The explosive radiation of the Neotropical *Tillandsia* subgenus *Tillandsia*

(Bromeliaceae) has been accompanied by pervasive hybridization

Gil Yardeni[1,2] , Michael H. J. Barfuss[1], Walter Till[1], Matthew R. Thornton[1], Clara Groot

Crego[1,3], Christian Lexer[1,§], Thibault Leroy[1,4,*], Ovidiu Paun[1,*]

[1]Department of Botany and Biodiversity Research, University of Vienna, Vienna, Austria

[2]Institute of Computational Biology, Department of Biotechnology, University of Life

Sciences and Natural Resources, Vienna, Austria.

[3]Vienna Graduate School of Population Genetics, Vienna, Austria

[4]GenPhySE, Université de Toulouse, INRAE, ENVT, 31326, Castanet Tolosan, France

[§]deceased

[*]shared last authorship

Corresponding authors: gil.c.yardeni@gmail.com**;** ovidiu.paun@univie.ac.at

Running head:

Pervasive hybridization in radiated *Tillandsia*

Abstract

The recent rapid radiation of *Tillandsia* subgenus *Tillandsia* (Bromeliaceae) provides an

attractive system to study the drivers and constraints of species diversification. This species-

rich Neotropical monocot clade includes predominantly epiphytic species displaying vast

phenotypic diversity. Recent in-depth phylogenomic work revealed that the subgenus

originated within the last 7 MY, with one major expansion from South into Central America

within the last 5 MY. However, disagreements between phylogenies and lack of resolution at

shallow nodes suggest that hybridization may have occurred throughout the radiation,

together with frequent incomplete lineage sorting and rapid gene family evolution. We used

whole-genome resequencing data to explore the evolutionary history of representative

ingroup species employing both tree-based and network approaches. Our results indicate that

lineage co-occurrence does not predict relatedness and confirm significant deviations from a

tree-like structure, coupled with pervasive gene tree discordance. Focusing on hybridization,

ABBA-BABA and related statistics were used to infer the rates and relative timing of events,

while topology weighting uncovered high heterogeneity of the phylogenetic signal along the

genome. High rates of hybridization within and among subclades suggest that, contrary to

previous hypotheses, the expansion of subgenus *Tillandsia* into Central America proceeded

through several dispersal events, punctuated by episodes of diversification and gene flow.

Network analysis revealed reticulation as a plausible propeller during radiation and

establishment across different ecological niches. This work contributes a plant example of

prevalent hybridization during rapid species diversification, supporting the hypothesis that

interspecific gene flow facilitates explosive diversification.

Keywords: *Tillandsia*, gene tree discordance, hybridization, phylogenomics, bromeliad,

Neotropical diversity, species network

Rapid evolutionary radiations are characterised by accelerated and substantial diversification,

usually following dispersal into a novel geographic area. As a myriad of diverse ecological

spaces become available, a lineage can opportunistically adapt to quickly occupy them in a

process termed adaptive radiation (Hughes et al. 2015; Linder 2008; Naciri and Linder 2020;

Rundell and Price 2009; Stroud and Losos 2016). Rapid radiations provide ample

opportunities to investigate the mechanisms driving diversification. While not all rapid

radiations are adaptive, i.e., not necessarily associated with an increase in ecological

occupancy (Givnish 2015; Schluter 2000; Stroud and Losos 2016), evolutionary radiations

are generally connected with habitat heterogeneity (Paun et al. 2016; Soltis et al. 2019),

climatic fluctuations (Slovak et al. 2023), landscape fragmentation (Hughes and Atchison

2015) and/or orogenesis (Boschman and Condamine 2022). Ubiquitous among angiosperms

in general and in the Neotropics in particular, plant radiations remain to date understudied

and elusive, especially when compared to animal systems (but see e.g., Drummond et al.

2012; Lagomarsino et al. 2016; Linder 2008; Paun et al. 2016; Pérez-Escobar et al. 2017;

Richardson et al. 2001).

Phylogenomic studies of young, rapid radiations face multiple challenges. Low

sequence divergence and incomplete reproductive barriers increase the likelihood of short

internal branches and impede phylogenomic resolution (Giarla and Esselstyn 2015; Straub et

al. 2014; Whitfield and Lockhart 2007). Furthermore, population-level processes during rapid

radiations - such as fluctuations in population size and incomplete lineage sorting (ILS) -

generate high rates of gene-tree conflict, potentially reducing the phylogenetic signal (Oliver

2013; Parins-Fukuchi et al. 2021). Such processes were traditionally deemed as analytical

noise when aiming to reconstruct bifurcating species trees. Yet an increasing amount of

research indicates that episodes of phylogenomic conflict represent typical signatures of

microevolutionary processes and are often associated with rapid phenotypic shifts (Filiault et

al. 2018; Parins-Fukuchi et al. 2021). Hence, non-bifurcating relationships should be

regarded and studied as fundamental biological phenomena of diversification, underlying the

formation of species (Malinsky et al. 2018; Slovak et al. 2023; Wogan et al. 2023).

Historically, hybridization and introgression were viewed as processes that impede

speciation by homogenizing distinct genomes, introducing potentially maladaptive alleles,

and disrupting locally adapted gene networks. However, they recently emerged also as key

drivers of species diversification (e.g. Abbott et al. 2013; Seehausen 2014), with gene flow

now regarded as an important process during speciation (He et al. 2019; Linck and Battey

2019; Mallet et al. 2016). Gene flow between recently diverged species can increase genetic

diversity, offering the substrate for natural selection. Interspecific hybridization can prompt

ecological diversification through heterosis and adaptive introgression, a process in which

advantageous alleles are transferred between gene pools, enhancing adaptation. Furthermore,

introgression can introduce advantageous alleles upon which selection has already acted or

create novel allele combinations, thus catalysing evolutionary radiations (Abbott et al. 2013;

Edelman et al. 2019; Harrison and Larson 2014; Meier et al. 2019; Suarez-Gonzalez et al.

2018).

The rapidly radiating and highly diverse Neotropical *Tillandsia* subg. *Tillandsia*

provides a particularly relevant study system to investigate the drivers of radiations.

Subgenus *Tillandsia* is the most diverse of seven subgenera within genus *Tillandsia* (family

Bromeliaceae), comprising   more than 250 predominantly epiphytic species. *Tillandsia*

species are distributed across a wide range, from the southeastern United States to central

Argentina (Barfuss et al. 2016). Members of the subgenus exhibit tremendous morphological

diversity of adaptive traits which allows them to occupy disparate habitats, from tropical

rainforests to deserts, and from lowlands to highlands (Benzing 2000; Givnish et al. 2011).

Adaptations such as adventitious roots, trichomes modified for water and nutrient absorption,

a water-impounding leaf rosette tank and Crassulacean acid metabolism (CAM) appear to

have undergone in some cases correlated and in others contingent evolution, resulting in

adaptive syndromes (Givnish et al. 2014).

Early efforts employing conserved plastid and nuclear markers circumscribed the

monophyly of the subgenus and its clades, yet recovered largely inconsistent relationships

between subclades (Barfuss et al. 2005; 2016; Chew et al. 2010; Granados Mendoza et al.

2017; Pinzón et al. 2016). Using plastome phylogenomics, Vera-Paz et al. (2023) confirmed

the monophyly of the subgenus and the presence of three main clades within the subgenus,

including a monophyletic, radiated 'clade K' with mostly epiphytic and ornithophilous

members distinctly distributed in North and Central America (Fig. 1; Barfuss et al. 2016;

Granados Mendoza et al. 2017). They further identified K.1 and K.2 as subclades of clade K.

Within this study's sampling, the *T. punctulata* (K.1) and *T. utriculata* (K.2.1) subclades

include widespread or endemic species with intermediate CAM-C3 syndromes or various

CAM-like photosynthetic syndromes, respectively. The *T. guatemalensis* subclade (K.2.2) is

a small group of widespread and predominantly-C3 plants, exhibiting smooth leaves and a

prominent tank habit. Finally, the *T. fasciculata* subclade (previously K.2.3) includes species

largely exhibiting CAM photosynthetic syndrome with typical water-absorbing trichomes. In

addition, species within this subclade K.2.3 present varying distributions, from endemic to

widespread, some extending into the Antilles (Espejo-Serna et al. 2004; Till 2000).

Figure 1. A coalescent-based species tree generated on 15,791 genomic windows with

ASTRAL-III for 64 individuals representing 33 species of *Tillandsia* subg. *Tillandsia*, plus

two outgroups of subg. *Allardtia*. Branch lengths are given in coalescent units. Node values

represent local posterior probabilities for the main topology and are equal to one unless noted

otherwise. Pie charts at the nodes show levels of gene tree discordance: the percentages of

concordant gene trees (blue), the top alternative bipartition (green), other conflicting

topologies (red) and uninformative gene trees (grey). Clade terminology follows previously

proposed names (e.g., Pinzón et al. 2016 and Barfuss et al. 2016).

The subgenus *Tillandsia* was estimated to be phylogenetically young: existing

Bromeliaceae phylogenies set the crown age of the subfamily Tillandsioideae at 15.2 ± 0.4

Mya and of "core tillandsioids" at 9.6 ± 0.7 Mya (Givnish et al. 2014). Several studies

(Givnish et al. 2014; Till 2000; Vera-Paz et al. 2023; Winkler 1990) proposed that the

ancestor of 'clade K' was most likely South American, expanded to Central America

approximately 4.9 Mya and subsequently dispersed multiple times to North America.

However, the relationships within 'clade K' remained elusive and disagreements between

gene trees are common, calling to consider the role of different evolutionary processes in the

Tillandsia radiation. As a result, hybridization was put forward as especially prevalent in the

subgenus (Till 2000; Vera-Paz et al. 2023).

In contrast to phylogenetic reconstruction using a limited number of markers, whole

genome sequencing provides an abundance of informative data, which increases resolution

and helps disentangle major causes of incongruence (e.g., Liu et al. 2015; Guo et al. 2023). In

comparison to plastid markers, nuclear loci are characterised by higher evolutionary rates and

biparental inheritance, rendering them suitable for resolving rapid radiations and

investigating hybridization (Léveillé-Bourret et al. 2018; McKain et al. 2018; Debray et al.

2019). Due to costs and the complexities of molecular lab work, a trade-off often exists

between the scale of sequencing and the extent of taxon sampling in phylogenomic studies

(Mitchell et al. 2000). Regardless, genome-wide molecular phylogenetics generally results in

greater phylogenetic informativeness and improved accuracy (Rokas et al. 2003; Wortley et

al. 2005; Léveillé-Bourret et al. 2018; Debray et al. 2019).

Using whole-genome resequencing data and a recently published reference genome,

our study aims to shed light on the evolutionary history of *Tillandsia* subgenus *Tillandsia*,

focusing on Central American groups within the radiated 'clade K' and representatives of

South American species. Specifically, we seek to infer relationships between representative

species and investigate signals of phylogenetic conflict, with the ultimate aim to examine

patterns of gene flow which may have contributed to adaptive trait shifts within this

Neotropical rapid radiation. Our detailed analyses reveal a reticulated history within the

subgenus and at least two colonisation events from South into Central America, surprisingly

indicating that the previously described 'clade K' (Barfuss et al. 2016; Granados Mendoza et

al. 2017; Vera-Paz et al. 2023) may not constitute a monophyletic group.

Materials and Methods

Plant Material

Leaf material was collected from 69 individuals belonging to 36 *Tillandsia* species.

Material from field collections was cut lengthwise and immediately dried in powdered silica

gel. Samples from the botanical collection of the University of Vienna were extracted fresh

(Supporting Tables S1, S3). 67 accessions correspond to 34 species of *Tillandsia* subg.

Tillandsia, while the remaining two belong to subg. *Allardtia* sensu Smith & Downs (1977)

and were used as outgroups. Of the 34 ingroup species, 26 represent the Central-North

American 'clade K' radiation and eight species represent South American *Tillandsia*

(henceforth: SA clades; Supporting Fig. 1, Supporting Table S1). Albeit not exhaustive, our

sampling was performed with the intention to represent the variety of morphological and

physiological syndromes within clades SA and 'K' (Supporting Table S2). We sampled

species from all subclades of clade K previously introduced: 6, 5, 2, and 13 from subclades *T.*

punctulata, T. utriculata, T. gutemalensis and *T. fasciculata,* respectively.

DNA Library Preparation

DNA extractions were performed as previously described (Yardeni et al. 2022) using

a modified CTAB protocol (Doyle and Doyle, 1987) or the QIAGEN DNeasy® Plant Mini

Kit (Qiagen, USA). They were further purified using the Nucleospin® gDNA cleanup kit

from Macherey-Nagel (Hudlow et al. 2011). The extracts were subsequently diluted in water

and quantified with a Qubit® 3.0 Fluorometer (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA, USA).

Prior to library preparation, a maximum of 600 ng of DNA per accession was sheared at 4°C

to a target average length of 400 bp using a Bioruptor® Pico sonication device (Diagenode,

Denville, NJ, USA). Illumina libraries were prepared using a modified KAPA protocol with

the KAPA LTP Library Preparation Kit (Roche, Basel, Switzerland) with adaptor ligation and

adaptor fill-in reactions based on Meyer and Kircher (2010). Some libraries have been instead

prepared with the NEBNext Ultra II DNA PCR-free Library Prep Kit (New England Biolabs,

Ipswich, MA, USA). Samples were either double indexed with a set of 60 dual-index primers,

as recommended by Kircher et al. (2012) and described in Loiseau et al. (2019), or with

Illumina TrueSeq PCR-free dual indexes. The libraries underwent size selection steps using

AMPure Beads (Agencourt). Finally, the libraries were pooled and sequenced at the Vienna

BioCenter Core Facilities on Illumina HiSeqV4 PE125 or on NovaSeqS1 PE150. Protocol

details for all accessions are listed in Supporting Table S3.

Data Processing

The raw sequence data was demultiplexed using deML v.1.1.3 (Renaud et al. 2015)

and bamtools v.2.5.1 (Barnett et al. 2011), and converted from BAM to FASTQ format using

bedtools v.2.29.2 (Quinlan and Hall 2010). Reads were trimmed for adapter content and

quality using trimgalore v.0.6.5 (Krueger 2019), a wrapper tool around fastqc and cutadapt,

using --fastqc --retain unpaired. Sequence quality and adapter removal were confirmed with

FastQC v.0.11.9 (Andrews 2010).

Quality- and adapter-trimmed reads were aligned to the *T. fasciculata* reference

genome v.1.0 (GenBank Assembly accession GCA_029168755.1, Groot Crego et al., 2024)

using bowtie2 v.2.3.5.1 (Langmead and Salzberg 2012) with the --very-sensitive-local option

to maximise alignment rates. Low-quality mapped reads (mapQ < 10) were removed,

alignments were sorted by position using samtools v.1.15.1 (Li et al. 2009), and PCR

duplicates were marked using MarkDuplicates from PicardTools v.2.25.2 (Picard Toolkit

2018). The average coverage was calculated with samtools v.1.15.1 (Li et al. 2009).

To call variants, we used GATK HaplotypeCaller v.4.1.9.0 followed by joint calling

with GenotypeGVCFs (Poplin et al. 2018). We proceeded to confirm technical replicates and

to identify high relatedness between accessions with kinship coefficients inferred through

KING v.2.2.6 (Manichaikul et al. 2010). BAM files of technical replicates were merged

before we called a final variant call file (VCF). Samples exhibiting a first degree relationship

with another sample (consistent with full-sib or direct parent-offspring relationships; i.e.,

kinship > 0.177) or having an average genome-wide coverage below 3.5x were removed from

subsequent analyses (Supporting Table S3). The resulting VCF was filtered using bcftools

v.1.15 (Danecek et al., 2021) and GATK SelectVariants (DePristo et al. 2011), to exclude

indels and any SNPs located within 3bp of indels. Regions annotated as transposable

elements were excluded using bedtools intersect (Quinlan and Hall 2010). We generally used

the transition/transversion ratio for guidance to define our filtering parameters, aiming for

values leading to a set of SNPs exhibiting the highest ratio. Ts/Tv ratios were calculated with

SnpSift (Cingolani et al. 2012). We finally used the following parameters for filtering:

mapping quality (MQ) < 15, read depth coverage (DP) < 4, quality by depth (QD) < 4, Fisher

strand bias (FS) > 40, strand odds ratio (SOR) > 3, minor allele frequency (MAF) < 0.045

(corresponding to the presence in at least six chromosomal complements) and missing rate <

0.2. We prepared additional files with different MAF filtering thresholds, to examine the

possible bias in branch lengths (see below and supporting file 3). Summary statistics were

generated using bcftools stats (Danecek et al., 2021). The full variant calling pipeline is

available at https://github.com/giyany/TillandsiaPhylo/notebooks/raw_to_vcf_GATK.md.

Phylogenetic Tree Inference

We inferred phylogenetic relationships for all samples using both a maximum-

likelihood (ML) and a coalescent-based method. We included a coalescent-based method in

order to explicitly account for ILS, which may otherwise result in high support for an

incorrect topology (Kubatko and Degnan 2007). Gene tree incongruence further provides

insight into molecular genome evolution, including the extent of incomplete lineage sorting

and genomic processes such as hybridization and introgression (Galtier and Daubin 2008;

Hibbins et al. 2020; Morales-Briones et al. 2021; Wendel and Doyle 1998). Both analyses

were repeated on data-sets with different MAF filtering thresholds to account for possible

bias in branch lengths (supporting files 3). The ML tree was inferred on a concatenated

supermatrix, including both variant and invariant sites. First, a concatenated matrix was

obtained by converting the VCF into a phylip file with vcf2phylip (Ortiz 2019). We then

inferred a phylogeny with IQ-TREE v.2.1.3, using IQ-TREE's ModelFinder to select the

best-fitting partitioning scheme and models for each genic region (Nguyen et al. 2015,

Kalyaanamoorthy et al., 2017). Node support was inferred with 1,000 nonparametric ultrafast

bootstrap replicates (Chernomor et al. 2016). During the first inference, the available *T.*

zoquensis accession grouped within *T. fasciculata* (Supporting Fig. S2), suggesting a need to

revise its species status. We removed this sample from the data-set and all analyses hereafter.

We then repeated the analysis, constructing a whole-genome ML tree as described, as well as

separate ML trees for each reference chromosome.

To reconstruct a species tree, ML trees were estimated on non-overlapping genomic

windows of 10 kb. Windows with fewer than 40 SNPs were excluded. Since loci with

insufficient signal may reduce the accuracy of species tree estimation (Mirarab 2019), nodes

with a bootstrap support below ten were collapsed across all trees with Newick utilities

v.1.1.0 (Junier and Zdobnov 2010). ASTRAL-III v.5.7.8 (hereafter: ASTRAL) was then used

to infer a species tree, measuring branch support as posterior probabilities (Zhang et al.

2018). To explore gene tree discordance, we used phyparts and calculated quartet support for

the main, as well as the first and second alternative topologies. We calculated the number of

concordant and discordant bipartitions on each node, imposing a cutoff of 70 for informative

bootstrap values (-s 70; Smith et al. 2015). Gene tree discordance was visualised with

phypartspiecharts.py (available from https://github.com/mossmatters/MJPythonNotebooks).

Investigating Monophyly and Deviations from a Bifurcating Tree Structure

We used several variations of the ABBA-BABA test (D-statistic) to further explore

the relationships within and between subclades and to assess deviations from tree structure

(Durand et al. 2011; Green et al. 2010; Martin et al. 2015). The D-statistic we implemented in

Dsuite v.0.5r45 (Malinsky et al. 2021) specifically uses allele frequency estimates, which

allows to include several individuals per taxon and does not require the implicit assumption

that the outgroup is fixed for the ancestral allele. For all D-statistic-related analyses we used

the full VCF file with *T. complanata* as an outgroup. Significance was assessed using a

jackknife procedure with 200 kb window size and family-wise error rate (FWER) was

calculated and corrected for multiple comparisons following the Holm–Bonferroni method

with the p.adjust function in R (R Core Team 2020). We first examined the consistency of

assigning individuals to a subclade, following the approach of Malinsky et al. (2018), by

testing whether individuals assigned to the same subclade always share more derived alleles

with each other than with individuals from other subclades. For each individual A, we

calculated $D(A,G_1;G_2,O)$, where A is assigned to one subclade and G_1 and G_2 to another.

Values greater than zero indicate that allele sharing is greater within groups compared to

across groups.

To characterise the relationships among subclades, we examined the consistency of

subclade monophyly in genomic windows. We used the set of window-based trees previously

generated for the ASTRAL analysis and checked if a subclade was monophyletic in each

window with the check_monophyly function in the ETE Toolkit v.3 (Huerta-Cepas et al.

2016). Next, a cloudogram of ML trees was visualised. Window-based trees were modified

using R packages phytool v.1.0-3 (Revell 2012) and treeio v.1.20.0 (Wang et al. 2020) to

force branch lengths into an ultrametric structure for visualisation purposes. A cloudogram

was generated with ggdensitree from the R package ggtree v.3.4.0 (Yu 2020). We next used

D_{min}, another variation of the D-statistic (Malinsky et al. 2018), to assess if allele-sharing

among subclades is consistent with a tree-like structure. D_{min} yields a conservative inference

of gene flow within a trio by providing the minimal absolute value of D across all possible

arrangements within it. A significantly positive score signifies allele sharing that is

inconsistent with a single species tree. To identify and visualize the genetic structure between

subclades, we performed a principal components analysis (PCA) on a reduced data-set. This

data-set was pruned for linkage disequilibrium by randomly selecting SNPs that are at least

10 kb apart among all the biallelic SNPs with <10% missing data. The PCA analysis was

performed with SNPRelate v.1.20.1 (Zheng et al. 2012).

Finally, to quantify and visualise the relationship among subclades along the genome

we used topology weighting by iterative sampling of subtrees with *Twisst* v.0.2 (Martin and

Van Belleghem 2017). Using ML topologies inferred on genomic windows, this method

considers all possible topologies and quantifies the contribution of each to the full tree,

enabling to locate genomic regions that are associated with certain topologies. We focused on

the three largest subclades and reduced the number of possible topologies by including only

the subclades *T. extensa, T. utriculata* and *T. fasciculata*. For this analysis, subtrees were

constructed on windows of 50 SNPs following the strategy of Martin and Van Belleghem

(2017). Individual VCF files for each window were produced by partitioning the original

VCF with the biostar497922 script from Jvarkit (Lindenbaum 2015) and ML trees were

inferred for each window as described previously. A summary and visualisation of all

topologies along genomic coordinates were produced with a slightly modified version of the

plot_twisst.R script, which excludes windows with high rates of missing data in the

computation of the average regional weightings (Martin and Van Belleghem 2017; script is

available at https://github.com/giyany/Tillandsia_Phylo_hybridization/blob/main/notebooks/

plot_twisst_mod.R).

Characterising Hybridization Events

To quantify the rates of hybridization between all species in our dataset, we obtained

a genome-wide signal of hybridization using the original implementation of the D-statistic

and estimates of admixture fraction f (henceforth: f_4-ratio) in *Dsuite* v.0.5r45 (Malinsky et al.

2021). Given a certain level of uncertainty regarding the true relationship between species,

we set no *a priori* knowledge of taxon relationships. Instead, *Dsuite* ordered each trio so that

the BBAA pattern is more common, principally to focus on topologies with minimal

discordant patterns. For all D-statistics related analyses below, we again used the full VCF

file with *T. complanata* as an outgroup. We repeated the analysis separately for each

reference chromosome and computed the *D*-statistic for each, obtaining p-values using a

jackknife procedure with windows of 150 SNPs.

Since groups that are involved in hybridization may share branches on a phylogenetic

tree, a single hybridization event can present multiple correlated instances of a significantly

elevated D-statistic. This is especially expected when gene flow involves ancestral lineages,

affecting internal branches of a phylogenetic tree. We used *Dsuite* to calculate the *f*-branch

metric (henceforth: $f_b(C)$), an estimator developed to create a summary of gene flow events

with minimized correlation (Malinsky et al. 2021). The $f_b(C)$ results invited several

hypotheses regarding hybridization events, which we examined by inferring a species

network under a maximum pseudo-likelihood approach using PhyloNet v.3.8.0 (Cao et al.

2019; Than et al. 2008; Yu and Nakhleh 2015). For PhyloNet, we reduced our sampling to

one outgroup and 18 ingroup taxa to include representatives from each highly-supported

subclade, then inferred ML trees on non-overlapping windows of 10 kb as previously

described. We inferred networks that specified between zero and five reticulation events and

repeated the search five times for each network, finally picking the network with the highest

pseudo-likelihood score in each search.

334   Characterising Hybridization on Chromosome 18

335   Our previous calculation of the D-statistics for each reference chromosome (see

above) pointed at significantly elevated values on chromosome 18. Gene flow particularly

involved the species *T. achyrostachys* (*T. punctulata* subclade) and most species in the CAM

subclade *T. fasciculata* (see results). Species in the *T. punctulata* subclade putatively possess

an intermediate C3-CAM photosynthetic syndrome, expressing intermediary values of stable

carbon isotope ratios (δ^{13}C; Supporting Table S2, but see also Messerschmid et al. 2021).

Within our sampling of this subclade, *T. achyrostachys* was documented to express the

strongest CAM phenotype (δ^{13}C of −14.7; Crayn et al. 2015). Additionally, all

known species in the *T. fasciculata* subclade are putatively strong, constitutively CAM

plants. We wished to investigate the possibility that gene flow introduced advantageous genes

related to shifts in metabolic syndromes. Hence, we inferred the signature of hybridization

along chromosome 18 to locate highly admixed loci. We focused on possible hybridization

between *T. punctulata*, *T. butzii* and *T. achyrostachys* (corresponding to the *T. punctulata*

subclade, the *T. fasciculata* subclade and the *T. punctulata* subclade, respectively). This

allowed us to account for phylogenomic relatedness between *T. achyrostachys* and *T.*

punctulata and for similar photosynthetic syndromes between *T. achyrostachys* and *T. butzii*.

We used the Dinvestigate function from *Dsuite* on this species trio, performing analysis on

windows of 50 SNPs with a step size of ten, obtained as previously described. The D-statistic

itself shows large variance when applied to genomic windows (Martin et al. 2015), hence we

used f_{dM}, a statistic designed to investigate hybridization in small windows which also

accounts for allele sharing across all possible taxon arrangements in a trio (Malinsky et al.

2015; Martin et al. 2015). We identified regions exhibiting D-statistic values exceeding the

95% quantile of the distribution and inspected the genes annotated in regions of high

admixture, specifically their functional annotation.

Results

Read Mapping and Variant Calling

After removing samples with low coverage, those sharing high kinship coefficients, and the

T. zoquensis accession (see methods) we retained 64 *Tillandsia* accessions, corresponding to

35 recognized species. The average number of reads retained per accession was 5.1×10^7

(range 4.7×10^6-1.2×10^8, SD=2.5×10^7) and average mapping rates were 89.6% (range 69.4%-

97.5%, SD=6.3), with slightly higher rates for members of the *T. fasciculata* subclade and

slightly lower for the SA subclades (97.5% and 89.6% respectively). Differences in mapping

rates between the six main subclades (Fig. 1) were however not significant (Kruskal–Wallis

test, p-value = 0.22), suggesting no or limited biases towards the reference genome. An

average   coverage of 13.8x (range 4.1x-35.0x, SD=6.5x) was obtained for the samples

retained further. After variant calling and filtering we retained 2,162,143 high-quality SNPs.

Phylogenomic Inference Contradicts clade Monophyly

The concatenated matrix was partitioned into 14,392 regions, whereas the coalescent-

based analyses were performed on 15,791 genomic windows. The species tree (Fig. 1) and

concatenated maximum-likelihood (ML) tree (Supporting Fig. S1) yielded inconsistent

results regarding the main clades and relationships. In both trees, the Central American 'K'

subclades did not form a monophyletic group, contrary to among-subclade relationships

previously reported for this subgenus (Barfuss et al. 2016; Granados Mendoza et al. 2017;

Rivera 2019; Vera-Paz et al., 2023). Specifically, our results are incongruent with a

monophyly of the previous inferred 'subclade K.2'. Instead, the *T. utriculata* subclade (K.2.1)

was recovered as a sister to one or both of the South American subclades (in the ML tree or

the species tree, respectively). The assignment of species to subclades and all other

relationships between subclades remained overall congruent with previous phylogenies

(Granados Mendoza et al. 2017; Vera-Paz et al., 2023). Several, relatively minor within-clade

topological differences appeared among trees inferred with different methods, especially

within the *T. fasciculata* subclade. Relationships between species in this subclade were highly

supported yet coupled with high levels of gene tree incongruence, suggesting substantial

allele sharing and high rates of gene flow within this group. While the South American taxa

in our sampling formed a monophyletic group in the species tree (Fig. 1), in the ML tree

(supporting Fig. S1) these were separated into two subclades: one consisted of endemic

Peruvian species and the widespread species *T. adpressiflora*, while a second subclade

contained *T. marnier-lapostollei* and *T. mima*. The latter was retrieved as paraphyletic to the

T. utriculata subclade and all remaining South American taxa. As the subclades we retrieved

do not correspond to the previously-inferred *T. paniculata* and *T. secunda* clades (Vera-Paz et

al. 2003), we renamed them as *T. extensa* and *T. mima* subclades, respectively (supporting

table S1). We additionally renamed the Mexican 'K' subclades to reflect the new assignment

(Fig. 1). Gene tree discordance was widespread within the dataset, affecting both deep and

shallow nodes (Fig. 1; Supporting Fig. S3). The relationships between the South American

species and the *T. utriculata* subclade were particularly characterised by short internode

distances and many alternative topologies. Frequently, the majority of inferred gene tree

topologies were discordant with a single main topology: for example, in the node preceding

the separation of the SA subclades only 3,148 (19.9%) of all gene windows supported the

main topology (Fig. 1; Supporting Fig. S3). Similar levels of discordance characterised the

internal nodes within the *T. fasciculata* subclade and high levels of discordance were also

found within the *T. punctulata* subclade (Fig. 1; Supporting Fig. S3).

Maximum-likelihood trees constructed separately for concatenated matrices of each

reference chromosome retrieved many different topologies: solely considering relationships

between subclades we recognized ten different topologies among the different 25 trees (see

Supporting file 1). The placement of the *T. mima* subclade showed the greatest incongruence

between trees, as well as the placement of *T. adpressiflora*. In three trees, the relationships

between subclades were similar to the topology retrieved from the whole-genome

concatenated matrix. The South American species formed a monophyly in six chromosome

trees and 'clade K' was recovered as monophyletic in two. While each chromosome tree

contains high amounts of gene tree discordance, the abundance of different topologies imply

that several evolutionary histories can be traced along the genome of *Tillandsia*, as disparate

genomic processes contribute to the differences between gene trees and the true species

relationships. Trees inferred on data-sets with different MAF filtering thresholds did not

substantially differ in topology, whereas gene tree discordance was minimally affected

(supporting file 3). Branch lengths were however affected, as minor alleles contribute to long

branches of specific species. We again retrieved inconsistency in the placement of the *T.*

mima subclade when using different filtering parameters, indicating the subclade cannot be

confidently placed in our current data-set.

Lack of Monophyly and Deviations from Tree-like Structure

The multitude of tree topologies along the genome led us to hypothesise that a single

bifurcating tree misrepresents the true relationships among species in the subgenus

Tillandsia, in particular affecting 'clade K' (Supporting Fig. S4). To investigate deviations

from a tree-like structure, we analysed the patterns of allele sharing between species and

between subclades. Assuming no interspecific gene flow, allele sharing is expected to be

consistent with the main tree topology, whereas asymmetrical allele sharing indicates

deviations from that assumption.

We first used the D-statistic framework to test the robustness of the assignment of

species to subclades. We calculated allele sharing between species, utilising the D-statistic's

allele frequency estimates. We found high support for subclade monophyly, as species

assigned to the same subclade shared more alleles with other species within the subclade than

with species from other subclades. However, we observed low support for subclade

monophyly based on the analyses in genomic windows performed with ETE: 52.4%, 34.4%

and 14.11% of the windows supported monophyly for subclades *T. guatemalensis*, *T.*

fasciculata and *T. punctulata*, respectively. A notable exception was observed for *T.*

utriculata, for which 86.6% of the windows were consistent with a monophyly.

We next characterised allele sharing between subclades by computing the D_{min}

statistic on a total of 7,141 trios (Supporting Fig. S5a). We found widespread deviations from

a tree-like structure, mostly driven by allele sharing between Central American taxa (previous

'clade K'): D_{min} values were significantly elevated in 3,650 comparisons ($P<0.05$) and more

than 95% of those were highly significant ($P<0.01$). The rate of significant D values was

highest for comparisons involving accessions assigned to *T. utriculata* subclade (58.7% of the

trios), followed by *T. punctulata*, *T. guatemalensis* and *T. fasciculata* (57.9%, 56.1% and

51.4%, respectively). We also employed a multivariate technique with PCA to investigate the

interspecific genetic structure (Supporting Fig. S5b). After distance-pruning and removing

SNPs with <10% missing data we retained 16,204 SNPs. We found a consistent interspecific

genetic structure, separating the South American subclades and the *T. utriculata* subclade,

while the remainder of the Central American subclades clustered densely - reflecting the

distinct subclades found in tree-based and D-statistics approaches.

Finally, to obtain a better understanding of how subclade relationships vary along the

genome, we considered three distinct tree topologies for topology weighting with *Twisst*

(Supporting Fig. S6): the most frequent topology was congruent with the one recovered in the

species tree, which placed the *T. extensa* subclade as sister to the *T. utriculata* subclade in

42% of the genomic windows. A second topology, with *T. fasciculata* and *T. utriculata* as

sister subclades, was recovered from 35% of the genomic windows, while a third topology

appeared in 23%. The three topologies were broadly equally distributed along the genome –

however, in some chromosomes (for example, chromosome 4; Supporting Fig. S6) the first

topology was more frequent within centromeric regions. Given that topology weighing

remains a descriptive method, it does not allow explicit testing for hybridization or ILS.

Regardless, the prevalence of the main topology in regions of low recombination rates and

reduced genic density suggests that it represents the backbone phylogeny, while other

topologies are likely the result of gene flow or deep coalescence (Carneiro et al 2009;

Christmas et al 2021).

Correlated and Widespread Gene flow Events

D-statistics results for all possible trios indicated that all subgenus *Tillandsia* species in our

dataset were involved in potential hybridization (Supporting Fig. S7). Out of 7,141 tests in

total, 4,331 returned significantly elevated values, with D values ranging between 0.021 and

0.581. The signal was not localised to a specific chromosome according to separate

calculations on each chromosome (Supporting file 2). A prominent signal revealed gene flow

between species in the *T. utriculata* subclade and all other Central American subclades.

Notable gene flow signals were also found within the *T. fasciculata* subclade. f4-ratio scores

ranged between 0.0017 and 0.357, but for most hybridization events the proportion of the

genome involved was estimated smaller than 10% (Supporting Fig. S8). Larger parts of the

genome were admixed in hybridization within the main subclades: for example, *T. caput-*

medusae was involved in hybridization events with *T. butzii* and T. *fasciculata* involving ca.

31.7% of the genome.

Further analysis confirmed that past hybridization events involved the ancestor of the

T. urticulata subclade and an ancestor of the other three Central American clades. In analysis

based on the f-branch metric, elevated $f_b(C)$ scores were assigned to events involving these

subclades with an average of 6.3% (Fig. 2). Among all between-subclades $f_b(C)$ values, 246

(19.6%) were significantly elevated (P<0.05), although most significant values (412, 62.6%)

occurred within subclades. In a network analysis on a total of 15,535 genomic windows, the

results repeatedly indicated the involvement of the *T. utriculata* subclade in hybridization

with the *T. extensa* and other Central American subclades (Fig. 3). Notably, higher weights

were assigned to the former hybridization. Additional gene-flow events occurred within

subclades. Analyses allowing for four or more reticulation events resulted in events involving

the outgroup or fewer than four events reported, so we present here results for up to three

reticulations. Overall, these findings confirm the extensive violations of a tree-like structure

revealed in the previous part of the analyses and suggest that inter-specific gene flow

occurred frequently throughout the evolutionary history of the radiation.

Figure 2. Heatmap summarising the statistic $f_b(C)$, where excess sharing of derived alleles is

inferred between the branch of the tree on the Y axis and the species C on the X axis. The

ASTRAL species tree was used as input topology for the branch statistic. The matrix is

colored according to $f_b(C)$ values and grey squares correspond to tests that are inconsistent

with the ASTRAL phylogeny. Dots within the matrix denote a significant p-value, estimated

using a block jackknife procedure and corrected for family wise error rate. Colours

correspond to the clades in Figure 1.

Figure 3. pseudo-likelihood species networks inferred with PhyloNet for zero to three

reticulation events (network presented was scored with the highest log-probability). Curved

branches indicate reticulation events. Numbers next to curved branches indicate inheritance

probabilities for each event. Colours correspond to the clades in Figure 1.

Local Footprint of Hybridization between CAM-expressing Species

Elevated D-statistics, signifying hybridization, generally affected all chromosomes

(Supporting file 2). In contrast, a significantly elevated, but localized D-statistic was found on

chromosome 18 involving *T. achyrostachys* (*T. punctulata* subclade) and most of the species

in the CAM subclade *T. fasciculata* (Supporting file 2). To further investigate this highly

localised signal, f_{dM} statistics were calculated on a total of 9,185 windows between *T.*

punctulata, *T. butzii* and *T. achyrostachys*. The first and last species are assigned to the *T.*

punctulata subclade whereas *T. butzii* is a member of the *T. fasciculata* subclade. Across all

chromosomes, mean values were negative at an average of -0.043 fitting the expectation for

higher rates of gene flow between closely related species (Fig. 4a). Chromosome 18 was

however characterised by two regions of high positive values, which were also evident with

topology weighting (Fig. 4b, 4c). Considering centromere localization, we found that the loci

exhibits high f_{dM} values, coincides with low genic density and contains 194 genes (Fig. 4d).

In a detailed survey of gene annotations, we found this set of genes was potentially associated

with a variety of stress and metabolic functions. For example, serine/threonine-protein kinase

prpf4B is known to have a role in pre-mRNA splicing in yeast and humans (Eckert et al.

2016), and was found to be associated with stress response in millet (Parvathi et al. 2019).

Another example is the S-adenosyl-L-methionine-dependent methyltransferase superfamily

protein (SAM-Mtase), a key enzyme in plant metabolic pathways like the phenylpropanoid

and flavonoid pathway (Joshi and Chiang, 1998; Sistla and Rao 2004).

Figure 4. Signature of introgression and topology weighting on chromosome 18 between *T.*

punctulata, *T. butzii* and *T. achyrostachys* (P1, P2 and P3; T. *complanata* was used as the

outgroup). **A**, values of f_{dM} statistic and gene content for each chromosome calculated

between *T. punctulata, T. butzii* and *T. achyrostachys*. **B**, Topology weighting by iterative

sampling of subtrees in genomic windows of 50 SNPs using *Twisst*. Colours represent the

frequency of each topology in **C** along chromosomal position in chromosome 18: white gaps

indicate regions excluded due to high levels of missing data. **D,** f_{dM} statistic (green dots and

smoothed green line, scale on the right) calculated in genomic windows. Analysis was

performed on windows of 50 SNPs with a step size of ten. Shared variation is quantified in

positive values when shared between P2 and P3 and as negative values when shared between

P3 and P1. Gene content as the number of predicted genes per 1 MB window is in lavender

with scale on the left.

Discussion

Hybridization is extensively studied for its various roles in plant speciation. Using a

multi-species genomic dataset and phylogenomic approaches, we present the subgenus

Tillandsia as a striking example of a highly reticulated radiation. The radiation likely

proceeded in the presence of rampant inter-specific gene flow, rather than by refined

reproductive barriers (Loiseau et al. 2019; Koch et al. 2022; Vera-Paz 2023). An abundance

of sequencing data tends to generate high branch support in both concatenated alignments

and a coalescent-based tree-building method (Pease et al. 2016; Salichos and Rokas 2013),

yet a detailed investigation in subgenus *Tillandsia* revealed that a bifurcating tree offers an

incomplete picture of the true relationships between species. This work thus provides a

compelling example to the mounting evidence of the presence, and possibly contribution, of

gene flow in species diversification (Arnold et al. 2016; Filiault et al. 2018; Keller et al.

2013; Nosil 2008; Seehausen et al. 2014; Slovak et al. 2023; Stankowski et al. 2019; Zhang et

al. 2021).

In stark contrast to previously inferred phylogenies, we retrieved the Central

American 'clade K' as polyphyletic. First inferred based on morphological characters and

later on a limited number of genomic markers, the generic and sub-generic classification of

subfamily Tillandsioideae in general and of the genus *Tillandsia* in particular shifted

throughout decades of phylogenetic research. Overall, phylogenies based on plastid

sequences and on relatively few nuclear genomic regions offered little resolution for shallow

phylogenetics in this young genus, particularly within Central American taxa (Pinzón et al.

2016; Barfuss et al. 2016; Granados Mendoza et al. 2017). Recently, a full plastome

phylogeny greatly improved the phylogenomic resolution while statistical support remained

low in shallow nodes (Vera-Paz et al. 2023). We suggest that incongruence between

Tillandsia phylogenies reflects both the local scarcity of genomic divergence within this

young radiation and the complicating role of hybridization. Moreover, considering the

prevalence of recent inter-specific gene flow, the monophyly of the Central American

subclades in plastid phylogenies seems particularly driven by chloroplast capture (Pham et al.

2017). Beyond insight on subclade relationship, our analyses produced several novel insights

regarding cryptic species: for example, our inference suggests that *T. mima* and *T. mima var.*

chiletensis are distinct species, despite morphological similarities. Similarly, *T. fuchsii* and *T.*

fuchsii var. *stephanii* did not form a monophyletic group, raising a need to revise their

taxonomic status (Fig. 1; Supporting Fig. S1).

Hybridization played a central role in the evolution of Central American *Tillandsia*,

reflected in departures from tree structure encompassing all clades, subclades and species. D-

statistics revealed both recent and ancient gene flow while allele sharing did not compromise

species or subclade boundaries. Notably, the obtained $f_b(C)$ ranges were in general higher

than those previously inferred for ancestral introgressions between species of Malawi cichlids

(Malinsky et al. 2018) or of hares (Ferreira et al. 2021), but comparable to other rapid

radiations (De-Kayne et al. 2022; Slovak et al. 2023). The interplay of genomic signals and

our limited sampling complicate inference on the timing of gene flow events, yet their high

prevalence suggests occurrence during both ancestral and recent history.

High rates of gene flow are compatible with *Tillandsia* ecology and evolution:

Tillandsia is known to produce natural hybrids (Luther 1985; Koch et al. 2022; Till 2000) and

bears copious seed adapted to wind dispersal, which may facilitate high rates of inter- and

intra-specific gene flow (Mondragon and Calvo-Irabien 2006; Victoriano-Romero et al.

2017). Previous studies proposed that the South American ancestor of *Tillandsia* subg.

Tillandsia colonised North and Central America in a long-distance dispersal event 4.86 Mya

(Barfuss et al. 2016; Granados Mendoza et al. 2017; Vera-Paz et al. 2023) and our results

offer intriguing hypotheses on multiple, likely polytopic origins of Central American

Tillandsia. Instead of a single dispersal event, South American ancestors may have dispersed

in several migration events into Central America. Strong population bottlenecks, producing

phylogenies with relatively long internal branches, were followed by concomitant episodes of

gene admixture and increased isolation. It is further possible that gene flow increased allelic

diversity in founder populations, thus fueling adaptation (see below).

Apart from hybridization, other molecular processes can contribute to violations of a

strictly bifurcating species tree, such as ILS, paralogy, and gene duplication and loss

(Edwards 2009; Galtier and Daubin 2008; Smith et al. 2015). Previous studies on *Tillandsia*

subg. *Tillandsia* indeed found evidence for changes in population sizes and elevated rates of

gene duplication and loss, specifically associated with photosynthetic syndrome shifts (de La

Harpe et al. 2020; Groot Crego et al. 2024; Yardeni et al. 2022). We suggest there is strong

evidence for ancestral hybridization as the source of discordance between *T. utriculata* and

other Central American subclades: f-statistic and D-statistic tests are robust to the presence of

ILS, as is PhyloNet (Malinsky et al. 2021; Martin et al. 2015; Wen et al. 2018) and ancestral

population structure is unlikely to segregate through the demographic events that

accompanied *Tillandsia*'s dispersal into Central America. Regardless, the generality of the

obtained signal could be influenced by our limited sampling; a study employing a wider

sampling could further infer if hybridization involved related or ancient lineages, while

considering disparate molecular processes.

Genetic variation introduced through hybridization can be maladaptive, neutral or

adaptive (Moran et al. 2021; Wong et al. 2022; Zeberg and Pääbo 2020). While its

consequences remain difficult to predict and negative consequences may not be observable

for long periods, an adaptive role of introgression has been demonstrated in numerous animal

and plant taxa (Arnold et al. 2016; Dasmahapatra et al. 2012; Lamichhaney et al. 2015; Leroy

et al. 2020; Taylor and Larson 2019). In this study, we did not directly test for the hypothesis

that hybridization facilitated adaptation. However, we have identified two candidate regions

on chromosome 18, with genomic signatures of hybridization occurring between species that

share similar photosynthetic syndromes. Interestingly, the identified regions coincide with

low gene density and one of them occurs at a chromosome edge. These findings echo the

general expectation regarding the theory, posing that higher rates of hybridization correlate

with regions of low gene density, since hybridized fragments in gene-rich regions are more

likely to be detrimental (Barton and Bengtsson, 1986; Martin and Jiggins 2017;

Sankararaman et al. 2014). The incomplete taxon sampling in our current study limits our

ability to draw generalities about the extent of adaptive hybridization and introgression in

Tillandsia: however, considering the rapid accumulation of morphological and physiological

disparity we may hypothesize that hybridization in *Tillandsia* contributed to its success in a

wide range of habitats, potentially introducing novel alleles through porous species

boundaries and driving this evolutionary radiation. Further efforts will be needed to identify

additional potential adaptive regions and uncover the key genes within.

638   We used whole-genome sequencing to deeply investigate the phylogenomics of a

remarkable *Tillandsia* radiation. Recent research addressed questions on the genus'

evolutionary history, simultaneously expanding bromeliad and *Tillandsia* genomic resources

and facilitating a range of evolutionary analyses. Ultimately, the complex history of

Tillandsia remains elusive, calling for further investigations to uncover the interplay of

processes that drove this rapid diversification. Future studies employing both a wider

sampling and a deeper genomic coverage can characterise the genomic properties associated

with diversification and explore the prevalence and consequences of hybridization across

different evolutionary scales.

Acknowledgements

This research was supported with funding from the Christian Lexer professorship start-up

BE772002 at the University of Vienna, and in part by the Austrian Science Fund (FWF)

[https://doi.org/10.55776/P35275] to O.P. The analyses took advantage of the Vienna

Scientific Cluster (VSC). We thank Magnus Nordborg, James Pease and Hannes Svardal for

insightful discussions and advice. We thank the members of Swiss SNSF Sinergia project

CRSII3_147630 and Juan P. Pinzón for accession sampling.

Data availability statement

Online-only appendices can be found in the Dryad data repository: DOI:

https://datadryad.org/stash/share/HU9AtN96f1Gy36L8N_ja0L8XiuCEM327k-j21dwJUZY

Additional information, scripts and protocols are available from:

https://github.com/giyany/TillandsiaPhylo/

All raw sequence reads will be deposited in NCBI-SRA (accession ID XXXX).

References

Abbott R., Albach D., Ansell S., Arntzen J.W., Baird S.J.E., Bierne N., Boughman J.,

Brelsford A., Buerkle C.A., Buggs R., Butlin R.K., Dieckmann U., Eroukhmanoff F., Grill

A., Cahan S.H., Hermansen J.S., Hewitt G., Hudson A.G., Jiggins C., Jones J., Keller B.,

Marczewski T., Mallet J., Martinez-Rodriguez P., Möst M., Mullen S., Nichols R., Nolte

A.W., Parisod C., Pfennig K., Rice A.M., Ritchie M.G., Seifert B., Smadja C.M., Stelkens R.,

Szymura J.M., Väinölä R., Wolf J.B.W., Zinner D. 2013. Hybridization and speciation. *J.*

Evol. Biol. 26:229–246.

Andrews S. 2010. FastQC: a quality control tool for high throughput sequence data.

Available online at: http://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/fastqc/

Arnold B.J., Lahner B., DaCosta J.M., Weisman C.M., Hollister J.D., Salt D.E., Bomblies K.,

Yant L. 2016. Borrowed alleles and convergence in serpentine adaptation. *Proc. Natl. Acad.*

Sci. 113:8320–8325.

Barfuss M.H.J., Samuel R., Till W., Stuessy T.F. 2005. Phylogenetic relationships in

subfamily Tillandsioideae (Bromeliaceae) based on DNA sequence data from seven plastid

regions. *Am. J. Bot*. 92:337–351.

Barfuss M.H.J., Till W., Leme E.M.C., Pinzón J.P., Manzanares J.M., Halbritter H., Samuel

R., Brown G.K. 2016. Taxonomic revision of Bromeliaceae subfam. Tillandsioideae based on

a multi-locus DNA sequence phylogeny and morphology. *Phytotaxa*. 279:1–97.

Barnett D.W., Garrison E.K., Quinlan A.R., Strömberg M.P., Marth G.T. 2011. BamTools: a

C++ API and toolkit for analyzing and managing BAM files. *Bioinformatics*. 27:1691–1692.

Barton N., Bengtsson B.O. 1986. The barrier to genetic exchange between hybridising

populations. *Heredity*. 57:357–376.

Benzing D.H. 2000. *Bromeliaceae: profile of an adaptive radiation*. Cambridge University

Press.

Boschman L.M., Condamine F.L. 2022. Mountain radiations are not only rapid and recent:

Ancient diversification of South American frog and lizard families related to Paleogene

Andean orogeny and Cenozoic climate variations. *Glob. Planet. Change*. 208:103704.

Cao Z., Zhu J., Nakhleh L. 2019. Empirical performance of tree-based inference of

phylogenetic networks. *19th Int. Workshop Algorithms Bioinformatics (WABI 2019)*. Schloss

Dagstuhl-Leibniz-Zentrum fuer Informatik.

Carneiro, M. Ferrand, N. Nachman, MW. Recombination and Speciation: Loci Near

Centromeres Are More Differentiated Than Loci Near Telomeres Between Subspecies of the

European Rabbit (Oryctolagus cuniculus). *Genetics*. 181:593-606.

Chernomor O., von Haeseler A., Minh B.Q. 2016. Terrace aware data structure for

phylogenomic inference from supermatrices. *Syst. Biol*. 65:997-1008.

Chew T., De Luna E., González D. 2010. Phylogenetic relationships of the pseudobulbous

Tillandsia species (Bromeliaceae) inferred from cladistic analyses of ITS 2, 5.8 S ribosomal

RNA gene, and ETS sequences. *Syst. Bot.* 35:86–95.

Christmas M.J., Jones J.C., Olsson A., Wallerman O., Bunikis I., Kierczak M., Peona V.,

Whitley K.M., Larva T., Suh A., Miller-Struttmann N.E., Geib J.C., Webster M.T. Genetic

Barriers to Historical Gene Flow between Cryptic Species of Alpine Bumblebees Revealed

by Comparative Population Genomics. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 38:3126-3143.

Cingolani P., Platts A., Wang L.L., Coon M., Nguyen T., Wang L., Land S.J., Lu X., Ruden

D.M. 2012. A program for annotating and predicting the effects of single nucleotide

polymorphisms, SnpEff. *Fly*. 6:80–92.

Crayn D.M., Winter K., Schulte K., Smith J.A.C. 2015. Photosynthetic pathways in

Bromeliaceae: phylogenetic and ecological significance of CAM and C3 based on carbon

isotope ratios for 1893 species. *Bot. J. Linn. Soc*. 178:169–221.

Danecek P., Bonfield J.K., Liddle J., Marshall J., Ohan V., Pollard M.O., Whitwham A.,

Keane T., McCarthy S.A., Davies R.M., Li H. 2021. Twelve years of SAMtools and

BCFtools. *Gigascience*. 10:giab008.

Dasmahapatra K.K., Walters J.R., Briscoe A.D., Davey J.W., Whibley A., Nadeau N.J.,

Zimin A.V., Hughes D.S.T., Ferguson L.C., Martin S.H., Salazar C., Lewis J.J., Adler S.,

Ahn S.-J., Baker D.A., Baxter S.W., Chamberlain N.L., Chauhan R., Counterman B.A.,

Dalmay T., Gilbert L.E., Gordon K., Heckel D.G., Hines H.M., Hoff K.J., Holland P.W.H.,

Jacquin-Joly E., Jiggins F.M., Jones R.T., Kapan D.D., Kersey P., Lamas G., Lawson D.,

Mapleson D., Maroja L.S., Martin A., Moxon S., Palmer W.J., Papa R., Papanicolaou A.,

Pauchet Y., Ray D.A., Rosser N., Salzberg S.L., Supple M.A., Surridge A., Tenger-Trolander

A., Vogel H., Wilkinson P.A., Wilson D., Yorke J.A., Yuan F., Balmuth A.L., Eland C.,

Gharbi K., Thomson M., Gibbs R.A., Han Y., Jayaseelan J.C., Kovar C., Mathew T., Muzny

D.M., Ongeri F., Pu L.-L., Qu J., Thornton R.L., Worley K.C., Wu Y.-Q., Linares M., Blaxter

M.L., ffrench-Constant R.H., Joron M., Kronforst M.R., Mullen S.P., Reed R.D., Scherer

S.E., Richards S., Mallet J., Owen McMillan W., Jiggins C.D., The Heliconius Genome

Consortium. 2012. Butterfly genome reveals promiscuous exchange of mimicry adaptations

among species. *Nature*. 487:94–98.

Debray K., Marie-Magdelaine J., Ruttink T., Clotault J., Foucher F., Malécot V. 2019.

Identification and assessment of variable single-copy orthologous (SCO) nuclear loci for low-

level phylogenomics: a case study in the genus *Rosa* (Rosaceae). *BMC Evol. Biol. 19*:1-19.

De-Kayne R., Selz O.M., Marques D.A., Frei D., Seehausen O., Feulner P.G.D. 2022.

Genomic architecture of adaptive radiation and hybridization in Alpine whitefish. *Nat.*

Commun. 13:4479.

DePristo M.A., Banks E., Poplin R., Garimella K.V., Maguire J.R., Hartl C., Philippakis

A.A., del Angel G., Rivas M.A., Hanna M., McKenna A., Fennell T.J., Kernytsky A.M.,

Sivachenko A.Y., Cibulskis K., Gabriel S.B., Altshuler D., Daly M.J. 2011. A framework for

variation discovery and genotyping using next-generation DNA sequencing data. *Nat. Genet*.

43:491–498.

Doyle J.J., Doyle J.L. 1987. A rapid DNA isolation procedure for small quantities of fresh

leaf tissue. *Phytochem. Bull*. 19:11–15.

Drummond C.S., Eastwood R.J., Miotto S.T.S., Hughes C.E. 2012. Multiple continental

radiations and correlates of diversification in Lupinus (Leguminosae): testing for key

innovation with incomplete taxon sampling. *Syst. Biol*. 61:443–460.

Durand E.Y., Patterson N., Reich D., Slatkin M. 2011. Testing for ancient admixture between

closely related populations. *Mol. Biol. Evol*. 28:2239–2252.

Eckert D., Andrée N., Razanau A., Zock-Emmenthal S., Lützelberger M., Plath S., Schmidt

H., Guerra-Moreno A., Cozzuto L., Ayté J., Käufer N.F. 2016. Prp4 kinase grants the license

to splice: control of weak splice sites during spliceosome activation. *PLOS Genet*.

12:e1005768.

Edelman N.B., Frandsen P.B., Miyagi M., Clavijo B., Davey J., Dikow R.B., García-

Accinelli G., Van Belleghem S.M., Patterson N., Neafsey D.E., Challis R., Kumar S.,

Moreira G.R.P., Salazar C., Chouteau M., Counterman B.A., Papa R., Blaxter M., Reed R.D.,

Dasmahapatra K.K., Kronforst M., Joron M., Jiggins C.D., McMillan W.O., Di Palma F.,

Blumberg A.J., Wakeley J., Jaffe D., Mallet J. 2019. Genomic architecture and introgression

shape a butterfly radiation. *Science*. 366:594–599.

Edwards S.V. 2009. Is a new and general theory of molecular systematics merging?

Evolution. 63:1–19.

Espejo-Serna A., López-Ferrari A.R., Ramírez-Morillo I., Holst B.K., Luther H.E., Till W.

2004. Checklist of Mexican Bromeliaceae with notes on species distribution and levels of

endemism. *Selbyana*. 25:33–86.

Ferreira M.S., Jones M.R., Callahan C.M., Farelo L., Tolesa Z., Suchentrunk F., Boursot P.,

Mills L.S., Alves P.C., Good J.M., Melo-Ferreira J. 2021. The legacy of recurrent

introgression during the radiation of hares. *Syst. Biol*. 70:593–607.

Filiault D.L., Ballerini E.S., Mandáková T., Aköz G., Derieg N.J., Schmutz J., Jenkins J.,

Grimwood J., Shu S., Hayes R.D., Hellsten U., Barry K., Yan J., Mihaltcheva S., Karafiátová

M., Nizhynska V., Kramer E.M., Lysak M.A., Hodges S.A., Nordborg M. 2018. The

Aquilegia genome provides insight into adaptive radiation and reveals an extraordinarily

polymorphic chromosome with a unique history. *eLife*. 7:e36426.

Galtier N., Daubin V. 2008. Dealing with incongruence in phylogenomic analyses. *Philos.*

Trans. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci. 363:4023–4029.

Giarla T.C., Esselstyn J.A. 2015. The challenges of resolving a rapid, recent radiation:

empirical and simulated phylogenomics of Philippine shrews. *Syst. Biol*. 64:727–740.

Givnish T.J. 2015. Adaptive radiation versus 'radiation' and 'explosive diversification': why

conceptual distinctions are fundamental to understanding evolution. *New Phytol*. 207:297–

303.

Givnish T.J., Barfuss M.H.J., Ee B.V., Riina R., Schulte K., Horres R., Gonsiska P.A.,

Jabaily R.S., Crayn D.M., Smith J.A.C., Winter K., Brown G.K., Evans T.M., Holst B.K.,

Luther H., Till W., Zizka G., Berry P.E., Sytsma K.J. 2011. Phylogeny, adaptive radiation,

and historical biogeography in Bromeliaceae: Insights from an eight-locus plastid phylogeny.

Am. J. Bot. 98:872–895.

Givnish T.J., Barfuss M.H.J., Ee B.V., Riina R., Schulte K., Horres R., Gonsiska P.A.,

Jabaily R.S., Crayn D.M., Smith J.A.C., Winter K., Brown G.K., Evans T.M., Holst B.K.,

Luther H., Till W., Zizka G., Berry P.E., Sytsma K.J. 2014. Adaptive radiation, correlated

and contingent evolution, and net species diversification in Bromeliaceae. *Mol. Phylogenet.*

Evol. 71:55–78.

Granados Mendoza C., Granados-Aguilar X., Donadío S., Salazar G.A., Flores-Cruz M.,

Hágsater E., Starr J.R., Ibarra-Manríquez G., Fragoso-Martínez I., Magallón S. 2017.

Geographic structure in two highly diverse lineages of *Tillandsia* (Bromeliaceae). *Botany*.

95:641–651.

Green R.E., Krause J., Briggs A.W., Maricic T., Stenzel U., Kircher M., Patterson N., Li H.,

Zhai W., Fritz M.H.-Y., Hansen N.F., Durand E.Y., Malaspinas A.-S., Jensen J.D., Marques-

Bonet T., Alkan C., Prüfer K., Meyer M., Burbano H.A., Good J.M., Schultz R., Aximu-Petri

A., Butthof A., Höber B., Höffner B., Siegemund M., Weihmann A., Nusbaum C., Lander

E.S., Russ C., Novod N., Affourtit J., Egholm M., Verna C., Rudan P., Brajkovic D., Kucan

Ž., Gušic I., Doronichev V.B., Golovanova L.V., Lalueza-Fox C., de la Rasilla M., Fortea J.,

Rosas A., Schmitz R.W., Johnson P.L.F., Eichler E.E., Falush D., Birney E., Mullikin J.C.,

Slatkin M., Nielsen R., Kelso J., Lachmann M., Reich D., Pääbo S. 2010. A draft sequence of

the Neandertal genome. *Science*. 328:710–722.

Groot Crego C., Hess J., Yardeni G., de La Harpe M., Priemer C., Beclin F., Saadain S.,

Cauz-Santos L.A., Temsch E.M., Weiss-Schneeweiss H., Barfuss M.H.J., Till W.,

Weckwerth W., Heyduk K., Lexer C., Paun O., Leroy, T. 2024. CAM evolution is associated

with gene family expansion in an explosive bromeliad radiation. *Plant Cell*, 36:4109–4131.

Guo C., Luo Y., Gao L.M., Yi T.S., Li H.T., Yang J.B., Li D.Z. 2023. Phylogenomics and the

flowering plant tree of life. *J. Integr. Plant Biol.* 65:299-323.

Harrison R.G., Larson E.L. 2014. Hybridization, introgression, and the nature of species

boundaries. *J. Hered*. 105:795–809.

He Z., Li X., Yang M., Wang X., Zhong C., Duke N. C., Wu C., Shi S. 2019. Speciation with

gene flow via cycles of isolation and migration: insights from multiple mangrove taxa. *Natl.*

Sci. Rev. 6:275-288.

Hibbins M.S., Gibson M.J., Hahn M.W. 2020. Determining the probability of hemiplasy in

the presence of incomplete lineage sorting and introgression. *eLife*. 9:e63753.

Hudlow W.R., Krieger R., Meusel M., Sehhat J.C., Timken M.D., Buoncristiani M.R. 2011.

The NucleoSpin® DNA Clean-up XS kit for the concentration and purification of genomic

DNA extracts: an alternative to microdialysis filtration. *Forensic Sci. Int. Genet*. 5:226–230.

Huerta-Cepas J., Serra F., Bork P. 2016. ETE 3: Reconstruction, Analysis, and Visualization

of Phylogenomic Data. *Mol. Biol. Evol*. 33:1635–1638.

Hughes C.E., Atchison G.W. 2015. The ubiquity of alpine plant radiations: from the Andes to

the Hengduan Mountains. *New Phytol*. 207:275–282.

Hughes C.E., Nyffeler R., Linder H.P. 2015. Evolutionary plant radiations: where, when, why

and how? *New Phytol*. 207:249–253.

Joshi C.P., Chiang V.L. 1998. Conserved sequence motifs in plant S-adenosyl-L-methionine-

dependent methyltransferases. *Plant Mol. Biol*. 37:663–674.

Junier T., Zdobnov E.M. 2010. The Newick utilities: high-throughput phylogenetic tree

processing in the UNIX shell. *Bioinforma. Oxf. Engl*. 26:1669–1670.

Kalyaanamoorthy S., Minh B.Q., Wong T.K., Von Haeseler A. and Jermiin L.S., 2017.

ModelFinder: fast model selection for accurate phylogenetic estimates. *Nature methods*,

14:587-589.

Keller I., Wagner C.E., Greuter L., Mwaiko S., Selz O.M., Sivasundar A., Wittwer S.,

Seehausen O. 2013. Population genomic signatures of divergent adaptation, gene flow and

hybrid speciation in the rapid radiation of Lake Victoria cichlid fishes. *Mol. Ecol*. 22:2848–

2863.

Kircher M., Sawyer S., Meyer M. 2012. Double indexing overcomes inaccuracies in

multiplex sequencing on the Illumina platform. *Nucleic Acids Res*. 40:e3.

Koch M. A., Kiefer C., Möbus J., Quandt D., Merklinger F., Harpke D., Benavides, F. V.

2022. Range expansion and contraction of *Tillandsia landbeckii* lomas in the hyperarid

Chilean Atacama Desert indicates ancient introgression and geneflow. *Perspect. Plant Ecol.*

Evol. Syst. 56:125689.

Krueger F. 2019. Trim Galore: a wrapper tool around Cutadapt and FastQC to consistently

apply quality and adapter trimming to FastQ files. *Babraham Bioinformatics, Babraham*

Institute, Cambridge, United Kingdom.

Kubatko, L. S., Degnan, J. H. 2007. Inconsistency of phylogenetic estimates from

concatenated data under coalescence. *Syst. Biol*. 56:17-24.

de La Harpe M., Paris M., Hess J., Barfuss M.H.J., Serrano-Serrano M.L., Ghatak A.,

Chaturvedi P., Weckwerth W., Till W., Salamin N., Wai C.M., Ming R., Lexer C. 2020.

Genomic footprints of repeated evolution of CAM photosynthesis in a Neotropical species

radiation. *Plant Cell Environ*. 43:2987-3001.

Lagomarsino L.P., Condamine F.L., Antonelli A., Mulch A., Davis C.C. 2016. The abiotic

and biotic drivers of rapid diversification in Andean bellflowers (Campanulaceae). *New*

Phytol. 210:1430–1442.

Lamichhaney S., Berglund J., Almén M.S., Maqbool K., Grabherr M., Martinez-Barrio A.,

Promerová M., Rubin C.-J., Wang C., Zamani N., Grant B.R., Grant P.R., Webster M.T.,

Andersson L. 2015. Evolution of Darwin's finches and their beaks revealed by genome

sequencing. *Nature*. 518:371–375.

Langmead B., Salzberg S.L. 2012. Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2. *Nat. Methods*.

9:357–359.

Leroy T., Louvet J.M., Lalanne C., Le Provost G., Labadie K., Aury J.M., Delzon S.,

Plomion C., Kremer A. 2020. Adaptive introgression as a driver of local adaptation to climate

in European white oaks. *New Phytol*. 226:1171-1182.

Léveillé-Bourret É., Starr J.R., Ford B.A., Moriarty Lemmon E., Lemmon A.R. 2018.

Resolving rapid radiations within angiosperm families using anchored phylogenomics. *Sys.*

Bio. 67:94-112.

Li H. 2011. A statistical framework for SNP calling, mutation discovery, association mapping

and population genetical parameter estimation from sequencing data. *Bioinformatics*.

27:2987–2993.

Linck E., Battey C.J. 2019. On the relative ease of speciation with periodic gene flow.

bioRxiv.:758664.

Lindenbaum P. 2015. JVarkit: java-based utilities for Bioinformatics. [Computer software].

https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.1425030

Linder H.P. 2008. Plant species radiations: where, when, why? *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. B.*

363:3097–3105.

Liu L., Wu S., Yu, L. 2015. Coalescent methods for estimating species trees from

phylogenomic data. *J. Sys. Evol.* 53:380-390.

Loiseau O., Olivares I., Paris M., de La Harpe M., Weigand A., Koubínová D., Rolland J.,

Bacon C.D., Balslev H., Borchsenius F., Cano A., Couvreur T.L.P., Delnatte C., Fardin F.,

Gayot M., Mejía F., Mota-Machado T., Perret M., Roncal J., Sanin M.J., Stauffer F., Lexer

C., Kessler M., Salamin N. 2019. Targeted capture of hundreds of nuclear genes unravels

phylogenetic relationships of the diverse Neotropical palm tribe Geonomateae. *Front. Plant*

Sci. 10:864.

Luther H. E. 1985. Notes on hybrid tillandsias in Florida. *Phytologia.* 53:175–176.

Malinsky M., Challis R.J., Tyers A.M., Schiffels S., Terai Y., Ngatunga B.P., Miska E.A.,

Durbin R., Genner M.J., Turner G.F. 2015. Genomic islands of speciation separate cichlid

ecomorphs in an East African crater lake. *Science*. 350:1493–1498.

Malinsky M., Matschiner M., Svardal H. 2021. Dsuite - Fast D-statistics and related

admixture evidence from VCF files. *Mol. Ecol. Resour*. 21:584–595.

Malinsky M., Svardal H., Tyers A.M., Miska E.A., Genner M.J., Turner G.F., Durbin R.

2018. Whole-genome sequences of Malawi cichlids reveal multiple radiations interconnected

by gene flow. *Nat. Ecol. Evol*. 2:1940–1955.

Mallet J., Besansky N., Hahn M.W. 2016. How reticulated are species? *BioEssays*. 38:140–

149.

Manichaikul A., Mychaleckyj J.C., Rich S.S., Daly K., Sale M., Chen W.-M. 2010. Robust

relationship inference in genome-wide association studies. *Bioinformatics*. 26:2867–2873.

Martin S.H., Davey J.W., Jiggins C.D. 2015. Evaluating the use of ABBA–BABA statistics

to locate introgressed loci. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 32:244–257.

Martin S.H., Jiggins C.D. 2017. Interpreting the genomic landscape of introgression. *Curr.*

Opin. Genet. Dev. 47:69–74.

Martin S.H., Van Belleghem S.M. 2017. Exploring evolutionary relationships across the

genome using topology weighting. *Genetics*. 206:429–438.

McKain M.R., Johnson M.G., Uribe-Convers S., Eaton D., Yang Y. 2018. Practical

considerations for plant phylogenomics. *Appl. Plant Sci. 6*:e1038.

Meier J.I., Stelkens R.B., Joyce D.A., Mwaiko S., Phiri N., Schliewen U.K., Selz O.M.,

Wagner C.E., Katongo C., Seehausen O. 2019. The coincidence of ecological opportunity

with hybridization explains rapid adaptive radiation in Lake Mweru cichlid fishes. *Nat.*

Commun. 10:5391.

Messerschmid T.F.E., Wehling J., Bobon N., Kahmen A., Klak C., Los J.A., Nelson D.B.,

dos Santos P., de Vos J.M., Kadereit G. 2021. Carbon isotope composition of plant

photosynthetic tissues reflects a Crassulacean Acid Metabolism (CAM) continuum in the

majority of CAM lineages. *Perspect. Plant Ecol. Evol. Syst*. 51:125619.

Meyer M., Kircher M. 2010. Illumina sequencing library preparation for highly multiplexed

target capture and sequencing. *Cold Spring Harb. Protoc*. 2010:pdb.prot5448.

Mirarab S. 2019. Species tree estimation using ASTRAL: practical considerations.

ArXiv:1904.03826.

Mitchell A., Mitter C., Regier, J.C. 2000. More taxa or more characters revisited: combining

data from nuclear protein-encoding genes for phylogenetic analyses of Noctuoidea (Insecta:

Lepidoptera). *Sys. Bio*. 49:202-224.

Mondragon D., Calvo-Irabien, L. M. 2006. Seed dispersal and germination of the epiphyte

Tillandsia brachycaulos (Bromeliaceae) in a tropical dry forest, Mexico. *Southwest. Nat.*

51:462-470.

1002

1003   Morales-Briones D.F., Kadereit G., Tefarikis D.T., Moore M.J., Smith S.A., Brockington

1004   S.F., Timoneda A., Yim W.C., Cushman J.C., Yang Y. 2021. Disentangling sources of gene

1005   tree discordance in phylogenomic data sets: testing ancient hybridizations in Amaranthaceae

1006   s.l. *Syst. Biol*. 70:219–235.

1007

1008   Moran B. M., Payne C., Langdon Q., Powell D. L., Brandvain Y., Schumer M. 2021. The

1009   genomic consequences of hybridization. *Elife, 10*: e69016.

1010

1011   Naciri Y., Linder H.P. 2020. The genetics of evolutionary radiations. *Biol. Rev*. 95:1055–

1012   1072.

1013

1014   Nguyen L.-T., Schmidt H.A., von Haeseler A., Minh B.Q. 2015. IQ-TREE: a fast and

1015   effective stochastic algorithm for estimating maximum-likelihood phylogenies. *Mol. Biol.*

1016   *Evol*. 32:268–274.

1017

1018   Nosil P. 2008. Speciation with gene flow could be common. *Mol Ecol*. 17:2103-2106.

1019

1020   Oliver J.C. 2013. Microevolutionary processes generate phylogenomic discordance at ancient

1021   divergences. *Evolution*. 67:1823–1830.

1022

1023   Ortiz, E.M. 2019. vcf2phylip v2.0: convert a VCF matrix into several matrix formats for

1024   phylogenetic analysis. DOI:10.5281/zenodo.2540861

1025

1026   Parins-Fukuchi C., Stull G.W., Smith S.A. 2021. Phylogenomic conflict coincides with rapid

1027   morphological innovation. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci*. 118:e2023058118.

1028

1029   Parvathi M.S., Nataraja K.N., Reddy Y.A., Naika M.B., Gowda M.V. 2019. Transcriptome

1030   analysis of finger millet (Eleusine coracana (L.) Gaertn.) reveals unique drought responsive

1031   genes. *J. Genet*. 98:1–12.

1032

1033   Paun O., Turner B., Trucchi E., Munzinger J., Chase M.W., Samuel R. 2016. Processes

1034   driving the adaptive radiation of a tropical tree (Diospyros, Ebenaceae) in New Caledonia, a

1035   biodiversity hotspot. *Sys. Bio. 65*:212–227.

1036

1037   Pease J.B., Brown J.W., Walker J.F., Hinchliff C.E., Smith S.A. 2018. Quartet Sampling

1038   distinguishes lack of support from conflicting support in the green plant tree of life. *Am. J.*

1039   *Bot*. 105:385–403.

1040

1041   Pease J.B., Haak D.C., Hahn M.W., Moyle L.C. 2016. Phylogenomics reveals three sources

1042   of adaptive variation during a rapid radiation. *PLOS Biol*. 14:e1002379.

1043

1044   Pérez-Escobar O.A., Chomicki G., Condamine F.L., Karremans A.P., Bogarín D., Matzke

1045   N.J., Silvestro D., Antonelli A. 2017. Recent origin and rapid speciation of Neotropical

1046   orchids in the world's richest plant biodiversity hotspot. *New Phytol*. 215:891–905.

1047

1048   Pham K. K., Hipp A. L., Manos P. S., Cronn R. C. 2017. A time and a place for everything:

1049   phylogenetic history and geography as joint predictors of oak plastome phylogeny. *Genome*.

1050   *60*:720-732.

1051

1052   Picard   Toolkit.   2018.   Broad   Institute,   GitHub   Repository:

1053   http://broadinstitute.github.io/picard/. *Broad Institute*.

1054

1055 Pinzón J.P., Ramírez-Morillo I.M., Carnevali G., Barfuss M.H., Till W., Tun J., Ortiz-Díaz

1056 J.J. 2016. Phylogenetics and evolution of the *Tillandsia utriculata* complex (Bromeliaceae,

1057 Tillandsioideae) inferred from three plastid DNA markers and the ETS of the nuclear

1058 ribosomal DNA. *Bot. J. Linn. Soc*. 181:362–390.

1059

1060 Poplin R., Ruano-Rubio V., DePristo M.A., Fennell T.J., Carneiro M.O., Auwera G.A.V. der,

1061 Kling D.E., Gauthier L.D., Levy-Moonshine A., Roazen D., Shakir K., Thibault J., Chandran

1062 S., Whelan C., Lek M., Gabriel S., Daly M.J., Neale B., MacArthur D.G., Banks E. 2018.

1063 Scaling accurate genetic variant discovery to tens of thousands of samples. *BioRxiv*:201178.

1064

1065 Quinlan A.R., Hall I.M. 2010. BEDTools: a flexible suite of utilities for comparing genomic

1066 features. *Bioinformatics*. 26:841–842.

1067

1068 R Core Team. 2020. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. *Vienna,*

1069 *Austria: R Foundation for Statistical Computing*.

1070

1071 Renaud G., Stenzel U., Maricic T., Wiebe V., Kelso J. 2015. deML: robust demultiplexing of

1072 Illumina sequences using a likelihood-based approach. *Bioinforma. Oxf. Engl*. 31:770–772.

1073

1074 Revell L.J. 2012. phytools: an R package for phylogenetic comparative biology (and other

1075 things). *Methods Ecol. Evol*. 3:217–223.

1076

1077   Richardson J.E., Pennington R.T., Pennington T.D., Hollingsworth P.M. 2001. Rapid

1078   diversification of a species-rich genus of Neotropical rain forest trees. *Science*. 293:2242–

1079   2245.

1080

1081   Rivera N.L. 2019. *Exploring genomic relationships in Tillandsia subgenus Tillandsia*.

1082   [Master's Thesis]. University of Vienna. https://doi.org/10.25365/thesis.57906

1083

1084   Rokas A., Williams B. L., King N., Carroll S. B. 2003. Genome-scale approaches to

1085   resolving incongruence in molecular phylogenies. *Nature, 425*:798-804.

1086

1087   Rundell R.J., Price T.D. 2009. Adaptive radiation, nonadaptive radiation, ecological

1088   speciation and nonecological speciation. *Trends Ecol. Evol*. 24:394–399.

1089

1090   Salichos L., Rokas A. 2013. Inferring ancient divergences requires genes with strong

1091   phylogenetic signals. *Nature*. 497:327–331.

1092

1093   Sankararaman S., Mallick S., Dannemann M., Prüfer K., Kelso J., Pääbo S., Patterson N.,

1094   Reich D. 2014. The genomic landscape of Neanderthal ancestry in present-day humans.

1095   *Nature*. 507:354–357.

1096

1097   Schluter D. 2000. *The ecology of adaptive radiation*. OUP Oxford.

1098

1099   Seehausen O., Butlin R.K., Keller I., Wagner C.E., Boughman J.W., Hohenlohe P.A., Peichel

1100   C.L., Saetre G.-P., Bank C., Brännström Å. 2014. Genomics and the origin of species. *Nat.

1101   Rev. Genet*. 15:176–192.

Sistla S., Rao D.N. 2004. S-Adenosyl-L-methionine–dependent restriction enzymes. *Crit. Rev. Biochem. Mol. Biol*. 39:1–19.

Slovak M., Melichárková A., Štubňová E.G., Kučera J., Mandáková T., Smyčka J., Lavergne S., Passalacqua N.P., Vďačný P., Paun O. 2023. Pervasive introgression during rapid diversification of the European mountain genus *Soldanella* (L.) (Primulaceae). *Syst. Biol*. syac071.

Smith L.B., Downs R.J. 1977. Tillandsioideae (Bromeliaceae). *Flora Neotrop*. 14:798-800.

Smith S.A., Moore M.J., Brown J.W., Yang Y. 2015. Analysis of phylogenomic datasets reveals conflict, concordance, and gene duplications with examples from animals and plants. *BMC Evol. Biol*. 15:150.

Soltis P.S., Folk R.A., Soltis D.E. 2019. Darwin review: angiosperm phylogeny and evolutionary radiations. *Proc. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci*. 286:20190099.

Stankowski S., Chase M.A., Fuiten A.M., Rodrigues M.F., Ralph P.L., Streisfeld M.A. 2019. Widespread selection and gene flow shape the genomic landscape during a radiation of monkeyflowers. *PLoS Biol*. 17:e3000391.

Straub S.C.K., Moore M.J., Soltis P.S., Soltis D.E., Liston A., Livshultz T. 2014. Phylogenetic signal detection from an ancient rapid radiation: Effects of noise reduction,

1126 long-branch attraction, and model selection in crown clade Apocynaceae. *Mol. Phylogenet.*

1127 *Evol*. 80:169–185.

1128

1129 Stroud J.T., Losos J.B. 2016. Ecological opportunity and adaptive radiation. *Annu. Rev. Ecol.*

1130 *Evol. Syst*. 47:507–532.

1131

1132 Suarez-Gonzalez A., Lexer C., Cronk Q.C.B. 2018. Adaptive introgression: a plant

1133 perspective. *Biol. Lett*. 14:20170688.

1134

1135 Taylor S.A., Larson E.L. 2019. Insights from genomes into the evolutionary importance and

1136 prevalence of hybridization in nature. *Nat. Ecol. Evol*. 3:170–177.

1137

1138 Than C., Ruths D., Nakhleh L. 2008. PhyloNet: a software package for analyzing and

1139 reconstructing reticulate evolutionary relationships. *BMC Bioinformatics*. 9:1–16.

1140

1141 Till W. 2000. *Tillandsioideae*. D.H. Benzing, ed. Bromeliaceae: profile of an adaptive

1142 radiation. New York, New York: Cambridge University Press. p. Pp. 555-571.

1143

Vera-Paz S.I., Granados Mendoza C., Díaz Contreras Díaz D.D., Jost M., Salazar G.A.,

Rossado A.J., Montes-Azcué C.A., Hernández-Gutiérrez R., Magallon S., Sánchez-González

L.A., Gouda E.J., Cabrera L.I., Ramírez-Morillo I.M., Flores-Cruz M., Granados-Aguilar X.,

Martínez-García A.L., Hornung-Leoni C.T., Barfuss, M.H.J., Wanke, S. 2023. Plastome

phylogenomics reveals an early Pliocene North-and Central America colonization by long-

distance dispersal from South America of a highly diverse bromeliad lineage. *Front. Plant*

Sci. 14:1205511.

1151

1152   Victoriano-Romero E., Valencia-Díaz S., Toledo-Hernández V. H., Flores-Palacio, A. 2017.

1153   Dispersal limitation of *Tillandsia* species correlates with rain and host structure in a central

1154   Mexican tropical dry forest. *PloS one, 12*:2.

1155

1156   Wang L.-G., Lam T.T.-Y., Xu S., Dai Z., Zhou L., Feng T., Guo P., Dunn C.W., Jones B.R.,

1157   Bradley T., Zhu H., Guan Y., Jiang Y., Yu G. 2020. Treeio: an R package for phylogenetic

1158   tree input and output with richly annotated and associated data. *Mol. Biol. Evol*. 37:599–603.

1159

1160   Wen D., Yu Y., Zhu J., Nakhleh L. 2018. Inferring phylogenetic networks using PhyloNet.

1161   Sys. Bio. 67:735-740.

1162

1163   Wendel J.F., Doyle J.J. 1998. Phylogenetic incongruence: Window into genome history and

1164   molecular evolution. In: Soltis D.E., Soltis P.S., Doyle J.J., editors. Molecular systematics of

1165   plants II: DNA sequencing. Springer US: pp. 265– 296.

1166

1167   Whitfield J.B., Lockhart P.J. 2007. Deciphering ancient rapid radiations. *Trends Ecol*. Evol.

1168   22:258–265.

1169

1170   Winkler S. 1990. Zur Evolution der Gattung *Tillandsia* L. Bot. Jahrb. Syst. 112:43–77.

1171

1172   Wogan G.O.U., Yuan M.L., Mahler D.L., Wang I.J. 2023 Hybridization and transgressive

1173   evolution generate diversity in an adaptive radiation of Anolis lizards. *Syst. Biol*. syad026.

1174

1175   Wong, E.L., Hiscock S.J., Filatov D.A. 2022. The role of interspecific hybridisation in

1176   adaptation and speciation: Insights from studies in Senecio. *Front. Plant Sci*. 13:907363.

1177

1178   Wortley A. H., Rudall P. J., Harris D. J., Scotland R. W. 2005. How much data are needed to

1179   resolve a difficult phylogeny? Case study in Lamiales. *Syst. Biol. 54*:697-709.

1180

1181   Yardeni G., Viruel J., Paris M., Hess J., Groot Crego C., de La Harpe M., Rivera N., Barfuss

1182   M.H.J., Till W., Guzmán-Jacob V., Krömer T., Lexer C., Paun O., Leroy T. 2022. Taxon-

1183   specific or universal? Using target capture to study the evolutionary history of rapid

1184   radiations. *Mol. Ecol. Resour*. 22:927–945.

1185

1186   Yu G. 2020. Using ggtree to visualize data on tree-like structures. *Curr. Protoc. Bioinforma*.

1187   69:e96.

1188

1189   Yu Y., Nakhleh L. 2015. A maximum pseudo-likelihood approach for phylogenetic networks.

1190   *BMC Genomics*. 16:S10.

1191

1192   Zeberg H., Pääbo S. 2020. The major genetic risk factor for severe COVID-19 is inherited

1193   from Neanderthals. *Nature*. 597:610–612.

1194

1195   Zhang C., Rabiee M., Sayyari E., Mirarab S. 2018. ASTRAL-III: polynomial time species

1196   tree reconstruction from partially resolved gene trees. *BMC Bioinformatics*. 19:153.

1197

1198   Zhang D., Rheindt F.E., She H., Cheng Y., Song G., Jia C., Qu Y., Alström P., Lei F. 2021.

1199   Most genomic loci misrepresent the phylogeny of an avian radiation because of ancient gene

1200   flow. *Syst. Biol*. 70:961–975.

1201

1202   Zheng X., Levine D., Shen J., Gogarten S.M., Laurie C., Weir B.S. 2012. A high-

1203   performance computing toolset for relatedness and principal component analysis of SNP data.

1204   *Bioinformatics*. 28:3326–3328.

1205

Figures

Figure 1. A coalescent-based species tree generated on 15,791 genomic windows with

ASTRAL-III for 64 individuals representing 33 species of *Tillandsia* subg. *Tillandsia,* plus

two outgroups of subg. *Allardtia*. Branch lengths are given in coalescent units. Node values

represent local posterior probabilities for the main topology and are equal to one unless noted

otherwise. Pie charts at the nodes show levels of gene tree discordance: the percentages of

concordant gene trees (blue), the top alternative bipartition (green), other conflicting

topologies (red) and uninformative gene trees (grey). Clade terminology follows previously

proposed names (e.g., Pinzón et al. 2016 and Barfuss et al. 2016).

1215

1217

Figure 2. Heatmap summarising the statistic $f_b(C)$, where excess sharing of derived alleles is

inferred between the branch of the tree on the Y axis and the species C on the X axis. The

ASTRAL species tree was used as input topology for the branch statistic. The matrix is

colored according to $f_b(C)$ values and grey squares correspond to tests that are inconsistent

with the ASTRAL phylogeny. Dots within the matrix denote a significant p-value, estimated

using a block jackknife procedure and corrected for family wise error rate. Colours

correspond to the clades in Figure 1.

1225

1227 **Figure 3.** pseudo-likelihood species networks inferred with PhyloNet for zero to three

1228 reticulation events (network presented was scored with the highest log-probability). Curved

1229 branches indicate reticulation events. Numbers next to curved branches indicate inheritance

1230 probabilities for each event. Colours correspond to the clades in Figure 1.

1231

1232

Figure 4. Signature of introgression and topology weighting on chromosome 18 between *T.*

punctulata, *T. butzii* and *T. achyrostachys* (P1, P2 and P3; T. *complanata* was used as the

outgroup). **A**, values of f_{dM} statistic and gene content for each chromosome calculated

between *T. punctulata*, *T. butzii* and *T. achyrostachys*. **B**, Topology weighting by iterative

sampling of subtrees in genomic windows of 50 SNPs using *Twisst*. Colours represent the

frequency of each topology in **C** along chromosomal position in chromosome 18: white gaps

indicate regions excluded due to high levels of missing data. **D,** f_{dM} statistic (green dots and

smoothed green line, scale on the right) calculated in genomic windows. Analysis was

performed on windows of 50 SNPs with a step size of ten. Shared variation is quantified in

positive values when shared between P2 and P3 and as negative values when shared between

P3 and P1. Gene content as the number of predicted genes per 1 MB window is in lavender

with scale on the left.

1247

1248

125   63

Supporting files and tables

Supporting file 1 – maximum-likelihood trees constructed for each of the 25 chromosomes.

Eat tree was inferred on a dataset of concatenated SNPs with IQ-TREE, using substitution

model TVMe+R2 with ascertainment bias correction. Branch lengths were calculated by

number of substitutions per site and branch support was assessed using ultra-fast bootstrap

estimation with 1,000 replicates.

Supporting file 2 - Heatmaps summarizing 7,141 four-taxon D-statistic tests for each of the

25 reference chromosomes, indicated on each figure. *Tillandsia complanata* was used as the

outgroup in all tests. The four taxa in each test have been rearranged to always obtain positive

D values, and P2 and P3 are shown on the axes. Colour indicates the value of D and log value

of p-value, as appears in legend (bottom right).

Supporting file 3 - Examination of the effects of different filtering thresholds for minor

alleles on different parts of the analysis. Using the explicit value of MAC (minor allele count)

with values between 0-5, we performed maximum likelihood tree inference, species tree

inference with quartet support and genome-wide D-statistic calculation (see main methods).

Supporting Table S1. sampled accessions in this study with collection, locality and voucher

information. samples marked grey were removed from analysis (see table 3)

Supporting Table S2. List of species sampled for this study with clade assignment,

phenotype and ecology (if known). Sheet 2 details references to corresponding literature.

Supporting Table S3. Samples accessions with information about sequence read number,

alignment rates and number of sequences after filtering. samples marked grey were removed

from analysis.

1271 **Supporting Table S4.** Description of data-sets used in main analysis in the study, their

1272 properties and use in analysis.