***Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *tomato* DC3000 induces defense responses in diverse**

maize inbred lines

Namrata Jaiswal[1] and Matthew Helm[1] *

[1] Crop Production and Pest Control Research Unit, U.S. Department of Agriculture-

Agricultural Research Service (USDA-ARS), West Lafayette, IN 47907, U.S.A.

* Corresponding author: M. Helm; Email: Matthew.Helm@usda.gov

Funding

This research was funded by the United States Department of Agriculture,

Agricultural Research Service (USDA-ARS) research project 5020-21220-014-00D. The

funding bodies had no role in designing the experiments, collecting the data, or writing

the manuscript. All opinions expressed in this paper are the author's and do not

necessarily reflect the policies and views of USDA. USDA is an equal opportunity

provider and employer.

<u>ABSTRACT</u>

Many phytopathogens translocate virulence (effector) proteins into plant cells to

circumvent host immune responses during infection. One such pathogen is

Pseudomonas syringae pv. *tomato* DC3000, which secretes at least twenty-nine

effectors into host cells, of which a subset elicits host defense responses in crop plant

species. However, it is unknown whether *P. syringae* pv. *tomato* DC3000 activates

immune responses in diverse maize inbreds. Here, we screened a diverse maize

germplasm collection for effector-dependent recognition of this bacterial pathogen. As a

control, we infiltrated *Pseudomonas syringae* DC3000(D36E), a derivative of *P.*

syringae pv. *tomato* DC3000 that lacks all endogenous effectors. In our evaluations, we

observed a variety of responses to *P. syringae* pv. *tomato* DC3000 in maize and scored

the phenotypes as either no observable response (N) or as one of three responses:

weak chlorosis (WC), chlorosis (C) with minimal cell death, and hypersensitive reaction

(HR)-like cell death. Of the twenty-six maize inbreds screened, 13 were scored as N, 2

as WC, 2 as C, and 9 as HR-like cell death. Importantly, no maize line responded to *P.*

syringae DC3000(D36E), demonstrating the responses observed are likely dependent

upon recognition of one or more *Pseudomonas* effectors. Importantly, maize inbreds

that recognize *P. syringae* pv. *tomato* DC3000 accumulated detectable hydrogen

peroxide as well as an increase in transcript expression of a subset of maize defense

genes. Collectively, our results will likely stimulate new research aimed at identifying the

cognate maize disease resistance proteins that recognize the activities of one or more

bacterial effectors.

Key words: maize, *Pseudomonas syringae*, effector delivery, defense responses, ROS

<u>INTRODUCTION</u>

To facilitate disease development, plant phytopathogens often secrete a

repertoire of virulence proteins, known as effectors, to host cells during the infection

process (Bentham et al., 2020). The primary function of these pathogen-secreted

effectors is to manipulate host cell physiology and development, including the structure

and function of plant cells as well as modulate host immune responses (Bentham et al.,

2020; Lovelace et al., 2023). To circumvent the immune-suppressing activities of

diverse plant phytopathogens, plants have evolved a two-tiered, integrated immune

signaling network (Bentham et al., 2020; Lovelace et al., 2023; Ngou et al., 2022). The

first tier, often referred to as cell surface-triggered immunity, primarily involves the

detection of pathogen-associated molecular pattern (PAMPs) by cell surface-localized,

transmembrane immune receptors (Bentham et al., 2020; Ngou et al., 2022). Upon

recognition of pathogen-derived PAMPs, the host cell will initiate several coordinated

defense responses including, in part, an increase in the production reactive oxygen

species (ROS), stomatal closure, calcium influx and accumulation within the host

cytosol, as well as up-regulation of defense-related genes (Bentham et al., 2020; Chen

et al., 2022; Ngou et al., 2022). The second tier of the plant immune system is often

mediated by intracellular innate immune receptors known as nucleotide-binding,

leucine-rich repeat (NLR) proteins, whose primary function is to detect the activities of

pathogen-secreted effectors inside host cells (Bentham et al., 2020; Ngou et al., 2022).

Once activated, plant NLR proteins initiate a cell death response, known as the

hypersensitive reaction (HR), which is thought to restrict pathogen growth and systemic

spread (Bentham et al., 2020; Coll et al., 2011; Ngou et al., 2022). This tier of host

immunity is often referred to as effector-triggered immunity (ETI) or intracellular

receptor-mediated immunity (Ngou et al., 2022).

The bacterial phytopathogen *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *tomato* DC3000 has

been foundational to the study of effector biology and its study has yielded significant

insights into the structure of the plant immune system (Wei and Collmer, 2018; Xin and

He, 2013). During pathogenesis, this hemibiotrophic pathogen colonizes the host

apoplast by entering through stomata and uses the type III secretion system (T3SS) to

deliver at least twenty-nine effector proteins directly into the host cell cytoplasm (Wei et

al., 2018; Xin and He, 2013). Once translocated, these effector proteins predominantly

function to suppress host immune responses or modulate host development, thereby

facilitating disease progression (Wei et al., 2018; Xin and He, 2013). For example, the

P. syringae pv. *tomato* DC3000 effector HopM1 suppresses both PAMP-elicited ROS

production as well as stomatal closure in Arabidopsis, thus facilitating disease

development (Lozano-Duran et al., 2014). Additionally, the HopK1 effector from *P.*

syringae pv. *tomato* DC3000 suppresses HR-like cell death elicited by another *P.*

syringae pv. *tomato* DC3000 effector, HopA1, as well as PTI responses including ROS

production and callose deposition (Guo et al., 2009; Jamir et al., 2004; Li et al., 2014).

Interestingly, HopK1 encodes a chloroplast transit peptide and accumulates in host

chloroplasts, suggesting chloroplast localization may be important for HopK1-mediated

suppression of PTI and ETI responses (Li et al., 2014). HopQ1 (also known as HopQ1-

1) is conserved across pathogenic bacteria and, intriguingly, induces an HR-like cell

death response when transiently expressed in *N. benthamiana* (Ferrante et al., 2009;

Wei et al., 2007). Upon *P. syringae* pv. *tomato* DC3000-dependent delivery into

Arabidopsis, AvrPto and AvrPtoB function redundantly to attenuate flagellin22 (flg22)

perception by the cell surface-localized immune receptor, FLS2 (Boller and Felix, 2009;

Kvitko et al., 2009; Martin, 2012).

The maize Nested Association Mapping (NAM) recombinant inbred lines (RILs)

representing global maize diversity were derived from twenty-six inbred founder lines

and are a valuable genetic resource for discovering allelic diversity against plant

pathogens of maize (Gage et al., 2020; Singh et al., 2023). For example, Kump and

colleagues (2011) used the NAM population to identify thirty-two quantitative trait loci

associated with resistance to *Cochliobolus heterostrophus*, the causative pathogen of

Southern Leaf Blight disease, in maize. Furthermore, this mapping population was also

used to identify the genetic resistance architecture underlying quantitative disease

resistance to Gray Leaf Spot (GLS) disease and Northern leaf blight (NLB) disease

(Benson et al., 2015; Poland et al., 2011). These studies thus demonstrate the value

and versatility of screening the NAM population for identifying maize disease resistance

genes. To the best of our knowledge, the maize NAM population has not been used to

identify putative sources of genetic resistance to *P. syringae* pv. *tomato* DC3000.

In the current study, we screened the maize NAM population for recognition of

the bacterial pathogen *P. syringae* pv. *tomato* DC3000. Of the twenty-six maize inbreds

evaluated in our screen, 13 were scored as having no observable response to *P.*

syringae pv. *tomato* DC3000. Two inbred lines were phenotyped as having a weak

chlorotic response and two as having a chlorotic response with minimal cell death. The

nine remaining maize inbreds all responded to *P. syringae* pv. *tomato* DC3000 with HR-

like cell death. Consistent with the phenotypic responses, the maize inbred lines that

recognized *P. syringae* pv. *tomato* DC3000 accumulated detectable hydrogen peroxide

within the infiltrated regions as well as an increase in transcript expression of a subset

of maize defense genes. As a control, we infiltrated an effectorless mutant strain of

Pseudomonas syringae DC3000, termed *P. syringae* DC3000(D36E), which did not

elicit observable defense responses or hydrogen peroxide accumulation in any maize

inbred line. These data thus suggest *P. syringae* induces defense responses in diverse

maize inbreds and that maize likely encodes disease resistance proteins that recognize

the activities of one or more *Pseudomonas* effectors.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plant growth conditions

Seed of maize inbreds were ordered from the North Central Regional Plant

Introduction Station via the National Plant Germplasm System Web Portal. Maize

inbreds were grown in plastic pots containing Berger Seed Germination and

Propagation Mix supplemented with Osmocote slow-release fertilizer (14-14-14). Plants

were maintained in a growth chamber with 16h:8h photoperiod, light:dark at 24°C with

light and 22°C in the dark and 60% humidity with average light intensities at plant height

of 130μmols/m^2/s.

***Pseudomonas* growth conditions and leaf infiltration assay**

Pseudomonas syringae pv. *tomato* DC3000 and *Pseudomonas syringae*

DC3000(D36E) strains were grown on King's B (KB) medium supplemented with 25µg

of rifampicin and 50µg of kanamycin per milliliter for two days at 30°C. The

Pseudomonas strains were resuspended in 10mM $MgCl_2$ to an optical density at 600

nm (OD_{600}) of 0.5. Bacterial suspensions were infiltrated into the abaxial surface of the

second leaf of two-week old maize seedlings using a 1-mL disposable syringe, and the

infiltrated regions were indicated with a black permanent marker. The leaf surface was

nicked with a sterile razor blade prior to infiltration to facilitate fluid entry. The infiltrated

leaves were phenotyped five days following *Pseudomonas* infiltration and a

representative leaf was photographed under white light shortly thereafter.

Histochemical detection of hydrogen peroxide accumulation

Hydrogen peroxide (one of several reactive oxygen species) accumulation was

detected as previously described with slight modifications (Bach-Pages and Preston,

2017). Briefly, the *Pseudomonas* suspensions were infiltrated into two-week old maize

seedlings and, 3 days post-infiltration, leaf segments were harvested and incubated in

freshly prepared 3,3'-diaminobenzidine (DAB) solution (1 mg/mL (Sigma-Aldrich)

dissolved in distilled water (pH 3.8) overnight with gentle agitation in the dark. After

overnight incubation, the DAB-stained leaf tissues were rinsed with distilled water,

submerged in absolute ethanol, and incubated at 65°C for 2 hours to clear the

chlorophyll. The leaf segments were rehydrated with 75% ethanol and incubated for 1

hour at room temperature, followed by incubation with 50% ethanol until the leaves

were cleared of chlorophyll. The cleared leaf tissue was stored in ultrapure water

(supplemented with 20% glycerol) for photography. Representative DAB-stained leaf

segments were photographed under white light.

RNA extraction and reverse transcriptional real-time quantitative PCR of maize

defense genes

Two-week old maize leaves (cvs. B73 [non-responder] and Mo18W [responder])

were infiltrated with *P. syringae* pv. *tomato* DC3000. The infiltrated leaf tissue was

harvested 12- and 24-hours post-*Pseudomonas* infiltration, flash frozen in liquid

nitrogen, and stored at -80°C until processing. Total RNA was isolated using TRIzol

reagent from maize tissues according to the manufacturer's instruction (Direct-zol^{TM}

RNA miniprep, ZymoResearch) followed by in-column DNase I treatment. RNA

concentration was measured using Nanodrop (Thermo Scientific). Two micrograms of

total RNA were used for first-strand cDNA synthesis using AMV reverse transcriptase

(New England Biolabs). A quantitative real-time PCR (RT-qPCR) assay was performed

on a LightCycler 480 II system using 2x SYBR Green qPCR master mix (BioRad).

Maize GAPDH (Zm00001eb233140) was chosen as an endogenous reference gene to

normalize the data. Three technical replicates of the RT-qPCR assay were used for

each sample with a minimum of three biological replicates. Each biological replicate was

sampled from three individual plants. The transcript expression level was calculated

using the $2^{-\Delta\Delta CT}$ method (Livak and Schmittgen, 2001). The experiment was repeated at

least two independent times. Primers used for RT-qPCR are as follows (5' to 3'):

ZmGAPDH-FW (CCTGCTTCTCATGGATGGTT); ZmGAPDH-RV

(TGGTAGCAGGAAGGGAAACA); ZmPR3-FW (AGCTTCCTCGTCTCATCATCG);

ZmPR3-RV (TGTTGACGTAGGCGTAGAGC); ZmPR5-FW

(GCAGCCAGGACTTCTACGAC); ZmPR5-RV (CACAGGCATGGGTCTTCAC); ZmPAL-

FW (TCTGGTCCCGCTCTCCTACA); ZmPAL-RV (TTGAAGAAGCCGCCCTCGAT);

Peroxidase-FW (CTCATCAACCACCCGGACAC); Peroxidase-RV

(TGCAGCAAGCCTTATTTGAACA).

RESULTS

The observation that *P. syringae* pv. *tomato* DC3000 elicits robust immune

responses in crop plant species such as wheat led us to hypothesize that this bacterial

phytopathogen may also activate defense responses in maize inbreds. To test our

hypothesis, we infiltrated the parental inbred lines of the maize nested association

mapping population with *P. syringae* pv. *tomato* DC3000 and phenotyped their

responses five days post-*Pseudomonas* infiltration (Figure 1A). As a control, we

infiltrated *P. syringae* pv. *tomato* DC3000 into wheat leaves, which was previously

shown to recognize this bacterial pathogen (Ramachandran et al., 2017). In our

evaluations, we observed a variety of responses to *P. syringae* pv. *tomato* DC3000 and

scored the phenotypes as either no observable response (N) or as one of three

responses: weak chlorosis (WC), chlorosis (C) with minimal cell death, and

hypersensitive reaction (HR)-like cell death. Representative examples of phenotypic

responses are shown in Figure 1B, and a complete list of inbred lines and their

responses are shown in Table 1. Of the twenty-six maize inbreds tested, 13 were

scored as N, 2 as WC, and 2 as C (Figure 1B; Table 1). Nine maize inbred lines

(CML52, CML69, CML247, CML333, HP301, M162W, Mo18W, Ms71, and IL14H)

displayed a HR-like response to *P. syringae* pv. *tomato* DC3000 within the infiltrated

region (Figure 1B; Table 1). As a control, we infiltrated a mutant strain of *P. syringae* pv.

tomato DC3000, termed *P. syringae* DC3000(D36E), which lacks all endogenous

bacterial effectors (Carter et al., 2019; Wei et al., 2015). As expected, there was no

observable response in any inbred line tested to *P. syringae* DC3000(D36E) (Figure

1B). These data thus demonstrate the phenotypic responses observed in response to *P.*

syringae pv. *tomato* DC3000 are likely dependent upon recognition of one or more *P.*

syringae effectors.

The production and accumulation of reaction oxygen species, including hydrogen

peroxide, is often used as a proxy for activation of defense responses (Carter et al.,

2019). Therefore, we used 3,3'-diaminobenzidine (DAB) staining following leaf

infiltration with either *P. syringae* pv. *tomato* DC3000 or *P. syringae* DC3000(D36E) to

test whether the phenotypic responses of some maize inbred lines to *P. syringae* pv.

tomato DC3000 is correlated with hydrogen peroxide accumulation. Consistent with the

phenotypic responses, maize inbreds that displayed an HR-like cell death response to

P. syringae pv. *tomato* DC3000 accumulated detectable hydrogen peroxide within the

infiltrated region (Figure 2). In contrast, there was no hydrogen peroxide detected in

maize lines that did not recognize *P. syringae* pv. *tomato* DC3000 or in lines infiltrated

with *P. syringae* DC3000(D36E) (Figure 2). These results thus reveal the chlorotic and

HR-like cell death response in maize inbreds that recognize *P. syringae* pv. *tomato*

DC3000 is strongly correlated with the production and accumulation of ROS.

Though chlorotic and cell death responses are considered defense responses in

grasses (Carter et al., 2019; Smith and Mansfield, 1981; Yin and Hulbert, 2010), it was

unclear whether the *P. syringae* pv. *tomato* DC3000-mediated defense responses in

maize were correlated with increased defense gene expression. We, therefore, used

reverse transcription-quantitative polymerase chain reaction (RT-qPCR) to measure

mRNA abundance of a subset of defense-related maize genes in both non-responding

(B73) and a responding (Mo18W) maize inbred lines at 12- and 24-hours post-

Pseudomonas infiltration. In our analysis, we selected a subset of defense-related

maize genes whose transcriptional activation is associated with salicylic acid signaling

and which have been previously shown to be up-regulated during maize-pathogen

interactions (Przybylska et al., 2018; Shumilaket al., 2023). Consistent with the

phenotypic responses to *P. syringae* pv. *tomato* DC3000, transcript expression of the

selected defense genes was significantly upregulated in Mo18W when compared to B73

at 12- and 24-hours following *Pseudomonas* infiltration (Figure 3). Collectively, these

data clearly demonstrate *P. syringae* pv. *tomato* DC3000 activates robust defense

responses, including hydrogen peroxide accumulation as well as up-regulation of

defense-related genes, in diverse maize inbreds.

<u>DISCUSSION</u>

Our work presented here is, to the best of our knowledge, the first to show that *P.*

syringae pv. *tomato* DC3000 elicits HR-like cell death in some maize inbreds.

Consistent with our observations, this bacterial pathogen also induces defense

responses in both *Nicotiana benthamiana* and soybean via the recognition of the

HopQ1-1 and AvrD effectors, respectively (Kobayashi et al., 1989; Wei et al., 2007).

Though our work shows that maize recognizes *P. syringae* pv. *tomato* DC3000, it

remains unknown which *Pseudomonas* effectors are specifically recognized. To this

end, Ruiz-Bedoya and colleagues (2023) recently generated thirty-six coisogenic

Pseudomonas strains, each expressing a single *Pseudomonas* effector in the

effectorless *P. syringae* DC3000(D36E) mutant. Hence, future research efforts will focus

on infiltrating the library of *P. syringae* DC3000(D36E) coisogenic strains in maize to

determine which bacterial effectors are specifically recognized (Ruiz-Bedoya et al.,

2023). Such knowledge will be valuable in determining the molecular and cellular

mechanisms underlying nucleotide-binding leucine-rich repeat immune receptors (NLR)-

dependent recognition of *P. syringae* pv. *tomato* DC3000 effectors (Prigozhin et al.,

2022; Thatcher et al., 2023).

The observation that *P. syringae* pv. *tomato* DC3000 elicits defense responses in

maize suggests this bacterial pathogen can be utilized as a surrogate for expression of

crop pathogen effectors in maize. Indeed, the bacterial type III secretion system from *P.*

syringae pv. *tomato* DC3000 has been effectively used to deliver candidate effector

proteins directly inside host cells to ascertain effector functions (Fabro et al., 2011; Qi et

al., 2018; Ramachandran et al., 2017; Sohn et al., 2007). Development of a *P. syringae*

pv. *tomato* DC3000-based heterologous expression system in maize will thus likely

facilitate the functional screening of effector proteins from obligate biotrophic pathogens

of maize. For example, effector proteins from the maize fungal pathogens *Ustilago*

maydis and *Puccinia polyspora* suppress PAMP-elicited reactive oxygen species

production (Chen et al., 2022; Navarrete et al., 2021; Saado et al., 2022). However, it

remains unknown whether these crop pathogen effectors are capable of suppressing *P.*

syringae pv. *tomato* DC3000-mediated defense responses in maize.

In summary, we show that *P. syringae* pv. *tomato* DC3000, but not the

effectorless mutant, induces robust defense responses in some maize inbreds. Though

it is unclear which *P. syringae* pv. *tomato* DC3000 effectors are recognized, our data

nevertheless suggests maize likely encodes disease resistance proteins that recognize

the activities of one or more *Pseudomonas* effectors. Future research efforts will, in

part, focus on fine mapping the genetic loci responsible for *P. syringae* pv. *tomato*

DC3000 recognition.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank the North Central Regional Plant Introduction Station for providing

seed of the maize inbred lines and Dr. Terri Cameron (USDA-ARS) for their technical

assistance and maintenance of maize germplasm.

LITERATURE CITED

Abramovitch, R.B., Kim, Y.J., Chen, S., Dickman, M.B., and Martin, G.B. 2003.
Pseudomonas type III effector AvrPtoB induces plant disease susceptibility by
inhibition of host programmed cell death. EMBO J. 22: 60–69.

Bach-Pages, M., and Preston, G. 2017. Methods to quantify biotic-induced stress in
plants. Methods Mol Biol. 1734: 241-255.

Benson, J., Poland, J., Benson, B., Stromberg, E., and Nelson, R. 2015. Resistance
to Gray Leaf Spot of Maize: Genetic Archtecture and Mechansisms Elucidated
through Nested Association Mapping and Near-Isogenic Line Analysis. PLoS
Genet 11: e1005045.

**Bentham, A., De la Concepcion, J., Mukhi, N., Zdrzalek, R., Draeger, M., Gorenkin,
D., Hughes, R., and Banfield, M.** 2020. A molecular roadmap to the plant
immune system. J Biol Chem. 295: 14916-14935.

Boller, T., and Felix, G. 2009. A renaissance of elicitors: perception of microbe
associated molecular patterns and danger signals by pattern-recognition
receptors. Annu. Rev. Plant Biol. 60: 379–406.

**Carter, M.E., Helm, M., Chapman, A.V.E., Wan, E., Restrepo-Sierra, A.M., Innes,
R.W., Bogdanove, A.J., and Wise, R.P.** 2019. Convergent evolution of effector
protease recognition by *Arabidopsis* and barley. Mol Plant-Microbe Interact. 32:
550-565.

Chakravarthy, S., Worley, J.N., Rodriguez-Montes, A., and Collmer, A. 2018.

Pseudomonas syringae pv. *tomato* DC3000 polymutants deploying coronatine

and two type III effectors produce quantifiable chlorotic spots from individual

bacterial colonies in *Nicotiana benthamiana* leaves. Mol Plant Pathol 19: 935-

947.

Chen, G., Zhang, B., Ding, J., Wang, H., Deng, C., Wang, J., Yang, Q., Pi, Q.,

Zhang, R., Zhai, H., Dong, J., Huang, J., Hou, J., Wu, J., Que, J., Zhang, F.,

Li, W., Min, H., Tabor, G., Li, B., Liu, X., Zhao, J., Yan, J., and Lai, Z. 2022.

Cloning southern rust resistant gene RppK and its cognate gene AvrRppK from

Puccinia polyspora. Nat. Commun. 13, 4392.

Chen, J., Zhang, X., Rathjen, J.P., and Dodds, P.N. 2022. Direct recognition of

pathogen effectors by plant NLR immune receptors and downstream signaling.

Essays Biochem 66: 471-483.

Coll, N.S., Epple, P., and Dangl, J.L. 2011. Programmed cell death in the plant

immune system. Cell Death Differ. 18: 1247-1256.

Fabro, G., Steinbrenner, J., Coates, M., Ishaque, N., Baxter, L., Studholme, D.J.,

Körner, E., Allen, R.L., Piquerez, S.J.M., Rougon-Cardoso, A., Greenshields,

D., Lei, R., Badel, J.L., Caillaud, M.-C., Sohn, K.-H., Van den Ackerveken, G.,

Parker, J.E., Beynon, J., and Jones, J.D.G. 2011. Multiple candidate effectors

from the oomycete pathogen *Hyaloperonospora Arabidopsis* suppress host plant

immunity. PLOS Pathog 7: e1002348.

Ferrante, P., Clarke, C.R., Cavanaugh, K.A., Michelmore, R.W., Buonaurio, R., and

Vinatzer, B.A. 2009. Contributions of the effector gene hopQ1-1 to differences in

host range between *Pseudomonas syringae pv. phaseolicola* and *P. syringae pv.*

tabaci. Mol Plant Pathol 10: 837–842.

Gage, J.L., Monier, B., Giri, A., and Buckler, E.S. 2020. Ten Years of the Maize

Nested Association Mapping Population: Impact, Limitations, and Future

Directions. Plant Cell. 32: 2083–2093.

Giska, F., Lichocka, M., Piechocki, M., Dadlez, M., Schmelzer, E., Hennig, J., and

Krzymowska, M. 2013. Phosphorylation of HopQ1, a Type III Effector from

Pseudomonas syringae, creates a binding site for host 14-3-3 proteins. Plant

Physiol 161: 2049–2061.

Guo, M., Tian, F., Wamboldt, Y., and Alfano, J.R. 2009. The majority of the type III

effector inventory of *Pseudomonas syringae pv. tomato* DC3000 can suppress

plant immunity. Mol. Plant–Microbe Interact. 22: 1069–1080.

Jamir, Y., Guo, M., Oh, H.-S., Petnicki-Ocwieja, T., Chen, S., Tang, X., Dickman,

M.B., Collmer, A., and Alfano, J.R. 2004. Identification of *Pseudomonas*

syringae type III effectors that can suppress programmed cell death in plants and

yeast. Plant J. 37: 554–565.

Kobayashi, D., Tamaki, S., and Keen, N. 1989. Cloned avirulence genes from the

tomato pathogen Pseudomonas syringae pv. tomato confer cultivar specificity on

soybean. Proc Natl Acad USA 86: 157-161.

Kump, K., Bradbury, P., Wisser, R., Buckler, E., Belcher, A., Oropeza-Rosas, M.,

Zwonitzer, J., Kresovich, S., McMullen, M., Ware, D., Balint-Kurti, P., and

Holland, J. 2011. Genome-wide association study of quantitative resistance to

southern leaf blight in the maize nested association mapping population. Nat

Genet. 43: 163-168.

Kvitko, B.H., Park, D.H., Velasquez, A.C., Wei, C.-F., Russell, A.B., Martin, G.B.,

Schneider, D.J. and Collmer, A. 2009. Deletions in the repertoire of

Pseudomonas syringae pv. tomato DC3000 type III secretion effector genes

reveal functional overlap among effectors. PLoS Pathog. 5: e1000388.

Li, G., Froehlich, J., Elowsky, C., Msanne, J., Ostosh, A., Zhang, C., Awada, T., and

Alfano, J. 2014. Distinct Pseudomonas type-III effectors use a cleavable transit

peptide to target chloroplast. Plant J 77:310-321.

Li, W., Yadeta, K.A., Elmore, J.M., and Coaker, G. 2013. The *Pseudomonas* effector

HopQ1 promotes bacterial virulence and interacts with tomato 14-3-3 proteins in

a phosphorylation dependent manner. Plant Physiol 161: 2062–2074.

Livak, K.J., and Schmittgen, T.D. 2001. Analysis of relative gene expression data

using real-time quantitative PCR and the 2(-delta delta C(T)) method. Methods

25: 402-408.

Lovelace, A., Dorhmi, S., Hulin, M., Li, Y., Mansfield, J., and Ma, W. 2023. Effector

identification in plant pathogens. Phytopathology 113: 637-650.

Lozano-Duran, R., Bourdais, G., He, S.Y., and Robatzek, S. 2014. The bacterial

effector HopM1 suppresses PAMP-triggered oxidative burst and stomatal

immunity. New Phytol. 202: 259–269.

Martin, G.B. 2012. Suppression and activation of the plant immune system by

Pseudomonas syringae effectors AvrPto and AvrPtoB. In: Effectors in Plant–

Microbe Interactions (eds F. Martin and S. Kamoun), 123–154 (Wiley-Blackwell,

2011).

Navarrete, F., Grujic, N., Stirnberg, A., Saado, I., Aleksza, D., Gallei, M., Adi, H.,

Alcantara, A., Khan, M., Bindics, J., Trujillo, M., and Djamei, A. 2021. The

Pleiades are a cluster of fungal effectors that inhibit host defenses. PLoS Pathog

17: e1009641

Ngou, B.P.M., Ding, P., and Jones, J.D.G. 2022. Thirty years of resistance: Zig-zag

through the plant immune system. Plant Cell 34:1447-1478.

Poland, J., Bradbury, P., Buckler, E., and Nelson, R. 2011. Genome-wide nested

association mapping of quantitative resistance to northern leaf blight in maize.

Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 108: 6893-6898.

Prigozhin, D., Rangavajjhala, S., and Krasileva, K. 2022. Majority of the highly

variable NLRs in maize share genomic location and contain additional target-

binding domains. bioRxiv DOI: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.10.05.510735

Przybylska, A., Kornobis, F., and Obrepalska-Steplowska, A. 2018. Analysis of

defense gene expression changes in susceptible and tolerante cultivars of maize

(Zea mays) upon Meloidogyne arenaria infection. Physiol Mol Plant Pathol 103:

78-83.

Qi, M., Grayczyk, J.P., Seitz, J.M., Lee, Y., Link, T.I., Choi, D., Pedley, K.F.,

Voegele, R.T., Baum, T.J., and Whitham, S.A. 2018. Suppression or activation

of immune responses by predicted secreted proteins of the soybean rust

pathogen *Phakopsora pachyrhizi*. Mol Plant-Microbe Interact 31: 163-174.

Ramachandran, S.R., Yin, C., Kud, J., Tanaka, K., Mahoney, A.K., Xiao, F., and

Hulbert, S.H. 2017. Effectors from wheat rust fungi suppress multiple plant

defense responses. Phytopathology 107: 75-83.

Ruiz-Bedoya, T., Wang, P., Desveaux, D., and Guttman, D. 2023. Cooperative

virulence via the collective action of secreted pathogen effectors. Nat Microbiol 8:

640-650.

Saado, I., Chia, K-S., Betz, R., Alcantara, A., Pettko-Szandtner, A., Navarrete, F.,

D'Auria, J., Kolomiets, M., Melzer, M., Feussner, I., and Djamei, A. 2022.

Effector-mediated relocalization of a maize lipoxygenase protein triggers

susceptibility to Ustilago maydis. Plant Cell 34: 2785-2805.

Shumilak, A., El-Shetehy, M., Soliman, A., Tambong, J., and Daayf, F. 2023. Goss's

wilt resistance in corn is mediated via salicylic acid and programmed cell death

but not jasmonic acid pathways. Plants 12: 1475.

Singh, R., Shim, S., Telenko, D.E.P., and Goodwin, S. 2023. Parental inbred lines of

the Nested Association Mapping (NAM) population of corn show sources of

resistance to tar spot in Northern Indiana. Plant Dis. 107: 262-266.

Smith, J.J., and Mansfield, J.W. 1981. Interactions between pseudomonads and

leaves of oats, wheat and barley. Physiol. Plant Pathol. 18: 345-IN317.

Sohn, K.H., Lei, R., Nemri, A., and Jones, J.D.G. 2007. The downy mildew effector

proteins ATR1 and ATR13 promote disease susceptibility in *Arabidopsis thaliana*.

Plant Cell 19: 4077.

Thatcher, S., Jung, M., Panangipalli, G., Fengler, K., Sanyal, A., Li, B., Llaca, V.,

and Habben, J. 2023. The NLRomes of Zea mays NAM founder lines and Zea

luxurians display presence-absence variation, integrated domain diversity, and

mobility. Mol Plant Pathol 24: 742-757.

Wei, C-F., Kvitko, B.H., Shimizu, R., Crabill, E., Alfano, J.R., Lin, N.-C., Martin,

G.B., Huang, H.-C., and Collmer, A. 2007. A *Pseudomonas syringae pv. tomato*

DC3000 mutant lacking the type III effector HopQ1-1 is able to cause disease in

the model plant *Nicotiana benthamiana*. Plant J. 51: 32–46.

Wei, H.-L., Chakravarthy, S., Mathieu, J., Helmann, T.C., Stodghill, P., Swingle, B.,

Martin, G.B. and Collmer, A. 2015. *Pseudomonas syringae pv. tomato* DC3000

type III secretion effector polymutants reveal an interplay between HopAD1 and

AvrPtoB. Cell Host Microbe 17: 752–762.

Wei, H-L., and Collmer, A. 2018. Defining essential processes in plant pathogenesis

with *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *tomato* DC3000 disarmed polymutants and a

subset of key type III effectors. Mol Plant Pathol 19: 1779-1794.

Xin, X-F., and He, S.Y. 2013. Pseudomonas syringae pv. tomato DC3000: a model

pathogen for probing disease susceptibility and hormone signaling in plants.

Annu Rev Phytopathol 51: 473-498.

Yin, C., and Hulbert, S. 2010. Prospects for functional analysis of effectors from cereal

rust fungi. Euphytica 179: 57-67.

FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1. *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *tomato* DC3000 induces defense responses

in maize. A) Schematic diagram of the *Pseudomonas* HR assay. The *P. syringae* pv.

tomato DC3000 and *P. syringae* DC3000(D36E) strains were resuspended in 10mM

$MgCl_2$. The bacterial suspensions were adjusted to an optical density at 600nm (OD_{600})

of 0.5 and syringe-infiltrated into the underside surface of the second leaf (L2) of two-

week old maize seedlings. The infiltrated regions were marked with a black permanent

marker. **B)** Responses of the maize inbred lines to either *P. syringae* pv. *tomato*

DC3000 or *P. syringae* DC3000(D36E) were phenotyped five days following infiltration.

Responses were scored as N, no observable response; WC, weak chlorosis; C,

chlorosis with cell death; and HR, hypersensitive reaction. Wheat cv. Fielder inoculated

with either *P. syringae* pv. *tomato* DC3000 or *P. syringae* DC3000(D36E) was used as a

control (Carter et al., 2019; Ramachandran et al., 2017).

Table 1. Responses of the twenty-six inbred maize lines of the nested association

mapping (NAM) to *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *tomato* DC3000. Accession numbers,

origins, and market class were mined from the Maize Genetics and Genomics

Database.

Figure 2: Maize lines accumulated detectable hydrogen peroxide in response to

***P. syringae* pv. *tomato* DC3000.** Representative maize inbreds assayed and

Pseudomonas treatments were as in Figure 1B. Three days following *Pseudomonas*

infiltration, leaf segments were harvested from the infiltrated regions and stained

overnight with 3,3'-diaminobenzidine (DAB) solution. After overnight incubation, the leaf

tissue was rinsed with deionized water, cleared with ethanol to remove the chlorophyll,

and stored in ultrapure water (supplemented with 20% glycerol) for photography. Wheat

cv. Fielder inoculated with either *P. syringae* pv. *tomato* DC3000 or *P. syringae*

DC3000(D36E) was used as a control.

Figure 3: *P. syringae* pv. *tomato* DC3000 significantly increases transcript

expression of maize defense genes. Reverse transcription-quantitative polymerase

chain reaction (RT-qPCR) expression analyses of selected maize defense genes:

Pathogenesis-related 3 (ZmPR3), Pathogenesis-related 5 (ZmPR5), Phenylalanine

Ammonia Lyase (ZmPAL), and peroxidase in response to *P. syringae* pv. *tomato*

DC3000. Two-week old maize leaves (cvs. B73 [non-responder] and Mo18W

[responder]) were infiltrated with *P. syringae* pv. *tomato* DC3000. The infiltrated leaf

tissue was harvested 12- and 24-hours post-*Pseudomonas* infiltration, flash frozen in

liquid nitrogen, and stored at -80°C until processing. Total RNA extraction followed by

cDNA preparation and expression of defense genes was quantified by RT-qPCR.

Values represent mean ± S.D. (n = 3) from three biological replicates and three technical

replicates in each experiment. The maize GAPDH gene was used as an internal

reference gene. The gene expression in B73 plants at 12 hours after *P. syringae* pv.

tomato DC3000 infiltration was set to 1. Multiple comparisons were conducted by two-

way ANOVA followed by the Šídák's method. One asterisk (*) indicates $P<0.05$, two (**)

$P<0.01$, three (***) $P<0.001$, and four (****) $P<0.0001$.

Figure 1

A

B

512 **Table 1**

Line[a]	Accession	Origin	Market Class	Response[b]
CML52	PI 595561	Ciudad de Mexico, Mexico	Yellow flint	HR
CML69	PI 690318	Ciudad de Mexico, Mexico	Yellow dent	HR
CML247	PI 595541	Ciudad de Mexico, Mexico	White dent	HR
CML333	PI 690322	Ciudad de Mexico, Mexico	White flint	HR
HP301	PI 587131	Indiana, United States	Popcorn	HR
M162W	PI 690328	KwaZulu-Natal, South Africa		HR
Mo18W	PI 550441	Missouri, United States	White dent	HR
Ms71	PI 587137	Michigan, United States		HR
IL14H	PI 690323	Illinois, United States	Sweetcorn	HR
CML277	PI 595550	Ciudad de Mexico, Mexico	White flint	C
Ky21	PI 690326	Kentucky, United States	White dent	C
P39	PI 587133	Indiana, United States	Sweetcorn	WC
M37W	PI 690327	KwaZulu-Natal, South Africa		WC
B73	PI 550473	Iowa, United States	Yellow dent	N
B97	PI 564682	Iowa, United States	Yellow dent	N
CML103	PI 690319	Ciudad de Mexico, Mexico	White dent	N
CML228	PI 690320	Ciudad de Mexico, Mexico	Yellow flint	N
CML322	PI 690321	Ciudad de Mexico, Mexico	White flint	N
Ki3	PI 690324	Thailand	Yellow flint	N
Ki11	PI 690325	Thailand		N
NC350	PI 690329	North Carolina, United States		N
Oh7B	PI 690331	Ohio, United States		N
Oh43	PI 690332	Ohio, United States	Yellow dent	N
Tx303	PI 690334	Texas, United States	Yellow dent	N
Tzi8	PI 506246	Oyo, Nigeria	White flint	N
NC358	PI 690330	North Carolina, United States		N

a   Parental inbred lines from the maize Nested Association Mapping (NAM) population.
b   Maize leaves (L2) of 14-day-old plants were infiltrated, using a needleless syringe, with a *P. syringae pv. tomato* DC3000 suspension at an optical density at 600 nm (OD_{600}) of 0.5 and photographed 5 days post-infiltration (5dpi). Phenotypes were scored as: N, no ressponse; WC, weak chlorosis; C, chlorosis; and HR, hypersensitive reaction.

524   **Figure 2**

530 **Figure 3**

ZmPR3

ZmPR5

ZmPAL

Peroxidase

531