1   ***Drosophila* Neuronal Glucose 6 Phosphatase is a Modulator of Neuropeptide Release that**

2   **Regulates Muscle Glycogen Stores via FMRFamide Signaling**

3

4

5   Tetsuya Miyamoto, Sheida Hedjazi, Chika Miyamoto and Hubert Amrein

6   Department of Cell Biology and Genetics

7   School of Medicine

8   Texas A&M University

9   Bryan, Texas

10

11

SIGNIFICANCE STATEMENT

Glucose-6-phosphtase (G6P) is a critical enzyme in sugar synthesis and catalyzes the final

step in glucose production. In *Drosophila* - and insects in general - where trehalose is the

circulating sugar and Trehalose phosphate synthase, and not G6P, is used for sugar

production, G6P has adopted a novel and unique role in peptidergic neurons in the CNS.

Interestingly, flies lacking *G6P* show diminished Neuropeptide secretions and have a smaller

Golgi apparatus in peptidergic neurons. It is hypothesized that the role of G6P is to

counteract glycolysis, thereby creating a cellular environment that is more amenable to

efficient neuropeptide secretion.

SUMMARY

Neuropeptides (NPs) and their cognate receptors are critical effectors of diverse physiological processes and behaviors. We recently reported of a non-canonical function of the *Drosophila Glucose-6-Phosphatase* (*G6P*) gene in a subset of neurosecretory cells in the CNS that governs systemic glucose homeostasis in food deprived flies. Here, we show that *G6P* expressing neurons define 6 groups of neuropeptide secreting cells, 4 in the brain and 2 in the thoracic ganglion. Using the glucose homeostasis phenotype as a screening tool, we find that neurons located in the thoracic ganglion expressing FMRFamide neuropeptides (*FMRFa^G6P* neurons) are necessary and sufficient to maintain systemic glucose homeostasis in starved flies. We further show that *G6P* is essential in *FMRFa^G6P* neurons for attaining a prominent Golgi apparatus and secreting neuropeptides efficiently. Finally, we establish that *G6P* dependent FMRFa signaling is essential for the build-up of glycogen stores in the jump muscle which expresses the receptor for FMRFamides. We propose a general model in which the main role of *G6P* is to counteract glycolysis in peptidergic neurons for the purpose of optimizing the intracellular environment best suited for the expansion of the Golgi apparatus, boosting release of neuropeptides and enhancing signaling to respective target tissues expressing cognate receptors.

INTRODUCTION

Neuropeptides (NPs) play central roles in modulating physiology and behaviors. In humans, more than one hundred NPs have been identified, and most of them act through known G protein coupled receptors expressed in specific neurons of the brain or target cells in non-neuronal organs and tissues (Nässel and Zandawala, 2019; van den Pol, 2012). *Drosophila melanogaster* has become an important model system for investigating the diverse functions of NPs and their receptors, due to the expansive array of molecular genetic tools, the ability to visualize electrophysiological activity and the availability of powerful behavioral assays. More than 40 NP genes have been described in the fruit fly, many of which encode multiple peptides, and for many of these, cognate receptors have been identified (Nässel and Zandawala, 2019). We recently reported expression of the gluconeogenic enzyme Glucose-6-phosphatase (G6P) in small subpopulations of peptidergic neurons in the fly CNS. By expressing a CFP/YFP based glucose sensor, we showed that G6P is functional in these cells for not only maintaining intracellular glucose levels when flies are food deprived, but also as a critically important enzyme necessary for the conversion of alanine to glucose (Miyamoto and Amrein, 2019). At the systemic level, we found that starved *G6P* mutant flies failed to maintain whole body glucose homeostasis, a phenotype that is not only rescued by expression of a *G6P* transgene, but also by activation of *G6P* neurons using the heat-activated channel TRPA1 in *G6P* mutant flies. Taken together, these observations indicated that the role of *G6P* in these neurons is not to produce glucose, but to facilitate peptidergic signaling, disruption of which causes loss of whole-body glucose homeostasis in starved flies.

In mammals, G6P is mainly known as a key liver and kidney enzyme during gluconeogenesis and glycogenolysis, metabolic processes upregulated in food-deprived animals. G6P hydrolyses g-6-p to glucose, which is then released into the blood stream to maintain glucose homeostasis. These two pathways are highly conserved across different animal lineages including insects, where they take place in the adipose (fat body) and Malpighian tubules, tissues analogous to the mammalian liver and kidney, respectively. However, the last enzymatic step is distinct in insects, whereby g-6-p is converted to trehalose, the main circulating insect sugar, using the enzyme trehalose 6 phosphate synthase 1 (TPS1) to ensure sugar homeostasis when flies are starved (Matsuda et al., 2015; Thompson, 2003). Thus, the existence of a conserved *Drosophila* G6P enzyme in general, and its expression in

peptidergic neurons specifically, which are not known to be involved in systemic sugar

homeostasis, raises intriguing questions about its function in NP signaling. First and foremost,

what is the neuronal identity of the approximately 30 *G6P-GAL4* expressing neurons in the

CNS, beyond the fact that they express DIMMED, a marker for large NP producing neurons

(Hewes et al., 2003)? Second, which of these neurons mediate whole body glucose

homeostasis in starved flies, the only know function of *G6P* in insects? Third, what is the

cellular role of G6P in peptidergic neurons? And fourth, what is the primary systemic

phenotype that ultimately leads to failed glucose homeostasis in starved *G6P* mutant flies?

In this paper, we provide answers to these questions. We determined the identity of

G6P neurons in the fly CNS by correlating *G6P-GAL4* expression with the location of NP

producing neurons. Specifically, we identified subsets of large neuropeptide F (NPF),

Orcokinin A (OrcoA), pigment-dispersing factor (Pdf), FMRFamide and IPNamide - positive

neurons that also express *G6P-GAL4.* By inhibiting neural activity and rescuing G6P function

in *G6P* mutant flies in each of these subsets, we show that *FMRFa* expressing *G6P* neurons

(*FMRFa^{G6P}*) located in the thoracic ganglia alone are necessary and sufficient to maintain

whole body glucose homeostasis in food deprived flies. We further narrowed down the

precise subset of *FMRFa^{G6P}* neurons using the split GAL4 system and found that they

correspond to four pairs of ventrally located large FMRFa expressing neurons (T1v, T2v and

T3v and T2va neurons; Schneider et al., 1993b). Moreover, we have uncovered the first

cellular phenotypes associated with *FMRFa^{G6P}* neurons, namely a smaller Golgi apparatus and

reduced NP secretion from *FMRFa^{G6P}* neurons. Lastly, we describe the primary systemic role

mediated by *G6P* and *FMRFa*, by homing in on the FMRFa receptor (FMRFaR). Specifically,

we show that *FMRFaR* is expressed in the jump muscle and that muscle glycogen content of

G6P$^{-/-}$, *FMRFa$^{-/-}$* and *FMRFaR$^{-/-}$* flies is reduced by about 50 percent, compared to wild type

controls. These observations indicate that FMRFa-FMRFaR signaling is essential to build up

glycogen stores under normal feeding conditions, and they suggest that the loss of whole-

body glucose homeostasis observed of starved *G6P* mutants is due to the inability of these

flies to draw upon stored glycogen. We propose that G6P counteracts glycolysis in NP

secreting neurons, thereby establishing a cellular environment that is more amenable to the

expansion of the Golgi apparatus and large dense core vesicles, which in turn enhances NP

release.

RESULTS

Identification of *G6P-GAL4* neuronal subtypes

Initial expression analysis of *G6P-GAL4; UAS-mCD8GFP* flies revealed the presence of

approximately 14 GFP positive neurons in the brain (Miyamoto and Amrein, 2019). Three lines

of evidence suggested that most, if not all, *G6P* expressing neurons were NP producing cells:

First, they are relatively large in appearance and express DIMMED, a transcriptional regulator

that plays a key role in cell fate determination of NP producing cells (Hewes et al., 2003).

Second, one pair of cells located in the dorsomedial region co-expresses NPF, a *Drosophila*

homolog of mammalian NPY. And third, examination of *G6P* expression in the thoracic

ganglion showed many additional *G6P* positive cells, in a pattern similar to that described for

neurons expressing FMRFamide and IPNamide (Lundquist and Nässel, 1990; Schneider et al.,

1993b; Verleyen et al., 2004). These observations suggested that *G6P* is found in diverse

populations of peptidergic neurons, expressing different NPs. We therefore set out to

determine the identity of these NPs by comparing locations of G6P positive neurons with

available reports of NP expression profiles, comprehensively reviewed by Nässel and

Zandawala (Nässel and Zandawala, 2019). Based on this comparison, we carried out double

staining experiments of brain and thoracic ganglia preparations of *G6P-GAL4*; *UAS-mCD8GFP*

flies using NP specific antibodies and identified five NPs co-expressed with *G6P-GAL4* (Figure

1). In the brain, in addition to the prominent pair of $G6P^{NPF}$ neurons, Orcokinin-A, PDF and

IPNa were found to be expressed in subsets of *G6P-GAL4* neurons (Figure 1A-1E, referred to

as $Orco^{G6P}$, PDF^{G6P}, $IPNa^{Br\_G6P}$ neurons), while in the thoracic ganglion, a group of FMRFa-

positive neurons and a second subset of IPNa-positive neurons co-expressing *G6P-GAL4* were

identified (Figure 1G-1I, referred to $FMRFa^{G6P}$ and $IPNa^{Th\_G6P}$ neurons; note that the FMRFa-

positive neurons present in the brain do not express *G6P-GAL4*; Figure 1F). Neurons

expressing a given NP fall generally into two groups: a small number of neurons presenting

with large cell bodies, and a larger number of neurons presenting with smaller cell bodies

(Figure 1). For example, in the brain, there are some 20 NPF expressing neurons, only four of

which fall into the large size class (Shao et al., 2017) (Figure 1J). Likewise, only two of the 10

Orcokinin positive neurons fall into the large class, while about half of the PDF positive

neurons correspond to the large ventral lateral clock neurons (large LN-Vs) (Taghert et al.,

2001). Of note, G6P is only expressed in large neurons, both in the brain and the thoracic

ganglion (Figure 1B-1E, 1H and 1I). Together, about 30 of the 60 large neurons expressing the

five NPs are G6P-positive (NP^{G6P} neurons).

In order to functionally manipulate subpopulations of specific NP expressing neurons,

we procured *GAL4* lines expressed in Orcokinin, IPNa and FMRFa producing neurons from

the *Flylight* repository (https://www.janelia.org/project-team/flylight) or obtained previously

characterized lines for NPF (Wu et al., 2003) and PDF (Renn et al., 1999), respectively. We

confirmed *GAL4* expression with these NPs by co-immunostaining brains and thoracic ganglia

of *NP-GAL4; UAS-mCD8GFP* flies (Supplementary Figure 1).

FMRFa peptides mediate glucose homeostasis

Expression of *G6P* in different NP secreting neurons suggests that G6P activity impacts

several distinct NP signaling pathways. Using *G6P* mutant flies, we previously showed that

one function of this enzyme is to maintain whole-body glucose homeostasis in starved flies,

while having no significant impact on the levels of trehalose. Importantly, whole-body glucose

homeostasis can be complemented in *G6P* mutant flies by temperature induced neural

activation of *G6P* neurons (Miyamoto and Amrein, 2019) using *UAS-TRPA1* (Parisky et al.,

2008). Similarly, silencing all *G6P-GAL4* expressing neurons using *UAS-Kir2.1* encoding the

inward rectifier potassium channel Kir2.1 (Baines et al., 2001; Paradis et al., 2001) mimicked

the whole-body glucose homeostasis phenotype of *G6P* mutant flies (Figure 2A)(Miyamoto

and Amrein, 2019). To determine which subset of NP^{G6P} neurons is responsible for glucose

homeostasis, we expressed *UAS-Kir2.1* under the control of the five NP specific *GAL4* drivers.

Inactivation of NPF^{G6P}, $Orco^{G6P}$, PDF^{G6P}, $IPNa^{Br\_G6P}$ or $IPNa^{TG\_G6P}$ neurons caused no change in

whole body glucose levels under either fed or starved conditions (Figure 2A). Only expression

of *UAS-Kir2.1* in $FMRFa^{G6P}$ neurons (*GMR18C05-GAL4*) led to significantly reduced glucose

levels in starved flies (Figure 2A). Consistent with our previous analysis, trehalose levels were

not significantly different when compared to wild type control flies (Supplementary Figure 2).

To test whether the function of *G6P* in *FMRFa* positive neurons is sufficient for maintaining

whole body glucose homeostasis, we measured glucose levels in *G6P* mutant flies expressing

a *UAS-G6P* transgene under the control of *GMR18C05-GAL4*. Indeed, these flies showed fully

restored whole body glucose levels under starving conditions (Figure 2B). Taken together,

these experiments identified $FMRFa^{G6P}$ neurons in the thoracic ganglion as the neurons

responsible for maintaining whole body glucose homeostasis in food deprived flies.

G6P-GAL4 exhibits varied expression in the thoracic ganglion. While consistently
observed in three to four cells in the meso-thoracic segment, expression varied in the pro-
and metathoracic segments. Thus, we sought to investigate overlap between *G6P* and *FMRFa*
in more detail using the split GAL4 system, which reveals expression of a reporter only in cells
that co-express two independent drivers controlled by the promotors of two genes of interest
(Luan et al., 2006; Pfeiffer et al., 2010). We generated split-GAL4 transgenic flies (*G6P-*
GAL4DBD and a *FMRFa-p65AD*) that also contained a *10xUAS-mCD8GFP* reporter and
performed immunostaining of the CNS (Figure 3A and 3D). These experiments revealed that
the thoracic ganglion harbored eight large, ventrally located $FMRFa^{G6P}$ neurons, which
correspond to four pairs of Tv neurons (T1v, T2v, T2va and T3v; Figure 3A and 3C)(Schneider
et al., 1993b). The same eight neurons are also labelled by *GMR18C05-GAL4* (Figure 3B and
3C), which we used for the functional characterization of $FMRFa^{G6P}$ neurons in all subsequent
experiments (see below). In the brain, the split-GAL4 system and *GMR18C05-GAL4* did not
label any FMRFa positive neurons (Figure 3D and 3E), confirming the observations obtained
from double staining experiments using *G6P-GAL4* (Figure 1F).

FMRFa encodes a 347 amino acid long propeptide, which is processed into 14 peptides,
10 of which are characterized by the carboxyterminal FMRF motif (Figure 2C) (Predel et al.,
2004). To further confirm that FMRFamides are the signaling molecules mediating glucose
homeostasis in starved flies, we measured glucose levels in $FMRFa^{P}$ mutant flies. $FMRFa^{P}$ is a
P-element insertion into the 11th of the 14 peptides and homozygous $FMRFa^{P}$ mutant, starved
flies showed the same glucose homeostasis deficit as flies in which these neurons were
silenced (Figure 2D). We also generated a CRISPR/Cas-9 null allele, a deletion encompassing
all 14 peptides (*ΔFMRFa*, Figure 2C, Supplementary Figure 3), and found that *ΔFMRFa* flies
showed reduced whole body glucose levels in both fed and starved conditions (Figure 2D).

G6P is necessary to maintain glucose levels in $FMRFa^{G6P}$ neurons

NPF^{G6P} neurons in the brain require G6P cell-autonomously to maintain intracellular
glucose levels when flies are starved (Miyamoto and Amrein, 2019). In these experiments,
we employed the fluorescent sensitive glucose sensor Glu700 and found that NPF^{G6P} neurons
of starved *G6P* mutant flies were unable to maintain intracellular glucose levels (Miyamoto
and Amrein, 2019). While the relevance of this phenomenon for proper neuropeptide
signaling remains to be determined, the glucose sensor provides a means to assess whether

G6P is also necessary for maintaining intracellular glucose levels in $FMRFa^{G6P}$ neurons during

starvation. Thus, we established an *in vivo* preparation of the ventral nerve cord that allowed

us to monitor glucose levels in these neurons (see Material and Methods). Since G6P is an

Endoplasmic Reticulum (ER) resident enzyme (van Schaftingen and Gerin, 2002), we

expressed an ER resident version of *Glu700, Glu700KDEL* (Addgene, #17867) under the

control of *GMR18C05-GAL4*. In fed *G6P* mutant flies, glucose levels were indistinguishable

from wild type fed flies, while in starved flies, *G6P* mutants showed significantly lower glucose

levels in $FMRFa^{G6P}$ neurons compared to fed flies (Figure 4). Together, these results show that

in starved flies, G6P is also functional in $FMRFa^{G6P}$ neurons where it maintains intracellular

glucose levels during starvation.

G6P increases Golgi network volume and facilitates NP release

NP processing involves propeptide cleavage and transport through the Golgi network,

ultimately leading to NP deposition in Large Dense Core Vesicles (LDCVs) that derive from the

trans Golgi stack (van den Pol, 2012). Thus, we examined whether any difference in size and

overall distribution of the ER and Golgi network of $FMRFa^{G6P}$ neurons was apparent between

wild type and *G6P* mutant flies using ER (GFP-KDEL)(Kneen et al., 1998) and Golgi (αManII-

GFP) resident reporter proteins (Hardt et al., 2005; Zhou et al., 2014). No obvious differences

were observed in the ER. However, the Golgi network occupied a significantly smaller area in

G6P mutant flies compared to *wild type* flies (Figure 5A 9% vs 14%; see also Supplementary

Figure 4). A similar reduction in Golgi volume was also observed when these reporters were

expressed in the pair of NPF^{G6P} neurons (9% vs 16%, Figure 5B; left panels). Of note, and in

contrast to mammalian cells where the Golgi complex is organized as a single ribbon, the

Drosophila Golgi apparatus is dispersed throughout the cytoplasm (Kondylis and Rabouille,

2009).

Because LDCVs emerge from the trans Golgi network, NP secretion might be

negatively affected in *G6P* mutant flies. To measure the effect of G6P on NP release, we took

advantage of the fact that the $FMFRa^{G6P}$ neurons correspond to the Tv neurons. These

neurons innervate the neurohemal like area in the dorsal neural sheet of the thoracic

ganglion, which are release sites into the hemolymph (Lundquist and Nässel, 1990; Schneider

et al., 1993b). Thus, NP release from $FMRFa^{G6P}$ neurons can be assessed by measuring the NP

amounts in the hemolymph. Because available antibodies against FMRFa cross-react with

other hemolymph components/peptides, we used the mammalian Atrial natriuretic peptide

(Anf) fused to GFP as a proxy NP (Anf-GFP, Husain and Ewer, 2004) and assessed Anf-GFP

amounts released into the hemolymph from $FMRFa^{G6P}$ neurons of *wild-type* and *G6P* mutant

flies, as well as the total amount of Anf-GFP in these flies (Figure 6A and 6B). It was previously

established that Anf-GFP is released in a time-appropriate fashion during molting, following

the dynamic profile of the resident peptides in these cell, Ecdysis Triggering Hormone (Husain

and Ewer, 2004). We expressed the *UAS-Anf-GFP* reporter gene in $FMFRa^{G6P}$ neurons and

measured peptide levels in the hemolymph. Compared to the *wild-type*, *G6P* mutant flies

secrete significantly lower amounts of Anf-GFP into the hemolymph in both fed and starved

flies (Figure 6A). Importantly, the deficit can be rescued by inclusion of a *UAS-G6P* transgene.

Total amount of Anf-GFP was not affected by *G6P* or lack thereof, but starved flies contained

about 30% more NP compared to fed flies (Figure 6B). To explore whether *G6P* dependence

can be imposed on non-*G6P* neurosecretory cells, we measured hemolymph and total levels

of an epitope tagged, Insulin like peptide 2 (Ilp2), expressed from a genetically modified *Ilp2*

gene (*Ilp2^1 gd2HF*) (Park et al., 2014). When *UAS-G6P* was expressed in insulin producing cells

(IPCs; *Ilp2^1 gd2HF/dilp2-GAL4 UAS-G6P*), a significant increase of secreted Ilp2HF was

observed in starved flies, with a concomitant decrease in total Ilp2HF (Figure 6C and 6D; white

bars). Given that IPCs of starved flies do not actively secrete Ilp2HF (Park et al., 2014), this

observation implies that ectopic expression of *G6P* triggers quiescent IPCs to secrete Ilp2HF.

Total amount of Ilp2HF in fed flies was slightly increased in the presence of G6P, which

however, did not result in an increase in secreted Ilp2HF (Figure 6C and 6D; black bars).

Furthermore, fed and starved control flies (i.e. flies not expressing G6P) exhibited similar

levels of hemolymph Ilp2HF (Figure 6C), an observation that appears counterintuitive based

on observations made by Park and colleagues, who reported that hemolymph Ilp2HF spikes

rapidly after a meal given to previously starved flies. However, this spike is transient and

recedes to levels of starved flies within 60 minutes. (Park et al., 2014). In our experimental

set up, fed flies have constant access to food, allowing for a wide range of different feeding

states within the cohort at the time of hemolymph collection. Thus, similar amounts of

hemolymph Ilp2HF in fed and starved control flies is probably due to the fact only a small

fraction of flies, if any, have taken a meal prior to hemolymph collection. Regardless, the

observations made in starved flies argues that ectopic expression of *G6P* in IPCs enhances

their capabilities to secrete Ilp2HF.

G6P mediated FMRFa signaling to the jump muscle for glycogen storage

In invertebrates, FMRFamides have been associated with the modulation of heart rate,

gut motility, sleep, flight and reproduction (Brussaard et al., 1988; Fisher et al., 1993; Lenz et

al., 2015; Nässel and Zandawala, 2019; Ravi et al., 2018). Yet, the roles of FMRFamides and

their receptors remains incomplete, in part because many of these studies have been

conducted in systems not amenable to molecular genetic analyses. Thus, we sought to

determine the target tissue of FMRFamides, expected to express its receptor (FMRFaR,

CG2114), and to identify phenotype(s) in flies carrying *FMRFaR* mutations. We took

advantage of the chemoconnectomics resource generated by Deng and colleagues, who

engineered a series of *GAL4* knock-in lines for many NP receptor genes, including *FMRFaR*

(Deng et al., 2019). In adult flies, *FMRFaR$^{2A\text{-}GAL4}$* is strongly expressed in the jump muscle and

the CNS, while no expression was found in other tissues (flight muscle, reproductive system,

fat body, gut etc; Figure 7A), a finding consistent with expression profiles reported in the aging

fly cell atlas (Lu et al., 2023). We acquired a *FMRFaR* mutant strain (*FMRFaR^{MB04659}*) and

examined the amount of muscle glucose and glycogen in *wild type* and homozygous receptor

mutant flies (Figure 7B). While free glucose levels were not noticeably different in the jump

muscle, regardless of feeding status, muscle glycogen was significantly lower in fed

FMRFaR^{MB04659} homozygous mutant flies, when compared to fed wild type flies (Figure 7B).

FMRFaR^{MB04659} mutant flies, regardless of feeding status, exhibited similar glycogen levels as

starved wild type flies, which amounts to about 50 percent of that observed in fed wild type

flies. Glycogen loss was fully rescued in the presence of a *UAS-FMRFaR* transgene driven by

Act79B-GAL4 (Figure 7C), which is predominantly expressed in the jump muscle (Bryantsev et

al., 2012). Importantly, the presence of *ELAV-GAL80* in these flies eliminates the potentially

confounding contribution of low level *Act79B-GAL4* expression in the CNS. Moreover, ectopic

expression of *FMRFaR* in the fat body, in contrast to expression in the jump muscle, does not

restore glycogen levels in *FMRFaR* mutant flies (Figure 7C). And lastly, we note that glycogen

levels were also significantly reduced in fed *G6P* and *FMRFa* mutant flies (Figure 7D). Taken

together, these results indicate that one key function of FMRFa signaling is the generation of

glycogen stores in the jump muscle, which can be accessed to generate glucose in times of
limited nutrient availability.

DISCUSSION
299

Activity of NPs depends on many external and internal factors, providing a means for
temporally and quantitatively controlled release that accommodates an animal's
physiological demands. In addition to regulation of transcription and RNA processing
(Gauthier and Hewes, 2006; Herzog et al., 1997; Higuchi et al., 2005; Schneider et al., 1993a),
most NPs undergo post-translational modification through proteolytic cleavage from
precursor proteins (pro-peptides)(Hook et al., 2008; Kovac et al., 2009; Pauls et al., 2014;
Rholam and Fahy, 2009). Generally, these regulatory processes are specific to NPs, as they
must be tailored to sequence and/or structural features within the specific NP gene or protein.
The studies presented here report on a new mode of regulation in NP signaling, namely one
that allows modulation across distinct types of secretory neurons expressing the
gluconeogenic enzyme G6P. Based on measurements of hemolymph Anf-GFP peptide
released from $FMRFa^{G6P}$ neurons and Ilp2HF secreted by IPCs ectopically expressing a *UAS-*
G6P transgene, we postulate that G6P primes peptidergic neurons for more efficient NP
release, regardless of the NP they express. Thus, it will be interesting to explore whether G6P
modulates NP release in other NP^{G6P} neurons and affects respective physiological processes
and behaviors, such as courtship (NPF; Liu et al., 2019; Silva et al., 2021), oogenesis (Orcokinin,
Silva et al., 2021), circadian behavior and sleep (PDF, Chung et al., 2017; Lear et al., 2009;
Parisky et al., 2008), or feeding (NPF, Landayan et al., 2021; Lear et al., 2009; Lee et al., 2004).
To our knowledge, a function for an enzyme with an established role in gluconeogenesis and
glycogenolysis in neurosecretion, or neurons in general, is unprecedented. While a specific
mechanism by which G6P modulates peptidergic neurons remains to be determined, the
diminished Golgi size in mutant flies implies that G6P activity creates a cellular environment
that is beneficial to Golgi expansion (see below).

G6P increases Golgi apparatus and enhances NP release
The central function of mammalian G6P is to catalyze hydrolysis of g-6-p during
glycogenolysis or gluconeogenesis, generating free glucose that is exported and released into

the blood for systemic glucose homeostasis when animals are food deprived. Despite the fact
that *Drosophila* G6P has such catalytic activity in peptidergic neurons and is required to
maintain systemic whole-body glucose levels (Miyamoto and Amrein, 2019), multiple lines of
evidence argue against a role for *Drosophila* G6P to produce glucose for systemic sugar
homeostasis. First, we have previously shown that glucose homeostasis can be restored in
starved *G6P* mutant flies by expression of TRPA1 in *G6P* neurons, arguing for a signaling role
(Miyamoto and Amrein, 2019). Second, neurons in general have high energy demands and
are ill equipped for the generation of glucose, for which major amounts of stored glycogen or
incidental supply of amino acids is required. Third and related to this point, while *G6P*
peptidergic neurons are diverse, their primary purpose is to produce and secrete NPs, an
energy costly process that seems difficult to reconcile with other energy consuming activities
such glycogenolysis or gluconeogenesis, although fat cells, which carry out both
glycogenolysis and trehaloneogenesis are also prolific hormone producers. And lastly, in
nutrient deprived flies, the fat body is well equipped to maintain sugar homeostasis by
generating trehalose from g-6-p. These observations, and the fact that neuronal glycolysis is
largely dispensable (see below), lead us to posit that the function of *G6P* is to counteract
glycolysis in peptidergic neurons, creating conditions that are overall beneficial to the main
task of these neurons, the release of NPs.

Volkenhoff and colleagues showed that glycolysis is dispensable in neurons
(Volkenhoff et al., 2015). Specifically, pan-neuronal RNAi knock down of numerous glycolytic
enzymes in the CNS had no impact on survival and overall locomotion, while ubiquitous or
pan-glial knock-down of the same genes led to lethality or severe locomotion deficits. Thus,
glial but not neuronal glycolysis is essential in the CNS for survival. Moreover, this study also
established that lactate generated in glia is shuttled to neurons, where it is used for ATP
production via the TCA cycle. While neurons are likely equipped with glycolytic enzymes
necessary for energy production, it is apparent that at least in *Drosophila*, neurons rely mostly
on metabolites from glia that drive alternative metabolic processes. Based on these findings
and the observations reported in this paper, we posit that inhibition of glycolysis in NP^{G6P}
neurons creates a cellular environment that enhances production, transport and/or secretion
of NPs. For example, shutdown of glycolysis reduces the amounts of glycolytic metabolites,
which will affect the intracellular pH and hence might increase efficacy of numerous

biochemical processes beneficial for the expansion of the Golgi apparatus and ultimately for

NP transport and/or secretion.

Diverse roles for G6P enzymes

Humans and most mammals have two additional genes encoding G6P, *G6PC2*

expressed in the pancreas, Müller glia cells and spermatids, and the ubiquitously expressed

G6PC3. *G6PC2* deficient mice show lower fasting blood glucose (Bosma et al., 2020), while

humans with mutations in *G6PC3* present with heart defects and neutropenia (Banka et al.,

2011; Cheung et al., 2007). An intriguing underlying cellular mechanisms for neutropenia in

patients with mutations in *G6PC3* has been proposed (Veiga-da-Cunha et al., 2019), namely

the accumulation of 1,5-anhydroglucitol-6-phosphate (1,5AG6P), a toxic metabolite derived

from a common circulating food compound (1,5-anhydroglucitol). This is consistent with the

observation that *G6PC3$^{-/-}$* neutrophils express numerous markers indicative of ER stress, while

also exhibiting glycosylation defects (Hayee et al., 2011). Thus, it appears that the main role

of G6PC3 in neutrophils is to remove a metabolite that is detrimental to optimal physiological

processes within these cells. Alternative - and potentially neuronal - roles for G6P enzymes

are also indicated by evolutionary arguments, because the *G6P* genes came into existence in

Cnidaria, one of the first animal lineages that possessed neuronal cell types, but lacked organs

comparable to the liver or even a basic circulatory system (Miyamoto and Amrein, 2017).

FMRFamide signaling is essential to build up muscle glycogen stores

Our investigations have identified a primary function for FMRFamides in glycogen

metabolism. Under ad libitum feeding conditions, *wild type* flies establish considerable

glycogen stores, which can be mobilized in the form of glucose when food is scarce. In

contrast, *G6P^{MIC}*, *ΔFMRFa* and *FMRFaR^{MB04659}* mutant flies generate only about half the

amount of glycogen in the jump muscle (Figure 7B) and consequently are unable to maintain

whole body glucose homeostasis when deprived of food. Thus, FMRFa signaling is essential

during normal feeding conditions to assure that glycogen stores are established in the jump

muscle.

Sugar homeostasis is remarkably well-conserved between mammals and insects.

While in mammals, where counteracting hormones - insulin and glucagon – are secreted from

pancreatic β and α cells to maintain blood sugar balance, fruit flies use counterbalancing

peptides secreted from peptidergic neurons in the CNS for the same process - ILPs and

adipokinetic hormone (AKH, the fly ortholog of mammalian glucagon)(reviewed by Kim et al.,

2021). An increase in circulating sugars or lipids triggers insulin and ILP secretion from β cells

and the IPCs, respectively, which in turn leads to the build-up of fat and glycogen stores in

muscle and adipose tissue. When blood and hemolymph sugar levels are low, glucagon and

AKH secretion from pancreatic α cells and the Corpora Cardiaca (CC) increases, which

ultimately leads to the mobilization of stored fat and glycogen in mammals and insects,

respectively. In the fly, the secretion of ILPs and AKH is coordinated by the CN neurons that

directly communicate with IPCs and CC neurons, activating the former while suppressing the

latter in response to high brain hemolymph glucose levels (Oh et al., 2019). While no direct

neural connections between $FMRFa^{G6P}$ neurons and IPCs, CC or CN neurons are apparent, it

has become evident that multiple, possibly independent, NP signaling pathways control

carbohydrate metabolism. Indeed, a third brain sugar sensor was identified in the posterior

superior lateral protocerebrum (Miyamoto et al., 2012). This sensor is activated by a spike of

the low abundant hemolymph sugar fructose, via the evolutionarily conserved gustatory

receptor Gr43a (Fujii et al., 2023). These neurons, which intriguingly express yet another NP

(corazonin; Miyamoto and Amrein, 2014), trigger opposite feeding behaviors, promoting food

intake when flies are starved, but suppressing food intake when satiated.

Our analysis has shown that $FMRFaR$ expression is restricted to the jump muscle and

the CNS, an observation supported by the "aging fly atlas" (Lu et al., 2023), but this does not

exclude the possibility that other tissues might be modulated by FMRFamides. For example,

indirect signaling from the jump muscle might lead to larger glycogen stores in other tissues

such the indirect flight muscle or the adipose, or FMRFamides might signal to yet unknow

peptide receptors. In this context, we note that both $G6P$ and $FMRFa$ mutant flies, but not

$FRMFaR$ mutant flies, exhibit impaired climbing ability, suggesting that the $FMRFa^{G6P}$ neurons

might impact metabolic processes through $FMRFaR$ independent signaling pathways

(Supplementary Figure 5). Indeed, Song and colleagues showed that a cysteine rich protein

diet upregulates FMRFa signaling, which promotes energy expenditure and reduces food

intake, resulting in overall loss of fat in the adipose tissue (Song et al., 2023). Their paradigm

was based on dietary intervention (high cysteine diet), while our study investigated the

function (and loss thereof) of $FMRFa$ signaling under normal feeding and starvation

conditions. It will be interesting to see whether, and if so, how different metabolic roles of

FMRFa are interconnected. In another recent report, Ravi and colleagues identified a role for

the FMRFaR in the CNS, establishing its requirement in dopaminergic neurons for sustained

flight. However, possible metabolic deficits in these flies or the involvement of FMRFamides

as signaling molecules was not investigated. Yet, it is likely that energy for sustained flight

also requires stored glycogen, provided from the indirect flight muscle or other organs, such

as the adipose. Indeed, muscle has gained considerable attention as a metabolic hub

communicating with other organs, including the brain, gut and adipose tissue (Demontis et

al., 2013, 2013; Gomarasca et al., 2020; Rai and Demontis, 2016; Zhao et al., 2020; Zhao and

Karpac, 2017). Thus, our study and those by Ravi and Song and colleagues have implicated

FMRFa signaling as one likely source in the regulation of different, yet possibly intersecting,

nutrient metabolic signaling processes across different organ systems.

FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1. *G6P* is co-expressed in diverse subsets of peptidergic neurons.

A-I) Antibody staining of the brain **(A-F)** and in the thoracic ganglia **(G-I)** of *G6P-GAL4; UAS-*

mCD8GFP flies using anti-GFP antibody and antibodies against the indicated

neuropeptides. *G6P-GAL4* is expressed in about 14 neurons in the brain, including a pair

of large, centrally located NPF neurons **(B)** and a pair of large Orcokinin-A expressing

neurons **(C)**, two IPNa **(D)** and four PDF neurons **(E)** which correspond I-LNvs. In the

thoracic ganglia, *G6P-GAL4* and is expressed in about 10 to 16 neurons, including at least

two pairs of FMRFa neurons **(H)** and three pairs of IPNa neurons **(I)**.

J) Expression summary of *G6P-GAL4* neurons and relevant NP. Co-expressing neurons are

outlined with a broken line. Note that all *G6P-GAL4* neurons are of the large variety.

Figure 2. G6P mediates whole-body glucose homeostasis through FMRFa neurons.

A) Silencing of all *G6P-GAL4* neurons or *GMR18C05-GAL4* (i.e. *FMRFa* expressing neurons;

see Figure 3 and Supplementary Figure 1) using *UAS-Kir2.1*, but not silencing of any other

subgroup, significantly reduces whole body glucose levels. *GMR13H04-GAL4* is expressed

in $OrcoA^{G6P}$ neurons, *GMR37G02-GAL4* in $IPNa^{Br-G6P}$ neurons, *GMR39A01-GAL4* in

$IPNa^{Th-G6P}$ neurons and *GMR18C05-GAL4* in $FMRFa^{G6P}$ neurons. Asterisks indicate

*P<0.05 using Kruskal-Wallis with Dunn's post-hoc test; n=8-10. Error bars represent

standard error.

B)   *G6P* is required in *FMRFa* neurons for whole body glucose homeostasis in starved flies.

Under fed conditions, both *wild-type* and *G6P* mutant flies maintain glucose levels (left).

However, when starved for 24 hours, *G6P* mutant flies exhibit significantly reduced

whole body glucose levels, a phenotype rescued when a *UAS-G6P* transgene is expressed

in *FMRFa^{G6P}* neurons under the control of *GMR18C05-GAL4*. Asterisks indicate *P<0.05

using Kruskal-Wallis with Dunn's post-hoc test; n=9-12. Error bars represent standard

error.

C)   Structure of the second, ORF containing exon of the wild type *FMRFa* gene and the

FMRFa[P] and *ΔFMRFa* mutation. Exon 2 contains all 14 FMRFamides and FMRFamide-

related peptides. The P-element in *FMRFa[P]* is inserted in the 11th peptide, while all

peptides are deleted in *ΔFMRFa*. The first, non-coding exon is not shown.

D)   FMRFamides are required for whole body glucose homeostasis. Like *G6P* mutants,

FMRFa[P] mutants have significantly lower glucose levels in starved but not fed

conditions. *ΔFMRFa* mutant flies show lower glucose levels regardless of feeding

conditions. Asterisks indicate *P<0.05, **P<0.01 using one-way ANOVA with Kruskal-

Wallis using Dunn's post-hoc test; n=8-12. Error bars represent standard error.

Figure 3. *FMRFa^{G6P}* neurons correspond to 8 Tv neurons in the thoracic ganglion. The split

GAL4 system (*G6P-GAL4DBD* and *FMRFa-p65AD*) was used to identify neurons that express

both *G6P* and *FMRFa*. The CNS of *G6P-GAL4DBD/FMRFa-p65AD; 10xUAS-mCD8GFP* flies **(A**

and D) and *GMR18C05-GAL4; UAS-mCD8GFP* flies **(B and E)** was dissected and stained with

anti-GFP and anti-FMRFa antibody.

A-B)   Eight neurons in the thoracic ganglion were labeled by the split GAL4 drivers, all of which

also express FMRFa (A' and A"). These neurons are also labeled by *GMR18C05-GAL4* (B,

B' and B").

C)   Expression summary of GAL4 drivers in the thoracic ganglion. The eight large FMRFa

neurons labeled by either the split GAL4 system or *GMR18C05-GAL4* correspond to the

Tv neurons shown to express FMRFa (Schneider et al., 1993b).

D- E) Neither split GAL4 nor *GMR18C05-GAL4* are expressed in any FMRFa neurons in the

brain.

Figure 4. *G6P* is required to maintain glucose levels in FMRFa neurons of food-deprived flies.
Glucose levels were measured using Glu700KDEL, a FRET-based, ER tagged glucose sensor, in
FMRFa neurons in the thoracic ganglion of *GMR18C05-GAL4/UAS-Glu700KDEL* flies. Glucose
levels are reduced in starved *G6P* mutant flies, which was rescued in the presence of a *UAS-G6P* transgene. Asterisk indicates **P<0.01 using one-way ANOVA with Tukey post hoc test.
N=8-17. Error bars represent standard error.

Figure 5. G6P positively affects the size of Golgi apparatus in the peptidergic neurons.
The Golgi apparatus was visualized by expressing the GFP tagged Golgi marker UAS-ManII-eGFP. FMRFa staining was used as a proxy for cell body. Golgi size is given as percentage of
cell body size (see also Supplementary Figure 4). *FMRFa^G6P* neurons were identified using
GMR18C05-GAL4 driving *UAS-ManII-eGFP* expression **(A)** and *NPF^G6P* neurons were identified
using *NPF-GAL4* driving *UAS-ManII-eGFP* expression **(B)**. Neurons of *G6P^-/-* flies have a
significantly smaller Golgi apparatus, in fed and starved conditions. This phenotype is rescued
UAS-G6P transgene expression. Asterisks indicate *P<0.05 using Kruskal-Wallis with Dunn's
post-hoc test. N=44-65 for *FMRFa^G6P* neurons, N=12-23 for *NPF^G6P* neurons. Error bars
represent standard error. Scale bars are 4μm.

Figure 6: FMRFa neurons require G6P for efficient neuropeptide secretion.
A-B) Secreted **(A)** and total amount **(B)** of Anf-GFP was quantified by ELISA (Rat ANP ELISA Kit;
abcam, ab108797) in flies expressing *UAS-Anf-GFP* in *FMRFa* neurons (*GMR18C05-GAL4*).
Compared to *wild-type* (left bar in each set), the hemolymph of *G6P* mutant flies (center
bar) contains significantly lower amounts of Anf-GFP in both the fed and starved flies **(A)**.
Secretion of Anf-GFP is rescued in the presence of a *UAS-G6P* transgene (right bar in each
set. Total amount of Anf-GFP was unaffected by the presence or absence of G6P **(B)**.
C - D) Secreted **(C)** and total amount **(D)** of Ilp2HF was quantified in *Ilp2^1 gd2HF* flies, which
carry a genetically tagged Ilp2 allele. Ectopic expression of *UAS-G6P* under the control of
dilp2-GAL4 increased Ilp2HF levels in the hemolymph of starved flies **(C)** with concomitant
reduction in total Ilp2HF **(D)**. In fed flies, a small increase of total Ilp2HF was observed.
Asterisks indicate **P<0.01 using Kruskal-Wallis with Dunn's post-hoc test. N=12 for
FMRFa^G6P neurons. N=9 for IPC. Bars represent standard error.

Figure 7: FMRFa acts on the FMRFa receptor to establish glycogen stores in the jump muscle

A) *FMRFaR* was visualized using a *GAL4* gene knock in allele (*FMRFaR^2A-GAL4^*) combined with *UAS-20xUAS-6xGFP*, following anti-GFP staining. Flies show robust expression in the jump muscle and CNS. Preparation was counterstained with Phalloidin (Invitrogen A34055).

B) Glycogen stores in the jump muscle were significantly smaller in the *FMRFaR^MB^* mutant flies under starved conditions, while glucose levels remained unchanged.

C) Glycogen stores were restored to *wild type* levels when *UAS-FMRFaR* was expressed in the jump muscle in *FMRFaR^MB^* mutant flies also containing *ELAV-GAL80* suppressor. Expression of *UAS-FMRFaR* in the fat body using the *CG-GAL4* driver, however, did not restore glycogen levels in the jump muscle.

D) Glycogen loss in the jump muscle is also observed in the *G6P^MIC^* and *ΔFMRFa* mutant flies.

Asterisks indicate *P<0.05, **P<0.01 by one-way Kruskal-Wallis with Dunn's post-hoc test. n=7-14. Error bars represent standard error.

ACKNOWLEGEMENTS

We thank Dr. Paul Hardin for the *Pdf-GAL4* strain, Dr. Gaiti Hasan for the *UAS-FMRFaR* strain, Dr. Richard Cripps for the *Act79B-GAL4* strain and Dr. Seung Kim for *Ilp2^1^ gd2HF* strain. We also thank Dr. Wolf Frommer for the Glu700KDEL plasmid (Addgene plasmid #17867) and Dr. Simon Bullock for pCFD3 plasmid (Addgene plasmid #49410), Dr. Gerald Rubin for the GAL4DBD and p65AD plasmids (Addgene #26233 and #26234). Antibody gifts were provided by Dr. Mizoguchi (anti-Orcokinin), Dr. Thor (anti-IPNa, anti-proFMRFa) and Dr. Hardin (anti-PDF). We thank Anastasia Lucas and Edward Wang for assistance with glycogen measurements and Drs. Dick Nässel and Raquel Sitcheran for valuable comments on the manuscript. We are grateful to Anastasia Lucas and Edward Wang for assistance on the metabolic assays. This work was supported by an NIH grant 1R21NS118118-01 awarded to H.A.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Fly strains and maintenance

Experiments were performed using adult *Drosophila melanogaster* males, aged between 7-

14 days. Flies were reared on the standard fly food containing cornmeal, yeast and sugar at

23-24˚C. *G6P^{MI12250}* is referred as *G6P^{MIC}*, *FMRFa^{KG01300}* is referred as *FMRFa^P*, *FMRFaR^{MB04659}*

is referred as *FMRFaR^{MB}*. See supplementary data for detailed genotypes.

Quantification and Statistical Analysis

Statistical significance was calculated using Student's t-test or two-tailed Mann-Whitney U

test for pairwise comparisons, and one-way ANOVA with Tukey post hoc test or Kruskal-

Wallis test with Dunn's post-hoc test for multiple comparisons. Error bars are standard error

of the mean (SEM) except where noted. Significance: *$p < 0.05$, **$p < 0.01$, NS: not significant.

All replicates are from different biological samples (testing different flies or cells). Image

analysis was performed with NIS-Elements software suite. Statistical analysis was performed

in Real Statistics Add-Ins in MS Excel and GraphPad PRISM. Number of replicates was 8-10

(Figure 2A), 9-12 (Figure 2B), 8-12 (Figure 2D), 12-17 (Figure 4), 51-65 (Figure 5A), 12-23

(Figure 5B), 11 (Figure 6A), 9 (Figure 6B), 7-14 (Figure 7B), 12-14 (Figure 7C), 7 (Figure 7D).

Molecular Biology

The *UAS-FLII^{12}Pglu-700μδ6KDEL* was generated from the expression plasmid pEF/myc/ER

FLII^{12}Pglu-700μδ6 (Addgene). The glucose sensor coding sequence was excised using PstI and

XbaI. This fragment, along with a fragment encoding an ER targeting module (5'-

GAACA<u>GAATTC</u>AGATCTGCCACC**ATG**GGATGGAGCTGTATCATCCTCTTCTTGGTAGCAACAGCTAC

AGGCGCGCACTCCCAGGTCCAA<u>CTGCAG</u>TCA-3') cut with <u>EcoRI</u> and <u>PstI</u>, were cloned in a three

way cloning step into the *pUAST* vector, cut with EcoRI and XbaI. This construct was injected

into *w^{1118}* embryos (Bestgene, Inc). For construction of *G6P-GAL4DBD*, the promoter region

of *G6P* was amplified from genomic DNA of the *w^{1118}* strain, using 5'-

GGCGGCCGCCACTTCGAGAACTTTGGATAGGT-3' and 5'-

GGGTACCATCGACTCCAATGTTTTCAGTCTT-3' primers. DNA fragment was cloned into

pBPZpGAL4DBDUw vector (addgene #26233)(Pfeiffer et al., 2010).

For construction of the *FMRFa-p65AD*, the promoter region of *FMRFa* was amplified using 5'-

CGTTAACGTTCGAGGTCGACTCTGCAGACGTGGTTTTCG-3' and 5'-

CGTTAACGTTCGAGGTCGACTCTGCAGACGTGGTTTTCG-3' primers (Schneider et al., 1993a).

DNA fragment was cloned into pBPp65ADZpUw (addgene #26234) (Pfeiffer Rubin 2010). All

constructs were injected into w^{1118} embryos (Bestgene, Inc).

Generation of *FMRFa* null mutations: *FMRFa* mutation was generated using CRISPR/Cas9

mutagenesis. The guide RNA (gRNA) was designed to anneal to nucleotides 175 to 194

downstream of the translation start codon (nucleotide 1) of the *FMRFa* gene.

Complementary oligos were annealed and cloned into the pCFD3-dU6:3gRNA vector

(Addgene #49410). The vector was introduced into *vermillion* (*v*) strain and crossed with

Act5C-Cas9 flies (Bloomington #54590). Approximately 10 progenies were collected and

established as independent lines. Each fly within the established lines were sequenced. The

deletion in *ΔFMRFa* removes almost 900 nucleotides (175 to 1063) encoding all 14 *FMRFa*

peptides. Glu700KDEL was a gift from Dr. Wolf Frommer (Addgene plasmid #17867).

Immunostaining

Fly brains and thoracic ganglions were dissected in 1xPBS and then fixed in 4%

paraformaldehyde with 0.2% Triton X-100 for 30 min at room temperature. Fixed brains were

washed three times with PBS containing 0.2% Triton X-100. The samples were incubated in

blocking buffer (5% heat-inactivated goat serum) with 0.2% Triton X-100 for 30 min at room

temperature. Then, samples were incubated with 1/1000 diluted primary antibodies for 1-2

days at 4 ˚C. Samples were washed three times with PBS with 0.2% Triton X-100 and

incubated with 1/1000 diluted secondary antibodies for 1-2 days at 4 ˚C. Samples were

washed three times with PBS with 0.2% Triton X-100 and mounting solution (Vectashield)

was added. Primary antibodies used in this study include chicken anti-GFP (Thermo Fisher

Scientific), rabbit anti-mCherry (BioVision), rabbit anti-NPF (RayBiotech), mouse anti-

Orcokinin-A (gift from Dr. Mizoguchi), rabbit anti-IPNa (gift from Dr. Thor), rabbit anti-Pdf

(gift from Dr. Hardin), rabbit anti-FMRFa (RayBiotech). Secondary antibodies were anti-

chicken Alexa488 (Thermo Fisher Scientific), anti-rabbit Alexa555 (Thermo Fisher Scientific)

and anti-mouse Cy3 (The Jackson laboratory).

In vivo **Glucose imaging**

Glucose imaging of FMRF neuron in the thoracic ganglion was conducted analogously as

reported for NPF brain neurons, with the following modifications: the thoracic ganglion was

extracted from the thorax while liquid silicone was applied over the entire preparation to

prevent desiccation and preserve intracellular homeostatic conditions. Imaging was

performed with a Nikon Eclipse Ti inverted microscope using 20x water objective, a dichroic

filter (Nikon; 89002), excitation and emission filter wheels with four Chroma filters ET430/24x

(#234435), ET500/20x (#235394), ET470/24m (#234331) and ET535/30m (Chroma #239226).

The light source was a Lumen 200 lamp (Prior Scientific Inc). Data acquisition was performed

with NIS-Elements software (Nikon). For each data point, three images were sequentially

obtained to calculate FRET (420-445 nm for CFP excitation and 458-482 nm for CFP emission

with 400 ms exposure (Dd), 420-445 nm for CFP excitation and 520-550 nm for YFP emission

with 100 ms exposure (Da), 491-508 nm for YFP excitation and 520-550 nm for YFP emission

with 100 ms exposure (Aa)). Dd and Aa were used to calculate false FRET signals generated

by CFP and YFP molecules alone, respectively. Spillover factors for CFP (0.290) and YFP (0.095)

were experimentally established (Miyamoto and Amrein, 2019). For data analysis, adjacent

area of cell bodies was subtracted as a background. FRET efficiency was calculated using a

following formula; (Da-0.29xDd-0.095xAa)/Dd.

Golgi size measurement

The Golgi apparatus was visualized using *UAS-ManII-eGFP* expressed under the control of

respective GAL4 drivers. Golgi and NP staining was performed as described in

Immunostaining except for using 4% paraformaldehyde with 0.01% Triton X-100 for fixation.

All images were taken using a Nikon A1 confocal microscope with 60 x oil lens. Golgi and NP

images were stacked (0.5 μm section intervals) and analyzed using ImageJ. Background was

subtracted by thresholding using MaxEntropy for Golgi, Huang or Mean for NPs, and

remained pixel numbers were counted. Golgi area was determined as the fraction of the area

positive for NP, which served as a proxy for the cytosol.

Metabolic Analyses

Glucose/trehalose measurements: For glucose and trehalose measurements, a single male

fly was homogenized in 100 μl of water. After heat-inactivation at 95 ˚C for 5 min, non-soluble

debris was removed by centrifugation for 10 minutes at 14000 rpm and soluble fraction was

transferred to a new Eppendorf tube. 5 μl of the soluble fraction was used for determination

of glucose and trehalose content, respectively. Glucose was determined by adding 100 μl of

Infinity™ Glucose Hexokinase Reagent (Thermo Scientific) to 5 μl of the soluble fraction for

10 minutes at 37 ˚C. Absorbance was measured at 340 nm using a Genesys 20

spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific) and quantified against a standard glucose curve. For

trehalose measurement, 5 μl of porcine kidney trehalase (2.3 units/mg; T8778-1UN, Sigma)

was added to 5 μl of the soluble fraction for 30 minutes at 37 ˚C, followed the addition of 100

μl of the Infinity™ Glucose Hexokinase Reagent. Absorbance was measured as for glucose,

and final trehalose amount was determined by deducting glucose content of the same fly

sample. Fed flies were taken straight from standard food, while starved flies are flies kept on

1% agarose for 24 hours prior measurement.

Glycogen measurement: Adult males were anesthetized using CO_2. The abdomen and head

were removed, the thorax separated into the dorsal (flight muscle) and the ventral halves

(jump muscle). Jump muscles from three flies were placed into an Eppendorf tube containing

50 μl of 0.2 % NP-40 and stored at - 80 ˚C, until further processing. For measurements,

samples were thawed, homogenized using a plastic pestle, and non-soluble debris was

separated by centrifugation at 4 ˚C for 20 minutes at 14000 rpm. 5 μl of the supernatant was

incubated with 5 μg of amyloglucosidase (Sigma- Aldrich A1602) with 50 mM Na acetate for

30 minutes at 37 ˚C. The aliquot was mixed with 100 μl of Infinity™ Glucose Hexokinase

Reagent and incubated for 10 minutes at 37 ˚C, before absorbance was measured at 340 nm.

Glycogen amount was obtained by subtraction of free glucose which was determined by

measuring 340 nm absorbance of 5 μl of the same sample in the absence of amyloglucosidase.

Samples were normalized to total amount of protein, which was determined using 20 μl of

supernatant, to which with 20 μl water was added. 2.5 μl of this was mixed with 100 μl of

Bradford reagent (Bio-Rad #5000205) and absorbance was taken at 595 nm to calculate

protein concentration against a standard curve.

Hemolymph neuropeptide measurements

Hemolymph extraction was performed as described by Davoodi and colleagues (Davoodi et

al., 2019). Briefly, abdomens of ten flies were punctured at the posterior end and transferred

in an iced Eppendorf tube containing 60 μl of PBS with 0.2 % Tween20. Flies were submerged

in the buffer by spinning samples for 1 minute at 3000 × g in a microcentrifuge, followed by

vortexing at speed 10 (Fisher Scientific Vortex mixer) for 10 minutes. Debris was removed by

centrifugation for 1 minute at 3000 × g, and the supernatant (hemolymph) was transferred

to a new tube.

ELISA was performed in triplicates using the ANP ELISA kit (Abcam #ab108797). Briefly, 50 µl

of samples and standard titration in the range from 0.008 ng/mL to 2 ng/mL were added to

each precoated well. The plate was fully sealed and incubated for 2-3 hours, followed by 4-5

washes with 200 µl of wash buffer. 50 µl of Biotinylated ANP antibody was added and

incubated for 2 hours at room temperature. The wells were washed three times and 50 µl of

Streptavidin-Peroxidase conjugate was added. After 30 minutes, the wells were washed once.

50 µl of chromogen substrate was added and incubated for 20 minutes or until the desired

blue color was achieved, after which the reaction was terminated by adding 50 µl of the stop

solution. Absorbance was measured immediately at 450nm using a microplate reader (BioTek

Instruments Inc).

Measurement of Ilp2HF in the hemolymph was performed using the *Ilp2[1] gd2HF* flies as

described previously (Park et al 2014). Plates (Nunc Immuno clear modules; Thermo Fisher

Scientific 468667) were coated with anti-Flag antibody (Sigma-Aldrich F1804) diluted in 0.2 M

sodium carbonate/bicarbonate buffer (pH 9.4) to a final concentration of 2.5µg/mL. Plates

were incubated overnight at 4 ˚C, washed twice using PBS containing 0.2% Tween 20. The

wells were coated with 350µL PBS containing 2% BSA overnight, washed three times with PBS

containing 0.2% Tween 20. The hemolymph was extracted in the same way as Anf-GFP,

described above. 50µL of hemolymph containing solution or FLAG(GS)HA peptide standard

(DYKDDDDKGGGGSYPYDVPDYAamide, life-Tein LLC) was mixed with 50µl of PBS containing

1% Tween20 and 5ng/mL anti-HA-Peroxidase 3F10 antibody (Roche #12013819001) and

transferred to the wells. Plates were sealed and incubated overnight at 4 ˚C. Samples were

removed and wells were washed six times with PBS with 0.2% Tween20. 100µL of 1-Step Ultra

TMB ELISA substrate (Thermo Fisher Scientific #34028) was added to each well, and plates

were gently agitated for 30 minutes at room temperature. The reaction was stopped by

adding 100µL of 2M sulfuric acid, and absorbance was measured immediately at 450nm.

Climbing assay

The climbing assay was performed as reported by Ali and colleagues (Ali et al., 2011).

Approximately 15-20 male flies were placed in a culture plastic vial containing regular food

(fed conditions) or plain agarose (starved conditions) 24 hours prior to testing. Flies were

transferred into an empty vial with a line marker 8 cm from the bottom, and tested by tapping

them down gently to the bottom. The number of flies climbing up to marked within 10

seconds were counted.

REFERENCES

Ali, Y.O., Escala, W., Ruan, K., Zhai, R.G., 2011. Measurement of the Relations between Chromosomes and Behavior. Journal of visualized experiments: JoVE. https://doi.org/10.3791/2504

Baines, R.A., Uhler, J.P., Thompson, A., Sweeney, S.T., Bate, M., 2001. Altered electrical properties in Drosophila neurons developing without synaptic transmission. J Neurosci 21, 1523–1531. https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.21-05-01523.2001

Banka, S., Chervinsky, E., Newman, W.G., Crow, Y.J., Yeganeh, S., Yacobovich, J., Donnai, D., Shalev, S., 2011. Further delineation of the phenotype of severe congenital neutropenia type 4 due to mutations in G6PC3. Eur J Hum Genet 19, 18–22. https://doi.org/10.1038/ejhg.2010.136

Bosma, K.J., Rahim, M., Singh, K., Goleva, S.B., Wall, M.L., Xia, J., Syring, K.E., Oeser, J.K., Poffenberger, G., McGuinness, O.P., Means, A.L., Powers, A.C., Li, W.-H., Davis, L.K., Young, J.D., O'Brien, R.M., 2020. Pancreatic islet beta cell-specific deletion of G6pc2 reduces fasting blood glucose. J Mol Endocrinol 64, 235–248. https://doi.org/10.1530/JME-20-0031

Brussaard, A.B., Kits, K.S., Ter Maat, A., Van Minnen, J., Moed, P.J., 1988. Dual inhibitory action of FMRFamide on neurosecretory cells controlling egg laying behavior in the pond snail. Brain Res 447, 35–51. https://doi.org/10.1016/0006-8993(88)90963-8

Bryantsev, A.L., Baker, P.W., Lovato, T.L., Jaramillo, M.S., Cripps, R.M., 2012. Differential requirements for Myocyte Enhancer Factor-2 during adult myogenesis in Drosophila. Dev Biol 361, 191–207. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ydbio.2011.09.031

Cheung, Y.Y., Kim, S.Y., Yiu, W.H., Pan, C.-J., Jun, H.-S., Ruef, R.A., Lee, E.J., Westphal, H., Mansfield, B.C., Chou, J.Y., 2007. Impaired neutrophil activity and increased susceptibility to bacterial infection in mice lacking glucose-6-phosphatase-beta. J Clin Invest 117, 784–793. https://doi.org/10.1172/JCI30443

Chung, B.Y., Ro, J., Hutter, S.A., Miller, K.M., Guduguntla, L.S., Kondo, S., Pletcher, S.D., 2017. Drosophila Neuropeptide F Signaling Independently Regulates Feeding and Sleep-Wake Behavior. Cell Rep 19, 2441–2450. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2017.05.085

Davoodi, S., Galenza, A., Panteluk, A., Deshpande, R., Ferguson, M., Grewal, S., Foley, E., 2019. The Immune Deficiency Pathway Regulates Metabolic Homeostasis in Drosophila. J Immunol 202, 2747–2759. https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.1801632

Demontis, F., Piccirillo, R., Goldberg, A.L., Perrimon, N., 2013. The influence of skeletal muscle on systemic aging and lifespan. Aging Cell 12, 943–949. https://doi.org/10.1111/acel.12126

Deng, B., Li, Q., Liu, X., Cao, Y., Li, B., Qian, Y., Xu, R., Mao, R., Zhou, E., Zhang, W., Huang, J., Rao, Y., 2019. Chemoconnectomics: Mapping Chemical Transmission in Drosophila. Neuron 101, 876-893.e4. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2019.01.045

Fisher, T., Lin, C.H., Kaczmarek, L.K., 1993. The peptide FMRFa terminates a discharge in Aplysia bag cell neurons by modulating calcium, potassium, and chloride conductances. J Neurophysiol 69, 2164–2173. https://doi.org/10.1152/jn.1993.69.6.2164

Fujii, S., Ahn, J.-E., Jagge, C., Shetty, V., Janes, C., Mohanty, A., Slotman, M., Adelman, Z.N., Amrein, H., 2023. RNA Taste is Conserved in Dipteran Insects. J Nutr S0022-3166(23)35477–4. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tjnut.2023.03.010

Gauthier, S.A., Hewes, R.S., 2006. Transcriptional regulation of neuropeptide and peptide
hormone expression by the Drosophila dimmed and cryptocephal genes. J Exp Biol
209, 1803–1815. https://doi.org/10.1242/jeb.02202
Gomarasca, M., Banfi, G., Lombardi, G., 2020. Myokines: The endocrine coupling of skeletal
muscle and bone. Adv Clin Chem 94, 155–218.
https://doi.org/10.1016/bs.acc.2019.07.010
Hardt, B., Völker, C., Mundt, S., Salska-Navarro, M., Hauptmann, M., Bause, E., 2005. Human
endo-alpha1,2-mannosidase is a Golgi-resident type II membrane protein. Biochimie
87, 169–179. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biochi.2004.11.004
Hayee, B., Antonopoulos, A., Murphy, E.J., Rahman, F.Z., Sewell, G., Smith, B.N., McCartney,
S., Furman, M., Hall, G., Bloom, S.L., Haslam, S.M., Morris, H.R., Boztug, K., Klein, C.,
Winchester, B., Pick, E., Linch, D.C., Gale, R.E., Smith, A.M., Dell, A., Segal, A.W.,
2011. G6PC3 mutations are associated with a major defect of glycosylation: a novel
mechanism for neutrophil dysfunction. Glycobiology 21, 914–924.
https://doi.org/10.1093/glycob/cwr023
Herzog, H., Darby, K., Ball, H., Hort, Y., Beck-Sickinger, A., Shine, J., 1997. Overlapping gene
structure of the human neuropeptide Y receptor subtypes Y1 and Y5 suggests
coordinate transcriptional regulation. Genomics 41, 315–319.
https://doi.org/10.1006/geno.1997.4684
Hewes, R.S., Park, D., Gauthier, S.A., Schaefer, A.M., Taghert, P.H., 2003. The bHLH protein
Dimmed controls neuroendocrine cell differentiation in Drosophila. Development
130, 1771–1781. https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.00404
Higuchi, H., Hasegawa, A., Yamaguchi, T., 2005. Transcriptional regulation of neuronal genes
and its effect on neural functions: transcriptional regulation of neuropeptide Y gene
by leptin and its effect on feeding. J Pharmacol Sci 98, 225–231.
https://doi.org/10.1254/jphs.fmj05001x6
Hook, V., Funkelstein, L., Lu, D., Bark, S., Wegrzyn, J., Hwang, S.-R., 2008. Proteases for
processing proneuropeptides into peptide neurotransmitters and hormones. Annu
Rev Pharmacol Toxicol 48, 393–423.
https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.pharmtox.48.113006.094812
Husain, Q.M., Ewer, J., 2004. Use of targetable gfp-tagged neuropeptide for visualizing
neuropeptide release following execution of a behavior. J Neurobiol 59, 181–191.
https://doi.org/10.1002/neu.10309
Kim, S.K., Tsao, D.D., Suh, G.S.B., Miguel-Aliaga, I., 2021. Discovering signaling mechanisms
governing metabolism and metabolic diseases with Drosophila. Cell Metab 33, 1279–
1292. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cmet.2021.05.018
Kneen, M., Farinas, J., Li, Y., Verkman, A.S., 1998. Green fluorescent protein as a noninvasive
intracellular pH indicator. Biophys J 74, 1591–1599. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0006-
3495(98)77870-1
Kondylis, V., Rabouille, C., 2009. The Golgi apparatus: lessons from Drosophila. FEBS Lett
583, 3827–3838. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.febslet.2009.09.048
Kovac, S., Shulkes, A., Baldwin, G.S., 2009. Peptide processing and biology in human disease.
Curr Opin Endocrinol Diabetes Obes 16, 79–85.
https://doi.org/10.1097/MED.0b013e3283202555
Landayan, D., Wang, B.P., Zhou, J., Wolf, F.W., 2021. Thirst interneurons that promote water
seeking and limit feeding behavior in Drosophila. Elife 10.
https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.66286

Lear, B.C., Zhang, L., Allada, R., 2009. The neuropeptide PDF acts directly on evening
pacemaker neurons to regulate multiple features of circadian behavior. PLoS Biol 7,
e1000154. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.1000154

Lee, K.-S., You, K.-H., Choo, J.-K., Han, Y.-M., Yu, K., 2004. Drosophila short neuropeptide F
regulates food intake and body size. J Biol Chem 279, 50781–50789.
https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M407842200

Lenz, O., Xiong, J., Nelson, M.D., Raizen, D.M., Williams, J.A., 2015. FMRFamide signaling
promotes stress-induced sleep in Drosophila. Brain Behav Immun 47, 141–148.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbi.2014.12.028

Liu, W., Ganguly, A., Huang, J., Wang, Y., Ni, J.D., Gurav, A.S., Aguilar, M.A., Montell, C.,
2019. Neuropeptide F regulates courtship in Drosophila through a male-specific
neuronal circuit. Elife 8. https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.49574

Lu, T.-C., Brbić, M., Park, Y.-J., Jackson, T., Chen, J., Kolluru, S.S., Qi, Y., Katheder, N.S., Cai,
X.T., Lee, S., Chen, Y.-C., Auld, N., Liang, C.-Y., Ding, S.H., Welsch, D., D'Souza, S.,
Pisco, A.O., Jones, R.C., Leskovec, J., Lai, E.C., Bellen, H.J., Luo, L., Jasper, H., Quake,
S.R., Li, H., 2023. Aging Fly Cell Atlas identifies exhaustive aging features at cellular
resolution. Science 380, eadg0934. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.adg0934

Luan, H., Peabody, N.C., Vinson, C.R., White, B.H., 2006. Refined spatial manipulation of
neuronal function by combinatorial restriction of transgene expression. Neuron 52,
425–436. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2006.08.028

Lundquist, T., Nässel, D.R., 1990. Substance P-, FMRFamide-, and gastrin/cholecystokinin-
like immunoreactive neurons in the thoraco-abdominal ganglia of the flies
Drosophila and Calliphora. J Comp Neurol 294, 161–178.
https://doi.org/10.1002/cne.902940202

Matsuda, H., Yamada, T., Yoshida, M., Nishimura, T., 2015. Flies without trehalose. J Biol
Chem 290, 1244–1255. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M114.619411

Miyamoto, T., Amrein, H., 2019. Neuronal Gluconeogenesis Regulates Systemic Glucose
Homeostasis in Drosophila melanogaster. Curr Biol 29, 1263-1272.e5.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2019.02.053

Miyamoto, T., Amrein, H., 2017. Gluconeogenesis: An ancient biochemical pathway with a
new twist. Fly (Austin) 11, 218–223.
https://doi.org/10.1080/19336934.2017.1283081

Miyamoto, T., Amrein, H., 2014. Diverse roles for the Drosophila fructose sensor Gr43a. Fly
(Austin) 8, 19–25. https://doi.org/10.4161/fly.27241

Miyamoto, T., Slone, J., Song, X., Amrein, H., 2012. A fructose receptor functions as a
nutrient sensor in the Drosophila brain. Cell 151, 1113–1125.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2012.10.024

Nässel, D.R., Zandawala, M., 2019. Recent advances in neuropeptide signaling in Drosophila,
from genes to physiology and behavior. Prog Neurobiol 179, 101607.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pneurobio.2019.02.003

Oh, Y., Lai, J.S.-Y., Mills, H.J., Erdjument-Bromage, H., Giammarinaro, B., Saadipour, K.,
Wang, J.G., Abu, F., Neubert, T.A., Suh, G.S.B., 2019. A glucose-sensing neuron pair
regulates insulin and glucagon in Drosophila. Nature 574, 559–564.
https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-019-1675-4

Paradis, S., Sweeney, S.T., Davis, G.W., 2001. Homeostatic control of presynaptic release is
triggered by postsynaptic membrane depolarization. Neuron 30, 737–749.
https://doi.org/10.1016/s0896-6273(01)00326-9

Parisky, K.M., Agosto, J., Pulver, S.R., Shang, Y., Kuklin, E., Hodge, J.J.L., Kang, K., Liu, X.,
Garrity, P.A., Rosbash, M., Griffith, L.C., 2008. PDF cells are a GABA-responsive wake-
promoting component of the Drosophila sleep circuit. Neuron 60, 672–682.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2008.10.042

Park, S., Alfa, R.W., Topper, S.M., Kim, G.E.S., Kockel, L., Kim, S.K., 2014. A genetic strategy
to measure circulating Drosophila insulin reveals genes regulating insulin production
and secretion. PLoS Genet 10, e1004555.
https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1004555

Pauls, D., Chen, J., Reiher, W., Vanselow, J.T., Schlosser, A., Kahnt, J., Wegener, C., 2014.
Peptidomics and processing of regulatory peptides in the fruit fly Drosophila. EuPA
Open Proteomics 3, 114–127.

Pfeiffer, B.D., Ngo, T.-T.B., Hibbard, K.L., Murphy, C., Jenett, A., Truman, J.W., Rubin, G.M.,
2010. Refinement of tools for targeted gene expression in Drosophila. Genetics 186,
735–755. https://doi.org/10.1534/genetics.110.119917

Predel, R., Wegener, C., Russell, W.K., Tichy, S.E., Russell, D.H., Nachman, R.J., 2004.
Peptidomics of CNS-associated neurohemal systems of adult Drosophila
melanogaster: a mass spectrometric survey of peptides from individual flies. J Comp
Neurol 474, 379–392. https://doi.org/10.1002/cne.20145

Rai, M., Demontis, F., 2016. Systemic Nutrient and Stress Signaling via Myokines and
Myometabolites. Annu Rev Physiol 78, 85–107. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-
physiol-021115-105305

Ravi, P., Trivedi, D., Hasan, G., 2018. FMRFa receptor stimulated Ca2+ signals alter the
activity of flight modulating central dopaminergic neurons in Drosophila
melanogaster. PLoS Genet 14, e1007459.
https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1007459

Renn, S.C., Park, J.H., Rosbash, M., Hall, J.C., Taghert, P.H., 1999. A pdf neuropeptide gene
mutation and ablation of PDF neurons each cause severe abnormalities of behavioral
circadian rhythms in Drosophila. Cell 99, 791–802. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0092-
8674(00)81676-1

Rholam, M., Fahy, C., 2009. Processing of peptide and hormone precursors at the dibasic
cleavage sites. Cell Mol Life Sci 66, 2075–2091. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00018-009-
0007-5

Schneider, L.E., Roberts, M.S., Taghert, P.H., 1993a. Cell type-specific transcriptional
regulation of the Drosophila FMRFamide neuropeptide gene. Neuron 10, 279–291.
https://doi.org/10.1016/0896-6273(93)90318-l

Schneider, L.E., Sun, E.T., Garland, D.J., Taghert, P.H., 1993b. An immunocytochemical study
of the FMRFamide neuropeptide gene products in Drosophila. J Comp Neurol 337,
446–460. https://doi.org/10.1002/cne.903370308

Shao, L., Saver, M., Chung, P., Ren, Q., Lee, T., Kent, C.F., Heberlein, U., 2017. Dissection of
the Drosophila neuropeptide F circuit using a high-throughput two-choice assay.
Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 114, E8091–E8099.
https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1710552114

Silva, V., Palacios-Muñoz, A., Volonté, M., Frenkel, L., Ewer, J., Ons, S., 2021. Orcokinin
neuropeptides regulate reproduction in the fruit fly, Drosophila melanogaster. Insect
Biochem Mol Biol 139, 103676. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ibmb.2021.103676

Song, T., Qin, W., Lai, Z., Li, H., Li, D., Wang, B., Deng, W., Wang, T., Wang, L., Huang, R.,
2023. Dietary cysteine drives body fat loss via FMRFamide signaling in Drosophila
and mouse. Cell Res 33, 434–447. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41422-023-00800-8

Taghert, P.H., Hewes, R.S., Park, J.H., O'Brien, M.A., Han, M., Peck, M.E., 2001. Multiple
amidated neuropeptides are required for normal circadian locomotor rhythms in
Drosophila. J Neurosci 21, 6673–6686. https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.21-17-
06673.2001

Thompson, S.N., 2003. Trehalose – The Insect 'Blood' Sugar. Advances in Insect Physiology
31, 205–285. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0065-2806(03)31004-5

van den Pol, A.N., 2012. Neuropeptide transmission in brain circuits. Neuron 76, 98–115.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2012.09.014

van Schaftingen, E., Gerin, I., 2002. The glucose-6-phosphatase system. Biochem J 362, 513–
532. https://doi.org/10.1042/0264-6021:3620513

Veiga-da-Cunha, M., Chevalier, N., Stephenne, X., Defour, J.-P., Paczia, N., Ferster, A.,
Achouri, Y., Dewulf, J.P., Linster, C.L., Bommer, G.T., Van Schaftingen, E., 2019.
Failure to eliminate a phosphorylated glucose analog leads to neutropenia in
patients with G6PT and G6PC3 deficiency. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 116, 1241–1250.
https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1816143116

Verleyen, P., Baggerman, G., Wiehart, U., Schoeters, E., Van Lommel, A., De Loof, A.,
Schoofs, L., 2004. Expression of a novel neuropeptide, NVGTLARDFQLPIPNamide, in
the larval and adult brain of Drosophila melanogaster. J Neurochem 88, 311–319.
https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1471-4159.2003.02161.x

Volkenhoff, A., Weiler, A., Letzel, M., Stehling, M., Klämbt, C., Schirmeier, S., 2015. Glial
Glycolysis Is Essential for Neuronal Survival in Drosophila. Cell Metab 22, 437–447.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cmet.2015.07.006

Wu, Q., Wen, T., Lee, G., Park, J.H., Cai, H.N., Shen, P., 2003. Developmental control of
foraging and social behavior by the Drosophila neuropeptide Y-like system. Neuron
39, 147–161. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0896-6273(03)00396-9

Zhao, X., Karpac, J., 2017. Muscle Directs Diurnal Energy Homeostasis through a Myokine-
Dependent Hormone Module in Drosophila. Curr Biol 27, 1941-1955.e6.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2017.06.004

Zhao, X., Li, X., Shi, X., Karpac, J., 2020. Diet-MEF2 interactions shape lipid droplet
diversification in muscle to influence Drosophila lifespan. Aging Cell 19, e13172.
https://doi.org/10.1111/acel.13172

Zhou, W., Chang, J., Wang, X., Savelieff, M.G., Zhao, Y., Ke, S., Ye, B., 2014. GM130 is
required for compartmental organization of dendritic golgi outposts. Curr Biol 24,
1227–1233. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2014.04.008

Figure 1

A

B

C

D

Figure 2

Figure 3

FMRFa neurons

fed   starved

G6P locus	+	−	−	+	−	−
UAS-G6P	−	−	+	−	−	+

Figure 4

A **FMRFa**

B **NPF**

Figure 5

A FMRFa neurons (Hemolymph)

B FMRFa neurons (Total)

C IPCs (Hemolymph)

D IPCs (Total)

Figure 6

Figure 7