Genomic analyses elucidate the causes and consequences of breakdown of distyly in *Linum trigynum*

Juanita Gutiérrez-Valencia[1], Panagiotis-Ioannis Zervakis[1], Zoé Postel[1], Marco Fracassetti[1], Aleksandra Losvik[1], Sara Mehrabi[1], Ignas Bunikis[2], Lucile Soler[3], P. William Hughes[1], Aurélie Désamoré[1], Benjamin Laenen[1], Mohamed Abdelaziz[4], Olga Vinnere Pettersson[2], Juan Arroyo[5], Tanja Slotte[1,*]

[1]Department of Ecology, Environment and Plant Sciences, Science for Life Laboratory, Stockholm University, Stockholm, Sweden

[2]Uppsala Genome Center, Department of Immunology, Genetics and Pathology, Uppsala University, Uppsala, Sweden

[3]Department of Medical Biochemistry and Microbiology, Uppsala University, National Bioinformatics Infrastructure Sweden (NBIS), Science for Life Laboratory, Uppsala University, Uppsala, Sweden

[4]Department of Genetics, University of Granada, Granada, Spain

[5]Department of Plant Biology and Ecology, University of Seville, Seville, Spain

*Corresponding author:

Tanja Slotte, Dept. of Ecology, Environment and Plant Sciences, Stockholm University, Stockholm SE-106 91 Stockholm, Sweden. Email: tanja.slotte@su.se

Running Title: Genomic analyses of breakdown of distyly in *Linum*

Key words: homostyly, self-fertilization, distribution of fitness effects, genome assembly, plant mating system.

Abstract

Distyly is an iconic floral polymorphism governed by a supergene, which promotes efficient pollen transfer and outcrossing through reciprocal differences in the position of sexual organs in flowers, often coupled with heteromorphic self-incompatibility (SI). Distyly has evolved convergently in multiple flowering plant lineages, but has also broken down repeatedly, often resulting in homostylous, self-compatible populations with elevated rates of self-fertilization relative to their distylous ancestors. Here, we aimed to study the causes and consequences of the shift to homostyly in *Linum trigynum*, which is closely related to distylous *Linum tenue.* Building on a high-quality genome assembly, we show that *L. trigynum* harbors a genomic region homologous to the dominant haplotype of the distyly supergene in *L. tenue*, suggesting that loss of distyly first occurred in a short-styled individual. In contrast to homostylous *Primula* and *Fagopyrum*, *L. trigynum* harbors no fixed loss-of-function mutations in coding sequences of *S*-linked distyly candidate genes. Instead, floral gene expression analyses and controlled crosses suggest that mutations downregulating the *S*-linked *LtWDR-44* candidate gene for male SI and/or anther height could underlie homostyly in *L. trigynum*. Population genomic analyses of 224 whole-genome sequences further demonstrate that *L. trigynum* is highly self-fertilizing, exhibits significantly lower genetic diversity genome-wide and is experiencing relaxed purifying selection on nonsynonymous mutations relative to *L. tenue*, despite the relatively recent split of *L. trigynum* and *L. tenue*. Our analyses elucidate the tempo and mode of loss of distyly in *L. trigynum*, and advances our understanding of a common evolutionary transition in flowering plants.

Introduction

Floral adaptations to different pollination modes have long fascinated evolutionary biologists (Darwin, 1876; 1877). One such adaptation is distyly, a floral polymorphism that promotes efficient pollen transfer and outcrossing via insect pollinators (Darwin, 1877; Lloyd & Webb 1992; reviewed by Barrett, 2019). Natural populations of distylous species are polymorphic for floral morph, such that individuals have one of two types of flowers that differ reciprocally in the positions of male and female sexual organs (anthers and stigmas, respectively) within the flower. Pin individuals have long styles (L-morph) and short stamens with stigmas at a high position and anthers at a low position in the flower, whereas thrum individuals have the reciprocal arrangement with short styles (S-morph) and long stamens (Fig. 1). In most distylous species, these morphological differences are coupled with a heteromorphic self-incompatibility (SI) system which allows inbreeding avoidance (Charlesworth and Charlesworth, 1979) and reinforces disassortative mating by preventing self- and intra-morph fertilization.

Despite its multi-trait nature, distyly is inherited as a single Mendelian locus, called the distyly supergene or the *S*-locus. The distyly supergene typically harbors two alleles: one dominant exclusive to thrums and one recessive for which pins are homozygous (Bateson and Gregory, 1905; Laibach, 1923) (Fig. 1). It is only recently that distyly supergenes have begun to be sequenced and characterized in detail (reviewed in Gutiérrez-Valencia et al., 2021). So far, characterization of independently evolved distyly supergenes in six systems (*Primula*: Li et al., 2016; *Turnera*: Shore et al., 2019; *Linum*: Gutiérrez-Valencia et al., 2022a; *Fagopyrum*: Yasui et al., 2012; Fawcett et al., 2023; *Gelsemium*: Zhao et al., 2023; *Nymphoides indica*: Yang et al., 2023) suggest a remarkable degree of convergence in the genetic architecture of distyly supergenes. Common features of independently evolved distyly supergenes include presence of indel variation and hemizygosity in thrum individuals, and similarities in patterns of molecular evolution (Gutiérrez-Valencia et al., 2022a).

While distyly has evolved independently at least 13 times in flowering plants (Naiki, 2012), it has broken down far more frequently, often resulting in homostylous species that are monomorphic and self-compatible (SC), with flowers harboring anthers and stigmas at the same height (reviewed by Ganders, 1979). Given their capacity for self-fertilization, homostylous individuals (homostyles) can be favored whenever insect-mediated pollination becomes unreliable, due to selection for reproductive assurance (e.g., Piper et al., 1986; Yuan et al., 2017). Genomic characterization of transitions from distyly to homostyly may therefore allow the identification of genetic changes underlying this mating system shift, as well as its population genomic consequences.

Because early models of the distyly supergene posited that thrum plants were heterozygous at the distyly supergene (Ernst, 1936), it was frequently hypothesized that rare recombination events between dominant and recessive *S*-haplotypes caused homostyly (Dowrick, 1956; Charlesworth and Charlesworth, 1979; reviewed by Ganders, 1979). This idea has been revisited after the realization that thrums are predominantly hemizygous rather than heterozygous at the distyly *S*-locus in several distylous systems (Li et al., 2016; Shore et al., 2019; Gutiérrez-Valencia et al., 2022a; Fawcett et al., 2023). Since hemizygosity precludes the possibility of recombination between the dominant and recessive haplotypes, other genetic

causes of distyly breakdown should be considered. First, unlinked genetic modifiers could act by reducing floral herkogamy (Ganders, 1979; Mather & De Winton, 1941), precipitating distyly breakdown. Second, distyly breakdown could be a consequence of loss-of-function mutations at *S*-linked genes (as suggested by Ernst, 1936). Theory predicts that individuals that exhibit functional dominant male SI in combination with recessive style length and female SI function should be favored during the establishment of homostyly (Dowrick, 1956; Charlesworth and Charlesworth, 1979). In systems with hemizygous *S*-loci, it seems likely that such combinations would arise by mutation and not recombination. So far, results on the breakdown of distyly in *Primula* and *Fagopyrum* are in line with this prediction. In both systems, mutations affecting *S*-linked genes responsible for female SI function and short styles (i.e., thrum-exclusive *CYP734A50* in *Primula* and *S-ELF3* in *Fagopyrum*) can readily lead to the formation of long-homostylous SC plants, because these *S*-linked genes jointly govern style length and female SI (Huu et al., 2016; Huu et al., 2022; Fawcett et al., 2023). Moreover, independently evolved homostyles in natural populations of *Primula vulgaris* harbor putative loss-of-function mutations in *CYP734A50* (Mora-Carrera et al., 2021). Mutations at *S*-linked genes, particularly in genes affecting style length and female SI, thus constitute a feasible pathway to loss of distyly, but it remains unknown if similar events have unfolded in other lineages of distylous plants.

Genomic studies hold the promise to quantify the impact of homostyly on outcrossing rates, as well as to characterize the consequences for patterns of polymorphism and the efficacy of selection. If the evolution of homostyly is associated with shifts to high selfing rates, we expect the transition to result in marked reductions in the effective population size (N_e), exacerbated by linked selection due to reduced effective recombination rates in selfers, and potentially by founder events and bottlenecks associated with selfing (reviewed by Wright et al., 2013; Slotte, 2014; Hartfield et al., 2017; Cutter, 2019). In combination, these processes should result in reduced genetic diversity genome-wide, more marked population structure and a decreased efficacy of selection, especially against weakly deleterious mutations, in selfing homostylous species compared to their distylous relatives. Although transitions from distyly to homostyly have occurred repeatedly in the history of flowering plants, the genomic consequences of this transition have so far primarily been studied in one system, *Primula* (e.g., Wang et al., 2021, Zhong et al., 2019).

Linum is a promising system for studying the evolution and breakdown of distyly (e.g. Gutiérrez-Valencia et al., 2022a), because it shows a remarkable diversity of stylar conditions, including several independent losses of distyly (Ruiz-Martín et al., 2018; Maguilla et al., 2021). In agreement with the expectation that selfers have improved colonization ability (Baker, 1955; Stebbins, 1957), phylogenetic analyses suggest that the evolution of homostyly is associated with the expansion of *Linum* outside its center of origin (Maguilla et al., 2021). It remains largely unknown how homostyly has emerged in *Linum*, but we have recently shown that, similar to other distylous lineages, the distyly *S*-locus in *L. tenue* harbors a hemizygous region exclusively inherited by thrums (Gutiérrez-Valencia et al., 2022a).

Here, we investigated the genetic causes and evolutionary consequences of loss of distyly in *Linum trigynum*, an annual self-compatible species distributed across Spain and North Africa, in which homostyly has been inferred as a derived state (Ruiz-Martín et al., 2018). For this purpose, we assembled and annotated

120 a high-quality genome sequence of the homostylous *L. trigynum* using PacBio high-fidelity (HiFi) long reads

121 and chromatin conformation capture (Hi-C) data. To investigate the genetic basis of loss of distyly, we

122 compared our *L. trigynum* genome assembly as well as two additional linked-read draft assemblies of *L.*

123 *trigynum* to eight genome assemblies of the closely related distylous species *L. tenue* (Gutiérrez-Valencia et

124 al., 2022a), with a focus on mutations and regulatory changes at *S*-linked genes. To characterize the timing

125 and mode of origin of homostyly, we analyzed polymorphism data based on 224 whole genome sequences

126 from eight natural populations each of *L. trigynum* and *L. tenue*. Finally, we conducted comparative

127 population genomic analyses to investigate the genome-wide effects of the shift to homostyly, in terms of

128 levels of diversity, inbreeding levels, population structure and the efficacy of purifying selection.

130 **Results**

131 *A Genomic Framework for Studying Breakdown of Distyly in Linum trigynum*

132 To provide a genomic framework for studies of the evolution of homostyly in *L. trigynum*, we generated a

133 high-quality *L. trigynum* genome assembly based on HiFi PacBio long reads (~41x coverage) and Hi-C data

134 from a single *L. trigynum* individual (see Materials and Methods and Table S1, Supplementary Information

135 for sample information). The resulting assembly was highly complete (complete BUSCOs=96.6%; Table S2,

136 Supplementary Information) and spanned 498 Mb (N50=47.03 Mb), divided in 10 chromosomes and five

137 scaffolds (less than 500 kb) with large-scale synteny to the *L. tenue* genome assembly (Gutiérrez-Valencia et

138 al., 2022a) (Fig. 2a, Fig. S1, Supplementary Information). Repetitive sequences constituted 56.49% of the

139 assembly, and we annotated a total of 54,692 coding genes, similar to the number in *L. tenue* (Gutiérrez-

140 Valencia et al., 2022a).

141  We supplemented our PacBio genome assemblies of *L. trigynum* and *L. tenue* with two additional

142 draft assemblies of *L. trigynum* based on 10x Chromium linked-read sequencing data (contig N50=4.82 Mb

143 vs 4.47 Mb; assembly size=461.69 Mb vs 463.46 Mb), and seven additional *L. tenue* linked-read assemblies

144 for thrum individuals which carry both the dominant and recessive haplotypes of the *S*-locus (Table S3,

145 Supplementary Information).

147 *Analyses of the* S-*locus Region Elucidate the Origin of Homostyly*

148 Whole-genome alignments of our high-quality *L. trigynum* and *L. tenue* assemblies showed that *L. trigynum*

149 carries a region on chromosome 10 that is homologous to the dominant haplotype of the *L. tenue* distyly *S*-

150 locus (Fig. 2b). Analyses of linked-read assemblies and genome coverage at this region based on short-read

151 data from 104 *L. trigynum* individuals further suggest that *L. trigynum* is fixed for the longer *S*-haplotype

152 that is dominant in *L. tenue* (Fig. 2c). Haplotype network analyses indicate that variation at the *L. trigynum*

153 *S*-locus stems from a single *S*-haplotype from its distylous ancestor (Fig. S2, Supplementary Information).

154 Together, these results suggest that events affecting the functioning of the supergene in thrum individuals led

155 to the breakdown of distyly, and that the haplotype identified in *L. trigynum* is likely derived from the

156 dominant *S*-haplotype.

We first investigated the gene content in the *L. trigynum* genome region homologous to the dominant

S-haplotype of *L. tenue*. After curation of *S*-locus annotation (see Materials and Methods for details), we

retained nine *S*-linked genes (Fig. 2d) in *L. tenue*. Out of these, five homologous *S*-linked genes were present

in our high-quality long-read *L. trigynum* assembly (Fig. 2d). These included the thrum-specific gene *LtTSS1*

which has pistil-specific expression and is likely to reduce cell length in thrum styles, and *LtWDR-44*

potentially involved in controlling anther position and/or pollen incompatibility in *L. tenue* (discussed in

Gutiérrez-Valencia et al., 2022a). In addition, *L. trigynum* harbored homologs of genes at the 5' end and 3'

ends of the *S*-locus region (Fig. 2d). The remaining genes, which are of unknown function (Gutiérrez-

Valencia et al. 2022a), were not present in the *L. trigynum* genome assembly (Fig. 2).

Next, we compared sequences of *L. tenue* and *L. trigynum* to identify candidate loss-of-function

changes at the *S*-locus region affecting gene function in *L. trigynum*, and to quantify synonymous and

nonsynonymous divergence between *L trigynum* and the dominant *S*-haplotype of *L. tenue.* We only

identified putative loss-of-function changes in *L. trigynum* in the gene *LtTSS1* (Table 1). This gene only

harbors one exon, as demonstrated by our updated annotation*,* validated by PCR-assays of cDNA structure

(see Materials and Methods for details; Fig. S3, Supplementary Information). In *LtTSS1*, we identified a C-

to-A mutation resulting in a premature stop codon in *L. trigynum* that was not fixed but segregating at a

frequency of 0.26 in our population data set, suggesting that it is unlikely to have initally caused loss of

distyly. Although all genes also harbored nonsynonymous mutations, there were no frameshift or other

major-effect mutations in the coding sequence of the other analyzed genes, nor markedly accelerated rates of

nonsynonymous substitution (Table 1).

The S-*linked Gene* LtWDR-44 *is Downregulated in Floral Buds of* L. trigynum

To determine if distyly breakdown in *L. trigynum* was associated with changes in transcript abundance at

candidate genes, we contrasted gene expression at *S*-linked genes in *L. tenue* thrums and *L. trigynum*

homostyles. We focused on detecting altered expression of any of the genes shared between the dominant *S*

allele of *L. tenue* and its derived allele fixed in *L. trigynum* (i.e., *liteg52183*, *indelg2*, *LtTSS1*, *LtWDR44* and

LtTPR/liteg52188). Out of these genes, only the stamen length and/or male SI candidate gene *LtWDR-44* was

significantly differentially expressed in floral buds, being downregulated in floral buds of *L. trigynum*

compared to *L. tenue* (Log$_2$-fold change = -1.58, p < 0.01, Fig. 3). *LtWDR-44* was not differentially

expressed between *L. tenue* thrums and *L. trigynum* homostyles in leaves (Supplementary Fig. S4;

Supplementary Information). None of the remaining *S*-linked genes showed significantly different levels of

expression in floral buds or leaves between *L. tenue* thrum and homostylous *L. trigynum* (Supplementary

Fig. S4; Supplementary Information).

Pollination Assays Indicate Breakdown of Male SI in L. trigynum

Distyly breakdown often evolves in association with loss of heteromorphic SI (reviewed by Barrett, 2019). If

the *S*-linked gene *LtWDR-44* is a determinant of male SI, as we previously hypothesized (Gutiérrez-Valencia

et al., 2022a), then we would expect downregulation of this gene to affect the male (pollen) SI expressed by

L. trigynum. Specifically, we would expect *L. trigynum* pollen to behave similarly to *L. tenue* pin pollen and

elongate successfully in thrum but not pin *L. tenue* pistils (Supplementary Fig. S5, Supplementary

Information). Crossing assays followed by pollen tube staining showed that *L. trigynum* grew long pollen

tubes in pistils of *L. tenue* thrum plants, but not in pistils of *L. tenue* pin plants (Fig. 3e, Supplementary Fig.

S5, Supplementary Information; note that for incompatible crosses, pollen tube rejection occurs in the style

in *Linum*; Murray 1986). These results are consistent with expectations if downregulation of *LtWDR-44* in *L.*

trigynum impairs male SI function*.

High Levels of Inbreeding in the Homostylous L. trigynum

The fact that *L. trigynum* exhibits symptoms of the selfing syndrome in floral traits (Fig. 1) and has an

approximately fivefold lower pollen:ovule ratio compared to *L. tenue* (Repplinger, 2009) suggests that self-

pollination is likely to be its main mating strategy. If so, we would expect *L. trigynum* to be highly inbred.

To assess whether this was the case, we estimated the inbreeding coefficient using genome-wide

polymorphism data from 224 individuals representing eight populations per species (average *n*=14

individuals per population; Table S1, Supplementary Information). We found that estimates of the

inbreeding coefficient (F_{IS}) for *L. trigynum* were significantly higher than those for *L. tenue* populations

(Kruskal–Wallis test followed by Dunn's test with Benjamini-Hochberg adjustment of P-values, $P < 0.05$ for

all except two *L. trigynum* – *L. tenue* population comparisons; summary of median F_{IS} values across

populations: *L. tenue* mean=0.09, S.E.=0.03, *n*=8, *L. trigynum* mean=0.87, S.E.=0.04, *n*=8) (Fig. 4a).

Assuming equilibrium (Wright, 1969), the mean effective self-fertilization rate in *L. trigynum* is 0.93 (2 F_{IS}

/[1+ F_{IS}]). These results show that *L. trigynum* is more inbred than *L. tenue*, consistent with the shift to

homostyly and breakdown of SI resulting in elevated selfing rates.

Stronger Population Structure and Reduced Polymorphism in L. trigynum *Compared to* L. tenue

Transitions to self-fertilization are expected to result in reductions of the effective population size (N_e)*,* and

thus reduced genetic diversity as well as more pronounced population structure in selfers relative to

outcrossers (Wright et al., 2013). To assess if the homostylous *L. trigynum* had reduced polymorphism levels

genome-wide compared to the distylous *L. tenue,* we obtained windowed estimates of nucleotide diversity

(π, 100 kb windows) for eight populations per species. In agreement with expectation, *L. trigynum* showed

markedly lower genome-wide π than *L. tenue* (Fig. 4b) (Kruskal–Wallis test followed by Dunn's test with

Benjamini-Hochberg adjustment of P-values, $P < 0.001$ for all *L. trigynum* – *L. tenue* populations

comparisons average π values across populations: *L. tenue*: mean=1.3×10^{-3}, S.E.=1.4×10^{-4}, *n*=8, *L. trigynum*:

mean=5.3×10^{-4}, S.E.=1.2×10^{-4}, *n*=8).

Next, we investigated population structure based on our genome-wide polymorphism (see Fig. 5a for

geographical origin of the sampled individuals). Structure analyses with ADMIXTURE, principal

component analyses (PCA), and TreeMix-based inference based on 76,934 SNPs in non-coding regions (see

Methods for details) showed that *L. tenue* and *L. trigynum* form clearly differentiated groups (Fig. 5b, c; Fig.

S6, Supplementary Information). We found the highest degree of differentiation between *L. tenue* and *L.*

trigynum populations (F_{ST} median=0.96, 1st and 3st quartile=0.95 and 0.97), followed by *L. trigynum* (F_{ST}

median=0.37, 1st and 3st quartile=0.28 and 0.50) and finally *L. tenue* (F_{ST} median=0.05, 1st and 3st

quartile=0.03 and 0.05) (Kruskal–Wallis test followed by Dunn's test with Bonferroni corrected *P* values, *P*

< 0.001) (Fig. S7, Supplementary Information). TreeMix analyses (Fig. 5c) further suggested the absence of

gene flow between *L. tenue* and *L. trigynum*, and stronger population structure within *L. trigynum* than in *L.*

tenue. Overall, these results show low population structure within *L. tenue*, and more marked population

structure within *L. trigynum* (Fig. 5b, c).

Finally, divergence population genomic analyses jointly estimating demographic parameters and

inbreeding levels suggested that the split between *L. tenue* and *L. trigynum* was relatively recent (about 340

kya) and associated with a marked effective population size reduction in *L. trigynum* (Fig. 5d) as well as

elevated inbreeding in *L. trigynum* (F_{IS} = 0.88). Together, these results suggest that the transition to

homostyly was associated with higher rates of self-fertilization and reduced N_e, and occurred within a

relatively recent evolutionary timeframe.

Relaxed Purifying Selection Against Weakly Deleterious Mutations in L. trigynum

Comparisons of highly selfing species and outcrossing relatives have repeatedly identified genome-wide

signatures of relaxed purifying selection in selfers (reviewed by Cutter, 2019). Here, we investigated if

similar patterns are observed in *L. trigynum*. First, we annotated repetitive regions in the genome sequences

of *L. trigynum* and *L. tenue*, and compared the proportion of transposable elements (TEs) in 50 kb windows.

Contrary to the expectation that selfing would allow the accumulation of harmful TEs insertions in the *L.*

trigynum genome after weakened selection due to reduced N_e (Charlesworth and Wright, 2001), we found no

significant differences in TE content of the genomes of these species (Fig. 4c) (t-test: t=1.49, df=20561,

N.S.).

We next investigated if the shift to selfing in *L. trigynum* has resulted in reduced efficacy of

purifying selection on nonsynonymous mutations, which could yield an elevated ratio of nonsynonymous to

synonymous polymorphism (π_N/π_S). In line with this expectation, we found that π_N/π_S estimates were slightly

higher in *L. trigynum* than in *L. tenue* (Fig. S8, Supplementary Information) (*L. tenue*: mean= 0.40, S.E.=

0.02, *n*=8, *L. trigynum*: mean= 0.50, S.E.= 0.15, *n*=8). To test if elevated π_N/π_S in *L. trigynum* was due to

weaker purifying selection, we estimated the distribution of negative fitness effects (DFE) of new

nonsynonymous mutations in each population of *L. trigynum* and *L. tenue* using fastDFE v1.0.0 (Fig. 4d). In

line with the expectation that reduced N_e in selfers should lead to relaxed selection against weakly

deleterious mutations (reviewed by Wright et al., 2013), we found that the proportion of new

nonsynonymous mutations that are effectively neutral was significantly higher in *L. trigynum* than in *L.*

tenue populations ($0 > N_e s > -1$: median=0.442) compared to *L. tenue* ($0 > N_e s > -1$: median=0.24) ($0 > N_e s >$

-1: Wilcoxon rank-sum test, *P* < 0.001, *n*=8 populations per species), suggesting that selection against

weakly deleterious mutations is relaxed in *L. trigynum* relative to *L. tenue*. Moreover, we found a lower

proportion of new nonsynonymous mutations with moderate and strongly deleterious effects in *L. trigynum*

($-1 > N_e s > -10$: median=0.064, $-10 > N_e s > -100$: median=0.074, $N_e s < -100$: median=0.074) compared to *L.*

tenue (-1 > $N_e s$ > -10: median=0.096, and -10 > $N_e s$ > -100: median=0.132, $N_e s$ < -100: median=0.524)

(Wilcoxon rank-sum test, $P < 0.001$, n=8 populations per species). Together, these results show that the

efficacy of purifying selection on new nonsynonymous mutations is lower in *L. trigynum* than in *L. tenue*.

Discussion

Understanding the causes and consequences of major evolutionary transitions is an important aim in

evolutionary biology. The remarkable diversity of mating system strategies that plants exhibit provides an

opportunity to elucidate the causes of reproductive transitions, and how they impact the capacity of

populations to respond to selection. Here, we first generated a genomic framework to study genetic causes of

loss of distyly in *Linum*, and then used this framework to characterize the timing and mode of the transition

as well as its genomic consequences in terms of inbreeding levels, patterns of polymorphism, and the

efficacy of natural selection genome-wide.

While earlier models posited that rare recombination events between the recessive and dominant

alleles at the *S*-locus were the cause for distyly breakdown (Dowrick, 1956; Charlesworth and Charlesworth,

1979), recent findings have indicated that distyly *S*-loci harbor presence-absence variation and that thrums

are predominantly hemizygous at the *S*-locus rather than heterozygous (Li et al., 2016; Shore et al., 2019;

Gutiérrez-Valencia et al., 2022a; Fawcett et al., 2023; Yang et al., 2023; Zhao et al., 2023). The recent

characterization of the genetic architecture of the *S*-locus makes previous models of breakdown less likely

and rather indicates that mutations either at the *S*-locus or at unlinked modifier loci are more plausible causes

for the evolutionary shift from distyly to homostyly.

The presence of a genomic region in *L. trigynum* homologous to the thrum-specific dominant *S*-

haplotype of *L. tenue* strongly suggests that breakdown of distyly first occurred in a thrum individual. This

finding allowed us to identify candidate mutations for distyly breakdown, by comparing sequences of *S*-

linked genes in *L. tenue* and their homologues in *L. trigynum*. We also conducted differential expression

analyses between *L. trigynum* and *L. tenue* to determine if changes in the expression of *S*-linked genes might

have contributed to the evolution of homostyly in *L. trigynum.* Work in *Primula* (Huu et al., 2016; Huu et al.,

2022; Mora-Carrera et al., 2021) and *Fagopyrum* (Fawcett et al., 2023) has shown that shifts to homostyly in

both lineages were most likely precipitated by mutations at *S*-linked genes that simultaneously govern pistil

elongation and female SI. Although we found a premature stop codon in *LtTSS1,* which is a strong candidate

gene for style length and possibly female SI in *Linum* (Gutiérrez-Valencia et al., 2022a)*,* this major-effect

mutation was not fixed in *L. trigynum* populations, suggesting that loss of distyly has different genetic basis

in this species. Our differential expression analyses showed that *LtWDR-44,* which likely governs anther

position and/or pollen functioning, was the only *S*-linked gene downregulated in floral buds of *L. trigynum*

compared to *L. tenue*. Pollination assays further showed that *L. trigynum* pollen tubes can grow in styles of

L. tenue thrums but not in those of pins, consistent with expectations if downregulation of *LtWDR-44* in *L.*

trigynum disrupted the male component of the SI system. These results suggest that mutations resulting in

downregulation of the expression of *LtWDR-44* are a plausible cause of the breakdown of distyly in *L.*

trigynum via disruption of pollen SI, and should be subject to further functional study. Future studies could

expand our understanding of the breakdown of distyly in *L. trigynum* by investigating whether the alteration of *LtWDR-44* might simultaneously disrupt male SI and contribute to decreased herkogamy, or if more complex evolutionary pathways could have led to the evolution of homostylous flowers. Studies in *Turnera* support the plausibility of this final scenario by showing that the *S*-linked *YUCG* gene determines the functioning and size of pollen, but does not affect stamen length (Henning et al., 2022). Our finding of downregulation of *LtWDR-44* associated with SC and a switch from thrum- to pin-type male SI in *L. trigynum* constitutes an interesting contrast to the mode of loss of distyly in *Primula* and *Fagopyrum*, which involved mutations at *S*-linked candidate genes for style length and female SI function (Huu et al., 2016; Huu et al., 2022; Mora-Carrera et al., 2021, Fawcett et al., 2023).

Results from *Primula* and *Fagopyrum* are in line with theoretical predictions that long homostyles harboring functional dominant male SI function in combination with recessive style length and female SI function should be favored during establishment of homostyly (Dowrick, 1956; Charlesworth and Charlesworth, 1979). Our findings so far do not conform to these theoretical expectations. It is possible that this is the result of historical contingency. The early work by Dowrick (1956) showed that short homostyles could increase in frequency in a distylous population, but they would do so at a slower rate than long homostyles. However, short homostyles would still be expected to have an advantage over distylous individuals under conditions that favor selfing. It is also possible that the function of *S*-locus genes in *Linum* render a transition to homostyly via long homostyles more difficult than in *Primula*. Importantly, in the absence of functional genetic studies of *LtTSS1* it remains uncertain whether loss-of-function mutations at this gene could simultaneously alter both style length and female SI, as is the case in *Primula* (but see results referring to the absence of *TSS1* in homostylous *L. lewisii* by Innes et al., 2023).

On the other hand, both theoretical work (Uyenoyama et al., 2001; Tsuchimatsu and Shimizu, 2013; Shimizu and Tsuchimatsu, 2015) and empirical evidence (e.g., Tsukamoto et al., 2003; Tsuchimatsu et al., 2010; Tsuchimatsu et al., 2012; Bachmann et al., 2019) have shown that mutations impacting male components of the *S*-locus are a likely and common mechanism for transitions to SC in homomorphic SI plant lineages. However, the loss of thrum-specific male SI does not instantly lead to compatibility with all individuals in a distylous population, unlike the case of loss-of-function mutations in dominant *SCR* alleles governing male SI in crucifers (e.g., Bachmann et al., 2019), so these two situations are not entirely analogous. Studies in other clades will be required to determine how gene content at the *S*-locus and the expression patterns of *S*-linked genes varies across distylous and homostylous species, and whether similar genetic mechanisms are generally associated with distyly breakdown in *Linum*.

A caveat regarding the potential causes of loss of distyly in *L. trigynum* that we have identified is that we cannot completely rule out a contribution of mutations at non-*S*-linked loci to reduced herkogamy and SC in *L. trigynum* (Ganders, 1979; Mather and Winton, 1941). Unfortunately, such studies are precluded here by the marked genome-wide differentiation between *L. trigynum* and *L. tenue* which prevents identification of genetic variants associated with floral morph using association mapping. Additionally, we were unable to obtain viable offspring from crosses of *L. trigynum* and *L. tenue*, preventing genetic mapping

in interspecific F2 mapping populations. Functional assays should instead be used to validate candidate

genetic changes that triggered loss of distyly in *L. trigynum*.

Transitions from distyly to homostyly are expected to frequently result in elevated self-fertilization

rates, with major consequences for genetic variation and the efficacy of selection. To elucidate the timeframe

within which the shift to homostyly occurred, as well as its genomic consequences, we analyzed 224 whole-

genome sequences of individuals from eight populations each of *L. trigynum* and *L. tenue*. We found that

homostyly was associated with predominant self-fertilization, and that the shift to homostyly occurred

relatively recently, within the approximately 340 ky since the split between *L. trigynum* and *L. tenue*. Despite

this relatively recent shift, there are already marked genomic consequences of self-fertilization. For instance,

our finding that *L. trigynum* is less genetically diverse and shows stronger population structure than *L. tenue*

are in line with some of the most frequently reported consequences of shifts to selfing (reviewed in Cutter,

2019).

Selfing is often associated with genome-wide relaxed purifying selection (Cutter, 2019). Here, we

assessed whether the genome of *L. trigynum* has accumulated TEs and deleterious mutations as a result of

reductions in N_e associated with selfing. Repetitive elements like TEs can duplicate and insert across the

genome with either neutral or deleterious effects (Quesneville, 2020), and thus they could increase in the

genome under weakened purifying selection (Charlesworth and Wright, 2001). On the other hand, selfers

could experience a reduction in TE content due to the efficient purging of recessive TE insertions with

deleterious fitness effects in selfers (Wright et al., 2008, Roessler et al., 2020). Our results suggest that

selfing in *L. trigynum* has not precipitated the accumulation of TEs compared to the outcrossing distylous *L.*

tenue. Studies conducted in other plant selfing lineages showed higher copy numbers of TEs per individual,

but accumulation patterns differed across TE families (Bonchev and Willi, 2018). Furthermore, the strength

of selection against TEs is expected to be especially strong if they interfere with genes or their regulatory

regions (reviewed by Quesneville, 2020; Horvath et al., 2017, Roessler et al., 2020). In consequence,

forthcoming analyses would benefit from exploring TE accumulation at a more refined scale by comparing

different TE families, and variation with respect to gene proximity.

Reductions in N_e resulting from bottlenecks and an increased impact of linked selection due to

elevated inbreeding are expected to result in reduced efficacy of selection against weakly deleterious

mutations in selfers (Wright et al., 2013; Burgarella & Glémin, 2017; Cutter, 2019). In line with this

prediction, we found evidence for weaker purifying selection in *L. trigynum* than in *L. tenue* based on

analyses of the distribution of fitness effects of new nonsynonymous (0-fold degenerate) mutations. With the

data at hand, we were unable to assess the full distribution of fitness effects, including positive selection, but

using improved outgroup genomes for reliable polarization future studies should address this question. In

addition, detailed analyses separately assessing selection on specific classes of genes, such as those involved

in the evolution of the selfing syndrome or pollen competition (Gutiérrez-Valencia et al., 2022b) would be

warranted for a more complete understanding of the impact of shifts to selfing on selection across the

genome. With these caveats, our analyses demonstrate genomic signatures consistent with relaxed selection

on nonsynonymous mutations in association with loss of distyly. These results are in line with previous

studies on the genomic impact of loss of distyly in *Primula* (Wang et al., 2021), and with a wealth of studies

on the genomic impact of shifts to selfing in other plant lineages (e.g., Slotte et al., 2010; Slotte et al., 2013;

Laenen et al., 2018; Mattila et al., 2020; Yi et al., 2022). Finally, comparative population genomic analyses

of multiple shifts from distyly to homostyly in *Linum* will be valuable to better understand general genomic

effects of this evolutionary transition.

Taken together, this study harnesses new genome assemblies, gene expression analyses, and

population genomic analyses to extend our understanding of the breakdown of distyly to a new system,

provide a basis for future research on the pathways associated with loss of distyly, and broaden our

knowledge on the evolutionary genomic consequences of shifts to selfing.

Methods

Plant Material and Sequencing

For genome sequencing and population genomic analyses, seeds and leaves of *L. trigynum* and *L. tenue* were

sampled in 16 different localities in southern Spain, representing 8 populations per species (Table S1,

Supplementary Information). Plants were grown in a controlled climate chamber at Stockholm University

(Stockholm, Sweden) set to 16 h light at 20°C: 8 h dark at 18°C, 60% maximum humidity, 122 μE light

intensity. We extracted DNA from 224 individuals for whole-genome short-read sequencing on an Illumina

NovaSeq 6000 on a S4 flowcell (150-bp paired-end reads, v1.5 sequencing chemistry). For long-read and

linked-read sequencing we extracted high molecular weight (HMW) DNA from young leaves using the

CTAB method (as in Fulton et al., 1995), followed by two purification steps with Genomic tip 500/G

(QIAGEN, Germany). To generate a high-quality genome assembly, HMW DNA of individual *Ltri*. 6-30-2

was sequenced on 2 Sequel II SMRT cells in HiFi mode, which led to the production of 27 Gb of HiFi data.

This was supplemented with Dovetail Hi-C sequencing data (OmniC) for the same individual. For linked-

read sequencing of two samples of *L. trigynum* (*Ltri*. 1-1-1 and *Ltri*. 1-42-2) and four samples of *L. tenue*

(*Lten*. CL-3-1, *Lten*. CL-75-1, *Lten*. STM-5-2, and *Lten.* STM-30-1) we used the Chromium Genome Library

preparation kit with sequencing on an Illumina HiSeqX system (paired-end 150bp read length, v2.5

sequencing chemistry). For genome annotation, we extracted total RNA from stems, leaves, floral buds, and

mature flowers of individual *Ltri*. 6-30-2, and for differential expression analyses, we extracted total RNA

from floral buds and leaves of *L. tenue* and *L. trigynum* (Sample size: *L. tenue* thrum=6, pin=4, *L. trigynum*

homostyle=5) using the RNeasy Plant Mini Kit (QIAGEN, Germany). Libraries were sequenced on an

Illumina NovaSeq S1 Sequencing System to produce paired-end 150bp read length reads. Full details on

plant growth, sampling, extraction and sequencing are given in Supplementary Methods, Supplementary

Information.

L. trigynum de novo *genome assemblies*

HiFi PacBio subreads were assembled with IPA (v1.3.2) (https://github.com/PacificBiosciences/pbipa) using

reads with QV20 or higher, which lead to a preliminary assembly of 103 primary contigs (total length =

498.60 Mb) and 2,280 associated contigs (total length = 100.57 Mb). To check for primary contigs that

should be identified as haplotigs we used Purge Haplotigs (Roach et al., 2018). Illumina short-reads obtained

from individual *Ltri*. 6-30-2 were mapped to the primary IPA assembly using minimap2 (Li, 2018), and the

alignments were processed with the function purge_haplotigs to generate a coverage histogram for contigs.

The resulting histogram showed a unimodal distribution, suggesting that purging was not required. The

assembly was scaffolded using Dovetail Hi-C data using 3D-DNA scaffolding (Dudchenko et al., 2017),

which was pre-filtered to only keep contacts with a mapping quality higher than 30. The expected haploid

number of chromosomes in *L. trigynum* is 10 (Pastor et al., 1990), and the resulting scaffolded assembly

consisted of 13 pseudochromosomes (N50 = 47.03 Mb, Length = 498.10 Mb). This assembly was further

edited to correct three misassemblies that were not supported by mapping of our PacBio reads to the *L.*

trigynum assembly. The edited *L. trigynum* genome assembly was polished two times with Pilon (Walker et

al., 2014) using Illumina short reads of the same individual. We screened the genome assemblly for regions

with high coverage and with similarity with the NCBI Plastid database

(https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genome/organelle/). We detected and hard-masked one region with plastid

contamination on chromosome 6 (positions 59960001-60370000). We visualized broad-scale genome

synteny between our *L. tabrigynum* and *L. tenue* (Gutiérrez-Valencia et al., 2022a) assemblies by aligning

genome assemblies using minimap2 v2.4 (Li, 2018) and plotting alignments larger than 100 kb using the R

package circlize (Gu et al., 2014) (Fig. 2a).

Genomic linked-read sequences (10x Genomics) of two *L. trigynum* and four *L. tenue* samples were

assembled with the Supernova pipeline (https://github.com/10XGenomics/supernova) using default

parameters to obtain the output type pseudohap2. Furthermore we included three additional *L. tenue* linked-

read assemblies from Gutiérrez-Valencia et al. (2022a).

Genome Annotation

The annotation of *L. trigynum* was made with TSEBRA (Gabriel et al., 2021) which combines the gene

prediction of BRAKER1 (Hoff et al., 2015) and BRAKER2 (Brůna et al., 2021). BRAKER1 predicts genes

using RNAseq data, therefore we trimmed the raw reads from four different tissues (leaves, stems, floral

buds and mature flowers) with fastp (Chen et al., 2018), after we aligned them using STAR (Dobin and

Gingeras, 2015). BRAKER2 predict genes using protein databases, therefore we use protein data from *L.*

tenue (Gutiérrez-Valencia et al., 2022a) and four additional Malpighiales species (*L. usitatissimum*, *M.*

esculenta, *P. trichocarpa*, *S. purpurea*) download from phytozome (https://phytozome-next.jgi.doe.gov/)

(Goodstein et al., 2012). We used AGAT (https://github.com/NBISweden/AGAT) to extract the coding DNA

sequences needed to assess the genome completeness with BUSCO v5 (Manni et al., 2021). Repetitive

elements were identified using RepeatMasker (Smit et al., 2013) using a custom repeat library modelled

using RepeatModeler (Smit & Hubley, 2008).

We reannotated the *S*-locus genes in *L. tenue* and in *L. trigynum*. First, we identified the transcripts

with StringTie v2.1.4 (Pertea et al., 2015) using the RNA-seq data from (Gutiérrez-Valencia et al., 2022a).

Second, we predicted the proteins using TransDecoder v5.7.0 (https://github.com/TransDecoder/). Third, we

visually inspected the annotated genes using IGV v2.12.3 (Thorvaldsdóttir et al., 2012) retaining those well-

supported by RNA-seq evidence. In comparison to the previous *L. tenue* annotation (Gutiérrez-Valencia et

al., 2022a), two genes (*indelg1* and *LtTSS1*) were modified, leaving a total of nine genes at the dominant

allele of the *L. tenue* S-locus. Six genes with high similarity to repeats (*indelg3, indelg5, indelg6, indelg7,*

indelg8, indelg9) that were not analyzed in Gutiérrez-Valencia et al. (2022a) were not retained in our

updated annotation. In *L. trigynum* S-locus region we removed 22 genes annotated by TSEBRA because they

are not well-supported by RNA-seq evidence and we manually reannotated two genes (*indelg2* and *LtTSS1*)

in order to have the same intron-exon structure of the orthologs genes in *L. tenue*. Finally, we used NUCmer

(Kurtz et al., 2004) to identify orthologous regions between *L. tenue* and *L. trigynum* in the *S*-locus region

(Fig. 2d).

Identification of Candidate Loss of Function S*-Linked Mutations*

Using minimap2 (Li, 2018), we mapped the sequence of the *L. tenue* distyly *S*-locus (Gutiérrez-Valencia et

al., 2022a) against the genome assembly of *L. trigynum* to identify and extract the sequence homologous to

this region (Fig. 2b). The same approach was used to retrieve contigs containing the *S*-locus from 10X

genomics supernova assemblies (two *L. trigynum* and six *L. tenue.* We conducted BLAST analyses

(Camacho et al., 2009) to identify the sequences of *S*-locus genes in each assembly. Sequences of each gene

were independently aligned using MUSCLE v3.8.31 (Edgar, 2004), and only coding sequences were kept for

further analyses. Coding sequences were aligned using codon-aware alignment in webPRANK (Löytynoja

and Goldman, 2010). We inspected each alignment using AliView (Larsson, 2014) to identify major effect

mutations (non-consensus splice sites and premature stop codons). Estimates of mean synonymous (d_S) and

nonsynonymous divergence (d_N) between *L. tenue* and *L. trigynum* were obtained in MEGA X (Kumar et al.,

2018; Stecher et al., 2020) using the Nei-Gojobori model (Nei and Gojobori, 1986), with standard error

estimates obtained using 1000 bootstrap replicates. Ambiguous codon positions were removed for each

sequence pair.

Sequence Processing, Mapping, Variant Calling and Filtering

Illumina short reads from 224 individuals representing populations of *L. tenue* and *L. trigynum* were quality

and adaptor trimmed with *bbduk* from BBMap/BBTools (Bushnell, 2015). Trimmed paired-end reads were

mapped to the *L. tenue* genome assembly using BWA-MEM (v0.7.17) (Li, 2013). Alignments of *L. tenue*

and *L. trigynum* short reads to the *L. tenue* reference genome were used for coverage analyses focusing on

the *S*-locus region*.* For joint inference of demographic history and analyses of population structure, short-

read sequences of both species were mapped to the *L. tenue* genome assembly (Gutiérrez-Valencia et al.,

2022a). For all remaining analyses, sequences of each species were mapped to their corresponding reference

genome, and processed independently in downstream analyses leading to variant calling.

Alignments with mapping quality lower than 20 were discarded, and we used *MarkDuplicates* from

Picard tools v2.0.1 (Broad Institute, 2019) to remove duplicated reads from the alignment. The resulting

alignments were used to obtain genotype likelihoods with *mpileup*, and variants (SNPs/INDELs) and

invariant sites were identified by samtools/bcftools, using the model for multiallelic and rare-variant calling

(Danecek and McCarthy, 2017). The VCF file was processed to keep only biallelic SNPs and invariant sites,

and then filtered based on the maximum proportion of missing data (pm = 0.1) and read depth (5 < dp <

200). To avoid false heterozygous calls based on a low number of alternate alleles, we used a combination of

allele balance and coverage filtering, which has previously been successful for highly repetitive plant

genomes (see Laenen et al., 2018 and Gutiérrez-Valencia et al., 2022b for a detailed description).

Coverage Analyses

To investigate differences in depth of coverage between pin (n=25), thrum (n=26) (*L. tenue*) and homostyle

(n=104) (*L. trigynum*) at the *S*-locus, sequences mapped to both the *L. tenue* and *L. trigynum* genome

assemblies were processed to remove repetitive regions identified with RepeatMasker (Smit et al., 2013)

using the *L. usitatissimum* repeat library. We used BEDTools (Quinlan et al., 2010) to estimate coverage for

50 kb windows across the genome. Estimates were further processed using in R to estimate normalized mean

coverage across windows, and differences between morphs were tested using a Kruskal-Wallis test, followed

by a post-hoc Dunn's test with Bonferroni correction for multiple testing.

Haplotype Network Analyses

To investigate whether loss of distyly in *L. trigynum* might have occurred repeatedly, we conducted a

haplotype network analysis of two genes at the *S*-locus, *LtTSS1* and *LtWDR-44*. We used the genome

annotations of *L. tenue and L. trigynum* species to extract coding sequences for these two genes. We then

extracted the corresponding sequences from our short-read data using bam2consensus, a tool from the

package tool bambam v.1.4 (Page et al., 2014). In total, 67 *L. tenue* and 100 *L. trigynum* individuals had

sufficient coverage and were included in this analysis. We aligned all sequences using codon-aware

alignment in PRANK (Löytynoja and Goldman, 2010). Haplotypes and haplotype network were assessed

using the R package pegas v.1.2 (Paradis, 2010).

Differential Expression Analyses

RNASeq raw reads from floral buds and leaves were processed with the function bbduk from

BBMap/BBTools (Bushnell, 2015) for quality and adapter trimming (parameters k=2, mink=11, ktrim=r,

minlength=50, qtrim=rl, trimq=20, hdist=1, tbo, tpe). Reads were mapped and quantified with STAR (Dobin

and Gingeras, 2015), using the genome reference and the longest isoform per transcript from our updated

annotation of *L. tenue*. Multimapping reads were discarded by using the flag outFilterMultimapNmax=1.

Files listing counts mapped to each feature (ReadsPerGene.out.tab) were further processed in R to conduct

differential expression analyses using the package DESeq2 (Love, Huber and Anders, 2014). Two

independent contrasts were conducted for floral buds and leaves separately: homostyles were first contrasted

to thrums, and then to pins. We corrected for multiple testing with the Benjamini-Hochberg method, and

genes with an adjusted Log2-fold change > |1.5| and p < 0.01 were considered significantly differentially

expressed.

 Pollination Assays

To test the functionality of male and female SI in *L. trigynum*, we conducted controlled reciprocal crosses of

L. trigynum (*n*=2 individuals) to both pin (*n*=2-5) and thrum (*n*=2-4) morphs of *L. tenue.* For comparison we

also conducted self-pollination of *L. trigynum*, compatible (pin x thrum, thrum x pin) and incompatible

pollinations (thrum x thrum, pin x pin) in *L. tenue* and negative controls without pollination. We did three

technical replicates of each type of cross of two individuals. For pollination, whole pistils were removed

from mature flower buds or recently opened flowers, placed on agar plates and hand pollinated. Pollen tube

growth was observed after 4 h. For pollen tube staining, we adapted a protocol by (Mori et al. 2006).

Specifically, hand-pollinated pistils were fixed with 9:1 ethanol: acetic acid solution 4 hours after

pollination. Pistils were then hydrated with an ethanol series (70%, 50% and 30% ethanol) and softened

overnight in 1 M NaOH. Pollen tube growth was observed under an UV fluorescence microscope (Olympus

BX60) after staining with 0.1% (w/v) aniline blue solution in 100 mM K_3PO_4 and 2% glycerol.

Population Structure and Timing of Split

The filtered VCF was pruned based on linkage disequilibrium (r^2) prior to conducting structure and

demographic analyses with PLINK (Chang et al., 2015) (parameters: window size in kilobase=50, variant

count to shift the window at the end of each step=5, pairwise r^2 threshold=0.5). We used the function *--pca*

also implemented in PLINK (Chang et al., 2015) to conduct a Principal Component Analysis (PCA) on SNPs

in the pruned VCF. The same data set was used for the structure analysis in ADMIXTURE (Alexander et al.,

2009) using values of *K* ranging from 2 to 16. The most likely number of subpopulations in the population

was determined after identifying the *K* value with the lowest cross-validation error. The results of both the

PCA and structure analyses were plotted in R (R Core Team, 2021). Weighted F_{ST} values were calculated

using the Weir and Cockerham estimator (Weir & Cockerham, 1984) implemented in VCFTools using the

function *--weir-fst-pop* (Danecek et al., 2011). Pairwise F_{ST} values were then compared within and between

species using a Kruskal–Wallis test followed by Dunn's test , and *P*-values were corrected using the

Bonferroni method in R (R Core Team, 2021). We investigated historical relationships among populations

using TreeMix (Pickrell and Pritchard, 2012) with 0 to 5 migration edges, running 100 iterations to get the

optimal number of migration edges. Using the evanno method implemented in the R package OptM (Evanno

et al., 2005; Fitak, 2021), we got an optimum of two migration edges, which were between populations of *L.*

trigynum. We further ran 60 iterations with two migration edges to ensure we had the maximum likelihood

tree, as well as 1000 bootstrap replicates to obtain confidence intervals. No admixture was detected between

the species. Finally, we used an extension of *dadi* (Gutenkunst et al., 2009) by Blischak et al. (2020) to

coestimate inbreeding and demographic parameters of a simple split model for *L. tenue* and *L. trigynum*. For

simplicity, we only included one population each of *L. tenue* and *L. trigynum* (populations 32 and 11,

respectively) in this analysis.

Estimates of Inbreeding and Polymorphism

We estimated the inbreeding coefficient (F_{IS}) (Wright, 1951) to assist our understanding of the prevalence of

selfing in *L. trigynum* using the option *--het* in VCFTools (Danecek et al., 2011). Nucleotide polymorphism

(π) was estimated in 100 kb windows per population using pixy (Korunes and Samuk, 2021). Statistical

testing for significant differences in F_{IS} and windowed π across populations was conducted in R (R Core

Team, 2021).

Estimates of Purifying Selection

To compare the content of TEs between the genomes of *L. tenue* and *L. trigynum*, we created custom

libraries of repeats using RepeatModeler (Smit and Hubley, 2008). The resulting libraries were then used to

identify loci harboring these repeats using RepeatMasker (Smit et al., 2013). We divided genome sequences

in 50 kb windows using BEDTools (Quinlan and Hall, 2010), and estimated the proportion of TEs per

window in R (R Core Team, 2021).

We investigated purifying selection at coding sequences using the annotation of both *L. tenue*

(Gutiérrez-Valencia et al., 2022a) and *L. trigynum*. We calculated π_N/π_S using pixy with the options --

bed_file and *--sites_file* to estimate π on a per-gene basis and by restricting the analyses to 0-fold and 4-fold

sites. π_N and π_S were computed in R to obtain and compare values of π_N/π_S. These sites were identified using

the python script NewAnnotateRef.py (https://github.com/fabbyrob/science/tree/master/pileup_analyzers)

(Williamson et al., 2014) ran separately on our annotated high-quality long-read *L. tenue* and *L. trigynum*

assemblies, considering only the longest transcript per gene. Finally, estimates of DFE for each population

were obtained using fastdfe (v1.0.0) (https://github.com/Sendrowski/fastDFE), a python implementation of

polyDFE (Tataru et al., 2017) which supports deleterious DFE inference from folded frequency spectra. We

used the model "GammaExpParametrization" which models the DFE under a Γ distribution. In the model,

we parametrized the folded SFS using the nuisance parameters with the option "get_demography" to account

for demographic history effects. Since we did not have divergence information, we could only obtain the

deleterious DFE by setting "p_b=0", while other models provided are equivalent for that purpose. We ran

200 iterations to get the highest maximum likelihood fit, while confidence intervals were obtained with 300

bootstrap replications. As before, 0-fold degenerate sites were considered to be under stronger purifying

selection than 4-fold degenerate sites which were assumed to evolve neutrally. Site frequency spectra for 0-

and 4-fold sites were obtained with easySFS (https://github.com/isaacovercast/easySFS) which uses a

modified implementation of *dadi* (Gutenkunst et al., 2009) with the option "-a" to keep all SNPs. DFE

results were summarized in four bins depicting the proportion of new mutations evolving as effectively

neutral ($0 > N_e s > -1$), moderately ($-1 > N_e s > -10$), and strongly deleterious ($-10, N_e s > -100$) and ($-100 >$

$N_e s$). The proportion of mutations in each category was compared between species using R (R Core Team,

2021).

Data Availability

All sequencing data, genome assemblies and their annotation produced in this study has been uploaded to the

European Nucleotide Archive (ENA) (https://www.ebi.ac.uk/ena/) under study accession number

PRJEB67577.

Acknowledgments

We thank José Ruíz-Martin for assistance with field work, Jerker Eriksson for technical assistance, and

Björn Nystedt for discussion of the project. This project has received funding from the European Research

Council (ERC) under the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme (grant

agreement No 757451), from the Swedish Research Council (grant no. 2019-04452) and the Erik Philip-

Sörensen foundation to T.S., and from the Bergströms foundation to J.G.V., and from the Nilsson-Ehle

foundation to P.W.H. Z.P. is financially supported by a Carl Tryggers fellowship (CTS 21:1471). The

authors acknowledge support from the National Genomics Infrastructure (NGI) in Stockholm and Uppsala

(Uppsala Genome Center, SNP&SEQ), funded by the Knut and Alice Wallenberg foundation, the Swedish

Research Council and Science for Life Laboratory. We acknowledge support of the Swedish National

Infrastructure for Computing (SNIC) and Uppsala Multidisciplinary Center for Advanced Computational

Science (UPPMAX) for assistance with massively parallel sequencing and access to computational

infrastructure. Support by NBIS (National Bioinformatics Infrastructure Sweden) is gratefully

acknowledged.

References

Alexander, D. H., Novembre, J., & Lange, K. (2009). Fast model-based estimation of ancestry in unrelated

individuals. *Genome Research*, *19*, 1655–1664.

Bachmann, J. A., Tedder, A., Laenen, B., Fracassetti, M., Désamoré, A., Lafon-Placette, C., Steige, K. A.,

Callot, C., Marande, W., Neuffer, B., Bergès, H., Köhler, C., Castric, V. and Slotte, T. (2019). Genetic

basis and timing of a major mating system shift in *Capsella*. *New Phytologist*, *224*, 505-517.

Baker, H. G. (1955). Self-compatibility and establishment after "long-distance" dispersal. *Evolution*, *9*, 347–

349.

Barrett, S. C. H. (2019). 'A most complex marriage arrangement': Recent advances on heterostyly and

unresolved questions. *New Phytologist*, *224*, 1051–1067.

Bateson, W., & Gregory, R. P. (1905). On the inheritance of heterostylism in *Primula*. *Proceedings of the

Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, *76*, 581–586.

Blischak, P. D., Barker, M. S., Gutenkunst, R. N. (2020). Inferring the demographic history of inbred species

from genome-wide SNP frequency data. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, *37*, 2124–2136.

Bonchev, G., Willi, Y. (2018). Accumulation of transposable elements in selfing populations of *Arabidopsis

lyrata* supports the ectopic recombination model of transposon evolution. *New Phytologist*, *219*, 767–

778.

Broad Institute. (2019). Picard Tools. *Broad Institute, GitHub Repository*.

http://broadinstitute.github.io/picard/

Brůna, T., Hoff, K. J., Lomsadze, A., Stanke, M., Borodovsky, M. (2021). BRAKER2: automatic eukaryotic
genome annotation with GeneMark-EP+ and AUGUSTUS supported by a protein database. *NAR*
Genomics and Bioinformatics, *3*, lqaa108.

Burgarella, C., & Glémin, S. (2017). Population genetics and genome evolution of selfing species. In *ELS*
(pp. 1–8). John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.

Bushnell, B. (2015). *BBMap/BBTools*. sourceforge.net/projects/bbmap/

Camacho, C., Coulouris, G., Avagyan, V., Ma, N., Papadopoulos, J., Bealer, K., & Madden, T. L. (2009).
BLAST+: Architecture and applications. *BMC Bioinformatics*, *10*, 421.

Chang, C. C., Chow, C. C., Tellier, L. C., Vattikuti, S., Purcell, S. M., Lee, J. J. (2015). Second-generation
PLINK: Rising to the challenge of larger and richer datasets. *GigaScience*, *4*, s13742–015–0047–8.

Charlesworth, D., Charlesworth, B. (1979). A model for the evolution of distyly. *The American Naturalist*,
114(4), 467–498.

Charlesworth, D., Wright, S. I. (2001). Breeding systems and genome evolution. *Current Opinion in*
Genetics & Development, *11*, 685–690.

Chen, S., Zhou, Y., Chen, Y., Gu, J. (2018). fastp: an ultra-fast all-in-one FASTQ preprocessor.
Bioinformatics, *34*, i884-i890.

Cutter, A. D. (2019). Reproductive transitions in plants and animals: Selfing syndrome, sexual selection and
speciation. *New Phytologist*, *224*, 1080–1094.

Danecek, P., Auton, A., Abecasis, G., Albers, C. A., Banks, E., DePristo, M. A., Handsaker, R. E., Lunter,
G., Marth, G. T., Sherry, S. T., McVean, G., & Durbin, R. (2011). The variant call format and VCFtools.
Bioinformatics, *27*, 2156–2158.

Danecek, P., & McCarthy, S. A. (2017). BCFtools/csq: Haplotype-aware variant consequences.
Bioinformatics, 33, 2037–2039.

Darwin, C. (1876). *The Effects of Cross and Self Fertilisation in the Vegetable Kingdom*. Cambridge
University Press; Cambridge Core.

Darwin, C. (1877). The effects of cross and self fertilisation in the vegetable kingdom. Cambridge University
Press; Cambridge Core.

Dobin, A., Gingeras, T.R. (2015). Mapping RNA-Seq reads with STAR. Current Protocols in
Bioinformatics, 51, 11.14.1-11.14.19.

Dowrick, V. P. J. (1956). Heterostyly and homostyly in *Primula obconica*. *Heredity*, *10*, 219–236.

Dudchenko, O., Batra, S. S., Omer, A. D., Nyquist, S. K., Hoeger, M., Durand, N. C., Shamim, M. S.,
Machol, I., Lander, E. S., Aiden, A. P., & Aiden, E. L. (2017). *De novo* assembly of the *Aedes aegypti*
genome using Hi-C yields chromosome-length scaffolds. *Science*, *356*, 92–95.

Edgar, R. C. (2004). MUSCLE: multiple sequence alignment with high accuracy and high throughput.
Nucleic Acids Research, *32*, 1792–1797.

Ernst, A. (1936). Heterostylie-Forschung. *Zeitschrift für Induktive Abstammungs- und Vererbungslehre*, *71*,
156–230.

Evanno, G., Regnaut, S., Goudet, J. (2005). Detecting the number of clusters of individuals using the
software STRUCTURE: a simulation study. *Molecular Ecology*, *14*, 2611–20.

Fawcett, J.A., Takeshima, R., Kikuchi, S. *et al.* (2023). Genome sequencing reveals the genetic architecture
of heterostyly and domestication history of common buckwheat. *Nature Plants*, 9, 1236–1251.

Fitak, R. R. (2021). *OptM*: estimating the optimal number of migration edges on population trees using
Treemix. Biology Methods and Protocols, 6, bpab017.

Fulton, T. M., Chunwongse, J., & Tanksley, S. D. (1995). Microprep protocol for extraction of DNA from
tomato and other herbaceous plants. *Plant Molecular Biology Reporter*, *13*, 207–209.

Gabriel, L., Hoff, K.J., Brůna, T. et al. (2021). TSEBRA: transcript selector for BRAKER. BMC
Bioinformatics, *22*, 566

Ganders, F. R. (1979). The biology of heterostyly. *New Zealand Journal of Botany*, *17*, 607–635.

Goodstein, D. M., Shu, S., Howson, R., Neupane, R., Hayes, R. D., Fazo, J., Mitros, T., Dirks, W., Hellsten,
U., Putnam, N., Rokhsar, D. S. (2012). Phytozome: a comparative platform for green plant genomics.
Nucleic Acids Research, *40*, D1178-1186.

Gu, Z., Gu, L., Eils, R., Schlesner, M., Brors, B. (2014). Circlize implements and enhances circular
visualization in R. *Bioinformatics*, 19, 2811–2812.

Gutenkunst, R. N., Hernandez, R. D., Williamson, S. H., & Bustamante, C. D. (2009). Inferring the joint
demographic history of multiple populations from multidimensional SNP frequency data. *PLOS
Genetics*, 5, e1000695.

Gutiérrez-Valencia, J., Hughes, P.W., Berdan, E.L., &Slotte, T. (2021). The genomic architecture and
evolutionary fates of supergenes. *Genome Biology and Evolution*, *13*, evab057.

Gutiérrez-Valencia, J., Fracassetti, M., Berdan, E. L., Bunikis, I., Soler, L., Dainat, J., Kutschera, V. E.,
Losvik, A., Désamoré, A., Hughes, P. W., Foroozani, A., Laenen, B., Pesquet, E., Abdelaziz, M.,
Pettersson, O. V., Nystedt, B., Brennan, A. C., Arroyo, J., & Slotte, T. (2022a). Genomic analyses of the
Linum distyly supergene reveal convergent evolution at the molecular level. *Current Biology*, *32*, 4360-
4371.

Gutiérrez-Valencia, J., Fracassetti, M., Horvath, R., Laenen, B., Désamore, A., Drouzas, A.D., Friberg, M.,
Kolár, F., & Slotte, T. (2022b). Genomic signatures of sexual selection on pollen-expressed genes
in *Arabis alpina*. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, 39, msab349.

Henning, P. M., Shore, J. S., McCubbin AG. (2022). The S-gene YUC6 pleiotropically determines male
mating type and pollen size in heterostylous Turnera (Passifloraceae): a novel neofunctionalization of
the yucca gene family. *Plants*, 11, 2640.

Hartfield, M., Bataillon, T., & Glémin, S. (2017). The evolutionary interplay between adaptation and self-
fertilization. *Trends in Genetics*, *33*, 420–431.

Hoff, K. J., Lange, S., Lomsadze, A., Borodovsky, M., Stanke, M. (2016). BRAKER1: unsupervised RNA-
Seq-based genome annotation with GeneMark-ET and AUGUSTUS. *Bioinformatics*, *32*, 767–769.

Horvath, R., Slotte, T. 2017. The role of small RNA-based epigenetic silencing for purifying selection on
transposable elements in *Capsella grandiflora*. *Genome Biology and Evolution*, *9*, 2911-2920.

Huu, C. N., Kappel, C., Keller, B., Sicard, A., Takebayashi, Y., Breuninger, H., Nowak, M. D., Bäurle, I., Himmelbach, A., Burkart, M., Ebbing-Lohaus, T., Sakakibara, H., Altschmied, L., Conti, E., & Lenhard, M. (2016). Presence versus absence of *CYP734A50* underlies the style-length dimorphism in primroses. *eLife*, *5*, e17956.

Huu, C. N., Plaschil, S., Himmelbach, A., Kappel, C., & Lenhard, M. (2022). Female self-incompatibility type in heterostylous *Primula* is determined by the brassinosteroid-inactivating cytochrome P450 CYP734A50. *Current Biology*, *32*, 671-676.e5.

Innes, P. A., Smart, B. C., Barham, J. A. M., Hulke, B. S., Kane, N. C. (2023). Chromosome-scale genome assembly of lewis flax (*Linum lewisii* Pursh.) bioRxiv 2023.10.10.561607; doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.10.10.561607

Korunes, K. L., Samuk, K. (2021). pixy: Unbiased estimation of nucleotide diversity and divergence in the presence of missing data. *Molecular Ecology Resources*, *21*, 1359–1368.

Kumar, S., Stecher, G., Li, M., Knyaz, C., & Tamura, K. (2018). MEGA X: Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis across Computing Platforms. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, *35*, 1547–1549.

Kurtz, S., Phillippy, A., Delcher, A.L. et al. (2004). Versatile and open software for comparing large genomes. *Genome Biology*, *5*, R12.

Laenen, B., Tedder, A., Nowak, M.D., Toräng, P., Wunder, J., Wötzel, S., Steige, K.A., Kourmpetis, Y., Odong, T., Drouzas, A.D., Bink, M., Ågren, J., Coupland, G. & Slotte, T. 2018. Demography and mating system shape the genome-wide impact of purifying selection in *Arabis alpina*. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the USA* 115: 816-821.

Laibach, F. (1923). Die Abweichungen vom ''mechanischen'' Zahlenverhältnis der Long- under Kurz-griffel bei heterostylen Pflanzen. *Biologisches Zentralblatt*, 43, 148–157.

Larsson, A. (2014). AliView: A fast and lightweight alignment viewer and editor for large datasets. *Bioinformatics*, *30*, 3276–3278.

Li, H. (2013). Aligning sequence reads, clone sequences and assembly contigs with BWA-MEM. arXiv preprint, arXiv:1303.3997.

Li, H. (2018). Minimap2: Pairwise alignment for nucleotide sequences. *Bioinformatics*, *34*, 3094–3100.

Li, J., Cocker, J. M., Wright, J., Webster, M. A., McMullan, M., Dyer, S., Swarbreck, D., Caccamo, M., Oosterhout, C. van, & Gilmartin, P. M. (2016). Genetic architecture and evolution of the *S* locus supergene in *Primula vulgaris*. *Nature Plants*, *2*, 16188.

Lloyd, D. G., & Webb, C. J. (1992). The evolution of heterostyly. In S. C. H. Barrett (Ed.), *Evolution and Function of Heterostyly* (pp. 151–178). Springer.

Love, M. I., Huber, W. Anders, S. Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for RNA-Seq data with DESeq2. (2014). *Genome Biology*, *15*, 550.

Löytynoja, A., Goldman, N. (2010). webPRANK: a phylogeny-aware multiple sequence aligner with interactive alignment browser. *BMC Bioinformatics*, *11*, 579.

Maguilla, E., Escudero, M., Ruíz-Martín, J., & Arroyo, J. (2021). Origin and diversification of flax and their relationship with heterostyly across the range. *Journal of Biogeography*, *48*, 1994–2007.

Manni, M., Berkeley, M. R., Seppey, M., Zdobnov, E. M. (2021). BUSCO: Assessing genomic data quality
and beyond. *Current Protocols*, *1*, e323.

Mattila, T. M., Laenen, B., Slotte, T. (2020). Population genomics of transitions to selfing in Brassicaceae
model systems. In J. Y. Dutheil (Ed.), Statistical population genomics (pp. 269–287). Springer US.

Mather, K., Winton, D. D. (1941). Adaptation and counter-adaptation of the breeding system in *Primula*.
Annals of Botany, *5*, 297–311.

Mora-Carrera, E., Stubbs, R. L., Keller, B., Léveillé-Bourret, É., de Vos, J. M., Szövényi, P., & Conti, E.
(2021). Different molecular changes underlie the same phenotypic transition: Origins and consequences
of independent shifts to homostyly within species. *Molecular Ecology*, *00*, 1– 18.

Mori, T., Kuroiwa, H., Higashiyama, T., & Kuroiwa T. (2006). Generative Cell Specific 1 is essential for
angiosperm fertilization. *Nature Cell Biology*, *8(1)*, 64-71.

Murray BG. 1986. Floral biology and self-incompatibility in *Linum*. *Bot Gazette* 147: 327-333.

Naiki, A. (2012). Heterostyly and the possibility of its breakdown by polyploidization. *Plant Species
Biology*, *27*, 3–29.

Nei, M., Gojobori, T. (1986). Simple methods for estimating the numbers of synonymous and
nonsynonymous nucleotide substitutions. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, *3*, 418–426.

Page, J. T., Liechty, Z. S., Huynh, M. D., Udall, J. A. (2014). BamBam: Genome sequence analysis tools for
biologists. *BMC Research Notes*, *7*, 829.

Paradis, E. 2010. pegas: An R package for population genetics with an integrated-modular approach.
Bioinformatics, *26*, 419–420.

Pastor, J., Diosdado, J. C., Santa Bárbara, C., Vioque, J., & Pérez, E. (1990). Números cromosómicos para la
flora Española: 556-619. *Lagascalia*, *15*, 271–274.

Pertea, M., Pertea, G. M., Antonescu, C. M., Chang, T. C., Mendell, J. T., Salzberg, S. L. (2015). StringTie
enables improved reconstruction of a transcriptome from RNA-seq reads. *Nat Biotechnology*, 3, 290–
295.

Piper, J. G., Charlesworth, B., Charlesworth, D. 1986. Breeding system evolution in *Primula vulgaris* and
the role of reproductive assurance. *Heredity*, *56*, 207-217.

Pickrell, J. K., Pritchard, J. K. (2012). Inference of population splits and mixtures from genome-wide allele
frequency data. *PLOS Genetics*, *8*, e1002967.

Quesneville, H. (2020). Twenty years of transposable element analysis in the *Arabidopsis thaliana* genome.
Mobile DNA, *11*, 28.

Quinlan, A. R., Hall, I. M. (2010). BEDTools: A flexible suite of utilities for comparing genomic features.
Bioinformatics, *26*, 841–842.

R Core Team. (2021). *R: A language and environment for statistical computing.* R Foundation for Statistical
Computing. https://www.R-project.org/

Repplinger, M. (2009). Phylogenie und Biogeographie der Linoideae (Linaceae) – Evolution von
Heterostylie / Homostylie in *Linum*. PhD thesis. Johannes Gutenberg-Universität, Mainz, Germany.

Roessler, K., Muyle, A., Diez, C. M., Gaut, G. R. J., Bousios, A., Stitzer, M. C., Seymour, D. K., Doebley, J.
F., Liu, Q., Gaut, B. S. (2019). The genome-wide dynamics of purging during selfing in maize. *Nature*
Plants, 5, 980–990.

Roach, M. J., Schmidt, S. A., & Borneman, A. R. (2018). Purge Haplotigs: Allelic contig reassignment for
third-gen diploid genome assemblies. *BMC Bioinformatics*, *19*, 460.

Ruiz-Martín, J., Santos-Gally, R., Escudero, M., Midgley, J. J., Pérez-Barrales, R., & Arroyo, J. (2018).
Style polymorphism in *Linum* (Linaceae): A case of Mediterranean parallel evolution? *Plant Biology*, *20*
Suppl 1, 100–111.

Shimizu, K. K., Tsuchimatsu, T. 2015. Evolution of selfing: recurrent patterns in molecular adaptation.
Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics, 46, 593–622.

Shore, J. S., Hamam, H. J., Chafe, P. D. J., Labonne, J. D. J., Henning, P. M., & McCubbin, A. G. (2019).
The long and short of the *S*-locus in *Turnera* (Passifloraceae). *New Phytologist*, *224*, 1316–1329.

Slotte, T., Foxe, J. P., Hazzouri, K. M., Wright, S. I. (2010). Genome-wide evidence for efficient positive
and purifying selection in *Capsella grandiflora*, a plant species with a large effective population size.
Molecular Biology and Evolution, *27*, 1813–1821.

Slotte, T., Hazzouri, K., Ågren, J. et al. (2013). The *Capsella rubella* genome and the genomic consequences
of rapid mating system evolution. *Nature Genetics*, *45*, 831–835.

Slotte, T. (2014). The impact of linked selection on plant genomic variation. *Briefings in Functional*
Genomics, *13*, 268–75.

Smit, A. F. A., & Hubley, R. (2008). *RepeatModeler*. https://www.repeatmasker.org/RepeatModeler/

Smit, A. F. A., Hubley, R., & Green, P. (2013). *RepeatMasker* (v.4.1.0). https://www.repeatmasker.org/

Stebbins, G. L. (1957). Self-fertilization and population variability in the higher plants. *The American*
Naturalist, *91*, 337–354.

Stecher, G., Tamura, K., & Kumar, S. (2020). Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis (MEGA) for
macOS. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, *37*, 1237–1239.

Tataru, P., Mollion, M., Glémin, S., Bataillon, T. (2017). Inference of distribution of fitness effects and
proportion of adaptive substitutions from polymorphism data. *Genetics*, *207*, 1103–1119.

Thorvaldsdóttir, H., Robinson, J. T., Mesirov, J. P. (2013). Integrative Genomics Viewer (IGV): high-
performance genomics data visualization and exploration. *Briefings in Bioinformatics*, *14*, 178-192.

Tsuchimatsu, T., Suwabe, K., Shimizu-Inatsugi, R., Isokawa, S., Pavlidis, P., Städler, T., Suzuki, G.,
Takayama, S., Watanabe, M., Shimizu, K. K. (2010). Evolution of self-compatibility in Arabidopsis by a
mutation in the male specificity gene. *Nature*, 464, 1342-1346.

Tsuchimatsu, T., Kaiser, P., Yew, C. L., Bachelier, J. B., Shimizu, K. K. Recent loss of self-incompatibility
by degradation of the male component in allotetraploid *Arabidopsis kamchatica*. (2012). *PLoS Genetics*,
8, e1002838.

Tsuchimatsu, T., Shimizu, K. K. (2013). Effects of pollen availability and the mutation bias on the fixation
of mutations disabling the male specificity of self-incompatibility. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology*, *26*,
2221–2232.

Tsukamoto, T., Ando, T., Takahashi, K., Omori, T., Watanabe, H., Kokubun, H., Marchesi, E., Kao, T. H.
(2003). Breakdown of self-incompatibility in a natural population of *Petunia axillaris* caused by loss of
pollen function. *Plant Physiology*, *131*, 1903–1912.

Uyenoyama, M. K., Zhang, Y., Newbigin, E. (2001). On the origin of self-incompatibility haplotypes:
transition through self-compatible intermediates. *Genetics*, *157*, 1805–1817.

Walker, B. J., Abeel, T., Shea, T., Priest, M., Abouelliel, A. et al. (2014) Pilon: an integrated tool for
comprehensive microbial variant detection and genome assembly improvement. *PLoS ONE*, *9*, e112963.

Wang, X.-J., Barrett, S. C. H., Zhong, L., Wu, Z.-K., Li, D.-Z., Wang, H., & Zhou, W. (2021). The genomic
selfing syndrome accompanies the evolutionary breakdown of heterostyly. *Molecular Biology and*
Evolution, *38*, 168–180.

Weir, B. S., Cockerham, C. C. (1984). Estimating F-statistics for the analysis of population structure.
Evolution, *38*, 1358–1370.

Williamson, R. J., Josephs, E. B., Platts, A. E., Hazzouri, K. M., Haudry, A., et al. (2014) Evidence for
widespread positive and negative selection in coding and conserved noncoding regions of *Capsella*
grandiflora. *PLOS Genetics*, *10*, e1004622.

Wright, S. (1951). The genetical structure of populations. *Annals of Eugenics*, *15*, 323–354.

Wright, S. (1969). Evolution and the genetics of populations. In *The theory of gene frequencies. Vol 2.*
(University of Chicago Press.).

Wright, S. I., Kalisz, S., & Slotte, T. (2013). Evolutionary consequences of self-fertilization in plants.
Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, *280*, 1760.

Wright, S. I., Ness, R. W., Foxe, J. P., Barrett, S. C. H. (2008). Genomic consequences of outcrossing and
selfing in plants. *International Journal of Plant Sciences*, *169*, 105–118.

Yasui, Y., Mori, M., Aii, J., Abe, T., Matsumoto, D., Sato, S., Hayashi, Y., Ohnishi, O., Ota, T. (2012). *S-*
LOCUS EARLY FLOWERING 3 is exclusively present in the genomes of short-styled buckwheat plants
that exhibit heteromorphic self-incompatibility. PLoS One, 7, e31264.

Yang, J., Xue, H., Li, Z., Zhang, Y., Shi, T., He, X., Barrett, S. C. H., Wang, Q., Chen, J. (2023). Haplotype-
resolved genome assembly provides insights into the evolution of *S*-locus supergene in distylous
Nymphoides indica. *New Phytologist*, In press.

Yi, H., Wang, J., Wang, J., Rausher, M., Kang, M. (2022). Genomic insights into inter- and intraspecific
mating system shifts in *Primulina*. *Molecular Ecology*. 31, 5699–5713.

Yuan, S., Barrett, S. C. H., Duan, T., Qian, X., Shi, M., Zhang, D. (2017). Ecological correlates and genetic
consequences of evolutionary transitions from distyly to homostyly. *Annals of Botany*, *120*, 775–789.

Zhao, Z., Zhang, Y., Shi, M., Liu, Z., Xu, Y., Luo, Z., Yuan, S., Tu, T., Sun, Z., Zhang, D. and Barrett,
S.C.H. (2023). Genomic evidence supports the genetic convergence of a supergene controlling the
distylous floral syndrome. *New Phytologist*, *237*, 601–614.

Zhong, L., Barrett, S. C. H., Wang, X.-J., Wu, Z.-K., Sun, H.-Y., Li, D.-Z., Wang, H., & Zhou, W. (2019).
Phylogenomic analysis reveals multiple evolutionary origins of selfing from outcrossing in a lineage of
heterostylous plants. *New Phytologist, 224*, 1290–1303.

Tables

Table 1. Sequence divergence between *L. trigynum* and *L. tenue* genome assemblies at the five homologous
genes they share in the *S*-locus region. Synonymous and nonsynonymous substitution rates are indicated by
d_S and d_N, respectively. Standard errors (SE) of both are given as well as the number of major-effect
mutations.

Gene	n_{Ltri}[1]	n_{Lten}[2]	d_S	SE_{dS}	d_N	SE_{dN}	Coding sites	Major-effect
LITEG00000052183	3	4	0.10	0.034	0.018	0.007	176	0
indelg2	3	6	0.036	0.023	0.073	0.020	86	0
LtTSS1	3	8	0.021	0.015	0.006	0.004	144	Segregating premature stop
LtWDR-44	3	8	0.017	0.005	0.012	0.002	925	0
LtTPR LITEG00000052188	3	5	0.018	0.010	0.009	0.004	267	0

[1]Sample size, *L. trigynum,* [2]Sample size, *L. tenue*

Figures

Fig. 1. Flower morphs of the distylous *L. tenue* and the homostylous *L. trigynum*. The expected

genotypes of thrum, pin and homostylous flowers are indicated. *S*= dominant haplotype, *s*=recessive

haplotype, and *S**= haplotype homologous to the *L. tenue S* allele. Illustrations by Alison Cutts.

Fig. 2. Broad-scale synteny between *L. trigynum* and *L. tenue* and comparative analyses of the *S*-locus

region. (a) Circos plot showing broad-scale synteny between *L. tenue* (top) and *L. trigynum* (bottom). **(b)**

Dotplot showing significant minimap2 alignments between the genomic region harboring the dominant *S*-

haplotype of *L. tenue* and a region of *L. trigynum* chromosome 10. The limits of the *L. tenue* *S*-locus are

indicated by dotted lines. **(c)** Plot of median and 95% confidence intervals of median coverage in 50 kb

windows for *L. trigynum* (homostyle), *L. tenue* thrum and pin individuals, all mapped to our *L. trigynum*

assembly. **(d)** Comparison of gene content at the *S*-haplotype of *L. tenue* and corresponding region (here

named *S**) in *L. trigynum*. Names of genes shared between the *L. tenue* *S*-haplotype and the *L. trigynum* *S**-

haplotype are written in bold text.

901
902
Fig. 3. Differential expression of *S*-linked candidate genes in floral buds and pollination assays suggest

a role for downregulation of *LtWDR-44* in transition to homostyly. (a) Volcano plot depicting fold

change vs significance of differential expression between *L. trigynum* homostyles and *L. tenue* thrums.

Significant *S*-linked genes (only *LtWDR-44* in this analysis) are indicated. (b) Volcano plot depicting fold

change vs significance of differential expression between *L. trigynum* homostyles and *L. tenue* pins.

Significant *S*-linked genes (*LtWDR-44* and *LtTSS1*) are indicated. (c) Normalized counts for *LtTSS1* in *L.*

trigynum homostyles, *L. tenue* pins and *L. tenue* thrums. (d) Normalized counts for *LtWDR-44* in *L. trigynum*

homostyles, *L. tenue* pins and *L. tenue* thrums. (e) Representative epifluorescence micrographs of pollination

assays demonstrating self-compatibility of *L. trigynum* (left), a compatible reaction when pollinating *L. tenue*

thrum (middle) but not pin (right) with *L. trigynum* pollen. Pollen tubes are indicated by white arrows. Note

that the site of pollen tube rejection is in the style in *Linum*, such that an incompatible cross yields shorter,

aborted pollen tubes. Scale bars indicate the degree of magnification.

Fig. 4. The shift to homostyly in *L. trigynum* is associated with higher levels of inbreeding and marked effects on population genomic variation. (a) Inbreeding coefficient (F_{IS}) estimates for *L. trigynum* and *L. tenue* indicate higher inbreeding in *L. trigynum*. **(b)** Comparisons of π estimates in windows of 100 kb across the genome show drastically lower nucleotide diversity in *L. trigynum* compared to *L. tenue* populations. **(c)** Estimates of the percentage of TE-derived sequences in 50 kb windows across the genome (t-test: t=1.49, df=20561, $P > 0.05$, N.S.) shows that *L. trigynum* and *L. tenue* have similar TE content. **(d)** Comparison of genome-wide distribution of negative fitness effect estimates for 0-fold degenerate nonsynonymous mutations between *L. trigynum* (*n*=8) and *L. tenue* (*n*=8). Error bars represent the standard deviation derived from populations of each species. The fraction of mutations between species was significantly different for each category of $N_e s$ ($0 > N_e s > -1$ = effectively neutral, $-1 > N_e s > -10$ = moderately deleterious, $N_e s > 10$ -10 $> N_e s > -100$ and $N_e s < -100$ = strongly deleterious) (***$P < 0.001$, Wilcoxon rank sum test).

Fig. 5. Population structure and demographic history of *L. trigynum* and *L. tenue*. (a) Geographic origin
of the Iberian populations of *L. trigynum* (*n*=8) and *L. tenue* (*n*=8) included in this study. **(b)** Assignment of
individuals to each of the five inferred ancestral clusters (*K*=5) based on admixture models to describe
population structure in ADMIXTURE. **(c)** Maximum likelihood tree inferred by TreeMix. Bootstrap values
for each bifurcation and two migration edges within *L. trigynum* are shown. **(d)** The demographic model
inferred by dadi. Estimates of ancestral and current effective population sizes (in numbers of individuals) and
the time of the split (in number of generations) are shown.