, the encoded proteins were

aligned with MAFFT version 7.453 [13], after which the protein alignments were trimmed using trimAl

version 1.4.rev22 [14] and the automated1 option, and then concatenated. For all four gene sets, the

concatenated protein alignments were used as input for ModelFinder [15] as implemented in IQ-TREE

version 2.2.2.4 [16], and the best scoring model was identified based on Bayesian information

criterion (BIC). IQ-TREE was then used to create maximum-likelihood (ML) phylogenies from the

concatenated alignments using the best-scoring model for each protein set (core_143: LG+F+R8;

core_138: LG+F+R9; perc95_143: LG+F+I+R10; perc95_138: LG+F+I+R10). Branch supports were

assessed in IQ-TREE using the Shimodaira-Hasegawa-like approximate likelihood ratio test (SH-aLRT)

[17] and ultrafast jackknife analysis with a subsampling proportion of 40%, with both metrics

calculated from 1000 replicates. Phylogenies were visualized using iTOL [18].

16S rRNA gene phylogenies

MAFFT was used to align the 16S rRNA gene sequences, after which trimAl was used to trim the

alignment. We additionally aligned the 16S rRNA gene sequences using Clustal Omega with the --full

and --full-iter options, and then trimmed the alignment with trimAl using the automated1 option. The

trimmed nucleotide alignments were used as input for ModelFinder as implemented in IQ-TREE, which

for both alignments, identified GTR+F+I+R6 as the best-scoring model based on BIC. IQ-TREE was then

used to create ML phylogenies from both trimmed alignments, using the GTR+F+I+R6 model. Branch

supports were assessed in IQ-TREE using SH-aLRT and an ultrafast bootstrap analysis, with both

metrics calculated from 1000 replicates.

Data availability

All genome sequences used in this work were previously published, and the assembly accessions are

provided in **Datasets S1-S3**. Likewise, all 16S rRNA gene sequences used in this study were previously

published, and the corresponding GenBank accessions for those not extracted directly from the whole

genome sequences are provided in **Dataset S4**. Twelve supplementary figures, four supplementary

datasets, and supplementary text are included in the online version of this article. All raw data (cpAAI

data, wpAAI data, and Newick formatted phylogenies) used to generate the figures presented in this

manuscript   are   available   through   FigShare

(figshare.com/articles/online_resource/diCenzo_et_al_2023_Hyphomicrobiales_taxonomy/24417334)

. All code to repeat the analyses in this study is available through GitHub (github.com/diCenzo-

Lab/012_2023_Hyphomicrobiales_taxonomy). Protein marker sets to allow for the identification of the

marker   proteins   in   other   organisms   using   the   cpAAI_Rhizobiaceae   pipeline

(github.com/flass/cpAAI_Rhizobiaceae) [4] are available through GitHub (github.com/diCenzo-

Lab/012_2023_Hyphomicrobiales_taxonomy).

146   **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Genome-based metrics for family-level delimitation in the order *Hyphomicrobiales*

To evaluate family assignments in the order *Hyphomicrobiales*, we began with a dataset of 138

Hyphomicrobiales strains, including 136 genus type strains, including at least one representative from

each of the 38 families. Five *Caulobacterales* genus type strains were included as an outgroup. As in

our recent study of the family *Rhizobiaceae* [4], we reasoned that genome sequence-based family

delineation should consider both phylogenetic relatedness and genome similarity based on one or

more overall genome relatedness indices (OGRIs). We chose to work with cpAAI and wpAAI as these

appeared to be the most appropriate OGRIs based on our previous work [4]. Therefore, we first

identified a set of 19 marker genes present in 100% of the 143 strains (termed core_143) and a set of

256 marker genes present in ≥95% of the strains (termed perc95_143). Both datasets were used to

construct ML phylogenies (**Figures S1 and S2**), and core_143 was additionally used to calculate

pairwise cpAAI values between all 143 strains (**Figure S1**, **Dataset S5**). Pairwise wpAAI was also

calculated between all 143 strains (**Figures S3**, **Dataset S6**). Early analysis of these datasets revealed

that five strains lacked >10% of the perc95_143 genes and that removal of these five strains

significantly increased the size of the core genome (see **Text S1**). We therefore repeated all analyses

using a dataset lacking these five strains. Using this reduced dataset, we identified a set of 59 marker

genes present in 100% of the strains (termed core_138) and a set of 267 marker genes present in

≥95% of the strains (termed perc95_138). ML phylogenies were constructed from both core_138

(**Figure S4**) and perc95_138 (**Figure 1**), and pairwise cpAAI values were calculated between all 138

strains using core_138 (**Figure 1**, **Dataset S7**). A summary of the four gene sets is provided in **Table**

1.

We wondered whether we could identify biologically relevant cpAAI or wpAAI thresholds for

delineating families in the order *Hyphomicrobiales*. We therefore plotted the distribution of cpAAI

values (calculated from core_138) as a histogram, plotting separate distributions for within-family,

between-family but within-order, and between-order comparisons (**Figures 2A** and **2C**). In addition to

using all cpAAI values calculated from core_138, we plotted the results for a reduced dataset lacking

the family *Rhizobiaceae* (**Figure 2B** and **2D**). This was done for two reasons. The family *Rhizobiaceae*

was over-represented in our dataset, accounting for over 15% of the strains in our analysis, and thus

its inclusion had the potential to bias the distribution. In addition, the family *Rhizobiaceae* was not well

separated from the related *Bartonellaceae – Phyllobacteriaceae – Brucellaceae - Notoacmeibacteraceae*

clade (as discussed below), and thus had the potential to mask patterns that might otherwise be

detected across the remaining 33 *Hyphomicrobiales* families.

The within-family, between-family, and between-order distributions of cpAAI were clearly distinct,

with mean values of 78.0%, 69.4%, and 62.6%, respectively, for the dataset lacking the family

Rhizobiaceae. Notably, excluding the family *Rhizobiaceae*, 97.1% of the between-family comparisons

fell below 75%, while 80.1% of the within-family comparisons were above 75% (**Figure 2B**). When

considering the taxonomic changes proposed below, this increases to 98.3% of between-family

comparisons being below 75% and 88.5% (93.8% when excluding *Bartonella bacilliformis* KC583[T] that

has a highly reduced genome) of within-family comparisons being above 75% (**Figure 2D**). These

results, together with the shapes of the distributions, suggested to us that a cpAAI value of ~75%,

when calculated from core_138, represented a natural threshold for defining families within the order

Hyphomicrobiales. Performing similar analyses using cpAAI values calculated from core_143 (**Figure**

S5), or the wpAAI data (**Figure S6**), also allowed for the identification of potential thresholds (~78%

and ~63%, respectively) for defining families within the order *Hyphomicrobiales*. However, these

thresholds were less well defined compared to the threshold identified for core_138 and thus we focus

our analyses primarily on the cpAAI data generated with core_138.

We attempted to supplement our genome-based analyses with 16S rRNA gene phylogenetic

studies (**Figures S7 and S8**). However, the 16S rRNA gene phylogenies we built were non-concordant

with the genome-based phylogenies and failed to accurately resolve multiple families, consistent with

past studies [19]. We therefore only made use of the 16S rRNA gene phylogenies for taxa lacking whole

genome sequencing data.

In the following sections, we propose several taxonomic revisions in the order *Hyphomicrobiales*

based on overall genomic similarity and the need for families to be monophyletic. In general, we

aimed to be conservative and limit the number of changes that we propose, and therefore have not

proposed to split monophyletic families based solely on low cpAAI values. We do, however, propose

to unify sister families where appropriate and only when clearly supported by the cpAAI data.

Taxonomic implications for the families *Rhizobiaceae*, *Ahrensiaceae*, *Bartonellaceae*,

205 ***Brucellaceae*, *Phyllobacteriaceae*, and *Notoacmeibacteraceae***

206 The six families *Rhizobiaceae*, *Ahrensiaceae*, *Bartonellaceae*, *Brucellaceae*, *Phyllobacteriaceae*, and

207 *Notoacmeibacteraceae* collectively represent over a third of the *Hyphomicrobiales* strains included in

208 our analyses. Of note was the family *Phyllobacteriaceae*, which was not monophyletic in any of the

209 phylogenies and whose genus type strain (*Phyllobacterium myrsinacearum* DSM 5892^T) consistently

210 formed its own lineage separate from all other members of the family (**Figures 1, S1, S2, and S4**).

211 *Pseudohoeflea suaedae* YC6898^T was consistently nested within the family *Rhizobiaceae* in all

212 phylogenies (**Figures 1, S1, S2, and S4**). We therefore propose that the genus *Pseudohoeflea* be

213 reassigned to the family *Rhizobiaceae*. In addition, *Salaquimonas pukyongi* RR3-28^T consistently formed

214 its own lineage (**Figures 1, S1, S2, and S4**), and when calculated from core_138, displayed pairwise

215 cpAAI values <74% against all other strains (**Figure 1**). We therefore propose that the genus

216 *Salaquimonas* be reassigned to the family *Salaquimonadaceae* fam. nov.. Moreover, *Oricola*

217 *cellulosilytica* JCM 19534^T, *Roseitalea porphyridii* MA7-20^T, and *Oceaniradius stylonematis* StC1^T

218 consistently grouped separately from the rest of the family *Phyllobacteriaceae* and instead formed a

219 clade with *Ahrensia kielensis* DSM 5890^T (**Figures 1, S1, S2, and S4**), the genus type strain for the type

220 genus of the family *Ahrensiaceae*. When calculated from core_138, all pairwise cpAAI values between

221 the four strains were >78% (**Figure 1**). We therefore propose that the genera *Oricola*, *Roseitalea*, and

222 *Oceaniradius* be reassigned to the family *Ahrensiaceae*.

223 The remaining 11 members of the family *Phyllobacteriaceae* included in this analysis were

224 paraphyletic in all four phylogenies (**Figures 1, S1, S2, and S4**). The minimal monophyletic group

225 containing all 11 *Phyllobacteriaceae* species also includes the families *Brucellaceae*, *Bartonellaceae*, and

226 *Notoacmeibacteraceae*; the inclusion of the genus *Bartonella* within this monophyletic group was

227 further supported by supplemental phylogenetic analyses (see **Text S2**; **Figures S9-S12**). As a result,

228 it was necessary either to split the family *Phyllobacteriaceae* into three families or to unify the families

Phyllobacteriaceae, *Brucellaceae*, *Bartonellaceae*, and *Notoacmeibacteraceae*. The cpAAI and wpAAI measurements favour the latter option; when calculated from core_138, all pairwise cpAAI values between members of these families were above 76.5%, except for comparisons involving *B. bacilliformis* KC583[T], which has a highly reduced genome (cpAAI values involving this strain ranged from 69% to 74.5%), or *Notoacmeibacter marinus* XMTR2A4[T], which displayed unexpectedly low cpAAI values against all strains (cpAAI values involving this strain ranged from 69% to 76%). Likewise, most cpAAI comparisons calculated with core_143 and wpAAI values were above the proposed thresholds of 78% and 63%, respectively. Based on these results, we propose that the families *Bartonellaceae*, *Brucellaceae*, *Notoacmeibacteraceae* and *Phyllobacteriaceae* be unified with the name *Bartonellaceae* as it takes priority. In addition, the strain *Limoniibacter endophyticus* KCTC 42097[T], currently assigned to the family *Hyphomicrobiaceae*, was consistently nested within the emended family *Bartonellaceae* in all phylogenies. We therefore propose that the genus *Limoniibacter* be reassigned to the family *Bartonellaceae*.

In contrast to our initial expectations [4], the data suggested that the family *Rhizobiaceae* did not need to be split into two or more families, while our supplemental phylogenetic analyses (see **Text S2**; **Figures S9-S12**) supported the inclusion of the recently proposed genus "*Flavimaribacter*" in the family *Rhizobiaceae* [20]. On the other hand, when calculated from core_138, 82.5% of the cpAAI comparisons between members of the family *Rhizobiaceae* and the emended family *Bartonellaceae* were above our proposed threshold of 75% (**Figure 1**). Similarly, 71.2% of the wpAAI comparisons between members of the family *Rhizobiaceae* and the emended family *Bartonellaceae* were above our proposed threshold of 63% (**Figure S3**), although only 36.6% of the cpAAI comparisons were above our proposed threshold of 78% when calculated from core_143 (**Figure S1**). The possibility of uniting these two families therefore merits consideration. However, we do not favour this approach for two reasons. First, the between-family cpAAI values (calculated from core_138) tended to be lower than

the within-family cpAAI values (**Figure 1**), with average values of 75.9% and 80.5%, respectively;

qualitatively similar results are seen with cpAAI calculated from core_143 and the wpAAI data (**Figure**

S1 and S3). In addition, in three of the four phylogenies, the families *Rhizobiaceae* and *Bartonellaceae*

were not sister taxa; instead, these families only formed a monophyletic group when the emended

family *Ahrensiaceae* was also included. The family *Ahrensiaceae* was better delineated from the family

Rhizobiaceae based on cpAAI and wpAAI values (**Figures 1, S1, and S3**); the average cpAAI value (when

calculated from core_138) for comparisons between species of the families *Ahrensiaceae* and

Rhizobiaceae was 74.1%, with 84% of comparisons below the proposed threshold of ~75% for family

delineation. Likewise, in the cases of cpAAI calculated from core_143 and wpAAI, 99% and 86%,

respectively, of the comparisons between species of the families *Ahrensiaceae* and *Rhizobiaceae* were

below the proposed thresholds for family delineation. These results suggest it would not be

appropriate to unify the families *Ahrensiaceae* and *Rhizobiaceae*. Considering the weight of the

evidence, we have therefore chosen to leave the *Rhizobiaceae*, the emended *Ahrensiaceae*, and the

emended *Bartonellaceae* as distinct families.

Taxonomic implications: formation of new families

Several families in the order *Hyphomicrobiales* were paraphyletic in all four of our phylogenies. To fix

the paraphyly and ensure all families are monophyletic, we propose the formation of three new

families and the reassignment of three genera to other existing families (see the following section).

The genus type strain *Rhodoblastus acidophilus* DSM 137[T] is currently assigned to the family

Roseiarcaceae. However, *R. acidophilus* DSM 137[T] did not form a monophyletic group with the genus

type strain *Roseiarcus fermentans* DSM 24875[T] that represents the type genus of the family

Roseiarcaceae. Instead, *R. acidophilus* DSM 137[T] formed its own lineage as a sister taxon to the family

Methylocystaceae in all four phylogenies (**Figures 1, S1, S2, and S4**). When calculated from core_138,

the pairwise cpAAI values between *R. acidophilus* DSM 137[T] and the two included genus type strains

of the family *Methylocystaceae* were 74.8% and 74.5% (**Figure 1**), which are below our proposed

threshold of 75% for family deliminatation. We therefore propose to reassign the genus *Rhodoblastus*

to the family *Rhodoblastaceae* fam. nov..

The genus *Methylopila* was not assigned to a family when it was proposed [21], but was

subsequently assigned to the family *Methylocystaceae* [22]. However, the genus type strain *Methylopila*

capsulata DSM 6130[T] did not cluster with the other members of the family *Methylocystaceae*, and

instead formed its own distinct lineage in the two phylogenies based on core_138 or perc95_138

(**Figures 1 and S3**). When calculated from core_138, all comparisons involving *M. capsulata* DSM 6130[T]

gave cpAAI values less than 74% (**Figure 1**). In the phylogenies based on core_143 or perc95_143,

which include five additional genus type strains, *M. capsulata* DSM 6130[T] formed a clade with

Chenggangzhangella methanolivorans CHL1[T] also currently assigned to the family *Methylocystaceae*

(**Figures S1 and S2**). When calculated from core_143, these two strains had a pairwise cpAAI value of

86.5% (**Figure S1**), which is above the proposed threshold of 78% for family delineation. The 16S rRNA

gene phylogenies (**Figures S7 and S8**) included two additional genus type strains, *Hansschlegelia*

plantiphila VKM B-2347[T] and *Albibacter methylovorans* DM10[T], of genera currently assigned to the

family *Methylocystaceae* but that lack publicly available whole genome sequence. In both phylogenies,

H. plantiphila VKM B-2347[T] and *A. methylovorans* DM10[T] formed a monophyletic clade with *M. capsulata*

DSM 6130[T] and *C. methanolivorans* CHL1[T], rather than with *Methylocystis parvus* OBBP[T], the genus type

strain of the type genus of the family *Methylocystaceae*. Based on these results, we propose to reassign

the genera *Methylopila*, *Chenggangzhangella*, *Hansschlegelia*, and *Albibacter* to the family

Methylopilaceae fam. nov..

The genus type strain *Rhodoligotrophos appendicifer* 120-1[T] is currently assigned to the family

Parvibaculaceae. However, instead of clustering with the other members of the family *Parvibaculaceae*,

it formed its own lineage as a sister taxon to the genus type strain *Aestuariivirga litoralis* KCTC 52945^T

of the family *Aestuariivirgaceae* in all four phylogenies (**Figures 1, S1, S2, and S4**). When calculated

from core_138, the pairwise cpAAI value between *R. appendicifer* 120-1^T and *A. litoralis* KCTC 52945^T

was 71.2% (**Figure 1**), which is below our proposed threshold of 75% for family delineation. We

therefore propose to reassign the genus *Rhodoligotrophos* to the family *Rhodoligotrophaceae* fam. nov..

Taxonomic implications: reassignment of genera

The genus type strain *Faunimonas pinastri* A52C2^T is currently assigned to the family

Pleomorphomonadaceae but did not cluster with other members of this family. Instead, in all four

phylogenies, *F. pinastri* A52C2^T formed a clade with the genus type strain *Afifella marina* DSM2698^T

that represents the only genus of the family *Afifellaceae* (**Figures 1, S1, S2, and S4**). When calculated

from core_138, the cpAAI value between *F. pinastri* A52C2^T and *A. marina* DSM2698^T was 75.6% (**Figure

1**), which is above our proposed threshold of 75% for family delimitation. We therefore propose to

reassign the genus *Faunimonas* to the family *Afifellaceae*.

The genus *Pseudochelatococcus* was not assigned to a family when it was proposed [23], but was

subsequently associated with the family *Beijerinckiaceae* [24]. However, the genus type strain

Pseudochelatococcus lubricantis DSM 103870^T did not cluster with the other members of the family

Beijerinckiaceae, and instead formed a clade with *Chelatococcus asaccharovorans* DSM 6462^T and

Camelimonas lactis DSM22958^T from the family *Chelatococcaceae* in all four phylogenies (**Figures 1, S1,

S2, and S4**). Moreover, the family *Chelatococcaceae* was not monophyletic without the inclusion of *P.

lubricantis* DSM 103870^T (**Figures 1, S1, S2, and S4**). When calculated from core_138, all pairwise cpAAI

values in this clade were >75% (**Figure 1**). We therefore propose to assign the genus

Pseudochelatococcus to the family *Chelatococcaceae*.

The genus type strain *Prosthecomicrobium pneumaticum* DSM 16268^T was previously assigned to

the family *Hyphomicrobiaceae* [25], although it was recently proposed that the genus

Prosthecomicrobium be reassigned to the family *Kaistiaceae* [26]. In all four phylogenies, *P.*

pneumaticum DSM 16268^T formed a clade with *Kaistia adipata* DSM 17808^T and *Bauldia litoralis* ATCC

35022^T of the family *Kaistiaceae* rather than with species of the family *Hyphomicrobiaceae* (**Figures 1,**

S1, S2, and S4). Moreover, the family *Kaistiaceae* was not monophyletic without the inclusion of *P.*

pneumaticum DSM 16268^T (**Figures 1, S1, S2, and S4**). When calculated from core_138, all pairwise

cpAAI values in this clade were >75% (**Figure 1**). Our data are therefore consistent with the proposal

to reassign the genus *Prosthecomicrobium* to the family *Kaistiaceae*.

Taxonomic implications: unification of families

The families *Segnochrobactraceae* and *Pseudoxanthobacteraceae* each contain a single validly published

genus. The genus type strains of these two genera formed a monophyletic group in all four

phylogenies (**Figures 1, S1, S2, and S4**). When comparing the genomes of these two strains, the

pairwise cpAAI value was 82.9% when calculated from core_138 (**Figure 1**) and 85.9% when calculated

from core_143 (**Figure S1**), while the wpAAI value was 70.0% (**Figure S3**). Given that these values are

all well above the proposed thresholds for family delimitation, we propose that the families

Segnochrobactraceae and *Pseudoxanthobacteraceae* be unified with the name *Segnochrobactraceae* as

it takes priority.

The families *Lichenihabitantaceae* and *Lichenibacteriaceae* each contain a single validly published

genus, based on strains that were originally isolated from lichens in the Antarctic and the subarctic

zone of the northern hemisphere, respectively [27, 28]. The genus type strains of these two genera

formed a monophyletic group in all four phylogenies (**Figures 1, S1, S2, and S4**). When comparing the

genomes of these two strains, the pairwise cpAAI value was 77.9% when calculated from core_138

(**Figure 1**) and 78.9% when calculated from core_143 (**Figure S1**), while the wpAAI value was 67%

(**Figure S3**). Given that these values are all above the proposed thresholds for family delimitation, we

propose that the families *Lichenihabitantaceae* and *Lichenibacteriaceae* be unified with the name

Lichenihabitantaceae as it takes priority.

The family *Breoghaniaceae* contains a single genus while the family *Stappiaceae* contains five

genera. The six genus type strains from these two families formed a monophyletic group in all four

phylogenies (**Figures 1, S1, S2, and S4**). When calculated from core_138, the pairwise cpAAI values

between members of the family *Stappiaceae* ranged between 77.0% and 81.6%, which overlapped the

cpAAI range of 76.2% to 78.9% for comparisons between members of the family *Stappiaceae* and

Breoghania corrubedonensis DSM 23382^T (**Figure 1**). Similar results are seen when using cpAAI

calculated from core_143 and wpAAI values (**Figures S1 and S3**). Considering these results, we

propose that the families *Stappiaceae* and *Breoghaniaceae* be unified with the name *Stappiaceae*. Both

family names were published in the same article [2] but *Stappiaceae* is our preferred choice because

Breoghaniaceae includes only a single genus.

Other taxonomic implications

In this study, we refrained from splitting monophyletic families based solely on cpAAI data.

Nevertheless, multiple families merit further study as candidates for splitting, namely the families

Amorphaceae, *Devosiaceae*, *Hyphomicrobiaceae*, and *Parvibaculaceae* (**Figure 1**). Additionally, a couple

of clades were not well-resolved based on the cpAAI data and the proposed thresholds, and thus also

merit further study. The family *Rhodobiaceae* and the emended family *Stappiaceae* are sister taxa that

are not well separated in the cpAAI data calculated from core_138 (**Figure 1**), with four of the six

between-family comparisons above 75%, although better separation is observed in the cpAAI data

calculated from core_143 and the wpAAI data (**Figure S1 and S3**). Likewise, the families

Methylobacteriaceae and *Salinarimonadaceae* were not well separated by the cpAAI or wpAAI data; six

of the eight cpAAI values calculated from core_138 were above 75% with the other two above 74.7%

(**Figure 1**), four of the eight cpAAI values calculated from core_143 were above 78% with the other

four above 77.5% (**Figure S1**), and all wpAAI values were above the proposed threshold of 63% (**Figure**

S3). As there was not overwhelming support for unification of the families *Rhodobiaceae* and

Stappiaceae, or the families *Methylobacteriaceae* and *Salinarimonadaceae*, we chose to leave all four as

distinct families. However, these taxa merit further consideration in future work.

Although it is not a genus type strain, we included the species type strain "*Pararhizobium*

mangrovi" BGMRC 6574^T in our dataset as preliminary investigations of the family *Rhizobiaceae*

suggested it belonged to a novel genus and potentially a novel family. Our full analysis did not support

the reassignment of "*P. mangrovi*" BGMRC 6574^T to a new family but it did support the recent proposal

to reclassify this strain as "*Allopararhizobium mangrovi*" BGMRC 6574^T once validly published [29].

Emended description of *Hyphomicrobiales* Douglas et al. 1957 (Approved Lists 1980) emend.

Hördt et al. 2020

The description is as given before [1, 2], with the following modification. The order currently comprises

the families *Aestuariivirgaceae*, *Afifellaceae*, *Ahrensiaceae*, *Alsobacteraceae*, *Amorphaceae*,

Ancalomicrobiaceae, *Aurantimonadaceae*, *Bartonellaceae*, *Beijerinckiaceae*, *Blastochloridaceae*,

Boseaceae, *Chelatococcaceae*, *Cohaesibacteraceae*, *Devosiaceae*, *Hyphomicrobiaceae*, *Kaistiaceae*,

Lichenihabitantaceae, *Methylobacteriaceae*, *Methylocystaceae*, *Methylopilaceae* fam. nov.,

Nitrobacteraceae, *Parvibaculaceae*, *Phreatobacteraceae*, *Pleomorphomonadaceae*, *Rhabdaerophilaceae*,

Rhizobiaceae, *Rhodobiaceae*, *Rhodoblastaceae* fam. nov., *Rhodoligotrophaceae* fam. nov., *Roseiarcaceae*,

Salaquimonadaceae fam. nov., *Salinarimonadaceae*, *Segnochrobactraceae*, *Stappiaceae*,

Tepidamorphaceae, and *Xanthobacteraceae*. The type genus is *Hyphomicrobium*. The families

Breoghaniaceae, *Brucellaceae*, *Lichenibacteriaceae*, *Notoacmeibacteraceae*, *Phyllobacteriaceae*, and

Pseudoxantobacteraceae were removed from the order and their genera transferred to other families

within the order.

Description of *Methylopilaceae* fam. nov.

Me.thyl.o.pil.a'ce.ae (N.L. fem. n. *Methylopila*, type genus of the family; *-aceae*, ending to denote a

family; N.L. fem. pl. n. *Methylopilaceae*, the *Methylopila* family).

Cells are aerobic, Gram-negative, rod-shaped or cocci, and motile or non-motile. The G+C content

as calculated from genome sequences is 68.2-69.7%, while the range provided in the literature is 64.4-

70.4 mol% [30, 31]. The family currently comprises the genera *Albibacter*, *Chenggangzhangella*,

Hansschlegelia, and *Methylopila* (the type genus). This family can be differentiated from other families

based on phylogenetic analyses of core proteome and 16S rRNA gene sequences, as well as OGRI

calculations (cpAAI and wpAAI).

Description of *Rhodoblastaceae* fam. nov.

411 Rho.do.blast.a'ceae (N.L. masc. n. *Rhodoblastus*, type genus of the family; *-aceae*, ending to denote a

412 family; N.L. fem. pl. n. *Rhodoblastaceae*, the *Rhodoblastus* family).

413 The description is as given for *Rhodoblastus* [32], which is the type and currently the sole genus

414 of the family. This family can be differentiated from other families based on phylogenetic analyses of

415 core proteome sequences and OGRI calculations (cpAAI and wpAAI).

Description of *Rhodoligotrophaceae* fam. nov.

Rho.do.li.go.tro'ph.a'ce.ae (N.L. masc. n. *Rhodoligotrophos*, type genus of the family; *-aceae*, ending to

denote a family; N.L. fem. pl. n. *Rhodoligotrophaceae*, the *Rhodoligotrophos* family).

The description is as given for *Rhodoligotrophos* [33], which is the type and currently the sole

genus of the family. This family can be differentiated from other families based on phylogenetic

analyses of core proteome sequences and OGRI calculations (cpAAI and wpAAI).

Description of *Salaquimonadaceae* fam. nov.

Sal.a.qui.mo'nad.a'ce.ae (N.L. fem. n. *Salaquimonas*, type genus of the family; -*aceae*, ending to denote

a family; N.L. fem. pl. n. *Salaquimonadaceae*, the *Salaquimonas* family).

The description is as given for *Salaquimonas* [34], which is the type and currently the sole genus

of the family. This family can be differentiated from other families based on phylogenetic analyses of

core proteome sequences and OGRI calculations (cpAAI and wpAAI).

Emended description of *Afifellaceae* Hördt et al. 2020

The description is as given before [2], with the following modification. The family currently comprises

the genera *Afifella* (the type genus), and *Faunimonas*.

Emended description of *Ahrensiaceae* Hördt et al. 2020

The description is as given before [2], with the following modification. The family currently comprises

the genera *Ahrensia* (the type genus), *Pseudahrensia*, *Oricola*, *Roseitalea*, and *Oceaniradius*.

Emended description of *Bartonellaceae* Gieszczykiewicz 1939 (Approved Lists 1980) emend.

Brenner et al. 1993

The description is based on descriptions provided previously [2, 35–39]. Cells are Gram-negative,

aerobic, with variable morphology (rod, ovoid, coccoid, coccobacilli, or ring or disk shaped). Cells can

be motile by means of flagella, or non-motile. Generally catalase and oxidase positive. Predominantly

aerobic or facultatively anaerobic heterotrophs, with some species able to utilize carbohydrates while

others cannot. Generally non-spore-forming. The optimum growth temperature ranges between 20˚C

and 37˚C. The predominant respiratory quinone is generally Q-10. Some species are human

pathogens. The G+C content as calculated from genome sequences of genus type strains is 38.2-65.1%

The family currently comprises the genera *Aminobacter*, *Aquamicrobium*, *Aquibium*, *Bartonella* (the

type genus), *Brucella*, *Chelativorans*, *Corticibacterium*, *Daeguia*, *Falsochrobactrum*, *Limoniibacter*,

Mesorhizobium, *Nitratireductor*, *Notoacmeibacter*, *Ochrobactrum*, *Paenochrobactrum*, *Phyllobacterium*,

Pseudaminobacter, *Pseudochrobactrum*, *Tianweitania*, and *Zhengella*.

Emended description of *Beijerinckiaceae* Grarity et al. 2006 emend. Hördt et al. 2020

The description is as given before [2]. The family currently comprises the genera *Beijerinckia* (the type

genus), *Methylocapsa*, *Methylocella*, *Methyloferula*, *Methylorosula*, and *Methylovirgula*. The genus

Pseudochelatococcus was removed from the family and transferred to the family *Chelatococcaceae*.

Emended description of *Chelatococcaceae* Dedysh et al. 2016

The description is as given before [40], with the following modification. The family currently comprises

the genera *Camelimonas*, *Chelatococcus* (the type genus), and *Pseudochelatococcus*.

Emended description of *Hyphomicrobiaceae* Babudieri 1950 (Approved Lists 1980) emend. Hördt

et al. 2020

The description is as given before [2] with the following modifications. The family currently comprises

the genera *Dichotomicrobium*, *Filomicrobium*, *Hyphomicrobium* (the type genus), *Methyloceanibacter*,

Methyloligella, *Pedomicrobium*, *Rhodomicrobium*, and *Seliberia*. The genus *Limoniibacter* was removed

from the family and transferred to the emended family *Bartonellaceae*. The family also does not

encompass the genus *Caenibius* (*Erythrobacteraceae, Sphingomonadales*), which appears to have been

mistakenly included in the description of the family *Hyphomicrobiaceae* by Hördt et al. (2020) [2].

Emended description of *Lichenihabitantaceae* Noh et al. 2019

The description is as given before [27] with the following modifications. Cells are aerobic or facultative

anaerobic. The family currently comprises the genera *Lichenibacterium* and *Lichenihabitans* (the type

genus).

Emended description of *Methylocystaceae* Bowman 2006 emend. Hördt et al. 2020

The description is as given before [2]. The family currently comprises the genera *Methylocystis* (the

type genus) and *Methylosinus*. The genera *Albibacter*, *Chenggangzhangella*, *Hansschlegelia*, and

Methylopila were removed from the family and transferred to *Methylopilaceae* fam. nov..

Emended description of *Parvibaculaceae* Hördt et al. 2020

The description is as given before [2], with the following modification. The family currently comprises

the genera *Parvibaculum* (the type genus) and *Tepidicaulis*. The genera *Anderseniella* and *Pyruvatibacter*

are tentatively assigned to this family as well. The genus *Rhodoligotrophos* was removed from the

family and transferred to *Rhodoligotrophaceae* fam. nov..

Emended description of *Pleomorphomonadaceae* Hördt et al. 2020

The description is as given before [2]. The family currently comprises the genera *Chthonobacter*,

Hartmannibacter, *Methylobrevis*, *Mongoliimonas*, *Oharaeibacter*, and *Pleomorphomonas* (the type

genus). The genus *Faunimonas* assigned to this family by Proença et al. (2022) [41] was removed from

the family and transferred to the family *Afifellaceae*.

Emended description of *Rhizobiaceae* Conn 1938 (Approved Lists 1980) emend. Hördt et al. 2020

The description is as given before [2, 42], with the following modification. The family currently comprises the genera *Agrobacterium*, *Allorhizobium*, *Ciceribacter*, *Endobacterium*, *Ensifer*, *Ferranicluibacter*, *Gellertiella*, *Georhizobium*, *Hoeflea*, *Lentilitoribacter*, *Liberibacter*, *Martelella*, *Mycoplana*, *Neorhizobium*, *Onobrychidicola*, *Pararhizobium*, *Peteryoungia*, *Pseudohoeflea*, *Pseudorhizobium*, *Rhizobium* (the type genus), *Shinella*, *Sinorhizobium*, and *Xaviernesmea*.

Emended description of *Roseiarcaceae* Kulichevskaya et al. 2014 emend. Hördt et al. 2020

The description is as given before [2, 43], with the following modification. The family comprises the genus *Roseiarcus*, which is the type and currently the sole genus of the family. The genus *Rhodoblastus* was removed from the family and transferred to *Rhodoblastaceae* fam. nov..

Emended description of *Segnochrobactraceae* Akter et al. 2020

The description is as given before [44], with the following modification. The family currently comprises the genera *Pseudoxanthobacter* and *Segnochrobactrum* (the type genus).

Emended description of *Stappiaceae* Hördt et al. 2020

The description is as given before [2], with the following modification. The family currently comprises the genera *Breoghania*, *Hongsoonwoonella*, *Pannonibacter*, *Pseudovibrio*, *Roseibium*, and *Stappia* (the type genus).

REFERENCES

1. **Kuykendall LD**. Order VI, *Rhizobiales* ord. nov. In: Brenner DJ, Krieg NR, Staley JT, Garrity GM (editors). *Bergey's Manual of Systematic Bacteriology: The Proteobacteria, (Part C)*. New York, NY:

Springer; 2005. p. 324.

2. **Hördt A, López MG, Meier-Kolthoff JP, Schleuning M, Weinhold L-M, *et al.*** Analysis of 1,000+

type-strain genomes substantially improves taxonomic classification of *Alphaproteobacteria*. *Front*

Microbiol 2020;11:468.

3. **Douglas HC**. Order III. *Hyphomicrobiales* Douglas, ord. nov. In: Breed RS, Murray EGD, Smith NR

(editors). *Bergey's Manual of Determinative Bacteriology*. Baltimore, USA: The Williams and Wilkins

Co.; 1957. p. 276.

4. **Kuzmanović N, Fagorzi C, Mengoni A, Lassalle F, diCenzo GC**. Taxonomy of *Rhizobiaceae*

revisited: proposal of a new framework for genus delimitation. *Int J Syst Evol Microbiol*

2022;72:005243.

5. **Parte AC, Sardà Carbasse J, Meier-Kolthoff JP**, **Reimer LC, Göker M**. List of Prokaryotic names

with Standing in Nomenclature (LPSN) moves to the DSMZ. *Int J Syst Evol Microbiol* 2020;70:5607–

5612.

6. **Contreras-Moreira B, Vinuesa P**. GET_HOMOLOGUES, a versatile software package for scalable

and robust microbial pangenome analysis. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 2013;79:7696–7701.

7. **Vinuesa P, Ochoa-Sánchez LE, Contreras-Moreira B**. GET_PHYLOMARKERS, a software package

to select optimal orthologous clusters for phylogenomics and inferring pan-genome phylogenies,

used for a critical geno-taxonomic revision of the genus *Stenotrophomonas*. *Front Microbiol*

2018;9:771.

8. **Kuzmanović N, Biondi E**, **Overmann J, Puławska J, Verbarg S, *et al.*** Genomic analysis provides

novel insights into diversification and taxonomy of *Allorhizobium vitis* (i.e. *Agrobacterium vitis*). *BMC*

Genomics 2022;23:462.

9. **Paradis E, Schliep K**. ape 5.0: an environment for modern phylogenetics and evolutionary

analyses in R. *Bioinformatics* 2019;35:526–528.

10. **Kim D, Park S, Chun J**. Introducing EzAAI: a pipeline for high throughput calculations of

prokaryotic average amino acid identity. *J Microbiol* 2021;59:476–480.

11. **Hyatt D, Chen G-L, Locascio PF, Land ML, Larimer FW, *et al.*** Prodigal: prokaryotic gene

recognition and translation initiation site identification. *BMC Bioinform* 2010;11:119.

12. **Sievers F, Wilm A, Dineen D, Gibson TJ, Karplus K, *et al.*** Fast, scalable generation of high-quality

protein multiple sequence alignments using Clustal Omega. *Mol Syst Biol* 2011;7:539.

13. **Katoh K, Standley DM**. MAFFT multiple sequence alignment software version 7: improvements

in performance and usability. *Mol Biol Evol* 2013;30:772–780.

14. **Capella-Gutiérrez S, Silla-Martínez JM, Gabaldón T**. trimAl: a tool for automated alignment

trimming in large-scale phylogenetic analyses. *Bioinformatics* 2009;25:1972–1973.

15. **Kalyaanamoorthy S, Minh BQ, Wong TKF, Von Haeseler A, Jermiin LS**. ModelFinder: fast model

selection for accurate phylogenetic estimates. *Nat Methods* 2017;14:587–589.

16. **Minh BQ, Schmidt HA, Chernomor O, Schrempf D, Woodhams MD, *et al.*** IQ-TREE 2: new

models and efficient methods for phylogenetic inference in the genomic era. *Mol Biol Evol*

2020;37:1530–1534.

17. **Guindon S, Dufayard J-F, Lefort V, Anisimova M, Hordijk W, *et al.*** New algorithms and methods

to estimate maximum-likelihood phylogenies: assessing the performance of PhyML 3.0. *Syst Biol*

2010;59:307–321.

18. **Letunic I, Bork P**. Interactive tree of life (iTOL) v3: an online tool for the display and annotation

of phylogenetic and other trees. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2016;44:W242–W245.

19. **Hassler HB, Probert B, Moore C, Lawson E, Jackson RW, *et al.*** Phylogenies of the 16S rRNA

gene and its hypervariable regions lack concordance with core genome phylogenies. *Microbiome*

2022;10:104.

20. **Wang X-N, Wang L, He W, Yang Q, Zhang D-F**. Description of *Flavimaribacter sediminis* gen. nov.,

sp. nov., a new member of the family *Rhizobiaceae* isolated from marine sediment. *Curr Microbiol*

2023;80:301.

21. **Doronina NV, Trotsenko YA, Krausova VI, Boulygina ES, Tourova TP**. *Methylopila capsulata* gen.

nov., sp. nov., a novel non-pigmented aerobic facultatively methylotrophic bacterium. *Int J Syst*

Bacteriol 1998;48:1313–1321.

22. **Bowman JP**. Family V. *Methylocystaceae* fam. nov. In: Brenner DJ, Krieg NR, Staley JT, Garrity GM

(editors). *Bergey's Manual of Systematic Bacteriology: The Proteobacteria, (Part C)*. New York, NY:

Springer; 2005. p. 411.

23. **Kämpfer P, Glaeser SP, Gräber M, Rabenstein A, Kuever J, *et al.** Pseudochelatococcus lubricantis*

gen. nov., sp. nov. and *Pseudochelatococcus contaminans* sp. nov. from coolant lubricants. *Int J Syst*

Evol Microbiol 2015;65:147–153.

24. **Chen X, Li Q-Y, Li G-D, Lei H, Jiang Y, *et al.** Enterovirga rhinocerotis* gen. nov., sp. nov., isolated

from *Rhinoceros unicornis* faeces. *Antonie Van Leeuwenhoek* 2017;110:553–562.

25. **Garrity GM, Bell JA, Lilburn T**. Class I. *Alphaproteobacteria* class. nov. In: Brenner DJ, Krieg NR,

Staley JT, Garrity GM (editors). *Bergey's Manual of Systematic Bacteriology: The Proteobacteria, (Part*

C). New York, NY: Springer; 2005. p. 1.

26. **Vasilyeva L, Grouzdev D, Koziaeva V, Berestovskaya Y, Novikov A, *et al.** Prosthecodimorpha*

staleyi gen. nov., sp. nov., novel prosthecate bacteria within the family *Ancalomicrobiaceae* and

reclassification of the polyphyletic genus *Prosthecomicrobium*. *Microbiology* 2022;91:479–488.

27. **Noh H-J, Baek K, Hwang CY, Shin SC, Hong SG, *et al.** Lichenihabitans psoromatis* gen. nov., sp.

nov., a member of a novel lineage (*Lichenihabitantaceae* fam. nov.) within the order of *Rhizobiales*

isolated from Antarctic lichen. *Int J Syst Evol Microbiol* 2019;69:3837–3842.

28. **Pankratov TA, Grouzdev DS, Patutina EO, Kolganova TV, Suzina NE, *et al.** Lichenibacterium*

ramalinae gen. nov, sp. nov., *Lichenibacterium minor* sp. nov., the first endophytic, beta-carotene

producing bacterial representatives from lichen thalli and the proposal of the new family

Lichenibacteriaceae within the order *Rhizobiales*. *Antonie Van Leeuwenhoek* 2020;113:477–489.

29. **Ma T, Xue H, Piao C, Jiang N, Li Y**. Phylogenomic reappraisal of the family *Rhizobiaceae* at the

genus and species levels, including the description of *Ectorhizobium quercum* gen. nov., sp. nov.

Front Microbiol 2023;14:1207256.

30. **Zou X, Li X, Wang X-M, Chen Q, Gao M, *et al.** Hansschlegelia beijingensis* sp. nov., an aerobic, pink-

pigmented, facultatively methylotrophic bacterium isolated from watermelon rhizosphere soil.

International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology 2013;63:3715–3719.

31. **Yang L-Q, Liu L, Salam N, Xiao M, Kim C-J, *et al.** Chenggangzhangella methanolivorans* gen. nov.,

sp. nov., a member of the family *Methylocystaceae*, transfer of *Methylopila helvetica* Doronina et al.

2000 to *Albibacter helveticus* comb. nov. and emended description of the genus *Albibacter*.

International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology 2016;66:2825–2830.

32. **Imhoff JF**. Transfer of *Rhodopseudomonas acidophila* to the new genus *Rhodoblastus* as

Rhodoblastus acidophilus gen. nov., comb. nov. *Int J Syst Evol Microbiol* 2001;51:1863–1866.

33. **Fukuda W, Yamada K, Miyoshi Y, Okuno H, Atomi H, *et al.** Rhodoligotrophos appendicifer* gen.

nov., sp. nov., an appendaged bacterium isolated from a freshwater Antarctic lake. *Int J Syst Evol*

Microbiol 2012;62:1945–1950.

34. **Kim Y-S, Jeon YJ, Kim K-H**. *Salaquimonas pukyongi* gen. nov., sp. nov., a novel bacterium within

the family *Phyllobacteriaceae*. *Int J Syst Evol Microbiol* 2019;69:3751–3756.

35. **Alton GG, Forsyth JRL**. *Brucella*. In: Baron S (editor). *Medical Microbiology*. Galveston (TX):

University of Texas Medical Branch at Galveston. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK8572/

(1996, accessed 21 October 2023).

36. **Holmes B, Popoff M, Kiredjian M, Kersters K**. *Ochrobactrum anthropi* gen. nov., sp. nov. from

human clinical specimens and previously known as group Vd. *International Journal of Systematic*

613 *Bacteriology* 1988;38:406–416.

37. **Brenner DJ, O'Connor SP, Winkler HH, Steigerwalt AG**. Proposals to unify the genera *Bartonella* and *Rochalimaea*, with descriptions of *Bartonella quintana* comb. nov., *Bartonella vinsonii* comb. nov., *Bartonella henselae* comb. nov., and *Bartonella elizabethae* comb. nov., and to remove the family *Bartonellaceae* from the order *Rickettsiales*. *Int J Syst Bacteriol* 1993;43:777–786.

38. **Huang Z, Guo F, Lai Q, Shao Z**. *Notoacmeibacter marinus* gen. nov., sp. nov., isolated from the gut of a limpet and proposal of *Notoacmeibacteraceae* fam. nov. in the order *Rhizobiales* of the class *Alphaproteobacteria*. *International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology* 2017;67:2527–2531.

39. **Willems A**. The Family *Phyllobacteriaceae*. In: Rosenberg E, DeLong EF, Lory S, Stackebrandt E, Thompson F (editors). *The Prokaryotes*. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer Berlin Heidelberg. pp. 355–418.

40. **Dedysh SN, Haupt ES, Dunfield PF**. Emended description of the family *Beijerinckiaceae* and transfer of the genera *Chelatococcus* and *Camelimonas* to the family *Chelatococcaceae* fam. nov. *Int J Syst Evol Microbiol* 2016;66:3177–3182.

41. **Proença DN, Whitman WB, Shapiro N, Woyke T, Kyrpides NC, *et al.*** *Faunimonas pinastri* gen. nov., sp. nov., an endophyte from a pine tree of the family *Pleomorphomonadaceae*, class *Alphaproteobacteria*. *Int J Syst Evol Microbiol*;72. Epub ahead of print December 2022. DOI: 10.1099/ijsem.0.005623.

42. **Conn HJ**. Taxonomic relationships of certain non-spore-forming rods in soil. *J Bacteriol* 1938;36:320–321.

43. **Kulichevskaya IS, Danilova OV, Tereshina VM, Kevbrin VV, Dedysh SN**. Descriptions of *Roseiarcus fermentans* gen. nov., sp. nov., a bacteriochlorophyll *a*-containing fermentative bacterium related phylogenetically to alphaproteobacterial methanotrophs, and of the family

637   *Roseiarcaceae* fam. nov. *Int J Syst Evol Microbiol* 2014;64:2558–2565.

638   44. **Akter S, Shazib SUA, Shin MK**. *Segnochrobactrum spirostomi* gen. nov., sp. nov., isolated from the

639   ciliate *Spirostomum yagiui* and description of a novel family, *Segnochrobactraceae* fam. nov. within

640   the order *Rhizobiales* of the class *Alphaproteobacteria*. *Int J Syst Evol Microbiol* 2020;70:1250–1258.

 FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1. Phylogenetic and core-proteome AAI (cpAA) analyses of the order *Hyphomicrobiales*.

On the left, a maximum likelihood phylogeny of 133 *Hyphomicrobiales* type strains is shown, built using

the concatenated protein alignments encoded by the perc95_138 gene set (267 genes present in at

least 95% of the strains). The phylogeny was rooted using five *Caulobacterales* type strains as the

outgroup. The numbers on the nodes indicate the ultra-fast jackknife values using a 40% resampling

rate (top numbers) and the SH-aLRT support values (bottom numbers), both calculated from 1000

replicates. Values are only shown at nodes where at least one value is below 100. The scale bar

represents the average number of amino acid substitutions per site. To the right of the phylogeny is

the current family assignment of each of the 133 *Hyphomicrobiales* type strains, followed to the right

by the proposed family assignment of each strain. On the righthand side, a matrix is provided showing

the cpAAI values between each pair of strains calculated using the proteins encoded by the core_138

gene set (59 genes present in 100% of the strains). Values less than 75% are in white while all values

greater than 85% are the same shade of blue. Black boxes indicate the proposed families.

Figure 2. Distribution of core-proteome AAI (cpAAI) comparisons of the order *Hyphomicrobiales*.

Pairwise cpAAI values were calculated based on 59 nonrecombinant loci from the core genome of 133

members of the order *Hyphomicrobiales* and five members of the order *Caulobacterales*. Results are

summarized as histograms with a bin width of 1%. The cpAAI values calculated between two strains

belonging to different orders (yellow), different families but same order (blue), or the same family

(red) are summarized separately. Dashed vertical lines represent the mean value of each distribution.

In all plots, cpAAI values where both strains belong to the order *Caulobacterales* were excluded. (**A**)

The distribution of all pairwise cpAAI values with the classification (i.e., between order, between family,

or within family) based on existing taxonomic assignments. (**B**) The distribution of all pairwise cpAAI

values except for those including at least one strain from the family *Rhizobiaceae*, with the

classification based on existing taxonomic assignments. (**C**) The distribution of all pairwise cpAAI

values with the classification based on the proposed taxonomic assignments. (**D**) The distribution of

all pairwise cpAAI values except for those including at least one strain from the family *Rhizobiaceae*,

with the classification based on the proposed taxonomic assignments.

Table 1. Properties of the gene sets used for phylogenetic and overall genome similarity analyses.

	Gene set			
	core_143	perc95_143	core_138	perc95_138
Number of *Hyphomicrobiales* strains	138	138	133	133
Number of *Caulobacterales* strains	5	5	5	5
Genomes containing the genes (%)	100	≥95	100	≥95
Number of genes	19	256	59	267

673
Figure 1. Phylogenetic and core-proteome AAI (cpAA) analyses of the order *Hyphomicrobiales*. On the left, a maximum likelihood
phylogeny of 133 *Hyphomicrobiales* type strains is shown, built using the concatenated protein alignments encoded by the perc95_138 gene

set (267 genes present in at least 95% of the strains). The phylogeny was rooted using five *Caulobacterales* type strains as the outgroup. The
numbers on the nodes indicate the ultra-fast jackknife values using a 40% resampling rate (top numbers) and the SH-aLRT support values
(bottom numbers), both calculated from 1000 replicates. Values are only shown at nodes where at least one value is below 100. The scale
bar represents the average number of amino acid substitutions per site. To the right of the phylogeny is the current family assignment of
each of the 133 *Hyphomicrobiales* type strains, followed to the right by the proposed family assignment of each strain. On the righthand side,
a matrix is provided showing the cpAAI values between each pair of strains calculated using the proteins encoded by the core_138 gene set
(59 genes present in 100% of the strains). Values less than 75% are in white while all values greater than 85% are the same shade of blue.
Black boxes indicate the proposed families.

Figure 2. Distribution of core-proteome AAI (cpAAI) comparisons of the order *Hyphomicrobiales*.
Pairwise cpAAI values were calculated based on 59 nonrecombinant loci from the core genome of 133 members of the order *Hyphomicrobiales* and five members of the order *Caulobacterales*. Results are summarized as histograms with a bin width of 1%. The cpAAI values calculated between two strains belonging to different orders (yellow), different families but same order (blue), or the same family (red) are summarized separately. Dashed vertical lines represent the mean value of each distribution. In all plots, cpAAI values where both strains belong to the order *Caulobacterales* were excluded. (**A**) The distribution of all pairwise cpAAI values with the classification (i.e., between order, between family, or within family) based on existing taxonomic assignments. (**B**) The distribution of all pairwise cpAAI values except for those including at least one strain from the family *Rhizobiaceae*, with the classification based on existing taxonomic assignments. (**C**) The distribution of all pairwise cpAAI values with the classification based on the proposed taxonomic assignments. (**D**) The distribution of all pairwise cpAAI values except for those including at least one strain from the family *Rhizobiaceae*, with the classification based on the proposed taxonomic assignments.