Ecological specialization and local adaptation in sympatric sexual and asexual

grass thrips species

Ghali Karim[1*], van der Kooi Casper J.[2], Ramella Elodie[1], Henry Morgane[1,3] and Tanja

Schwander[1]

[1]Department of Ecology and Evolution, Biophore, University of Lausanne, Switzerland

[2]Groningen Institute for Evolutionary Life Sciences, University of Groningen, The

Netherlands

[3]current address: McGill University, Department of Biology, Stewart Biology Building,

Montreal, Canada

*Corresponding author: ghali.karim@gmail.com

ORCID

KG: 0000-0003-1509-7602

CJvdK: 0000-0003-0613-7633

TS: 0000-0003-1945-5374

Keywords: clonal reproduction, sexual reproduction, Thysanoptera, ecological niche,

parthenogenesis.

Manuscript type: Original article.

Appendices: Supplemental Methods, Supplemental Tables (3), Supplemental Figures

(1), Supplemental References.

Abstract

The maintenance of sex is difficult in the face demographic advantages of asexuality,

especially when sexual and asexual lineages co-occur and compete. Here, we test if

niche divergence and specialization can contribute to the maintenance of sympatric

populations of two closely related, sexual and asexual *Aptinothrips* grass thrips species.

In mesocosm experiments, ecological niches and ecological specialization were

inferred from thrips performances on different grass species used as hosts in natural

populations. Sexual and asexual thrips performed best on different grass hosts,

indicating niche differentiation. The asexual species was also characterized by a

broader fundamental ecological niche than the sexual one. However, niche

differentiation is unlikely to explain the maintenance of the two species in sympatry

because the reproductive rate of asexual females generally exceeded that of sexual ones.

Surprisingly, the asexual but not sexual species showed geographic variation in the

fundamental niche. This geographic variation likely stems from different clonal

assemblages at different locations because different asexual genotypes have different

ecological niches. Across natural populations, the performance of asexual females on a

specific grass species was further positively correlated with the frequency of that grass

species, consistent with adaptation to locally abundant grasses. Altogether, our results

suggest that niche differentiation contributes little to the maintenance of grass thrips

species with different reproductive modes and that asexuality facilitates adaptation to a

diversity of co-occurring host plants.

Introduction

The ability to reproduce is a key trait distinguishing living organisms from inorganic matter, yet reproductive strategies vary widely among organisms (Bell 1982; Suomalainen et al. 1987). Which factors contribute to the maintenance of alternative reproductive strategies in natural populations? This question has been particularly difficult to solve in the context of sexual versus asexual reproduction. Despite many studies focusing on the (dis)advantages of sexual and asexual reproduction, our knowledge of the factors maintaining both reproductive strategies in natural populations remains limited (Bell 1982; Case and Taper 1986; Neiman et al. 2018).

An example of co-occurring species and lineages with different reproductive modes is found in *Aptinothrips* grass thrips, which are small (~1 mm), wingless insects found in grasslands throughout the Holarctic region of the world (Palmer 1975). The species *A. elegans* is sexual, *A. karnyii* and *A. stylifer* are asexual, and *A. rufus* comprises both sexual and asexual lineages (van der Kooi and Schwander 2014; Fontcuberta Garcia-Cuenca et al. 2016). Species and lineages with different reproductive modes co-occur frequently in the same meadows in natural populations. Under laboratory conditions in mesocosms however, asexual lineages consistently outcompete their sexual relatives (Lavanchy et al. 2016).

We previously evaluated whether habitat heterogeneity contributes to the maintenance of species and lineages with different reproductive modes (Lavanchy et al. 2016), given the potential benefits of sex are predicted to increase with habitat heterogeneity (Lenormand 2002; Agrawal 2009). *Aptinothrips* depend on grasses (Poaceae) for their

entire life cycle, as grasses provide resources, shelter and egg laying substrates (Palmer

1975). Thus, a key component for *Aptinothrips* habitat heterogeneity is the diversity of

local grass species. Contrary to predictions, in natural grass meadows experimentally

manipulated for increased and decreased grass diversity, we found that increased

habitat diversity consistently favored asexual over sexual *Aptinothrips* species

(Lavanchy et al. 2016). Because different asexual clones vary in their performance on

different grass hosts (van der Kooi et al. 2019), we hypothesized that an increased

diversity of host plants would accommodate a broader panel of clones, or, more

generally, that the composition of local grass species communities would drive the

composition of clone genotypes.

Here, we follow up on these previous studies by testing whether the maintenance of

closely related, sympatric *Aptinothrips* lineages with different reproductive modes is

facilitated by differences in niche strategy and niche size among lineages. We focus on

the two lineages with the broadest distribution overlap: *A. elegans* (sexual) and asexual

lineages of *A. rufus*. To characterize niche strategies and sizes, we measure the

reproductive success of individual females on different grass species that are abundant

in natural thrips habitats. We test whether the two species are characterized by different

fundamental niches and/or different levels of ecological specialization and whether

niche strategies vary geographically. Because we find geographic variation for niche

strategies in the asexual but not sexual species, we further measure the performance of

asexual females originating from different grass communities to test whether a higher

abundance of a specific grass species translates into higher performances of the local

thrips on that grass species.

Methods

Evaluating fundamental niche differences between grass thrips collected from different

grass communities.

We chose grass meadows from three locations in western Switzerland where we

expected to find different grass communities based on different elevation and

exposition: Lausanne (hereafter: UNIL, 405 m elevation), La Sarraz (559 m) and

Blonay (953 m). From each of these locations, we then collected adult female grass

thrips during September-November 2015 and, simultaneously, standardized samples of

grass plants to genetically characterize the grass community composition (see

Supplementary methods; Table 1). Thrips were collected by randomly beating grasses

above a white tray, and adult *Aptinothrips* females, recognizable by their body

movements and shape/size, were transferred with a paintbrush to a 50mL Falcon tube

with freshly cut grass pieces until identification in the laboratory. This collection

strategy mixes all thrips collected from different grass hosts, such that it is not possible

to know which individual thrips used which specific grass host in the field. Note that in

our previous studies (Fontcuberta Garcia-Cuenca et al. 2016; Lavanchy et al. 2016; van

der Kooi et al. 2019), adult females of sexual species and lineages collected in the field

were always mated, given they always produced male and female offspring (virgin

sexual females only produce males, given the haplo-diploid sex determination system

in thrips). In the laboratory, female *Aptinothrips* were then identified to the species level

by sorting individuals on a CO_2 anesthetization plate under a 50x magnification

dissecting scope, following Zur Strassen (2003).

Table 1: Assessment of the relative coverage of grass species at the three sampling locations. The grass species used in the laboratory mesocosms to assess thrips performance are indicated in bold.

Grass species	UNIL	La Sarraz	Blonay
Agropyron repens	0	14	0
Agrostis capillaris	0	0.33	0
Anthoxanthum odoratum	5.67	2	1.33
Arrhenatherum elatius	14.67	2	30.67
Avenula pubescens	3	0.33	0
Brachypodium pinnatum	0	0	1.33
Bromus erectus	10.33	6	38.67
Carex caryophyllea	0	9.33	0
Carex flacca	0	0	0.33
Dactylis glomerata	19	0	4
Festuca arundinacea	0	0	5.33
Festuca ovina	3.33	27.33	4
Festuca rubra	0	0	6.33
Holcus lanatus	15.33	0	4
Phleum pratense	0.67	0	0
Poa pratensis	21	17	2.67
Poa trivialis	1	0	0
Trisetum flavescens	0	21.67	0

The performance of sexual *A. elegans* and asexual *A. rufus* females from the three locations was measured on seven grass species, with ten replicates per combination (2 species x 3 locations x 7 grass species x 10 replicates = 420 females in total). We chose seven grass species that are commonly found in natural habitats of *Aptinothrips* (Lavanchy et al. 2016) and for which seeds were commercially available: alpine bluegrass (*Poa alpina*), common meadow-grass (*Poa pratensis*), red fescue (*Festuca rubra*), sheep fescue (*Festuca ovina*), timothy-grass (*Phleum pratense*), orchard grass (*Dactylis glomerata*) and wheat (*Triticum aestivum*). However, we did not know the frequency of these species at the three surveyed locations *a priori*, as the composition of the grass species communities was determined after collecting the thrips. Thus, only five of the seven grass species used for the performance assays were present at the three thrips sampling locations. To measure thrips performances on each grass species, three-week old grass plantlets were placed in sealed 50 ml Falcon tubes for thrips breeding.

Females were allowed to reproduce for 27 days (which corresponds to one generation)

at 23°C during the day and 20°C during the night in a greenhouse with a relative

humidity of 50% and an artificial light regime of L:D = 14/10 hours, added to the natural

light conditions. After the 27 days, thrips were extracted from the plants via heat

gradients on Berlese funnels, fixed in 70% ethanol, and adults and larvae from each

replicate were counted under a dissecting scope at 50x magnification. Due to logistic

constraints, the replicates of each treatment were divided into three batches, with a

week separating the batches. Seven of the initially 420 replicates were lost because the

grass plantlets died during the first week after transferring the thrips (for unknown

reasons; four on *P. pratensis* from UNIL*,* two on *P. pratense* from La Sarraz and one

on *P. pratense* from Blonay).

To test if the two *Aptinothrips* species were characterized by different niches,

we used Linear Mixed Models (LMMs) as implemented in the R package *lme4* (Bates

et al. 2015; R core team 2019). We tested if thrips species, grass species, sampling

location, and all possible interactions affected the number of offspring produced. Batch

was included as a random factor in the model. To test for significance, the number of

offspring (response variable) was randomized within the three batches 100'000 times

and F-values corresponding to main and interaction effects were extracted. The p-value

of the factor of interest then corresponds to the proportion of F-values that were equal

to or higher than the observed F-values.

Niche breadth

We quantified the dietary niche breadth of *A. elegans* and *A. rufus* using the Shannon-

Weaver index *H'* (Whittaker 1972), a measure of system entropy frequently used as a

measure of dietary niche breadth (Sargeant 2007): $H' = -\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i \cdot \log_2(p_i)$. In this

index, n is the number of grass species and p_i the performances (i.e.: the number of

offspring produced) on the i-th grass species. The index is then scaled to vary from 0

(extreme specialist) to 1 (extreme generalist). To compare the niche sizes between *A.*

elegans and *A. rufus* overall and at each sampling location, we assessed whether the

95% confidence intervals (CIs) of the two groups overlapped. The number of offspring

produced were randomly resampled 10'000x within species (with replacement) and

random *H'* values were computed as above. Confidence intervals represent the 95%

quantile of the random *H'* values.

These analyses revealed that the asexual species *A. rufus* was an extreme generalist

across grass species within the Poaceae family (see results). Generalism in asexual

populations can result from a combination of different clones with distinct, narrow

niches, or directly from generalist clones with very broad niches (see discussion). To

distinguish between these two mechanisms, we re-analyzed previously published

performance data from nine genetically distinct clonal lines from van der Kooi et al.

(2019). These clonal lines were established from adult *A. rufus* females collected at the

same three locations used in the present study, in February 2016. The performance data

were generated via the same methodology as described above, for the six non-cultivated

grass species (i.e., excluding wheat, *T. aestivum*) and with 7-14 replicates per clonal

line and grass species (van der Kooi et al. 2019).

Link between thrips performance and host grass species abundance

We found that the fundamental niches of asexual *A. rufus* varied among the three

sampled locations UNIL, La Sarraz and Blonay (see results). Such variation could

potentially be driven by selection for adaptation to locally abundant grass host species.

To evaluate this possibility, we tested for a correlation between the abundance of two

grass species (*F. rubra* and *P. trivialis*) and thrips performance across 16 grass

meadows in the Swiss Prealps. The 16 meadows were selected to cover a broad range

of abundances of the two grass species (*F. rubra*: ranging from 0% to 90%; *P. trivialis*:

ranging from 0% to 38%), based on plant inventories conducted by Dubuis et al. (2013).

We collected and identified adult asexual *A. rufus* females from each of the 16 meadows

as described above and measured the performance of at least 7 and up to 35 females

from each meadow on each grass species (678 females in total, see Table S1 for details;

note that *A. rufus* was very rare in four meadows and we therefore assessed the

performance of *A. rufus* females on only one grass species for these meadows). We then

tested for an association between grass abundance and thrips performance separately

for the two grass species using an LMM with the date of collection (fifteen dates, Table

S1) included as a random factor. To test for significance, thrips performance (i.e., the

number of offspring produced; response variable) was randomized 100'000 times

within the fifteen collection dates and F-values corresponding to main and interaction

effects were extracted. The p-value of the factor of interest then corresponds to the

proportion of F-values that were equal to or higher than the observed F-values.

Results

Asexual *A. rufus* females produced significantly more offspring than sexual *A.*

elegans females (permutation LMM; df=1, p<0.01, Figure 1; see also Table S2). The

grass species (df=6, p<0.01) used for rearing and the sampling location (df=2, p=0.027)

also had a significant influence on the number of offspring produced (Figure 1). In

addition, significant interactions between sampling location and thrips species (df=2,

p<0.01), grass species and thrips species (df=5, p=0.03), and a triple interaction

between thrips species, grass species and location (df=10, p=0.016) were detected,

which prompted us to analyze each location separately (Figure 1). In two out of three

locations, niche strategies differed between sexual *A. elegans* and asexual *A. rufus* (as

revealed by a significant thrips species by grass species interaction for UNIL

(permutation LMM; df=6, p=0.012) and La Sarraz (df=6, p= 0.041). The same trend

was not significant in Blonay (df=6, p=0.111; Table S2).

Figure 1: Average performance (number of offspring produced over 27 days) and standard deviation per grass species for sexual *A. elegans* and asexual *A. rufus* females for each sampled location. The lines connecting points have no biological meaning but are added for a better visualization.

Aptinothrips are specialists since they solely use grass species (Poaceae) as hosts, but within this plant family, both thrips species thrive on a variety of species, i.e. they are generalists (Figure 2). Sexual *A. elegans* nevertheless had a somewhat narrower niche, that is, was significantly more specialized than the asexual species *A. rufus* across the three locations combined (*A. elegans*: H' = 0.93, 95% CI [0.89, 0.95], *A. rufus*: H' = 0.99, 95% CI [0.97, 0.99]; Figure 2). The species-level generalist phenotype for *A. rufus* was due to a combination of very generalist clones (clone 4 and 8, Figure 2) and more specialized ones (clone 2 and 9). The latter perform best on different grass species

(*Festuca rubra* for clone 9 and *Poa pratensis* for clone 2; van der Kooi et al. 2019),

meaning they are characterized by different fundamental niches.

Figure 2: Shannon-Weaver indices (*H'*) for the sexual species *A. elegans* (red; *H'*: 0.93,

CI 0.89- 0.95) and the asexual species *A. rufus* (blue; *H'*: 0.99, CI 0.97- 0.99) measured

from performances on different grasses. The species-level index in the asexual species

is further decomposed into clone-level indices. Confidence intervals are based on

10'000 bootstraps.

Sexual *A. elegans* from different locations exhibit similar fundamental niches. This is

indicated by *A. elegans* females from different locations performing similarly across

grass species (permutation LMM; df=12, p=0.26, Table S2, Figure S1). By contrast,

the fundamental niche of asexual *A. rufus* varied geographically, as revealed by a

significant interaction effect between grass species and location on thrips performance,

permutation LMM; df=12, p=0.05, Table S2, Figure S1). The observed significant

geographic variation in fundamental niche raises the question whether asexual *A. rufus*

populations are locally adapted to abundant host grass species. If this is the case, a

higher abundance of a certain grass species at a given location should translate into

better performance of the local thrips on that grass species. For the grass *Festuca rubra*

this was indeed the case: the more abundant *F. rubra* was at a specific location, the

better the performance of asexual females on this species (permutation LMM; df=13,

p<0.01, Figure 3; Table S3). However, there was no such relationship for *Poa trivialis*

(df=13, p=0.943, Figure 3; Table S3), perhaps because this species was not present at

high coverage at any of the 16 surveyed locations (maximum coverage 38%, Table S1).

Figure 3: Correlation between the coverage of A) *Festuca rubra* or B) *Poa trivialis* in the field (%) and the performance of asexual thrips on those grass species. Each point represents one location, with thrips performance (number of offspring produced during 27 days) averaged across 7-35 females from that location. The line in panel A represents the LMM slope estimate, the gray shading shows the 95% confidence interval.

Discussion

Sexual and asexual lineages often co-occur in the same geographic location, but whether they exhibit different niche strategies and whether potential niche differences may be sufficient to maintain sexual lineages in the face of competition from asexual ones remains largely unknown (but see O'Connell and Eckert 2001; Lehto and Haag

2010; Schmit et al. 2013; reviewed in Neiman et al. 2018). Here, we studied whether

variation in ecological niches can contribute to the maintenance of *Aptinothrips* grass

thrips species with different reproductive modes and which appear to compete in natural

populations. We show that females of the asexual species *A. rufus* have a higher

reproductive capacity than females of the sexual species *A. elegans*, corroborating

previous findings in mesocosm habitats (Lavanchy et al. 2016). Asexual females

produced 2.23 times more offspring than sexual females, which is added to the

advantage of not producing males (Smith 1978; Avise 2008). Nevertheless, the two

species systematically co-occur across grass meadows.

Despite the finding of different fundamental ecological niches between *A. rufus* and *A.*

elegans at two of the three locations studied, the use of different host grass species

could contribute to the co-existence of both species on *Festuca* grasses only at one

location: the UNIL sampling location was the only population where sexual females

produced more offspring than asexual ones. Sexual females from that location produced

approximately 1.55 times more offspring than asexual ones if reared on *Festuca*. Given

that the proportion of males among offspring in sexual *Aptinothrips* is typically below

0.3 (van der Kooi and Schwander 2014), this increase in offspring production is

sufficient to compensate for the cost of male production in sexual species. Production

of males is generally considered to be the most severe cost associated with sex

(Lehtonen et al. 2012), although other costs, such as those linked to finding mates or

mating, are typically difficult to quantify under natural conditions. Independent of the

actual cost of sex in *Aptinothrips*, increased reproductive output of the sexual species

on certain host plants could at least partially compensate for such costs. For example,

A. elegans is associated with the presence of the grass species *Bromus erectus* in some

natural populations of Switzerland (Lavanchy et al. 2016). Performances of *A. elegans*

females on specific grass species also appear to be similar among locations (Figure S1),

despite extensive variation in the frequency of these grasses at the sampling locations

(Table 1). Adaptation of *A. elegans* to a reduced set of specific grass species might thus

help explain why females of this species seem to be globally more productive on

Festuca host species.

311   Contrary to *A. elegans*, females of asexual *A. rufus* showed variation in

performances on different grass species according to their origin, raising the question

of local adaptation. However, this pattern itself is not sufficient to conclude for an

adaptation of *A. rufus* to local grass communities. Indeed, it may also be caused by

specific life history traits. Individuals may be able to select their destination when

dispersing and choose meadows with suitable grass species. However, this is rather

unlikely to be the case, as *Aptinothrips* are wingless and disperse by wind (Lewis 1973),

suggesting that they have little control over the specific meadow which they disperse

to. We also found that the performance of asexual *A. rufus* females on *Festuca rubra*

positively correlates with the abundance of this grass species at the location the females

were collected (Figure 3). This, added to geographical niche variation, suggests that

different grass communities favor different clonal assemblages, as different clones

perform best on different grass species (van der Kooi et al. 2019, Figure 2). Asexual

Aptinothrips populations are also genetically highly diverse (van der Kooi and

Schwander 2014; Fontcuberta Garcia-Cuenca et al. 2016), meaning that there is a large

standing pool of ecologically divergent clones available for selection to act on.

Selection would thus result in locally adapted (to the common grasses of their natural

environment) asexual thrips populations.

Local adaptation in an asexual but not a closely related sexual species may seem

surprising given that asexual species are expected to show less adaptability than their

sexual counterparts (Bell 1982). However, depending on the genetic architecture of

adaptations to different host plant species, several factors can constrain local adaptation

in sexual species. For example, thrips are likely to move (actively or passively) between

different grass species within a meadow. Furthermore, *Aptinothrips* are suspected to

disperse long distances by wind and human agricultural activities (Lewis 1973).

Substantial gene flow between distinct sexual populations might thus impede local

adaptation by breaking locally co-adapted gene complexes for host plant selection and

use and by introducing mal-adapted alleles into the population (Lenormand 2002).

Asexuals could more easily maintain co-adapted gene complexes, and would not be

affected by mating with individuals carrying locally mal-adapted gene combinations

(Haag and Ebert 2004).

Aptinothrips are considered ecological specialists as they exclusively feed on

grasses (Palmer 1975). However, within the Poaceae family, thrips display a high

degree of generalism, as indicated by the high value of *H'* indices obtained in this study

(Figure 2). *A. rufus* displays a small but significant decrease in the level of

specialization as compared to *A. elegans*, indicating that it is characterized by a broader

ecological niche than the sexual species (Figure 2). Similar to our results in grass thrips,

two comparative studies of asexual arthropod species also found that asexuals generally

have larger geographic ranges and broader ecological niches than their sexual

counterparts (Ross et al. 2013; van der Kooi et al. 2017). At least two (mutually non-

exclusive) mechanisms can generate these differences. First, the frozen niche variation

model (Vrijenhoek 1979) predicts that when a new clone derives from a sexual

ancestor, its phenotypic distribution (and therefore ecological niche) would be narrower

than that of its genetically variable sexual ancestor, because a single sexual genotype

will be "frozen" in the new asexual clone. However, when multiple clones derive

independently from ecologically diverged sexual ancestors, the combination of clones

may result in a broad niche at the species level (Vrijenhoek and Parker 2009). Second,

broader ecological niches in asexual than sexual species are also predicted by the

"General-Purpose Genotype" hypothesis (Lynch 1984; but see also White 1973; Parker

et al. 1977). This hypothesis proposes that asexual clones should have broader niches

than sexual individuals because of strong selection for phenotypic plasticity in asexuals.

Indeed, a temporally and spatially variable environment should favor, among all the

independently derived asexual clones, those with broad environmental tolerances. Our

results in asexual *A. rufus* provide support for both mechanisms. We find that there are

indeed multiple "more specialist" clones, each with a distinct niche, as predicted by the

frozen niche variation model. At the same time, there are also some individual clones

with very broad niches, as predicted by the general-purpose genotype hypothesis.

We conclude that niche differentiation between sexual and asexual grass thrips

species could locally contribute to their maintenance in sympatry, but not throughout

their overlapping distribution ranges. The reproductive advantage of asexual *A. rufus*

grass thrips in other locations is striking. Furthermore, it appears that asexuality and a

high diversity of ecologically different clones confer *A. rufus* the capacity to colonize

and exploit diverse grass communities, which in turn would contribute to maintaining

a high genotype diversity in asexual populations. This indicates that sexual

reproduction should offer benefits beyond adaptation to different host plants in the

thrips, or *A. elegans* will eventually disappear from the grass thrips communities.

References

Agrawal, A. F. 2009. Spatial heterogeneity and the evolution of sex in diploids.

American Naturalist. 174:S54–S70.

Bates, D., M. Mächler, B. Bolker, and S. Walker. 2015. Fitting linear mixed-effects

models using lme4. Journal of Statistical Software 67:1-48.

Bell, G. 1982, The masterpiece of nature: the evolution and genetics of sexuality.

Berkeley, CA, University of California Press.

Case, T. J., and M. L. Taper. 1986. On the coexistence and coevolution of asexual and

sexual competitors. Evolution 40:366-387.

Dubuis, A., L. Rossier, J. Pottier, L. Pellissier, P. Vittoz, and A. Guisan. 2013.

Predicting current and future spatial community patterns of plant functional

traits. Ecography 36:1158-1168.

Fontcuberta Garcia-Cuenca, A., Z. Dumas, and T. Schwander. 2016. Extreme genetic

diversity in asexual grass thrips populations. Journal of Evolutionary Biology

29:887-899.

Haag, C. R., and D. Ebert. 2004. A new hypothesis to explain geographic

parthenogenesis. Annales Zoologici Fennici 41:539-544.

Jaenike, J. 1990. Host specialization in phytophagous insects. Annual review of

ecology and systematics 21:243-273.

Kawecki, T. J., and D. Ebert. 2004. Conceptual issues in local adaptation. Ecology

Letters 7:1225-1241.

Lavanchy, G., M. Strehler, M. N. Llanos Roman, M. Lessard-Therrien, J. Y. Humbert,
Z. Dumas, K. Jalvingh et al. 2016. Habitat heterogeneity favors asexual
reproduction in natural populations of grassthrips. Evolution 70:1780-1790.

Lehto, M. P., and C. R. Haag. 2010. Ecological differentiation between coexisting
sexual and asexual strains of *Daphnia pulex*. Journal of Animal Ecology
79:1241-1250.

Lenormand, T. 2002. Gene flow and the limits to natural selection. Trends in Ecology
& Evolution 17:183-189.

Lewis, T. 1973, Thrips, their biology, ecology and economic importance, Academic
Press, London.

Lynch, M. 1984. Destabilizing hybridization, general-purpose genotypes and
geographic parthenogenesis. The quarterly review of biology 59:257-290.

Neiman, M., P. G. Meirmans, T. Schwander, and S. Meirmans. 2018. Sex in the wild:
How and why field-based studies contribute to solving the problem of sex.
Evolution 72:1194-1203.

O'Connell, L. M., and C. G. Eckert. 2001. Differentiation in reproductive strategy
between sexual and asexual populations of *Antennaria parlinii* (Asteraceae).
Evolutionary Ecology Research 3:311-330.

Palmer, J. M. 1975. The grass-living genus *Aptinothrips* Haliday (Thysanoptera:
Thripidae). Journal of Entomology Series B, Taxonomy 44:175-188.

Parker, E. D., R. K. Selander, R. O. Hudson, and L. J. Lester. 1977. Genetic diversity
in colonizing parthenogenetic cockroaches. Evolution 31:836-842.

R core team. 2019. R: a language and environment for statistical computing. R
foundation for statistical computing, Vienna, Austria.

Ross, L., N. B. Hardy, A. Okusu, and B. B. Normark. 2013. Large population size predicts the distribution of asexuality in scale insects. Evolution 67:196-206.

Sargeant, B. L. 2007. Individual foraging specialization: niche width versus niche overlap. Oikos 116:1431– 1437.

Schmit, O., S. Adolfsson, J. Vandekerkhove, J. Rueda, S. Bode, G. Rossetti, Y. Michalakis et al. 2013. The distribution of sexual reproduction of the geographic parthenogen *Eucypris virens* (Crustacea: Ostracoda) matches environmental gradients in a temporary lake. Canadian Journal of Zoology 91:660-671.

Smith, J. M. 1978, The evolution of sex, Cambridge University Press.

Suomalainen, E., J. Lokki, and A. Saura. 1987, Cytology and evolution in parthenogenesis. Boca Raton, Fla., CRC Press.

van der Kooi, C. J., K. Ghali, D. Amptmeijer, and T. Schwander. 2019. Niche differentiation among clones in asexual grass thrips. Journal of Evolutionary Biology 32:126-130.

van der Kooi, C. J., C. Matthey-Doret, and T. Schwander. 2017. Evolution and comparative ecology of parthenogenesis in haplodiploid arthropods. Evolution Letters 1:304-316.

van der Kooi, C. J., and T. Schwander. 2014. Evolution of asexuality via different mechanisms in grass thrips (thysanoptera: *Aptinothrips*). Evolution 68:1883-1893.

Vrijenhoek, R. C. 1979. Factors affecting clonal diversity and coexistence. American zoologist 19:787-797.

Vrijenhoek, R. C., and E. D. Parker. 2009. Geographical parthenogenesis: general purpose genotypes and frozen niche variation, Pages 99-131 *in* I. Schön, K.

Martens, and P. Dijk, eds. Lost sex: the evolutionary biology of

parthenogenesis. Dordrecht, Springer Netherlands.

White, M. J. D. 1973, Animal cytology and evolution. Cambridge, UK, University

Press.

Whittaker, R. 1972, Evolution and measurement of species diversity. Taxon 21: 213-

251.

Zur Strassen, R. 2003, Die terebranten Thysanopteren Europas und des Mittelmeer-

Gebietes. Krefeld : Goecke und Evers.

Appendices

The Appendices include the Supplementary Methods, one Figure, three Tables and

the Supplementary References.