Viral expansion after transfer is a primary driver of influenza A virus
transmission bottlenecks

Katie E. Holmes[1], David VanInsberghe[1], Lucas M. Ferreri[1], Baptiste Elie[2], Ketaki Ganti[1], Chung-
Young Lee[3], Anice C. Lowen[1,4]*

[1]Department of Microbiology and Immunology, Emory University School of Medicine, Atlanta,
GA, USA
[2]MIVEGEC, CNRS, IRD, Université de Montpellier, Montpellier, France
[3]Department of Microbiology, School of Medicine, Kyungpook National University, Jung-gu,
Daegu, Republic of Korea
[4]Emory Center of Excellence for Influenza Research and Response (CEIRR), Atlanta, GA, USA
13
*anice.lowen@emory.edu

ABSTRACT

For many viruses, narrow bottlenecks acting during transmission sharply reduce genetic
diversity in a recipient host relative to the donor. Since genetic diversity represents adaptive
potential, such losses of diversity are though to limit the opportunity for viral populations to
undergo antigenic change and other adaptive processes. Thus, a detailed picture of
evolutionary dynamics during transmission is critical to understanding the forces driving viral
evolution at an epidemiologic scale. To advance this understanding, we used a novel barcoded
virus library and a guinea pig model of transmission to decipher where in the transmission
process diversity is lost for influenza A viruses. In inoculated guinea pigs, we show that a high
level of viral genetic diversity is maintained across time. Continuity in the barcodes detected
furthermore indicates that stochastic effects are not pronounced within inoculated hosts.
Importantly, in both aerosol-exposed and direct contact-exposed animals, we observed many
barcodes at the earliest time point(s) positive for infectious virus, indicating robust transfer of
diversity through the environment. This high viral diversity is short-lived, however, with a sharp
decline seen 1-2 days after initiation of infection. Although major losses of diversity at
transmission are well described for influenza A virus, our data indicate that events that occur
following viral transfer and during the earliest stages of natural infection have a predominant
role in this process. This finding suggests that immune selection may have greater opportunity
to operate during influenza A transmission than previously recognized.

INTRODUCTION
The high mutation rate characteristic of RNA viruses [1, 2], coupled with genetic recombination
[3, 4] and/or reassortment [5] of segmented genomes, enables constant production of viral
variants [6-8]. In turn, this variation provides the substrate for viral evolution [9-11]. For some
viruses, including influenza A virus and SARS-CoV-2, viral evolution and viral spread through
host populations occur on a similar timescale, such that each potently shapes the other [12].
Understanding viral evolution is therefore crucial in our efforts to control outbreaks.

Two major evolutionary processes mediate viral change: selection and genetic drift [13].
Selection is a deterministic evolutionary force by which individuals with advantageous traits are
more likely to survive and reproduce. In turn, alleles from those individuals will increase in
frequency over multiple generations. Conversely, genetic drift is a stochastic process whereby
changes in allele frequency occur due to random events. Selection and genetic drift both
function to remove genetic diversity from a population: one through the increase of alleles
under selection and the other through stochastic loss of alleles from a population. Their relative
influence in any evolutionary system varies. In general, when a large number of individuals are
able to reproduce, selection acts more efficiently because the probability of any given variant
becoming extinct is low. However, when very few individuals are able to reproduce, the
probability of alleles being stochastically lost from the population is higher, making selection
less efficient. In this way, selection and genetic drift are opposing forces: where one is strong,
the other is weak. While they both work to lessen genetic diversity, they have fundamentally
different impacts on the population's evolutionary trajectory. For influenza viruses, the
interplay between selection and genetic drift is not well understood.
59

A reduction in viral population size during transmission has been documented for many viruses
[14-18]. Termed a transmission bottleneck, this effect is characterized by the establishment of a
new infection by only a small number of genomes derived from a large source population.
Transmission bottlenecks typically impose a stochastic loss of diversity, rather than a selective
one in which successful variants are those with the highest fitness. When a stochastic
bottleneck is active, even highly adaptive alleles may go extinct.
66

As for other viruses, loss of diversity during influenza A virus transmission has been
documented. In humans with naturally occurring infections, as few as one to two viral genomes
from an infected individual established in an uninfected individual [19]. Studies using influenza
A virus populations with inserted neutral barcodes similarly revealed narrow transmission
bottlenecks in animal models [20, 21]. This work also demonstrated that aerosol transmission
caused a more stringent loss of diversity than transmission where all modes were possible [20,
21]. More relaxed bottlenecks have been reported in natural hosts aside from humans,
suggesting roles for host biology and/or modes of transmission in shaping species-specific
between-host dynamics [22, 23]. Finally, avian influenza A virus transmission in mammals has
been shown to involve a tight bottleneck with reductions in viral diversity attributed to either
stochastic or selective forces [24-26].
78

While it is known that diversity is lost during influenza A virus transmission, it is unclear at
which point – in the donor host, the environment, or the recipient host – this takes place. The
specific stage at which diversity is lost is likely to define the potential for selection to act during
transmission. We therefore made an influenza A virus library with 4096 potential barcodes to
monitor the fate of many unique viral lineages within experimentally infected guinea pigs and
through the course of transmission to contacts. Our data reveal that viral diversity remains high
in inoculated animals throughout the course of infection, and many viral genomes are
transferred to naïve animals exposed by aerosols or direct contact. However, a severe

bottleneck occurs in contact animals 1-2 days after the initiation of infection, such that few
lineages are sustained in the recipient. Thus, in our system, losses of diversity are primarily
driven by events that occur during the expansion of infection, not during the process of donor-
to-recipient transfer itself. Importantly, this phase of expansion could be a previously
unrecognized opportunity for immune selection to operate.
92

RESULTS
Generation of a Barcoded Influenza A Virus Library
We designed a genetic barcode for influenza A/Panama/2007/99 (H3N2) virus (Pan/99) with
the goals of avoiding attenuation of viral replication and producing a highly diverse viral
population with minimal fitness differences among variants. To achieve this, we introduced
polymorphisms within the native neuraminidase (NA) sequence of Pan/99 rather than inserting
a foreign sequence. Twelve barcode sites within a 50-nucleotide region of the NA segment were
selected based on synonymous single nucleotide variants found in H3N2 subtype influenza A
viruses circulating in humans from 1994 to 2004. At each of these 12 sites, two nucleotides
were possible, resulting in 4096 unique barcodes (**Figure 1A**). We named the gene segment
modified in this way Pan/99 NA-BC.
104
To evaluate barcode diversity in the reverse genetics plasmid and the passage 1 (P1) viral stock
carrying Pan/99 NA-BC, we subjected the barcode region to next-generation sequencing. No
barcode was found to be dominant in the plasmid library or the virus stock, and no nucleotide
was dominant at any site within the barcode (**Figure 1B, C**). An aberrant nucleotide was
detected at the twelfth barcode site in the plasmid preparation but was not carried through to
the virus stock. To quantify diversity, we applied the Shannon Diversity Index (*H*), which
considers the richness (i.e., the number of species present) and the evenness (i.e., the
abundance of the present species) in a community [27]. To calculate *H*, each unique barcode
was taken to represent a species. The measured diversity in the plasmid and virus stocks was
8.07 and 7.90, respectively (**Figure 1D**), near to the theoretical maximum (H_{max}) for this system
of 8.32 and revealing little loss of diversity during viral recovery from cDNA. To determine
whether the barcode in the NA segment altered the growth phenotype of the Pan/99 virus,
multi-cycle replication was evaluated in MDCK cells. No significant differences between wild
type and Pan/99 NA-BC viruses were detected (**Figure 1E**).
119
Modeling Transmission of Pan/99 NA-BC Virus in Guinea Pigs
To evaluate viral dynamics within and between hosts, we inoculated guinea pigs with 5 x 10^4
plaque-forming units (PFU) of Pan/99 NA-BC virus intranasally [28]. After 24 hours, we placed a
naïve animal with each inoculated animal in either direct or aerosol contact (**Supplemental**
Figure 1A). Three independent experiments were performed, each including four direct contact
and four aerosol contact pairs. Viral shedding, assessed by plaque assay of daily nasal lavage
samples, was similar for all inoculated animals (**Supplemental Figure 1B**). Transmission
efficiency varied from 75% to 100% for pairs in direct contact and from 50% to 100% for pairs in
aerosol contact. The daily nasal lavages collected from both inoculated and exposed animals

furnished a valuable set of samples with which to investigate viral population dynamics and the
drivers of the influenza A virus transmission bottleneck.
131
Stochastic Effects are Not Pronounced in Inoculated Animals
To determine how viral diversity changed during infection in inoculated animals, we evaluated
the barcodes present in nasal lavage samples by next generation sequencing. Many barcodes
were detected throughout the course of infection in all directly inoculated animals (**Figure 2**
and **Supplemental Figure 2**). Using Shannon's index (*H*), we found that viral population diversity
declines gradually over time in most inoculated animals (**Figure 3** and **Supplemental Figure 3**).
Changes in diversity are furthermore mirrored by changes in both barcode richness and
evenness, indicating that declines in diversity are driven both by loss of barcode species from
the within-host population and skewing of the relative frequencies of individual barcodes that
comprise the population (**Figure 3** and **Supplemental Figure 3**).
142
To evaluate how populations detected on a given day relate to those present at prior and
subsequent time points in the same animal, we applied two measures of dissimilarity: Bray-
Curtis and Jaccard. Both statistics gauge the compositional disparateness between two samples
but differ in that Jaccard considers only the extent to which two populations differ in the
species present, while Bray-Curtis considers both differences in the species present (or not
present) and differences in the abundance of each species. These analyses revealed relatively
low dissimilarity across longitudinal samples collected from a given inoculated animal
(**Supplemental Figure 4**). The barcode composition of each sample was typically most closely
related to those of the immediately preceding and following days.
152
To aid in relating these results to those obtained from natural infections, which are
characterized by markedly lower viral genetic diversity than examined here, we binned the
4096 potential barcodes into four classes based on the identity of the first two nucleotides in
the barcode. We then evaluated the dynamics of these four sub-populations over time (**Figure 4**
and **Supplemental Figure 5**). In inoculated animals, the frequency of the four sub-populations
was found to be highly stable across sampling days. The gradual nature of observed shifts in
barcode composition over time indicates that infection in animals inoculated with a large viral
population is shaped only minimally by stochastic effects.
161
High Initial Diversity in Exposed Animals Precedes a Sharp Decline
To determine how viral diversity changed between donor and recipient animals, barcodes
present in nasal lavage samples of recipients were analyzed. Strikingly, at the earliest
timepoint(s) positive for infectious virus in recipients, many barcodes are present, signifying
transfer of an appreciably large viral population through the environment (**Figure 2** and
Supplemental Figure 2). This observation is true of both aerosol-exposed and direct contact
animals. Populations present early in exposed animals are characterized by high diversity,
richness, and evenness (**Figure 3** and **Supplemental Figure 3**). Based on a Jaccard analysis,
these populations show relatedness in the species present to viral populations replicating
within the corresponding donor animal (**Supplemental Figure 4**).
172

However, the observed high viral diversity in exposed animals is short-lived, with a sharp
decline seen within 1-2 days of the initiation of infection (**Figure 2** and **Supplemental Figure 2**).
Both richness and evenness contribute to the precipitous drop in diversity seen within exposed
animals (**Figure 3** and **Supplemental Figure 3**). Accordingly, the Bray-Curtis index, which
considers both shared species and their comparative abundances, reveals high dissimilarity
between populations present in recipient animals on the first positive day and at subsequent
time points compared with the same time points in donor animals (**Supplemental Figure 6**). Of
note, barcode composition in exposed animals remains highly consistent after the initial 1-2
days of viral positivity (**Figure 2** and **Supplemental Figure 2**), indicating that the few viral
lineages that penetrate the bottleneck persist through the remainder of the acute infection.
The barcodes that do persist furthermore differ across independent exposed animals (**Figure 2**
and **Supplemental Figure 2**), excluding the possibility that a subset of barcodes carry a fitness
advantage.
186
To assess the potential for these dynamics to be driven by selection acting on *de novo*
mutations outside the barcoded region, we performed whole-genome sequencing of a subset
of samples. Specifically, viral genomes collected on the first or second day of positivity in
exposed animals from experimental replicates 1 and 2 were sequenced in full. Few *de novo*
mutations were detected; none were found on successive days in the same animal; and all non-
synonymous changes remained below a 20% frequency (**Supplemental Figure 7**). Thus,
reductions in viral diversity observed in exposed animals were not driven by selective sweeps of
de novo variants. In addition, a focused analysis of whole genome sequencing reads that
spanned the barcode region was used to validate results obtained from amplicon sequencing.
Although the small number of reads meeting the criterion to span the entirely of the barcode
limited this analysis, high-level observations could be confirmed: first positive time points
showed multiple barcodes present and subsequent time points revealed the same predominant
barcodes in both sequencing datasets.
200
Taken together, these findings signify that many viral genotypes replicating in inoculated
animals are transferred to exposed animals. Some stochasticity in this transfer is apparent in
that genotype abundance in the recipient is not tightly linked to that in the donor. However,
strong stochastic effects are seen within the recipient. Very few viral genotypes ultimately
establish infection, signifying that processes occurring during early expansion of the viral
population limit diversity in newly infected hosts.
207

208   DISCUSSION

We used a barcoded influenza A virus system to generate a high-resolution view of changes in
the composition and diversity of viral populations as they expand, contract, transmit onward,
and establish infection in a new host. Our data suggest that viral evolutionary dynamics through
a transmission event comprise two distinct stages: inter-host transfer and intra-host
establishment. The bottleneck acting during the first stage can be very loose, allowing many
viral genotypes to pass to the new host. Conversely, the bottleneck acting at the second stage is
highly stringent such that few genotypes predominate the established within-host population.

The evolutionary implications of this two-stage process are potentially great. The existence of a
loose bottleneck between hosts could enable selection to act efficiently on the transferred viral
population *prior to* a stochastic contraction of diversity imposed by the process of viral
establishment. Indeed our data offer a potential solution to a long-standing conundrum in
evolutionary virology, that of disparate evolutionary dynamics at the individual and global
population scales [29].
222
Influenza virus evolution at large geographic and temporal scales is characterized by a very
clear pattern of positive selection: on a recurring basis, antigenically distinct variants sweep the
global viral population, driving epidemic spread [30-32]. In stark contrast, the within-host
evolutionary dynamics of influenza viruses show strong genetic drift and purifying selection;
positive selection has rarely been observed [19, 33-35]. A similar dichotomy is true for SARS-
CoV-2 at within-host and population scales [36-39]. Our data suggest that these scales may be
linked by a transmission process in which selective forces have an opportunity to act before
potent stochastic forces within hosts. For example, antibodies present at mucosal surfaces
could act on an antigenically diverse incoming viral population, mediating antigenic selection.
Only positively selected variants would then be available to pass through the stochastic
bottleneck associated with population expansion. Experiments performed in pre-immune hosts
are needed to formally test this model.
235
The reduction in diversity between virus populations in donor animals and those that become
established in recipients seen here is highly consistent with prior studies carried out both in
human cohorts and experimental animals [19-21, 24]. Our conclusion that early viral dynamics
in the recipient make a major contribution to this loss of diversity is, however, novel. This
pattern was likely not apparent in prior work simply due to the time points analyzed. In human
cohort studies, sample collection is typically triggered by the onset of symptoms reported by
the study subject, such that the very early stages of infection are often not sampled [19].
Similarly, previous studies of the influenza A virus transmission bottleneck in experimental
models did not characterize viral populations present early after transmission [20, 24].
245
246   In contrast to recipient animals infected through transmission, we found only modest
247   reductions in diversity in donor animals during infection. This finding may be explained by the
248   relatively high viral load delivered during inoculation and/or differences in the sites of viral
249   deposition given intranasal inoculation vs. natural exposure.
250
The observation that many viral genomes were conveyed through the environment during both
direct and aerosol exposures is somewhat surprising in light of prior studies that compared
different types of exposure [20, 24]. This result was also unanticipated based on the potential
for expelled aerosols to be diluted in air [40]. While our data do not indicate how transmitted
viruses are transferred, efficient delivery of multiple viruses to a recipient may be a result of
their co-incorporation into aerosol particles. In addition, or alternatively, efficient transfer of
influenza A viruses may rely on close proximity between donor and recipient or extended
exposure times [41], which were both features of our experimental design.
259

The reduction in neutral diversity observed early in the course of infection in exposed animals
indicates that viral dynamics early after natural infection are characterized by strong stochastic
effects. This stochasticity could have multiple drivers. One important consideration is whether
the viral genotypes detected early in recipients' nasal lavage samples are replicating. Although
some early samples in our dataset are both high diversity and high titer, suggestive of active
replication of many barcodes, our sequencing approach cannot distinguish viral genomes
present within virions from those undergoing active replication within cells, leaving uncertainty.
Viruses delivered to a new host might fail to initiate replication due to mechanical trapping,
such as in mucus within the nasal cavity [42, 43]. Similarly, viruses that do initiate replication
within cells may nonetheless undergo abortive infection, due to viral genetic defects, robust
cell-intrinsic immunity, or a failure of some segments to be replicated [44-47]. Finally, if many
viruses initiate productive infection following transmission, their subsequent loss could be
explained by action of innate antiviral immunity or competition among the co-occurring
genotypes for limited target cells [48, 49]. Both mechanisms can lead to stochastic rather than
selective losses of diversity. For example, a cell that happens to support replication with a
relatively short eclipse phase could rapidly seed a single genotype into many secondary cells,
allowing it to become dominant.
277
In summary, our data reveal a two-stage transmission process in which transfer between hosts
can include a large and diverse viral population but early events in the recipient host impose a
stringent and stochastic bottleneck. Transmission may therefore represent an opportunity for
selection to operate in the earliest phases of infection, before any single genotype sweeps the
population. This effect is expected to have a strong influence on viral evolution and is likely
relevant across diverse viral families.
284
285

MATERIALS & METHODS
Ethical considerations
All the animal experiments were conducted in accordance with the Guide for the Care and Use
of Laboratory Animals of the National Institutes of Health. The studies were conducted under
animal biosafety level 2 containment and approved by the IACUC of Emory University
(PROTO201700595) for the guinea pig (*Cavia porcellus*). The animals were humanely euthanized
following guidelines approved by the American Veterinary Medical Association.
293
Cells
Madin–Darby canine kidney (MDCK) cells were a gift from Dr. Daniel Perez, University of
Georgia, Athens, GA. A seed stock of MDCK cells at passage 23 was amplified and maintained in
Minimal Essential Medium (Gibco) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS; Atlanta
Biologicals) and Normocin (Invivogen). 293T cells (ATCC, CRL-3216) were maintained in
Dulbecco's Minimal Essential Medium (Gibco) supplemented with 10% FBS and Normocin. All
cells were cultured at 37 °C and 5% CO_2 in a humidified incubator. The cell lines were not
authenticated. All cell lines were tested monthly for *Mycoplasma* contamination while in use.
The medium for the culture of influenza A virus in MDCK cells (virus medium) was prepared by

supplementing Minimal Essential Medium with 4.3% bovine serum albumin (BSA; Sigma) and
Normocin.
305

Generation of Pan/99 NA-BC plasmid
The region of Pan/99 into which the barcode was inserted was first identified by aligning 593
sequences of H3N2-subtype influenza A viruses isolated between 1994 and 2004. A region with
many nucleotide substitutions was identified in the NA segment from nucleotide position 484
to 532. Twelve synonymous mutations were identified within this region. Double-stranded
Ultramers (IDT) were designed that contained degenerate bases with two possible nucleotides
at each of the twelve chosen barcode sites
(cacagtacatgataggaccccttaycgraccytattgatgaatgarttrggtgtyccattycayytrggracyaagcaagtgtgtatagca
tggtcc).
315

Site-directed mutagenesis was used to insert an Xho1 restriction site into the wild-type reverse
genetics plasmid, pDP Pan/99 NA prior to barcode insertion. This was done to give a means of
destroying the parental template following barcode insertion. Successful mutagenesis was
confirmed by Xho1 restriction digest and Sanger sequencing. To generate a linearized template
for barcode insertion, the following steps were performed. Xho1 digestion of the plasmid stock
was followed by phosphatase treatment using rSAP (NEB) to dephosphorylate the cut ends of
the plasmid. The plasmid was then amplified by PCR using primers that extend outward from
the barcode region: P99_NA_536F 5'-caagtgtgtatagcatggtcc-3' and P99_NA_479R 5'-
gggtcctatcatgtactgtg-3'. PCR purification (Qiagen QIAquick PCR Purification Kit) was used to
isolate the linearized PCR product, followed by a dual digestion with Dpn1 and Xho1 to remove
residual WT plasmid. PCR purification was repeated, and then an assembly reaction using the
NEBuilder HiFi DNA Assembly Kit (NEB) was performed to insert the Ultramers into the
linearized vector and re-circularize. The product was then transformed into DH5-α cells (NEB).
After plating onto LB-amp plates, approximately 1×10^4 colonies were collected and pooled into
LB-amp culture media and then incubated at 37°C for five hours prior to harvesting the
bacterial population for plasmid purification by Maxiprep (Qiagen Plasmid Maxi Kit). The
presence of a diverse barcode in the plasmid stock was verified by next generation sequencing
(see below). This plasmid stock was then used to generate Pan/99 NA-BC virus by reverse
genetics in combination with seven plasmids encoding Pan/99 WT gene segments in a pDP2002
vector [50].
336

Viruses
Pan/99 WT and Pan/99 NA-BC viruses were derived from influenza A/Panama/2007/99 (H3N2)
virus (Pan/99 WT) and were generated by reverse genetics. In brief, 293T cells transfected with
eight reverse genetics plasmids 16–24 h previously were co-cultured with MDCK cells in virus
medium at 33°C for 40 h. Recovered virus was propagated in MDCK cells to generate working
stocks. Propagation was carried out from low MOI to avoid accumulation of defective viral
genomes but with a sufficient viral population size to maintain barcode diversity. Titration of
stocks and experimental samples was carried out by plaque assay in MDCK cells. The presence
of a diverse barcode in the virus stock was verified by next generation sequencing (see below).

Growth kinetics

Replication of Pan/99 WT and Pan/99 NA-BC was determined in triplicate culture wells. MDCK cells in six-well dishes were inoculated at an MOI of 0.01 PFU/cell in PBS. After 1 h incubation at 33°C, inoculum was removed, cells were washed 3x with PBS, 2 mL virus medium was added to cells, and dishes were returned to 33°C. A 120 μL volume of culture medium was sampled at the indicated times points and stored at −80 °C. Viral titers were determined by plaque assay on MDCK cells.

Guinea pig infections

Female Hartley strain guinea pigs weighing 250–350 g were obtained from Charles River Laboratories and housed by Emory University Department of Animal Resources. Guinea pigs were anesthetized with ketamine (30 mg/kg) and xylazine (4 mg/kg) by intramuscular injection prior to intranasal inoculation or nasal lavage. Virus inoculum was given intranasally in a 300 μl volume of PBS containing 5×10^4 PFU of Pan/99 NA-BC. At day 1 post-inoculation, one naïve animal was placed with each inoculated animal in either a cage that allowed for direct physical contact or a cage in which the two animals were separated by a double-walled, perforated metal barrier. Nasal lavage was performed with 1 mL PBS per animal on days 1-7 post-inoculation for inoculated animals and days 2-8 post-inoculation for exposed animals. Collected fluid was divided into aliquots and stored at -80 °C. Viral titers of nasal lavage samples were subsequently determined by plaque assay.

Sample processing for next generation sequencing of barcode region

The following method was used to validate plasmid and virus stocks and to evaluate experimental samples. Viral RNA extraction (QiaAmp Viral RNA kit, Qiagen) was performed using a 140 μL volume of each nasal lavage sample or virus stock, followed by reverse transcription (Maxima RT, Thermo Fisher) with pooled Univ.F(A)+6 and Univ.F(G)+6 primers [51, 52]. Either cDNA or, for the purpose of plasmid validation, plasmid DNA was subjected to PCR amplification with primers flanking the barcode region. Samples were sent for amplicon sequencing to either Azenta Life Sciences or the Emory National Primate Research Center (ENPRC) Genomics Core. For samples sent to Azenta, PCR to amplify the region containing the barcode was performed using PFU Turbo AD (ThermoFisher) with primers with partial sequencing adapters that generated a 155-nt product (P99_NA_adptr_428-449_F 5'-acactctttccctacacgacgctcttccgatctggaacaacactaaacaacaggc-3' and P99_NA_adptr_563-582_F 5'-gactggagttcagacgtgtgctcttccgatctgcttttccatcgtgacaact-3'). For samples sequenced through ENPRC, amplicon PCR was performed in a similar manner but using primers with sequencing adapters that generated a 100-nt product (P99NA_462F5_adptr 5'-tcgtcggcagcgtcagatgtgtataagagacagggactcctcagtacatgataggacccctt-3' and P99NA_553Rev_adptr 5'- gtctcgtgggctcggagatgtgtataagagacagccatgctatacacacttgct-3'). Column-based PCR purification was performed on all samples followed by quantification of DNA using either NanoDrop or Qubit. Samples were normalized to 20 ng/uL in nuclease-free H_20.

Samples submitted to Azenta underwent Amplicon-EZ sequencing, an Illumina-based sequencing service compatible with amplicons of 150-500 nt in length that does not include a

389 fragmentation step in library preparation. Amplicons were sequenced as 2 × 250 bp paired
390 reads and demultiplexed prior to delivery. At ENPRC, library preparation was performed with
391 the omission of a tagmentation step and sequencing was performed on a NovaSeq 6000
392 (Illumina) platform. Amplicons were sequenced as 2 x 100 bp paired reads and demultiplexed
393 prior to delivery.
394
Data analysis for amplicon sequencing
Sequences were processed using our custom software, BarcodeID, available in the GitHub
repository: https://github.com/Lowen-Lab/BarcodeID. Briefly, BarcodeID uses BBTools [35] to
process raw reads, then uses a custom Python script to screen and identify barcode sequences
present in each sample, and then calculates diversity statistics and writes summary tables.
BBMerge screens reads for adapter sequences and merges forward and reverse reads using
default settings, and BBDuk merges reads with a low average quality (<30). BarcodeID then
screens each read to verify that the nucleotides at barcode and non-barcode sites match the
nucleotides expected at those sites and have sufficient quality (≥35 and ≥25, respectively).
Reads with mismatches are excluded from overall barcode sequence counts, but BarcodeID
collects all high-quality variant amplicons and calculates overall mismatch rates by site to
determine if any mutants with non-barcode alleles are driving any observed barcode dynamics.
Samples with evidence of non-barcode driven dynamics were excluded from further analyses.
This exclusion criterion was relevant in only one animal, the first aerosol exposed animal within
experimental replicate 1. We note that many reads were obtained and analyzed for plaque-
positive samples, irrespective of viral titer (**Supplemental Figure 8)**.
411
Preparation of nasal lavage samples for whole-genome sequencing
Viral RNA extraction (QiaAmp Viral RNA kit, Qiagen) was performed using a 140 μL volume of
each nasal lavage sample, followed by one-step reverse transcription PCR amplification of full
viral genomes using pooled Univ.F(A)+6, Univ.F(G)+6 primers and Univ.R primers and
SuperScript III Platinum kit (ThermoFisher) [51, 52]. Following PCR purification (Qiagen
QiaQuick PCR Purification Kit), cDNA was processed at the ENPRC core for sequencing on an
Illumina NovaSeq 6000 platform. Samples were sequenced as 2 x 100 bp paired reads and
demultiplexed prior to delivery.
420
Data analysis for whole-genome sequencing
Whole genome sequencing reads were merged and filtered for low average quality (≥30) using
BBMerge, then separated according to the segment using BLAT [53]. The reads were then
mapped to their corresponding reference segment using BBMap, with local alignment set to
false. From these alignments, we used custom Python scripts to identify iSNVs and reads that
map to the barcoded region of the NA segment. Cutoffs for inclusion of iSNVs were set
empirically. First, sites were evaluated based on their total coverage and the average quality
and mapping statistics. Only sites with ≥100x coverage were considered. For minor variants at
these sites to be included in subsequent analyses, they were required to be present at ≥1%
frequency and have an average phred score of ≥35, and the reads that contained the minor
allele at any given site also had to have sufficient mapping quality to justify inclusion.
Specifically, reads containing the minor allele needed an average mapping quality score of ≥40,

the average location of the minor allele needed to be ≥20 bases from the nearest end of a read,
and the reads overall needed to have ≤2.0 average mismatch and indel counts relative to the
reference sequence.
436
Analysis of beta diversity
Dissimilarity between two populations can be measured using beta diversity metrics, and in this
study, we used the both Jaccard index and the Bray-Curtis dissimilarity [54, 55]. Both metrics
consider the species shared between two populations, and in this case, unique barcodes were
considered species. In addition to presence/absence data, the Bray-Curtis dissimilarity also
reflects abundance data. For either measure, a value closer to one indicates that the two
populations are more dissimilar, whereas a value closer to zero indicates that the two
populations are more alike in composition. Pairwise comparisons of barcode data were made
between the viral populations present in plaque-positive nasal lavage samples acquired from a
contact pair of guinea pigs.
447
448 **Data availability**
449 All data generated or analyzed during this study are included in the manuscript. At the time of
450 initial submission, all raw sequencing data is in the process of being uploaded to NCBI's Sequence
451 Read Archive.
452

Acknowledgments/Funding
This study was supported in part by R01 AI165644 and the National Institute of Allergy and
Infectious Diseases (NIAID) Centers of Excellence for Influenza Research and Response (CEIRR)
contract no. 75N93021C00017.

References
1.   Nobusawa E, Sato K. Comparison of the mutation rates of human influenza A and B
viruses. J Virol. 2006;80(7):3675-8. doi: 10.1128/JVI.80.7.3675-3678.2006.
2.   Smith DB, Inglis SC. The mutation rate and variability of eukaryotic viruses: an analytical
review. J Gen Virol. 1987;68 (Pt 11):2729-40. doi: 10.1099/0022-1317-68-11-2729.
3.   Boni MF, Zhou Y, Taubenberger JK, Holmes EC. Homologous recombination is very rare
or absent in human influenza A virus. J Virol. 2008;82(10):4807-11. Epub 20080319. doi:
10.1128/JVI.02683-07.
4.   Suarez DL, Senne DA, Banks J, Brown IH, Essen SC, Lee CW, et al. Recombination
resulting in virulence shift in avian influenza outbreak, Chile. Emerg Infect Dis. 2004;10(4):693-
9. doi: 10.3201/eid1004.030396.
5.   Marshall N, Priyamvada L, Ende Z, Steel J, Lowen AC. Influenza virus reassortment occurs
with high frequency in the absence of segment mismatch. PLoS Pathog. 2013;9(6):e1003421.
Epub 20130613. doi: 10.1371/journal.ppat.1003421.
6.   Barbezange C, Jones L, Blanc H, Isakov O, Celniker G, Enouf V, et al. Seasonal Genetic
Drift of Human Influenza A Virus Quasispecies Revealed by Deep Sequencing. Front Microbiol.
2018;9:2596. Epub 20181031. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2018.02596.

7.   Visher E, Whitefield SE, McCrone JT, Fitzsimmons W, Lauring AS. The Mutational
Robustness of Influenza A Virus. PLoS Pathog. 2016;12(8):e1005856. Epub 2016/08/30. doi:
10.1371/journal.ppat.1005856.
8.   Simon B, Pichon M, Valette M, Burfin G, Richard M, Lina B, et al. Whole Genome
Sequencing of A(H3N2) Influenza Viruses Reveals Variants Associated with Severity during the
2016(-)2017 Season. Viruses. 2019;11(2). Epub 2019/01/31. doi: 10.3390/v11020108.
9.   Nelson MI, Holmes EC. The evolution of epidemic influenza. Nat Rev Genet.
2007;8(3):196-205. Epub 2007/01/31. doi: 10.1038/nrg2053.
10.   Spielman SJ, Weaver S, Shank SD, Magalis BR, Li M, Kosakovsky Pond SL. Evolution of
Viral Genomes: Interplay Between Selection, Recombination, and Other Forces. Methods Mol
Biol. 2019;1910:427-68. doi: 10.1007/978-1-4939-9074-0_14.
11.   Lynch M, Ackerman MS, Gout JF, Long H, Sung W, Thomas WK, et al. Genetic drift,
selection and the evolution of the mutation rate. Nat Rev Genet. 2016;17(11):704-14. doi:
10.1038/nrg.2016.104.
12.   Rambaut A, Pybus OG, Nelson MI, Viboud C, Taubenberger JK, Holmes EC. The genomic
and epidemiological dynamics of human influenza A virus. Nature. 2008;453(7195):615-9. Epub
2008/04/18. doi: 10.1038/nature06945.
13.   Moya A, Holmes EC, Gonzalez-Candelas F. The population genetics and evolutionary
epidemiology of RNA viruses. Nat Rev Microbiol. 2004;2(4):279-88. doi: 10.1038/nrmicro863.
14.   McCrone JT, Lauring AS. Genetic bottlenecks in intraspecies virus transmission. Curr
Opin Virol. 2018;28:20-5. Epub 20171103. doi: 10.1016/j.coviro.2017.10.008.
15.   Valesano AL, Taniuchi M, Fitzsimmons WJ, Islam MO, Ahmed T, Zaman K, et al. The Early
Evolution of Oral Poliovirus Vaccine Is Shaped by Strong Positive Selection and Tight
Transmission Bottlenecks. Cell Host Microbe. 2021;29(1):32-43 e4. Epub 2020/11/20. doi:
10.1016/j.chom.2020.10.011.
16.   Wang GP, Sherrill-Mix SA, Chang KM, Quince C, Bushman FD. Hepatitis C virus
transmission bottlenecks analyzed by deep sequencing. J Virol. 2010;84(12):6218-28. Epub
20100407. doi: 10.1128/JVI.02271-09.
17.   Edwards CT, Holmes EC, Wilson DJ, Viscidi RP, Abrams EJ, Phillips RE, et al. Population
genetic estimation of the loss of genetic diversity during horizontal transmission of HIV-1. BMC
Evol Biol. 2006;6:28. Epub 20060323. doi: 10.1186/1471-2148-6-28.
18.   Smith DR, Adams AP, Kenney JL, Wang E, Weaver SC. Venezuelan equine encephalitis
virus in the mosquito vector Aedes taeniorhynchus: infection initiated by a small number of
susceptible epithelial cells and a population bottleneck. Virology. 2008;372(1):176-86. Epub
2007/11/21. doi: 10.1016/j.virol.2007.10.011.
19.   McCrone JT, Woods RJ, Martin ET, Malosh RE, Monto AS, Lauring AS. Stochastic
processes constrain the within and between host evolution of influenza virus. Elife. 2018;7.
Epub 2018/04/24. doi: 10.7554/eLife.35962.
20.   Varble A, Albrecht RA, Backes S, Crumiller M, Bouvier NM, Sachs D, et al. Influenza A
virus transmission bottlenecks are defined by infection route and recipient host. Cell Host
Microbe. 2014;16(5):691-700. Epub 20141023. doi: 10.1016/j.chom.2014.09.020.
21.   Frise R, Bradley K, van Doremalen N, Galiano M, Elderfield RA, Stilwell P, et al. Contact
transmission of influenza virus between ferrets imposes a looser bottleneck than respiratory

droplet transmission allowing propagation of antiviral resistance. Sci Rep. 2016;6:29793. Epub
2016/07/20. doi: 10.1038/srep29793.
22.   Hughes J, Allen RC, Baguelin M, Hampson K, Baillie GJ, Elton D, et al. Transmission of
equine influenza virus during an outbreak is characterized by frequent mixed infections and
loose transmission bottlenecks. PLoS Pathog. 2012;8(12):e1003081. Epub 20121220. doi:
10.1371/journal.ppat.1003081.
23.   Ferreri LM, Geiger G, Seibert B, Obadan A, Rajao D, Lowen AC, et al. Intra- and inter-host
evolution of H9N2 influenza A virus in Japanese quail. Virus Evol. 2022;8(1):veac001. Epub
20220108. doi: 10.1093/ve/veac001.
24.   Braun KM, Haddock Iii LA, Crooks CM, Barry GL, Lalli J, Neumann G, et al. Avian H7N9
influenza viruses are evolutionarily constrained by stochastic processes during replication and
transmission in mammals. Virus Evol. 2023;9(1):vead004. Epub 20230119. doi:
10.1093/ve/vead004.
25.   Zaraket H, Baranovich T, Kaplan BS, Carter R, Song MS, Paulson JC, et al. Mammalian
adaptation of influenza A(H7N9) virus is limited by a narrow genetic bottleneck. Nat Commun.
2015;6:6553. Epub 20150408. doi: 10.1038/ncomms7553.
26.   Wilker PR, Dinis JM, Starrett G, Imai M, Hatta M, Nelson CW, et al. Selection on
haemagglutinin imposes a bottleneck during mammalian transmission of reassortant H5N1
influenza viruses. Nat Commun. 2013;4:2636. doi: 10.1038/ncomms3636.
27.   Magurran AE. Ecological Diversity and its Measurement. Princeton, NJ: Princeton
University Press; 1988.
28.   Tao H, Steel J, Lowen AC. Intrahost dynamics of influenza virus reassortment. J Virol.
2014;88(13):7485-92. Epub 20140416. doi: 10.1128/JVI.00715-14.
29.   Xue KS, Bloom JD. Linking influenza virus evolution within and between human hosts.
Virus Evol. 2020;6(1):veaa010. Epub 20200217. doi: 10.1093/ve/veaa010.
30.   Ferguson NM, Galvani AP, Bush RM. Ecological and immunological determinants of
influenza evolution. Nature. 2003;422(6930):428-33. doi: 10.1038/nature01509.
31.   Koelle K, Cobey S, Grenfell B, Pascual M. Epochal evolution shapes the phylodynamics of
interpandemic influenza A (H3N2) in humans. Science. 2006;314(5807):1898-903. doi:
10.1126/science.1132745.
32.   Fitch WM, Leiter JM, Li XQ, Palese P. Positive Darwinian evolution in human influenza A
viruses. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 1991;88(10):4270-4. doi: 10.1073/pnas.88.10.4270.
33.   Debbink K, McCrone JT, Petrie JG, Truscon R, Johnson E, Mantlo EK, et al. Vaccination
has minimal impact on the intrahost diversity of H3N2 influenza viruses. PLoS Pathog.
2017;13(1):e1006194. Epub 20170131. doi: 10.1371/journal.ppat.1006194.
34.   Han AX, Felix Garza ZC, Welkers MR, Vigeveno RM, Tran ND, Le TQM, et al. Within-host
evolutionary dynamics of seasonal and pandemic human influenza A viruses in young children.
Elife. 2021;10. Epub 20210803. doi: 10.7554/eLife.68917.
35.   Valesano AL, Fitzsimmons WJ, McCrone JT, Petrie JG, Monto AS, Martin ET, et al.
Influenza B Viruses Exhibit Lower Within-Host Diversity than Influenza A Viruses in Human
Hosts. J Virol. 2020;94(5). Epub 20200214. doi: 10.1128/JVI.01710-19.
36.   Valesano AL, Rumfelt KE, Dimcheff DE, Blair CN, Fitzsimmons WJ, Petrie JG, et al.
Temporal dynamics of SARS-CoV-2 mutation accumulation within and across infected hosts.
PLoS Pathog. 2021;17(4):e1009499. Epub 20210407. doi: 10.1371/journal.ppat.1009499.

37.   Gu H, Quadeer AA, Krishnan P, Ng DYM, Chang LDJ, Liu GYZ, et al. Within-host genetic
diversity of SARS-CoV-2 lineages in unvaccinated and vaccinated individuals. Nat Commun.
2023;14(1):1793. Epub 20230331. doi: 10.1038/s41467-023-37468-y.
38.   Braun KM, Moreno GK, Wagner C, Accola MA, Rehrauer WM, Baker DA, et al. Acute
SARS-CoV-2 infections harbor limited within-host diversity and transmit via tight transmission
bottlenecks. PLoS Pathog. 2021;17(8):e1009849. Epub 20210823. doi:
10.1371/journal.ppat.1009849.
39.   Kistler KE, Huddleston J, Bedford T. Rapid and parallel adaptive mutations in spike S1
drive clade success in SARS-CoV-2. Cell Host Microbe. 2022;30(4):545-55 e4. Epub 20220322.
doi: 10.1016/j.chom.2022.03.018.
40.   Tellier R. Review of aerosol transmission of influenza A virus. Emerg Infect Dis.
2006;12(11):1657-62. doi: 10.3201/eid1211.060426.
41.   Le Sage V, Jones JE, Kormuth KA, Fitzsimmons WJ, Nturibi E, Padovani GH, et al. Pre-
existing heterosubtypic immunity provides a barrier to airborne transmission of influenza
viruses. PLoS Pathog. 2021;17(2):e1009273. Epub 20210218. doi:
10.1371/journal.ppat.1009273.
42.   Kaler L, Iverson E, Bader S, Song D, Scull MA, Duncan GA. Influenza A virus diffusion
through mucus gel networks. Commun Biol. 2022;5(1):249. Epub 20220322. doi:
10.1038/s42003-022-03204-3.
43.   Zanin M, Baviskar P, Webster R, Webby R. The Interaction between Respiratory
Pathogens and Mucus. Cell Host Microbe. 2016;19(2):159-68. doi: 10.1016/j.chom.2016.01.001.
44.   Jacobs NT, Onuoha NO, Antia A, Steel J, Antia R, Lowen AC. Incomplete influenza A virus
genomes occur frequently but are readily complemented during localized viral spread. Nat
Commun. 2019;10(1):3526. Epub 20190806. doi: 10.1038/s41467-019-11428-x.
45.   Brooke CB. Biological activities of 'noninfectious' influenza A virus particles. Future Virol.
2014;9(1):41-51. doi: 10.2217/fvl.13.118.
46.   Brooke CB, Ince WL, Wrammert J, Ahmed R, Wilson PC, Bennink JR, et al. Most influenza
a virions fail to express at least one essential viral protein. J Virol. 2013;87(6):3155-62. Epub
20130102. doi: 10.1128/JVI.02284-12.
47.   Marcus PI, Ngunjiri JM, Sekellick MJ. Dynamics of biologically active subpopulations of
influenza virus: plaque-forming, noninfectious cell-killing, and defective interfering particles. J
Virol. 2009;83(16):8122-30. Epub 20090603. doi: 10.1128/JVI.02680-08.
48.   Pearson JE, Krapivsky P, Perelson AS. Stochastic theory of early viral infection:
continuous versus burst production of virions. PLoS Comput Biol. 2011;7(2):e1001058. Epub
20110203. doi: 10.1371/journal.pcbi.1001058.
49.   Ramos I, Smith G, Ruf-Zamojski F, Martinez-Romero C, Fribourg M, Carbajal EA, et al.
Innate Immune Response to Influenza Virus at Single-Cell Resolution in Human Epithelial Cells
Revealed Paracrine Induction of Interferon Lambda 1. J Virol. 2019;93(20). Epub 20190930. doi:
10.1128/JVI.00559-19.
50.   Chen H, Ye J, Xu K, Angel M, Shao H, Ferrero A, et al. Partial and full PCR-based reverse
genetics strategy for influenza viruses. PLoS One. 2012;7(9):e46378. Epub 20120928. doi:
10.1371/journal.pone.0046378.

51.   Delima GK, Ganti K, Holmes KE, Shartouny JR, Lowen AC. Influenza A virus coinfection
dynamics are shaped by distinct virus-virus interactions within and between cells. PLoS Pathog.
2023;19(3):e1010978. Epub 20230302. doi: 10.1371/journal.ppat.1010978.
52.   Zhou B, Wentworth DE. Influenza A virus molecular virology techniques. Methods Mol
Biol. 2012;865:175-92. Epub 2012/04/25. doi: 10.1007/978-1-61779-621-0_11.
53.   Kent WJ. BLAT--the BLAST-like alignment tool. Genome Res. 2002;12(4):656-64. doi:
10.1101/gr.229202.
54.   Chung NC, Miasojedow B, Startek M, Gambin A. Jaccard/Tanimoto similarity test and
estimation methods for biological presence-absence data. BMC Bioinformatics. 2019;20(Suppl
15):644. Epub 20191224. doi: 10.1186/s12859-019-3118-5.
55.   J. Roger Bray JTC. An Ordination of the Upland Forest Communities of Southern
Wisconsin. Ecological Monographs. 1957;27(4):325-49. doi: 10.2307/1942268.
616

Figure 1. NA barcode diversity is maintained in both plasmid and virus stocks, and the barcode does not affect overall fitness. A) Barcode design for the NA segment of influenza

A/Panama/2007/99 (H3N2) virus. An alignment of 593 NA sequences derived from H3N2 subtype
human influenza A viruses circulating from 1994 to 2004 showed a cluster of single nucleotide
variants occurring in the region from nucleotides 484 to 534. Twelve polymorphic sites containing
synonymous SNVs within this region were selected for the barcode. At each of the twelve sites,
one of two nucleotides is possible, allowing for up to 4096 unique barcodes within the
population. **B)** Barcodes detected in the pDP Pan/99 NA-BC plasmid preparation and passage 1
stock of Pan/99 NA-BC virus. Colors represent unique barcodes, and their frequencies within the
stock are indicated by the height of the color. **C)** Sequence logo plots demonstrate the sequence
motifs present in plasmid and passage 1 virus stocks. Each pair of nucleotides represents one of
the twelve bi-allelic sites. The height of the letter indicates the corresponding nucleotide
frequency in the stock. **D)** Shannon Diversity Index (H) of the stock samples is compared to the
maximum possible diversity for this system (H_{max} = 8.32, shown with a horizontal dashed line).
This theoretical maximum reflects a population in which all 4096 potential barcodes are equally
represented. **E)** Multicycle replication of Pan/99 WT (black) and Pan/99 NA-BC (pink) viruses
revealed similar growth kinetics. Infections were performed in triplicate and individual data
points show results from individual cell culture dishes. Lines connect mean titers at each time
point. Dashed line indicates limit of detection for plaque assay (50 PFU/mL). Two-way ANOVA
showed no significant difference between viruses at any time point (p = 0.11). Figure 1A created
in BioRender.
639
640

Figure 2. Population diversity declines between inoculated and exposed guinea pigs. Colors in the stacked bar plots (**A, B**) represent unique barcodes, and the height of each color indicates the relative frequency within the sample. Nasal lavage titers are indicated by the height of the bar. Red lines show the limit of detection of the plaque assay (50 PFU/mL). Plots for individual animals are paired with those of their cage mate. Representative pairs for direct contact (**A**) and aerosol (**B**) exposure are shown from three experimental replicates. Data from all transmission pairs (eight per replicate) are shown in Supplemental Figure 2.

Figure 3. Initial high viral diversity in exposed animals plummets after the first 1-2 days of viral positivity. The Shannon Diversity index (**A**), Chao richness (**B**, right axis), and evenness (**B**, left axis) are shown for viral populations present in representative animals from each replicate (blue = inoculated animals, red = exposed, solid line = Chao richness, dashed line = evenness). (**A,B**) Top row shows direct contact animal pairs, and bottom row shows aerosol transmission pairs. Data from all transmission pairs (eight per replicate) are shown in Supplemental Figure 3.

Figure 4. When barcode data are simplified into just four haplotypes, population composition remains consistent in inoculated animals and stochastic effects remain pronounced in exposed animals. Barcodes were binned according to the first two barcode sites for a total of four possible barcodes. Colors in the stacked bar plots (**A, B**) represent unique barcodes, and the height of each color indicates the relative frequency within the sample. Nasal lavage titers are indicated by the height of the bar. Red lines show LOD of plaque assays (50 PFU/mL). Plots for individual animals are paired with those of their cage mate. Representative pairs for direct contact (**A**) and aerosol (**B**) exposure are shown from three experimental replicates. Data for all transmission pairs are shown in Supplemental Figure 5.

Supplemental Figure 1. Pan/99 NA-BC virus infects inoculated animals and transmits to
exposed animals. A) Schematic showing experimental set-up for transmission experiments in
guinea pigs. For each of the three experimental replicates performed, eight guinea pigs were
intranasally inoculated with 5 x 10^4 PFU of Pan/99 NA-BC in 300 **μ**L PBS. Twenty-four hours post-
inoculation, a single naïve animal was placed with each inoculated animal in cages that allowed
for animals to directly contact one another (n = 4) or that separated the animals by a double-
walled, perforated metal barrier (n = 4). **B)** Viral titers from nasal lavage samples in direct contact
(top) and aerosol (bottom) exposure settings from replicates 1, 2, and 3. Blue lines represent
inoculated animals; red or yellow lines indicate exposed animals. The dashed black line
represents the limit of detection of plaque assays (50 PFU/mL). Paired animals share the same
line type. Supplemental Figure 1A created in BioRender.

Supplemental Figure 2. Tracking viral barcode diversity within inoculated animals and through transmission to exposed animals. Data relate to that of Figure 2 and show relative barcode frequencies for all transmission pairs across replicates 1, 2, and 3 **(A, B**, and **C**, respectively). Only samples that were plaque-positive are shown. Colors in the stacked bar plots represent unique barcodes, and the height of each color indicates the relative frequency within the sample. Nasal lavage titers are indicated by the height of the bar. Red lines show LOD of plaque assays. Plots for individual animals are paired with those of their cage mate. For the exposed animal in the first aerosol transmission pair of Replicate 1, most reads were discarded, and the data from this animal were excluded from further analyses. The lack of data for other exposed animals indicates a lack of transmission.

B

Direct Contact

Aerosol Transmission

Shannon Diversity

Richness (x 10³)

Evenness

Time [dpi]

Supplemental Figure 3. Changes in richness and evenness both contribute to alterations in
diversity. Data relate to that of Figure 3. Shannon Diversity (left), Chao richness (right, left axis),
and evenness (right, right axis) were determined for inoculated animals (dpi 1-7) and exposed
animals (dpi 2-8) in replicates 1, 2, and 3 (**A, B,** and **C**, respectively). Data from cage mates are
plotted on the same facet (blue = inoculated animals, red = exposed, solid line = Chao richness,
dashed line = evenness).

Supplemental Figure 4. Pairwise matrices of dissimilarity demonstrate similar population composition among individual animals but not between paired animals. We calculated indices of dissimilarity (Bray-Curtis and Jaccard) between samples taken on different days from a given animal and between samples collected from paired inoculated and exposed animals. Panels A, B and C show results from experimental replicates 1, 2 and 3, respectively. Axes labels indicate whether an animal was inoculated (*i*) or exposed (*e*), with the accompanying number specifying the day post-inoculation. Warmer colors (more yellow) indicate greater dissimilarity between samples, while cooler colors (more purple) indicate greater similarity between samples. Gray squares signify samples that were negative or omitted due to low sequencing coverage.

Supplemental Figure 5. Simplification of data into four haplotypes. Data relate to that of Figure 4 and show stacked bar plots with 4096 barcodes divided into 4 groups. Data from paired inoculated and exposed animals are side-by-side and arranged by experimental replicates 1, 2, and 3 (**A, B,** and **C**, respectively) and type of transmission. Arrangement corresponds to that of Supplemental Figure 2. Only samples that were positive by plaque assay are shown. Colors in the stacked bar represent the four haplotype classes into which barcodes were divided based on the nucleotide identity of the first two sites. The height of each color indicates the relative frequency within the sample. Nasal lavage titers are indicated by the height of the bar. Red lines show limit of detection of plaque assays. For the exposed animal in the first aerosol transmission pair of Replicate 1, most reads were discarded, and the data from this animal were excluded from further analyses.

Supplemental Figure 6. Barcode composition in exposed animals changes significantly between
the first and subsequent days of detection. Pairwise Bray-Curtis indices for nasal lavage samples
from exposed animals on the first versus the third days of positivity ("Exposed", green) and for
inoculated animals on the first versus the third days of positivity ("Inoculated", pink) show a
significant difference (Mann Whitney U Test, p = 0.0000090), indicating greater dissimilarity
between first and subsequent days in exposed animals. Data are presented as violin plots
featuring a box plot. The bounds of the box show the first and third quartiles. Whiskers indicate
1.5 times the interquartile range. The bounds of the violin plots indicate the minima and maxima
of the specified data.
754

Supplemental Figure 7. Most iSNVs within exposed animals are rare. The frequencies of nonsynonymous and synonymous iSNVs detected on the first or second day of positivity in exposed animals are shown for experimental replicates 1 and 2. Data from all 16 animals and both time points are plotted together. (This analysis was not performed for replicate 3). No iSNVs were found on multiple days above the frequency cutoff of 1%.

785   **Supplemental Figure 8. Many reads were obtained and analyzed for plaque-positive samples,**
786   **irrespective of viral titer.** Data from inoculated animals are shown in pink and those from
787   exposed animals in green.