A mechanical pacemaker sets rhythmic nephron formation in the kidney

Samuel H. Grindel[1,2,*], Sachin N. Davis[1,2,*], Igor Guranovic[1,2], John M. Viola[1,2], Aria Huang[1-3], Kenji Yeoh[1,2], Michael J. Yelpo[4], Rachel S. Kim[1], Grace Y. Liu[1,2], Jiageng Liu[1,2], Kate Bennett[5], Grace Qian[1,2], Catherine M. Porter[1,2], Arushi E. Sahay[6-8], Lele Song[7,8], Jonathan Levinsohn[9-13], Andrew P. McMahon[14,15], Nils O. Lindström[15], Liling Wan[6-8,11,16,17], Kotaro Sasaki[6,17-19], Katalin Susztak[6,9-12], Alex J. Hughes[1,2,6,11,17,20,21,†]

[1]Department of Bioengineering, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA, USA.
[2]Bioengineering Graduate Group, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA, USA.
[3]Center for Engineering Mechanobiology, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA, USA.
[4]Department of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA, USA.
[5]Molecular Pathology and Imaging Core, Department of Medicine, Gastroenterology Division, Perelman School of Medicine, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA, USA.
[6]Cell and Molecular Biology Graduate Group, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA, USA.
[7]Department of Cancer Biology, Perelman School of Medicine, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA, USA.
[8]Abramson Family Cancer Research Institute, Perelman School of Medicine, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA, USA.
[9]Department of Medicine, Renal Electrolyte and Hypertension Division, Perelman School of Medicine, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA, USA.
[10]Institute for Diabetes, Obesity, and Metabolism, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA, USA.
[11]Penn-CHOP Kidney Innovation Center, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA, USA.
[12]Department of Genetics, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA, USA.
[13]Department of Pediatrics, Division of Nephrology, Children's Hospital of Philadelphia, Philadelphia, PA, USA.
[14]Department of Biochemistry and Biological Engineering, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA, USA.
[15]Department of Stem Cell Biology and Regenerative Medicine, Eli and Edythe Broad Center for Regenerative Medicine and Stem Cell Research, Keck School of Medicine of the University of Southern California, Los Angeles, CA, USA.
[16]Penn Epigenetics Institute, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA 19104, USA.
[17]Institute for Regenerative Medicine, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA, USA.
[18]Department of Biomedical Sciences, University of Pennsylvania, School of Veterinary Medicine, Philadelphia, PA 19104, USA.
[19]Department of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine, University of Pennsylvania, Perelman School of Medicine, Philadelphia, PA 19104, USA.
[20]Center for Soft and Living Matter, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA, USA.
[21]Department of Cell and Developmental Biology, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA, USA.

* These authors contributed equally
† Correspondence should be addressed to Alex J. Hughes, ajhughes@seas.upenn.edu

Abstract

The developing mammalian kidney exponentially duplicates nephron-forming stem cell niches at the tips of the urinary collecting duct tree to achieve massively parallel function. Nephron formation rate has a clinically meaningful effect on person-to-person variability in nephron endowment[1–5], while exerting *in vitro* control could enable sustained waves of nephrogenesis in organoid-derived synthetic kidney tissues[6,7]. However, how the kidney arrives at an appropriate number and ratio of nephrons to collecting ducts is unclear. Here we show that nephron formation is rhythmic and synchronized with branching of the ureteric bud tree (the future urinary collecting ducts). We correlate human and mouse spatial transcriptomics data with the branching 'life-cycle' to uncover rhythmically alternating signatures of nephron progenitor differentiation and renewal. The nephron progenitor rhythm parallels rhythmic nuclear elongation and other hallmarks of mechanical tension in surrounding stromal cells that we attribute to branching-induced deformation. Stroma-specific knockdown of actomyosin activity leads to a striking loss of synchronization between nephron formation and ureteric bud branching without blocking either. These results suggest that the stroma acts as a mechanically entrained pacemaker for nephron formation. Together, our findings uncover a feedback mechanism for clock-like coordination of organ composition during exponential growth.

Introduction

Periodic patterning in space achieves parallelization of tissue function, such as in hair follicles[8], intestinal villi[9,10], and digits[11]. Periodic patterning in time allows tissues to accommodate moving reference frames caused by growth. One example is the clock and wavefront model[12] thought to govern formation of somites, the future vertebrae. Here a triggering clock interacts with a traveling wave that shifts the zone of competence for cells to condense into somites along an elongating body axis. The kidney is a radical example in which nephrons are continuously patterned over embryonic day E12.5 to postnatal day 4 in the mouse, during which the organ grows in volume by >1,000-fold[13]. With embryonic organ formation lying beyond the reach of intravital imaging in mammals, very little is known about how repetitive patterning (much less organ-wide tissue composition) is maintained during such extreme organ growth.

Collectives of nephron progenitor cells cap tips of the branching ureteric bud (UB) tree during kidney development, forming traveling stem cell niches just below the kidney surface in an inductive front known as the nephrogenic zone (**Fig. 1A**). These caps contribute cells that drop behind the front and condense into pre-tubular aggregates (PTAs) — the earliest nephron stage. Only a fraction of a cap's progenitors commit to each successive nephron, enabling niches to maintain persistent progenitor pools during development[13]. Nephron progenitor ('cap mesenchyme') populations are thought to balance renewal vs. differentiation by interpreting autonomous cues and those from adjacent UB, early nephron, and stromal cell populations[14–18]. FGF/EGF/MAPK[18–23], Wnt/β-catenin[17,24–26], Hippo/Yap[27–33], BMP/TGFβ[34–36], and Notch[37–39] pathways are involved here, among others. However, this picture of a consistent balance conflicts with the observation that cells commit in avalanche-like streams from the cap mesenchyme into discrete PTAs rather than continuously[40]. This suggests that either 1) cell differentiation rate is constant in time; cells accumulate in the niche until a suitable site[41] or other unknown trigger[42] for condensation arises, or 2) cell differentiation rate varies rhythmically, pushing a fraction of progenitors into an irreversibly differentiated state at the same time. Such a rhythm could be autonomous or arise from feedback associated with the dominant rhythmic feature of kidney development - the UB branching life-cycle.

Here we organize spatial transcriptomic, protein marker, and biophysical data across ~10^3 human and mouse niches by the branching stages of their associated ureteric bud tips. We find rhythmic transcriptional changes in both nephron progenitors and the immediately adjacent stroma. Nephron progenitor commitment and condensation synchronize with the early phase of each UB branching life-cycle, interspersed with periods in which nephron progenitors are biased toward renewal. Seeking an underlying clock, we show that the nephron progenitor rhythm is coordinated with stromal cell entry, differentiation, and exit from the niche along the corticomedullary (shallow-to-deep) axis. Live explant cultures show that proper synchronization of nephrogenesis with ureteric bud branching is dependent on stromal tension and differentiation. These data establish a rhythmic principle of nephrogenic niche regulation. Committing cells are delivered 'just in time' to nephron condensation sites newly formed by branching, ensuring a consistent balance between tissue compartments during rapid organ growth.

Results

Mouse nephrons form rhythmically at the initiation of ureteric bud tip branching through fractional commitment of progenitors

We hypothesized that an unknown pace-maker synchronizes nephron formation with ureteric bud branching because of two observations. First, nephron formation initiates in the curved 'armpits'/'angles' formed as UB tips begin to branch (whichever is not already occupied)[13,41–47]. Second, the rates of nephron formation and UB branching in the mouse embryonic kidney are equivalent from E15-E19.5 (ref. [13]). However, synchrony between the two has not been formally quantified. We tackled this using a newly-developed explant culture system that preserves much of the native 3D structure of the mouse kidney[48] (**Fig. 1B**). Taking the reference frames of individual UB tips, we annotated the timing of transitions between branching stages (pre-, mid-, and post-branching) and the earliest points at which nephron condensation events were perceptible local to tips.

Fig. 1: Condensation of new mouse nephrons is synchronized with branching of the ureteric bud epithelial tree. (**A**) Human week 23 kidney immunofluorescence images showing cell compartments constituting the nephrogenic niche. Each ECAD+ RET+ ureteric bud tip is surrounded by SIX1+ (human) / SIX2+ (mouse) nephron progenitors (the cap mesenchyme) and bordered by MEIS1/2/3+ PDGFRA+ stroma. (**B**) *Top-left*, Schematic of live E13.5 mouse embryonic kidney 3D explant culture in matrigel/collagen 1 hydrogel. *Bottom-right*, time-coded immunofluorescence and segmented projections of the ureteric bud. (**C**) Manual segmentation of nascent nephrons based on emergent EpCAM staining. (**D**) *Top*, Sketch and confocal immunofluorescence images of a representative niche in Wt1[EGFPCre/+] kidney over 27 hr explant culture, showing nascent nephron condensation as a WT1+ cell cluster beneath ureteric bud tip. (**E**) Ticker-tape plots of nephron formation events relative to ureteric bud tip branching stage (see **Fig. 2**) against real time (*left*), and after aligning each tip to a common time since branching initiation; *n* = 3 kidneys each for wild-type and Wt1[EGFPCre/+]. (**F**) *Left*, Model of rhythmic contribution of committing nephron progenitors (NP) to condensation sites newly formed by ureteric bud (UB) branching morphogenesis. *Right*, Model of rhythmic nephron formation in tissue context, consistent with mouse kidneys up to E19.5 (Short *et al.*, 2014) and 'period one' human kidneys up to week 15 (Osathanondh & Potter, 1963). (**G**) 3-state stochastic simulations of nephron progenitor sub-population composition in the niche, where naive and differentiating / committing cells are in equilibrium. 'Accumulation model': Committing cells accumulate in the niche until allowed to segregate into pretubular aggregates (PTAs) within periodic competence windows. 'Rhythmic model': Committing cells contribute continuously to PTAs, but are formed from naive cells with a rhythmic differentiation rate k_1. In both models, all cell states proliferate at rate k_4 and PTA cells leave the niche at the start of each branching cycle.

Branch staging was based on semi-quantitative morphology features (described later in **Fig. 2**).
Nephron condensation was scored in wild-type kidneys using a live EpCAM antibody label for the UB
that also stains newly forming distal tubules/connecting segments (**Fig. 1C**, **Movie S1**). New nephrons
were similarly scored in *Wt1*[EGFPCre/+] embryos in which all condensing nephron progenitors are
labeled[49–51] (**Fig. 1D**, **Movie S2**). Where at least one nephron formed, 21 of 25 events occurred in the
pre-branching stage as the ureteric bud tip elongates from a symmetrical 'ampulla' to a pill-shaped 'T-
tip' bearing new armpit sites (wild-type: 10/12, 83%; *Wt1*[EGFPCre/+]: 11/13, 85%), **Fig. 1E**. These data
verify that nephron formation is rhythmic and synchronized with the ureteric bud tip branching life-cycle
(**Fig. 1F**, **Movie S3A**). We do not use 'rhythmic' to imply that branching period for any given tip is
consistent over time, that branching is synchronized among different tips, or that a nephron always
forms when a site is available.
128
129 *Placing niche transcriptomes into the reference frame of the ureteric bud branching life-cycle to enrich*
130 *for correlations with nephron progenitor state*
131
At least two models for rhythmic, discrete nephron formation are consistent with current understanding
(**Fig. 1G**). To establish predictions, we used stochastic simulation of dynamics among three nephron
progenitor states[42] — A) naive cells in equilibrium with B) differentiating/committing cells; and C) cells
irreversibly sequestered within PTAs. Committing nephron progenitors could be mustered by
accumulation in the niche if PTA formation only occurs during a rhythmic competence window[41].
Alternatively, committing cells could be delivered 'just in time' to PTAs through rhythmic differentiation
from the naive state. Both models predict that a cyclical transcriptional state of nephron progenitors
would manifest over the branching life-cycle, albeit with distinct predictions for the phase relationship
between the two.
141
Measuring this required us to 1) sequence nephron progenitors from separate niches, and 2) assign
niches to branching stages before comparing gene expression between them. We performed Xenium
Prime 5k, which returns spatial positions of single transcripts at sub-cellular resolution for ~5,100 genes
of interest in 5 µm-thick tissue sections. We ran duplicate Xenium assays on human week 17 and 20
and partially flattened mouse E15, 17, and 18 embryonic kidneys (**Fig. 2A**, **Table S1**; **Fig. S1** presents
HCR RNA-smFISH marker validation). We began with a pseudobulk analysis of gene expression in
nephron progenitors (**Fig. S2**) and their nearest-neighbor stromal and ureteric bud cells. For this
reason, we use a broad definition of 'stroma' in this paper that includes all cells in the 'ribbons'
separating nephron progenitor niches. Starting with nephron progenitors, we found that the first
principle component of variable genes among niches was dominated by those having a gradient in
expression with tissue depth (**Fig. 2B**). This reflects a known naive-to-committing gradient in nephron
progenitor identity along the corticomedullary axis[34,45]. We filtered niches using a 'depth metric' created
from several height-correlated genes (*CITED1*, *TTC28*, *MEST*) to retain only those in a roughly
comparable anatomical zone (**Fig. 2C, Fig. S3A for mouse**). We next assigned discrete ureteric bud
branching stages to niches using branch point position and cap mesenchyme connectivity as metrics
(**Fig. 2D**). Seeking a continuous estimate, we created a 'branching continuum' score; the scaled first
principle component of a set of geometric features of each niche. The branching continuum scaled
linearly with real time for a mouse kidney explant culture timelapse and ordered niches similarly to their
discrete branching stages (**Fig. 2D,E**, **Movie S4; Fig. S4 for human**). These data reflect successful
categorization of niches along a UB branching life-cycle continuum and exclusion of depth-related
effects.
163

Fig. 2: Registering spatial transcriptomes of nephron progenitor niches to the branching life-cycle. (**A**) Schematic of kidney sectioning for spatial sequencing. (**B**) *Top*, Example mouse and human kidney slices showing overlays of DAPI fluorescence and cells detected by Xenium spatial sequencing and colored by k-means cluster. *Middle*, Marker transcripts for cap mesenchyme (nephron progenitors, NP, ~magenta), ureteric bud (UB, ~green), early nephrons (gray), and stroma (~blue) detected by Xenium. PTA/CS: pretubular aggregate/connecting segment. *Bottom*, Example depth-correlated transcripts in the first principle component of those variable among niches. (**C**) Contours of the depth metric overlaid on k-means Xenium view, highlighting 'chosen' niches sharing a similar anatomical position in depth. (**D**) *Top*, Schematic of qualitative life-cycle metrics (branch point position in *z* and cap mesenchyme connectivity) used to score each niche as pre-, mid-, or post-branching. *Bottom*, Outlines of ureteric bud and cap mesenchyme compartments in an E12.5 mouse kidney explant over a 40 hr confocal fluorescence time-lapse and plot of a continuous branching state score ('branching continuum') relative to real time of cap mesenchyme niche splitting during branching. (**E**) Histogram of discrete branching stage counts per row of a matrix of niches ordered left-to-right and top-to-bottom by increasing branching continuum. Niche outlines are colored by discrete branching stage. All nephron progenitor and ureteric bud cells associated with each niche are shown, colored by Xenium k-means cluster.

Nephron progenitor and stromal transcriptomes reveal rhythmic renewal and differentiation waves synchronized with the ureteric bud branching life cycle

We next sought to detect transcriptional differences within niche cell compartments between pre-, mid-, and post-branching niches. Unsupervised hierarchical clustering sorted niches roughly by these categories (**Fig. 3A**, **Fig. S3B**, **Figs. S5-S13**). Known markers[17,25,26,35,40,42,43,52–57] in blocks of clustered variable genes exposed a rhythmic transition in nephron progenitors between a differentiation/proliferation state earlier and a naive state later in the UB branching life-cycle. We validated that several markers of these states are rhythmic by whole-mount immunofluorescence in E17 mouse kidneys (**Fig. S14, Note S1**). Comparing E17 slices at different depths indicated that the nephron progenitor rhythm takes on a naive vs. proliferation signature shallower in the niche and a naive vs. proliferation + differentiation signature deeper in the niche (compare **Fig. S9B** and **Fig. S10B**). This adds a temporal rhythm to published accounts of a correlation between depth, differentiation, and proliferation[13,43]. A similar rhythm in stroma appeared between a cortical nephrogenic stroma ('shallow') state and a medullary nephrogenic stroma ('deep') state. These categories mirror a known differentiation gradient set up by a retrograde 'conveyor belt' of cells into deeper tissue layers relative to branching niches[53,54,58–60], though a connection to the branching life-cycle was not previously known. While a rhythm emerged in the ureteric bud, it primarily reflected the rhythmic inclusion of differentiating nephron progenitors as they fuse (anastomose) with the bud at newly formed connecting segments[43,61]. These data are evidence that the transcriptional state of niches is not monolithic over the ureteric bud branching cycle. Rather, mouse and human nephron and stromal progenitors change rhythmically in pathways relevant to renewal vs. differentiation decision-making.

Representing scores created from differentially expressed genes in radial plots show that the differentiation state of nephron progenitors is synchronized with the deep differentiating state of stromal cells, peaking during new branch initiation (**Fig. 3B,C; Fig. S3C,D**). 29% and 24% of detectable genes in the nephron progenitor and stromal compartments, respectively, fit a sine-wave expression rhythm when human week 20 niches were ordered along the branching continuum. This revealed wave-like up- and down-regulation of genes at phase-shifts spanning the branching life-cycle (**Fig. 3D, Fig. S3E**). The genes with greatest fit to the rhythmic sine-wave model were enriched for renewal/differentiation markers in nephron progenitors (top two: STXBP5, NBL1 / PAX2, NOTCH2 respectively), and shallow/deep markers in stroma (top two: CDCA7L, FOXD1 / DKK1, ID3 respectively). A sliding-window GO/KEGG/reactome gene set over-representation analysis revealed transcriptional rhythms in several pathways relevant to nephron progenitor renewal and differentiation, notably Wnt[17,24–26], Hippo[27–33], retinoic acid (RA)[62–64], BMP/TGFβ[34–36], Notch[37–39], and insulin[65–67] signaling (**Fig. 3D, Fig. S3E**). The stromal pathways showed some overlap with these, plus renal vasculature and smooth muscle sets specific to their differentiation[54,55]. Examining rhythmic transcripts indicated that Wnt/β-catenin and retinoic acid signaling activities are likely higher in nephron progenitors in pre-branching (differentiation-biased) niches, while YAP activity is likely higher in those in mid-/post-branching (renewal-biased) niches (**Note S2**). We validated this for Wnt/β-catenin and YAP by whole-mount immunofluorescence in E17 mouse kidneys (**Fig. S14**, **Note S1**). Supporting a causal role for the relative timing of these pathways, we found that appropriately timed YAP and RA activation is sufficient to bias the response of human iPSC-derived nephron progenitor organoids to Wnt/β-catenin signaling in favor of renewal and differentiation, respectively (**Note S3, Fig. S15-S17**). ToppGene transcription factor binding site over-representation analysis on rhythmic nephron progenitor genes highlighted E2F[68], MAZ[69], LEF1[70] and MYC[71] in the proliferation/differentiation phase, all with known involvement in this process (**Fig. 3E**). Notable stromal transcription factors included TEAD (Hippo/Yap) and SRF, which have cooperative and independent roles in cell differentiation along the cortical to medullary stromal conveyor belt[54], and similar roles in the lung mesenchyme[72]. Hierarchical clustering and differential expression analyses detected both nephron progenitor and stromal rhythms in 4 of 5 experiments after E15 in mouse, and in the human week 20 but not week 17 experiments (**Fig. 3F**, **Figs. S5-S13)**. These data reveal temporal synchronization between ureteric bud branching and differentiation in adjacent streams of nephron and stromal progenitors (**Fig. 3G**, **Movie S3B**).

Fig. 3: Nephron progenitor and stromal compartments of the human nephron-forming niche have differentiation rhythms that synchronize with each other and the ureteric bud branching life-cycle. (**A**) Unsupervised hierarchical clustering heatmaps (top 100 variable genes, *top*) and differential expression plots (top 200 variable genes, *bottom*) for pseudobulked transcript expression across niches at different branching lifecycle stages (*n* = 120 niches in a human week 20 tissue slice, see **Fig. S5** for full analysis). Blocks of genes are qualitatively annotated based on preponderance of genes previously associated with the indicated cell states. As part of Xenium analysis, we pre-selected 91 custom genes (of the 5092 total) known to be relevant to nephrogenic niche development, which are indicated with crosses. (**B**) Radial plots of mean z-scores for gene sets differentially expressed in (A) and qualitatively associated with the indicated cell states for nephron progenitor and stromal cell compartments. Radial distance from the center is expression level, angular distance clockwise from the 12 o'clock position indicates branching continuum. (a) and (b) labels correspond to rows in (C). (**C**) Heatmaps of gene set expression for representative niches at single-cell resolution in renewal (a) and differentiation (b) phases. (**D**) Heatmap of expression vs. branching continuum for rhythmic genes ordered by peak position fitting a sine wave model at p < 0.2 and line plots of GO/KEGG/reactome analyses performed using a sliding window of width 25 branching continuum units over the same genes (Hippo, Hippo signaling pathway/multiple species (stroma); Bmp, BMP signaling pathway; Tgfβ, signaling by TGFB family members; Somite, somite development; RA, response to retinoic acid; Wnt, canonical Wnt signaling pathway; -Wnt, negative regulation of Wnt signaling pathway; Notch, notch signaling pathway; C cycle, cell cycle; Insulin, insulin signaling pathway / receptor signaling pathway/cascade (stroma); Vasc, kidney vascular development; SM, smooth muscle cell differentiation; PI3K-Akt, PI3K signaling / PI3K-Akt signaling pathway). (**E**) Notable ToppGene transcription factor binding sites unique and shared among week 20 human and E17 mouse kidney tissue, colored by qualitative cell state. (**F**) Qualitative summary of transcriptional rhythm detection among all experiments (see Figs. S5-S13 for full analyses). (**G**) Schematic model of rhythmic transcriptional changes in nephron progenitor and stromal compartments over branching lifecycle.

219 *Nephron progenitor and stromal rhythms derive from shifts in sub-population composition in the niche*
220
We next sought to place niche transcriptional rhythms in a single-cell context to test for underlying sub-
population flux. Sub-clusters were identified after integrating Xenium data with scRNAseq data from
E17 mouse nephrogenic zone cells and published week 17 human kidney data[40] (**Fig. 4A,B**, **Fig.**
S18A,B). The data indicate that nephron progenitor and stromal rhythms occur through changes in cell
sub-cluster occupancy (**Fig. 4C,D, Fig. S18C,D, Fig. S19A,B, Fig. S20A,B**). Primed/committing
nephron progenitors are at their highest proportion in the pre-branching phase, supporting 'just in time'
delivery to newly forming PTAs in that phase via rhythmic differentiation. This argues against constant-
rate differentiation and cell accumulation over the branching lifecycle (**Fig. 1G**). Milder composition
shifts were observed among ureteric tip/trunk clusters (**Fig. S19C,D; Fig. S20C,D**). Dimensionality
reduction with CONCORD[73] showed that differentiating nephron progenitors are close in UMAP space
to cells 'orbiting' in the cell cycle in mouse (**Fig. 4E, Fig. S18E**). This suggests a coordination with the
UB branching life-cycle, both of which are ~24 hr after E15 (ref. [13,74]). In human, the data corroborate
existence of UB-adjacent and -distant streams of nephron progenitors that join the forming nephron at
staggered positions and times[40,52], while revealing a proliferation signature in the UB-adjacent stream
(**Note S4**, **Fig. S21**). These data corroborate cell state transitions dependent on ureteric bud branching
life-cycle, with coordination between cell cycle and nephron progenitor differentiation.
237
Cell-cell communication analysis by CellChat[75] predicted rhythmic stroma-to-nephron progenitor
signaling between cortical (shallow)-to-naive and medullar (deep)-to-committing cell states in pathways
consistent with gene set over-representation analyses (**Fig. 4F, Fig. S18F**). However, UB-to-nephron
progenitor communication analysis did not indicate a rhythmic component (**Fig. S19E, Fig. S20E**).
These data focused our attention on stromal feedback as a possible mechanism for nephrogenesis
pacemaking.

Fig. 4: Nephron progenitor and stromal rhythms arise from 'just in time' changes in niche sub-population composition. (**A**) Schematic of single-cell integration of the human week 20 Xenium data with published human week 17 nephrogenic zone cell scRNA-seq through canonical correlation analysis. (**B**) UMAP representation of the latent integrated CCA space with Xenium data labeled by cluster (*left*) and by membership in niches filtered for comparable anatomical location using depth metric (*right*). (**C,D**) *Top left*, UMAPs of isolated depth-filtered nephron and stromal progenitors re-clustered from assignments in (A). *Top right*, Bar charts showing proportion of progenitor identity by niche branching stage. Significant deviation from expected frequencies of cell type identity were detected by Chi-squared testing. *Bottom*, UMAPs colored by relative local cell density. Black arrows indicate notable differences in the data. Note that 'cortical', 'medullar', etc. labels relate to stroma in the nephrogenic zone, not kidney-wide. (**E**) UMAP representation of CONCORD latent space from nephron lineage identities in mouse E17 nephrogenic zone cell Chromium scRNA-seq and human week 20 Xenium spatial scRNA-seq datasets, respectively, colored by cluster assignment. Arrows are qualitative trajectories. (**F**) Cell-cell communication inference through CellChat. *Left*, Circle plot showing differential cell-cell communication interaction weight between clusters originating from pre- or mid-branching niches. Arrow thickness indicates size of differential interaction weight and color indicates branching stage with highest weight. *Right*, Notable ligand/receptor pairs for selected cluster interactions.

245   *The stromal rhythm reflects a biophysical transition relevant to nephrogenesis*
246
The stromal ribbons separating nephron progenitor niches create a molecular microenvironment that
participates in regulating nephron progenitor renewal vs. differentiation[16,28,76–81]. However, the potential
mechanical role of the stroma is less explored. Relative to ureteric bud and nephron progenitor cells,
we found that dissociated nephrogenic zone stromal cells had higher traction force and phospho-
myosin light chain (pMLC) levels[82], a marker of cell tension[83–85]. *In vivo*, we measured lower nuclear
area and higher nuclear aspect ratio in ribbons relative to stromal cells lying above the niche (ref. [86] and
Fig. 5A,B; **Fig. S22** for mouse), another indicator of tension[86,87]. Along with maintenance of normal
niche size, this nuclear elongation requires actomyosin activity[86], indicating that ribbons form a tensile
network. Stromal nuclear aspect ratio also correlated with UB branching stage — highest in ribbons
lining pre-branching niches (**Fig. 5B**). This matches a higher ribbon tension in pre-branching niches
that we inferred by tissue rebound upon laser ablation[86]. The data together indicate that stromal ribbon
cells are under rhythmic tension synchronized with UB branching (**Movie S3C**). This may be caused by
branching-driven contributions to local niche pressure[86]. These features evoke a rolling mill analogy,
where viscoelastic dough (stroma) drops between rollers (nephrogenic niches), being compressed and
elongated into sheets (stromal ribbons).
262
We used nuclear stretch as a proxy for ribbon tension to study its intersection with the stromal
transcriptional rhythm. Indeed, rhythmic genes were strongly enriched in nuclear aspect ratio-correlated
genes, particularly those characteristic of shallow and deep stromal states (**Fig. 5C,D**). This revealed
that stroma with elongated nuclei in the deeper differentiated state surrounds niches early in branching.
Conversely, stroma with rounder nuclei in the shallow, less differentiated state surrounds niches later in
branching. ToppGene pathway and transcription factor binding site over-representation analysis on
nuclear stretch-correlated genes returned similar results to those for rhythmic genes (notably WNT,
Hippo/YAP, SRF), but with an additional emphasis on cell biophysical features such as focal adhesion,
β1 integrin, and ECM organization gene sets (**Fig. 5D;** cf. **Fig. 3D,E**). Revisiting the sliding window
analysis of rhythmic genes also revealed mechanics-associated pathways (**Fig. 5E**). Similar
observations hold for the E17 mouse kidney (**Fig. S22**). These correlations account for heterogeneity in
the niche stromal microenvironment at the individual branch level in mouse (**Fig. S22F**) and additionally
at the branch family 'rosette'[88] level in human (**Fig. 5F**). Specifically, shallow-state stroma infiltrates into
nephrogenic zone ribbons during mid-branching (**Fig. 5G, Movie S3B, Movie S4**). Once the next
branching cycle begins, these cells elongate as part of a biophysical transition during their
differentiation to deep-state stroma. This may be a response to local ribbon mechanics. Together the
data support a strong intersection between stromal ribbon tension, nuclear stretch, and differentiation.
280
Several rhythmic genes in stroma that correlated with nuclear aspect ratio have known non-
autonomous effects on nephrogenesis as secreted/ECM proteins, including FGF7 (ref. [89,90]), NTN1
(refs. [91,92]), SFRP1 (ref. [93]), and CXCL12 (ref. [76]). For example, recombinant SFRP1 reduced
nephrogenesis and ureteric bud branching in rat embryonic kidney explants, indicating a potential role
in preserving nephron progenitor naivety or in preventing ectopic nephron formation[93]. We clarified that
SFRP1 preserves nephron progenitor naivety in mouse embryonic kidney explants (**Note S5**, **Fig.
S23**). SFRP1 serves as one example of rhythmic, nuclear shape-correlated factors in nephrogenic
zone stroma that take part in nephron progenitor regulation. This prompted us to study the connection
between stromal mechanics and nephrogenesis in further detail.

Fig. 5: The stromal rhythm correlates with a biophysical transition during differentiation and descent of coordinated 'conveyor belts' of stroma and nephron progenitors. (**A**) *Top*, heatmap of stromal cell nuclear aspect ratio (AR) from week 20 human kidney Xenium slice, inset shows detail relative to other niche compartments colored by k-means cluster. White arrows highlight spatial heterogeneity in stromal nuclear AR among niches and within families of niches. *Bottom*, plot of running average stromal nuclear AR vs. horizontal distance from tissue periphery inward (i.e. with increasing tissue depth). (**B**) *Left*, Xenium view of stromal cells lining niches in a similar anatomical depth zone. *Right*, Plots of average stromal nuclear aspect ratio and area vs. niche branching stage (mean ± SD; *n* = 120 niches). (**C**) Plots of example nuclear AR-correlated transcripts. (**D**) Plot of transcript correlation with nuclear AR vs. degree of rhythmic variation over the branching lifecycle. Transcripts in the top left quadrant are enriched in pre-branching niches and stromal cells with higher aspect ratio. Notable ToppGene pathways and transcription factor binding sites associated with AR-correlated transcripts are listed. (**E**) Line plots of GO/KEGG/reactome analyses performed using a sliding window over rhythmic genes fitting a sine wave model at p < 0.2 and ordered by peak position along the branching continuum axis (cell-cell adhesion, cell-cell adhesion via plasma-membrane adhesion molecules; adher. junc., adherens junction; non.-integ. mem.-ECM, non-integrin membrane-ECM interactions; integ. cell surf., integrin cell surface interactions; ECM org., extracellular matrix organization). (**F**) *Top*, Xenium view of notable hits from (**D**) overlaid on niche compartments colored by k-means cluster; point number and size indicate relative transcript density. *Bottom*, Stromal cells in the same field, colored by shallow/deep state scored by gene set expression. (**G**) Schematic model of rhythmic changes in stromal cell biophysical and differentiation state local to the niche over branching lifecycle.

292 *The stroma is a mechanically entrained pacemaker for nephrogenesis*
293
One hypothesis for coordination between the nephron progenitor and stromal conveyor belts[58] is that
stromal differentiation responds to branching mechanics, in turn modifying the nephron progenitor
microenvironment to alternatingly promote and inhibit nephrogenesis. YAP is an important
mechanotransducer[94,95] and contributes to stromal cell differentiation with SRF[54,72,96,97]. Drake *et al.*
found that YAP is required for differentiation of deep pericyte, fibroblast, and myofibroblast
subpopulations from shallow FOXD1+ stroma[54]. Supraphysiological YAP activity by stromal LATS1/2
knockout caused precocious and ectopic differentiation of myofibroblasts and loss of nephrogenesis. A
similar role for YAP in shallow-to-deep differentiation was found in the lung mesenchyme[72]. Drake *et al.*
found relatively low YAP nuclear localization in the nephrogenic zone stroma relative to deeper stroma.
However, stromal Yap/Taz knockout reduced shallow (*Ntn1*, *Ogn*, *Sfrp1*, *Foxd1*, *Smoc2*), deep (*Igfbp3,*
Pdgfrb, Zeb2) and myofibroblast (*Myl9*, *Tagln*/SM22a, *Acta2*/aSMA) markers indiscriminantly. This
indicates that stromal YAP activity has effects on cell identity that span the nephrogenic zone.
Prompted by rhythmic changes in stromal cell tension[86], shape, mechanics-associated pathways and a
WNT-Hippo/YAP-SRF signature, we quantified tissue depth and branching life-cycle effects on stromal
pMLC levels and YAP nuclear:cytoplasmic ratio in mouse kidneys (**Fig. 6A,B**). Both indicate the
mechanical state of cells[83–85,98,99]. We found that pMLC and nuclear YAP levels peaked in stromal
ribbons at around the midplane of the nephrogenic zone. Both also correlated with the UB branching
life-cycle, peaking at the post- to pre-branching transition at which ribbon tension builds[86] and stromal
cells elongate and differentiate. These data support a model in which ribbon tension acts through YAP-
SRF to induce stromal differentiation during progenitor descent through ribbons (**Fig. 6B**).
314
To test this, we reasoned that mimicking branching-derived tension in primary stromal cells should
phenocopy stromal differentiation. We cultured PDGFRA+ primary nephrogenic zone stromal cells on
silicone substrates with low- vs. high-adhesion fibronectin coatings (**Fig. 6C**). In this setting, tension
due to adhesion is transmitted to the nucleus via the cytoskeleton and LINC complex, triggering YAP
nuclear translocation[99]. Relative to those on low-adhesion substrates, cells on high-adhesion
substrates increased in nuclear aspect ratio, YAP nuclear:cytoplasmic ratio, and myofibroblast marker
alpha–smooth muscle actin (αSMA) staining; while decreasing in staining for the naive marker
PDGFRA. Bulk RNA-seq showed that these cells increased their expression of YAP target genes[100,101]
(*Ankrd1, Nppb, Wwc1*), myofibroblast/SRF markers[54] (αSMA/*Acta2*, transgelin/*Tagln*, *Myl9*), and deep
genes relative to shallow genes (taken from the first principal component of Xenium data). Several of
the latter genes are associated with the vasculature[55], including *Flt1* (VEGFR1) and *Pecam1*.
ToppGene analysis of upregulated genes confirmed over-representation of smooth muscle and SRF
signatures. These data indicate that cell tension induced by adhesion *in vitro* is sufficient to phenocopy
changes in YAP activation and aspects of the differentiation trajectory of stromal cells as they transition
from a shallow to deep state *in vivo*.
330
We reasoned that disrupting tension-driven stromal differentiation would reveal if it is necessary for
nephrogenesis pacemaking. We found that adeno-associated virus type 2 (AAV2) selectively
transduces shallow stromal cells superficial to ureteric bud midplanes (i.e. cortical nephrogenic zone
stroma, **Fig. 6D**, **Fig. S24A-C**)[102]. Since non-muscle myosin II contractility is required for YAP
mechanosensing of cell tension[94,99,103], we used AAV2 to deliver shRNAs against two myosin II
activators — ROCK1/2 and myosin light-chain kinase (*Mylk*/MLCK). We confirmed >2-fold knockdown
of each by western blot in NIH/3T3 mouse embryonic fibroblasts by 48-72 hr (**Fig. S24D**). E13.5-14.5
mouse embryonic kidneys in 3D culture showed comparable cortical to medullary flow of transduced
stroma regardless of the shRNA delivered (**Fig. 6D**, **Movie S5**). Fitting fluorescence data to a transport
model showed that the timescale of stromal infiltration $\sim x^2/2D$ = 34 hr is comparable to the branching
timescale *in vivo* and in 3D culture[13,48,74] (**Fig. 6E**). UB branching rate was also similar among the
conditions (**Fig. 6F**). However, nephron condensation events showed a striking loss in synchrony with
the UB branching life-cycle upon stromal *Rock1/2*-shRNA or *Mylk*-shRNA treatment but not control
scramble-shRNA treatment (**Fig. 6F**). The same result held for *Rock1/2*-shRNA vs. scramble-shRNA in
Wt1[EGFPCre/+] kidneys, which otherwise had comparable PTA formation dynamics with normal proximal
cell recruitment (**Fig. S24E**). Though they formed normally, nascent connecting segments in
Rock1/2/Mylk-shRNA-treated kidneys were often closer to the surface relative to those in control
348

Fig. 6: Stromal tension is sufficient for its differentiation and necessary for nephrogenesis pacemaking. (**A**) *Left*, Whole-mount immunofluorescence micrograph of phospho-myosin light chain (pMLC) enrichment in E17 kidney stromal ribbons at the niche midplane. *Right*, pMLC 'enrichment' relative to plane-wise average (*n* = 36 ribbons). F-actin serves as a non-rhythmic, uniformly-distributed control for comparison in (A) and (B), *n* = 36 ribbons. Average YAP nuclear:cytoplasmic (n:c) ratio (*n* > 30 niches) in stromal ribbons vs. tissue depth. Representative micrographs are shown for the indicated depths; yellow arrows, representative nuclei. (**B**) *Left*, Plots of UB branching life-cycle dependence of maximum pMLC enrichment and average niche midplane YAP n:c ratio (*n* = 85 niches across 3 kidneys) in stromal ribbons. *Right*, Schematic hypothesis for mechanically-triggered differentiation of stroma from shallow to deep states. (**C**) *Left*, Representative confocal immunofluorescence average projections of YAP localization and aSMA differentiation marker staining with channel intensity normalized to DAPI. Cells have been re-arranged into a grid. *Below*, Representative average projections. *Right*, Plots of nuclear aspect ratio, YAP nuclear:cytoplasmic ratio, and aSMA differentiation and PDGFRA naive marker fluorescence per cell. Data are representative of results from two independent litters. *Bottom*, Bulk RNA-seq differential expression analysis among adhesion conditions (*n* = 3 litters). Gene sets for stromal deep vs. shallow state (from PC1 of Xenium data), myofibroblast markers, and YAP target genes are overlaid, with density distributions along the fold-change axis. (**D**) *Top*, Schematic of AAV2 transduction of mouse embryonic kidney cortical nephrogenic stroma prior to 3D explant culture. *Bottom*, Live confocal fluorescence sections and 'unwrapped' insets of stromal cell infiltration (yellow arrows). (**E**) *Left*, Schematic of cell transport model capturing cortical stromal cell proliferative flux and infiltration rate (effective diffusivity) as fitting parameters. *Right*, Plot of effective diffusivity vs. shRNA treatment. (**F**) *Top*, Ticker-tape plots of nephron formation events relative to ureteric bud tip branching stage after aligning each tip to a common time since branching initiation; representative data for *n* = 29, 33, 44 tips across 9, 10, 9 kidneys each for scramble-shRNA, *Rock1/2*-shRNA, and *Mylk*-shRNA conditions respectively. *Bottom left*, Aggregated ticker-tapes in which nephron formation events are plotted at the fraction of the corresponding branching stage that they formed in. Stages are idealized as equal thirds of the branching life-cycle. *Bottom right*, plots of branching events per 48 hr estimated as # stage transitions / 3, and cumulative distributions of nephrons formed relative to the idealized ticker-tape timelines. (**G**) Average projection immunofluorescence micrographs and intensity profiles for cultured kidneys sectioned and stained for shallow stromal marker NTN1. (**H**) Bulk RNA-seq differential expression analysis among shRNA treatment conditions (*n* = 3 litters). (**I**) Schematic model for stromal mechanosensing and nephrogenesis pacemaking. Plots with error bars or envelopes are all mean ± SD.

kidneys (**Movie S5**), indicating a potential change in the local position of the inductive front. Staining for the shallow marker Netrin (NTN1) revealed that though stromal infiltration was intact, NTN1 expression persisted deeper in the nephrogenic zone in *Rock1/2/Mylk*-shRNA conditions, indicating delayed differentiation from a shallow to deep cell state (**Fig. 6G**). Bulk RNA-seq on FACS-sorted transduced stroma confirmed this, showing depletion of myofibroblast and other deep stromal markers upon *Rock1/2*-shRNA treatment relative to scramble-shRNA control virus (**Fig. 6H**). Again, the latter included genes associated with the vasculature[55], including *Cdh5* (VE-cadherin), *Plvap*, and *Sox17*. ToppGene analysis of downregulated genes confirmed over-representation of vasculature/pericyte development, smooth muscle, and SRF signatures. This suggests a mechanical origin for the observed loss of differentiation of pericytes (autonomously) and peritubular capillaries (non-autonomously) upon stromal YAP/TAZ knockout[54]. Together the data indicate that stromal cell actomyosin activity is necessary for stromal differentiation and pacemaking of adjacent nephron progenitor commitment to early nephrons.

Discussion

Nephron progenitors are stratified from naive to primed with depth into the nephrogenic zone[34,45]. Paradoxically, these cells migrate freely within their cap compartment, forming a dynamic equilibrium among states/layers[42]. These features are partially shared by other stem cell niches that form 'proliferative conveyors' governed by neutral drift dynamics[104–110], such as hair follicles and intestinal crypts. However, nephrogenic niches must form discrete nephrons rather than a continuous stream of differentiating cells. Our data reveal a rhythmic component to niche behavior, where the sub-population composition of the cap alternates between a renewal and differentiation bias in synchrony with the branching program of the adjacent ureteric bud tip. This is accompanied by a parallel rhythm in surrounding stroma. Though retrograde transport of cells in both streams relative to the advancing nephrogenic zone was predicted by lineage-tracing[58], the two streams have a tighter rhythmic coordination than previously recognized. We found that 'just in time' nephron progenitor differentiation and proliferation/cell cycle rhythms are coupled, prompting future investigation into whether this is required for proper early nephron composition[111] or size[112], as recently reported for somite formation[112].

Turning to the underlying clock, none of the signals that coordinate niche cell compartments upstream of nephron condensation are explicitly rhythmic[15–18,25,28,90,113–117], and no direct mechanism for feedback from branching morphogenesis of the ureteric bud has been established. This process is an obvious candidate for the pacemaker, since it is a largely independent, autonomously rhythmic input so long as growth factors including GDNF are present[118,119]. However, there was a puzzling lack of evidence for direct rhythmic signaling between this compartment and nephron progenitors in our spatial sequencing data, relative to the stroma. FOXD1+ stroma and its daughter lineages contribute to nephron progenitor renewal:differentiation balance[16,28,76–81]. We find that the ratio of naive to differentiating stromal cells local to the niche changes rhythmically over the UB branching cycle. Our data propose that stromal ribbon tension induced by branching-derived niche pressure drives these compositional changes, particularly through myofibroblast differentiation and vascular recruitment. This is necessary for nephrogenesis pacemaking, entraining the nephrogenesis rhythm to the ureteric bud branching rhythm. Indeed, stromal differentiation along the corticomedullary axis is known to coordinate smooth muscle patterning, vascular recruitment, and ureteric bud tree elaboration[54,91,92,120]; non-autonomous effects on nephron progenitors are an additional category[76,91]. The latter are most likely mediated by signals in our list of paracrine/ECM factors that correlate with stromal nuclear stretch. Alternatively, rhythmic stromal tension could control nephron pacing through a parallel, independent mechanism in nephron progenitors. For example, there is an intriguing overlap between somite and nephron progenitors in their rhythmic condensation dynamics, pathways (Wnt and Notch/FGF-MAPK[121–123]), and markers[121] (*Hes1*, *Bcl2l11*, *Has2*, *Tnfrsf19*, *Id1*, *Ptpn11*, *Dusp6*, and *Myc*; see also somite development gene set in **Fig. 3D, Fig. S3E**). The relatively longer period between nephron condensation events could be explained by an interplay between niche pressure and stromal compliance[86], which could convert oscillations into excitable pulses gated by YAP activity[124]. In this model, stromal differentiation would separately coordinate vascularization with the forming nephron. Whether the mechanical pacemaking role of the stroma depends on its differentiation remains to be determined.

Our findings reveal that mechanical feedback enables the kidney to appropriately pace nephron formation events relative to the ureteric bud branching program. This feedback is distinctive among similar stem cell niches, discretizing cell commitment along an otherwise continuous conveyor. This may lend robustness to tissue composition during exponential organ growth.

Acknowledgements

We thank Kieran Short, Zev Gartner, Lukasz Bugaj, and Louis Prahl for helpful discussion. We thank Kyle McCracken and Pedro Medina for advice on kidney organoid air-liquid interface culture and immunofluorescence, and Carol Gao for assistance with organoid culture. This work was performed in part at the Penn Medicine Center for Molecular Studies In Digestive and Liver Diseases, Molecular Pathology and Imaging Core (MPIC, funded under NIH center grant P30-DK050306). This research was partially supported by the NSF through the University of Pennsylvania Materials Research Science and Engineering Center (MRSEC, DMR-2309043). Cell sorting was performed on a BD FACSAria Fusion maintained by the Penn Cytomics and Cell Sorting Resource Laboratory and obtained in part through NIH grant 1S10OD026986. This work was supported by the NSF GRFP (J. Liu), Predoctoral Training Program in Developmental Biology T32HD083185 (SHG, JMV), Trainee Pilot Award from Center for Engineering MechanoBiology (CEMB), an NSF Science and Technology Center, under CMMI: 15-48571 (AZH), NIH NIDDK R01DK110792 and R01DK054364 (APM, NOL), NIH NIGMS MIRA R35GM133380 (AJH), NIH NIDDK R01DK132296 (AJH) and R01DK140070 (AJH), NSF CAREER award 2047271 (AJH), and Penn Center for Precision Engineering for Health (CPE4H) pilot grant (AJH). We acknowledge support relating to iPSC lines from NIH NIDDK P50DK133943, and Open Philanthropy funds from the Silicon Valley Community Foundation and the Good Ventures Foundation to K.S. No federal funds were used for the study of human kidney development.

Author contributions

S.H.G., S.N.D., J.M.V., A.H., and A.J.H. designed experiments. A.E.S., L.S., J. Levinsohn, A.P.M, N.O.L., L.W., K. Sasaki, and K. Susztak provided kidney tissues and/or image data. S.H.G., S.N.D., J.M.V., A.H., G.Y.L., J. Liu, K.B., G.Q., C.M.P., A.E.S., and L.S. performed experiments. S.H.G., S.N.D., I.G., J.M.V., A.H., R.S.K., M.J.Y., K.Y., and A.J.H. analyzed data. S.H.G., I.G., and A.J.H. created code and/or computational models. S.H.G., S.N.D., and A.J.H interpreted data and wrote the manuscript. All authors reviewed the manuscript.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Materials & correspondence

Requests for further information, resources, and reagents should be directed to Alex Hughes (ajhughes@seas.upenn.edu).

Data and code availability

Microscopy data reported in this paper will be shared by the lead contact upon request. Raw and processed spatial sequencing, scRNAseq, and bulk RNA sequencing data files have been deposited in the Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) under the series accession number GSEXXXX. Other processed data files including further spatial sequencing files, and original code files have been deposited in Mendeley Data (DOI: 10.17632/v9z6bbdp6d.1) and are publicly available. Any additional information required to reanalyze the data reported in this paper is available from the lead contact upon request.

Methods

Embryonic kidneys. Mouse protocols followed NIH guidelines and were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committees of the University of Pennsylvania and the University of Southern California. Mice were housed in standard ventilated cages (single occupancy) in a conventional rodent facility with 12 h light cycle and free access to water and food. For wild-type kidney experiments, embryos were collected from timed pregnant CD-1 mice that were 8-10 weeks old upon receipt (Charles River Laboratories, RRID:IMSR_CRL:022). Embryonic kidneys were dissected in chilled Dulbecco's phosphate buffered saline (DPBS, MT21-31-CV, Corning)[125]. For *Wt1*-reporter kidney experiments, timed matings between *Wt1*[GFPCre/+] (The Jackson Laboratory #010911) and wild-type animals were conducted to obtain embryos at E13.5. *Wt1*[GFPCre/+] embryos express an EGFPCre fusion protein driven by *Wt1* promoter/enhancer elements[51]. While the endogenous *Wt1* allele is inactivated, the loss of one allele has minimal impacts on embryonic kidney development[49,50]. Embryo genotype was confirmed by EGFP expression using confocal microscopy. Embryo ages were confirmed by limb staging[126]. The sex of embryos was not determined and not taken into consideration in the study design.

For *Foxd1*-reporter kidney experiments, *Foxd1*[GFPCre/+]; *Rosa26*[mTmG/+] embryos were harvested at E11.5-E12.5 from timed matings. Kidneys were dissected and cultured overnight at 37°C on a Transwell filter (Corning) in FluoroBrite DMEM (Life technologies, A18967–01) supplemented with 10% fetal calf serum, 1% pen/strep, and 1X Glutamax (Thermofisher). Filter inserts were transferred to 35mm MatTek glass bottom dishes in customized metal holders and imaged over 24-72 hr using a Leica SP8 system using an HC FLUOTAR L 25x/0.95 water immersion objective. The water immersion was maintained through a Leica water cap with a continuous water supply and drainage system allowing for flow of water. CO_2 and humidity levels were maintained using a stage-top Ibidi control system.

Human fetal kidneys were obtained from donors who had provided informed consent and underwent elective abortion at the University of Pennsylvania. All experimental procedures were approved by the Institutional Review Board at the University of Pennsylvania (#832470). Embryo ages were determined through ultrasonographic measurement of crown-rump length. Human kidney tissue was dissected in chilled RPMI-1640 (Gibco) and processed at 4°C by fixing in 10% neutral-buffered formalin overnight. Tissues were dehydrated using 50% followed by 70% ethanol (EtOH) for 2 hr each before storage in 70% EtOH, all at 4°C. Tissues were then cut into ~5 mm blocks using a razor blade.

Confocal fluorescence imaging. Imaging was performed using a Nikon Ti2-E microscope equipped with a CSU-W1 spinning disk (Yokogawa), a white light LED, laser illumination (100 mW 405, 488, and 561 nm lasers and a 75 mW 640 nm laser), a Prime 95B back-illuminated sCMOS camera (Photometrics), motorized stage, 4x/0.2 NA, 10x/0.25 NA, 20x/0.5 NA, and 40x/0.9 NA lenses (Nikon), and a stagetop environmental enclosure with temperature and CO_2 control (OkoLabs).

Kidney whole-mount immunofluorescence. Mouse embryonic kidney staining was performed as previously described[127], using protocols adapted from Combes *et al.* and O'Brien *et al.*[117,128]. Briefly, dissected mouse kidneys were fixed in ice cold 4% paraformaldehyde in DPBS for 20 min, washed three times for 5 min per wash in ice cold DPBS, blocked for 2 hr at room temperature in PBSTX (DPBS + 0.1% Triton X- 100) containing 5% donkey serum (D9663, Sigma), incubated in primary and then secondary antibodies in blocking buffer for at least 48 hr at 4°C, alternating with 3 washes in PBSTX totaling 12-24 hr. The minimum duration of primary and secondary antibody incubations and washes depended on the age of the kidney, as previously described[128]. In some experiments, stained mouse kidneys were cleared for 2 days in ScaleA2 (4 M urea + 0.1% Triton X-100 + 10% glycerol) followed by 2 days in ScaleB4 (8 M urea + 0.1% Triton X-100)[129], and imaged in ScaleA2.

Human embryonic kidney cortical sections were manually cut using a razor blade. Sections were then rehydrated in a series of decreasing ethanol concentrations for 1 hr each (70%, 35%, 15%) and stored in 1x DPBS. Samples were then blocked in a solution of 5% donkey serum (D9663, Sigma) in 1x DPBS + 0.1% Triton X-100 (PBS-Tx) for 24 hr at 4°C. Samples were then incubated with antibodies described below diluted in blocking buffer for at least 72 hr at 4°C, then washed in 3 exchanges of ice cold PBS-

Tx at 4°C for at least 3 hr each, then incubated in secondary antibodies described below for at least 48 hr at 4°C, followed by a repeat of the PBSTX washing steps described above. Prior to imaging, samples were resuspended in FocusClear (CelExplorer Labs Co., FC-101) to aid visualization.

Primary antibodies and dilutions included rabbit anti-SIX1 (1:200, 12891, Cell Signaling Technology, RRID: AB_2753209), goat anti-RET (1:200, AF1485, R&D Systems, RRID: AB_354820), rabbit anti-SIX2 (1:600, 11562-1-AP, Proteintech, RRID: AB_2189084), goat anti-ITGA8 (1:20, AF4076, R&D Systems, RRID: AB_2296280), mouse anti-E-cadherin (1:50, clone 34, 610404, BD Biosciences, RRID: AB_397787), Goat anti-PDGFRA (1:500, AF1062, R&D Systems, RRID:AB_2236897), rabbit anti-phospho-Smad1/5 (1:800, 9516, Cell Signaling Technology, RRID:AB_491015), rabbit anti-Ki67 (0.5 µg ml^{-1}, ab15580, Abcam, RRID:AB_443209), mouse anti-MEIS1/2/3 (0.5 µg ml^{-1}, 39795, Active Motif, RRID:AB_2750570), rabbit anti-Cyclin D1 (1:200, ab16663, Abcam, RRID:AB_443423), rabbit anti-PAX8 (1:250, 10336-1-AP, Proteintech, RRID: AB_2236705), rabbit anti-YAP (1:200, 11562-1-AP, Proteintech, RRID: AB_2189084), rabbit anti-MYL12A (pS19) (1:100, ab2480, abcam, RRID:AB_303094). Secondary antibodies (all raised in donkey) were used at 1:300 dilution and included anti-rabbit AlexaFluor 647 (A31573, ThermoFisher, RRID: AB_2536183), anti-rabbit AlexaFluor 555 (A31570, ThermoFisher, RRID: AB_2536180), anti-rabbit AlexaFluor 488 (A21206, ThermoFisher, RRID: AB_2535792), anti-mouse AlexaFluor 555 (A31572, ThermoFisher, RRID: AB_162543), and anti-goat AlexaFluor 488 (A11055, ThermoFisher, RRID: AB_2534102). In some experiments, samples were counterstained in 300 nM DAPI (4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole; D1306, ThermoFisher) diluted in blocking buffer for 2 hr at room temperature, followed by 3 washes in PBS.

Stained kidney samples were imaged in wells created with a 2 mm diameter biopsy punch in a ~5 mm-thick layer of 15:1 (base:crosslinker) polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS) elastomer (Sylgard 184, 2065622, Ellsworth Adhesives) set in 35 mm coverslip-bottom dishes (FD35-100, World Precision Instruments). For mouse kidney rhythmic marker validation, average immunofluorescence was quantified for cap mesenchyme regions of interest segmented using Ilastik[130] v1.4.1b6. Segmented outlines were manually refined in FIJI as required.

3D mouse embryonic kidney explant culture. E13.5 mouse embryonic kidney explants were cultured in 3D in 50:50 matrigel:collagen 1 domes as previously described[48]. Briefly, kidneys were incubated at 37°C with fluorophore-conjugated anti-EpCAM or anti-E-cadherin for 2 hr and washed once in PBS before culture. Live labels were FITC-labeled anti-EpCAM/CD326 (11-5791-82, Invitrogen) and AF660-labeled anti-CD324/E-cadherin (50-3249-82, Invitrogen) at 1:250 dilution in culture media. To prepare the hydrogel solution, rat tail collagen I (354236, Corning) was neutralized and diluted on ice with 1 M NaOH, 10X PBS and DI water to achieve a 1 mg ml^{-1}, pH 7 solution. The neutralized collagen and human ESC-qualified Matrigel (354277 lot 17823003, Corning) were then mixed at a 1:1 ratio v/v on ice resulting in a 0.5 mg ml^{-1} collagen I/50% Matrigel composite. Labeled kidneys were transferred to 12 mm internal-diameter PDMS rings using a gelatin-coated p20 pipette tip truncated with a razor blade. Excess liquid was removed and 10 µl of hydrogel solution was added to suspend the kidney within a droplet. The outside of the PDMS rings was filled with PBS for humidity control, and the culture plate was incubated at 37°C for 30 min to set the hydrogel. Phenol red-free DMEM media (A1443001, Thermo Fisher) with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS, MT35-010-CV, Corning) and 1x pen/strep (100 IU ml^{-1} penicillin, and 100 µg ml^{-1} streptomycin, 100x stock, 15140122, Invitrogen) was then added to the inside of the PDMS ring after gelation and prior to imaging. 10x confocal *z* stack timelapses were collected every hour at 15 µm step size after pre-defining *xy* positions.

SFPR1 inhibition in 3D mouse embryonic kidney culture: E13.5 wild-type CD1 kidneys were cultured as described above without use of the live anti-epithelial markers or time-lapse microscopy. Immediately after gelation, kidneys were administered DMEM with 10% FBS, 1% pen/strep including either way316606 (20 µM, Tocris, 4767) for SFRP1 inhibition or equivolume DMSO for the control. Kidneys were cultured for 72 hr prior to fixation and immunonofluorescence. Kidneys were stained with Donkey antibodies towards rabbit anti-CITED1 (1:250, Invitrogen PA5-65541, RRID:AB_2664550), mouse anti-SIX2 (1:300, Proteintech 66347-1-Ig, RRID:AB_2881727), and rat anti-ECAD (1:300, Abcam ab11512, RRID:AB_298118). Secondary antibodies (raised against donkey and used at 1:300 dilution) included anti-rabbit AlexaFluor 488 (A21206, ThermoFisher, RRID: AB_2535792), anti-mouse

AlexaFluor 555 (A31572, ThermoFisher, RRID: AB_162543), and anti-goat AlexaFluor 647 (A32849,
ThermoFisher, RRID:AB_2762840). Kidneys were counterstained using 2.5 µg ml^{-1} DAPI in DPBS and
washed once in DPBS before imaging using confocal microscopy with a 20x objective (see **Kidney**
whole-mount immunofluorescence). Cap mesenchyme and ureteric bud tip ROIs from niches in-
plane with the x-y plane were manually segmented using FIJIs polygon selection tool. ROIs were
segmented using the z-plane where UB tip lumen was first visible to keep relative depth consistent
between niches. Cap mesenchyme z-depth was measured from segmented niches by manually
identifying the shallowest (z_i) and deepest (z_f) in-focus planes of SIX2 signal. Depth was calculated
using the following formula: ($z_f - z_i$) x step size, with step size equal to 2 µm. A custom CellProfiler[131]
pipeline was used to measure DAPI, SIX2, CITED1, and ECAD channel fluorescence from the
manually segmented ROIs. CellProfiler output was analyzed in R for statistical testing and plotting.
581
Live nephrogenesis tracking. Mouse kidney explant culture timelapses were registered in xyzt using
manually annotated landmarks in FIJI. Ticker-tape data consisted of nephron stage annotation at each
timelapse frame for UB tips for which at least one nephron initiated and for which there was a least one
transition from post- to pre-branching over the course of the timelapse. Discrete rather than continuum
branch staging was estimated as described in the main text due to tips being at various orientations.
The frame(s) at which nephron condensation were first perceptible were then associated with the
corresponding branching stage ticker-tape. Further processing included producing aggregated ticker-
tapes in which nephron formation events were plotted at the fraction of the corresponding branching
stage that they formed in. In this case the 3 branching stages were idealized as equal thirds of the
branching life-cycle. We also produced plots of branching events per 48 hr estimated as # stage
transitions / 3 for the subset of annotated tips, and cumulative distributions of nephrons formed relative
to the idealized ticker-tape timelines.
594
HCR RNA-FISH. E17 mouse embryonic kidneys dissected in RNase-free PBS were assayed by whole-
mount hybridization chain reaction (HCR v3.0) single-molecule RNA fluorescence in-situ hybridization
(smFISH) similar to published protocols[132–136] and consistent Molecular Instruments Inc. protocols.
Probe sets were designed using in-house software (AnglerLite) and are provided in **Supplementary**
Files). Buffers and hairpin solutions were procured from Molecular Instruments, Inc. Kidneys were
transferred to 1.5 ml Eppendorf tubes and fixed in 4% PFA overnight at 4°C. Kidneys were washed 3 x
5 min in PBST and dehydrated on ice by serial 10 min incubations in 25%, 50%, 75%, and 100%
methanol:PBST washes before incubation at -20°C for > 16 hr. Kidneys were rehydrated on ice by
serial 10 min incubations in 25%, 50%, 75%, and 100% PBST:methanol, followed by one 10 min PBST
wash at room temperature. Kidneys were further permeabilized for 1 hr in 2% SDS, 10 µg ml^{-1}
proteinase K in PBST. Kidneys were washed 3 x 5 min in PBST, post-fixed 20 min in 4% PFA at room
temperature, washed 5 x 5 min in PBST, and incubated in probe hybridization buffer for 5 min. Kidneys
were pre-hybridized with pre-warmed probe hybridization buffer for 30 min at 37°C. 100 µl of 16 nM
probe solution was then prepared from a 1 µM stock and pre-warmed to 37°C for 15 min before probing
kidneys overnight (>12 hr) at 37°C. Probe wash buffer was pre-heated to 37°C and used to wash
kidneys for 4 x 30 min at 37°C, followed by 2 x washes with 5x sodium chloride-sodium citrate buffer
tween buffer (SSCT) at room temperature. Amplification buffer was equilibrated at room temperature
while 2 µl of 3 µM stocks of hairpins 1 and 2 were snap-cooled from 95°C for 90s to 20°C for 30 min in
the dark. Kidneys were incubated with pre-warmed amplification buffer for 30 min at room temperature
before incubating with 100 µl amplification buffer containing 50x dilutions of each hairpin at room
temperature overnight (12-16 hr). Excess hairpins were removed by washing in 5x SSCT at room
temperature 2 x 5 min, 2 x 20 min, and 1 x 5 min, followed by 3 x 5 min washes in PBST.
617
618 Kidneys were imaged by confocal fluorescence microscopy at 20x and 40x as for antibody assays (see
619 **Kidney whole-mount immunofluorescence.**)
620
Spatial transcriptome sequencing. The 10x Xenium Prime 5k enables *in situ*, imaging-based
detection of ~5000 prescribed transcripts in tissue slices. ~100 extra custom gene probesets were
manually curated based on literature search to capture canonical and pathway markers characteristic
of cell types and signaling processes operating in the nephrogenic zone that were missing from the
default 5000 gene list. Freshly dissected mouse kidneys were partially flattened by applying ~20%

strain normal to the surface plane. This was achieved by sandwiching kidneys between two 1" x 3"
standard microscope slides spaced apart using shims cut from 750 µm-thick plastic (Grainger) and held
together using rubber bands during fixation for 2 hr in 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) at 4°C. Human fetal
kidney samples stored in 70% EtOH were not flattened. These samples were subjected to further EtOH
exchanges at 75%, 90%, 95%, and 100% for 2 hr each at 4°C, washed 3 times in excess PBS, and
embedded in paraffin. Tissue preparation, sectioning, deparaffinization/decrosslinking, and Xenium
Prime assay followed manufacturer protocols (10x Genomics). Briefly, 5 µm kidney sections were
prepared and transferred to Xenium slides using a microtome and flotation bath. Slides were dried and
transferred to a desiccator overnight. For deparaffinization, slides were incubated at 60 °C for 2 hr,
cooled to room temperature, and then processed through xylene washes and an ethanol series ending
with nuclease-free water. After rehydration, FFPE crosslinks were reversed using a decrosslinking
buffer followed by two washes in PBST. Xenium Prime barcoding assay steps were then performed,
comprising priming hybridization, RNase treatment and polishing, probe hybridization, ligation,
amplification, cell segmentation staining (ATP1A1/CD45/ECAD for cell boundaries; 18S rRNA and
aSMA/Vimentin for cell interior), autofluorescence quenching, and DAPI staining. Barcode decoding
was then performed through automated iterative cycles of fluorescent probe hybridization, imaging, and
probe removal on the Xenium Analyzer instrument. For each cycle and image plane, the onboard
pipeline detected fluorescent puncta, decoded them into gene identities, and assigned a per-transcript
basecalling quality 'Q score'. Cell boundaries were computed by morphology-based segmentation of
DAPI images using a neural-network model, enabling assignment of decoded transcripts to individual
cells.
647
Pseudobulked spatial sequencing data analysis. All ureteric bud, nephron progenitor, and nephron
lineage cells associated with each niche were manually annotated using lasso outlines in Xenium
Explorer 3 to create ROIs. Stromal/ureteric bud cells in close proximity to the cap mesenchyme were
associated with niches using the FNN package by finding the nearest 2 stromal/ureteric bud cell
neighbors to each NP cell (by Euclidean distance between cell centroids) and removing duplicate cell
IDs. Cell IDs, K-means cluster number (cell type), cell area, and ROI outline vertex coordinates were
exported for further processing in R. Transcript-level data were provided in Xenium output for all cells in
parquet format. For each ROI, we performed separate analyses by K-means cluster number. Quality
control removed transcripts with Q score <20. We pseudobulked data by computing raw per-gene
count tallies across cells falling within each ROI. In parallel, we estimated ROI area as the sum of the
per-cell areas (μm^2). Outputs for each ROI included a gene-by-count table and total area. We next
selected ROIs falling within a similar predicted tissue depth range by filtering ROIs based on a 'depth
metric' created from expression of height-associated genes. A Seurat object[137] was first created from Q
score-filtered per-cell per-gene counts data for all cells in a given slice. These data were log-normalized
(NormalizeData) and scaled (ScaleData) to create gene z-scores. Z-scores were exported as an RDS
file with the x,y coordinates of each cell. We defined a scalar depth metric as a weighted sum of height-
associated gene z-scores. Height-associated genes (*TTC28*, *MEST*, *CITED1*) were previously
identified from principal component analysis of pseudobulked ROI expression data, see below. The
depth score was computed for each cell as the sum of z-scores of the height associated genes
multiplied by the following weights: TTC28 = -1, MEST = -1, CITED1 = -2. We then used mba.surf to
create a continuous interpolated surface from the metric values and cell coordinates, which was then
Gaussian smoothed and exported as a contour map. We manually selected contours that bracketed
ROIs whose depths were anatomically consistent with the approximate midplane of the nephrogenic
zone and filtered out ROIs whose centroids (computed from ROI outline vertex coordinates) did not fall
between these contours. We next returned to the original pseudobulked count data and filtered out
genes having a non-zero count in fewer than 20% of the in-contours ROIs. We then performed
between-ROI Q3 normalization. Specifically, for each ROI we computed the 75th percentile of its gene
counts, defined the global scaling factor as the median of these ROI-specific Q3 values, and rescaled
each ROI by dividing counts by its own Q3 and multiplying by the global median Q3. Median gene
expression per ROI scaled with ROI area, which normalization successfully compensated for (**Fig.
S2B**). Q3 normalized counts were allocated to the counts argument in CreateSeuratObject and log
transformed using a log2(count + 1) function. To reduce noise, we filtered genes by average
expression across ROIs, retaining only features with mean Q3-normalized counts of >4-7 per ROI. We
then identified the top 1,500 highly variable genes using Seurat FindVariableFeatures with the VST

682 method and created *z*-scores using ScaleData. RunPCA was used to perform principal component
683 analysis on the variable features. Pheatmap using average linkage with correlation distance for both
684 rows and columns was used for unsupervised clustering of niches using the top 100 variable genes
685 overall or of those in the first principal component for stroma. We performed differential expression
686 analysis on the top 200 variable genes between ROIs in different branching life-cycle stages using
687 gene-wise linear models with Benjamini-Hochberg correction for multiple comparisons (FDR). The
688 models controlled for the effect of tissue slice if more than one slice contributed ROIs to a given
689 analysis.
690
691 **Branching continuum score.** The AlphaShape[138] algorithm (Ken Bellock) was used to create closed
692 polygons from the ureteric bud and nephron progenitor cell centroids associated with each niche in
693 Python 3.14.0. Polygon coordinates across niches at the same developmental stage and in the same
694 Xenium replicate were registered to a common cartesian origin. 55 geometric features were calculated
695 for each of the two polygons per niche (**Table S2**). The feature matrix was scaled such that each
696 feature had zero mean and unit standard deviation. Principal component analysis (PCA) was performed
697 and the first principal component was linearly scaled to a minimum value of 0 maximum of 100, yielding
698 the branching continuum.
699
700 **Validation of branching continuum score.** Niches were analyzed and processed similarly to Xenium
701 niches to create branching continuum scores, but for every third frame of a confocal fluorescence
702 timelapse of an E11.5 Foxd1GC/+; R26mTmG/+ mouse kidney explant imaged between 24 and 72 hr
703 of culture time (**Movie S4**). Input polygons here were derived from manual segmentation of the ureteric
704 bud and nephron progenitor compartments of two parent niches and their associated daughter niches
705 in Fiji.
706
707 **Rhythm visualization.** We curated groups of differentially expressed genes at FDR < 0.15 associated
708 with naive/differentiation/proliferation cell states for nephron progenitors and shallow/deep states for
709 stroma (**Table S3**). Genes were also pulled from the top variable gene heatmap in the case of the
710 proliferation state. We mapped LOESS-fitted mean *z*-scores of these gene groups to a line radius to
711 create radial plots. Boundary points at continuum scores of 0 and 100 were assigned arbitrarily high
712 weights during fitting to result in closed loops. For each cell compartment in the niche, we created per-
713 cell heatmaps of gene groups by first defining per-gene weights as the inverse of the mean Q3
714 normalized gene expression across ROIs. 'Relative expression' was then represented for each cell as
715 the sum of per-gene weights normalized by a cell's area. For rhythmic gene heatmaps, the z-score vs.
716 ROI branching continuum data were fitted with a fixed-wavelength sine model for each of the top 1,500
717 highly variable genes, i.e. *z*-score(continuum) = $A.\sin(B_{fixed}.continuum + C) + D$, where A is amplitude,
718 C is phase (radians), D is vertical offset, and $B_{fixed} = 2\pi$ / fitlength is the angular frequency fixed by the
719 user. We chose fitlength = 73 since > 95% of ROIs fell within a branching continuum range of 0-73. A,
720 C, and D were left as fitting parameters. Initial values were set from the data range ($A_o = (z_{max} - z_{min})$ / 2,
721 $A_o = 0$, $D_o = mean(z)$). Per-gene models were fitted by non-linear least squares (nls) with a maximum of
722 1000 iterations. To unify orientation, fits having A < 0 were re-expressed with positive amplitude and
723 phase shifted by π radians. For each gene, we computed the residual sum of squares (SSE) for both
724 the sine model and a constant-mean null hypothesis model (i.e. no rhythm in gene expression over
725 branching life-cycle). We then performed an F-test using the statistic:
726

$$F = \frac{(SSE_{\text{null}} - SSE_{\text{sine}})/2}{SSE_{\text{sine}}/(n-3)}$$

727
728
729 with *n* = number of ROIs, numerator degrees of freedom = 2, and denominator degrees of freedom = *n*
730 - 3. Sine fits of rhythmic genes having *p* < 0.2 were ordered by the branching continuum score of their
731 peak value ('peak continuum') and visualized using pheatmap.
732
733 **GO/KEGG/reactome gene set and ToppGene transcription factor binding site over-**
734 **representation analyses of rhythmic genes.** We performed a circular sliding-window gene set over-
735 representation analysis on genes ordered by peak continuum. A sliding window of fixed width (25
736 branching continuum units) was advanced in 3 unit increments. The window was robust to looping of

the branching continuum score from 100 back to 0 units. Genes having peak continuum values in the
current window were extracted and tested for over-representation in KEGG pathways (enrichKEGG),
GO biological process terms (enrichGO), and reactome pathways (enrichPathway). All analyses used
Benjamini–Hochberg (BH) multiple-testing correction and default background sets. Significantly over-
represented pathways/terms having FDR < 0.05 in the results tables associated with the series of
windows were manually inspected and curated for relevance to nephron progenitor/stromal
development and mechanics. -log10(FDR) vs. peak continuum window center was then plotted for
these curated pathways/terms. We followed a similar approach using the transcription factor binding
site analysis of ToppGene for genes in windows 1, 5, 10, and 15 (peak continuum centers of 12.5, 24.5,
39.5, and 54.5). Significantly over-represented binding site IDs having FDR < 0.05 were assigned to
naive/shallow, differentiation/deep, or proliferation categories based on inspection of associated gene
lists and on the peak continuum range of the window in which they were detected.
749
Human iPSC-derived nephron progenitors. Nephron progenitor cell 'puck' organoids were generated
from SIX2^{EGFP} transgenic reporter iPSC line (*SIX2*-T2A-*EGFP*, Murdoch Children's Research Institute /
Kidney Translational Research Center, Washington University Nephrology) and MAFB^{BFP};GATA3^{mCherry}
transgenic reporter iPSC line[139] according to published protocols[140–142]. Briefly, iPSCs were maintained
in standard tissue-culture treated 6-well plates coated with hESC-qualified matrigel (1:100, #354277,
Corning) in stem cell maintenance medium plus supplement (mTeSR+ kit, StemCell Technologies 100-
0276). Lines were passaged as single cells using Accutase (StemCell Technologies, 07920) once
colonies reached 60-80% confluence, and Accutase was neutralized using mTeSR+ at an excess of
6:1 (v/v). For differentiation, maintenance iPSCs were passaged as described above and seeded onto
standard tissue-culture treated 6-well plates coated instead with human recombinant laminin 521 (10
µg well^{-1}, #77003-05, Biolamina) at a density of 5,200 cells cm^{-2}. iPSCs were maintained in mTeSR+
for the first 24 hr ahead of differentiation (day -1). The following day (day 0), wells were washed with
DPBS and differentiation towards primitive streak identity was induced using stage 1 media (TeSR-E6
Medium plus supplements (TeSR-E6+) (STEMCELL Technologies, 05946) and 7µM CHIR-99021
(Tocris, 4423)), and stage 1 media was refreshed every 48 hr until day 5. On day 5, wells were washed
with DPBS and media was changed to stage 2 media (TeSR-E6+ with 1 µg ml^{-1} heparin (Sigma-Aldrich
H4784) and 200 ng ml^{-1} FGF9 (R&D Systems 273-F9-025)). Stage 2 media was refreshed every 24 hr
until day 10 to progress differentiation first through an intermediate mesoderm stage before reaching
nephron progenitor identity (iNPCs). On day 10, iNPCs were lifted using Accutase and TeSR-E6+ was
used to quench digestion at an excess of 3:1 (v/v). iNPCs were resuspended in 1 ml TeSR-E6+ and
counted. A volume of iNPC suspension equivalent to $3*10^5$ cells organoid^{-1} was transferred to a 1.5 ml
eppendorf and pelleted by centrifuging for 3 min at 300g. Supernatant was then removed and the cell
pellet was resuspended with TeSR-E6+ to create a dense cell slurry at ~3 x 10^5 cells µl^{-1}. Nephron
organoids were formed by manually spotting up to eight 1 µl droplets of the slurry onto a single 6-well
plate 0.4 µm polyester transwell membrane (CellTreat, 230607) that was prewet with stage 1 media.
Nephron organoids underwent a CHIR 'pulse' for 2 hr at 37°C. Organoids were then washed with
DPBS and media was exchanged with stage 2 media for a 'chase'. Nephron organoids were 'chased'
for 2 hr at 37°C. For select conditions, the CHIR pulse was combined (in pulse media) or staggered (in
chase media) with 0.1 µM TTNPB (Tocris 0761), 4 µM TRULI (MedChem Express HY-138489 or
Sigma-Aldrich SML3634), or equivolume DMSO (Sigma-Aldrich, 276855). Nephron organoids were
washed with DPBS following the 'chase' and media was exchanged with stage 2 media. Stage 2 media
was refreshed after 24 hr. On day 12, nephron organoids were washed with DPBS and the media was
switched to TeSRE6+ without additional factors. TeSR-E6+ was refreshed every 48 hr until reaching
the experiment endpoint.
784
Organoid immunofluorescence. Organoids were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde for 15 min. Fixed
cells were then washed twice for 10 min in PBSG (DPBS + 7.5 g/L glycine) and once in DPBS.
Organoids were permeabilized in 0.5% Triton-X-100 for 30 min at room temperature and blocked for
1hr at room temperature in IF Wash (DPBS + 1g/l Bovine Serum Albumin + 0.2% Triton-X-100 + 0.04%
Tween-20) + 10% donkey serum. Organoids were then incubated overnight at 4°C in appropriate
dilution of primary antibody in IF Wash + 10% donkey serum, washed three times for 1 hr in IF wash at
room temperature, and incubated in secondary antibody at overnight at 4°C in IF wash + 10% donkey
serum. Organoids were again washed three times in IF wash for 1 hr. Organoids were counterstained

in 1 µg ml^{-1} DAPI in DPBS and washed once in DPBS before imaging using confocal microscopy (see
Kidney immunofluorescence imaging). Primary antibodies and dilutions were rabbit anti-SIX2
(1:400, 11562-1-AP, Proteintech, RRID: AB_2189084), rabbit anti-ECAD (1:300, 3195, Cell Signaling
Technology, RRID: AB_2291471), goat anti-JAG1 (1:150, AF599, R&D Systems, RRID: AB_2128257),
goat anti-GATA3 (1:20, AF2605, R&D Systems, RRID: AB_2108571), sheep anti-NPHS1 (1:40,
AF4269, R&D Systems, RRID: AB_2154851), mouse anti-YAP (1:50, sc-101199, Santa Cruz, RRID:
AB_1131430), and biotinylated LTL (1:300, B-1325-2, Vector Laboratories). Donkey secondary
antibodies were used at 1:300 dilution: anti-rabbit AlexaFluor 647 (A31573, ThermoFisher, RRID:
AB_2536183), anti-goat AlexaFluor 488 (A11055, ThermoFisher, RRID: AB_2534102), anti-sheep
AlexaFluor 555 (A21436, ThermoFisher, RRID: AB_2535857), and DyLight 405-Streptavidin (016-470-
084, Jackson ImmunoResearch).
804
Day 10 organoids were fixed 2 or 4 hr after spotting and stained as described above. FIJI was used to
manually segment nephron progenitor (SIX2+) nuclei using the DAPI channel and cytoplasms by
extending the outer DAPI edge to the midway point of neighboring nuclei. Mean YAP intensity was
measured for each ROI and background subtracted using image-wide mean YAP intensity at a distant,
out of focus plane. Background subtracted averages were used for calculating YAP
nucleus:cytoplasmic ratio.
811
For day 12 organoids, nephron progenitor (SIX2+) and early nephron (JAG1+) % area relative to
DAPI+ area was determined by thresholding *z*-stack montages in FIJI. For day 25 organoids, glomeruli
(NPHS1+) % area was determined by thresholding *z*-stack montages while proximal tubule (LTL+) %
area, distal tubule (only ECAD+) % area, and connecting segment (nuclear GATA3+ and ECAD+) %
area relative to organoid area (determined by manual annotation of background signal) were
determined by manual annotation of z-stack montages followed by thresholding. Analyses were
performed on a single, central z-plane for each organoid.
819
Organoid RNA isolation and quantitative PCR. Organoids were collected for RNA extraction with 8
organoids pooled for each condition. Following the manufacturer protocols, we used the RNeasy Mini
Kit (Qiagen 74104) to isolate RNA and the High-Capacity RNA-to-cDNA Kit (Applied Biosystems
4387406) to generate cDNA libraries. For qPCR, we used the PowerUp SYBR Green Master Mix
(Applied Biosystems A25742). We used an Applied Biosystems 7300 thermocycler set to manufacturer
suggested times and temperatures for PowerUp SYBR Green Master Mix. 25 ng of cDNA were used in
each reaction along with the appropriate qPCR primers at 500 nM. qPCR primers for *CRABP2*, *CTGF*,
CYP26A1, *CYR61*, *GAPDH*, *HPRT*, and *RARB* were used (**Table S4**). DeltaDeltaCt values were
calculated using either *GAPDH* or *HPRT* as housekeeping genes. Samples were run in triplicate for
qPCR analysis.
830
Single cell sequencing prep. E17 wild-type CD1 kidneys were pooled to generate NZC pellets (see
Mouse kidney primary nephrogenic zone cell isolation). NZC cell pellets were resuspended to 10^6
cells ml^{-1} in 1x DPBS, and cell suspensions were kept on ice until cDNA library preparation. cDNA
library preparation, quality control, and sequencing were performed with help from Children's Hospital
of Philadelphia's Single Cell Technology (identifying info) and High-throughput Sequencing (identifying
info) Cores. Single-cell RNA-seq was performed using the Chromium GEM-X Single Cell 3' Kit v3 (10x
Genomics) following the manufacturer's protocol. In brief, approximately 10,000 cells were captured per
sample and partitioned into Gel Bead-in-Emulsions (GEMs), where cell lysis and barcoded reverse
transcription of mRNA occurred. cDNA was then amplified, fragmented, and subjected to 3' library
construction with unique sample indices. cDNA libraries were generated in bulk and quality control was
performed using the High Sensitivity DNA Kit (Agilent) and 2100 bioanalyzer (Agilent) ahead of
sequencing. Once quantified, the dual-indexed libraries were diluted, pooled in equal molarity, and
sequenced in Illumina NovaSeq6000 on a SP-100 flow cell (Illumina). Paired-end sequencing was
performed as per 10X Genomics recommendations as 28x10x10x90. The pool was denatured and
diluted to a loading concentration of 350pM, and PhiX control was added at 1%; cluster PF was
recorded at 76% and Q30 > 93%.
847

scRNA-seq data analysis. *Mouse E17 NZC feature count matrix generation*: The Kallisto-Bustools
workflow (kb-python; Kallisto version 0.50.1 and Bustools version 0.40.0) was used to index pseudo-
alignment and paired-end read FASTQ transcript mapping[143]. The 'kallisto index' call was used for
index generation from the mouse reference transcriptome cDNA fasta file
(Mus_musculus.GRCm39.cdna.all release-111; ensemble.org). The default kb-python mouse transcript
to gene map was downloaded using the 'kb ref' call with the default (-d) argument set to 'mouse'. The
'kb count' call was used for collapsing reads to unique molecular identifiers (UMI), mapping UMIs to
transcripts and cells, and generating a cell x feature matrix. Input for the 'kb count' call were Illumina
read 1 and read 2 FASTQ files, the mouse reference transcriptome index, default kb-python transcript
to gene map, capture technology specified as 10x version3 ('10XV3'), and output format specified as
'cellranger'. The default kb-python Chromium 10x-v3 capture technology whitelist was used for barcode
filtering.
860
Seurat object pre-processing: Empty droplets were estimated from the output cell x feature count matrix
using the *emptyDrops* function from the DropletUtils package (v1.24.0, Bioconductor
DOI:10.18129/B9.bioc.DropletUtils). Cells with scores less than or equal to 0.05 were kept for
downstream analysis. In short, the Seurat library (v5.2.1)[144,145] was used to import the filtered gene
expression matrix to R and for downstream analysis. Seurat objects were filtered to exclude features in
fewer than 3 cells and cells with over 20% of transcripts assigned to mitochondrial RNA, fewer than
1000 unique features, and greater than 20,000 transcript counts. The filtered dataset contained 9530
cells with an average of 3144 unique genes and an average of 9300 total counts detected per cell.
Gene expression matrices generated from scRNA-seq of isolated nephrogenic zone cells from two
week 17 human fetal kidneys were used for integration with human week 20 Xenium datasets[40]. These
matrices were accessed from the Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO, NCBI) under accession code
GSE112570. Seurat objects were filtered as described in *Lindstrom et al*[40]. In brief, cells with fewer
than 1000 unique features, greater than 5% of transcripts assigned to mitochondrial RNA, and with
Good-Turing estimates of observed expression (S) under 0.7 were filtered out. The Good-Turing
estimate is described by $S = 1−n_1/N$, where n_1 is the number of genes with one mapped read and N is
the total number of reads in the cell. Seurat objects from kidneys 1 and 2 were then merged ahead of
downstream analyses with the filtered dataset containing 7350 cells with an average of 1966 unique
genes and an average of 6386 total counts detected per cell. The Seurat library *Read10X_h5* function
was used to load Xenium output cell count matrices with the corresponding 'Gene Expression' matrix
being used as input for each Seurat object. Seurat objects were filtered by removing cells with fewer
than 50 unique features and removing features in fewer than 100 cells. Additionally, cells with greater
than 2 identified nuclei based on Xenium segmentation were removed.
883
884 *Dataset Integration*: Mouse and human nephrogenic zone scRNA-seq datasets were merged with
885 Xenium mouse E17 slide 2 and human week 20 slide 1, respectively. Merged datasets were then
886 processed with the following Seurat functions using default arguments: *NormalizeData*,
887 *FindVariableFeatures*, *ScaleData*, and *RunPCA*. Dataset integration was performed through canonical
888 correlation analysis (CCA) on the joint PCA latent space using Seurat's *IntegrateLayers* function.
889
Cluster Identification and QC: Following integration, the first 30 dimensions of the CCA latent space
were used as input for Seurat's *FindNeighbors* function to generate a shared nearest neighbor (SNN)
graph. Seurat's *FindClusters* function was then used to identify clusters at resolutions of 0.5 and 0.3 for
the mouse and human datasets, respectively. Resolutions were selected based on clustering of
expected cell types in the shared datasets using a manually curated panel of cell type marker genes
found in the Xenium panel (**Fig.S25/26**). Datasets were then separated and re-processed with
FindVariableFeatures and *ScaleData* functions ahead of cluster DGE analysis. Wilcoxon rank sum test
was used for DGE analysis using Seurat's *FindAllMarkers* function. Sub-cluster identities were defined
after manual exploration or marker genes with published nephron and stromal lineage datasets from
Lawlor *et al.*[42] and England *et al.*[53], respectively. To refine cell type identities further, stromal and
nephron progenitor sub-clusters were then re-integrated and re-clustered while isolated nephron and
ureteric bud clusters were re-clustered without further integration due to low cell number mapping to

these identities in the nephrogenic zone cell datasets. Refined identities were determined using
previously published references.
904
Clusters were screened with several quality control metrics prior to downstream analysis: doublet
probability was assessed by the *computeDoubletDensity* function from the scDblFinder package
(v1.18.0, Bioconductor DOI: 10.18129/B9.bioc.scDblFinder), while mean cell area, nucleus area, and
mean transcript count were obtained from Xenium output parquet files. Clusters 7 and 9 from the initial
mouse integration analysis were filtered out after QC screening; cells from cluster 7 showed
consistently high doublet probability scores with marker gene expression indicating contribution of both
NP and stromal lineages while cells from cluster 9 were considerably smaller and had fewer transcripts
than remaining clusters (**Fig. S25**). No clusters were removed from the human xenium analysis (**Fig.**
S26).
914
Niche identity analysis: Cells from depth-filtered niches were used for niche composition analyses, and
niche assignments were kept consistent with the pseudo-bulked analysis described above. Sub
clusters with insufficient cell numbers contributing to the total depth-filtered population were additionally
removed from the analysis. First, UMAPs were generated for each general cell type (NP, stroma, and
UB) after default re-processing with kidney slice identity being regressed out during gene expression
scaling for mouse datasets. A custom function to measure scatter plot point density
(https://slowkow.com/notes/ggplot2-color-by-density/) was used to plot relative UMAP density. Density
was measured as the number of cells occupying each grid divided by the total number of cells in the
plot for a uniform 10 by 10 grid along UMAP dimensions 1 and 2. Chi-squared testing was used to
evaluate similarity of cell type composition associated with discrete life-cycle stages. Wilcoxon rank rum
testing with Bonferroni Hochberg multiple comparison adjustment was used to evaluate change in
individual niche composition associated with discrete life-cycle stages. The ureteric bud population was
not confined to the two cell layer adjacent to the cap mesenchyme as was the case in the pseudo-
bulked analysis described above.
929
Deep vs Shallow Gene Set Scoring: Clusters 1 and 4 from Xenium's output k-means clustering (k=4)
from the mouse E17 slide 1 dataset were used as input for Seurat's *AddModuleScore* function. A
shallow gene set score was generated with nbin set to 3, ctrl set to 100, seed set to 1, and features set
with the following genes: *Foxd1*, *Ntn1*, *Smoc2*, *Sfrp1*, *Cyp1b1*, *Arap1*, *Adgrl2*, *Igfbp5*, *Nr2f1*. A deep
gene set score was generated using consistent arguments except for features set with: *Pdgfrb*, *Id2*,
Snai2, *Cxcl12*, *Dkk1*, *Pcna*, *Shisa3*, *Bmper*, *Fzd1*, *Peg10*, *Dlc1*. Clusters 1,2,4,7,10, and 11 from the
initial cluster identification of the human wk20 Xenium slide 1 dataset were used as input for shallow
and deep gene set analyses as described above. The human shallow geneset overlapped with the
mouse shallow geneset with *Nr2f1* removed and *TGFBI*, *SULF2*, and *DNAH11* added. The human
deep geneset overlapped with the mouse deep geneset with *Shisa2*, *Fzd1*, *Peg10*, and *Dlc1* removed
and *ID3*, *IRS4*, *THBS1*, *GATA6*, and *TNC* added. Human genesets were type adjusted to match human
gene annotations. Cells were assigned 'shallow' classification if the cell's shallow score was greater
than its deep score, assigned 'deep' classification if the cell's deep score was greater than its shallow
score, and assigned 'intermediate' classification if shallow and deep scores were the same.
Classification metadata was input into Xenium Explorer 3 for inset generation (**Fig. 5F**, **Fig. S22F**).
945
CONCORD: Python (v3.12) was used to run CONCORD (v0.9.5, ref. [73]), a recently developed scRNA-
seq integration software that uses contrastive learning to identify biologically meaningful structures in
high dimensional data, for mapping the mouse E17 nephrogenic zone cell dataset and to integrate the
Xenium human wk20 slide1 dataset with human wk17 nephrogenic zone cell dataset. Seurat objects
were first converted to single cell experiment (SCE) objects in R using Seurat's
as.SingleCellExperiment function. The anndata2ri (v1.3.2, https://github.com/theislab/anndata2ri) and
rpy2 (v3.5.17, https://pypi.org/project/rpy2/) packages were used in the python environment to import
and convert SCE objects to python compatible anndata objects. CONCORD was run using default
settings in both instances. For the mouse E17 NZC run, the top 5000 variable genes were identified by
running *select_features* function with flavor set to 'seurat_v3' and included in the mapping. For the
human dataset integration, all overlapping genes were included in the mapping and the domain_key
argument was set to distinguish the datasets. The *run_umap* function with arguments n_components

set to 3, n_neighbors set to 30, min_dist set to 0.1, and metric set to 'euclidean' was used on
CONCORD dimensions 1-32 to obtain a 3-dimensional UMAP reduction for the mouse mapping.
Output anndata objects were then saved as h5ad files using the anndata.*write* function. The readH5AD
function (zellkonverter v1.14.1, theislab.github.io/zellkonverter/) was used to load and convert anndata
objects into R as SCE objects and Seurat's *as.Seurat* function was used to convert SCE objects into
seurat objects. Nephron progenitor and nephron clusters were isolated for plotting. The Xenium dataset
was additionally isolated for the wk20 human plot and a new 3D UMAP reduction was generated from
the latent CONCORD dimensions 1-32 using *RunUMAP* with default parameters and n.components set
to 3. Cell cycle phase identification was determined by the *CellCycleScoring* function with S and G2M
gene sets taken from Seurat's cc.genes.updated.2019 list. The *plot_ly* function (plotly v4.10.4,
https://plotly.com/r/) set with type 'scatter3d' was used to generate interactive 3D scatter plots using
CONCORD UMAP dimensions 1-3 and colored either by refined cluster ID or cell cycle phase
assignment. Plots were manually oriented to highlight relevant angles of the 3D UMAP space.
971
Cell-cell communication ligand-receptor database generation: CellChat[7] (v2.2.0) was used for
inferential cell-cell communication analysis of depth-filtered cells from mouse E17 (slide 2) and human
week 20 (slide 1) datasets. Clusters with insufficient cell numbers contributing to the overall population
were removed prior to analysis. Additionally, niches that did not include stroma were removed from the
analysis. Default mouse and human CellChat ligand-receptor databases were updated to include non-
overlapping L-R pair annotations from the celltalk database (v1.0,
https://github.com/ZJUFanLab/CellTalkDB) for mouse and celltalk and cellphone (v5.0.0,
https://www.cellphonedb.org/) databases for human CCC analyses. L-R pairs added from the CellTalk
and CellPhone databases had column names changed to be consistent with the CellChat database and
additional columns removed prior to merging. All added CellTalk L-R pairs were given an associated
pathway name of "NA_celltalkDB.interaction" and signaling annotation of "Secreted Signaling".
Pathway names for L-R pairs added from the CellPhone database were taken from the classification
column adjusting to match the style and naming provided by CellChat. Pathways without an associated
classification were given an associated pathway name of "NA_cellphoneDB.interaction". CellPhoneDB
L-R pairs were given a signaling annotation of "Secreted Signaling". New L-R pairs that overlapped
between the CellTalk and CellPhone databases were taken from the CellPhone database due to added
pathway association information. The final mouse L-R database included 4505 pairs (3379 and 1126
from CellChat and CellTalk, respectively) and the final human L-R database included 6841 pairs (3233,
1596, and 2012 from CellChat, CellPhone, and CellTalk, respectively).
991
Within dataset cell-cell communication inference: Separate cellchat objects were generated for cells
associated with each discrete life-cycle stage by subsetting the Xenium seurat objects according to
depth status and discrete life-cycle stage annotation of the assigned niche. Cellchat objects were
grouped by refined cluster identities, had datatype set to 'spatial', had the coordinates argument set
with a matrix comprising of each cell's centroid x and y coordinates acquired from the Xenium output
cells.parquet file, and the spatial factors argument set with a data frame containing a ratio variable
equal to 1 indicating Xenium measurements are in μm and a tolerance variable equal to 5 indicating
that cell boundaries are 5 μm radially from the centroid. The *subsetData* function was used to remove
genes not found in the L-R database from the analysis. The *identifyOverExpressedGenes* function
used Wilcoxon rank sum testing with Bonferroni multiple comparisons adjustment to find differentially
expressed genes between all cell type clusters within each dataset. The
identifyOverExpressedInteractions found significant L-R pairs between all sender-receiver
combinations as defined by the ligand being significantly upregulated in the sender population and
receptor being significantly upregulated in the receiving population. Due to the low sequencing depth of
the Xenium datasets, the *smoothData* function was employed to smooth gene expression based on
protein neighbors in experimentally mapped protein-protein networks provided by CellChat. The
computeCommunProb function was used to identify significantly expressed L-R interactions between
sender and receiver pairs within the dataset. The computeCommProb function was set with the
following arguments: type set to "truncatedMean", trim set to 0.1, raw.use set to false, population.size
set to true, distance.use set to true, interaction.range set to 50 μm, scale.distance set to 5,
contact.dependent set to true, contact.range set to 10, and nboot set to 500. The *filterCommunication*

function with min.cells set to 10 was used to remove communications occurring in fewer than 10 cells
per sender/receiver cluster pairing.
1015
Differential cell-cell communication analysis: CellChat objects generated as described above were
merged for differential cell-cell communication analyses using the *mergeCellChat* function (mouse E17
pre- vs post-branch and human wk20 pre- vs mid-branch). The *updateClusterLabels* function was used
to arrange cluster positions on circle plots and *subsetCellChat* function was used to reduce analyses to
sender and receiver clusters of interest. Circle plots showing net differential interaction weight between
sender and receiver cluster pairs were generated with the *netVisual_diffInteraction* function with the
measure argument set 'weight' and the sources.use and targets.use arguments set depending on
analysis (**Fig.4F**, **Fig.S18F**, **Fig.S19E**, **Fig.S20E**). A custom built function was used to identify
differential interaction weight between specific L-R pairs across all sender-receiver cluster pairs
analyzed. In brief, the *subsetCommunication* function was used on the "net" slot to isolate L-R
interaction weights in all sender-receiver cluster pairs per branching stage. The *full_join* function (dplyr)
was used to rejoin the branching stage "net" slots on all columns minus L-R pair 'prob' and 'pval'
columns which were kept separate using dataset identifiers. Differential interaction weight was
calculated for individual L-R interactions within each sender-receiver cluster pairing by using the
group_by function (dplyr) on sender and receiver clusters and then subtracting prob_dataset2 from
prob_dataset1. L-R interactions not found in one dataset had probability values set to 0 ahead of
measurement.
1033
Human week 20 nephron progenitor stream analyses: The full human wk20 slide 1 dataset was used
for nephron progenitor analyses. Cell cycle phase identification was determined by the
CellCycleScoring function with S and G2M gene sets taken from Seurat's cc.genes.updated.2019 list.
Centroid to centroid euclidean distances were measured using coordinates provided by Xenium
cells.parquet output files for all cell pairs between testing sets. Refined NP clusters were evaluated
against targets from refined UB and nephron clusters. Matrices were collapsed by finding the minimum
distance to a cell of the target identity (column) for each NP cell (row). The minimum distance matrix
was further filtered to remove distances greater than 15 μm or 75 μm depending on the analysis
(**Fig.S21**).
1043
Stromal nuclear morphology analysis. For week 20 human, the Xenium 'Level 1' DAPI morphology
image was processed in FIJI using in-house macros; breaking this image into 512 x 512 pix tile stacks
and finding in-focus planes for each stack using Focus LP[146] (Helmut Glunder). In-focus planes were
then montaged and all nuclei segmented using Cellpose[147] (v4.0.7) after human-in-the-loop model
training on 5 representative in-focus planes spanning the *xy* extent of the montage. Nuclei masks were
exported to FIJI for aspect ratio and area calculation. These morphology data were then associated
with Xenium cell IDs through a nearest neighbor search based on Euclidean distance between cell
centroids in R (FNN package). Running average aspect ratio was quantified for a window size of 100
cells after ordering cells by their centroid *x* coordinate. For E17 mouse, variation in stromal nuclear
morphology was not as large in Xenium slices from kidneys fixed in the sandwich system as in
traditionally fixed kidneys, perhaps due to differences in PFA penetration vs. cell relaxation timescales.
We therefore segmented nuclei in whole-mount immunofluorescence images of freshly dissected E17
kidneys using the same Cellpose approach as above. In this case, stromal cells were manually
associated with the nearest cap mesenchyme population and duplicate associations were allowed in
the case that a stromal cell contacted two caps. Despite its lower dynamic range, Cellpose
segmentation of the Xenium DAPI morphology image was still used in analyses requiring correlation of
gene expression and mouse stromal nuclear aspect ratio (see below). Peripheral stromal cells above
niches were directly segmented on a per-niche basis from whole-mount image stacks in mouse. This
was not possible for human since surface slices containing the same set of niches corresponding to
those in the Xenium slices were not available. Instead, peripheral stromal nuclei at slice edges were
analyzed to approximate their average aspect ratio and area values. Per-cell aspect ratio heatmaps
were generated by shading Xenium and Cellpose nuclear outlines for human and mouse respectively
using in-house code. Per-niche pseudobulked Q3-normalized gene expression was fit to per-niche
average stromal nuclear AR via a linear model to determine R^2 values for each gene. Data sorted by R^2
were split into genes with positive or negative correlations, and genes having $R^2 > 0.05$ in each

category were analyzed using ToppGene pathway and transcription factor binding site analyses.
Significantly over-represented pathway/binding site IDs having FDR < 0.05 were manually inspected
and curated for relevance to nephron progenitor/stromal development and mechanics.
1072
Mouse kidney stromal pMLC, phalloidin, and YAP analyses. pMLC/phalloidin fluorescence vs.
depth in mouse embryonic kidney stromal ribbons was quantified from average projections of xz-plane
confocal sections (i.e. orthogonal to the *en face* plane) local to each ribbon at 0.2 μm spacing.
Fluorescence vs. depth traces were background-subtracted using the fluorescence at the shallowest
point in depth, which was outside the tissue boundary. These traces were normalized by their value at
an anatomical reference position, namely the *z* plane glancing the cortical surface of the ureteric bud
tips adjacent to a given stromal ribbon. A similarly-processed trace for an average projection of xz-
plane sections spaced at 2 μm spanning the entire confocal field of view was then subtracted from
each ribbon trace to yield an 'enrichment' metric. The enrichment traces were aligned to the same
reference position across niches. These steps correct for decreasing fluorescence with depth due to
light scattering and quantify any pMLC enrichment in ribbons that is higher/lower than expected on
average at a given depth across ureteric bud/nephron progenitor/stromal compartments.
1085
YAP nuclear:cytoplasm ratio did not require the same normalization procedure since the per-cell ratio
intrinsically accounts for decreasing fluorescence with tissue depth. Cellpose was used on the DAPI
channel for nuclei segmentation. Niche ROIs were manually generated in FIJI using the polygon
selection tool, and *xy* coordinates from each niche's vertices were written into csv files for cell-niche
association in R. A custom FIJI macro was used to filter Cellpose output segmentations, only keeping
nuclei having partial overlap with binarized masks generated from niche ROIs. Remaining nuclei ROIs
were loaded as objects into CellProfiler using the loadmaskobjects.py plugin (Egor Zindy). Cytoplasms
were defined as annuli extended up to 3 pixels around each nucleus and stopping at neighboring
cytoplasms. Binarized niche masks were also input into CellProfiler and converted back into individual
niche objects using a watershed threshold. Nuclei centroids were used to relate cells with niches. YAP
intensity, MEIS123 intensity, and shape parameters measured in nucleus, cytoplasm, and niche objects
were output from CellProfiler. CellProfiler output data was analyzed and plotted in R. In brief, the st
package (v 1.0.19, https://r-spatial.github.io/sf/) was used for cell-niche association by measuring nuclei
centroid occupancy within a niche's boundary. FIJI output coordinates were used to define niche
boundaries for cell assignments. Nuclei occupying multiple niches were assigned to each niche.
Stromal cells were detected by setting a manual threshold on MEIS1/2/3 marker fluorescence for every
z plane. Cells having median nuclear intensity at or above the threshold and a nucleus:cytoplasmic
median MEIS1/2/3 intensity ratio above 1.25 were classified as 'stroma'. YAP background was
calculated on a per niche basis as the niche's mean intensity minus two standard deviations. Nuclear
and cytoplasmic YAP intensities were background subtracted using niche assignments determined in
CellProfiler prior to nucleus:cytoplasmic ratio measurements. Stromal cells within the first or last 2.5
percentiles for nucleus area were removed. Remaining cells with a cytoplasmic:nucleus area ratio
under 0.2 were additionally removed prior to analysis.
1109
Mouse kidney primary nephrogenic zone cell isolation. Wild-type CD1 E17-E18 embryonic kidneys
were dissected, pooled per litter, and kept on ice in DPBS until digestion. For NZC single cell RNA-seq,
a digestion solution made up of 0.25% pancreatin and 0.1% collagenase in DPBS was applied for 15
min in a cell culture incubator set to 37°C and 5% CO_2. Samples were placed on a shaker at 100 rpm
for constant agitation. Digestion was quenched using FBS and released DNA was digested using 6
units of TURBO DNase (2 U/μl). Cell suspensions were transferred to 1.5 ml eppendorf tubes and
centrifuged at 300g for 5 min. Cells were then prepared for sequencing (see *single cell sequencing*
prep). For NZC cell substrate adhesion assays, a digestion solution of 0.25% trypsin-EDTA (Invitrogen,
25200056) was applied up to 30 minutes in a cell culture incubator set to 37°C and 5% CO_2. Samples
were placed on a shaker at 100 rpm for constant agitation. Every 10 minutes, solutions were triturated
with a p1000 taking care not to suck up kidneys, cell suspensions transferred to an eppendorf
containing FBS at 10% digest volume for quenching trypsin, and a new volume of 0.25% trypsin-EDTA
was added to the kidneys for continued digestion. Quenched samples were kept on ice until 30 minutes
elapsed. After all cell suspensions were collected, cells were centrifuged at 300g for 5 min. Cells were

then prepared for substrate adhesion assays (see *Mouse kidney primary cell substrate adhesion*
immunofluorescence and qPCR/bulk RNA-sequencing).

1126

Mouse kidney primary cell substrate adhesion immunofluorescence and qPCR/bulk RNA-
sequencing. NZC cell pellets were washed by resuspension in FACS media (DPBS with 2% FBS, 1%
pen/strep and 10 µM Y27632), re-pelletted, and then resuspended at 10^6 cells 200 uL^{-1} in Alexa Fluor
488 conjugated goat anti-PDGFRA (1:200, FAB1062G, R&D Systems, RRID:AB_3645435) diluted in
FACS media. Cell suspensions were incubated on ice for 1 hr, washed with FACS media, and
resuspended at 10^6 cells 200 uL^{-1} in donkey anti-goat AlexaFluor 647 (1:200, A32849, ThermoFisher,
RRID: AB_2762840) diluted in FACS media. Cells were incubated in secondary for 1 hr on ice and then
washed with FACS media. Labeled cells were passed through a 40 µm cell strainer and enriched using
BD FACSAria Fusion (BD Biosciences). The PDGFRA positive fraction were seeded at 2.5 x 10^5 cells
well^{-1} of a 12-well polystyrene plate with one 18mm PDMS coated glass coverslip per well. PDMS was
adsorbed with either 50 µg ml^{-1} (high-adhesion) or 10-500 ng ml^{-1} (low-adhesion) bovine plasma
fibronectin (F1141-5MG, Sigma) for at least 3 hr in a tissue culture incubator set to 37°C and 5% CO_2.
Cells were grown in DMEM with 10% FBS and 1% pen/strep. After 14-18 hr, cells were either prepared
for immunofluorescence or bulk-sequencing analyses. The rounding/clustering phenotype observed for
the low-adhesion immunofluorescence analysis was lost in subsequent experiments using the same
concentration of fibronectin (500 ng ml^{-1}). However, the phenotype was regained after a further
reduction in fibronectin concentration (10 ng ml^{-1}), and this reduced concentration was used for follow-
up bulk-sequencing experiments.

1145

Immunofluorescence: Cells were fixed using 4% paraformaldehyde for 5 min at room temperature.
Fixed cells were then washed twice in PBSG for 5 min and once in DPBS. Samples were incubated for
1 hr in IF wash with 5% donkey serum for permeabilization and blocking. Primary and secondary
incubation was overnight in IF wash + 5% donkey serum. Samples were washed for 1 hr in IF wash
following antibody incubation. Samples were counterstained in 1 µg ml^{-1} DAPI in DPBS and washed
once in DPBS before imaging using confocal microscopy (see *Kidney immunofluorescence imaging*).

1152

Primary antibodies and dilutions were rabbit anti-YAP (1:200, PA1-46189, Invitrogen, RRID:
AB_2219137), mouse anti-α-Smooth Muscle Actin Alexa Fluor 488 (1:300, 53-9760-82, Thermo
Fischer Scientific, RRID:AB_2574461), and goat anti-PDGFRA (1:200, AF1062, R&D Systems,
RRID:AB_2236897). Donkey secondary antibodies were used at 1:300 dilutions: anti-rabbit Alexa Fluor
555 (A32794, Thermo Fisher Scientific, RRID:AB_2762834) and anti-goat Alexa Fluor 647 (A32849,
Thermo Fisher Scientific, RRID:AB_2762840).

1159

bulk RNA-sequencing: RNA was kept at -80°C immediately after isolation (see *Organoid RNA isolation*
and quantitative PCR) until all samples were processed. RNA was subjected to concentration
measurement, cDNA library preparation, and mRNA poly-A capture 3' bulk sequencing through a
commercial service (Plasmidsaurus) using Illumina NovaSeq sequencing and 3' end counting. Quality
of the resulting fastq files was assessed using FastQC v0.12.1. Reads were then quality filtered using
fastp v0.24.0 with poly-X tail trimming, 3' quality-based tail trimming, a minimum Phred quality score of
15, and a minimum length requirement of 50 bp. Quality-filtered reads were aligned to the reference
genome using STAR aligner v2.7.11 with non-canonical splice junction removal and output of
unmapped reads, followed by coordinate sorting using samtools v1.22.1. PCR and optical duplicates
were removed using UMI-based deduplication with UMIcollapse v1.1.0. Alignment quality metrics,
strand specificity, and read distribution across genomic features were assessed using RSeQC v5.0.4
and Qualimap v2.3, with results aggregated into a comprehensive quality control report using MultiQC
v1.32. Gene-level expression quantification was performed using featureCounts (subread package
v2.1.1) with strand-specific counting, multi-mapping read fractional assignment, exons and three prime
UTR as the feature identifiers, and grouped by gene_id. Final gene counts were annotated with gene
biotype and other metadata extracted from the reference GTF file. Sample-sample correlations for
sample-sample heatmap and PCA were calculated on normalized counts (TMM, trimmed mean of M-
values) using Pearson correlation. Differential expression analysis was performed with edgeR v4.0.16
using a paired analysis by mouse litter, including filtering for low-expressed genes with

edgeR::filterByExprwith default values. Density plots along the logFC axis were determined for the top
7000 variable genes using kernel density estimation.
1181
Mouse kidney explant adeno-associated virus knockdown and validation in NIH/3T3 cells.
Adeno-associated virus serotype 2 (AAV2) vectors were commercially produced and concentrated to
10^{13} genome copies ml^{-1} by VectorBuilder. AAV2 vectors contained two miR30 short hairpin siRNA
sequences downstream of a CAG promoter and mCherry fluorescent reporter. The miR30 shRNA
sequences were designed to target two regions on non-muscle myosin light chain kinase (*Mylk*) (AAV2-
mCh-shMylk), one region on Rho Associated Coiled-Coil Containing Protein Kinase 1 (*Rock1*) and one
region on Rho Associated Coiled-Coil Containing Protein Kinase 2 (*Rock2*) (AAV2-mCh-shRock1/2), or
randomized Rock1 and Rock2 target sequences (AAV2-mCh-shScramble).
1190
3D explant transduction and live nephrogenesis tracking: Explant cultures differed from the protocol
described earlier (see *3D mouse embryonic kidney explant culture*) in the following ways: prior to
gelation, kidneys were transfected by incubating for 3-4 hr at 37°C in DMEM with 10% FBS, 1x
pen/strep, ~2 x 10^{12} GC ml^{-1} of appropriate AAV2-mCh-shRNA and live labeled anti-EpCAM/CD326
FITC (1:250, 11-5791-82, Invitrogen). Post transduction, kidneys were washed using DMEM with 10%
FBS and 1x penicillin/streptomycin. Kidneys from the same condition were grouped for imaging with up
to 9 kidneys used per well on a 6-well glass coverslip bottom plate (80637, Ibidi). Kidneys were
encapsulated individually without the use of a PDMS ring and PBS to maintain humidity during gelation.
Kidneys were re-stained using anti-EpCAM/CD326 FITC (1:250) in DMEM with 10% FBS and 1x
pen/strep for 1-2 hr at 37°C followed by 1-2 hr wash in DMEM with 10% FBS and 1% pen/strep 16-24
hr post-encapsulation. Media was then exchanged with phenol red-free DMEM media with 10% FBS
and 1x pen/strep prior to imaging as described in 3*D mouse embryonic kidney explant culture*. Nephron
initiation was annotated as in **Live nephrogenesis tracking** above.
1204
Transduced kidney dissociation and RNA isolation: After 72 hr 3-9 kidneys per condition were
transferred to a 1.5 ml eppendorf for cell isolation. Samples were washed with DPBS and digested
using a 1:1 (v/v) mixture of 1% type I collagenase (Sigma-Aldrich, C0130-100MG) and 0.25% trypsin-
EDTA (Invitrogen, 25200056). Digestion was carried out in a cell culture incubator set to 37°C and 5%
CO_2 up to 30 min with intermittent trituration every 10 min. Samples were placed on a shaker at 100
rpm for constant agitation. Digestion was quenched using FBS. Cell suspensions were pelleted by
centrifuging at 300g for 5 min. Cells were resuspended in FACS media (DPBS with 2% FBS and 1%
pen/strep) and then enriched through FACS into mCherry-positive and mCherry-negative populations
(top 25% and bottom 50% of mCherry signal, respectively). Positive and negative fractions were then
pelleted, washed with DPBS and pelleted a second time ahead of RNA isolation. RNA isolation was
performed using a Qiagen RNeasy kit following manufacturers instructions. RNA was kept at -80°C
immediately after isolation.
1217
Bulk RNA-sequencing: RNA was subjected to mRNA poly-A capture 3' bulk sequencing through a
commercial service (Plasmidsaurus) using Illumina NovaSeq sequencing, 3' end counting, and data
processing as in **Mouse kidney primary cell substrate adhesion immunofluorescence and**
qPCR/bulk RNA-sequencing. Outcomes are consistent with analyses using manual fastq mapping
and transcript abundance quantification through kallisto. The mouse reference transcriptome
(Mus_musculus.GRCm39.cdna.all release-111; ensemble.org) with the mCherry cDNA sequence
(GenBank Accession #AY678264.1) manually appended was used to build a transcript to gene index
through Kallisto *index* call. The custom built index was then used for transcript to gene abundance
estimates using the kallisto *quant* call with optional arguments set for single-end reads, estimated
average transcript length of 250, and estimated transcript length standard deviation of 30.
1228
3D explant cryopreservation and immunofluorescence: Tissues were incubated in 30% sucrose for 24
hr, embedded in OCT, frozen on dry ice, and stored at -80°C until sectioning. Cryosections were
collected at 20 µm thickness. For staining, slides were air-dried for 60 minutes at room temperature
and all subsequent steps were performed in a humidified chamber. Sections were blocked for 1 h in 1x
immunofluorescence (IF) wash buffer containing 5% donkey serum, followed by overnight incubation at
4°C with primary antibodies diluted in the same blocking buffer. Slides were washed three times for 10

min each in 1x IF wash at room temperature, incubated with secondary antibodies for 2 h at 37°C, and washed again three times for 10 min. Primary antibodies included mouse anti-SIX2 (1:400, 66347-1-Ig, Proteintech, RRID:AB_2881727), goat anti-PDGFRA (1:400, AF1062, R&D Systems, RRID:AB_2236897), and rabbit anti-RFP (1:1000, ab62341, Abcam, RRID:AB_945213). Secondary antibodies (raised against donkey and used at 1:500 dilutions) included anti-mouse AlexaFluor 488 (A21202, ThermoFisher ,RRID:AB_141607), anti-rabbit AlexaFluor 555 (A31570, ThermoFisher, RRID: AB_2536180),, and anti-goat AlexaFluor 647 (A32849, ThermoFisher, RRID:AB_2762840).Nuclei were counterstained with 2.5 µg ml^{-1} DAPI in DPBS for 10 min, rinsed once in DPBS, and mounted in 85% glycerol / 15% deionized water ahead of confocal imaging using a 20x objective (see **Kidney immunofluorescence imaging**).

Analysis of live mCh and immunofluorescence image data: Images were circumferentially 'unwrapped' and fluorescence line profiles were produced as in **Stromal cell transport model** below. For the transduction specificity analysis, a single middle z-plane was chosen per kidney. Cellpose[147] (v4.0.7) was run on the DAPI channel for each kidney to generate cell segmentations. Cellpose output segmentations were input into FIJI as ROIs and used to create a binarized segmentation mask using the roimanager 'combine' function followed by 'create mask'. Cap mesenchyme and ureteric bud tip niche ROIs were manually segmented using the polygon selection tool and binarized masks of niches were generated in a similar fashion. A custom CellProfiler pipeline was used to convert cell and niche masks to objects, reduce cell object boundaries by 1 pixel to define nuclei, expand nuclei boundaries up to 3 pixels and remove associated nucleus pixels to define cytoplasm, measure nuclear and cytoplasmic channel signal intensity, and measure nucleus shape for each cell. Niche object boundaries were expanded to up to 20 pixels to associate adjacent stroma, and a cell was related to an expanded niche if its centroids fell within the niche's extent. CellProfiler output files were analyzed in R. Nuclear DAPI intensity, area, and eccentricity were used to filter out poor or erroneous segmentations or cells with rounded nuclei. Manual SIX2 and PDGFRα intensity thresholds were used for cell identity classification. Cells with median nuclear intensity above the SIX2 threshold were defined as 'NP', cells with median nuclear or cytoplasmic intensity above the PDGFRα threshold were defined as 'stroma', and all remaining cells were classified as 'other'. The *fitdist* function (fitdistrplus v1.2-2, 10.18637/jss.v064.i04) using maximum likelihood estimation was used to fit a gamma distribution to the distribution of median nuclear mCherry intensities. The transduction threshold was set as the mCherry intensity at the 50th quantile of the estimated gamma distribution, which was determined using the *qgamma* function (stats v4.4.0) with shape and rate parameters taken from the *fitdist* output. Cells with median nuclear mCherry intensity above the transduction threshold were classified as 'transduced' with all remaining cells classified as 'non-transduced'.

3T3 transduction and western blotting: NIH/3T3 mouse embryonic fibroblasts (gift of Lukasz Bugaj, UPenn) expressing H2B-Venus were transduced using concentrated AAV2-shRNA. Cells were seeded into T25 flasks at 2 x 10^6 cells per flask and transduced 4 hr post-seeding, allowing for cell attachment to the plate. Cells were transduced for 4 hr at 37°C with AAV2 carrying knockdown constructs at ~ 7 x 10^{11} GC ml^{-1} in DMEM with 10% FBS and 1% pen/strep (see **Mouse kidney explant adeno-associated virus knockdown**). After 4 hr, media was exchanged with fresh DMEM with 10% FBS and 1% pen/strep. Cells collected at the 72 hr time point had an additional media exchange after 48 hr. At 24, 48, and 72 hr time points, cells were sorted on mCherry intensity using a BD FACSAria Fusion to collect mCherry-positive and negative populations. After 24 hr, two distinct mCherry populations were identifiable with the brighter population (~50% of cells) collected as mCherry-positive and the dimmer population (~40% of cells) collected as mCherry-negative cells. Technical issues arose during sorting of the 24 hr shScramble sample preventing collection and use in our validation. Distinct mCherry populations were not visible at 48 and 72 hr time points, so we elected to collect cells within the top and bottom 25% of mCherry intensity as positive and negative fractions, respectively. Sorted fractions were pelleted post sorting, resuspended in DPBS, re-pelleted, and then lysed with RIPA buffer (MedChem Express HY-K1001) containing protease inhibitor (1:100, MedChem Express HY-K0010) on ice for 15 min. Lysates were centrifuged at 14000 rcf for 30 min at 4°C after which the supernatant was collected and maintained at -80°C until use.

Lysates prepared for ROCK1 and ROCK2 evaluation were first denatured by adding equivolume Laemmli buffer (Bio Rad #1610737) with 2-mercaptoethanol (700 mM, Sigma M7154) and then heating samples to 95°C for 20 min. Lysates prepared for MYLK evaluation were diluted to equivolume with Laemmli buffer only without further perturbation. Samples were loaded onto a 4-15% gradient polyacrylamide gel (#4561081, Bio Rad) with 15 µL of sample per lane. Protein size was evaluated against the Precision Plus Protein Kaleidoscope Protein Standard (#1610375, Bio Rad). Gels were run using Tris/Glycine/SDS running buffer (#1610732, Bio Rad) at 120V for 1 hr. Protein was transferred from the polyacrylamide gel onto a nitrocellulose membrane (#170-4270, Bio Rad) using Trans-Blot Turbo transfer buffer (#10026938, Bio Rad). The transfer was performed using a Trans-Blot Turbo Transfer system (Bio Rad 1704150EDU) for 7 min at 13A/25V. Membranes were blocked using casein (#37583, Thermo Scientific) for 2 hr at room temperature. Donkey antibodies were used for primary and secondary labeling and diluted in DPBS, 0.1% tween-20, 1% bovine serum albumin. Primary antibodies were incubated overnight at 4°C and included rabbit anti-ROCK1/2 (1:1000, ab45171, Abcam, RRID:AB_2182005), mouse anti-MYLK (1:1000, SAB4200808, Sigma, RRID:AB_3076644), rabbit anti-RFP (1:1000, ab62341, Abcam, RRID:AB_945213), and mouse anti-α-TUBULIN (1:1000, 3873, Cell Signaling Technologies, RRID:AB_1904178). Secondary antibodies were incubated for 1 hr at 37°C and included goat anti-rabbit IgG IRDYE 680RD (1:10000, 926-68071, LICORbio, RRID:AB_10956166) and goat anti-mouse IgG IRDYE 800CW (1:10000, 926-32210, LICORbio, RRID:AB_621842). Membranes were washed three times for 1 hr at room temperature using the DPBS with 0.1% tween-20 post antibody incubation. Membranes were imaged using a LICORbio Odyssey 9120 instrument.

Niche composition stochastic models. Niche cell composition dynamics were simulated using stochastic three-state models describing nephron progenitor cells in states A, B, or C. In both models, individual cells undergo state changes and divisions according to a continuous-time Markov process simulated with a Gillespie algorithm[148] implemented in R. All cells divide symmetrically at rate k_4 while preserving their current state. State transitions between A and B occur with first-order kinetics: in the 'accumulation' model, A → B and B → A transitions occur with constant rates k_1 and k_2, respectively. The B → A transition is supported by lineage tracing[42]. B → C occurs irreversibly at rate k_3, but only within repeating competence windows of duration $t_{trigger}$ immediately preceding fixed 'purge' times spaced by t_{gap}, at which all C cells are removed from the system (simulating a PTA leaving the niche/branching/creation of a new PTA site). In the 'rhythmic' model, B → A and B → C occur continuously at constant rates k_2 and k_3, respectively. A → B occurs continuously at a rhythmically varying rate $k_1(t) = k_{1,mean}[1 + k_{1,A}.\sin(2\pi t/T + \varphi)]$, where $k_{1,mean}$ is the mean value of k_1, $k_{1,A}$ is an amplitude expressed as a fraction of the mean value, T is rhythm period, and φ is phase. C cells are again purged periodically every t_{gap}. For both models, initial conditions for simulations were n_0 cells distributed across A, B, and C according to user-defined fractions. We ran 50 replicate simulations per case up to final time t_{max}, recording cell numbers at regular intervals Δt. From these trajectories, we quantified % niche composition relative to the combined progenitor pool (A + B) for A and B, and relative to the total population (A + B + C) for C. Parameter values estimated from literature sources and assumptions are listed in R code files provided in Mendeley Data (see **Data and code availability**).

Stromal cell transport model. Stromal transport parameters were fitted from AAV2-mCh-shRNA mouse embryonic kidney explant 3D culture timelapses. Initial and final timepoints at a plane ~50 µm below the explant surface were unwrapped using a custom macro in FIJI based on bicubic resampling of manually annotated kidney outline polygons and the 'Straighten…' function. This created fluorescence 'curtains' having image axes tangent (y) and orthogonal (x) to the explant surface. Shallow-to-deep fluorescence profiles were generated by averaging pixel intensities along y using the FIJI function plot profile. Profiles were manually cropped and background-subtracted. Infiltration of stromal cells was modelled using a 1D reaction-diffusion scheme that estimates transport via cell diffusion away from a fixed boundary that produces cells by local proliferation. The evolution of cell fluorescence $C(x,t)$ (AFU) along the corticomedullary axis (x ≥ 0) was described by:

1342

$$\frac{\partial C}{\partial t} = D\,\frac{\partial^2 C}{\partial x^2} - k\,C, \quad -D\,\frac{\partial C}{\partial x}\Big|_{x=0} = J_0,$$

1343
1344
where D (μm^2 h^{-1}) is the effective diffusivity associated with cell transport, J_0 (AFU.μm h^{-1}) models cell
flux due to proliferation at the boundary, and k (h^{-1}) is a first-order term that captures uniform changes
in fluorescence due to e.g. photobleaching of the mCherry reporter. A boundary condition $C(x{\to}\infty,t) \to 0$
enforces decay far from the cell fluorescence source. Pairs of spatial profiles $C(x,0)$ and $C(x,t_{obs})$ were
used to estimate D, J_0, and k by nonlinear least-squares fitting in R. Custom registration, unwrapping,
and transport modeling FIJI macros/R code are provided in Mendeley Data (see **Data and code**
availability).
1352
1353 **Coding, rendering and graphics.** Confocal fluorescence images, sections, and time-coded/other
1354 projections were processed in FIJI. Niche branching animation key frames were drawn manually and
1355 tweened in Topaz Video AI (Topaz Labs). R code and FIJI macros were created with the assistance of
1356 ChatGPT versions 3.5-5.1. All implemented code was closely validated by an expert.
1357
1358 **Statistical analysis.** One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) with correction for multiple comparisons
1359 using Tukey's honestly significant difference test was performed in MATLAB using anova1.m and
1360 multcompare.m functions.
1361

References

1. Hoy, W. E., Hughson, M. D., Bertram, J. F., Douglas-Denton, R. & Amann, K. Nephron number, hypertension, renal disease, and renal failure. *J. Am. Soc. Nephrol.* **16**, 2557–2564 (2005).
2. Bueters, R. R., van de Kar, N. C. & Schreuder, M. F. Adult renal size is not a suitable marker for nephron numbers: an individual patient data meta-analysis. *Kidney Blood Press. Res.* **37**, 540–546 (2013).
3. Perl, A. J., Schuh, M. P. & Kopan, R. Regulation of nephron progenitor cell lifespan and nephron endowment. *Nat. Rev. Nephrol.* **18**, 683–695 (2022).
4. Yermalovich, A. V. *et al.* Lin28 and let-7 regulate the timing of cessation of murine nephrogenesis. *Nat. Commun.* **10**, 168 (2019).
5. Keller, G., Zimmer, G., Mall, G., Ritz, E. & Amann, K. Nephron number in patients with primary hypertension. *N. Engl. J. Med.* **348**, 101–108 (2003).
6. Howden, S. E., Vanslambrouck, J. M., Wilson, S. B., Tan, K. S. & Little, M. H. Reporter-based fate mapping in human kidney organoids confirms nephron lineage relationships and reveals synchronous nephron formation. *EMBO Rep.* **20**, (2019).
7. Little, M. H. Returning to kidney development to deliver synthetic kidneys. *Dev. Biol.* **474**, 22–36 (2021).
8. Sick, S., Reinker, S., Timmer, J. & Schlake, T. WNT and DKK determine hair follicle spacing through a reaction-diffusion mechanism. *Science* **314**, 1447–1450 (2006).
9. Shyer, A. E. *et al.* Villification: how the gut gets its villi. *Science* **342**, 212–218 (2013).
10. Huycke, T. R. *et al.* Patterning and folding of intestinal villi by active mesenchymal dewetting. *Cell* **187**, 3072–3089.e20 (2024).
11. Raspopovic, J., Marcon, L., Russo, L. & Sharpe, J. Modeling digits. Digit patterning is controlled by a Bmp-Sox9-Wnt Turing network modulated by morphogen gradients. *Science* **345**, 566–570 (2014).
12. Cooke, J. & Zeeman, E. C. A clock and wavefront model for control of the number of repeated structures during animal morphogenesis. *J. Theor. Biol.* **58**, 455–476 (1976).
13. Short, K. M. *et al.* Global quantification of tissue dynamics in the developing mouse kidney. *Dev. Cell* **29**, 188–202 (2014).
14. Smyth, I. M. Development of the metanephric kidney. *Curr. Top. Dev. Biol.* **143**, 111–150 (2021).
15. Moreau, J. L. M., Williams, S., Homman-Ludiye, J., Mallett, A. J. & Combes, A. N. Forming nephrons promote nephron progenitor maintenance and branching morphogenesis via paracrine BMP4 signalling under the control of Wnt4. *bioRxiv* (2023) doi:10.1101/2023.11.19.567482.
16. Fetting, J. L. *et al.* FOXD1 promotes nephron progenitor differentiation by repressing decorin in the embryonic kidney. *Development* **141**, 17–27 (2014).
17. Carroll, T. J., Park, J.-S., Hayashi, S., Majumdar, A. & McMahon, A. P. Wnt9b plays a central role in the regulation of mesenchymal to epithelial transitions underlying organogenesis of the mammalian urogenital system. *Dev. Cell* **9**, 283–292 (2005).
18. Barak, H. *et al.* FGF9 and FGF20 maintain the stemness of nephron progenitors in mice and man. *Dev. Cell* **22**, 1191–1207 (2012).
19. Brown, A. C. *et al.* FGF/EGF signaling regulates the renewal of early nephron progenitors during embryonic development. *Development* **138**, 5099–5112 (2011).
20. Ihermann-Hella, A. *et al.* Dynamic MAPK/ERK Activity Sustains Nephron Progenitors through Niche Regulation and Primes Precursors for Differentiation. *Stem Cell Reports* **11**, 912–928 (2018).
21. Kurtzeborn, K. *et al.* Comparative whole-genome transcriptome analysis in renal cell populations reveals high tissue specificity of MAPK/ERK targets in embryonic kidney. *BMC Biol.* **20**, 112 (2022).
22. Grieshammer, U. *et al.* FGF8 is required for cell survival at distinct stages of nephrogenesis and for regulation of gene expression in nascent nephrons. *Development* **132**, 3847–3857 (2005).
23. Sharma, A. *et al.* FGF8 induces chemokinesis and regulates condensation of mouse nephron progenitor cells. *Development* **149**, (2022).
24. Park, J.-S., Valerius, M. T. & McMahon, A. P. Wnt/beta-catenin signaling regulates nephron induction during mouse kidney development. *Development* **134**, 2533–2539 (2007).
25. Park, J.-S. *et al.* Six2 and Wnt regulate self-renewal and commitment of nephron progenitors through shared gene regulatory networks. *Dev. Cell* **23**, 637–651 (2012).

26. Karner, C. M. *et al.* Canonical Wnt9b signaling balances progenitor cell expansion and
differentiation during kidney development. *Development* **138**, 1247–1257 (2011).
27. Reginensi, A. *et al.* Yap- and Cdc42-dependent nephrogenesis and morphogenesis during mouse
kidney development. *PLoS Genet.* **9**, e1003380 (2013).
28. Das, A. *et al.* Stromal-epithelial crosstalk regulates kidney progenitor cell differentiation. *Nat. Cell*
Biol. **15**, 1035–1044 (2013).
29. McNeill, H. & Reginensi, A. Lats1/2 Regulate Yap/Taz to Control Nephron Progenitor
Epithelialization and Inhibit Myofibroblast Formation. *J. Am. Soc. Nephrol.* **28**, 852–861 (2017).
30. Huang, B. *et al.* Modeling kidney development, disease, and plasticity with clonal expandable
nephron progenitor cells and nephron organoids. *bioRxiv* (2023) doi:10.1101/2023.05.25.542343.
31. Tanigawa, S., Sharma, N., Hall, M. D., Nishinakamura, R. & Perantoni, A. O. Preferential
Propagation of Competent SIX2+ Nephronic Progenitors by LIF/ROCKi Treatment of the
Metanephric Mesenchyme. *Stem Cell Reports* **5**, 435–447 (2015).
32. Bagherie-Lachidan, M. *et al.* Stromal Fat4 acts non-autonomously with Dchs1/2 to restrict the
nephron progenitor pool. *Development* **142**, 2564–2573 (2015).
33. Mao, Y., Francis-West, P. & Irvine, K. D. Fat4/Dchs1 signaling between stromal and cap
mesenchyme cells influences nephrogenesis and ureteric bud branching. *Development* **142**, 2574–
2585 (2015).
34. Brown, A. C. *et al.* Role for compartmentalization in nephron progenitor differentiation. *Proc. Natl.*
Acad. Sci. U. S. A. **110**, 4640–4645 (2013).
35. Blank, U., Brown, A., Adams, D. C., Karolak, M. J. & Oxburgh, L. BMP7 promotes proliferation of
nephron progenitor cells via a JNK-dependent mechanism. *Development* **136**, 3557–3566 (2009).
36. Muthukrishnan, S. D., Yang, X., Friesel, R. & Oxburgh, L. Concurrent BMP7 and FGF9 signalling
governs AP-1 function to promote self-renewal of nephron progenitor cells. *Nat. Commun.* **6**,
10027 (2015).
37. Lindström, N. O. *et al.* Integrated β-catenin, BMP, PTEN, and Notch signalling patterns the
nephron. *Elife* **3**, e04000 (2015).
38. Boyle, S. C., Kim, M., Valerius, M. T., McMahon, A. P. & Kopan, R. Notch pathway activation can
replace the requirement for Wnt4 and Wnt9b in mesenchymal-to-epithelial transition of nephron
stem cells. *Development* **138**, 4245–4254 (2011).
39. Chung, E., Deacon, P., Marable, S., Shin, J. & Park, J.-S. Notch signaling promotes
nephrogenesis by downregulating Six2. *Development* **143**, 3907–3913 (2016).
40. Lindström, N. O. *et al.* Progressive Recruitment of Mesenchymal Progenitors Reveals a Time-
Dependent Process of Cell Fate Acquisition in Mouse and Human Nephrogenesis. *Dev. Cell* **45**,
651–660.e4 (2018).
41. Mederacke, M., Conrad, L., Doumpas, N., Vetter, R. & Iber, D. Geometric effects position renal
vesicles during kidney development. *Cell Rep.* **42**, 113526 (2023).
42. Lawlor, K. T. *et al.* Nephron progenitor commitment is a stochastic process influenced by cell
migration. *Elife* **8**, (2019).
43. Georgas, K. *et al.* Analysis of early nephron patterning reveals a role for distal RV proliferation in
fusion to the ureteric tip via a cap mesenchyme-derived connecting segment. *Dev. Biol.* **332**, 273–
286 (2009).
44. Osathanondh, V. & Potter, E. L. DEVELOPMENT OF HUMAN KIDNEY AS SHOWN BY
MICRODISSECTION. III. FORMATION AND INTERRELATIONSHIP OF COLLECTING TUBULES
AND NEPHRONS. *Arch. Pathol.* **76**, 290–302 (1963).
45. Mugford, J. W., Yu, J., Kobayashi, A. & McMahon, A. P. High-resolution gene expression analysis
of the developing mouse kidney defines novel cellular compartments within the nephron progenitor
population. *Dev. Biol.* **333**, 312–323 (2009).
46. McMahon, A. P. Development of the Mammalian Kidney. *Curr. Top. Dev. Biol.* **117**, 31–64 (2016).
47. Felix, W. The development of the urinogenital organs. *In Keibel F. and Mall FP. Manual of Human*
Embryology II (1912).
48. Huang, A. Z. *et al.* Engineering kidney developmental trajectory using culture boundary conditions.
Nat. Commun. **16**, 7829 (2025).
49. Arora, H. *et al.* Prokineticin receptor 1 is required for mesenchymal-epithelial transition in kidney
development. *FASEB J.* **30**, 2733–2740 (2016).
50. Song, L. *et al.* Single-cell multiomics reveals ENL mutation perturbs kidney developmental

trajectory by rewiring gene regulatory landscape. *Nat. Commun.* **15**, 5937 (2024).

51. Zhou, B. *et al.* Epicardial progenitors contribute to the cardiomyocyte lineage in the developing heart. *Nature* **454**, 109–113 (2008).

52. Fausto, C. C. *et al.* Defining and controlling axial nephron patterning in human kidney organoids with synthetic wnt-secreting organizers. *bioRxiv* (2024) doi:10.1101/2024.11.30.626171.

53. England, A. R. *et al.* Identification and characterization of cellular heterogeneity within the developing renal interstitium. *Development* **147**, (2020).

54. Drake, K. A. *et al.* Transcription factors YAP/TAZ and SRF cooperate to specify renal myofibroblasts in the developing mouse kidney. *J. Am. Soc. Nephrol.* **33**, 1694–1707 (2022).

55. Barry, D. M. *et al.* Molecular determinants of nephron vascular specialization in the kidney. *Nat. Commun.* **10**, 5705 (2019).

56. Fusco, A. N., Oxburgh, L. & Carroll, T. J. The kidney stroma in development and disease. *Nat. Rev. Nephrol.* (2025) doi:10.1038/s41581-025-00985-8.

57. Menon, R. *et al.* Single-cell analysis of progenitor cell dynamics and lineage specification in the human fetal kidney. *Development* **145**, (2018).

58. Kobayashi, A. *et al.* Identification of a multipotent self-renewing stromal progenitor population during mammalian kidney organogenesis. *Stem Cell Reports* **3**, 650–662 (2014).

59. Lipp, S. N., Jacobson, K. R., Schwaderer, A. L., Hains, D. S. & Calve, S. FOXD1 is required for 3D patterning of the kidney interstitial matrix. *Dev. Dyn.* **252**, 463–482 (2023).

60. Little, M. H. *et al.* A high-resolution anatomical ontology of the developing murine genitourinary tract. *Gene Expr. Patterns* **7**, 680–699 (2007).

61. Kao, R. M., Vasilyev, A., Miyawaki, A., Drummond, I. A. & McMahon, A. P. Invasion of distal nephron precursors associates with tubular interconnection during nephrogenesis. *J. Am. Soc. Nephrol.* **23**, 1682–1690 (2012).

62. Takayama, M., Miyatake, K. & Nishida, E. Identification and characterization of retinoic acid-responsive genes in mouse kidney development. *Genes Cells* **19**, 637–649 (2014).

63. Wingert, R. A. *et al.* The cdx genes and retinoic acid control the positioning and segmentation of the zebrafish pronephros. *PLoS Genet.* **3**, 1922–1938 (2007).

64. Marlier, A. & Gilbert, T. Expression of retinoic acid-synthesizing and -metabolizing enzymes during nephrogenesis in the rat. *Gene Expr. Patterns* **5**, 179–185 (2004).

65. Combes, A. N. *et al.* Single cell analysis of the developing mouse kidney provides deeper insight into marker gene expression and ligand-receptor crosstalk. *Development* **146**, dev178673 (2019).

66. Oxburgh, L. Growth control of the kidney. *Curr. Top. Dev. Biol.* **148**, 237–263 (2022).

67. Levinsohn, J. *et al.* Single-cell spatial mapping of human kidney development reveals the critical role of the local microenvironment in cell fate decisions. *bioRxiv* (2025) doi:10.1101/2025.06.15.659579.

68. Hilliard, S., Tortelote, G., Liu, H., Chen, C.-H. & El-Dahr, S. S. Single-Cell Chromatin and Gene-Regulatory Dynamics of Mouse Nephron Progenitors. *J. Am. Soc. Nephrol.* **33**, 1308–1322 (2022).

69. Haller, M., Au, J., O'Neill, M. & Lamb, D. J. 16p11.2 transcription factor MAZ is a dosage-sensitive regulator of genitourinary development. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **115**, E1849–E1858 (2018).

70. Guo, Q. *et al.* A β-catenin-driven switch in TCF/LEF transcription factor binding to DNA target sites promotes commitment of mammalian nephron progenitor cells. *Elife* **10**, (2021).

71. Pan, X., Karner, C. M. & Carroll, T. J. Myc cooperates with β-catenin to drive gene expression in nephron progenitor cells. *Development* **144**, 4173–4182 (2017).

72. Goodwin, K. *et al.* Patterning the embryonic pulmonary mesenchyme. *iScience* **25**, 103838 (2022).

73. Zhu, Q. *et al.* Revealing a coherent cell state landscape across single cell datasets with CONCORD. *bioRxivorg* (2025) doi:10.1101/2025.03.13.643146.

74. Dan, H., Ruan, T. & Sampogna, R. V. Circadian Clock Regulation of Developmental Time in the Kidney. *Cell Rep.* **31**, 107661 (2020).

75. Jin, S. *et al.* Inference and analysis of cell-cell communication using CellChat. *Nat. Commun.* **12**, 1088 (2021).

76. D'Cruz, R. *et al.* Hedgehog signalling in Foxd1+ embryonic kidney stromal progenitors controls nephron formation via Cxcl12 and Wnt5a. *J. Pathol.* **261**, 385–400 (2023).

77. Hum, S., Rymer, C., Schaefer, C., Bushnell, D. & Sims-Lucas, S. Ablation of the renal stroma defines its critical role in nephron progenitor and vasculature patterning. *PLoS One* **9**, e88400 (2014).

78. Levinson, R. S. *et al.* Foxd1-dependent signals control cellularity in the renal capsule, a structure
required for normal renal development. *Development* **132**, 529–539 (2005).
79. Hatini, V., Huh, S. O., Herzlinger, D., Soares, V. C. & Lai, E. Essential role of stromal mesenchyme
in kidney morphogenesis revealed by targeted disruption of Winged Helix transcription factor BF-2.
Genes Dev. **10**, 1467–1478 (1996).
80. Ohmori, T., Tanigawa, S., Kaku, Y., Fujimura, S. & Nishinakamura, R. Sall1 in renal stromal
progenitors non-cell autonomously restricts the excessive expansion of nephron progenitors. *Sci.*
Rep. **5**, 15676 (2015).
81. Rowan, C. J. *et al.* Hedgehog-GLI signaling in Foxd1-positive stromal cells promotes murine
nephrogenesis via TGFβ signaling. *Development* **145**, (2018).
82. Viola, J. M. *et al.* Rho/ROCK activity tunes cell compartment segregation and differentiation in
nephron-forming niches. *bioRxiv* 2023.11.08.566308 (2023) doi:10.1101/2023.11.08.566308.
83. Seo, B. R. *et al.* Collagen microarchitecture mechanically controls myofibroblast differentiation.
Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. **117**, 11387–11398 (2020).
84. Parada, C. *et al.* Mechanical feedback defines organizing centers to drive digit emergence. *Dev.*
Cell **57**, 854–866.e6 (2022).
85. Shiraishi, K. *et al.* Biophysical forces mediated by respiration maintain lung alveolar epithelial cell
fate. *Cell* **186**, 1478–1492.e15 (2023).
86. Prahl, L. S. *et al.* Jamming of nephron-forming niches in the developing mouse kidney creates
cyclical mechanical stresses. *Nat. Mater.* **23**, 1582–1591 (2024).
87. Fernández, P. A. *et al.* Surface-tension-induced budding drives alveologenesis in human
mammary gland organoids. *Nat. Phys.* **17**, 1130–1136 (2021).
88. Lindström, N. O. *et al.* Conserved and Divergent Features of Human and Mouse Kidney
Organogenesis. *J. Am. Soc. Nephrol.* **29**, 785–805 (2018).
89. Qiao, J. *et al.* FGF-7 modulates ureteric bud growth and nephron number in the developing kidney.
Development **126**, 547–554 (1999).
90. Chi, L. *et al.* Sprouty proteins regulate ureteric branching by coordinating reciprocal epithelial
Wnt11, mesenchymal Gdnf and stromal Fgf7 signalling during kidney development. *Development*
131, 3345–3356 (2004).
91. Honeycutt, S. E. *et al.* Netrin 1 directs vascular patterning and maturity in the developing kidney.
Development **150**, (2023).
92. Luo, P. M., Gu, X., Chaney, C., Carroll, T. & Cleaver, O. Stromal netrin 1 coordinates renal
arteriogenesis and mural cell differentiation. *Development* **150**, (2023).
93. Yoshino, K. *et al.* Secreted Frizzled-related proteins can regulate metanephric development. *Mech.*
Dev. **102**, 45–55 (2001).
94. Dupont, S. *et al.* Role of YAP/TAZ in mechanotransduction. *Nature* **474**, 179–183 (2011).
95. Azzolin, L. *et al.* YAP/TAZ incorporation in the β-catenin destruction complex orchestrates the Wnt
response. *Cell* **158**, 157–170 (2014).
96. Jaslove, J. M. *et al.* Transmural pressure signals through retinoic acid to regulate lung branching.
Development **149**, (2022).
97. Yang, Y., Beqaj, S., Kemp, P., Ariel, I. & Schuger, L. Stretch-induced alternative splicing of serum
response factor promotes bronchial myogenesis and is defective in lung hypoplasia. *J. Clin. Invest.*
106, 1321–1330 (2000).
98. Shroff, N. P. *et al.* Proliferation-driven mechanical compression induces signalling centre formation
during mammalian organ development. *Nat. Cell Biol.* **26**, 519–529 (2024).
99. Elosegui-Artola, A. *et al.* Force Triggers YAP Nuclear Entry by Regulating Transport across
Nuclear Pores. *Cell* **171**, 1397–1410.e14 (2017).
100.Stein, C. *et al.* YAP1 exerts its transcriptional control via TEAD-mediated activation of enhancers.
PLoS Genet. **11**, e1005465 (2015).
101.Wang, Y. *et al.* Comprehensive molecular characterization of the Hippo signaling pathway in
cancer. *Cell Rep.* **25**, 1304–1317.e5 (2018).
102.Hsu, J. C. *et al.* Viral gene transfer to developing mouse salivary glands. *J. Dent. Res.* **91**, 197–
202 (2012).
103.Valon, L., Marín-Llauradó, A., Wyatt, T., Charras, G. & Trepat, X. Optogenetic control of cellular
forces and mechanotransduction. *Nat. Commun.* **8**, 14396 (2017).
104.Morita, R. *et al.* Tracing the origin of hair follicle stem cells. *Nature* **594**, 547–552 (2021).

105. Azkanaz, M. *et al.* Retrograde movements determine effective stem cell numbers in the intestine.
Nature **607**, 548–554 (2022).
106. Chen, Y. *et al.* Bridging single cells to organs: Mesoscale modules as fundamental units of tissue
function. *Cell* **188**, 6393–6410 (2025).
107. Klein, A. M., Nakagawa, T., Ichikawa, R., Yoshida, S. & Simons, B. D. Mouse germ line stem cells
undergo rapid and stochastic turnover. *Cell Stem Cell* **7**, 214–224 (2010).
108. Lopez-Garcia, C., Klein, A. M., Simons, B. D. & Winton, D. J. Intestinal stem cell replacement
follows a pattern of neutral drift. *Science* **330**, 822–825 (2010).
109. Clayton, E. *et al.* A single type of progenitor cell maintains normal epidermis. *Nature* **446**, 185–189
(2007).
110. Rompolas, P., Mesa, K. R. & Greco, V. Spatial organization within a niche as a determinant of
stem-cell fate. *Nature* **502**, 513–518 (2013).
111. Kukreja, K. *et al.* Cell state transitions are decoupled from cell division during early embryo
development. *Nat. Cell Biol.* **26**, 2035–2045 (2024).
112. van Oostrom, M. J. *et al.* Coupling of cell proliferation to the segmentation clock ensures robust
somite scaling. *bioRxiv* (2025) doi:10.1101/2025.01.10.632257.
113. Michalopulos, M. G. *et al.* Defects in nephrogenesis result in an expansion of the Foxd1+ stromal
progenitor population. *bioRxivorg* (2025) doi:10.1101/2025.02.10.637031.
114. Batourina, E. *et al.* Vitamin A controls epithelial/mesenchymal interactions through Ret expression.
Nat. Genet. **27**, 74–78 (2001).
115. Majumdar, A., Vainio, S., Kispert, A., McMahon, J. & McMahon, A. P. Wnt11 and Ret/Gdnf
pathways cooperate in regulating ureteric branching during metanephric kidney development.
Development **130**, 3175–3185 (2003).
116. Cebrian, C., Asai, N., D'Agati, V. & Costantini, F. The number of fetal nephron progenitor cells
limits ureteric branching and adult nephron endowment. *Cell Rep.* **7**, 127–137 (2014).
117. O'Brien, L. L. *et al.* Wnt11 directs nephron progenitor polarity and motile behavior ultimately
determining nephron endowment. *Elife* **7**, (2018).
118. Costantini, F. Renal branching morphogenesis: concepts, questions, and recent advances.
Differentiation **74**, 402–421 (2006).
119. Qiao, J., Sakurai, H. & Nigam, S. K. Branching morphogenesis independent of mesenchymal–
epithelial contact in the developing kidney. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **96**, 7330–7335 (1999).
120. Munro, D. A. D., Hohenstein, P. & Davies, J. A. Cycles of vascular plexus formation within the
nephrogenic zone of the developing mouse kidney. *Sci. Rep.* **7**, 3273 (2017).
121. Dequéant, M.-L. *et al.* A complex oscillating network of signaling genes underlies the mouse
segmentation clock. *Science* **314**, 1595–1598 (2006).
122. Hubaud, A. & Pourquié, O. Signalling dynamics in vertebrate segmentation. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell
Biol.* **15**, 709–721 (2014).
123. Meijer, W. *et al.* Spatiotemporal proteomics reveals dynamic antagonistic gradients shaping
signalling waves. *bioRxiv* (2025) doi:10.1101/2025.09.05.674076.
124. Hubaud, A., Regev, I., Mahadevan, L. & Pourquié, O. Excitable Dynamics and Yap-Dependent
Mechanical Cues Drive the Segmentation Clock. *Cell* **171**, 668–682.e11 (2017).
125. Barak, H. & Boyle, S. C. Organ culture and immunostaining of mouse embryonic kidneys. *Cold
Spring Harb. Protoc.* **2011**, db.prot5558 (2011).
126. Wanek, N., Muneoka, K., Holler-Dinsmore, G., Burton, R. & Bryant, S. V. A staging system for
mouse limb development. *J. Exp. Zool.* **249**, 41–49 (1989).
127. Prahl, L. S., Viola, J. M., Liu, J. & Hughes, A. J. The developing murine kidney actively negotiates
geometric packing conflicts to avoid defects. *Dev. Cell* **58**, 110–120.e5 (2023).
128. Combes, A. N. *et al.* An integrated pipeline for the multidimensional analysis of branching
morphogenesis. *Nat. Protoc.* **9**, 2859–2879 (2014).
129. Hama, H. *et al.* Scale: a chemical approach for fluorescence imaging and reconstruction of
transparent mouse brain. *Nat. Neurosci.* **14**, 1481–1488 (2011).
130. Berg, S. *et al.* ilastik: interactive machine learning for (bio)image analysis. *Nat. Methods* **16**, 1226–
1232 (2019).
131. Stirling, D. R. *et al.* CellProfiler 4: improvements in speed, utility and usability. *BMC Bioinformatics*
22, 433 (2021).
132. Morabito, A. *et al.* Optimized protocol for whole-mount RNA fluorescent in situ hybridization using

oxidation-mediated autofluorescence reduction on mouse embryos. *STAR Protoc* **4**, 102603
(2023).
133. Zimmerman, S. G., Peters, N. C., Altaras, A. E. & Berg, C. A. Optimized RNA ISH, RNA FISH and
protein-RNA double labeling (IF/FISH) in Drosophila ovaries. *Nat. Protoc.* **8**, 2158–2179 (2013).
134. Ibarra-García-Padilla, R., Howard, A. G. A., 4th, Singleton, E. W. & Uribe, R. A. A protocol for
whole-mount immuno-coupled hybridization chain reaction (WICHCR) in zebrafish embryos and
larvae. *STAR Protoc* **2**, 100709 (2021).
135. Wang, W. X. *et al.* origamiFISH allows universal, label-free, single molecule visualization of DNA
origami nanodevices across biological samples. *bioRxiv* (2022) doi:10.1101/2022.09.19.508533.
136. Choi, H. M. T. *et al.* Third-generation in situ hybridization chain reaction: multiplexed, quantitative,
sensitive, versatile, robust. *Development* **145**, (2018).
137. Hao, Y. *et al.* Dictionary learning for integrative, multimodal and scalable single-cell analysis. *Nat.*
Biotechnol. **42**, 293–304 (2024).
138. Bellock, K. *Alphashape: Toolbox for Constructing Alpha Shapes.* (Github).
139. Vanslambrouck, J. M. *et al.* A Toolbox to Characterize Human Induced Pluripotent Stem Cell-
Derived Kidney Cell Types and Organoids. *J. Am. Soc. Nephrol.* **30**, 1811–1823 (2019).
140. Morizane, R. & Bonventre, J. V. Generation of nephron progenitor cells and kidney organoids from
human pluripotent stem cells. *Nat. Protoc.* **12**, 195–207 (2017).
141. Gupta, A. K., Ivancic, D. Z., Naved, B. A., Wertheim, J. A. & Oxburgh, L. An efficient method to
generate kidney organoids at the air-liquid interface. *J Biol Methods* **8**, e150 (2021).
142. Lawlor, K. T. *et al.* Cellular extrusion bioprinting improves kidney organoid reproducibility and
conformation. *Nat. Mater.* **20**, 260–271 (2021).
143. Berry, A. S. F. *et al.* An open-source toolkit to expand bioinformatics training in infectious diseases.
MBio **12**, e0121421 (2021).
144. Satija, R., Farrell, J. A., Gennert, D., Schier, A. F. & Regev, A. Spatial reconstruction of single-cell
gene expression data. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **33**, 495–502 (2015).
145. Butler, A., Hoffman, P., Smibert, P., Papalexi, E. & Satija, R. Integrating single-cell transcriptomic
data across different conditions, technologies, and species. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **36**, 411–420 (2018).
146. Glünder, H. Focus LP ReadMe.
https://www.gluender.de/Miscellanea/MiscTexts/MiscExcerpts/ReadMe_FL.html.
147. Stringer, C., Wang, T., Michaelos, M. & Pachitariu, M. Cellpose: a generalist algorithm for cellular
segmentation. *Nat. Methods* **18**, 100–106 (2021).
148. Gillespie, D. T. Exact stochastic simulation of coupled chemical reactions. *J. Phys. Chem.* **81**,
2340–2361 (1977).

1676