The androgen receptor in mesenchymal progenitors regulates skeletal muscle mass via _Igf1_

expression in male mice.

Hiroshi Sakai[1,2,*], Hideaki Uno[2], Harumi Yamakawa[2], Kaori Tanaka[3], Aoi Ikedo[1], Akiyoshi

Uezumi[4], Yasuyuki Ohkawa[3], Yuuki Imai[1,2,*]

[1]Division of Integrative Pathophysiology, Proteo-Science Center, Ehime University, Toon,

Ehime 791-0295, Japan.

[2]Department of Pathophysiology, Ehime University Graduate School of Medicine, Toon, Ehime

791-0295, Japan.

[3]Division of Transcriptomics, Medical Institute of Bioregulation, Kyushu University, Higashi-ku,

Fukuoka 812-0054, Japan.

[4]Divison of Cell Heterogeneity, Medical Institute of Bioregulation, Kyushu University, Higashi-

ku, Fukuoka 812-0054, Japan.

Keywords

Androgen receptor, skeletal muscle, mesenchymal progenitors, Igf1

[*]**Correspondence**

sakai.hiroshi.wh@ehime-u.ac.jp (H.S.), y-imai@m.ehime-u.ac.jp (Y.I.)

Reprint requests

Hiroshi Sakai, DVM, PhD, or Yuuki Imai, MD, PhD, Proteo-Science Center, Graduate School of

Medicine, Ehime University, Shitsukawa, Toon, Ehime, 791-0295, Japan.

Funding

This work was supported in part by MEXT/JSPS KAKENHI (JP21K17568 to H.S.; JP22H03203

to Y.I.) and the HIRAKU-Global Program, which is funded by MEXT's "Strategic Professional

Development Program for Young Researchers" (to H.S.).

Declaration of interest

The authors declare no competing interests.

Abstract

Androgens exert their effects primarily by binding to the androgen receptor (AR), a ligand-

dependent nuclear receptor. While androgens have anabolic effects on skeletal muscle, previous

studies reported that AR functions in myofibers to regulate skeletal muscle quality, rather than

skeletal muscle mass. Therefore, the anabolic effects of androgens are exerted via extra-myofiber

cells or tissues. In this context, the cellular and molecular mechanisms of AR in mesenchymal

progenitors, which play a crucial role in maintaining skeletal muscle homeostasis, remain largely

unknown. In this study, we demonstrated expression of AR in mesenchymal progenitors and

found that targeted AR ablation in mesenchymal progenitors reduced limb muscle mass in

mature adult, but not young or aged, male mice, although fatty infiltration of muscle was not

affected. The absence of AR in mesenchymal progenitors led to remarkable perineal muscle

hypotrophy, regardless of age, due to abnormal regulation of transcripts associated with

apoptosis and proteolysis. Additionally, we revealed that AR in mesenchymal progenitors

regulates the expression of insulin-like growth factor 1, which can increase skeletal muscle mass

in a paracrine manner. These findings indicate that the anabolic effects of androgens indirectly

regulate skeletal muscle mass via, at least in part, AR signaling in mesenchymal progenitors.

Introduction

Androgens are crucial regulators of various biological processes, including the development and maintenance of male characteristics. Notably, in a physiological context, the administration of androgens or androgen analogs has been shown to exert anabolic effects on muscles, resulting in increased muscle mass, strength, and body weight (1,2). These physiological effects are mediated by activation of the androgen receptor (AR), a member of the nuclear receptor superfamily (3). However, despite that clinical administration of androgens in men can successfully increase skeletal muscle mass (4), the precise cellular and molecular mechanisms underlying the function of AR in muscle remain largely unexplored.

Skeletal muscle represents a complex tissue comprising multiple cell types, and the effects of androgens are likely mediated by various cell populations expressing AR within skeletal muscles. While male mice systemically lacking AR exhibit low skeletal muscle strength and mass, similar to female levels (5), we previously showed that muscle hypertrophy can be induced by androgen administration even in the absence of AR in muscle fibers, suggesting that non-myofiber AR contributes to the regulation of muscle mass (6). Furthermore, another of our studies demonstrated that deletion of AR in satellite cells, which are muscle stem cells responsible for muscle regeneration, had a limited effect on their proliferation and differentiation (7). Additionally, since limb muscle mass remains unaffected even in mice with AR ablation in both myofibers and muscle stem cells (8), other cells expressing AR within the muscles may play a role in regulating skeletal muscle mass.

Mesenchymal progenitors (9), also known as fibro/adipogenic progenitors (10), have been identified as a cell population distinct from myogenic cells characterized by the expression of platelet-derived growth factor receptor alpha (PDGFRα). These cells are involved in the

maintenance and regeneration of skeletal muscle (11,12). Conversely, mesenchymal progenitors

have been implicated in pathological fibrosis and fat accumulation in skeletal muscle (13). AR

expression has been reported in cells located in the interstitium or outside the basal lamina in

both mouse and human skeletal muscles (14,15). Additionally, ablation of AR specifically in

embryonic cells of the mesenchyme led to impaired development of the perineal levator ani (LA)

and bulbocavernosus (BC) muscles (16), which are particularly responsive to androgen.

Furthermore, the reduced proliferation of undifferentiated myoblasts in these mutant mice

suggested a potential paracrine regulatory role of mesenchymal AR in controlling skeletal

muscles (16). Despite this knowledge, the specific role of AR in adult mesenchymal progenitors

in skeletal muscles remains unexplored.

Here we investigate the role of AR expressed in mesenchymal progenitors in muscle

maintenance using mice with mesenchymal progenitor-specific AR ablation. We show that AR

in mesenchymal progenitors plays a critical role in maintaining skeletal muscle mass via

regulation of insulin-like growth factor 1 (Igf1) expression in male mice.

Materials and Methods

Animals

PDGFRα-CreER mice (17) and *HSA-Cre$^{Tg/+}$* mice (18) were purchased from Jackson

Laboratories (Strain #: 018280 and 006149). *AR$^{L2/Y}$* mice have been described previously (19).

PDGFRα-CreER male mice were crossed with *AR$^{L2/+}$* female mice to generate *PDGFRα-*

CreER;AR$^{L2/Y}$ male mutant mice. Male littermates with the *PDGFRα-CreER;AR$^{+/Y}$* genotype

were used as control mice. To generate *HSA-Cre$^{Tg/+}$;PDGFRα-CreER;AR$^{L2/Y}$* male mice, *HSA-*

Cre$^{Tg/+}$ male mice were crossed with *PDGFRα-CreER;AR$^{L2/+}$* female mice. All mice were

housed in a specific pathogen-free facility under climate-controlled conditions and a 12-h

light/dark cycle and were provided water and a standard diet *ad libitum*. In both control and

mutant mice, 100 µL 20 mg/mL tamoxifen (Sigma-Aldrich, Cat# T5648), dissolved in corn oil

(Sigma-Aldrich, Cat# C8267), was injected intraperitoneally for 5 consecutive days at 8 or 12

weeks of age. A high-fat diet (HFD, CLEA Japan, Cat# HFD32) was given *ad libitum* for 8

weeks to induce obesity in mice. The diet was refreshed every 2 days. To induce fatty infiltration

of skeletal muscle, 100 µL 50% v/v glycerol was injected into tibialis anterior (TA) muscles

under anesthesia using isoflurane (20). To induce AR signaling, dihydrotestosterone (DHT or

stanolone, 2.5 mg/mouse; Tokyo Chemical Industry, Cat# A0462), dissolved in absolute ethanol

and diluted in 0.3% w/v hydroxypropyl cellulose (Wako, Cat# 085-07932) in PBS, was injected

subcutaneously at 2 hours before sampling. All animal experiments were approved by the

Animal Experiment Committee of Ehime University (Approval No. 37A1-1·16) and were

conducted in full compliance with the Guidelines for Animal Experiments at Ehime University.

Grip strength

The grip strength of the forelimb was measured using a strain gauge (Melquest, Cat# GPM-

100B). The maximal force was determined after 10 measurements. The mean value recorded by

two independent experimenters was reported as the grip strength of each mouse.

Immunofluorescence staining and microscopy

For immunofluorescence staining, muscles were snap frozen in liquid nitrogen-chilled

isopentane. Cryosections of 10 µm thickness were prepared for immunofluorescence staining.

Sections were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde in PBS for 5 min, blocked in 5% goat serum

(Gibco, Cat# 16210-064) in PBS for 60 min, and incubated with primary antibodies overnight at

4°C. For staining of myosin heavy chain (Myh), sections were air-dried and blocked with

M.O.M. reagent (Vector Laboratories, Cat# MKB-2213-1) at room temperature for 60 min.

Primary antibodies for Myh diluted in 1% BSA/PBS were incubated at 37°C for 45 min. The

antibodies used for immunofluorescence staining were anti-Pax7 (DSHB, Cat# PAX7,

RRID:AB_528428; 1/5 dilution), anti-PDGFRA (R&D Systems, Cat# AF1062,

RRID:AB_2236897; 1/80), anti-AR (Abcam, Cat# ab108341, RRID:AB_10865716; 1/100), anti-

Laminin α2 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Cat# sc-59854, RRID:AB_784266; 1/400), anti-

Laminin (Sigma-Aldrich, Cat# L9393, RRID:AB_477163; 1/500), anti-Myh7 (DSHB, Cat# BA-

D5, RRID:AB_2235587, supernatant; 1/100), anti-Myh2 (DSHB, Cat# SC-71,

RRID:AB_2147165, supernatant; 1/100), anti-Myh4 (DSHB, Cat# BF-F3, RRID:AB_2266724,

concentrated purified; 1/100), anti-Perilipin (Sigma-Aldrich, Cat# P1873, RRID:AB_532267;

1/250), Alexa fluor 568 goat anti-mouse IgG1 (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Cat# A-21124; RRID:

AB_2535766; 1/1000), Alexa fluor 488 goat anti-rabbit IgG (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Cat# A-

11008; RRID:AB_143165; 1/1000), Alexa fluor 488 goat anti-mouse IgM (Thermo Fisher

Scientific, Cat# A-21042; RRID:AB_143165; 1/1000), and Alexa fluor 647 goat anti-rabbit IgG

(Thermo Fisher Scientific, Cat# A27040; RRID:AB_143165; 1/1000). Nuclei were

counterstained with DAPI.

Quantification of muscle sections

Entire cross sections of fluorescence images stained with laminin and Myh were photographed

using BIOREVO (Keyence) or Axio Observer7 (Zeiss). To quantify the number, cross-sectional

area (CSA), and minimal Ferret diameter of the myofibers, captured images were analyzed using

Fiji (v2.3.0) (https://imagej.net/Fiji). The myofiber area was identified by the laminin+ signals.

The region of interest was set within the laminin+ areas. The frequency of each fiber type in the

region of interest was recorded by Trainable Weka Segmentation in Fiji after manual training.

Small ($< 150 \, \mu m^2$) or large ($> 10,000 \, \mu m^2$) fibers were excluded for analyzing. To quantify

perilipin+ adipocytes, the perilipin+ area was manually measured using Fiji.

Isolation of mesenchymal progenitors from skeletal muscle

Isolation of mononuclear cells from muscle was performed as described previously (21) with

minor modifications. Briefly, muscles were chopped in PBS on ice and digested using 800 U/mL

collagenase type 2 (Worthington, Cat# LS004177) in F10 medium (Gibco, Cat# 11550-043)

supplemented with 10% horse serum (Gibco, Cat# 26050-088) and antibiotic–antimycotic

(Gibco, Cat# 15240-062) for 60 min. Another digestion was conducted using 1000 U/mL

collagenase type 2 and 11 U/mL dispase (Gibco, Cat# 17105-041) for 30 min. The supernatants

were transferred to a cell strainer (Falcon, Cat# 352340) to filter the debris and then centrifuged

to yield a cell suspension. The following antibodies were used: CD31-FITC (BioLegend, Cat#

102406; RRID:AB_312901; 1/100 dilution), CD45-FITC (BioLegend, Cat# 103108,

RRID:AB_312973; 1/100 dilution), Vcam1-PE (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Cat# 12-1061-82,

RRID:AB_2572573; 1/100 dilution), and PDGFRA-APC (R&D Systems, Cat# FAB1062A,

RRID:AB_3073817; 1/30 dilution). The BD FACSAria II (RRID:SCR_018091) or BD

FACSAria Fusion (BD Biosciences) was used for fluorescence-activated cell sorting of the cells,

and the plot was analyzed by FlowJo v10.1r7 (BD Life Sciences, RRID:SCR_008520).

RNA-seq and data analysis

For isolation of RNA from mesenchymal progenitors in LA/BC muscles, 300 PDGFRα+ cells

were sorted into the wells of a 96-well plate containing 10 µL lysis buffer at 4°C. The lysis

buffer was prepared by mixing 1 µL RNase inhibitor (TaKaRa, Cat# 2313A) and 19 µL 10X

lysis buffer (TaKaRa, Cat# 635013) diluted to 1X with nuclease-free water. 3' UTR RNA-seq

was conducted using the CEL-Seq2 protocol (22), with the exception of employing the Second

Strand Synthesis Module (NEB) for double-stranded cDNA synthesis. The library was then

amplified via 10 cycles of PCR without any sample pooling. Subsequently, the library was

sequenced on the Illumina NovaSeq6000, and quantitative analysis was performed using 81 bp

of insert reads (Read2).

Cell barcode and UMI information from Read1 were extracted using UMI-tools (v1.1.2)

(23) with the following command: "umi_tools extract -I read1.fastq --read2-in=read2.fastq --bc-

pattern=NNNNNNNNNNCCCCCCCCC". Adaptor and low-quality sequences were removed,

and reads with a length less than 20 bp were discarded using TrimGalore (v0.6.7;

http://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/trim_galore/). The processed reads were then

mapped to the GRCm38 reference genome using HISAT2 (v2.2.1) (24). Read counts for each

gene were obtained using featureCounts (v2.0.1) (25), and UMI duplications were removed

using UMI-tools. Four cell barcodes, "ATGCAATGC," "GACACGACA," "CGATGCGAT,"

and "TCTGGTCTG," were assigned to each sample. Differentially expressed genes were

identified using DESeq2 (v1.36.0) (26) with thresholds of $|log2FC| > 1$ and $padj < 0.1$.

Metascape (27) was used for Gene Ontology enrichment analysis.

RNA isolation and quantitative real-time PCR

Total RNA was extracted from freshly sorted 30,000 PDGFRα+ cells from LA/BC muscles

using the RNeasy Plus Micro Kit (Qiagen, Cat# 74034) following the manufacturer's protocol.

Reverse transcription was performed using PrimeScript (Takara, Cat# RR036A) to synthesize

cDNA from total RNA. Quantitative PCR (qPCR) was conducted in duplicate samples utilizing

TB Green Premix Ex Taq II (Takara, Cat# RR820S) and the Thermal Cycler Dice (Takara, Cat#

TP850). The expression levels of the target genes were normalized to that of Rpl13a. The primer

sequences are listed in Table 1.

Statistical analysis

Prism 9 (GraphPad Software) or R (v4.0.5) (https://www.r-project.org) was used for the

statistical analysis. Welch's t-test was used to compare two groups. To compare variables among

three or more groups, Welch's ANOVA with Dunnett's T3 multiple comparison test was used.

Results

AR ablation in mesenchymal progenitors was validated in *PDGFRα-CreER;AR$^{L2/Y}$* mice

AR expression in fibroblasts of the perineal muscle LA and in mesenchymal cells of the fetal LA

has been reported (14,16,28); however, it is unknown whether mesenchymal progenitors in adult

limb muscle express AR. To examine the expression pattern of AR in mesenchymal progenitors,

immunofluorescence staining of PDGFRα, a marker of mesenchymal progenitors (9), and AR

was performed in adult male mice. We found that AR was expressed in mesenchymal

progenitors staining positive for PDGFRα (Fig. 1A), as well as in muscle fibers surrounded by

laminin-expressing basal lamina, consistent with previous findings (28,29).

To investigate the role of AR in mesenchymal progenitors, *PDGFRα-CreER* (17) and

AR$^{L2/Y}$ (19) mice were crossed to selectively delete the AR gene in mesenchymal progenitors

expressing PDGFRα. Tamoxifen (TMX) was administered to Cre control male mice (*PDGFRα-*

CreER) and mutant male mice (*PDGFRα-CreER;AR$^{L2/Y}$*) for 5 consecutive days to induce AR

ablation (Fig. 1B). To assess the efficiency of AR ablation, immunofluorescence staining of

PDGFRα and AR was performed in Cre control and mutant mice. Quantification of PDGFRα

and AR expression in forelimb biceps brachii (BB) and hindlimb TA muscles demonstrated a

significant decrease of 90% in AR protein in mutant mice compared with Cre control mice,

confirming successful ablation of AR expression in mesenchymal progenitors from *PDGFRα-*

CreER;AR$^{L2/Y}$ mice (Fig. 1C). In contrast, loss of AR in mesenchymal progenitors did not affect

the number of PDGFRα+ cells (Fig. 1D). Taken together, these findings demonstrate successful

and specific loss of AR expression in PDGFRα+ cells, rendering them an optimal model for

investigating AR in mesenchymal progenitors in skeletal muscles.

220 **Lack of AR in mesenchymal progenitors had a limited effect on steady-state limb skeletal**

221 **muscles**

222 Because ablation of PDGFRα+ cells in intact muscles results in skeletal muscle atrophy (11,12),

223 we first analyzed the muscles of Cre control and mutant mice under steady-state conditions at a

224 young age (14 weeks) (Fig. 2A). Body weight and limb skeletal muscle mass were not affected

225 in mutant compared with control mice at 2 weeks after TMX administration (Fig. 2B). Moreover,

226 the grip strength of mutant mice was comparable with that of control mice (Fig. 2C). The

227 minimal Ferret diameter and number of myofibers in BB and TA muscles remained unchanged

228 in mutant mice (Fig. 2D, E). As relayed signaling between mesenchymal progenitors and muscle

229 stem (satellite) cells is critical for muscle hypertrophy (30), we also quantified the number of

230 muscle stem cells. Staining of Pax7, a marker of muscle stem cells (31), revealed no difference

231 in the number of muscle stem cells between control and mutant BB and TA muscles (Fig. 2F).

232 We next investigated the fiber types of slow soleus muscles, which are affected by muscle fiber-

233 and muscle stem cell-specific AR ablation (8,32). Analysis of soleus muscles fiber types by

234 immunofluorescence staining of type I (Myh7), type IIa (Myh2), and type IIx/IIb (without

235 signals) muscle fibers demonstrated no difference in the fiber-type parameters (minimal Ferret

236 diameter and frequency of each fiber-type) between control and mutant mice (Fig. 2G, H). Thus,

237 AR expression loss in mesenchymal progenitors has a limited effect on the steady-state limb

238 muscles of young mice.

239

240 **The impact of AR deficiency in mesenchymal progenitors on adipogenesis in muscles was**

241 **limited**

We also examined the adipogenesis of mesenchymal progenitors in mutant mice because

adipocytes originate from mesenchymal progenitors in skeletal muscles (9). In mice, the

presence of adipocytes in skeletal muscle is typically uncommon. To address this, we employed

two models: administration of a HFD to the mice and a muscle injury model utilizing glycerol

injection in the mice. First, both the control and mutant mice were subjected to an 8-week

regimen of HFD feeding (Fig. 3A). No significant differences were observed between the mutant

mice and control mice in terms of total body weight, hindlimb muscle weights, epididymal white

adipose tissue mass (eWAT), and subcutaneous white adipose tissue (sWAT) mass (Fig. 3B),

and grip strength (Fig. 3C). Immunofluorescence staining of perilipin, a marker of adipocytes

(33), along with laminin, demonstrated no significant difference in the minimal Ferret diameter

of myofibers or the area occupied by adipocytes between the control and mutant TA muscles

(Fig. 3D, E).

To further investigate the impact of AR deficiency in muscle adipogenesis, we employed

a muscle injury model by administering glycerol injections into the TA muscle of both control

and mutant mice (Fig. 3F). No significant differences were observed between the control and

mutant mice in terms of the body and TA muscle weights at 2 weeks after injection (Fig. 3G).

Immunofluorescence staining of perilipin in conjunction with laminin (Fig. 3H) revealed no

significant difference in the minimal Ferret diameter of myofibers or the area occupied by

adipocytes between the control and mutant TA muscles (Fig. 3I). These findings suggest that the

absence of AR in mesenchymal progenitors does not significantly affect the adipogenic

properties of TA muscles.

AR deficiency in mesenchymal progenitors in mature adult and aged mice modestly

affected limb skeletal muscles

To examine the long-term impact of AR ablation on mesenchymal progenitors, we analyzed

mature adult (6 months old) and aged (28 months old) mice and compared the Cre control group

and mutant group (Fig. 4A). In the mature adult mutant mice, we observed decreases in body

weight and TA and gastrocnemius muscle weights (Fig. 4B), while there were no significant

changes in the weights of the epidermal and subcutaneous white adipose tissues (Fig. 4B), which

are affected by systemic AR ablation (34). However, grip strength (Fig. 4C) and the fiber-type

parameters, including the minimal Ferret diameter and frequency of each fiber-type (Fig. 4D and

4E), were comparable between the control and mutant mice.

Additionally, the weights of the entire body, hindlimb muscles, and white adipose tissues

were compared between aged control and mutant mice. At 28 months of age, the mutant mice did

not exhibit any significant differences from the control mice (Fig. 4F). Moreover, the mutant

mice exhibited similar grip strength to that of the control mice (Fig. 4G). Therefore, our findings

demonstrate that AR deficiency in mesenchymal progenitors affects skeletal muscle mass in

mature adult mice only, not young or aged mice, primarily in the limbs.

Mesenchymal progenitor-specific AR ablation induced atrophy of LA/BC muscles

The perineal LA/BC skeletal muscle complex exhibits increased responsiveness to androgens

compared with other striated muscles (35). Because this perineal muscle complex also has higher

AR expression (14), we examined the impact of AR ablation on mesenchymal progenitors of

LA/BC skeletal muscles. We confirmed efficient ablation of AR in PDGFRα+ cells in LA

muscles as well as limb muscles (Fig. 5A, B). At 14 weeks, 6 months, and 28 months of age, the

LA/BC mass was consistently lower in the mutant mice than control mice (Fig. 5C). Because AR

ablation in myofibers (*HSA-Cre$^{Tg/+}$;AR$^{L2/Y}$* mice) also leads to reduced LA/BC mass (36), we

generated *HSA-Cre$^{Tg/+}$;PDGFRα-CreER;AR$^{L2/Y}$* mice to examine whether the weight reduction

depended on AR in myofibers. Interestingly, the LA/BC weight was lower in *HSA-Cre$^{Tg/+}$;AR$^{L2/Y}$*

mice than in *PDGFRα-CreER;AR$^{L2/Y}$* mice (Fig. 5D). Moreover, *HSA-Cre$^{Tg/+}$;PDGFRα-*

CreER;AR$^{L2/Y}$ mice had the lowest LA/BC mass compared with *HSA-Cre$^{Tg/+}$;AR$^{L2/Y}$* and

PDGFRα-CreER;AR$^{L2/Y}$ mice (Fig. 5D). These findings suggest that AR expression in both

myofibers and mesenchymal progenitors independently regulates the mass of perineal muscles.

We also found that AR depletion in mesenchymal progenitors in LA muscles led to a decrease in

the number of PDGFRα+ cells (Fig. 5E). Examination of the cross-sectional area of LA muscles

by laminin staining (Fig. 5F) revealed a lower myofiber size in the mutant mice than control

mice (Fig. 5G). In summary, AR expression in mesenchymal progenitors independently regulates

the mass of perineal muscles, separate from its influence on myofibers.

AR in mesenchymal progenitors regulated Igf1 expression

To determine the effects of AR on gene expression, we performed RNA-seq in PDGFRα+ cells

from the LA/BC muscles of both control and mutant mice (Fig. 6A). Using a previously

established gating strategy for isolating mesenchymal progenitors (CD45− CD31− Vcam1−

PDGFRα+) (12) (Fig. 6B), we isolated 300 PDGFRα+ cells for RNA-seq. We detected more

than 100,000 genes in these cells, indicating sufficient read depth for further analysis (Fig. 6C).

The PCA plot showed separation between the control and mutant samples (Fig. 6E). We

identified 80 upregulated and 76 downregulated genes, including AR, in PDGFRα+ cells from

the mutant mice (Fig. 6E). The upregulated genes were enriched in the Gene Ontology terms

including regulation of cell death and cell motility (Fig. 6F). On the other hand, the

downregulated genes were enriched in the Gene Ontology terms related to extracellular matrix

organization and regulation of proteolysis (Fig. 6G). Among the genes differentially expressed

after AR depletion, we focused on *Igf1*, which is critical for maintaining muscle mass (37). *Igf1*

was downregulated in the mutant PDGFRα+ cells (Fig. 6H). To further investigate the effects of

androgen and AR on *Igf1* expression, we isolated PDGFRα+ cells from C57BL/6J mice treated

with DHT (Fig. 6I). We found upregulation of *Igf1* in PDGFRα+ cells after DHT administration

(Fig. 6J). We also found an androgen response element in the promoter region of *Igf1* (Fig. 6K).

Taken together, AR in mesenchymal progenitors regulates *Igf1* expression.

Discussion

In the present study, we demonstrated that mice with specific AR ablation in mesenchymal progenitors showed lower skeletal muscle mass in the limbs only at the mature adult stage, but not at a younger stage (14 weeks old). Taken together with previous reports demonstrating that mice with AR ablation specifically in fast-twitch fibers lack muscle mass reduction at 13 weeks old but exhibit this reduction at 12–13 months old (32), there may be a potential age-dependent role of AR or an effect that requires time to develop. Additionally, we demonstrated that the number of Pax7+ muscle stem cells was similar between adult control and mutant mice. This is in contrast to the observed reduction in myoblast proliferation following deletion of AR in the mesenchyme of BC muscles during development (16), providing evidence that AR in mesenchymal progenitors plays distinct roles during development, adulthood, and aging in mice. We also showed that specific ablation of AR in mesenchymal progenitors does not affect the fiber types of slow-twitch muscles. Depletion of mesenchymal progenitors results in a slight increase in the proportion of slow fibers (type I) predominantly in fast-twitch TA muscles (12), suggesting that factors other than AR in mesenchymal progenitors influence muscle fiber types.

PDGFRα+ cells are the origin of ectopic adipocytes in skeletal muscles (9,38). However, the specific molecular mechanisms underlying fatty infiltration of muscles remain largely unexplored (39). In general, androgen and AR signaling seem to have inhibitory effects on adipogenesis (40). Serum androgens are linked to reduced levels of fatty infiltration of skeletal muscles (41). In contrast, deprivation of androgens leads to an increase in fatty infiltration of skeletal muscle in men (42). Moreover, transgenic mice expressing AR induced by collagen type I alpha 1, which is also expressed in mesenchymal progenitors (43), have reduced body weight and fat mass (44). Additionally, HFD-induced fat mass deposition in skeletal muscles was

increased by a low androgen level caused by orchiedectomy (45). However, our results indicate

that AR deficiency in mesenchymal progenitors does not affect adipogenesis in skeletal muscle

even after HFD feeding or glycerol injection. An indirect androgen pathway may also regulate

adipogenesis of mesenchymal progenitors in skeletal muscles. Indeed, recent research indicates

that androgens can modulate macrophage polarization, subsequently affecting adipocyte

differentiation (46). Further studies are needed to gain a comprehensive understanding of the

mechanisms by which androgens and AR signaling influence fatty infiltration of muscle.

We observed a modest reduction in the muscle weight of the mutant mice at the mature

adult age (24 weeks old), but this effect was not observed in aged mice (28 months old). Because

selective ablation of AR in myofibers does not lead to reduced muscle mass even in 40-week-old

mice (36), the role of AR in mesenchymal progenitors is more important than its role in

myofibers in the context of muscle maintenance, at least at the mature adult age. A decrease in

testosterone concentration, even in 1-year-old mice compared with young 12-week-old mice (47),

may contribute to muscle mass loss, potentially masking any subtle differences between the aged

control and mutant mice. Due to the reduction in muscle mass observed in 2-year-old mice with

AR depletion specifically in fast-twitch fibers (32), AR expression in muscle fibers may play a

crucial role in regulating muscle metabolism and quality, which help maintain muscle mass in

aged mice.

The perineal skeletal muscles LA and BC are highly androgen sensitive. Muscle fiber-

specific AR knockout results in a more pronounced mass reduction in LA/BC muscles compared

with limb muscles (35,36), which is consistent with our present study demonstrating a consistent

reduction in LA/BC muscles in mice with AR ablation in mesenchymal progenitors. We also

demonstrated that AR deficiency in mesenchymal progenitors resulted in reduced LA/BC weight,

although the extent of the reduction was milder compared with mice with AR ablation in muscle

fibers. Furthermore, the double Cre mice (*HSA-Cre$^{Tg/+}$;PDGFRα-CreER;AR$^{L2/Y}$*), with ablation

of AR in both myofibers and mesenchymal progenitors, exhibited a greater reduction in LA/BC

mass compared with single Cre mice (*HSA-Cre$^{Tg/+}$;AR$^{L2/Y}$* or *PDGFRα-CreER;AR$^{L2/Y}$*). Taken

together, AR expression in mesenchymal progenitors independently regulates the mass of

perineal muscles, separate from the influence of AR in myofibers. The distinct reactions

observed in perineal and limb muscles could be attributed to a greater abundance of myonuclei

expressing AR in perineal muscles compared with limb muscles (14). Nevertheless, our findings

revealed that the majority of PDGFRα+ cells exhibited AR expression in both limb and perineal

muscles, suggesting an additional mechanism by which AR in mesenchymal progenitors

regulates muscle mass and other mesenchymal progenitor functions.

The signaling pathway involving IGF1 plays a crucial role in both the developmental

growth and steady-state maintenance of skeletal muscle mass (48,49). IGF1 is produced

primarily in the liver (50), but local production, including in skeletal muscles, is induced by

androgen treatment. The administration of testosterone to older men led to an increase in IGF1

protein expression in the limb (vastus lateralis) muscles (51). *Igf1* expression is decreased in the

LA/BC muscles of mice with skeletal muscle-specific AR ablation (36). Dubois et al. suggested

indirect androgen regulation of IGF1 via non myocytic AR because Myod-Cre-driven AR-

deficient mice show no reduction in the *Igf1* transcript level, and Igf1 expression is decreased

after castration in skeletal muscles (28). We demonstrated that AR in mesenchymal progenitors

in skeletal muscles regulates *Igf1* expression, governing muscle mass. Moreover, activation of

Akt signaling via the expression of Bmp3b in mesenchymal progenitors (12) suggests a

connection with Igf1, highlighting the complex interplay of signaling pathways in the

maintenance of skeletal muscle mass.

In summary, our results show that AR in mesenchymal progenitors regulates skeletal

muscle mass via Igf1 expression. Additional investigations into AR function in mesenchymal

progenitors, including ChIP-seq analysis targeting AR, are needed to confirm the role of AR in

regulating the differentially expressed genes in AR-ablated mesenchymal progenitors.

Combining treatment with androgens and Igf1 could be useful to treat muscle atrophy such as

sarcopenia.

Acknowledgments

We thank A. Nishio and Y. Sato for their technical support, Y. Tanaka for the flow cytometry

support, and other members of the Division of Medical Research Support, the Advanced

Research Support Center, Ehime University. This study was partly performed in the Cooperative

Research Project Program in the Medical Institute of Bioregulation (MIB), Kyushu University.

We also thank the Medical Research Center for High Depth Omics, MIB, Kyushu University for

the sequencing, and RIST at Kyushu University for the high-performance computing.

Data Availability

RNA-seq data have been deposited in the Gene Expression Omnibus as accession number

GSE247378 and are publicly available as of the date of publication.

References

1. **Papanicolaou GN, Falk EA.** General Muscular Hypertrophy Induced by Androgenic Hormone. *Science* 1938;87(2254):238–239.

2. **Bhasin S, Storer TW, Berman N, Callegari C, Clevenger B, Phillips J, Bunnell TJ, Tricker R, Shirazi A, Casaburi R.** The Effects of Supraphysiologic Doses of Testosterone on Muscle Size and Strength in Normal Men. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 1996;335(1):1–7.

3. **Lubahn DB, Joseph DR, Sullivan PM, Willard HF, French FS, Wilson EM.** Cloning of Human Androgen Receptor Complementary DNA and Localization to the X Chromosome. *Science* 1988;240(4850):327–330.

4. **Lincoff AM, Bhasin S, Flevaris P, Mitchell LM, Basaria S, Boden WE, Cunningham GR, Granger CB, Khera M, Thompson IM, Wang Q, Wolski K, Davey D, Kalahasti V, Khan N, Miller MG, Snabes MC, Chan A, Dubcenco E, Li X, Yi T, Huang B, Pencina KM, Travison TG, Nissen SE, Investigators TS.** Cardiovascular Safety of Testosterone-Replacement Therapy. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 2023;389(2):107–117.

5. **MacLean HE, Chiu WSM, Notini AJ, Axell A-M, Davey RA, McManus JF, Ma C, Plant DR, Lynch GS, Zajac JD.** Impaired skeletal muscle development and function in male, but not female, genomic androgen receptor knockout mice. *FASEB J.* 2008;22(8):2676–2689.

6. **Sakakibara I, Yanagihara Y, Himori K, Yamada T, Sakai H, Sawada Y, Takahashi H, Saeki N, Hirakawa H, Yokoyama A, Fukada S, Sawasaki T, Imai Y.** Myofiber androgen receptor increases muscle strength mediated by a skeletal muscle splicing variant of Mylk4. *iScience* 2021;24(4):102303.

7. **Sakai H, Sato T, Kanagawa M, Fukada S, Imai Y.** Androgen receptor in satellite cells is not essential for muscle regenerations. *Exp. Results* 2020;1. doi:10.1017/exp.2020.14.

8. **Dubois V, Laurent MR, Sinnesael M, Cielen N, Helsen C, Clinckemalie L, Spans L, Gayan☐Ramirez G, Deldicque L, Hespel P, Carmeliet G, Vanderschueren D, Claessens F.** A satellite cell☐specific knockout of the androgen receptor reveals myostatin as a direct androgen target in skeletal muscle. *FASEB J.* 2014;28(7):2979–2994.

9. **Uezumi A, Fukada S, Yamamoto N, Takeda S, Tsuchida K.** Mesenchymal progenitors distinct from satellite cells contribute to ectopic fat cell formation in skeletal muscle. *Nat Cell Biol* 2010;12(2):143–152.

10. **Joe AWB, Yi L, Natarajan A, Grand FL, So L, Wang J, Rudnicki MA, Rossi FMV.** Muscle injury activates resident fibro/adipogenic progenitors that facilitate myogenesis. *Nat Cell Biol* 2010;12(2):153–163.

11. **Wosczyna MN, Konishi CT, Carbajal EEP, Wang TT, Walsh RA, Gan Q, Wagner MW,**
Rando TA. Mesenchymal Stromal Cells Are Required for Regeneration and Homeostatic
Maintenance of Skeletal Muscle. *Cell Reports* 2019;27(7):2029-2035.e5.

12. **Uezumi A, Ikemoto-Uezumi M, Zhou H, Kurosawa T, Yoshimoto Y, Nakatani M,**
Hitachi K, Yamaguchi H, Wakatsuki S, Araki T, Morita M, Yamada H, Toyoda M,
Kanazawa N, Nakazawa T, Hino J, Fukada S, Tsuchida K. Mesenchymal Bmp3b expression
maintains skeletal muscle integrity and decreases in age-related sarcopenia. *J Clin Invest*
2020;131(1). doi:10.1172/jci139617.

13. **Uezumi A, Ito T, Morikawa D, Shimizu N, Yoneda T, Segawa M, Yamaguchi M, Ogawa**
R, Matev MM, Miyagoe-Suzuki Y, Takeda S, Tsujikawa K, Tsuchida K, Yamamoto H,
Fukada S. Fibrosis and adipogenesis originate from a common mesenchymal progenitor in
skeletal muscle. *J Cell Sci* 2011;124(21):3654–3664.

14. **Johansen JA, Breedlove SM, Jordan CL.** Androgen Receptor Expression in the Levator
Ani Muscle of Male Mice. *J Neuroendocrinol* 2007;19(10):823–826.

15. **Sinha-Hikim I, Taylor WE, Gonzalez-Cadavid NF, Zheng W, Bhasin S.** Androgen
Receptor in Human Skeletal Muscle and Cultured Muscle Satellite Cells: Up-Regulation by
Androgen Treatment. *J Clin Endocrinol Metabolism* 2004;89(10):5245–5255.

16. **Ipulan LA, Suzuki K, Sakamoto Y, Murashima A, Imai Y, Omori A, Nakagata N,**
Nishinakamura R, Valasek P, Yamada G. Nonmyocytic Androgen Receptor Regulates the
Sexually Dimorphic Development of the Embryonic Bulbocavernosus Muscle. *Endocrinology*
2014;155(7):2467–2479.

17. **Kang SH, Fukaya M, Yang JK, Rothstein JD, Bergles DE.** NG2+ CNS Glial Progenitors
Remain Committed to the Oligodendrocyte Lineage in Postnatal Life and following
Neurodegeneration. *Neuron* 2010;68(4):668–681.

18. **Miniou P, Tiziano D, Frugier T, Roblot N, Meur ML, Melki J.** Gene targeting restricted
to mouse striated muscle lineage. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 1999;27(19):e27–e30.

19. **Matsumoto T, Takeyama K, Sato T, Kato S.** Androgen receptor functions from reverse
genetic models. *J. Steroid Biochem. Mol. Biol.* 2003;85(2–5):95–99.

20. **Arsic N, Zacchigna S, Zentilin L, Ramirez-Correa G, Pattarini L, Salvi A, Sinagra G,**
Giacca M. Vascular endothelial growth factor stimulates skeletal muscle regeneration in Vivo.
Mol Ther 2004;10(5):844–854.

21. **Liu L, Cheung TH, Charville GW, Rando TA.** Isolation of skeletal muscle stem cells by
fluorescence-activated cell sorting. *Nat Protoc* 2015;10(10):1612–1624.

22. **Hashimshony T, Senderovich N, Avital G, Klochendler A, Leeuw Y de, Anavy L,**
Gennert D, Li S, Livak KJ, Rozenblatt-Rosen O, Dor Y, Regev A, Yanai I. CEL-Seq2:
sensitive highly-multiplexed single-cell RNA-Seq. *Genome Biol* 2016;17(1):77.

23. **Smith T, Heger A, Sudbery I.** UMI-tools: modeling sequencing errors in Unique Molecular
Identifiers to improve quantification accuracy. *Genome Res.* 2017;27(3):491–499.

24. **Kim D, Paggi JM, Park C, Bennett C, Salzberg SL.** Graph-based genome alignment and
genotyping with HISAT2 and HISAT-genotype. *Nat Biotechnol* 2019;37(8):907–915.

25. **Liao Y, Smyth GK, Shi W.** featureCounts: an efficient general purpose program for
assigning sequence reads to genomic features. *Bioinformatics* 2014;30(7):923–930.

26. **Love MI, Huber W, Anders S.** Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for
RNA-seq data with DESeq2. *Genome Biol* 2014;15(12):550.

27. **Zhou Y, Zhou B, Pache L, Chang M, Khodabakhshi AH, Tanaseichuk O, Benner C,**
Chanda SK. Metascape provides a biologist-oriented resource for the analysis of systems-level
datasets. *Nat Commun* 2019;10(1):1523.

28. **Dubois V, Simitsidellis I, Laurent MR, Jardi F, Saunders PTK, Vanderschueren D,**
Claessens F. Enobosarm (GTx-024) Modulates Adult Skeletal Muscle Mass Independently of
the Androgen Receptor in the Satellite Cell Lineage. *Endocrinology* 2015;156(12):4522–4533.

29. **Kanou M, Nakamura K, Horie K, Sakai H, Yanagihara Y, Sakakibara I, Yamana K,**
Imai Y. Polyamine pathway is associated with muscle anabolic effects by androgen receptor
ligand. *Jcsm Rapid Commun* 2021;4(1):57–74.

30. **Kaneshige A, Kaji T, Zhang L, Saito H, Nakamura A, Kurosawa T, Ikemoto-Uezumi M,**
Tsujikawa K, Seno S, Hori M, Saito Y, Matozaki T, Maehara K, Ohkawa Y, Potente M,
Watanabe S, Braun T, Uezumi A, Fukada S. Relayed signaling between mesenchymal
progenitors and muscle stem cells ensures adaptive stem cell response to increased mechanical
load. *Cell Stem Cell* 2022;29(2):265-280.e6.

31. **Seale P, Sabourin LA, Girgis-Gabardo A, Mansouri A, Gruss P, Rudnicki MA.** Pax7 Is
Required for the Specification of Myogenic Satellite Cells. *Cell* 2000;102(6):777–786.

32. **Hosoi T, Yakabe M, Sasakawa H, Sasako T, Ueki K, Kato S, Tokuoka SM, Oda Y, Abe**
M, Matsumoto T, Akishita M, Ogawa S. Sarcopenia phenotype and impaired muscle function
in male mice with fast-twitch muscle-specific knockout of the androgen receptor. *Proc. Natl.*
Acad. Sci. 2023;120(4):e2218032120.

33. **Greenberg AS, Egan JJ, Wek SA, Garty NB, Blanchette-Mackie EJ, Londos C.** Perilipin,
a major hormonally regulated adipocyte-specific phosphoprotein associated with the periphery of
lipid storage droplets. *J. Biol. Chem.* 1991;266(17):11341–11346.

34. **Fan W, Yanase T, Nomura M, Okabe T, Goto K, Sato T, Kawano H, Kato S, Nawata H.**
Androgen Receptor Null Male Mice Develop Late-Onset Obesity Caused by Decreased Energy
Expenditure and Lipolytic Activity but Show Normal Insulin Sensitivity With High Adiponectin
Secretion. *Diabetes* 2005;54(4):1000–1008.

35. **Ophoff J, Proeyen KV, Callewaert F, Gendt KD, Bock KD, Bosch AV, Verhoeven G,**
Hespel P, Vanderschueren D. Androgen Signaling in Myocytes Contributes to the Maintenance
of Muscle Mass and Fiber Type Regulation But Not to Muscle Strength or Fatigue.
Endocrinology 2009;150(8):3558–3566.

36. **Chambon C, Duteil D, Vignaud A, Ferry A, Messaddeq N, Malivindi R, Kato S,**
Chambon P, Metzger D. Myocytic androgen receptor controls the strength but not the mass of
limb muscles. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 2010;107(32):14327–14332.

37. **Rommel C, Bodine SC, Clarke BA, Rossman R, Nunez L, Stitt TN, Yancopoulos GD,**
Glass DJ. Mediation of IGF-1-induced skeletal myotube hypertrophy by PI(3)K/Akt/mTOR and
PI(3)K/Akt/GSK3 pathways. *Nat Cell Biol* 2001;3(11):1009–1013.

38. **Joe AWB, Yi L, Natarajan A, Grand FL, So L, Wang J, Rudnicki MA, Rossi FMV.**
Muscle injury activates resident fibro/adipogenic progenitors that facilitate myogenesis. *Nat Cell*
Biol 2010;12(2):153–163.

39. **Hamrick MW, McGee-Lawrence ME, Frechette DM.** Fatty Infiltration of Skeletal
Muscle: Mechanisms and Comparisons with Bone Marrow Adiposity. *Front. Endocrinol.*
2016;7:69.

40. **Rizk J, Sahu R, Duteil D.** An overview on androgen-mediated actions in skeletal muscle
and adipose tissue. *Steroids* 2023;199:109306.

41. **Miljkovic I, Cauley JA, Dressen AS, Gordon CL, Goodpaster BH, Kuller LH, Bunker**
CH, Patrick AL, Wheeler VW, Orwoll ES, Zmuda JM. Bioactive androgens and
glucuronidated androgen metabolites are associated with subcutaneous and ectopic skeletal
muscle adiposity among older black men. *Metabolism* 2011;60(8):1178–1185.

42. **Chang D, Joseph DJ, Ebert MA, Galvão DA, Taaffe DR, Denham JW, Newton RU,**
Spry NA. Androgen deprivation muscle attenuation. *J. Méd. Imaging Radiat. Oncol.*
2014;58(2):223–228.

43. **Chapman MA, Mukund K, Subramaniam S, Brenner D, Lieber RL.** Three distinct cell
populations express extracellular matrix proteins and increase in number during skeletal muscle
fibrosis. *Am J Physiol-cell Ph* 2017;312(2):C131–C143.

44. **Semirale AA, Zhang X, Wiren KM.** Body composition changes and inhibition of fat
development in vivo implicates androgen in regulation of stem cell lineage allocation. *J. Cell.*
Biochem. 2011;112(7):1773–1786.

45. **Dubois V, Laurent MR, Jardi F, Antonio L, Lemaire K, Goyvaerts L, Deldicque L,**
Carmeliet G, Decallonne B, Vanderschueren D, Claessens F. Androgen Deficiency
Exacerbates High-Fat Diet-Induced Metabolic Alterations in Male Mice. *Endocrinology*
2016;157(2):648–665.

46. **Ren X, Fu X, Zhang X, Chen S, Huang S, Yao L, Liu G.** Testosterone regulates 3T3-L1
pre-adipocyte differentiation and epididymal fat accumulation in mice through modulating
macrophage polarization. *Biochem. Pharmacol.* 2017;140:73–88.

47. **Nilsson ME, Vandenput L, Tivesten ☐sa, Norlén A-K, Lagerquist MK, Windahl SH,**
Börjesson AE, Farman HH, Poutanen M, Benrick A, Maliqueo M, Stener-Victorin E,
Ryberg H, Ohlsson C. Measurement of a Comprehensive Sex Steroid Profile in Rodent Serum
by High-Sensitive Gas Chromatography-Tandem Mass Spectrometry. *Endocrinology*
2015;156(7):2492–2502.

48. **O'Neill BT, Lee KY, Klaus K, Softic S, Krumpoch MT, Fentz J, Stanford KI, Robinson**
MM, Cai W, Kleinridders A, Pereira RO, Hirshman MF, Abel ED, Accili D, Goodyear LJ,
Nair KS, Kahn CR. Insulin and IGF-1 receptors regulate FoxO-mediated signaling in muscle
proteostasis. *J Clin Invest* 2016;126(9):3433–3446.

49. **Ascenzi F, Barberi L, Dobrowolny G, Bacurau AVN, Nicoletti C, Rizzuto E, Rosenthal**
N, Scicchitano BM, Musarò A. Effects of IGF☐1 isoforms on muscle growth and sarcopenia.
Aging Cell 2019;18(3):e12954.

50. **Kineman RD, Rio-Moreno M del, Sarmento-Cabral A.** 40 YEARS of IGF1:
Understanding the tissue-specific roles of IGF1/IGF1R in regulating metabolism using the
Cre/loxP system. *J. Mol. Endocrinol.* 2018;61(1):T187–T198.

51. **Ferrando AA, Sheffield-Moore M, Yeckel CW, Gilkison C, Jiang J, Achacosa A,**
Lieberman SA, Tipton K, Wolfe RR, Urban RJ. Testosterone administration to older men
improves muscle function: molecular and physiological mechanisms. *Am. J. Physiol.-Endocrinol.*
Metab. 2002;282(3):E601–E607.

52. **Ghaibour K, Schuh M, Souali☐Crespo S, Chambon C, Charlot A, Rizk J, Rovito D,**
Rerra A, Cai Q, Messaddeq N, Zoll J, Duteil D, Metzger D. Androgen receptor coordinates
muscle metabolic and contractile functions. *J. Cachexia, Sarcopenia Muscle* 2023;14(4):1707–
1720.

Legends for Figures

Figure 1. AR ablation in mesenchymal progenitors from *PDGFRα-CreER;AR^{L2/Y}* mice. (A)

Immunofluorescence staining of AR (left) and PDGFRα (middle) and of both together with

laminin and DAPI staining (right) in male TA muscles. Arrows: AR+ and PDGFRα+ cells;

arrowheads: AR+ nuclei surrounded by laminin. Scale bar, 50 µm. (B) Experimental design for

TMX treatment followed by sample harvest. (C) Quantification of AR+ cells among PDGFRα+

cells in the BB (left) and TA (right) muscles of control and mutant mice (n = 3). The two-sample

test for equality of proportions was performed using the test of equal or given proportions: ***p

< 0.001, ****p < 0.0001. (D) Quantification of PDGFRα+ cells in the BB (left) and TA (right)

muscles of control and mutant mice.

Figure 2. Limited impact of AR deficiency in mesenchymal progenitors on intact limb skeletal

muscles. (A) Experimental design for TMX treatment followed by sample harvest. (B and C)

Quantification of body weight, muscle mass (B) and grip strength (C) at 2 weeks after TMX

treatment (n = 8 control; n = 9 mutant mice). (D and E) Violin plots of the minimal Ferret

diameters of each individual (top) with their mean (bottom left) and quantification of the number

of muscle fibers (bottom right) in the BB (D) and TA (E) muscles of control and mutant mice (n

= 6). (F) Quantification of the number of Pax7+ cells in BB (top) and TA (bottom) muscles of

control and mutant mice (n = 6). (G) Immunofluorescence staining of Myh7, Myh2, and laminin

in the soleus muscles of control (left) and mutant mice (right). Scale bar, 100 µm. (H) Average

minimal Ferret diameter (left) and frequency (right) of each fiber type in control and mutant

mice (n = 3).

Figure 3. Limited impact of AR deficiency in mesenchymal progenitors on adipogenesis in

muscles. (A) Experimental design for TMX treatment followed by HFD feeding. (B and C)

Quantification of body weight (BW), muscle mass, eWAT mass, sWAT mass (B), and grip

strength (C) at 8 weeks after HFD feeding (n = 7 control; n = 9 mutant mice). (D)

Immunofluorescence staining of perilipin and laminin in TA muscles of control (left) and mutant

mice (right) after HFD feeding. Scale bar, 100 µm. (E) Average minimal Ferret diameter (left)

and perilipin+ area in TA muscles (n = 5 control; n = 7 mutant mice). (F) Experimental design

for TMX treatment followed by glycerol injection. (G) Quantification of BW and TA muscle

mass at 2 weeks after glycerol injection (n = 6). (H) Perilipin and laminin immunofluorescence

staining in the TA muscles of control (left) and mutant mice (right) after glycerol injection. Scale

bar, 500 µm. (I) Average minimal Ferret diameter (left) and perilipin+ area of TA muscles after

glycerol injection (n = 6).

Figure 4. Modest impact of AR deficiency in mesenchymal progenitors on limb muscles in

mature adult and aged mice. (A) Experimental design for TMX treatment followed by sample

harvest in mature adult (6 months old) and aged (28 months old) mice. (B and C) Quantification

of body weight (BW), muscle mass, eWAT mass, sWAT mass (B), and grip strength (C) in 6-

month-old mice (n = 7 control; n = 10 mutant mice). Unpaired t-test with Welch's correction: *p

< 0.05, **p < 0.01. (D) Immunofluorescence staining of Myh2, Myh4, and laminin in TA

muscles of control (left) and mutant (right) mice. Scale bar, 500 µm. (E) Average minimal Ferret

diameter (left) and frequency (right) of each fiber type in control and mutant mice (n = 6). (F and

G) Quantification of BW, muscle mass, eWAT mass, sWAT mass (F), and grip strength (G) in

28-month-old mice (n = 6 control; n = 7 mutant mice).

Figure 5. Atrophy of LA/BC muscles in mesenchymal progenitor-specific AR-deficient mice.

(A) Immunofluorescence staining of AR, PDGFRα, and laminin with DAPI in LA muscles of

control (left) and mutant (right) mice. Scale bar, 10 µm. (B) Quantification of AR+ cells among

PDGFRα+ cells in LA muscles of control and mutant mice (n = 3). The two-sample test for

equality of proportions was performed using the test of equal or given proportions: ***p < 0.001.

(C) Mass of LA/BC muscles in 14-week-, 6-month-, and 28-month-old mice (n = 5–12). (D)

Mass of LA/BC muscles in single (*HSA-Cre* or *PDGFRα-CreER*) and double Cre mice. (E)

Quantification of PDGFRα+ cells in LA muscles of control and mutant mice (n = 3). Unpaired t-

test with Welch's correction: *p < 0.05. (F) Immunofluorescence staining of laminin in LA

muscles of control (left) and mutant mice (right). Scale bar, 500 µm. (G) Average cross-sectional

area (CSA) of myofibers in control and mutant mice (n = 3). Unpaired t-test with Welch's

correction: *p < 0.05.

Figure 6. Regulation of *Igf1* in mesenchymal progenitors by AR. (A) Experimental design for

TMX treatment followed by fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS) and RNA-seq. (B) FACS

gating for isolating mesenchymal progenitors (PDGFRα+ Vcam1− CD45− CD31−) for RNA-seq.

(C) Gene counts detected in each RNA-seq sample (n = 5). (D) PCA plot of the RNA-seq

samples. (E) Volcano plot showing the $\log_2$ fold change (LFC, x-axis) and $-\log_{10}$ q-value (y-

axis) of each gene in the differential expression analysis; red dots: DESeq2, LFC > 0, q < 0.1;

blue dots: DESeq2, LFC < 0, q < 0.1. Positive and negative FC values indicate upregulated and

downregulated genes, respectively, in PDGFRα+ cells from mutant mice. (F and G) Top Gene

Ontology biological process terms associated with the upregulated (F) and downregulated (G)

642   genes in PDGFRα+ cells from mutant mice. (H) Normalized gene counts for *Igf1* obtained by

643   RNA-seq. (I) Experimental design for qPCR after treatment with DHT. (J) qPCR analysis of Igf1

644   in PDGFRα+ cells after DHT treatment (n = 3 mice/condition). Unpaired t-test with Welch's

645   correction: *p < 0.05. (K) Androgen response elements (ARE) located near the *Igf1* loci.

646   **Table 1.** Oligonucleotides used in this study.

Oligo name	Sequence	Additional information
Igf1_F	TGGATGCTCTTCAGTTCGTG	qPCR
Igf1_R	CACTCATCCACAATGCCTGT	qPCR
Rpl13a_F	GTGGTCCCTGCTGCTCTCAAG	qPCR
Rpl13a_R	CGATAGTGCATCTTGGCCTTTT	qPCR

Figure 1 Sakai et al.

Figure 2 Sakai et al.

Figure 3 Sakai et al.

Figure 4 Sakai et al.

Figure 5 Sakai et al.

A

PDGFRα-CreER
PDGFRα-CreER;AR^{L2IY}
(12 weeks old)

TMX

2 weeks

(B)
FACS
(LA/BC)

PDGFRα+
300 cells
↓
RNA-seq
(C-G)

B Gated CD45- CD31-

PDGFRα-CreER | *PDGFRα-CreER;AR^{L2IY}*

21.7% | 25.8%

Vcam1-PE

PDGFRα-APC

C

Detected gene count

Rep 1 2 3 4 5 | 1 2 3 4 5
PDGFRα-CreER | *PDGFRα-CreER;AR^{L2IY}*

D

PC2

PC1

● *PDGFRα-CreER*
● *PDGFRα-CreER;AR^{L2IY}*

E

$-\log_{10}[\text{q value}]$

$\log_2[\text{Fold Change}]$

● Upregulated q < 0.1
● Downregulated q < 0.1

F Upregulated genes GO Biological Prosecess

positive regulation of cell death
myeloid cell differentiation
response to growth factor
transcription by RNA polymerase II
tube morphogenesis
regulation of transcription from RNA polymerase II
promoter in response to stress
negative regulation of cell motility
erythrocyte homeostasis

$-\log(\text{q value})$

G Downregulated genes GO Biological Prosecess

extracellular matrix organization
vasculature development
regulation of cell-substrate adhesion
collagen-activated tyrosine kinase
receptor signaling pathway
skeletal system development
regulation of epithelial cell proliferation
reproductive structure development
regulation of proteolysis

$-\log(\text{q value})$

H

Igf1

Normalized Count

I

C57BL/6J

Veh or DHT
for 2 h

↓ FACS
PDGFRα+ cells
qPCR (J)

J

Igf1

*

Relative expresion

◇ Veh
◆ DHT

K

1 kb

Igf1
(NM_010512.5)

ARE1 | ARE2

chr10:87,858,120-87,860,370

Figure 6 Sakai et al.