Disentangling abiotic and biotic effects of treated wastewater on stream biofilm

resistomes enables the discovery of a new planctomycete beta-lactamase

Mustafa Attrah[1,2+], Milo R. Schärer[1+], Mauro Esposito[1*], Giulia Gionchetta[3], Helmut Bürgmann[3],

Piet N.L. Lens[2,4], Kathrin Fenner[5,6], Jack van de Vossenberg[2], Serina L. Robinson[1*]

[+]co-first authors contributed equally to this work

*corresponding author: serina.robinson@eawag.ch

[1]Department of Environmental Microbiology, Eawag, Swiss Federal Institute of Aquatic Science

and Technology (Eawag), 8600 Dübendorf, Switzerland

[2]Water Supply, Sanitation and Environmental Engineering, IHE Delft Institute for Water

Education, Westvest 7, 2611 AX Delft, The Netherlands

[3]Department of Surface Waters - Research and Management, Eawag, Swiss Federal Institute of

Aquatic Science and Technology, 6047 Kastanienbaum, Switzerland

[4]National University of Ireland Galway, University Road, Galway H91 TK33, Ireland

[5]Department of Environmental Chemistry, Eawag, Swiss Federal Institute of Aquatic Science and

Technology (Eawag), 8600 Dübendorf, Switzerland

[6]Department of Chemistry, University of Zürich, 8057 Zürich, Switzerland

Abstract

Background

Antibiotic resistance, which is mediated by environmental reservoirs, poses a threat to human and animal health. Aquatic biofilms impacted by treated wastewater (WW) are known environmental reservoirs for antibiotic resistance, however the specific influence of biotic factors and abiotic factors from WW on the abundance of antibiotic resistance genes (ARGs) within aquatic biofilms remains unclear. Additionally, experimental evidence is limited as to whether genes with low sequence similarity to reference ARGs actually encode for functional ARGs, particularly within complex aquatic microbial communities.

Results

To disentangle the effects of abiotic and biotic factors on ARG abundances, natural biofilms were previously grown in flume systems with different proportions of stream water and either ultrafiltered or nonultrafiltered WW. In this study, we conducted deep shotgun metagenomic sequencing of 75 biofilm, stream, and WW samples from these flume systems and compared the taxonomic and functional microbiome and resistome composition. Statistical analysis revealed an alignment of the resistome and microbiome composition and a significant association with experimental treatment. Several ARG classes exhibited an increase in metagenomic abundances in biofilms grown with increasing percentages of nonultrafiltered WW. In contrast, sulfonamide and BEL family beta-lactamase ARGs showed greater abundances in biofilms grown in ultrafiltered WW compared to nonultrafiltered WW. Overall, our results pointed toward the dominance of biotic factors over abiotic factors in determining ARG abundances in WW-impacted stream biofilms and suggested gene family-specific mechanisms for ARGs which exhibited divergent abundance patterns. To investigate one of these specific ARG families experimentally, we biochemically characterized a new beta-lactamase from the *Planctomycetota*

(*Phycisphaeraceae*). This beta-lactamase displayed activity in the cleavage of cephalosporin

analog despite sharing low sequence identity with known ARGs.

Conclusions

This discovery of a functional planctomycete beta-lactamase ARG is noteworthy, not only

because it was the first beta-lactamase to be biochemically characterized from this phylum, but

also because it was not detected by standard homology-based ARG tools. In summary, this study

conducted metagenomic analysis on the relative importance of biotic and abiotic factors in the

context of WW discharge and their impact on both known and new ARGs in aquatic biofilms.

Keywords

Antibiotic resistance genes, Stream resistomes, Biofilms, Flume systems, Wastewater effluent,

Metagenomics, Sulfonamides, Planctomycetota, AlphaFold2, Beta-lactamases

59   Background

Antibiotic resistance is a major threat to public health. Among the numerous factors contributing

to antibiotic resistance, anthropogenic pollution plays a role in altering the abundance and

prevalence of antibiotic resistance genes (ARGs) in environmental reservoirs [1–4]. The rapid

increase in the consumption of antibiotics and release of micropollutants (MPs) into the

environment stimulates the spread of antibiotic-resistance genes (ARGs), posing a threat to

modern medical procedures and treatments that rely on effective antibiotics [5].

Aquatic ecosystems that receive effluent from wastewater treatment plants (WWTPs) are

influenced by biotic factors, such as antibiotic-resistant bacteria released from WWTPs [6] and

abiotic factors [7,8] including nutrients and MPs that are not completely eliminated by conventional

WWTPs [9]. Several recent studies have demonstrated that exposure to WWTP effluent alters

the taxonomic and ARG compositions of microbial communities in downstream rivers [10–14].

However, disentangling the effects of abiotic and biotic factors on ARG abundance is challenging

in the environment. Here, to systematically distinguish abiotic and biotic factors, we built on a

previous research study in which controlled flume systems were used to grow biofilms in

ultrafiltered and nonultrafiltered WWTP effluent diluted with different proportions of stream water

[10,11]. Ultrafiltration (UF) treatment (pore size 0.4 µm) removed most of the microorganisms and

particles (biotic factors) while maintaining consistent nutrient and MP levels, including antibiotics.

Therefore, separating biotic factors from abiotic factors in UF treatment enabled investigation of

the factors shaping the 'resistome', i.e., the total composition of antibiotic resistance genes

(ARGs), of stream biofilms influenced by WWTP discharge.

Many studies on stream biofilms have relied on amplicon sequencing methods for taxonomic

and/or ARG profiling [10,15–17]. In contrast to amplicon sequencing, short-read and long-read

shotgun metagenomic sequencing studies of streams and stream biofilms [13,18,19] do not

introduce polymerase chain reaction (PCR) amplification bias. As we demonstrated in this study,

PCR-free metagenomic sequencing also enables the identification of sequence-divergent ARGs

not detected by conventional primer pairs. Amplicon sequencing is more sensitive because it can

aid in the detection of low abundance species, but it is also biased toward the amplification of

specific taxa during PCR [20,21]. Specifically, PCR bias limits the detection of some bacterial

phyla such as the *Planctomycetota*, for which the commonly-used 16S rRNA PCR primers do not

properly bind [22,23]. The *Planctomycetota* are undersampled by classical amplicon-based

methods [23] and are a focus in this study because of their relevance in WWTP [24], lacustrine

[25], and riverine biofilm (periphyton) communities [26]. We conducted deep shotgun

metagenomic sequencing of stream biofilms and water samples in this study, which enabled us

to sample less well-studied microorganisms and their functional genes including ARGs.

Here, we demonstrated a combined computational and biochemical workflow to investigate the

effects of treated wastewater (WW) on the microbiome taxonomic composition, functional enzyme

diversity, and ARG abundance in flume biofilms grown in natural stream water mixed with different

proportions of ultrafiltered and nonultrafiltered WW [10,11]. Specifically, we leveraged the

functional genes identified through shotgun metagenomic sequencing to heterologously express

and purify an uncharacterized ARG from the phylum *Planctomycetota*. Despite sharing low

sequence identity with computationally-identified ARGs, the enzyme displayed beta-lactamase

activity. Overall, this study enabled the dissection of biotic and abiotic factors which affect stream

biofilm resistomes and led to the characterization of both known and new ARGs.

Methods

Biofilm cultivation and sampling

The flume systems and biofilm cultivation procedures used in two independent experiments to

generate the samples sequenced in this study were described previously [10,11]. Briefly, the

system consisted of flow-through channels fed with different mixtures of two different water

sources: (1) a peri-urban stream (Chriesbach; Dübendorf, Switzerland) and (2) treated

wastewater from a pilot-size wastewater treatment plant (100-person equivalents). In the first

experiment (Exp. 1), the stream water was mixed with treated wastewater (WW) at the following

percentages: 0% (control), 10%, 30%, and 80% WW. In the second experiment (Exp. 2), the 10%

treatment was omitted and replaced by additional treatments with 30% and 80% ultrafiltered WW

(UF) using a filter with a pore size of 0.4 µm to remove particles, e.g., suspended solids and

microorganisms. Glass slides were added to the flow-through channels, and biofilms that naturally

formed on the slides were allowed to develop for a period of four weeks. Water parameters (pH,

temperature, conductivity, dissolved oxygen) were monitored weekly [10,11].

DNA extraction and metagenomics assessment

Stream water, WW and UF WW, and biofilms from glass slides were sampled as described previously [11,27]. Total genomic DNA was extracted with a DNeasy PowerBiofilm Kit (QIAGEN) for a total of 75 samples (**Table S1**). The quality and quantity of the DNA extracts were measured using a NanoDrop One spectrophotometer. Extracted DNA samples were stored at -20°C and shotgun metagenomic sequencing was performed using the Illumina platform NovaSeq with a paired-end (2×150 bp; an average of 20 Gbp raw data per sample) strategy. Library construction and sequencing was performed by Novogene (Cambridge, UK). The reads obtained from shotgun metagenomics and those containing adapters and low-quality reads (N > 10% and quality score ≤ 5) were removed by Novogene, and the read qualities were double-checked by the authors using Fastp v.0.20.0 (https://github.com/OpenGene/fastp). De novo assembly was performed using MEGAHIT v1.2.9 [28]. Open reading frames (ORFs) were predicted from the assembled contigs using Prodigal v2.6.3 [29]. Although metagenomes from both Exp. 1 and Exp. 2 were sequenced and the data for both were deposited, we focused on Exp. 2 for the analyses reported here since Exp. 2 included UF treatments, unlike Exp. 1.

Taxonomic and functional profiling

The taxonomic composition of the metagenomes was profiled using the degenerate consensus marker gene profiling tool, mTAGs v1.0.4, with 1000 maximum accepts and 1000 maximum rejects [30,31]. The mTAGs profiles for all samples were merged using mTAGs merge function. Genus- and phylum-level per-sample count tables were used for subsequent analysis. Counts corresponding to Enzyme Commission (EC) Number classes were compiled as described previously [32]. Briefly, fourth-level EC number counts were calculated using the EC-annotated prokaryotic fraction of the UniProt database using DIAMOND blastx v2.0.6 [33] and a minimum bitscore cutoff of 50 (all other parameters set to default).

Reads-based ARG detection and ordination analyses

The ARG resistome was profiled using the DeepARG v1.0.2 short reads pipeline with default

settings [34]. ARG counts normalized by the 16S-rRNA counts within the output files for each

sample were merged into an abundance table. All data were analyzed and visualized in R v4.3.0

with the tidyverse package [35]. Principal coordinate analysis (PCoA) of the microbiome

composition was performed using the genus abundance table. Unaligned and unassigned reads

were removed. Genus counts were normalized using the trimmed mean of M-values (TMM)

method within the EdgeR package [36–38]. Normalized genus counts were square-root-

transformed. PCoA of the resistome composition was calculated from 16S-normalized DeepARG

abundances [34]. PCoA analysis of functional enzyme composition was calculated from

normalized and Hellinger-transformed EC numer counts. For all the data sets, pairwise Bray-

Curtis distances between samples were computed using the 'vegdist' function from the vegan

package [39]. PCoA was performed using the 'pcoa' function from the ape package [40].

Procrustes analysis comparing the PCoA vectors was conducted using the 'procrust' and 'protest'

functions from the vegan package [39]. The Kruskal-Wallis test (McKnight and Najab, 2010) was

applied to test for significant correlations between the normalized average coverage of different

ARGs and wastewater effluent proportions (WW%), and p-values were corrected for multiple

hypothesis testing using the Benjamini-Hochberg method [41].

ARG identification and coverage analysis from metagenome assemblies

For a complementary and separate approach to the metagenomic short reads pipeline for ARG

analysis, predicted open reading frames (ORFs) from assembled metagenomes were identified

by protein BLAST v2.9.0 [42] search against the Structured Antibiotic Resistance Genes (SARG)

protein database v2.2, with stringent e-values and query coverage cutoffs of 0.1 and 20,

respectively [43]. Using thresholds established previously in similar samples [44] for the detection

of ARGs in riverine metagenomes, BLAST hits with more than 85% amino acid identity and an

alignment length of 100 amino acids were retained. BLAST hits were then clustered using CD-

HIT v4.6.8 [45,46], with parameters –n 5 and –c 0.7. The 102 non-singleton cluster

representatives of SARG hits were selected for further analysis. Metagenomic coverage of

selected ARG cluster representatives were calculated across all metagenomes based on

methods previously described [44]. Briefly, raw reads were mapped back to BLAST hits using

Bowtie2 v2.4.4 [47] with the parameters shown in **Table S2**. Coverage depth was calculated using

samtools v1.12 and average coverage was calculated as described previously [48]. The average

coverage of the resulting 102 ORFs was normalized to reads per million as previously described

[44].

Bioinformatic analyses of beta-lactamases

Metallo-beta lactamase fold proteins were identified by querying assembled proteins from the 75

metagenomes and additional publicly-available metagenomes from MP enrichment studies (NCBI

accession: PRJNA725625) using BLAST v.2.9.0 [49] with relaxed parameters (e-value = 0.1,

qcov_hsp_perc = 20, all other parameters set to default) to detect homologs with low sequence

identity to known ARGs. Taxonomic diversity and trends in abundances of metallo-beta lactamase

fold homologs across different WW and UF WW conditions were examined leading to the

prioritization of two metallo beta-lactamase homologs (NCBI accession numbers MBX3358097.1

and MBX3359331.1) for experimental characterization. Structural modeling of MBX3358097.1

was conducted using ColabFold AlphaFold 2.0 [50] with default parameters. AlphaFold models

were assessed for quality based on predicted local distance difference test (pLDDT) scores and

aligned pairwise with the reference structure in the Protein Data Bank (PDB ID: 3NKS) using the

PyMOL 'super' command with default parameters.

Cloning and protein expression

For functional characterization, two full-length *Phycisphaeraceae* (*Planctomycetota*) proteins

(NCBI accession numbers MBX3358097.1 and MBX3359331.1) were codon-optimized for *E. coli*

codon usage using the Integrated DNA Technologies Codon Optimization Tool

(https://eu.idtdna.com/pages/tools/). Signal peptides were detected using SignalP v6.0 [51] and

removed where present (**Table S3**). The genes were synthesized as gBlocks by Integrated DNA

Technologies. The genes were cloned individually by Gibson Assembly into the multiple cloning

site 1 of the pETDuet-1 vector (*ampR* resistance marker) with N-terminal hexahistidine tags

(**Table S3**). The constructs were transformed into *E. coli* DH5α cells and verified by Sanger

sequencing (Microsynth, Balgach, Switzerland). The sequence-verified constructs were

subsequently transformed into *E. coli* BL21(DE3) cells (New England Biolabs Frankfurt,

Germany). Starter cultures (5 mL) were grown in LB with 100 µg/ml ampicillin overnight at 37°C.

From the starter cultures, 2.5 mL was added to 400 mL LB with 100 µg/mL ampicillin in a 1 L

baffled Erlenmeyer flask and grown to an optical density of 0.5-0.8 at 37°C. Protein expression

was induced by adding 0.1 mM isopropyl β-D-1-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG) and cultures were

incubated for 18 h at 16°C.

Protein purification

Expression cultures were poured into 50 mL Falcon tubes and centrifuged at 4000 rcf for 15 min.

Supernatants were decanted, and cell pellets were resuspended in 4 mL buffer A (20 mM Tris,

500 mM NaCl, 20 mM imidazole, 10% glycerol, pH = 8) per gram of pellet weight. Cells were lysed

by 2 cycles of sonication using a 6 mm needle, 20% amplitude and 10 x 10 second pulses with a

rest time of 10 seconds in between (total sonication time per cycle = 3 minutes). Lysates were

centrifuged at 20,000 rcf at 4°C for 1h. Cleared lysate was filtered through a 0.2 µm syringe filter,

loaded into a ÄKTA Pure system (Cytiva Europe GmbH, Glattbrugg, Switzerland) and injected

onto a HisTrap FF 5 mL column (Cytiva, Europe GmbH, Glattbrugg, Switzerland). The column

was washed with 20 column volumes of buffer A. His-tagged proteins were eluted in 3 column

volumes of buffer B (same as buffer A but with an imidazole concentration of 500mM), and 8 × 2

mL fractions were collected. The fractions with the highest protein concentrations were

determined qualitatively by Bradford assay [52]. Imidazole was removed by running the sample

over a PD-10 desalting column (Cytiva Europe GmbH, Glattbrugg, Switzerland) and eluting in

buffer (5 mM Tris, 30 mM NaCl, 10% glycerol, 0.1 mM $ZnCl_2$, pH = 8). $ZnCl_2$ was added because

the enzyme of interest is suspected to be Zn^{2+} dependent. The purified protein was flash frozen

using liquid nitrogen in 200 µl aliquots and subsequently stored at -80°C.

β-Lactamase activity assay

The purified, soluble protein (MBX3358097.1) was tested with standard β-lactamase activity

detection assays using the chromogenic cephalosporin analog, nitrocefin (Sigma Aldrich) [53].

Nitrocefin solutions were prepared in a 40 mM stock solution in DMSO and then diluted in 20 mM

Tris buffer (pH = 7.0) immediately before use in a 1 mM working solution. Briefly, standard

nitrocefin assays were performed in a 96-well plate by combining 180µl 20 mM Tris buffer with

0.1 mM $ZnCl_2$ (pH = 7.0) with 10 µL purified enzyme and 10µL nitrocefin working solution. The

plate was incubated in a plate reader (BioTek Synergy H1, Agilent) at 25°C, and the absorbance

at 482 nm was measured every minute for 2 h. As negative controls, boiled enzyme and buffer-

only conditions were included in every assay. To obtain the boiled enzyme control, aliquots of

purified protein were incubated at 100°C for 15 minutes. Reaction rates were quantified from

continuous measurements as described previously by Ryu et al. using the molar extinction

coefficient of nitrocefin (12,124 M^{-1} cm^{-1}) in 20 mM Tris [54].

Results and discussion

Biotic factors are more dominant than abiotic factors for altering the taxonomic and functional composition of biofilms grown in WWTP effluent

We deeply sequenced metagenomes from a total of 75 biofilm and water samples to an average sequencing depth of 138 million reads per sample (max. 204 million reads). After metagenomic assembly, we analyzed the taxonomic composition of stream biofilm communities to assess whether community composition was correlated with different experimental treatments: 30% WW, 80% WW, 30% UF WW, 80% UF WW, or stream water (0% WW). Experimental treatment was correlated with taxonomic composition for both prokaryotes (PERMANOVA, R^2 = 0.65, p < 0.001) (**Figure 1**) and eukaryotes (PERMANOVA, R^2 = 0.70, p < 0.001) (**Figures S1-S3**) at the genus level [30]. Growth in 80% WW relative to stream water (0% WW) altered prokaryotic biofilm community composition, namely we observed a decrease in the relative abundance of *Cyanobacteria* and *Verrucomicrobiota* and an increase in the relative abundance of *Bacteroidota* (**Figure 1**), in agreement with previous 16S rRNA gene-based analysis [27]. These shifts also mirror changes in microbial communities downstream of WWTPs relative to communities upstream [14]. However, these previous field studies did not include ultrafiltration treatment. We therefore compared WW UF and non-UF WW-grown bioiflms, and identified several bacterial phyla which showed significant shifts in relative abundance, namely a significant decrease in *Myxococcota* and an increase in *Planctomycetota* (**Figure 1**). UF treatment therefore altered microbial community composition including taxa with specific relevance for WWTP processes. For example, *Planctomycetota* is the only known phylum capable of anaerobic ammonia oxidation (anammox) for nitrogen removal from WW [25,55,56].

The taxonomic composition of biofilms grown in UF WW was more similar to that of biofilms grown

in 0% WW than to biofilms grown in non-UF WW, suggesting that biotic factors from WWTPs play

a greater role in changes in the stream biofilm community than abiotic factors (**Figure 2, Figure**

S3). Metagenomic taxonomic analysis demonstrated that WW alters stream biofilm composition,

supporting previous studies that some microorganisms from WW are able to colonize and modify

biofilm community composition [27]. To leverage the shotgun metagenomic data, we investigated

whether treatment also altered the composition of functional enzyme diversity (see Methods).

PCoA revealed that the functional enzyme composition (**Figure S4**) was also significantly

associated with the experimental treatment (PERMANOVA, R^2 = 0.58, p < 0.001).

Resistome composition correlates with microbiome composition

We next examined how the total set of ARGs detected in each sample - the 'resistome' - varied

with experimental treatment (e.g., WW% and non-UF vs. UF). Resistome composition was

significantly affected by experimental treatment (PERMANOVA, R^2 = 0.60, p < 0.001), similar to

functional enzyme and taxonomic microbiome composition (**Figure S5**). Biofilms exposed to 30%

or 80% UF WW clustered with 0% WW samples as opposed to samples exposed to equivalent

amounts of non-UF WW (**Figure 2**).

Figure 1. Mean relative abundance of prokaryotic phyla in the metagenomes of stream biofilms. Taxonomic shifts are shown for biofilms which were grown for four weeks continuously in stream water mixed with treated wastewater (WW) with or without ultrafiltration (UF) treatment. Taxa that were in the upper decile of abundance in at least one experimental treatment were retained while the remaining phyla were binned as 'other'.

To test for a relationship between the microbiome and resistome composition, we used Procrustes superimposition of resistome PCoA vectors onto prokaryotic microbiome PCoA vectors (**Figure 2**). Procrustes analysis revealed that the composition of the resistome was structurally related to the composition of the prokaryotic microbiome. The low Procrustes sum of squares of 0.1889 and calculated correlation value of 0.9 in a symmetric Procrustes rotation (p-value < 0.001) indicated a strong alignment between the resistome and microbiome. Similarly, functional enzyme composition was also associated with the resistome composition (**Figure S6,** Procrustes corr. coeff. = 0.89, p-value < 0.001). Alignments between the microbiome and resistome composition

has been previously observed in microbial communities downstream of WWTPs [13,14], but local

environmental features at different sampling sites are potential confounding factors that may have

determined both microbiome and resistome composition in the field. Here, we demonstrated a

microbiome-resistome relationship in a controlled experiment with biofilms grown in a defined

gradient of stream water to WW and ultrafiltered WW matrices. Generally, the rotated PCoA

vectors for the resistome are closer to the prokaryotic microbiome PCoA vectors for biofilm

samples than they are for water samples (**Figure 2**). These results further suggest that changes

in resistome composition in WW-impacted stream biofilms are likely due to changes in the biofilm

communities by WWTP bacteria such as by colonization [27,57].

Figure 2. **PCoA-based Procrustes analysis showing a structural alignment between**

microbiome and resistome composition. Points indicate positions on PCoA axes 1 and 2 of

prokaryotic composition (microbiome), while arrow tips indicate positions on PCoA axes 1 and 2

of resistome composition following Procrustes rotation. The correlation coefficient in a symmetric

Procrustes rotation was 0.901, the sum of squares (m12 squared) was 0.1889), and the p-value

was less than 0.001.

Figure 3. Significant ARG classes profiled using a metagenomic short reads pipeline. Abundance

(16S-normalized read counts) of the DeepARG hits assigned to ARG classes that varied significantly with

adjusted p-values ≤ 0.001 (Kruskal-Wallis test + Benjamini-Hochberg multiple hypothesis correction)

317 between different treatment conditions. MLS = macrolide-lincosamide-streptogramin.

WW ultrafiltration treatment has ARG class-specific effects on biofilm resistomes

A total of 570 ARGs across 41 different higher-level ARG classes were identified in the biofilm metagenomes using a short reads pipeline for ARG detection [34]. In biofilms, the abundances of ARGs conferring multidrug resistance, in addition to ARGs conferring resistance to beta-lactams, fluoroquinolones, peptides, rifamycins, and tetracyclines, differed significantly between conditions **(Table S5)**.

The normalized read counts of several, but not all, ARG classes increased with increasing WW%, as shown in **Figure 3**. In contrast, the metagenomic abundances of ARG classes did not increase in biofilms grown in comparable percentages of UF WW with the exception of sulfonamide AR Gs (**Figure 3**). These UF treatment results therefore suggest biotic factors primarily alter the abundances of ARGs in WW-grown biofilms.

As a notable exception, sulfonamide ARGs exhibited increasing abundance with increasing WW% yet they displayed the highest abundance under 80% UF WW conditions, suggesting a contribution from abiotic factors such as nutrients or micropollutants (MPs) in WW. The high abundance of sulfonamide ARGs in UF-grown biofilms may also be related to gene family-specific mobility mechanisms such as extracellular genetic material which is small enough to pass through the UF membrane. In support of this hypothesis, the sulfonamide ARGs *sul1-4* are known to be highly mobilized in WWTP effluent microbiomes [58]. Although many ARGs are mobile, *sul1* ARGs are known for their pervasive colocalization within class 1 integrons [44] enabling bacteria to acquire and swap sulfonamide resistance genes [59,60]. Analysis of the assembled metagenomic contigs in our samples revealed that *sul1* genes were frequently colocalized on the same metagenomic contigs as mobile genetic elements, including *int1* integron integrases, as well as recombinases and transposases (**Figure S7**). Critically, the presence of *intI1* genes in

343   environmental samples has been suggested as a gene proxy for estimating the levels of

344   anthropogenic pollution, including MPs, as proposed by Gillings et al. [60]. The high abundance

345   of sulfonamide ARGs observed in UF WW-grown biofilms supports the relationship between *int1*

346   genes, sulfonamide ARGs typically associated with *int1,* and abiotic factors, including pollutants

347   [59].

Associations between assembled ARG abundances and WW percentages

Metagenomic assembly-based approaches are likely to have lower sensitivity but higher

specificity than short read-based approaches in the detection of antibiotic resistance genes

[61,62]. Therefore, as a complementary analysis to the DeepARG short read-based detection

methods, we used stringent criteria (see Methods) to query our assembled metagenomes against

the Structural Antibiotic Resistance Genes (SARG) database [43]. The query yielded 2,240 hits

that clustered at the 70% amino acid sequence similarity level into 102 ARG 'cluster

representatives.' Analysis by ARG class resulted in the following ARG cluster counts: 15 beta-

Figure 4. Significant ARG classes using a metagenomic assembly-based approach. The

corrected normalized average coverage of ARG cluster representatives identified using an

assembly-based ARG detection method. ARG clusters with significant differences in abundance

between at least one different treatment condition are displayed (adjusted p-values ≤ 0.05 using

Kruskal-Wallis test + Benjamini-Hochberg multiple hypothesis correction).

To quantify relative ARG abundances across treatment conditions, we mapped metagenomic

reads back to the 102 ARG cluster representatives. Ten ARG cluster representatives differed

significantly (Kruskal-Wallis test, $p < 0.05$) in abundance between at least one experimental

treatment condition (**Table S6**). In addition to two beta-lactam resistance ARGs, one each of the

multidrug, MLS, and aminoglycoside ARG representatives displayed the trend of increasing

abundance with increasing WW%. The fold change increase in metagenomic abundance was the

greatest for two beta-lactam resistance ARG clusters (Class-A beta-lactamase gene, $p = 0.0054$;

and a Class-D beta-lactamase bla OXA-4 gene, $p = 0.0054$; **Figure 4**). In contrast, a third beta-

lactam ARG (extended-spectrum BEL family beta-lactamase, p-value = 0.0488) displayed high

coverage exclusively in the 80% UF WW condition (**Figure 4**). These results suggest that the

abundance of this cluster of BEL family ARGs may be influenced by abiotic factors such as MPs,

the effects of which may have been outcompeted by biotic effects in the non-UF WW-grown

biofilms. Leveraging our metagenomic assembly-based approach, we examined genes localized

on the same metagenomic contig as the BEL family beta-lactamase hit. The BEL family beta-

lactamase is directly flanked by a *Pseudomonas* TniC resolvase. This TniC resolvase shares

100% sequence identity with a TniC resolvase (ADC80450.1) in integron/gene cassette systems

previously shown to be influenced by abiotic factors (heavy metal concentrations [63]. Although

the causality must be demonstrated, genetic mobility influenced by abiotic factors may contribute

to the observed abundance of BEL family beta-lactamases in 80% UF WW-grown biofilms.

Overall, our experimental design separated biotic and abiotic factors and shed light on the gene family-specific mechanisms underlying how WWTP effluent may alter the resistome composition of stream biofilms. Our combined results from short read mapping and assembly-based ARG analysis generally aligned with the exception of the sulfonamide ARGs, which did not show a significant difference in the assembly-based approach. This may be due to limitations in the metagenomic assembly and highlights the value of using two different, complementary approaches. For example, the significance of beta-lactamase ARGs identified via the short read-based approach was also captured via the assembly-based approach. Notably, a consensus for beta-lactamase abundance emerged from the comparison of our study to previous studies in Switzerland [13] and globally [9,14,64,65]. Beta-lactamase ARGs consistently remain the most abundant class of ARGs detected in WWTP effluent and aquatic environments [7,66–69]. Their prevalence can be attributed to a variety of factors, including the early discovery and continued widespread overuse of beta-lactam antibiotics for humans and animals [70,71]. However, the unexpectedly high abundance of BEL family beta-lactamases exclusively in 80% UF WW samples (**Figure 4)** prompted us to focus on beta-lactamases for further experimental investigation and analysis of abiotic factors.

Micropollutants as abiotic factors and their potential influence on ARG transfer

We examined MP concentrations as abiotic factors capable of influencing gene family-specific ARG abundances, such as the *sul1-4* and BEL family beta-lactamase ARGs showing unexpectedly higher abundances in the UF WW compared to non-UF conditions (**Figure 3, Figure 4)**. Based on previous chemical analysis by liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry [11], the MP concentrations measured in the flume biofilm samples increased with increasing WW% (**Table S4**), but the concentrations of MPs extracted from biofilms did not significantly differ between the UF and non-UF WW. Many of the antibiotics measured, including

sulfamethoxazole and sulfapyridine (**Table S4**), were present at concentrations less than or equal

to the limit of quantification in WW-grown biofilms. Among all antibiotics detected, the macrolide

clarithromycin exhibited the highest concentrations in 80% WW and 80% UF WW grown biofilms

(45-95 ng per mg biofilm, **Table S4**). In line with the general MP trends, clarithromycin

concentrations increased with WW% and did not vary significantly between UF and non-UF

treatments. In contrast, the metagenomic abundances of macrolide-lincosamide-streptogramin

(MLS) ARGs, which typically confer resistance to clarithromycin, were significantly lower in UF

than in non-UF conditions (**Figure 4**). This represents a case of an antibiotic-ARG pair where

ARG abundances did not correlate with the expected abiotic factor (clarithromycin). However, this

does not take into account the potential effects of other MPs which are not classified as antibiotics

but nonetheless influence ARG abundance [3].

A growing body of evidence indicates that many anthropogenic pollutants that do not have known

antimicrobial bioactivities nonetheless influence ARG transfer and proliferation [3,72–77].

However, there is generally a lack of knowledge on the mechanisms underlying how MPs without

antibiotic activities act as selective agents for ARG abundance. In our study, the 75 different MPs

measured [11] included artificial sweeteners, non-antibiotic pharmaceuticals, corrosion inhibitors,

and pesticides (**Table S4)**. Compared with the other compound classes, artificial sweeteners were

the most abundant MPs, with concentrations as high as µg/L detected in WW samples [11] (**Table**

S4). Notably, the artificial sweeteners measured in the biofilms (acesulfame K, cyclamate, and

saccharin) all contain sulfonamide moieties which are chemically analogous to the moieties found

in sulfonamide antibiotics (**Figure S8**). Sulfonamides were among the few ARG classes with

significantly greater abundances in UF WW-grown biofilms than in non-UF WW-grown biofilms

(**Figure 3**). These observations led us to speculate that abiotic factors, such as sulfonamide-

containing MPs, may play a role in promoting the abundance of such gene family-specific ARGs.

In support of this, several experimental studies have shown that artificial sweeteners promote

high rates of horizontal gene transfer and natural uptake of ARGs in bacteria [76,78]. Further work

has demonstrated that acesulfame-K and other artificial sweeteners directly enhance the rate and

quantity of horizontal plasmid-mediated transfers of *sul* genes [79]. Interestingly, a plasmid-

encoded microbial hydrolase capable of cleaving the sulfonamide moiety of acesulfame-K was

recently identified and shown to have a metallo beta-lactamase structural fold [80]. Taken

together, these findings prompted us to conduct a deeper functional investigation of beta-

lactamase fold genes whose abundance increased with WW%.

***Planctomycetota* beta-lactamases display differential abundances in response to**

sulfonamide-containing MPs and WWTP effluent

To investigate the relationship between ARG abundances and sulfonamide-containing MPs, we

consulted previous reports of enrichment studies of activated sludge communities grown on

sulfonamide-containing MPs including artificial sweeteners [81,82]. Multiple independent

enrichment studies on acesulfame-K [82], saccharin [81], and cyclamate [81] reported significant

increases in the relative abundance of *Planctomycetota* and particularly the family

Phycisphaeraceae. Previously, Desiante et al. (2022) also identified significant differences in the

relative abundances of *Planctomycetota* and *Phycisphaeraceae* in biofilms grown in 80% WW

and 80% UF WW in two independent flume biofilm experiments ($\log_2$ -fold change > 4; p < 0.05).

We therefore conducted differential abundance analysis and observed statistically significant

differences in the *Planctomycetota* relative abundances between WW and UF WW treatments

(**Figure 5A**). Taxa assigned to the phylum *Planctomycetota* constitute up to 2.1% of the relative

abundance of our biofilm, WW, and stream water metagenomes, confirming previous reports of

the *Planctomycetota* as a numerically-important constituent both freshwater and wastewater

microbial communities [25,26,56]. Many *Phycisphaeraceae* are algae-associated with the

metabolic potential to impact the fitness of their algal hosts [83], but the current unculturability of

most planctomycetes limits our knowledge of linking their ARG genotypes to their phenotypes. To

address this knowledge gap, we chose to target *Phycisphaeraceae* ARGs for experimental

characterization.

Due to known challenges in planctomycete cultivation [56], we used heterologous expression

techniques to functionally validate two *Phycisphaeraceae* ARGs. We focused specifically on

Phycisphaeraceae beta-lactamases for four reasons: (1) the beta-lactamases were identified in

our ARG analysis as the most abundant and significantly-different class of ARGs affected by the

percentage of treated wastewater, (2) beta-lactamase fold enzymes were also previously

identified as plasmid-encoded enzymes active in the biotransformation of the sulfonamide MP

acesulfame-K [80], revealing the 'structural overlap' of a versatile metallo beta-lactamase fold

capable of cleaving both sulfonamide- and beta-lactam moieties, and (3) previous evidence of

sulfonamide cleavage in the sweetener cyclamate was shown by enzymes which were metal-

dependent hydrolases but their sequences were not determined [84]. Finally, (4) full-length

homologs with greater than 50% amino acid identity to the sulfonamide resistance genes *sul1-4*

were not detected in the *Phycisphaeraceae*. Since classical sulfonamide resistance genes were

lacking, we hypothesized that metallo beta-lactamase-fold enzymes may instead play an

overlooked role in sulfonamide cleavage in the *Phycisphaeraceae.*

Figure 5. Functional characterization of an active *Planctomycetota* beta-lactamase. A) Volcano plot displaying the $\log_2$-fold change of differentially abundant taxa between WW30UF vs. WW30. B) Counts of hits showing significant homology to the putative beta-lactamase MBX3358097.1 in biofilm metagenomes. C) Purified enzyme assays demonstrated beta-lactamase activity of MBX3358097.1 with the colorimetric cephalosporin analog, nitrocefin, compared to boiled enzyme and buffer only controls. D) Two views of a high-confidence AlphaFold2 model (mean pLDDT = 96.5) of MBX3358097.1 structurally-aligned with its closest structural homolog in the Protein Data Bank (PDB100), as identified by FoldSeek [85] as of November 2023, the *Bacillus cereus* beta-lactamase (PDB ID: 3KNS).

Functional characterization of a new beta-lactamase ARG from the *Planctomycetota*

To identify metallo-beta-lactamase fold enzymes assigned to the *Phycisphaeraceae*, we queried the 75 metagenomes sequenced in this study as well as additional publicly-available metagenomes from previous sulfonamide-containing MP enrichment studies [81,82]. Through bioinformatic prioritization (Methods), we selected two full-length metallo beta-lactamase fold protein hits from sulfonamide-enriched *Phycisphaeraceae* MAGs for functional characterization [82]. The abundances of both proteins increased with increasing WW% (**Figure 5B** and **Figure S9**). We heterologously expressed each of the *Phycisphaeraceae* genes in *E. coli.* One protein did not express in *E. coli* and despite troubleshooting was not amenable to protein production or purification with nickel-affinity chromatography. The second protein (MBX3358097.1) was soluble and purified to homogeneity with an average yield of 500 µg/mL protein (**Figure S10**) therefore we proceeded with the functional analysis of this protein. We tested the purified *Phycisphaeraceae* protein for beta-lactamase activity and observed enzyme-mediated cleavage of the cephalosporin analog, nitrocefin relative to boiled enzyme controls (**Figure 5C**). We next tested its substrate specificity for sulfonamide-containing compounds. By high-performance liquid chromatography analysis and comparison to authentic standards, we determined that the *Phycisphaeraceae* enzyme did not biotransform the sulfonamide-containing MPs acesulfame-K, saccharin, or cyclamate, nor did it transform sulfamethoxazole, thus disproving our hypothesis for its role in sulfonamide cleavage. Nonetheless, this analysis resulted in the first biochemical characterization of a new, active beta-lactamase from the *Phycisphaeraceae*.

MBX3358097.1 displayed a maximum 30% amino acid identity and between 20-50% query coverage with proteins of known function in the UniProtKB-SwissProt [86] and the Beta-Lactamase database (BLDB) [87]. These low sequence similarities limit reliable functional annotation and beta-lactamase classification based on homology alone. Therefore, we generated

an AlphaFold2 model (Figure 5D, model available on GitHub) for the structural alignment and

identification of beta-lactamase subfamily specific motifs [88,89]. MBX3358097.1 encodes a zinc-

binding motif 2 (HxHxD**H**), which has a terminal histidine characteristic of the subclass B3 metallo

beta-lactamases. However, in another known motif 4, this enzyme contains the residues 'GD',

which are more characteristic of related glyoxalase II enzymes rather than B1-B3 family beta-

lactamases [88,89]. These results are suggestive of promiscuous activity or additional enzymatic

functions of MBX3358097.1 to investigate which are beyond the scope of this current study.

Nonetheless, this analysis highlights the benefits for structural modeling of metagenomic

sequences [90,91], particularly in cases where low sequence identity prevents reliable functional

annotation

There are few previous reports of ARGs from the phylum *Planctomycetota* [92]. Planctomycetes

were previously reported to have an unusual proteinaceous cell wall composition devoid of

peptidoglycan [93], however, later findings demonstrated that planctomycetes do indeed produce

peptidoglycan and are thus susceptible to cell-wall targeting antibiotics including beta-lactams

[94]. In fact, a study of six culturable planctomycetes revealed that all of the strains tested were

resistant to beta-lactam antibiotics although beta-lactamases could not be detected [95].

Importantly, MBX3358097.1 was also not detected by standard computational methods for

detecting ARGs, including queries to the SARG [43] and CARD [96] databases due to its low

sequence identity with known ARGs. This work therefore highlights the size of the knowledge gap

and invites further inquiry into the role of sequence-diverse ARGs from uncultivated

microorganisms and their contributions to environmental ARG reservoirs.

Conclusions

Our results from a controlled flume system showed that WWTP effluent altered both the microbiome and resistome composition of stream biofilms. Through ultrafiltration treatment of WWTP effluent, we could attribute changes in ARG abundances primarily to biotic rather than abiotic factors. However, the abundance of several gene family-specific ARGs, most notably sulfonamides and beta-lactamases, suggested an influence by abiotic factors. We biochemically characterized the beta-lactamase activity of a new *Phycisphaeraceae* protein with low sequence identity to known ARGs. This provided proof-of-principle of a functional ARG from an uncultivated microorganism that was overlooked by standard computational ARG detection methods. This further highlights the need to characterize new ARGs from understudied taxa and to create a more comprehensive ARG catalog for querying complex microbiomes. Overall, our study exemplified a workflow to disentangle antibiotic resistance determinants in aquatic environments.

List of abbreviations

ARGs	Antibiotic resistance genes
DNA	Deoxyribonucleic acid
MPs	Micropollutants
ORFs	Open reading frames
PCoA	Principal coordinate analysis
PCR	Polymerase chain reaction
rRNA	ribosomal Ribonucleic acid

SARG	Structured antibiotic resistance genes database
UF	Ultrafiltration
WW	Wastewater effluent
WWTPs	Wastewater treatment plants

Ethical approval and consent to participate

Not applicable

Availability of data and material

Metagenomic sequencing data generated during the current study have been deposited in the

European Nucleotide Archive with the study accession code: PRJNA1008123. Scripts and

additional data used in analysis and figures are available at:: https://github.com/MSM-

group/AMR-ecoimpact-paper.

Competing interests

None

561
Funding

S.L.R. acknowledges support from the Swiss National Science Foundation (PZPGP2_209124),

the Vontobel Foundation, and the Pierre Mercier Foundation. K.F. acknowledges the Swiss

National Science Funding project no. 200021L_201006. H.B. and G.G. were supported by the

ANTIVERSA project (BiodivERsA, European Union), Swiss National Science Foundation

(Switzerland) grant no. 186531. Student funding for an advanced class at IHE Delft Institute of

Water Education was provided to M.A. through IHE Delft's DUPC2 programme project 'Supporting

integrated and sustainable water management in Iraq through capacity development and

research' grant number 109070.

Author's contributions

M.A. contributed to the conceptualization, methodology, investigation, writing—editing and

revisions of the manuscript, visualization, and funding acquisition. M.S. contributed to

conceptualization, methodology, visualization, investigation, data curation, writing—editing and

revisions of the manuscript. M.E. contributed to the methodology, investigation, and revision and

editing of the manuscript. G.G. contributed to methodology and editing and revision of the

manuscript. H.B. contributed to the conceptualization, and editing and revision of the manuscript.

P.N.L.L. contributed to the revision and editing of the manuscript and project administration. K.F.

contributed to the conceptualization, revision and editing of the manuscript and funding

acquisition. J.v.d.V. contributed to the methodology, writing- editing and revision of the manuscript

and project administration. S.L.R contributed to conceptualization, methodology, Investigation,

writing- editing and revision of the manuscript, visualization, project administration and funding

acquisition.

Acknowledgements

We thank Dr. Bogdan Iorga for his export support in beta-lactamase classification and

bioinformatic analysis. Robert Niederdorfer is acknowledged for his support and expertise in the

metagenomic assembly through computational resources and collaboration with the Genetic

Diversity Centre (GDC), ETH Zurich. We acknowledge Niklas Ferenc Trottmann for his training

and support for the cloning, expression, and protein purification. We thank the Eawag Partnership

Program together with the Institute of Water Education (IHE Delft) under the auspices of UNESCO

for supporting the exchange of Mustafa Attrah to Eawag. We thank Elia Ceppi for assistance in

interpretation of chemical micropollutant data and Thierry Marti for support in biological interpretation. We further acknowledge Stuart Dennis for his computational infrastructure support at Eawag. We acknowledge the EcoImpact teams 1.0 and 2.0 for fruitful discussions as well as conceptualizing and running the flume experiments which provided the samples necessary for this study.

References

1. Marti E, Variatza E, Balcazar JL. The role of aquatic ecosystems as reservoirs of antibiotic resistance. Trends Microbiol. 2014;22:36–41.

2. Singer AC, Shaw H, Rhodes V, Hart A. Review of Antimicrobial Resistance in the Environment and Its Relevance to Environmental Regulators. Front Microbiol. 2016;7:1728.

3. Di Cesare A, Sabatino R, Sbaffi T, Fontaneto D, Brambilla D, Beghi A, et al. Anthropogenic pollution drives the bacterial resistome in a complex freshwater ecosystem. Chemosphere. 2023;331:138800.

4. Bioavailability of potentially toxic elements influences antibiotic resistance gene and mobile genetic element abundances in urban and rural soils. Sci Total Environ. 2022;847:157512.

5. Shallcross LJ, Davies DSC. Antibiotic overuse: a key driver of antimicrobial resistance. Br J Gen Pract. 2014;64:604–5.

6. Alexander J, Hembach N, Schwartz T. Evaluation of antibiotic resistance dissemination by wastewater treatment plant effluents with different catchment areas in Germany. Sci Rep. 2020;10:8952.

7. Rodriguez-Mozaz S, Chamorro S, Marti E, Huerta B, Gros M, Sànchez-Melsió A, et al. Occurrence of antibiotics and antibiotic resistance genes in hospital and urban wastewaters and their impact on the receiving river. Water Res. 2015;69:234–42.

8. Rogowska J, Cieszynska-Semenowicz M, Ratajczyk W, Wolska L. Micropollutants in treated wastewater. Ambio. 2020;49:487–503.

9. Mukherjee M, Laird E, Gentry TJ, Brooks JP, Karthikeyan R. Increased Antimicrobial and Multidrug Resistance Downstream of Wastewater Treatment Plants in an Urban Watershed. Front Microbiol. 2021;12:657353.

10. Carles L, Wullschleger S, Joss A, Eggen RIL, Schirmer K, Schuwirth N, et al. Impact of wastewater on the microbial diversity of periphyton and its tolerance to micropollutants in an engineered flow-through channel system. Water Res. 2021;203:117486.

11. Desiante WL, Carles L, Wullschleger S, Joss A, Stamm C, Fenner K. Wastewater
microorganisms impact the micropollutant biotransformation potential of natural stream biofilms.
Water Res. 2022;217:118413.

12. Perveen S, Pablos C, Reynolds K, Stanley S, Marugán J. Growth and prevalence of
antibiotic-resistant bacteria in microplastic biofilm from wastewater treatment plant effluents. Sci
Total Environ. 2023;856:159024.

13. Lee J, Ju F, Beck K, Bürgmann H. Differential effects of wastewater treatment plant effluents
on the antibiotic resistomes of diverse river habitats. ISME J. 2023;17:1993–2002. Available
from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41396-023-01506-w.

14. Matviichuk O, Mondamert L, Geffroy C, Gaschet M, Dagot C, Labanowski J. River Biofilms
Microbiome and Resistome Responses to Wastewater Treatment Plant Effluents Containing
Antibiotics. Front Microbiol. 2022;13:795206.

15. Depetris A, Tagliavini G, Peter H, Kühl M, Holzner M, Battin TJ. Biophysical properties at
patch scale shape the metabolism of biofilm landscapes. NPJ Biofilms Microbiomes. 2022;8:5.

16. Romero F, Acuña V, Font C, Freixa A, Sabater S. Effects of multiple stressors on river
biofilms depend on the time scale. Sci Rep. 2019;9:15810.

17. Haenelt S, Richnow H-H, Müller JA, Musat N. Antibiotic resistance indicator genes in biofilm
and planktonic microbial communities after wastewater discharge. Front Microbiol.
2023;14:1252870.

18. Ju F, Lee J, Beck K, Zhang G, Gekenidis M-T, Hummerjohann J, et al. Phenotypic
Metagenomics tracks Wastewater-Associated Clinically Important Beta-lactam Resistant
Bacteria Invading River Habitats. Research Square. 2022; Available from:
https://www.researchsquare.com/article/rs-1589365/latest.pdf.

19. Reddington K, Eccles D, O'Grady J, Drown DM, Hansen LH, Nielsen TK, et al.
Metagenomic analysis of planktonic riverine microbial consortia using nanopore sequencing
reveals insight into river microbe taxonomy and function. Gigascience [Internet]. 2020;9.
Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/gigascience/giaa053.

20. Brumfield KD, Huq A, Colwell RR, Olds JL, Leddy MB. Microbial resolution of whole genome
shotgun and 16S amplicon metagenomic sequencing using publicly available NEON data. PLoS
One. 2020;15:e0228899.

21. Tremblay J, Singh K, Fern A, Kirton ES, He S, Woyke T, et al. Primer and platform effects
on 16S rRNA tag sequencing. Front Microbiol. 2015;6:771.

22. Klindworth A, Pruesse E, Schweer T, Peplies J, Quast C, Horn M, et al. Evaluation of
general 16S ribosomal RNA gene PCR primers for classical and next-generation sequencing-
based diversity studies. Nucleic Acids Res. 2013;41:e1.

23. Oyarzúa P, Bovio-Winkler P, Etchebehere C, Suárez-Ojeda ME. Microbial communities in
an anammox reactor treating municipal wastewater at mainstream conditions: Practical
implications of different molecular approaches. J Environ Chem Eng. 2021;9:106622.

24. Zhang Y, Zhao Z, Xu H, Wang L, Liu R, Jia X. Fate of antibiotic resistance genes and
bacteria in a coupled water-processing system with wastewater treatment plants and
constructed wetlands in coastal eco-industrial parks. Ecotoxicol Environ Saf. 2023;252:114606.

25. Andrei A-Ş, Salcher MM, Mehrshad M, Rychtecký P, Znachor P, Ghai R. Niche-directed
evolution modulates genome architecture in freshwater *Planctomycetes*. ISME J.
2019;13:1056–71.

26. Cai X, Yao L, Sheng Q, Jiang L, Wang T, Dahlgren RA, et al. Influence of a biofilm
bioreactor on water quality and microbial communities in a hypereutrophic urban river. Environ
Technol. 2021;42:1452–60.

27. Carles, L., Wullschleger, S., Joss, A., Eggen, R.I.L., Schirmer, K., Schuwirth, N., Stamm, C.,
Tlili, A. Wastewater microorganisms impact microbial diversity and important ecological
functions of stream periphyton, Water Res. 2022;225, 119119.

28. Li D, Liu C-M, Luo R, Sadakane K, Lam T-W. MEGAHIT: an ultra-fast single-node solution
for large and complex metagenomics assembly via succinct de Bruijn graph. Bioinformatics.
2015;31:1674–6.

29. Hyatt D, Chen G-L, Locascio PF, Land ML, Larimer FW, Hauser LJ. Prodigal: prokaryotic
gene recognition and translation initiation site identification. BMC Bioinformatics. 2010;11:119.

30. Salazar G, Ruscheweyh H-J, Hildebrand F, Acinas SG, Sunagawa S. mTAGs: taxonomic
profiling using degenerate consensus reference sequences of ribosomal RNA genes.
Bioinformatics. 2021;38:270–2.

31. Rognes T, Flouri T, Nichols B, Quince C, Mahé F. VSEARCH: a versatile open source tool
for metagenomics. PeerJ. 2016;4:e2584.

32. Mansfeldt C, Achermann S, Men Y, Walser J-C, Villez K, Joss A, et al. Microbial residence
time is a controlling parameter of the taxonomic composition and functional profile of microbial
communities. ISME J. 2019;13:1589–601.

33. Buchfink B, Reuter K, Drost H-G. Sensitive protein alignments at tree-of-life scale using
DIAMOND. Nat Methods. 2021;18:366–8.

34. Arango-Argoty G, Garner E, Pruden A, Heath LS, Vikesland P, Zhang L. DeepARG: a deep
learning approach for predicting antibiotic resistance genes from metagenomic data.
Microbiome. 2018;6:23.

35. Wickham H, Averick M, Bryan J, Chang W, McGowan L, François R, et al. Welcome to the
tidyverse. J Open Source Softw. 2019;4:1686.

36. Robinson MD, Oshlack A. A scaling normalization method for differential expression
analysis of RNA-seq data. Genome Biol. 2010;11:R25.

37. Pereira MB, Wallroth M, Jonsson V, Kristiansson E. Comparison of normalization methods
for the analysis of metagenomic gene abundance data. BMC Genomics. 2018;19:274.

38. Robinson MD, McCarthy DJ, Smyth GK. edgeR: a Bioconductor package for differential
expression analysis of digital gene expression data. Bioinformatics. 2010;26:139–40.

39. Oksanen J, Kindt R, Legendre P, O'Hara B, Stevens MHH, Oksanen MJ, et al. The vegan
package. Community ecology package. 2007;10:719.

40. Paradis E, Claude J, Strimmer K. APE: Analyses of Phylogenetics and Evolution in R
language. Bioinformatics. 2004;20:289–90.

41. Benjamini Y, Hochberg Y. Controlling the false discovery rate: A practical and powerful
approach to multiple testing. J R Stat Soc. 1995;57:289–300.

42. McGinnis S, Madden TL. BLAST: at the core of a powerful and diverse set of sequence
analysis tools. Nucleic Acids Res. 2004;32:W20–5.

43. Yin X, Jiang X-T, Chai B, Li L, Yang Y, Cole JR, et al. ARGs-OAP v2.0 with an expanded
SARG database and Hidden Markov Models for enhancement characterization and
quantification of antibiotic resistance genes in environmental metagenomes. Bioinformatics.
2018;34:2263–70.

44. Lee J, Ju F, Maile-Moskowitz A, Beck K, Maccagnan A, McArdell CS, et al. Unraveling the
riverine antibiotic resistome: The downstream fate of anthropogenic inputs. Water Res.
2021;197:117050.

45. Fu L, Niu B, Zhu Z, Wu S, Li W. CD-HIT: accelerated for clustering the next-generation
sequencing data. Bioinformatics. 2012;28:3150–2.

46. Li W, Godzik A. Cd-hit: a fast program for clustering and comparing large sets of protein or
nucleotide sequences. Bioinformatics. 2006;22:1658–9.

47. Langmead B, Salzberg SL. Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2. Nat Methods.
2012;9:357–9.

48. Albertsen M, Hugenholtz P, Skarshewski A, Nielsen KL, Tyson GW, Nielsen PH. Genome
sequences of rare, uncultured bacteria obtained by differential coverage binning of multiple
metagenomes. Nat Biotechnol. 2013;31:533–8.

49. Camacho C, Coulouris G, Avagyan V, Ma N, Papadopoulos J, Bealer K, et al. BLAST+:
architecture and applications. BMC Bioinformatics. 2009;10:421.

50. Mirdita M, Schütze K, Moriwaki Y, Heo L, Ovchinnikov S, Steinegger M. ColabFold: making
protein folding accessible to all. Nat Methods. 2022;19:679–82.

51. Teufel F, Almagro Armenteros JJ, Johansen AR, Gíslason MH, Pihl SI, Tsirigos KD, et al.
SignalP 6.0 predicts all five types of signal peptides using protein language models. Nat
Biotechnol. 2022;40:1023–5.

52. Bradford MM. A Rapid and Sensitive Method for the Quantitation of Microgram Quantities of
Protein Utilizing the Principle of Protein-dye Binding. Analytical Biochem. 1976;72: 248-254.

53. O'Callaghan CH, Morris A, Kirby SM, Shingler AH. Novel method for detection of beta-
lactamases by using a chromogenic cephalosporin substrate. Antimicrob Agents Chemother.
1972;1:283–8.

54. Ryu BH, Ngo TD, Yoo W, Lee S, Kim B-Y, Lee E, et al. Biochemical and Structural Analysis
of a Novel Esterase from *Caulobacter crescentus* related to Penicillin-Binding Protein (PBP). Sci
Rep. 2016;6:37978.

55. Wu P, Chen J, Garlapati VK, Zhang X, Wani Victor Jenario F, Li X, et al. Novel insights into
Anammox-based processes: A critical review. Chem Eng J. 2022;444:136534.

56. Fuerst JA. Planctomycetes: Cell Structure, Origins and Biology. Springer Science &
Business Media; 2013.

57. Gionchetta G, Snead D, Semerad S, Beck K, Pruden A, Bürgmann H. Dynamics of antibiotic
resistance markers and Escherichia coli invasion in riverine heterotrophic biofilms facing
increasing heat and flow stagnation. Sci Total Environ. 2023;893:164658.

58. Ju F, Beck K, Yin X, Maccagnan A, McArdell CS, Singer HP, et al. Wastewater treatment
plant resistomes are shaped by bacterial composition, genetic exchange, and upregulated
expression in the effluent microbiomes. ISME J. 2019;13:346–60.

59. Gillings M, Boucher Y, Labbate M, Holmes A, Krishnan S, Holley M, et al. The evolution of
class 1 integrons and the rise of antibiotic resistance. J Bacteriol. 2008;190:5095–100.

60. Gillings MR, Gaze WH, Pruden A, Smalla K, Tiedje JM, Zhu Y-G. Using the class 1
integron-integrase gene as a proxy for anthropogenic pollution. ISME J. 2015;9:1269–79.

61. Tamames J, Cobo-Simón M, Puente-Sánchez F. Assessing the performance of different
approaches for functional and taxonomic annotation of metagenomes. BMC Genomics.
2019;20:960.

62. Peng Z, Mao Y, Zhang N, Zhang L, Wang Z, Han M. Utilizing metagenomic data and
bioinformatic tools for elucidating antibiotic resistance genes in environment. Front Environ Sci
Eng China. 2021;9. Available from:
https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fenvs.2021.757365/full

63. Rosewarne CP, Pettigrove V, Stokes HW, Parsons YM. Class 1 integrons in benthic
bacterial communities: abundance, association with Tn402-like transposition modules and
evidence for coselection with heavy-metal resistance. FEMS Microbiol Ecol. 2010;72:35–46.

64. Thornton CN, Tanner WD, VanDerslice JA, Brazelton WJ. Localized effect of treated
wastewater effluent on the resistome of an urban watershed. Gigascience. 2020;9. Available
from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/gigascience/giaa125

65. Czekalski N, Sigdel R, Birtel J, Matthews B, Bürgmann H. Does human activity impact the
natural antibiotic resistance background? Abundance of antibiotic resistance genes in 21 Swiss
lakes. Environ Int. 2015;81:45–55.

66. Laht M, Karkman A, Voolaid V, Ritz C, Tenson T, Virta M, et al. Abundances of tetracycline,
sulphonamide and beta-lactam antibiotic resistance genes in conventional wastewater treatment
plants (WWTPs) with different waste load. PLoS One. 2014;9:e103705.

67. Stoll C, Sidhu JPS, Tiehm A, Toze S. Prevalence of clinically relevant antibiotic resistance
genes in surface water samples collected from Germany and Australia. Environ Sci Technol.
2012;46:9716–26.

68. Osińska A, Korzeniewska E, Harnisz M, Felis E, Bajkacz S, Jachimowicz P, et al. Small-
scale wastewater treatment plants as a source of the dissemination of antibiotic resistance
genes in the aquatic environment. J Hazard Mater. 2020;381:121221.

69. Fadare FT, Okoh AI. The abundance of genes encoding ESBL, pAmpC and non-β-lactam
resistance in multidrug-resistant *Enterobacteriaceae* recovered from wastewater effluents. Front
Environ Sci Eng China [Internet]. 2021;9. Available from:
https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fenvs.2021.711950/full

70. Sta Ana KM, Madriaga J, Espino MP. β-Lactam antibiotics and antibiotic resistance in Asian
lakes and rivers: An overview of contamination, sources and detection methods. Environ Pollut.
2021;275:116624.

71. Agarwal V, Tiwari A, Varadwaj P. An Extensive Review on β-lactamase Enzymes and their
Inhibitors. Curr Med Chem. 2023;30:783–808.

72. Wang Y, Lu J, Zhang S, Li J, Mao L, Yuan Z, et al. Non-antibiotic pharmaceuticals promote
the transmission of multidrug resistance plasmids through intra- and intergenera conjugation.
ISME J. 2021;15:2493–508.

73. Kimbell LK, LaMartina EL, Kohls S, Wang Y, Newton RJ, McNamara PJ. Impact of corrosion
inhibitors on antibiotic resistance, metal resistance, and microbial communities in drinking water.
mSphere. 2023;e0030723.

74. Alderton I, Palmer BR, Heinemann JA, Pattis I, Weaver L, Gutiérrez-Ginés MJ, et al. The
role of emerging organic contaminants in the development of antimicrobial resistance. Emerging
Contaminants. 2021;7:160–71.

75. Qiu D, Ke M, Zhang Q, Zhang F, Lu T, Sun L, et al. Response of microbial antibiotic
resistance to pesticides: An emerging health threat. Sci Total Environ. 2022;850:158057.

76. Yu Z, Guo J. Non-caloric artificial sweeteners exhibit antimicrobial activity against bacteria
and promote bacterial evolution of antibiotic tolerance. J Hazard Mater. 2022;433:128840.

77. Zhang H, Song J, Zheng Z, Li T, Shi N, Han Y, et al. Fungicide exposure accelerated
horizontal transfer of antibiotic resistance genes via plasmid-mediated conjugation. Water Res.
2023;233:119789.

78. Yu Z, Wang Y, Henderson IR, Guo J. Artificial sweeteners stimulate horizontal transfer of
extracellular antibiotic resistance genes through natural transformation. ISME J. 2022;16:543–
54.

79. Li Z, Gao J, Guo Y, Cui Y, Wang Y, Duan W, et al. Enhancement of antibiotic resistance
dissemination by artificial sweetener acesulfame potassium: Insights from cell membrane,
enzyme, energy supply and transcriptomics. J Hazard Mater. 2022;422:126942.

80. Bonatelli ML, Rohwerder T, Popp D, Liu Y, Akay C, Schultz C, et al. Recently evolved
combination of unique sulfatase and amidase genes enables bacterial degradation of the
wastewater micropollutant acesulfame worldwide. Front Microbiol. 2023;14:1223838.

81. Deng Y, Wang Y, Xia Y, Zhang AN, Zhao Y, Zhang T. Genomic resolution of bacterial
populations in saccharin and cyclamate degradation. Sci Total Environ. 2019;658:357–66.

82. Huang Y, Deng Y, Law JC-F, Yang Y, Ding J, Leung KS-Y, et al. Acesulfame aerobic
biodegradation by enriched consortia and *Chelatococcus* spp.: Kinetics, transformation
products, and genomic characterization. Water Res. 2021;202:117454.

83. Rambo IM, Dombrowski N, Constant L, Erdner D, Baker BJ. Metabolic relationships of
uncultured bacteria associated with the microalgae *Gambierdiscus*. Environ Microbiol.
2020;22:1764–83.

84. Nimura T, Tokieda T, Yamaha T. Partial purification and some properties of cyclamate
sulfamatase. J Biochem. 1974;75:407–17.

85. van Kempen M, Kim SS, Tumescheit C, Mirdita M, Lee J, Gilchrist CLM, et al. Fast and
accurate protein structure search with Foldseek. Nat Biotechnol [Internet]. 2023; Available from:
http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41587-023-01773-0

86. UniProt Consortium. UniProt: the universal protein knowledgebase in 2021. Nucleic Acids
Res. 2021;49:D480–9.

87. Naas T, Oueslati S, Bonnin RA, Dabos ML, Zavala A, Dortet L, et al. Beta-lactamase
database (BLDB) - structure and function. J Enzyme Inhib Med Chem. 2017;32:917–9.

88. González JM. Visualizing the superfamily of metallo-β-lactamases through sequence
similarity network neighborhood connectivity analysis. Heliyon. 2021;7:e05867.

89. Denakpo E, Arlet G, Philippon A, Iorga BI. Metallo-β-lactamases. Metalloenzymes. Elsevier;
2024. p. 157–84.

90. Robinson SL. Structure-guided metagenome mining to tap microbial functional diversity.
Curr Opin Microbiol. 2023;76:102382.

91. Ruppé E, Ghozlane A, Tap J, Pons N, Alvarez A-S, Maziers N, et al. Prediction of the
intestinal resistome by a three-dimensional structure-based method. Nat Microbiol. 2019;4:112–
23.

92. Ivanova AA, Miroshnikov KK, Oshkin IY. Exploring Antibiotic Susceptibility, Resistome and
Mobilome Structure of Planctomycetes from *Gemmataceae* Family. Sustain Sci Pract Policy.
2021;13:5031.

93. König E, Schlesner H, Hirsch P. Cell wall studies on budding bacteria of the
Planctomyces/Pasteuria group and on a *Prosthecomicrobium* sp. Arch Microbiol.
1984;138:200–5.

94. Jeske O, Schüler M, Schumann P, Schneider A, Boedeker C, Jogler M, et al.
Planctomycetes do possess a peptidoglycan cell wall. Nat Commun. 2015;6:7116.

95. Cayrou C, Raoult D, Drancourt M. Broad-spectrum antibiotic resistance of Planctomycetes
organisms determined by Etest. J Antimicrob Chemother. 2010;65:2119–22.

96. Alcock BP, Huynh W, Chalil R, Smith KW, Raphenya AR, Wlodarski MA, et al. CARD 2023:
expanded curation, support for machine learning, and resistome prediction at the
Comprehensive Antibiotic Resistance Database. Nucleic Acids Res. 2023;51:D690–9.