Genome-wide SNP data reveal recent population structure of *Huidobria fruticosa* (Loasaceae), a
paleo-endemic lineage from the Atacama Desert

Felix F. Merklinger*[†1,2], Yichen Zheng[†3], Tim Böhnert[†1], Federico Luebert[1,4,5], Dörte Harpke[6],

Alexandra Stoll[7,8], Thomas Wiehe[3], Dietmar Quandt[1]

[1] Bonn Institute of Organismic Biology, University of Bonn, Meckenheimer Allee 170, 53115 Bonn,
Germany
[2] Sukkulenten-Sammlung Zürich / Grün Stadt Zürich, Mythenquai 88, CH-8002 Zürich, Switzerland
[3] Institute for Genetics, University of Cologne, Zülpicher Straße 47a, 50674 Cologne, Germany
[4] Departmento de Silvicultura y Conservación de la Naturaleza, Universidad de Chile, Av. Santa
Rosa 11315, Santiago, Chile
[5] Departamento de Ciencias Ambientales y Recursos Naturales Renovables, Universidad de Chile,
Av. Santa Rosa 11315, Santiago, Chile
[6] Leibniz Institute of Plant Genetics and Crop Plant Research (IPK), Corrensstraße 3, 06466
Gatersleben, Germany
[7] Centro de Estudios Avanzados en Zonas Aridas (CEAZA), Universidad de La Serena, Raúl Bitrán
1305, La Serena, Chile
[8] Instituto de Investigación Multidisciplinar en Ciencia y Tecnología, Universidad de la Serena, La
Serena, Chile
20
* Corresponding author: felixfranz.merklinger@zuerich.ch
† Authors contributed equally

Felix F. Merklinger: https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2197-0412

Yichen Zheng: https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7998-0272

Tim Böhnert: https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1415-7896

Thomas Wiehe: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8932-2772

Dörte Harpke: https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1667-2912

Alexandra Stoll: https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6115-1628

Dietmar Quandt: https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4304-6028

Federico Luebert: https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2251-4056

Keywords: genotyping-by-sequencing, RADseq, population genomics, aridity, landscape genetics

Short title: Population genomics of a paleo-endemic desert plant

ABSTRACT

The Atacama Desert is a biodiversity hotspot of neo-endemic radiation, where long-term aridity and

complex physiographic processes create a unique environmental setting. Current species assemblages

are mainly concentrated in highly patchy loma formations, and plant populations occurring in these are

often geographically isolated from each other. Despite a general consensus on long-term aridity in the

Atacama, climatological and geological evidence points to repeated climate change, making the

Atacama Desert an ideal system for studying population genetic processes in highly unstable habitats.

We are analysing the genetic structure within and between populations of *Huidobria fruticosa*, a

paleo-endemic lineage of the Atacama Desert, to shed new light on its biogeographic history and

broaden our understanding of the evolution of life in extreme aridity, as well as plant evolution in

response to a changing environment. To do this, we analysed SNP data from genotyping-by-

sequencing of 354 individuals from 21 populations. Our results suggest that, despite being an ancient

lineage, the current population structure of *Huidobria fruticosa* only reflects changing abiotic

conditions over the last 2 million years. We therefore conclude that the present distribution, together

with the evolutionary processes documented here, is the result of climatic fluctuations and prolonged

periods of hyperaridity during the Pleistocene. Building on this understanding, our findings contribute

to a global narrative that highlights the complex interplay between climate change and evolutionary

dynamics, and reaffirm the importance of deserts as living laboratories for deciphering how species

have historically adapted to some of the most extreme and volatile habitats on Earth.

INTRODUCTION

On a deep geological timescale, patterns of biodiversity evolve in direct response to geological and

climatological processes (e.g., Axelrod, 1967; Gillespie & Roderick, 2014). In extreme environments,

such as those marked by prolonged and severe drought, these evolutionary processes are more

severely affected than in more stable habitats (such as the wet tropics), because greater spatio-

temporal species turnovers may lead to higher extinction rates (Gaston & Spicer, 2004; Stebbins,

1952). Through contraction and expansion of suitable habitats during climatic oscillations, populations

may undergo phases of isolation, experience genetic drift, and reconnect to form new species and

species assemblages (Stebbins, 1952). Environmental heterogeneity has direct consequences for plant

adaptation and as such is reflected in the genetic make-up of the organisms studied.

The Atacama Desert of northern Chile is an extremely arid environment with a hyper-arid core

(between 19° and 22°S) receiving less than 1 mm/yr precipitation (Houston, 2006). Nevertheless,

precipitation events do occur occasionally, delivering moisture in two major ways: (1) through

precipitation events in the Andes leading to occasional discharge into the desert core, and, on a more

continuous level, feed the few surface rivers that cross the Atacama on their way towards the Pacific

Ocean; (2) regular advection fog that meets the westward facing slopes of the coastal cordillera at a

more or less constant altitude due to a temperature inversion (Garreaud et al., 2008). As a result, the

Atacama Desert, despite being considered one of the driest places on earth (McKay et al., 2003),

harbours a comparatively large number of plant species with a high rate of endemism (Dillon &

Hoffmann, 1997). Vegetation is confined to those areas that experience periods of moisture

availability, while in contrast, vast stretches of the Atacama Desert are almost totally barren, leaving

the populations of many species in geographical isolation over long periods of time (Böhnert et al.,

2022; Merklinger et al., 2020, 2021). In addition, the Atacama is an ancient desert with arid conditions

persisting at least since the early Miocene (Dunai et al., 2005) and possibly even earlier (Hartley &

Chong, 2002; Ritter et al., 2018a). For these reasons, the Atacama Desert is an ideal study area for the

evolution of life under hyper-arid conditions.

While a number of botanical and biogeographical studies on the Atacama Desert has recently been

published (e.g., Böhnert et al., 2019, 2020; Dillon et al., 2009; Luebert, 2011; Luebert et al., 2009,

2011), very few studies have so far focused on population genetics and plant population structure in

relation to geological and climatological events (e.g., Baranzelli et al., 2014; Luebert et al., 2014; Ossa

et al., 2013, 2017; Viruel et al., 2012), and even less have studied population dynamics of plants

distributed across the hyper-arid core of the Atacama Desert (Merklinger et al., 2020, Koch et al.,

2022).

The genus *Huidobria* Gay (Loasaceae, subfamily Loasoideae) is endemic to the Chilean Atacama and

currently consists of two taxonomically recognized species, *Huidobria fruticosa* Phil. and *Huidobria*

chilensis Gay (Acuña et al., 2017; Grau, 1997; Fig. 1). Both species occupy the hyper-arid zones of the

Atacama (the definition of hyper-aridity follows Luebert & Pliscoff, 2017). The distribution of the

species in focus here, *H. fruticosa*, encompasses the coastal cordillera as well as the western slopes of

the Andes. Its populations appear separated from each other by the barren desert pampa that stretches

from the eastern slopes of the coastal cordillera eastward to the Andes. Because they are found at

either end of the riverbeds (quebradas) that cross the Atacama from the Andes to the Pacific coast, it

seems plausible that these (usually dry) rivers act as dispersal corridors for *H. fruticosa*.

An ecological peculiarity of this species is its ability to occupy extremely dry habitats where often

only few other plants occur. Based on our own field observations, the plants can die back periodically

above ground, but re-grow to flowering size in the course of a few months or even weeks (Fig. 1D). It

is also thought that *H. fruticosa* has the ability to develop a deep root network that eventually reaches

into the groundwater layers and is thus able to establish populations that are to a certain degree

independent from above ground precipitation availability. Depending on the availability of water,

whether above or below ground, plants may persist for longer periods of time, whereas elsewhere they

are more ephemeral. We also observed areas covered by many hundreds of individuals where there

was barren land a few months before. Such mass occurrence may originate from a seed bank, which in

turn can facilitate populations to re-connect from isolation after precipitation events, allowing

subsequent gene-flow.

Based on morphological traits, it has been suggested that the evolutionary split of *Huidobria* from its

congener as well as closest relatives in the core Loasoideae occurred early in their evolutionary history

(Grau, 1997). This has recently been confirmed by molecular clock dating studies, suggesting a

divergence between *H. fruticosa* and the only other species in the genus, *H. chilensis*, during the early

Eocene, around 40–60 Ma (Acuña et al., 2019). Both lineages could thus be classified as paleo-

endemics (Stebbins & Major, 1965), which contrasts several other Atacama groups studied for this

region, the neo-endemics – groups that originated more recently in the Atacama Desert, such as

Nolana (Solanaceae, Dillon et al., 2009), *Heliotropium* (Heliotropiaceae, Luebert et al., 2011),

Malesherbia (Malesherbiaceae, Gengler–Nowak, 2002) or *Cristaria* (Malvaceae, Böhnert et al., 2019,

2022). Interestingly, the presence of ancient endemic lineages is rare in the Atacama Desert, where

predominantly neo-endemic lineages have been found (Scherson et al., 2017), a feature also

documented in other deserts (Thornhill et al., 2016, 2017).

Considering *H. fruticosa* as an ancient endemic lineage of the Atacama Desert, we aimed to

understand the population dynamics of this species in the light of past climatological processes. We

ask if populations have undergone long-term isolation in parallel to the long-lasting aridity of the

Atacama Desert. In such a case, we would expect a comparatively high genetic differentiation among

populations with respect to other, neo-endemic plant groups. Alternatively, regular precipitation

events, accompanied by seed bank effects and/or dispersal events may lead to very little genetic

differentiation among subpopulations. To obtain a clearer picture about demographic and genetic

substructure, we employed genotyping-by-sequencing (GBS) with 354 samples from 21 populations of

H. fruticosa and analysed single-nucleotide-polymorphism (SNP) data. We hypothesize, that the

population genetic processes of *H. fruticosa* have been shaped by the interplay of long-term aridity in

the Atacama Desert and the divergence time retrieved for this organism.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study system

Huidobria fruticosa is documented in northern Chile from 25.4° S to about 18.2° S (Grau, 1997).

Locality information was taken from Grau (1997) and ground-truthed during four field campaigns

between 2017 and 2019. In the present study, 21 populations were sampled between quebrada San

Ramón near Taltal (25.4°S) and quebrada Tiliviche near the town of Pisagua (19.5°S) (Fig. 2). To the

north of Pisagua, we could not find the species despite previous reports of it (Grau, 1997). Populations

are usually confined to the extremities in the east (Andes) and west (coastal cordillera) of the dry

riverbeds that cross the Atacama, with populations at either end of these riverbeds separated by the

barren desert pampa. The plants are shrubs of usually about one to two, rarely three meters in height.

They produce white flowers that contain small amounts of highly concentrated nectar, indicating

insect pollination (Ackermann & Weigend, 2006). Masses of tiny seeds are released from capsules,

and as typical dust-flyers are distributed by wind (Weigend et al., 2004).

Population sampling

From each of the 21 sampled populations leaf tissue was collected in average from 15 individuals (at

least 5 individuals and up to 25 individuals, depending on the population size) and stored in silica gel.

Details about each population are given in Table 1. Sampling was carried out along a transect crossing

the entire population in order to obtain the best possible coverage. At least one voucher specimen from

each population was deposited at the herbarium of the Nees Institute for Biodiversity of Plants,

University of Bonn, Germany (BONN) and the herbarium of the University of La Serena, Chile

(ULS). A detailed documentation for each population is given in supplementary Table S1.

DNA extraction, library preparation and genotyping-by-sequencing

DNA extraction followed Merklinger et al. (2020). In brief, DNA was isolated from silica-dried leaf

material, following the Macherey Nagel Nucleo Mag 96 protocol (Macherey Nagel, Düren, Germany)

and processed by a Thermo Fisher Scientific KingFisher Flex benchtop system (Thermo Fisher

Scientific, Waltham, MA, United States), binding DNA to NucleoMag C-beads. Quantity and quality

of extracted DNA was tested by gel electrophoresis using Lonza GelStar Nucleic Acid Gel Stain

(100x) including a sample of 20 ng linear, double-stranded Lambda DNA (New England Biolabs,

N3011S). Qubit 2.0 Fluorometer (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA, United States) measurements of

selected individuals were taken and samples were standardized to 20 ng/μl. For library preparation 200

ng of genomic DNA were digested with the restriction enzymes *Pst*I-HF (New England Biolabs,

R3140S) and *Msp*I (New England Biolabs, R0106S). Library preparation, individual barcoding, and

single-end sequencing on the Illumina HiSeq 2500 followed Wendler et al. (2014). Barcoded reads

were de-multiplexed using the CASAVA pipeline 1.8 (Illumina, Inc.). The obtained raw sequence

reads (0.5–4 million per individual) were adapter trimmed and quality trimmed (phred score >25) with

CUTADAPT v1.16 (Martin, 2011), and reads shorter than 65 bp after adapter removal were discarded.

Sequence reads for the GBS Illumina runs were deposited in the European Nucleotide Archive under

the study accession PRJEB62761.

Assembly parameters

A de novo assembly of the GBS data was carried out using ipyRAD v0.9.71 (Eaton & Overcast,

2020). This assembly contained 354 individuals of *H. fruticosa* and three individuals of *H. chilensis* as

an outgroup for the triple comparison method (see section Genetic diversity, past population sizes and

sequence divergence), giving a total of 357 individuals. Two different sets of output files were

generated through the ipyRAD branching option, one with all 357 individuals, another without the

outgroup samples, to be used for population structure analyses. For both branches, the minimal sample

number per locus was set to 150, the clustering threshold within samples was set to 0.9. *H. fruticosa* is

assumed to be diploid (2n = 36; Grau, 1997), so the ploidy level was set to diploid accordingly. For the

other parameters the default settings of parameter files generated by ipyRAD were used. For all

downstream analyses, two additional steps of filtering were applied: first all sites (columns) that

contained a gap in any individual were removed, then all individuals (rows) that had 6000 or more

unresolved nucleotides (N) were excluded. The resulting matrix contained 315 individuals and 21,086

sites.

Genetic structure

We conducted a principal component analysis (PCA) using 8,138 unlinked SNP's (one random SNP

per read) that did not contain any "N" and had only two possible nucleotide states. Homozygotes of

the two states were coded "0" and "1" and heterozygotes were coded "0.5" for the input matrix. The

first three principal components were plotted and interpreted in the light of population grouping.

Population structure was further explored using the STRUCTURE v2.3.4 (Pritchard et al., 2000) as

implemented in ipyRAD v0.9.71, to cluster all sampled individuals into K-distinct populations. Values

for K were tested for K = 2–6 with 20 replicates run per test. Each replicate was run for 800 000

Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) steps with a burn-in period of 200 000.

F_{ST} and isolation by distance

Average F_{ST} across all loci was calculated based on pairwise comparisons between populations and

visualized in a heat map. Further, we tested for isolation by distance by correlating the average F_{ST} to

geographical distance between each pair of populations using a Mantel test with the R package vegan

v.2.5-4 (Oksanen et al., 2019).

Genetic diversity, past population sizes and sequence divergence

The population genetic parameter $\theta = 4 N\mu$ can be estimated with three methods: θw (number of SNPs

divided by harmonic number of 2n-1), θπ (average different SNPs between two haploid chromosomes)

and θs (number of singletons). Under panmictia (no migration or population structure) and constant

population size, the three estimates should be equal. θw > θπ > θs can result from recent population

size reduction or internal structure, while the opposite can be caused by recent population size increase

or external immigration. Based on the SNP matrix, we calculated three estimates of the population-

scaled mutation rate θ, a measure of genetic diversity that is independent of sample size. $\theta\pi$ is the

mean number of differences between two haploid genomes in the population. θw is the total number of

variable sites in the population divided by the harmonic number of 2n-1 (Watterson's estimator).

Finally, θs is the number (raw count) of singletons, polymorphic sites, where one allele is present only

in one genomic copy in the entire genome. These values are presented as the total amount of diversity

in the SNP matrix.

The program Stairway plot v2.1 (Liu, 2020; Liu & Fu, 2015) was used to estimate the past population

sizes from the allele frequency spectra. Because no known estimates of generation time and unscaled

mutation rates are available, the results are displayed as if the mutation rate is 1.2e-8 mutations per site

per generation, and generation time is one year. The mutation rate is a default value provided by the

program. The generation time is arbitrarily decided (default value of 24 years). Only sites that are not

"N"s in any individual in that population are counted for both the total site number and the site

frequency spectrum.

In order to estimate the time of sequence divergence between population clusters, we developed a

"triple comparison method". For this, the loci were first aligned to the outgroup (OG), *H. chilensis*. To

estimate the divergence time between two clusters, we took one individual from each cluster (H1 and

H2) and counted the number of sites that were non-ambiguous in both individuals and the OG. Then

we counted the number of transitions and transversions between H1 and H2, H1 and OG, as well as

H2 and OG. Heterozygotes were counted as half a mutation. For example, A/A and A/G is separated

by 0.5 transitions, while A/A and C/G is separated by 0.5 transitions and 0.5 transversions. Following

this, we calculated the Kimura-2-Parameter distance (d) between each pair, and the divergence time

between H1 and H2 was estimated as $t_{H1-H2} = t_0 \times 2 \times d_{H1-H2} / (d_{H1-OG} + d_{H2-OG})$, where t_0 is the

divergence time between *H. fruticosa* and *H. chilensis* (~ 50 Ma, Acuña et al., 2019). Four individuals

from four different groups of populations were chosen for each cluster based on the least number of

"N"s, except for population 15 (Tocopilla), where the four individuals were from the same (only)

population. Therefore, for each pair of clusters the triple comparison was repeated 16 times and the

mean estimate was used.

RESULTS

Assembly statistics

The assembled data set including the *H. chilensis* outgroup and with 29.07% missing data consisted of

13,400 loci with 40,753 SNPs, of which 31,045 were parsimony informative sites. The second data set

("SNP matrix") excluding the outgroup samples had 31.66 % missing data and contained 13,405 loci

with 35,253 SNPs of which 26,252 were parsimony informative sites. After filtering, 315 individuals

and 21,086 SNP sites remained for further analyses.

Genetic structure

PCA axes 1 & 2 explained 45.8 and 11. 9 % of the total variability, respectively (Fig. 3). A first split

separates a northern cluster from the rest along the first PCA axis. Along the second axis, a further

split between the southern coastal populations and the Tocopilla + Andean populations was observed,

while the southern coastal populations appeared closer to the northern populations. PCA axes 1 & 3,

explaining 45.8–5.6 % of the total variability, respectively, retrieved the Tocopilla population farthest

away from the rest. To further clearly distinguish the four distinct geographical clusters, they are from

here on referred to as NC (northern cluster), AC (Andean cluster), SC (southern cluster) and TC

(Tocopilla-cluster).

Results of the structure analysis (Fig. 4) are congruent with the results from the PCA, but without a

clear separation of the TC population. The optimal delta K value was K = 3 followed by K = 5. At K =

2 the NC, including all populations north of Iquique at 20°S (populations 17–21), separated from the

rest. At K = 3 the AC separated from the NC and SC, containing populations 12–16. At K = 5, the TC

(population 15) separated from the other populations, but retaining a weak connectivity to its closest

Andean neighbour, population 16 near the locality of Huatacondo and population 11, the northern

most population of the SC.

F_{ST}, Mantel test and isolation by distance

Genetic distances between populations were small to moderate (0.05–0.35), however, some distinct

tendencies could be observed between the populations belonging to the four geographical clusters

(Fig. 5). The populations belonging to the NC all shared a very low F_{ST} among each other (≤ 0.1), as

did the populations of the SC. The two Andean populations (13 and 14) equally shared a very low F_{ST}

value (0.05) although this increased with geographical distance toward the other populations

belonging to the AC further north (populations 12 and 16; ~ 0.1). The largest F_{ST} values were found

between the Andean population 16 near Huatacondo and the populations of the NC (0.35). Further,

between two of the southernmost populations (1 and 3) and the NC (0.3–0.35), and between the TC

(15) and the rest (0.3–0.35). The Mantel-test showed a positive correlation (r = 0.749; P < 0.001)

between the geographic distance of the population to each other and the respective F_{ST} values,

indicating that geneflow decreases with increasing distance.

Genetic diversity, past population sizes and sequence divergence

Populations belonging to the NC showed relatively homogeneous estimates of θ, both among

subpopulations and among different estimators (θw θπ and θs), supporting the notion of populations

being in, or close to, mutation-drift equilibrium (Fig. 6). The only exception is population 18, which

has an excess of singletons. They are not concentrated in a single individual. Therefore, an initial

suspicion that this may be due to a sequence artefact or misclassification was not confirmed.

Generally, AC has a larger population size than NC, and appears to be slightly expanding. The only

exception here is population 16 (Huatacondo), which has a small Ne, but very even estimates of θ,

indicating again that it is close to mutation drift equilibrium, and has stably persisted as a small

subpopulation. SC has the largest populations, but most of them, with the exception of populations 4, 5

and 11, appear to be shrinking. Lastly, population 15 (Tocopilla) is intermediate in size and stable.

The result of the stairway analysis was generally similar to the interpretation of the values of θ: recent

reduction in SC, expansion and AC and general stability in NC and TC (Suppl. Fig. S1). There was a

noticeable decline in all populations belonging to the SC at ~ 2000 time units, but only a few of these

populations showed a subsequent rebound. Also, population 15 (Tocopilla) appeared to have

experienced a bottle neck around the same time.

The models from our triple comparison dating output analysis suggest a divergence time of

approximately ~2 Ma for the first split between the NC and the remaining populations. This also

corresponds to the split along the first PC axis in the PCA graph (Fig. 3). At approx. 1.2–1.4 Mya the

AC, SC and TC split further.

DISCUSSION

Pleistocene origin of extant Huidobria populations

At the outset of this study, we hypothesized that the population structure of *H. fruticosa* might reveal

the signature of long-term aridity of the Atacama Desert. Phylogenetically, the two species of

Huidobria are separate lineages of Eocene origin (Acuna et al., 2019) and thus pre-date most other

Atacama Desert lineages for which dated phylogenies are available (e.g., *Cristaria*, Böhnert et al.,

2022; *Malesherbia*, Gengler–Nowak, 2002; *Oxalis*, Heibl & Renner, 2012; *Heliotropium*, Luebert et

al., 2012; *Nolana*, Dillon et al., 2009; *Zygophyllaceae*, Böhnert et al., 2020). However, our results

suggest that the history of the sampled extant populations is rather recent, dating back only to the last

~2 Ma. As such, our analyses do not provide insight into the pre-Pleistocene history of *Huidobria*

fruticosa in the Atacama Desert. However, we are able to provide a detailed picture of a much more

recent population history, providing for the first time the population history of a paleo-endemic plant

species from one of the world's driest deserts.

The here studied populations of *H. fruticosa* are characterized by a well-defined genetic structure

which corresponds to four geographically distinguishable population clusters (NC, SC, AC, TC). Our

triple comparison analysis suggests an initial split into a northern and southern cluster of populations

approximately 2.03 Ma. At about 1.45 Ma, the southern cluster then split further into the Andean (AC)

and southern coastal cluster (SC), followed by a third split between the AC and the TC cluster

approximately 1.21 Ma. It is to note, however, that this timescale is probably much older than

anything that can be retrieved from the within-population variation data, such as the stairway or theta

analyses. Because the stairway timescale is always relative to the generation time, the absolute time

scale may vary considerably, depending on the actual generation time. Within-population variation

data provides information up to 4Ne generations ago; however, in order to obtain Ne we need an

estimate of absolute mutation rate.

The Plio–Pleistocene saw a fluctuating climate, with several pluvial phases interspersed with phases of

prolonged aridity (Jordan et al., 2014; Ritter et al., 2018b). Cosmogenic nuclide exposure dating to

investigate ancient shoreline terraces of the Quillagua-Llamara Soledad Lake demonstrated the

presence of several pronounced arid phases 2.65± 0.15 Ma and 1.27 ± 0.47 Mya, when no lake existed

(Ritter et al., 2018b), a finding similar to that obtained by Jordan et al. (2014). The dates obtained by

Ritter et al. (2018b) fit remarkably well with the dates, which we obtained for our extant population

clusters, suggesting a climatically induced shift in the population structure of *Huidobria fruticosa*.

This finding is congruent with that made for other plant genera such as *Eulychnia* (Merklinger et al.,

2021) or *Cristaria* (Böhnert et al., 2022), with the difference that those groups seem to have

diversified in response to climatic shifts, while in *H. fruticosa* this does not appear to have been the

case. The lack of observable diversification is surprising considering the phylogenetic age of this

lineage. The two known species, *H. fruticosa* and *H. chilensis* are genetically only distantly related

(last common ancestor at approx. 50 My; Acuña et al., 2019) and morphologically very different (c.f.

Fig. 1). While the reasons for such a lack of diversification go beyond this study, similar patterns are

also known from other large shrubs or small trees in the Atacama Desert (e.g., Zygophyllaceae:

Böhnert et al., 2020). One explanation may be the higher extinction rates in arid landscapes (Stebbins,

1952) and the associated greater species turnover – or simply put: perhaps the past saw a very diverse

assemblage of *Huidobria* species similar to other groups today such as e.g. *Nolana* (Solanaceae;

Dillon et al., 2009).

During pluvial phases, *H. fruticosa* may have been more widely distributed, possibly forming

extensive metapopulations similar to their mass-occurrences today shortly after precipitation events.

With the onset of prolonged phases of aridity, however, habitat and populations became increasingly

fragmented and populations geographically isolated, in turn leading to the genetic structure of

populations that we see today. Similar patterns have been proposed for ancient lineages in other parts

of the world, such as *Welwitschia* Hook. from Namibia and Angola, which also shows strong signals

of population structure, and which has been interpreted as the result of aridification (Jacobson &

Lester, 2003), although in this case, a temporal framework in correlation to climate history is still
needed.

Dispersal and genetic connectivity between Huidobria populations
The three to four geographically and genetically distinguishable *Huidobria* population clusters show
varying degrees of gene flow among each other across the hyper-arid landscape. The structure analysis
points to continuous gene flow between coastal and Andean populations within the NC, while the SC
is genetically isolated from the populations of the Andes, as is, at least to some extent, the TC. This
implies that gene flow between the northern coast and the northern Andes has been more continuous
than between the Andes and the coast further south. This may in part be explained by the more
continuous geography between the coast and the Andes in the far north, facilitating plant dispersal
across it. Since the estimated effective population size is very small in this cluster (NC), the excess of
singletons could be due to a very recent expansion after a strong bottleneck.

The genetic diversity analysis recovered the largest number of singletons (θs) in the SC, where F_{ST}
values between coastal populations are relatively high. Interestingly, with the exception of populations
9, 11 and 17, the coastal populations show a decreasing trend in the number of singletons obtained
from the θ analysis, as well as steep drops in the stairway plot line, possibly indicative of a population
bottleneck. A possible explanation may be that, given the highest genetic diversity in the SC,
Huidobria populations have managed to persist at coastal localities throughout their long-term
evolutionary history, and from here, repeatedly colonized different regions of the Atacama Desert.

The coastal terrain of the southern Atacama is strongly fragmented and occupied by a patchy loma
vegetation, which is mostly concentrated on the western slopes of the coastal cordillera (Dillon &
Hoffmann, 1997; Rundel et al., 1991). The steep coastal cordillera and the hyper-arid pampa del
Tamarugal without the Andean-coastal canyon connection may present the species with much stronger
climatic and geographical barriers (Ruhm et al., 2020) and so hamper *Huidobria* dispersal, resulting in
the Andes-Coast genetic differentiation between populations observed here. The only exception to this
is the Tocopilla population, which shows a slight Andean genetic influence. In fact, this population
seems more closely related to the Andean population near Huatacondo (population 16) than to any
other, geographically closer Andean populations (e.g., populations 13 & 14) in our sampling.

Huidobria fruticosa very effectively disperses its seeds, so it appears plausible to infer dispersal events
and founder effects at various periods in time as a cause for current population distribution. Effective
dispersal and colonization have been shown for other lineages in the Atacama such as, e.g., *Tillandsia*
(Merklinger et al., 2020), *Hoffmannseggia* (Simpson et al., 2005) or members of the Boraginaceae
(Simpson et al., 2017). This would imply the presence of a source pool, or at least the continuity of

populations over time in some areas of the Atacama Desert, from where the repeated colonization of
adjacent areas could have taken place. This source pool might be found in the build-up of a soil seed
bank by the species' wind dispersed seeds where they endure arid phases and establish new
populations following precipitation events. This would also explain our observation of populations at
different localities where previously none were found. Populations would not "move" but establish at
fast speed from soil seed banks after precipitation. Effective dispersal further mitigates the extinction
risk of newly founded small subpopulations (Den Boer, 1968; Pisa et al., 2019), which is particularly
high in arid environments (Stebbins, 1952). Despite effective dispersal, however, *H. fruticosa* has
remained an endemic of the extreme north of Chile and has apparently not managed to occupy
adjacent regions such as southern Peru, despite similar levels of aridity there. Although there is a
floristic break between the coastal floras of southern Peru and northern Chile (Pinto & Luebert, 2009;
Ruhm et al., 2022; Rundel et al., 1991), other taxa which have a coastal as well as Andean distribution
have migrated across this barrier along the Andean cordillera (Beddows et al., 2017; Dillon et al.,
2009; Gengler–Nowak, 2002, Böhnert et al., 2022), which has been proposed to function as a dispersal
corridor for taxa (Luebert & Weigend, 2014; Moreno et al., 1994). The absence of *Huidobria* in
southern Peru is thus surprising. While this may simply be a sampling bias, a further plausible
explanation may be the presence of another Loasoid lineage (*Presliophytum* (Urb. & Gilg) Weigend)
in southern Peru with very similar ecological and physiological traits as *Huidobria* in terms of habitat
preference (Acuña et al., 2017; Acuña & Weigend, 2017) and seed dispersibility (Weigend et al.,
2004). *Presliophytum* may thus act as an effective competitor preventing *Huidobria* to colonize
habitats successfully in southern Peru.

Paleo-endemic desert lineages with recent radiations
Deserts tend to be populated by lineages of recent origin (see e.g., Baldwin, 2014; Byrne et al., 2020)
with high levels of neo-endemism (Scherson et al., 2020). Conversely, paleo-endemics are associated
with low-latitude and stable climates, where species have survived and relatives gone extinct
elsewhere (Daru et al., 2020). Paleo-endemics are thus rare in hot deserts, characterized by climatic
instability, with *Welwitschia* being the classic example of an exception to this rule. *Huidobria*
fruticosa is another rare example of desert paleo-endemism, though we do know very little about the
past history of this lineage. Its genetic population structure, however, does not appear to show any
signature of its presumed long evolutionary history. Rather it appears to be the result of recent
processes that favoured both isolation and connectivity among populations, with gene-flow taking
place along the streams between the coastal and the Andean ranges, probably associated with periods
of increasing rainfall in recent times. Furthermore, another important prerequisite to explain the here
observed population structure is the ability to effectively disperse seeds and to build-up a soil seed
bank, and thereby establishing and maintaining isolated populations across the hyper-arid desert

landscape. However, north to south gene-flow must be regarded as much more limited, which is

possibly a result of large, permanently hyperarid zones between the NC and the other populations that

make the survival of any species nearly impossible. In summary, the present-day distribution of

Huidobria fruticosa can be explained by the impact of abiotic factors, mainly the climatic fluctuation

and the prolonged phases of hyper-arid conditions during the Pleistocene. Thereby, abiotic factors

account for much biotic diversity in the region because of population isolation and secondary contact

leading to diversification of organisms, while biotic diversity also largely depends on the individual

life strategy and subsequent carrying capacity of the respective habitats.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Claudia Schütte (Nees Institute, Bonn), Axel Himmelbach and Susanne König (IPK

Gatersleben) for guidance and support with the laboratory processes. We would also like to thank Dr.

Julius Jeiter (Nees Institute, Bonn) for feedback and help with preparing figures, as well as Prof. Dr.

Maximilian Weigend (Nees Institute, Bonn) for helpful insights into the ecology of the study group as

well as substantial feedback on an early draft of the manuscript. This study was funded by the German

Research Foundation (DFG) – Project Nr. 268236062 – SFB 1211, Earth: Evolution at the dry limit

(http://sfb1211.uni-koeln.de/).

REFERENCES

Ackermann, M., & Weigend, M. (2006). Nectar, floral morphology and pollination syndrome in
Loasaceae subfam. Loasoideae (Cornales). *Annals of Botany*, *98*(3), 503–514.
https://doi.org/10.1093/aob/mcl136

Acuña, R., Fließwasser, S., Ackermann, M., Henning, T., Luebert, F., & Weigend, M. (2017).
Phylogenetic relationships and generic re-arrangements in "South Andean Loasas" (Loasaceae).
Taxon, *66*(2), 365–378. https://doi.org/10.12705/662.5

Acuña, R., Luebert, F., Henning, T., & Weigend, M. (2019). Major lineages of Loasaceae subfam.
Loasoideae diversified during the Andean uplift. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution*, *141*,
106616. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ympev.2019.106616

Acuña, R., & Weigend, M. (2017). A taxonomic revision of the western South American genus
Presliophytum (Loasaceae). *Phytotaxa*, *329*(1), 51–68. https://doi.org/10.11646/phytotaxa.329.1.3

Axelrod, D. I. (1967). Drought, diastrophism, and quantum evolution. *Evolution*, *21*(2), 201–209.
https://doi.org/10.2307/2406669

Baldwin, B. G. (2014). Origins of plant diversity in the California floristic province. *Annual Review of*
Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics, *45*(1), 347–369. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-ecolsys-
110512-135847

Baranzelli, M. C., Johnson, L. A., Cosacov, A., & Sérsic, A. N. (2014). Historical and ecological
divergence among populations of *Monttea chilensis* (Plantaginaceae), an endemic endangered
shrub bordering the Atacama Desert, Chile. *Evolutionary Ecology*, *28*(4), 751–774.
https://doi.org/10.1007/s10682-014-9694-y

Beddows, I., Reddy, A., Kloesges, T., & Rose, L. E. (2017). Population genomics in wild tomatoes—
The interplay of divergence and admixture. *Genome Biology and Evolution*, *9*(11), 3023–3038.
https://doi.org/10.1093/gbe/evx224

Böhnert, T., Luebert, F., Merklinger, F. F., Harpke, D., Stoll, A., Schneider, J. V., Blattner, F. R.,
Quandt, D. & Weigend, M. 2022: Plant migration under long-lasting hyperaridity –
phylogenomics unravels recent biogeographic history in one of the oldest deserts on Earth. *New*
Phytologist, *234*: 1863–1875. https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.18082.

Böhnert, T., Luebert, F., Ritter, B., Merklinger, F. F., Stoll, A., Schneider, J. V., Quandt, D., &
Weigend, M. (2019). Origin and diversification of *Cristaria* (Malvaceae) parallel Andean
orogeny and onset of hyperaridity in the Atacama Desert. *Global and Planetary Change*, *181*,
102992. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloplacha.2019.102992

Böhnert, T., Weigend, M., Merklinger, F., Quandt, D., & Luebert, F. (2020). Historical assembly of
Zygophyllaceae in the Atacama Desert. *Frontiers of Biogeography*, *12*(3), e45197.
https://doi.org/10.21425/F5FBG45197

Byrne, M., Murphy, D. J., Byrne, M., & Murphy, D. J. (2020). The origins and evolutionary history of
xerophytic vegetation in Australia. *Australian Journal of Botany*, *68*(3), 195–207.
https://doi.org/10.1071/BT20022

Daru, B. H., Farooq, H., Antonelli, A., & Faurby, S. (2020). Endemism patterns are scale dependent.
Nature Communications, *11*(1), 2115. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-15921-6

Den Boer, P. J. (1968). Spreading of risk and stabilization of animal numbers. *Acta biotheoretica*,
18(1-4), 165-194.

Dillon, M. O., & Hoffmann, A. E. (1997). Lomas formations of the Atacama Desert northern Chile. In
S. D. Davis, V. H. Heywood, O. Herrera-MacBryde, J. Villa-Lobos, & Hamilton (Eds.), *Centres*
of plant diversity, a guide and strategy for their conservation: Vol. 3: The Americas (pp. 528–
535). Oxford, United Kingdom: WWF Information Press.

Dillon, M. O., Tu, T., Xie, L., Quipuscoa Silvestre, V., & Wen, J. (2009). Biogeographic
diversification in *Nolana* (Solanaceae), a ubiquitous member of the Atacama and Peruvian
Deserts along the western coast of South America. *Journal of Systematics and Evolution*, *47*(5),
457–476. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1759-6831.2009.00040.x

Dunai, T. J., González López, G. A., & Juez-Larré, J. (2005). Oligocene–Miocene age of aridity in the
Atacama Desert revealed by exposure dating of erosion-sensitive landforms. *Geology*, *33*(4),
321– 324. https://doi.org/10.1130/G21184.1

Eaton, D. A. R., & Overcast, I. (2020). ipyrad: Interactive assembly and analysis of RADseq datasets.
Bioinformatics, *36*(8), 2592–2594. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btz966

Garreaud, R., Barichivich, J., Christie, D. A., & Maldonado, A. (2008). Interannual variability of the
coastal fog at Fray Jorge relict forests in semiarid Chile. *Journal of Geophysical Research*,
113(G04011), 1–16. https://doi.org/10.1029/2008JG000709

Gaston, K. J., & Spicer, J. J. (2004). *Biodiversity: an introduction* (2nd edition). Blackwell Science.

Gengler–Nowak, K. (2002). Reconstruction of the biogeographical history of Malesherbiaceae. *The*
Botanical Review, *68*(1), 171–188.

Gillespie, R. G., & Roderick, G. K. (2014). Geology and climate drive diversification. *Nature*,
509(7500), 297–298. https://doi.org/10.1038/509297a

Grau, J. (1997). *Huidobria*, eine isolierte Gattung der Loasaceae aus Chile. *Sendtnera*, *4*, 77–93.

Hartley, A. J., & Chong, G. (2002). Late Pliocene age for the Atacama Desert: Implications for the
desertification of western South America. *Geology*, *30*(1), 43–46. https://doi.org/10.1130/0091-
7613(2002)030<0043:LPAFTA>2.0.CO;2

Heibl, C. & Renner, S.S. (2012). Distribution models and a dated phylogeny for Chilean *Oxalis*
species reveal occupation of new habitats by different lineages, not rapid adaptive radiation.
Systematic Biology, *61*, 823–834. https://doi.org/10.1093/sysbio/sys034.

Houston, J. (2006). Variability of precipitation in the Atacama Desert: its causes and hydrological
impact. *International Journal of Climatology*, *26*(15), 2181–2198.
https://doi.org/10.1002/joc.1359

Jacobson, K. M. & Lester, E. (2003). A first assessment of genetic variation in *Welwitschia mirabilis*
Hook. *Journal of Heredity*, *94*(3), 212–217. https://doi.org/10.1093/jhered/esg051.

Jordan, T. E., Kirk-Lawlor, N. E., Blanco, N.P., Rech, J.A. & Cosentino, N. J. (2014). Landscape
modification in response to repeated onset of hyperarid paleoclimate states since 14 Ma, Atacama
Desert, Chile. *Geological Society of America Bulletin*, *126*(7–8), 1016–1046.
https://doi.org/10.1130/B30978.1.

Koch, M. A., Kiefer, C., Möbus, J., Quandt, D., Merklinger, D., Harpke, D. & Villasante Benavides,
F. (2022) Range expansion and contraction of *Tillandsia landbeckii* lomas in the hyperarid
Chilean Atacama Desert indicates ancient introgression and geneflow. *Perspectives in Plant*
Ecology, Evolution and Systematics, 56, 125689. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ppees.2022.125689

Liu, X. (2020). Human prehistoric demography revealed by the polymorphic pattern of CpG
transitions. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, *37*(9), 2691–2698.
https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msaa112

Liu, X., & Fu, Y.-X. (2015). Exploring population size changes using SNP frequency spectra. *Nature*
Genetics, *47*(5), 555–559. https://doi.org/10.1038/ng.3254

Luebert, F. & Weigend, M. (2014). Phylogenetic insights into Andean plant diversification. *Frontiers*
in Ecology and Evolution, *2*(192), 85. https://doi.org/10.3389/fevo.2014.00027.

Luebert, F. (2011). Hacia una fitogeografía histórica del Desierto de Atacama. *Revista de Geografía*
Norte Grande, *50*, 105–133. https://doi.org/10.4067/S0718-34022011000300007

Luebert, F., & Pliscoff, P. A. (2017). *Sinopsis bioclimática y vegetacional de Chile* (segunda edición).
Editorial Universitaria.

Luebert, F., Hilger, H. H., & Weigend, M. (2011). Diversification in the Andes: Age and origins of
South American *Heliotropium* lineages (Heliotropiaceae, Boraginales). *Molecular Phylogenetics*
and Evolution, *61*(1), 90–102. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ympev.2011.06.001

Luebert, F., Jacobs, P., Hilger, H. H., & Muller, L. A. H. (2014). Evidence for nonallopatric speciation
among closely related sympatric *Heliotropium* species in the Atacama Desert. *Ecology and*
Evolution, *4*(3), 266–275. https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.929

Luebert, F., Wen, J., & Dillon, M. O. (2009). Systematic placement and biogeographical relationships
of the monotypic genera *Gypothamnium* and *Oxyphyllum* (Asteraceae: Mutisioideae) from the
Atacama Desert. *Botanical Journal of the Linnean Society*, *159*(1), 32–51.
https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1095-8339.2008.00926.x

Martin, M. (2011). Cutadapt removes adapter sequences from high-throughput sequencing reads.
*EMBnet.Journa*l, *17*(1), 10–12. https://doi.org/10.14806/ej.17.1.200

McKay, C. P., Friedmann, E. I., Gómez-Silva, B., Cáceres-Villanueva, L., Andersen, D. T., &
Landheim, R. (2003). Temperature and moisture conditions for life in the extreme arid region of
the Atacama Desert: four years of observations including the El Niño of 1997–1998.
Astrobiology, *3*(2), 393–406. https://doi.org/10.1089/153110703769016460

Merklinger, F. F., Böhnert, T., Arakaki, M., Weigend, M., Quandt, D., & Luebert, F. (2021).
Quaternary diversification of a columnar cactus in the driest place on earth. *American Journal of*
Botany, *108*(2), 184–199. https://doi.org/10.1002/ajb2.1608

Merklinger, F. F., Zheng, Y., Luebert, F., Harpke, D., Böhnert, T., Stoll, A., Koch, M. A., Blattner, F.
R., Wiehe, T., & Quandt, D. (2020). Population genomics of *Tillandsia landbeckii* reveals

unbalanced genetic diversity and founder effects in the Atacama Desert. *Global and Planetary*
Change, *184*, 103076. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloplacha.2019.103076

Moreno, P. I., Villagrán, C., Marquet, P. A., & Marshall, L. G. (1994). Quaternary paleobiogeography
of northern and central Chile. *Revista Chilena de Historia Natural*, *67*, 487–502.

Oksanen, J., Blanchet, F. G., Friendly, M., Kindt, R., Legendre, P., McGlinn, D., Minchin, P. R.,
O'Hara, R. B., Simpson, G. L., Solymos, P., Stevens, M. H. H., Szoecs, E., & Wagner, H. (2019).
Vegan: community ecology package. R package version 2.5-4. https://cran.r-
project.org/package=vegan

Ossa, P. G., Armesto, J. J., & Pérez, F. (2017). Assessing the influence of life form and life cycle on
the response of desert plants to past climate change: Genetic diversity patterns of an herbaceous
lineage of *Nolana* along western South America. *American Journal of Botany*, *104*(10), 1533–
1545. https://doi.org/10.3732/ajb.1700101

Ossa, P. G., Pérez, F., & Armesto, J. J. (2013). Phylogeography of two closely related species of
Nolana from the coastal Atacama Desert of Chile: post-glacial population expansions in response
to climate fluctuations. *Journal of Biogeography*, *40*(11), 2191–2203.
https://doi.org/10.1111/jbi.12152

Pinto, R., & Luebert, F. (2009). Datos sobre la flora vascular del desierto costero de Arica y Tarapacá,
Chile, y sus relaciones fitogeográficas con el sur de Perú. *Gayana Botánica*, *66*(1), 28–49.
https://doi.org/10.4067/S0717-66432009000100004

Pisa, H., Hermisson, J. & Polechová, J. (2019). The influence of fluctuating population densities on
evolutionary dynamics. *Evolution*, *73*(7), 1341–1355. https://doi.org/10.1111/evo.13756.

Pritchard, J. K., Stephens, M., & Donnelly, P. (2000). Inference of population structure using
multilocus genotype data. *Genetics*, *155*(2), 945–959. https://doi.org/10.1093/genetics/155.2.945

Ritter, B., Binnie, S. A., Stuart, F. M., Wennrich, V., & Dunai, T. J. (2018b). Evidence for multiple
Plio-Pleistocene lake episodes in the hyperarid Atacama Desert. *Quaternary Geochronology*, *44*,
1–12. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.quageo.2017.11.002

Ritter, B., Stuart, F. M., Binnie, S. A., Gerdes, A., Wennrich, V., & Dunai, T. J. (2018a). Neogene
fluvial landscape evolution in the hyperarid core of the Atacama Desert. *Scientific Reports*, *8*(1),
13952. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-32339-9

Ruhm, J., Böhnert, T., Mutke, J., Luebert, F., Montesinos-Tubée, D. B. & Weigend, M. (2022). Two
Sides of the Same Desert: Floristic Connectivity and Isolation Along the Hyperarid Coast and
Precordillera in Peru and Chile. *Frontiers in Ecology and Evolution*, *10*, 862846.
https://doi.org/10.3389/fevo.2022.862846.

Ruhm, J., Böhnert, T., Weigend, M., Merklinger, F. F., Stoll, A., Quandt, D., & Luebert, F. (2020).
Plant life at the dry limit—spatial patterns of floristic diversity and composition around the
hyperarid core of the Atacama Desert. *PLoS ONE*, *15*(5), e0233729.
https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0233729

Rundel, P. W., Dillon, M. O., Palma, B., Mooney, H. A., Gulmon, S. L., & Ehleringer, J. R. (1991).
The phytogeography and ecology of the coastal Atacama and Peruvian deserts. *Aliso*, *13*(1), 1–49.
https://doi.org/10.5642/aliso.19911301.02

Scherson, R. A., Luebert, F., Pliscoff, P., & Fuentes-Castillo, T. (2020). Flora of the hot deserts:
Emerging patterns from phylogeny-based diversity studies. *American Journal of Botany*, *107*(11),
1467–1469. https://doi.org/10.1002/ajb2.1555

Scherson, R. A., Thornhill, A. H., Urbina-Casanova, R., Freyman, W. A., Pliscoff, P. A., & Mishler,
B. D. (2017). Spatial phylogenetics of the vascular flora of Chile. *Molecular Phylogenetics and*
Evolution, *112*, 88–95. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ympev.2017.04.021

Simpson, B. B., Tate, J. A. & Weeks, A. (2005). The biogeography of *Hoffmannseggia* (Leguminosae,
Caesalpinioideae, Caesalpinieae): a tale of many travels. *Journal of Biogeography*, *32*(1), 15–27.
https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2699.2004.01161.x.

Simpson, M. G., Johnson, L. A., Villaverde, T. & Guilliams, C. M. (2017). American amphitropical
disjuncts: Perspectives from vascular plant analyses and prospects for future research. *American
Journal of Botany*, *104*(11), 1600–1650. https://doi.org/10.3732/ajb.1700308.

Stebbins, G. L. (1952). Aridity as a stimulus to plant evolution. *The American Naturalist*, *86*(826), 33–
44. https://doi.org/10.1086/281699

Stebbins, G. L., & Major, J. (1965). Endemism and speciation in the California flora. *Ecological
Monographs*, *35*(1), 1–35. https://doi.org/10.2307/1942216

Thornhill, A. H., Baldwin, B. G., Freyman, W. A., Nosratinia, S., Kling, M. M., Morueta-Holme, N.,
Madsen, T. P., Ackerly, D. D., & Mishler, B. D. (2017). Spatial phylogenetics of the native
California flora. *BMC Biology*, *15*(1), 96. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12915-017-0435-x

Thornhill, A. H., Mishler, B. D., Knerr, N. J., González-Orozco, C. E., Costion, C. M., Crayn, D. M.,
Laffan, S. W., & Miller, J. T. (2016). Continental-scale spatial phylogenetics of Australian
angiosperms provides insights into ecology, evolution and conservation. *Journal of
Biogeography*, *43*(11), 2085–2098. https://doi.org/10.1111/jbi.12797

Viruel, J., Catalán, P., & Segarra-Moragues, J. G. (2012). Disrupted phylogeographical microsatellite
and chloroplast DNA patterns indicate a vicariance rather than long-distance dispersal origin for
the disjunct distribution of the Chilean endemic *Dioscorea biloba* (Dioscoreaceae) around the
Atacama Desert. *Journal of Biogeography*, *39*(6), 1073–1085. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-
2699.2011.02658.x

Weigend, M., Aitzetmüller, K., & Bruehl, L. (2004). The seeds of Loasaceae subfam. Loasoideae
(Cornales) I: Seed release, seed numbers and fatty acid composition. *Flora - Morphology,
Distribution, Functional Ecology of Plants*, *199*(5), 424–436. https://doi.org/10.1078/0367-2530-
00170

Wendler, N., Mascher, M., Nöh, C., Himmelbach, A., Scholz, U., Ruge-Wehling, B. & Stein, N.
(2014). Unlocking the secondary gene-pool of barley with next-generation sequencing. *Plant
Biotechnology Journal*, *12*, 1122–1131. https://doi.org/10.1111/pbi.12219

Data accessibility

GBS sequence reads are accessible at the European Nucleotide Archive under the study accession
PRJEB62761.

Author contributions

FFM, YZ, TB, TW, DQ & FL designed this study. FFM, TB, AS, DQ & FL collected the samples.

FFM & DH generated the sequences. FFM, YZ, TB, TW & FL analysed the data and interpreted the

results. FFM wrote the first draft of the manuscript with subsequent support from YZ, TB, TW & FL.

All authors provided critical revision to the drafts of the manuscript.

Tables and Figures

Table 1: List of *H. fruticosa* populations sampled between 2016 and 2019. Consecutive numbers are
given for cross-referencing with the figures, as well as the population field name, location with
coordinates in decimal degree (latitude & longitude), individuals sampled per population and genetic
cluster based on population analyses.

Nr	Name	Locality	Latitude	Longitude	Indiv.	cluster
1	HF_2019	Quebrada San Ramón	-25.38642	-70.44410	14	South Coast
2	HF_430	Lala Kama (Paposo)	-25.01979	-70.38057	20	South Coast
3	HF_118	Cerro Paranal	-24.75786	-70.48971	10	South Coast
4	HF_451	Quebrada Caleta Botija	-24.51074	-70.55319	20	South Coast
5	HF_453	El Cobre to Antofagasta	-24.29918	-70.44527	20	South Coast
6	HF_423	Panamericana km 95	-24.28712	-70.29526	15	South Coast
7	HF_530	Quebrada El Cobre	-24.28437	-70.51086	5	South Coast
8	HF_452	Above El Cobre	-24.27407	-70.51920	6	South Coast
9	HF_532	El Cobre Mirador	-24.24908	-70.42477	20	South Coast
10	HF_533	El Cobre to Antofagasta	-24.23187	-70.36576	20	South Coast
11	HF_615	SE Antofagasta	-24.22561	-70.10584	15	South Coast
12	HF_303	Tilomonte to Tilopozo	-23.78628	-68.16624	23	Andean
13	HF_184	NW of Chuquicamata	-22.30851	-69.07521	23	Andean
14	HF_185	W of Chuquicamata	-22.28956	-69.18568	20	Andean
15	HF_537	Quebrada Tocopilla	-22.07125	-70.16314	20	Tocopilla
16	HF_540	Quebrada Huatacondo	-20.93127	-69.10583	8	Andean
17	HF_110	Tarapacá	-19.90727	-69.48951	10	North
18	HF_661	Pachica	-19.85838	-69.43726	20	North
19	HF_663	Quebrada Aroma	-19.57878	-69.36117	25	North
20	HF_662	Pisagua Viejo	-19.55212	-70.19423	20	North
21	HF_678	Quebrada Camina	-19.32942	-69.50792	20	North

623 **Table 2**: List of population statistics for each population. Consecutive numbers are given for cross-
624 referencing with the figures and Tab. 1.

Nr	Sites	Variant Sites	Polymorphic Sites	% Polymorphic Loci	Pi
1	1123990	14217	2977	0.2649	0.0009
2	1004571	12902	4648	0.4627	0.0013
3	1032171	12362	3390	0.3284	0.0011
4	1275862	16129	6887	0.5398	0.0015
5	1320486	16497	7659	0.5800	0.0016
6	1305062	16135	5953	0.4562	0.0014
7	1047791	13356	3737	0.3567	0.0014
8	1232682	15379	4485	0.3638	0.0014
9	1219180	15378	6670	0.5471	0.0015
10	1418012	17337	7890	0.5564	0.0015
11	1245816	15654	5633	0.4522	0.0014
12	1145564	14570	2807	0.2450	0.0007
13	889895	11456	3051	0.3429	0.0009

14	1215831	15405	4351	0.3579	0.0009
15	1326879	15984	3593	0.2708	0.0007
16	1361823	16472	1498	0.1100	0.0004
17	1259038	14979	2035	0.1616	0.0005
18	1290490	15492	2383	0.1847	0.0004
19	1069226	13260	2030	0.1899	0.0004
20	1410921	16182	2395	0.1698	0.0004
21	1213817	14687	2116	0.1743	0.0004

Figure 1: *Huidobria fruticosa* (A-D) and *H. chilensis* (E-F) flowers and habit. **A** Close-up of *H. fruticosa* flower. **B** Typical habitat with old-growth individual in presumably long-term population. **C** Newly appeared population after localized precipitation event. **D** Regrowth from the base of the plant. **E** Flower close-up of *H. chilensis*. **F** Habit of *H. chilensis*. Images: FFM (A, C – F), TB (B).

Figure 2: Distribution map of *Huidobria fruticosa* in the Atacama Desert in northern Chile. Each dot represents a sampled population, with the colors corresponding to the geographic population clusters used in the following figures. Map inlet shows South America with a rectangular representing the study area in northern Chile.

Figure 3: Principal component analysis with randomly sampled, unlinked SNPs. Each dot represents one individual; color-coding represents the four main clusters identified (NC = Northern Cluster, AC = Andean Cluster, SC = Southern Cluster, TC = Tocopilla Cluster).

Figure 4 – Population structure of the 21 sampled populations of *H. fruticosa* grouped in four geographic clusters. Individuals are grouped by their respective population and population numbers are given below (see figure 2). ΔK and the respective K-values are given on the right. The sampled populations (NC = Northern Cluster, AC = Andean Cluster, SC = Southern Cluster, TC = Tocopilla Cluster) are best grouped into three populations (K = 3), while the Tocopilla (TC) population is recognized as a genetically differentiated population under K = 5.

Figure 5 – Heatmap of pairwise comparison the average F_{ST} values across all loci between the 21 populations. High F_{ST}-values are given in red indicating low gene flow, while low F_{ST}-values are given in blue indicating high gene flow among populations (NC = Northern Cluster, AC = Andean Cluster, SC = Southern Cluster, TC = Tocopilla Cluster).

Figure 6: Estimations of aggregate θ (left ordinate) and of genetic diversity π (right ordinate). Dark, medium and light colors refer to the three estimates θπ, θw and θs, respectively. All estimates of θ are based on the total number of polymorphisms seen in the respective populations. Note, that comparability of θ among populations is limited due to varying amounts of missing data in different populations. In contrast, genetic diversity π is drawn on a per nucleotide scale, admitting comparison across populations (and also with other species). Populations are grouped (NC, AC, TC, SC) and labelled as in Fig 2.

Supplementary Material

Suppl. Table S1: Population sampling and voucher information for the study organism (*Huidobria*
fruticosa) and outgroup (*Huidobria chilensis*).

Suppl. Figure S1: Stairway plots depicting past population sizes calculated from the allele frequency
spectra for the individual clusters (A – D) and for all populations together (E – F). **A** Northern cluster.
B Andean cluster. **C** Southern cluster. **D** Tocopilla cluster. **E** Full plot with all population over
120,000 years. **F** full plot with all populations on a logarithmic scale. Ne stands for effective
population size.

664
665   Fig. 1

667   Fig. 2

669   Fig. 3

671   Fig. 4

Fig. 5

675   Fig. 6

678   Suppl. Fig. S1