Beyond the Colony-Forming-Unit: Rapid Bacterial Evaluation in Osteomyelitis

Qi Sun [1], Kimberley Huynh [1], Dzenita Muratovic [1], Nicholas J. Gunn [1], Anja R. Zelmer [1], L. Bogdan Solomon [1,2], Gerald J. Atkins [1, #,*] and Dongqing Yang [1, #, *]

1 Centre for Orthopaedic & Trauma Research, Faculty of Health and Medical Sciences, University of Adelaide, Adelaide, SA, Australia

2 Department of Orthopaedics and Trauma, Royal Adelaide Hospital, Adelaide, SA, Australia

Co-senior authors

*Correspondence: Dr. Dongqing Yang, dongqing.yang@adelaide.edu.au and Professor Gerald J. Atkins, Gerald.atkins@adelaide.edu.au

Abstract: Examination of bacteria/host cell interactions is important for understanding the aetiology of many infectious diseases. The colony-forming-unit (CFU) has been the standard for quantifying bacterial burden for the past century, however, this suffers from low sensitivity and is dependent on bacterial culturability *in vitro*. Our data demonstrate the discrepancy between the CFU and bacterial genome copy number in an osteomyelitis-relevant co-culture system and we confirm diagnosis and quantify bacterial load in clinical bone specimens. This study provides insight into improving the quantification of bacterial burden in such cases.

Co-culturing mammalian host cells with bacteria *in vitro* is an important tool for examining the host-pathogen interaction in infectious disease modelling [1]. One of the essential readouts is the intracellular bacterial burden carried by the infected host cells. The most commonly used method for enumerating such bacterial load is counting the colony-forming-unit (CFU) number by agar plate culturing following the lysis of host cells and release of bacteria. However, the reliability of such measurements is impacted by various factors, including, but not limited to, host cell/tissue type, bacterial strain, bacterial load, and culturing conditions for CFU enumeration [2, 3]. Osteomyelitis (OM), an infectious disease with pathogen-mediated infection in bone tissues, features a considerable proportion of infected but culture-negative cases, ranging between 20-40% [4-6]. This clear deficiency in CFU evaluation create difficulties for the accurate diagnosis and therefore treatment of OM.

Staphylococci, including coagulase-negative species, such as *S. epidermidis,* and *S. aureus*, comprise the major pathogens in adult OM [7]. In this study, we employed a previously established osteocyte-like cell model, differentiated SaOS2 (SaOS2-OY) and the single most common causative pathogen in OM, *S. aureus*, to simulate the *in vitro* infection of osteocytes, the most abundant cell type in bone tissue [8, 9]. Two previously characterised *S. aureus* strains, a high virulence strain, WCH-SK2 (SK2), shown previously to establish an intracellular infection in human osteocytes [10] and a low virulence strain, WCH-SK3 (SK3) [11], were chosen for experimentation. In addition to CFU enumeration to quantify bacterial number, a polymerase chain reaction (PCR) approach measuring genomic DNA copies represented by a single copy gene within the *S. aureus* genome, was also performed in parallel. In the past, real time quantitative PCR (qPCR) assays have been widely used for the quantification of bacteria. However, the working principle of standard qPCR is dependent on the establishment of a

standard curve using a known number of bacterial gene copies and the fitting of test samples into a
linear regression to estimate the bacterial number for each assay [12, 13]. Here, we utilised digital
droplet PCR (ddPCR) for this measurement. By its nature, the latter technique offers the advantage of
counting the absolute target sequence copy number within the sample and removes the need for
normalisation [14]. For all PCR-based assays, the quality of DNA containing the target sequence for
amplification and quantification is critical for the sensitivity and reproducibility of readout. Therefore,
methods for DNA preparation from both human and bacterial cells were examined. Here, we
introduced the usage of DirectPCR™ Lysis Reagent (Direct buffer), a lysis buffer that maximises the
release of genomic DNA from samples and is compatible with the downstream PCR analysis from raw
cell culture lysate without any purification step. With the application of Direct buffer for DNA
preparations in comparison to a commonly used DNA extraction spin column kit (DNA kit), the
absolute bacterial genome copy number quantified by ddPCR was measured to be 5-fold higher in
SaOS2-OY samples and 100-fold higher in samples from two strains of *S. aureus*, SK2 and SK3; better
overall reproducibility among biological replicates was also achieved using Direct buffer over the
standard approach (**Fig. 1A-C**). Serial 10-fold dilutions of bacterial log-phase suspension cultures were
used to generate DNA lysates for testing the extent of DNA release. Plotting genome copy number
against CFU from the same cultures showed near perfect linear regression relationships (**Fig. 1D-E**),
confirming the complete and highly reproducible release of bacterial DNA. With this validating
readout, we sought to determine if the elimination of purification steps by using the Direct buffer
might minimise the sample loss associated with the utilisation of conventional column-based
extraction methods [15]. Further, the release of genomic material by this reagent appeared to be
complete and unbiased in our experiments, which led to higher consistency of inter- and intra- assays.

Fig. 1: ddPCR genome counting of SaOS2-OY (A), SK2 (B) and SK3 (C), comparing the Direct buffer approach (●) and a standard DNA kit (□) (4 biological replicates with mean and standard errors were shown on graphs, ***$p < 0.001$ and ****$p < 0.0001$); demonstration of complete bacterial chromatin release from SK2 (D) and SK3 (E): correlation with CFU plating. Each data point represented the comparison of one CFU recovery and one total DNA measurement; three independent experiments were carried out for each strain and similar results were achieved; representative results of one experiment are presented.

Using the combined approach of direct lysis DNA preparation and ddPCR quantification, we then
examined the *S. aureus* persistence in the intracellular environment in infected SaOS2-OY cells.
Following infection, the SaOS2-OY and *S. aureus* co-cultures were lysed to break down host cell
structures for the release of intracellular *S. aureus* for CFU development on agar plates. Consistent
with previous findings, significant reductions ranging between 10^3-fold and 10^6-fold in cultured CFU
on agar plates over the 5-day co-culture time period were observed in both SK2 and SK3 infected
SaOS2-OY groups (**Fig 2. A-F**). This trend was also found in low multiplicities of infection (MOI) (~10^7
total CFU/well, **Fig 2. C & E**) and high MOI (~10^8 total CFU/well, **Fig 2. D & F**) for initial bacteria-
inoculated groups with either strain. However, when total DNA preparations from the whole culture
lysates were taken for ddPCR quantification of bacterial genome copy number, differences in the
dynamic changes of bacterial load were found. In the high virulence strain SK2-infected group, the
genome copy numbers by 5 days post-infection were maintained at the levels of 10^7 and 10^8 cells/well
for the low and high MOI groups, respectively (**Fig 2. C & D**), similar to the original input levels.

Fig. 2: CFU recovery of SK2 (A) and SK3 (B) from host SaOS2-OY cells; quantification of SK2 and SK3 using CFU count (□, left Y) and ddPCR count (●, right Y) from low (C & E) and high (D & F) MOI groups; relative human genome copy (%) with CTRL (●) *VS* INF (■) quantified by ddPCR from low (G) and high (H) MOI groups. (4 biological replicates with mean and standard errors are shown; ** p < 0.01, *** p < 0.001, **** p < 0.0001; ns = not significant)

However, the low virulence strain SK3-infected group demonstrated a decrease in genome copy
counts. Interestingly, regardless of initial input amount, by 5 days post-infection, the remaining SK3
genome counts dropped to approximately 10^6 cells/well for both groups (**Fig 2. E & F**). The
quantification of human genome copy number was also performed in all groups to estimate the
remaining host cells in culture and this also served as an indicator of host cell viability. With the low

MOI co-cultures, the human genome copy counts in the presence of either strain of *S. aureus* were
not statistically different from uninfected controls, across 1- and 5-days post-infection. When the
initial bacterial inoculum was increased 10-fold to 10^8 cells per well, reductions in the human genome
copies of ~30% and 50% were measured in 1- and 5- day post-infection groups, respectively; such a
trend was found to be similar in both SK2- and SK3-infected groups. Overall, our observations
demonstrated profound differences in the readout of the presence of intracellular bacteria when
using CFU and ddPCR quantification methods. Such inconsistency between these readouts in our
model was as high as 10^6-fold, with interaction of factors including initial bacterial load and strain
variation. In contrast, comparison of the same quantification methods performed on bacteria
suspension cultures yielded differences under 2-fold, indicated by the respective slope factor (less
than 2) in the linear regression curves for both SK2 and SK3 strains (see **Fig 1. D & E**). Together, our
results indicated the dramatic change in bacterial culturability when comparing growth in ideal
microbial suspension culture conditions and the growth limiting intracellular environment. Therefore,
we propose that the interaction between the host cell response to infection and pathogen adaptation
to the intracellular environment will compromise the reliability of the standard CFU counting method
alone for the evaluation of bacterial persistence in a bacteria/host cell co-culture experiment.
However, phenotypic variations were also observed in the recovered colonies from agar plating, with
variations in haemolytic activity and small colony variant (SCV) formation, particularly in the SK2 group
(**Fig. 2A**). SCV variants of *S. aureus* feature reduced metabolic activity and growth but are an indication
of adaptation and the establishment of a chronic infection. This is consistent with the findings of
reductions in CFU recovery but maintained levels of detectable genome copies, at least in the SK2
infected group.

To examine the applicability of this workflow to a clinical setting, we next extended the tests to clinical
human bone specimens. Three clinically culture-negative prosthetic joint infection (PJI) cases were
chosen for analysis. All three cases were confirmed infected by clinical observation for typical PJI
symptoms by clinicians, using the current gold-standard Musculoskeletal Infection Society (MSIS)
criteria [16]; neither the culturing of the synovial membrane biopsies nor synovial fluid around the
prosthesis returned any positive cultures from a clinical laboratory. The bone tissue sections from the
3 patients were examined by Masson's trichrome staining in comparison to tissue section from an
osteoarthritis (OA) patient as non-infection control, to examine the bone matrix degradation,
according to our previous study [17]. With this method, the red colour associates to intact bone matrix
collagen and blue colour to degraded collagen. Within all the histological observations, matrix
degradation was found in sections of all three PJI patients (**Fig. 3 B-D**), whereas the staining of the
control OA patient bone indicated intact collagen (**Fig. 3 A**), consistent with infection in the 3 PJI
patient specimens [17]. In addition to the gold-standard diagnostic approach and histological staining,
we performed CFU analysis using homogenised bone tissue, and negative culture results were also
recorded. In this study, the samples containing total genomic DNA were prepared from histological
sections in conjunction with the use of the DirectPCR™ Lysis reagent. The prepared DNA samples were
put through a PCR amplification using primer set targeting a highly conserved bacterial genomic
region, elongation factor Tu (*tuf*) [18], for the enrichment of bacterial signal within host/pathogen
genomic mixture. The generated amplicons were then sequenced using an Oxford Nanopore
Technology (ONT) Minion sequencer for the identification of bacterial species. All three patient bone
DNA samples were confirmed to be bacterial genomic positive, with combinations identified of the
coagulase-negative staphylococcal species *S. haemolyticus*, *S. hominis* and *S. epidermidis* (**Fig. 3 E-G**).
The respective bacterial load for each of the samples was then quantified by ddPCR. Each bone sample
examined contained between 2 x 10^4 and 1 x 10^6 bacterial genomic copies per million human genomic

copies (**Fig. 3 E-G**). To confirm that the detected bacteria species were not introduced during the
handling procedures, bone specimens from five primary total hip replacement (non-infected) patients
were processed at the same time along with the PJI specimens; PCR reactions with negative *tuf*
amplification for these bone samples indicated that operational contamination was unlikely
(**Supplementary Fig. 1**).

In this study, by the analysis of genomic material, we have addressed the discrepancy between the
ineffectiveness of the classical bacterial CFU development approach in determining a confirmed
infection by both an *in vitro* experimental model and by examining human clinical cases. With the
introduction of a direct DNA release approach, less handling is required while delivering increased
accuracy. The addition of ddPCR to this approach provided the advantage of achieving absolute
quantification without the need for a PCR standard curve, which is both cost and time effective. For
the purposes of unknown pathogen diagnosis in clinical cases, the exact bacterial species readout is
required from sequencing the generated amplicons. Here, the utilisation of Oxford Nanopore
technology dramatically lowered the equipment and skill demands for performing the analysis, since
the MinION sequencer is a portable device and the sequencing results are analysed by the on-board
software with little bioinformatics requirement. Together, this workflow is potentially applicable as a
point-of-care diagnostic method plus the prospect of a rapid turnover: within hours comparing to the
current procedure in the magnitude of days.

To conclude, for the purpose of quantifying the intracellular bacterial load in a bacteria/host co-
culture setting, we have developed a workflow with the advantages of: a) rapid and labour-saving with
the elimination all the DNA extraction steps by virtue of using the direct lysis approach; b)
minimisation of potential sample loss encountered with conventional DNA isolation methods,
enabling better accuracy and reproducibility; c) absolute number quantification by ddPCR for
achieving superior consistency of intra-assay experiments; d) further sequencing analysis by ONT
MinION sequencer has the potential to become a point-of-care diagnostic approach when performing
unknown pathogen identification in a clinical setting. Nevertheless, we suggest that the CFU plating
method should not be ignored for the evaluation of bacterial phenotypic adaptation in such
experimentation.

Fig. 3: Masson's trichrome staining on bone tissue sections of osteoarthritis (OA) subject (A) and culture negative PJI subject I-III (B-D). Pathogen profiling using total DNA of bone specimens from the above 3 PJI patients (E-G) by the methods of ONT sequencing for the readout of exact persisting species and ddPCR to quantify bacterial load as a ratio of bacterial : human genomic copies (error bars shown are the combination of the standard error of the mean from two individual DNA preparations and the device generated error from each of the ddPCR runs, with over 15,000 droplets read per sample).

Materials and methods

SaOS2 cell culture and differentiation

The human osteosarcoma cell line SaOS2 was employed for performing the bacteria/host co-culture experiments, as previously described [9]. Cells were seeded at a density of 2×10^4 cells per well in 48-well multi-well plates and cultured at 37°C/5% CO_2, in growth media consisting of αMEM (Thermo-Fisher, VIC, Australia) supplemented with 10% v/v foetal calf serum (FCS) (Thermo-Fisher), 2mM L-Glutamine (Thermo-Fisher), 1U/ml penicillin/streptomycin (Thermo-Fisher). At 90% confluence, SaOS2 cultures were switched to differentiation media comprising αMEM supplemented with 5% v/v FCS, 100mM ascorbate 2-phosphate (Sigma-Aldrich, St Louis, USA), 1.8 mM potassium di-hydrogen phosphate (Sigma-Aldrich), 1mM HEPES (Thermo-Fisher), 2mM L-Glutamine and 1U/ml

penicillin/streptomycin. Cells were then maintained under differentiation conditions for 28 days to
achieve osteocyte-like phenotype (SaOS2-OY) [8].

S. aureus preparation

Two pre-characterised *S. aureus* strains, a methicillin-resistant *S. aureus* (MRSA), WCH-SK2 (SK2) [11]
and a methicillin-sensitive *S. aureus* (MSSA), WCH-SK3 (SK3), were grown in terrific broth (Thermo-
Fisher) on a 37°C /200 rpm rocking platform to achieve log-phase suspension cultures individually.
Bacteria were pelleted by 3000g for 5 min centrifugation and then resuspended in sterile phosphate-
buffered saline (PBS) to estimate cell number by the optical density (OD) at 600nm light absorption.
The two bacteria suspensions were then taken to undergo 10-fold serial dilutions and the diluents
were individually plated on blood agar plates containing 10% v/v defibrinated sheep blood (Thermo-
Fisher) to determine the colony-forming-unit (CFU) number from original bacterial suspension
preparations. The calculated CFU numbers from agar plates were compared to the ddPCR quantified
genome copy numbers.

Host cell infection by co-culturing of SaOS2-OY and *S. aureus*

For host cell infection, bacteria suspension cultures were reconstructed in sterile PBS as described
above to achieve the density for low and high multiplicities of infection (MOI). The differentiation
media for SaOS2-OY was removed from cells and the cells were washed with PBS twice. The resulting
bacterial inoculums were added to SaOS2-OY cells to allow invasion for 1 hour at 37°C/5% CO_2. Post
infection, SaOS2-OY cells were washed twice with PBS and incubated at 37°C/5% CO_2 with 20 μg/ml
lysostaphin (Sigma-Aldrich) in antibiotic-free media for 24h to eliminate extracellular bacteria before
supplied with normal differentiation media. Supernatants were cultured on an agar plate to verify that
extracellular bacteria were absent at 24 hours and 120 hours post-infection.

Measurements of intracellular bacterial number by CFU counting

The intracellular bacteria numbers were estimated at 24-hour and 120-hour post-infection time points
by spreading cell lysates on blood agar plates. For optimal CFU reading, cell lysates were serially
diluted and individually plated on blood agar plates for 37°C /5% CO_2 incubation. CFU numbers were
recorded after 48 hours of colony development.

DNA extraction from host cells and bacteria

For the quantification of the genome, the total DNA was isolated using either DNeasy Blood & Tissue
Kits (Qiagen Inc., VIC, Australia), or DirectPCR™ Lysis Reagent (Direct buffer) (Viagen Biotech Inc., CA,
USA), as per the manufacturer's instructions. For this new approach using the Direct buffer, 500 μl
buffer together with 200 μg/ml proteinase K (Thermo-Fisher) was used for the lysis of one SaOS2-OY
sample in one well from 48-well tissue culture plate or one pelleted bacterial sample prepared from
the serial dilutions from suspension culture. Individual cell lysates were transferred to 1.5 ml tubes
and digested at 55°C for 35 min and then heat inactivated at 85°C for 15 min to terminate enzyme
digestion. The processed lysates were ready for PCR analyses.

Digital droplet polymerase chain reaction (ddPCR)

The ddPCR assay was performed using QX200 Digital Droplet PCR System (Bio-Rad Laboratories, USA) (Bio-Rad Laboratories, CA, USA) as per manufacturer's instruction. Primer sets targeting a human genome specific sequence within type X collogen (*COL10A1*) gene and a *S. aureus* genome specific sequence within sigma factor B (*sigB*) gene, were used for the amplification of PCR products from DNA samples from SaOS-OY and *S. aureus*, respectively. The sequences of primer sets are listed as below.

COL10A1: forward 5'-ccaccaggtcaagcagtcat-3', reverse 5'- gttggcactaacaagaggggt-3'

sigB: forward 5'-ggggcaacaagatgaccatt-3', reverse 5'-tgccgttctctgaagtcgtg-3'

Analysis of human bone tissues

All human studies received institutional research ethics approval (Royal Adelaide Hospital Human Research Ethics Committee Approval No. 14466). Bone biopsies were collected from patients undergoing either primary total hip replacement or revision surgery for PJI, with informed written patient consent. Each bone specimen was separated into two parts. One of these was homogenised in a bead-beating tissue homogeniser (Bead Ruptor Elite, Omni International, Kennesaw, GA, USA), with 2 cycles of beating at 3m/s for 30s to disassociate the bone structure for the release of potential viable bacteria. Bone homogenates were then sent to a clinical pathology laboratory (SA Pathology, Adelaide, Australia) for CFU analysis. Clinical soft tissue and fluid samples were sent to SA Pathology directly from surgery for pathogen analysis. The second piece of bone biopsy was processed and de-mineralised using OSTEOSOFT® (Sigma-Aldrich) solution for standard paraffin embedding procedure. Post-embedded, the bone tissues were sectioned under DNase/RNase-free conditions. One 5µm section from each bone specimen was used for Masson's Trichrome staining, as described in our previous study [17] and six sections were pooled together in DirectPCR™ Lysis Reagent for the isolation of total genomic DNA, as described above. Prepared DNA samples were then subjected to 1) conventional PCR amplification for an ONT sequencing readout (MinION sequencer, Oxford Nanopore Technology, Oxford, UK), according to the manufacturer's instructions; 2) ddPCR for the absolute quantification for both human and bacterial genome copy number. The primer sets targeting the human *COL10A1* genomic sequence, as listed above, and broad bacterial *tuf* sequence (forward 5'-ttctcaatcactggtcgtgg-3', reverse 5'-ggagtatgacgtccaccttc-3') were used for measuring human and bacterial genome copies, respectively. The output sequencing data were analysed by Epi2ME software within the WIMP workflow (Oxford Nanopore Technologies) for bacterial taxonomical analysis.

Statistics

The results were graphed as means ± standard errors of the means (SEMs). The significance between two treatment groups was evaluated using two-tailed T-test by GraphPad Prism 9.5.1 (GraphPad Software, MA, USA), where applicable.

Acknowledgement

This work was supported by a National Health and Medical Research Council of Australia (NHMRC) Ideas Grant scheme (ID 2011042) awarded to G.J.A. and D.Y. and an Australian Orthopaedic

263 Association Research Grant awarded to G.J.A. and L.B.S.. Oxford Nanopore sequencing was supported
264 by a University of Adelaide Faculty of Health and Medical Sciences infrastructure grant with technical
265 assistance provided by Ms Thessa Kroes and Dr. Mark Corbett. Q.S. was supported by a University of
266 Adelaide Faculty of Health and Medical Sciences Postgraduate Research Scholarship.

Author contributions

D.Y., G.J.A. and L.B.S. conceived the study. D.Y. adapted key protocols and developed the workflow;
Q.S. performed most of the experimental work; K.H. contributed to molecular analysis and D.M.
contributed to histological analysis; N.J.G and A.R.Z validated the methods developed in this work;
Q.S. and D.Y. drafted the manuscript; G.J.A and D.Y provided scientific insight and supervised the
study; L.B.S. consented patients and performed biopsy retrieval; all authors contributed to manuscript
preparation and data presentation and agreed on the submitted version of the manuscript.

Competing interest

The authors declare no competing interests.

References

1. Hofstee, M.I., et al., *Current Concepts of Osteomyelitis: From Pathologic Mechanisms to Advanced Research Methods.* Am J Pathol, 2020. **190**(6): p. 1151-1163.
2. Chang, C.W., et al., *Factors affecting microbiological colony count accuracy for bioaerosol sampling and analysis.* Am Ind Hyg Assoc J, 1995. **56**(10): p. 979-86.
3. Davis, K.E., S.J. Joseph, and P.H. Janssen, *Effects of growth medium, inoculum size, and incubation time on culturability and isolation of soil bacteria.* Appl Environ Microbiol, 2005. **71**(2): p. 826-34.
4. Bejon, P., et al., *Two-stage revision for prosthetic joint infection: predictors of outcome and the role of reimplantation microbiology.* J Antimicrob Chemother, 2010. **65**(3): p. 569-75.
5. Yoon, H.K., et al., *A Review of the Literature on Culture-Negative Periprosthetic Joint Infection: Epidemiology, Diagnosis and Treatment.* Knee Surg Relat Res, 2017. **29**(3): p. 155-164.
6. Kim, Y.H., et al., *Comparison of infection control rates and clinical outcomes in culture-positive and culture-negative infected total-knee arthroplasty.* J Orthop, 2015. **12**(Suppl 1): p. S37-43.
7. Kavanagh, N., et al., *Staphylococcal Osteomyelitis: Disease Progression, Treatment Challenges, and Future Directions.* Clin Microbiol Rev, 2018. **31**(2).
8. Prideaux, M., et al., *SaOS2 Osteosarcoma cells as an in vitro model for studying the transition of human osteoblasts to osteocytes.* Calcif Tissue Int, 2014. **95**(2): p. 183-93.
9. Gunn, N.J., et al., *A Human Osteocyte Cell Line Model for Studying Staphylococcus aureus Persistence in Osteomyelitis.* Front Cell Infect Microbiol, 2021. **11**: p. 781022.
10. Yang, D., et al., *Novel Insights into Staphylococcus aureus Deep Bone Infections: the Involvement of Osteocytes.* mBio, 2018. **9**(2).
11. Bui, L.M.G. and S.P. Kidd, *A full genomic characterization of the development of a stable Small Colony Variant cell-type by a clinical Staphylococcus aureus strain.* Infect Genet Evol, 2015. **36**: p. 345-355.
12. Kralik, P. and M. Ricchi, *A Basic Guide to Real Time PCR in Microbial Diagnostics: Definitions, Parameters, and Everything.* Front Microbiol, 2017. **8**: p. 108.
13. Smith, C.J. and A.M. Osborn, *Advantages and limitations of quantitative PCR (Q-PCR)-based approaches in microbial ecology.* FEMS Microbiol Ecol, 2009. **67**(1): p. 6-20.

14. Hindson, C.M., et al., *Absolute quantification by droplet digital PCR versus analog real-time*
PCR. Nat Methods, 2013. **10**(10): p. 1003-5.
15. Schmitz, T.C., et al., *Solid-phase silica-based extraction leads to underestimation of residual*
DNA in decellularized tissues. Xenotransplantation, 2021. **28**(1): p. e12643.
16. Parvizi, J., et al., *The 2018 Definition of Periprosthetic Hip and Knee Infection: An Evidence-*
Based and Validated Criteria. J Arthroplasty, 2018. **33**(5): p. 1309-1314.e2.
17. Ormsby, R.T., et al., *Evidence for osteocyte-mediated bone-matrix degradation associated*
with periprosthetic joint infection (PJI). Eur Cell Mater, 2021. **42**: p. 264-280.
18. Li, X., et al., *Use of tuf as a target for sequence-based identification of Gram-positive cocci of*
the genus Enterococcus, Streptococcus, coagulase-negative Staphylococcus, and Lactococcus.
Ann Clin Microbiol Antimicrob, 2012. **11**: p. 31.

Supplementary figure 1: PCR analysis of bone samples from primary total hip replacement cases. DNA isolated from 5 patient bone samples were analysed by PCR for the presence of human *COL10A1* and bacterial *tuf*. The negative presence of bacterial *tuf* PCR product was confirmed by melt analysis post-quantitative PCR reactions using the DNA samples of five primary total hip replacement (non-infected) patients (coded with five different colours).