1
7 **Community behaviors of bacteria in flow: Divergent roles for transport and collective**
8 **morphology**
9

10 Kelsey M. Hallinen[1], Steven P. Bodine[2], Howard A. Stone[3], Tom W. Muir[2], Ned S. Wingreen[4,5],
11 and Zemer Gitai[5*]
12
13 [1]Department of Physics, Princeton University
14 [2]Department of Chemistry, Princeton University
15 [3]Department of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering, Princeton University
16 [4]Lewis-Sigler Institute for Integrative Genomics, Princeton University
17 [5]Department of Molecular Biology, Princeton University

Abstract

Fluid flows are dominant features of many bacterial environments, and flow can often impact bacterial behaviors in unexpected ways[1–3]. For example, the most common types of cardiovascular infections are heart valve colonization by Gram-positive bacteria like *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Enterococcus faecalis* (endocarditis)[4,5]. This behavior is seemingly paradoxical because heart valves experience high shear rates that would naively be expected to reduce colonization. To ascertain the mechanisms underlying the ability of these bacteria to preferentially colonize higher shear rate environments, we developed a microfluidic system to quantify the effect of flow conditions on the colonization of *S. aureus* and *E. faecalis*. We find that the preferential colonization in high flow of both species is not specific to heart valves and can be found in simple configurations lacking any host factors. Surprisingly, experimental and computational studies reveal that the two species achieve this behavior via distinct mechanisms. *S. aureus* grows in cell clusters and produces a dispersal signal whose transport is affected by shear rate. Meanwhile, *E. faecalis* grows in linear chains whose mechanical properties result in less dispersal in the presence of higher shear force. In addition to establishing two divergent mechanisms by which these bacteria each preferentially colonize high-flow environments, our findings highlight the importance of understanding bacterial behaviors at the level of collective interactions among cells. These results suggest that distinct microcolony morphologies have previously unappreciated costs and benefits in different environments, like those introduced by fluid flow.

Introduction

Bacterial populations experience a range of complex environments in their natural settings[2]. For example, within a host, bacteria must contend with fluid flows such as those found in the cardiovascular system[6]. Fluid flow can significantly impact how bacteria interact with one another or with the surfaces that they colonize[7–13]. Recent studies have begun to examine the effect of flow on single-cell bacterial behaviors like growth[14,15] and adhesion[16–20]. For example, catch bonds can enable individual bacterial cells to adhere to epithelial surfaces more strongly in flow[21]. However, these single-cell mechanisms are often not widely conserved. Furthermore, in natural settings bacteria are typically in dynamic multicellular communities and the effects of complex environments on these cell collectives are underexplored.

One example of a counterintuitive bacterial behavior in the presence of flow comes from clinical reports of infective endocarditis. Infective endocarditis is an infection in the heart, where bacteria are most commonly reported to colonize heart valves[4,5,20,22,23]. These infections are difficult to treat and even with the use of antibiotics surgery is often needed to replace infected valves[24]. From the perspective of fluid mechanics, the valves have the narrowest cross-sectional areas in the heart, causing them to have the fastest flow speed[6]. These observations lead to an intriguing paradox: higher flow speeds would naively be thought to reduce surface colonization, so how might bacteria preferentially colonize the regions of the heart with the highest flow conditions?

Here we sought to directly examine the effects of flow on how bacteria that cause endocarditis colonize surfaces. To this end, we studied two different bacterial species commonly implicated in endocarditis infections, Methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) and

Enterococcus faecalis, and imaged their surface colonization within microfluidic devices. Our

results demonstrate that these bacteria preferentially colonize surfaces in high shear conditions,

even when the surface is abiotic, suggesting that this counterintuitive behavior is driven by the

bacteria themselves. Elucidating how the two species preferentially adhere in high shear

environments reveal two divergent mechanisms that rely on their distinct microcolony

morphologies and are driven by either transport of signaling molecules or mechanical responses

to flow.

Results

Bacterial populations exhibit counterintuitive adhesion behaviors in microfluidic studies

To assess the impact of flow on bacteria in the absence of host factors, we examined the

colonization of glass coverslips for both *S. aureus* (USA300 MRSA) and *E. faecalis* (OG1RF) in

untreated microfluidic channels (Fig. 1A). For all experiments described below, cells were

seeded into the channel and allowed to adhere to the glass coverslip before we flowed in sterile

media, such that no new cells were introduced to the system once flow began. In any given flow

system, there is a flow rate (volume/time) and a typical speed (flow rate divided by the cross-

sectional area of the flow). The fluid speed is zero at the boundaries, so cells at the surface

typically experience a flow (or velocity) profile that increases with distance from the wall.

Hence, the cells attached to a wall experience a shear rate (units 1/second). For a given

configuration, higher flow rates correspond to higher shear rates. The shear rate in heart valves is

estimated to be roughly 100/s (estimated from [25,26] as detailed in the supplement). For each

species, we thus initially examined two shear rates: a low shear rate of 40/s (low flow) and a high

shear rate of 400/s (high flow). Despite the naive expectation that higher shear rate should hinder

colonization, by the end of our experiments we observed that more bacteria colonized the surface

for both *S. aureus* and *E. faecalis* (Fig. 1). In our timelapse movies we observed that the

attachment of new cells to the surface was relatively rare in both high flow and low flow for both

species (Movie S1-4). Furthermore, analysis of single cell doubling time showed no significant

difference between the low and high flow conditions for either species, indicating that the

differences in colonization cannot be explained by differences in growth rate (Fig. 1B). Since the

differences between high and low flow could not be explained by differences in attachment or

growth, we hypothesized that they are likely driven by differences in detachment.

Examining the dynamics of *S. aureus* colonization, we found that for the first several hours

surface colonization increased at a similar rate in both low and high flow. During these early

timepoints we observed that the bacteria formed small microcolonies with a clustered

morphology. After roughly 3 hours, however, we began to observe a striking difference between

the low and high flow conditions. At these later timepoints, *S. aureus* continued to colonize more

and more of the surface in the high flow conditions. But in the low flow condition, *S. aureus*

began to disperse from the surface, leading to a decrease in coverage and smaller microcolony

clusters. Image analysis of the fraction of the surface area covered by bacteria confirmed that in

high flow colonization proceeded to monotonically increase, but that in low flow, colonization

increased for the first 3 hours and then exhibited increasing dispersal for the rest of the

experiment (Fig. 1C-E).

Examining the dynamics of *E. faecalis* colonization revealed a different pattern from that of *S.*

aureus. Throughout the experiments we found that *E. faecalis* grew as microcolonies with a

linear chained morphology (Fig. 1F-G). In both low and high flow, *E. faecalis* surface

colonization increased mostly monotonically, but the rate of the increased surface colonization

was consistently lower in low flow than in high flow conditions (Fig. 1F-H). Together, these

experiments suggest that the surface colonization of both *E. faecalis* and *S. aureus* is

counterintuitively greater in high flow than in low flow. While changes in detachment rate

appear to underlie both behaviors, the two species likely respond to flow differently, as they

exhibit distinct colonization kinetics.

Transport of a signaling molecule drives the *S. aureus* flow response

To begin to understand the mechanisms by which flow might affect colonization, we first

considered the possibility that the bacterial cells might be responding to changes in their

chemical environments. Bacteria are known to produce and consume various molecules whose

concentration changes can activate or repress signaling pathways that can alter the expression of

factors like adhesins[27] that influence colonization[24]. To test whether such chemical changes

could explain the behaviors we observed for *S. aureus* and *E. faecalis,* we generated

"conditioned" media where each bacterial species was grown overnight, sterile-filtered to

remove intact bacterial cells, and diluted 1:1 with fresh media to ensure access to the nutrients

necessary for growth. We then examined bacterial colonization in the presence of high shear rate

conditions (high flow) as above, but switched the media halfway through the experiment from

fresh to conditioned media. In the presence of high flow, conditioned media caused opposite

effects on *S. aureus* and *E. faecalis.* Specifically, conditioned media decreased colonization for

S. aureus, leading to colonization in high flow that more closely resembled *S. aureus*

colonization in low flow (Fig. 2A, B). Meanwhile, for *E. faecalis,* conditioned media increased

colonization, exacerbating the difference between high and low flow (Fig. 2C, D). Thus, the

differential responses in the kinetics of *S. aureus* and *E. faecalis* colonization in flow correlate

with differences in these species' responses to conditioned media.

One way in which bacteria are known to respond to conditioned media is through quorum

sensing (QS). In QS, bacteria both secrete and sense signaling molecules called autoinducers. In

well-mixed environments, autoinducer concentration correlates with bacterial cell density,

thereby enabling bacteria to drive collective behaviors. Flow can influence QS by affecting

autoinducer transport, here defined as the movement of signaling molecule into or out of the

bacterial biofilm by diffusion or advection by flow[28–30]. To determine whether the interactions

between flow and QS could help explain the flow-dependent surface colonization of *S. aureus*

and *E. faecalis*, we developed a model in which the number of cells on a surface changes as a

function of cell growth, attachment and detachment, and autoinducer concentration changes as a

function of the number of cells, transport, and diffusion (Fig. 2E). In this model autoinducer

concentration can positively or negatively influence attachment (the parameter β), but

autoinducer concentration does not affect growth because we observed no changes in growth rate

in our experiments (Fig. 1B).

Exploring the parameters of our transport-dependent colonization model revealed that whether

quorum sensing positively or negatively influences attachment (the sign of β) was sufficient to

explain some, but not all, of the dynamics we observed experimentally. In all cases the system

starts with low cell numbers, such that the effect of quorum sensing becomes more pronounced

at later times. Regardless of the sign of β, increased shear rate led to more autoinducer transport

(represented by the transport term, q) and thus less autoinducer accumulation. When β is

negative and shear rate is low, growth rate initially dominates so cell numbers increase at early

timepoints, but after cell density increases, autoinducer accumulates and inhibits cell attachment

to an extent that eventually overwhelms growth and decreases cell numbers. In contrast, when β

is negative and shear rate is high, autoinducer accumulates less and never overtakes growth, such

that cell number steadily increases throughout the experiment (Fig. 2F). These results suggest

that the effects of shear rate on QS can explain the behaviors we observe for *S. aureus* in which

colonization initially increases in both high and low flow but then later diverges, continuing to

increase in high flow but decreasing in low flow. Consistent with a negative β being appropriate

for modeling *S. aureus* colonization, quorum sensing has previously been shown to downregulate

surface adhesins[31–33] and here we experimentally confirm that conditioned media reduces *S.*

aureus colonization (Fig. 2A-B).

We also sought to determine if our transport-dependent colonization model can explain the

colonization behavior of *E. faecalis.* Since *E. faecalis* colonization is not seen to decrease over

time in any conditions, the negative β model that works for *S. aureus* does not work for *E.*

faecalis. QS is known to stimulate *E. faecalis* adhesion[34] and here we observed that conditioned

media enhanced colonization (Fig. 2C-D). We thus examined colonization dynamics in our

model when β is positive and quorum sensing stimulates cell attachment. In this case, we found

that cell number always increased over time but did so more rapidly in low flow than in high

flow because autoinducer accumulates more and thus more strongly promotes attachment in low

flow (Fig. 2G). In contrast, our experimental results demonstrated that *E. faecalis* cells colonized

more in high flow than in low flow (Fig. 1F-H). These results suggest that the transport-

dependent colonization model is not sufficient to explain the colonization behavior of *E. faecalis*

in flow.

To directly test our predictions that QS can explain the effects of flow on the colonization of *S.*

aureus but not *E. faecalis,* we synthesized the primary autoinducer signaling molecule from each

species: autoinducing peptide (AIP), which activates *agr* quorum sensing in *S. aureus* and

gelatinase biosynthesis-activating pheromone (GBAP), which activates *fsr* quorum sensing in *E.*

faecalis). Addition of AIP to *S. aureus* decreased colonization and caused cell coverage in high

flow to more closely resemble that in low flow (Fig. 2H, I). By contrast, growth of *E. faecalis*

under flow conditions with the addition of GBAP increased colonization, expanding the

colonization difference between the low and high flow conditions (Fig. 2J, K). These results

were consistent with our model's predictions.

Mechanics of chained cells in flow drive *E. faecalis* colonization dynamics

Because quorum sensing can explain how colonization dynamics depend on flow for *S. aureus*

but not for *E. faecalis,* we sought to determine the mechanism by which high flow enhances *E.*

faecalis colonization. One striking difference between *S. aureus* and *E. faecalis* is that they have

distinct growth patterns that result in different microcolony morphologies. *S. aureus* cells divide

in alternating division planes[35], resulting in rounded microcolonies of closely clustered cells. By

contrast, *E. faecalis* cells divide along a single plane[36], resulting in linear microcolonies of cell

chains. We hypothesized that the mechanical effects of flow on this chained microcolony

morphology might help explain the flow dependence of *E. faecalis*. In the presence of flow, a

cell chain that is anchored to the surface at one end will experience a torque produced by the

shear force from the flow. The higher the flow rate, the larger this shear force becomes,

increasing the torque and pushing the chain closer to the surface. Shear force can be increased

independently of shear rate by increasing the viscosity of the fluid. To test how *E. faecalis*

colonization depends on shear force, we thus increased the viscosity of the bacterial media

roughly 5-fold by supplementation with 10% ficoll, a polymer that does not affect bacterial

growth. We found that this increased viscosity significantly enhanced *E. faecalis* colonization at

equivalent shear rates, indicating that its colonization is indeed dependent on shear force (Fig. 3,

A-B).

We next sought to experimentally determine if increased shear force pushes *E. faecalis* chains

more towards the surface (as illustrated in Fig. 3C). We observed that cell chains in high shear

force conditions were more sharply in focus in our images, suggesting that they were closer to

the focal plane that was positioned at the surface in these experiments (Fig. 3D). To quantify this

effect, we measured the fraction of total cells in focus. Relative to low flow, increasing shear

force by either increasing shear rate or viscosity resulted in a clear increase in the fraction of

cells in focus (Fig. 3E). We also noted that the fraction of cells in focus increased over time

during each experiment (Fig. 3E). This result is consistent with our hypothesis, as cell chains

elongate during the experiment and longer chains experience higher torque.

To more fully capture the mechanical responses of *E. faecalis* chains to flow, we developed a

mechanics-dependent colonization model (Fig. 3F). This is a 2D agent-based model in which

cells are agents that can be connected to one another to form chains. Chains are allowed to grow,

as growth continues during our experiments, and each cell can independently attach or detach

from the surface. The attachment probability depends on a cell's distance from the surface, while

detachment probability increases if neighboring cells are detached. Moreover, the connection

between two neighboring cells in a chain can stochastically break. A chain is considered to be

attached to the surface if any of its cells are attached. To mimic the dynamics observed

experimentally, chains begin with an origin cell attached to the surface and subsequent cells are

attached at the end of the chain by a stiff spring with a bending energy that keeps the cells in a

relatively straight line. An additional force acting on the chains is a drag force that acts in the

horizontal direction to recapitulate the shear force from flow. We found that in our simulations,

increased flow rate increased the number of cells that attached to the surface (Fig. 3G),

recapitulating our experimental results with *E. faecalis.*

Closer examination of our simulations revealed that flow has a significant impact on the outcome

of chain breakage events. When a chain breaks, the part of the chain proximal to the ancestral

origin cell has the same probability of remaining attached regardless of the flow rate (Fig. 3I).

This can be explained by this portion of the chain having a high probability of being attached in

all cases (Fig. 3H). In contrast, the part of the chain distal to the origin cell has a higher

probability of remaining attached in high flow than in low flow (Fig. 3I). This can be explained

by the higher drag force pushing the cell chain closer to the surface and thus increasing the

probability that cells in the distal part of the chain will be attached to the surface (Fig. 3H).

To test the mechanics-dependent colonization model's prediction that chain breakages should

have flow-dependent outcomes, we analyzed chain breakage events from our experiments in

both high and low flow. Specifically, we quantified the fraction of breakages where the origin

cells or distal cells remained adhered after the break (Fig. 3J). As predicted, there was no

significant difference between the fraction of origin cells adhered following a breakage between

low and high flow conditions (Fig. 3K). However, there was a significant difference in distal

adherence, with more distal cells adhering in high flow than in low flow after a breakage event

(Fig. 3K). Examples of the differences in chain breakage outcomes in high and low flow are

shown in Movies S5-8. Together, our experiments and modeling suggest that high flow rates

mechanically push *E. faecalis* chains towards the surface, which results in increased colonization

by increasing attachment and thereby reducing cell dispersal upon chain breakage.

Discussion:

Fluid flow introduces complexities to bacterial dynamics that can result in unexpected emergent

behaviors. Here we elucidate two divergent mechanisms by which two different species

preferentially colonize surfaces in higher flow environments (Fig. 4A,B). Previous studies of

bacterial colonization in flow have primarily focused on the mechanisms by which single cells

adhere to surfaces, such as the catch bonds formed between Uropathogenic *Escherichia coli* and

the mannosylated surface of epithelial cells[21] or the mechanosensitive Type IV pili of

Pseudomonas aeruginosa[37,38]. By contrast, here we find that increased flow stimulates

colonization of both *S. aureus* and *E. faecalis* via distinct mechanisms that each function at the

multicellular scale. Both our experimental studies of colonization in varying flow and

conditioned media, and a biophysical transport-dependent colonization model, suggest that the

effects of flow on quorum sensing could explain the flow-dependent colonization of *S. aureus*

but not *E. faecalis.* Further experiments with synthesized quorum sensing autoinducers

confirmed that for *S. aureus,* but not *E. faecalis*, flow enhances colonization through a reduction

in QS signaling resulting from increased autoinducer transport. These results are consistent with

previous findings that activating quorum sensing circuits downregulates adhesin expression in *S.*

aureus[30,31,39] and that increased shear rates decrease quorum sensing signaling by increasing

autoinducer transport[30]. Importantly, whereas previous studies on quorum sensing in flow

suggested that flow negates the physiological impact of quorum sensing in many contexts, our

findings suggest that bacteria may harness the inhibition of quorum sensing in flow as a

beneficial adaptation to promote colonization. Additional studies inspired by this work will help

to determine the importance of interactions between multicellular signaling and flow in

physiologically-relevant environments.

In contrast to *S. aureus,* we found that mechanical effects and different multicellular morphology

were sufficient to explain how flow stimulates the colonization of *E. faecalis*. Altering fluid

viscosity suggested that the *E. faecalis* colonization effect depends upon shear force rather than

solely the shear rate, and agreement between experimental observations and a biophysical

mechanics-dependent colonization model confirmed our hypothesis. Specifically, we found that

the linear chains of cells produced by *E. faecalis* microcolonies experience a torque that is

proportional to viscous effects. Consequently, higher flow pushes the cells more towards the

surface, leading to increased attachment.

Interestingly, while *S. aureus* and *E. faecalis* achieve flow-dependent colonization in different

ways, both mechanisms do not operate on single cells but rather depend on the specific collective

morphologies of each species' microcolonies. The clustered multicellular morphology in which

S. aureus cells grow facilitates the local 3D signaling interactions that mediate quorum sensing.

Meanwhile, the linear multicellular morphology of *E. faecalis* produces a lever-like effect that

promotes the torque required for flow-dependent attachment.

Many studies of single-cell bacterial morphology have noted the importance of individual cell

shape[40–42], but our findings suggest that even when individual bacteria have cells of the same

shape, there can be adaptive benefits to the collective morphologies that form in their

multicellular microcolonies. Most bacterial species have highly stereotyped collective

morphologies, such that future studies will need to explore the costs and benefits of distinct

multicellular shapes in different environments. Beyond effects in flow, collective multicellular

morphology may lead to advantages or disadvantages in processes like horizontal gene transfer,

nutrient searching, competition in multispecies environments, or phage defense (Fig. 4C). For

example, cell clustering would lead to more interactions between cells within a local

neighborhood, perhaps driving easier DNA exchange or protection from competition of other

species, paralleling dynamics seen on a smaller length scale with enzyme clustering driving

metabolic responses[43]. Meanwhile, linear collective morphologies in which cells form chains

allow bacteria to increase their surface area to explore wider areas of their environments, perhaps

leading to advantages in nutrient acquisition or impacting the spread of phages. Thus, the

collective responses we have observed in flow not only introduce two divergent mechanisms for

the counterintuitive effect in which flow stimulates colonization, but also lead to further

implications for multicellular-scale bacterial responses in a wide array of environments.

Materials And Methods

Bacterial Strains and Growth Conditions

S. aureus cells used in this study used the strain USA300 MRSA. *E. faecalis* studies were done

using the strain OG1RF, fluorescently labeled with the plasmid pBSU101-GFP[44] (generously

provided by the Wood Lab at the University of Michigan).

Media was prepared according to manufacturer recommendation. Overnight cultures were grown

in floor shakers at 37C in Luria-Bertani Miller (LB) Broth (BD Biosciences) for *S. aureus* or

Brain Heart Infusion (BHI) Broth (BD Biosciences) for *E. faecalis* following isolation of a single

colony from LB or BHI agar plates, respectively. For *E. faecalis* OG1RF, the plasmid pBSU101-

GFP was maintained with the addition of 120 µg/ml of the antibiotic Spectinomycin (Sigma) in

the plates as well as growth media.

Following overnight growth, cells were diluted in fresh media to an OD between 0.40 and 0.45

and loaded into plastic syringes with a 27G needle in preparation for microfluidic chip

experiments.

For conditioned media experiments, overnight cultures were centrifuged at 9000xg for 5 minutes

to pellet the cells. The supernatant was removed and filtered using 0.2 µm filter disks (Pall

Corporation) to ensure all cells had been removed. This filter sterilized overnight media was then

mixed 1:1 with fresh LB (*S. aureus*) or BHI (*E. faecalis*) to create our conditioned media. For

conditioned media experiments, we switched the syringes to conditioned media syringes from

fresh media following 3 hours of the flow run.

For *S. aureus* AIP experiments, synthesized AIP-I (obtained as described below) was added to

fresh LB to a final concentration of 50 nM. The LB supplemented with AIP was used for the

entire flow experiment. Similarly, for *E. faecalis* GBAP runs, synthesized GBAP was added to

fresh BHI to a final concentration of 50 nM. Again, the BHI supplemented with GBAP was used

for the entire 6-hour flow experiment.

For *E. faecalis* viscosity and shear force experiments, 10% ficoll (Sigma) was added to BHI.

This BHI + 10% ficoll media was used for the entire 6-hour experiment.

AIP and GBAP Synthesis

AIP: AIP-I was synthesized via a protocol adapted from Zhao *et al.* 2022[45]. Briefly, we

performed standard automated Fmoc-SPPS on a Liberty Blue microwave-assisted peptide

synthesizer (CEM), utilizing a DIC-Oxyma coupling strategy on a hydrazine derivatized Cl-

TCP(Cl)-ProTide resin (CEM). After SPPS, cleavage of the resin yielded a linear peptide with a

C-terminal hydrazide. This hydrazide was oxidized with 15 eq. of $NaNO_2$ in a 6 M guanidinium

hydrochloride buffer solution at pH 3. A peptide thioester was formed upon the addition of 15

eq. MESNa at pH 6.2. The MESNa thioester was purified by RP-HPLC and lyophilized. To

generate the cyclized final product, the peptide thioester was solubilized in PBS with 5 mM

TCEP at pH 7. AIP-I was purified again by RP-HPLC, lyophilized, and taken up in DMSO.

Concentration was determined by NMR relative to known standards.

GBAP: GBAP was synthesized via a protocol adapted from McBrayer *et al.* 2017[46]. Briefly,

GBAP was generated by Fmoc-SPPS using a HATU coupling strategy extending the chain from

Gln9 of the peptide. The unprotected side chain of Fmoc-Glu-Oall was coupled to a H-Rink

amide ChemMatrix® resin (Matrix Innovations). The peptide was extended to Asn2 by standard

Fmoc-SPPS. To the N-terminus was coupled Boc-Gln-OH to supply Gln1 and cap this chain of

the peptide. To add Met9 and Trp10 and form the ester linkage of the peptide lactone, the O-

trityl protecting group of Ser3 was first removed with 1% TFA. Fmoc-Met-OH (10 eq) was

coupled to the Ser3 side chain by a Steglich-type esterification, utilizing DIC (9.9 eq), DIEA (10

eq), and DMAP (0.5 eq). After validating the formation of the Met9 ester by LC-MS, Trp10 was

added by standard Fmoc-SPPS. The C-terminal Oalloc protecting group on Gln9 was removed

by treatment with 10 eq 1,3-dimethybarbituric acid and 0.3 eq

tetrakis(triphenylphosphine)palladium(0) in DCM. After repeating the Alloc deprotection, the Pd

catalyst was removed by washing with 1% sodium diethylthiocarbamate. On-resin cyclization

was performed with PyOxim (1.25 eq) and DIEA (2.5 eq). Cyclized product was cleaved from

the resin and purified by RP-HPLC. Pure products were lyophilized, taken up in DMSO, and

quantified by NMR.

Microfluidic Devices

Flow channels were designed in Blender and a 3D mold was printed by Protolabs (Maple Plain,

MN). Each chip had six channels that were 2 cm long x 500 μm wide x 100 μm tall with separate

inlet and outlet ports for each channel. Briefly, Polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS) was poured onto

the 3D mold, baked overnight, and then plasma-bonded to 22x44 mm #1.5 glass coverslips

(Avantor). Following this, the completed chips were baked overnight at 65C to complete the

bonding before use.

Flow Experiments

Microfluidic chips were prepared for flow experiments by adding inlet and outlet tubing (BD

Intramedic Polyethylene Tubing .015" ID 0.043" OD) and loading the channel with media before

addition of our diluted cells. Following cell addition, a plastic media-filled syringe with 27G

needle was connected to the inlet tubing and mounted on a syringe pump (New Era Pump

Systems, Inc.) and the outlet tubing was placed into a waste container to collect the outflow.

Following 15-20 minutes of initial attachment time, flow was turned on at one of two rates. For

high flow conditions corresponding to a shear rate 400/s, a flow rate of 20 μl/min was used. For

low flow conditions corresponding to a shear rate 40/s, a flow rate of 2 μl/min was used.

Phase Contrast and Fluorescence Microscopy

For all flow experiments, a Nikon 90i inverted microscope equipped with a 100x 1.4 N.A.

objective and with an incubator held at 37C was used. Microscope control and image acquisition

was done using NIS Elements (Nikon, version 4.60.00). Five positions spread along each

channel were chosen and the image plane was focused on the glass coverslip. Flow was turned

on following the first set of images. Images were then taken every 5 minutes for a total of 6

hours for both species. Phase contrast images were taken for all species. For *E. faecalis*,

fluorescent images were taken using a GFP filter. To obtain doubling time information (Fig 1B),

the same flow set up and microscopy filters were used, but images were taken every 2 minutes

for better doubling time resolution.

Quantification of Cell Coverage

Cell coverage analysis was completed using a custom image analysis macro in Fiji (software

available upon request). Briefly, for *S. aureus*, cell edges were found and contrast between the

edges and background was enhanced using a CLAHE filter. Images were thresholded to remove

background, binarized, and edges were filled in. Total cell coverage was determined by summing

the total pixel count of the binarized images. For *E. faecalis*, analysis was done using fluorescent

images to better capture the chains. Images were run through the CLAHE filter before having the

background subtracted and binarized. Once again, total cell coverage was then determined by

summing the total pixel count of the binarized images. The total coverage was normalized to the

first image for each experiment position, and then the normalized total coverage for all positions

of each experimental condition were averaged together. Error bars on these averages are reported

as standard error on the mean (SEM).

Focus Analysis

E. faecalis focus analysis was again completed with a custom pipeline in Fiji and MATLAB

(software available upon request, Mathworks, Natick, MA). Analysis began in Fiji, with the

phase contrast images CLAHE filtered followed by finding the edges of the cells. These edged

images were then loaded into MATLAB. The edges of out-of-focus cells were dim and could be

excluded by thresholding the images. The same threshold was used for all conditions. For each

time point in an experiment, the thresholded and non-thresholded images were binarized and the

total pixel value of each binarized image was calculated. The fraction in focus was then

calculated by dividing the thresholded image total by the non thresholded image. These results

were then normalized to the initial time point for each position and all positions for a specific

condition were averaged together. Error bars are again reported as SEM.

Chain Breakage Analysis

For analysis of chain breakage outcomes, thirty breakage events were calculated by hand for low

and high flow. Following a breakage, origin attachment and/or distal attachment was recorded.

The fraction of events where origin or distal attachment was recorded was calculated by dividing

the total number of times the breakage outcome was recorded by our total number of breakage

observations (thirty). Error bars are SEM. Significance was determined used a paired t-test.

For simulations, anytime a breakage event was occurred, the outcome (origin and/or distal

attachment) was recorded. Following the end of a chain simulation, these outcomes were

recorded. For 100 chains in each simulation set, representing a 'position' along a simulated flow

channel, the total outcomes of each were calculated and again the fractions were calculated, error

bars are SEM, and significance was determined using a paired t-test.

Code Availability

Custom Fiji Macros and MATLAB code used for cell quantification and focus analysis of the

microscopy data are freely available from the corresponding author upon request. Similarly,

MATLAB code for both the transport-dependent colonization model and mechanics-dependent

colonization model are freely available from the corresponding author upon request.

Data Availability

The data supporting the findings of the study are available in this article and its Supplementary

Information. Additionally, the raw data that support the findings of this study are available from

the corresponding author upon request.

References

1.   Wheeler, J. D., Secchi, E., Rusconi, R. & Stocker, R. Not Just Going with the Flow: The Effects of Fluid Flow on Bacteria and Plankton. *Annu Rev Cell Dev Biol* **35**, 213–237 (2019).

2.   Persat, A. *et al.* The Mechanical World of Bacteria. *Cell* **161**, 988–997 (2015).

3.   Gordon, V. D. & Wang, L. Bacterial mechanosensing: the force will be with you, always. *J Cell Sci* **132**, jcs227694 (2019).

4.   Cahill, T. J. & Prendergast, B. D. Infective endocarditis. *The Lancet* **387**, 882–893 (2016).

5.   Cahill, T. J. *et al. THE PRESENT AND FUTURE Challenges in Infective Endocarditis*. (2017).

6.   Yoganathan, A. P., He, Z. & Casey Jones, S. Fluid Mechanics of Heart Valves. *Annu Rev Biomed Eng* **6**, 331–362 (2004).

7.   Secchi, E. *et al.* The effect of flow on swimming bacteria controls the initial colonization of curved surfaces. *Nat Commun* **11**, (2020).

8.   Lecuyer, S. *et al.* Shear Stress Increases the Residence Time of Adhesion of Pseudomonas aeruginosa. *Biophys J* **100**, 341–350 (2011).

9.   Sanfilippo, J. E. *et al.* Microfluidic-based transcriptomics reveal force-independent bacterial rheosensing. *Nature Microbiology* vol. 4 1274–1281(2019).

10.   Mathijssen, A. J. T. M., Shendruk, T. N., Yeomans, J. M. & Doostmohammadi, A. Upstream Swimming in Microbiological Flows. *Phys Rev Lett* **116**, 28104 (2016).

11.   Park, A., Jeong, H. H., Lee, J., Kim, K. P. & Lee, C. S. Effect of shear stress on the formation of bacterial biofilm in a microfluidic channel. *Biochip J* **5**, 236–241 (2011).

479 12. Drescher, K., Shen, Y., Bassler, B. L. & Stone, H. A. Biofilm streamers cause catastrophic

480   disruption of flow with consequences for environmental and medical systems. *Proc Natl*

481   *Acad Sci U S A* **110**, 4345–4350 (2013).

482 13. Rusconi, R., Lecuyer, S., Guglielmini, L. & Stone, H. A. Laminar flow around corners

483   triggers the formation of biofilm streamers. *J R Soc Interface* **7**, 1293–1299 (2010).

484 14. Cremer, J. *et al.* Effect of flow and peristaltic mixing on bacterial growth in a gut-like

485   channel. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **113**, 11414–11419 (2016).

486 15. Rath, H., Stumpp, S. N. & Stiesch, M. Development of a flow chamber system for the

487   reproducible in vitro analysis of biofilm formation on implant materials. *PloS One* **12**,

488   e0172095- (2017).

489 16. Guttenplan, S. B., Blair, K. M. & Kearns, D. B. The EpsE Flagellar Clutch Is Bifunctional

490   and Synergizes with EPS Biosynthesis to Promote Bacillus subtilis Biofilm Formation.

491   *PloS Genet* **6**, e1001243- (2010).

492 17. Lele, P. P., Hosu, B. G. & Berg, H. C. Dynamics of mechanosensing in the bacterial

493   flagellar motor. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **110**, 11839–11844

494   (2013).

495 18. Siryaporn, A., Kuchma, S. L., O'Toole, G. A. & Gitai, Z. Surface attachment induces

496   Pseudomonas aeruginosa virulence. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*

497   **111**, 16860–16865 (2014).

498 19. Martínez-García, R., Nadell, C. D., Hartmann, R., Drescher, K. & Bonachela, J. A. Cell

499   adhesion and fluid flow jointly initiate genotype spatial distribution in biofilms. *PloS*

500   *Comput Biol* **14**, e1006094- (2018).

20.   Liesenborghs, L. *et al.* Staphylococcus aureus endocarditis: distinct mechanisms of

bacterial adhesion to damaged and inflamed heart valves. *Eur Heart J* **40**, 3248–3259

(2019).

21.   Sauer, M. M. *et al.* Catch-bond mechanism of the bacterial adhesin FimH. *Nat Commun* **7**,

(2016).

22.   Jung, C. J. *et al.* Endocarditis pathogen promotes vegetation formation by inducing

intravascular neutrophil extracellular traps through activated platelets. *Circulation* **131**,

571–581 (2015).

23.   Thuny, F., Grisoli, D., Collart, F., Habib, G. & Raoult, D. Management of infective

endocarditis: challenges and perspectives. *The Lancet* **379**, 965–975 (2012).

24.   Pettersson, G. B. *et al.* 2016 The American Association for Thoracic Surgery (AATS)

consensus guidelines: Surgical treatment of infective endocarditis: Executive summary. *J*

Thorac Cardiovasc Surg **153**, 1241-1258.e29 (2017).

25.   Omran, A. S., Arifi, A. A. & Mohamed, A. A. Echocardiography in mitral stenosis. *J*

Saudi Heart Assoc **23**, 51–58 (2011).

26.   Fuchs, A. *et al.* Normal values of left ventricular mass and cardiac chamber volumes

assessed by 320-detector computed tomography angiography in the Copenhagen General

Population Study. *Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Imaging* **17**, 1009–1017 (2016).

27.   Mukherjee, S. & Bassler, B. L. Bacterial quorum sensing in complex and dynamically

changing environments. *Nature Reviews Microbiology* vol. 17 371–382 (2019).

28.   Kirisits, M. J. *et al.* Influence of the hydrodynamic environment on quorum sensing in

Pseudomonas aeruginosa biofilms. In *Journal of Bacteriology* vol. 189 8357–8360 (2007).

523 29. Purevdorj, B., Costerton, J. W. & Stoodley, P. Influence of hydrodynamics and cell

524   signaling on the structure and behavior of Pseudomonas aeruginosa biofilms. *Appl*

525   *Environ Microbiol* **68**, 4457–4464 (2002).

526 30. Kim, M. K., Ingremeau, F., Zhao, A., Bassler, B. L. & Stone, H. A. Local and global

527   consequences of flow on bacterial quorum sensing. *Nat Microbiol* **1**, (2016).

528 31. Boles, B. R. & Horswill, A. R. agr-Mediated Dispersal of Staphylococcus aureus

529   Biofilms. *PloS Pathog* **4**, e1000052- (2008).

530 32. Yarwood, J. M., Bartels, D. J., Volper, E. M. & Greenberg, E. P. Quorum Sensing in

531   Staphylococcus aureus Biofilms. *J Bacteriol* **186**, 1838–1850 (2004).

532 33. Lauderdale, K. J., Malone, C. L., Boles, B. R., Morcuende, J. & Horswill, A. R. Biofilm

533   dispersal of community-associated methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus on

534   orthopedic implant material. *Journal of Orthopaedic Research* **28**, 55–61 (2010).

535 34. Hancock, L. E. & Perego, M. The Enterococcus faecalis fsr two-component system

536   controls biofilm development through production of gelatinase. *J Bacteriol* **186**, 5629–

537   5639 (2004).

538 35. Saraiva, B. M. *et al.* Reassessment of the distinctive geometry of Staphylococcus aureus

539   cell division. *Nat Commun* **11**, (2020).

540 36. Higgins, M. L. & Shockman, G. D. *Model for Cell Wall Growth of Streptococcus faecalis*.

541   *Journal of Bacteriology* vol. 101 https://journals.asm.org/journal/jb (1970).

542 37. Persat, A., Inclan, Y. F., Engel, J. N., Stone, H. A. & Gitai, Z. Type IV pili

543   mechanochemically regulate virulence factors in Pseudomonas aeruginosa. *Proc Natl*

544   *Acad Sci USA* **112**, 7563–7568 (2015).

38.   Webster, S. S. *et al. Force-Induced Changes of PilY1 Drive Surface Sensing by*

Pseudomonas aeruginosa. https://journals.asm.org/journal/mbio (2022).

39.   Lister, J. L. & Horswill, A. R. Staphylococcus aureus biofilms: Recent developments in

biofilm dispersal. *Front Cell Infect Microbiol* **4**, (2014).

40.   Fernandez, N. L. *et al.* Vibrio cholerae adapts to sessile and motile lifestyles by cyclic di-

GMP regulation of cell shape. Doi:10.1073/pnas.2010199117/-/DCSupplemental.

41.   Keshavarz, T., Walker, M. M., Karim, Q. N., Sidebotham, R. L. & Worku, M. L. The

relationship between Helicobacter pylori motility, morphology and phase of growth:

implications for gastric colonization and pathology. *Microbiology (N Y)* **145**, 2803–2811

(1999).

42.   Persat, A., Stone, H. A. & Gitai, Z. The curved shape of 30aulobacter crescentus enhances

surface colonization in flow. *Nat Commun* **5**, (2014).

43.   Castellana, M. *et al.* Enzyme clustering accelerates processing of intermediates through

metabolic channeling. *Nat Biotechnol* **32**, 1011–1018 (2014).

44.   Hallinen, K. M., Guardiola-Flores, K. A. & Wood, K. B. Fluorescent reporter plasmids for

single-cell and bulk-level composition assays in E. faecalis. *PloS One* **15**, e0232539-

(2020).

45.   Zhao, A. *et al.* Reconstitution of the S. aureus agr quorum sensing pathway reveals a

direct role for the integral membrane protease MroQ in pheromone biosynthesis. (2022)

doi:10.1073/pnas.

46.   McBrayer, D. N., Gantman, B. K., Cameron, C. D. & Tal-Gan, Y. An Entirely Solid Phase

Peptide Synthesis-Based Strategy for Synthesis of Gelatinase Biosynthesis-Activating

Pheromone (GBAP) Analogue Libraries: Investigating the Structure-Activity

Relationships of the Enterococcus faecalis Quorum Sensing Signal. *Org Lett* **19**, 3295–

3298 (2017).

47.   Rudin, L., Sjostr6m, J.-E., Lindberg, M. & Philipson, L. *Factors Affecting Competence for*

Transformation in Staphylococcus aureus. *Journal of Bacteriology* vol. 118

https://journals.asm.org/journal/jb (1974).

Supplementary Information is available for this paper.

Acknowledgements: We thank members of the Gitai Lab, Josh Shaevitz, and members of the

Shaevitz lab for discussion and feedback. This work was supported by grant MCB 2033020 from

the National Science Foundation (to ZG and HAS). Additional funding came from the National

Science Foundation PHY-1734030 (KMH).

Author Contributions: K.M.H. and Z.G. designed the experiments. K.M.H. performed the

experiments and conducted image analysis. S.P.B. and T.W.M. provided the small molecules

used in this study. K.M.H., H.A.S., and N.S.W. developed the computational models. K.M.H.

and Z.G. wrote the paper with input from all authors.

Competing Interests: The authors declare no competing interests.

Corresponding Author: Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to Z.G.

Supplementary Information

***S. aureus* transport effect captured in a model of ODEs**

To incorporate the dynamics of QS systems and transport of signaling molecules, we developed

a model of coupled ODEs, describing cell number and growth (Eq. 1) and production and

transport of the signaling molecule (Eq. 2). For the number of cells *n*, we use

$$\frac{dn}{dt} = gn(t) + \beta \frac{c^h}{c^h + K^h} n(t) + an(t) - rn(t) \qquad \text{Eq. 1}$$

where, *t* is time, *g* is the cellular growth rate, β is the dispersal constant, *c* is the concentration of

the autoinducer signaling molecule, *a* is the rate of attachment of new cells, and *r* is the quorum

sensing-independent detachment of cells. We note *a* and *r* experimentally appear to be negligible

(see Movie S9: dynamics of *S. aureus* in the absence of growth) and were thus set to 0 for our

model simulations. We use a Hill function to describe the dynamics of the QS system, as a

function of the signaling molecule concentration. Here, *K* is the half maximal concentration and

h is the Hill coefficient. As the shape of the QS response is system specific, we modeled a range

of *h* values with both positive and negative β (Fig. S2). We note the overall behavior is

qualitatively insensitive to the precise value of *h*. In the main text, we use $h = 3$ to describe the

positive feedback that is characteristic of QS systems. Also, for autoinducer concentration *c* we

use

$$\frac{dc}{dt} = pn(t) - qc(t) - Dc(t) \qquad \text{Eq. 2}$$

where p as the production rate of the molecule, q is the transport rate of the molecule (analogous

to our flow rate), and D represents the diffusion away from the colony. For our simulations, we

assume $D << q$ and set D to 0. The parameters used for simulations of this model can be found

in Table S1.

Model describing forces on a chain mimics *E. faecalis* flow dynamics

To probe the mechanical effects of flow on *E. faecalis* cell chains, we created a computational

model of *E. faecalis* chains in flow modeling a spring force between the cells, a bending energy

keeping the cells in line, and a drag force accounting for flow. To determine the different

responses in low and high flow, we ran simulations where the only difference in conditions was

the drag force: this force was 10x larger in high flow simulations, to account for the 10x larger

flow rate in high flow experiments.

Our agent-based model describing the mechanics-dependent colonization of *E. faecalis* was

written in MATLAB. Each simulated chain was initialized to a random length between one and

five cells long, with cells, considered simply as vertices in the chain, connected to their

neighbors by a stiff harmonic spring with spring constant k_s. For chains of two cells or more, the

subsequent cells were initialized at an angle 45° from the surface, with this angle allowed to

fluctuate during the simulations. The chain length also "grows" by the addition of one cell at the

end of the chain, initialized in line with the existing chain, after a set number of timesteps.

Following initialization, at each timestep the cells are moved based on the forces acting upon

them. There are three forces we model: the spring force between each pair of cells acting in both

the x and y direction, a drag force which is proportional to the shear rate and the height of the cell

above the surface acting in the x direction, and a derivative of the bending energy that tends to

keep the cells in a roughly straight line, again acting in both the x and y directions. Based on

these calculated forces, the x and y positions of the cells are updated, followed by checking for

surface attachment/detachment and chain breakages. For attachment, the probability of

attachment of each cell increases linearly as it gets closer to the surface. If a cell is already

attached, at each step it is checked for detachment, with the probability of detaching increasing

as a function of the total height of the two neighbor cells to the attached cell. Detachment of the

origin cell in a chain was seen rarely experimentally and this detachment event was modeled as a

stochastic process. If the origin cell detaches and no other cells in the chain are attached, the

simulation is stopped and the chain is marked as both origin and distal detached. To model

breakages, at each step the tension of the spring between each pair of cells is calculated. If the

spring tension is larger than a set value, it has a breakage probability that increases exponentially

with increasing spring length. Following a breakage along the chain, cells on each side of the

breakage are checked for attachment. If any cells in the segment of the origin cell are attached,

"origin segment attachment" is recorded. Similarly, if any cells on the distal chain segment are

attached, "distal segment attachment" is recorded.

At each time step, the cell positions were updated according to the deterministic forces (detailed

below) plus a small stochastic force in both x and y directions, sampled from Gaussian

distributions centered around 0 with a standard deviation of 0.1. We note that if updating the cell

positions would cause any cell to move to a negative y position, the cell was set to the surface

plus a small positive gaussian step (from a distribution of mean 0 and standard deviation 0.1).

After updating the position, each cell was checked for attachment, then detachment. If

detachment occurred, the new y position was similarly set to a small gaussian step above the

surface (from a distribution of mean 0 and standard deviation 0.01). Following these updates,

chains were checked for breakages, before moving to the next time step. The results of 100

chains, simulated in either the low flow or high flow condition, were combined to mimic the

corresponding flow experiment.

Regarding the spring forces on the cells in more detail, given three cells at positions i-1, I, and

i+1 connected to each other with springs, the potential energy stored in the spring connecting the

cell at position I to the cell at position i-1 is:

$$E_\mathrm{s} = \frac{1}{2}k_\mathrm{s}\left(\sqrt{(x_i - x_{i-1})^2 - (y_i - y_{i-1})^2} - l_0\right)^2, \qquad \text{Eq. 3}$$

where k_s is the spring constant, x is the x-position of the cell at I or i-1, respectively, and y

similarly is the y-position of the cell at I or i-1, and l_0 is the unstretched length of the spring. The

energy of the spring connecting cell I to cell i+1, is given by the same expression, just replacing

the i-1 subscripts with i+1. The total spring force in x or y is then found by taking the derivative

of the two energy expressions with respect to x_i and y_i and summing the x or y expressions,

respectively.

The bending energy acting on the cell at position i which makes an angle θ with the cells at

positions i-1 and i+1 is given by the expression:

$$U_\mathrm{b}(\theta) = k_\mathrm{b}[1 + \cos\theta], \qquad \text{Eq. 4}$$

where k_{b} is a bending constant. This expression can be rewritten in terms of the vectors $\vec{v}_{i,i-1}$

and $\vec{v}_{i,i+1}$, where these are the vectors from position i to position i-1 and position i to position

i+1, respectively:

$$U_{\mathrm{b}}(\theta) = k_{\mathrm{b}}\left[1 + \frac{\vec{v}_{i,i-1} \cdot \vec{v}_{i,i+1}}{\|\vec{v}_{i,i-1}\| \|\vec{v}_{i,i+1}\|}\right].$$ Eq. 5

Taking the derivative of this expression with respect to x_i and y_i, x_{i-1} and y_{i-1}, and x_{i+1} and

y_{i+1}, gives the total bending force acting on the three cells due to the angle formed by cells i-1, i,

and i+1.

We approximate the force from the flow condition as a drag force that acts in the x direction and

depends on the height of the cell above the surface:

$$F_{\mathrm{drag},i} = d * f * y_i \,\hat{x}$$ Eq. 6

where d is a constant, set to 1 for our simulations, f is the flow rate and y_i is the y position of cell

i. The parameters and probabilities used in simulations of our chains in low and high flow

conditions can be found in Tables S2 and S3, respectively.

***S. aureus* attachment/detachment analysis**

To observe the amount of spontaneous detachment within our channels, as well as attachment

from upstream detachment, we performed an experiment in which *S. aureus* was observed in

flow in conditions that prevented cell growth (Movie S9). Specifically, we used a minimal

growth media AAM[47] but without the addition of glucose that is normally added to AAM. In

these experiments we observed only rare detachment and attachment events, did not see clustered

morphologies, and did not see dispersal events, indicating that all of these dynamic responses

rely on growth.

Calculation of shear rate

Microfluidic channels used in this study had a rectangular cross section. We calculated the shear

rate (γ) at the floor and ceiling of the channel, where height is $\ll$ width, using the equation:

703
$$\gamma = \frac{6Q}{wh^2},$$
Eq. 7

705   where Q is the total flow rate, w is the width of the channel and h is the channels height.

707   Estimates of the shear rate in a heart valve was obtained for a channel with a circular cross

708   section. For a circular channel, the shear rate (γ) along the wall is:

710
$$\gamma = \frac{4Q}{\pi r^3},$$
Eq. 8

where Q is again the total flow rate and r is the radius of the cross section.

We estimated the flow rate and radius of the cross section of a valve in the heart from values

given in [25,26]. Focusing on values for the mitral valve, we estimate during a heartbeat that all of

the fluid from the left atrium passes through the valve. The average volume of the left atrium for

males is reported to be 81 ± 18 ml and for women to be 67 ± 14 ml. For our calculation of an

"average" person, we estimated the volume passing though the valve to be 75 ml. We assume a

resting heart rate of about 65 beats per minute, meaning the left atrium volume would pass

through the valve in at most ~0.92 seconds. This gives a flow rate, Q, of 75 ml/0.92 s, or 81.25

cm^3/s. To determine the radius, it was reported that the mitral valve has a surface area of 4-6

cm^2. We assume the cross-sectional area of the valve is slightly larger than the cross-sectional

area the fluid passes through, as the tissue making up the valve leaflets would block some of the

area. We estimated a cross-sectional area of the opening for the fluid to be ~3 cm^2. We can

calculate the radius of this area using the equation for the area of a circle, finding $r = 0.98$ cm.

Putting these values of r and Q into Equation 8, we find:

727
$$\gamma = \frac{4Q}{\pi r^3} = \frac{4*81.25\frac{cm^3}{s}}{\pi(0.98 \text{ cm})^3} = 109.9 \text{ /s.} \qquad \text{Eq. 9}$$

729   With this value, we roughly estimate the shear rate in valves to be around 100/s, though we do

730   acknowledge we are assuming constant flow and a circular valve cross section.

731 *Supplemental Tables*

732 **Transport-dependent colonization model: Parameters**

	$\beta < 0$	$\beta > 0$
g	0.5	0.8
β	-1	1
h	3	3
K	45	45
p	5	5
q	[0.4, 4]	[4,40]
c_o	0	0
n_o	1	5

733 *Table S1. Parameters used for the transport-dependent colonization model. We note that the rates of attachment of new cells (a)*

734 *and quorum sensing-independent detachment (r) as well as diffusion away from the colony (D) are set to 0.*

736 **Mechanics-dependent colonization model: Parameters**

	Parameter Values
k_s	1
l_0	1
k_b	1×10^{-3}
d	1
f	[0.001, 0.01]

737 *Table S2. Parameters used for the mechanics-dependent colonization model.*

738 **Mechanics-dependent colonization model: Probabilities**

	Probability	**Variables and Constants**
Cell attachment	$ae^{-\lambda y}$	$a = 0.2, \lambda = 3,$ y = height of cell above surface
Cell detachment	$ue^{-\alpha + m}$	$u = 1, \alpha = 5,$ m = height of neighbor cells
Origin detachment	1×10^{-6}	
Chain breakage -Check for breakage if spring tension $(\tau) > 0.02$	$1 - be^{-\omega\tau}$	$b = 1, \omega = 0.01,$ τ = spring tension

739 *Table S3. Probabilities used for the mechanics-dependent colonization model.*

Supplemental Figures

Figure S1: Characterization of synthetic autoinducing peptides
a. RP-HPLC and ESI-MS of GBAP. **b.** RPHPLC and ESI-MS of AIP-I.

Transport-dependent Colonization Model:

Figure S2: Varying the Hill coefficent for the transport-dependent colonization model
a. Results for our ODE transport model using a range of Hill coefficents (h=1-4, left to right) for a positive β term. In all simulations, we observe larger final cell populations in the high flow condition, compared to the low flow condition. **b.** Results for our ODE transport model using over a range of Hill coefficents (h=1-4, left to right) for a negative β term. Once again, the qualitative behavior is consistent across all choices of h, indicating the insensitivity to the specific value chosen for the Hill coefficient. For all modeling in Fig. 2, we used h=3.

Figure 1: Paradoxical final cell population in low versus high flow
a. Schematic of microfludic flow chamber used for all flow experiments. A PDMS microfluidic channel is bonded to a glass coverslip. Following cell loading, a syringe pump is turned on, flowing fresh media through the channel. Cells attached to the coverslip are imaged. **b.** Analysis of doubling times for each *S. aureus* MRSA and *E. faecalis* in low (red) and high (blue) flow conditions. **c.** Representative images of *S. aureus* MRSA at 0, 3 or 6 hours of low flow (shear rate 40/s). **d.** Similar representative images of *S. aureus* MRSA at 0, 3 or 6 hours of high flow (shear rate 400/s). **e.** Fold change of percent area covered of both low (red) and high (blue) flow experiments for *S. aureus* MRSA. **f.** Representative images of *E. faecalis* at 0, 3 or 6 hours of low flow (shear rate 40/s). **g.** Similar representative images of *E. faecalis* at 0, 3 or 6 hours of high flow (shear rate 400/s). **h.** Fold change of percent area covered of both low (red) and high (blue) flow experiments for *E. faecalis*. Image scale bars are 10 μm. Error bars are SEM.

Figure 2: High flow transports signaling molecules *S. aureus* uses for dispersal

a. Images of a *S. aureus* high shear rate (400/s) experiment at 0, 3, and 6 hours. At 3 hours, the flow was switched to conditioned media. **b.** Fold change of *S. aureus* percent area covered of high + conditioned media (green) flow experiments, with initial high (blue) and low (red) flow experiments shown for comparison. **c.** Representative images of an *E. faecalis* high shear rate (400/s) experiment at 0, 3, and 6 hours, with the addition of conditioned media at the 3 hour timepoint. **d.** Fold change of *E. faecalis* percent area covered of high + conditioned media (green) flow experimens, with initial high (blue) and low (red) flow experiments shown for comparison. **e.** Equations for the transport-dependent colonization model and schematic of the effects of high flow transport of signaling molecules. Depending on the sign of the β term in our model, the response under flow differs. **f.** Model prediction of dispersal in low flow with a negative β term. **g.** Model prediction of increased attachment in low flow with a positive β term. **h.** Images of *S. aureus* at 0, 3, and 6 hours of high flow (shear rate 400/s) with media supplemented with 50 nM AIP for the entire experiment. **i.** Fold change of *S. aureus* percent area covered of high + 50 nM AIP (light blue) flow experiments, with initial high (blue) and low (red) flow experiment- shown for comparison. **j.** Representative images of *E. faecalis* at 0, 3, and 6 hours of high flow (shear rate 400/s) with media supplemented with 50 nM GBAP for the entire experiment. **k.** Fold change of *E. faecalis* percent area covered of high + 50 nM GBAP (light blue) flow experimens, with initial high (blue) and low (red) flow experiments shown for comparison. Image scale bars are 10 μm. Error bars are SEM.

Figure 3: Mechanical dynamics of chains in flow lead to more cells attached and in focus in *E. faecalis*.

a. Representative images of a low flow *E. faecalis* experiment at 0, 3, and 6 hours with 10% ficoll added to the media. **b.** Fold change of area covered for *E. faecalis* low flow experiments with 10% ficoll (purple). Low flow with 0% ficoll is shown as red line for comparison. For flow experiement images, scale bars are 10 μm. **c.** Schematic demonstrating chain dynamics and focus plane for low versus high flow. **d.** Example chains in low flow (top) and high flow (bottom). In high flow, the chain is pushed to the surface and more individual cells are in focus. **e.** Fold change of fraction in focus for *E. faecalis* experiments of low flow with 0% ficoll (red), 10% ficoll (purple), and high flow with 0% ficoll (blue). Brightfield images were thresholded to determine fraction in focus. **f.** Schematic of the forces and attachment/detachment dynamics implemented in our mechanics-dependent colonization model. **g.** Comparison of total cell coverage from the model in low and high flow (red and blue, solid line) compared to experimental *E. faecalis* runs (red and blue, dashed line). **h.** Schematic depicting possible chain dynamics following a breakage event in low (upper two) and high (lower two) flow. **i.** Mechanics model prediction of chain breakage dynamics in low flow conditions (red) and high flow conditions (blue). Following a breakage event in the model, the anchor and distal attachments were recorded for 100 simulated chains. **j.** Experimental observations of breakage events from low flow (top), where the chain breaks and only the origin cell is still attached, and high flow (bottom), where the chain breaks and both the distal and origin cells are attached. Images shown are from two consecutive time points (Δt = 5 min). **k.** Fraction attached for both origin and distal in high and low flow experiments. Flow videos were analyzed for breakage events throughout the 6 hour experiemnt. For *n* = 30 chains in both high and low flow, the outcome after a breakage - anchor or distal adherence - was counted. We note that chains could break and have both origin and distal adhered or could completly detach from the surface, leading to neither origin nor distal adhered. P value was determined using a paired t-test. * = *p* <0.05, *** = *p* < 0.005. Scale bars in both D and J are 1 μm. For all graphs, error bars are SEM.

Figure 4: Divergent mechanisms drive population dynamics in flow environments of two different pathogenic species

a. Model of *S. aureus* transport effects in low and high flow. The signaling molecule (AIP) is produced in both cases but high flow transports the molecule before the QS pathway is activated. In low flow, the AIP activates QS, leading to cell dispersal from the clusters. **b.** Model of the chain mechanism in low and high flow for *E. faecalis*. In low and high flow, the flow pushes the chains towards the surface. In high flow, the larger forces lead to more cells in focus, as well as more distal cells attached after a breakage. **c.** Schematic descirbing the observed collective morphologies of our two species of interest. The two divergent mechanisms found for flow responses can be tied to the collective morphologies of the specific species. Implications of these morphologies may play a role in a multitude of population-level, bacterial behaviors.