Mitonuclear interactions impact aerobic metabolism in hybrids and may

explain mitonuclear discordance in young, naturally hybridizing bird lineages

Authors: Callum S. McDiarmid[1], Daniel M. Hooper[2*], Antoine Stier[3,4], Simon C. Griffith[1]

[1] School of Natural Sciences, Macquarie University, Sydney, NSW, Australia; [2] Institute for Comparative

Genomics, American Museum of Natural History, New York, NY, USA; [3] Department of Biology,

University of Turku, Turku, Finland; [4] Institut Pluridisciplinaire Hubert Curien, UMR7178, Université de

Strasbourg, CNRS, Strasbourg, France

*correspondence: dhooper@amnh.org

Keywords: speciation, mitochondrial, aerobic respiration, hybridization, cyto-nuclear discordance

Abstract

Understanding genetic incompatibilities and genetic introgression between incipient species are

major goals in evolutionary biology. Mitochondrial genes evolve rapidly and exist in dense gene

networks with coevolved nuclear genes, suggesting that mitochondrial respiration may be particularly

susceptible to disruption in hybrid organisms. Mitonuclear interactions have been demonstrated to

contribute to hybrid disfunction between deeply divergent taxa crossed in the laboratory, but there

are few empirical examples of mitonuclear interactions between younger lineages that naturally

hybridise. Here we use experimental crosses and high resolution respirometry to provide the first

evidence in a bird that inter-lineage mitonuclear interactions impact mitochondrial aerobic

metabolism. Specifically, respiration capacity of the two paternal backcrosses (with mismatched mito-

nuclear combinations) differ from one another, although they do not differ to the parental groups or

maternal backcrosses as we would expect of mitonuclear disruptions. In the wild hybrid zone between

these subspecies the mitochondrial cline centre is shifted west of the nuclear cline centre, which is

consistent with the direction of our experimental results. Our results therefore demonstrate

asymmetric mitonuclear interactions that impact the capacity of cellular mitochondrial respiration

and may help to explain the geographic discordance between mitochondrial and nuclear genomes

observed in the wild.

Introduction

Understanding the factors that obstruct gene flow or propel genetic introgression between biological lineages is a central goal of speciation research[1]. One factor that may be particularly susceptible to disruption in hybrids is the interaction between the mitochondrial and nuclear genomes, which underpin mitochondrial aerobic metabolism[2–4]. Functional mitochondria rely on dense gene networks encompassing genes in both the mitochondrial (N= ~37 genes) and nuclear (N = ~1,500 genes) genomes (Figure 1A)[5–7], and these genes (particularly mitochondrial genes) can undergo rapid synonymous change between lineages [8–12]. Following hybridization, novel combinations of mtDNA and nuDNA may disrupt mitochondrial function and thus act as a reproductive isolating barrier.

Inter-lineage mitonuclear interactions – i.e., interactions between the mitochondria of one lineage and the nuclear genome of another lineage that occur within the cells of hybrids - have been demonstrated to contribute to hybrid disfunction between deeply divergent taxa crossed in the laboratory [13–17]. There are, however, fewer empirical examples of inter-lineage mitonuclear interactions between younger taxa that naturally hybridise [18–20]. In birds, there is suggestive evidence from hybrid zones that mitonuclear incompatibilities may contribute to reproductive isolation [21–23]. Direct evidence linking hybrid disfunction with mitonuclear incompatibilities is lacking, however, in large part because most birds cannot be readily kept and bred in captivity. This precludes controlled experiments to effectively disassociate the mitochondrial and nuclear genomes. Naturally produced hybrids that have low physiological function may be compromised for various other reasons, such as their parents mating with a heterospecific because they were poor quality individuals [24]. In contrast to genetic incompatibilities of uniform effect on hybrid fitness, interactions of asymmetrical effect [i.e., differential fitness between hybrids based on direction of cross] can result in certain genes introgressing beyond the hybrid zone and geographic discordance between different regions of the genome. In naturally hybridizing systems, geographic discordance between mtDNA and nuDNA is observed at an appreciable frequency but the underlying mechanisms are rarely understood[25]. Discordance is often attributed to demographic disparities or sex-biased asymmetries based mostly on verbal arguments[26], while the functional consequences of mitonuclear interactions such as those described here are rarely considered [25,27,28].

Optimal function of mitochondrial respiration in birds is dependent on 37 genes in the mtDNA, including 13 protein coding genes that encode key components of the well characterised complexes

I, III, IV and V in the electron transport system (ETS) on the inner mitochondrial membrane. In addition,
avian mtDNA encodes two ribosomal RNAs (rRNAs), 22 transfer RNAs (tRNAs) and a control region
(OH). In humans, for example, disfunction of these mitochondrial genes can impact fitness and
manifest as mitochondrial diseases and disorders such as neurodegeneration[29,30]. Changes in the
amino acid composition of ETS subunits, or genetic substitutions in the tRNA or rRNA machinery that
impact the transcription or translation of ETS subunits, can both potentially destabilise the transfer of
high-energy electrons causing them to leak from the ETS. Leaking electrons can contribute to the
formation of reactive oxygen species (ROS) that can damage cellular macromolecules[30].

The subspecies of the long-tailed finch *Poephila acuticauda acuticauda* and *P. a. hecki* inhabit
tropical savannahs across northern Australia and naturally hybridise where their distributions meet
on the edge of the Kimberley Plateau in Western Australia[31]. Previous work has estimated they last
shared a common ancestor approximately 0.5 million years ago (0.31 – 0.68 Mya, 95% HPD) based on
divergence in three mtDNA genes[32]. Mitonuclear discordance has been observed in the wild hybrid
zone between these two subspecies, with the centre of the mitochondrial cline being 55 km west of
the nuclear cline centre[32], but within the boundaries of the nuclear hybrid zone (Figure 1B & C, [32]).
This discordance suggests the eastern mitochondrial lineage (mitotype) has introgressed westward
across the hybrid zone. One possible explanation for this apparent introgression is that hybridisation
causes novel mitonuclear interactions that asymmetrically reduce the fitness of individuals with a
western mitotype and eastern nuclear genome, by adversely impacting aerobic metabolism and
therefore ATP synthesis, or through elevated generation of reactive oxygen species (ROS) that results
in oxidative stress. The long-tailed finch can be readily kept and bred in captivity, making it an
experimentally tractable model system in which to examine the consequences of this potential
mitonuclear mismatch.

Here, we test whether inter-lineage mitonuclear interactions impact aerobic metabolism
using subspecies of the long-tailed finch. We utilized a cross design that should expose any inter-
lineage mitonuclear interactions that exist between the subspecies in the resulting hybrid embryos.
We then assessed mitochondrial aerobic respiration capacity using high-resolution respirometry[33].

Methods

Study species

Long-tailed finches of each subspecies (*Poephila acuticauda acuticauda* and *P. a. hecki*) used in this

study came from captive populations maintained at Macquarie University in Sydney, Australia. Wild

caught *P. a. acuticauda* individuals were originally sourced from Mount House (17°02'S, 125°35'E) and

Nelson's Hole (15°49'S, 127°30'E) in Western Australia, and wild-caught *P. a. hecki* were sourced from

October Creek (16°37'S, 134°51'E) in the Northern Territory[34,35]. As individuals from these

populations show no evidence of genomic admixture between subspecies[31,32] we hereafter refer

to them as 'parental'.

mtDNA comparison between subspecies

Changes in the mitochondrial genome are required but not sufficient for inter-lineage mitonuclear

incompatibility. Even a single substitution in the mtDNA can lead to reproductive isolation (e.g., [48]).

To identify substitutions with the potential to contribute to incompatibilities, we sequenced whole

mitochondrial genomes from each long-tailed finch subspecies and counted the number of fixed

differences and associated amino acid changes within 13 protein coding genes between them. We

assembled mitochondrial genomes using whole genome sequence (WGS) data from both long-tailed

finch subspecies (*P. a. acuticauda,* N = 14; *P. a. hecki* N = 11) and their sister species the black-throated

finch *P. cincta atropygialis* (N = 11). We used bcftools (version 1.9)[49] consensus after calling and

incorporating variants relative to the zebra finch reference genome (GCA_003957565.4) in each

sample. Ambiguous positions were output with associated IUPAC codes[43]. We exclusively used WGS

data derived from DNA extracted from muscle (rather than blood) to ensure that they were enriched

for reads from mitochondrial DNA and not reads from the nuclear encoded mtDNA (NUMT) copy. The

mitochondrial genome of the zebra finch reference was used as an additional outgroup to help assign

substitutions to their lineage of origin. We used the MITOS2 WebServer[50] to extract specific

sequences for each mtDNA encoded gene, including all 13 protein coding genes, 22 tRNA and 2 rRNA

and the control region. Each gene was aligned using MAFFT (version 7) web-aligner[51] and we

manually examined each alignment with Geneious prime (version 2023.1.2) to count and characterize

the distribution of fixed differences between each of the three members of *Poephila* (Table S1). For

each protein-coding gene, we used the number of fixed differences between subspecies to quantify

their absolute divergence (D_{XY}).

We next evaluated the extent of non-synonymous change between long-tailed finch

subspecies for each protein-coding gene. We generated lineage-specific consensus sequences for

each gene and used the vertebrate mitochondrial genetic code to translate these to amino acid

sequence. We again used data from the black-throated finch and zebra finch as outgroups to assign

amino acid changes to the long-tailed finch subspecies of origin. We classified each amino acid

substitution by any associated change in biochemical properties. Finally, we calculated the ratio of the

rate of non-synonymous to the rate of synonymous nucleotide substitutions (dN/dS) between long-

tailed finch subspecies for each protein-coding gene using the model M0 implemented in the program

Easy-CodeML (v1.41)[52].

Breeding

Embryos were produced by rotating pairs of long-tailed finches through 20 outdoor aviaries (4.1 m

long x 1.85 m wide x 2.24 m high), with one pair per aviary containing nest boxes and nesting material.

Mealworms, greens, dry seed, and water were provided *ad libitum*. We required females to have been

exclusively with the partner for 14 days minimum before any experimental eggs were collected, to

avoid embryos being sired by stored sperm from a different male. Nest boxes were checked every day

and eggs were collected the day they were laid, given a unique number using a permanent marker,

and stored in a 'soft box' at cool room temperature (22 $^{\circ}$C) for up to seven days before being placed

into an incubator (Brinsea Ovation 56 EX, Brinsea Products, Winscombe, U.K.). Eggs were incubated

at 37.5°C and 65% humidity for 12 days. On the 12th day (i.e., the day before expected hatch) eggs

were removed and measurements made. Working with embryos meant we eliminated the

opportunity for the effects of extended parental care by parents of different genetic backgrounds.

Crossing Design

We produced backcrossed offspring by first breeding F_1 hybrid females with an unadmixed parental

male of each subspecies, sequentially and in random order. Resulting offspring were defined as

maternal backcrosses if their father's lineage *did* match their mother's mitotype and were defined as

paternal backcrosses if father's lineage *did not* match their mother's mitotype (Figure 2). Parental

pairs of each subspecies were set up to breed at the same time and used as controls.

Paternal backcrossing exposes incompatibilities by producing offspring who inherit most of

their nuclear genome from a different lineage to that of their mitochondrial genome. This is

particularly pronounced in the case of the long-tailed finch, as >98.5% of fixed nuclear differences

between subspecies are on the Z-chromosome[36]. In our cross design, paternal backcross offspring

inherit Z chromosomes that have had no opportunity for recombination between subspecies. If male,

they receive one Z chromosome from their hemizygous F_1 mother and a Z chromosome of matching

subspecies identity from their father. Females have only a single Z chromosome inherited from their

father. Paternal backcrosses have mismatched mitochondrial and nuclear genomes, but also have a

low level of admixture on autosomes in their nuclear genome, which would otherwise be a potentially confounding source of hybrid disfunction. For this reason, we included maternal backcross individuals as controls, as their level of autosomal admixture is the same as paternal backcrosses, but their mitochondria and Z chromosomes come from the same subspecies (Figure 2). It is worth noting that these several different types of backcrossed hybrids are also highly relevant to the wild hybrid zone, where we previously described that ~68% (243 of 357) of individuals had some level of admixture[32].

The goal of our cross design was to create embryos in which the mitochondrial haplotype (i.e., mitotype) of the two subspecies was placed in three distinct contexts: 1) a nuclear genetic background exactly matching the mitotype lineage (parental crosses), 2) a nuclear genetic background that mostly did match the mitotype lineage (maternal backcrosses), and 3) a nuclear genetic background that mostly did not match the mitotype lineage (paternal backcrosses). The six resulting groups of embryos were thus 1. Parental *acuticauda* (*acuticauda* mother × *acuticauda* father), 2. Parental *hecki* (*hecki* mother × *hecki* father), 3. Maternal backcross *acuticauda* (F$_1$ mother with *acuticauda* mtDNA × *acuticauda* father), and 4. Maternal backcross *hecki* (F$_1$ mother with *hecki* mitotype × *hecki* father), 5. Paternal backcross *acuticauda* (F$_1$ mother with *acuticauda* mtDNA × *hecki* father), and 6. Paternal backcross *hecki* (F$_1$ mother with *hecki* mtDNA × *acuticauda* father). Mothers used in parental crosses only produced a single clutch while F$_1$ hybrid mothers used for backcrossing produced two clutches: one with a *hecki* father and one with an *acuticauda* father (however, 4 F$_1$ females only produced a clutch with one partner). All fathers were only used for a single clutch.

Heart rate measurement

After 12 days of incubation each egg was removed from the incubator and immediately placed on a Buddy digital egg monitor (Vetronic Services, Abbotskerswell, Devon, U.K.), to measure embryonic heart rate from within the egg (a proxy for whole body metabolic rate)[37]. A timer was started when the egg was removed from the incubator, and heart rate and corresponding time since removal were recorded at least twice over two minutes. We used these measurements to calculate the rate of cooling and the predicted heart rate halfway through the period (i.e., at 60 seconds). Five individuals where heart rate was only measured once were excluded from this dataset.

High resolution respirometry strategy

High resolution respirometry was performed with permeabilised tissue samples from these embryos using an Oroboros O2k (Oroboros Instruments, Innsbruck, Austria). High resolution respirometry allowed us to systematically stimulate, uncouple and inhibit certain combinations of mitochondrial

complexes (Table 3; Figure S1). We measured the maximum capacity of OXPHOS system when fuelled
by electrons either through both complex I, or both I and II in tandem (OXP-I, OXP-I,II), and the
maximum capacity of the ETS when accepting electrons from CxII, and both CxI and II in tandem (ETS-
I,II, ETS,II). Comparing our experimental groups for these measures reveals whether CxI is
compromised. We also assessed the maximum capacity for complex IV in isolation to consume O_2, a
measure not reliant on CxIII, which can reveal if CxIII is compromised. We assessed LEAK-I,II
respiration, which reflects respiration not available to fuel ATP synthesis.

These measures enable us to test whether mitonuclear interactions impact mitochondrial
aerobic metabolism in long-tailed finch hybrids. More specifically, they allow us to interrogate the
source of any mitonuclear disfunction, be it from the two complexes with amino acid differences
between subspecies (CxI and III) or from translational issues related to fixed differences in the mtDNA
encoded tRNAs or rRNAs. It is possible that some aspect of mitochondrial function other than aerobic
respiration is impaired, but that respiration rate is maintained. For this reason we also measured ROS-
induced damage. High ROS levels resulting from mitochondrial disfunction can damage
macromolecules, cell components and structures[38,39] and ROS-induced DNA damage correlates
with shorter lifespan in the closely related zebra finch[40].

High resolution respirometry technical steps

After the embryonic heart rate was measured, embryos were used for high resolution
respirometry. Embryos were euthanised via decapitation and then weighed (Mettler Toledo, PB303-
S/FACT, Colombus, Ohio, USA). There was no significant difference between experimental groups in
embryonic survival (X^2_5 = 4.1, p = 0.53; Figure S3B) or mass at day 12 of incubation (X^2_5 = 7.3, p = 0.20;
Table 3; Figure S3C). The head and body were then laterally cut in two, and the right-hand side was
placed in 400 µl of phosphate buffered saline (PBS), homogenized with three strokes of a teflon7-glass
Potter-Elvehjem homogenizer (Wheaton, 5 mL), and stored at -80 for later analyses including DNA
extraction for sex determination (described below). The left-hand side was weighed, suspended in a
dilution of 100 mg/mL of MiR05 medium (0.5 mM Egtazic Acid (EGTA), 3 mM MgCl2, 50 mM K-
lactobionate, 20 mM taurine, 10 mM KH2PO4, 20 mM Hepes, 110 mM sucrose, free fatty acid bovine
serum albumin (1 g L-1), pH 7.1;[41,42]); and then homogenized and mechanically permeabilised using
a teflon-glass Potter-Elvehjem homogenizer (Wheaton, 5 mL). All work was done on ice. This
homogenate was centrifuged for 1 min at 100 g to pellet big tissue, and then 500ul of the supernatant
was added to a chamber of an Oroboros O_2k high resolution respirometer set at 37°C. The
respirometer had two chambers and we always ran two samples in parallel. After two samples were

loaded and the system had equilibrated (oxygen consumption was stable), 2 μl of digitonin (10 mg/mL)

was added to ensure cells were fully permeabilised. We injected 5 μl pyruvate (5mM final), 5 μl malate

(2mM final), 10 μl glutamate (10mM final) as electron donors for CxI, and then measured oxidative

phosphorylation when only CxI was receiving electrons (OXPHOS-I) by adding 5 μl adenosine

diphosphate (ADP, 1.25 mM final). We next measured coupled respiration capacity through both CxI

and II (OXPHOS-I,II) by adding 25 μl succinate (10 mM final). We then determined O_2 consumption

associated with proton leak when electrons were being received by both CxI and II (LEAK-I,II) by adding

5 μl oligomycin (10 uM final). We next estimated the maximum capacity of the electron transport

system (ETS-I,II) by titrating the mitochondrial uncoupler carbonyl cyanide m-chlorophenyl hydrazine

(CCCP; at 2 mM concentration) by first one injection of 3 μl, followed by 1 μl steps. We next estimated

the ETS capacity when only receiving electrons through CxII (ETS-II) by adding 1 μl rotenone (0.5 uM

final). We then measured non-mitochondrial O2 consumption by injecting 1 μl of antimycin A (2.5 uM

final) to inhibit CxIII. Finally, we estimated the respiration capacity of CxIV directly by first adding 5 μl

TMPD (0.5 mM final) and 5 μl ascorbate (2 mM final), and then calculating the background chemical

respiration rate by adding 50 μl azide (100 mM final). Across all runs the chambers were opened

consistently at the same stages for reoxygenation. After each run 1 ml of the solution was taken from

each respirometer chamber and frozen at -80 °C, and at the conclusion of all measures we performed

a Pierce BCA protein quantification assay on this stored solution (ThermoFisher, Scientific, Waltham,

MA, USA). Protein quantity was included as a covariate in statistical models (below) to account for

slight differences in the amount of biological material in each sample. In most cases a different embryo

sample was run in each chamber, but in 40 cases the same embryo was run in duplicate to assess how

repeatable these measurements were (Table 3). When two different samples were run, the first was

sitting in the respirometer for some time before the protocol was begun, and to account for any

variability introduced by this we included a covariate in our models that was the length of time

between when the embryo sample entered the chamber and when the measure was made.

Molecular sexing

We extracted DNA from the homogenized right-side of all embryos using a Gentra PureGene kit

(Qiagen, Valencia, CA, USA) following manufacturer instructions. We sexed embryos by amplifying an

intronic portion of the CHD gene that differs in size between the Z-linked and W-linked copy following

published protocols[32,43]. Gel electrophoresis of the PCR product revealed the sex of the embryo as

either male (one band, homozygous, ZZ) or female (two bands, heterozygous, ZW).

mtDNA copy number

We estimated mitochondrial density based upon mtDNA copy number for all samples using real-time

quantitative PCR (qPCR) analysis following protocols established for passerine birds (Stier et al. 2019,

2022). While the amount of mtDNA in a mitochondrion can vary, mtDNA copy number is a reliable

proxy of mitochondrial density. We estimated the relative mtDNA copy number by measuring the

amount of mtDNA relative to the nuDNA for each sample by qPCR on a CFX96 Real-Time System (BIO-

RAD). We used RAG1 as a representative single-copy nuclear gene (F: GCAGATGAACTGGAGGCTATAA,

R: CAGCTGAGAAACGTGTTGATTC) and used COX1 as our representative mitochondrial gene (F:

TCCTAGCCAACTCCTCAC, R: CCTGCTAGGATTGCAAAT). A complete nuclear copy of the mitochondrial

genome (i.e., a NUMT) exists in Estrildid finches; for example, in the zebra finch reference genome

(GCA_003957565.4) at chr2:72232845-72249691. To minimize the risk of NUMT interference in

estimating mtDNA copy number, we designed our COX1 primers to perfectly match the mitochondrial

sequence observed in *Poephila*. Moreover, our use of embryo tissue is less likely to result in

amplification of the NUMT as gDNA from muscle tissue has a much lower nuclear:mitochondria ratio

than gDNA from blood[44]. We further verified that COX1 amplification resulted in a single product of

the expected size on an agarose gel.

Following Stier et al. (2022), qPCR reactions had a total volume of 12 µl that included 6 ng of

DNA, had PCR primers with concentrations of 200 nM and 6 µl of Absolute Blue qPCR Mix SYBR Green

low ROX (Thermos Scientific). The qPCR conditions were: 15 mins at 95°C, 40 cycles of 15 s at 95°C, 30

s at 58°C, 30 s at 72°C. Samples were run in triplicate for each gene (RAG1 and COX1) across 5 plates,

ten samples were pooled and included on every plate as a reference, and 20 samples were included

on two separate plates. Amplicon efficiency was estimated from a standard curve of the reference

between 1.5 to 24 ng (1.5, 3, 6, 12, 16, 24) run on one plate. The mean reaction efficiencies were

92.1% for RAG1 and 82.3% for COX1. To calculate relative mtDNA copy number for each sample we

used the following formula: $(1+Ef_{COX1})^{\Delta CqCOX1}/(1+Ef_{RAG1})^{\Delta CqRAG1}$, with Ef as the amplicon efficiency, ΔCq

as the difference in Cq between the reference and focal samples. Some samples were run twice on

separate plates, with an inter-plate repeatability of 0.74 (95% C.I. [0.49, 0.88]), and based on triplicates

intra-plate repeatability was 0.94 (95% C.I. [0.93, 0.95]). Seven samples were considered as outliers

(Cq RAG1 < Cq COI) and removed from analysis.

Oxidative Damage assay

8-OHdG (8-hydroxy-2'-deoxyguanosine) is a predominant form of ROS-induced oxidative lesion that

has been widely used as a biomarker for oxidative damage[45], including alongside measures of

respiration capacity in birds[46,47]. Here we quantified levels of 8-OHdG in the DNA extracted from

embryos using a competitive immunoassay (300 ng DNA, EpiQuick 8-OHdG DNA Damage Quantification Direct Kit Colorimetric, Epigentek, USA) following the manufacturer recommendations. This was run on a subset of samples (N = 182) chosen blind to respiration capacity to have roughly balanced numbers from each experimental group (N = 28-33 samples per group). The intra-plate coefficient of variation based on duplicates was 6.3 $\pm$ 0.83. The inter-plate coefficient of variation based on four samples repeated over all three plates was 22.7 $\pm$ 4.05, so we included plate ID as random effect in our analyses.

Statistical analyses

For statistical analyses we used R version 4.1.2 (R Core Team 2020) using RStudio version 1.2.5033 (RStudio Team, 2020) for the graphical interface. We used the lme4 and lmerTest packages[53,54] to run linear mixed models to test whether our measures of respiration capacity differed between the six experimental groups (parental *acuticauda*, parental *hecki*, maternal and paternal backcross with acuticauda mtDNA, maternal and paternal backcrosses with hecki mtDNA). These measures were each included as the dependent variable in separate linear mixed models, in which the fixed effects were experimental group, embryo sex, protein quantity in the chamber, and the length of time after embryo entered the oroboros chamber when the measure was made. In each we tested for an interaction between experimental group and embryo sex, and if this was not significant the interaction term was removed (but left in the model if significant). In each model parental pair ID was included as a random effect, to account for multiple members of the same clutch being included. Where there was a significant effect of experimental group, we ran Tukey post-hoc comparisons using the emmeans package[55] to assess specifically which groups differed from one another. Model assumptions were checked using the DHARMa package in R[56].

We similarly ran linear mixed models to test whether heart rate, oxidative damage and mitochondrial copy number differed between our experimental groups, but in these cases the fixed effects were experimental group, embryo sex, and for the heart rate model embryo mass, and the random effect was still parental pair ID. Oxidative damage and mitochondrial copy number were log-transformed to better meet model assumptions. An interaction between experimental group and sex was also included for each model, but then removed if not significant. In the mitochondrial copy number and oxidative damage models, plate ID were additionally included as random effects. Embryo ID was also initially included as a random effect in the mitochondrial copy number and oxidative damage models, but it explained close to zero variance so was removed for the final models, likely because very few individuals were measured twice (10 for mitochondrial copy number, 21 for

oxidative damage). A small number of values for mitochondrial copy number were extremely high (N

= 10, total N = 211), well above the normally distributed other samples and were likely technical errors,

and so were excluded to facilitate modelling. When plotted they were distributed roughly evenly

between experimental groups so their inclusion would be unlikely to change the results anyway.

Results

We found a low level of divergence in mtDNA between subspecies, with fixed differences observed in

only 0.9% of positions comprising the protein-coding genes of the electron transport system (107 of

11,361 bp total; Table 1). Absolute divergence (D_{XY}) was highly variable, however, across protein-

coding genes, ranging from 0% (ATP8) to 3.3% (NAD6), and between complexes: divergence was 2.1-

fold higher on average for genes in complex I than in complex IV (Table 1). We observed a total of

seven fixed amino acid differences between subspecies, five differences in four genes in complex I,

and two amino acid differences in the cytochrome b (COB) gene in complex III. There were no amino

acid differences between the subspecies in either complex IV or complex V. The inferred mitochondrial

genome-wide dN/dS ratio between long-tailed finch subspecies is well below 1 at 0.0168, suggesting

that purifying selection is the dominant force shaping mitochondrial evolution in *Poephila* (Table 1).

Of the five genes with observed non-synonymous differences between subspecies, dN/dS ranged from

0.0127 (*NAD5*) to 0.1142 (*NAD3*).

In the non-coding region, we observed a further six fixed differences between subspecies in

the small and large rRNA genes (four and two differences, respectively) and a total of four fixed

differences in four mitochondrial tRNAs (Table S1). These non-coding differences provide additional

potential sites of mitonuclear incompatibilities that could impact mitochondrial function via the

transcription, translation, or replication of mtDNA [e.g., 41]. On the other hand, any protein-protein

mitonuclear incompatibilities in this system should be restricted to impacting the function of

complexes I or III.

Polarization inference from the use of outgroup taxa revealed that of the seven fixed amino

acid differences between subspecies, six have occurred in the *hecki* lineage (Figure 3; Table 2). Three

of the amino acid substitutions derived within *hecki*, and the single amino acid change derived in

acuticauda, result in a change from a polar to a non-polar amino acid (Table 2). In the non-protein

coding genes (rRNAs, tRNAs, OH) there was a roughly even spread of derived DNA sequence changes

between lineages (6 *acuticauda* and 4 *hecki*, Table S1 and S3).

We observed a significant difference between the two paternal backcross groups for all five

measures of aerobic respiration capacity (except borderline non-significant for females for OXP-I,II, p

= 0.064), whereby individuals with *acuticauda* mtDNA and *hecki* nuDNA had lower respiration capacity

than did individuals with *hecki* mtDNA and *acuticauda* nuDNA (Table 3; Figure 4, Figure S2). There

were no other differences between experimental groups that were as consistent across the five

measures of aerobic capacity we measured (Table 3). For the measure ETS-I,II the paternal backcross

hecki mitotype was significantly higher than the maternal backcross *acuticauda* mitotype, and for

OXP-I females the parental group *hecki* was significantly higher than the paternal backcross

acuticauda mitotype group (Table 3).

We did not find a difference between groups in oxidative damage (Table 3, Figure S3D). We

also found no evidence that this difference in aerobic respiration capacity between paternal backcross

groups was driven by different quantities of mitochondria per cell, with the relative mtDNA copy

number not significantly differing between the two paternal backcross groups, or between them and

other groups (Table 3) and so likely not driving the aerobic respiration capacity results. We also found

no difference between experimental groups in heart rate on day 12 of incubation immediately after

being removed from the incubator and so no evidence that whole body metabolism is impacted by

hybrid cross (Table 2, Figure S3C).

Discussion

We found evidence that an interaction between the mitochondrial and nuclear genomes of long-tailed

finch subspecies impacted aerobic respiration capacity in hybrids, despite the low level of divergence

in mtDNA between them (<1%). These observations identifying the effect of mitonuclear

incompatibilities on aerobic respiration have important implications for both mtDNA introgression

and reproductive isolation in the long-tailed finch system. Positive selection can drive allelic

introgression across a hybrid zone, as can neutral processes (such as range expansion). In the long-

tailed finch hybrid zone there are high levels of admixture (~68% of individuals have some degree of

mitonuclear mismatch), and so selection will be acting on each mitotype in several different nuclear

contexts, akin to those generated through our experimental approach. Our primary result suggests

that there is no difference in mitochondrial aerobic metabolism between the mitotypes in the pure

parental context or in the maternal backcross individuals, but that the *acuticauda* mitotype has

reduced respiration capacity in the paternal backcross context compared to the *hecki* mitotype in the

paternal backcross context. In the regime of widespread admixture within the hybrid zone, negative

selection on the *acuticauda* mitotype might result in the *hecki* mitotype increasing in frequency and introgressing westwards. This is indeed what is observed in the wild long-tailed finch hybrid zone, where the centre of the mitochondrial cline is displaced 55 km west of the nuclear cline centre (Figure 1B & C). Respiration capacity reflects the upper limit of mitochondrial aerobic respiration. Therefore, the reduced aerobic capacity observed for *acuticauda* mtDNA paternal backcross individuals is expected to be detrimental, particularly for energetically demanding tissues and life-stages. This suggests that the physiological effects observed in this experiment may be a good proxy for the selection that is occurring in the wild.

We did not, however, find strong evidence that mitonuclear incompatibilities reduce hybrid fitness compared to parental individuals. There were few differences between our paternal backcross groups and either pure parental individuals or maternal backcross groups (Table 3; Figure 4; Figure S2). A conservative interpretation would suggest that incompatibilities between the mitochondrial and nuclear genomes of these subspecies is not a key component of reproductive isolation between them, which might instead be linked to other incompatibilities such as those involving chromosomal inversions [31]. Our lack of difference between parental and paternal backcross groups are in stark contrast to results from comparable experiments using deeply diverged lineages crossed in the lab (e.g., with mitochondrial divergence >10%), where paternal backcrossed individuals had dramatically impacted mitochondrial function, survival, or fecundity [13,14,62,63]. There are however some lines of evidence suggestive of nascent mitonuclear incompatibility in this study. For a few respiration measures, there were significant – or borderline significant – differences between paternal backcross and maternal backcross groups; for ETSI,II (Figure 4) and ETS-II *hecki* paternal backcrosses had higher respiration capacity than did *acuticauda* maternal backcrosses, and for CxIV the *acuticauda* paternal backcrosses had borderline significantly lower respiration capacity than *hecki* maternal backcrosses (Table 3; Figure S2). Furthermore, while not statistically significant, the mean respiration values of both paternal backcross groups were shifted away from the parental groups, which may reflect divergence from the species-specific optima. It is possible that we may simply not have had enough statistical power to detect weak effects. Mitonuclear disfunction may only become apparent in specific tissue types with high baseline energy consumption (e.g., cardiac or nervous system tissue) or under stressful conditions with heightened energetic demands (e.g., during reproduction or thermal stress). Thus, our observations do not preclude a role for mitonuclear incompatibilities as a reproductive isolating barrier at this early stage of population divergence.

We were interested in distinguishing what mitonuclear components were likely interacting to

impact mitochondrial aerobic respiration. We wanted to know whether the observed differences in

aerobic respiration between paternal backcross groups was the result of specific protein-protein

interactions in complexes I and III or if instead they were due to interactions involving mtDNA encoded

tRNA or rRNA. The difference between the two paternal backcross groups was consistent across all

measures, which included the CxIV respiration measure that is not dependent on other complexes

and there are no fixed differences in CxIV amino acids between subspecies. This suggests that the

causal mitonuclear interaction may not be between proteins encoded by the two genomes but may

instead be between either mtDNA encoded tRNA and nuDNA encoded aminoacyl tRNA synthetases,

or between mtDNA encoded rRNA and nuDNA encoded ribosomal proteins. These interactions would

impact the translation of mtDNA and therefore the production of all mtDNA encoded ETS complexes

(CxI, III, IV, and V).

There was also a significant sex difference in the *hecki* subspecies for two measures (OXP-I,II

and OXP-I), whereby females had higher respiration capacity than males (Table 3; Figure S2). As a

result, female parental *hecki* individuals had higher respiration capacity than *acuticauda* mtDNA

parental backcross individuals, and male parental *hecki* individuals had lower respiration capacity than

did *hecki* mtDNA parental backcross individuals. It is unclear to us why there is a sex difference in

respiration capacity for *hecki* individuals but not *acuticauda* or backcrossed individuals. This may just

be a false positive, as we have run many statistical tests in this study, but it may be biological; sex

differences in mitochondrial function have been observed in the literature, although there is no

apparent consistent difference[64]. Furthermore, as embryos we would not expect dramatic sex

differences in metabolism, except perhaps the gonads. 'Mother's curse' is a phenomenon that can

result in a sex effect due to mitonuclear interactions[65–67], but it predicts that detrimental impacts

of mtDNA on males and resulting sex differences are exposed with heterospecific nuDNA but not

homospecific nuDNA (due to nuDNA coevolution to rescue function), whereas in the present study we

found the opposite; that seemingly detrimental impacts of mtDNA only occurs in homo(sub)specific

nuDNA context.

We did not find evidence that the observed effects on cellular aerobic respiration capacity

between paternal backcross groups affected our proxies for whole-organism metabolic rate or the

level of oxidative damage. The inter-lineage mitonuclear interactions may therefore be impacting

fitness through other pathways or under specific circumstances, for example in energetically

demanding tissues and life-stages. Given the experimental context it would also be valuable to confirm

the whole-body result with more direct measures of metabolism (e.g., open-flow respirometry[68]).

This is because other differences between experimental groups may undermine how well heart rate

reflects differences in whole-body metabolism, for example at low incubation temperature, Japanese

quail heart rate decreases[69] but heart volume increases to compensate (A. Stier, unpublished data).

Purifying selection appears to be the dominant force in mtDNA evolution in *Poephila* (the

mitochondrial genome-wide dN/dS ratio is well below 1 at 0.0168). The observed amino acid

differences between long-tailed finch subspecies are thus notable, with all six *hecki*-derived amino

acid changes are in genes within complexes I (four AAs) and III (two AAs) suggesting some degree of

inter-molecular epistasis might exist between these substitutions. Moreover, in one of the genes with

multiple non-synonymous substitutions (COB), amino acid changes were located <15 codon positions

apart, a possible signature of intra-molecular epistasis [57,58]. Taken together this may constitute

evidence of adaptive evolution within *hecki*, as mitochondria can contribute to local adaptation (e.g.,

to differences in climate)[59–61], but to confirm this requires further investigation.

In conclusion, we here demonstrate that functional consequences of interactions between

mtDNA and nuDNA are possible even at a low level of divergence (<1% mtDNA), and the resulting

impact on fitness between the two groups with mitonuclear mismatch in this system may be strong

enough to result in asymmetrical mitochondrial introgression across a hybrid zone. Such mitonuclear

interactions may be widespread, as most avian lineages with stable hybrid zones have equal or greater

divergence. Researchers considering cases of mitonuclear discordance (or cyto-nuclear discordance

more generally) should consider that functional consequences of mitonuclear interactions may be at

play[23,70]. Identifying the specific genes involved in mitonuclear interactions in the long-tailed finch

would be a valuable direction for future research, as this will facilitate testing the molecular pathways

involved in mitonuclear interactions and the evolutionary processes that led to them (e.g., rapid

evolution)[20,63,71–74]. This sensitivity of mitochondrial function to genetic variation, their centrality

to organismal function (generating 90% of cellular energy in animals[75]), and the broad range of taxa

that rely on mitochondria for energy production support suggestions that mitonuclear interactions

may be a powerful force acting on hybridising animals[76–79].

Ethics statement

This experiment was approved by the Macquarie University Animal Ethics Committee (reference number: 2020/021) and all experiments conform to the relevant regulatory standards.

Funding

This work was supported by an Australian Research Council (ARC) Discovery Project to SCG and DMH (DP180101783), and a Holsworth Wildlife Research Endowment from Equity Trustees Charitable Foundation and the Ecological Society of Australia and a Macquarie University Research Excellence Scholarship to CSM. AS was supported by a 'Turku Collegium for Science and Medicine' Fellowship and a Marie Sklodowska-Curie Postdoctoral Fellowship (#894963). Gerstner Scholars Fellowship and the Gerstner Family Foundation, and the Richard Gilder Graduate School at the American Museum of Natural History provided support to DMH.

Data Accessibility and Benefit Sharing

Data from the respiration capacity, oxidative damage, heart rate and mitochondrial copy number, the R scripts for statistical analyses are available on the Open Science Framework (https://osf.io/f652p/?view_only=30142041d5d74dd7bd904ddac0186a41). Mitochondrial sequences for this project will be deposited in GenBank's nucleotide archive at time of publication. The WGS data used to assemble mitogenomes is part of another study currently in prep and will be made available when that work is published.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank Riccardo Ton and Hector Pacheco Fuentes for providing assistance setting up the Oroboros O_2k, and Macquarie Animal Research Services (M.A.R.S.) staff for providing daily bird husbandry.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Author contributions

Conceptualization, C.S.M., S.C.G.; Methodology, C.S.M., D.M.H., A.S., S.C.G; Investigation, C.S.M.; Data analysis and interpretation, C.S.M., D.M.H., A.S.; Resources, S.C.G.; Writing – Original Draft, C.S.M.; Writing – Review & Editing, C.S.M, D.M.H., A.S., S.C.G.; Visualization, C.S.M., D.M.H.; Supervision, S.C.G.; Funding Acquisition, C.S.M., D.M.H., S.C.G.

 Table 1 | Mitochondrial protein-coding divergence between long-tailed finch subspecies. The 13

protein-coding genes comprising the electron transport system (ETS) are organized by complex. Fixed

differences between subspecies were inferred based on comparison of full mitochondrial genomes of

Poephila a. acuticauda (N = 14) and *P. a. hecki* (N = 11) with D_{XY} calculated as the absolute divergence

between members of each subspecies. Estimates of dN/dS were calculated for each gene using the

model M0 implemented in the program Easy-CodeML (v1.41; Gao et al 2019). The combined estimate

of dN/dS was inferred based on net dN (0.0114) and dS (0.6802) across all protein-coding genes.

Complex	Gene	Fixed differences	D_{XY}	dN/dS
Complex I	NAD1	12	0.012	0.0001
	NAD2	8	0.008	0.0001
	NAD3	3	0.009	0.1142
	NAD4	9	0.007	0.0599
	NAD4L	5	0.017	0.0001
	NAD5	17	0.009	0.0127
	NAD6	17	0.033	0.0210
Complex III	COB	10	0.009	0.0574
Complex IV	COX1	9	0.006	0.0001
	COX2	4	0.006	0.0001
	COX3	3	0.004	0.0001
Complex V	ATP6	10	0.015	0.0001
	ATP8	0	0.000	0.0001
	Combined	**107**	**0.009**	**0.0168**

543 **Table 2 | mtDNA amino acid differences between long-tailed finch subspecies**. Fixed differences

544 were assigned to their lineage of origin using mitochondrial genomes of the black-throated finch

545 (*Poephila cincta atropygialis*; N = 11) and the zebra finch (*Taeniopygia guttata*; N = 1) as outgroups.

Complex	Gene	Mutation	Biochemical Change	Origin
Complex I	*NAD3*	T9A	polarity change (+ > -)	*hecki*
	NAD4	T96A	polarity change (+ > -)	*hecki*
	NAD4	T398A	polarity change (+ > -)	*hecki*
	NAD5	A16V	none	*hecki*
	NAD6	S127L	polarity change (+ > -)	*acuticauda*
Complex III	*COB*	S358T	none	*hecki*
	COB	I372M	none	*hecki*

Table 3 | Model output comparing the measures of mitochondrial function and physiology measures among the six experimental groups.

Respiration measure	ETS Cxs included	Description of measure	Repeatability (SE) from 40 samples run in duplicate	Cross*Sex	Sex (if split model due to interaction)	Cross	Sex	Protein Qty	Length of time	Var.	MargR²	ICC Pair ID	CondR²	G5<G6	G6>G3	G2<G6	G2>G5	G5<G4
				X2 (DF) p-value		X2 (DF) p-value	X2 (DF) p-value	X2 (DF) p-value	X2 (DF) p-value					Showing p-values for p < 0.1.				
OXP-I,II	I,II,III,IV,V	Coupled respiration	0.94 (0.02)	**11.5 (5) 0.043**	Female	**12.73 (5) 0.026**	NA	2.59 (1) 0.11	**8.68 (1) 0.003**	197	0.62	0.37	0.99	*0.064*			*0.072*	
					Male	**13.87 (5) 0.016**	NA	**7.98 (1) 0.005**	**9.31 (1) 0.002**	240	0.44	0.55	0.99	**0.042**		*0.093*		
ETS-I,II	I,II,III,IV	Uncoupled respiration	0.90 (0.03)	9.78 (5) 0.08		**20.15 (5) 0.001**	2.3 (1) 0.13	**4.06 (1) 0.044**	**26.1 (1) <0.001**	289	0.53	0.46	0.99	**<0.001**	**0.034**			
OXP-I	I,III,IV,V	Coupled respiration	0.69 (0.09)	**11.97 (5) 0.035**	Female	**15.4 (5) 0.009**	NA	*3.29 (1) 0.07*	**25.2 (1) <0.001**	206	0.79	0.20	0.99	**0.029**			**0.036**	
					Male	**14.9 (5) 0.010**	NA	**6.67 (1) 0.010**	**33.11 (1) <0.001**	224	0.63	0.36	0.99	**0.030**		*0.052*		
ETS-II	II,III,IV	Maximum capacity of the ETS	0.96 (0.01)	7.1 (5) 0.2		**19.6 (5) 0.002**	1.53 (1) 0.22	**5.04 (1) 0.02**	1.17 (1) 0.28	55	0.39	0.58	0.98	**0.001**	*0.065*			
CxIV	IV	Maximum respiration	0.93 (0.02)	8.7 (5) 0.12		**19.8 (5) 0.001**	1.5 (1) 0.22	**7.8 (1) 0.005**	2.14 (1) 0.14	302	0.41	0.58	0.99	**0.001**				*0.056*
LEAK-I,II	I,II,III,IV	Respiration linked to proton leak	0.89 (0.03)	*9.6 (5) 0.09*		**17.3 (5) 0.004**	2.4 (1) 0.12	**8.6 (1) 0.003**	0.3 (1) 0.58	1.4	0.19	0.36	0.55	**0.003**				
Measure				Cross*Sex	Sex (if split model due to interaction)	Cross	Sex	Embryo Mass		Var.	MargR²	ICC Pair ID	CondR²					
Embryo Mass				8.6 (5) 0.13		7.3 (5) 0.20	0.01 (1) 0.92	NA		<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001					
Embryo Survival				NA		4.1 (5) 0.53	NA	NA		0.09	0.02	0.24	0.27					
Heart rate (proxy for whole body metabolism)				15.2 (5) 0.01	Male	7.8 (5) 0.17	NA	**23.5 (1) <0.01**		156	0.41	0.59	0.99					
					Female	6.3 (5) 0.28	NA	**8.3 (1) 0.004**		78	0.20	0.80	0.99					
Oxidative DNA oxidative damage (log transformed)				8.3 (5) 0.14		8.6 (5) 0.12	*3.0 (1) 0.08*	NA	ICC Plate: 0.008	0.019	0.006	0.012	0.026					
mtDNA copy number (log transformed)				2.2 (5) 0.82		**20.9 (5) <0.001**	0.17 (1) 0.69	NA	ICC Plate: 0.00	0.03	0.01	0.02	0.03	G5<G6 **0.005**	G1<G6 *0.093*	G3<G6 *0.090*		

550 Groups same as that in Figure 4. Group 1; parental group *acuticauda*, Group 2: parental group *hecki*, Group 3: maternal backcross *acuticauda* mitotype, Group 4: maternal backcross
551 *hecki* mitotype, Group 5: paternal backcross *acuticauda* mitotype, Group 6: paternal backcross *hecki* mitotype.
552 Var. - variance, MargR² – marginal R², ICC Pair ID – intra-class correlation coefficient value for Pair ID as a random effect, CondR² – conditional R².

553
554
Figure 1 | Mitochondrial and nuclear genome interactions in the long-tailed finch. (*a*) Sites of
physical interaction within the inner mitochondrial membrane between products encoded by the
mitochondrial (green) and nuclear (yellow) genomes. These include proteins that form the electron
transport system complexes (CxI-IV) and ATP synthase (CxV), as well as mitochondrial ribosomes and
transfer RNA-tRNA sythetases. Complexes are not drawn to scale. The animals and PBD accession
numbers for each are CxI (bovine, 5LNK), CxII (chicken, 2H89), CxIII (chicken, 3CWB), CxIV (bovine,
1V45), CxV (bovine, 5ARA – TBC), tRNA (yeast, 4YYE), rRNA (humans, 3J9M). Figure inspired by Hill et
al. 2019. (*b*) Geographic clines for mtDNA and nuDNA (Z-chromosome) across the range of the long-
tailed finch. The mtDNA cline centre is 55 km west of the nuDNA cline centre. The position of 29
sampled populations are shown above the panel and population means for mtDNA and nuDNA are
presented as green or yellow crosses, respectively. (*c*) The frequency of the *hecki* mito-type against
nuDNA hybrid index for 11 populations sampled within the hybrid zone, with a loess curve with 95%
CI fitted to the data against a dashed line showing the 1:1 neutral expectation. (*b*) and (*c*) are based
on re-evaluated and re-plotted published data from Lopez et al. 2021.

Maternal backcross   Paternal backcross

Figure 2 | Experimental design used to breed paternal and maternal backcross offspring. The example shown represents the cross between *P. a. acuticauda* females and *P. a. hecki* males used to generate maternal and paternal backcross hybrids carrying *acuticauda* mito-types. The reciprocal cross used to generate *hecki* mito-type carrying backcross hybrids was structured in the same way.

577
578
Figure 3 | Evolutionary history of mtDNA protein-coding divergence between long-tailed finch
subspecies. A maximum likelihood tree was generated based on an aligned concatenation of
consensus sequences for all 13 protein-coding genes using W-IQ-TREE [1] under the best-fit
substitution model: TPM2u+F+I. The zebra finch (NCBI accession: DQ422742) and black-throated finch
samples were used to assign all nucleotide substitutions and any associated amino acid changes to
their branch of origin. Branch lengths are proportional to the number of substitutions. Divergence
estimates at each node represent the total number of fixed differences between species in protein-
coding genes divided by the total number of protein-coding bases (Table S2). The number of amino
acid changes identified within each gene are color-coded by ETS complex: red for complex I, yellow
for complex III, and blue-green for complex IV.

590
591
Figure 4 | Mitochondrial and nuclear genomes between the long-tailed finch subspecies interact to

impact mitochondrial respiration capacity. The respiration capacity of our experimental groups, with

grey datapoints indicating raw data (corrected for amount of protein), and black mean and 95% CIs

reflect model output (accounting for clutch ID, protein quantity, length of time in chamber, sex). Most

measures of mitochondrial respiration capacity had this same pattern of differences among the

groups (Table 3; Figure S2).

References:
600

1. Coyne JA, Orr HA. 2004 *Speciation*. Sinauer Associates.

2. Chou J, Leu J. 2010 Speciation through cytonuclear incompatibility: Insights from yeast and
implications for higher eukaryotes. *BioEssays* **32**, 401–411. (doi:10.1002/bies.200900162)

3. Bar-Yaacov D, Hadjivasiliou Z, Levin L, Barshad G, Zarivach R, Bouskila A, Mishmar D. 2015
Mitochondrial Involvement in Vertebrate Speciation? The Case of Mito-nuclear Genetic Divergence
in Chameleons. *Genome Biology and Evolution* **7**, 3322–3336. (doi:10.1093/gbe/evv226)

4. Hill GE. 2017 The mitonuclear compatibility species concept. *The Auk* **134**, 393–409.
(doi:10.1642/auk-16-201.1)

5. Bar-Yaacov D, Blumberg A, Mishmar D. 2012 Mitochondrial-nuclear co-evolution and its effects on
OXPHOS activity and regulation. *Biochimica Et Biophysica Acta Bba - Gene Regul Mech* **1819**, 1107–
1111. (doi:10.1016/j.bbagrm.2011.10.008)

6. Hill GE. 2020 Mitonuclear Compensatory Coevolution. *Trends in Genetics* **36**, 403–414.
(doi:10.1016/j.tig.2020.03.002)

7. Hill. 2019 *Mitonuclear ecology*. Oxford University Press.

8. Allio R, Donega S, Galtier N, Nabholz B. 2017 Large Variation in the Ratio of Mitochondrial to
Nuclear Mutation Rate across Animals: Implications for Genetic Diversity and the Use of
Mitochondrial DNA as a Molecular Marker. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **34**, 2762–2772.
(doi:10.1093/molbev/msx197)

9. Willett CS. 2012 Quantifying the Elevation of Mitochondrial DNA Evolutionary Substitution Rates
Over Nuclear Rates in the Intertidal Copepod Tigriopus californicus. *J. Mol. Evol.* **74**, 310–318.
(doi:10.1007/s00239-012-9508-1)

10. Nabholz B, Glémin S, Galtier N. 2008 Strong Variations of Mitochondrial Mutation Rate across
Mammals—the Longevity Hypothesis. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **25**, 120–130. (doi:10.1093/molbev/msm248)

11. Nabholz B, Glémin S, Galtier N. 2009 The erratic mitochondrial clock: variations of mutation rate,
not population size, affect mtDNA diversity across birds and mammals. *BMC Evol. Biol.* **9**, 54.
(doi:10.1186/1471-2148-9-54)

12. Mower JP, Touzet P, Gummow JS, Delph LF, Palmer JD. 2007 Extensive variation in synonymous
substitution rates in mitochondrial genes of seed plants. *BMC Evol. Biol.* **7**, 135. (doi:10.1186/1471-
2148-7-135)

13. Ellison CK, Burton RS. 2008 Interpopulation hybrid breakdown maps to the mitochondrial
genome. *Evolution* **62**, 631–638. (doi:10.1111/j.1558-5646.2007.00305.x)

14. Ellison CK, Niehuis O, Gadau J. 2008 Hybrid breakdown and mitochondrial dysfunction in hybrids
of Nasonia parasitoid wasps. *J Evolution Biol* **21**, 1844–1851. (doi:10.1111/j.1420-
9101.2008.01608.x)

15. Montooth KL, Meiklejohn CD, Abt DN, Rand DM. 2010 Mitochondrial-nuclear epistasis affects
fitness within species but does not contribute to fixed incompatibilities between species of
Drosophila. *Evolution* **64**, 3364–3379. (doi:10.1111/j.1558-5646.2010.01077.x)

16. Arnqvist G, Dowling DK, Eady P, Gay L, Tregenza T, Tuda M, Hosken DJ. 2010 Genetic architecture
of metabolic rate: environment specific epistasis between mitochondrial and nuclear genes in an
insect. *Evolution* **64**, 3354–3363. (doi:10.1111/j.1558-5646.2010.01135.x)

17. Burton RS, Pereira RJ, Barreto FS. 2013 Cytonuclear Genomic Interactions and Hybrid
Breakdown. *Annu Rev Ecol Evol Syst* **44**, 281–302. (doi:10.1146/annurev-ecolsys-110512-135758)

18. Lee H-Y, Chou J-Y, Cheong L, Chang N-H, Yang S-Y, Leu J-Y. 2008 Incompatibility of Nuclear and
Mitochondrial Genomes Causes Hybrid Sterility between Two Yeast Species. *Cell* **135**, 1065–1073.
(doi:10.1016/j.cell.2008.10.047)

19. Ma H *et al.* 2016 Incompatibility between Nuclear and Mitochondrial Genomes Contributes to an
Interspecies Reproductive Barrier. *Cell Metab* **24**, 283–294. (doi:10.1016/j.cmet.2016.06.012)

20. Moran B *et al.* 2022 A Lethal Genetic Incompatibility between Naturally Hybridizing Species in
Mitochondrial Complex I. , 1–45.

21. Toews DPL, Mandic M, Richards JG, Irwin DE. 2014 Migration, mitochondria, and the yellow-
rumped warbler. *Evolution* , 1–16. (doi:10.5061/dryad.99db2)

22. Morales HE, Pavlova A, Amos N, Major R, Kilian A, Greening C, Sunnucks P. 2018 Concordant
divergence of mitogenomes and a mitonuclear gene cluster in bird lineages inhabiting different
climates. *Nat Ecol Evol* **2**, 1258–1267. (doi:10.1038/s41559-018-0606-3)

23. Wang S, Ore MJ, Mikkelsen EK, Lee-Yaw J, Toews DPL, Rohwer S, Irwin D. 2021 Signatures of
mitonuclear coevolution in a warbler species complex. *Nature Communications* **12**, 1–11.
(doi:10.1101/2020.04.06.028506)

24. Veen T, Borge T, Griffith SC, Saetre G-P, Bures S, Gustafsson L, Sheldon BC. 2001 Hybridization
and adaptive mate choice in flycatchers. *Nature* **411**, 45–50. (doi:10.1038/35075000)

25. Toews DPL, Brelsford A. 2012 The biogeography of mitochondrial and nuclear discordance in
animals. *Mol Ecol* **21**, 3907–3930. (doi:10.1111/j.1365-294x.2012.05664.x)

26. Bonnet T, Leblois R, Rousset F, Crochet P-A. 2017 A reassessment of explanations for discordant
introgressions of mitochondrial and nuclear genomes. *Evolution* **71**, 2140–2158.
(doi:10.1111/evo.13296)

27. Hill GE. 2019 Reconciling the Mitonuclear Compatibility Species Concept with Rampant
Mitochondrial Introgression. *Integr. Comp. Biol.* **59**, 912–924. (doi:10.1093/icb/icz019)

28. Sloan DB, Havird JC, Sharbrough J. 2017 The on-again, off-again relationship between
mitochondrial genomes and species boundaries. *Mol. Ecol.* **26**, 2212–2236. (doi:10.1111/mec.13959)

29. Stewart JB, Chinnery PF. 2015 The dynamics of mitochondrial DNA heteroplasmy: implications
for human health and disease. *Nat Rev Genet* **16**, 530–542. (doi:10.1038/nrg3966)

30. Hahn A, Zuryn S. 2019 The Cellular Mitochondrial Genome Landscape in Disease. *Trends Cell Biol*
29, 227–240. (doi:10.1016/j.tcb.2018.11.004)

31. Hooper DM, Griffith SC, Price TD. 2019 Sex chromosome inversions enforce reproductive
isolation across an avian hybrid zone. *Mol Ecol* **28**, 1246–1262. (doi:10.1111/mec.14874)

32. Lopez KA, McDiarmid CS, Griffith SC, Lovette IJ, Hooper DM. 2021 Evaluating evidence of
mitonuclear incompatibilities with the sex chromosomes in an avian hybrid zone. *Evolution* **75**,
1395–1414. (doi:10.1111/evo.14243)

33. Koch RE *et al.* 2021 Integrating Mitochondrial Aerobic Metabolism into Ecology and Evolution.
Trends Ecol Evol **36**, 321–332. (doi:10.1016/j.tree.2020.12.006)

34. Brazill-Boast J, van Rooij EP, Pryke SR, Griffith SC. 2011 Interference from long-tailed finches
constrains reproduction in the endangered Gouldian finch. *J Anim Ecol* **80**, 39–48.

35. Rowe M, Griffith SC, Hofgaard A, Lifjeld JT. 2015 Subspecific variation in sperm morphology and
performance in the Long-tailed Finch (Poephila acuticauda). *Avian Research* , 1–10.
(doi:10.1186/s40657-015-0032-z)

36. Singhal S, Leffer EM, Sannareddy K, Turner I, Venn O, Hooper DM, Strand AI. 2015 Stable
recombination hotspots in birds. *Science* , 1–6.

37. Sheldon EL, McCowan LSC, McDiarmid CS, Griffith SC. 2018 Measuring the embryonic heart rate
of wild birds: An opportunity to take the pulse on early development. *The Auk* **135**, 71–82.
(doi:10.1642/auk-17-111.1)

38. Martindale JL, Holbrook NJ. 2002 Cellular response to oxidative stress: Signaling for suicide and
survival*. *J. Cell. Physiol.* **192**, 1–15. (doi:10.1002/jcp.10119)

39. Birben E, Sahiner UM, Sackesen C, Erzurum S, Kalayci O. 2012 Oxidative Stress and Antioxidant
Defense. *World Allergy Organization J* **5**, 9–19. (doi:10.1097/wox.0b013e3182439613)

40. Marasco V, Stier A, Boner W, Griffiths K, Heidinger B, Monaghan P. 2017 Environmental
conditions can modulate the links among oxidative stress, age, and longevity. *Mech Ageing Dev* **164**,
100–107. (doi:10.1016/j.mad.2017.04.012)

41. Stier A, Romestaing C, Schull Q, Lefol E, Robin J, Roussel D, Bize P. 2017 How to measure
mitochondrial function in birds using red blood cells: a case study in the king penguin and
perspectives in ecology and evolution. *Methods Ecol Evol* **8**, 1172–1182. (doi:10.1111/2041-
210x.12724)

42. Ton R, Stier A, Cooper CE, Griffith SC. 2021 Effects of Heat Waves During Post-natal Development
on Mitochondrial and Whole Body Physiology: An Experimental Study in Zebra Finches. *Front Physiol*
12, 661670. (doi:10.3389/fphys.2021.661670)

43. Lee JC-I *et al.* 2010 A novel strategy for avian species and gender identification using the CHD
gene. *Mol Cell Probe* **24**, 27–31. (doi:10.1016/j.mcp.2009.08.003)

44. Sorenson MD, Quinn TW. 1998 Numts: A Challenge for Avian Systematics and Population
Biology. *Auk* **115**, 214–221. (doi:10.2307/4089130)

45. Valavanidis A, Vlachogianni T, FIOTAKIS C. 2009 8-hydroxy-2' -deoxyguanosine (8-OHdG): A
Critical Biomarker of Oxidative Stress and Carcinogenesis. *J Environ Sci Heal Part C* **27**, 120–139.
(doi:10.1080/10590500902885684)

46. Stier A, Schull Q, Bize P, Lefol E, Haussmann M, Roussel D, Robin J-P, Viblanc VA. 2019 Oxidative
stress and mitochondrial responses to stress exposure suggest that king penguins are naturally
equipped to resist stress. *Sci Rep-uk* **9**, 8545. (doi:10.1038/s41598-019-44990-x)

47. Stier A, Monaghan P, Metcalfe NB. 2022 Experimental demonstration of prenatal programming
of mitochondrial aerobic metabolism lasting until adulthood. *Proc Royal Soc B* **289**, 20212679.
(doi:10.1098/rspb.2021.2679)

48. Meiklejohn CD, Holmbeck MA, Siddiq MA, Abt DN, Rand DM, Montooth KL. 2013 An
Incompatibility between a Mitochondrial tRNA and Its Nuclear-Encoded tRNA Synthetase
Compromises Development and Fitness in Drosophila. *Plos Genet* **9**, e1003238.
(doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1003238)

49. Li H. 2011 A statistical framework for SNP calling, mutation discovery, association mapping and
population genetical parameter estimation from sequencing data. *Bioinformatics* **27**, 2987–2993.
(doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btr509)

50. Donath A, Jühling F, Al-Arab M, Bernhart SH, Reinhardt F, Stadler PF, Middendorf M, Bernt M.
2019 Improved annotation of protein-coding genes boundaries in metazoan mitochondrial genomes.
Nucleic Acids Res **47**, 10543–10552. (doi:10.1093/nar/gkz833)

51. Katoh K, Rozewicki J, Yamada KD. 2017 MAFFT online service: multiple sequence alignment,
interactive sequence choice and visualization. *Brief. Bioinform.* **20**, bbx108-.
(doi:10.1093/bib/bbx108)

52. Gao F, Chen C, Arab DA, Du Z, He Y, Ho SYW. 2019 EasyCodeML: A visual tool for analysis of
selection using CodeML. *Ecol. Evol.* **9**, 3891–3898. (doi:10.1002/ece3.5015)

53. Bates D, Mächler M, Bolker B, Walker S. 2015 Fitting Linear Mixed-Effects Models Using lme4. *J.*
Stat. Soft. **67**, 1-47\8. (doi:10.18637/jss.v067.i01)

54. Kuznetsova A, Brockhoff P, Christensen R. 2017 lmerTest Package: Tests in Linear Mixed Effects
Models. *Journal of Statistical Software* **82**, 1–26. (doi:10.18637/jss.v082.i13)

55. Lenth R. 2020 emmeans: Estimated Maringal Means, aka Least-Squares Means. R package.

56. Hartig F. 2021 Residual Diagnostics for Hierarchical (Multi-Level / Mixed) Regression Models [R
package DHARMa version 0.4.4].

57. Callahan B, Neher RA, Bachtrog D, Andolfatto P, Shraiman BI. 2011 Correlated Evolution of
Nearby Residues in Drosophilid Proteins. *Plos Genet* **7**, e1001315.
(doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1001315)

58. Storz JF. 2018 Compensatory mutations and epistasis for protein function. *Curr Opin Struc Biol*
50, 18–25. (doi:10.1016/j.sbi.2017.10.009)

59. Lajbner Z, Pnini R, Camus MF, Miller J, Dowling DK. 2018 Experimental evidence that thermal
selection shapes mitochondrial genome evolution. *Sci Rep-uk* **8**, 9500. (doi:10.1038/s41598-018-
27805-3)

60. Lamb AM, Gan HM, Greening C, Joseph L, Lee YP, Morán-Ordóñez A, Sunnucks P, Pavlova A. 2018
Climate-driven mitochondrial selection: A test in Australian songbirds. *Mol Ecol* **27**, 898–918.
(doi:10.1111/mec.14488)

61. Lasne C, Heerwaarden B, Sgrò CM, Connallon T. 2019 Quantifying the relative contributions of
the X chromosome, autosomes, and mitochondrial genome to local adaptation*. *Evolution* **73**, 262–
277. (doi:10.1111/evo.13647)

62. Barreto FS, Burton RS. 2013 Elevated oxidative damage is correlated with reduced fitness in
interpopulation hybrids of a marine copepod. *Proc. R. Soc. B* **280**, 20131521–20131521.
(doi:10.1098/rspb.2013.1521)

63. Barreto FS, Watson ET, Lima TG, Willett CS, Edmands S, Li W, Burton RS. 2018 Genomic
signatures of mitonuclear coevolution across populations of Tigriopus californicus. *Nat Ecol Evol* **2**,
1250–1257. (doi:10.1038/s41559-018-0588-1)

64. Junker A *et al.* 2022 Human studies of mitochondrial biology demonstrate an overall lack of
binary sex differences: A multivariate meta-analysis. *Faseb J* **36**, e22146.
(doi:10.1096/fj.202101628r)

65. Dowling DK, Adrian RE. 2019 Challenges and Prospects for Testing the Mother's Curse
Hypothesis. *Integr Comp Biol* **59**, 875–889. (doi:10.1093/icb/icz110)

66. Carnegie L, Reuter M, Fowler K, Lane N, Camus MF. 2021 Mother's curse is pervasive across a
large mitonuclear Drosophila panel. *Evol Lett* **5**, 230–239. (doi:10.1002/evl3.221)

67. Nagarajan-Radha V, Aitkenhead I, Clancy DJ, Chown SL, Dowling DK. 2020 Sex-specific effects of
mitochondrial haplotype on metabolic rate in Drosophila melanogaster support predictions of the
Mother's Curse hypothesis. *Philosophical Transactions Royal Soc B* **375**, 20190178.
(doi:10.1098/rstb.2019.0178)

68. Cooper CE, Hurley LL, Griffith SC. 2020 Effect of acute exposure to high ambient temperature on
the thermal, metabolic and hygric physiology of a small desert bird. *Comp Biochem Physiology Part*
Mol Integr Physiology **244**, 110684. (doi:10.1016/j.cbpa.2020.110684)

69. Stier A, Metcalfe NB, Monaghan P. 2020 Pace and stability of embryonic development affect
telomere dynamics: an experimental study in a precocial bird model. *Proc Royal Soc B* **287**,
20201378. (doi:10.1098/rspb.2020.1378)

70. Nikelski E, Rubtsov AS, Irwin D. 2022 High heterogeneity in genomic differentiation between
phenotypically divergent songbirds: a test of mitonuclear co-introgression. *Heredity* , 1–13.
(doi:10.1038/s41437-022-00580-8)

71. Baris TZ, Wagner DN, Dayan DI, Du X, Blier PU, Pichaud N, Oleksiak MF, Crawford DL. 2017
Evolved genetic and phenotypic differences due to mitochondrial-nuclear interactions. *Plos Genet*
13, e1006517. (doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1006517)

72. Nguyen THM *et al.* 2022 Mapping mitonuclear epistasis using a novel recombinant yeast
population. *Biorxiv* , 2022.08.31.505974. (doi:10.1101/2022.08.31.505974)

73. Healy TM, Burton RS. 2022 Differential gene expression and mitonuclear incompatibilities in fast-
and slow-developing inter-population Tigriopus californicus hybrids. *Biorxiv* , 2022.09.09.507197.
(doi:10.1101/2022.09.09.507197)

74. Healy TM, Burton RS. 2022 Genetic incompatibilities in reciprocal hybrids between populations
of Tigriopus californicus with low to moderate mitochondrial sequence divergence. *Biorxiv* ,
2022.09.19.508600. (doi:10.1101/2022.09.19.508600)

75. Harris DA, Das AM. 1991 Control of mitochondrial ATP synthesis in the heart. *Biochem J* **280**,
561–573. (doi:10.1042/bj2800561)

76. Wolff JN, Ladoukakis ED, Enriquez JA, Dowling DK. 2014 Mitonuclear interactions: evolutionary
consequences over multiple biological scales. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B:*
Biological Sciences **369**, 20130443–20130443. (doi:10.1098/rstb.2013.0443)

77. Hill GE. 2016 Mitonuclear coevolution as the genesis of speciation and the mitochondrial DNA
barcode gap. *Ecol Evol* **6**, 5831–5842. (doi:10.1002/ece3.2338)

78. Hill GE, Havird JC, Sloan DB, Burton RS, Greening C, Dowling DK. 2019 Assessing the fitness
consequences of mitonuclear interactions in natural populations. *Biol Rev* **94**, 1089–1104.
(doi:10.1111/brv.12493)

79. Pereira RJ, Lima TG, Pierce-Ward NT, Chao L, Burton RS. 2021 Recovery from hybrid breakdown
reveals a complex genetic architecture of mitonuclear incompatibilities. *Mol Ecol* **30**, 6403–6416.
(doi:10.1111/mec.15985)

803
804

Supplementary Figures

807
808
Figure S1 | Example of reading from measurement of mitochondrial respiration capacity. Oxygen concentration (blue line) and oxygen consumption (red line) following the injection of substrate, uncoupler, inhibitor titrations (SUIT) injections (long vertical lines). Chambers are opened (O) and closed (C) periodically to ensure oxygen levels do not fall too low (short lines).

815
816
817 **Figure S2** | High resolution respiratory data from the six measures across the six experimental groups

818 and split by sex when there was a significant interaction (Table 3). Datapoints indicate raw data per

819 individual, error bars are 95% CIs based on model output.

822
823

Figure S3 | Non-respiratory measures made from embryo compared across the experimental groups,

where each point is the raw data from an embryo and the error bars indicate the mean and 95% CI.

A: embryo mass on day 12 of incubation, B: embryo survival to day 12 incubation (yes 1, or no 0), C:

embryonic heart rate, calculated from a curve generated for each embryo, here shown the predicted

heart rate 60 seconds after removal from the incubator, D: 8-OHdG oxidative DNA damage, E: mtDNA

copy number relative to the nuDNA copy number.