Virus specificity and nucleoporin requirements for MX2 activity are affected by GTPase function and capsid-CypA interactions

Bailey Layish[1], Ram Goli[1], Haley Flick[1], Szu-Wei Huang[2], Robert Z. Zhang[1], Mamuka Kvaratskhelia[2], Melissa Kane[1*]

[1]Department of Pediatrics, Division of Infectious Diseases, University of Pittsburgh School of Medicine, Pittsburgh, PA 15224, USA

[2]Division of Infectious Diseases, University of Colorado Anschutz Medical Campus, Aurora, CO 80045, USA

*Address correspondence to Dr. M. Kane, Tel. (412-692-5493); e-mail: kaneme@pitt.edu

Short title: MX2 interactions with CypA and HIV-1

Abstract

Human myxovirus resistance 2 (MX2/MXB) is an interferon-induced GTPase that inhibits human immunodeficiency virus-1 (HIV-1) infection by preventing nuclear import of the viral preintegration complex. The HIV-1 capsid (CA) is the major viral determinant for sensitivity to MX2, and complex interactions between MX2, CA, nucleoporins (Nups), cyclophilin A (CypA), and other cellular proteins influence the outcome of viral infection. To explore the interactions between MX2, the viral CA, and CypA, we utilized a CRISPR-Cas9/AAV approach to generate CypA knock-out cell lines as well as cells that express CypA from its endogenous locus, but with specific point mutations that would abrogate CA binding but should not affect enzymatic activity or cellular function. We found that infection of CypA knock-out and point mutant cell lines with wild-type HIV-1 and CA mutants recapitulated the phenotypes observed upon cyclosporine A (CsA) addition, indicating that effects of CsA treatment are the direct result of blocking CA-CypA interactions and are therefore independent from potential interactions between CypA and MX2 or other cellular proteins. Notably, abrogation of GTP hydrolysis by MX2 conferred enhanced antiviral activity when CA-CypA interactions were abolished, and this effect was not mediated by the CA-binding residues in the GTPase domain, or by phosphorylation of MX2 at position T151. We additionally found that elimination of GTPase activity also altered the Nup requirements for MX2 activity. Our data demonstrate that the antiviral activity of MX2 is affected by CypA-CA interactions in a virus-specific and GTPase activity-dependent manner. These findings further highlight the importance of the GTPase domain of MX2 in regulation of substrate specificity and interaction with nucleocytoplasmic trafficking pathways.

Author Summary

HIV-1 entry into the nucleus is an essential step in viral replication that involves complex interactions between the viral capsid and multiple cellular proteins, including the proline isomerase cyclophilin A. Nuclear entry of HIV-1 and other primate lentiviruses is inhibited by the antiviral protein MX2. Here, we show that direct interactions between capsid and cyclophilin A

affect the antiviral activity and specificity of MX2, and that these interactions are altered when the

enzymatic activity of MX2 is eliminated. We demonstrate that abolishing enzymatic activity of MX2

also alters the requirements for nuclear pore complex components for viral restriction. Our study

provides new insights into how the enzymatic function of MX2 affects inhibition of lentiviral nuclear

import.

<u>Introduction</u>

Innate immune detection of viral infection results in the production of type I interferons (IFNs),

which in turn induce the expression of hundreds of IFN-stimulated genes (ISGs) that confer an

'antiviral' state, impeding the propagation of many viruses (1, 2). Among the antiviral ISGs are

the dynamin-like guanosine triphosphatases (GTPases) *MX1* (*MXA*) and *MX2* (*MXB*). Both

proteins contain an amino-terminal GTPase domain connected to a carboxy-terminal stalk domain

via a tripartite bundle signaling element (BSE) (3). MX1 is exclusively found in the cytoplasm,

while MX2 contains a nuclear localization signal (NLS)-like sequence in its first 25 amino acids

and localizes at the nuclear pore complex (NPC) (4-6). MX1 has long been known as a broadly

acting and potent antiviral protein (3), while MX2 was ascribed with antiviral function in 2013 as

an inhibitor of HIV-1 and other primate lentiviruses, and later shown to also restrict replication of

herpesviruses and hepatitis B and C viruses (6-11).

MX2 inhibits HIV-1 infection prior to the chromosomal integration of proviral DNA, but after

the completion of reverse transcription (6, 7, 12), and current models suggest that it acts by

preventing nuclear import of the viral preintegration complex. The viral capsid (CA) is the major

viral determinant of MX2 sensitivity, and several single amino-acid substitutions in CA have been

identified that confer partial or complete resistance to MX2 (6-8, 13, 14). MX2 has also been found

to directly bind the HIV-1 CA, however the relevance of this binding for viral inhibition is unclear

since MX2-resistant CA proteins are still efficiently bound by MX2 (15, 16).

The antiviral activity of MX1 has been extensively investigated, and current understanding

indicates that engagement of MX1 with components of viral replication complexes (such as the

nucleoprotein of orthomyxoviruses) results in higher order oligomerization, followed by GTP

hydrolysis and conformational changes which lead to the perturbation of viral

transcription/replication via mislocalization of targeted components, blocking nuclear

translocation, and/or disruption of the functional integrity of the replication complex. GTPase

function and higher order oligomerization are generally required for antiviral activity of MX1 (3).

Conversely, GTP binding and hydrolysis are dispensable for the antiviral activity of MX2 (6, 7,

17), and although dimerization is essential, higher order oligomerization is not required for viral

restriction (16, 18). Finally, while anti-viral specificity of MX1 is largely determined by a disordered

loop (L4) in the stalk domain (19), antiviral specificity of MX2 is determined by the N-terminal

domain (NTD) (13, 17), indicating that the mechanisms underlying inhibition of viral infection by

MX1 and MX2 are distinct. The antiviral activity of MX2 is also regulated by protein

phosphorylation. Phosphorylation of serines 14, 17, and 18 in the NTD suppresses antiviral

activity and alters interactions with the viral CA and accumulation at the nuclear envelope, while

dephosphorylation by myosin light chain phosphatase (MLCP) upon IFN stimulation restores

antiviral function (20). Phosphorylation status of additional residues throughout all domains of

MX2 also alter the antiviral activity and specificity of MX2 (21). Thus, a variety of determinants

throughout MX2 modulate its function and viral specificity.

Sensitivity of HIV-1 to MX2 activity is affected by complex interactions between CA and

cellular proteins involved in the early stages of HIV-1 infection, including nucleoporins (Nups) and

the peptidyl-prolyl isomerase cyclophilin A (CypA) (reviewed in (22)). CypA-CA interactions can

affect many early steps of HIV-1 replication, disruption of the interaction between HIV-1 and CypA,

either genetically or by cyclosporine A (CsA) addition, reduces the efficiency of HIV-1 infection

(reviewed in (23)), and some studies have indicated that CypA-CA interactions influence nuclear

entry (24, 25), and more recently CypA has been shown to protect the HIV-1 CA from restriction

by TRIM5α (26, 27). However, it remains unclear whether the isomerase activity of CypA plays a

role in its pro-viral function, since mutations that abolish enzymatic activity also affect CA-binding.

Indeed, defining a precise role for CypA in HIV-1 infection has proved difficult because CsA

treatment has diverse enhancing and inhibiting effects on infection that are determined by CA

mutations and target cell type, suggesting that the function of CypA in HIV-1 infection is

differentially affected by other cellular proteins in various contexts (reviewed in (23, 28)).

Here, we investigated the effects of CA-CypA interactions on the antiviral activity and

specificity of MX2. We find that the effects of CypA on MX2 activity against HIV-1 are the direct

result of CA-CypA interactions. We also identified cell-type-dependent determinants for the

GTPase and oligomerization requirements for MX2 activity. We show that abrogation of GTP

hydrolysis by MX2 conferred enhanced antiviral activity when CA-CypA interactions were

abolished. This activity is independent of previously reported CA-binding residues in the GTPase-

domain of MX2. Finally, we show that GTPase-deficient MX2 has altered nucleoporin

requirements for antiviral activity. These results indicate that GTPase function alters substrate

specificity and antiviral function of MX2.

Results

The effects of CypA on MX2 activity are mediated by direct interactions between CypA and

the viral CA.   Multiple previous reports have demonstrated that CsA addition abolishes the

antiviral activity of MX2 against wild-type HIV-1 (HIV-1$_{WT}$) (8, 29, 30), and CA mutants unable to

bind CypA (eg. G89V and P90A) are MX2-resistant (6-8, 12, 14, 15, 30) suggesting that MX2 is

only active against CypA bound HIV-1. However, CA mutations have multiple pleiotropic effects,

and CsA treatment targets multiple cyclophilin proteins (23, 31, 32). Furthermore, CypA also has

multiple cellular functions that affect protein folding, trafficking, interaction, and activation (33).

Therefore, to address whether there are capsid-independent effects of CypA on HIV-1 infection

or MX2 activity, we generated CypA knock-out cell lines as well as cells expressing CypA from its

endogenous locus but with specific point mutations introduced to alter CA-binding specificity.

TRIMCyp from both rhesus and pigtailed macaques does not restrict HIV-1 infection and differ

from human CypA at only two amino acid positions [D66N and R69H], both of which are outside

the active site (Fig 1A) and differently affect binding to lentiviral capsids (34, 35).   We first

generated cells expressing HA-tagged owl monkey TRIMCyp (omkTRIMCyp), or chimeric

proteins in which the CypA domain was replaced with human CypA (TRIM-huCypA) or human

CypA with D66N, R69H, or both D66N/R69H substitutions. We then challenged these cells with

HIV-1$_{WT}$, its derivatives encoding CA mutations with altered MX2-sensitivity, and other lentiviruses

(S1 Fig). Cells were also treated with the CypA inhibitor cyclosporine A (CsA) at the time of

infection to abolish CypA:CA interactions. As expected, HIV-1$_{WT}$ infection was potently inhibited

(~200-fold) by TRIM-huCypA$_{D66N}$ and TRIM-huCypA$_{D66N/R69H}$ in a manner reversible by CsA

treatment, while TRIM-huCypA$_{R69H}$ was inactive against HIV-1$_{WT}$. With the exception of the

cyclophilin-binding loop mutant G89V, all HIV-1 CA mutants tested exhibited similar sensitivity to

the chimeric TRIM-huCypAs as the WT virus. HIV-2 on the other hand, was inhibited (~10-fold)

by TRIM-huCypA$_{D66N}$ and TRIM-huCypA$_{D66N/R69H}$, but not TRIM-huCypA$_{R69H}$, while SIVmac was

insensitive to all TRIMCyps since it does not bind cyclophilins (S1 Fig) (25, 36, 37).

To generate CypA mutant cell lines, we employed a CRISPR/Cas9-AAV-based gene

engineering approach to target the *PPIA* locus (S2A Fig). CypA knock-out cells were generated

by introduction of guide RNAs targeting intron 1 and exon 4, resulting in either a deletion of most

of the coding sequence or a frameshift mutation that abrogated expression (S2B Fig). To generate

point mutant cells, an AAV containing donor-DNA for homology-directed repair was introduced

with D66N and/or R69H mutations (S2A and S2C Fig). Single cell clones with the desired mutation

were confirmed by sequencing of both genomic DNA and mRNA. We then tested the ability of

CypA in control and point mutant clones to bind HIV-1 CA *in vitro* (S3 Fig), and found that both

CypA$_{WT}$ and CypA$_{R69H}$, but not CypA$_{D66N}$ or CypA$_{D66N/R69H}$ pelleted with WT or N57A mutant CA,

while CA(G89V) did not bind to CypA from any of the cell lines.

CypA mutant cell lines were then transduced with a lentiviral vector for doxycycline-

inducible expression of MX2 and infected with GFP-reporter viruses in the presence or absence

of CsA (Fig 1). CsA addition in HT1080 cells is known to reduce infection of HIV-1$_{WT}$ and abolish

the antiviral activity of MX2 ((29) and Fig 1B). We observed similarly reduced levels of infectivity

and a lack of MX2 sensitivity in CypA$^{-/-}$, CypA$_{D66N}$, and CypA$_{D66N/R69H}$, but not CypA$_{R69H}$ cells.

Mutation or deletion of CypA had no effect on infection of the HIV-1$_{G89V\ CA}$, or on the slight

enhancement of infection by this mutant upon MX2 expression. We previously reported a dramatic

sensitivity of the cell-cycle-dependent and MX2-resistant HIV-1$_{N57S\ CA}$ to CsA addition that is

reversed by MX2 (29). Here we observed the same ~50-fold reduction in infectivity and rescue by

MX2 in CsA-treated and CypA$^{-/-}$, CypA$_{D66N}$, or CypA$_{D66N/R69H}$, but not CypA$_{R69H}$ cells (Fig 1B). Since

the N57A CA mutation was utilized for *in vitro* CA binding experiments, we also confirmed that

this mutant exhibited the same phenotype, as did the N57D mutation, although this mutant had

lower overall levels of infectivity which were slightly enhanced by MX2 even in the absence of

CsA treatment (S4 Fig). Infectivity and MX2 sensitivity of HIV-1$_{A92E\ CA}$, HIV-1$_{N74D\ CA}$, and HIV-1$_{T210K}$

$_{CA}$ in CypA$^{-/-}$, CypA$_{D66N}$, or CypA$_{D66N/R69H}$ cells also phenocopied CsA treatment in WT and

CypA$_{R69H}$ cells. Furthermore, no additional effects of CsA treatment on HIV-1$_{WT}$ or CA mutant

infection were observed in CypA knock-out or mutant cell lines (Fig 1B and S4 Fig). Collectively,

these data demonstrate that the effect of CsA on HIV-1 infection and MX2 sensitivity are the direct

result of abrogating CA-CypA interactions and do not involve other cyclophilins, and further

suggests that they do not involve other cellular functions of CypA. However, since it is unknown

whether the conformational changes in CypA resulting from substitution at residue 66 affect

interactions between CypA and any of its cellular substrates, we cannot definitively exclude the

possibility that CypA$_{D66N}$ fails to isomerize a cellular substrate relevant for these interactions.

In contrast to HIV-1, HIV-2 sensitivity to MX2 is unaffected by CsA treatment ((29) and Fig

1B), although HIV-2 CA does interact with CypA with low affinity (34). The CypA D66N and R69H

mutations increase binding affinity, making HIV-2 sensitive to rhesus and pigtailed macaque

TRIMCyps ((34, 35, 37) and S1 Fig). On the other hand, huCypA interaction with SIVagmTAN do

not appear to involve CypA residues 66 or 69, as this virus was restricted by all TRIMCyp fusions

tested (although this virus is not restricted by owl monkey TRIMCyp with the NH mutation,

highlighting the complexity of lentiviral CA-CypA interactions (35)). However, both HIV-2 and

SIVagmTAN infection and MX2 sensitivity were unaffected in CypA-knockout and mutant cells,

similar to SIVmac, which does not bind cyclophilins, (Fig 1B and S4 Fig). These results indicate

that unlike restriction of HIV-1, inhibition of other primate lentiviruses by MX2 is independent of

CA-CypA interactions.

Determinants for CA-specific effects of MX2 on lentivirus infection. We next sought to

identify the determinants in MX2 that affect the CA- and CypA-specific sensitivity of lentiviruses

to MX2 activity. We expressed a panel of (C-terminally myc tagged) MX2 mutants that altered the

N-terminal CA-interacting domain, GTP binding and hydrolysis, or oligomerization (Fig 2) in HeLa

and HT1080 cells and first determined their effect on HIV-1$_{WT}$ infection in the presence and

absence of CsA. Murine leukemia virus (MLV) was included as an MX2-insensitive negative

control. As expected (6, 7, 17, 21, 38), MX2 with a deletion of the N-terminal 25 amino acids

(ΔN25), mutation of the N-terminal triple-arginine motif (RRR$_{11\text{-}13}$AAA), or phosphomimetic

S14D/S17D/S18D (SSS$_{14,17,18}$DDD) did not inhibit HIV-1$_{WT}$ infection, while chimeric MX1

expressing the N-terminal 91 amino acids of MX2 (MX1$_{MX2\text{-}NTD}$), and non-phosphorylatable

S14A/S17A/S18A (SSS$_{14,17,18}$AAA) had antiviral activity similar to WT MX2, that was abrogated in

the presence of CsA HT1080, but not HeLa cells (Fig 3 and S5 Fig). Both the monomeric M574D

and limited oligomer forming R455D mutants exhibited no anti-HIV-1 activity, while dimeric

YRGK$_{487\text{-}490}$AAAA had extremely reduced antiviral activity in both HeLa and HT1080 cells. This is

in contrast with previous reports that have shown full antiviral activity of dimeric MX2 in U87-MG

and HOS cells (16, 18) and suggests that the requirements for MX2 oligomerization are cell-type

specific. The T151A mutant of MX2, which binds, but does not hydrolyze GTP (5), restricted HIV-

1 infection in both HeLa and HT1080 cells, confirming that GTPase activity is dispensable for anti-

HIV-1 activity (6, 7). The T151A mutant also restricted HIV-1$_{WT}$ infection in the presence of CsA

in both cell lines. On the other hand, the GTP-binding mutant K131A, which retains anti-HIV-1

activity in K562, HOS, U87-MG (6, 7), and HeLa cells (S5 Fig), failed to inhibit infection in HT1080

cells, indicating that requirements for GTP binding are also cell-type specific. The requirement for

GTP binding is also virus-specific, since the K131A mutation abrogated antiviral activity against

HIV-2 and SIVmac, while all other MX2 mutants that inhibited HIV-1$_{WT}$ infection also inhibited HIV-

2 and SIVmac infection.

In most cases, MX2 mutants that inhibited HIV-1$_{WT}$ infection also enhanced HIV-1$_{G89V\ CA}$

infection in both HeLa and HT1080 cells, while those that did not inhibit the WT virus had no effect

on the G89V CA mutant virus (Fig 3 and S5 Fig). Similarly, only MX2 mutants that inhibited HIV-

1$_{WT}$ infection (MX1$_{MX2-NTD}$ and SSS$_{14,17,18}$AAA) were able to reverse the reduction in HIV-1$_{N57S\ CA}$

infectivity in the presence of CsA in HT1080 cells. These data suggest that similar determinants

are involved in the antiviral activity of MX2, as well as the CA-specific, CypA-dependent pro-viral

effects of MX2. The MX2$_{T151A}$ mutant, however, was a notable exception to this trend in that it

failed to rescue HIV-1$_{N57S\ CA}$ infection in CsA-treated HT1080 cells, and inhibited HIV-1$_{G89V\ CA}$

infection in both HeLa and HT1080 cells. Thus, while CA-CypA interactions are required for the

full antiviral activity of WT MX2, MX2 which can bind but not hydrolyze GTP restricts HIV-1

infection even in the absence CypA.

We next tested the effects of GTPase-deficient MX2 on HIV infection in our CypA knock-

out and mutant cell lines. As expected, the MX2$_{T151A}$ mutant inhibited both HIV-1$_{WT}$ and HIV-1$_{G89V}$

$_{CA}$ infection in the presence or absence of CsA in control, CypA$^{-/-}$, and all CypA mutant cell lines

(S6 Fig). Furthermore, MX2$_{T151A}$ failed to enhance HIV-1$_{N57S\ CA}$ infection in CypA$^{-/-}$, huCypA$_{D66N}$

and CypA$_{D66N/R69H}$ cells. Sensitivity of HIV-2 to both WT and T151A mutant MX2 was similar in all

cell lines, further demonstrating that CypA-interactions with HIV-2 CA do not affect MX2 sensitivity

(S6 Fig).

In 2022, Betancor, *et al.* (21) identified several serine and threonine residues of MX2 in

addition to positions 14, 17, and 18 whose phosphorylation state affects the antiviral activity and

substrate specificity of MX2. In particular, they found that MX2 T151 is phosphorylated, and

demonstrated that a phosphomimetic MX2$_{T151D}$ exhibited increased antiviral activity against HIV-

1$_{WT}$ and conferred antiviral activity against the MX2-resistant HIV-1$_{N74D\ CA}$, HIV-1$_{P90A\ CA}$, and HIV-

1$_{T210K\ CA}$. To determine whether the ability of the MX2$_{T151A}$ mutant to restrict HIV-1 infection in the

absence of CypA-CA binding is due to the lack of a phosphate group at this residue or due to the

inability to hydrolyze GTP, we assessed the antiviral activity of the phosphomimetic T151D MX2

mutant in HT1080 and HeLa cells (Fig 4 and S7 Fig). $MX2_{T151D}$ exhibited increased antiviral

activity against $HIV-1_{WT}$, and to a smaller extent against HIV-2 and SIVmac in both cell types.

While the previous report indicated that MLV infection in U87-MG cells is sensitive to $MX2_{T151D}$,

we observed no restriction of MLV by this mutant. $MX2_{T151D}$ inhibited $HIV-1_{N57S\ CA}$ infection in both

HeLa and HT1080 cells, and only partially rescued infectivity of this mutant in CsA-treated

HT1080 cells (Fig 4 and S7 Fig). Finally, similar to $MX2_{T151A}$, $MX2_{T151D}$ inhibited $HIV-1_{WT}$ infection

in the presence of CsA as well as infection of $HIV-1_{G89V\ CA}$, demonstrating that phosphorylation at

MX2 residue T151 does not determine CA-CypA-dependent antiviral activity of MX2. Collectively,

these results indicate that the inability to hydrolyze GTP abrogates the requirement for HIV-1 CA-

CypA interactions in the antiviral activity of MX2.

Effect of GTPase domain-CA interactions on MX2 activity in the absence of CypA-CA

binding. One possibility explaining the ability of T151A/D mutants of MX2 to inhibit HIV-1 infection

in the absence of CA-CypA interactions is that the lack of GTP hydrolysis facilitates interactions

between the viral CA and the CA-binding site of the GTPase domain (39). To address this

hypothesis, we constructed a number of MX2 mutants affecting GTPase activity (T151A), the N-

terminal triple arginine motif ($_{11}AAA_{13}$) and/or the CA-binding residues in the GTPase domain

[G184S, N260S, Q351E (SSE)] and assessed their antiviral activity (Fig 5 and S8 Fig). Mutation

of the triple arginine motif abrogated the antiviral activity of $MX2_{T151A}$ against $HIV-1_{WT}$, $HIV-1_{G89V}$

$_{CA}$, HIV-2, and SIVmac. On the other hand, mutation of the CA-binding residues of the GTPase

domain did not affect the antiviral activity of $MX2_{T151A}$ in the presence or absence of CsA against

these viruses; in fact, the SSE/T151A mutant appeared to have enhanced antiviral activity as

compared to WT or T151A MX2. Therefore, these CA-GTPase domain interactions do not

mediate the antiviral activity of GTPase-deficient MX2. Interestingly however, mutation of GTPase

domain-CA interacting residues did affect the enhancement of $HIV-1_{N57S\ CA}$ infection in CsA-

treated HT1080 cells, almost fully restoring the ability of $MX2_{T151A}$ to rescue $HIV-1_{N57S\ CA}$ infection,

suggesting that the lack of enhancement by $MX2_{T151A}$ under these conditions is at least partially

mediated by GTPase domain-CA interactions.

Next, we explored whether additional determinants affect the ability of the GTPase-

deficient MX2 to restrict infection of primate lentiviruses in the absence of CA-CypA binding. We

generated chimeric $MX1_{MX2-NTD}$ proteins with mutations corresponding to K131A (K83A) and

T151A/D (T103A/D), as well as mutations in MX1 alone as a control, expressed them in HT1080

and HeLa cells, and assessed their antiviral activity (Fig 6 and S9 Fig). Inhibition of HIV-2 and

SIVmac infection by chimeric $MX1_{MX2-NTD}$ was unaffected by all three mutations in both cell lines,

while GTP binding was required for restriction by MX2 (Fig 3 and S5 Fig). The effect of these

mutations on restriction of HIV-1$_{WT}$ was cell-type specific, as $MX1_{MX2-NTD}$ chimeras restricted HIV-

1$_{WT}$ infection in the presence or absence of CsA in HeLa cells but did not restrict HIV-1$_{WT}$ in CsA-

treated HT1080 cells. The effects on HIV-1$_{G89V\ CA}$ infection were also cell-type specific, while

$MX1_{MX2-NTD}$ enhanced HIV-1$_{G89V\ CA}$ infection in both cell types, the K83A and T103A MX2 mutants

modestly restricted HIV-1$_{G89V\ CA}$ infection. Furthermore, the T103D MX2 mutant had no effect on

HIV-1$_{G89V\ CA}$ in HT1080 cells, while the opposite (no inhibition or enhancement by K83A and

T103A, restriction by T103D) was observed in HeLa cells. Finally, HIV-1$_{N57S\ CA}$ infection was not

inhibited by any $MX1_{MX2-NTD}$ chimeras in either cell type, and was rescued from the inhibitory effect

of CsA treatment in HT1080 cells by all three GTPase $MX1_{MX2-NTD}$ mutants. The effect of GTPase

mutations in $MX1_{MX2-NTD}$ chimeras on the HIV-1$_{N57S\ CA}$ mutant are in agreement with those shown

in Figure 5 regarding the role of CA-interacting residues in the GTPase domain. However, the

altered effects of GTP binding/hydrolysis mutant-$MX1_{MX2-NTD}$ chimeras on HIV-1$_{WT}$, HIV-1$_{G89V\ CA}$,

HIV-2, and SIVmac infection as compared to the K131A, T151A, and T151D mutants of MX2

demonstrate that additional unknown determinants in the GTPase, stalk, or L4 domain affect

interactions between MX2 and these viruses.

Effects of Nup and NTR depletion on the antiviral activity of GTPase-deficient MX2. Our

previous work demonstrated Nups mediate the antiviral activity of MX2 in a CA and CypA-

dependent manner (29). Since MX2$_{T151A}$ retains antiviral activity in the absence of CA-CypA

interactions, we next sought to determine whether this mutation also affected Nup/NTR

requirements for antiviral function. Using our previously validated siRNA library targeting Nups

and NTRs (Fig 7A and (29)), HT1080 cells were transfected with siRNA before being split into

replicated wells for MX2$_{WT}$ or MX2$_{T151A}$ induction (via doxycycline addition) followed by infection

with HIV-1$_{WT}$ (S10 Fig). Knockdown of either RANBP2 or NUP153 abrogated the antiviral activity

of both MX2$_{WT}$ and MX2$_{T151A}$ against HIV-1$_{WT}$ (Fig 7B-C). Furthermore, depletion of the Nup62

subcomplex, transmembrane, and nuclear basket Nups also had similar effects (eg. NUP62

depletion reduced MX2$_{WT}$ and MX2$_{T151A}$ activity, while NUP54, NUPL1, POM121, NDC1, NUP50,

and TPR depletion either increased antiviral activity or had no effect), indicating that the T151A

MX2 mutation does not completely alter MX2-Nup interactions. Moreover, the effects of NTR

depletion were similar, suggesting that abrogation of GTPase activity does not affect MX2-

transportin interactions. However, a number of differences were evident in the Nup requirements

for MX2$_{WT}$ and MX2$_{T151A}$ activity (eg. multiple components of the Nup107 and Nup93

subcomplexes reduced the antiviral activity of MX2$_{WT}$, but not MX2$_{T151A}$). Most notably, NUP88

and NUP214 depletion abolished the antiviral activity of MX2$_{WT}$, but not MX2$_{T151A}$.

We next investigated the effects of Nup depletion on the MX2 sensitivity of HIV-1$_{G89V\ CA}$.

As we previously reported (29), the increase in HIV-1$_{G89V\ CA}$ infectivity exerted by MX2 is amplified

by some Nup depletions (eg. NUP62, NUP88, NUP214, NUP153), and diminished by others (eg.

NUP155, NUP54, RANBP2) (Fig 7D). As expected, a number of Nup depletions also altered the

activity of MX2$_{T151A}$ against HIV-1$_{G89V\ CA}$ (Fig 7E). We observed no clear correlation between

whether a knockdown affected enhancement of HIV-1$_{G89V\ CA}$ infection by MX2 and whether it

caused loss of MX2$_{T151A}$ activity against HIV-1$_{G89V\ CA.}$ Depletion of transmembrane, cytoplasmic,

and nuclear basket Nups, as well as NTRs had similar effects on the antiviral activity of MX2$_{T151A}$

against both HIV-1$_{WT}$ and HIV-1$_{G89V\ CA}$ (Fig 7C, E). Conversely, depletion of several Nups (SEC13,

NUP107, NUP133, NUP205, NUP155, NUP52, NUPL1) affected restriction of HIV-1$_{G89V\ CA}$ but not

HIV-1$_{WT}$, while only NUP98 knockdown reduced antiviral activity against HIV-1$_{WT}$ but not HIV-1$_{G89V}$

$_{CA}$. Thus, these data indicate that the antiviral activity MX2$_{T151A}$ has distinct requirements for Nups

and NTRs, some of which are also affected by CA-CypA interactions.

Discussion

While the NTD of MX2 is of principal importance for viral substrate recognition and interaction

with cellular proteins (6, 9-13, 15, 17, 38), there is a growing appreciation for the importance of

the GTPase domain in MX2 function (21, 39, 40). Here, we demonstrate that the GTPase activity

of MX2 affects substrate specificity and nucleoporin requirements for anti-HIV-1 activity. While

WT MX2 does not restrict HIV-1 in the absence of CypA binding to CA, the T151A MX2 mutant,

which is able to bind, but not hydrolyze GTP (5), retains antiviral activity in the absence of CA-

CypA binding (Fig 3, S5 Fig, and S6 Fig). By generating cells containing endogenous mutations

in CypA that affect CA recognition, we demonstrate that the effects of CypA (and CsA treatment)

on HIV-1 and HIV-1 CA mutant infection and MX2 sensitivity are the direct result of interactions

with CA, and do not appear to involve interactions between CypA and other cellular proteins (Fig

1, S4 Fig, S6 Fig).

While the GTPase domain of MX2 has been found to directly bind the HIV-1 CA (39), we

found that these interactions were not involved in the CypA-independent activity of GTPase-

deficient MX2 (Fig 5 and S8 Fig). However, the antiviral activity of GTPase-deficient chimeric

MX1$_{MX2-NTD}$ fusions did not recapitulate the phenotype of the T151A mutant (Fig 6 and S9 Fig),

therefore there are additional determinants unique to MX2 that affect phosphorylation,

oligomerization/conformation, CA recognition, or interaction with other cellular proteins which alter

antiviral activity and substrate specificity. Importantly, we found that nucleoporin requirements of

the T151A MX2 mutant for antiviral activity were altered as compared to WT MX2 in both CypA-

dependent and -independent ways, suggesting that this mutation affects both antiviral substrate-

specificity, and interaction with nuclear pore complexes. Additionally, since MX2 also affects

nuclear import of some non-viral cargos, it will be of interest in future investigations to determine

whether the determinants identified here which affect viral specificity also alter inhibition of specific

nuclear import pathways.

Interestingly, restriction of HIV-2, SIVmac, and SIVagmTAN by MX2 does not involve CA-

CypA interactions ((29), Fig 1 and S4 Fig), and GTP hydrolysis is dispensable for inhibition of

HIV-2 and SIVmac by both MX2 and $MX1_{MX2-NTD}$ fusions (Fig 3 and 6, S5 Fig and S9 Fig).

Therefore, it is likely that recognition of HIV-1 and other primate lentiviruses by MX2 involve

distinct interactions with the capsid surface, consequently requiring distinct determinants. Indeed,

given the ability of MX2 to inhibit replication of highly divergent viruses, including herpesviruses

and flaviviruses (reviewed in (22)), it appears that we are just beginning to understand the

mechanisms regulating MX2 specify and activity.

We also identified here a number of MX2 determinants that are cell-type specific,

highlighting the importance of other cellular players in MX2 activity. While our previous

investigation found that the K131A mutant of MX2 which is unable to bind GTP (5), retains antiviral

activity (6, 7), here we found that this activity is cell-type dependent since this mutant is inactive

in HT1080 cells (Fig 3). We further find that the $YRGK_{487-490}AAAA$ mutant of MX2, has minimal

antiviral activity in either HT1080 or HeLa cells, demonstrating that the requirements for higher-

order oligomerization of MX2 are also cell-type dependent. While the MX2 stalk is the major driver

of oligomerization, the GTPase domain also exerts effects on MX2 conformation (40).

Biochemical studies have found that MX2 spontaneously forms helical assemblies that are

depolymerized into oligomers upon GTP binding; importantly, the T151A MX2 mutant also self-

assembles, however these assemblies are loosened, but not dissociated upon GTP binding (40).

Thus, conformational changes in MX2 may explain the expanded substrate-specificity of the

T151A mutant. Importantly, recent work has shown that the MX2 residue T151 is phosphorylated,

and that the phosphomimetic T151D mutant has increased antiviral activity (21). We recapitulated

this finding and additionally found that this mutant is also able to restrict HIV-1 infection in the

absence of CA-CypA interactions (Fig 4 and S7 Fig), indicating that the lack of phosphorylation

of the T151A mutant does not explain its expanded substrate specificity. While the T151D MX2

mutant is unlikely to bind GTP, as noted by Betancor, G. *et al*, the negative charge of the

phosphomimetic T151D mutant may resemble the GTP-bound T151A mutant, and both mutants

have distinct subcellular localization as compared to WT MX2 (21), they may both therefore exist

in a "loose helical assembly". While high GTP concentrations within cells would suggest that MX2

exists in its GTP-bound non-assembled state (40), it is also possible that changes in the

phosphorylation state of T151 regulates MX2 conformation (and GTP binding), thereby affecting

interactions with both viral substrates and nuclear pore complexes.

In summary, this report highlights the importance of GTPase activity in modulation of the

substrate specificity of MX2 and further indicate that highly complex interactions between MX2

and its cellular environment determine antiviral activity. While we have focused specifically on

human MX2, the regulation of the antiviral activity of other MX proteins is similarly complex. Given

the importance of MX proteins in the innate immune responses of a highly divergent range of

vertebrate species (3), the evolution of *Mx* genes has likely been the result of complex selective

pressures balancing substrate breadth and specificity, as well as interaction with cellular partners.

Materials and Methods

Plasmid construction

MX2

MX1 and MX2 mutants with or without a C-terminal myc-tag were cloned into the doxycycline-inducible vector pLKOΔ (13, 29) using *Sfi*I. MX2ΔN25, MX2$_{T151A}$, and MX2$_{K131A}$ were PCR amplified from pCSIB expression vectors (6), MX2$_{G184S/N260S/Q351S}$ (SSE) and MX2-SSE$_{T151A}$ were synthesized as gBlock™ fragments [Integrated DNA Technologies (IDT)], other mutants were generated via PCR mutagenesis using the oligos indicated in S1 Table.

TRIM5-fusions

An LHCX MLV vector (Clontech) was engineered to express the N-terminal domains (RIGN, B-Box and coiled coil) from owl monkey (omk) TRIMCyp followed by an HA tag and cloning sites (NotI/SalI) that allowed introduction of various proteins as described in (41, 42). The plasmids generated expressed HA-TRIM5 N-terminus fused to the Cyclophilin A domain from owl monkey TRIMCyp (omkTRIMCyp), the human Cyclophilin A protein (huCypA), or the human Cyclophilin A protein with the D66N, R69H, or D66N/R69H (pigtail macaque TRIMCyp) mutations generated by overlap PCR with the primers indicated in S1 Table.

AAV donor

To construct the pAAV-*PPIA* donor plasmids for the generation of CypA point mutant cell lines (S2 Fig), a 1596bp region of the *PPIA* locus (bases 7161 to 8757 NCBI Reference Sequence: NG_029697.1) was synthesized as a gBlock™ fragment (IDT) and inserted into the pAAV packaging plasmid (Cell Bio Labs) using *Nhe*I and *Xho*I. The PAM site for crRNA 2 was mutated from TGG to TGA and for crRNA 3 form CCA to CTA. A silent *Hpa*I site was introduced into intron 3 as shown in S2C Fig. The CypA$_{R69H}$ donor template was synthesized, then CypA$_{D66N}$ and CypA$_{D66N/R69H}$ donors were generated via overlap PCR with the primers indicated in S1 Table.

HIV-1 CA mutants

HIV-1 GFP reporter viruses containing the N57A or N57D capsid mutation (HIV-1$_{N57A}$ and HIV-1$_{N57D}$) was generated by overlap PCR (primers listed in S1 Table) and insertion into pNHGCapNM as described previously (31) using *Not*I and *Mlu*I sites.

Cell lines

HEK 293T, HeLa, and HT1080 cell lines were maintained in Dulbecco's Modified Eagles Medium [(DMEM) Gibco] with 10% fetal calf serum (Gibco), gentamicin (Gibco), and Plasmocin® prophlyactic (InvivoGen). Plasmocin® was not present in cultures during infection, transduction, or transfection. Cells were purchased from ATCC and were assumed to be authenticated by their supplier and were not further characterized. Cells were monitored quarterly for retroviral contamination by SYBR-Green based PCR RT assay (43, 44) and tested for mycoplasma contamination by MycoStrip® detection kit (InvivoGen). Derivatives of HeLa and HT1080 cells containing doxycycline-inducible Mx2, or fusion proteins were generated by transduction with LKO-derived lentiviral vectors (13) followed by selection in 1µg ml^{-1} puromycin (Sigma-Aldrich). HeLa cells stably expressing TRIM fusions were generated by transduction with LHCX-derived vectors followed by selection in 100µg ml^{-1} hygromycin B (Gibco). Vector stocks for transduction were generated by co-transfection of 293T cells with a VSV-G expression plasmid, an HIV-1$_{NL4-3}$ Gag-Pol expression plasmid, and LKO-derived vector, or an MLV Gag-Pol expression plasmid and an LHCX-derived vector using polyethyleneimine (PolySciences). Expression was induced in pLKO transduced cell lines through an overnight treatment with 500ng/ml doxycycline hyclate (Sigma-Aldrich) prior to challenge with retroviruses or retroviral vectors.

Generation of CypA knock-out and mutant cell lines

AAVs containing donor sequence for homology directed repair were generated by co-transfection of 293T cells pAAV-*PPIA*, pAAV-RC (DJ), and pHelper (CellBio Labs) at a 1:1:1 ratio using polyethyleneimine (PolySciences). Supernatant was collected 72hrs post-transfection. 1x10^5

HT1080 cells in a 24-well plate were infected with 200µL of AAV-containing supernatant 4-6 hours

prior to reverse transfection with Cas9 ribonucleoprotein complexes (RNPs).

Custom Alt-R^{TM} CRISPR Cas9 guide RNAs targeting the *PPIA* locus were designed using the IDT

website. RNPs containing crRNA 1, 2, or 3:tracerRNA duplexes were generated according to

manufacturer instructions: 1µL of 100 µM crRNA, 1µ of 100µM tracrRNA-ATTO^{TM} 550 (IDT) in

98µL of Nuclease-Free Duplex Buffer (IDT) were heated at 98°C for 5min, then cooled to room

temperature. 15µL of annealed guide RNA oligos were mixed with 15µL of 1µM recombinant Cas9

(IDT) in 220µL of Opti-MEM media (Gibco) and incubated for 5min at room temperature,

complexes were used immediately or stored at -80°C. HT1080 cells were reverse transfected in

96-well plates with RNPs using RNAiMax (Thermo Fisher) according to manufacturer instructions,

transfection complexes containing 15µL of each RNP was mixed with 1.2µL of RNAiMax and

18.8µL of OptiMEM for 20mins at room temperature, followed by addition of $4x10^4$ cells/well. For

generation of point mutant cell lines, 1µM Alt-R^{TM} HDR Enhancer V2 (IDT) was included. HT1080

cells were transfected with RNPs containing guides 1 and 3 to generate CypA$^{-/-}$ cells and guides

2 and 3 to generate CypA-mutant cells (S2 Fig). Control cells were transfected with RNPs

containing the IDT negative control crRNA. 16hours post-transfection, cells were transferred to a

24-well plate, and AAV infection/transduction was repeated after an additional 24-48hours.

Single-cell clones were then derived by limiting dilution.

CypA$^{-/-}$ clones were screened via western blotting, sequences of knock-out alleles were

determined following PCR amplification from genomic DNA using (In1 F2 and In4 R primers),

followed by cloning into pCR-Blunt II TOPO [Zero Blunt^{TM} TOPO^{TM} kit (Thermo Fisher)], and

sequencing ≥3 clones from each cell line. CypA point mutant clones were screened via PCR

amplification from genomic DNA using (In1 F and In4 R2 primers) followed by *Hpa*I digestion.

Candidates were confirmed by PCR and sequencing from both genomic DNA and cDNA [using

SuperScript^{TM} III Reverse Transcriptase (Thermo Fisher)]. Genomic DNA and mRNA were

extracted using NucleoSpin Tissue and NucleoSpin RNA kits (Macherey-Nagel), respectively.

Viruses

All viruses were generated by transfection of 293T cells using polyethyleneimine (PolySciences).

GFP reporter proviral plasmids HIV-1$_{NL4-3}$ΔEnv-GFP (HIV-1, HIV-1$_{G89V}$, HIV-1$_{A92E}$, and G94D CA

mutants (45)), NHGCapNM (wild-type, HIV-1$_{N57S}$, HIV-1$_{N57A}$, HIV-1$_{N57D}$, HIV-1$_{N74D}$, G208R, and

HIV-1$_{T210K}$ CA mutants (this investigation and (29, 31)), HIV-2$_{ROD}$ΔEnv-GFP, SIV$_{MAC}$ΔEnv-GFP,

SIV$_{AGM}$TANΔEnv-GFP (46) 10μg of proviral plasmid was co-transfected with 1μg of VSV-G

expression plasmid. For MLV, EIAV, and FIV, three plasmid vector systems (47-50) were also

used to generate GFP reporter viruses, whereby 5μg of Gag-Pol, 5μg of packeagable genome,

and 1μg of VSV-G expression plasmids were co-transfected. Levels of reverse transcriptase in

viral stocks were quantified using a one-step SYBR-Green based PCR RT assay as previously

described (43, 44).

Infection assays

Infectivity was measured in HeLa or HT1080 cells seeded in 96-well plates at 5×10^3 cells per

well and inoculated with serial-dilutions of VSV-G pseudotyped GFP reporter viruses in the

presence of 4μg ml^{-1} polybrene (Sigma-Aldrich). Where indicated, cyclosporine A (Sigma-Aldrich)

was added to the cultures at the time of infection at 5μM. Infected cells (%GFP positive of viable

cells) were enumerated by FACS analysis using an Attune NxT coupled to an Autosampler

(Invitrogen).

CA-binding assay with HIV-1 CA tubes

CA(WT), CA(G89V), and CA(N57A) were expressed from pET3a in BL21-DE3 cells and purified

as previously described (51-53). CA nanotubes were assembled in a high-ionic strength buffer

(15 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0; 2 M NaCl) as described (54, 55). Binding assays with HIV-1 CA

nanotubes were performed as described (29). In brief, control and CypA mutant HT1080 cells

were lysed by adding a passive lysis buffer (Promega) supplemented with protease inhibitor

cocktail (Roche). NaCl concentration in lysates was adjusted to 2 M and lysates were centrifuged

at 13,000 x g for 2 min at 4 $^\circ$C. Then the supernatant of the cell lysates was added to the preformed

CA nanotubes and incubated at room temperature for 30 min. Following centrifugation at 13,000

x g for 2 min at 4 $^\circ$C, the supernatant was saved, and the pellet was washed three times with the

high-ionic strength buffer. LDS Reducing Sample buffer (Thermo Scientific Chemicals) was added

to both pulled-down and unbound fractions, and they were subjected to SDS-PAGE. The proteins

of interest were detected by immunoblotting using respective antibodies.

RNA interference

RNA interference using a custom siRNA library (Table 1) targeting Nups and NTRs was performed

as previously described (29). HT1080 cells stably transduced with doxycycline-inducible MX2 or

MX2$_{T151A}$ were reverse transfected with 25pmol of siRNA (Table 2; SMARTpool, Dharmacon)

using Lipofectamine RNAiMax (Invitrogen) at a concentration of 5 x 10^4 cells/ml in 12-well plates.

Non-transfected cells, transfection reagent alone, and non-targeting siRNA were used as controls,

no significant difference in viral infectivity or Mx2-restriction were observed for each of these

controls, as such, for each experiment, only the non-targeting siRNA control is shown. 24 hours

after transfection, cells were trypsinized, diluted 1:2.5 and re-plated in 96-well plates and treated

with doxycycline, followed by infection with GFP reporter viruses 36-48h later (See Figure S10B).

**Table 1. ON-TARGET SMARTpool
siRNA utilized in this investigation**

Gene Symbol	Gene ID
AAAS	8086
AHCTF1	25909
CPSF6	11052
GLE1	2733
IPO11	51194
IPO13	9670
IPO4	79711
IPO5	3843
IPO7	10527
IPO8	10526
IPO9	55705
KPNA1	3836
KPNA2	3838

```
KPNA3          3839
KPNA4          3840
KPNA5          3841
KPNA6          23633
KPNB1          3837
NDC1           55706
NUP107         57122
NUP133         55746
NUP153         9972
NUP155         9631
NUP160         23279
NUP188         23511
NUP205         23165
NUP210         23225
NUP214         8021
NUP35          129401
NUP37          79023
NUP43          348995
NUP50          10762
NUP54          53371
NUP62          23636
NUP85          79902
NUP88          4927
NUP93          9688
NUP98          4928
NUPL1          9818
POM121         9883
PPIA           5478
RAE1           8480
RANBP2         5903
SEC13          6396
SEH1L          81929
TNPO1          3842
TNPO2          30000
TNPO3          23534
TPR            7175
```

Western blotting

Cell suspensions were lysed in NuPage LDS (Invitrogen) or SDS sample buffer, followed by

sonication, and separated by electrophoresis on NuPage 4-12% Bis-Tris gels (Invitrogen) and

blotted onto polyvinylidene fluoride (PDVF, BioRad Laboratories). Membranes were incubated

with the antibodies listed in Table 2, followed by incubation with goat anti-rabbit-HRP or goat anti-

mouse-HRP secondary antibodies (Jackson ImmunoResearch). SeeBlue Plus2 and MagicMark

XP Pre-stained Protein Standards (Thermo Fisher) were used. Blots were developed with

SuperSignal West Femto Maximum Sensitivity Substrate (Thermo Fisher) and imaged on a C-

Digit scanner (LI-COR Biosciences).

Table 2. Antibodies utilized in this investigation

Reactivity	Species	Company	Catalog Number
GAPDH	mouse	Santa Cruz	sc-47724
HIV-1 p55+p24+p17	rabbit	Abcam	ab63917
MX2	rabbit	Novus Biologicals	NBP1-8108
Myc tag	mouse	Millipore	05-724

CypA	rabbit	Proteintech	10720-1-AP
HA tag	Mouse	BioLegend	901514
Tubulin	mouse	Sigma-Aldrich	T6074

Statistical analyses

504 Statistical significance was determined using GraphPad software (two-way ANOVA). Statistical

505 analyses for all figures are included in S1 File.

Acknowledgements

We thank Dr. Kevin McCarthy for support, consultation, and assistance in generation of PyMOL

images; Mariah Cashbaugh and Ananya Venbakkam for technical support. Color-blind safe

"muted" qualitative color schemes from Paul Tol were used for figures (56). This work was

supported by grants from the NIH: R01AI1621172 (to M.Kane), R01 AI162665 (to M.K.), and the

Gilead Sciences Research Scholars Award (to M.Kane). The content is solely the responsibility

of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the National Institutes of

Health or of Gilead Sciences.

Author contributions

517 Conceptualization: M.Kane.; Investigation: B.L., R.G., H.F., S.H., R.Z.Z., and M.Kane; Formal

518 Analysis and Visualization: M.Kane; Methodology: S.H., M.K., M.Kane; Funding Acquisition:

519 M.Kane; Writing – Original Draft Preparation: M.Kane.; Writing – Review and Editing: R.Z., M.K.,

520 and M.Kane.

Declaration of interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

1.   Schoggins JW, Wilson SJ, Panis M, Murphy MY, Jones CT, Bieniasz P, et al. A diverse
range of gene products are effectors of the type I interferon antiviral response. Nature.
2011;472(7344):481-5.
2.   Stetson DB, Medzhitov R. Type I interferons in host defense. Immunity. 2006;25(3):373-
81.
3.   Haller O, Staeheli P, Schwemmle M, Kochs G. Mx GTPases: dynamin-like antiviral
machines of innate immunity. Trends in microbiology. 2015;23(3):154-63.
4.   Melen K, Keskinen P, Ronni T, Sareneva T, Lounatmaa K, Julkunen I. Human MxB
protein, an interferon-alpha-inducible GTPase, contains a nuclear targeting signal and is localized
in the heterochromatin region beneath the nuclear envelope. The Journal of biological chemistry.
1996;271(38):23478-86.
5.   King MC, Raposo G, Lemmon MA. Inhibition of nuclear import and cell-cycle progression
by mutated forms of the dynamin-like GTPase MxB. Proceedings of the National Academy of
Sciences of the United States of America. 2004;101(24):8957-62.
6.   Kane M, Yadav SS, Bitzegeio J, Kutluay SB, Zang T, Wilson SJ, et al. MX2 is an interferon-
induced inhibitor of HIV-1 infection. Nature. 2013;502(7472):563-6.
7.   Goujon C, Moncorge O, Bauby H, Doyle T, Ward CC, Schaller T, et al. Human MX2 is an
interferon-induced post-entry inhibitor of HIV-1 infection. Nature. 2013;502(7472):559-62.
8.   Liu Z, Pan Q, Ding S, Qian J, Xu F, Zhou J, et al. The interferon-inducible MxB protein
inhibits HIV-1 infection. Cell host & microbe. 2013;14(4):398-410.
9.   Crameri M, Bauer M, Caduff N, Walker R, Steiner F, Franzoso FD, et al. MxB is an
interferon-induced restriction factor of human herpesviruses. Nat Commun. 2018;9(1):1980.
10.   Schilling M, Bulli L, Weigang S, Graf L, Naumann S, Patzina C, et al. Human MxB Protein
Is a Pan-herpesvirus Restriction Factor. Journal of virology. 2018;92(17).
11.   Yi DR, An N, Liu ZL, Xu FW, Raniga K, Li QJ, et al. Human MxB Inhibits the Replication
of Hepatitis C Virus. Journal of virology. 2019;93(1).
12.   Matreyek KA, Wang W, Serrao E, Singh PK, Levin HL, Engelman A. Host and viral
determinants for MxB restriction of HIV-1 infection. Retrovirology. 2014;11:90.
13.   Busnadiego I, Kane M, Rihn SJ, Preugschas HF, Hughes J, Blanco-Melo D, et al. Host
and viral determinants of Mx2 antiretroviral activity. Journal of virology. 2014;88(14):7738-52.
14.   Liu Z, Pan Q, Liang Z, Qiao W, Cen S, Liang C. The highly polymorphic cyclophilin A-
binding loop in HIV-1 capsid modulates viral resistance to MxB. Retrovirology. 2015;12:1.
15.   Fricke T, White TE, Schulte B, de Souza Aranha Vieira DA, Dharan A, Campbell EM, et
al. MxB binds to the HIV-1 core and prevents the uncoating process of HIV-1. Retrovirology.
2014;11:68.
16.   Fribourgh JL, Nguyen HC, Matreyek KA, Alvarez FJ, Summers BJ, Dewdney TG, et al.
Structural insight into HIV-1 restriction by MxB. Cell host & microbe. 2014;16(5):627-38.
17.   Goujon C, Moncorge O, Bauby H, Doyle T, Barclay WS, Malim MH. Transfer of the amino-
terminal nuclear envelope targeting domain of human MX2 converts MX1 into an HIV-1 resistance
factor. Journal of virology. 2014;88(16):9017-26.
18.   Dicks MD, Goujon C, Pollpeter D, Betancor G, Apolonia L, Bergeron JR, et al.
Oligomerization Requirements for MX2-Mediated Suppression of HIV-1 Infection. Journal of
virology. 2016;90(1):22-32.
19.   Mitchell PS, Patzina C, Emerman M, Haller O, Malik HS, Kochs G. Evolution-guided
identification of antiviral specificity determinants in the broadly acting interferon-induced innate
immunity factor MxA. Cell host & microbe. 2012;12(4):598-604.
20.   Betancor G, Jimenez-Guardeno JM, Lynham S, Antrobus R, Khan H, Sobala A, et al.
MX2-mediated innate immunity against HIV-1 is regulated by serine phosphorylation. Nat
Microbiol. 2021;6(8):1031-42.
21.   Betancor G, Bangham M, Jeon JK, Shah K, Lynham S, Jimenez-Guardeno JM, et al. MX2
Viral Substrate Breadth and Inhibitory Activity Are Regulated by Protein Phosphorylation. mBio.
2022;13(4):e0171422.
22.   Betancor G. You Shall Not Pass: MX2 Proteins Are Versatile Viral Inhibitors. Vaccines
(Basel). 2023;11(5).

23.   Campbell EM, Hope TJ. HIV-1 capsid: the multifaceted key player in HIV-1 infection.
Nature reviews Microbiology. 2015;13(8):471-83.
24.   De Iaco A, Luban J. Cyclophilin A promotes HIV-1 reverse transcription but its effect on
transduction correlates best with its effect on nuclear entry of viral cDNA. Retrovirology.
2014;11:11.
25.   Schaller T, Ocwieja KE, Rasaiyaah J, Price AJ, Brady TL, Roth SL, et al. HIV-1 capsid-
cyclophilin interactions determine nuclear import pathway, integration targeting and replication
efficiency. PLoS pathogens. 2011;7(12):e1002439.
26.   Kim K, Dauphin A, Komurlu S, McCauley SM, Yurkovetskiy L, Carbone C, et al. Cyclophilin
A protects HIV-1 from restriction by human TRIM5alpha. Nat Microbiol. 2019;4(12):2044-51.
27.   Selyutina A, Persaud M, Simons LM, Bulnes-Ramos A, Buffone C, Martinez-Lopez A, et
al. Cyclophilin A Prevents HIV-1 Restriction in Lymphocytes by Blocking Human TRIM5alpha
Binding to the Viral Core. Cell Rep. 2020;30(11):3766-77 e6.
28.   Yamashita M, Engelman AN. Capsid-Dependent Host Factors in HIV-1 Infection. Trends
in microbiology. 2017;25(9):741-55.
29.   Kane M, Rebensburg SV, Takata MA, Zang TM, Yamashita M, Kvaratskhelia M, et al.
Nuclear pore heterogeneity influences HIV-1 infection and the antiviral activity of MX2. Elife.
2018;7.
30.   Miles RJ, Kerridge C, Hilditch L, Monit C, Jacques DA, Towers GJ. MxB sensitivity of HIV-
1 is determined by a highly variable and dynamic capsid surface. Elife. 2020;9.
31.   Rihn SJ, Wilson SJ, Loman NJ, Alim M, Bakker SE, Bhella D, et al. Extreme genetic
fragility of the HIV-1 capsid. PLoS pathogens. 2013;9(6):e1003461.
32.   Flaherty P, Jain P. Peptidyl Prolyl Isomerase Inhibitors. In: Macor JE, editor. Annual
Reports in Medicinal Chemistry. 46: Elsevier Inc.; 2011. p. 337-49.
33.   Wang P, Heitman J. The cyclophilins. Genome Biol. 2005;6(7):226.
34.   Price AJ, Marzetta F, Lammers M, Ylinen LM, Schaller T, Wilson SJ, et al. Active site
remodeling switches HIV specificity of antiretroviral TRIMCyp. Nat Struct Mol Biol.
2009;16(10):1036-42.
35.   Virgen CA, Kratovac Z, Bieniasz PD, Hatziioannou T. Independent genesis of chimeric
TRIM5-cyclophilin proteins in two primate species. Proceedings of the National Academy of
Sciences of the United States of America. 2008;105(9):3563-8.
36.   Sayah DM, Sokolskaja E, Berthoux L, Luban J. Cyclophilin A retrotransposition into TRIM5
explains owl monkey resistance to HIV-1. Nature. 2004;430(6999):569-73.
37.   Wilson SJ, Webb BL, Ylinen LM, Verschoor E, Heeney JL, Towers GJ. Independent
evolution of an antiviral TRIMCyp in rhesus macaques. Proceedings of the National Academy of
Sciences of the United States of America. 2008;105(9):3557-62.
38.   Goujon C, Greenbury RA, Papaioannou S, Doyle T, Malim MH. A triple-arginine motif in
the amino-terminal domain and oligomerization are required for HIV-1 inhibition by human MX2.
Journal of virology. 2015;89(8):4676-80.
39.   Betancor G, Dicks MDJ, Jimenez-Guardeno JM, Ali NH, Apolonia L, Malim MH. The
GTPase Domain of MX2 Interacts with the HIV-1 Capsid, Enabling Its Short Isoform to Moderate
Antiviral Restriction. Cell Rep. 2019;29(7):1923-33 e3.
40.   Alvarez FJD, He S, Perilla JR, Jang S, Schulten K, Engelman AN, et al. CryoEM structure
of MxB reveals a novel oligomerization interface critical for HIV restriction. Sci Adv.
2017;3(9):e1701264.
41.   Matreyek KA, Yucel SS, Li X, Engelman A. Nucleoporin NUP153 phenylalanine-glycine
motifs engage a common binding pocket within the HIV-1 capsid protein to mediate lentiviral
infectivity. PLoS pathogens. 2013;9(10):e1003693.
42.   Schmidt F, Keele BF, Del Prete GQ, Voronin D, Fennessey CM, Soll S, et al. Derivation
of simian tropic HIV-1 infectious clone reveals virus adaptation to a new host. Proceedings of the
National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America. 2019;116(21):10504-9.
43.   Del Prete GQ, Keele BF, Fode J, Thummar K, Swanstrom AE, Rodriguez A, et al. A single
gp120 residue can affect HIV-1 tropism in macaques. PLoS pathogens. 2017;13(9):e1006572.

44.   Pizzato M, Erlwein O, Bonsall D, Kaye S, Muir D, McClure MO. A one-step SYBR Green
I-based product-enhanced reverse transcriptase assay for the quantitation of retroviruses in cell
culture supernatants. J Virol Methods. 2009;156(1-2):1-7.
45.   Hatziioannou T, Cowan S, Von Schwedler UK, Sundquist WI, Bieniasz PD. Species-
specific tropism determinants in the human immunodeficiency virus type 1 capsid. Journal of
virology. 2004;78(11):6005-12.
46.   Hatziioannou T, Cowan S, Goff SP, Bieniasz PD, Towers GJ. Restriction of multiple
divergent retroviruses by Lv1 and Ref1. The EMBO journal. 2003;22(3):385-94.
47.   Kemler I, Barraza R, Poeschla EM. Mapping the encapsidation determinants of feline
immunodeficiency virus. Journal of virology. 2002;76(23):11889-903.
48.   Mitrophanous K, Yoon S, Rohll J, Patil D, Wilkes F, Kim V, et al. Stable gene transfer to
the nervous system using a non-primate lentiviral vector. Gene therapy. 1999;6(11):1808-18.
49.   Soneoka Y, Cannon PM, Ramsdale EE, Griffiths JC, Romano G, Kingsman SM, et al. A
transient three-plasmid expression system for the production of high titer retroviral vectors.
Nucleic acids research. 1995;23(4):628-33.
50.   Neil S, Martin F, Ikeda Y, Collins M. Postentry restriction to human immunodeficiency
virus-based vector transduction in human monocytes. Journal of virology. 2001;75(12):5448-56.
51.   Hung M, Niedziela-Majka A, Jin D, Wong M, Leavitt S, Brendza KM, et al. Large-scale
functional purification of recombinant HIV-1 capsid. PLoS One. 2013;8(3):e58035.
52.   Pornillos O, Ganser-Pornillos BK, Banumathi S, Hua Y, Yeager M. Disulfide bond
stabilization of the hexameric capsomer of human immunodeficiency virus. J Mol Biol.
2010;401(5):985-95.
53.   Pornillos O, Ganser-Pornillos BK, Kelly BN, Hua Y, Whitby FG, Stout CD, et al. X-ray
structures of the hexameric building block of the HIV capsid. Cell. 2009;137(7):1282-92.
54.   Cortines JR, Monroe EB, Kang S, Prevelige PE, Jr. A retroviral chimeric capsid protein
reveals the role of the N-terminal beta-hairpin in mature core assembly. J Mol Biol.
2011;410(4):641-52.
55.   Larue R, Gupta K, Wuensch C, Shkriabai N, Kessl JJ, Danhart E, et al. Interaction of the
HIV-1 intasome with transportin 3 protein (TNPO3 or TRN-SR2). The Journal of biological
chemistry. 2012;287(41):34044-58.
56.   Tol P. Colour Schemes. 2021.
57.   Fontoura BM, Blobel G, Matunis MJ. A conserved biogenesis pathway for nucleoporins:
proteolytic processing of a 186-kilodalton precursor generates Nup98 and the novel nucleoporin,
Nup96. J Cell Biol. 1999;144(6):1097-112.
58.   Rosenblum JS, Blobel G. Autoproteolysis in nucleoporin biogenesis. Proc Natl Acad Sci
U S A. 1999;96(20):11370-5.
59.   Pitossi F, Blank A, Schroder A, Schwarz A, Hussi P, Schwemmle M, et al. A functional
GTP-binding motif is necessary for antiviral activity of Mx proteins. Journal of virology.
1993;67(11):6726-32.
60.   Ponten A, Sick C, Weeber M, Haller O, Kochs G. Dominant-negative mutants of human
MxA protein: domains in the carboxy-terminal moiety are important for oligomerization and
antiviral activity. Journal of virology. 1997;71(4):2591-9.
674
675

676
677
Figure 1. The effects of CsA on HIV-1 sensitivity to MX2 are the direct result of blocking
CA-CypA interactions.
A) Structure of an HIV-1 capsid monomer in complex with CypA (PDB 1AK4). Critical active -site
residues that interact with CA are indicated in dark green, amino acids outside the active site
that affect capsid recognition highlighted in pink/magenta. The cyclophilin-binding loop of HIV-
1 CA indicated in yellow.
B) Infection of control or CypA-mutant HT1080 cells expressing doxycycline-inducible MX2 in the
presence (open bars) or absence (filled bars) of doxycycline (Dox) and present or absence of
CsA with the indicated GFP reporter viruses. Titers are represented as mean + sem of
infectious units (IU) per pg of reverse transcriptase (RT), n≥6 technical replicates combined
from two-three independent experiments. Statistical analysis in S1 File.
C) Western blot analysis of doxycycline-inducible MX2, CypA, and tubulin loading control.

C

Residues/mutation	Function/effect of mutation	References
N91	N-terminal domain Can confer antiviral activity to heterologous proteins	(13, 17, 29)
N25	NPC targeting CA binding	(5-7, 15, 16)
RRR$_{11\text{-}13}$	Interaction with MLCP CA recognition	(15, 20, 38, 39)

SSS$_{14,17,18}$	Phosphorylation suppresses function and reduces NPC localization
	    AAA$_{14,17,18}$ - Non-phosphorylatable
	    DDD$_{14,17,18}$ - Phosphomimetic
		(20)

T151	GTPase activity
	Phosphorylation affects antiviral activity and specificity
	    T151A – No GTP hydrolysis, non-phosphorylatable
	    T151D – Predicted to not bind GTP, phosphomimetic
	Corresponds to MX1 T103
		(5, 21, 59, 60)

K131A	No GTP Binding Corresponds to MX1 K83	(5)
M574D	Monomeric Corresponds to MX1 M527	(18)
R455D	Limited oligomerization Corresponds to MX1 R408	(18)
YRGK$_{487\text{-}490}$-AAAA	Dimeric	(16, 18)
G184/N260/Q351	CA binding Correspond to MX1 S137/S213/E304	(38)

690
691
Figure 2. Summary of MX1 and MX2 mutants used in this study.
A) Diagram representing the various domains of MX2, with the N-terminal 25 amino acids shown
in detail. BSE: bundle-signaling element. Residues of particular interest are indicated.
B) Diagram representing the domains of MX1 (top), with residues corresponding to those
highlighted in MX2 indicated, and diagram of chimeric fusion of MX1 containing the N-terminal
91 amino acids of MX2 (bottom).
C) Overview of mutations in MX2 known to affect CA-binding, phosphorylation, GTPase activity,
and oligomerization. Known consequences of mutations/functions of specific residues and
relevant citations are shown.

Figure 3. Determinants for MX2 activity in the absence of CA-CypA interactions.

A) Infectivity of GFP reporter viruses in HT1080 cells expressing doxycycline-inducible C-terminally myc-tagged MX2, MX2 mutants, or MX1$_{MX2\text{-}NTD}$ in the presence (open bars) and absence (filled bars) of doxycycline (Dox). Cells were infected in the presence of CsA where indicated. Titers are represented as mean + sem of infectious units (IU) per pg of reverse transcriptase (RT), n≥8 technical replicates combined from two-six independent experiments. Statistical analysis in S1 File.

B) Western blot analysis of doxycycline-inducible MX2-myc and tubulin loading control.

711
712
Figure 4. Phosphorylation at residue T151 does not determine CypA-independent MX2
activity.
A) Infection HT1080 cells stably transduced with doxycycline-inducible myc-tagged MX2 or
MX2$_{T151D}$ in the presence (open bars) or absence (filled bars) of doxycycline and presence or
absence of CsA with the indicated GFP reporter viruses. Titers are represented as mean +
sem of infectious units (IU) per pg of reverse transcriptase (RT), n≥9 technical replicates
combined from three-five independent experiments. Statistical analysis in S1 File.
B) Western blot analysis of doxycycline-inducible MX2-myc and tubulin loading control.
721

Figure 5. Restriction by GTPase-deficient MX2 in the absence of CA-CypA binding is not mediated by known CA-GTPase domain interactions.

A) Infection of HT1080 cells stably transduced with doxycycline-inducible myc-tagged MX2 with or without mutations in the N-terminal triple-arginine motif ($_{11}AAA_{13}$), T151A, or CA-binding residues in the GTPase domain (SSE) in the presence (open bars) or absence (filled bars) of doxycycline and presence or absence of CsA with the indicated GFP reporter viruses. Titers are represented as mean + sem of infectious units (IU) per pg of reverse transcriptase (RT), n≥9 technical replicates combined from three-five independent experiments. Statistical analysis in S1 File.

B) Western blot analysis of doxycycline-inducible MX2-myc and tubulin loading control.

Figure 6. Antiviral activity of GTPase-deficient chimeric MX1$_{MX2-NTD}$ proteins.

A) Infection of HT1080 cells stably transduced with doxycycline-inducible myc-tagged MX1 or MX1$_{MX2-NTD}$ with the indicated mutations in the presence (open bars) or absence (filled bars) of doxycycline and presence or absence of CsA with the indicated GFP reporter viruses. Titers are represented as mean + sem of infectious units (IU) per pg of reverse transcriptase (RT), n≥9 technical replicates combined from three-seven independent experiments. Statistical analysis in S1 File.

B) Western blot analysis of doxycycline-inducible MX1$_{MX2-NTD}$ or MX1-myc and tubulin loading control.

745
746
Figure 7. GTPase-deficient MX2 has distinct nucleoporin requirements for antiviral activity.
A) Schematic representation of the nuclear pore complex and genes included in siRNA library
color coded by subcomplex. (*- NUP98 is listed as a member of Nup107 subcomplex,
however. Nup98 and Nup96 are produced following autoproteolytic cleavage of a polyprotein
precursor (57, 58), this siRNA will target both Nups). Importins/nuclear transport receptors
(NTRs) included in the siRNA library listed in grey. Also included, siRNA targeting CypA,
CPSF6, and a non-targeting control siRNA.
B-D) Infectivity of HIV-1 GFP reporter viruses in HT1080 cells stably transduced with doxycycline-
inducible MX2 or MX2$_{T151A}$ in the presence (open circles) or absence (filled circles) of doxycycline
64-72h after transfection with siRNA (color coded by subcomplex as in A). Titers are mean ± sem,
n=3 technical replicates, representative of four independent experiments. Statistical analysis in
S1 File.

Supplementary Information legends

S1 Fig. Recognition of retroviral capsids by TRIMCyp fusions.
A) Infectivity of GFP reporter viruses on HeLa cells stably expressing control empty vector, owl monkey TRIMCyp (owmTRIMCyp), or chimeras of the TRIM5 N-terminal domain with human cyclophilin A (huCypA), or human CypA$_{D66N}$, CypA$_{R69H}$, CypA$_{D66N/R69H}$ mutants. Titers are represented as mean + sem of infectious units (IU) per mL, n=3 technical replicates representative of five independent experiments. Statistical analysis in S1 File.
B) Expression of the TRIM fusion proteins tagged with HA and tubulin loading control in stable cell lines used in (A).

S2 Fig. Generation of CypA knockout and mutant cell lines.
A) Schematic of the *PPIA* (CypA) locus on Chromosome 7 with crRNA guide targeting sites intron 1 and exon 4, and PCR primers for clone screening and verification indicated. Diagram of AAV donor for homology-directed repair shown below, as well as amino acid residues 66-69 in wild-type and mutant CypA cells.
B) Sequences of the wild-type locus at targeted sites, with crRNA and PAM sites indicated. Dots indicate sequences flanking those shown in detail. Allele sequences of CypA$^{-/-}$ clones with mismatches to wild-type sequence highlighted and deleted sequence indicated by dashes, consequence of mutations in each knock-out allele shown below.
C) Sequences of wild-type and point-mutant alleles with nucleotide changes in codons and *Hpa*I site in intron 3 indicated.
D) Top: Infectivity of HIV-1 GFP reporter virus infection in control, CypA$^{-/-}$ (left), and CypA-mutant (right) cell clones expressing doxycycline-inducible MX2 in the presence (open bars) or absence (filled bars) of doxycycline (Dox) and presence or absence of CsA. Alleles of CypA in knock-out clones detailed in (B) are indicated. Titers are represented as mean + sem of infectious units (IU) per pg of reverse transcriptase (RT); left: n≥6 technical replicates combined from three independent experiments; right: n≥3 technical replicates representative of four independent experiments. Statistical analysis in S1 File. Bottom: western blot analysis of doxycycline-inducible MX2, CypA, and tubulin loading control in the indicated cell clones.

S3 Fig. Validation of CA binding specificity by CypA mutants.
HIV-1 WT, N57A, or G89V CA tubes were assembled *in vitro* and incubated with lysates from control or CypA-mutant HT1080 cells as indicated. The reaction mixtures were subjected to centrifugation to separated pulled-down (bound) fractions from unbound proteins in supernatants. Lane 1: cellular lysates; Lane 2: supernatant from control experiments without CA tubes; Lanes 3-5: supernatants after incubating cellular lysates with CA tubes; Lane 6: pulled-down fraction from control experiment in the absence of CA tubes; Lanes 7-10: proteins bound to CA tubes.

S4 Fig. The effects of CsA on retroviral sensitivity to MX2 are the direct result of blocking CA-CypA interactions.
Infection of control or CypA-mutant HT1080 cells expressing doxycycline-inducible MX2 in the presence (open bars) or absence (filled bars) of doxycycline (Dox) and present or absence of CsA with indicated GFP reporter viruses. Titers are represented as mean + sem of infectious units (IU) per pg of reverse transcriptase (RT), n≥6 technical replicates combined from two-three independent experiments. Statistical analysis in S1 File.

S5 Fig. Determinants for MX2 activity in the absence of CA-CypA interactions.
A) Infectivity of GFP reporter viruses in HeLa cells expressing doxycycline-inducible C-terminally myc-tagged MX2, MX2 mutants, or MX1$_{MX2-NTD}$ in the presence (open bars) and absence (filled bars) of doxycycline (Dox). Cells were infected in the presence of CsA where indicated. Titers are represented as mean + sem of infectious units (IU) per pg of reverse transcriptase (RT), n≥8 technical replicates combined from two-six independent experiments. Statistical analysis in S1 File.

813 B) Western blot analysis of doxycycline-inducible MX2-myc and tubulin loading control.
814

S6 Fig. Restriction of HIV-1 infection by GTPase-deficient MX2 in CypA mutant cells
A) Infection of control and CypA-mutant HT1080 cell clones stably transduced with doxycycline-inducible myc-tagged MX2 (left) or MX2$_{T151A}$ (right) in the presence (open bars) or absence (filled bars) of doxycycline and presence or absence of CsA with the indicated GFP reporter viruses. Titers are represented as mean + sem of infectious units (IU) per pg of reverse transcriptase (RT), n≥12 technical replicates combined from five independent experiments. Statistical analysis in S1 File.
B) Western blot analysis of doxycycline-inducible MX2-myc, CypA, and tubulin loading control in the indicated cell clones.

S7 Fig. Phosphorylation at residue T151 does not determine CypA-independent MX2 activity.
A) Infection HeLa cells stably transduced with doxycycline-inducible myc-tagged MX2 or MX2$_{T151D}$ in the presence (open bars) or absence (filled bars) of doxycycline and presence or absence of CsA with the indicated GFP reporter viruses. Titers are represented as mean + sem of infectious units (IU) per pg of reverse transcriptase (RT), n≥6 technical replicates combined from two-seven independent experiments. Statistical analysis in S1 File.
B) Western blot analysis of doxycycline-inducible MX2-myc and tubulin loading control.

S8 Fig. Restriction by GTPase-deficient MX2 in the absence of CA-CypA binding is not mediated by known CA-GTPase domain interactions.
A) Infection of HeLa cells stably transduced with doxycycline-inducible myc-tagged MX2 with or without mutations in the N-terminal triple-arginine motif ($_{11}$AAA$_{13}$), T151A, or CA-binding residues in the GTPase domain (SSE) in the presence (open bars) or absence (filled bars) of doxycycline and presence or absence of CsA with the indicated GFP reporter viruses. Titers are represented as mean + sem of infectious units (IU) per pg of reverse transcriptase (RT), n=9 technical replicates combined from three independent experiments. Statistical analysis in S1 File.
B) Western blot analysis of doxycycline-inducible MX2-myc and tubulin loading control.

S9 Fig. Antiviral activity of GTPase-deficient chimeric MX1$_{MX2-NTD}$ proteins.
A) Infection of HeLa cells stably transduced with doxycycline-inducible myc-tagged MX1 or MX1$_{MX2-NTD}$ with the indicated mutations in the presence (open bars) or absence (filled bars) of doxycycline and presence or absence of CsA with the indicated GFP reporter viruses. Titers are represented as mean + sem of infectious units (IU) per pg of reverse transcriptase (RT), n≥9 technical replicates combined from three-seven independent experiments. Statistical analysis in S1 File.
B) Western blot analysis of doxycycline-inducible MX1$_{MX2-NTD}$ or MX1-myc and tubulin loading control.

S10 Fig. Experimental design for Nup/NTR knockdown
A) Experimental strategy to investigate the roles of Nups and NTRs in antiviral activity of MX2 and MX2$_{T151A}$. For a detailed description, refer to the Materials and Methods.
B) Western blot analysis of doxycycline-inducible MX2 and MX2$_{T151A}$ and tubulin loading control.