# Investigating Phylogenetic Conflict using Homolog Trees reveals the Genetic Basis of Phenotypic Convergence in Carnivorous Sundews

Holly M. Robertson[1,2], Joseph F. Walker[1,3*], and Edwige Moyroud[1,2*]

[1] The Sainsbury Laboratory, Bateman Street, CB2 1LR, University of Cambridge, UK

[2] Department of Genetics, Downing site, CB2 3EJ, University of Cambridge, UK

[3] Department of Biological Sciences, University of Illinois at Chicago, Chicago, IL, USA

\* Corresponding authors

Email: em500@cam.ac.uk (EM) and jfw52@uic.edu (JFW)

**Running Title**: Genetic basis of trap convergence in sundews

**Keywords:** plant carnivory, trait evolution, conflict analyses, homolog trees, convergence

# Abstract

Phenotypic convergence is found across the tree of life, and morphological similarities in distantly related species are often presumed to have evolved independently. However, clarifying the origins of traits has recently highlighted the complex nature of evolution, as apparent convergent features often share similar genetic foundations. Hence, the tree topology of genes that underlie such traits frequently conflicts with the overall history of species relationships. This conflict creates both a challenge for systematists and an exciting opportunity to investigate the rich, complex network of information that connects molecular trajectories with trait evolution. Here we probe the evolutionary history of pleisiomorphic features in the carnivorous Caryophyllales, a charismatic group of flowering plants. Using a novel conflict identification program named CAnDI (Conflict And Duplication Identifier), we dissect all gene relationships within homolog trees and find genomic evidence that the molecular basis of mucilaginous sticky traps was likely present in the ancestor of all carnivorous Caryophyllales. We also show that many genes whose evolutionary trajectories group species with similar trap devices code for proteins contributing to plant carnivory and identify a *LATERAL ORGAN BOUNDARY DOMAIN* gene as a possible regulator of sticky trap development. Our results demonstrate that analysing conflict on homolog trees, rather than pre-identified orthologs, can aid in uncovering the genetic basis of trait evolution.

# Introduction

How similar adaptations emerge repeatedly during evolution is a central question of biology. Convergent evolution can be described as the evolution of phenotypic similarity (Doolittle 1994; Stern 2013; Arbuckle et al. 2014) but it can also be defined at a molecular level by considering the genetic basis of alike traits. Phenotypic convergence can reflect molecular convergence when parallel changes occur in genes underlying a given feature, but it can also arise from the recruitment of existing ancestral allelic polymorphisms or the introduction of an allele by hybridization (either ancient or recent)(Stern 2013).

The flowering plant order Caryophyllales contains several emblematic species of carnivorous plants, including pitcher plants, sundews, and the Venus flytrap. While carnivory is the predicted ancestral condition in the clade of all carnivorous Caryophyllales (Cameron et al. 2002; Heubl et al. 2006; Renner and Specht 2011), not all species in this clade are carnivorous (Fig.1). The Ancistrocladaceae family is, for example, entirely non-carnivorous. The clade of carnivorous Caryophyllales exhibits several instances of apparent phenotypic convergence. Trap type and life history are two particularly interesting examples of major, complex adaptations involving many genes. Underlying both is the placement of Ancistrocladaceae, sister to the monotypic family Drosophyllaceae, a relationship supported by phylogenomic datasets composed of hundreds or even thousands of genes (Walker et al. 2017, 2018; Baker et al. 2022). This inferred relationship is of note as these two families exhibit striking morphological similarities not with each other but with other, more distantly related, species in the clade.

Specifically, Drosophyllaceae, a family containing only one species, the Portuguese

46  sundew (*Drosophyllum lusitanicum*), is not sister to the genus *Drosera* (commonly

known as the sundew family) even though both have a sticky trap mechanism of

48  capturing prey and share a similar life history and other morphological characters.

*Triphyophyllum peltatum*, a liana and close relative to the non-carnivorous liana family

50  Ancistrocladaceae, is native to West Africa and also develops carnivorous sticky trap

leaves under phosphorus deficient conditions (Winkelmann et al. 2023). The polyphyletic

52  distribution of sticky traps within the carnivorous Caryophyllales indicates that either true

convergence has occurred or a precursor to the sticky trap existed 75 mya, with the extant

54  phylogenetic distribution of these traps resulting from patterns of gene tree conflict.

Another implication of Drosophyllaceae being sister to Ancistrocladaceae is that this

56  relationship places the lianas of Ancistrocladaceae as more closely related to the

herbaceous Drosophyllaceae rather than the carnivorous pitcher plant family

58  Nepenthaceae, which is also a liana. This relationship would indicate that either an

ancestral population that existed approximately 67 mya exhibited a woody life history

60  later lost for Drosophyllaceae or that such a lifestyle has been gained twice independently

(Cameron et al. 2002; Heubl et al. 2006; Walker et al. 2017).

High-throughput sequencing technologies have allowed large multi-gene phylogenetic

64  data (phylogenomic datasets) to emerge as the standard for inferring species relationships

(Delsuc et al. 2005; Jeffroy et al. 2006). These datasets have become increasingly

66  common and provide a wealth of information regarding contentious regions in the tree of

life (Dunn et al. 2008; Chiari et al. 2012; Wickett et al. 2014). Efforts to analyse the

68    complete set of gene phylogenies in a genome, the "phylome" (Sicheritz-Pontén and

Andersson 2001; Huerta-Cepas et al. 2007), are emerging as a new field. Currently, the

70    most cost-effective approach to analysing nearly complete phylomes is through

transcriptomes. These datasets also provide unprecedented opportunities to explore

72    evolutionary history beyond species relationships. In particular, investigating the

conflicting evolutionary histories in genomic data can provide valuable biological

74    insights (Doyle 1992; Maddison 1997; Rokas et al. 2003). By comparing gene

evolutionary histories to that of the species, it is possible to uncover patterns that reflect

76    historical events resulting from ancient population structures (Parins-Fukuchi et al. 2021;

Stull et al. 2021). Examples include ancestral populations of butterflies that underwent

78    introgressive hybridization to produce shared mimicry genes (Dasmahapatra et al. 2012),

incomplete or random lineage sorting resulting in unusually high levels of homoplasy in

80    cacti (Copetti et al. 2017), the distribution of karyotypic features in mammals (Robinson

et al. 2008), and the horizontal transfer of large DNA regions that explains the

82    phylogenetic distribution of C4 photosynthesis in grasses (Dunning et al. 2019). An

adaptive role for genes in conflict was also established in tomato plants (Pease et al.

84    2016), and more recently in Marsupials (Feng et al. 2022) and Diatoms (Roberts et al.

2023). Hence, investigating conflicting patterns can illuminate the evolution of complex

86    traits and uncover molecular players that could contribute to novelty.

88    To capture the true extent of genome-wide patterns of discordance and all relevant

biological signals, it is essential to analyse as much of the phylome as possible. However,

90    current studies and methods for investigating conflict often focus on pre-identified

orthologs (gene copies in different species, i.e. those arising through speciation).

92    Focusing solely on pre-identified orthologs results in the loss of information and

potentially interesting biological signals. Paralogs (gene copies that arise within a

94    population due to gene duplication) must be accounted for, as they can mislead both

inferred species trees and gene tree conflict analyses.

Here we investigate two pleisiomorphic traits, traits acquired from an ancestor but not

98    found in all the descendants, in the carnivorous Caryophyllales: trap morphology and life

history. We do this using transcriptomic datasets and CAnDI (Conflict And Duplication

100    Identifier), a new program we developed to analyse all gene relationships across

phylomes in an unbiased way. This program expands upon previous work in conflict

102    detection, as it analyses and reports every instance of conflict in a dataset and allows

users to search for conflicts of interest. We demonstrate that CAnDI can identify

104    evolutionary patterns that cannot be detected using current approaches. We provide

genomic evidence that molecular variants shared by extant species with a mucilaginous

106    sticky trap were already present in the ancestor of all carnivorous Caryophyllales. We

also identify a set of genes that could have participated in the emergence of the sticky

108    trap morphology during the evolution of the Caryophyllales. In particular, our analyses

combined with quantification of gene expression levels in sundews tissues single out the

110    transcription factor *LBD4-like* as a possible regulator of sticky trap development.

## Materials and Methods

### Ancestral state reconstruction

We collected gene clusters for the families Ancistrocladaceae, Dioncophyllaceae, Droseraceae, Drosophyllaceae, Frankeniaceae, Nepenthaceae, Plumbaginaceae, Polygonaceae, and Tamaricaceae from GenBank on 16/09/2022 using PyPHLAWD (Smith and Walker 2019). The genes *matK* and *rbcL*, and the 18SrRNA were chosen to construct the tree to maximise species coverage, and additional sequences were manually downloaded from GenBank. Clusters were aligned and merged using MAFFT v7.471 (Katoh and Standley 2013). The sequence with the greatest number of characters was retained for each gene while lower-quality duplicates were discarded using a script written in Python (https://github.com/HollyMaeRobertson/treebuilding-scripts/blob/main/remove_repeats.py). The genes were concatenated using the program pxcat (v.0.9) from the Phyx package (Brown et al. 2017). We used RAxML-NG v. 1.1.0 (Kozlov et al. 2019) to build a tree from the concatenated genes, using a constraint tree of the known relationships of the non-core Caryophyllales (Walker et al. 2018), under the GTR+F+R5 model, which was chosen using the ModelFinder utility implemented in IQ-TREE v. 1.6.12 (Nguyen et al. 2015; Kalyaanamoorthy et al. 2017). Parsimony-based ancestral state reconstruction was performed on the carnivorous clade of the tree in Mesquite version 3.70 (Maddison and Maddison 2021).

### Development of the program CAnDI to analyse conflict in whole homolog trees

134    To perform conflict analyses on entire homolog trees, we developed the novel program

CAnDI (Conflict And Duplication Identifier,

136    https://github.com/HollyMaeRobertson/gene_family_conflicts). This program analyses

conflict by either mapping the rooted homolog trees and ortholog trees to the rooted

138    species tree or by performing the reverse: mapping the rooted species tree to the rooted

homolog tree. CAnDI is able to distinguish speciation nodes from duplication nodes in

140    homolog trees. Duplication nodes are those where sister clades contain at least one

overlapping taxon, resulting from gene duplication. All non-duplication nodes are

142    inferred to have arisen by speciation and should therefore be analysed for conflict or

concordance with the species tree. CAnDI decomposes the homolog tree into subtrees for

144    subsequent analysis using the procedure described in Supplementary Method and

Supplementary Fig. S3-S4.

**Investigating the relationship of conflict with trait distribution in the**

148    **carnivorous Caryophyllales (CARN) dataset**

We used a minimum threshold of four ingroup taxa to extract 6,006 rooted homolog trees

150    from the unrooted homolog trees inferred by Walker et al. (Walker et al. 2017). We term

this the carnivorous Caryophyllales (CARN) dataset. Information regarding this and other

152    datasets as well as all dupplementary material is available on DRYAD

(doi:10.5061/dryad.w3r2280xt). The 1237 orthologs we used for the CARN dataset were

154    those from the original study. All trees in the CARN dataset had SH-aLRT support

values; thus, we used a support cutoff of ≥80%. We then used CAnDI to identify all

156    homolog trees in the CARN dataset that contained relationships (bipartition) of interest

and the number of times the relationship of interest occurred in a single homolog tree. We

158    identified trees with the bipartition *Drosophyllum* + *Drosera* and all trees with the

bipartition *Drosophyllum* + *Ancistrocladus*. We also used CanDI to identify all

160    bipartitions that contained only *Nepenthes ampullaria*, *Nepenthes alata,* and

*Drosophyllum lusitanicum*. The same procedure was also performed to identify all

162    instances in homolog trees where Ancistrocladaceae was sister to Nepenthaceae. For each

group of taxa investigated, we compared the number of bipartitions that contained only

164    those taxa against the number of instances where an additional species was also included

in the bipartition to eliminate any bias caused by differences in gene recovery in different

166    samples.

## Investigating gene function in the CARN dataset

Annotations for the CARN dataset were inferred using the coding sequences of the

170    genome of *Arabidopsis thaliana* (TAIR10; Downloaded from Ensembl Plants on Jan 27$^{th}$,

2021). The best blast hit (e-value 1e-3) to the transcriptome of *Nepenthes alata* from each

172    homolog cluster was used to predict the function of each cluster. Using this approach,

137 out of the 146 clusters supporting the monotypic family Drosophyllaceae sister to the

174    genus *Drosera* could be annotated (9 clusters failed to return a blast hit against

*Arabidopsis*). These 137 annotations represented 132 distinct Arabidopsis genes. Gene

176    ontology analyses with PANTHER16.0 were performed on this list of 132 *A. thaliana*

genes using the PANTHER Overrepresentation Test (Released 20210224) and the GO

178    Ontology database (DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.5228828 Released 2021-08-18) and either the

"GO biological process complete" or the "GO molecular function complete" dataset. The

180    Fisher's exact test was performed with False Discovery Rate correction for each analysis.

182    A *Chitinase-like protein 1* [homolog to Arabidopsis *CTL1*; labelled cluster2518 by

Walker et al. (Walker et al. 2017)] was further investigated since this gene is associated

184    with carnivory. We spot-checked the annotation for this gene by testing the blast

annotation of other homologs within cluster2518 against the NCBI RefSeq non-redundant

186    protein database to ensure that this was not the result of a spurious hit. All sequences

from cluster2518 matched a Chitinase gene. We then performed the reverse mapping

188    feature with CAnDI ("-r") and mapped the coalescent-based species tree topology for the

CARN dataset to the cluster2518 homolog tree.

## Plant material

192    *Drosera admirabilis*, *Drosera aliciae* and *Drosera coccicaulis* were purchased from

Wack's Wicked plants (UK). Tissues were harvested from emerging young leaves,

194    mature leaves representing fully developed sticky traps and inflorescence and

immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen. All tissue samples were stored at -80°C until RNA

196    extraction.

198    ## Gene expression analysis

Frozen tissues were ground to a fine powder using a mortar and pestle. RNA was

200    extracted using the Spectrum Plant Total RNA kit (Sigma-Aldrich) and retro-transcribed

using SuperscriptIII reverse transcriptase (Invitrogen) following the manufacturer's

202   instructions. Quantitative real time PCR was performed using the Luna Universal qPCR

Mastermix (NEBiolabs) and the Light Cycler 480 system (Roche). *Actin* and *eIF4A*

204   homologs were used as reference genes as established by Arai and collaborators (Arai et

al. 2021). The primer efficiencies were 100% for *Actin*, 93% for *eIF4* and 109% for

206   *LBD4-like* homolog genes. Primers were designed to match gene regions conserved

between the three different species of *Drosera*. Primer sequences are given in the

208   Supplementary Spreadsheet 3. The gene expression was calculated relative to the

housekeeping gene actin and the common base method, which accounts for the measured

210   efficiency of each primer pair, was used to calculate relative expression levels (Ganger et

al. 2017). Welch's t-tests or ANOVA followed by Tukey's HSD post-hoc tests were

212   conducted in R [https://www.r-project.org, (R Core Team 2017)] and used to test for the

likelihood that LBD4-like average expression levels were equivalent across tissues.

**Comparison of whole homolog trees with ortholog trees for identifying**

216   **gene tree conflict in five additional datasets**

We compiled five datasets of species trees, ortholog trees, and gene families (homolog

218   trees) from published studies that broadly span the tree of life. We used the species

relationships and homolog trees inferred in the initial studies as a basis for each conflict

220   analysis. If available, we used rooted homolog trees from the original study. If the rooted

homolog trees were not available, we used the extract_clades.py script from (Yang et al.

222   2015) to extract them.

224    All of the datasets, both homologs and orthologs, were analysed using CAnDI to count

        the total number of nodes assessed corresponding to each node in the species, where each

226    node was assessed as either conflicting with the species tree or concordant with the

        species tree. Uninformative or duplication nodes were not counted.

        We extracted 12,172 rooted homologs from the unrooted homologs previously inferred

230    for the plant family Amaranthaceae (AMAR) by (Morales-Briones et al. 2021), using a

        threshold of 40 ingroup taxa. The AMAR dataset did not have support values for

232    homolog trees. The 12,699 orthologs of the AMAR dataset with appropriate outgroup

        representation for rooting were from the original study. The Diatom (DIAT) dataset from

234    (Parks et al. 2018) had duplications extracted with a minimum of 10 ingroup taxa,

        resulting in 18,914 homolog trees. The support values for this dataset were from a rapid

236    bootstrap analysis; thus, we applied a cutoff of $\geq 70\%$. We used the 197 orthologs from

        the original study for the ortholog comparison for the DIAT dataset. The Legumes dataset

238    (LEGU), consisting of 8,038 homolog trees from (Koenen et al. 2020), had been

        extracted in the original study, and support values on the nodes were from a rapid

240    bootstrap analysis; thus, a cutoff of ($\geq 70$) was used. For the LEGU orthologs, we used the

        ortholog trees from the original study, rooted on the same outgroup taxa used to extract

242    the homologs, resulting in 1014 trees with outgroup representation. The Hymenoptera

        dataset (HYMN) was originally published by (Johnson et al. 2013) but was subsequently

244    re-analysed by (Smith et al. 2015), who identified 5,863 homolog trees and calculated

        rapid bootstrap support; therefore, we used a support cutoff of $\geq 70\%$ for the HYMN

246    dataset. To generate orthologs for the HYMN dataset, we used a minimum cutoff of four

taxa using the prune_from_rooted_tree.py script of (Morales-Briones et al. 2021),

248     resulting in 6519 orthologs. The 6902 homolog trees from the Ericales (ERIC) dataset

from (Larson et al. 2020) were analysed using a support value of (SH-aLRT ≥ 80).

250     Conflict analysis on ortholog trees from the ERIC dataset was conducted using the 382

rooted ortholog trees from the original study.

# Results and Discussion

254     **The sticky trap was likely present in the common ancestor of the**

**carnivorous Caryophyllales**

256     Existing consensus (Cameron et al. 2002; Heubl et al. 2006; Renner and Specht 2011)

states that the last common ancestor of the carnivorous Caryophyllales clade was

258     carnivorous. To revisit this hypothesis with increased taxon sampling, we first

constructed a tree of all known non-core Caryophyllales, the larger clade within which

260     the carnivorous species are found, for which data were available. Maximum likelihood

reconstruction methods rely on accurate dating. Still, the available dataset was

262     predominately comprised of chloroplast genes, which are notoriously difficult to apply

molecular dates to (Foster et al. 2017; Yao et al. 2019) specifically driven by gene

264     rearrangements in the sundew chloroplast (Yao et al. 2019). Therefore, we used a

maximum parsimony ancestral state reconstruction approach instead to infer the historical

266     distribution of the three trap types (snap, pitfall and sticky trap) and the trapless state.

This analysis predicted an ancestor of all the carnivorous Caryophyllales with a sticky

268     trap (Fig.1). This is consistent with the findings of Renner and Specht (Renner and

Specht 2011), who used evidence from the different types of glands present across the

270    carnivorous Caryophyllales to argue that the snap traps of *Dionaea muscipula* and

*Aldrovanda vesiculosa* evolved from a simpler modified leaf similar to the modern sticky

272    trap. A similar evolutionary path could also account for the emergence of *Nepenthes*

pitcher traps. All carnivorous traps within the Caryophyllales are modified leaves, but

274    snap traps and pitfall traps both exhibit elaborated morphologies, less reminiscent of a

typical leaf than the sticky traps of genus *Drosera* or the species *Drosophyllum*

276    *lusitanicum* and *Triphyophyllum peltatum*. However, this remains a claim made on

phenotypic evidence, which can be misleading (Corbett-Detig et al. 2020), with no

278    investigation of the evolutionary histories of the genes contributing to sticky trap

development. Regardless of the mechanisms behind the subsequent elaboration of the

280    pitfall and snap trap forms from an ancestral sticky trap, our results indicate that the

presence of a sticky trap in unrelated sundews is unlikely to be a true molecular

282    convergence (i.e., independent molecular basis) but could instead be the product of

introgression or incomplete lineage sorting. Thus, the presence of the sticky trap in

284    phylogenetically distant lineages is likely an example of hemiplasy, the topological

discordance between a gene tree and a species tree that can give the illusion of homoplasy

286    (Dasmahapatra et al. 2012).

**Figure 1. Ancestral state estimation of the trap morphology in the carnivorous Caryophyllales supports a sticky trap ancestor.** Maximum parsimony ancestral state reconstruction was carried out on the carnivorous Caryophyllales. The placement of the carnivorous Caryophyllales within the order Caryophyllales as a whole (Heubl et al. 2006) is shown on the lower left. The state of the most recent common ancestor of all carnivorous Caryophyllales was inferred to be a sticky trap. Families Drosophyllaceae (containing only one species, the Portuguese Sundew *D. lusitanicum*), Dioncophyllaceae [containing *Triphyophyllum peltatum* (green) and two non-carnivorous species], Ancistrocladaceae, Nepenthaceae (the pitcher plants) and Droseraceae are labelled, with Droseraceae divided into the sundews (genus *Drosera*) and the snap traps (genera *Dionaea* and *Aldrovanda*).

## Evolutionary conflict helps explain trait distribution in the carnivorous

Caryophyllales

To further investigate the origins of near-identical trap morphologies in sundews, we

generated rooted homolog trees from the unrooted homolog trees of the carnivorous

Caryophyllales dataset originally published by Walker et al. in 2017 (Walker et al. 2017).

We then identified specific conflicts with the established consensus species tree in these

homologs using CAnDI, a program we newly developed to analyse conflict in whole

homolog trees (Fig.2).

Figure 2. Patterns of conflict and ancestral traits are not found in all descendants

("pleisiomorphic traits") in the carnivorous Caryophyllales. Pie charts depict the

amount of gene tree conflict observed, with the blue, red and grey slices representing,

respectively, the proportion of homolog gene trees concordant, conflicting and

uninformative (SH-alrt < 80 or missing taxon) at each node in the species tree. In case of

318    conflict, the proportions of gene trees supporting two main alternative topologies are

represented with a dotted or a striped red slice, respectively. The proportion of gene trees

320    supporting various alternative topologies is represented with a solid red slice. The type of

trap found in each carnivorous species, as well as the herbaceous or woody life history, is

322    depicted with a symbol on the right of the phylogeny. Picture credit: *Drosophyllum*

*lusitanicum* (incidencematrix), *Ancistrocladus heyneanus* (Vinayaraj) and *Drosera*

324    *capensis* (NoahElhardt) via Wikimedia Commons.

Homolog trees contain all gene sequences with similarities resulting from their shared

328    evolutionary history, such as those originating through gene duplication (paralogs) or

speciation (orthologs). Hence, homolog trees widen the available data for assessment and

330    analysis of phylogenetic conflict compared to approaches that focus on only ortholog

trees. We found that an order of magnitude more conflicting gene trees support

332    Drosophyllaceae as sister to *Drosera* than the evolutionarily equivalent conflicting

relationship Ancistrocladaceae sister to *Drosera* (Fig.3A). When we tested if we could

334    recover this pattern using pre-identified orthologs from the original study, we only found

one ortholog tree placed *D. lusitanicum* and *D. binata* sister to one another. This pattern

336    is only evident in homolog trees, thereby emphasising the importance of analysing as

much of the phylome as possible when making biological inferences.

**Figure 3. Distribution of conflicting gene trees according to the alternative species relationship they support, focusing on (A) carnivory and (B) life-history**. The relationship being identified is drawn as a tree (top), while the number of conflicting gene trees supporting that relationship are shown below in homolog trees, clades within homolog trees and ortholog trees. The number of trees/subtrees for each alternative sister pair is shown in blue, followed by the number of well-supported ($80 \geq$ SH-alrt) trees/subtrees in grey. Homolog trees refers to the number of trees containing the relationship, while clades in homolog trees refer to every time the relationship occurred, including multiple occurrences in the same homolog tree. Conflicts that place species with shared life history and trap morphology as sister species are more common than evolutionarily equivalent conflicts that do not.

Using this dataset, we also investigated the apparent convergence of the woody vine life-history phenotype in *Nepenthes* and *Ancistrocladus* (Fig.2 and Supplementary Fig. S1).

354     We found that, regardless of whether whole homologs or only ortholog trees were

considered, more than twice as many conflicting genes placed the woody vines clades as

356     sister to one another, compared to the less similar herbaceous Drosophyllaceae as sister to

Ancistrocladaceae (Fig.3B). Using homolog trees makes the pattern more easily

358     detectable and robust (trend based on 98 gene trees rather than only 16), again

highlighting the importance of analysing as much data as possible (Fig.3).

There are several reasons these homolog trees might display conflict. The probability of

362     true molecular convergence is extremely low, given the large number of genes and sites

displaying this pattern. Moreover, despite the short branch lengths separating *Drosera*

364     and *Drosophyllum* in many gene trees (Supplementary Fig. S2), recent introgression is

similarly unlikely, given the distant relationship between these species (*Drosera* and

366     *Drosophyllum* diverged ~75 mya). However, distinguishing ancient reticulation from

ancient incomplete lineage sorting would be challenging, given the age of the

368     relationships in question. Regardless of the mechanism of acquisition, it remains that

adaptive selection on these genes is likely responsible for the retention of specific allelic

370     variants post-acquisition.

**372     Genes that support *Drosophyllaceae* as sister to *Drosera* tend to be**

**associated with the carnivorous syndrome**

374     Genes whose evolutionary history conflicts with the species tree but place species with

similar morphologies (i.e., trap type) sister to each other could help identify some of the

376     molecular bases associated with the emergence of a given trait and likely to have

contributed to adaptation (Lee et al. 2011). We therefore performed gene ontology (GO)

378    term analyses on the gene trees that CAnDI identified as conflicting with the species tree,

which instead placed the sundews *Drosera* and *Drosophyllum* as sister to one another.

380    These analyses revealed that the functional categories associated with genes exhibiting

this conflicting phylogenetic relationship are often related to carnivory (Tables 1 and 2).

382    Cellular response to ozone and to reactive oxygen species, and superoxide dismutase

activity, emerged as the most overrepresented biological process and molecular function,

384    respectively. Carnivorous species have been shown to use free radicals during the

digestion process (Chia et al. 2004), but these can also cause cellular damage. Hence,

386    genes with enzymatic activities capable of scavenging superoxide radicals (Bowler et al.

1991) could play a key protective role in carnivorous plants. GO terms associated with

388    nitrogen utilization are also among the top categories enriched in the set of genes

exhibiting conflict and could relate to the assimilation of nutrients following prey

390    digestion. Finally, our analyses also reveal enrichment patterns for GO terms connected

with photosynthesis and respiration. Producing the morphology and molecules that allow

392    carnivory (i.e., pigment to attract insects or traps to catch them) is energetically

demanding, and carnivory impacts both respiration and photosynthesis. Indeed, trap

394    development and activity are generally accompanied by spatio-temporal activation of

respiration and inactivation of photosynthesis. Following this, photosynthesis can

396    increase as a result of feeding (Pavlovič and Saganová 2015).

398    **Table 1. Result of Gene Ontology analyses (Molecular Function) performed on**

**genes in conflict with the species tree but supporting the *Drosera* + *Drosophyllum***

 **relationship.** The top ten most enriched categories from the 'Molecular Function' database are indicated. An extended version of this table, including subcategories, is

402 provided in Supplementary Spreadsheet 1. FE, Fold Enrichment; FDR, False Discovery

Rate.

| Term | Description | Expected (Observed) | FE | Raw P-value | FDR |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| GO:0004784 | Superoxide dismutase activity | 0.04(3) | 78.02 | 1.72e-05 | 1.74e-03 |
| GO:0004356 | glutamate-ammonia ligase activity | 0.03(2) | 69.35 | 6.24e-04 | 3.90e-02 |
| GO:0030060 | L-malate dehydrogenase activity | 0.05(3) | 62.41 | 2.95e-05 | 2.74e-03 |
| GO:0003746 | translation elongation factor activity | 0.08(5) | 61.19 | 5.73e-08 | 9.78e-06 |
| GO:0016168 | Chlorophyll binding | 0.13(7) | 52.01 | 2.94e-10 | 7.94e-08 |
| GO:0008266 | Poly(U) RNA binding | 0.13(6) | 46.23 | 1.06e-08 | 2.16e-06 |
| GO:1901149 | salicylic acid binding | 0.13(5) | 37.15 | 4.95e-07 | 8.03e-05 |
| GO:0046933 | proton-transporting ATP synthase activity, rotational mechanism | 0.12(3) | 26.01 | 2.88e-04 | 1.99e-02 |
| GO:0016762 | xyloglucan:xyloglucosyl transferase activity | 0.15(3) | 19.50 | 6.26e-04 | 3.84e-02 |
| GO:0004601 | Peroxidase activity | 0.37(6) | 16.42 | 2.78e-06 | 3.61e-04 |

**Table 2. Result of Gene Ontology analyses (Biological Process) performed on genes**

406 **in conflict with the species tree but supporting the *Drosera* + *Drosophyllum* sister**

**relationship.** The main categories enriched from the 'Biological Process' database are

408 indicated, along with selected related categories (italicized). An extended version of this

table, including all subcategories, is provided in Supplementary Spreadsheet 2. FE, Fold

410 Enrichment; FDR, False Discovery Rate.

| Term | Description | Expected (Observed) | FE | Raw P-value | FDR |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| GO:0071457 | Cellular response to ozone | 0.01(2) | >100 | 2.25e-04 | 1.09e-04 |
| *GO:0034614* | *cellular response to reactive oxygen species* | *0.16(4)* | *25.22* | *2.92e-05* | *2.00e-03* |
| GO:0019676 | Ammonia assimilation cycle | 0.03(2) | 69.35 | 6.24e-04 | 2.73e-02 |
| *GO:0006541* | *glutamine metabolic process* | *0.08(3)* | *39.01* | *9.80e-05* | *5.25e-03* |
| *GO:0019740* | *nitrogen utilisation* | *0.03(2)* | *59.44* | *8.00e-04* | *3.40e-02* |
| GO:0009768 | Photosynthesis, light harvesting in photosystem I | 0.12(7) | 60.68 | 1.017-10 | 2.24e-08 |

| | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| GO:0006542 | Glutamine biosynthetic process | 0.03(2) | 59.44 | 8.00e-04 | 3.45e-02 |
| GO:0071493 | Cellular response to UV-B | 0.03(2) | 59.44 | 8.00e-04 | 3.42e-02 |
| GO:0019430 | Removal of superoxide radicals | 0.05(3) | 56.74 | 3.75e-05 | 2.40e-03 |
| GO:0009645 | Response to low light intensity stimulus | 0.09(5) | 54.75 | 9.17e-08 | 1.27e-05 |
| GO:0030388 | Fructose 1,6-bisphosphate metabolic process | 0.06(3) | 52.01 | 4.67e-05 | 2.86e-03 |
| GO:0071329 | Cellular response to sucrose stimulus | 0.04(2) | 46.23 | 1.21e-03 | 4.78e-02 |
| GO:0046500 | S-adenosylmethionine metabolic process | 0.04(2) | 46.23 | 1.21e-03 | 4.75e-02 |

412     Next, we conducted a detailed examination of the families to which these conflicting

gene trees belong. Plant carnivory is typified by a set of characters that enable them to

414     attract, capture, digest and assimilate animal prey. This cluster of attributes is known as

the carnivorous syndrome. Within the genes exhibiting conflict, we found families

416     participating in each of the four facets of the carnivorous syndrome (Table 3 and

Supplementary Fig. S2); for example, three gene clusters code for enzymes participating

418     in anthocyanin synthesis. Red anthocyanin pigments colour the sticky trap of both

*Drosera* and *Drosophyllum,* and the accumulation of pigments in traps of carnivorous

420     species has been hypothesised to participate in either luring insect prey (Schaefer and

Ruxton 2008) or reducing the risk of a pollinator-prey conflict (Jürgens et al. 2015).

422     Furthermore, sticky trap leaves and their glandular emergences (tentacles) can bend

toward stuck prey to secure their capture. Such movements are generally the product of

424     acid-growth processes (Poppinga et al. 2013). Acid-growth allows cell extension and

growth following acidification of the cell wall. Three gene clusters in the set encode

426     Xyloglucan endotransglucosylases/hydrolases, proteins capable of regulating cell wall

extensibility in response to wall acidification (Majda and Robert 2018). Enzymes found

428     in trap secretions and mediating prey digestion (i.e., chitinase) or protecting plant cells

from damages caused by free radicals used during the digestion process (i.e., peroxidases)

430    are also overrepresented among conflicting genes. Finally, genes encoding proteins

contributing to the utilisation of nitrogen (for growth and development) or to the use of

432    amino acids (alternative respiratory substrate to fuel the energy demand of carnivory)

from digested prey are also present (Table 3). As *Drosera* and *Drosophyllum* resemble

434    each other in terms of morphology and the action mechanism of their traps, these genes

with histories that conflict with the species tree represent candidate genes that could have

436    participated in the emergence of the sticky trap phenotype 75 Mya, or that may have

mediated the parallel evolution of sticky traps in both groups of sundews.

440    **Table 3**. **Genes in conflict with species relationships that support the relationship**

***Drosera* + *Drosophyllum* and encoding protein belonging to families with carnivory-**

442    **associated functions**. The first column indicates the aspect of the carnivorous syndrome

associated with selected clusters.

| | Cluster | Gene Family | Molecular Function | Role in Carnivory | Reference |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **Prey attraction** | 2986 | Cinnamate-4-hydroxylase (C4H) | Catalyses the second step of the main phenylpropanoid pathway | Production of red anthocyanin pigment colouring the sticky trap hairs (tentacles) may lure insect prey to traps and/or may reduce the risk of a pollinator–prey conflict | (Schaefer and Ruxton 2008; Jürgens et al. 2015) |
| | 82 | Chalcone synthase (CHS) | Flavonoid biosynthesis | | |
| | 1332 | Anthocyanidin synthase (ANS/LDOX) | Anthocyanin biosynthesis | | |
| | 1112 | | | | |

| | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| | 810 | Xyloglucan endotransglucosylases/hydrolase | Regulation of cell wall extensibility | Participate in the movement of sticky trap leaves and their glandular emergence (tentacles) that bend toward stuck prey due to the acid-growth process | (Poppinga et al. 2013; Majda and Robert 2018) |
| | 3821 | | | | |
| **Degradation of prey by digestive enzymes** | 2518 | Chitinase | Degradation of the polysaccharide chitin | The digestive enzyme found in trap fluid or secretions Degradation of chitin from insect prey | (Matušíková et al. 2005; Renner and Specht 2013) |
| | 2136 | Formate dehydrogenase | Catalyse the oxidation of formate to carbon dioxide | | (Schulze et al. 2012) |
| | 160 | Fructose-biphosphate aldolase | Plays a crucial role in glycolysis and gluconeogenesis | Enzymes detected in the secretion of digestive fluids | |
| | 4896 | | | | |
| | 1196 | Peroxidase | Removal of $H_2O_2$, oxidation of toxic reductants | Oxidation of prey molecules Protect cells and enzymes from oxidative damage | (Fukushima et al. 2017; Ravee et al. 2018) |
| | 677 | | | | |
| | 435 | | | | |
| | 3495 | Superoxide dismutase | Scavenging of superoxide radicals | Reduce cellular damage mediated by oxygen radicals used during the prey digestion process | (Bowler et al. 1991; Chia et al. 2004; Schulze et al. 2012) |
| | 999 | | | | |
| | 3714 | | | | |
| **Uptake of soluble compounds** | 4294 | Dicarboxylate transporter1 | Nitrogen Utilisation | Proteins of prey can be used as a source of nitrogen for growth and development | (Small et al. 1977; Scherzer et al. 2013) |
| | 926 | Glutamine synthetase | | | |
| | 315 | | | | |
| | 478 | Malate dehydrogenase | Respiration | Prey-derived amino acids can be used as an alternative respiratory substrate to fuel the energy demand for prey digestion | (Fasbender et al. 2017) |
| | 632 | | | | |

## An in-depth analysis of *Chitinase* (*CTL1*) reveals a history of duplication and conflict

We found a class I chitinase among the genes placing *Drosophyllum* and *Drosera* as sister to one another (Table 3). The class I chitinase gene family plays an essential role in plant evolutionary defense against fungal pathogens. Producing chitinase allows plants to break down the chitinous fungal cell wall (Samac et al. 1990; Brogue et al. 1991). Two

454      subclasses of class I chitinases have been identified in the carnivorous Caryophyllales,

with subclass Ia participating in the pathogenesis response. In contrast, members of

456      subclass Ib help break down the chitinous exoskeleton of insects, supporting carnivory

(Renner and Specht 2012).

Although a commonly performed mapping approach is gene tree to species tree, the

460      ability to map a species tree onto a gene tree provides a powerful tool for single gene

family analyses. Species tree reconciliation algorithms such as NOTUNG (Chen et al.

462      2000) map duplications and other events to gene trees, but CAnDI does so in homolog

trees with a focus on conflict. By mapping the species tree onto the class I chitinase

464      homolog tree (Fig.4A), we found evidence for the previously characterised duplication of

class I chitinases at the base of the carnivorous Caryophyllales (Renner and Specht 2012).

466      Although the carnivorous Caryophyllales are noted for their high propensity of genome

duplication (Walker et al. 2017; Palfalvi et al. 2020), *Drosophyllum* does not have

468      identifiable evidence for a whole genome duplication (Walker et al. 2017). As this class I

chitinase duplication is also conserved in *Drosophyllum*, it is likely not a byproduct of

470      whole genome duplication.

Figure 4. Gene duplication and conflict in the evolution of (A) the chitinase I gene (left) and (B) the *LBD4-like* gene (right). black = concordance, red = conflict, blue = duplication. The type of trap found in each carnivorous species is depicted with a symbol on the right of the homolog tree.

## An LBD transcription factor may play a key role in the development of the carnivorous trap

We first discussed the chitinase gene family due to its critical role in the evolution of plant carnivory and the focus other researchers have placed on this family in distantly related clades of carnivorous plants (Fukushima et al. 2017; Lan et al. 2017). However, our interest was drawn to the bias in patterns of conflict, which indicates some form of selection driving the mucilaginous trap in the carnivorous Caryophyllales. This is also suggested by the GO term analysis (Table 3). Stochastic mapping on species trees has helped researchers infer the possible ancestral states that have led to carnivory (Renner

and Specht 2011). We show that complementing this work with examinations of the

490    evolutionary history of individual gene trees can provide further insight into the evolution

of plant carnivory. Such analyses can be performed on genes already known to participate

492    in the trait of interest, such as class I chitinases, but also on genes with conflicting

histories that have not previously been linked to carnivory.

For instance, we found one gene coding for a transcription factor among the set of 146

496    conflicting genes that support a sister relationship between the sundews *Drosophyllum*

and *Drosera* (Fig.4B). This transcription factor belongs to the *LATERAL ORGAN*

498    *BOUNDARY DOMAIN* (*LBD*) gene family, and its closest relative in the model plant

Arabidopsis is *LBD4*. It will hereafter be referred to as *LBD4-like*, since we have not

500    determined whether this caryophyllid gene and Arabidopsis *LBD4* form a true clade.

Members of the *LBD* family are regulators of plant development, controlling, for

502    instance, leaf morphogenesis (Iwakawa et al. 2002; Shuai et al. 2002). They also fulfil

important roles in regulating anthocyanin and nitrogen metabolism (Rubin et al. 2009).

504    Interestingly, LBD homologues have also been implicated in nyctinasty (Chen et al.

2012), a behaviour characterised by pronounced leaf movements in response to the

506    day/night cycle and in 2013, Poppinga et al. speculated a role for this family in

carnivorous trap motor organs as well (Poppinga et al. 2013). Regulators of sticky trap

508    formation should start being expressed early during leaf development in young primordia

that are yet to form the morphological features characteristic of the sticky trap. To test

510    whether the spatio-temporal expression patterns of sundews *LBD4-like* homologs were

compatible with a role in trap elaboration, we characterised its expression in young and

512 mature leaves of three species producing a sticky trap: *Drosera admirabilis*, *Drosera*

*aliciae* and *Drosera coccicaulis* (Fig.5A-C). As inflorescences were available in the *D.*

514 *coccicaulis* individuals, we also measured gene expression in those tissues for

comparison (Fig.5D).

**Figure 5. The expression dynamics of *Drosera LBD4-like* genes are compatible with**

520 **a role during trap formation. (A-D)** Young leaf primordia (boxed region) and mature

traps from *Drosera admirabilis* (A), *Drosera aliciae* (B) and *Drosera coccicaulis* (C).

522 The inflorescence of *D. coccicaulis* is partially visible in (D), indicated by a white

arrowhead. **(E-F)** Relative expression levels of *LBD4-like* homologs in young leaf

524    primordia and mature sticky traps of *D. admirabilis* (E) and *D. aliciae* (F). * $p < 0.05$, **

$p < 0.01$, Welch's t-test. Individual dots represent distinct biological replicates (n = 3),

526    and red lines indicate mean relative expression. (**G**) Relative expression levels of *LBD4-*

*like* homolog in young leaf primordia, mature sticky traps and inflorescence of *D.*

528    *coccicaulis*. Red lines indicate mean relative expression. Letters a, b, c, indicate the

results of a post hoc Tukey's HSD test, with group means associated with different letters

530    being significantly different from one another ($p < 0.01$).

532    In all three species, we found that the *LBD4-like* gene was expressed in developing traps

and that its expression decreases as development progresses. On average, transcripts

534    levels were two to ten times higher in young leaf primordia compared to mature ones

(Fig.5E-G). In *D. coccicaulis, LBD4-like* homolog expression was significantly weaker in

536    reproductive tissues (inflorescence), as transcripts levels were more than eight times

higher in emerging leaf primordia and almost 4 times as high in mature traps (Fig.5G).

538    Taken together, our results indicate that the expression dynamic of *LBD4-like* genes is

compatible with a possible role in trap development in *Drosera*. Hence, *LBD4-like* is a

540    promising candidate for functional investigation as it could be involved in shaping the

unique morphology of sticky trap leaves and/or contribute to their ability to produce

542    pigments or assimilate nitrogen following prey capture.

544    **Using homolog trees as a general basis for exploring conflict allows for**

**more comparisons**

546   Examining whole homolog trees in the carnivorous Caryophyllales uncovered the

relationship between evolutionary conflict and phenotypic convergence that a similar

548   analysis on ortholog gene trees would not have detected (Fig.3). Gene duplication can

occur due to local tandem duplications, chromosome duplications, or genome-wide

550   duplication events (Lynch and Force 2000). Ancient whole genome duplications are

particularly widespread in plants due to their tolerance for polyploidy (Clark and

552   Donoghue 2018), meaning patterns of gene duplication and loss particularly characterize

many plant gene families. However, gene duplication, by any mechanism, is also

554   widespread in other eukaryotes, and gene family analyses are becoming more popular

throughout evolutionary biology (Iñiguez and Hernández 2017; Copley 2020). Therefore,

556   methods that detect and account for gene duplications on a gene family tree, allowing for

the analysis of the whole homologous gene tree and not just a part of it, have widespread

558   applicability.

560   Existing approaches for exploring conflict in large, multi-gene phylogenetic datasets

include counting gene concordance frequencies (Ane et al. 2006; Baum 2007; Smith et al.

562   2015; Minh et al. 2020), dividing the tree into quartets (Pease et al. 2018; Zhou et al.

2020), and comparing gene tree likelihoods either through Bayes factors or gene-wise

564   likelihoods (Gatesy and Baker 2005; Brown and Thomson 2016; Shen et al. 2017;

Walker et al. 2018). However, these approaches tend to rely on pre-identified orthology.

566   This is due to the fact that prior to our development of CAnDI, any homolog tree

containing a duplication could not be analysed in entirety for conflict or concordance

568   with a species tree, with the existence of multiple tips from the same taxon confounding

this process. Previous analyses have used various orthology inference methods to

570 mitigate such a loss of information (Zmasek and Eddy 2002; Hejnol et al. 2009; Yang

and Smith 2014). However, all previous methods discard at least some information about

572 speciation events that occur post-duplication, meaning many speciation nodes are not

assessed for conflict even though they may be informative.

It should be noted that gene trees are prone to estimation errors. Our use of homolog trees

576 allows for the best-informed relationships, as taxon sampling is well known to increase

the accuracy of phylogenetic estimation (Zwickl and Hillis 2002). Using homolog trees,

578 which are informed by the maximum number of samples possible, we should have

increased accuracy compared to extracted orthologs. To account for errors that may have

580 arisen in the tree estimation procedure, we applied a support filter for the bipartitions, in

this case requiring SH-alrt of at least 80. When the analysis was run with and without

582 support, our results identified a biased number of genes supporting the placement of

sticky traps sister to each other and woody plants sister to each other (Fig.3). This bias,

584 even with the filters, helps indicate this is an actual biological signal and not an artifact of

a lack of information. Although some gene tree errors are inevitable, the functional

586 association of the genes in this study provides further support for the signal and is an

important consideration for any gene identification approach.

Whole-homolog approaches and methods for analysing the phylome have been used for

590 other kinds of analysis than conflict [reviewed by (Smith and Hahn 2021)]. Entire

homolog trees have been shown to provide a holistic approach to species tree

592 reconciliation without the need to pre-define orthology and are capable of recapitulating

the same species relationships as using only orthologs (Smith and Hahn 2021). ASTRAL-

594 Pro, a coalescent-based approach to infer species relationships, uses a quartet tree

decomposition strategy that separates speciation and duplication nodes (Zhang et al.

596 2020).

598 Furthermore, two approaches have been developed to decompose the homolog trees and

analyse them with respect to species relationships. Both methods use bipartitions as the

600 basis for the analysis. The TreeKO method (Marcet-Houben and Gabaldón 2011),

implemented in ETE3 (Huerta-Cepas et al. 2016), calculates the Robinson-Foulds (RF)

602 distance between a species tree and a homolog tree(s). The RF distance involves

decomposing each tree into bipartitions and counting the total number of bipartitions that

604 are unique to each tree. As this involves separating duplication from speciation nodes, the

tree dissection approach is similar to the one utilized here [e.g., the entire phylome

606 (Sicheritz-Pontén and Andersson 2001)]. The biggest difference is the TreeKO method

only provides a support score for the species tree. In contrast, the gene search approach in

608 CAnDI allows the user to identify specific relationships in gene trees. CAnDI is also

designed for detailed analysis of homolog trees, such as mapping species relationships

610 onto the homolog tree and providing the number of conflicting relationships with a given

species tree node.

The summary of gene trees from CAnDI is most similar to the second method, Phyparts

614 (Smith et al. 2015). Phyparts takes a homolog tree-centric approach that involves

decomposing the entire homolog tree into its respective bipartitions. The decomposition

616     allows the program to determine whether the homolog tree conflicts or not. However, it

bypasses the orthology detection procedure entirely by stipulating that each homolog tree

618     can only be concordant, conflicting, or both at a single time with the species tree.

Furthermore, as Phyparts is not designed for searching for specific conflicts, the

620     bipartitions focus on the deepest conflicting bipartition in the tree as a summary of

conflict. In short, this means that an individual homolog tree will not be summarized as

622     having multiple conflicts or concordances. An examination of the difference this makes

can be seen in the *Drosophyllum+Drosera* example. CAnDI reports 146 gene trees

624     containing this relationship without support factored in and 122 with support factored in.

Phyparts, in contrast, reports 67 without support factored in and 19 with support factored

626     in. Similar differences may be seen in other comparisons.

628     TreeKO and PhyParts allow users to input species trees, but only to map homolog trees

onto a species tree, rather than also allowing users to map the species tree onto a homolog

630     tree. The method we developed for this study and implemented in CAnDI enables users

to investigate all conflicts and concordances from a single homolog tree, unlike previous

632     methods that can only infer whether a tree is conflicting and not the number of nodes at

which an individual homolog tree is concordant or conflicting. However, we stress that

634     Phyparts and TreeKO function for their respective purposes, and the differences outlined

are not criticisms but explanations of how CAnDI has built upon these authors' work.

We applied CAnDI to the carnivorous Caryophyllales transcriptomes along with datasets

638    from five distinct groups of eukaryotes (hymenopterous insects, unicellular diatoms and

three other groups of flowering plants) to compare conflict analysis based on homolog

640    trees to that of pre-identified orthology. We found that using entire gene families allowed

significantly more relationships to inform the analysis (Fig.6).

**Figure 6. Using entire gene families provides more relationships to inform analyses**

644    **and uncover conflicts.** The graphs correspond to datasets from six distinct groups: the

Hymenoptera order of insects (HYMN); the diatoms, a lineage of microbial eukaryotes

646    (DIAT) and four different plants groups: the carnivorous Caryophyllales (CARN),

Fabaceae (LEGU), Ericales (ERIC) and Amaranthaceae (AMAR). The x-axis represents

648    the number of times a specific relationship is analysed, ordered from the fewest to the

most comparisons using the CAnDI procedure. The y-axis is the relationship being

650    analysed. The filled circles are the number of times a given node is analysed using

CAnDI. The x-axis is the number of times each node is analysed using the orthologs

652    extracted in the original study.

654    In these analyses, we extracted rooted homolog trees using the same approach as Yang

and colleagues (Yang et al. 2015). This approach required a cutoff for the minimum

656    number of ingroup taxa. However, recent work on non-time reversible models should

allow users to extend even further rooting homologs without the need to extract

658    duplicates from the homolog tree. Homolog trees rooted in this way extend the method,

allowing users to analyse the entire phylome for any taxa with a sequenced genome.

660    Using pre-identified orthology is not an issue for species tree inference (Smith and Hahn

2021) or identifying processes associated with speciation patterns among genes (e.g.,

662    incomplete lineage sorting), where conflicts of interest are usually the most common

ones. However, this information loss can be costly when investigating topologies that

664    rarely arise, such as in this study, where we compare the relationship between trait

distribution (distinct trap morphologies or life histories) and conflict. Moreover, relying

666    on pre-identified orthology is often insufficient for studies focusing on one gene family,

especially in cases where that gene family has undergone significant expansion in

668    multiple lineages. The approach we implemented in CAnDI provides a solution to

overcome those limitations.

# Conclusion

672    Using the new program CAnDI to systematically investigate patterns of gene tree conflict

across the whole phylome, we found carnivory and woodiness were traits associated with

674    gene tree conflict in the carnivorous Caryophyllales. Combined with our ancestral state

reconstruction that predicts sticky trap as the ancestral trap morphology, this supports

676    existing evidence that phenotypic convergence and/or new adaptations frequently rely on

recruiting ancestral genetic variation (Pease et al. 2016; Schweizer et al. 2019). We also

678    demonstrated that CAnDI allows for far more comparisons between gene trees and the

species tree to be made using phylogenomic datasets, regardless of the identity of the

680    organisms considered. This enabled us to identify 146 genes supporting a sister

relationship between the two genera of sundews, directly conflicting with the established

682    phylogeny of the carnivorous Caryophyllales. We uncovered a clear link between those

genes with conflicting histories and all aspects of the carnivory syndrome. We also

684    discovered that an *LBD4* homolog is a possible regulator of sticky trap development.

Hence, CAnDI enables focused examination of genes previously known to participate in

686    a trait of interest but also provides promising means to identify novel candidates for

functional investigation. This illustrates how examining patterns of gene tree conflict can

688    further our understanding of how evolution shapes developmental processes and

generates phenotypic convergences.

# Supplementary Material

692    The Supplementary Material file contains:

        **Fig. S1** Ancestral state estimation of the growth habit in the carnivorous

694        Caryophyllales

        **Fig. S2** Graphical representation of all the trees listed in Table 3 of the main text.

696        **Fig. S3** Example of examining the relationship between a homolog tree and a

        species tree.

698        **Fig. S4** Overview of the decomposition process of a whole homolog tree into

        subtrees

700        **Supplementary Method -** Detailed Rationale Behind CAnDI

        **Supporting References**

    Other supporting materials for this manuscript include the following:

        **Supplementary Spreadsheet 1.** Outcome of Gene Ontology Analysis (Molecular

706        Function)

        **Supplementary Spreadsheet 2.** Outcome of Gene Ontology Analysis (Biological

708        Process)

        **Supplementary Spreadsheet 3.** List of Primers used in this study

# Acknowledgments and Funding

712    We would like to thank Yuxi Liang for drawing the three silhouettes representing the

different trap systems used in Fig.1-4. Stephen Smith for helpful discussions on the best

714    procedure for identifying duplication events. Andrew Alverson, Erik Koenen, Diego

Morales-Briones, and Drew Larson for assistance with dataset acquisition and formatting.

716    We are also grateful to Drew Larson, Chris Whitewoods and the members of the

Moyroud lab and Walker lab for useful discussions and comments on earlier versions of

718 this manuscript. Our research is supported by the Gatsby Charitable Foundation, the Isaac

Newton Trust/Wellcome Trust ISSF, Murray Edwards College and the SBS-DTP PhD

720 program at the University of Cambridge and start-up funding from the University of

Illinois at Chicago.

# References

724 Ane C., Larget B., Baum D.A., Smith S.D., Rokas A. 2006. Bayesian Estimation of Concordance among Gene Trees. Mol. Biol. Evol. 24:412–426.

726 Arai N., Ohno Y., Jumyo S., Hamaji Y., Ohyama T. 2021. Organ-specific expression and epigenetic traits of genes encoding digestive enzymes in the lance-leaf sundew (Drosera
728 adelae). J. Exp. Bot. 72:1946–1961.

Arbuckle K., Bennett C.M., Speed M.P. 2014. A simple measure of the strength of convergent
730 evolution. Methods Ecol. Evol. 5:685–693.

Baker W.J., Bailey P., Barber V., Barker A., Bellot S., Bishop D., Botigué L.R., Brewer G.,
732 Carruthers T., Clarkson J.J., Cook J., Cowan R.S., Dodsworth S., Epitawalage N.,
Françoso E., Gallego B., Johnson M.G., Kim J.T., Leempoel K., Maurin O., Mcginnie C.,
734 Pokorny L., Roy S., Stone M., Toledo E., Wickett N.J., Zuntini A.R., Eiserhardt W.L.,
Kersey P.J., Leitch I.J., Forest F. 2022. A Comprehensive Phylogenomic Platform for
736 Exploring the Angiosperm Tree of Life. Syst. Biol. 71:301–319.

Baum D.A. 2007. Concordance trees, concordance factors, and the exploration of reticulate
738 genealogy. TAXON. 56:417–426.

Bettisworth B., Stamatakis A. 2021. Root Digger: a root placement program for phylogenetic
740 trees. BMC Bioinformatics. 22:225.

Bowler C., Slooten L., Vendenbranden S., De Rycke R., Botterman J., Sybesma C., Van Montagu
742 marc, Inzé D. 1991. Manganese superoxide dismutase can reduce cellular damage mediated by oxygen radicals in transgenic plants. EMBO J. 10:1723–1732.

744 Brogue K., Chet I., Holliday M., Cressman R., Biddle P., Knowlton S., Mauvais C.J., Broglie R. 1991. Transgenic Plants with Enhanced Resistance to the Fungal Pathogen *Rhizoctonia*
746 *solani*. Science. 254:1194–1197.

Brown J.M., Thomson R.C. 2016. Bayes factors unmask highly variable information content,
748 bias, and extreme influence in phylogenomic analyses. Syst. Biol.:syw101.

Brown J.W., Walker J.F., Smith S.A. 2017. Phyx: phylogenetic tools for unix. Bioinformatics.
750        33:1886–1888.

Cameron K.M., Wurdack K.J., Jobson R.W. 2002. Molecular evidence for the common origin of
752        snap-traps among carnivorous plants. Am. J. Bot. 89:1503–1509.

Chen J., Moreau C., Liu Y., Kawaguchi M., Hofer J., Ellis N., Chen R. 2012. Conserved genetic
754        determinant of motor organ identity in Medicago truncatula and related legumes. Proc.
Natl. Acad. Sci. 109:11723–11728.

756 Chen K., Durand D., Farach-Colton M. 2000. Notung: dating gene duplications using gene family
trees. Proc. Fourth Annu. Int. Conf. Comput. Mol. Biol.:96–106.

758 Chia T.F., Aung H.H., Osipov A.N., Goh N.K., Chia L.S. 2004. Carnivorous pitcher plant uses
free radicals in the digestion of prey. Redox Rep. 9:255–261.

760 Chiari Y., Cahais V., Galtier N., Delsuc F. 2012. Phylogenomic analyses support the position of
turtles as the sister group of birds and crocodiles (Archosauria). BMC Biol. 10:65.

762 Clark J.W., Donoghue P.C.J. 2018. Whole-Genome Duplication and Plant Macroevolution.
Trends Plant Sci. 23:933–945.

764 Copetti D., Búrquez A., Bustamante E., Charboneau J.L.M., Childs K.L., Eguiarte L.E., Lee S.,
Liu T.L., McMahon M.M., Whiteman N.K., Wing R.A., Wojciechowski M.F., Sanderson
766        M.J. 2017. Extensive gene tree discordance and hemiplasy shaped the genomes of North
American columnar cacti. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 114:12003–12008.

768 Copley S.D. 2020. Evolution of new enzymes by gene duplication and divergence. FEBS J.
287:1262–1283.

770 Corbett-Detig R.B., Russell S.L., Nielsen R., Losos J. 2020. Phenotypic Convergence Is Not
Mirrored at the Protein Level in a Lizard Adaptive Radiation. Mol. Biol. Evol. 37:1604–
772        1614.

Dasmahapatra K.K., Walters J.R., Briscoe A.D., Davey J.W., Whibley A., Nadeau N.J., Zimin
774        A.V., Hughes D.S.T., Ferguson L.C., Martin S.H., Salazar C., Lewis J.J., Adler S., Ahn
S.-J., Baker D.A., Baxter S.W., Chamberlain N.L., Chauhan R., Counterman B.A.,
776        Dalmay T., Gilbert L.E., Gordon K., Heckel D.G., Hines H.M., Hoff K.J., Holland
P.W.H., Jacquin-Joly E., Jiggins F.M., Jones R.T., Kapan D.D., Kersey P., Lamas G.,
778        Lawson D., Mapleson D., Maroja L.S., Martin A., Moxon S., Palmer W.J., Papa R.,
Papanicolaou A., Pauchet Y., Ray D.A., Rosser N., Salzberg S.L., Supple M.A., Surridge
780        A., Tenger-Trolander A., Vogel H., Wilkinson P.A., Wilson D., Yorke J.A., Yuan F.,
Balmuth A.L., Eland C., Gharbi K., Thomson M., Gibbs R.A., Han Y., Jayaseelan J.C.,
782        Kovar C., Mathew T., Muzny D.M., Ongeri F., Pu L.-L., Qu J., Thornton R.L., Worley
K.C., Wu Y.-Q., Linares M., Blaxter M.L., ffrench-Constant R.H., Joron M., Kronforst
784        M.R., Mullen S.P., Reed R.D., Scherer S.E., Richards S., Mallet J., Owen McMillan W.,
Jiggins C.D., The Heliconius Genome Consortium. 2012. Butterfly genome reveals
786        promiscuous exchange of mimicry adaptations among species. Nature. 487:94–98.

Delsuc F., Brinkmann H., Philippe H. 2005. Phylogenomics and the reconstruction of the tree of
788        life. Nat. Rev. Genet. 6:361–375.

Doolittle R.F. 1994. Convergent evolution: the need to be explicit. Trends Biochem. Sci. 19:15–18.

Doyle J.J. 1992. Gene Trees and Species Trees: Molecular Systematics as One-Character Taxonomy. Syst. Bot. 17:144–163.

Dunn C.W., Hejnol A., Matus D.Q., Pang K., Browne W.E., Smith S.A., Seaver E., Rouse G.W., Obst M., Edgecombe G.D., Sørensen M.V., Haddock S.H.D., Schmidt-Rhaesa A., Okusu A., Kristensen R.M., Wheeler W.C., Martindale M.Q., Giribet G. 2008. Broad phylogenomic sampling improves resolution of the animal tree of life. Nature. 452:745–749.

Dunning L.T., Olofsson J.K., Parisod C., Choudhury R.R., Moreno-Villena J.J., Yang Y., Dionora J., Quick W.P., Park M., Bennetzen J.L., Besnard G., Nosil P., Osborne C.P., Christin P.-A. 2019. Lateral transfers of large DNA fragments spread functional genes among grasses. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 116:4416–4425.

Fasbender L., Maurer D., Kreuzwieser J., Kreuzer I., Schulze W.X., Kruse J., Becker D., Alfarraj S., Hedrich R., Werner C., Rennenberg H. 2017. The carnivorous Venus flytrap uses prey-derived amino acid carbon to fuel respiration. New Phytol. 214:597–606.

Feng S., Bai M., Rivas-González I., Li C., Liu S., Tong Y., Yang H., Chen G., Xie D., Sears K.E., Franco L.M., Gaitan-Espitia J.D., Nespolo R.F., Johnson W.E., Yang H., Brandies P.A., Hogg C.J., Belov K., Renfree M.B., Helgen K.M., Boomsma J.J., Schierup M.H., Zhang G. 2022. Incomplete lineage sorting and phenotypic evolution in marsupials. Cell. 185:1646-1660.e18.

Foster C.S.P., Sauquet H., van der Merwe M., McPherson H., Rossetto M., Ho S.Y.W. 2017. Evaluating the Impact of Genomic Data and Priors on Bayesian Estimates of the Angiosperm Evolutionary Timescale. Syst. Biol. 66:338–351.

Fukushima K., Fang X., Alvarez-Ponce D., Cai H., Carretero-Paulet L., Chen C., Chang T.-H., Farr K.M., Fujita T., Hiwatashi Y., Hoshi Y., Imai T., Kasahara M., Librado P., Mao L., Mori H., Nishiyama T., Nozawa M., Pálfalvi G., Pollard S.T., Rozas J., Sánchez-Gracia A., Sankoff D., Shibata T.F., Shigenobu S., Sumikawa N., Uzawa T., Xie M., Zheng C., Pollock D.D., Albert V.A., Li S., Hasebe M. 2017. Genome of the pitcher plant Cephalotus reveals genetic changes associated with carnivory. Nat. Ecol. Evol. 1:1–9.

Ganger M.T., Dietz G.D., Ewing S.J. 2017. A common base method for analysis of qPCR data and the application of simple blocking in qPCR experiments. BMC Bioinformatics. 18:534.

Gatesy J., Baker R.H. 2005. Hidden Likelihood Support in Genomic Data: Can Forty-Five Wrongs Make a Right? Syst. Biol. 54:483–492.

Hejnol A., Obst M., Stamatakis A., Ott M., Rouse G.W., Edgecombe G.D., Martinez P., Baguñà J., Bailly X., Jondelius U., Wiens M., Müller W.E.G., Seaver E., Wheeler W.C., Martindale M.Q., Giribet G., Dunn C.W. 2009. Assessing the root of bilaterian animals with scalable phylogenomic methods. Proc. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci. 276:4261–4270.

828    Heubl G., Bringmann G., Meimberg H. 2006. Molecular Phylogeny and Character Evolution of
         Carnivorous Plant Families in Caryophyllales - Revisited. Plant Biol.:821–830.

830    Huerta-Cepas J., Dopazo H., Dopazo J., Gabaldón T. 2007. The human phylome. Genome Biol.
         8:R109.

832    Huerta-Cepas J., Serra F., Bork P. 2016. ETE 3: Reconstruction, Analysis, and Visualization of
         Phylogenomic Data. Mol. Biol. Evol. 33:1635–1638.

834    Iñiguez L.P., Hernández G. 2017. The Evolutionary Relationship between Alternative Splicing
         and Gene Duplication. Front. Genet. 8.

836    Iwakawa H., Ueno Y., Semiarti E., Onouchi H., Kojima S., Tsukaya H., Hasebe M., Soma T.,
         Ikezaki M., Machida C., Machida Y. 2002. The ASYMMETRIC LEAVES2 Gene of
838      Arabidopsis thaliana, Required for Formation of a Symmetric Flat Leaf Lamina, Encodes
         a Member of a Novel Family of Proteins Characterized by Cysteine Repeats and a
840      Leucine Zipper. Plant Cell Physiol. 43:467–478.

       Jeffroy O., Brinkmann H., Delsuc F., Philippe H. 2006. Phylogenomics: the beginning of
842      incongruence? Trends Genet. 22:225–231.

       Johnson B.R., Borowiec M.L., Chiu J.C., Lee E.K., Atallah J., Ward P.S. 2013. Phylogenomics
844      Resolves Evolutionary Relationships among Ants, Bees, and Wasps. Curr. Biol. 23:2058–
         2062.

846    Jürgens A., Witt T., Sciligo A., El-Sayed A.M. 2015. The effect of trap colour and trap-flower
         distance on prey and pollinator capture in carnivorous Drosera species. Funct. Ecol.
848      29:1026–1037.

       Kalyaanamoorthy S., Minh B.Q., Wong T.K.F., von Haeseler A., Jermiin L.S. 2017.
850      ModelFinder: fast model selection for accurate phylogenetic estimates. Nat. Methods.
         14:587–589.

852    Katoh K., Standley D.M. 2013. MAFFT Multiple Sequence Alignment Software Version 7:
         Improvements in Performance and Usability. Mol. Biol. Evol. 30:772–780.

854    Koenen E.J.M., Ojeda D.I., Steeves R., Migliore J., Bakker F.T., Wieringa J.J., Kidner C., Hardy
         O.J., Pennington R.T., Bruneau A., Hughes C.E. 2020. Large-scale genomic sequence
856      data resolve the deepest divergences in the legume phylogeny and support a near-
         simultaneous evolutionary origin of all six subfamilies. New Phytol. 225:1355–1369.

858    Kozlov A.M., Darriba D., Flouri T., Morel B., Stamatakis A. 2019. RAxML-NG: a fast, scalable
         and user-friendly tool for maximum likelihood phylogenetic inference. Bioinformatics.
860      35:4453–4455.

       Lan T., Renner T., Ibarra-Laclette E., Farr K.M., Chang T.-H., Cervantes-Pérez S.A., Zheng C.,
862      Sankoff D., Tang H., Purbojati R.W., Putra A., Drautz-Moses D.I., Schuster S.C.,
         Herrera-Estrella L., Albert V.A. 2017. Long-read sequencing uncovers the adaptive
topography of a carnivorous plant genome. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 114:E4435–E4441.

Larson D.A., Walker J.F., Vargas O.M., Smith S.A. 2020. A consensus phylogenomic approach highlights paleopolyploid and rapid radiation in the history of Ericales. Am. J. Bot. 107:773–789.

Lee E.K., Cibrian-Jaramillo A., Kolokotronis S.-O., Katari M.S., Stamatakis A., Ott M., Chiu J.C., Little D.P., Stevenson D.W., McCombie W.R., Martienssen R.A., Coruzzi G.,
DeSalle R. 2011. A Functional Phylogenomic View of the Seed Plants. PLOS Genet. 7:e1002411.

Lynch M., Force A. 2000. The Probability of Duplicate Gene Preservation by Subfunctionalization. Genetics. 154:459–473.

Maddison W.P. 1997. Gene Trees in Species Trees. Syst. Biol. 46:523–536.

Maddison W.P., Maddison D.R. 2021. Mesquite: a modular system for evolutionary analysis. .

876 Majda M., Robert S. 2018. The Role of Auxin in Cell Wall Expansion. Int. J. Mol. Sci. 19:951.

Marcet-Houben M., Gabaldón T. 2011. TreeKO: a duplication-aware algorithm for the
878 comparison of phylogenetic trees. Nucleic Acids Res. 39:e66.

Matušíková I., Salaj J., Moravčíková J., Mlynárová L., Nap J.-P., Libantová J. 2005. Tentacles of
in vitro-grown round-leaf sundew (Drosera rotundifoliaL.) show induction of chitinase activity upon mimicking the presence of prey. Planta. 222:1020–1027.

Minh B.Q., Hahn M.W., Lanfear R. 2020. New Methods to Calculate Concordance Factors for Phylogenomic Datasets. Mol. Biol. Evol. 37:2727–2733.

Morales-Briones D.F., Kadereit G., Tefarikis D.T., Moore M.J., Smith S.A., Brockington S.F., Timoneda A., Yim W.C., Cushman J.C., Yang Y. 2021. Disentangling Sources of Gene
Tree Discordance in Phylogenomic Data Sets: Testing Ancient Hybridizations in Amaranthaceae s.l. Syst. Biol. 70:219–235.

Naser-Khdour S., Minh B.Q., Lanfear R. 2021. Assessing Confidence in Root Placement on Phylogenies: An Empirical Study Using Non-Reversible Models for Mammals.
:2020.07.31.230144.

Nguyen L.-T., Schmidt H.A., von Haeseler A., Minh B.Q. 2015. IQ-TREE: A Fast and Effective
Stochastic Algorithm for Estimating Maximum-Likelihood Phylogenies. Mol. Biol. Evol. 32:268–274.

Palfalvi G., Hackl T., Terhoeven N., Shibata T.F., Nishiyama T., Ankenbrand M., Becker D., Förster F., Freund M., Iosip A., Kreuzer I., Saul F., Kamida C., Fukushima K., Shigenobu
S., Tamada Y., Adamec L., Hoshi Y., Ueda K., Winkelmann T., Fuchs J., Schubert I., Schwacke R., Al-Rasheid K., Schultz J., Hasebe M., Hedrich R. 2020. Genomes of the
Venus Flytrap and Close Relatives Unveil the Roots of Plant Carnivory. Curr. Biol. 30:2312-2320.e5.

Parins-Fukuchi C., Stull G.W., Smith S.A. 2021. Phylogenomic conflict coincides with rapid morphological innovation. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 118:e2023058118.

Parks M.B., Wickett N.J., Alverson A.J. 2018. Signal, Uncertainty, and Conflict in Phylogenomic
        Data for a Diverse Lineage of Microbial Eukaryotes (Diatoms, Bacillariophyta). Mol.
904       Biol. Evol. 35:80–93.

      Pavlovič A., Saganová M. 2015. A novel insight into the cost–benefit model for the evolution of
906       botanical carnivory. Ann. Bot. 115:1075–1092.

      Pease J.B., Brown J.W., Walker J.F., Hinchliff C.E., Smith S.A. 2018. Quartet Sampling
908       distinguishes lack of support from conflicting support in the green plant tree of life. Am.
          J. Bot. 105:385–403.

910   Pease J.B., Haak D.C., Hahn M.W., Moyle L.C. 2016. Phylogenomics Reveals Three Sources of
          Adaptive Variation during a Rapid Radiation. PLOS Biol. 14:e1002379.

912   Poppinga S., Masselter T., Speck T. 2013. Faster than their prey: New insights into the rapid
          movements of active carnivorous plants traps. BioEssays. 35:649–657.

914   R Core Team. 2017. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Found. Stat.
          Comput. Vienna Austria.

916   Ravee R., Salleh F. 'Imadi M., Goh H.-H. 2018. Discovery of digestive enzymes in carnivorous
          plants with focus on proteases. PeerJ. 6:e4914.

918   Renner T., Specht C.D. 2011. A Sticky Situation: Assessing Adaptations for Plant Carnivory in
          the Caryophyllales by Means of Stochastic Character Mapping. Int. J. Plant Sci. 172:889–
920       901.

      Renner T., Specht C.D. 2012. Molecular and Functional Evolution of Class I Chitinases for Plant
922       Carnivory in the Caryophyllales. Mol. Biol. Evol. 29:2971–2985.

      Renner T., Specht C.D. 2013. Inside the trap: gland morphologies, digestive enzymes, and the
924       evolution of plant carnivory in the Caryophyllales. Curr. Opin. Plant Biol. 16:436–442.

      Roberts W.R., Ruck E.C., Downey K.M., Pinseel E., Alverson A.J. 2023. Resolving marine–
926       freshwater transitions by diatoms through a fog of discordant gene trees.
          :2022.08.12.503770.

928   Robinson T.J., Ruiz-Herrera A., Avise J.C. 2008. Hemiplasy and homoplasy in the karyotypic
          phylogenies of mammals. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 105:14477–14481.

930   Rokas A., Williams B.L., King N., Carroll S.B. 2003. Genome-scale approaches to resolving
          incongruence in molecular phylogenies. Nature. 425:798–804.

932   Rubin G., Tohge T., Matsuda F., Saito K., Scheible W.-R. 2009. Members of the LBD Family of
          Transcription Factors Repress Anthocyanin Synthesis and Affect Additional Nitrogen
934       Responses in Arabidopsis. Plant Cell. 21:3567–3584.

      Samac D.A., Hironaka C.M., Yallaly P.E., Shah D.M. 1990. Isolation and Characterization of the
936       Genes Encoding Basic and Acidic Chitinase in Arabidopsis thaliana. Plant Physiol.
          93:907–914.

Schaefer H.M., Ruxton G.D. 2008. Fatal attraction: carnivorous plants roll out the red carpet to lure insects. Biol. Lett. 4:153–155.

Scherzer S., Krol E., Kreuzer I., Kruse J., Karl F., von Rüden M., Escalante-Perez M., Müller T., Rennenberg H., Al-Rasheid K.A.S., Neher E., Hedrich R. 2013. The Dionaea muscipula
Ammonium Channel DmAMT1 Provides NH4+ Uptake Associated with Venus Flytrap's Prey Digestion. Curr. Biol. 23:1649–1657.

Schulze W.X., Sanggaard K.W., Kreuzer I., Knudsen A.D., Bemm F., Thøgersen I.B., Bräutigam A., Thomsen L.R., Schliesky S., Dyrlund T.F., Escalante-Perez M., Becker D., Schultz J.,
Karring H., Weber A., Højrup P., Hedrich R., Enghild J.J. 2012. The Protein Composition of the Digestive Fluid from the Venus Flytrap Sheds Light on Prey
Digestion Mechanisms *. Mol. Cell. Proteomics. 11:1306–1319.

Schweizer M., Warmuth V., Alaei Kakhki N., Aliabadian M., Förschler M., Shirihai H., Suh A.,
Burri R. 2019. Parallel plumage colour evolution and introgressive hybridization in wheatears. J. Evol. Biol. 32:100–110.

Shen X.-X., Hittinger C.T., Rokas A. 2017. Contentious relationships in phylogenomic studies can be driven by a handful of genes. Nat. Ecol. Evol. 1:1–10.

Shuai B., Reynaga-Peña C.G., Springer P.S. 2002. The Lateral Organ Boundaries Gene Defines a Novel, Plant-Specific Gene Family. Plant Physiol. 129:747–761.

Sicheritz-Pontén T., Andersson S.G.E. 2001. A phylogenomic approach to microbial evolution. Nucleic Acids Res. 29:545–552.

Small G.C., Onraët A., Grierson D.S., Reynolds G. 1977. Studies on Insect-Free Growth, Development and Nitrate-Assimilating Enzymes of Drosera Aliciae Hamet*. New Phytol.
79:127–133.

Smith M.L., Hahn M.W. 2021. New Approaches for Inferring Phylogenies in the Presence of
962     Paralogs. Trends Genet. 37:174–187.

Smith S.A., Moore M.J., Brown J.W., Yang Y. 2015. Analysis of phylogenomic datasets reveals
964     conflict, concordance, and gene duplications with examples from animals and plants. BMC Evol. Biol. 15:150.

966     Smith S.A., Walker J.F. 2019. PyPHLAWD: A python tool for phylogenetic dataset construction. Methods Ecol. Evol. 10:104–108.

968     Stern D.L. 2013. The genetic causes of convergent evolution. Nat. Rev. Genet. 14:751–764.

Stull G.W., Qu X.-J., Parins-Fukuchi C., Yang Y.-Y., Yang J.-B., Yang Z.-Y., Hu Y., Ma H.,
970     Soltis P.S., Soltis D.E., Li D.-Z., Smith S.A., Yi T.-S. 2021. Gene duplications and phylogenomic conflict underlie major pulses of phenotypic evolution in gymnosperms.
972     Nat. Plants. 7:1015–1025.

Walker J.F., Yang Y., Feng T., Timoneda A., Mikenas J., Hutchison V., Edwards C., Wang N.,
974     Ahluwalia S., Olivieri J., Walker-Hale N., Majure L.C., Puente R., Kadereit G., Lauterbach M., Eggli U., Flores-Olvera H., Ochoterena H., Brockington S.F., Moore

976         M.J., Smith S.A. 2018. From cacti to carnivores: Improved phylotranscriptomic sampling and hierarchical homology inference provide further insight into the evolution of
978         Caryophyllales. Am. J. Bot. 105:446–462.

Walker J.F., Yang Y., Moore M.J., Mikenas J., Timoneda A., Brockington S.F., Smith S.A. 2017.
Widespread paleopolyploidy, gene tree conflict, and recalcitrant relationships among the carnivorous Caryophyllales. Am. J. Bot. 104:858–867.

Wickett N.J., Mirarab S., Nguyen N., Warnow T., Carpenter E., Matasci N., Ayyampalayam S., Barker M.S., Burleigh J.G., Gitzendanner M.A., Ruhfel B.R., Wafula E., Der J.P.,
Graham S.W., Mathews S., Melkonian M., Soltis D.E., Soltis P.S., Miles N.W., Rothfels C.J., Pokorny L., Shaw A.J., DeGironimo L., Stevenson D.W., Surek B., Villarreal J.C.,
Roure B., Philippe H., dePamphilis C.W., Chen T., Deyholos M.K., Baucom R.S., Kutchan T.M., Augustin M.M., Wang J., Zhang Y., Tian Z., Yan Z., Wu X., Sun X.,
Wong G.K.-S., Leebens-Mack J. 2014. Phylotranscriptomic analysis of the origin and early diversification of land plants. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 111:E4859–E4868.

Winkelmann T., Bringmann G., Herwig A., Hedrich R. 2023. Carnivory on demand: phosphorus deficiency induces glandular leaves in the African liana Triphyophyllum peltatum. New
Phytol. 239:1140–1152.

Yang Y., Moore M.J., Brockington S.F., Soltis D.E., Wong G.K.-S., Carpenter E.J., Zhang Y.,
994         Chen L., Yan Z., Xie Y., Sage R.F., Covshoff S., Hibberd J.M., Nelson M.N., Smith S.A. 2015. Dissecting Molecular Evolution in the Highly Diverse Plant Clade Caryophyllales
996         Using Transcriptome Sequencing. Mol. Biol. Evol. 32:2001–2014.

Yang Y., Smith S.A. 2014. Orthology Inference in Nonmodel Organisms Using Transcriptomes
and Low-Coverage Genomes: Improving Accuracy and Matrix Occupancy for Phylogenomics. Mol. Biol. Evol. 31:3081–3092.

Yao G., Jin J.-J., Li H.-T., Yang J.-B., Mandala V.S., Croley M., Mostow R., Douglas N.A., Chase M.W., Christenhusz M.J.M., Soltis D.E., Soltis P.S., Smith S.A., Brockington S.F.,
Moore M.J., Yi T.-S., Li D.-Z. 2019. Plastid phylogenomic insights into the evolution of Caryophyllales. Mol. Phylogenet. Evol. 134:74–86.

Yap V.B., Speed T. 2005. Rooting a phylogenetic tree with nonreversible substitution models. BMC Evol. Biol. 5:2.

Zhang R., Fu X., Zhao C., Cheng J., Liao H., Wang P., Yao X., Duan X., Yuan Y., Xu G., Kramer E.M., Shan H., Kong H. 2020. Identification of the Key Regulatory Genes
Involved in Elaborate Petal Development and Specialized Character Formation in Nigella  damascena (Ranunculaceae). Plant Cell. 32:3095–3112.

Zhou X., Lutteropp S., Czech L., Stamatakis A., Looz M.V., Rokas A. 2020. Quartet-Based Computations of Internode Certainty Provide Robust Measures of Phylogenetic
Incongruence. Syst. Biol. 69:308–324.

Zmasek C.M., Eddy S.R. 2002. RIO: Analyzing proteomes by automated phylogenomics using
1014         resampled inference of orthologs. BMC Bioinformatics. 3:14.

Zwickl D.J., Hillis D.M. 2002. Increased Taxon Sampling Greatly Reduces Phylogenetic Error.
1016      Syst. Biol. 51:588–598.

1018 # Figure Captions

**Figure 1. Ancestral state estimation of the trap morphology in the carnivorous**

1020 **Caryophyllales supports a sticky trap ancestor.** Maximum parsimony ancestral state

reconstruction was carried out on the carnivorous Caryophyllales. The placement of the

1022 carnivorous Caryophyllales within the order Caryophyllales as a whole (Heubl et al.

2006) is shown on the lower left. The state of the most recent common ancestor of all

1024 carnivorous Caryophyllales was inferred to be a sticky trap. Families Drosophyllaceae

(containing only one species, the Portuguese Sundew *D. lusitanicum*), Dioncophyllaceae

1026 [containing *Triphyophyllum peltatum* (green) and two non-carnivorous species],

Ancistrocladaceae, Nepenthaceae (the pitcher plants) and Droseraceae are labelled, with

1028 Droseraceae divided into the sundews (genus *Drosera*) and the snap traps (genera

*Dionaea* and *Aldrovanda*).

1030

**Figure 2. Patterns of conflict and ancestral traits are not found in all descendants**

1032 **("pleisiomorphic traits") in the carnivorous Caryophyllales.** Pie charts depict the

amount of gene tree conflict observed, with the blue, red and grey slices representing,

1034 respectively, the proportion of homolog gene trees concordant, conflicting and

uninformative (SH-alrt < 80 or missing taxon) at each node in the species tree. In case of

1036 conflict, the proportions of gene trees supporting two main alternative topologies are

represented with a dotted or a striped red slice, respectively. The proportion of gene trees

1038    supporting various alternative topologies is represented with a solid red slice. The type of

trap found in each carnivorous species, as well as the herbaceous or woody life history, is

1040    depicted with a symbol on the right of the phylogeny. Picture credit: *Drosophyllum*

*lusitanicum* (incidencematrix), *Ancistrocladus heyneanus* (Vinayaraj) and *Drosera*

1042    *capensis* (NoahElhardt) via Wikimedia Commons.

1044    **Figure 3. Distribution of conflicting gene trees according to the alternative species**

**relationship they support, focusing on (A) carnivory and (B) life-history**. The

1046    relationship being identified is drawn as a tree (top), while the number of conflicting gene

trees supporting that relationship are shown below in homolog trees, clades within

1048    homolog trees and ortholog trees. The number of trees/subtrees for each alternative sister

pair is shown in blue, followed by the number of well-supported (80 ≥ SH-alrt)

1050    trees/subtrees in grey. Homolog trees refers to the number of trees containing the

relationship, while clades in homolog trees refer to every time the relationship occurred,

1052    including multiple occurrences in the same homolog tree. Conflicts that place species

with shared life history and trap morphology as sister species are more common than

1054    evolutionarily equivalent conflicts that do not.

1056    **Figure 4. Gene duplication and conflict in the evolution of (A) the chitinase I gene**

**(left) and (B) the *LBD4-like* gene (right).** black = concordance, red = conflict, blue =

1058    duplication. The type of trap found in each carnivorous species is depicted with a symbol

on the right of the homolog tree.

1060

**Figure 5. The expression dynamics of *Drosera LBD4-like* genes are compatible with**

**a role during trap formation. (A-D)** Young leaf primordia (boxed region) and mature

traps from *Drosera admirabilis* (A), *Drosera aliciae* (B) and *Drosera coccicaulis* (C).

The inflorescence of *D. coccicaulis* is partially visible in (D), indicated by a white

arrowhead. **(E-F)** Relative expression levels of *LBD4-like* homologs in young leaf

primordia and mature sticky traps of *D. admirabilis* (E) and *D. aliciae* (F). * $p < 0.05$, **

$p < 0.01$, Welch's t-test. Individual dots represent distinct biological replicates ($n = 3$),

and red lines indicate mean relative expression. (**G**) Relative expression levels of *LBD4-*

*like* homolog in young leaf primordia, mature sticky traps and inflorescence of *D.*

*coccicaulis*. Red lines indicate mean relative expression. Letters a, b, c, indicate the

results of a post hoc Tukey's HSD test, with group means associated with different letters

being significantly different from one another ($p < 0.01$).

**Figure 6. Using entire gene families provides more relationships to inform analyses**

**and uncover conflicts.** The graphs correspond to datasets from six distinct groups: the

Hymenoptera order of insects (HYMN); the diatoms, a lineage of microbial eukaryotes

(DIAT) and four different plants groups: the carnivorous Caryophyllales (CARN),

Fabaceae (LEGU), Ericales (ERIC) and Amaranthaceae (AMAR). The x-axis represents

the number of times a specific relationship is analysed, ordered from the fewest to the

most comparisons using the CAnDI procedure. The y-axis is the relationship being

analysed. The filled circles are the number of times a given node is analysed using

CAnDI. The x-axis is the number of times each node is analysed using the orthologs

extracted in the original study.