Distinct gradients of cortical architecture capture visual representations and behavior across the lifespan

Xiayu Chen[1†], Xingyu Liu[1†], Patricia Maria Hoyos[2], Edan Daniel Hertz[2], Jewelia K. Yao[2], Zonglei Zhen[1,3*], Jesse Gomez[2,4]

1. Beijing Key Laboratory of Applied Experimental Psychology, Faculty of Psychology, Beijing Normal University, Beijing, China
2. Princeton Neuroscience Institute, Princeton University, Princeton, NJ, USA
3. State Key Laboratory of Cognitive Neuroscience and Learning & IDG/McGovern Institute for Brain Research, Beijing Normal University, Beijing, China
4. Psychology Department, Princeton University, Princeton, New Jersey, USA

*Correspondence address: Zonglei Zhen, Ph.D. (zhenzonglei@bnu.edu.cn), Faculty of Psychology, Beijing Normal University, Beijing, 100875, China.
† These authors contributed equally to this work

Abstract

The microstructure of cells within human cerebral cortex varies across the cortical ribbon, where changes in cytoarchitecture and myeloarchitecture are thought to endow each region of cortex with its unique function. While fine-scale relative to a cell, these changes at population level impact architectural properties of cortex measurable in vivo by noninvasive MRI, such as the thickness and myelin content of cortex. This raises the question of whether or not we can use these in vivo architectural measures to understand cortical organization, function, and development more broadly. Using human visual cortex as a test bed, we demonstrated two architectural gradients, one in which cytoarchitecture and myeloarchitecture converge and another in which they diverge. These two gradients underlie the structural and functional topography of visual cortex, even predicting the presence of new visual field maps. Moreover, the two gradients show distinct visual behavior relevance and lifespan trajectory. These findings provide a more general framework for understanding human cortex, showing that architectural gradients are a measurable fingerprint of functional organization and ontogenetic routines in the human brain.

Introduction

A fundamental goal of brain science is to elucidate the functional properties of the structural elements of the brain, at an appropriate organizational scale. Classical architectural brain maps including cytoarchitectonic[1,2] and myeloarchitectonic[3] maps, derived from postmortem brain sections, have revealed strong correspondence with the functional properties of the cerebral cortex[4,5]. Recent observations of spatial gradients in gene expression across human cortex[6,7], especially in genes controlling the shape and distribution of dendrites and myelin, also suggest that functional differences across cortex are linked to broad changes in architectural properties[8]. However, these architectural maps are often examined in isolation, lacking interrogation across different maps and individuals. It remains uncertain whether any common or distinct patterns exist among these maps, and if they can offer more reliable and sensitive indicators of functional, behavioral, and developmental relevance. Technological advances in MRI have made it possible to map architectural correlates in human cortex in a noninvasive and individual-specific way, and to test whether any key architectural features of the cortex reflect its functional organization[9–11]. Leveraging the field's deep understanding of functional organization of visual cortex relative to cortical folding[12–19], we focus here on visual cortex as a test bed to understand how the architectural patterns of the cortical mantle relates to changes in function. More explicitly, to what extent individuals demonstrate shared architectural features of visual cortex which could be derived from multiple kind of architectural measurements; and how might these structural patterns differ in development and capture differences in visual function and behavior?[11]

Answering such a question would require a large-scale, multimodal MRI dataset to appropriately capture the architectural variations at the level of the population. To that end, we combine three datasets from the Lifespan Human Connectome Project (HCP) which together sample the human lifespan from 5 to 100 years of age[20–22], and ask if there are shared motifs in architectural features of cortex across individuals and development. Based on T1-weighted (T1w) and T2-weighted (T2w) images, we produce for each individual two distinct maps: a map of cortical thickness[23] and a map of the T1w/T2w signal ratio[24]. While the thickness map is thought to be attributable to the organization of neuronal, glial, and neuropil tissue[25–28], the ratio map is thought to be sensitive to the density of myelinated and neurite structures[24,29], which we refer to more broadly as tissue density. In the case of visual cortex, general trends along the cardinal axes have been observed in architectural features such as myelination and cortical thickness in adults and infants[30–32], as well as functional properties of neurons such as receptive field size[33,34] and temporal sensitivity[35,36]. A model explicitly linking these architectural and functional variations across the cerebrum, one that can generalize to yet-mapped regions of cortex as well as explain behavior and dynamics across the lifespan, would be a steppingstone towards bridging structural and functional properties of the living human brain.

Results

To extract the latent spatial patterns underlying cortical architecture across both
properties and individuals, the thickness and tissue density maps from each hemisphere are
concatenated across individuals to perform a spatial principal component analysis (PCA)[37,38] in
which participants are features and cortical vertices are samples. As a result, the concatenated
maps were linearly decomposed into a collection of orthogonal principal components, consisting
of spatial maps (i.e., scores) and individual weights (i.e., loadings) in pairs. The former explains
how the architectural properties change across the cortical sheet on each component and the
latter describes how individuals' maps contribute to each component (Figure 1a). The resulting
first two principal components (PCs) describe over 50% of architectural variance across the
cortical sheet (Figure 1b). Because they are very similar for the two hemispheres (Figure S1),
only data from the right hemisphere are presented here for clarity. The contributions of thickness
and tissue density indicate that each PC relied on an integration of the two architectural measures
at a given spatial location (Figure 1c), rather than a single feature, thus revealing architectural
patterns of visual cortex (i.e., score maps) not visible through a single measure alone (Figure S2).
Given the strong inter-individual correlations within cortical thickness and tissue density
maps, along with the notable anti-correlation between these two sets of architectural maps
(Figure S3a), it is anticipated that the first two PCs (Figure 1d) would capture the convergent and
divergent patterns between group average maps of cortical thickness and tissue density. We
confirmed this by performing a correlation analysis between PC1 and convergent pattern
(thickness map + inversed tissue density map), and between PC2 and divergent pattern (thickness
map - inversed tissue density map). As illustrated in Figure 1e, the converging/diverging maps of
the group average thickness and inversed density maps are highly correlated with the PC1/2
maps (both rs = 0.997, ps <0.001). This indicates that the PCs have a straightforward and
intuitive interpretation. Specifically, PC1 effectively captures the convergence of cortical
thickness and tissue density (i.e., how they vary together), while PC2 represents the spatial
divergence from this commonality.
Looking into the spatial topography of the two PCs, both PC1 and PC2 maps form spatial
gradients whose values change smoothly as one traverses the cortical surface (Figure 1d).
Specifically, gradient 1 (i.e., PC1 map), shows an increase in value as one travels from the
fundus of the calcarine sulcus either dorsally towards the intraparietal sulcus or ventrally into the
anterior temporal lobe. Higher PC1 vertex values correspond to lower tissue density and a
thicker cortical sheet (Figure S3b). Interestingly, gradient 2 demonstrates an alternating pattern
that fluctuates across cortex. Positive (negative) PC2 scores correspond to higher (lower)
thickness or tissue density than expected from PC1 (Figure S3b). Gradient 2 seems to be broadly
organized into four distinct zones, mirroring the visual cortex's division from early visual field
maps[39] into the ventral, lateral, and dorsal processing streams of the visual cortex[40–43].
Quantitatively, the distribution of values for gradient 1 shift across the four processing streams
while the value distributions of gradient 2 are evenly sampled within each processing stream
(Figure 1f). Furthermore, gradient 2 exhibits a higher spatial frequency in the distribution of its
values. That is, for a given pair of vertices separated by a short distance, gradient 2 tends to show

a larger difference in values compared to the more spatially homogenous gradient 1 (Figure 1g).
It should be noted that maps of cortical thickness had any variance explainable by curvature
removed to account for known thickness differences between gyri and sulci, ruling out the
possibility that the alternating architectural pattern was caused by the folding of the underlying
cortical sheet. Collectively, these findings demonstrate that gradient 1 acts as a global gradient
enveloping the entire visual cortex, while gradient 2 acts as a local gradient specific to individual
visual streams.
To get a deeper understanding of the shape of these topographies, we produced simulated
models using cortical geometry for the two spatial gradients. For gradient 1, the calcarine sulcus
was used as the fiducial line, and vertices of the cortical surface were assigned values based on
their minimal geodesic distance to the calcarine sulcus. This simple simulation was able to
capture 57.1% of the explainable variance in the topography of gradient 1 (Figure 1h, left),
similar to prior distance-based models of this well-known gradient[6]. Gradient 2, which was more
complicated in shape, could nonetheless be simulated using anchor points positioned at local
minima within each visual processing stream (Figure 1h, right), and vertices of the cortical
surface were assigned values based on their minimal geodesic distance to these anchor points.
This map of geometric distance also captured a sizeable portion of the variance (17.7%) within
the gradient 2 map. These simulation results again highlight the global and local characteristics
of the two gradients.

Figure 1: Two architectural gradients scaffold human visual cortex. (a) Principal component analysis on
the concatenated cortical thickness and myelin/neurite density maps from all participants in HCP-YA to extract
architectural gradients of human visual cortex produced a collection of orthogonal principal components,
consisting of spatial maps (i.e., score) and individual weights (i.e., loading) in pairs. **(b)** The explained
variance ratio of the top 5 principal components (PCs). The first two PCs (i.e., gradients 1 and 2) dominate the
explainable variance. **(c)** Contributions of the two architectural measures (thickness and density) to the two
gradients. **(d)** Topographic patterns of the two gradients on a flattened cortical surface. Gradient 1 (PC1)
displays roughly monotonic change from negative to positive scores across visual cortex, emanating from
primary visual cortex V1, while gradient 2 (PC2) showed repeated representation in four localities, mirroring
the four visual streams (early, dorsal, lateral, ventral). Black dotted lines: borders where the different visual
streams meet, defined using HCP-MMP label boundaries. A.U. is arbitrary units. **(e)** The convergence and
divergence between the group average map of thickness and tissue density. **(f)** Histogram depicting gradient
scores in the four visual stream regions. Gradient 1 is a global gradient increasing from early to ventral, for
example. Gradient 2 is a local gradient sampled evenly within an individual visual stream. **(g)** The dependence
of gradient value differences on geodesic distance are different for the two gradients. Gradient 1 shows larger

154 changes across vertices separated by a long distance, whereas gradient 2 shows larger changes for short
155 distances. **(h)** Geometric models of the two architectural gradients, which were constructed using the geodesic
156 distance of each vertex of visual cortex to specific anatomical landmarks as anchors. The calcarine sulcus and
157 eight local minima of gradient 2 were used as anchors to model gradient 1 and 2, respectively.
158
Given that gradient 1 values show smooth changes as one travels from early to high-level
visual cortex, we hypothesize that it will recapitulate the hierarchical organization of functional
features across visual cortex. To test this, we examined the spatial similarity between the
architectural gradients and the population receptive field (pRF) properties as measured by the
HCP 7T retinotopy dataset[44]. The pRF represents the portion of visual space in which a stimulus
evokes a response in a given voxel, and pRF size increases along the visual processing
hierarchy[45–47]. We found that gradient 1 was highly correlated with pRF size (Figure 2a) and the
known ranking of visual field maps across cortex (Figure 2b), while gradient 2 was not.
Strikingly, gradient 1 explains functional features of visual cortex (receptive field size,
hierarchical rank) better than the gradient derived from resting-state functional connectivity
(RSFC) within visual cortex (Figure S4a-c). Further evidence for this hypothesis can be found
when examining temporal properties of visual cortex function. It is widely recognized that the
frequency at which the BOLD signal fluctuates during resting-state functional MRI[36,48,49] is
different in distinct regions of cortex. This temporal property of the BOLD signal, quantified as a
fractional amplitude of low-frequency fluctuation (fALFF)[50], correlates well with temporal
properties of receptive fields in visual cortex[35,36,51]. Here, we find that this temporal gradient as
measured by fALFF is well-described by gradient 1 in a simple regression analysis (Figure 2c).
Thus, the convergence relationship between cortical thickness and density across visual
cortex appears related to broad changes in functional features. In contrast, gradient 2 appears to
act more locally, showing greater spatial inhomogeneity with interdigitating peaks and valleys of
scores within individual visual streams. We hypothesized that these local deviations from the
canonical thickness and density of cortex underlie the finer-scale division of visual cortex into
categorically distinct regions. That is, does the arealization of cortex into distinct regions involve
these regions becoming more distinct from a prototypical cortical sheet (i.e., gradient 1)? To test
this, we hypothesize that gradient 2, when combined with gradient 1, will improve the
differentiation of cortical regions as defined by the HCP multimodal parcellation[8]. Through a
classifier-based analysis, regional classification was significantly higher when gradient 2 is
combined with gradient 1 (Figure 2c). Additionally, the two architectural gradients outperform
the first two gradients derived from resting-state functional MRI in a similar classification
approach (Figure S4d).
Lastly, if the two architectural gradients truly relate to the functional organization of
visual cortex in distinct ways, then these differences should be mirrored in the way
cytoarchitecture contributes to each structural gradient. Based on the BigBrain dataset[52], we
extracted cell body density data for each of the six cortical layers to produce six structural maps
projected onto the cortical surface. For gradient 1, we find that changes in its structural features
correlate strongly with cell density of layers III and IV, where the pronunciation of layer IV
decreases with increasing distance from the calcarine sulcus. Gradient 2 was most correlated

with cell density of layer I, with positive scores overlapping cortex with thicker superficial layers
of cortex (Figure 2d). Because layers III and IV are primarily involved in feedforward
connections whereas layer I plays a predominant role in feedback projections, this finding might
suggest that gradient 2 is a structural fingerprint of differential feedback connectivity in visual
cortex.
Given the relatively tight correspondence between these architectural gradients and the
functional organization of visual cortex, can this structural-functional coupling generalize to
regions of visual cortex that have not yet been mapped? Upon examination of the topology of
gradient 2, a pattern emerges between the gradient and retinotopic representations. While most of
the anchor points for the gradient 2 map simulation correspond to visual field map clusters which
share a foveal representation (V1-V4, VO1-2, IPS0-1, IPS2-3, TO1-2)[39,53], one additional anchor
appeared in the anterior temporal lobe near the location where the occipitotemporal sulcus (OTS)
merges with the collateral sulcus (CoS) more medially (Figure 1d,h). If we assume a
correspondence between gradient 2 anchors and visual field map clusters, then this anterior-most
anchor would suggest an additional cluster of visual field maps in the anterior temporal lobe, one
which has not yet been described in the literature. To test this hypothesis, and potentially
demonstrate the predictive power of these architectural gradients to unmapped cortex, we
performed pRF mapping[45] on 12 participants with high-contrast, socio-ecological images to
better drive neurons of high-level visual cortex often tuned for such complex objects[54].
We indeed find a cluster of visual field maps in the anterior temporal lobe located
medially overlapping the CoS and extending laterally towards the OTS usually just beyond the
anterior tip of the fusiform gyrus but sometimes overlapping it (Figure 2e). This location is
consistent with a previous report of face-selectivity in the anterior temporal lobe[55] and is located
within perirhinal cortex and approximately located at the border between Brodmann areas 35 and
36[56,57]. These maps were observable in the majority of hemispheres (23/24 hemispheres; see
Figure S5). This cluster of maps shows a clear radial representation of pRF eccentricity, with
voxels near the center of the cluster sampling central visual space, and those near the outer
boundary of the cluster sampling peripheral visual space. Perpendicular to this radial eccentricity
representation was a representation of polar angle, with two upper visual field representations
separated by a shared lower visual field representation, usually oriented at an oblique angle to the
CoS but sometimes parallel with it (Figure 2e,f). Consistent with spatial computations in earlier
visual field maps, these anterior temporal maps, which we call here AT-1 and AT-2, have pRF
centers that mainly sample the contralateral visual field[15,34,46], although it was not uncommon for
pRF centers to sample ipsilateral visual space (Figure S5). Lastly, a hallmark feature of visual
pRFs is that they increase in size as one ascends the visual processing hierarchy, and the positive
relationship between pRF eccentricity and size tends to become more dramatic as well[33,45–47]. To
test this, we extract pRF fits from vertices with variance explained greater than 10%. We find
that consistent with its high position within the processing hierarchy, pRF sizes are significantly
larger than in earlier visual field maps V1 through V3, and the linear function relating pRF
eccentricity and size yielded larger slopes compared to V1-V3 (Figure 2g).

236
Figure 2. The functional and microstructural properties of the two architectural gradients. (a) Gradient 1
was highly correlated with the pRF size (r=0.66), while the gradient 2 was not (r=0.03). **(b)** Gradient 1 was
perfectly correlated with the hierarchical rank of the 10 visual areas within the ventral visual stream (Spearman
rank ρ=1.00), while gradient 2 was not. **(c)** The functional significance of the architectural gradients was
evaluated by measuring to what extent each gradient is related to areal differentiation of the visual cortex (left)
and the global functional organization measured by fractional amplitude of low-frequency fluctuation (fALFF)
from resting-state fMRI (right). The combination of gradients 1 and 2 greatly improved the predictive power of
classifying visual areas compared to using either gradient alone. However, gradient 1 contributes more than
gradient 2 in predicting the global-scale functional organization (i.e., fALFF map). **(d)** Cell body density from
the BigBrain dataset is quantified for each cortical layer at each vertex and correlated with each gradient map.
Gradient 1 was mainly correlated with cell body density in Layers III and IV, while gradient 2 was mainly
correlated with cell body density in Layer I. **(e)** The architectural gradient 2 predicts the presence of novel

visual field maps in the anterior temporal lobe. Left: example participant with the pRF eccentricity map
displayed on the inflated cortical surface. The highlighted region (white dotted line) is the subject of the
zoomed insets on the right. The black outline delineates the anatomical region from which pRF data was
extracted. The putative visual field map cluster is outlined on the insets, showing a radial eccentricity
representation, and a perpendicular representation of polar angle travelling roughly medio-anterior to latero-
posterior as indicated by the white arrow. **(f)** Illustration on an inflated cortical surface illustrating that the AT-
cluster of retinotopic maps is located near the anterior intersection of the occipitotemporal (OTS) and collateral
sulci (CoS). The AT-cluster field maps demonstrate perpendicular representations of pRF eccentricity and
polar angle. **(g)** In all 12 participants, pRF size and eccentricity from all above-threshold vertices within the
anatomically defined region (black solid line from panel e) are extracted, binned by eccentricity, averaged
across participants, and then lines-of-best fit are modeled across the averaged data. Shaded regions represent
bootstrapped 68% confidence intervals.

If these architectural gradients are capable of extrapolating to functional representations
in visual cortex, to what extent can they also describe the behaviors supported by visual cortex?
In addition to providing spatial maps of scores, the PCA provides a weight or sense of fit
describing how a given participant relates to a given gradient. To answer the question above, a
canonical correlation analysis (CCA)[58] was performed to examine how individual participant
weights for the two gradients can predict the individual behavioral performance from 15 vision-
related behavioral tasks[59] (Figure 3a). As shown in Figure 3b, both gradients show significant
correlation with visual ability. However, in comparison to the architectural convergence pattern
(gradient 1), the divergence pattern (gradient 2) demonstrates a stronger correlation with visual
behaviors. Moreover, the two gradients are associated with distinct and unrelated behavioral
profiles (Figure 3c): Behavioral variables related to attention, visual acuity and inhibitory control
are more closely associated with gradient 1, while vocabulary comprehension, fluid intelligence,
spatial orientation processing ability, and nonverbal episodic memory ability are more strongly
linked to the gradient 2. The distinct behavioral mapping profiles of the convergence and
divergence gradients further underscore each architectural gradient's unique contribution to brain
function and highlighting the cognitive importance of the architectural gradients.

If these architectural gradients across the cortical sheet correspond to differences in
cortical tissue content, brain function, and behavior, as evidenced above, then they should also
change across the lifespan, given that behavior and neocortical tissue structure develop
dramatically during childhood across visual cortex[27,32,60]. The two spatial gradients described
above were derived from the young adult dataset (HCP-YA). We can therefore repeat the spatial
component analysis at various stages of the lifespan. We can ask if the first two principal
gradients replicate in these separate stages, and determine how they may change, if at all, across
the lifespan using HCP development (HCP-D) and aging (HCP-A) datasets[61]. We binned
participants in equal-sized windows of increasing age, deriving within each window the top PCs
and correlating their score maps with that from the young adult dataset. To distinguish the PCs of
each age window from the PCs of the young adult dataset, we referred those derived from
developmental age-bins as lifespan components (LC). We found that LC1 and LC2 from the
developmental (n = 652 participants, 351 females, ages 5-21), adult (n = 1070, 586 females, ages
22-37), and aging (n = 725 participants, 406 females, ages 36-100) data at every age bin show a
high correspondence to gradient 1 and gradient 2 from the young adult data respectively,

compared to other LCs (Figure 3d). This demonstrates that the two gradients derived from lifespan data at each window replicate those of the young adult dataset, allowing us to trace their developmental trajectories.

Examining the correlation between the young adult gradient and LC within each developmental window, we first found that LCs, as expected, are stable during young adulthood (Figure 3e, middle). However, we found a linear change across childhood, with the topography of LC1 and LC2 becoming more adult-like with maturation (Figure 3e, left). The trajectory of each LC was unique. LC2 showed a significantly larger developmental effect than LC1, with an annualized rate of change (AROC) four times that of LC1(0.86% vs. 0.20%). Finally, if gradients solidify their structural topography across childhood and adolescence, do they show degeneration in later adulthood? We can make two a priori hypotheses here: first, that both LCs will show linear loss of their adult-like topographies and second, that LC2 will show more rapid degeneration than LC1, consistent with the developmental retrogenesis trends observed in white matter development[62]. The sliding-window gradient analysis on the aging dataset revealed that both hypotheses are supported, LC1 and LC2 both show linear loss of their topographies with LC2 (AROC: -0.17%) showing more dramatic degeneration than LC1 (AROC: -0.04%) (Figure 3e, right).

Figure 3. The relevance of the architectural gradients to visual behavior, development, and degeneration across the lifespan. (a) Canonical correlation analysis (CCA) was used to associate multiple vision-related tasks with the two weight-vectors of each architectural gradient in the HCP-YA. CCA finds the linear combination of variables that best associate measures from the two data domains across participants. **(b)** Both architectural gradients can significantly predict individual visual ability. However, gradient 2 showed stronger correlation with visual behaviors than gradient 1. **(c)** The normalized magnitude of behavioral factor weights from CCA indicate that gradient 1 was correlated more with low-level visual abilities, while gradient 2 was correlated more with higher-level visual abilities. **(d)** Sliding window spatial PCA was performed across the lifespan (PCA on a given age-bin results in "lifespan component", LC) to compare how the patterns of gradient 1 and 2 change with the age. The top two LCs (i.e., spatial maps) extracted from each age window correlate

321 strongly with their respective component from the HCP-YA, confirming that the architectural gradients can be
322 observed across the lifespan. **(e)** Correlations between LCs and PCs reveal that gradient 2 shows more
323 development and degeneration across childhood and aging, compared to gradient 1.
324
325 **Discussion**
326
Overall, we provide evidence for two architectural gradients across human visual cortex,
each of which captures a distinct relationship between the thickness and tissue density of the
cortical sheet. While the first gradient captures a relationship in which thickness and density
measures vary in agreement with one another, the second captures a relationship in which these
two features diverge from one another. The convergence map of cortical thickness and tissue
density scaffolds the cortex into a processing hierarchy, while the divergence map between these
features appears to contribute to the finer-scale arealization of the cortex into distinct regions.
These two architectural gradients together describe well the broader functional landscape and
seem to relate to unique aspects of cytoarchitecture across cortex. Where the first gradient
correlates strongly with pRF size of the visual system, and is capable of ranking regions into
their ground-truth hierarchical ordering, the second gradient appears to differentiate visual cortex
into distinct zones within a hierarchical level. The second gradient not only predicted the
location of a new cluster of visual field maps, but demonstrated more dynamic changes across
the lifespan which may correlate with visual behavior development.
These data would suggest that gradient 2 tracks the functional differentiation of visual
cortex into unique regions, wherein regions occupying the same hierarchical level within
gradient 1 show distinct values in gradient 2. The current data offer strong evidence in this
regard through two separate observations. First, adding the divergent gradient into a classifier
along with the convergent gradient significantly improved classification performance of cortical
region labels (Figure 2c). Second, cortical regions where divergent gradient scores transitioned
from positive to negative values appeared to be spatially related to the location of shared foveal
representations in visual field map clusters. By showing that a novel set of visual field maps
could be observed in perirhinal cortex where such a transition zone existed in the structural
measures of the divergent gradient, provides strong empirical evidence for the predictive power
of these structural metrics on the functional topography of the brain. These new visual field maps
also provide greater context for previous observations of a face-selective region in the anterior
temporal lobe[55], which may neighbor or overlap these novel AT field maps. This relationship
would be consistent with previous work showing that face-selective regions on the fusiform
gyrus neighbor foveal representations of nearby visual field map clusters in more posterior
portions of ventral occipitotemporal cortex[63,64].
Given that gradient 2 shows the strongest relationship to behavior and tracks
developmental changes across the lifespan, it might suggest that the differential development
between cortical regions during childhood more strongly drives maturation of visual behavior,
compared to global structural changes. Indeed, previous work has shown that divergent
developmental trajectories between category-selective regions of high-level visual cortex

underlies behavioral maturation of high-level visual abilities[60] and that a disruption in this
differential structural development may underlie disorders of high-level vision[65]. Future work
can examine if functional arealization at earlier developmental timepoints, as in infancy[66],
follows this prediction.
Likewise, our results hold implications for understanding human neurodevelopment. The
nearly mirror symmetric development and degeneration of these architectural gradients between
childhood and later adulthood offer strong evidence that gradient 2 follows the pattern of
retrogenesis[67] across the lifespan. It is presently unclear if the changes in cortical tissue during
childhood that drive the developmental emergence of gradient 2 are the same tissue
compartments which degenerate later in life (Figure 3e). Indeed, while our current analyses focus
on cortex, the expanse of visual cortex described here is innervated by several major white
matter pathways such as the vertical occipital[68], the inferior longitudinal[69], and the arcuate
fasciculi[70], all of whose development has been shown to be behaviorally relevant[65,71–74].
Therefore, the extent to which these architectural changes reflect local tissue structure versus
connectomic features[75] should be clarified in future work. Furthermore, while gradient 1
described a prototypical relationship between the thickness and density of tissue in the cortical
sheet, gradient 2 captures how these two features diverge from this canonical relationship and
exhibits greater behavioral relevance across a range of visual behaviors. This might suggest that
the patterning of cortex into distinct cortical regions necessitates deviation from a canonical
cortical sheet, reminiscent of the protocortex hypothesis of gestational neurodevelopment in
which largely similar progenitor cells in the primordial cortex differentiate as a result of distinct
thalamic inputs across cortex[76–78]. The morphological changes during gestation that lead to
arealization of cortex into distinct regions are certainly distinct from the childhood structural
measures quantified here through MRI, but may nonetheless suggest that the ontogenetic
routines which serve to differentiate cortex into cytoarchitectonically distinct zones during
gestation likely have long-term influences on the postnatal development observed here.
These findings offer evidence that there are architectural gradients, measurable with
MRI, that are shared across individuals. These shared patterns of cortical sheet morphology track
the functional organization and computations of the underlying cortical sheet across the human
lifespan. These findings provide a normative benchmark for future work examining how
deviations from these shared mesoscale architectural patterns underlie neurological disorders.
393
394
395
396   **Methods**
397
<u>Human Connectome Project Data</u>
The publicly available data from the HCP Young Adult (HCP-YA)[21,79], Development
(HCP-D) and Aging (HCP-A)[61] were used in the study. The three large-scale brain imaging
studies collect behavioral and multi-modal MRI data in healthy participants from 5 to 100 years

of age, and thus provide us with opportunities to characterize brain changes across the human
lifespans. Only structural MRI, resting-state fMRI and behavioral data were used in the study.
The use and analyses were approved by the Institutional Review Boards of Beijing Normal
University (No. ICBIR_A_0111_001) and Princeton University (No. 13074).
After excluding participants with invalid MSM-All registration and those without any
resting-state functional MRI (rs-fMRI) data, we obtained multi-modal MRI and behavioral data
for 1070 HCP-YA participants (586 females, ages 22-37, S1200 release). For each participant,
T1-weighted (T1w) and T2-weighted (T2w) structural images (0.7mm isotropic voxels) and
functional images (2mm isotropic voxels; TR=720ms) were acquired on the HCP's customized
3-Tesla Siemens Skyra scanner using a 32-channel head coil. The rs-fMRI paradigm included
two sessions, each session itself including two runs with opposite phase-encoding directions
(R/L and L/R, each 15 minutes long). All the structural and functional MRI data were
preprocessed using the HCP minimal preprocessing pipelines, and more information regarding
data acquisition and preprocessing is available from previous work[79–81].
The HCP-D and HCP-A datasets were acquired on a 3T Siemens Prisma scanner with
similar protocol as the HCP-YA data[20,22]. Structural MRI data (0.8mm isotropic) from 652 HCP-
D participants (351 females, ages 5-21) and 725 HCP-A participants (406 females, ages 36-100)
were used in this study (Lifespan HCP release 2.0). Preprocessing of these two datasets was
nearly identical to that of the HCP-YA with small adaptations to account for the variability of the
wider age range[61]. The HCP data used in this study were in fsLR_32k cortical space based on the
MSM-All registration[82], and cortical thickness data used in the study have been regressed out to
exclude the linear effect of cortical curvature.
424
BigBrain Data
The BigBrain dataset is a volumetric reconstruction (20 μm isotropic) of a histologically
processed postmortem brain of a human male 65 years of age. Sections were stained for cell
bodies, imaged, and digitally reconstructed into 3D volume[52]. The white and pial surfaces of the
BigBrain were extracted at the gray-white matter boundary and gray matter/cerebrospinal fluid
(CSF) boundary[83], respectively. The 3D laminar atlas, including six cortical layers, was also
derived at 20 μm isotropic resolution[83,84]. Based on the surface registration to the MRI-based
MNI152 template surface, the cytoarchitectural information from each layer of the BigBrain can
be linked to in vivo neuroimaging data.
434
Population receptive field (pRF) experiment
We performed pRF mapping on 12 participants with high-contrast, ecological images to
better drive neurons of high-level visual cortex often tuned for such complex objects. We
adapted the experiment used in the HCP 7T Retinotopy Dataset[44]. Stimuli consisted of slowly-
moving bar-shaped apertures of 2-degree width filled with a dynamic colorful texture. Textures
presented within the bar aperture were updated at a rate of 7 Hz. Textures included randomly-
presented cartoon scenes depicting people, animals, characters, text, limbs and objects evenly

spanning the width of the stimulus aperture. Participants were asked to fixate on a central dot
while attending to the bar, monitoring it for the random appearance of a target cartoon stimulus
(a grid of wiggling bumblebees) which appeared for a 500ms duration, 10 times during the
experiment. Each run lasted 300s, and participants completed 3 to 4 runs.
446
Data Analysis
Definition of human visual cortex. Human visual cortex was defined by grouping the 44
visual areas from the HCP multimodal parcellation (MMP) atlas[8]. All of these areas located in
the occipital, parietal and temporal cortices and show a significant BOLD response to visual
objects. According to the well-established model of the visual cortex, these areas are grouped
into four visual processing streams: early stream (V1, V2, V3, V4), lateral stream (V3CD, LO1,
LO2, LO3, V4t, FST, MT, MST, PH); dorsal (V3A, V3B, V6, V6A, V7, IPS1, LIPv, VIP, MIP,
7Am, 7PL, 7Pm, IP0, IP1, DVT, ProS, POS1, POS2, PCV), and ventral (V8, VVC, PIT, FFC,
VMV1, VMV2, VMV3, PeEc, PHA1, PHA2, PHA3, TF). Please see supplementary information
for the detailed descriptions of these areas (Table S1).
457
Extracting architectural gradients of human visual cortex. The thickness of cortex, and
the density of neurite and myelin content (referred to here as tissue density), are two widely used
mesoscale in vivo architectural measures derived from structural MRI and were used to extract
architectural gradients of human visual cortex. Cortical thickness was measured as the shortest
distance between each vertex on the white matter surface and the pial surface[23], while cortical
tissue density was measured by the ratio of T1w to T2w[24]. For each hemisphere, individual
cortical thickness and density maps from all HCP-YA participants were concatenated and a
principal component analysis (PCA)[38] was conducted to linearly decompose the concatenated
maps into a collection of orthogonal principal components (PCs), consisting of spatial maps (i.e.,
score) and individual weights (i.e., loading) in pairs. The score map explains how the structural
properties change across the cortical sheet on each component and the individual weights
describe how individual cortical thickness and tissue density maps contributes to each
component. The PCs are sorted in decreasing order according to the amount of variance
explained by each of the component. The contribution ratio of density or thickness for a given
PC was calculated by the ratio of the sum of absolute values of weights of the loading matrix
from each measure to the sum of absolute values of weights from both measures.
474
The global hierarchy of the architectural gradients. Two metrics which index the visual
cortical functional hierarchy were used to validate the global hierarchy of the architectural
gradients.
(1) Population receptive field (pRF) size: It is widely known that pRF size progressively
increases as one ascends the processing hierarchy from V1 to high-level visual cortex[33,45,46].
We first validated the global hierarchy of the architectural gradients by measuring if the
gradients show similar spatial pattern to the pRF size across visual areas. Specifically, we

calculated the Pearson correlation coefficients between architectural gradients and pRF size
from the HCP's 7T retinotopy dataset[44]. Only the vertices whose eccentricity of pRF within 8
degrees were used because retinotopic mapping stimuli were constrained to a circular region
with a radius of 8 degrees.
(2) Hierarchical rank: As the hierarchical level of the visual areas within the ventral stream has
been widely studied and relatively clear, we reviewed literature describing the hierarchical
relationships of 10 visual areas within the ventral stream[7,8,85–98] and ranked them into a
hierarchy from lowest-level to high: V1, V2, V3, V4, V8, PIT, VVC, FFC, TF, and PeEc,
with cortical regions defined using labels of the HCP multimodal atlas[8]. Spearman's rank
correlation coefficients were then computed between the mean gradient score and the
hierarchical rank of the areas.
493

Geometric models of the architectural gradients. The geometric models of the
architectural gradients were constructed by the geodesic distance of each vertex to a set of
specific references (Figure 1h). The primary gradient was modeled as the geodesic distance of
each vertex of visual cortex to the calcarine sulcus (CS) anchor. The secondary gradient was
modeled as the minimum geodesic distance from each vertex on the visual cortex to the eight
anchors dispersed among four visual processing streams, which consisted of two local minima in
the early visual cortex, two local minima in the dorsal visual stream, two local minima in the
lateral visual stream, and two local minima in the ventral visual stream (Please see
supplementary information for details, Table S2).
503

The gradient differences between vertices with different spatial distance. The gradient
differences for each pair of vertices were calculated as the absolute difference between their
gradient scores. The spatial distance between each pair of vertices was measured by the geodesic
distance separating them on the cortical surface. The gradient differences between pairs of
vertices were then sorted into 100 groups according to their spatial distance. The mean gradient
differences and geodesic distances were then computed for each group and plotted against each
other to evaluate how the gradient differences depend on spatial distance.
511

Functional significance of the architectural gradients. We characterized the functional
significance of the architectural gradients by measuring to what extent each gradient is related to
areal differentiation of the visual cortex[8] and the fractional amplitude of low-frequency
fluctuation (fALFF) from resting-state fMRI[50].
(1) Predicting visual areas based on the architectural gradients: Logistic Regression classifiers
were trained on the architectural gradients to predict visual cortical areas. Specifically, the
vertices from the visual cortex, with the architectural gradients as features, were used as the
samples and the 44 visual cortical areas from the HCP MMP were used as the true class
labels (i.e., 44-class classification). The areas with more vertices were down sampled to have
the same number of vertices as the smallest areas to keep the number of samples with each

class constant and thus avoid the imbalance of sample number across different visual areas.
Logistic Regression classifiers were trained and tested on each downsampled data using a 5-
fold cross-validation (CV) procedure. 100 random downsamples were performed and the
averaged accuracy was used to measure the classification accuracy.
526
(2) Predicting fALFF based on the architectural gradients: The fALFF is calculated as the ratio
of the power spectrum of low-frequency modulations to that of the entire frequency range
and is indicative of the magnitude of spontaneous brain activity[48,50]. For each rs-fMRI run,
the time series of each vertex was Fourier transformed to a frequency domain without band-
pass filtering, and the square root of the power was calculated at each frequency within the
spectrum. fALFF was then computed by dividing the sum of amplitudes across a low-
frequency band of the spectrum (0.01–0.1 Hz) by the sum of amplitudes across all
frequencies up to the Nyquist frequency (0–0.625 Hz). The group fALFF map was calculated
by averaging individual fALFF across all valid rfMRI runs within each participant and then
across participants. 100 linear regression analyses using the 5-fold CV procedure were
performed and the averaged R^2 was used to characterize to what extent the two architectural
gradients are related to spontaneous functional activity characterized by fALFF.
539
Laminar cytoarchitecture underlying the architectural gradients. The BigBrain cortical
surfaces registered to standard surfaces, the surfaces of borders of the six cortical layers in
BigBrain histological space, and the cell body density (CBD) data at 40-μm resolution were used
together to extract laminar cytoarchitecture of the visual cortex. Specifically, for each of six
cortical layers[83], we first generated 10 surfaces based on the equivolumetric principle between its
inner and outer borders[99] to extract 10 CBD maps in the BigBrain space. Next, the CBD data
from the BigBrain space were resampled to the fsLR_32k space, and then the 10 CBD maps
were averaged to obtain an averaged CBD map for the layer. Finally, the spatial similarity
between the architectural gradients and the averaged CBD map from each of the 6 cortical layers
was measured with Pearson correlation coefficients.
550
Population receptive field (pRF) mapping. Functional images were preprocessed using a
similar pipeline to the HCP data, including motion-correction, slice-timing correction, and phase-
encoding distortion correction, and then aligned to each participant's native cortical surface
through FreeSurfer's FS-FAST pipeline[100]. The preprocessed data from multiple runs from each
participant were averaged to increase signal-to-noise ratio. The data were finally analyzed by a
pRF model implemented in the VISTA Lab toolbox (github.com/vistalab), with additions for
compressive nonlinearity (cvnlab.net/analyzePRF). The model predicts fMRI time series as the
convolution of the stimulus-related time series and a canonical hemodynamic response function.
The stimulus-related time series are in turn generated by computing the dot product between the
stimulus apertures and a 2D isotropic Gaussian, scaling and applying a static power-law
nonlinearity[101]. Several parameters of interest are produced from the pRF model for each vertex

including phase angle, eccentricity, and pRF size. Vertices entered into any analyses presented in
Figure 2 were only included if there was at least 10% variance-explained by the model-fit.
564
Behavioral relevance of the architectural gradients. The behavioral significance of the
architectural gradients was examined by measuring how the individual weights from PCA can
account for the individual variation in behavioral performance on related visual tasks. The HCP-
YA dataset include behavioral tasks of a range of motor, sensory, cognitive, and emotional
processes. Because our architectural gradients cover the entire visual cortex and may involve into
a variety of cognitive and behavioral abilities, a total of 15 vision-related or vision-based
behavioral tasks[59], designed to measure nonverbal episodic memory ability, cognitive flexibility,
inhibitory control and attention ability, fluid intelligence, reading decoding skill, general
vocabulary knowledge, speed of processing, spatial orientation processing ability, sensitivity of
sustained attention, specificity of sustained attention, verbal episodic memory ability, working
memory ability, odor identification ability, visual acuity, and contrast sensitivity, were selected
to examine the behavioral relevance of the gradients (Please see supplementary information for
details, Table S3).
For the two sets of individual weights (i.e., myelin/neurite density weights and cortical
thickness weights) associated with each of architectural gradient, we carried out a single
integrated multivariate analysis using canonical correlation analysis (CCA)[58], to simultaneously
co-analyze the two sets of gradients along with 15 behavioral variables from all participants.
CCA aims to identify symmetric linear relations between the two sets of variables. That is, we
used CCA to find components that relate the two sets of weights from each gradient to 15 sets of
participants' behavioral measures. Each CCA component identifies a linear combination of two
weights and a linear combination of behavioral variables, where the variation in strength of
involvement across participants is maximally correlated. The normalized magnitude of
behavioral weights, obtained by dividing each squared behavioral weight by the total sum of all
squared weights, was used to characterize the behavioral profile of an architectural gradient and
reveal how the two gradients are different in predicting the same set of behavioral variables.
590
The development of the architectural gradients across the lifespan. We divided HCP-D,
HCP-YA, and HCP-A participants into different age windows (subgroups) in ascending order of
age. Among them, the participants of HCP-D and HCP-A were sorted by their age in months,
while HCP-YA sorted in years because no month information was provided. Each window
consisted of 50 participants and had a step size of ten. As a result, there are 61, 103, and 68 age
windows generated for HCP-D, HCP-YA, and HCP-A data, respectively. To characterize the
changes of the architectural gradients across the human lifespan, we conducted PCA on the
stacked tissue density and thickness maps of each window as we did in the whole HCP-YA data.
We label the principal components from each age window as lifespan components (LC) to
differentiate them from the original PCs derived from the HCP-YA dataset. To determine if the
observed LCs were similar to the PCs from the HCP-YA data, we calculated the Pearson's

correlation coefficients between the HCP-YA PCs and the top ten LCs for each window. The LC
which shows strongest correlation with a PC was considered to be the correspondence LC to the
PC in that age window. Lastly, how the observed gradients from each age window change
relative to the gradient from the HCP-YA was measured by the Pearson's correlation coefficient
between their score maps. The changes along age were then charted (i.e., developmental
trajectories). A linear model was then constructed to characterize the developmental trajectory
within each of three datasets. The slope of the linear model was defined as the annualized rate of
change (AROC) in the architectural gradients.

Ethical Compliance

Data analyzed from the Human Connectome Project follows all necessary privacy and
security guidelines. Data collected from participants at Princeton University followed all
Institutional Review Board ethics and guidelines (protocol number 13074), and all safety
regulations set forth by the Scully Center for the Neuroscience of Mind and Behavior. Informed
consent was collected from every participant involved in this study, and all were reimbursed for
their time.

Data Availability

620   The data from the HCP Young Adult (HCP-YA) are publicly available at the
621   https://www.humanconnectome.org; The data from the HCP Development (HCP-D) and HCP
622   Aging (HCP-A) are publicly available at https://nda.nih.gov. Users can access these after
623   registration.

Code Availability

626   The HCP data were preprocessed using the HCP-Pipeline analyses
627   (https://github.com/Washington-University/HCPpipelines). Custom code for gradient analysis
628   can be found at https://github.com/BNUCNL/VisualCortexGradient. Code to reproduce
629   population receptive field mapping figures can be found at:
630   https://github.com/gomezj/entorhinal_prf.

Acknowledgements

633   We thank Youyi Liu and the Brain Development Lab for several useful discussions. This
634   research was supported by Child Brain-Mind Development Cohort Study in the China Brain
635   Initiative (2021ZD0200534) to ZZ. This research was supported by start-up funds from the
636   Princeton Neuroscience Institute to JG.

Author Contributions

639   JG and ZZ conceived of the idea and design of the study. XC and XL compiled the data,
640   performed the analyses, and prepared visualizations. PH, ED, and JY performed the analyses.
641   JG, XC, XL, and ZZ drafted and revised the manuscript with input from all authors. JG and ZZ
642   supervised the research.

Competing Interests Statement

The authors declare no competing interests.

References

1. Vergleichende Lokalisationslehre der Großhirnrinde : in ihren Prinzipien dargestellt auf Grund des Zellenbaues / von K. Brodmann. (1909).

2. Brodmann, K. & Gary, L. J. Brodmann's Localisation in the Cerebral Cortex: The Principles of Comparative Localisation in the Cerebral Cortex Based on Cytoarchitectonics. (Springer, New York, NY, 2006).

3. Vogt, C. & Vogt, O. Allgemeine Ergebnisse Unserer Hirnforschung. vol. 25 (JA Barth, 1919).

4. Amunts, K. & Zilles, K. Architectonic Mapping of the Human Brain beyond Brodmann. Neuron **88**, 1086–1107 (2015).

5. Amunts, K., Mohlberg, H., Bludau, S. & Zilles, K. Julich-Brain: A 3D probabilistic atlas of the human brain's cytoarchitecture. Science **369**, 988–992 (2020).

6. Burt, J. B. et al. Hierarchy of transcriptomic specialization across human cortex captured by structural neuroimaging topography. Nat. Neurosci. **21**, 1251–1259 (2018).

7. Gomez, J., Zhen, Z. & Weiner, K. S. Human visual cortex is organized along two genetically opposed hierarchical gradients with unique developmental and evolutionary origins. PLOS Biol. **17**, e3000362 (2019).

8. Glasser, M. F. et al. A multi-modal parcellation of human cerebral cortex. Nature **536**, 171–178 (2016).

9. Lerch, J. P. et al. Studying neuroanatomy using MRI. Nat. Neurosci. **20**, 314–326 (2017).

10. Sebenius, I. et al. Robust estimation of cortical similarity networks from brain MRI. Nat. Neurosci. **26**, 1461–1471 (2023).

11. Genon, S., Eickhoff, S. B. & Kharabian, S. Linking interindividual variability in brain

structure to behaviour. Nat. Rev. Neurosci. **23**, 307–318 (2022).

12. Grill-Spector, K. & Weiner, K. S. The functional architecture of the ventral temporal cortex

and its role in categorization. Nat. Rev. Neurosci. **15**, 536–548 (2014).

13. Witthoft, N. et al. Where is human V4? Predicting the location of hV4 and VO1 from

cortical folding. Cereb. Cortex N. Y. N 1991 **24**, 2401–2408 (2014).

14. Dumoulin, S. O. et al. A new anatomical landmark for reliable identification of human area

V5/MT: a quantitative analysis of sulcal patterning. Cereb. Cortex N. Y. N 1991 **10**, 454–

463 (2000).

15. Gomez, J. et al. Development of population receptive fields in the lateral visual stream

improves spatial coding amid stable structural-functional coupling. NeuroImage **188**, 59–69

(2019).

16. Konkle, T. & Caramazza, A. Tripartite organization of the ventral stream by animacy and

object size. J. Neurosci. Off. J. Soc. Neurosci. **33**, 10235–10242 (2013).

17. Wandell, B. A. & Winawer, J. Imaging retinotopic maps in the human brain. Vision Res. **51**,

718–737 (2011).

18. Benson, N. C. & Winawer, J. Bayesian analysis of retinotopic maps. eLife **7**, e40224 (2018).

19. Konen, C. S. & Kastner, S. Representation of eye movements and stimulus motion in

topographically organized areas of human posterior parietal cortex. J. Neurosci. Off. J. Soc.

Neurosci. **28**, 8361–8375 (2008).

20. Bookheimer, S. Y. et al. The Lifespan Human Connectome Project in Aging: An overview.

NeuroImage **185**, 335–348 (2019).

21. Van Essen, D. C. et al. The WU-Minn Human Connectome Project: An overview.

NeuroImage **80**, 62–79 (2013).

22. Somerville, L. H. et al. The Lifespan Human Connectome Project in Development: A large-

scale study of brain connectivity development in 5-21 year olds. NeuroImage **183**, 456–468

(2018).

23. Fischl, B. & Dale, A. M. Measuring the thickness of the human cerebral cortex from

magnetic resonance images. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. **97**, 11050–11055 (2000).

24. Glasser, M. F. & Essen, D. C. V. Mapping Human Cortical Areas In Vivo Based on Myelin

Content as Revealed by T1- and T2-Weighted MRI. J. Neurosci. **31**, 11597–11616 (2011).

25. Carlo, C. N. & Stevens, C. F. Structural uniformity of neocortex, revisited. Proc. Natl. Acad.

Sci. U. S. A. **110**, 1488–1493 (2013).

26. Huttenlocher, P. R. & Dabholkar, A. S. Regional differences in synaptogenesis in human

cerebral cortex. J. Comp. Neurol. **387**, 167–178 (1997).

27. Natu, V. S. et al. Apparent thinning of human visual cortex during childhood is associated

with myelination. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. **116**, 20750–20759 (2019).

28. Bo, T. et al. Brain-wide and cell-specific transcriptomic insights into MRI-derived cortical

morphology in macaque monkeys. Nat. Commun. **14**, 1499 (2023).

29. Preziosa, P. et al. Neurite density explains cortical T1-weighted/T2-weighted ratio in

multiple sclerosis. J. Neurol. Neurosurg. Psychiatry **92**, 790–792 (2021).

30. Miller, J. A., Voorhies, W. I., Lurie, D. J., D'Esposito, M. & Weiner, K. S. Overlooked

Tertiary Sulci Serve as a Meso-Scale Link between Microstructural and Functional

Properties of Human Lateral Prefrontal Cortex. J. Neurosci. Off. J. Soc. Neurosci. **41**, 2229–

2244 (2021).

31. Grotheer, M. et al. White matter myelination during early infancy is linked to spatial

gradients and myelin content at birth. Nat. Commun. **13**, 997 (2022).

32. Sowell, E. R. et al. Longitudinal Mapping of Cortical Thickness and Brain Growth in

Normal Children. J. Neurosci. **24**, 8223–8231 (2004).

33. Wandell, B. A. & Winawer, J. Computational neuroimaging and population receptive fields.

Trends Cogn. Sci. **19**, 349–357 (2015).

34. Gomez, J., Natu, V., Jeska, B., Barnett, M. & Grill-Spector, K. Development differentially

sculpts receptive fields across early and high-level human visual cortex. Nat. Commun. **9**,

788 (2018).

35. Stigliani, A., Weiner, K. S. & Grill-Spector, K. Temporal Processing Capacity in High-Level

Visual Cortex Is Domain Specific. J. Neurosci. Off. J. Soc. Neurosci. **35**, 12412–12424

(2015).

36. Hasson, U., Yang, E., Vallines, I., Heeger, D. J. & Rubin, N. A hierarchy of temporal

receptive windows in human cortex. J. Neurosci. **28**, 2539–2550 (2008).

37. Hong, S.-J. et al. Toward a connectivity gradient-based framework for reproducible

biomarker discovery. NeuroImage **223**, 117322 (2020).

38. Lever, J., Krzywinski, M. & Altman, N. Principal component analysis. Nature Methods vol.

14 641–642 https://www.nature.com/articles/nmeth.4346 (2017).

39. Wandell, B. A., Dumoulin, S. O. & Brewer, A. A. Visual Field Maps in Human Cortex.

Neuron **56**, 366–383 (2007).

40. Pitcher, D. & Ungerleider, L. G. Evidence for a Third Visual Pathway Specialized for Social

Perception. Trends Cogn. Sci. **25**, 100–110 (2021).

41. Weiner, K. S. & Gomez, J. Third Visual Pathway, Anatomy, and Cognition across Species.

Trends Cogn. Sci. **25**, 548–549 (2021).

42. Ungerleider, L. G. & Haxby, J. V. 'What' and 'where' in the human brain. Curr. Opin.

Neurobiol. **4**, 157–165 (1994).

43. Goodale, M. A. & Milner, A. D. Separate visual pathways for perception and action. Trends

Neurosci. **15**, 20–25 (1992).

44. Benson, N. C. et al. The Human Connectome Project 7 Tesla retinotopy dataset: Description

and population receptive field analysis. J. Vis. **18**, 23 (2018).

45. Dumoulin, S. O. & Wandell, B. A. Population receptive field estimates in human visual

cortex. NeuroImage **39**, 647–660 (2008).

46. Amano, K., Wandell, B. A. & Dumoulin, S. O. Visual field maps, population receptive field

sizes, and visual field coverage in the human MT+ complex. J. Neurophysiol. **102**, 2704–

2718 (2009).

47. Harvey, B. M. & Dumoulin, S. O. The relationship between cortical magnification factor and

population receptive field size in human visual cortex: constancies in cortical architecture. J.

Neurosci. Off. J. Soc. Neurosci. **31**, 13604–13612 (2011).

48. Shmuel, A. & Leopold, D. A. Neuronal correlates of spontaneous fluctuations in fMRI

signals in monkey visual cortex: Implications for functional connectivity at rest. Hum. Brain

Mapp. **29**, 751–761 (2008).

49. Sydnor, V. J. et al. Intrinsic activity development unfolds along a sensorimotor-association

cortical axis in youth. Nat. Neurosci. **26**, 638–649 (2023).

50. Zou, Q.-H. et al. An improved approach to detection of amplitude of low-frequency

fluctuation (ALFF) for resting-state fMRI: fractional ALFF. J. Neurosci. Methods **172**, 137–

141 (2008).

51. Stigliani, A., Jeska, B. & Grill-Spector, K. Encoding model of temporal processing in human

visual cortex. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. **114**, E11047–E11056 (2017).

52. Amunts, K. et al. BigBrain: An Ultrahigh-Resolution 3D Human Brain Model. Science **340**,

1472–1475 (2013).

53. Brewer, A. A., Liu, J., Wade, A. R. & Wandell, B. A. Visual field maps and stimulus

selectivity in human ventral occipital cortex. Nat. Neurosci. **8**, 1102–1109 (2005).

54. Finzi, D. et al. Differential spatial computations in ventral and lateral face-selective regions

are scaffolded by structural connections. Nat. Commun. **12**, 2278 (2021).

55. Axelrod, V. & Yovel, G. The challenge of localizing the anterior temporal face area: a

possible solution. NeuroImage **81**, 371–380 (2013).

56. Xie, L. et al. Multi-template analysis of human perirhinal cortex in brain MRI: Explicitly

accounting for anatomical variability. NeuroImage **144**, 183–202 (2017).

57. Ding, S.-L. & Van Hoesen, G. W. Borders, extent, and topography of human perirhinal

cortex as revealed using multiple modern neuroanatomical and pathological markers. Hum.

Brain Mapp. **31**, 1359–1379 (2010).

58. Wang, H.-T. et al. Finding the needle in a high-dimensional haystack: Canonical correlation

analysis for neuroscientists. NeuroImage **216**, 116745 (2020).

59. Barch, D. M. et al. Function in the human connectome: task-fMRI and individual differences

in behavior. NeuroImage **80**, 169–189 (2013).

60. Gomez, J. et al. Microstructural proliferation in human cortex is coupled with the
development of face processing. Science **355**, 68–71 (2017).

61. Harms, M. P. et al. Extending the Human Connectome Project across ages: Imaging
protocols for the Lifespan Development and Aging projects. NeuroImage **183**, 972–984
(2018).

62. Yeatman, J. D., Wandell, B. A. & Mezer, A. A. Lifespan maturation and degeneration of
human brain white matter. Nat. Commun. **5**, 4932 (2014).

63. Winawer, J. & Witthoft, N. Human V4 and ventral occipital retinotopic maps. Vis. Neurosci.
32, E020 (2015).

64. Weiner, K. S. & Grill-Spector, K. Sparsely-distributed organization of face and limb
activations in human ventral temporal cortex. NeuroImage **52**, 1559–1573 (2010).

65. Gomez, J. et al. Functionally defined white matter reveals segregated pathways in human
ventral temporal cortex associated with category-specific processing. Neuron **85**, 216–227
(2015).

66. Wang, F. et al. Fine-grained functional parcellation maps of the infant cerebral cortex. eLife
12, e75401 (2023).

67. Reisberg, B. et al. Retrogenesis: clinical, physiologic, and pathologic mechanisms in brain
aging, Alzheimer's and other dementing processes. Eur. Arch. Psychiatry Clin. Neurosci.
249 Suppl 3, 28–36 (1999).

68. Takemura, H. et al. A Major Human White Matter Pathway Between Dorsal and Ventral
Visual Cortex. Cereb. Cortex N. Y. N 1991 **26**, 2205–2214 (2016).

69. Catani, M., Jones, D. K., Donato, R. & Ffytche, D. H. Occipito-temporal connections in the
human brain. Brain J. Neurol. **126**, 2093–2107 (2003).

70. Catani, M. & Mesulam, M. The arcuate fasciculus and the disconnection theme in language

and aphasia: History and current state. Cortex J. Devoted Study Nerv. Syst. Behav. **44**, 953–

961 (2008).

71. Oishi, H., Takemura, H., Aoki, S. C., Fujita, I. & Amano, K. Microstructural properties of

the vertical occipital fasciculus explain the variability in human stereoacuity. Proc. Natl.

Acad. Sci. U. S. A. **115**, 12289–12294 (2018).

72. Song, S. et al. Local but not long-range microstructural differences of the ventral temporal

cortex in developmental prosopagnosia. Neuropsychologia **78**, 195–206 (2015).

73. Thomas, C. et al. Reduced structural connectivity in ventral visual cortex in congenital

prosopagnosia. Nat. Neurosci. **12**, 29–31 (2009).

74. Tavor, I. et al. Separate parts of occipito-temporal white matter fibers are associated with

recognition of faces and places. NeuroImage **86**, 123–130 (2014).

75. Sarwar, T., Tian, Y., Yeo, B. T. T., Ramamohanarao, K. & Zalesky, A. Structure-function

coupling in the human connectome: A machine learning approach. NeuroImage **226**, 117609

(2021).

76. O'Leary, D. D. M. Do cortical areas emerge from a protocortex? Trends Neurosci. **12**, 400–

406 (1989).

77. López-Bendito, G. & Molnár, Z. Thalamocortical development: how are we going to get

there? Nat. Rev. Neurosci. **4**, 276–289 (2003).

78. Appan, D., Hsu, S.-M., Hsu, W.-H. & Chou, S.-J. Patterning the cerebral cortex into distinct

functional domains during development. Curr. Opin. Neurobiol. **80**, 102698 (2023).

79. Glasser, M. F. et al. The minimal preprocessing pipelines for the Human Connectome

Project. NeuroImage **80**, 105–124 (2013).

80. Van Essen, D. C. et al. The Human Connectome Project: a data acquisition perspective.

NeuroImage **62**, 2222–2231 (2012).

81. Glasser, M. F. et al. The Human Connectome Project's neuroimaging approach. Nat.

Neurosci. **19**, 1175–1187 (2016).

82. Robinson, E. C. et al. Multimodal surface matching with higher-order smoothness

constraints. NeuroImage **167**, 453–465 (2018).

83. Wagstyl, K. et al. Mapping Cortical Laminar Structure in the 3D BigBrain. Cereb. Cortex N.

Y. N 1991 **28**, 2551–2562 (2018).

84. Wagstyl, K. et al. BigBrain 3D atlas of cortical layers: Cortical and laminar thickness

gradients diverge in sensory and motor cortices. PLoS Biol. **18**, e3000678 (2020).

85. Abdollahi, R. O. et al. Correspondences between retinotopic areas and myelin maps in

human visual cortex. NeuroImage **99**, 509–524 (2014).

86. Beauchamp, M. S., Yasar, N. E., Frye, R. E. & Ro, T. Touch, sound and vision in human

superior temporal sulcus. NeuroImage **41**, 1011–1020 (2008).

87. Felleman, D. J. & Van Essen, D. C. Distributed Hierarchical Processing in the Primate

Cerebral Cortex. Cereb. Cortex **1**, 1–47 (1991).

88. Hadjikhani, N., Liu, A. K., Dale, A. M., Cavanagh, P. & Tootell, R. B. Retinotopy and color

sensitivity in human visual cortical area V8. Nat. Neurosci. **1**, 235–241 (1998).

89. Haxby, J. V., Hoffman, E. A. & Gobbini, M. I. The distributed human neural system for face

perception. Trends Cogn. Sci. **4**, 223–233 (2000).

90. Kolster, H., Peeters, R. & Orban, G. A. The retinotopic organization of the human middle

temporal area MT/V5 and its cortical neighbors. J. Neurosci. Off. J. Soc. Neurosci. **30**,

9801–9820 (2010).

91. Larsson, J. & Heeger, D. J. Two retinotopic visual areas in human lateral occipital cortex. J.

Neurosci. Off. J. Soc. Neurosci. **26**, 13128–13142 (2006).

92. Moreno-Ortega, M. et al. Resting state functional connectivity predictors of treatment

response to electroconvulsive therapy in depression. Sci. Rep. **9**, 5071 (2019).

93. Nunn, J. A. et al. Functional magnetic resonance imaging of synesthesia: activation of

V4/V8 by spoken words. Nat. Neurosci. **5**, 371–375 (2002).

94. Orban, G. A., Van Essen, D. & Vanduffel, W. Comparative mapping of higher visual areas

in monkeys and humans. Trends Cogn. Sci. **8**, 315–324 (2004).

95. Sellal, F. Anatomical and neurophysiological basis of face recognition. Rev. Neurol. (Paris)

178, 649–653 (2022).

96. Tootell, R. B. et al. Functional analysis of V3A and related areas in human visual cortex. J.

Neurosci. Off. J. Soc. Neurosci. **17**, 7060–7078 (1997).

97. Tootell, R. B. H., Tsao, D. & Vanduffel, W. Neuroimaging Weighs In: Humans Meet

Macaques in "Primate" Visual Cortex. J. Neurosci. **23**, 3981–3989 (2003).

98. Weiner, K. S. et al. The mid-fusiform sulcus: A landmark identifying both cytoarchitectonic

and functional divisions of human ventral temporal cortex. NeuroImage **84**, 453–465 (2014).

99. Waehnert, M. D. et al. Anatomically motivated modeling of cortical laminae. NeuroImage

93 Pt 2, 210–220 (2014).

100.   Fischl, B. FreeSurfer. NeuroImage **62**, 774–781 (2012).

101.   Kay, K. N., Winawer, J., Mezer, A. & Wandell, B. A. Compressive spatial summation in

human visual cortex. J. Neurophysiol. **110**, 481–494 (2013).