Microwave assisted acidification for green hydrogen and organic acids production

Maximilian Barth [1], Magdalena Werner [1], Pascal Otto [1], Benjamin Richwien [2], Samira

Bahramsari [2], Maximilian Krause [3], Benjamin Schwan [1], Christian Abendroth[*, 4]

*Corresponding author (E-Mail: christian.abendroth@b-tu.de)

[1] TUD Dresden University of Technology, Institute for Circular Economy, Pirna,

Germany

[2] Robert Boyle Institute e.V., Jena, Germany

[3] Dresden-concept Genome Center, CMCB Center for Molecular and Cellular

Bioengineering, TUD Dresden University of Technology, Dresden, Germany

[4] Department of Circular Economy, Brandenburg University of Technology Cottbus-

Senftenberg, Cottbus, Germany

Keywords: acidification, hydrogen production, volatile fatty acids production,

microwave heat shocks, 16S-rRNA sequencing, dark fermentation

Abstract

Background:

The integration of anaerobic digestion into bio-based industries can create synergies,

which help to make anaerobic digestion self-sustaining. Two-stage digesters with

separate acidification stages allow to produce green hydrogen and short-chain fatty acids,

which are promising industrial products. Heat shocks can be used to foster the production

of these products. However, the practical applicability is oft not addressed sufficiently.

The here presented work aims to close this gap.

Methods:

Batch experiments were conducted in 5 litre double-walled tank reactors incubated at

37 °C. Short microwave heat shocks of 25 min duration and exposure times of 5 - 10 min

at 80 °C were performed and compared to oven heat shocks. Pairwise experimental group

differences for gas production and chemical parameters were determined using ANOVA

and post-hoc tests. High-throughput 16S rRNA gene amplicon sequencing was performed

to analyse taxonomic profiles.

Results:

Heat shocking the entire seed sludge, the highest hydrogen productivity was observed at

a substrate load of 50 g/l with 1.09 mol H_2/mol hexose. With 1.01 mol H_2/mol hexose,

microwave assisted treatment was not significantly different from oven-based treatments.

The study emphasised the better repeatability of heat shocks with microwave-assisted

experiments, showing low variation coefficients averaging 29 %. Microwave pre-

treatment indicates a high predictability and a stronger microbiome shift to Clostridia than

the oven. The pre-treatment of heat shocks supported the formation of butyric acid up to

10.8 g/l in average and a peak of 24.01 g/l at a butyric/acetic acid ratio of 2.0.

Conclusion:

Results show the suitability to heat shock the entire seed sludge rather than just a small

inoculum, which makes the process more relevant for industrial application. A

microwave-based treatment has proven to be a promising alternative to oven-based

treatments, which ultimately might facilitate the implementation into industrial systems.

The approach becomes economically sustainable with high-temperature heat pumps with

a coefficient of performance (COP) of 4.3.

Keywords:

acidification, hydrogen production, volatile fatty acids production, sewage sludge,

microwave heat shocks, 16S-rRNA sequencing, dark fermentation

1 Background

Anaerobic digestion (AD) is a technology that enables the production of methane from various organic resources and residues, such as straw and corn stover [1], chicken manure [2], food waste [3]. The potential for generating methane from biodegradable waste presents an interesting prospect. However, it faces significant challenges when compared to established technologies that utilize fossil fuels. In Germany, the monetary shortcoming is counterbalanced based on the German Renewable Energy Sources Act [4]. Therefore, technological and conceptual advancements are required to make AD a self-sustained technology. A possibility to achieve this is to intertwine AD with existing bio-based industries creating synergetic effects. For instance, Sawatdeenarunat et al. discussed the possibility of producing valuable side products from extracted solids, the digestate or the biogas itself, as syngas, methanol, butanol and others [5]. A particularly promising approach to produce valuable side products is the application of two-stage digesters with a separated acidification stage. Using high loading rates, acidogenic microorganisms allow for the accumulation of short-chain fatty acids (C2 – C5), which are important platform chemicals [6]. Under specific conditions even a chain elongation can be achieved during the digestion process, yielding medium-chain carboxylic acids [7]. Another promising metabolite formed during AD is hydrogen [8]. Particularly, a two-stage digestion process is well suited for microbial hydrogen production [9]. Using acidic pre-treatment stages of anaerobic digesters for hydrogen production is a process, which is very similar to dark fermentation. Dark fermentation approaches are extensively described in the literature and mixed culture fermentation was applied for microbial hydrogen production [10–12]. AD involves around 300 operational taxonomic units, which cover 80 % of all reads from 16S rRNA gene amplicon high throughput sequencing

of samples belonging to 32 full-scale digester plants [13]. Such a high diversity makes it

difficult to achieve a stable microbiome that yields a predictable outcome of hydrogen.

Another problem that occurs when coupling a dark fermentation stage with a

methanogenic reactor is the immigration of methanogens. Although high organic loading

rates (OLR) and, low pH levels tend to suppress methanogenesis [14, 15], the formation

of methane during dark fermentation has been described before [16]. Interestingly, heat

shocks can help to optimise this problem. Although methanogenesis is not fully

suppressed, it has been indicated recently that heat shocks can reduce the amount of

methane, which is produced in separated acidification stages [17]. The fact that heat

shocks can simultaneously suppress methanogenesis and contribute to an enrichment of

hydrogen-forming bacteria makes them particularly interesting. Although several

research articles already address the application of inoculum heat-shocks to improve

hydrogen formation, the authors of the present work have barely detected scientific

articles, where the whole digesting mix was heat shocked. In respect to an industrial

application, the treatment of the complete seed sludge would be more practical. The

presented work closes this research gap by investigating the industrial suitability of heat-

shocks for separated acidification stages heating up the entire seed sludge.

The utilisation of existing waste streams in the form of various fermentation residues

from biogas production offers new cascading recycling stages through heat shock pre-

treatment. For the first time, the application of microwaves was assessed in comparison

to heat shocks in the oven. Further, the effect of heat shocks on the underlying

microbiome and the co-production of volatile fatty acids in the acidification stage were

investigated.

2 Methods

2.1 Experimental set-up

Six identical double-walled tank reactors (3.3 l working volume) from the company Lehmann-UMT GmbH (Germany) were applied (Figure 1A). The batch experiments were fed with different loading rates of 50, 75 and 100 g/l of sucrose. All reactors were operated at 37 ± 1 °C.

The entire digestion mixture was subjected to heat shocks before being filled into the reactor. Different methods of heating were tested. For the heat shock, the slurry was heated using both oven and microwave. By oven, the sludge was incubated at 130°C in 1 litre Schott flasks for up to 3 hours. By microwave, the sludge was heated in 5 l measuring cups at 1000 W power output for only 25 min. Both methods were used to heat the sludge up to 80-90 °C, to eliminate hydrogen consuming microorganisms. The incubation times and heating curves are shown in Figure 1B. After the heat shock, the sludge was cooled down to 40 °C. Mohanakrishna and Pengadeth reported that heat shocks are often performed in the range of 65 to 121 °C and with exposure times between 1 to 10 h [18]. In the present work, the temperature of the heat shock is up to 80 °C $\pm$ 1 °C and the exposure times only at 5-10 min for the microwave and 10-20 min for the oven pre-treatment. These lower conditions were chosen based on the experiments of Wong et al. [19] with the aim to reduce the heat shock duration and exposure time even further. In their experiments, they had shown that effective heat shock pre-treatment of anaerobic sludge could be achieved at lower temperatures (65-85 °C) and for 45-60 min. Considering that the entire fermentation mix was heat treated in the present work, lower temperatures and shorter incubation times were preferred to be more energy efficient.

The experiments tested two conditions: feeding rate and heat shock method. Three

feeding scenarios (50, 75, 100 g/l) were combined with three treatment methods (control,

microwave, oven). To ensure high statistical confidence, each scenario was repeated at

least six times, resulting in a total of 69 data points analysed. The data was composed of

29 control experiments, 20 oven pretreated experiments, and 20 microwave pretreated

experiments.

*Figure 1: **(A)** Experimental set-up: double-walled reactors (1) with a volume of 5 l each.*

Each reactor was filled through an input port (2) and acidified hydrolysate was removed

through a port at the bottom (3). For heating, a water pipe was connected to the input (9)

and output (10) ports of the double-wall clearance. Water was heated using a thermostat

(6). Produced gas was measured by a MilliGascounter (5), connected with a tube (4),

and stored in a gas bag (7). The time-resolved gas production was recorded with an

*Arduino (8) connected to the gas counter. **(B)** Average incubation time for heat shocking*

up to a minimum of 80 °C for pre-treatment with oven (set to 130 °C) and microwave (set

*to 1000 W). **(C)** Substrate variation tests: Comparison of hydrogen production with*

sucrose, mixed rye bread, gelatine and coconut oil as feeding substrates and sewage

sludge as inoculum. Both control and heat shock (oven and microwave) scenarios were

tested.

2.2   Inoculum and Substrate

Dark fermentation experiments were performed, using digested sewage sludge as seed

sludge. Substrates that are rich in proteins and fats were tested in a first set of experiments

to evaluate their suitability in a heat shock context, in addition to carbohydrates

(Figure 1C). Based on the better performance sucrose was chosen as the carbon source

for the ongoing experiments. The sewage sludge was retrieved from a digester of a

municipal wastewater treatment plant in Saxony, Germany. Table 1 shows the working

parameters of the wastewater treatment plant.

175 *Table 1: Working parameters and digested sludge parameters of the wastewater*

176 *treatment plant in Saxony.*

Parameter	Value
Temperature	30 – 35 °C
Solids retention time (SRT)	16.5 days
pH	7.6
Dry matter content (DM)	2.8 g/l
Ammonium content (NH_4-N)	1109 mg/l
Total organic carbon (TOC)	336.7 mg/g
Soluble chemical oxygen demand (COD)	1220 mg/l
Dissolved organic carbon (DOC)	329.9 mg/l
Total volatile fatty acids (TVFA)	27.1 mg/l
Lactic acid	-
Formic acid	4.5 mg/l
Acetic acid	16.4 mg/l
Propionic acid	1.9 mg/l
Iso-butyric acid	0.8 mg/l
Butyric acid	3.5 mg/l
Valeric acid	-
Calcium	118.5 mg/l
Magnesium	33.7 mg/l
Potassium	178.3 mg/l
Sodium	16.5 mg/l
Copper	-
Zinc	160.3 mg/l
Nickel	53 mg/l
Molybdenum	-
Manganese	54.5 mg/l

2.3   Analytical methods

2.3.1   Gas volume and composition

During the fermentation process, the produced gas was collected in gas bags (Tesseraux,

Germany) and continuously quantified using a RITTER MilliGascounter (Bochum,

Germany). Based on the guideline VDI 4630 from the Association of German Engineers

(2016), the gas volume was normalised to standard temperature (273 K) and standard

pressure (1013 hPa).

The gas composition, consisting of hydrogen (H_2), carbon dioxide (CO_2), methane (CH_4)

was determined using a BlueVary gas analyser from BlueSens (Herten, Germany). To

ensure anaerobic conditions, the oxygen (O_2) and hydrogen sulphide (H_2S) content was

measured using the X-AM 8000 gas detector by Dräger (Lübeck, Germany).

The amount of hydrogen sulphide was very low (usually a few ppm), and therefore, it

was neglected in the later results. To calculate the overall yield, the gas contained in the

headspace was considered as well. The yield of hydrogen was estimated using equation

1 considering the density and the molar mass of hydrogen, the input mass and molar mass

of the substrate (sucrose) and the number of hexose molecules per molecule of sucrose.

The equation assumes that the substrate is completely consumed.

$$y = \frac{V(H_2) \times \rho(H_2) \times M(Sucrose)}{(M(H_2) \times m(Sucrose) \times N(Sucrose))} \qquad [1]$$

y… yield [mol H_2/mol Hexose]

V(H_2) … generated volume of hydrogen [Nl]

ρ(H_2) … density of hydrogen [g H_2/Nl]; (0,0899 g H_2/Nl)

M(Sucrose) … molar mass of sucrose [g Suc/mol Suc]; (342,3 g Suc/mol Suc)

M(H_2) … molar mass of hydrogen [gH_2/molH_2]; (2,01588 g H_2/mol H_2)

m(Sucrose) … mass of sucrose [g Suc/l Input]

N(Sucrose)… number of hexose molecules per sucrose molecule; (1.9)

2.3.2   Digestate analysis

For chemical analysis of the digestate, samples of 300 ml of the initial sludge were taken

before heat shock and samples from each reactor after a complete fermentation phase.

The solubilised COD was measured in the liquid phase after centrifugation at

1200 relative centrifugal force (RCF) and subsequent vacuum filtration through a 0.2 µm

cellulose-acetate filter (Sartorius AG, Göttingen, Germany). The COD was determined

using the Spectroquant COD kit (VWR, Germany) according to the manufacturer's

guidelines. The spectrum of VFAs including lactic acid, formic acid, acetic acid,

propionic acid, iso-butyric acid, butyric acid and valeric acid was determined by ion

chromatography (IC). A cation exchange column (Metrosep Organic Acids 250/7.8

column; Model: 882 Compact IC plus, Metrohm AG, Herisau, Switzerland) was used for

this purpose. The mobile phase had a concentration of 0.6 mmol/l of perchloric acid and

10 mmol/l of lithium chloride. The detection limit was 0.25 mg/l. TVFAs were analysed

as the sum of all detected VFAs. Initially, heavy metals were measured by microwave

digestion and Inductively Coupled Plasma (ICP) (PerkinElmer Optical-Emission

Spectrometer Optima 8000/ S10 Autosampler). Nitrogen contents including total

Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN) and NH_4-N, were determined according to ISO 5663.

2.4   Metagenomics analysis of the microbial community

For microbiome analysis with 16S rRNA gene amplicon high-throughput sequencing, an

aliquot of 3 ml of each sample stored in ethanol was centrifuged and washed with sterile

phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) until the supernatant was clear. DNA was extracted from

the resulting pellets using a Qiagen DNeasy PowerSoil Kit. DNA was quantified using

the Qubit 1x dsDNA Kit from ThermoFisher. The extracted metagenomic DNA was used

to amplify the hypervariable region V3-V4 of the 16S ribosomal RNA gene. The

conserved regions V3 and V4 (470 bp) of the 16S rRNA gene were amplified using PCR

cycling: initial denaturation at 95 °C for 3 min; 25 amplification cycles (20 s at 98 °C,

15 s at 60 °C, 30 s at 72 °C); and 5 min extension at 72 °C. The following primers were

used:

- 341F (5' ACACTCTTCCCTACACGACGCTCTTCCGATCT NNNNN

CCTAYGGGRBGCASCAG 3') and

- 806R (5' GTGACTGGAGTTCAGACGTGTGCTCTTCCGATCT

GGACTACNNGGGTATCTAAT 3').

Amplification was performed using the Thermo Scientific Phusion High-Fidelity DNA

polymerase kit. The purification of the amplicons was carried out after each PCR with

the 1x v/v AmPure XP beads from Beckmann Coulter. The purified 16S amplicons were

indexed with a second PCR containing TruSeq Illumina Indexing Primers (cycling

conditions 98 °C 30 s denaturation, 98 °C 15sec, 65 °C 75 s, 10 cycles, final elongation

5 min 65 °C, 4 °C overnight). Final libraries were again purified with 1x v/v AmPure XP

beads and quality-assessed on an Agilent FragmentAnalyzer (1-6000bp NGS Kit).

Resulting libraries were equimolarly pooled and sequenced. Sequencing was performed

using 2X250pb or 2 × 300pb paired-end cycle runs on an Illumina MiSeq instrument. The

Illumina raw sequences were loaded into Qiime2 (v. 2021.2.0) [20]. The quality of the

sequences was checked with the Demux plugin and the DA-DA2 pipeline integrated in

Qiime2. It was used to trim and join the sequences, remove chimaeras and detect

amplicon sequence variants (ASVs) (> 99.9 % similarity). The taxonomy of each

sequence variant was determined using SILVA [21] as the reference database for

taxonomic assignment.

2.5 Statistical analysis

For the basic statistical evaluation of the test series, each with at least six measured values,

the median was selected to better represent the tendency of the individual test results. To

test the experimental groups for statistically significant group differences, the Levene test

was first used as a test procedure for homogeneity of variance. Unlike the Bartlett test,

this test is significantly more robust when populations are not normally distributed [22].

For experimental groups that did not have homogeneity of variance, a WELCH ANOVA

was performed [22, 23]. The groups with homogeneity of variance were compared using

classic ANOVA. Pairwise experimental group differences were determined using post-

hoc tests. For this purpose, the Tukey-Kramer test [24, 25] and, for groups without

homogeneity of variance, the Games Howell test was used [26]. For all tests, the

significance level was set at $\alpha = 0.05$.

3   Results

3.1   Dark mixed culture fermentation with different substrates

The hydrogen production for the fatty substrates resulted in general low rates with

maximums of 0.32 Nl/l for gelatine and 0.47 Nl/l for coconut oil. The protein-rich mixed

rye bread produced a maximum hydrogen yield of 4.46 Nl/l with oven pre-treatment and

4.07 Nl/l with microwave pre-treatment. However, it was below the results obtained with

50 g/l and 100 g/l sucrose. The respective hydrogen yields are shown in Figure 1C. In the

control group without treatment, a hydrogen yield of only 0.62 Nl/l was achieved when

loaded with 100 g/l sucrose. In contrast, both heat shock scenarios resulted in a hydrogen

production of 6.42 Nl/l at this high feeding overload. Highest hydrogen production was

achieved with 50 g/l sucrose and oven heat pre-treatment of the sludge. Overall, the heat-

treated trials produced twice as much total gas compared to the untreated control.

3.2   Combination of heat shock pre-treatment and high feeding rates

As shown in Figure 1C, fats and proteins could not match the hydrogen production rates

of sugar in the dark fermentation combined with heat shock. Therefore, subsequent

experiments were focused on very high feeding rates of sucrose as substrate. As shown

in Figure 2, different sucrose loading rates (50, 75 and 100 g/l) were compared in terms

of hydrogen production, to determine the optimal substrate concentration. Assuming an

idealised uniform consumption of the substrate, this would correspond to loading rates of

7, 11 and 14 g COD/l*d. The highest average hydrogen yields in mol H_2 per mol hexose

were obtained within the heat shock-treated experiments, with a loading of 50 g/l sucrose

(Figure 2C). Microwave and oven pretreated sludges showed hydrogen formation

efficiencies of 0.51 and 0.95 mol H_2/mol hexose, respectively. The peak values for the

hydrogen   efficiencies   were   measured   at   1.01   (microwave)   and

1.09 mol H_2/mol hexose (oven) both with a loading rate of 50 g/l sucrose.

The untreated control showed the highest median hydrogen formation at 100 g/l sucrose

with 0.2 mol H_2/mol hexose, corresponding to 0.77 l hydrogen per litre working volume.

In the heat-treated experiments, the lowest median hydrogen yield was produced with

100 g/l sucrose, with 0.39 and 0.33 mol H_2/mol hexose for microwave and oven pre-

treatment. As depicted in Figures 3A and B, increasing the feeding rates led to a rise in

both the total volume and the absolute quantity of hydrogen, but only in the experiments

that underwent microwave pre-treatment. Conversely, in the oven-treated experiments,

the volumes decreased, leading to an inverse effect. Consequently, the efficiency of

hydrogen formation in the oven experiments dropped significantly by approximately two-

thirds from 50 g/l to 100 g/l. However, the decrease in hydrogen yield with microwave

pre-treatment was only 28 %. In the untreated control experiments, there was only a slight

change in gas volumes.

Figure 2A illustrates that the heat shocks significantly increased both the total gas volume

and absolute hydrogen production (Figure 2B) and improved the hydrogen formation

efficiency (Figure 2C) compared to the untreated control experiments. In the heat shock

trials (both oven and microwave), methane production was successfully eliminated

(Figure 2D).

As a result of the heat treatments, the relative standard deviation of the hydrogenation

efficiency increased by 49 % on average in the microwave pre-treatment and by 70 % in

the oven pre-treatment. The standard deviation of the oven tests was on average 32 %

higher than in the microwave tests. The pre-treatment with the oven was overall related

to higher gas production compared to the microwave. However, the boxplots of the series

of experiments show that the microwave shocks reduced the scatter of the results, an

effect that was not observed in the experiments with oven treatment.

Distinct observations were made at a loading rate of 50 g/l sucrose. Here, the oven

demonstrated a significant increase in absolute hydrogen production and consequently in

hydrogen formation efficiency (Figure 2B and 2C). A median of 5.92 l H_2/l sludge was

produced, corresponding to a hydrogen yield of 0.95 mol H_2/mol hexose. For both heat

shock methods, the median hydrogen formation efficiency shows a decreasing trend as

the loading rate increases. This pattern is reflected in the total volume and hydrogen

volume for the oven-based heat shock experiments. In contrast, specific hydrogen

production increases with increasing feeding rate in the microwave pre-treatment

experiments (Figure 2C). Concurrently, the microwave-assisted hydrogen production

efficiency remains more constant, decreasing by only 22 % from 50 g/l to 100 g/l (65 %

decrease for oven pre-treatment). The distribution of gas volume indicates that in all

experiments involving heat shock pre-treatment, the total hydrogen content in the gas

increased to approximately 40 % for microwave and 41 % for oven pretreated. This is a

significant increase when compared to the median of the control at 18 % H_2 (Figure 2D).

While in microwave-treated approaches the relative hydrogen fraction increased slightly

from 38 % to 42 %, it remained constant at 40 % to 42 % in the oven-treated experiments.

In both heat shock methods, the highest relative hydrogen content was obtained in the

experiments with 100 g/l sucrose. Methane was not produced in any of the heat-treated

trials. The residual portion of the gas mixture consisted entirely of carbon dioxide.

In general, thermal pre-treatment increased the hydrogen production capacity of

anaerobic sludge in dark fermentation experiments by at least a factor of 3.6 for

microwave and a factor of 5.3 for oven compared to untreated experiments. The

maximum increase of 6.8 times was obtained in oven-treated trials at 75 g/l loading rate

and in microwave-assisted trials by 6.5 times at 100 g/l loading rate (microwave: 50 g/l -

3.6 times, 75 g/l - 5.4 times; oven: 50 g/l - 6.6 times, 100 g/l - 5.3 times). The strongest

increase of 1.9-fold in the oven-treated trials over those with microwave treatment was

achieved at a loading rate of 50 g/l. However, this did not result in statistically significant

differences between microwave and oven pre-treatment in terms of overall hydrogen

volume (p-values for all loading rates in Figure 4A). At 100 g/l feeding rate, no

significant group differences between the control and oven for total gas volume ($p = 0.08$)

and hydrogen content ($p = 0.14$) could be determined. For both the 50 g/l and 75 g/l

loading rate experiments, there were statistically significant differences ($p < 0.05$)

between both heath shock scenarios and control for the parameters hydrogen volume,

hydrogen fraction, efficiency, and total gas volume.

*Figure 2: Application of heat shocks to optimise hydrogen productivity: Different concentrations of substrate (sucrose) and different pre-treatments (oven and microwave) were compared. **(A)** Maximal gas productivity and **(B)** the highest hydrogen production were reached with substrate concentration of 50 g/l and pretreated with oven heat shock. All fermentations were performed at least 5 times. **(C)** The highest hydrogen efficiency was achieved with 50 g/l sucrose both in microwave and oven experiments. **(D)** shows the gas composition depending on the feeding amount and pre-treatment. The median values*

were linked to a trend line in order to better visualise the effects of the different loading

rates.

3.3　Microbiome taxonomy

The effect of microwave heat shock on the taxonomic profile and diversity of the

microbiome was determined using 16S rRNA gene amplicon high-throughput

sequencing. To show the range of microbiome changes, the edges of the test spectrum

were defined and analysed at 25 g/l and 100 g/l sucrose. The gas formation efficiency,

composition, and acid formation with 25 g/l sucrose were generally similar to the results

obtained with 50 g/l but were slightly lower overall. The purpose of evaluating the

microbiome at loading rate of 25 g/l was to reflect the taxonomic shifts as a result of

overfeeding less strongly. Microwave treatment effectively eliminated non-spore formers

and homogenised the microbiome towards the class Clostridia. In experiments with

100 g/l overfeeding, a similar effect was observed, but with slightly lower abundances of

Clostridia. With a loading rate of 25 g/l sucrose Clostridia predominantly colonised the

microwave-treated substrates at an average abundance of 91 % (100 g/l – 84 %). As

depicted in Figure 3A, the microbial growth community in the microwave-treated

reactions was composed of 51 % to 69 % *Clostridium sensu stricto 1*. In contrast, the

genera *Streptococcus* and *Lactobacillus* dominated the control experiments, making up

49 % to 56 % and 63 % of the total microbiome. The heat shock succeeded in completely

suppressing *Lactobacillus*, a lactic acid producer. Larger amounts of the genus

Romboutsia were found in all scenarios with an average abundance of 8 %. The genus

Paraclostridium was found in highly overfeeded experiments only with abundances

of 4 % to 7 %. Microwave heat shock pre-treatment resulted in a reduction of observed

species diversity by an average of 15 % (25 g/l) and 20% (100 g/l) compared to the

control, as shown in Figure 3B. While the Shannon index showed no difference in

diversity for 25 g/l, the Simpson index indicated a reduction in species diversity for both

loading rates in the microwave-treated trials. Control scenarios exhibited a higher

evenness, while microwave treated microbiomes pointing to a highly uneven distribution

of species. The strong shift towards *Clostridium sensu stricto 1* and the decrease in

absolute species number in the heat-treated experiments led to a more uneven distribution

of species. This generally low equal distribution is also reflected in the taxonomic profile,

which shows that an average of 28 % of the microbiome consists of smaller groups of

microorganisms with less than 5 % relative abundance (Figure 3A).

Figure 3: **(A)** *Microbial community on genus level as relative abundances differentiated according to pre-treatment and sucrose loading rate. Only the most abundant microorganisms with abundance > 5 % are presented. Others with abundances below*

5 % are summarised as others < 5.0 %. (B) Alpha Diversity of the microbiome for genus

level data of microwave and control samples, loading rates of 25 and 100 g/l of sucrose.

3.4   Production of volatile fatty acids

In general, the TVFA production was approximately 30 to 40 g/l for heat-shocked

experiments and between 35 and 55 g/l for non-pretreated ones as a result of the higher

formic acid production. The thermal pretreated experiments demonstrated a significant

shift of the produced acids towards butyric acid. In heat-shocked experiments, the molar

ratio of butyric acid to acetic acid (HBut/HAc) was in a range from 1.13 to 2.05

(Figure 4B). Without thermal pre-treatment, the HBut/HAc ratio was between 0.34 and

0.59. At a loading rate of 100 g/l of sucrose and due to microwave pre-treatment, the

butyric acid concentration increased 2.95-fold up to 8.29 g/l. In contrast, the

concentration of formic acid decreased to 13.14 g/l (1.93-fold). The heat shock pre-

treatment reduced the accumulation of formic acid over all loading rates by 1.85-fold

average. With the oven pre-treatment and 50 g/l loading rate, the highest average butyric

acid concentration was reached at 10.80 g/l followed by microwave with 9.48 g/l.

Microwave pre-treatment led to a single peak concentration of 24.01 g/l butyric acid at a

loading rate of 50 g/l sucrose. The amount of acetic acid was hardly influenced by the

pre-treatment, neither by the oven nor the microwave. Of the seven VFA analysed,

formic, acetic and butyric acid were the most frequent represented (in total >95 %). In the

untreated controls, 2.73 g/l butyric acid and 29.03 g/l formic acid were formed at the same

loading rate of 50 g/l. None of the experiments contained larger amounts of propionic

(maximum of 0.18 g/l) or lactic acid (maximum of 1 g/l). Significant concentrations of

iso-butyric acid (0.02 g/l) and valeric acid (0.01 g/l) could not be determined in any of

 the tests. After an experimental period of seven days, pH values of 3.98 on average were

 measured in all experiments. At the start of the experiments, the pH value was 7.56.

Figure 4: **(A)** *Graphical representation of statistical significance (significant for*

p< 0.05) of group comparisons for hydrogen and total gas volumes, hydrogen formation

efficiency and hydrogen fraction. The marker in the grey area indicates a significant

difference between the respective comparison groups. **(B)** *Production of volatile fatty*

acids (median) differentiated by treatment method and feeding amount. Volatile fatty

acids were analysed in triplicates in all cases. **(C)** *Exemplary course of gas production*

over seven days and **(D)** *first 24 hours for untreated (control) and heat shock treated*

(oven and microwave). Performed with sewage sludge and 75 g/l sucrose as feeding

substrate.

3.5   The kinetics of hydrogen production after heat shock application

In the untreated experiments, gas production starts immediately after adding the substrate

(Figure 4C and 4D, with 50 g/l sucrose as substrate). This was followed by a rapid

accumulation of biogas over the next 7 hours. After approximately 16 hours, a noticeable

plateau in the gas production was reached, which comes to an almost complete standstill

after about 96 hours. In contrast, total gas production in the heat shock experiments did

not start until 6 hours after feeding but then ascends steeply and continuously until the

36th hour. The subsequent rise is significant less steep compared to the control

experiment and nearly ceases entirely after 108 hours. This pattern was observed with

both pre-treatment, oven and microwave. The rate of gas formation in the heat-treated

trials was less steep compared to the untreated control (Figure 4C), yet the robust gas

formation remained active for almost twice as long (30 hours) compared to the control

trials (16 hours). The experiments demonstrated that heat shock pre-treatment leads to

more consistent and prolonged gas production, significantly increases the total gas

volume, albeit with a delay in gas production for the initial six hours.

The statistical significance was assessed on the basis of an ANOVA (Figure 4A) in order

to better recognise whether the differences between the various treatments were

significant. For example, at a loading rate of 100 g/l of sucrose, there is no significant

difference between microwave and oven assisted pre-treatment regarding hydrogen

volume, total gas volume, efficiency and hydrogen percentage (Figure 4A).

4   Discussion

4.1   Influence of substrate and feeding rate

Several research papers conclude that carbohydrate-rich substrates such as sugar, starch,

or cellulose are especially effective for dark fermentation [27–29]. In addition to testing

these suitable substrates, protein-rich and fat-containing substrates were also tested. The

aim was to determine the suitability or unsuitability of these substrates in combination

with heat shock pre-treatment of the entire initial sludge. The microbiome generated by

the heat shock pre-treatment was not able to effectively metabolise the alternative

substrates.

4.2   Application of shorter heat shocks to high-loaded dark fermentation processes

Saady [30] states that inhibiting H_2-consuming microorganisms, such as

hydrogenotrophic methanogens, homoacetogens, lactic acid bacteria, propionate-

producing bacteria, and sulphate reducers, is a crucial step in H_2 production by dark

fermentation in mixed microbial communities. In many studies, heat shocks are used to

generate a small volume of enriched hydrogen-producing microbiome, which is then

inoculated into a medium [31–33].

The study carried out here has shown that the use of already fermented sewage sludge

from anaerobic biogas production expands the usability of this waste stream in terms of

cascade recycling and increasing its industrial relevance. This strategy allows the

selection of spore-forming hydrogen-producing microorganisms and the inhibition of

non-spore-forming hydrogen microorganisms from heterogeneous microflora. Processes

involving heterogeneous microflora are considered more suitable than pure cultures due

to their simpler process control and efficient substrate conversion, provided that the

medium undergoes pre-treatment [34]. Pineda-Muñoz et al. succeeded in generating high

hydrogen yields by combining heat shock and ultrasonic treatment but did not completely

suppress methane production despite nearly identical heat shock conditions [35]. Singhal

and Singh investigated the effect of different microwave intensities on an inoculum [33].

In contrast to the study conducted here, they did not treat the entire sludge, but only a

small inoculum of 20% of the total volume. Their focus was on the different levels of

irradiation and not on the heat shock itself, which is why they carried out the treatment

for only 5 minutes. They achieved a maximum hydrogen yield of 14 mmol H2/mol

sucrose with microwave pretreatment at power levels from 160 W to 800 W.

With the shorter microwave heat shocks (compared to conventional oven heat shocks) at

a lower temperature, it was possible to completely inhibit methane production in the

current experiments. There are other researchers, who also tried to inhibit methanogenesis

due to heat shocks. For instance, Hasyim et al. conducted a shock at 105 °C for 20 min in

an autoclave [36], while Wang et al. performed a 70 °C heat shock for 60 min with the

support of free ammonia [32] to fully inhibit methane production. Although [36] and [32]

were successful in inhibiting methanogens, the microwave has the advantage of heating

the sludge medium 6.4 times faster than with an oven. The experiments conducted here

have demonstrated that even shorter and less energy-intensive heat shocks can completely

eliminate methane production and, thereby, facilitate biological hydrogen production

from sewage sludge. It should be noted that the experiments were performed in batch. In

a continuous set-up, it would still be conceivable that methanogens would gradually adapt

to the temperature shocks.

The recorded hydrogen formation rates are relatively low compared to more recent studies

[37–39], but it is important to note that the present results were achieved despite heavy

overfeeding. It must be considered that the current values correspond to the median of a

minimum of six tests each. Compared to other works, the present results stand out due to

the high number of replicates, which enabled a more robust and meaningful statistical

analysis to evaluate the generated data. Considering the increased formation of butyric

acid (Figure 4B), a theoretical maximum hydrogen yield of 2 mol H_2/mol hexose is

possible [40]. With 1.09 and 1.01 mol H_2/mol hexose in the present study, the achieved

is much lower than the theoretical yield. Nevertheless, these results are in a similar range

to Abdallah et al. who reached 1.1 mol H_2/ mol hexose in a similar set-up. As in the here

presented results, Abdallah et al. used no pH control, however, they used lower loading

rates of 25 g/l [41]. Overall, the results indicate a high efficiency in the present

experiments, even under high overloading rates and fast acidification due to missing pH

control. In most studies, only triplicates were carried out and slightly higher hydrogen

efficiencies were achieved. Compared to the high number of repeated experiments in the

current study, other studies have a lower statistical certainty. Differences to other studies

can arise due to the influence of the sludge used. It is possible that better results can be

achieved with sewage sludge from other plants or with fermentation sludge from

agricultural biogas plants. The composition of the sludge is often subject to seasonal

fluctuations and therefore has a major influence on the fermentation performance.

Hydrogen yield could potentially be increased further by pH controlling, since pH values

of 4.0 to 4.5 harm hydrogen production [42]. This could explain the decrease in hydrogen

formation as the loading rate increases. Increased overfeeding acidifies the reactor much

faster and inhibits hydrogen formation. Gioannis et al. investigated the effect of pH on

hydrogen formation. They found out that the highest hydrogen production was attained

at pH 6.0 [43].

Compared to the untreated control the hydrogen formation for 75 g/l loading rate was

enhanced 6 times with microwave pre-treatment and 7.3 times with oven pre-treatment.

These findings align with those reported by Baghchehsaraee et al. and O-Thong et al.,

who reported a 5.1 (80°C for 30 min) and 7.9-fold (100°C for 1 h) increase, as a result of

thermal pre-treatments [42, 44]. The experiments carried out here showed a high standard

deviation even if the experimental conditions stayed the same. However, the standard

deviation of the hydrogen efficiency could be slightly reduced in trials with 50 g/l sucrose

feed and strongly reduced with 75 g/l sucrose feed by using the microwave for pre-

treatment.

4.3   Taxonomic shifts due to heat shocks

The stability of AD systems is related to a higher diversity of species [45]. However, by

exposing the microbiome to extreme conditions, a robust microbiome can be created. In

this study, stable hydrogen production was achieved despite a decline in the diversity of

species recorded and a strong dominance of *Clostridium sensu stricto 1*. The process and

the microbial diversity were limited by the high production of organic acids and the

resulting rapid drop in pH. The predictability of the microbiome was significantly higher

and more consistent due to the microwave heat shock pre-treatment. Additionally, the

growth of *Clostridium sensu stricto 1* was more dominant than in the oven pretreated

experiments. The strong shift in the microbiome towards Clostridia due to heat shock pre-

treatment corresponds to the expectations according to the literature as they had higher

relative abundances in thermophilic digesters and are known spore-formers [46].

Unfavourable conditions such as high temperatures make it difficult for non-spore-

forming organisms to survive. As a result, almost exclusively the spore-forming Bacillota

in the form of *Clostridiaceae* were enriched explosively in the heat-treated experiments.

Tang et al. observed a similar shift to *Clostridium sensu stricto 1* in their experiments

when the initial pH was increased from 4.0 to 11.0 [47]. In the present experiments the

starting pH was at 7.5 and was rapidly decreasing to 4.0 to 4.5 after 48 h.

In the control experiments, the Bacilli were able to gain a growth advantage instead, as

they were already more strongly represented in the initial sludge. Interestingly, the

relative abundance of the class Bacteroidia remains unchanged in all experiments

around 4 %. In comparative studies, a high relative abundance of Bacteroidetes is often

found in single-stage biogas processes [48, 49]. In the experiments of Chen et al. [50]

the relative abundance of Bacteroidetes increased strongly with organic overload.

Bacteroidota-coupled secondary fermentation processes normally carry out biological

acid degradation. Both the control and the heat shock experiments showed the same

abundances of Bacteroidota, why it is likely that these were inhibited primarily by the

overfeeding and less by the heat shock.

In the microwave pretreated experiments *Clostridium sensu stricto 1* showed a strong

dominance. This genus describes strictly anaerobic fermenting spore-formers [51].

During the metabolisation of sugars and proteins, the main fermentation products are

butyric and acetic acid [52]. The genus is also able to produce lactic acid and ethanol,

propanol or butanol. In the present experiments, members of *Clostridium sensu stricto 1*

were able to produce large amounts of butyric acid even under severe overfeeding rates,

demonstrating their robustness under extreme conditions. They probably benefited more

from the high sucrose load. The population of the genus *Romboutsia*, which comprises

around 8% of the microbiome, remained almost unaffected by the heat shock pre-

treatment with slightly higher abundances in the control experiments. According to

Gerritsen et al. [53] , *Romboutsia* is a potential acetogen, capable of assimilating carbon

via the Wood-Ljungdahl pathway (reductive acetyl-CoA pathway). The consistent

relative abundance of *Romboutsia* partly explains the high formic acid concentrations

observed in all experiments (Figure 4B). They ferment sugar and metabolise it mainly to

acetate, formate and lactate [54]. The control experiments showed that the microbiome

was dominated by *Streptococci* at the lower loading rate of 25 g/l sucrose. These are

facultative anaerobes that ferment glucose under anaerobic conditions to produce lactic

acid, acetic acid, propionic acid, and formic acid [55]. Only the untreated experiments

showed an increase in propionic acid concentrations compared to the initial

concentrations in the inoculation sludge. It is probable that the propionic acid formers in

the sludge, like *Streptococcus*, lack mechanisms to survive high temperatures, unlike

spore formers. It is not reported that *Streptococci* produce any gas. This suggests that the

carbon dioxide in the untreated experiments was produced by the other microorganisms

present. The *Streptococci* growth was severely inhibited by heat shock pre-treatment. A

small populations of the spore-forming *Paeniclostridium* [56] were only present in the

heat-treated experiments. The results suggest that the class benefited from the heat shock

treatment.

4.4   Bio-based production of short-chain organic acids

Large quantities of acids were formed in both the untreated and the heat shock-treated

experiments. In combination with the low pH value at the end of the test series and the

results of the microbiome analysis, it can be assumed that no effective acid degradation

takes place in any of the tests and that instead there is a strong accumulation of acids.

While the total acid concentration remains very similar regardless of the treatment and

loading rate (except for the control with 75 g/l of sucrose), the proportion of individual

acids changes as a result of the heat shock pre-treatment.

The butyric acid to acetic acid molar ratio curves show that the heat shock initialised the

production of less acetic acid. Instead, the amount of butyric acid increased 2.7 times.

The high HBut/HAc molar ratios for all heat shocked experiments (Figure 4B) indicate

that hydrogen was produced via the butyrate pathway [35]. Without heat pre-treatment,

the HBut/HAc ratio shows that hydrogen was accordingly formed via the acetate

pathway.

While in experiments with microwave treatment the butyric acid concentration increases

with heavy overfeeding (100 g/l), it decreases with increasing loading in experiments

with oven pre-treatment. The heat pre-treatment reduced the variety of acids, as no

propionic acid was formed anymore. Both lactic and propionic acid had very low

concentrations, which corresponds to results of Fang and Liu [57]. Although, their

formation is typical for processes with high loading rates [43, 58]. Therefore, it can be

suggested that only a few propionic acid formers were already present in the seed sludge

and that the conditions tested did not favour their growth. The experiments demonstrated

the feasibility of producing high concentrations of bio-based butyric acid through dark

fermentation processes by combining microwave heat shocks and heavy overfeeding. To

increase the formation capacity of organic acids, it is recommended to improve buffering

capacity, control pH value, and constantly remove organic acids. According to Pineda-

Muñoz et al., decreasing pH leads to increased formation of acetic and formic acid [35].

Therefore, regulating pH could result in an even higher ratio of butyric acid.

4.5 Industrial relevance

The investigations carried out in this study indicates that one litre of heat-treated sludge

can yield an average of 5.92 m^3 of hydrogen per m^3 sludge at a loading rate of 50 g/l.

Subtracting the average values for the control from this, the heat treatment results in an

additional gain of 5.02 m^3 hydrogen per m^3 of sludge. The thermal energy required to

heat the seed sludge from 38 °C to 80 °C is 49.04 kWh/m^3, considering that it takes 4.2 kJ

to increase the temperature of 1 l sludge by 1 °C. The additional energy generated in the

form of hydrogen due to the heat shocks corresponds to 54.18 kJ/l sludge, which

corresponds to 15.06 kWh/m^3 sludge. Based on the 49.04 kWh/m^3 for the heat shock, the

energy loss for the heat shock process is 33.98 kWh/m^3. This amount of energy must at

least be recovered using a heat pump, to make this concept energetically attractive.

Approved high-temperature heat pumps, which are currently available on the market, can

achieve a coefficient of performance (COP) of 4.3 when heating to 80°C from a starting

temperature of 20 °C (as per data from ENGIE Refrigeration GmbH in [59]). This implies

that 1 kWh of electrical energy can produce up to 4.3 kWh of thermal energy. To generate

49.04 kWh of thermal energy, a heat shock requiring 11.4 kWh electrically would be

needed, assuming a coefficient of performance (COP) of 4.3. At this COP, the heat shock

generates an additional energy output of 3.66 kWh/m^3 of sludge compared to the calorific

energy of the additional hydrogen. The process would also be energetically profitable due

to further energy losses during the heat exchange process with the sludge, which are not

considered here. Future technological improvements that increase the COP could make

enhanced hydrogen production through heat shocks even more sustainable.

In view of the different costs of hydrogen and electric energy, the economic appeal of the

concept could maintain even if the hydrogen equivalent of one kWh is priced higher than

electricity itself. Based on Machhammer et al. and Bukold, a good benchmark for the

costs of hydrogen production is the average price for steam reforming of 1.70 €/kg H$_2$

[60, 61]. The energy cost for a heat shock using a high-temperature heat pump with a

COP of 4.3 would be 0.4 €/m^3 of sludge, (based on an average industrial electricity price

of 35 €/MWh). Selling the produced hydrogen at the same price of 1.70 €/kg would

generate an average revenue of 0.91 € from the amount of hydrogen from one cubic meter

of heat-shocked sludge. This corresponds to a profit of 0.51 €/m^3 of sludge. Despite a net

energy loss, the production of hydrogen through heat shocks proves to be more cost-

effective compared to other alternative methods of hydrogen production. The current

hydrogen costs of hydrogen production without steam reforming are quantified by Gerloff

at 5.20 €/kg [62]. Lowering the heat shock temperature could significantly decrease the

energy requirement and thus the essential costs, while potentially yielding similar or even

higher hydrogen yields [44]. These calculations are based on ideal assumptions and the

successful scaling of trials in an industrial setting, with a focus on electricity costs.

5   Conclusion

The presented study indicates that short heat shock pre-treatments and short exposure

times of the entire seed sludge can trigger bio-hydrogen production from digested sewage

sludge. The present study shows that short heat shock treatments and short exposure times

of the entire bacterial sludge can trigger biohydrogen production from digested sewage

sludge. Hydrogen production by dark fermentation using microwave heat shocks was

statistically equivalent to oven heat shocks. Microwave pretreatment ensures high

predictability of the microbiome. Despite a heterogeneous microbiome in the initial

sludge, the heat pre-treatment led to a very homogeneous microbiome consisting of

83 % Clostridia. This specialised acidification microbiome was able to produce

1.01 mol H_2/mol hexose and 24.01 g/l butyric acid even under high loading rates of

50 g/l sucrose. The high number of test repetitions indicates statistically significant

results, which strengthens the relevance of these findings. By using already available

high-temperature heat pumps and successful upscaling, heat shocks are energetically

sustainable and economically competitive with electrolysis for hydrogen production. A

significant by-product of microwave heat shocks was the production of significant

amounts of butyric and formic acid. Through the further development of suitable

extraction processes, short-chain organic acids based on petroleum could be replaced by

bio-based acids from the further utilisation of digested sludge.

6   Declarations

6.1   Ethics Approval and Consent to Participate

Not applicable.

6.2   Consent for Publication

Not applicable.

6.3   Availability of Data and Materials

The genome data will be published in the gene bank database of the National Center for
Biotechnology Information after acceptance of the paper. All other data generated or
analysed during the trial are included in this published paper.

6.4   Competing Interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

6.5   Funding

We are grateful for funding of the work by the German Ministry of Economic Affairs and
Energy (grant numbers 49MF190057, 16KN070128 and 16KN070126).

6.6   Authors' Contributions

All authors contributed to the conception, design and methodology of the study. MB, MW,
and SB performed the sampling and generated the chemical and operational data. MB,
PO and MK carried out the 16s rRNA analysis, metataxonomics and multivariate

analysis. MB wrote and revised the main manuscript and prepared the figures, and all
authors revised earlier versions. All authors read and approved the final version of the
manuscript.

6.7 Acknowledgements

Not applicable.

7   References

1. Croce S, Wei Q, D'Imporzano G, Dong R, Adani F. Anaerobic digestion of straw and corn stover: The effect of biological process optimization and pre-treatment on total bio-methane yield and energy performance. Biotechnol Adv. 2016;34:1289–304. doi:10.1016/j.biotechadv.2016.09.004.

2. Bayrakdar A, Sürmeli RÖ, Çalli B. Dry anaerobic digestion of chicken manure coupled with membrane separation of ammonia. Bioresource technology. 2017;244:816–23. doi:10.1016/j.biortech.2017.08.047.

3. Xu F, Li Y, Ge X, Yang L, Li Y. Anaerobic digestion of food waste - Challenges and opportunities. Bioresource technology. 2018;247:1047–58. doi:10.1016/j.biortech.2017.09.020.

4. Bundesministerium der Justiz. Gesetz für den Ausbau erneuerbarer Energien (Erneuerbare-Energien-Gesetz - EEG 2023): EEG; 05.02.2024.

5. Sawatdeenarunat C, Nguyen D, Surendra KC, Shrestha S, Rajendran K, Oechsner H, et al. Anaerobic biorefinery: Current status, challenges, and opportunities. Bioresource technology. 2016;215:304–13. doi:10.1016/j.biortech.2016.03.074.

6. Uludag-Demirer S, Liao W, Demirer GN. Volatile Fatty Acid Production from Anaerobic Digestion of Organic Residues. Methods Mol Biol. 2019;1995:357–67. doi:10.1007/978-1-4939-9484-7_20.

7. Groof V de, Coma M, Arnot T, Leak DJ, Lanham AB. Medium Chain Carboxylic Acids from Complex Organic Feedstocks by Mixed Culture Fermentation. Molecules 2019. doi:10.3390/molecules24030398.

8. Khan MA, Ngo HH, Guo W, Liu Y, Zhang X, Guo J, et al. Biohydrogen production from anaerobic digestion and its potential as renewable energy. Renewable Energy. 2018;129:754–68. doi:10.1016/j.renene.2017.04.029.

9. Cooney M, Maynard N, Cannizzaro C, Benemann J. Two-phase anaerobic digestion for production of hydrogen-methane mixtures. Bioresource technology. 2007;98:2641–51. doi:10.1016/j.biortech.2006.09.054.

10. Roychowdhury S, Cox D, Levandowsky M. Production of hydrogen by microbial fermentation. International Journal of Hydrogen Energy. 1988;13:407–10. doi:10.1016/0360-3199(88)90126-7.

11. Ramírez-Morales JE, Tapia-Venegas E, Toledo-Alarcón J, Ruiz-Filippi G. Simultaneous production and separation of biohydrogen in mixed culture systems by continuous dark fermentation. Water Sci Technol. 2015;71:1271–85. doi:10.2166/wst.2015.104.

12. Castelló E, Nunes Ferraz-Junior AD, Andreani C, Del Anzola-Rojas MP, Borzacconi L, Buitrón G, et al. Stability problems in the hydrogen production by dark fermentation: Possible causes and solutions. Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews. 2020;119:109602. doi:10.1016/j.rser.2019.109602.

13. Kirkegaard RH, McIlroy SJ, Kristensen JM, Nierychlo M, Karst SM, Dueholm MS, et al. The impact of immigration on microbial community composition in full-scale anaerobic digesters. Sci Rep. 2017;7:9343. doi:10.1038/s41598-017-09303-0.

14. Senturk E, Ince M, Onkal Engin G. The effect of shock loading on the performance of a thermophilic anaerobic contact reactor at constant organic loading rate. J Environ Health Sci Eng. 2014;12:84. doi:10.1186/2052-336X-12-84.

15. Bertucci M, Calusinska M, Goux X, Rouland-Lefèvre C, Untereiner B, Ferrer P, et al. Carbohydrate Hydrolytic Potential and Redundancy of an Anaerobic Digestion Microbiome Exposed to Acidosis, as Uncovered by Metagenomics. Applied and Environmental Microbiology 2019. doi:10.1128/AEM.00895-19.

16. Słupek E, Kucharska K, Gębicki J. Alternative methods for dark fermentation course
analysis. SN Appl. Sci. 2019;1:1–8. doi:10.1007/s42452-019-0488-2.
17. Abendroth C, Hahnke S, Simeonov C, Klocke M, Casani-Miravalls S, Ramm P, et al.
Microbial communities involved in biogas production exhibit high resilience to heat
shocks. Bioresource technology. 2018;249:1074–9. doi:10.1016/j.biortech.2017.10.093.
18. Mohanakrishna G, Pengadeth D. Mixed culture biotechnology and its versatility in dark
fermentative hydrogen production. Bioresource technology. 2024;394:130286.
doi:10.1016/j.biortech.2023.130286.
19. Wong YM, Wu TY, Juan JC. A review of sustainable hydrogen production using seed
sludge via dark fermentation. Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews. 2014;34:471–
82. doi:10.1016/j.rser.2014.03.008.
20. Bolyen E, Rideout JR, Dillon MR, Bokulich NA, Abnet CC, Al-Ghalith GA, et al.
Reproducible, interactive, scalable and extensible microbiome data science using QIIME 2.
Nat Biotechnol. 2019;37:852–7. doi:10.1038/s41587-019-0209-9.
21. Quast C, Pruesse E, Yilmaz P, Gerken J, Schweer T, Yarza P, et al. The SILVA ribosomal
RNA gene database project: improved data processing and web-based tools. Nucleic Acids
Res. 2013;41:D590-6. doi:10.1093/nar/gks1219.
22. Eid M, Gollwitzer M, Schmitt M. Statistik und Forschungsmethoden: Lehrbuch. Mit
Online-Material. 5th ed. Weinheim: Beltz; 2017.
23. Sadooghi-Alvandi SM, Jafari AA, Mardani-Fard HA. One-way ANOVA with unequal
variances. Communications in Statistics - Theory and Methods. 2012;41:4200–21.
doi:10.1080/03610926.2011.573160.
24. Ruxton GD, Beauchamp G. Time for some a priori thinking about post hoc testing.
Behavioral Ecology. 2008;19:690–3. doi:10.1093/beheco/arn020.
25. Shingala MC, Rajyaguru A. Comparison of post hoc tests for unequal variance.
International Journal of New Technologies in Science and Engineering. 2015;2:22–33.
26. Lee S, Lee DK. What is the proper way to apply the multiple comparison test? Korean
Journal of Anesthesiology. 2018;71:353–60. doi:10.4097/kja.d.18.00242.
27. Lay J-J, Fan K-S, Chang J-1, Ku C-H. Influence of chemical nature of organic wastes on
their conversion to hydrogen by heat-shock digested sludge. International Journal of
Hydrogen Energy. 2003;28:1361–7. doi:10.1016/S0360-3199(03)00027-2.
28. Kannaiah Goud R, Sarkar O, Venkata Mohan S. Regulation of biohydrogen production by
heat-shock pretreatment facilitates selective enrichment of Clostridium sp. International
Journal of Hydrogen Energy. 2014;39:7572–86. doi:10.1016/j.ijhydene.2013.10.046.
29. Luo G, Xie L, Zou Z, Wang W, Zhou Q. Evaluation of pretreatment methods on mixed
inoculum for both batch and continuous thermophilic biohydrogen production from cassava
stillage. Bioresource technology. 2010;101:959–64. doi:10.1016/j.biortech.2009.08.090.
30. Saady NMC. Homoacetogenesis during hydrogen production by mixed cultures dark
fermentation: Unresolved challenge. International Journal of Hydrogen Energy.
2013;38:13172–91. doi:10.1016/j.ijhydene.2013.07.122.
31. Wong YM, Show PL, Wu TY, Leong HY, Ibrahim S, Juan JC. Production of bio-hydrogen
from dairy wastewater using pretreated landfill leachate sludge as an inoculum. J Biosci
Bioeng. 2019;127:150–9. doi:10.1016/j.jbiosc.2018.07.012.
32. Wang D, Wang Y, Liu X, Xu Q, Yang Q, Li X, et al. Heat pretreatment assists free
ammonia to enhance hydrogen production from waste activated sludge. Bioresource
technology. 2019;283:316–25. doi:10.1016/j.biortech.2019.03.090.

33. Singhal Y, Singh R. Effect of microwave pretreatment of mixed culture on biohydrogen
production from waste of sweet produced from Benincasa hispida. International Journal of
Hydrogen Energy. 2014;39:7534–40. doi:10.1016/j.ijhydene.2014.01.198.

34. Rafieenia R, Lavagnolo MC, Pivato A. Pre-treatment technologies for dark fermentative
hydrogen production: Current advances and future directions. Waste Manag. 2018;71:734–
48. doi:10.1016/j.wasman.2017.05.024.

35. Pineda-Muñoz CF, Conde-Baez L, Lucho-Constantino C, Medina-Moreno SA, Jiménez-
González A. Ultrasonic Energy Effect on Dark Fermentation by Ultrasound Application
Alone and in Combination with Heat Shock. Bioenerg. Res. 2020;13:334–48.
doi:10.1007/s12155-020-10104-z.

36. Hasyim R, Imai T, Reungsang A, O-Thong S. Extreme-thermophilic biohydrogen
production by an anaerobic heat treated digested sewage sludge culture. International
Journal of Hydrogen Energy. 2011;36:8727–34. doi:10.1016/j.ijhydene.2010.06.079.

37. Sá LRV de, Cammarota MC, Oliveira TC de, Oliveira EMM, Matos A, Ferreira-Leitão VS.
Pentoses, hexoses and glycerin as substrates for biohydrogen production: An approach for
Brazilian biofuel integration. International Journal of Hydrogen Energy. 2013;38:2986–97.
doi:10.1016/j.ijhydene.2012.12.103.

38. Wu X, Yang H, Guo L. Effect of operation parameters on anaerobic fermentation using
cow dung as a source of microorganisms. International Journal of Hydrogen Energy.
2010;35:46–51. doi:10.1016/j.ijhydene.2009.10.039.

39. Ortigueira J, Alves L, Gouveia L, Moura P. Third generation biohydrogen production by
Clostridium butyricum and adapted mixed cultures from Scenedesmus obliquus microalga
biomass. Fuel. 2015;153:128–34. doi:10.1016/j.fuel.2015.02.093.

40. Mikheeva ER, Katraeva IV, Kovalev AA, Kovalev DA, Nozhevnikova AN, Panchenko V,
et al. The Start-Up of Continuous Biohydrogen Production from Cheese Whey:
Comparison of Inoculum Pretreatment Methods and Reactors with Moving and Fixed
Polyurethane Carriers. Applied Sciences. 2021;11:510. doi:10.3390/app11020510.

41. Abdallah R, Djelal H, Amrane A, Sayed W, Fourcade F, Labasque T, et al. Dark
fermentative hydrogen production by anaerobic sludge growing on glucose and ammonium
resulting from nitrate electroreduction. International Journal of Hydrogen Energy.
2016;41:5445–55. doi:10.1016/j.ijhydene.2016.02.030.

42. O-Thong S, Prasertsan P, Birkeland N-K. Evaluation of methods for preparing hydrogen-
producing seed inocula under thermophilic condition by process performance and microbial
community analysis. Bioresource technology. 2009;100:909–18.
doi:10.1016/j.biortech.2008.07.036.

43. Gioannis G de, Friargiu M, Massi E, Muntoni A, Polettini A, Pomi R, Spiga D.
Biohydrogen production from dark fermentation of cheese whey: Influence of pH.
International Journal of Hydrogen Energy. 2014;39:20930–41.
doi:10.1016/j.ijhydene.2014.10.046.

44. Baghchehsaraee B, Nakhla G, Karamanev D, Margaritis A, Reid G. The effect of heat
pretreatment temperature on fermentative hydrogen production using mixed cultures.
International Journal of Hydrogen Energy. 2008;33:4064–73.
doi:10.1016/j.ijhydene.2008.05.069.

45. Carballa M, Regueiro L, Lema JM. Microbial management of anaerobic digestion:
exploiting the microbiome-functionality nexus. Curr Opin Biotechnol. 2015;33:103–11.
doi:10.1016/j.copbio.2015.01.008.

46. Dueholm MKD, Nierychlo M, Andersen KS, Rudkjøbing V, Knutsson S, Arriaga S, et al.
MiDAS Field Guide. MiDAS 4: A global catalogue of full-length 16S rRNA gene

sequences and taxonomy for studies of bacterial communities in wastewater treatment plants, Nature Communications 2022. doi:10.1038/S41467-022-29438-7.

47. Tang T, Chen Y, Liu M, Du Y, Tan Y. Effect of pH on the performance of hydrogen production by dark fermentation coupled denitrification. Environ Res. 2022;208:112663. doi:10.1016/j.envres.2021.112663.

48. Rahman MS, Hoque MN, Puspo JA, Islam MR, Das N, Siddique MA, et al. Microbiome signature and diversity regulates the level of energy production under anaerobic condition. Sci Rep. 2021;11:19777. doi:10.1038/s41598-021-99104-3.

49. Liu C, Wachemo AC, Tong H, Shi S, Zhang L, Yuan H, Li X. Biogas production and microbial community properties during anaerobic digestion of corn stover at different temperatures. Bioresource technology. 2018;261:93–103. doi:10.1016/j.biortech.2017.12.076.

50. Chen S, Cheng H, Wyckoff KN, He Q. Linkages of Firmicutes and Bacteroidetes populations to methanogenic process performance. J Ind Microbiol Biotechnol. 2016;43:771–81. doi:10.1007/s10295-016-1760-8.

51. Alou MT, Ndongo S, Frégère L, Labas N, Andrieu C, Richez M, et al. Taxonogenomic description of four new Clostridium species isolated from human gut: 'Clostridium amazonitimonense', 'Clostridium merdae', 'Clostridium massilidielmoense' and 'Clostridium nigeriense'. New Microbes New Infect. 2018;21:128–39. doi:10.1016/j.nmni.2017.11.003.

52. Li C-J, Zhang Z, Zhan P-C, Lv A-P, Li P-P, Liu L, et al. Comparative genomic analysis and proposal of Clostridium yunnanense sp. nov., Clostridium rhizosphaerae sp. nov., and Clostridium paridis sp. nov., three novel Clostridium sensu stricto endophytes with diverse capabilities of acetic acid and ethanol production. Anaerobe. 2023;79:102686. doi:10.1016/j.anaerobe.2022.102686.

53. Gerritsen J, Hornung B, Ritari J, Paulin L, Rijkers GT, Schaap PJ, et al. A comparative and functional genomics analysis of the genus Romboutsia provides insight into adaptation to an intestinal lifestyle. bioRxiv. 2019:845511. doi:10.1101/845511.

54. Gerritsen J, Fuentes S, Grievink W, van Niftrik L, Tindall BJ, Timmerman HM, et al. Characterization of Romboutsia ilealis gen. nov., sp. nov., isolated from the gastro-intestinal tract of a rat, and proposal for the reclassification of five closely related members of the genus Clostridium into the genera Romboutsia gen. nov., Intestinibacter gen. nov., Terrisporobacter gen. nov. and Asaccharospora gen. nov. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol. 2014;64:1600–16. doi:10.1099/ijs.0.059543-0.

55. Whiley RA, Hardie JM. Streptococcus. In: Bergey's Manual of Systematics of Archaea and Bacteria: John Wiley & Sons, Ltd; 2015. p. 1–86. doi:10.1002/9781118960608.gbm00612.

56. Anusha R, Jagadeshwari U, Sasikala C, Ramana CV. Paeniclostridium. In: Bergey's Manual of Systematics of Archaea and Bacteria: John Wiley & Sons, Ltd; 2023. p. 1–12. doi:10.1002/9781118960608.gbm01631.

57. Fang HHP, Liu H. Effect of pH on hydrogen production from glucose by a mixed culture. Bioresource technology. 2002;82:87–93. doi:10.1016/s0960-8524(01)00110-9.

58. Oh S-E, Iyer P, Bruns MA, Logan BE. Biological hydrogen production using a membrane bioreactor. Biotechnology and Bioengineering. 2004;87:119–27. doi:10.1002/bit.20127.

59. ENGIE Refrigeration GmbH. CO2-Kältemaschinen und CO2-Hochtemperaturwärmepumpen. 2018. https://www.engie-refrigeration.de/sites/engie-refrigeration.de/files/2021-08/DE_Folder_thermeco_Waermepumpen_web.pdf. Accessed 3 Nov 2023.

60. Machhammer O, Bode A, Hormuth W. Ökonomisch/ökologische Betrachtung zur
Herstellung von Wasserstoff in Großanlagen. Chemie Ingenieur Technik. 2015;87:409–18.
doi:10.1002/cite.201400151.
61. Bukold S. Kurzstudie: Blauer Wasserstoff. Perspektiven und Grenzen eines neuen
Technologiepfades. Greenpeace Energy: Perspektiven und Grenzen eines neuen
Technologiepfades. 2020. https://green-planet-
energy.de/fileadmin/docs/publikationen/Studien/blauer-wasserstoff-studie-2020.pdf.
Accessed 3 Nov 2023.
62. Gerloff N. Economic analysis of hydrogen production in Germany with a focus on green
hydrogen, considering all three major water electrolysis technologies. Sustainable Energy
Fuels. 2023;7:1893–907. doi:10.1039/D3SE00073G.
920

Supplementary information

Figure S1: Microbial community on genus level as relative abundances differentiated

according to pre-treatment (loading rates of 25 and 100 g/l). Only the microorganisms

with abundance < 5 % are presented. Others with abundances below 0.5 % are

summarised as others < 0.5 %.

Figure S2: Microbial community on genus level as relative abundances differentiated

*according to pre-treatment. The loading rate was at 100 g/l sucrose. **(A)** Only the most*

abundant microorganisms with abundance > 5% are presented. Others with abundances

*below 5 % are summarised as others < 5.0 %. **(B)** Breakdown of minor microorganisms*

below 5 % abundance. Organisms with abundances below 0.5 % are summarised as

others < 0.5 %.

Figure S3: Microbial community on phylum level as relative abundances differentiated

according to pre-treatment (loading rates of 25 and 100 g/l). Only the five largest

microorganism communities are presented. Other phyla are summarised as "others".