Comparative transcriptomic analysis of *Zymoseptoria tritici* reveals interac-

tion-specific gene expression patterns during susceptible, resistant, and non-

host interactions

Sandra V. Gomez-Gutierrez,[1] Cassidy R. Million,[2] Namrata Jaiswal,[2] Michael Gribskov,[3]

Matthew Helm,[2] and Stephen B. Goodwin[2, †]

[1] Department of Botany and Plant Pathology, 915 Mitch Daniels Blvd., Purdue University, West

Lafayette, IN 47907-2054

[2] Crop Production and Pest Control Research Unit, USDA–Agricultural Research Service, 915

Mitch Daniels Blvd., West Lafayette, IN 47907-2054

[3] Department of Biological Sciences, 915 Mitch Daniels Blvd., Purdue University, West Lafa-

yette, IN 47907-2054

[†] Corresponding author: S. B. Goodwin; Steve.Goodwin@USDA.gov

***Zymoseptoria tritici* is responsible for Septoria tritici blotch, a disease causing significant**

annual yield losses in wheat. To investigate infection phase-specific gene expression in the

pathogen, we analyzed gene expression during infection of susceptible (Taichung 29) and

resistant (Veranopolis and Israel 493) wheat cultivars, plus the non-host species barley at 1,

3, 6, 10, 17 and 23 days post inoculation (DPI). There were dramatic differences in patho-

gen gene expression at 10 DPI in the susceptible compared to both resistant interactions.

The most pronounced differences in pathogen gene expression were observed at 3 DPI in

both the susceptible and resistant host interactions compared to the non-host interaction.

Thirty-one putative effectors showed early expression during the susceptible interaction

compared to the non-host interaction, and six effectors were selected for subcellular locali-

zation studies. Using *Agrobacterium*-mediated transient expression in *Nicotiana bentham-*

***iana*, subcellular localization assays revealed that two candidate effectors, Mycgr3109710**

and Mycgr394290, localized to putative mobile cytosolic bodies when expressed without

their signal peptides, suggesting potential roles in intracellular signaling or host gene regu-

lation. When expressed with their native signal peptides, four candidate effectors localized

to the cytosol, while one effector, Mycgr3107904, did not accumulate to detectable levels, as

shown by immunoblot analysis, indicating degradation. Comparison of pathogen gene ex-

pression in the susceptible host to expression in the resistant hosts, allowed us to identify

genes that are expressed during the transition from biotrophic to necrotrophic growth at

10 DPI. Comparison of pathogen gene expression in resistant and susceptible hosts, versus

in the non-host barley, allowed us to identify genes involved in initial colonization and host

recognition. In addition, our study contributes to understanding candidate effectors that

are activated early during infection and may play a role in the initial suppression of plant

immunity, making them strong candidates for functional characterization.

Keywords: differential expression, effectors, fungal pathogen, localization, RNA sequencing,

RNAseq, wheat, *Zymoseptoria tritici*

Zymoseptoria tritici is a fungal pathogen in the class Dothideomycetes that causes Septo-

ria tritici blotch (STB) disease of bread (*Triticum aestivum*) and durum (*T. turgidum* subsp. *du-*

rum) wheat (Kettles & Kanyuka, 2016; Palma-Guerrero et al., 2017). STB is one of the most

yield-limiting diseases of wheat globally and may result in fifty percent yield loss, especially in

regions with high humidity and mild temperatures (Mekonnen et al., 2020; Yang et al., 2022). *Z.*

tritici is a hemibiotroph that transitions from biotrophic to necrotrophic growth after a prolonged

7- to 10-day latent period (Ponomarenko et al., 2011; Rudd et al., 2015). During the biotrophic

growth phase, the pathogen circumvents recognition through suppression of host immune re-

sponses, likely by translocating virulence (effector) proteins. During the necrotrophic phase, the

fungus degrades host tissue and presumably extracts nutrients from host plant cells (Marshall et

al., 2011; Steinberg, 2015). The hemibiotrophic lifestyle of *Z. tritici* coupled with the availability

of a complete, chromosome-level genome (Goodwin et al., 2011) makes this fungal pathogen an

attractive model to investigate infection phase-specific gene expression.

Though *Z. tritici* is a hemibiotroph*,* our knowledge of its infection strategy and lifestyle

transition remains limited. Nevertheless, comprehensive RNA sequencing analyses have pro-

vided insights into host and pathogen gene expression during a susceptible interaction (Rudd et

al., 2015; Yang et al., 2013), transcriptome signatures associated with blastospore and mycelial

growth (Francisco et al., 2019), as well as differences in gene expression among *Z. tritici* strains

(Palma-Guerrero et al., 2017). Studies have also assessed plant gene expression in resistant-host

(incompatible) and non-host interactions (Orton et al., 2017; Reilly et al., 2021), and one study

compared the pathogen gene expression profile during infection in a susceptible host (*T. aes-*

tivum L.) and a non-host (*Brachypodium distachyon*) (Kellner et al., 2014). Kellner et al. (2014)

found that many *Z. tritici* genes that are up-regulated in wheat encode proteins with putative se-

cretion signals and therefore may play a functional role in host invasion. The most highly differ-

entially expressed genes (DEGs) in *Z. tritici* in the comparison of the susceptible and the non-

host interactions showed signatures of positive selection and can be considered candidates for

potential roles in host specialization of this pathogen (Kellner et al., 2014).

Differential responses of pathogens to susceptible, resistant, and non-host species are of-

ten determined by the molecular mechanisms underlying the interactions, as well as virulence

(effector) proteins (Selin et al., 2016). Pathogens often secrete effectors, in part, to circumvent

host innate immune responses (Petit-Houdenot & Fudal, 2017; Selin et al., 2016). Once translo-

cated to the host apoplast or cytosol, effector proteins interfere with cell surface-mediated and

intracellular-mediated immune responses, thereby promoting pathogenesis and disease develop-

ment. In *Z. tritici*, some effector proteins have been functionally characterized (Motteram et al.,

2009; Marshall et al., 2011; Mirzadi Gohari et al., 2015; Rudd et al., 2015; Ben M'barek et al.,

2015; Karki et al., 2021; Thynne et al., 2024). For example, the *Z. tritici* effector Mg3LysM is

expressed during early disease development and suppresses chitin-induced defense responses in

wheat (Marshall et al., 2011; Rudd et al., 2015). Furthermore, Karki et al. (2021) recently identi-

fied an effector from *Z. tritici*, ZtSSP2, that is expressed at 2 days post inoculation (DPI) and in-

teracts with a wheat E3 ubiquitin ligase (TaE3UBQ). Intriguingly, virus-induced gene silencing

of TaE3UBQ resulted in increased *Z. tritici* susceptibility, suggesting its encoded protein may

function as a regulator of wheat defense responses, and that ZtSSP2 may suppress TaE3UBQ lig-

ase activity to promote *Z. tritici* pathogenicity (Karki et al., 2021).

In wheat, twenty-four *Z. tritici* resistance genes (R genes) have been reported (Saintenac

et al., 2021; Yang et al., 2022). *Stb6* encodes a conserved, wall-associated kinase (WAK) with an

extracellular domain that recognizes the apoplastic AvrStb6 effector from *Z. tritici* (Brown et al.,

2015; Saintenac et al., 2018; Zhong et al., 2017). AvrStb6 accumulates in epiphytic hyphae of *Z.*

tritici in close proximity to the stomata, and its recognition triggers the induction of genes re-

sponsible for stomatal closure in resistant cultivars (Alassimone et al., 2024). The resistance pro-

tein *Stb16q* also encodes a cysteine-rich, wall-associated-like receptor kinase that presumably

recognizes a fungal carbohydrate to trigger a resistance response (Saintenac et al., 2021). Intri-

guingly, *Stb16q* confers full broad-spectrum resistance against 64 geographically diverse isolates

of *Z. tritici* (Saintenac et al., 2021). Moreover, the *Stb9* resistance protein recognizes AvrStb9*, a*

secreted, protease-like protein with a predicted S41 conserved domain and triggers an immune

response following the gene-for-gene model (Amezrou et al., 2023). However, the function of

Stb9 is still not clear as it might be acting as a classical receptor protein, an inhibitor of AvrStb9

protease activity, or as the guard protein of the AvrStb9 target protein (Amezrou et al., 2023).

Recently, a genome-wide association study (GWAS) identified a 99-kb region containing

six candidate genes and led to eventual cloning of the *Stb15* resistance gene. *Stb15* encodes an

intronless G-type lectin receptor-like kinase (LecRK) with an intracellular serine/threonine re-

ceptor-like protein kinase and three extracellular domains (Hafeez et al., 2025). The G-type lec-

tin domain of *Stb15* likely binds mannose and may play a role in basal plant immunity, as

demonstrated previously in *Arabidopsis thaliana,* where lectins play a role in stomatal innate im-

munity responses (Singh and Zimmerli, 2013). *Stb15* could function as part of a guard/guardee

pair, triggering isolate-specific resistance, or it may bind glycoproteins, similar to other LecRKs

that interact with secreted proteins. A candidate for the corresponding *AvrStb15* gene, potentially

a small, secreted protein, has been proposed, but further research is needed to confirm this inter-

action and its underlying mechanisms (Hafeez et al., 2025).

Non-host resistance (NHR) is commonly broad spectrum and, hence, likely more durable

than that provided by classical R genes (Ayliffe & Sørensen, 2019; Gill et al., 2015). Several

types of NHR involve a hypersensitive response, as is seen in qualitative resistance conferred by

Avr recognition (Panstruga & Moscou, 2020). Evidence that Avr recognition is involved in NHR

was found by studying the closest homologs of the *Z. tritici* Avr3D1 gene in sister fungal species

that are nonpathogenic in wheat (Meile et al., 2023). The homologous genes induce a strong de-

fense response in wheat when expressed in a virulent mutant strain of *Z. tritici*, suggesting they

contribute to establishing host range and are involved in NHR (Meile et al., 2023). Barley

(*Hordeum vulgare* subsp. *vulgare*) is closely related to wheat and is infected by *Z. passerinii*

(Feurtey et al., 2020; Goodwin & Zismann, 2001), a species that is closely related to *Z. tritici.*

Barley is a non-host of *Z. tritici* and thus shows strong resistance to this pathogen. Identifying

and comparing DEGs in *Z. tritici* during a non-host interaction with barley is central to testing

whether similar virulence factors and molecular pathways are expressed during susceptible, re-

sistant (R gene) and non-host interactions. In addition, studying pathogen gene expression during

a non-host interaction with a closely related grass species of wheat can identify candidate effec-

tors that determine host specificity, and may lead to the identification of host target proteins.

This information can be transferred from barley into the *Z. tritici* – wheat pathosystem to provide

additional sources for breeding resistance in wheat.

130   In the present study, we investigate pathogen gene responses during interactions with sus-

ceptible, resistant, and non-host cultivars, using a time course of transcriptomic data covering

distinct phases of the infection process. We examine three types of interaction: susceptible inter-

action with wheat cultivar Taichung 29; two resistant interactions with wheat cultivars Veranop-

olis and Israel 493; and a non-host interaction with barley to test the hypothesis that *Z. tritici* ex-

presses different sets of genes tailored to each type of interaction. We identified thirty-one puta-

tive effectors that are upregulated during early disease development in a susceptible interaction

compared to a non-host interaction. From these, six candidate effectors were selected based on

their expression profiles across time points in the replicates of the susceptible interaction, and

their subcellular localization was investigated using *Agrobacterium*-mediated transient expres-

sion in *Nicotiana benthamiana*.

142   **Results**

144   **Gene expression analysis during the interaction of *Z. tritici* and its wheat host**

145   Differential gene expression in *Z. tritici* was determined between three types of host in-

teractions: (1) a susceptible interaction with the wheat cultivar Taichung 29; (2) a resistant inter-

action with the wheat cultivar Veranopolis, which carries the *Stb2* and *Stb6* resistance genes; and

(3) a resistant interaction with the wheat cultivar Israel 493, which carries the *Stb3* and *Stb6* re-

sistance genes (Table 1). Comparison of pathogen gene expression in the susceptible host versus

the resistant hosts, allowed us to identify genes that are necessary for the transition from bio-

trophic to necrotrophic growth. We measured the gene expression at six time points: 1, 3, 6, 10,

17 and 23 days post inoculation (DPI). Previous studies have established that the transition from

biotrophic to necrotrophic growth in *Z. tritici* takes place at approximately 10 DPI (Palma-Guer-

rero et al., 2017; Rudd et al., 2015; Yang et al., 2013).

155   Close to two billion reads were collected for the susceptible interaction and resistant in-

teraction with cultivar Veranopolis, in total, over all time points and replications, while more

than two billion reads were obtained for the resistant interaction with cultivar Israel 493 (Supple-

mentary Table 1). At 1 and 3 DPI, the percentage of reads mapped to the fungal genome relative

to the total was similar between the susceptible and resistant interactions. However, in the sus-

ceptible interaction, this percentage increased at 6 and 10 DPI, aligning with the expected rise in

fungal biomass preceding the transition to necrotrophy. In contrast, for both resistant interac-

tions, at 6 and 10 DPI, the proportion of fungal reads remained comparable to the levels observed

at 3 DPI (Supplementary Table 1). At 17 and 23 DPI, the susceptible interaction with cultivar

Taichung 29 showed a significant increase in fungal reads, corresponding to the proliferation of

macroscopic symptoms on leaves. Conversely, in both resistant interactions, the numbers of

reads mapped to the *Z. tritici* genome remained at the lowest levels, consistent with the absence

of visible symptoms and the limited proliferation of fungal biomass in the resistant cultivars (Ad-

hikari et al., 2025). Overall, at 1, 3 and 6 DPI, only a small percentage (less than 1%) of the total

reads aligned to the fungal genome in both susceptible and resistant interactions. However, from

10 DPI onward, the proportion of mapped fungal reads increased in the susceptible interaction,

reaching its peak at 23 DPI, where it exceeded 40% in two replicates.

Gene expression patterns in the susceptible and the two resistant interactions were ex-

plored with principal component analysis (PCA). The PCA plots for 1, 3, 6 and 10 DPI (Fig. 1)

and for 17 and 23 DPI (Fig. 2.) were generated separately because normalization had to be per-

formed in two groups due to the very few reads in the resistant interactions at 17 and 23 DPI (see

Materials and Methods). PCA shows that gene expression is very similar in the susceptible and

resistant interactions early during infection (1 and 3 DPI) (Fig. 1.). Most replicate samples are

well clustered, indicating limited biological variation within replicate time points. At 6 DPI, gene

expression in the susceptible and resistant interactions begins to diverge, and at 10 DPI, the sus-

ceptible interaction is distinctly separated from the resistant interactions, primarily along princi-

pal component 2, while gene expression in the samples from both resistant interactions at 10 DPI

remains similar to that at 6 DPI.

A similar pattern is observed at 17 and 23 DPI (Fig. 2), where the susceptible interaction

forms a separate cluster from the resistant interactions. In both susceptible and resistant interac-

tions, the 17 and 23 DPI points cluster together for each wheat variety, suggesting that there is

little change in gene expression from 17 to 23 DPI, although the susceptible and resistant interac-

tions remain clearly distinguished. The replicate points for the resistant interactions show consid-

erable variability due to the low number of read counts obtained at late infection stages in the re-

sistant interactions, but the trend is clear.

The highest number of DEGs in *Z. tritici* was observed at 10 DPI when comparing the

susceptible interaction on the cultivar Taichung 29 with both resistant interactions on the *Stb2*-

carrying cultivar Veranopolis (601 DEGs) and on the *Stb3*-carrying cultivar Israel 493 (587

DEGs) (Table 2). A total of 275 and 226 genes in *Z. tritici* was found to be up-regulated at 10

DPI during the susceptible interaction compared to the resistant *Stb2* and *Stb3* interactions, re-

spectively. The rapid and pronounced activation of a large number of DEGs in *Z. tritici* at 10

DPI in the susceptible interaction corresponds with the first visible symptoms on the leaves of

the susceptible cultivar Taichung 29 (Supplementary Fig. S1) and marks the transition from the

biotrophic to the necrotrophic stage. Interestingly, a total of 326 and 361 genes in *Z. tritici* were

identified as down-regulated in the susceptible interaction at 10 DPI when compared to the re-

sistant interactions with Veranopolis and Israel 493, respectively. Since the resistant interactions

were used as the baseline for these comparisons, this means that these same genes were up-regu-

lated in the resistant interactions relative to the susceptible interaction. This suggests that during

the resistant interactions, *Z. tritici* activates a larger set of genes, potentially as part of a counter-

active response to the defense mechanisms deployed by the resistant cultivars at 10 DPI.

We determined the number of up-regulated genes in *Z. tritici* that were in common

among the comparisons between the susceptible interaction and both resistant interactions. The

Venn diagram (Fig. 3A) represents the two sets of up-regulated genes. The intersection of these

two sets includes 183 (57.5%) genes that are up-regulated in both comparisons. These genes are

likely part of core mechanisms of interaction with a susceptible host at 10 DPI, independent of

which resistant interaction is used as the control. This suggests that these genes are likely in-

volved in the common molecular mechanisms or pathways in the pathogen that are triggered dur-

ing the susceptible interaction at 10 DPI. In addition to their potential role in mediating the tran-

sition to the necrotrophic stage of infection, the fungal genes that are upregulated in susceptible

compared to both resistant interactions may also represent targets of host-mediated suppression.

Their reduced expression in the interaction with resistant cultivars provides evidence of the di-

rect and indirect effects of the presence of the resistance genes in those cultivars. Similarly, in

the Venn diagram (Fig. 3B), the intersection includes 234 genes that are down-regulated (51.7%)

in the susceptible interaction compared to both resistant interactions at 10 DPI. Since the re-

sistant interactions serve as the baseline, these same genes are up-regulated in both resistant in-

teractions relative to the susceptible interaction. This shared gene set likely represents a core

transcriptional response in *Z. tritici* to counteract the defense mechanisms activated by resistant

cultivars, suggesting a common response against a resistant cultivar regardless of the specific

composition of the resistant genotype.

We identified genes that were exclusively expressed at certain time points in the suscepti-

ble interaction compared to the resistant interactions on cultivars Veranopolis and Israel 493. Re-

markably, 249 up-regulated DEGs were uniquely expressed at 10 DPI in the susceptible interac-

tion compared to the resistant interaction with Veranopolis (Fig. 4A). The same pattern of

uniquely differentially expressed genes was observed at 10 DPI in the comparison of *Z. tritici*

grown on the susceptible cultivar Taichung 29 compared to the resistant cultivar Israel 493 (Fig.

4B). These results demonstrate that the number of DEGs that are exclusively activated in *Z. trit-*

ici at 10 DPI is remarkably high compared to other time points, which indicates that a defined

reprogramming in gene expression occurs at this stage.

To identify differences in pathogen gene expression in the two resistant interactions, we

determined DEGs in *Z. tritici* during the resistant interaction with Veranopolis compared to the

resistant interaction with Israel 493. Only 1 DEG was up-regulated in *Z. tritici* inoculated on the

Veranopolis cultivar compared to Israel 493 at 6 DPI. In total 12, 14 and 3 genes were found to

be differentially expressed at 10, 17 and 23 DPI (Table 2), respectively. No DEGs were identi-

fied when comparing the two resistant interactions at 1 and 3 DPI. This shows that *Z. tritici* fol-

lows a nearly identical pattern of gene expression during its interaction with both resistant wheat

cultivars.

Gene Ontology and enrichment analysis during host interactions

Zymoseptoria tritici DEGs were grouped according to their putative roles in biological

processes (BP), molecular functions (MF) and cellular components (CC) as established by the

Gene Ontology Consortium (http://www.geneontology.org/). The enriched GO terms in the up-

regulated genes in *Z. tritici* at 10 DPI (Fig. 5) collectively indicate that the pathogen is actively

metabolizing and synthesizing key macromolecules, such as proteins, nucleic acids, and nitroge-

nous compounds. This is suggested by the enrichment of GO terms associated with macromolec-

ular metabolic and biosynthetic processes at 10 DPI (Fig. 5) including organonitrogen compound

metabolic process (GO:1901564), ribonucleoprotein complex biogenesis (GO:0022613), and py-

rimidine-containing compound biosynthetic process (GO:0072528). Numerous GO terms related

to ribosomal components are enriched at 10 DPI in the susceptible interaction compared to the

resistant interactions, suggesting that the pathogen is actively ramping up protein synthesis. This

is likely to support the pathogen's metabolic needs as it establishes a necrotrophic infection. The

enrichment of GO terms in the categories nucleic acid binding (GO:0003676) and rRNA binding

(GO:0019843) points to upregulation of processes related to RNA processing and stability,

which may further support the pathogen's increased need for protein synthesis and efficient gene

expression. At 17 DPI, the enrichment of GO categories related to transport activities (Fig. 5),

suggests that the pathogen is likely focusing on regulating the ion homeostasis and controlling

the cellular uptake and efflux of ions. These processes are likely linked to nutrient acquisition, as

ion transporters are essential for maintaining osmotic balance, acquiring nutrients, and detoxify-

ing the pathogen's environment (Barata-Antunes et al., 2021). Such activities might be crucial as

the pathogen progresses into later stages of infection, facilitating its adaptation and survival. It

might also suggest that *Z. tritici* is switching from internal synthesis to importing metabolites

from the breakdown of host cells.

The KEGG pathway enrichment analysis showed that 13 pathways were significantly en-

riched in the up-regulated genes at 10 DPI during the susceptible interaction compared to both

resistant interactions. The top five enriched pathways were carbon metabolism, biosynthesis of

antibiotics, biosynthesis of secondary metabolites, glycolysis and gluconeogenesis. In contrast, at

23 DPI only 3 pathways were enriched among the up-regulated genes in the susceptible interac-

tion compared to the resistant interactions including carbon metabolism, biosynthesis of antibiot-

ics, and glycolysis and gluconeogenesis.

In the susceptible interaction compared to the resistant interactions, we observed a signif-

icant up-regulation of genes at 10 DPI that encode carbohydrate-active enzymes (CAZymes).

Among these, we identified eight glycoside hydrolases (GHs) and one carbohydrate esterase

(CE), all of which are cell wall-degrading enzymes (CWDEs). Additionally, our analysis re-

vealed 10 glycosyltransferases (GTs) and 10 enzymes with auxiliary activity (AA) among the

up-regulated genes (Supplementary Fig. S2). Families of AA enzymes involved in oxidation of

aromatic compounds and fungal growth such as the multicopper oxidase family (AA1), and the

alcohol oxidase subfamily (AA3_3) were up-regulated at 10 DPI in both comparisons between

the susceptible interaction and the two resistant interactions.

Interestingly, among the down-regulated genes at 10 DPI in the susceptible interaction

using the resistant interactions as baseline, we also identified 12 GHs, 8 GTs, and 11 enzymes in

the AA category. Families of AA enzymes involved in detoxification, oxidation of compounds,

and fungal growth such as the benzoquinone reductase family (AA6), the alcohol dehydrogenase

subfamily (AA3_2) and the alcohol oxidase subfamily (AA3_3) were down-regulated in the sus-

ceptible interaction compared to both resistant interactions. This means that these families of en-

zymes were up-regulated in both resistant interactions. This observation indicates the likely pro-

duction of CAZymes in the pathogen at the transition stage during a resistant interaction, possi-

bly to counteract resistant responses in the wheat cultivars. For instance, the CWDEs secreted by

different plant pathogens can act as effectors, and they can inhibit or elicit plant immunity (Gu et

al., 2024; Gui et al., 2017; Zhang et al., 2021).

We identified predicted small, secreted proteins (SSPs) among DEGs at 10 DPI. Notably,

26 SSPs were down-regulated in the susceptible interaction compared to both resistant interac-

tions. Additionally, 22 SSPs were up-regulated in the susceptible interaction relative to the re-

sistant interaction on the cultivar Veranopolis, and 23 SSPs showed up-regulation in the suscepti-

ble interaction compared to the resistant interaction on the cultivar Israel 493.

Gene expression during a non-host interaction

Differential gene expression in *Z. tritici* was determined in three host interactions com-

pared to a non-host interaction with the barley cultivar Kindred (Table 1). Comparison of patho-

gen gene expression in the susceptible and resistant hosts, versus the non-host species, allows us

to identify genes involved in initial colonization and host recognition.

The percentage of reads mapped to the fungal genome during the non-host interaction re-

mained consistently low across all time points, showing no significant increase over time. It

never exceeded 0.2% and, in most replicates, ranged between 0.02% and 0.06% (Table 2). As a

result, only a limited set of genes could be detected in comparisons using the non-host interaction

as the baseline treatment.

In contrast to the comparison between the susceptible interaction and the resistant interac-

tions, the largest differences between the susceptible and the non-host interaction are seen at 3

DPI. There were 171 DEGs in *Z. tritici,* of which 124 were up-regulated and 47 were down-regu-

lated (Table 3) in the susceptible interaction compared to the non-host interaction with *H. vul-*

gare used as the baseline. The genes that are up-regulated in the susceptible interaction com-

pared to the non-host interaction may play a role in initially colonizing the susceptible host.

There were 154 DEGs in the resistant interaction between *Z. tritici* and the Veranopolis (*Stb2*)

resistant cultivar compared to the non-host interaction (Table 3). This is similar to the 160 DEGs

in *Z. tritici* in the resistant interaction between *Z. tritici* and the Israel 493 (*Stb3*) cultivar com-

pared to the non-host interaction used as the baseline (Table 3).

319   The numbers of up-regulated DEGs at 10 DPI show a slight increase in contrast to the

previous time point at 6 DPI when comparing both the susceptible and resistant interactions

against the non-host interaction. At 17 and 23 DPI, for the genes for which we were able to re-

trieve measurable counts, we observed higher numbers of DEGs in the susceptible interaction

than the numbers of DEGs at 17 and 23 DPI in the resistant interactions compared to the non-

host interaction. The fact that we were able to identify very little fungal RNA originating from

the *Z. tritici* genome at 17 and 23 DPI, in both resistant and non-host interactions, also reveals

that there is a significant reduction in the fungal biomass compared to 1 to 10 DPI in these inter-

actions and compared to the susceptible interaction. This also could mean that the fungus is not

transcriptionally active at 17 and 23 DPI in resistant and non-host interactions.

329   We determined the number of up-regulated genes in *Z. tritici* that were in common in the

three comparisons between the susceptible and the two resistant host interactions compared to

the non-host interaction. The Venn diagram (Fig. 6A) illustrates the three sets of up-regulated

genes, with an intersection of 98 genes (74.8%) that are consistently up-regulated in the pathogen

in the comparisons of susceptible versus non-host, resistant (Veranopolis) versus non-host, and

resistant (Israel 493) versus non-host. These genes likely have a role in core mechanisms in-

volved in host interaction at 3 DPI, irrespective of whether the host is susceptible or resistant,

i.e., genes that are important for the initial biotrophic growth phase. This suggests that these

genes are part of fundamental host recognition pathways shared between both susceptible and

resistant interactions at this early stage.

Similarly, for the down-regulated genes, the Venn diagram (Fig. 6B) shows an intersec-

tion of 40 genes (66.7%) shared across the three host interactions compared to the non-host inter-

action at 3 DPI. This indicates a common response to the interaction with barley, the non-host

species, regardless of whether the control host interaction is susceptible or resistant. These com-

mon down-regulated genes reflect differences in the interaction and growth of *Z. tritici* on a non

host at the early infection stages.

To find unique up-regulated DEGs that are activated at certain time points during the sus-

ceptible and resistant interactions compared to the non-host interaction and differentiate them

from those that were expressed steadily, we determined unique and shared up-regulated DEGs

between the sampling days. In the susceptible interaction compared to the non-host interaction,

there were 52 up-regulated DEGs that were unique at 3 DPI, followed by 50 up-regulated DEGs

shared at 1 and 3 DPI (Fig. 7A). There were 45 unique DEGs in *Z. tritici* at 3 DPI, followed by

45 DEGs at both 1 and 3 DPI when comparing the resistant interaction on Veranopolis (*Stb2*)

with the non-host interaction (Fig. 7B). The combined number of up-regulated DEGs at 1 and 3

DPI is much higher than the unique genes at other time points, which indicates that the main dif-

ference in the pattern of gene activation between the resistant interaction and the non-host inter-

action occurs at the beginning of the infection process.

We also wanted to determine the unique and shared up-regulated DEGs at different sam-

pling days during the resistant interaction on Israel 493 (*Stb3*) versus the non-host interaction on

barley. In total, 46 up-regulated DEGs were exclusively observed at 3 DPI, followed by 43 up-

regulated DEGs shared at 1 and 3 DPI (Fig. 7C). The peak of up-regulated genes at 3 DPI, dur-

ing the early stage of infection, represents a higher number of up-regulated DEGs than those up-

regulated at 10 DPI (Fig. 7C). This supports the idea of an early recognition of the host species

from 1 to 3 DPI in the resistant interactions, and the subsequent activation of a large number of

genes at 3 DPI. Presumably, the fungus recognizes the host species and activates a similar gene

expression program during the first three days after inoculation on both susceptible and resistant

hosts to initiate colonization of the plant leaves.

Gene Ontology and enrichment analyses during the non-host interaction on barley

368   The significantly enriched GO categories among the down-regulated genes at 1 and 3

DPI in the comparisons of both susceptible and resistant interactions against the non-host inter-

action reveal some insights into the early response of the pathogen during the interaction on the

non-host species. The enriched GO terms include those involved in the unfolded protein response

(UPR) in fungi including unfolded protein binding (GO:0051082), and protein folding chaperone

(GO:0044183) (Fig. 8). UPR is a stress-adaptive pathway that is activated under adverse envi-

ronmental conditions and is involved in regulation of virulence traits in fungi (Guirao-Abad et

al., 2022; Weichert et al., 2020).

376   Additionally, the down-regulated genes in *Z. tritici* at 10 DPI in the comparison between

377   the susceptible interaction and the non-host interaction were significantly enriched in GO catego-

378   ries including vacuolar acidification (GO:0007035), intracellular pH reduction (GO:0051452),

379   regulation of intracellular pH (GO:0051453), vacuolar proton transporting (GO:0000221), ubiq-

380   uitin ligase complex (GO:0019005) and nuclear ubiquitin ligase complex (GO:0043224) (Fig. 8).

381   The KEGG pathway enrichment analysis showed that 5 pathways were significantly en-

riched among the up-regulated genes at 1 DPI during the susceptible interaction compared to the

non-host interaction. These were glycolysis/gluconeogenesis, carbon metabolism, biosynthesis of

secondary metabolites, biosynthesis of antibiotics and fructose and mannose metabolism. At 3

DPI three pathways were enriched, which include pyruvate metabolism, carbon metabolism and

glycolysis and gluconeogenesis.

Three glycoside hydrolases (GHs) were significantly up-regulated at 1 DPI, and 6 CA-

Zymes were up-regulated at 3 DPI, including 1 glycosyl transferase (GT), 4 GHs and 1 carbohy-

drate-binding molecule (CBM). At 6 and 10 DPI, 3 GHs, 5 GTs and 1 CBM were up-regulated

(Supplementary Fig. S3). Also at 1 and 3 DPI, we were able to detect 7 and 9 SSPs that were up-

regulated in the susceptible versus the non-host interaction.

Expression of *Z. tritici* candidate effectors during early disease development

To gain further insight into *Z. tritici* pathogenicity, we used a set of established programs

for effector identification (Hallgren et al., 2022; Sperschneider & Dodds, 2022; Teufel et al.,

2022) using the predicted *Z. tritici* secretome, and selected thirty-one candidates with increased

transcript abundance during the early stages of infection (1 and 3 DPI) in the susceptible interac-

tion compared to the non-host interaction. The thirty-one selected effector candidates range in

size from 68 to 554 amino acids (Table 4). Although the majority of the putative effectors do not

contain subcellular-targeting peptide sequences, several encode predicted nuclear localization

signals (NLS), chloroplast transit peptides (cTPs), or mitochondrial-targeting sequences (Table

4). One putative effector, Mycgr394290, includes multiple predicted subcellular localization sig-

nals including a cTP, mitochondrial targeting sequence, and a NLS (Table 4). Further examina-

tion of the candidate effectors revealed that two proteins, Mycgr3101991 and Mycgr3102792,

contain predicted NLS, and one, Mycgr3106456, encoded a predicted cTP. Although most of the

candidate effectors are annotated as uncharacterized, two are annotated as having peptidoglycan-

binding LysM domains. Furthermore, two effector candidates encode Hce2-like domains, named

after the homologs of *Cladosporium fulvum* Ecp2 (Stergiopoulos et al., 2012). Ecp2 is a secreted

protein initially identified as a virulence factor in *C. fulvum* that belongs to a widely distributed

superfamily of putative fungal effectors (Stergiopoulos et al., 2012). Another effector candidate

is annotated as an allergen V5/Tpx-1-related protein, another as a heat shock protein, and one as

a cerato-platanin protein. The putative function of members of these families as fungal effectors

is described below.

Some of the effector candidates with annotations have been predicted to function as ef-

fectors or toxic compounds in other fungal plant pathogens, and some have been observed to be

differentially expressed in previous transcriptome studies on *Z. tritici* (Mirzadi Gohari et al.,

2015; Rudd et al., 2015; Yang et al., 2013). For example, five Hce2 domain-containing effectors

have been identified in *Valsa mali*, the causal agent of Valsa canker in apple. One of these effec-

tors induced cell death when transiently expressed in *N. benthamiana* (Zhang et al., 2019). In the

genome of the wheat pathogen *Fusarium graminearum*, there are two genes that encode putative

cerato-platanin proteins, which function as elicitors of defense responses on *Arabidopsis* leaves

(Quarantin et al., 2019). A novel cerato-platanin-like effector protein from *Fusarium oxysporum*

f. sp *cubense* triggers a hypersensitive response and systemic acquired resistance in tobacco (Li

et al., 2019). Additionally, a *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum* cerato-platanin induces cell death in *N.*

benthamiana and interacts with a PR-1 protein in the apoplast to facilitate infection (Yang et al.,

2018). Finally, LysM domain-containing effectors from *Z. tritici* and *C. fulvum* have been previ-

ously characterized and shown to suppress chitin-induced plant immunity and to protect fungal

hyphae from plant chitinase activity (Marshall et al., 2011; Sánchez-Vallet et al., 2013, 2020;

Tian et al., 2021). Overall, the evidence from other fungal pathogens suggests that the candidate

effectors identified in the present study could have a functional role at the early stages of infec-

tion.

432   The gene expression profiles of the thirty-one selected effector candidates were compared

across all time points using the log-transformed normalized counts of each gene in the individual

samples of the susceptible interaction. Our analyses reveal three clusters of candidate effector

genes based on similarities in their gene expression patterns (Fig. 9). Each cluster contains candi-

date effector genes that are commonly regulated at specific time points. We found a cluster con-

sisting of 10 candidate effectors that were commonly up-regulated at 1 and 3 DPI compared to

the average relative expression of the 31 selected candidate effector genes. A second cluster,

containing 10 commonly up-regulated genes, was identified at 10 and 17 DPI, and a third cluster

of 11 genes was identified at 23 DPI (Fig. 9).

Subcellular localization patterns of *Z. tritici* candidate effector-fluorescent protein fusions

443   Since several candidate effector proteins encode predicted subcellular-targeting se-

quences, we investigated the localization of six of these putative effectors to plant cellular com-

partments. These effectors were chosen based on their gene expression profiles (Fig. 9), with

three (Mycgr3109710, Mycgr394290, Mycgr3106502) exhibiting higher expression at either 1 or

3 DPI, and the other three (Mycgr3107904, Mycgr3104794, Mycgr3111221) showing increased

expression after 10 DPI. The localization of specific proteins can be determined by creating fluo-

rescent protein (FP) fusion constructs (Berg & Beachy, 2008), where an FP is attached to the

protein of interest, enabling visualization within intact tissues (Tanz et al., 2013). This approach

has been applied to study viral and fungal proteins, allowing researchers to examine their interac-

tions with plant organelles (Helm et al., 2022; Li et al., 2020; Petre et al., 2016). To test the lo-

calization of the six putative effectors, we fused super Yellow Fluorescent Protein (sYFP) to the

C-terminus of candidate effector sequences, with and without their predicted signal peptides. We

also examined the subcellular localization of the remaining 21 putative effectors using their ma-

ture protein sequences (lacking predicted signal peptides) and include the results in the supple-

mentary data. These constructs were transiently expressed in *Nicotiana benthamiana* using *Agro-*

bacterium-mediated transformation (agroinfiltration), and their localization patterns were exam-

ined using laser-scanning confocal microscopy (Helm et al., 2022; Jaiswal et al., 2023; Rogers et

al., 2024). We used free sYFP as a negative control to establish a baseline for comparison, as it is

a derivative of green fluorescent protein (GFP) and is used commonly to assess protein localiza-

tion in plant cells (Berg & Beachy, 2008; Tanz et al., 2013). Due to their small size, FPs localize

to both the cytoplasm and nucleus, providing a reference for identifying specific protein localiza-

tion (Tanz et al., 2013).

In our analysis, if the fluorescence signal from an effector-sYFP fusion is observed in

both the nucleus and cytosol, we consider the localization non-informative, as it matches the dis-

tribution of free sYFP. This suggests that the effector lacks a location-specific signal sequence to

direct the fusion protein to a particular compartment. However, if the fluorescence signal is de-

tected in a distinct organelle rather than both the nucleus and cytosol, so it is distinguishable

from the localization of free sYFP, it indicates that the effector likely contains a targeting se-

quence that directs the sYFP to a specific subcellular compartment, confirming its potential lo-

calization.

Additionally, one challenge of using FPs in plants is the natural autofluorescence of cel-

lular components such as cell walls and plastids, which can overlap with FP signals (Tanz et al.,

2013). However, modern confocal microscopes can largely account for this background auto-

fluorescence (Tanz et al., 2013). To further rule out autofluorescence, we examined *N. bentham-*

iana leaves infiltrated with *Agrobacterium* expressing a protein construct tagged with hemagglu-

tinin (HA) instead of sYFP (Supplementary Fig. S5) and also imaged an uninfiltrated leaf at the

sYFP excitation wavelength under the confocal microscope (Supplementary Fig. S6). In both

cases we did not observe autofluorescence.

Live-cell imaging of *N. benthamiana* epidermal cells revealed that fluorescence signals

from Mycgr3111221, Mycgr3106502, and Mycgr3104794, when expressed without their signal

peptides, were indistinguishable from those of the free sYFP controls and were thus considered

to have a non-informative localization (Fig. 10A). The fluorescence signal from My-

cgr3107904:sYFP without its signal peptide was predominantly observed in the cytosol (Fig.

10A), suggesting that Mycgr3107904 may be cytosolic. However, it also could be associated

with the plasma membrane or the apoplastic space, and further analyses will be required to con-

firm its precise localization. Intriguingly, Mycgr3109710:sYFP and Mycgr394290:sYFP, when

expressed without their signal peptides, labeled what appear to be cytosolic bodies or punctuate

structures, and these punctuate structures appear to be mobile for Mycgr3109710:sYFP (Fig. 12,

Supplementary video 1).

When expressed with their native signal peptides, Mycgr3106502, Mycgr3104794, My-

cgr3109710, and Mycgr394290 predominantly localized to the cytosol, with no detectable fluo-

rescence in the nucleus (Fig. 10B). This distinct pattern, compared to the nucleus-cytosol locali-

zation of the free sYFP control, suggests that these effectors may be cytosolic. However, further

observations including co-localization markers and plasmolysis are required to exclude the po-

tential localization of these effectors to the plasma membrane or the apoplastic space. In contrast,

Mycgr3111221, when expressed with its signal peptide, labeled both the cytosol and nucleus, re-

sulting in a non-informative localization pattern indistinguishable from that of free sYFP. My-

cgr3107904 did not show a fluorescent signal at 48 hours post infiltration, likely indicating pro-

tein degradation or rapid delivery into the apoplast (Fig. 10B).

Immunoblot analyses were used to confirm the expression and integrity of the *Z. tritici*

effector–fluorescent protein fusions in *N. benthamiana*. This assay revealed that, of the twenty-

seven candidate effectors screened, twenty-six accumulated at detectable levels when transiently

expressed without their predicted signal peptides (Supplementary Fig. S8). When expressed with

their signal peptides, five of six predicted effectors showed detectable accumulation (Supplemen-

tary Fig. S9). While Mycgr3104794 accumulated at a low level, Mycgr3107904 did not produce

an observable band in the immunoblot assay (Supplementary Fig. S9). Notably, for several effec-

tors expressed with or without their signal peptides, we observed multiple bands in the im-

munoblots, often with one band corresponding to the expected size and additional bands of lower

molecular weight (Supplementary Figs. S8 and S9). This pattern suggests possible post-transla-

tional cleavage, partial degradation, or the presence of processing intermediates, which may af-

fect the stability or integrity of the effector fusions. In some cases, the lower-molecular-weight

bands were approximately the size of free sYFP, raising the possibility that the fluorescence ob-

served in both the cytosol and nucleus for some effectors may be due to free sYFP rather than the

intact fusion protein.

518   **Discussion**

Comparative RNAseq analysis clearly shows that different suites of genes are expressed

by *Z. tritici* during its biotrophic and necrotrophic infection stages. The comparison of the infec-

tion time course in the susceptible host and the two resistant hosts, allows us to identify genes

that are necessary for or participate in the transition from the biotrophic to the necrotrophic

growth stages. The sudden increase in the number of differentially expressed genes at 10 days

post inoculation coincides with the onset of visible lesions on the leaves (Supplementary Fig.

S1). This aligns with expectations, as previous studies have demonstrated that *Z. tritici* under-

goes significant transcriptomic reprogramming during the transition to its necrotrophic phase

(Kellner et al., 2014; Palma-Guerrero et al., 2017; Rudd et al., 2015; Yang et al., 2013) prior to

the exponential growth in fungal biomass that occurs in susceptible cultivars beginning 18-20

DPI (Adhikari et al., 2025). Our results provide the first confirmation that the main difference in

the pathogen gene expression in a susceptible compared to a resistant interaction occurs at the

transition stage rather than during the early infection stage (1-6 DPI), consistent with the low lev-

els of fungal biomass reported in both resistant and susceptible interactions before 10 DPI (Adhi-

kari et al., 2025). These results also reveal that *Z. tritici* deploys a similar gene activation pattern

and comparable molecular mechanisms during the symptomless early stage of the disease in a

susceptible interaction and in resistant host interactions.

In previous comparisons of host and non-host interactions, investigators found that when

other grass species, such as *Brachypodium distachyon* or *Agropyron repens* are inoculated with

Z. tritici, the pathogen penetrates the leaf surface through stomata, but the infection is halted in

the substomatal cavity (Kellner et al., 2014). This suggests an early recognition and interaction

between the host and pathogen, during which *Z. tritici* can escape detection or manipulate host

defenses in susceptible wheat lines to penetrate the leaves, colonize the plant, and initiate the

symptomless phase (Kellner et al., 2014).

Comparison of gene expression in susceptible and resistant wheat cultivars to gene ex-

pression in a non-host allows us to examine genes involved in initiating/supporting host coloni-

zation and avoiding pathogen recognition. We suggest that some of these genes may play a role

in early epiphytic growth, stomatal detection and penetration by *Z. tritici* during its biotrophic

phase. Previous research shows that while *Z. tritici* can colonize epiphytically in susceptible, re-

sistant, and some non-host species, its penetration attempt efficiency is significantly lower in

barley accessions (about 10% less) compared to near-isogenic wheat lines (NILs) containing *Stb*

resistance genes (Battache et al., 2024). This difference may help explain the variation in early-

stage transcriptomic responses in host and non-host interactions. It is possible that *Z. tritici* pos-

sesses a more efficient mechanism for stomatal recognition and penetration of its host species,

which could influence gene expression, survival, and pathogenicity.

Battache et al. (2024) found no difference in penetration attempt efficiency and a similar

percentage of reached stomata, in susceptible and resistant interactions in NILs. Additionally, *Z.*

tritici can undergo both asexual and sexual reproduction during its phase of epiphytic growth,

even on resistant wheat cultivars (Fones et al., 2023). This is supported by the similar expression

profiles that we observe in susceptible and resistant interactions from 1 to 6 DPI. This is particu-

larly interesting, as previous research also has shown that *Z. tritici* can grow epiphytically for up

to 10 days on both wheat and non-host species like barley (Battache et al., 2024; Fones et al.,

2017). However, the efficiency of preventing stomatal penetration by *Z. tritici* varies according

to the resistance types, with *Stb6*-mediated and barley non-host resistance being the most effec-

tive among those tested (Battache et al., 2024). Understanding the expression profile of *Z. tritici*

associated with its extended epiphytic growth is an important area for future research which

could provide valuable insights into the symptomless infection phase and help inform early-stage

disease management strategies.

Because we noticed similar expression profiles in *Z. tritici* during both susceptible and

resistant interactions at early time points, and given the resistance response in cultivars carrying

Stb6, we became interested in the expression dynamics of *AvrStb6*, the apoplastic effector of *Z.*

tritici that is recognized by Stb6. To explore this, we re-analyzed our RNAseq data (see Supple-

mentary Excel material). Given that *AvrStb6* was not predicted in the JGI annotation (Goodwin

et al., 2011) originally used for our analysis, we mapped the reads against a recently released *Z.*

tritici genome annotation that correctly includes this gene (Lapalu et al., 2025). At 1 DPI,

AvrStb6 showed high expression in all host interactions (susceptible and resistant) compared to

the non-host, where no transcripts were detected. Notably, expression was strongest in the re-

sistant interaction with Israel493, while levels in the susceptible interaction and in Veranopolis

were lower. At 3 DPI, *AvrStb6* expression decreased in all host interactions and remained absent

in the non-host. By 10 DPI, expression was strongly upregulated in the susceptible interaction,

coinciding with the appearance of the first visible disease symptoms. In contrast, both resistant

interactions showed only low transcript counts at this stage, which likely reflects reduced fungal

biomass in resistant plants. Across these time points, *AvrStb6* was never detected in the non-host

interaction. These results suggest that *AvrStb6* is transiently expressed early during infection and

peaks later in the susceptible interaction, supporting a role in virulence, while its recognition by

Stb6 explains its avirulence function in resistant cultivars.

Our results confirm that the transcriptomic response in *Z. tritici* is significantly different

during the early infection stage in both susceptible and resistant interactions on the host species

compared to the non-host interaction with barley. *Z. tritici* activates a different gene expression

program, implying that it uses different molecular mechanisms at 1 and 3 DPI when interacting

with susceptible or resistant wheat cultivars, compared to its program when interacting with a

non-host species. This suggests that non-host species may recognize and neutralize *Z. tritici* at a

very early stage, preventing the implementation in the pathogen of its normal biotrophic growth

program. Future research on the mechanisms of host recognition through the analysis of non-host

interactions should incorporate transcriptomic data with increased sequencing depth to capture

low-abundance transcripts, especially during the early stages of disease and in non-host interac-

tions where there is limited fungal growth. This deeper analysis should be complemented by ad-

ditional experiments that accurately monitor fungal growth, development and plant colonization

during non-host interactions. Such an integrated approach could provide insights into the mecha-

nisms of host recognition by the pathogen and inform strategies for developing wheat cultivars

with broad-spectrum resistance.

The highest number of DEGs in *Z. tritici* when comparing the susceptible interaction on

the cultivar Taichung 29 with both resistant interactions on Veranopolis and Israel 493 is seen at

10 DPI. Interestingly, based on a previous principal component analysis (PCA), Yang et al.

(2013) identified 13 DPI as the point with the greatest difference in transcription in a susceptible

interaction with the wheat cultivar Sevin. However, inspection of their Figure 3 shows that the

10 DPI and 13 DPI samples are very similar and that the largest change is actually between 4

DPI and 10 DPI. This supports our finding that the most important transcriptome reprogramming

in the pathogen, in a susceptible compared to a resistant interaction, occurs during the bio-

trophic/necrotrophic transition stage (10 DPI) rather than during the necrotrophic phase (13

DPI), revealing molecular mechanisms in *Z. tritici* that are activated at 10 DPI and determine the

outcome of the infection in each type of interaction. Rudd et al. (2015) found that, in a suscepti-

ble interaction compared to growth in Czapek-Dox broth culture medium, the highest number of

up-regulated genes in *Z. tritici* was observed during the transition to the necrotrophic stage (9

DPI), while in our study, it occurred at 10 DPI. Rudd et al. (2015) identified 1,389 DEGs at 9

DPI, while we found 601 and 587 total DEGs in the susceptible interaction compared to the re-

sistant *Stb2*-gene and *Stb3*-gene interactions, respectively. Again, these findings indicate that sig-

nificant transcriptional reprogramming in *Z. tritici* occurs during the transition stage, around 9-13

dpi, in a susceptible interaction compared to fungal growth in culture media or to infection of a

resistant host.

Yang et al. (2013) demonstrated distinct transcriptional responses during different phases

of the infection. Their PCA showed separation between the 4-day, 10-day, and 13-day infected

samples, representing the asymptomatic phase, the intermediate transition stage, and the necrot-

rophic phase, respectively. In our analyses, PCA identified four clusters for the replicates of the

susceptible interaction (C1: 1 and 3 DPI, indicated by the red circles and diamonds on Fig. 1; C2:

6 DPI, denoted by the red triangles on Fig. 1; C3: 10 DPI, red squares; C4: 17 and 23 DPI, red

circles and diamonds on Fig. 2). C1 contains all the replicates from both susceptible and resistant

host interactions, revealing a similar gene activation in the fungus at 1 and 3 DPI. From 6 DPI,

we observe the beginning of a change in transcriptional response in the susceptible and resistant

interactions according to PC2 of the PCA (Fig. 1). This time point marks the separation of repli-

cates from the susceptible interaction into their own cluster. In C3 and C4 gene expression pat-

terns in the susceptible interactions are clearly separated from all replicates of the resistant inter-

actions (Figs. 1 and 2). This finding strongly suggests the existence of defining molecular pro-

cesses in the pathogen that govern or result from the successful switch from the biotrophic phase

to the necrotrophic stage at 10 DPI, ultimately determining whether the interaction is susceptible

or resistant. In our analyses, 1,504 genes at 1, 3, 6, and 10 DPI and 222 genes at 17 and 23 DPI

were detectable and passed the normalization and filtering criteria for the comparison of the sus-

ceptible and resistant interactions. It is worth noting that DEGs identified at 10 DPI account for

approximately 40% of the normalized read counts, highlighting that expression of a large frac-

tion of all genes is reprogrammed during the transition to the necrotrophic stage in the suscepti-

ble interaction. These genes are likely involved in molecular pathways that determine the ability

of the pathogen to overcome the plant defense response and induce symptoms at around 10 DPI

in a susceptible interaction. Adhikari et al. (2025) showed that fungal biomass in two susceptible

interactions begins to increase exponentially after 18 DPI. The transcriptional changes indicated

by the clear separation of C4 in Fig. 2 reflects and supports this exponential growth of fungal bi-

omass. Interestingly, as demonstrated by previous research, changes in gene expression of the

pathogen are mirrored by those in the host at early and late points in the infection process (Adhi-

kari et al., 2007). The host responds during early detection of the pathogen and then again when

the pathogen transitions from biotrophic to necrotrophic growth (Adhikari et al., 2007).

649   KEGG analysis identified biosynthesis of antibiotics and biosynthesis of secondary me-

tabolites as significantly enriched pathways in the susceptible interaction compared with both re-

sistant interactions at 10 DPI. Similarly, in a study conducted on *Bipolaris maydis* on resistant

and susceptible non-CMS (cytoplasmic male sterile) maize genotypes, these same biosynthetic

pathways were exclusively enriched in susceptible interactions (Meshram et al., 2022). The au-

thors concluded that the successful pathogenicity of *B. maydis* depends on pathogen genes such

as toxin-related effector molecules, cell wall degradation of the host, detoxification, and host de-

fense evasion (Meshram et al., 2022). These findings agree with our observation that *Z. tritici* ac-

tivates an arsenal of biosynthetic pathways, CAZymes including CWDEs, and SSPs during the

transition to the necrotrophic stage in the compatible interaction. However, among the down-reg-

ulated genes, although we could not find significantly enriched pathways due to the low number

of genes that were annotated, we identified down-regulated CAZymes and SSPs at 10 DPI, such

as the alcohol dehydrogenase CAZyme family (AA3_2). This group includes enzymes with im-

portant roles in the fungal life cycle and colonization of the host (Gutiérrez-Corona et al., 2023).

In *B. cinerea,* an alcohol dehydrogenase regulates fungal development, environmental adaptation

and pathogenicity as observed in the morphology and virulence of knock-out mutants (DafaAlla

et al., 2022). The benzoquinone reductase family (AA6) also was down-regulated, which con-

tains intracellular enzymes involved in the biodegradation of aromatic compounds and in the

protection of fungal cells from reactive quinone compounds (Levasseur et al., 2013).

In the comparison of the susceptible and the resistant interactions to the non-host interac-

tion with barley, the main difference in the *Z. tritici* transcriptional responses occurs at 1 and 3

DPI in all the comparisons evaluated. We identified 285, 261 and 269 DEGs at 1 and 3 DPI in

the comparisons of the susceptible, the resistant *Stb2*-gene, and the resistant *Stb3*-gene interac-

tions with the non-host interaction, respectively. At 3 DPI the numbers of DEGs were always

higher than those at 10 DPI for the three comparisons, which reveals that the main difference in

the pattern of gene expression in the fungus during a host interaction compared to a non-host in-

teraction occurs at the early stage of infection rather than during the transition stage. We detected

352 genes with measurable counts at 10 DPI, indicating that the pathogen is able to grow and

colonize to a certain extent in the non-host species. In a previous report (Kellner et al., 2014), the

patterns of transcription in *Z. tritici* during infection in the susceptible wheat cultivar Obelisk and

in the non-host grass species *B. distachyon* were evaluated after 4 DPI. They found that genes

encoding proteins with putative secretion signals were enriched among those up-regulated during

infection of wheat and genes with plant-specific expression patterns. In our analysis, we observe

a higher proportion of small, secreted proteins (SSPs) in the up-regulated sets of genes at 1 and 3

DPI compared to the down-regulated sets.

684   Down-regulated genes at 1 and 3 DPI in the host interactions, compared to the non-host

interaction, were enriched in GO terms linked to the unfolded protein response (UPR). UPR is a

stress-adaptive pathway that modulates the accumulation of misfolded proteins in the endoplas-

mic reticulum (ER), typically activated when the pathogen faces an increased demand for protein

secretion (Weichert et al., 2020). It also helps pathogenic fungi survive under adverse environ-

mental conditions, such as the stress of infection (Guirao-Abad et al., 2022). Notably, plant-path-

ogenic fungi can exploit UPR to regulate virulence traits like thermotolerance, nutrient acquisi-

tion, effector secretion, and resistance to antimicrobial peptides (Weichert et al., 2020). In *Z. trit-*

ici, the need to deploy more secreted proteins for effective epiphytic colonization, combined with

a potential early resistance response from the non-host interaction, may create stress that triggers

UPR activation to meet the demands for survival in such a challenging environment. Interest-

ingly, at 10 DPI, genes down-regulated in the host interaction compared to the non-host were en-

riched for GO terms related to intracellular pH regulation and vacuolar acidification. Although

intracellular pH in fungi is typically stable, it can shift rapidly in response to environmental

stress, such as changes in extracellular pH or nutrient availability (Fernandes et al., 2017). In *As-*

pergillus niger, extracellular acidification induces a transient drop in cytosolic pH. Similar re-

sponses in *Fusarium oxysporum* and *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* lead to MAPK reprogramming,

suggesting a conserved role for pH-dependent signaling in morphogenesis and invasion (Fer-

nandes et al., 2017; 2023). Acid stress has also been shown to promote fungal survival by trig-

gering growth arrest (Lucena et al., 2020), while heat shock and cell wall stress cause transient

intracellular acidification that enhances stress tolerance (Triandafillou et al., 2020; Garcia et al.,

2017). The upregulation of genes involved in vacuolar acidification and pH regulation during the

non-host interaction suggests that *Z. tritici* may be activating adaptive mechanisms to counteract

stress, maintain pH homeostasis, and enable survival under unfavorable conditions.

Among the 31 selected effector candidates, an interesting finding is that effector candi-

date Mycgr3109710 localized to mobile cytosolic bodies near the cell periphery when expressed

without its signal peptide in *N. benthamiana*, whereas with its signal peptide, it was restricted to

the cytosol. Immunoblot analysis showed a clear band corresponding to the expected size of the

fusion protein in both cases, along with a lower band corresponding to free sYFP, suggesting

some degree of degradation or cleavage (Supplementary Fig. S8). However, the observed fluo-

rescence signal did not follow the typical diffuse nucleus-cytosol pattern associated with free

sYFP, indicating that the effector is likely localizing to specific compartments. This effector is

annotated as part of the Allergen V5/Tpx-1-related group, containing CAP/SCP domains charac-

teristic of the PR-1-like superfamily (Wangorsch et al., 2022). BLAST analysis confirmed ho-

mology with PR-1-like proteins from *Zymoseptoria brevis* and *Aureobasidium* sp. While PR-1-

like proteins are well studied in plants and animals, few studies exist in filamentous ascomycetes.

Notably, in *Fusarium graminearum*, deletion of a PR-1-like gene reduced virulence (Lu & Ed-

wards, 2018), and in *Moniliophthora* species, these genes are upregulated during biotrophic

stages (Teixeira et al., 2012). Mycgr3109710 also shows early infection expression (1 and 3

DPI), supporting its potential role in host–pathogen interactions. We hypothesize that My-

cgr3109710 targets the plant vesicle-trafficking system, given its localization to mobile punctate

structures resembling the multivesicular body localization reported for PR-1 variants from *Ara-*

bidopsis thaliana when expressed in *N. benthamiana* (Pečenková et al., 2022). To further con-

firm the localization of Mycgr3109710 to mobile cytosolic bodies in *N. benthamiana*, we rec-

orded a time-lapse series capturing the localization of the effector. This analysis revealed the dy-

namic motility of the observed cytosolic elements (Supplementary video 1).

Similarly, Mycgr394290, when expressed without its signal peptide, localizes to the nu-

cleus and labels cytosolic punctate structures with less mobility than those labeled by My-

cgr3109710. When expressed with its signal peptide, Mycgr394290 localizes predominantly to

the cytosol. We suggest that Mycgr394290, without its signal peptide, may target organelles in-

volved in sorting and trafficking of molecules. An example of a fungal effector with similar lo-

calization is Foa3 from *Fusarium oxysporum*, which functions within the plant cell to suppress

host defenses. Foa3 showed a weak signal in the cytosol but was strongly detected in punctate

structures when a secretory signal peptide was included (Tintor et al., 2022), opposite to what we

observed for Mycgr394290. However, their study demonstrates that Foa3 suppresses pattern-trig-

gered defense responses to the same extent regardless of whether the protein carries the signal

peptide (Tintor et al., 2022). Regarding the identity of the punctuate structures, Tintor et al.

(2022) proposed that the labeled structures may represent vesicles containing proteins targeted

for secretion. This is intriguing because Mycgr394290 encodes predicted mitochondrial, chloro-

plast, and nuclear localization signals according to LOCALIZER (Table 4). Although the exact

identity of the punctate subcellular structures labeled by Mycgr394290:sYFP is uncertain, it is

plausible that this protein acts to suppress the defense mechanisms of the plant in the same way

as Foa3, which is known to suppress the plant immune response.

Another candidate effector, Mycgr3107904, was mainly localized to the cytosol only

when expressed without its signal peptide. Interestingly, no fluorescent signal was detected when

the effector was expressed with its signal peptide, likely suggesting degradation or rapid translo-

cation into the apoplastic space. This effector is annotated as a Hce2 domain-containing protein.

Hce2 (named from **h**omologs of *C. fulvum* **E**cp2 effector) is a domain that causes plant cell

death, and is widely conserved in the fungal kingdom (Laugé et al., 1997; Zhang et al., 2019).

There are three predicted Hce2-containing effectors in the genome of *Z. tritici*: MgEcp2 (My-

cgr3104404); MgEcp2-2 (Mycgr3107904); and MgEcp2-3 (Mycgr3111636) (Stergiopoulos et

al., 2010, 2012). In addition, a fourth homolog of Ecp2 was found to be up-regulated in *Z. tritici*

at 8 DPI and then down-regulated at 12 DPI. This effector candidate induces necrosis in wheat in

a cultivar-dependent manner (Ben M'barek et al., 2015). In another study (Mirzadi Gohari et al.,

2015), Mycgr3104404, Mycgr3107904 and Mycgr3111636 were up-regulated during the transi-

tion to necrotrophy, and in the necrotrophic stage at 8 and at 12 DPI. In our study, My-

cgr3111636 was up-regulated at 1 DPI and at 10 DPI but we were unable to transform this effec-

tor candidate into *Agrobacterium*, suggesting a possible toxic effect on *Agrobacterium* cells. We

transformed Mycgr3107904, which was up-regulated at 10 DPI, and we found that the fluores-

cence signal was mainly localized to the cytosol. This is very interesting as the Ecp effector of *C.

fulvum* is one of the few proteins that can induce necrosis in tomato and tobacco plants irrespec-

tive of the signal peptide, which indicates that its host target is intracellular (Ben M'barek et al.,

2015). We did not observe fluorescence in a specific intracellular compartment, but the protein is

localized within the cytosol, which suggests a plausible intracellular target protein in the plant.

In our analysis, Mycgr3111221, which is a Lysin (LysM) domain-containing effector,

was up-regulated at the early stage of infection in the susceptible compared to the non-host inter-

action. There are three LysM effector genes identified and characterized in the *Z. tritici* genome

(Marshall et al., 2011; Sánchez-Vallet et al., 2020; Tian et al., 2021). Two of them, My-

cgr3111221 and Mycgr3105487, were previously shown to be up-regulated during the asympto-

matic phase (Marshall et al., 2011; Tian et al., 2021). Interestingly, Mycgr3111221 suppresses

chitin-induced plant immunity and protects fungal hyphae against plant chitinase activity (Mar-

shall et al., 2011). Mycgr3111221 is a homolog of Ecp6 effectors in *C. fulvum,* which are se-

creted directly into the apoplastic space where they perform their chitin-binding function

(Sánchez-Vallet et al., 2020). However, in our analysis, Mycgr3111221 shows a non-informative

localization in both the nucleus and cytosol, which is indistinguishable from the localization of

the free sYFP control. This occurs irrespective of the presence or absence of the putative signal

peptide.

Our findings also underscore the limitations of using sYFP fusions to assess effector sub-

cellular localization. While our localization assays provide some insight into the potential intra-

cellular compartments targeted by *Z. tritici* candidate effectors, several caveats must be consid-

ered. Fusion of sYFP to the C-terminus may interfere with proper protein folding, stability, traf-

ficking, or function, potentially resulting in mislocalization or loss of activity (Tian et al., 2004;

Snapp, 2005). In addition, fluorescent proteins like sYFP contain protease-sensitive regions that

may be cleaved during expression in plant cells, releasing the free fluorophore and producing

misleading localization signals unrelated to the effector itself (Chen et al., 2013).

These limitations are supported by our immunoblot results, which reveal degradation

products for several constructs. This is particularly evident for the candidate effectors expressed

with their native signal peptides (Supplementary Fig. S9). The inclusion of the fungal signal pep-

tide likely directs the protein into the plant secretory pathway, targeting it for secretion into the

apoplast or extracellular space (Petre & Kamoun, 2014). Within this pathway, the fusion protein

may undergo post-translational processing, including signal peptide removal or additional prote-

olytic cleavage at internal processing sites, as well as misfolding or degradation, especially in the

endoplasmic reticulum or apoplast. Such proteolytic processing can result in activation, inactiva-

tion, and completely altered protein function (Rogers and Overall, 2013). These cleavage events

could generate truncated protein fragments that are still detected by immunoblot but would not

necessarily retain the fluorescent tag, potentially leading to misleading or incomplete localization

patterns.

One type of cleavage site that could influence post processing is KEX2. Bioinformatics

identification of KEX2 cleavage sites in secreted proteins from *Z. tritici* could provide insights

into the proteolytic processing that some candidate effectors undergo within the secretory path-

way, but so far this has not been performed. The KEX2 cleavage site is highly conserved among

fungi and its characteristic motifs are recognized by Kex2 proteases involved in protein pro-

cessing (Ding et al., 2016; Le Marquer et al., 2019). Studies on KEX2-processed repeat proteins

(KEPs) in fungal secretomes revealed that plant pathogens displayed a larger number of KEPs

than animal pathogens or saprotrophs (Le Marquer et al., 2019). They also found that, among

fungal species with the largest numbers of KEPs, twelve of them interacted with plants either as

symbionts, endophytes or pathogens, suggesting that KEPs may play a role in the diversity of

mechanisms deployed by fungi to interact with their plant hosts (Le Marquer et al., 2019).

Whether KEPs are important for *Z. tritici* is not known but their identification and analysis could

be a subject for future research.

Additionally, because candidate effectors are secreted, they may not be present in the to-

tal intracellular protein extract, resulting in weak or undetectable signal in standard immunoblot

analyses. Therefore, observed localization patterns should be interpreted with caution and ideally

validated through complementary approaches, such as apoplastic fluid extraction, plasmolysis,

confocal imaging with co-localization markers, or ER retention constructs. In this context, the

immunoblot analyses presented in Supplementary Figs. 8-9 provide evidence of post-transla-

tional cleavage and degradation, and should be considered a primary line of support for the limi-

tations of the localization experiments.

Future studies also should assess the biological relevance of these effectors by evaluating

their potential roles in suppressing plant immunity through functional assays, including ROS

burst suppression, necrosis induction, and inhibition of NLR-triggered responses. Further func-

tional characterization of the effectors with their signal peptides is particularly important because

many cloned resistance proteins in wheat that recognize *Z. tritici* effectors are localized in the

plasma membrane. For example, Stb6 is a wall-associated kinase (WAK) with an extracellular

domain that recognizes the apoplastic AvrStb6 effector (Brown et al., 2015; Saintenac et al.,

2018; Zhong et al., 2017). Similarly, *Stb16q* encodes a cysteine-rich, wall-associated-like recep-

tor kinase that likely interacts with a fungal carbohydrate to trigger resistance (Saintenac et al.,

2021), and *Stb15* encodes a G-type lectin receptor-like kinase (LecRK) with extracellular do-

mains that mediate recognition (Hafeez et al., 2025). Therefore, assessing the activity and locali-

zation of effectors with their signal peptides will provide a more comprehensive understanding

of their roles in pathogen-host interactions.

In summary, we have characterized the *Z. tritici* transcriptome during susceptible, re-

sistant, and non-host interactions and identified key temporal shifts in gene expression dynamics.

A central finding of this work is that the most significant divergence in fungal transcriptional

profiles between susceptible and resistant wheat cultivars occurs during the transition to necrot-

rophy around 10 DPI, whereas early infection stages (1 and 3 DPI) show highly similar expres-

sion patterns. In addition, comparison with the non-host interaction on barley reveals that major

differences in gene expression emerge early, suggesting that non-host resistance acts during the

initial stages of infection. These findings provide new insights into how *Z. tritici* tailors its tran-

scriptional programs in response to different host environments. Pathway and gene function en-

richment analyses of each interaction type identified 31 effector candidates that are upregulated

early during susceptible interactions. This early induction highlights their potential roles in host

colonization and immune modulation. Together, our results advance our understanding of tem-

poral and interaction-specific transcriptional reprogramming in *Z. tritici.* Future investigations

will address whether the effector candidates identified in our study have a functional role in fun-

gal pathogenicity as well as suppress immune responses in wheat.

851   **Materials and Methods**

Plant material and inoculum preparation

Highly susceptible wheat cultivar Taichung 29, R-gene resistant cultivars Veranopolis

(*Stb2* resistance gene) and Israel 493 (*Stb3*), and the non-host barley cultivar Kindred were

grown in a greenhouse in Metro-mix 500 series potting soil (SunGro Horticulture, Bellevue,

WA), with a constant 16-h light and 8-h dark cycle for 2-3.5 weeks. Wheat and barley were

planted in three biological replicates consisting of 3 plants per 4-inch pot. A 1 cm^2 piece of ly-

ophilized filter paper stock (stored at -80℃) of isolate IPO323 of *Z. tritici* was placed into 100

ml of yeast-sucrose broth with 100 µl of kanamycin sulfate (25 mg/ml). The flask was placed on

a shaker at 25ºC for 3 days. The inoculum concentration was adjusted to 1.0×10^6 conidia/ml,

and 1 µl of Tween 20 (polysorbate 20) was added per 100 ml of inoculum. The inoculum was

evenly hand sprayed over 14-days-old (2nd-3rd leaf stage) wheat and barley plants. Inoculated

plants were enclosed in a humidity chamber draped in plastic and muslin for 72 h, then removed

to normal growth chamber conditions for the duration of the experiment. Emerging leaves of

wheat and barley were trimmed every 2-3 days to ensure that the inoculated leaves remained

"healthy" and that only inoculated tissue was harvested.

Nicotiana benthamiana was grown in Berger Seed Germination and Propagation Mix

supplemented with Osmocote slow-release fertilizer (14-14-14). Plants were maintained in a

growth chamber with a 16h:8h light:dark photoperiod at 24°C with light and 22°C in the dark

and 60% humidity with average light intensities at plant height of 130 µmols/m^2/s.

RNA extraction and sequencing

Wheat and barley leaf tissue was harvested by clipping 2 leaves per plant per replicate

and bulking 3 plants per replicate. Leaf tissue was harvested at 1, 3, 6, 10, 17 and 23 days post

inoculation (DPI). All harvested leaf material was immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen. RNA

was extracted using the RNeasy Plant Mini kit (Qiagen). For each replicate, 1 µg of total RNA

was used to produce cDNA libraries (Purdue Genomics Core Facility, West Lafayette, IN) for

RNA sequencing. The sequencing was done using Illumina HiSeq producing 100-base, paired-

end (PE) reads. Read quality was checked with FastQC (Andrews, 2010) before and after trim-

ming to remove low-quality and residual adapter sequences (Trimmomatic v.0.36). All reads

were mapped to the reference genome of the *Z. tritici* IPO323 strain (*M. graminicola* v.2.0) re-

trieved from the Joint Genome Institute (JGI) (Goodwin et al., 2011) using BBMap (v 35.85;

Bushnell B., sourceforge.net/projects/bbmap/). Reads mapped to the reference genome were

counted using HTSeq (Anders et al., 2015). Fewer fungal reads were detected in all the samples

of the non-host interaction, and in the samples of the resistant interactions at 17 and 23 DPI com-

pared to the samples of the susceptible interaction, due to reduced fungal growth in the resistant

wheat cultivars at the late stage and in the non-host species barley. As a result, for the compari-

sons of susceptible versus resistant interactions, and susceptible versus non-host interactions, we

removed from the whole dataset the genes that did not have any measurable counts in the repli-

cates of the resistant and non-host interactions at specific time points. This allowed us to improve

the normalization of the counts and the consistency between replicates. This approach reduced

the total number of DEGs that we were able to quantify at each time point, but we could accu-

rately detect significant differences in the highly expressed genes in the samples and reduce the

false positives. We encountered limitations in the analysis due to the low read counts obtained in

the replicates of the resistant interactions and non-host interaction. These limitations include re-

duced sensitivity in detecting low-abundance transcripts or lower-expressed genes in the patho-

gen, and difficulty in assigning functional annotations or representative GO terms for some sets

of DEGs.

900   Data were normalized and filtered using the R package DESeq2 v.4.0.3 (Love et al.,

2014), which uses the median of ratios method to determine the size factors and shrinkage for

variance estimation. The normalization for the comparison of susceptible versus resistant interac-

tions was conducted on two groups of samples. One group contains the samples at 1, 3, 6 and 10

DPI, and the other group contains the samples at 17 and 23 DPI. We used this approach because

very few reads were detected in the samples of the resistant interactions at 17 and 23 DPI, result-

ing in many genes without measurable expression. Similarly, in the comparison of susceptible

and resistant interactions versus the non-host interaction, the normalization was conducted on

one group that contains the samples from 1 and 3 DPI, a second group of samples from 6 and 10

DPI, and a third group that contains the samples at 17 and 23 DPI. Differential gene expression

analysis for the pathogen between inoculation treatment samples within each 6-point time course

was completed using DESeq2 v.4.0.3 (Love et al., 2014). Significant DEGs were determined at

FDR = 5% and using a cutoff of 1-fold change in expression between comparisons.

GO and KEGG pathway enrichment analyses

Differentially expressed genes (DEGs) were subjected to Gene Ontology (GO) enrich-

ment analysis using gProfiler (Raudvere et al., 2019). *Mycosphaerella graminicola* v.2.0 genes

with detectable counts in our analysis were used as the background reference for *Z. tritici*. The

significance threshold was determined by the default gProfiler method, the g:SCS method, which

corresponds to an experiment-wide threshold of $\alpha = 0.05$ (Raudvere et al., 2019). KOBAS v.3.0.

(Bu et al., 2021) supports *Z. tritici* and was used to calculate KEGG pathway enrichment

(Kanehisa et al., 2017) of the significantly expressed genes; significance was determined by

Fisher's exact test (Fisher, 1925) using the Benjamini and Hochberg method to correct for multi-

ple testing (Benjamini and Hochberg 1995).

Selection of candidate effectors from *Z. tritici*

Effector predictions were performed as described previously by Helm et al. (2022) with

modifications. We used SignalP (v6.0) (Teufel et al., 2022) and Phobius (v1.01) to predict signal

peptide sequences and we applied a probability threshold of 0.9. The absence of transmembrane

domains was predicted using Phobius (v1.01) (Käll et al., 2004) and DeepTMHMM (v1.0.24)

(Hallgren et al., 2022). EffectorP (v.3.0) (Sperschneider & Dodds, 2022) was used to select *Z.*

tritici proteins that contained effector-like sequence characteristics. LOCALIZER (v.1.0.4)

(Sperschneider et al., 2017) and ApoplastP (v.1.0) (Sperschneider et al., 2018) were used to pre-

dict the possible localization of the candidate effectors. The list of *Z. tritici* putative effectors is

shown in Table 4.

935
Generation of plant expression constructs

Constructs were generated using a modified multisite Gateway cloning (Invitrogen) strat-

egy (Helm et al., 2022; Qi et al., 2012). The 3xHA:sYFP (free super yellow fluorescent protein)

construct has been described previously (Helm et al., 2022; Jaiswal et al., 2023).

The attL1 and attL4 Gateway recombination sequences were fused to the 5' and 3' ends

of the open reading frames (ORFs) of each *Z. tritici* candidate effector, thereby generating Gate-

way-compatible donor clones. A commercial gene synthesis company (Azenta Life Sciences)

was used to synthesize 31 candidate effector genes without predicted signal peptides and six of

the candidate effector genes with their predicted signal peptides. The sequences were inserted

into the pUC57 plasmid by the service provider and designated pDONR(L1-L4):ZtEC.

To generate the ZtEC:sYFP protein fusions, the pDONR(L1-L4):ZtEC constructs were

mixed with pBSDONR(L4r-L2):sYFP (Helm et al., 2022; Qi et al., 2012) and recombined into

the plant expression plasmid pEG100 (Earley et al., 2006) using LR Clonase II (Invitrogen) fol-

lowing the manufacturer's instructions. The resulting constructs were verified by sequencing and

subsequently used for transient expression assays.

Agrobacterium*-mediated transient protein expression in *N. benthamiana

Agrobacterium-mediated transient expression was performed as described previously

(Helm et al., 2022) with modifications. The constructs described above were mobilized into *Ag-*

robacterium tumefaciens strain GV3101 (pMP90) and grown in Luria-Bertani (LB) broth supple-

mented with 25 µg of gentamicin sulfate and 50 µg of kanamycin per milliliter at 30°C for two

days. Transformants were inoculated into 10 mL of liquid LB containing the appropriate antibi-

otics and were shaken overnight at 30°C at 250 rpm. Following overnight incubation, the cells

were pelleted by centrifuging at 3,800 rpm for 3 minutes and resuspended in 10 mM $MgCl_2$. The

bacterial suspensions were adjusted to an optical density at 600 nm (OD_{600}) of 0.5 and incubated

with 100 µM acetosyringone for 3-4 hours at room temperature prior to agroinfiltration. Bacte-

rial suspensions were infiltrated into the abaxial surface of 3- to 4-week-old *N. benthamiana*

leaves with a needleless syringe.

964
Laser scanning confocal microscopy

Live-cell imaging of *N. benthamiana* epidermal cells was performed forty-eight hours

post agroinfiltration using a Zeiss LSM880 Axio Examiner upright confocal microscope

equipped with a Plan Apochromat 20×/0.8 objective (pinhole 1.0 AU). The sYFP-tagged fusion

proteins were excited using a 514-nm argon laser, and fluorescence signals were detected be-

tween 517 nm and 562 nm. All confocal micrographs were processed using the Zeiss Zen Blue

Lite program. Image analysis was performed using the Fiji plugin of Image J 2.0.0

(http://fiji.sc/Fiji).

973
974 **Immunoblot analyses**
975

Immunoblot analyses were performed as described previously with slight modifications

(Helm et al., 2022; Jaiswal et al., 2023). Nine leaf discs of *N. benthamiana* (0.15 g per sample)

were collected at 48 hours after agroinfiltration and flash frozen in liquid nitrogen. Frozen tissue

was homogenized with 2 volumes of protein extraction buffer (150 mM NaCl, 50 mM Tris [pH

7.5], 0.1% Nonidet P-40 [Sigma-Aldrich], 1% plant protease inhibitor cocktail [Sigma-Aldrich],

and 1% 2,2'-dipyridyl disulfide) using a cold ceramic mortar and pestle. The solution was centri-

fuged at 13,000 × g for 15 minutes at 4°C. Seventy-five microliters of the collected supernatants

were combined with 25 µL of 4× Laemmli Buffer (BioRad) supplemented with beta-mercap-

toethanol. Total protein samples were separated on a 4-20% Tris-glycine stain-free polyacryla-

mide gel (BioRad) at 180 V for 1 hour in 1× Tris/glycine/SDS running buffer. Total proteins

were transferred to a nitrocellulose membrane and subsequently blocked in 5% skim milk for 1

hour at room temperature. Horseradish peroxidase-conjugated anti-GFP antibody (1:5,000) (Mil-

tenyi Biotec) was used to detect sYFP-tagged recombinant proteins. Immunoblots were incu-

bated for 5 minutes at room temperature with Supersignal West Femto maximum sensitivity sub-

strates (Thermo Scientific) and developed using an ImageQuant 500 CCD imaging system

(Cytiva).

Acknowledgments

The authors thank Drs. Terri Cameron and Ian Thompson (USDA-ARS, Crop Production

and Pest Control Research Unit) for technical assistance and the Purdue University Imaging Fa-

cility for access to the Zeiss LSM880 Axio Examiner upright confocal microscope. Two anony-

mous reviewers provided helpful comments for improving previous drafts of the manuscript. The

funding body had no role in designing the experiments, collecting the data, or writing the manu-

script. All opinions expressed in this paper are the authors' and do not necessarily reflect the pol-

icies and views of USDA. USDA is an equal opportunity provider and employer.

1001
1002 **Funding**

1003   This research was funded by the United States Department of Agriculture, Agricultural

1004 Research Service (USDA-ARS) research project 5020-21220-014-00D.

1005

1006   **Literature Cited**

Adhikari, T. B., Balaji, B., Breeden, J. D. and Goodwin, S.B. 2007. Resistance of wheat to *My-*

cosphaerella graminicola involves early and late peaks of gene expression. Physiol. Mol.

Plant Pathol. 71: 55–68.

Adhikari, T. B., Balaji, B. and Goodwin, S. B. 2025. Real-time quantitative PCR method for as-

sessing wheat cultivars for resistance to *Zymoseptoria tritici*. Front. Plant Sci.

16:1605156. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2025.1605156.

Alassimone, J., Praz, C., Lorrain, C., De Francesco, A., Carrasco-López, C., Faino, L., Shen, Z.,

Meile, L., and Sánchez-Vallet, A. 2024. The *Zymoseptoria tritici* avirulence factor

AvrStb6 accumulates in hyphae close to stomata and triggers a wheat defense response

hindering fungal penetration. Molecular Plant-Microbe Interactions 37: 432–444.

https://doi.org/10.1094/MPMI-11-23-0181-R.

Amezrou, R., Audéon, C., Compain, J., Gélisse, S., Ducasse, A., Saintenac, C., Lapalu, N.,

Louet, C., Orford, S., Croll, D., Amselem, J., Fillinger, S., and Marcel, T. C. 2023. A se-

creted protease-like protein in *Zymoseptoria tritici* is responsible for avirulence on *Stb9*

resistance gene in wheat. PLOS Pathogens 19: e1011376. https://doi.org/10.1371/jour-

nal.ppat.1011376.

Anders, S., Pyl, P. T., and Huber, W. (2015). HTSeq—A Python framework to work with high-

throughput sequencing data. Bioinformatics 31: 166–169. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioin-

formatics/btu638.

Andrews, S. 2010. FastQC: A quality control tool for high throughput sequence

data. https://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/fastqc/.

Ayliffe, M. and Sørensen, C.K. 2019. Plant nonhost resistance: paradigms and new environ-

ments. Curr. Opin. Plant Biol. 50:104–113. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pbi.2019.03.011.

Barata-Antunes, C., Alves, R., Talaia, G., Casal, M., Gerós, H., Mans, R., and Paiva, S. 2021.

Endocytosis of nutrient transporters in fungi: The ART of connecting signaling and traf-

ficking. Computational and Structural Biotechnology Journal 19: 1713–1737.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.csbj.2021.03.013.

Battache, M., Suarez-Fernandez, M., Klooster, M. V., Cambon, F., Sánchez-Vallet, A., Lebrun,

M.-H., Langin, T., and Saintenac, C. 2024. Stomatal penetration: The cornerstone of

plant resistance to the fungal pathogen *Zymoseptor*ia tritici. BMC Plant Biology 24: 736.

https://doi.org/10.1186/s12870-024-05426-5.

Ben M'barek, S., Cordewener, J. H. G., Tabib, S. M., Lee, T. A. J. V. D., Liu, Z., Mirzadi, A.,

Mehrabi, R., America, A. H. P., Robert, O., Friesen, T. L., Hamza, S., Stergiopoulos, I.,

Wit, P. J. G. M. D., and Kema, G. H. J. 2015. FPLC and liquid-chromatography mass

spectrometry identify candidate necrosis-inducing proteins from culture filtrates of the

fungal wheat pathogen *Zymoseptoria tritici*. Fungal Genetics and Biology 79: 54–62.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fgb.2015.03.015.

Benjamini, Y. and Hochberg, Y. 1995. Controlling the false discovery rate: a practical and pow-

erful approach to multiple testing. J. R. Stat. Soc. Ser. B 57:289–300.

https://doi.org/10.1111/j.2517-6161.1995.tb02031.x.

Berg, R. H., and Beachy, R. N. 2008. Fluorescent protein applications in plants. In *Methods in*

Cell Biology (Vol. 85, pp. 153–177). Academic Press. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0091-

679X(08)85008-X.

Brown, J. K. M., Chartrain, L., Lasserre-Zuber, P., and Saintenac, C. 2015. Genetics of re-

sistance to Zymoseptoria tritici and applications to wheat breeding. Fungal Genetics and

Biology 79: 33–41. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fgb.2015.04.017.

Bu, D., Luo, H., Huo, P., Wang, Z., Zhang, S., He, Z., Wu, Y., Zhao, L., Liu, J., Guo, J., Fang,

S., Cao, W., Yi, L., Zhao, Y., and Kong, L. 2021. KOBAS-i: Intelligent prioritization and

exploratory visualization of biological functions for gene enrichment analysis. Nucleic

Acids Research 49(W1): W317–W325. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkab447.

Chen, X., Zaro, J., and Shen, W.-C., 2013. Fusion protein linkers: property, design and function-

ality. Adv. Drug Deliv. Rev. 65: 1357–1369. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.addr.2012.09.039.

DafaAlla, T. E. I. M., Abdalla, M., El-Arabey, A. A., Eltayb, W. A., and Mohapatra, R. K. 2022.

Botrytis cinerea alcohol dehydrogenase mediates fungal development, environmental ad-

aptation and pathogenicity. Journal of Biomolecular Structure and Dynamics 40: 12426–

12438. https://doi.org/10.1080/07391102.2021.1971112.

Ding W, Liu W-Q, Jia Y, Li Y, van der Donk WA, and Zhang Q. 2016. Biosynthetic investiga-

tion of phomopsins reveals a widespread pathway for ribosomal natural products in asco-

mycetes. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A., 113:3521–

6. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1522907113.

Earley, K.W., Haag, J.R., Pontes, O., Opper, K., Juehne, T., Song, K. and Pikaard, C.S. 2006.

Gateway-compatible vectors for plant functional genomics and proteomics. Plant J,

45:616–629. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-313X.2005.02617.x.

Fernandes, T., Segorbe, D., Prusky, D., and Di Pietro, A. 2017. How alkalinization drives fungal

pathogenicity. PLoS Pathog. 13:e1006621. doi: 10.1371/journal.ppat.1006621.

Fernandes, T., Mariscal, M., Serrano, A., Segorbe, D., Fernández-Acero, T., Martín, H., Turrà,

D., and Di Pietro, A. 2023. Cytosolic pH controls fungal MAPK signaling and patho-

genicity. mBio 14:e0028523. doi: 10.1128/mbio.00285-23.

Feurtey, A., Lorrain, C., Croll, D., Eschenbrenner, C., Freitag, M., Habig, M., Haueisen, J., Möl-

ler, M., Schotanus, K., and Stukenbrock, E. H. 2020. Genome compartmentalization pre-

dates species divergence in the plant pathogen genus *Zymoseptoria*. BMC Genomics 21:

588. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12864-020-06871-w.

Fisher, R.A. 1925. Statistical methods for research workers. Edinburgh: Oliver and Boyd.
1080
Fones, H. N., Eyles, C. J., Kay, W., Cowper, J., and Gurr, S. J. 2017. A role for random, humid-

ity-dependent epiphytic growth prior to invasion of wheat by *Zymoseptoria tritici*. Fungal

Genetics and Biology 106: 51–60. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fgb.2017.07.002.

Fones, H. N., Soanes, D., and Gurr, S. J. 2023. Epiphytic proliferation of *Zymoseptoria tritici*

isolates on resistant wheat leaves. Fungal Genetics and Biology 168: 103822.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fgb.2023.103822.

Francisco, C.S., Ma, X., Zwyssig, M.M., McDonald, B.A. and Palma-Guerrero, J. 2019. Mor-

phological changes in response to environmental stresses in the fungal plant pathogen *Zy-*

moseptoria tritici. Sci. Rep. 9:9642. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-45994-3.

García, R., Bravo, E., Diez-Muñiz, S., Nombela, C., Rodríguez-Peña, J., and Arroyo, J. 2017. A

novel connection between the cell wall integrity and the PKA pathways regulates cell

wall stress response in yeast. Sci. Rep. 7:5703. doi: 10.1038/s41598-017-06001-9.

Gill, U.S., Lee, S. and Mysore, K.S. 2015. Host versus nonhost resistance: distinct wars with

similar arsenals. Phytopathology 105:580–587. https://doi.org/10.1094/PHYTO-11-14-

0298-RVW.

Goodwin, S. B., Ben M'barek, S. B., Dhillon, B., Wittenberg, A. H. J., Crane, C. F., Hane, J. K.,

Foster, A. J., van der Lee, T. A. J., Grimwood, J., Aerts, A., Antoniw, J., Bailey, A.,

Bluhm, B., Bowler, J., Bristow, J., van der Burgt, A., Canto-Canché, B., Churchill, A. C.

L., Conde-Ferràez, L., … and Kema, G. H. J. 2011. Finished genome of the fungal wheat

pathogen *Mycosphaerella graminicola* reveals dispensome structure, chromosome plas-

ticity, and stealth pathogenesis. PLoS Genetics 7: 1002070. https://doi.org/10.1371/jour-

nal.pgen.1002070.

Goodwin, S. B., and Zismann, V. L. 2001. Phylogenetic analyses of the ITS region of ribosomal

DNA reveal that *Septoria passerinii* from barley is closely related to the wheat pathogen

Mycosphaerella graminicola. Mycologia 93: 934-946.

Gu, X., Cao, Z., Li, Z., Yu, H., and Liu, W. 2024. Plant immunity suppression by an β-1,3-glu-

canase of the maize anthracnose pathogen *Colletotrichum graminicola*. BMC Plant Biol-

ogy 24: 339. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12870-024-05053-0.

Gui, Y.-J., Chen, J.-Y., Zhang, D.-D., Li, N.-Y., Li, T.-G., Zhang, W.-Q., Wang, X.-Y., Short, D.

P. G., Li, L., Guo, W., Kong, Z.-Q., Bao, Y.-M., Subbarao, K. V., and Dai, X.-F. 2017.

Verticillium dahliae manipulates plant immunity by glycoside hydrolase 12 proteins in

conjunction with carbohydrate-binding module 1. Environmental Microbiology 19:

1914–1932. https://doi.org/10.1111/1462-2920.13695.

Guirao-Abad, J. P., Weichert, M., and Askew, D. S. 2022. Cell death induction in *Aspergillus fu-

migatus*: Accentuating drug toxicity through inhibition of the unfolded protein response

(UPR). Current Research in Microbial Sciences 3: 100119.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.crmicr.2022.100119.

Gutiérrez-Corona, J. F., González-Hernández, G. A., Padilla-Guerrero, I. E., Olmedo-Monfil, V.,

Martínez-Rocha, A. L., Patiño-Medina, J. A., Meza-Carmen, V., and Torres-Guzmán, J.

C. 2023. Fungal alcohol dehydrogenases: physiological function, molecular properties,

regulation of their production, and biotechnological potential. Cells 2023 12: 2239.

https://doi.org/10.3390/cells12182239.

Hafeez, A. N., Chartrain, L., Feng, C., Cambon, F., Clarke, M., Griffiths, S., Hayta, S., Jiang,

M., Keller, B., Kirby, R., Kolodziej, M. C., Powell, O. R., Smedley, M., Steuernagel, B.,

Xian, W., Wingen, L. U., Cheng, S., Saintenac, C., Wulff, B. B. H., and Brown, J. K. M.

2025. Septoria tritici blotch resistance gene *Stb15* encodes a lectin receptor-like kinase.

Nat. Plants 11: 410–420 (2025). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41477-025-01920-2.

Hallgren, J., Tsirigos, K. D., Pedersen, M. D., Armenteros, J. J. A., Marcatili, P., Nielsen, H.,

Krogh, A., and Winther, O. 2022. DeepTMHMM predicts alpha and beta transmembrane

proteins using deep neural networks. bioRxiv.

https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.04.08.487609.

Helm, M., Singh, R., Hiles, R., Jaiswal, N., Myers, A., Iyer-Pascuzzi, A. S., and Goodwin, S. B.

2022. Candidate effector proteins from the maize tar spot pathogen *Phyllachora maydis*

localize to diverse plant cell compartments. Phytopathology 112: 2538–2548.

https://doi.org/10.1094/PHYTO-05-22-0181-R.

Jaiswal, N., Myers, A., Weese, T. L., Carter, M. E., Scofield, S. R., and Helm, M. 2023. Analysis

of cell death induction by the barley NLR immune receptor PBR1. PhytoFrontiers 3:

663–678. https://doi.org/10.1094/PHYTOFR-01-23-0005-R.

Käll, L., Krogh, A., and Sonnhammer, E. L. L. 2004. A combined transmembrane topology and

signal peptide prediction method. Journal of Molecular Biology 338: 1027–1036.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmb.2004.03.016.

Kanehisa, M., Furumichi, M., Tanabe, M., Sato, Y., and Morishima, K. 2017. KEGG: New per-

spectives on genomes, pathways, diseases and drugs. Nucleic Acids Research 45: D353–

D361. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkw1092.

Karki, S. J., Reilly, A., Zhou, B., Mascarello, M., Burke, J., Doohan, F., Douchkov, D., and

Schweizer, P. 2021. A small secreted protein from *Zymoseptoria tritici* interacts with a

wheat E3 ubiquitin ligase to promote disease. Journal of Experimental Botany 72: 733–

746. https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/eraa489.

Kellner, R., Bhattacharyya, A., Poppe, S., Hsu, T. Y., Brem, R. B., and Stukenbrock, E. H. 2014.

Expression profiling of the wheat pathogen Zymoseptoria tritici reveals genomic patterns

of transcription and host-specific regulatory programs. Genome Biology and Evolution 6:

1353–1365. https://doi.org/10.1093/gbe/evu101.

Kettles, G.J. and Kanyuka, K. 2016. Dissecting the molecular interactions between wheat and the

fungal pathogen *Zymoseptoria tritici*. Front. Plant Sci. 7:508.

https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2016.00508.

Lapalu, N., Lamothe, L., Petit, Y., Genissel, A., Delude, C., Feurtey, A., Abraham, L.N., Smith,

D., King, R., Renwick, A., Appertet, M., Sucher, J., Steindorff, A.S., Goodwin, S.B.,

Kema, G.H.J., Grigoriev, I.V., Hane, J., Rudd, J., Stukenbrock, E., Croll, D., Scalliet, G.,

and Lebrun, M.-H. 2025. Improved gene annotation of the fungal wheat pathogen *Zy-*

moseptoria tritici based on combined Iso-Seq and RNA-Seq evidence. Molecular Plant-

Microbe Interactions (in press).

Laugé, R., Joosten, M. H. A. J., Van den Ackerveken, G. F. J. M., Van den Broek, H. W. J., and

De Wit, P. J. G. M. 1997. The in planta-produced extracellular proteins ECP1 and ECP2

of *Cladosporium fulvum* are virulence factors. Molecular Plant-Microbe Interactions 10:

725–734. https://doi.org/10.1094/MPMI.1997.10.6.725.

Le Marquer, M., San Clemente, H., Roux, C. Savelli, B., and Frei dit Frey, N. 2019. Identifica-

tion of new signalling peptides through a genome-wide survey of 250 fungal secretomes.

BMC Genomics 20: 64. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12864-018-5414-2.

Levasseur, A., Drula, E., Lombard, V., Coutinho, P. M., and Henrissat, B. 2013. Expansion of

the enzymatic repertoire of the CAZy database to integrate auxiliary redox enzymes. Bio-

technology for Biofuels 6: 41. https://doi.org/10.1186/1754-6834-6-41.

Li, S., Dong, Y., Li, L., Zhang, Y., Yang, X., Zeng, H., Shi, M., Pei, X., Qiu, D., and Yuan, Q.

2019. The novel cerato-platanin-like protein FocCP1 from *Fusarium oxysporum* triggers

an immune response in plants. International Journal of Molecular Sciences 20: 2849.

https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms20112849.

Li, S., Su, X., Luo, X., Zhang, Y., Zhang, D., Du, J., Zhang, Z., OuYang, X., Zhang, S., and Liu,

Y. 2020. First evidence showing that *Pepper vein yellows virus* P4 protein is a movement

protein. BMC Microbiology 20: 72. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12866-020-01758-y.

Love, M. I., Huber, W., and Anders, S. 2014. Moderated estimation of fold change and disper-

sion for RNA-seq data with DESeq2. Genome Biology 15: 550.

https://doi.org/10.1186/s13059-014-0550-8.

Lu, S., and Edwards, M. C. 2018. Molecular characterization and functional analysis of PR-1-

like proteins identified from the wheat head blight fungus *Fusarium graminearum*. Phy-

topathology 108: 510–520. https://doi.org/10.1094/PHYTO-08-17-0268-R.

Lucena, R., Dolz-Edo, L., Brul, S., de Morais, M., and Smits, G. 2020. Extreme low cytosolic

pH is a signal for cell survival in acid stressed yeast. Genes 11: 656. doi:

10.3390/genes11060656.

Marshall, R., Kombrink, A., Motteram, J., Loza-reyes, E., Lucas, J., Hammond-kosack, K. E.,

Thomma, B. P. H. J., and Rudd, J. J. 2011. Analysis of two in planta expressed LysM ef-

fector homologs from the fungus *Mycosphaerella graminicola* reveals novel functional

properties and varying contributions to virulence on wheat. Plant Physiology 156: 756–

769. https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.111.176347.

Meile, L., Garrido-Arandia, M., Bernasconi, Z., Peter, J., Schneller, A., Bernasconi, A., Alas-

simone, J., McDonald, B. A., and Sánchez-Vallet, A. 2023. Natural variation in Avr3D1

from *Zymoseptoria* sp. contributes to quantitative gene-for-gene resistance and to host

specificity. New Phytologist (2023) 238: 1562–1577. https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.18690.

Mekonnen, T., Haileselassie, T., Goodwin, S.B. and Tesfaye, K. 2020. Genetic diversity and

population structure of *Zymoseptoria tritici* in Ethiopia as revealed by microsatellite

markers. Fungal Genet. Biol. 141:103413. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fgb.2020.103413.

Meshram, S., Gogoi, R., Bashyal, B. M., Kumar, A., Mandal, P. K., and Hossain, F. 2022. Com-

parative transcriptome analysis of fungal pathogen Bipolaris maydis to understand patho-

genicity behavior on resistant and susceptible non-CMS maize genotypes. Frontiers in

Microbiology 13: 837056. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2022.837056.

Mirzadi Gohari, A., Ware, S. B., Wittenberg, A. H. J., Mehrabi, R., Ben M'Barek, S., Verstap-

pen, E. C. P., van der Lee, T. A. J., Robert, O., Schouten, H. J., de Wit, P. P. J. G. M., and

Kema, G. H. J. 2015. Effector discovery in the fungal wheat pathogen *Zymoseptoria trit-*

ici. Molecular Plant Pathology 16: 931–945. https://doi.org/10.1111/mpp.12251.

Motteram, J., Küfner, I., Deller, S., Brunner, F., Hammond-Kosack, K.E., Nürnberger, T. and

Rudd, J.J. 2009. Molecular characterization and functional analysis of MgNLP, the sole

NPP1 domain-containing protein, from the fungal wheat leaf pathogen *Mycosphaerella*

graminicola. Mol. Plant Microbe Interact. 22:790–799. https://doi.org/10.1094/MPMI-

22-7-0790.

Orton, E.S., Rudd, J.J. and Brown, J.K.M. 2017. Early molecular signatures of responses of

wheat to *Zymoseptoria tritici* in compatible and incompatible interactions. Plant

Pathoogy 66:450–459. https://doi.org/10.1111/ppa.12633.

Palma-Guerrero, J., Ma, X., Torriani, S. F. F., Zala, M., Francisco, C. S., Hartmann, F. E., Croll,

D., and McDonald, B. A. 2017. Comparative transcriptome analyses in *Zymoseptoria trit-*

ici reveal significant differences in gene expression among strains during plant infection.

Molecular Plant-Microbe Interactions 30: 231–244. https://doi.org/10.1094/MPMI-07-16-

0146-R.

Panstruga, R. and Moscou, M.J. 2020. What is the molecular basis of nonhost resistance? Mol.

Plant-Microbe Interact. 33:1253–1264. https://doi.org/10.1094/MPMI-06-20-0161-CR.

Pečenková, T., Pejchar, P., Moravec, T., Drs, M., Haluška, S., Šantrůček, J., Potocká, A., Žárský,

V., and Potocký, M. 2022. Immunity functions of *Arabidopsis* pathogenesis-related 1 are

coupled but not confined to its C-terminus processing and trafficking. Molecular Plant

Pathology 23: 664–678. https://doi.org/10.1111/mpp.13187.

Petit-Houdenot, Y. and Fudal, I. 2017. Complex interactions between fungal avirulence genes

and their corresponding plant resistance genes and consequences for disease resistance

management. Front. Plant Sci. 8:1072. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2017.01072.

Petre, B., and Kamoun, S. 2014. How do filamentous pathogens deliver effector proteins into

plant cells? PLOS Biology 12: e1001801. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.1001801.

Petre, B., Saunders, D. G. O., Sklenar, J., Lorrain, C., Krasileva, K. V., Win, J., Duplessis, S.,

and Kamoun, S. (2016). Heterologous expression screens in *Nicotiana benthamiana* iden-

tify a candidate effector of the wheat yellow rust pathogen that associates with processing

bodies. PLOS ONE 11: e0149035. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0149035.

Ponomarenko, A., Goodwin, S.B. and Kema, G.H.J. 2011. Septoria tritici blotch (STB) of wheat.

Plant Health Instructor. https://doi.org/10.1094/PHI-I-2011-0407-01.

1238

Qi, D., DeYoung, B.J. and Innes, R.W. 2012. Structure-function analysis of the coiled-coil and

leucine-rich repeat domains of the RPS5 disease resistance protein. Plant Physiol,

158:1819–1832. https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.112.194035.

Quarantin, A., Castiglioni, C., Schäfer, W., Favaron, F., and Sella, L. 2019. The *Fusarium gra-*

minearum cerato-platanins loosen cellulose substrates enhancing fungal cellulase activity

as expansin-like proteins. Plant Physiology and Biochemistry 139: 229–238.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plaphy.2019.03.025.

Raudvere, U., Kolberg, L., Kuzmin, I., Arak, T., Adler, P., Peterson, H., and Vilo, J. 2019. g:Pro-

filer: A web server for functional enrichment analysis and conversions of gene lists (2019

update). Nucleic Acids Research 47(W1): W191–W198.

https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkz369.

Reilly, A., Karki, S.J., Twamley, A., Tiley, A.M.M., Kildea, S. and Feechan, A. 2021. Isolate-

specific responses of the nonhost grass *Brachypodium distachyon* to the fungal pathogen

Zymoseptoria tritici compared with wheat. Phytopathology 111:356–368.

https://doi.org/10.1094/PHYTO-02-20-0041-R.

Rogers, A., Jaiswal, N., Roggenkamp, E., Kim, H.-S., MacCready, J. S., Chilvers, M. I., Sco-

field, S. R., Iyer-Pascuzzi, A. S., and Helm, M. 2024. Genome-informed trophic classifi-

cation and functional characterization of virulence proteins from the maize tar spot patho-

gen *Phyllachora maydis*. Phytopathology 114: 1940–1949.

https://doi.org/10.1094/PHYTO-01-24-0037-R.

Rogers, L., and Overall C. 2013. Proteolytic post-translational modification of proteins: proteo-

mic tools and methodology. Molecular Cell Proteomics 12:3532-42.

https://doi.org/10.1074/mcp.M113.031310.

Rudd, J. J., Kanyuka, K., Hassani-Pak, K., Derbyshire, M., Andongabo, A., Devonshire, J., Ly-

senko, A., Saqi, M., Desai, N. M., Powers, S. J., Hooper, J., Ambroso, L., Bharti, A.,

Farmer, A., Hammond-Kosack, K. E., Dietrich, R. A., and Courbot, M. 2015. Transcrip-

tome and metabolite profiling of the infection cycle of *Zymoseptoria tritici* on wheat re-

veals a biphasic interaction with plant immunity involving differential pathogen chromo-

somal contributions and a variation on the hemibiotrophic lifestyle definition. Plant Phys-

iology 167: 1158–1185. https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.114.255927.

Saintenac, C., Cambon, F., Aouini, L., Verstappen, E., Ghaffary, S. M. T., Poucet, T., Marande,

W., Berges, H., Xu, S., Jaouannet, M., Favery, B., Alassimone, J., Sánchez-Vallet, A.,

Faris, J., Kema, G., Robert, O., and Langin, T. 2021. A wheat cysteine-rich receptor-like

kinase confers broad-spectrum resistance against Septoria tritici blotch. Nature Commu-

nications 12: 433. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-20685-0.

Saintenac, C., Lee, W.-S., Cambon, F., Rudd, J. J., King, R. C., Marande, W., Powers, S. J., Ber-

gès, H., Phillips, A. L., Uauy, C., Hammond-Kosack, K. E., Langin, T., and Kanyuka, K.

2018. Wheat receptor-kinase-like protein Stb6 controls gene-for-gene resistance to fungal

pathogen *Zymosept*oria tritici. Nature Genetics 50: 368–374.

https://doi.org/10.1038/s41588-018-0051-x.

Sánchez-Vallet, A., Saleem-Batcha, R., Kombrink, A., Hansen, G., Valkenburg, D.-J., Thomma,

B. P., and Mesters, J. R. 2013. Fungal effector Ecp6 outcompetes host immune receptor

for chitin binding through intrachain LysM dimerization. eLife 2: e00790.

https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.00790.

Sánchez-Vallet, A., Tian, H., Rodriguez-Moreno, L., Valkenburg, D.-J., Saleem-Batcha, R.,

Wawra, S., Kombrink, A., Verhage, L., de Jonge, R., van Esse, H. P., Zuccaro, A., Croll,

D., Mesters, J. R., and Thomma, B. P. H. J. 2020. A secreted LysM effector protects fun-

gal hyphae through chitin-dependent homodimer polymerization. PLoS Pathogens 16:

e1008652. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1008652.

Selin, C., de Kievit, T.R., Belmonte, M.F., and Fernando, W.G.D. (2016. Elucidating the role of

effectors in plant-fungal interactions: progress and challenges. Front. Microbiol. 7:600.

doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2016.00600.

Singh P and Zimmerli L. 2013. Lectin receptor kinases in plant innate immunity. Front. Plant

Sci. 4:124. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2013.00124.

Snapp, E., 2005. Design and use of fluorescent fusion proteins in cell biology. Current Protocols

in Cell Biology 27: 21.4.1-21.4.13. https://doi.org/10.1002/0471143030.cb2104s27.

Sperschneider, J., Catanzariti, A.-M., DeBoer, K., Petre, B., Gardiner, D. M., Singh, K. B.,

Dodds, P. N., and Taylor, J. M. 2017. LOCALIZER: Subcellular localization prediction

of both plant and effector proteins in the plant cell. Sci. Rep. **7**: 44598.

https://doi.org/10.1038/srep44598.

Sperschneider, J., and Dodds, P. N. 2022. EffectorP 3.0: Prediction of apoplastic and cytoplas-

mic effectors in fungi and Oomycetes. Molecular Plant-Microbe Interactions 35: 146–

156. https://doi.org/10.1094/MPMI-08-21-0201-R.

Sperschneider, J., Dodds, P. N., Singh, K. B., and Taylor, J. M. 2018. ApoplastP: Prediction of

effectors and plant proteins in the apoplast using machine learning. New Phytologist 217:

1764–1778. https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.14946.

Steinberg, G. 2015. Cell biology of *Zymoseptoria tritici*: pathogen cell organization and wheat

infection. Fungal Genet. Biol. 79:17–23. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fgb.2015.04.002.

Stergiopoulos, I., Burg, H. A. V. D., Ökmen, B., Beenen, H. G., Liere, S. V., and Kema, G. H. J.

1308   2010. Tomato Cf resistance proteins mediate recognition of cognate homologous effec-

1309   tors from fungi pathogenic on dicots and monocots. Proceedings of the National Acad-

1310   emy of Sciences, USA 107: 7610–7615. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1002910107.

1311   Stergiopoulos, I., Kourmpetis, Y. A. I., Slot, J. C., Bakker, F. T., Wit, P. J. G. M. D., and Rokas,

1312   A. 2012. In silico characterization and molecular evolutionary analysis of a novel super-

1313   family of fungal effector proteins. Molecular Biology and Evolution 29: 3371–3384.

1314   https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/mss143.

1315   Tanz, S. K., Castleden, I., Small, I. D., and Millar, A. H. 2013. Fluorescent protein tagging as a

1316   tool to define the subcellular distribution of proteins in plants. Frontiers in Plant Science

1317   4 - 2013. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2013.00214.

1318   Teixeira, P. J. P. L., Thomazella, D. P. T., Vidal, R. O., Prado, P. F. V. do, Reis, O., Baroni, R.

1319   M., Franco, S. F., Mieczkowski, P., Pereira, G. A. G., and Mondego, J. M. C. 2012. The

1320   fungal pathogen *Moniliophthora perniciosa* has genes similar to plant PR-1 that are

1321   highly expressed during its interaction with cacao. PLOS One 7: e45929.

1322   https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0045929.

1323   Teufel, F., Almagro Armenteros, J. J., Johansen, A. R., Gíslason, M. H., Pihl, S. I., Tsirigos, K.

1324   D., Winther, O., Brunak, S., von Heijne, G., and Nielsen, H. 2022. SignalP 6.0 predicts

1325   all five types of signal peptides using protein language models. Nature Biotechnology 40:

1326   1023–1025. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41587-021-01156-3.

Thynne, E., Ali, H., Seong, K., Abukhalaf, M., Guerreiro, M.A., Flores-Nunez, V.M., Hansen,

R., Bergues, A., Salman, M.J., Rudd, J.J., Kanyuka, K., Tholey, A., Krasileva, K.V., Ket-

tles, G.J., and Stukenbrock, E.H. 2024. An array of *Zymoseptoria tritici* effectors sup-

press plant immune responses. Molecular Plant Pathology 25: e13500.

https://doi.org/10.1111/mpp.13500.

Tian, H., MacKenzie, C. I., Rodriguez-Moreno, L., van den Berg, G. C. M., Chen, H., Rudd, J.

J., Mesters, J. R., and Thomma, B. P. H. J. 2021. Three LysM effectors of *Zymoseptoria*

tritici collectively disarm chitin-triggered plant immunity. Molecular Plant Pathology 22:

683–693. https://doi.org/10.1111/mpp.13055.

Tian, G.-W., Mohanty, A., Chary, S.N., Li, S., Paap, B., Drakakaki, G., Kopec, C.D., Li, J.,

Ehrhardt, D., Jackson, D., Rhee, S.Y., Raikhel, N.V., and Citovsky, V. 2004. High-

throughput fluorescent tagging of full-length Arabidopsis gene products in planta. Plant

Physiol. 135: 25–38. https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.104.040139.

Tintor, N., Nieuweboer, G. A. M., Bakker, I. A. W., and Takken, F. L. W. 2022. The intracellu-

larly acting effector Foa3 suppresses defense responses when infiltrated into the apoplast.

Frontiers in Plant Science 13: 813181. ttps://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2022.813181.

Triandafillou, C., Katanski, C., Dinner, A., and Drummond, D. 2020. Transient intracellular

acidification regulates the core transcriptional heat shock response. Elife 9:e54880. doi:

10.7554/eLife.54880.

Wangorsch, A., Scheurer, S., Blanca, M., Blanca-Lopez, N., Somoza, M. L., and Martín-

Pedraza, L. 2022. Allergenic properties and molecular characteristics of PR-1 proteins.

Frontiers in Allergy 3: 824717. https://doi.org/10.3389/falgy.2022.824717.

Weichert, M., Guirao-Abad, J., Aimanianda, V., Krishnan, K., Grisham, C., Snyder, P., Sheehan,

A., Abbu, R. R., Liu, H., Filler, S. G., Gruenstein, E. I., Latgé, J.-P., and Askew, D. S.

2020. Functional coupling between the unfolded protein response and endoplasmic retic-

ulum/golgi Ca2+-ATPases promotes stress tolerance, cell wall biosynthesis, and viru-

lence of *Aspergillus fumigatus*. mBio 11: e01060-20.

https://doi.org/10.1128/mBio.01060-20.

Yang, F., Li, W., and Jørgensen, H. J. L. 2013. Transcriptional reprogramming of wheat and the

hemibiotrophic pathogen *Septoria tritici* during two phases of the compatible interaction.

PLoS One 8: 1–15. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0081606.

Yang, N, Ovenden, B, Baxter, B, McDonald, MC, Solomon, PS, and Milgate, A. 2022. Multi-

stage resistance to *Zymoseptoria tritici* revealed by GWAS in an Australian bread wheat

diversity panel. Front. Plant Sci.13:990915. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2022.990915.

Yang, G., Tang, L., Gong, Y., Xie, J., Fu, Y., Jiang, D., Li, G., Collinge, D. B., Chen, W., and

Cheng, J. 2018. A cerato-platanin protein SsCP1 targets plant PR1 and contributes to vir-

ulence of *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum*. New Phytologist 217: 739–755.

https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.14842.

Zhang, L., Yan, J., Fu, Z., Shi, W., Ninkuu, V., Li, G., Yang, X., and Zeng, H. 2021. FoEG1, a

secreted glycoside hydrolase family 12 protein from *Fusarium oxysporum*, triggers cell

death and modulates plant immunity. Molecular Plant Pathology 22: 522–538.

https://doi.org/10.1111/mpp.13041.

Zhang, M., Xie, S., Zhao, L., Meng, X., Song, L., Feng, H., &and Huang, L. 2019. Hce2 domain-

containing effectors contribute to the full virulence of Valsa mali in a redundant manner.

Molecular Plant Pathology 20: 843-856. https://doi.org/10.1111/mpp.12796.

Zhong, Z., Marcel, T. C., Hartmann, F. E., Ma, X., Plissonneau, C., Zala, M., Ducasse, A., Con-

fais, J., Compain, J., Lapalu, N., Amselem, J., McDonald, B. A., Croll, D., and Palma-

Guerrero, J. 2017. A small secreted protein in *Zymoseptoria tritici* is responsible for avir-

ulence on wheat cultivars carrying the *Stb6* resistance gene. New Phytologist 214: 619–

631. https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.14434.

1377

1378 **Table 1.** Comparisons of gene expression by the wheat pathogen *Zymoseptoria tritici* during sus-
1379 ceptible and resistant interactions on wheat and its non-host interaction on barley

Host inoculated	Compared to	Type of comparison
Taichung 29 wheat	Veranopolis (*Stb2*) wheat	Susceptible vs. resistant
	Israel 493 (*Stb3*) wheat	Susceptible vs. resistant
	Kindred barley	Susceptible vs. Non host
Veranopolis (*Stb2*) wheat	Israel 493 (*Stb3*) wheat	Resistant vs. resistant
Kindred barley	Veranopolis (*Stb2*) wheat	Non host vs. resistant
	Israel 493 (*Stb3*) wheat	Non host vs. resistant

1380

1381

Table 2. Differentially expressed genes (DEGs) of the wheat pathogen *Zymoseptoria tritici* when comparing three types of host interaction[a]

Comparison	Time point[b]	Up[c]	Down[c]	Total DEGs	Total detectable genes
Resistant (*Stb2*) – Resistant (*Stb3*)[d]	1	0	0	0	1,504
	3	0	0	0	
	6	1	0	1	
	10	1	11	12	
	17	13	1	14	222
	23	3	0	3	
Susceptible - Resistant (*Stb2*)[e]	1	0	0	0	1,504
	3	1	4	5	
	6	12	0	12	
	10	275	326	601	
	17	21	11	32	222
	23	33	23	56	
Susceptible - Resistant (*Stb3*)[f]	1	1	1	2	1,504
	3	0	4	4	
	6	21	0	21	
	10	226	361	587	
	17	34	13	47	222
	23	34	22	56	

[a] DEGs were identified for the first-listed condition in each comparison relative to the second-listed condition, which served as the baseline. Horizontal lines within each comparison indicate normalization groups. Gene counts for time points 1, 3, 6, and 10 DPI were normalized together, while counts for time points 17 and 23 DPI were normalized separately as a distinct group.
[b] 6-point time course. Time points are measured in days after inoculation.
[c] Significantly differentially expressed genes that are up- or down-regulated at a False Discovery Rate (FDR) of 5%.
[d] Responses of *Z. tritici* isolate IPO323 when grown on *Stb2*-resistant plants of cultivar Veranopolis compared to those on *Stb3*-resistant plants of cultivar Israel 493
[e] Responses of *Z. tritici* isolate IPO323 when grown on susceptible cultivar Taichung 29 compared to those on *Stb2*-resistant plants of cultivar Veranopolis
[f] Responses of *Z. tritici* isolate IPO323 when grown on susceptible cultivar Taichung 29 compared to those on *Stb3*-resistant plants of cultivar Israel 493

Table 3. Differentially expressed genes (DEGs) of the wheat pathogen *Zymoseptoria tritici* when comparing susceptible and resistant interactions versus a non-host interaction on barley[a]

Comparison	Time point[b]	Up[c]	Down[c]	Total DEGs	Total detectable genes
Susceptible–non host[d]	1	74	40	114	284
	3	123	47	171	
	6	43	48	91	352
	10	94	52	146	
	17	57	14	71	107
	23	63	17	80	
Resistant (*Stb2*) – non host[e]	1	67	40	107	284
	3	107	47	154	
	6	40	44	84	352
	10	68	41	109	
	17	20	9	29	107
	23	30	27	57	
Resistant (*Stb3*) - non host[f]	1	68	41	109	284
	3	108	52	160	
	6	54	59	113	352
	10	70	39	109	
	17	9	9	18	107
	23	22	23	45	

[a] DEGs were identified for the first-listed condition in each comparison relative to the second-listed condition, which served as the baseline. Horizontal lines within each comparison indicate normalization groups. Gene counts for time points 1 and 3 DPI were normalized together, 6 and 10 DPI were normalized as a separate group, and 17 and 23 DPI were normalized together as another distinct group.

[b] 6-point time course. Time points are measured days after inoculation.

[c] Significantly differential expressed genes that are up- or down-regulated at a False Discovery Rate (FDR) of 5%.

[d] Responses of *Z. tritici* isolate IPO323 when grown on plants of susceptible wheat cultivar Taichung 29 compared to those on non-host barley plants

[e] Responses of *Z. tritici* isolate IPO323 when grown on *Stb2*-resistant plants of cultivar Veranopolis compared to those on non-host barley plants

[f] Responses of *Z. tritici* isolate IPO323 when grown on *Stb3*-resistant plants of cultivar Israel 493 compared to those on non-host barley plants

1422 **Table 4.** Characteristics of the 31 *Zymoseptoria tritici* candidate effectors that were selected for
1423 further analysis based on differential expression at the early or transition stages of the infection
1424 process
1425

ID	Amino acids	Signal peptide	Protein size (kDa)	LOCALIZER prediction	Annotation
Mycgr394290	184	18-19	18.61	Chloroplast (95-118) Mitochondria (68-88) NLS	Uncharacterized
Mycgr3111221	232	16-17	22.43	—[a]	Peptidoglycan-binding LysM
Mycgr3106502	89	18-19	8.01	—	Uncharacterized
Mycgr3104794	157	20-21	15.15	—	Uncharacterized
Mycgr3109991	235	20-21	24.87	NLS	Uncharacterized
Mycgr3105487	97	18-19	8.7	—	Peptidoglycan-binding LysM
Mycgr3109710	200	26-27	18.8	—	Allergen V5/Tpx-1 related
Mycgr3106125	70	23-24	4.95	—	Uncharacterized
Mycgr3111223	554	18-19	58.81	—	Heat shock protein DnaJ, N-terminal
Mycgr3110220	131	19-20	12.88	—	Uncharacterized
Mycgr3107904	170	23-24	15.65	—	Hce2 domain-containing protein

Mycgr346866	427	22-23	41.15	—	Carbohydrate kinase, PfkB
Mycgr383064	75	18-19	6.15	—	Uncharacterized
Mycgr3102792	114	19-20	10.63	NLS	Uncharacterized
Mycgr379161	68	19-20	4.98	—	Uncharacterized
Mycgr3106106	140	20-21	14.44	—	Uncharacterized
Mycgr3111636	159	19-20	14.63	—	Hce2 domain-containing protein
Mycgr3109137	154	18-19	15.81	—	Uncharacterized
Mycgr3102996	163	23-24	15.12	—	Uncharacterized
Mycgr3110887	283	19-20	30.59	—	Uncharacterized
Mycgr3104009	483	25-26	51.39	—	Beta-Ig-H3/fasciclin
Mycgr339947	149	17-18	13.95	—	Cerato-platanin
Mycgr3105223	188	23-24	18.19	—	Uncharacterized
Mycgr3105182	143	18-19	13.88	—	Uncharacterized
Mycgr3104697	149	19-20	13.49	—	Uncharacterized
Mycgr3101652	77	21-22	6.06	—	Uncharacterized
Mycgr3106456	193	30-31	16.59	Chloroplast (27-65)	GPI-anchored domain-containing protein
Mycgr3106329	135	19-20	13.06	—	Uncharacterized

Mycgr382936	155	20-21	13.97	—	Plastocyanin-like
Mycgr399161	164	15-16	16.03	—	Uncharacterized
Mycgr3102849	285	20-21	27.95	—	Uncharacterized

1426   [a] No specific prediction made.

1427

1428

1429 FIGURES

1430

1431 **Fig. 1.** Principal component analysis (PCA) of the normalized and filtered counts for RNA se-

1432 quencing reads of *Zymoseptoria tritici* inoculated onto the highly susceptible wheat cultivar Tai-

1433 chung 29 (Susceptible) or the R-gene-containing resistant wheat cultivars Veranopolis (*Stb2* re-

1434 sistance gene) or Israel 493 (*Stb3*) at 1, 3, 6, and 10 days post inoculation (DPI).

1435

1436 **Fig. 2.** Principal component analysis (PCA) of the normalized and filtered counts for RNA se-

1437 quencing reads of *Zymoseptoria tritici* inoculated onto the highly susceptible wheat cultivar Tai-

1438 chung 29 (Susceptible) or the R-gene-containing resistant wheat cultivars Veranopolis (*Stb2* re-

1439 sistance gene) or Israel 493 (*Stb3*) at 17 and 23 days post inoculation (DPI).

1440

1441

Fig. 3. Venn diagrams showing common and unique differentially expressed genes of *Zymosep-*

toria tritici at 10 days post inoculation (DPI) in the susceptible interaction compared to the two

resistant interactions on wheat. (A) Up-regulated genes shared between the comparisons of the

susceptible interaction versus the resistant interactions with cultivars Veranopolis (*Stb2*) and Is-

rael 493 (*Stb3*). The intersection represents 183 (57.5%) genes that are up-regulated in the patho-

gen during the susceptible interaction relative to both resistant interactions. (B) Down-regulated

genes shared between the comparisons of the susceptible interaction versus the resistant interac-

tions with cultivars Veranopolis (*Stb2*) and Israel 493 (*Stb3*). The intersection represents 234

(51.7%) genes that are down-regulated in the pathogen during the susceptible interaction relative

to both resistant interactions.

1452

Fig. 4. Numbers of unique and shared up-regulated differentially expressed genes (DEGs) of the wheat pathogen *Zymoseptoria tritici* during the susceptible interaction on the cultivar Taichung 29 compared to the resistant interaction on: A) the *Stb2*-carrying cultivar Veranopolis; and to the resistant interaction on B) the *Stb3*-carrying cultivar Israel 493; at 1, 3, 6, 10, 17 and 23 days post inoculation (DPI). The colored horizontal bar indicates the total number of up-regulated DEGs at the time point showing the highest number of uniquely up-regulated genes. The red rectangle highlights the number of genes that were exclusively differentially up-regulated at 10 DPI.

1462

Fig. 5. Gene Ontology (GO) terms enriched at 10 and 17 days post inoculation (DPI) in the susceptible interaction compared to the resistant interactions on the *Stb2*-conting cultivar Veranopolis (left panel) and on the *Stb3*-containing cultivar Israel 493 (right panel) following inoculation with the wheat pathogen *Zymoseptoria tritici*. Only up-regulated genes were included in the GO analysis. The number of genes included in the GO analysis is 207 for the susceptible interaction compared to the resistant interaction on the *Stb2*-containing cultivar Veranopolis at 10 and 17 DPI, and 166 for the susceptible interaction compared to the resistant interaction on the *Stb3*-containing cultivar Israel 493 at 10 and 17 DPI. Metabolic process (MP), Biosynthetic process (BP), Transporter Activity (TA).

1472

Fig. 6. Venn diagrams showing common and unique differentially expressed genes of *Zymosep-toria tritici* at 3 days post inoculation (DPI) in the susceptible and resistant interactions on wheat compared to the non-host interaction on barley. (A) Up-regulated genes shared between the comparisons of the susceptible interaction versus the non-host interaction, the resistant interaction with Veranopolis (*Stb2*) versus the non-host interaction, and the resistant interaction with Israel 493 (*Stb3*) versus the non-host interaction. The intersection represents 98 (74.8%) genes that were up-regulated in the pathogen during the susceptible and resistant interactions relative to the non-host interaction. (B) Down-regulated genes shared between the comparisons of the suscepti-ble interaction versus the non-host interaction, the resistant interaction with Veranopolis (*Stb2*) versus the non-host interaction, and the resistant interaction with Israel 493 (*Stb3*) versus the non-host interaction. The intersection represents 40 (66.7%) genes that were down-regulated in the pathogen during the susceptible and resistant interactions relative to the non-host interaction.

A.

1486

1487

1488

Fig. 7. Numbers of unique and shared up-regulated differentially expressed genes (DEGs) of the

wheat pathogen *Zymoseptoria tritici* among six time points during the: A) susceptible interaction

on wheat cultivar Taichung 29; B) resistant interaction on the *Stb2*-carrying cultivar Veranopolis;

and C) resistant interaction on the *Stb3*-carrying cultivar Israel 493compared to its non-host

interaction on barley. The colored horizontal bars indicate the total number of up-regulated

DEGs at the time points showing the highest number of uniquely up-regulated genes. The red

rectangles highlight the numbers of genes that were exclusively differentially up-regulated at

1 DPI and at 1 and 3 DPI.

1497

Fig. 8. Gene Ontology terms enriched at 1, 3, 6 and 10 days post inoculation (DPI) with the

wheat pathogen *Zymoseptoria tritici* in the non-host interaction on barley compared to the re-

sistant interaction on the *Stb2*-containing cultivar Veranopolis (left panel) and on the susceptible

wheat cultivar Taichung 29 (right panel). Only down-regulated genes (with the non-host interac-

tion as the baseline) were included in the GO analysis. The number of down-regulated genes in-

cluded in the GO analysis is 148 for the resistant interaction on the *Stb2*-containing cultivar

Veranopolis compared to the non-host interaction at 1, 3, 6 and 10 DPI, and 145 genes for the

susceptible interaction compared to the non-host interaction at 1, 3, 6 and 10 DPI. BP: Biosyn-

thetic process.

1507

Fig. 9. Relative expression of 31 selected *Zymoseptoria tritici* effector candidates over a 23-day
time course in the susceptible wheat cultivar cultivar Taichung 29. The heat map shows the nor-
malized expression value of each effector candidate in the individual samples for each time point
for the susceptible interaction on cultivar Taichung 29 and not relative to another interaction.
The dendrogram on the left shows the clustering of the candidate effectors based on their relative
expression calculated by the Pearson correlation. All of these 31 effectors were expressed early
when compared to the non-host interaction.

1515
1516
Fig. 10. Subcellular localization of six *Zymoseptoria tritici* effectors in *Nicotiana benthamiana*
leaf cells expressed without their putative signal peptides. A) Free sYFP localizes to the nucleus
and cytosol of the cell, and was included as a negative control to establish a baseline for compar-
ison; B) *Zymoseptoria tritici* candidate effectors Mycgr3106502, Mycgr3104794 and My-
cgr3111221 display a non-informative localization that is indistinguishable from the localization

of the negative control free sYFP; C) Mycgr3107904 localizes only to the cytosol and no locali-
zation signal is detected in the nucleus; Mycgr3109710- and Mycgr394290-fluorescent proteins
accumulate in what appears to be mobile (Supplementary video) cytosolic elements in *N. ben-*
thamiana cells. D) DAPI nucleus staining is shown as a nuclear marker for comparison using ef-
fector Mycgr3107904 that localizes predominantly to the cytosol. sYFP-tagged *Z. tritici* candi-
date effectors were transiently expressed without their putative signal peptides in *N. bentham-*
iana leaves using agroinfiltration. Confocal micrographs of leaf epidermal cells were captured 48
hours following agroinfiltration. The scale bars shown at the bottom right of each image repre-
sent 20 μm. All confocal micrographs are of single optical sections.

Fig. 11. Subcellular localization of six *Zymoseptoria tritici* effectors in *Nicotiana benthamiana* leaf cells expressed with their putative signal peptides. A) Free sYFP localizes to the nucleus and cytosol of the cell, and was included as a negative control to establish a baseline for comparison; B) *Zymoseptoria tritici* candidate effectors were expressed with their putative signal peptides. Mycgr3106502, Mycgr3104794, Mycgr3109710, and Mycgr394290 localize predominantly to the cytosol and no localization signal is detected in the nucleus. Mycgr3111221 localizes to both

the cytosol and nucleus; C) No fluorescence signal was detected for Mycgr3107904. The bright-
field section for this effector is included to show the absence of the fluorescence signal. sYFP-
tagged *Z. tritici* candidate effectors were transiently expressed with their putative signal peptides
in *N. benthamiana* leaves using agroinfiltration. Confocal micrographs of leaf epidermal cells
were captured 48 hours following agroinfiltration. The scale bars shown at the bottom right of
each image represent 20 μm. All confocal micrographs are of single optical sections.
1545

1546 **Supplementary Table 1.** RNAseq read counts and statistics of mapped reads to the *Zymosepto-*
1547 *ria tritici* genome

Treatment or interaction	Days after inoculation	Replicate	Total reads	Mapped to the *Z. tritici* genome	
				No.	Percentage
Susceptible (Taichung 29)	1	1	110453572	350676	0.32
		2	6537312	26747	0.41
		3	135784373	266323	0.20
	3	1	61526162	128868	0.21
		2	85685602	203046	0.24
		3	88708485	373321	0.42
	6	1	123384952	513906	0.42
		2	125288900	626416	0.50
		3	103978562	711360	0.68
	10	1	71214614	584716	0.82
		2	118542141	560482	0.47
		3	120641473	1380018	1.14
	17	1	118166646	9926081	8.40
		2	130632837	13405771	10.26
		3	126972350	17570113	13.84
	23	1	109832349	46219025	42.08
		2	108115959	50693615	46.89
		3	129487230	25332585	19.56
Resistant (Veranopolis)	1	1	95008077	378782	0.40
		2	80804231	178213	0.22
	3	1	83940937	405367	0.48
		2	103525342	293261	0.28
	6	1	116839693	406077	0.35
		2	119822495	385102	0.32
	10	1	119716902	853382	0.71

		2	129205510	911841	0.71
		3	81584036	289627	0.36
	17	1	85949935	122551	0.14
		2	85722745	205935	0.24
		3	119560704	71224	0.06
	23	1	114628505	145815	0.13
		2	109622307	139061	0.13
		3	119144665	115900	0.10
Resistant (Is-rael 493)	1	1	105033483	518963	0.49
		2	112367071	399980	0.36
		3	79757459	298668	0.37
	3	1	100632609	133408	0.13
		2	118171767	262615	0.22
		3	100294847	452927	0.45
	6	1	132467171	333932	0.25
		2	138203399	353806	0.26
		3	124662793	466263	0.37
	10	1	162835830	379145	0.23
		2	88109775	164831	0.19
		3	87081363	468964	0.54
	17	1	101648946	299357	0.29
		2	190074395	314572	0.17
		3	112094936	568115	0.51
	23	1	116023358	99876	0.09
		2	106154281	88367	0.08
		3	134635693	63029	0.05
Non-Host (Barley)	1	1	160104601	41053	0.03
		2	131020166	44453	0.03
		3	155134932	47347	0.03

3	1	82439473	35586	0.04
	2	186043912	80428	0.04
	3	206439750	238372	0.12
6	1	187850381	44492	0.02
	2	193811945	123686	0.06
	3	218025800	51888	0.02
10	1	103790478	31435	0.03
	2	108153121	42534	0.04
17	1	103402937	19967	0.02
	2	98934372	19957	0.02
	3	110655888	23280	0.02
23	1	114287194	33570	0.03
	2	112142224	21078	0.02
	3	106512151	24446	0.02

1548

1549

1550 **Supplementary Figures**

1551

Supplementary Fig. S1. Disease establishment in the A) susceptible cultivar Taichung 29 of wheat and in the B) resistant cultivar Israel 493 at the indicated days post inoculation (DPI) with the wheat pathogen *Zymoseptoria tritici*. A closeup of the susceptible response at 17 DPI is shown in the expanded view at the upper right.

1559

1560   **Supplementary Fig. S2.** Carbohydrate-active enzymes of *Zymoseptoria tritici* identified at 10
1561   days post inoculation in the comparison between susceptible and resistant interactions. Compati-
1562   ble = Susceptible.
1563

1564

1565   **Supplementary Fig. S3.** Carbohydrate-active enzymes of *Zymoseptoria tritici* identified at 6, 3,

1566   10, 1 and 10 days post inoculation (from top to bottom) in the comparison between susceptible

1567   and non-host interactions. Comp = Susceptible, NonH= Non-Host.

1568

1569

1570

C)

1571
1572 **Supplementary Fig. S4.** Principal component analysis (PCA) of the normalized filtered read

1573 counts for RNA of *Zymoseptoria tritici* inoculated onto the highly susceptible wheat cultivar Tai-

1574 chung 29 (Susceptible) or the R-gene-containing resistant wheat cultivars Veranopolis (*Stb2*) or

1575 Israel 493 (*Stb3*) or the non-host barley at: A) 1 and 3; B) 6 and 10; and C) 17 and 23 days post

1576 inoculation (DPI).

1577
1578 **Supplementary Fig. S5.** Image of a *Nicotiana benthamiana* leaf infiltrated with *Agrobacterium*

1579 expressing a protein with an -HA tag showing: A) brightfield; B) wavelength used for sYFP (514

1580 nm) excitation; and C) the composite image. The gain was increased on the confocal microscope

1581 to enhance the detection of any potential low-level fluorescence observed in the leaf tissue.

1582

1583
Supplementary Fig. S6. Image of a non-infiltrated *Nicotiana benthamiana* leaf showing: A)

brightfield; B) wavelength used for sYFP (514 nm) excitation; and C) the composite image. The

gain was increased on the confocal microscope to enhance the detection of any potential low-

level autofluorescence in the leaf tissue.

Supplementary Fig. S7. Subcellular localization of *Zymoseptoria tritici* effectors in *Nicotiana*
benthamiana leaf cells expressed without their putative signal peptides. **A)** *Zymoseptoria tritici*
candidate effectors display a non-informative nucleus-cytosol localization in *N. benthamiana*
that is indistinguishable from the localization of the free sYFP control. **B)** Four *Z. tritici* candi-
date effectors localize predominantly to the cytosol. sYFP-tagged *Z. tritici* candidate effectors
were transiently expressed without their putative signal peptides in *N. benthamiana* leaves using
agroinfiltration. Confocal micrographs of leaf epidermal cells were captured 48 hours following
agroinfiltration. The scale bars shown represent 20 μm. All confocal micrographs are of single
optical sections.
1598

1599

1600

1601
1602 **Supplementary Fig. S8.** Immunoblot analyses of the *Zymoseptoria tritici* effector-fluorescent
1603 protein fusions expressed without their signal peptides. Ponceau staining of the large subunit of
1604 RuBisCO served as a loading control.
1605

1606

Supplementary Fig. S9. Immunoblot of the *Zymoseptoria tritici* effector-fluorescent protein fu-
sions expressed with their signal peptides. Ponceau staining of the large subunit of RuBisCO
served as a loading control. Candidate effector Mycgr3103393 was not included in the selected
set of thirty-one candidate effectors, but it is shown in this immunoblot. This figure was previ-

ously published in "Functional characterization of *Zymoseptoria tritici* candidate effectors re-

veals their role in modulating immunity in *Nicotiana benthamiana*" by S. V. Gomez-Gutierrez et

al., 2025 (bioRxiv preprint: https://doi.org/10.1101/2025.05.20.655180). Reprinted with permis-

sion.

1615