Role of desmoplakin in supporting neuronal activity, neurogenic processes, and

emotional-related behaviors in the dentate gyrus

Keisuke Otsubo[1,3], Naoko Sakashita[1,3], Yuki Nishimoto[1,3], Yo Sato[1], Takehisa Tsutsui[1],

Katsunori Kobayashi[2], Kanzo Suzuki[1], Eri Segi-Nishida[1,*]

[1]Department of Biological Science and Technology, Faculty of Advanced Engineering,

Tokyo University of Science, Tokyo, Japan

[2]Department of Pharmacology, Graduate School of Medicine, Nippon Medical School,

Tokyo, Japan

[3] These authors equally contributed to this work

*Correspondence:

Eri Segi-Nishida,

6-3-1 Niijuku, Katsushika-ku, Tokyo, Japan, 125-8585

Email: eri.segi.nishida@rs.tus.ac.jp

Running title: Role of desmoplakin in DG

Keywords: desmoplakin, dentate gyrus, neurogenesis, anxiety, knockdown

Abstract

Desmoplakin (Dsp) is a component of desmosomal cell-cell junctions that interacts with the cadherin complex and cytoskeletal intermediate filaments. In addition to its function as an adhesion component, Dsp is involved in various biological processes, such as gene expression, differentiation, and migration. Dsp is specifically expressed in the hippocampal dentate gyrus (DG) in the central nervous system. However, it is unclear how Dsp impacts hippocampal function and its related behaviors. Using an adeno-associated virus knockdown system in mice, we provide evidence that Dsp in the DG maintains hippocampal functions, including neuronal activity and adult neurogenesis, and contributes to anxiolytic-like effects. Dsp protein is mostly localized in mature granule cells in the adult DG. Dsp knockdown in the DG resulted in a lowered expression of an activity-dependent transcription factor FosB, and an increased expression of mature neuronal markers, such as calbindin. In addition, the suppression of Dsp decreases serotonin responsiveness at the DG output mossy fiber synapses and alters adult neurogenic processes in the subgranular zone of the DG. Moreover, DG-specific Dsp knockdown mice showed an increase in anxiety-like behaviors. Taken together, this research uncovers an unexplored function for Dsp in the central nervous system and suggests that Dsp in the DG may function as a regulator to maintain proper neuronal activation and adult neurogenesis, and contribute to the adaptation of emotion-related behavior.

Introduction

Desmosomes are adhesive intercellular junctions that provide strong adhesion between cells and are abundant in tissues exposed to mechanical stress, such as the heart and skin. Mutations in these factors cause skin and heart vulnerability (Kowalczyk and Green, 2013). Desmosomal components comprise transmembrane cadherins, such as desmoglein and desmocollin, and intracellular proteins, such as plakoglobin, plakophilin, and desmoplakin (Dsp) (Garrod and Chidgrey, 2008; Broussard et al., 2015). Dsp is a family of plakin proteins that interacts with the cadherin/plakoglobin/plakophilin complex through the N-terminal domain and with an intermediate filament cytoskeleton through the C-terminal domain (Delva et al., 2009). In addition to mediating cell-to-cell adhesion, desmosomes function as cell signaling regulators involved in cell proliferation and differentiation (Johnson et al. 2014). In particular, Dsp is involved in processes such as gene expression, differentiation, and microtubule dynamics, indicating its diverse biological functions in addition to its function as an adhesion component (Bendrick et al., 2019; Dubash et al., 2016; Lombardi et al., 2016; Patel et al., 2014).

In the central nervous system, Dsp is specifically expressed in the hippocampal dentate gyrus (DG) in the brain (Yamasaki et al., 2008). The DG gates the flow of neocortical information into the hippocampal CA3 region and is one of the few areas of the mammalian brain where adult neurogenesis occurs (Drew et al., 2013; Zhao et al., 2008). It has been reported that Dsp is characterized as a molecular maker for neuronal maturation in the DG of hippocampus (Kobayashi et al., 2010). The expression level of *Dsp* in the DG increases with postnatal development, similarly to the patterns of other DG maturation markers, calbindin and tryptophan-2,3-dioxygenase 2 (Tdo2). Dsp transcription is directly regulated by Bcl11b, a transcription

factor that plays an important role in postnatal development of the DG (Simon et al.,

2012). Forebrain neuron-specific knockout of Dsp in Emx1-Cre mice impairs

progenitor proliferation and neuronal differentiation at 14 days postnatal age, indicating

that Dsp contributes to the development of dentate granule neurons (Simon et al., 2012).

However, whether Dsp regulates the function of dentate mature granule neurons and

affects the process of adult neurogenesis in the DG remain unclear.

The hippocampus is a limbic structure implicated in the regulation of stress and

pathophysiology/treatment of anxiety and depression (Segi-Nishida and Suzuki, 2022).

We have previously demonstrated that long-term antidepressant treatment suppresses

the expression of *Dsp* in the DG (Kobayashi et al., 2010; Imoto et al., 2017), indicating

that Dsp plays a key role in emotion-related behaviors in the DG. Nevertheless, whether

Dsp in the DG during adulthood is involved in emotion-related behaviors such as

depression and anxiety is unclear.

In this study, we investigated the effect of Dsp knockdown in the DG on

neuronal activation, adult hippocampal neurogenesis, and emotion-related behaviors

using an adeno-associated virus (AAV) knockdown system in mice. We found that Dsp

in the adult hippocampal DG maintains the function of dentate granule neurons,

regulates neuronal differentiation during adult neurogenesis, and behaviorally

contributes to anxiolytic-like effects.

Materials and Methods

Experimental animals

Seven-to-eight-week-old male C57BL/6N mice (23–25 g) were purchased from Japan SLC (RRID5295404; Hamamatsu, Japan). The mice were housed in groups of six per cage (32 cm length × 11 cm width × 13.5 cm height). All the mice were housed under standard conditions (temperature: 24 ± 2 °C, humidity: 55% ± 5%) with a 12 h light/dark cycle and *ad libitum* access to water and food (MR standard, Nosan Corporation, Yokohama, Japan). The weight gain of each mouse was recorded during the experiments to monitor their physical condition. All mice were habituated for longer than one week before the experimental procedures were performed. A total of 132 mice were used in this study (control animals, n=14; ECS-treated animals, n=6; fluoxetine-treated animals, n=7; AAV control miRNA infected animals, n=52; AAV Dsp miRNA infected animals, n=53). Animal use and procedures were conducted in according the Tokyo University of Science regulations on animal care and use in research and were approved by the Animal Care and Use Committee of Tokyo University of Science (approval numbers K20009, K21007, and K22007).

AAV preparation and hippocampal administration

AAVs (serotype rh10) expressing artificial microRNAs (miRNAs) targeting Dsp or control miRNAs with Emerald Green Fluorescent Protein (EmGFP) under the EF1α promoter were generated as follows: We designed the sequences for artificial microRNAs for Dsp according to the BLOCK-iT RNAi Designer website (Thermo Fisher Scientific). First, the DNA fragment encoding miRNA for *Dsp* (5'-TGC TGT TGA GAT GGA CAT CAA TGC ACG TTT TGG CCA CTG ACT GAC GTG CAT TGG TCC ATC TCA A-3' and 5'-CCT GTT GAG ATG GAC CAA TGC ACG TCA

GTC AGT GGC CAA AAC GTG CAT TGA TGT CCA TCT CAA C-3') was subcloned

into pcDNA6.2-GW-EmGFP-miR using BLOCK-iT Pol II miR RNAi Expression

Vector Kit (Invitrogen). The EmGFP and Dsp miRNA fragments were amplified by

PCR from this vector and inserted between the KpnI and EcoRV sites of the pAAV-

EF1α-DIO EYFP vector (#27056; Addgene). The resulting plasmid was named pAAV-

EF1α-EmGFP-miR-Dsp. The DNA fragment encoding control microRNA (5′-TGC

TGA AAT GTA CTG CGC GTG GAG ACG TTT TGG CCA CTG ACT GAC GTC

TCC ACG CAG TAC ATT T-3′ and 5′-CCT GAA ATG TAC TGC GTG GAG ACG

TCA GTC AGT GGC CAA AAC GTC TCC ACG CGC AGT ACA TTT C-3′), which

can form a hairpin structure processed into mature microRNA but is predicted not to

target any known vertebrate gene, was used to generate an AAV vector expressing

control microRNA. TheBLOCK-iT Pol II miR RNAi Expression Vector Kit was used

instead of those encoding Dsp miRNA. AAV particles were produced using the

previously described procedure with minor modifications (Shinohara et al., 2018).

Briefly, the AAVrh10 expression plasmid (Addgene #112866), pHelper vector

(#240071, Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA), and the constructed AAV-vector

plasmid were transfected into AAV-293 cells (#240073, Agilent) using Lipofectamine

2000 (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Transfected AAV-293 cells were collected 72 h after

transfection and resuspended in artificial cerebrospinal fluid. The cell suspensions were

freeze-thawed three times. Cell debris was eliminated by centrifugation at $10,000 \times g$

for 10 min and the supernatant containing the virus was collected. The viral suspension

was incubated with benzonase (Merck Millipore, Burlington, MA, USA) to degrade

residual DNA. The prepared AAV solution was aliquoted and stored at -80 °C. The

AAV titer was quantified using PCR (5′-TGA GTC ACC CAC ACA AAG GA-3′ and

5′-CCA AGC TGG CCT AAC TTC AG-3′) after proteinase K treatment (Merck

Millipore). Under anesthesia with a mixture of medetomidine (0.3 mg/kg, ZENOAQ,

Japan), midazolam (4 mg/kg, Sandoz, Japan), and butorphanol (5 mg/kg, Meiji, Japan),

the mouse brain was fixed with stereotaxic instruments (Narishige, Tokyo, Japan). An

AAV solution of 500 nL per injection site (5.0×10^8 copies) was bilaterally injected

into the DG using a PV-820 Pneumatic PicoPump (World Precision Instruments,

Hessen, Germany) through a glass micropipette made with a PN-30 micropipette puller

(Narishige). The stereotaxic coordinates were targeted to the ventral DG: 3.2 mm

posterior to the bregma, 2.7 mm lateral to the midline, and 3.0 mm ventral to the skull

surface at the bregma, according to the mouse brain atlas (Paxinos and Franklin, 2012).

Data were excluded from the analysis if fluorescence was not observed in the DG.

Electroconvulsive stimulation and drug treatment

Bilateral ECS (current, 25–35 mA; shock duration, 1 sec; frequency, 100 pulse/sec;

pulse width, 0.5 msec) was administered with a pulse generator (ECT Unit; Ugo Basile,

VA, Italy) to mice as described previously (Imoto et al., 2017). ECS was administered

4 times a week. Fluoxetine hydrochloride (LKT Labs, St. Paul, MN, USA) was

dissolved in drinking water and orally applied at a dose of 22 mg/kg/day for 4 weeks

as described previously (Kobayashi et al., 2010).

RNA extraction and real-time PCR

Seven to eight weeks after AAV infection, the mice were decapitated under deep

anesthesia, coronal brain slices (1 mm) were cut using a tissue slicer, and the DG of the

hippocampus was dissected. Total RNA was extracted using the Reliaprep RNA Cell

Miniprep System (Promega, Madison, WI, USA) and reverse transcribed using

ReverTra Ace (Toyobo, Osaka, Japan). Subsequent, real-time PCR was performed

using the StepOne system (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA) and Thunderbird

SYBR qPCR mix (Toyobo). The expression levels of each gene were quantified using

standardized external dilutions. The relative expression levels of the target genes were

normalized to those of 18S rRNA or *Gapdh*. The specificity of each primer set was

confirmed by melt-curve analysis, and the product size was examined using gel

electrophoresis. Table 1 summarizes the primer sequences for each gene.

Electrophysiological analysis

Mice were decapitated under deep anesthesia 7–8 weeks after AAV infection, and both

hippocampi were isolated. Transverse hippocampal slices (380 μm) were cut in ice-cold

saline using a tissue slicer (7000smz, Campden Instruments Ltd., Leics, UK). The slices

were then incubated for 30 min at 30 °C and maintained in a humidified interface-

holding chamber at room temperature before use. Intense GFP fluorescence in the DG

and the mossy fiber (MF) tract was confirmed, and electrophysiological recordings

were performed as described (Kobayashi et al., 2010, 2020). Electrophysiological

recordings were made in a submersion-type chamber maintained at 27.0–27.5 °C and

superfused at 2 mL/min with recording saline composed of (in mM): NaCl, 125; KCl,

2.5; NaH_2PO_4, 1.0; $NaHCO_3$, 26.2; glucose, 11; $CaCl_2$, 2.5; $MgCl_2$, 1.3 (equilibrated

with 95% O_2 / 5% CO_2). For recording field excitatory postsynaptic potentials (EPSPs)

arising from the medial perforant path (MPP)-granule cell synapse, a glass recording

pipette filled with 2 M NaCl and bipolar tungsten stimulating electrodes were placed in

the middle third of the molecular layer in the dentate gyrus. The input output

relationship at the MPP input was examined by changing the stimulating intensity from

1.5 to 6 V. The initial slope of the EPSPs was measured. Field EPSPs arising from the

MF synapses were evoked by stimulating the dentate granule cell layer and were

recorded from the stratum lucidum of CA3. The amplitude of field EPSPs was

measured with a 0.5-ms window positioned at 70–80% of the peak of the baseline field

EPSPs. More than 85% block of EPSP by an agonist of group II metabotropic glutamate

receptors (2S,2'R,3'R)-2-(2',3'-dicarboxycyclopropyl) glycine (DCG-IV, 1 µM) was the

criterion used to identify MF input. A single electrical stimulation was delivered at a

frequency of 0.05 Hz. All recordings were performed using a Multiclamp 700 B

amplifier (Molecular Devices, Sunnyvale, CA, USA), filtered at 2 kHz, and stored on

a personal computer via an interface (digitized at 10 kHz). Data were obtained from

distinct samples. Serotonin hydrochloride was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich.

Sample isolation for immunohistochemistry

Five'-bromo-2'-deoxyuridine (BrdU, 150 mg/kg, Nacalai Tesque, Japan) was

intraperitoneally administered to mice once 2 h before sacrifice to evaluate cell

proliferation to label the dividing cells, or four times on 14 and 15 days (twice a day)

before sacrifice to evaluate cell survival.

For Dsp immunostaining, the mice were deeply anesthetized and transcardially

perfused with cold saline. The brains were then stored at -80°C until further use. Serial

fresh frozen sections (18 µm thick) were cut through the entire hippocampus using a

cryostat (Leica 1510, Leica Microsystems, Wetzlar, Germany) and stored at -80°C. For

other immunostaining procedures, mice were deeply anesthetized and perfused with

cold saline followed by 4% paraformaldehyde (Sigma-Aldrich) in 0.1 M phosphate

buffer (pH 7.4) and were post-fixed at 4 °C for 48 h. The brains were then cryoprotected

in 20% sucrose for 48 h and in 30% sucrose for 24 h and stored at -80°C until further

use. Serial sections (30 µm thick) were cut through the entire hippocampus using a

cryostat and stored in 30% glycerol and 30% ethylene glycol in 0.02 M phosphate

buffer (pH 7.4) at -20 °C until staining.

Immunohistochemistry

For Dsp/NeuN or Dsp/NeuroD1 immunostaining, fresh frozen sections were fixed with

100% methanol for 20 min at 4 °C. After fixation, the sections were washed with

phosphate-buffered saline (PBS), blocked using 10% equine serum in PBS, and

incubated with monoclonal mouse anti-Dsp (1:50; Progen, 61003, RRID:AB_2920666)

and rabbit anti-NeuN (1:500, Abcam, ab177487, RRID:AB_2532109) or rabbit anti-

NeuroD1 (1:500; Abcam, ab213725, RRID:AB_2801303) at 4 °C overnight. After

washing with PBS, the sections were incubated with donkey anti-mouse IgG antibody

conjugated to Alexa Fluor 488 (1:300; Thermo Fisher Scientific, RRID:AB_141607)

and donkey anti-rabbit IgG antibody conjugated to Alexa Fluor 555 (1:1000; Thermo

Fisher Scientific, RRID:AB_162543) for 60 min. The sections were then incubated with

4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI; 1:5,000; Merck Millipore) and covered with

Mowiol (Merck Millipore) after washing with PBS. Confocal images were taken using

Olympus FV3000. Single confocal images were shown for localization of Dsp. Anti-

Dsp and rabbit anti-mouse IgG antibody conjugated to Alexa 555 (1:300; Thermo

Fisher Scientific, RRID:AB_2535848) were used to confirm Dsp knockdown.

For FosB, NeuroD1, SOX2, and doublecortin (DCX) immunostaining, the 30

μm thick floating sections were washed with PBS and blocked using 10% equine serum

(SH30074; Cytiva) in PBS containing 0.3% Triton X-100 (PBST) at room temperature

for 60 min, followed by overnight incubation with rabbit anti-FosB (1:1000; Abcam,

ab184938, RRID: AB_2721123), goat anti-SOX2 (1:200; Santa Cruz, sc17320,

RRID:AB_2286684), rabbit anti-NeuroD1 (1:1000), rabbit anti-doublecortin (DCX)

(1:1000; Cell Signaling Technology, Danvers, MA, USA; RRID:AB_561007) at 4 °C. For BrdU or BrdU/NeuN immunostaining, the floating sections were incubated in 50% formamide in 2×saline sodium citrate buffer (SSC) for 2 h at 60 °C, followed by incubation in 2 M hydrogen chloride at 37 °C for 30 min, and neutralized with 0.1 M boric acid (pH 8.5) at room temperature for 10 min before blocking. After blocking, the sections were incubated overnight with monoclonal rat anti-BrdU (1:8000; Abcam, ab6326, RRID:AB_305426) and rabbit anti-NeuN (1:500) at 4°C. After washing with PBST, the sections were incubated with donkey anti-rabbit IgG antibody conjugated to Alexa Fluor 555 (1:1000 for NeuroD1 and FosB immunostaining; Thermo Fisher Scientific, RRID:AB_2535853) or conjugated to Alexa Fluor 647 (1:1000 for NeuN immunostaining; Thermo Fisher Scientific, RRID: RRID:AB_162542), donkey anti-goat IgG antibody conjugated to Alexa Fluor 555 (1:1000 for SOX2 immunostaining; Thermo Fisher Scientific, RRID:AB_141788) and donkey anti-rat IgG antibody conjugated to Alexa Fluor 555 (1:1000 for BrdU immunostaining; Abcam, RRID: AB_2813834) for 60 min. After washing with PBST, the sections were incubated with DAPI (1:10,000) and mounted on slides with Mowiol after washing with PBS. For DCX immunostaining, the sections were incubated with biotinylated goat anti-rabbit IgG (1:200; Vector Laboratories Inc., Burlingame, CA, USA; BA1000, RRID: AB_2313606) for 60 min. The sections were washed with PBST and incubated with the ABC Vectastain Kit (Vector), and antigen detection was performed with 0.06% 3,3'-diaminobenzidine (Wako) staining. After washing, the sections were mounted on slides using Entellan New (Merck Millipore). Sections were photographed under a microscope (BZX-700, Keyence, Osaka, Japan).

Quantification of BrdU-, SOX2-, NeuroD1-, DCX-, and FosB-positive cells

261 Computer-assisted image analysis (ImageJ, NIH, Bethesda, MD, USA; QuPath,

262 Bankhead et al., 2017) was used for cell analysis. For quantification of FosB-positive

263 cells, three hippocampal sections with EGFP-expressing DG were photographed. The

264 number of FosB-positive cells was counted and presented as the number of cells per

265 10,000 μm^2 area in the granule cell layer of the DG. For BrdU-labeled cell

266 quantification, 6–8 hippocampal sections with EGFP-expressing DG were

267 photographed. To evaluate cell proliferation, the number of BrdU-positive cells was

268 counted in the subgranular zone (SGZ) and presented as the number of cells per 1,000

269 μm along the SGZ. To evaluate cell survival, the number of BrdU-positive cells in the

270 granule cell layer of the DG was counted and presented as the number of cells per

271 100,000 μm^2 of the granule cell layer. To evaluate NeuN colocalization of BrdU (+)

272 cells, z-sectioning was used to determine if BrdU (+) cells were colabeled with NeuN.

273 At least 30 BrdU (+) cells per animals were analyzed for co-localization with NeuN.

274 For SOX2- or NeuroD-positive cell quantification, 3–4 hippocampal sections with

275 EGFP-expressing DG were photographed. The number of SOX2- or NeuroD1-positive

276 cells in the SGZ was counted and presented as the number of cells per 1,000 μm along

277 the SGZ. For DCX-positive cell quantification, 2–3 hippocampal sections with EGFP-

278 expressing DG were photographed. DCX-positive cells in which dendrites reached the

279 molecular layer and underwent complex processes were subcategorized as DCX-

280 positive cells with long and branched dendrites. The total number of DCX-positive and

281 DCX-positive cells with long dendrites was counted in the SGZ and presented as the

282 number of cells per 100 μm along the SGZ.

284 **Golgi staining**

Golgi staining was performed using a FD Rapid Golgi Stain Kit (PK401, FD Neuro

Technologies) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Briefly, mice were

sacrificed by decapitation at 24 h after the social interaction test, and the brains were

quickly collected in MilliQ water. The hippocampal part of the brain was dissected and

immersed in freshly prepared Golgi-Cox impregnation buffer included in the kit. The

impregnation buffer was refreshed after 24 h, and the brains were incubated for 2 weeks.

After cryoprotection with the cryoprotectant buffer included in the kit at 4°C for 1 week,

each brain was frozen and kept at 80°C and cut into 100-μm sections with a cryostat.

The sections were mounted on gelatin-coated slides, dried for 72 h, and stained

according to the manufacturer's instructions. The slides were dehydrated in ethanol,

cleared in xylene, and covered with Entellan New. The sections were photographed

under a microscope (BZX-700, Keyence, Osaka, Japan). The total number of spines in

dendrites of Golgi-impregnated granule neurons in the inner molecular layer in the DG

were counted. ImageJ was used to measure dendritic length. Analysis was performed

on 10 dendrites in per mouse (n=6 each group).

Behavioral experiments

The mice were habituated to the testing room for at least 30 min before the behavioral

test. During the test, the movement of each mouse was recorded using a digital video

camera. All records were stored and analyzed using the SMART 3.0 video tracking

software (Panlab, Barcelona, Spain, RRID: SCR_002852). The apparatus was

thoroughly cleaned with 70% ethanol after the removal of each mouse.

The open field test (OFT) was performed for 10 min using an apparatus

composed of opaque white walls and a floor (50 cm × 50 cm × 20 cm) illuminated at

an intensity of 80 lux in the center of the floor. Each mouse was placed in the center of

an open-field arena, and locomotor activity was recorded for 10 min. The total distance moved, relative time spent in the central zone, and entry time into the central zone were measured. The floor was divided into 25 squares, and the four central squares were defined as the central zone.

The forced swim test (FST) was performed in a cylindrical container (13 cm diameter, 25.5 cm height) filled with water to a height of 18 cm. Water (23–25 °C) was replaced between the trials. The FST was performed and recorded for 10 min using a digital video camera. The duration of immobility was then quantified.

The spontaneous locomotor activity (LMA) test was performed in a transparent home cage without bedding (20 cm length × 12.5 cm width) over 60 min. The total distance moved during the last 30 min was tracked.

The compartment social interaction test (SIT) was performed in an SIT apparatus (LE894T, Panlab) consisting of three chambers (42 cm × 60 cm × 22 cm) and two partitions (5.5 cm × 0.15 cm × 17 cm). Each mouse was placed in the middle chamber and allowed to explore the chamber freely for 5 min. Immediately after habituation, an unfamiliar mouse (a 7–8-week-old male C57BL/6N mouse) was introduced into one of the two side chambers enclosed in a wire cage. An identical empty wire cage was placed on the opposite side of the chamber. After placement, the side doors were opened simultaneously, and the test mouse was allowed to explore the entire three-chamber arena for 10 min. The time spent in each compartment was measured.

An elevated plus maze (EPM) test was performed in an EPM apparatus (EPM-X, Muromachi Kikai, Japan) consisting of two open arms (30 cm × 6 cm, 40 lux) and two closed arms (30 cm × 6 cm × 15 cm, 20 lux) extending from a central platform (6 cm × 6 cm). The maze was elevated to 40 cm above the floor. Each mouse was placed

in the center of the maze, facing the open arm, and allowed to explore freely for 10 min.

The total distance and time spent in the open arms were measured.

Statistical analyses

All data are presented as the mean ± standard error of the mean. Statistical analyses

were performed using an unpaired Student's t-test, Welch's t-test or two-way analysis

of variance (ANOVA). Statistical significance was set at $P < 0.05$. Before performing

t-test, normality of the data was examined using the Shapiro–Wilk test. Detailed

statistical data are presented in Table 2. Outliers in data from Fig. 5B were removed

using the Grubb's outlier test. Otherwise, we did not exclude samples from statistical

analysis. All analyses were performed using the PRISM 9 software (GraphPad, San

Diego, CA, USA).

Results

Expression of Dsp in the hippocampal DG and its regulation by antidepressant stimulation

Dsp, a granule cell-specific maturation marker (Yamasaki et al., 2008; Kobayashi et al., 2010), is highly and specifically expressed in the DG of the hippocampus of the central nervous system (Fig. 1A, Allen Institute for Brain Science, 2004). However, the localization of Dsp protein in the DG has not been fully investigated. Therefore, we initially examined the cellular localization and cell type of Dsp expression. Immunohistological analysis revealed that Dsp was highly expressed in the granule cell layer and molecular layer in the DG compared to the CA1 region (Fig. 1B). Dsp immunoreactivity signals were detected in NeuN-positive cells in the DG (Fig. 1C, upper). However, Dsp signals were rarely detected in NeuroD1-positive neural progenitors or immature neurons in the SGZ of the DG (Fig. 1C, lower, arrows). These findings suggest that Dsp is expressed in mature granule neurons in the DG. Dsp immunostaining was only observed after methanol fixation; therefore, the localization of other neuronal markers such as an immature neuronal marker DCX was not investigated.

Furthermore, we investigated whether Dsp expression was regulated by antidepressant stimulation at the protein level. The expression of *Dsp* in the DG was reduced by chronic administration of electroconvulsive seizure (ECS) or a selective serotonin reuptake inhibitor (SSRI) (Fig. 1D), as previously reported (Imoto et al., 2017). Immunostaining analysis showed that Dsp protein began to decrease in outer and inner granule neurons at 11 times of ECS, and its expression almost disappeared at 20 times of ECS administration (Fig. 1E). Thus, suppression of desmoplakin protein

expression by antidepressant treatments would be expected to require a longer time or

stimulus than suppression of mRNA expression.

Dsp expression knockdown in the DG by an adeno-associated virus (AAV)

carrying Dsp miRNA

To explore the role of Dsp in hippocampal function, we performed an AAV-mediated

knockdown of Dsp in the DG. For this purpose, we generated AAVrh10 expressing

EGFP and an artificial miRNA targeting Dsp mRNA (AAV-Dsp miRNA; Fig. 2A). The

AAV rh10 serotype shows high transduction efficiency into granule neurons in the DG

(Sehara et al., 2022). Seven to eight weeks after the injection of AAV-Dsp or AAV-

control miRNA into the DG, strong EGFP signals, which were co-expressed with

miRNA, were observed in the molecular layer and granule cell layer in the DG and

CA3 projection area (Fig. 2A, left). EGFP signals did not co-localize in DCX-positive

cells in the DG (Fig. 2A, right), indicating that AAV-mediated gene transfer occurs

primarily in mature granule neurons of the DG. *Dsp* mRNA expression significantly

decreased in the AAV-Dsp miRNA group (Fig. 2B). Moreover, immunohistological

analysis showed a decrease in Dsp immunoreactivity in the DG following AAV-Dsp

miRNA injection (Fig. 2C), demonstrating that the sequence of artificial miRNAs

targeting Dsp used in this study has the potential to knockdown Dsp expression.

Changes in activity marker expression and spine number in the DG and alteration

of the sensitivity to serotonin at the MF synapse by Dsp knockdown

First, we examined the direct effect of Dsp knockdown on the activity of dentate granule

neurons. FosB, including ΔFosB, is induced in response to many types of chronic

stimuli and accumulates because of its high stability (Nestler et al., 1999; Lamothe-

Molina et al., 2022). Consequently, we performed immunohistochemical analysis using

an anti-FosB antibody to monitor neuronal activity in the DG under normal home-cage

conditions. FosB immunoreactivity in the granule cell layer of the DG significantly

decreased in the Dsp knockdown group (Fig. 2D).

Because Dsp is a granule cell-specific DG maturation marker (Yamasaki et al.,

2008; Kobayashi et al., 2010), we examined the expression of other markers, including

Calb, Tdo2, Ntf3, and Il1r1 in the DG. The expression of *Calb, Tdo2,* and *Ntf3*

significantly increased in the DG of the Dsp knockdown group (Fig. 2E).

Electrophysiological analyses of synaptic transmission were further performed

using acute hippocampal slices (Fig. 3A-I). We first examined the input-output

relationship of MPP-granule cell synaptic transmission by changing the intensity of

stimulation (Fig. 3A). No significant change in the relationship between fiber volley

amplitude and EPSP slope was observed (Fig. 3B and C). Next, properties of DG output

MF-CA3 synaptic transmission were examined (Fig. 3D) and there was no change in

the ratio of field EPSP to fiber volleys (Fig. 3E). These results suggest that the basal

transmission efficacy at the MPP-granule cell and the MF-CA3 synapses was not

altered by Dsp knockdown. Antidepressant treatment attenuates the synaptic facilitation

induced by repetitive stimulation and augments serotonergic modulation at the MF

synapse (Kobayashi et al., 2010; Imoto et al., 2017; Kobayashi et al., 2020). Dsp

knockdown did not change synaptic facilitation after 1 Hz stimulation (Fig. 3F and G).

Bath-applied serotonin induced the potentiation of field EPSPs in the AAV control

group (Fig. 3H), consistent with the findings of previous studies. The magnitude of

serotonin-induced potentiation was significantly reduced in the Dsp knockdown group

(Fig. 3H and I). To further investigate the role of Dsp in spine formation in the granule

neurons, we employed Golgi staining to assess spine number of granule cell dendrites

in the inner molecular layer (Fig. 3J). Dsp knockdown slightly but significantly

decreased the total number of spines (Fig. 3K).

Changes in neurogenic activity in the DG by Dsp knockdown

Adult hippocampal neurogenesis consists of proliferation, differentiation, and survival

and is regulated by various factors, including signals from adjacent mature granule

neurons in the DG (Kempermann et al., 2015). Since the above results suggest that Dsp

regulates neuronal activation in the DG, we explored the influence of Dsp knockdown

on hippocampal neurogenesis. First, we examined the effect of Dsp knockdown on cell

proliferation. BrdU was administered 2 h before the sacrifice to label proliferating cells

(Fig. 4A, left). BrdU-positive cells were detected in the SGZ of the DG (Fig. 4A,

middle), and no difference was observed in the number of proliferating cells between

the control and Dsp knockdown groups (Fig. 4A, right). We next examined the number

of SOX2-positve cells in the SGZ. SOX2 is a marker for neural stem cells and

progenitors in the adult DG (Segi-Nishida et al., 2008). There was no difference in the

number of SOX2-positive cells in the SGZ (Fig. 4B). We then examined the expression

of Neuro D1, which is expressed in progenitors/neuroblasts and immature neurons in

the adult DG. The number of NeuroD1-positive cells in the SGZ was significantly

decreased in the Dsp knockdown group (Fig. 4C). We further examined the expression

of the immature neuronal marker, DCX, in the DG. The total number of DCX-positive

cells and those with long dendrites decreased in the Dsp knockdown group (Fig. 4D).

Because most newborn cells in the DG die within 2–3 weeks following proliferation in

mice (Ueno et al., 2019), we evaluated the effect of Dsp knockdown on the survival of

newborn cells 2 weeks after BrdU administration (Fig. 4E, left). The number of BrdU-

positive cells in the DG showed a tendency to decrease, yet there was no significant

difference between the control group and the Dsp knockdown group (Fig. 4E, middle).

Furthermore, there was no difference in the ratio of NeuN-positive cells among the

BrdU-positive cells.

Influence of Dsp knockdown on anxiety-related behaviors

The ventral DG is a key brain region involved in emotional behaviors, including

anxiety- and depression-like behaviors (Sahay and Hen, 2007; Levone et al. 2015;

Anacker et al. 2018). To examine the influence of Dsp knockdown in the DG on

emotion-related behaviors, we conducted three sets of behavioral experiments (Fig. 5A).

First, we performed an open-field test to assess behavior in an anxiety-provoking

environment. Dsp knockdown in the DG suppressed locomotor activity and the number

of entries into the central area in the open field box, and a suppressive trend was

observed for the time spent in the central area (Fig. 5B). Next, we evaluated immobility

time in the FST to assess depression-like behavior. No difference in immobility time

between the control and Dsp knockdown groups was observed (Fig. 5C). Another set

of experiments was conducted on locomotor activity in a home cage, social interaction

test, and elevated plus maze test. Contrary to the data from the open field test, both

control and knockdown groups demonstrated comparable locomotor activity under

standard conditions (Fig. 5D), suggesting that the knockdown does not perturb baseline

locomotor function. In the three-chamber social interaction test, the control mice spent

more time around the area with an unfamiliar mouse than around the area with empty

cages. This social interaction behavior was comparable to that observed in the Dsp

knockdown mice (Fig. 5E). In the elevated plus maze test, a reduction in both the time

spent in the open arm and locomotor activity was observed in Dsp knockdown mice

(Fig. 5F). These results demonstrate that Dsp knockdown mice in the DG shows

anxiety-like behavior. Also, these findings can implicate that expression of Dsp in the

DG plays an anti-anxiety role.

Discussion

This study aimed to investigate the role of Dsp expressed in the DG in hippocampal function and emotion-related behaviors. We showed that Dsp is localized mostly in mature granule neurons in the DG and that knockdown of Dsp decreases the expression of neuronal activity marker and serotonin responsiveness in DG neurons while enhancing the expression of mature neuronal markers. In addition, we demonstrated that Dsp knockdown in the DG alters adult neurogenic processes in the adjacent SGZ and that these hippocampal changes contribute to anxiety-like behaviors. These findings suggest that Dsp in the DG maintains the activity of mature neurons, regulates neuronal differentiation in the adult neurogenic process, and contributes to anxiolytic-like effects. This study is the first to demonstrate the role of Dsp in neuronal activity and emotion-related behaviors in the DG.

Expression of desmoplakin in the DG

Since Dsp could play an important role in cell-to-cell adhesion and subsequent intracellular regulatory signaling, we initially confirmed its localization in the brain. Immunohistological studies showed that Dsp protein was localized in granule cell layer in the DG (Fig. 1B). Dsp colocalized with the NeuN-positive cells, but not with the neural progenitor/immature neuron marker NeuroD1-positive cells in the DG (Fig. 1C). These results are consistent with those of a previous study that demonstrated that Dsp colocalizes with the post-mitotic neuron marker Bcl11b but not with the neural stem cell/progenitor marker SOX2 in the DG at 14 days of postnatal age (Simon et al., 2012).

Desmosome components include transmembrane cadherins and intracellular proteins such as plakoglobin, plakophilin, and Dsp (Garrod and Chidgrey, 2008; Broussard et al., 2015). Nevertheless, electron microscopy images of the rat DG showed

no desmosome structures between the cell bodies (Laatsch and Cowan, 1966).

Therefore, Dsp in the DG may form a complex different from that in epithelial

desmosomes. The expression and interactions of each desmosome component in the

DG will be examined to elucidate the localization and function of Dsp in neurons.

Role of desmoplakin in mature granule neurons in the DG

We found that Dsp knockdown in the DG suppressed FosB expression (Fig. 2D); FosB

is useful for monitoring steady-state activation. FosB, including ΔFosB, is induced in

response to many types of stimuli and shows high stability (Nestler et al., 1999;

Lamothe-Molina et al., 2022). In contrast, Dsp knockdown increased the expression of

the mature neuronal markers *Calb1*, *Tdo2*, and *Ntf3* (Fig. 2E), which are negatively

regulated by strong neuronal activation, such as in the ECS and epileptic seizures

(Imoto et al., 2017; You et al., 2017). Although the number of dendritic spines in DG

neurons was slightly but significantly reduced by Dsp knockdown, electrophysiological

analysis showed that Dsp suppression did not alter the basal transmission efficiency at

the MPP-granule cells. Excitatory inputs other than the MPP input may be altered,

resulting in attenuated granule cell activity. It is also possible that Dsp knockdown

affected inhibitory synapses or intrinsic excitability of granule cells.

Dsp suppression did not alter the basal transmission efficiency at the MF-CA3

synapses; however, it reduced serotonin-induced potentiation (Fig. 3). Synaptic

potentiation by serotonin is mediated by presynaptic 5-HT4 receptor-cAMP signaling

in the MF, which is enhanced by antidepressant treatment including ECS (Kobayashi et

al., 2008; 2020). Dsp knockdown in the DG may reduce serotonin-mediated

intracellular signaling in the DG.

Dsp is involved in various biological processes such as gene expression,

differentiation, and cell migration (Bendrick et al., 2019; Dubash et al., 2016; Lombardi

et al., 2016; Patel et al., 2014). Dsp interacts with plakoglobin, also known as γ-catenin

(Delva et al., 2009). Suppression of Dsp expression in atrial myocyte cell lines leads to

nuclear localization of plakoglobin/γ-catenin (Garcia-Gras et al., 2006). Further detail

analysis will be required to characterize the Dsp-mediated transcriptional pathway.

Especially, confirmation of the nuclear translocation of plakoglobin/γ-catenin will

clarify whether changes in the expression of these factors are mediated by catenin

signaling.

Influence of desmoplakin knockdown on the neurogenic process in the DG

Adult neurogenesis in the SGZ of the DG is regulated by various signals from

surrounding neurons (Kempermann et al., 2015). We investigated the role of Dsp in

several neurogenic processes in the DG. Suppression of Dsp reduced the number of

NeuroD1-positive neural progenitors and DCX-positive immature neurons, whereas the

number of proliferating cells and SOX2-positive neural stem/progenitor cells remained

unchanged (Fig. 4). EGFP signals, which should be coexpressed with miRNA, were not

observed in DCX-positive cells of the DG (Fig. 2A), suggesting that Dsp in the DG

indirectly regulates neurogenic processes in the adjacent SGZ. Since the rate of NeuN-

positive neuronal differentiation at 14 days of cell age did not change between the

control and Dsp knockdown, the period of NeuroD1 and DCX expression may be

shortened. Thus, it is possible that Dsp knockdown promoted the rate of differentiation

of newborn neurons, which allowed them to progress to maturity without sufficient

differentiation. Forebrain neuron-specific ablation of Dsp impairs developmental

hippocampal neurogenesis (Simon et al., 2012). During postnatal development in this

mutant line, cell proliferation and neural differentiation in the DG were suppressed. All

these findings suggest that Dsp is important for the regulation of cell proliferation

during developmental neurogenesis and for neural differentiation during both

developmental and adult neurogenesis.

How does Dsp indirectly regulate neuronal differentiation in post-mitotic

neurons? Neuronal activation, such as that in the ECS, promotes the expression of adult

neurogenic factors such as *Vegf*, *Fgf2*, and *Wnt2* in the DG (Newton et al., 2003;

Warner-Schmidt et al., 2007). Conversely, the suppression of neuronal activity in the

DG by inhibiting Dsp expression may reduce the expression of these growth factors.

Furthermore, intracellular skeletal regulation by Dsp may also affect neurogenic niche

formation. The neurogenic niche provides a unique milieu containing an extracellular

matrix, humoral factors, and cell-cell contacts that allow controlled neuronal

development (Kempermann et al., 2015). Altering the cytoskeleton of dentate granule

neurons may affect intercellular contacts and extracellular matrix adhesion and inhibit

neurogenic niche formation.

Desmoplakin in the DG as a key regulator for emotion-related behaviors

The DG of the hippocampus controls emotion-related behaviors (Segi-Nishida and

Suzuki, 2022). Although Dsp knockdown in the DG did not affect baseline locomotor

function, depression-like behavior, or social behavior, it reduced the time spent in the

open arm in the EPM test and reduced activity in the novel open field and EPM

environments designed to elicit anxiety (Fig. 5), indicating an environment-specific

anxious-like alteration following Dsp knockdown. The hippocampus plays functionally

distinct roles in the dorsal and ventral regions (Fanselow and Dong, 2010). The dorsal

region is involved in stress-induced learning and memory changes, and the ventral

region contributes to stress responses and emotions such as anxiety. Because AAV was injected into the ventral DG area in this study (as described in the Methods section), Dsp in the ventral DG may play an anxiolytic-like role.

In animal models, chronic antidepressant treatment induces anxiolytic-like effects (Santarelli et al., 2003) and promotes the differentiation of newborn neurons in the DG (Wang et al., 2008; Ueno et al., 2019), while suppressing the expression of mature markers, including *Dsp,* in the DG (Fig. 1; Kobayashi et al., 2010; Imoto et al., 2017). The loss of Dsp function in the DG may lead to anxiolytic effects; however, surprisingly, anxiety-like behavior was observed (Fig. 5). Previous findings indicated that adult neurogenesis in the DG affects anxiety-like behaviors. For instance, transgenic mice in which adult neurogenesis was suppressed by the expression of the pro-apoptotic protein BAX in neural progenitor cells show increased anxiety-related behaviors (Revest et al., 2009). Therefore, suppression of adult neurogenesis in the DG by Dsp knockdown may promote anxiety-like behaviors.

Furthermore, acute activation of the ventral DG by channelrhodopsin in proopiomelanocortin (POMC)-Cre mice suppresses anxiety-like behavior in the EPM (Kheirbek et al., 2013). Because our findings showed that Dsp knockdown in the DG reduced the expression of the neuronal activity marker FosB (Fig. 2D), the suppression of neuronal activity in the DG by Dsp knockdown may also contribute to anxiety-like behavior.

Taken together, our findings demonstrate that Dsp in the DG regulates neuronal function and contributes to anxiolytic-like effects. This research uncovers an unexplored function for Dsp in the central nervous system and implies that Dsp in the DG may function as a regulator maintaining proper neuronal activation. Excessive antidepressant treatment can exacerbate neuronal activation, resulting in increased

motor activity (Kobayashi et al., 2010; 2011). Considering the results of these studies

and our findings by Dsp knockdown, reduction of Dsp expression by chronic

antidepressants in the DG may function as a negative feedback mechanism for

excessive neuronal activation rather than merely mediating the effects of

antidepressants or anxiolytics.

Limitations of the study

First, although we have proposed a role for Dsp in the nervous system, it is not clear

what kind of complexes Dsp forms in neurons. In the future, it will be necessary to

investigate what kind of factors Dsp binds to and forms complexes with. Second, we

do not know how Dsp regulates neuronal activity and neurogenesis; elucidating the

regulation of intracellular signaling by Dsp will improve our understanding of neuronal

development and function. Third, it is not clear how Dsp in the DG contributes to

emotional behaviors and whether Dsp in the DG contributes to learning and memory.

The influence of Dsp in the DG on neural circuits involved in emotion needs to be

elucidated. In addition, the role of Dsp in the dorsal DG needs to be investigated for its

involvement in learning and memory. Finally, this study shows results in male mice

only. It is possible that emotion-related behaviors such as anxiety have different

phenotypes depending on sex. Future research should also investigate the role of Dsp

in the female nervous system.

Data availability statement

All datasets analyzed in the current study are available from the corresponding author

upon reasonable request.

Ethics statement

Animal use and procedures were conducted in accordance with the Tokyo University
of Science regulations on animal care and use in research and were approved by the
Animal Care and Use Committee of Tokyo University of Science (approval number
K20009, K21007, and K22007).
627

Author contributions: KO, NS, YN, YS, TT, KK, and KS designed the study,
conducted the experiments, analyzed the data, and drafted the manuscript. ESN
designed the study, analyzed the data, and drafted the manuscript. All the authors have
read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding statements: This work was supported in part by MEXT KAKENHI Grants
24K10498 (ESN), 24K09648 (KS), 23H02801(KK and ESN), and 23K18425(KK).
Kato Memorial Bioscience Foundation (KS), Brain Science Foundation (KS), Takeda
Science Foundation (KS).

Competing interests: The authors declare no competing interests.
639

Acknowledgements: We would like to thank *Editage* (www.editage.jp) for the English
language editing.

References

Allen Institute for Brain Science (2004). Allen Mouse Brain Atlas [https://mouse.brain-

map.org/experiment/show/69201504]. Available from mouse.brain-map.org.

Anacker, C., Luna, V.M., Stevens, G.S., Millette, A., Shores, R., Jimenez, J.C., Chen,

B., and Hen, R. (2018). Hippocampal neurogenesis confers stress resilience by

inhibiting the ventral dentate gyrus. *Nature* 559, 98-102. doi:10.1038/s41586-018-

0262-4

Bankhead, P., Loughrey, M.B., Fernandez, J.A., Dombrowski, Y., McArt, D.G.,

Dunne, P.D., McQuaid, S., Gray, R.T., Murray, L.J., Coleman, H.G., James, J.A.,

Salto-Tellez, M., and Hamilton, P.W. (2017). QuPath: Open source software for

digital pathology image analysis. Sci Rep 7, 16878. doi:10.1038/s41598-017-

17204-5

Bendrick, J.L., Eldredge, L.A., Williams, E.I., Haight, N.B., and Dubash, A.D. (2019).

Desmoplakin Harnesses Rho GTPase and p38 Mitogen-Activated Protein Kinase

Signaling to Coordinate Cellular Migration. *J Invest Dermatol* 139, 1227-1236.

doi:10.1016/j.jid.2018.11.032

Broussard, J.A., Getsios, S., and Green, K.J. (2015). Desmosome regulation and

signaling in disease. *Cell Tissue Res* 360, 501-512. doi:10.1007/s00441-015-2136-

5

Delva, E., Tucker, D.K., and Kowalczyk, A.P. (2009). The desmosome. *Cold Spring

Harb Perspect Biol* 1, a002543. doi:10.1101/cshperspect.a002543

Drew, L.J., Fusi, S., and Hen, R. (2013). Adult neurogenesis in the mammalian

hippocampus: why the dentate gyrus? *Learn Mem* 20, 710-729.

doi:10.1101/lm.026542.112

Dubash, A.D., Kam, C.Y., Aguado, B.A., Patel, D.M., Delmar, M., Shea, L.D., and

Green, K.J. (2016). Plakophilin-2 loss promotes TGF-beta1/p38 MAPK-dependent

fibrotic gene expression in cardiomyocytes. *J Cell Biol* 212, 425-438.

doi:10.1083/jcb.201507018

Fanselow, M.S., and Dong, H.W. (2010). Are the dorsal and ventral hippocampus

functionally distinct structures? *Neuron* 65, 7-19. doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2009.11.031

Garcia-Gras, E., Lombardi, R., Giocondo, M.J., Willerson, J.T., Schneider, M.D.,

Khoury, D.S., and Marian, A.J. (2006). Suppression of canonical Wnt/beta-catenin

signaling by nuclear plakoglobin recapitulates phenotype of arrhythmogenic right

ventricular cardiomyopathy. *J Clin Invest* 116, 2012-2021. doi:10.1172/JCI27751

Garrod, D., and Chidgey, M. (2008). Desmosome structure, composition and function.

Biochim Biophys Acta 1778, 572-587. doi:10.1016/j.bbamem.2007.07.014

Imoto, Y., Segi-Nishida, E., Suzuki, H., and Kobayashi, K. (2017). Rapid and stable

changes in maturation-related phenotypes of the adult hippocampal neurons by

electroconvulsive treatment. *Mol Brain* 10, 8. doi:10.1186/s13041-017-0288-9

Johnson, J.L., Najor, N.A., and Green, K.J. (2014). Desmosomes: regulators of cellular

signaling and adhesion in epidermal health and disease. *Cold Spring Harb Perspect*

Med 4, a015297. doi:10.1101/cshperspect.a015297

Kempermann, G., Song, H., and Gage, F.H. (2015). Neurogenesis in the Adult

Hippocampus. *Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol* 7, a018812.

doi:10.1101/cshperspect.a018812

Kheirbek, M.A., Drew, L.J., Burghardt, N.S., Costantini, D.O., Tannenholz, L.,

Ahmari, S.E., Zeng, H., Fenton, A.A., and Hen, R. (2013). Differential control of

learning and anxiety along the dorsoventral axis of the dentate gyrus. *Neuron* 77,

955-968. doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2012.12.038

Kobayashi, K., Ikeda, Y., Haneda, E., and Suzuki, H. (2008). Chronic fluoxetine

bidirectionally modulates potentiating effects of serotonin on the hippocampal

mossy fiber synaptic transmission. *J Neurosci* 28, 6272-6280.

doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1656-08.2008

Kobayashi, K., Ikeda, Y., Sakai, A., Yamasaki, N., Haneda, E., Miyakawa, T., and

Suzuki, H. (2010). Reversal of hippocampal neuronal maturation by serotonergic

antidepressants. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 107, 8434-8439.

doi:10.1073/pnas.0912690107

Kobayashi, K., Ikeda, Y., and Suzuki, H. (2011). Behavioral destabilization induced

by the selective serotonin reuptake inhibitor fluoxetine. *Mol Brain* 4, 12.

doi:10.1186/1756-6606-4-12

Kobayashi, K., Mikahara, Y., Murata, Y., Morita, D., Matsuura, S., Segi-Nishida, E.,

and Suzuki, H. (2020). Predominant Role of Serotonin at the Hippocampal Mossy

Fiber Synapse with Redundant Monoaminergic Modulation. *iScience* 23, 101025.

doi:10.1016/j.isci.2020.101025

Kowalczyk, A.P., and Green, K.J. (2013). Structure, function, and regulation of

desmosomes. *Prog Mol Biol Transl Sci* 116, 95-118. doi:10.1016/B978-0-12-

394311-8.00005-4

Laatsch, R.H., and Cowan, W.M. (1966). Electron microscopic studies of the dentate
gyrus of the rat. I. Normal structure with special reference to synaptic organization.
J Comp Neurol 128, 359-395. doi:10.1002/cne.901280305

Lamothe-Molina, P.J., Franzelin, A., Beck, L., Li, D., Auksutat, L., Fieblinger, T.,
Laprell, L., Alhbeck, J., Gee, C.E., Kneussel, M., Engel, A.K., Hilgetag, C.C.,
Morellini, F., and Oertner, T.G. (2022). DeltaFosB accumulation in hippocampal
granule cells drives cFos pattern separation during spatial learning. *Nat Commun*
13, 6376. doi:10.1038/s41467-022-33947-w

Levone, B.R., Cryan, J.F., and O'Leary, O.F. (2015). Role of adult hippocampal
neurogenesis in stress resilience. *Neurobiol Stress* 1, 147-155.
doi:10.1016/j.ynstr.2014.11.003

Lombardi, R., Chen, S.N., Ruggiero, A., Gurha, P., Czernuszewicz, G.Z., Willerson,
J.T., and Marian, A.J. (2016). Cardiac Fibro-Adipocyte Progenitors Express
Desmosome Proteins and Preferentially Differentiate to Adipocytes Upon Deletion
of the Desmoplakin Gene. *Circ Res* 119, 41-54.
doi:10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.115.308136

Nestler, E.J., Kelz, M.B., and Chen, J. (1999). DeltaFosB: a molecular mediator of
long-term neural and behavioral plasticity. *Brain Res* 835, 10-17.
doi:10.1016/s0006-8993(98)01191-3

Newton, S.S., Collier, E.F., Hunsberger, J., Adams, D., Terwilliger, R., Selvanayagam,
E., and Duman, R.S. (2003). Gene profile of electroconvulsive seizures: induction
of neurotrophic and angiogenic factors. *J Neurosci* 23, 10841-10851.
doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.23-34-10841.2003

Patel, D.M., Dubash, A.D., Kreitzer, G., and Green, K.J. (2014). Disease mutations in
desmoplakin inhibit Cx43 membrane targeting mediated by desmoplakin-EB1
interactions. *J Cell Biol* 206, 779-797. doi:10.1083/jcb.201312110

Paxinos, G.F., K. B. J., 2012. 4th ed. Academic Press., Cambridge, MA.

Rao, S., Kay, Y., and Herring, B.E. (2019). Tiam1 is Critical for Glutamatergic
Synapse Structure and Function in the Hippocampus. *J Neurosci* 39, 9306-9315.
doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1566-19.2019

Revest, J.M., Dupret, D., Koehl, M., Funk-Reiter, C., Grosjean, N., Piazza, P.V., and
Abrous, D.N. (2009). Adult hippocampal neurogenesis is involved in anxiety-
related behaviors. *Mol Psychiatry* 14, 959-967. doi:10.1038/mp.2009.15

Sahay, A., and Hen, R. (2007). Adult hippocampal neurogenesis in depression. *Nat*
Neurosci 10, 1110-1115. doi:10.1038/nn1969

Santarelli, L., Saxe, M., Gross, C., Surget, A., Battaglia, F., Dulawa, S., Weisstaub, N.,
Lee, J., Duman, R., Arancio, O., Belzung, C., and Hen, R. (2003). Requirement of
hippocampal neurogenesis for the behavioral effects of antidepressants. *Science*
301, 805-809. doi:10.1126/science.1083328

Segi-Nishida, E., Warner-Schmidt, J.L., and Duman, R.S. (2008). Electroconvulsive
seizure and VEGF increase the proliferation of neural stem-like cells in rat
hippocampus. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 105, 11352-11357.
doi:10.1073/pnas.0710858105

Segi-Nishida, E., and Suzuki, K. (2022). Regulation of adult-born and mature neurons
in stress response and antidepressant action in the dentate gyrus of the hippocampus.
Neurosci Res. doi:10.1016/j.neures.2022.08.010

Sehara, Y., Hayashi, Y., Ohba, K., Uchibori, R., Urabe, M., Inutsuka, A., Shimazaki,
K., Kawai, K., and Mizukami, H. (2022). Higher Transduction Efficiency of AAV5
to Neural Stem Cells and Immature Neurons in Gerbil Dentate Gyrus Compared to
AAV2 and rh10. *Hum Gene Ther* 33, 76-85. doi:10.1089/hum.2021.106

Shinohara, R., Taniguchi, M., Ehrlich, A.T., Yokogawa, K., Deguchi, Y., Cherasse,
Y., Lazarus, M., Urade, Y., Ogawa, A., Kitaoka, S., Sawa, A., Narumiya, S., and
Furuyashiki, T. (2018). Dopamine D1 receptor subtype mediates acute stress-
induced dendritic growth in excitatory neurons of the medial prefrontal cortex and
contributes to suppression of stress susceptibility in mice. *Mol Psychiatry* 23, 1717-
1730. doi:10.1038/mp.2017.177

Simon, R., Brylka, H., Schwegler, H., Venkataramanappa, S., Andratschke, J.,
Wiegreffe, C., Liu, P., Fuchs, E., Jenkins, N.A., Copeland, N.G., Birchmeier, C.,
and Britsch, S. (2012). A dual function of Bcl11b/Ctip2 in hippocampal
neurogenesis. *EMBO J* 31, 2922-2936. doi:10.1038/emboj.2012.142

Ueno, M., Sugimoto, M., Ohtsubo, K., Sakai, N., Endo, A., Shikano, K., Imoto, Y.,
and Segi-Nishida, E. (2019). The effect of electroconvulsive seizure on survival,
neuronal differentiation, and expression of the maturation marker in the adult mouse
hippocampus. *J Neurochem* 149, 488-498. doi:10.1111/jnc.14691

Wang, J.W., David, D.J., Monckton, J.E., Battaglia, F., and Hen, R. (2008). Chronic
fluoxetine stimulates maturation and synaptic plasticity of adult-born hippocampal
granule cells. *J Neurosci* 28, 1374-1384. doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.3632-07.2008

Warner-Schmidt, J.L., and Duman, R.S. (2007). VEGF is an essential mediator of the
neurogenic and behavioral actions of antidepressants. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 104,
4647-4652. doi:10.1073/pnas.0610282104

Yamasaki, N., Maekawa, M., Kobayashi, K., Kajii, Y., Maeda, J., Soma, M., Takao,
K., Tanda, K., Ohira, K., Toyama, K., Kanzaki, K., Fukunaga, K., Sudo, Y.,
Ichinose, H., Ikeda, M., Iwata, N., Ozaki, N., Suzuki, H., Higuchi, M., Suhara, T.,
Yuasa, S., and Miyakawa, T. (2008). Alpha-CaMKII deficiency causes immature
dentate gyrus, a novel candidate endophenotype of psychiatric disorders. *Mol Brain*
1, 6. doi:10.1186/1756-6606-1-6

You, J.C., Muralidharan, K., Park, J.W., Petrof, I., Pyfer, M.S., Corbett, B.F.,
LaFrancois, J.J., Zheng, Y., Zhang, X., Mohila, C.A., Yoshor, D., Rissman, R.A.,
Nestler, E.J., Scharfman, H.E., and Chin, J. (2017). Epigenetic suppression of
hippocampal calbindin-D28k by DeltaFosB drives seizure-related cognitive
deficits. *Nat Med* 23, 1377-1383. doi:10.1038/nm.4413

Zhao, C., Deng, W., and Gage, F.H. (2008). Mechanisms and functional implications
of adult neurogenesis. *Cell* 132, 645-660. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2008.01.033

 Figure legends

 Figure 1. Expression of Dsp in the dentate gyrus (DG) of the hippocampus

(A) Expression of Dsp mRNA in adult mouse brain from Allen Mouse Brain Atlas. (B) Low magnification images of immunoreactivity for Dsp (magenta) in the DG and CA1. DAPI (cyan) is utilized for nuclear staining. GCL; granule cell layer, ML; molecular layer. Scale bar: 200 μm. (C) Representative images of immunoreactivity for Dsp (green), NeuN (upper, magenta), and NeuroD1 (lower, magenta) in the DG. DAPI was used for nuclear staining (blue). Enlarged images of the dotted square are shown below. Arrows represent Dsp-negative and NeuroD1-positive cells (arrows). Scale bars: 50 μm. (D) Relative gene expression of *Dsp* at 24 h after 11 times of electroconvulsive seizure (ECS) stimulation (left, P=0.0324) or 4-weeks treatment of selective serotonin reuptake inhibitor (SSRI) fluoxetine (right, P=0.0057). Data are expressed using dot plots and means ± standard error of mean. The n number is given in graph. *, $P<0.05$; **, $P<0.01$. Detailed statistical data are shown in Table 2. (E) Representative images of immunoreactivity for Dsp (green) in the DG after 11 or 20 times of ECS stimulation. Scale bars: 20 μm.

Figure 2. Knockdown of Dsp in the DG by adeno-associated virus (AAV) carrying Dsp artificial miRNA and expression changes in the DG

(A) Structure of AAVrh10 carrying EGFP and artificial microRNA sequence for Dsp (DSP miRNA) and time course of experimental procedure. Representative EGFP image 7–8 weeks after control miRNA AAV injection into the DG (left). EGFP and immunoreactivity for an immature neuronal marker doublecortin (magenta) in the DG (right). (B) Gene expression of *Dsp* 7–8 weeks after AAV infection (P=0.0482). (C) Representative images of immunoreactivity for Dsp (red) and DAPI (blue) in the DG

after AAV infection. (D) Effect of Dsp knockdown in the DG on the expression of FosB

protein. Representative images of immunoreactivity for FosB in the DG (left).

Quantification of FosB-positive cell numbers (right) in the granule cell layer

(P=0.0002). (E) Effect of Dsp knockdown in the DG on the expression of DG specific

maturation markers in the DG. Expression of *Calb1* (P=0.0232)*, Tdo2* (P=0.0195)*, Ntf3*

(P=0.00039)*,* and *Il1r1* (P=0.5926). Data are expressed using dot plots and means ±

standard error of mean. The n number is given in graph. *, *P*<0.05; **, *P*<0.01; ***,

P<0.001; n.s., not significant. Detailed statistical data are shown in Table 2. Scale bars:

1 mm for the low magnification image in Fig. 2A (left); 100 μm for other images.

Figure 3. Electrophysiological changes in the DG by Dsp knockdown

(A) Diagram showing the electrode arrangement for recording field excitatory post

synaptic potentials (EPSPs) at the medial perforant path (MPP)–granule cell synapse.

(B) Sample recordings show MPP synaptic potentials evoked at the stimulus intensities

of 2, 3, 4 and 5 V in control DG and knockdown DG. (C) The relationship between

fiber volley and EPSP at MPP–DG synapse. (D) Diagram showing for recording field

EPSPs at the mossy fiber (MF)–CA3 synapse. (E) Ratios for MF field EPSPs to fiber

volley (FV) at the baseline stimulus intensity (P=0.3406). (F and G) Effect of Dsp

knockdown on synaptic facilitation induced by repetitive stimulation at the MF synapse

(G, P=0.9387). (H) Effect of Dsp knockdown on potentiation of MF synaptic

transmission induced by serotonin (5 μM, 5 min) applied in the bath. Sample recordings

show averages of nine consecutive field EPSPs before and at the end of serotonin

application in control DG and knockdown DG. (I) Effect of Dsp knockdown on

serotonin-induced synaptic potentiation (P=0.0032). (J) Representative images of Golgi

staining in the DG (left) and dendrites (right) in inner molecular layer. (K) Quantitative

analysis of the number of spines in the dendrites. Ten dendrites per animal and six mice

per group were analyzed. Data are expressed using dot plots and means ± standard error

of mean. The n number of data is given in graph and represents the number of slices (E,

G, I) and dendrites (K). **, *P*<0.01. Detailed statistical data are shown in Table 2.

Figure 4. Adult neurogenic changes in the DG by Dsp knockdown

(A) Effect of Dsp knockdown on proliferation in the DG. Left: Time course of the

experimental procedure. Middle: Representative images of immunoreactivity for BrdU

(red). The arrows represent BrdU-positive cells in the SGZ. Scale bars: 20 μm. Right:

Quantification of BrdU-positive cell numbers in the SGZ (P=0.6175). (B) Effect of Dsp

knockdown on the number of neural stem cells or progenitors in the DG. Left:

Representative images of immunoreactivity for SOX2 in the DG. Scale bars: 100 μm.

Right: Quantification of SOX2-positive cell numbers in the SGZ (P=0.2652).

(C) Effect of Dsp knockdown on neuronal differentiation in the DG. Left:

Representative images of immunoreactivity for NeuroD1 in the DG. Scale bars: 100

μm. Right: Quantification of NeuroD1-positive cell numbers in the SGZ (P=0.0015).

(D) Effect of Dsp knockdown on cell number of doublecortin (DCX)-positive immature

neurons in the DG. Left: Representative images of immunoreactivity for DCX in the

DG. Scale bars: 40 μm. Middle: Quantification of DCX-positive cell numbers in the

SGZ (P=0.0149). Right: Quantification of DCX-positive cells with long dendrites in

the SGZ (P=0.0374). (E) Effect of Dsp knockdown on survival of 2-weeks of cell age

in the DG. Left: Time course of the experimental procedure. Middle: Quantification of

BrdU-positive cells of 2-weeks (2 wks) of cell age in the DG (P=0.1715). Right: The

ratio of NeuN(+) cells among the BrdU (+) cells in the DG (P=0.3394).

Data are expressed using dot plots and means ± standard error of mean. The n number

is given in graph. *, $P<0.05$; **, $P<0.01$. n.s. not significant. Detailed statistical data

are shown in Table 2.

Figure 5. Behavioral changes in the DG by Dsp knockdown

(A) Time course of the experimental procedure. (B) Exploratory behaviors of open field

test (OFT). Total distance (left, P=0.0071) in the open field. Time spent (middle,

P=0.1071) and entry times (right, P=0.0450) in the center of the open field. (C)

Immobility time in the forced swim test (FST, P=0.5065). (D) Total distance of

locomotor activity (LMA) in the home cage (P=0.3558). (E) Social interaction behavior

in the 3-chamber social interaction test (SIT). A stranger mouse within a wire cup placed

in one chamber (social) and an empty wire cup placed in another chamber (Non-Social).

(F) Time spent on the open arms (left, P=0.0495) and total distance (right, P=0.0262)

in the elevate plus maze (EPM) test. Data are expressed using dot plots and means ±

standard error of mean. The n number is given in graph. *, $P<0.05$; **, $P<0.01$; n.s. not

significant. Detailed statistical data are shown in Table 2.

889
890

891 **Table 1. List of primers used for qPCR analysis.**

Gene	Forward (5' to 3')	Reverse (5' to 3')
Dsp	GCTGAAGAACACTCTAGCCCA	ACTGCTGTTTCCTCTGAGACA
Calb1	TCTGGCTTCATTTCGACGCTG	ACAAAGGATTTCATTTCCGGTGA
Tdo2	ATGAGTGGGTGCCCGTTTG	GGCTCTGTTTACACCAGTTTGAG
Ntf3	AGTTTGCCGGAAGACTCTCTC	GGGTGCTCTGGTAATTTTCCTTA
Il1r1	GTGCTACTGGGGGCTCATTTGT	GGAGTAAGAGGACACTTGCGAAT
Hdac2	GGAGGAGGCTACACAATCCG	TCTGGAGTGTTCTGGTTTGTCA
Tiam1	AGACTGAACGCACCTATGTGA	ACTCGACTTGAAACTCCACCAT
18S	GAGGCCCTGTAATTGGAATGAG	GCAGCAACTTTAATATACGCTATTGG
Gapdh	AGGTCGGTGTGAACGGATTTG	TGTAGACCATGTAGTTGAGGTCA

893
894
895 **Table 2. Statistical analysis**
896

		F value	Statistical Test	T value	P value
Figure 1D; *Dsp*	CNT, n=3 ECS, n=4	F(2,3)=22.92, P=0.0304	Unpaired t test with Welch's correction	t(5.504)=2.131	P=0.0324
Figure 1D; *Dsp*	CNT, n=7 SSRI, n=7	F(6,6)=7.606, P=0.0262	Unpaired t test with Welch's correction	t(7.551)=3.818	P=0.0057
Figure 2B; *Dsp*	CNT, n=9 KD, n=9	F(8,8)=6.584, P=0.0152	Unpaired t test with Welch's correction	t(10.38)=2.239	P=0.0482
Figure 2D; FosB(+)	CNT, n=4 KD, n=3	F(3,2)=1.636, P=0.8023	Unpaired t test	t(5)=10.17	P=0.0002
Figure 2E; *Calb*	CNT, n=8 KD, n=9	F(7,6)=3.495, P=0.1485	Unpaired t test	t(13)=2.572	P=0.0232
Figure 2E; *Tdo2*	CNT, n=7 KD, n=8	F(7,6)=4.257, P=0.0974	Unpaired t test	t(13)=2.662	P=0.0195
Figure 2E; *Ntf3*	CNT, n=9 KD, n=9	F(8,8)=1.275, P=0.7396	Unpaired t test	t(16)=3.373	P=0.00039
Figure 2E; *Il1r1*	CNT, n=9 KD, n=9	F(8,8)=1.006, P=0.9933	Unpaired t test	t(16)=0.5359	P=0.5926
Figure 3B; EPSP/FV	CNTDG,n=9 KD DG, n=9	F(8,8)=1.362, P=0.6994	Unpaired t test	t(16)=0.9823	P=0.3406
Figure 3D; 1Hz facilitation	CNTDG,n=7 KDDG n=7	F(6,6)=2.402, P=0.3104	Unpaired t test	t(12)=0.07857	P=0.9387
Figure 3 ; Spines	CNT, n=60 KD n=60 (dendrites)	F(59,59)=1.206, P=0.4739	Unpaired t test	t(118)=2.372	P=0.0193
Figure 3F; serotonin	CNTDG,n=5 KDDG, n=5	F(4,4)=2.407, P=0.4158	Unpaired t test	t(8)=4.158	P=0.0032
Figure 4A; proliferation	CNT, n=7 KD, n=5	F(6,4)=3.905, P=0.2083	Unpaired t test	t(10)=0.5153	P=0.6175

Figure 4B; SOX2(+)	CNT, n=6 KD, n=6	F(5,5)=1.942, P=0.4838	Unpaired t test	t(10)=1.180	P=0.2652
Figure 4C; NeuroD1(+)	CNT, n=6 KD, n=6	F(5,5)=4.677, P=0.1157	Unpaired t test	t(10)=4.338	P=0.0015
Figure 4D; DCX(+)	CNT, n=5 KD, n=6	F(4,5)=6.073, P=0.0740	Unpaired t test	t(9)=3.001	P=0.0149
Figure 4D; long-DCX(+)	CNT, n=5 KD, n=6	F(4,5)=7.397, P=0.0499	Unpaired t test with Welch's correction	t(4.902)=2.833	P=0.0374
Figure 4E; survival	CNT, n=5 KD, n=6	F(4,5)=1.843, P=0.5170	Unpaired t test	t(9)=1.486	P=0.1715
Figure 4E; BrdUNeuN	CNT, n=4 KD, n=5	F(3,4)=1.424, P=0.7193	Unpaired t test	t(7)=1.025	P=0.3394
Figure 5B; OFT	CNT, n=8 KD, n=11	Grubbs outlier (center)	CNT, n=1 KD, n=1	G=2.144 G=2.804	
distance	CNT, n=7 KD, n=10	F(6,9)=1.800, P=0.4109	Unpaired t test	t(15)=3.112	P=0.0071
center	CNT, n=7 KD, n=10	F(6,9)=1.202, P=0.7715	Unpaired t test	t(15)=1.714	P=0.1071
entry	CNT, n=7 KD, n=10	F(6,9)=3.532, P=0.13	Unpaired t test	t(15)=2.187	P=0.0450
Figure 5C; FST	CNT, n=7 KD, n=8	F(6,7)=2.652, P=0.2279	Unpaired t test	t(13)=0.6832	P=0.5065
Figure 5D; LMA	CNT, n=18 KD, n=17	F(17,16)=1.416, P=0.4915	Unpaired t test	t(33)=0.9365	P=0.3558
Figure 5E; SIT time in zone	CNT, n=17 KD, n=15	Two-way ANOVA	interaction	F(1,60)=0.5081	P=0.4787
			knockdown	F(1,60)=0.2990	P=0.5865
			social state	F(1,60)=41.97	P<0.0001
Figure 5F; EPM, Open	CNT, n=18 KD, n=17	F(17,16)=2.849, P=0.0419	Unpaired t test with Welch's correction	t(27.89)=2.054	P=0.0495
Figure 5F; EPM, Distance	CNT, n=18 KD, n=17	F(17,16)=1.683 P=0.3043	Unpaired t test	t(33)=2.329	P=0.0262

897
898

A

Dsp mRNA
in situ hybridization

CA1

CA3

DG

B

Dsp Immunohistochemistry

Dsp

DAPI

Merge

CA1

DG
ML
GCL
Hilus

200 μm

C

Dsp

NeuN

DAPI

Dsp/NeuN

Merge

50 μm

Dsp

NeuroD1

DAPI

Dsp/NeuroD1

Merge

50 μm

D

Dsp mRNA expression

E

sham x11

sham x20

Dsp/DAPI

ECS x11

ECS x20

20 μm

Figure 1

Figure 2

Figure 3

Figure 4

Figure 5