Development of a fast feature extraction method for SARS-CoV-2 spike sequences

using amino acid physicochemical properties

Short title: A fast feature extraction method for SARS-CoV-2 spike sequences

Hiroya Oka[1,¶]*, Kosaku Noba*[1,¶,#a]*, Jun Sasahara*[1]*, Takayuki Hashimoto*[1]*, Shogo*

Yoshimoto[1]*, Hirohiko Niioka*[2]*, Jun Miyake*[3]*, Katsutoshi Hori*[1*]

[1] Department of Biomolecular Engineering, Graduate School of Engineering, Nagoya

University, Furo-cho, Chikusa-ku, Nagoya, Aichi 464-8603, Japan

[2] Graduate School of Information Science and Technology, Osaka University, 1-5,

Yamadaoka, Suita, Osaka 565-0871, Japan

[3] Graduate School of Engineering, Osaka University, 2-1, Yamadaoka, Suita, Osaka

586-0871, Japan

[#a] Current Address: Advanced Core Technology Center, Technology Development

Headquarters, Konica Minolta, Inc., Hachioji, Tokyo 192-8505, Japan

[¶] These authors contributed equally to this work.

* Corresponding author

E-mail: khori@chembio.nagoya-u.ac.jp

Abstract

COVID-19 continues to spread today, leading to an accumulation of SARS-CoV-2 virus mutations in databases, and large amounts of genomic datasets are currently available. However, due to these large datasets, utilizing this amount of sequence data without random sampling is challenging. Major difficulties for downstream analyses include the increase in the dimension size along with the conversion of sequences into numerical values when using conventional amino acid representation methods, such as one-hot encoding and k-mer-based approaches that directly reflect sequences. Moreover, these sequences are deficient in physicochemical characteristics, such as structural information and hydrophilicity; hence, they fail to accurately represent the inherent function of the given sequences. In this study, we utilized the physicochemical properties of amino acids to develop a rapid and efficient approach for extracting feature parameters that are suitable for downstream processes of machine learning, such as clustering. A fixed-length feature vector representation of a spike sequence with reduced dimensionality was obtained by converting amino acid residues into physicochemical parameters. Next, t-distributed stochastic neighbor embedding (t-SNE), a method for dimensionality reduction and visualization of high-dimensional data, was performed, followed by density-based spatial clustering of applications with noise (DBSCAN). The results show that by using the physicochemical properties of amino acids rather than conventional methods that directly represent sequences into numerical values, SARS-CoV-2 spike sequences can be clustered with sufficient accuracy and a shorter runtime. Interestingly, the clusters obtained by using amino acid properties include subclusters that are distinct from those produced utilizing the method

for the direct representation of amino acid sequences. A more detailed analysis indicated

that the contributing parameters of this novel cluster identified exclusively when

utilizing the physicochemical properties of amino acids significantly differ from one

another. This suggests that representing amino acid sequences by physicochemical

properties might enable the identification of clusters with enhanced sensitivity

compared to conventional methods.

50 **Author summary**

One of the major causes of the global threat of SARS-CoV-2 is the rapid emergence of

its variants. While analyzing these variants is crucial for understanding the mechanism

of outbreaks, the expansion of database size is becoming a barrier for effective analysis.

In this study, we provide an approach that allows researchers without vast

computational resources to comprehensively analyze the variants of SARS-CoV-2 spike

by representing the sequences using the physicochemical properties of amino acids. The

result of clusters derived using this method demonstrates not only an accuracy

comparable to the conventional approaches of directly converting sequences into

numerical values but also indicates the potential for more detailed clustering outcomes.

The results suggest that our approach is valuable for the rapid identification of

characteristic residues in new variants of SARS-CoV-2 and other viruses that may arise

in the future.

Introduction

The coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) epidemic caused by severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) first began in Wuhan and went on to cause a massive global outbreak. One of the challenges in controlling the spread of this virus is the continuous appearance of variants [1-3]. Previous studies have shown that mutations in the spike protein, which binds to the human receptor hACE2, are associated with immune evasion and increased infectivity [4-6]. In addition, the combined effects of multiple mutations in the spike protein can largely affect infectivity and/or immune evasion. Variants with a single mutation at N501 were neutralized by antibodies, but a combined mutation of N501Y/K417N/E484K resulted in moderate resistance to antibodies [6]. Therefore, identifying and understanding these mutations will contribute significantly to reducing the risk of pandemics [7].

The infrastructure for depositing genomic and protein sequence data of these variants has been quickly established, allowing every researcher to access these data. As a result, over 3 million sequences of the variants of the SARS-CoV-2 spike protein have been registered on NCBI Virus. Even now, genome data sharing platforms such as NCBI Virus and GISAID are accumulating large amounts of amino acid sequences at a rate of several thousand or more per day [8, 9]. However, it is impractical to analyze all of them with the computational resources available to individual researchers, and it is challenging to identify and select important variants for *in vivo* or *in situ* analyses of mutations. Recent methods using machine learning, such as one-hot encoding [10] or *k*-mer-based methods [11], can be applied to the analysis of SARS-CoV-2 variants. However, the numerical representation of amino acid sequences using these methods

raises concerns about the increase in dimensionality, which requires more computational

resources and training data.

Furthermore, these methods have the disadvantage of not considering the

physicochemical properties of amino acids when converting them into numerical

variables, although recent studies have shown that changes in the physicochemical

properties of amino acids, such as the hydrophobic shift in the N501Y mutation and the

volumetric alteration in D614G, significantly influence infection function [3, 5]. Since

the functions of proteins are determined by the physicochemical properties of amino

acids and their arrangement, downstream analysis based on amino acid sequences,

including machine learning approaches, should reflect the physicochemical properties of

amino acids [12, 13].

In this paper, we propose a new approach for simple and rapid clustering of mutated

spike proteins of SARS-CoV-2 variants with relatively small computational resources

by using amino acid physicochemical properties as explanatory variables (Fig 1).

Instead of converting the amino acid sequences into numerical values that represent the

sequences themselves, we represented them by assigning volume and hydrophilicity

parameters to the amino acid sequence of the spike protein. Utilizing these parameters,

we successfully performed dimensional compression using t-SNE [14] and clustering

with DBSCAN [15] and achieved a shorter runtime than that of methods employing

one-hot encoding and the *k*-mer-based approach. Our method also facilitated the

identification of novel subclusters and had the capability to identify important features,

including the physicochemical information of amino acids.

Results

Vectorization of the SARS-CoV-2 spike sequences

The dataset of spike protein sequences obtained from NCBI Virus was subjected to pairwise alignment while retaining the information of deleted residues (-) after removing sequences containing indeterminate residues (X, B, J, Z). The Wuhan type (WT) spike protein sequence was used as the reference sequence. After the pairwise alignments, sequences of spike proteins with an alignment length of 1,273 residues were collected. Details of this dataset are shown in S1 Table. Note that the alignment may contain residue deletions.

Next, each amino acid residue of the sequences in this dataset was converted by three methods: one-hot encoding and k-mer-based methods, both of which transform the sequence into categorical variables, and a method based on amino acid properties, in which we applied volume and hydrophilicity parameters to each amino acid residue (S2 Table). These two parameters are the relative volume and degree of hydrophilicity of each amino acid identified and used in previous studies [13, 16]. Thus, each sequence of the spike protein consisting of 1,273 residues was converted into data with sequential parameters of 26,733 dimensions for one-hot encoding, 9,261 dimensions for the k-mer-based method, and 2,546 dimensions for amino acid parameters. For positions with deleted amino acids, both values were set to zero in the amino acid parameters, while in the one-hot encoding and k-mer-based methods, it was represented as the 21st character of a single amino acid.

Dimensionally compression and cluster detection

| 134 | The transformed sequence information was dimensionally compressed into a 2-

| 135 | dimensional space by applying t-SNE, a machine learning algorithm especially

| 136 | optimized for high-dimensional data, and plotted in Cartesian coordinates with coloring

| 137 | based on the variant classification by the World Health Organization (WHO). The plots

| 138 | obtained from the three methods were compared (Fig 2). In all three clusters, each

| 139 | variant with the same WHO label was clustered together in proximity, suggesting that

| 140 | two-dimensional parameters for a single amino acid are sufficient for clustering by t-

| 141 | SNE. Both the Delta and Omicron variants, which have numerous registered sequences,

| 142 | formed distinct groups with high similarity in the t-SNE coordinates, indicating the

| 143 | presence of multiple subpopulations within these variants. Note that variants

| 144 | categorized as "Omicron" according to the WHO label include a portion of the "other"

| 145 | variants in the cluster analysis. This suggests that part of the unclassified "other"

| 146 | category, which was introduced due to recent updates in the database, contains the

| 147 | "Omicron" variant. We summarized the number of data dimensions transformed by each

| 148 | method, as well as the corresponding t-SNE runtime (Table 1). The results revealed that

| 149 | the method utilizing amino acid properties significantly outperformed the other methods

| 150 | in terms of speed.

| 151 |

| 152 | **Table 1. The number of dimensions and runtime for each method.**

Method	Dimension/ 1 amino acid	Dimension/ spike protein	Computational complexity for t-SNE	Runtime (sec)
Amino acid properties	2	2,546	1	839.4
One-hot encoding	21	26,733	10.5	2600.4

k-mer-based method	7.3	9,261	3.63	1185.5

DBSCAN, a density-based clustering algorithm, was run to classify the data

compressed by t-SNE. Clusters with sample sizes larger than the threshold (100 samples

in this case) were extracted (Fig 3). The WHO-named variant that constitutes the largest

population in each cluster and its proportion, along with its respective percentage, are

shown in Fig 3. For example, a proportion of 100.0% means that the cluster contains

only the specific WHO-named variant. We evaluated the clustering integrity by counting

the number of clusters in which a single variant constituted more than 90% of the

population, and 80 of 111 (72.1%) clusters determined by amino acid properties, 75 of

99 (75.8%) determined by one-hot encoding, and 78 of 95 (82.1%) determined by the *k*-

mer-based method were considered to have clustering integrity. These results indicate

that the three methods are comparable in the effectiveness of clustering.

Additionally, to validate the utilization of amino acid properties as explanatory

variables for evaluation of the spike of SARS-CoV-2, three classifiers (logistic

regression, decision tree, and random forest) were applied to perform multiclass

classification on each population of WHO-labeled variants (Table 2). The performance

of each classifier was evaluated using five cross-validation runs. To compare the

performance of each classifier by five cross-validations, we used the accuracy,

precision, recall, and F1 score metrics. Accuracy represents the percentage of correctly

classified cases in machine learning. Precision, also called the positive predictive value,

describes the percentage of true positives among the positives determined by machine

learning. Recall, also known as sensitivity, is the percentage of positives determined to

be positive by machine learning out of all the positives. Since precision and recall have

a trade-off relationship, the F1 score was used to evaluate their balance (see Methods).

We found that all classifiers received high scores (Table 2), indicating that the WHO

label was correctly predicted by using these amino acid properties obtained from the

sequence of each variant. These results indicate the effectiveness of our method.

Table 2. Classification of clusters obtained by t-SNE.

	Logistic Regression	**Decision Tree**	**Random Forest**
Accuracy	0.9335 ± 0.0045	0.9508 ± 0.0013	0.7801 ± 0.0063
Precision	0.9304 ± 0.0351	0.8871 ± 0.0220	0.6738 ± 0.0179
Recall	0.9317 ± 0.0333	0.9024 ± 0.0250	0.6766 ± 0.0185
F1 score	0.9369 ± 0.0313	0.9300 ± 0.0369	0.8840 ± 0.0378

182 Three classifiers, logistic regression, decision tree, and random forest, were used to

183 classify each variant for the mappings reclassified by DBSCAN. The performance was

184 evaluated by calculating the means and standard deviations of the accuracy, precision,

185 recall and F1 score metrics for each 5-fold cross-validation trial. Data are expressed as

186 the mean ± SD.

Detailed comparison of clusterings by each method

To quantitatively compare the clusters obtained by each method, the similarity of

the clustering results obtained through each method was evaluated by the adjusted Rand

index (ARI) [17] and normalized mutual information (NMI) [18] (Fig 4). ARI is a

quantitative indicator that evaluates the similarity between clusterings by each method

by counting pairs assigned to identical or distinct clusters. An ARI score of 1.0 indicates

completely identical clustering, while a score of 0 suggests random assignments. NMI is

another indicator that quantifies the mutual information between two clusterings,

normalized by the geometric mean of their individual entropies. A value of 1 indicates a

perfect correlation between two clusterings, while a score of 0 denotes no correlation.

Notably, the similarity between the clustering results by one-hot encoding and the k-

mer-based method demonstrated a high degree of similarity, while the similarity against

clustering outcomes using amino acid properties was comparatively low. This lower

similarity suggests that utilizing amino acid properties for clustering creates distinct

clusters that reflect different features, capturing insights not accessible with sequence

information alone.

To elucidate the specific differences in the clustering results obtained by these

methods, we also compared the number and position of clusters for each WHO-labeled

variant obtained by each method (S1-S3 Figs). As we expected, we found that clusters

generated using amino acid properties differed significantly from those generated using

other methods for certain labels. For example, when using amino acid properties, the

Epsilon variants were divided into multiple distinct clusters (Fig 5). In contrast, with

one-hot encoding and the k-mer-based method, only a single cluster of Epsilon was

identified. Furthermore, these two Epsilon variant clusters detected with the amino acid

properties were characterized using the random forest algorithm (Fig 6). Random forest

is capable of identifying distinctive elements from each cluster. It revealed the location

of the amino acid residues and the parameters that contribute to characterizing each

cluster. The results revealed that W258 was the most contributing characteristic residue

216 in one of the two Epsilon clusters. This differed significantly from another Epsilon

217 cluster, despite both clusters belonging to the same Epsilon variant. In various cases,

218 including the Epsilon case, there were significant differences in the characteristic

219 residues within subclusters that were categorized as the same label. This result suggests

220 that our set of methods provides a more detailed classification compared to one-hot

221 encoding and k-mer-based methods.

Discussion

224  In this study, we introduced a rapid and efficient approach for clustering large sets

225 of amino acid sequences based on their physicochemical properties. The clustering of

226 spike protein variants represented by amino acid inherent physicochemical properties

227 demonstrated sufficient accuracy compared to established methods such as one-hot

228 encoding and k-mer-based methods, despite utilizing low-dimensional information.

229 Furthermore, by utilizing physicochemical properties, we identified novel clusters and

230 features that could not be classified by sequence-based methods, such as one-hot

231 encoding and k-mer-based methods.

232  In the representation of amino acid residues in the method proposed in this paper,

233 sequences are represented in a lower dimension ($1{,}273 \times 2 = 2{,}546$) than in the

234 conventional methods of one-hot encoding ($21 \times 1{,}273 = 26{,}733$) and the k-mer-based

235 method ($21^3 = 9{,}261$), which reduces the dimension of the search space and the risk of

236 the curse of dimensionality due to multidimensional analysis [19]. Remarkably, despite

237 the low dimensionality, our clustering method accurately categorized WHO-labeled

238 variants and correctly predicted each variant using only this low-dimensional parameter

of amino acid properties. This suggests that this approach will be highly effective for

managing the increasing volumes of data that are expected to increase as technology

advances.

Previously, Kim et al. successfully reclassified the variants of SARS-CoV-2 spike

using t-SNE and DBSCAN [20]. The methodology in that study transformed 3,822 gene

sequences—corresponding to 1,274 amino acids multiplied by three—into a matrix with

3,721 dimensions. In contrast, our approach reduces this to a 2,548-dimensional matrix

(1,274 amino acids $\times$ 2). Our methodology not only demonstrates superior

computational efficiency and runtime but also provides insights into the

physicochemical properties of amino acids, offering information that cannot be obtained

through analysis by directly representing sequences. In fact, as shown in Fig 5, the

cluster of the Epsilon variant was divided into two only when the physicochemical

properties of amino acids were incorporated into the analysis. Furthermore, the two

clusters generated based on amino acid properties exhibit residues with significant

differences, suggesting that these clusters might represent biologically distinct variants

(Fig 6).

Another feature of our method is its utilization of pairwise alignment. Because

pairwise alignment allows sequences with different sequence lengths to be included in

the dataset, this method can be applied even to variants with amino acid deletions. In

addition, this method does not use multiple alignments, which are commonly used in

phylogenetic tree analysis. The most time-consuming process in this method is

dimensionality compression by t-SNE, and the computational complexity of t-SNE is O

$(LN\log N)$ [21], which is smaller than the complexity of multiple alignment ($O(L^{N})$) [22]

in terms of the sequence length L and number of sequences N. Our method effectively

reduces the computational cost and is easily adaptable to variations in data size as

technological advancements emerge.

Whether *in vivo*, *in vitro*, or even *in silico*, detailed protein analysis requires

substantial time and cost, limiting the number of protein samples that can be handled,

and the selection of target proteins is an important issue [12, 23]. Because the method

proposed in this paper assigns volume and hydrophilicity parameters that are considered

important for protein function, the prediction results obtained by this method can serve

as an indicator for selecting appropriate spike protein samples. In addition, the method

proposed in this study can be used to identify characteristic amino acid properties at the

residue level by evaluating the physicochemical similarity of the spike protein.

Recognizing these key features of target residues enables the functional elucidation and

modification of the spike proteins of the variants. Furthermore, the method proposed in

this study can be customized and extended according to the objective by changing the

physicochemical parameters to reflect the knowledge of researchers. Assigning other

physicochemical parameters, such as charge, structure, and topology, may provide new

insights into protein interactions [24].

Conclusion

In this study, we developed an efficient and more in-depth unsupervised machine

learning approach for SARS-CoV-2 spike analysis by utilizing amino acid

physicochemical properties rather than employing raw sequence information. This

method can be applied not only to SARS-CoV-2 spikes but also to other proteins if a

sufficient sequence database is available. Beyond its utility for SARS-CoV-2 spikes, this

method will provide an efficient way to address the analyses of a vast number of

sequences in potential future pandemics.

Materials and Methods

Analysis environment and source codes

291   We performed this analysis using a MacBook Pro (16-inch, 2019, 2.4 GHz 8-Core

292 Intel Core i9 processor and 64 GB 2667 MHz DDR4 memory). All analysis programs

293 were coded in Python 3 and published on GitHub (https://github.com/horilab-

294 dry/Covid_for_paper). Scikit-learn [25] was used to implement t-SNE, DBSCAN,

295 random forest, ARI, NMI, logistic regression, and decision tree.

Data collection and preprocessing

We obtained a sequence file of the spike protein from the NCBI Virus SARS-CoV-2

database (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/labs/virus/vssi/#/virus?SeqType_s=Protein).

We narrowed down the data to those that met the following criteria: "Proteins" was

"surface glycoprotein", "Sequence Length" was less than 1,273, and "Collection Date"

was in the range of 10/1/2019-7/27/2023. When downloading the selected data, the fasta

format was selected, and all records were specified to be downloaded. In the fasta

definition line, "Accession", "Pangolin", "Host", and "Collection Date" were selected. A

one-to-one pairwise alignment was performed on all sequence data using the Wuhan

type (WT). Sequence data containing irregular residues (X, B, J, Z) were deleted, and

missing residues were assigned "-". Only aligned sequences with a sequence length of

1,273 residues were extracted. To create the dataset for the downstream analysis, these

sequences were converted into numerical values by using amino acid parameters, one-

hot encoding and *k*-mer-based method. In amino acid parameters, the hydrophilicity and

volume of the amino acids were assigned to each amino acid of the sequences. The

volume and hydrophilicity parameters of the missing amino acids were set to 0. In the

one-hot encoding and *k*-mer-based method, missing values "-" were converted to

numerical values by handling them as single amino acids. This dataset contained 98,510

sequences. Details of the dataset are shown in Table 1.

Data visualization with t-SNE

316   The t-SNE approach was implemented according to the original article [14]. t-SNE

317   was applied to the characteristic parameters obtained by using amino acid parameters

318   (volume and hydrophilicity), one-hot encoding and the *k*-mer-based method. In t-SNE,

319   the parameter "perplexity" was used to determine the number of nearest neighbors

320   among the data. In this study, all perplexity values were set to the default value of 30.

Reclassification and performance evaluation with DBSCAN

DBSCAN was applied to the t-SNE result with two parameters, $\epsilon = 1.0$ and *MinPts*

= 30 [15]. Subsequently, the similarity of these clustering results obtained by t-SNE and

DBSCAN was evaluated with the values of the ARI and NMI. Both the ARI and NMI

were calculated between each method (amino acid properties vs. one-hot encoding,

amino acid properties vs. *k*-mer-based method and one-hot encoding vs. *k*-mer-based

method). The performance of the classifiers was evaluated by calculating the mean and

standard deviation of the accuracy, precision, recall and F1 scores for each of the five

cross-validation trials. Accuracy is an important measure that was used to assess the

performance of the classification model. Accuracy was calculated as shown in the

following equation:

$$Accuracy = \frac{TP + TN}{TP + TN + FP + FN}$$

Precision is equal to the ratio of true positive (*TP*) samples to the sum of true positive (*TP*) and false positive (*FP*) samples. Precision is also a key metric to identify the number of correctly classified patients in an imbalanced class dataset. Precision was calculated by the following equation:

$$Precision = \frac{TP}{TP + FP}$$

Recall is equal to the ratio of the true positive (*TP*) samples to the sum of true positive (*TP*) and false negative (*FN*) samples. Recall is an important metric for identifying the number of correctly classified variants in an imbalanced class dataset out of all the variants that could have been correctly predicted. Recall was calculated by the following equation:

$$Recall = \frac{TP}{TP + FN}$$

F1 score is equal to the harmonic mean of the recall and precision values. F1 score represents a balance between the precision and recall metrics and thereby provides a correct evaluation of the model's performance in classifying variants. This is the most important measure that can be used to evaluate the model. F1 score was calculated by the following equation:

$$F1\ score = 2 \cdot \frac{Precision \cdot Recall}{Precision + Recall}$$

Extracting and comparing the clusters of each WHO-labeled variant

The clusters of each WHO-labeled variant were extracted (S1-S3 Figs).

353 Subsequently, the relative importance scores for each feature were calculated by a

354 random forest algorithm [26]. The positions of amino acid residues with high

355 contributions and the corresponding physicochemical parameters were identified.

356 Random forest hyperparameters were run with n_estimators = 100 and max_leaf_nodes

357 = 16.

Acknowledgments

360 This work was supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number JP21H05227 and AMED

361 (20he0622029h0001).

Author Contribution

Conceptualization: Takayuki Hashimoto, Katsutoshi Hori

Funding acquisition: Katsutoshi Hori

Investigation: Hiroya Oka, Kosaku Noba, Jun Sasahara, Takayuki Hashimoto

Writing–original draft: Hiroya Oka, Kosaku Noba, Jun Sasahara, Takayuki Hashimoto

Writing–review & editing: Shogo Yoshimoto, Hirohiko Niioka, Jun Miyake,

Katsutoshi Hori

References

1.   Tian DD, Sun YH, Zhou JM, Ye Q. The Global Epidemic of the SARS-CoV-2
Delta Variant, Key Spike Mutations and Immune Escape. Front Immunol. 2021;12:
751778. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2021.751778

2.   Harvey WT, Carabelli AM, Jackson B, Gupta RK, Thomson EC, Harrison EM,
et al. SARS-CoV-2 variants, spike mutations and immune escape. Nat Rev Microbiol.
2021;19(7):409-24. doi: 10.1038/s41579-021-00573-0

3.   Korber B, Fischer WM, Gnanakaran S, Yoon H, Theiler J, Abfalterer W, et al.
Tracking Changes in SARS-CoV-2 Spike: Evidence that D614G Increases Infectivity of
the COVID-19 Virus. Cell. 2020;182(4):812-827. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2020.06.043

4.   Saito A, Irie T, Suzuki R, Maemura T, Nasser H, Uriu K, et al. Enhanced
fusogenicity and pathogenicity of SARS-CoV-2 Delta P681R mutation. Nature.
2022;602(7896):300-6. doi: 10.1038/s41586-021-04266-9

5.   Fratev F. N501Y and K417N Mutations in the Spike Protein of SARS-CoV-2
Alter the Interactions with Both hACE2 and Human-Derived Antibody: A Free Energy of
Perturbation Retrospective Study. J Chem Inf Model. 2021;61(12):6079-84. doi:
10.1021/acs.jcim.1c01242

6.   Kuzmina A, Khalaila Y, Voloshin O, Keren-Naus A, Boehm-Cohen L, Raviv Y,
et al. SARS-CoV-2 spike variants exhibit differential infectivity and neutralization
resistance to convalescent or post-vaccination sera. Cell Host & Microbe.
2021;29(4):522-8. doi: 10.1016/j.chom.2021.03.008

7.   Zhao ZQ, Sokhansanj BA, Malhotra C, Zheng K, Rosen GL. Genetic grouping
of SARS-CoV-2 coronavirus sequences using informative subtype markers for pandemic
spread   visualization.   PLoS   Comput   Biol.   2020;16(9):e1008269.   doi:
10.1371/journal.pcbi.1008269.

8.   Barbosa RD, Fernandes MAC. Data stream dataset of SARS-CoV-2 genome.
Data in Brief. 2020;31:105829. doi: 10.1016/j.dib.2020.105829

9.   Zhu ZL, Meng KW, Liu GX, Meng G. A database resource and online analysis
tools for coronaviruses on a historical and global scale. Database-Oxford. 2020;baaa070.
doi: 10.1093/database/baaa070

10.   Anteghini M, dos Santos VM, Saccenti E. In-Pero: Exploiting Deep Learning
Embeddings of Protein Sequences to Predict the Localisation of Peroxisomal Proteins.
Int J Mol Sci. 2021;22(12):6409. doi: 10.3390/ijms22126409

11.   Yang KK, Wu Z, Bedbrook CN, Arnold FH. Learned protein embeddings for
machine   learning.   Bioinformatics.   2018;34(15):2642-8.   doi:
10.1093/bioinformatics/bty178

12.   Xu YT, Verma D, Sheridan RP, Liaw A, Ma JS, Marshall NM, et al. Deep Dive
into Machine Learning Models for Protein Engineering. J Chem Inf Model.
2020;60(6):2773-90. doi: 10.1021/acs.jcim.0c00073

13.   Barley MH, Turner NJ, Goodacre R. Improved Descriptors for the Quantitative
Structure-Activity Relationship Modeling of Peptides and Proteins. J Chem Inf Model.
2018;58(2):234-43. doi: 10.1021/acs.jcim.7b00488

14.   van der Maaten L, Hinton G. Visualizing Data using t-SNE. J Mach Learn Res.

2008;9(11):2579-605

15.   Ester M, Kriegel H-P, Sander J, Xu X, editors. A density-based algorithm for
discovering clusters in large spatial databases with noise. Proceedings of the Second
International Conference on Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining; 1996:226-231. doi:
10.5555/3001460.3001507

16.   Hellberg S, Sjostrom M, Skagerberg B, Wold S. Peptide Quantitative Structure-
Activity-Relationships, A Multivariate Approach. J Med Chem. 1987;30(7):1126-35. doi:
10.1021/jm00390a003

17.   Hubert L, Arabie P. Comparing Partitions. J Classif. 1985;2:193-218. doi:
10.1007/bf01908075

18.   Kvålseth TO. On Normalized Mutual Information: Measure Derivations and
Properties. Entropy. 2017;19(11):631. doi: 10.3390/e19110631

19.   Altman N, Krzywinski M. The curse(s) of dimensionality. Nat Methods.
2018;15(6):399-400. doi: 10.1038/s41592-018-0019-x

20.   Kim J, Cheon S, Ahn I. NGS data vectorization, clustering, and finding key
codons in SARS-CoV-2 variations. BMC Bioinformatics. 2022;23(1):187. doi:
10.1186/s12859-022-04718-7

21.   van der Maaten L. Accelerating t-SNE using Tree-Based Algorithms. J Mach
Learn Res. 2014;15:3221-45

22.   Edgar RC. MUSCLE: a multiple sequence alignment method with reduced time
and space complexity. BMC Bioinformatics. 2004;5:1-19. doi: 10.1186/1471-2105-5-113

23.   Naftaly S, Cohen I, Shahar A, Hockla A, Radisky ES, Papo N. Mapping protein
selectivity landscapes using multitarget selective screening and next-generation
sequencing of combinatorial libraries. Nat Commun. 2018;9:3935. doi: 10.1038/s41467-
018-06403-x

24.   Yang L, Shu M, Ma KW, Mei H, Jiang YJ, Li ZL. ST-scale as a novel amino acid
descriptor and its application in QSAM of peptides and analogues. Amino Acids.
2010;38(3):805-16. doi: 10.1007/s00726-009-0287-y

25.   Pedregosa F, Varoquaux G, Gramfort A, Michel V, Thirion B, Grisel O, et al.
Scikit-learn: Machine Learning in Python. J Mach Learn Res. 2011;12:2825-30

26.   Breiman L. Random forests. Machine Learning. 2001;45(1):5-32. doi:
10.1023/a:1010933404324

Fig 1. Schematic illustration of this study. First, the spike protein sequence of SARS-

CoV-2 was downloaded from NCBI Virus. The obtained sequences were preprocessed

to create a sequence × 1,273 amino acids (a.a.) matrix. These sequences in the matrix

were then assigned volume and hydrophilicity values to represent amino acid

parameters. The assigned data were dimensionally compressed with t-SNE and

clustered with DBSCAN. The clustering result was compared to those of the one-hot

encoding and *k*-mer-based method.

Fig 2. Dimensional compression and visualization of spike protein sequences by t-SNE. The sequences were converted into (A) the volume and hydrophilicity of each amino acid residue in the spike protein sequence, (B) the numerical values obtained by one-hot encoding and (C) the numerical values showing the frequency of each pair of three residues. Each value was dimensionally compressed by t-SNE. Each variant is shown in a different color.

Fig 3. Clustering by DBSCAN. Each lineage was visualized by t-SNE, and the plot

was divided into (A) 111 clusters in amino acid properties, (B) 99 clusters in one-hot

encoding and (C) 95 clusters in the *k*-mer-based method. The labels indicate the cluster

number, the most dominant variant in that cluster, and the percentage of the variant in

the cluster. For example, the light-yellow cluster in the center of the coordinates,

"Cluster 11 De: 100%," means that it is the 11th cluster out of 111 clusters, the variant

forming that cluster is Delta, and its percentage is 100%.

Fig 4. Comparing the similarity between each cluster. (A) The adjusted Rand index

(ARI) for different clusterings by each method. The range of ARI values extends from -

1 to 1, where a value of 1 suggests perfect agreement between cluster assignments, a

value of 0 suggests random clustering, and a value of -1 indicates a complete lack of

correspondence between the two partitions. (B) Normalized mutual information (NMI)

for different clusterings by each method. NMI values range from 0 to 1. A value of 1

indicates perfect information overlap between the two clusterings by each method,

while a value of 0 indicates that the clusterings are entirely unrelated. aa properties:

amino acid properties.

Fig 5. Comparing the Epsilon cluster obtained by each method. This figure is

extracted from the result of DBSCAN shown in (A) Fig 3A obtained with amino acid

properties, (B) Fig 3B obtained with one-hot encoding and (C) Fig 3C obtained with the

k-mer-based method.

Fig 6. Characteristic residues in two Epsilon clusters detected with amino acid

properties. Based on the clusters classified by DBSCAN, the top 20 characteristic

residues and their physicochemical parameters were extracted by random forest. The

hydrophilicity and volume of the amino acids are denoted by "H" and "V", respectively.

The horizontal axis indicates the amino acid residues of the spike protein and their

physicochemical parameters. The vertical axis indicates the contribution of the

physicochemical parameters of the corresponding amino acids to the random forest

classification.

505
506