The Lateral Ganglionic Eminence Does Not Generate Cortical Oligodendrocytes

Running title: The LGE does not generate cortical OLs

Jialin Li[1, #], Feihong Yang[2, #], Yu Tian[1, #], Ziwu Wang[1], Dashi Qi[3], Zhengang Yang[1], Jiangang Song[2], Jing Ding[1], Xin Wang[1, *] and Zhuangzhi Zhang[1, *]

[1]State Key Laboratory of Medical Neurobiology and MOE Frontiers Center for Brain Science, Institutes of Brain Science, and Department of Neurology, Zhongshan Hospital, Fudan University, Shanghai 200032, P.R. China.

[2]Department of Anesthesiology, Shuguang Hospital Affiliated with Shanghai University of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Shanghai 201203, P.R. China

[3]Center for Clinical Research and Translational Medicine, Yangpu Hospital, School of Medicine, Tongji University, Shanghai 200090, P.R. China.

[#]These authors contributed equally to this work.

*Correspondence

Xin Wang,

Email: wang.xin@zs-hospital.sh.cn

Zhuangzhi Zhang,

Email: zz_zhang@fudan.edu.cn

Abstract

The emergence of myelinating oligodendrocytes represents a pivotal developmental milestone in vertebrates, given their capacity to ensheath axons and facilitate the swift conduction of action potentials. It is widely accepted that cortical oligodendrocyte progenitor cells (OPCs) arise from medial ganglionic eminence (MGE), lateral/caudal ganglionic eminence (LGE/CGE) and cortical radial glial cells (RGCs). Here, we used two different fate mapping strategies to challenge the established notion that the LGE generates cortical OPCs. Furthermore, we used a *Cre-loxP*-dependent exclusion strategy to reveal that the LGE/CGE does not give rise to cortical OPCs. Additionally, we showed that specifically eliminating MGE-derived OPCs leads to a significant reduction of cortical OPCs. Together, our findings indicate that the LGE does not generate cortical OPCs, contrary to previous beliefs. These findings provide a new view of the developmental origins of cortical OPCs and a valuable foundation for future research on both normal development and oligodendrocyte-related disease.

Keywords: Oligodendrocyte, LGE, MGE, Migration, Cortex, Fate mapping

Introduction

Oligodendrocytes (OLs) are one of the most abundant cell types in the mammalian neocortex. The emergence of myelination OLs in vertebrates is a major event in the evolution of the central nervous system (CNS), as these cells play a crucial role in enhancing axonal insulation and the conduction of neural impulses. Previously, they have been described as support cells that myelinate axons and play essential roles in the function of neocortical circuits. Myelin loss or dysfunction is associated with many disorders, such as multiple sclerosis, depression and pediatric leukodystrophies[1,2].

During CNS development, OLs originate from oligodendrocyte precursor cells (OPCs), which arise from different regions of the embryonic neural tube. OPCs proliferate and migrate away from the ventricular germinal zones (VZ) into developing gray and white matter before differentiating into mature OLs[3,4]. The developmental origin of cortical oligodendrocytes has been extensively studied in the CNS, especially in the neocortex[5-8]. A landmark study[9] demonstrated that cortical OPCs are generated in three waves, starting with a ventral wave, gradually transitioning to more dorsal origins. The first wave of cortical OPCs is derived from the medial ganglionic eminence (MGE) or the anterior entopeduncular area (AEP) at embryonic day E12.5 (*Nkx2.1*$^+$ lineage). The second wave of cortical OLs is derived from the lateral/caudal ganglionic eminences (LGE/CGE) at E15.5 (*Gsx2*$^+$/*Nkx2.1*$^-$ lineage). The final wave occurs at P0, when OPCs originate from cortical glial progenitor cells (*Emx1*$^+$

lineage). Our recent studies[10,11], however, challenge the notion that cortical

OLs are generated in these three waves[9,12-14], as the cortical glial progenitor

cells were also found to express *Gsx2*, which was known to be exclusively

expressed in the developing subpallium[15-17], after E16.5[11]. Therefore,

Gsx2$^+$/Nkx2.1$^-$ lineage OLs may not represent LGE-derived cortical OLs. To

date, whether the LGE generates cortical OPCs (OLs) during cortical

development remains an unanswered question in the field of developmental

neuroscience.

The present study was undertaken to answer this question. We carried out

distinct fate mapping experiments and intersectional lineage analyses to reveal

that cortical OPCs do not derive from the LGE. First, using in utero

electroporation (IUE) to target the LGE in a *Cre* recombinase-dependent *IS*

(*Rosa-CAG-LSLFrt-tdTomato-Frt-EGFP*) reporter mouse line[18], we found that

LGE-derived OPCs produced striatal OLs rather than cortical OLs. Second, by

combining the PiggyBac transposon system with IUE, we found that no traced

cells migrated into the cortex from the LGE. Third, by crossing the *Nkx2.1-Cre*

and *Emx1-Cre* mouse lines with an *H2B-GFP* reporter mouse line, we found

that nearly all cortical OPCs originated from *Nkx2.1-Cre* or *Emx1-Cre* lineage

cells. Finally, we analyzed *Olig2*-conditional knockout mice (*Nkx2.1-Cre;*

Olig2$^{F/F}$ mice*)*, and found that the number of cortical OPCs was significantly

reduced from E14.5 to E16.5. These results indicated that the MGE was the

sole ventral source of cortical OPCs. Taken together, our findings suggest that

the LGE does not give rise to cortical OPCs (OLs) during neocortical

development.

In summary, our findings collectively indicate that the MGE is the primary

ventral source of cortical OPCs (OLs) and that the LGE does not generate

cortical OPCs, challenging the conventional view that the LGE generates

cortical OPC (OL) populations during cortical development. This research

advances our understanding of CNS development and presents a new

perspective on the origins of cortical OPCs (OLs).

Results

Fate mapping of LGE-derived OPCs by combining IUE with a *Cre*

recombinase-dependent *IS* reporter

OPCs initially emerge in the ventral VZ of the MGE and can be labeled by

platelet-derived growth factor receptor (a-subunit, *Pdgfra*) around E12.5. We

hypothesized that if the LGE generated cortical OPCs, the *Pdgfra*[+] cell

migration stream from the LGE to the cortex could be observed during cortical

development. To confirm this speculation, we conducted a detailed analysis of

Pdgfra mRNA expression using *in situ* hybridization (ISH). Our results showed

that there was no observable *Pdgfra*[+] cell migration stream from the LGE to the

cortex from E13.5 to P0 (Figure 1A). Next, we used *Cre* recombinases in

combination with an *IS* reporter mouse line[18] to fate map LGE-derived OPCs

(OLs). We delivered *pCAG-Cre* plasmids specifically into the LGE VZ of *IS*

reporter mouse embryos at E13.5 by IUE (Figures 1B-C). In this experiment, cells generated from electroporated neural stem cells (NSCs) expressed tdTomato (tdT). Interestingly, we observed many tdT^{+} cells throughout the whole LGE (striatum) at E18.5. In contrast, there were very few tdT^{+} cells detected in the cortex (Figure 1D). OPCs express *Olig2*, *Sox10*, *Pdgfra* and NG2 (*Cspg4*) and mature neurons express NeuN [19-25]. To determine the identity of the tdT^{+} cells, we performed double staining of tdT with OLIG2, SOX10, PDGFRA, NG2 (CSPG4) and NeuN. Within the cortex, tdT^{+} cells did not express OPC marker genes but express NeuN; however, tdT^{+} cells expressed OPC marker genes and NeuN in the striatum (Figure 1E). We also delivered *pCAG-Cre* plasmids specifically into the LGE VZ of *IS* reporter mice at P0 by IUE and analyzed these mice at P49 (Figure 1F) to investigate whether the LGE generates cortical OLs at a later developmental stage. Our results showed that LGE progenitors generate LGE OLs and astrocytes, which expressed *Sox10* and *Sox9*, respectively (Figure 1F).

To further determine whether LGE-derived OPCs migrate into the cortex after E18.5, we used the same strategy as mentioned above (Figure S1A). We observed that at P10, the majority of the tdT^{+} cells were located in the striatum, whereas very few tdT^{+} cells were located in the neocortex (Figure S1B). All tdT^{+} cells in the neocortex expressed NeuN but did not express OLIG2 (Figure S1C). In fact, tdT^{+} cells in the neocortex did not express OPC marker genes; instead, they expressed NeuN in the neocortex. In contrast, tdT^{+} cells in the

striatum expressed OPC marker genes (*Olig2*, *Sox10* and *Pdgfra*) and NeuN

(Figure S1D), consistent with our observation at E18.5 (Figure 1E). We also

found that tdT$^+$ cells expressed the astrocyte marker ALDH1L1 in the striatum

at P10 (Figure S1D). Furthermore, through consecutive tissue sectioning and

3D reconstruction, we revealed that the traced cells tended to be localized in

the striatum (Figure S1F). Collectively, our findings indicated that the LGE

gives rise to striatal OLs, neurons and astrocytes, but not cortical OLs.

Lineage tracing of LGE-derived OPCs by combining IUE with the

PiggyBac transposon system

To further investigate whether the LGE generates cortical OPCs during

cortical development, we employed an alternative fate mapping strategy by

combining IUE with the PiggyBac transposon system to map the fate of OPCs

derived from LGE. This approach utilized a transposase enzyme (helper

plasmid) to integrate the *H2B-GFP* sequence from a donor plasmid into the

genome of the in utero electroporated LGE progenitors along the lateral

ventricular zone. The *H2B-GFP* reporter vector was delivered specifically into

the LGE VZ of wild-type embryos at E13.5 by IUE, and these embryos were

examined at E18.5 or P10 (Figures 2A-B). In this system, most traced cells

(GFP$^+$) were located in the striatum, and very few traced cells were observed

in the cortex (Figures 2C and E). Usually, a small population of RGCs located

in the dorsal LGE or lateral/ventral pallium was labeled by IUE. These RGCs

mainly migrated to the lateral/ventral pallium and amygdala (Figure 2C). We

did not observe any GFP$^+$ cells that were OPCs in the dorsal cortex, as these

cells did not express OLIG2, SOX10 or PDGFRA (Figure 2D). Instead, they

expressed NeuN (Figure 2D). In contrast, GFP$^+$ cells expressed OLIG2,

SOX10, PDGFRA and NeuN in the striatum at E18.5 (Figure 2D). The same

results were also observed at P10 (Figure 2E). Again, our findings strongly

suggested that the LGE does not generate cortical OPCs.

An exclusion strategy revealed that cortical OPCs do not originate from

the LGE/CGE

While distinct fate mapping strategies provided evidence that the LGE did

not generate cortical OPCs during cortical development, there remained a

possibility that a small population of LGE RGCs or progenitors might generate

a subpopulation of cortical OPCs at specific time points. To address this

possibility, we crossed the *Emx1-Cre*[26-28], *Nkx2.1-Cre*[29] and *H2B-GFP*[18]

mouse lines to generate *Emx1-Cre; Nkx2.1-Cre; H2B-GFP* mice. Because

Emx1-Cre was specifically expressed in cortical RGCs[26] and *Nkx2.1-Cre* was

specifically expressed in MGE/AEP RGCs[29], cortical OPCs were traced (GFP$^+$

cells) in using *Emx1-Cre; Nkx2.1-Cre; H2B-GFP* mice. GFP$^-$ cell OPCs, on the

other hand, were considered as LGE/CGE-derived cortical OPCs (OLs)

(Figures 3A-B).

A previous report[9] suggested that cortical OPCs originate from MGE,

LGE/CGE and cortical RGCs. When MGE-derived cortical OPCs were

eliminated, LGE/CGE-derived cells accounted for approximately 50% of

cortical OPCs at P10. To confirm these findings, we performed double staining

of GFP with OPC marker genes, such as *Sox10* and *Pdgfra* in *Emx1-Cre;*

Nkx2.1-Cre; H2B-GFP mice (Figure 3C). We observed that the majority of

OPCs or OLs were colabeled with GFP in *Emx1-Cre; Nkx2.1-Cre; H2B-GFP*

mice at P10 (Figure 3C). Statistical analysis showed that only approximately 2%

of OPCs were GFP⁻ cells, and they were possibly derived from the LGE/CGE

(Figures 3D-E), which was consistent with our observation at P0 (Figures

3G-I). Importantly, the expression of *Nkx2.1-Cre* is lower within the dorsalmost

region of the MGE than in other *Nkx2.1*-expressing regions[29]. Thus, these

GFP⁻ OPCs (~2%) may be derived from the dorsal MGE rather than from the

LGE/CGE. Using this approach, we revealed that nearly all cortical OLs/OPCs

were derived from MGE/AEP and cortical RGCs. Taken together, our findings

indicated that the LGE/CGE did not generate cortical OLs, or that if they do,

the number is negligible.

The MGE/AEP was the sole ventral source of the cortical OPCs

Olig2, a basic helix-loop-helix transcription factor, plays a critical role in

the regulation of OPC fate determination. To investigate the role of *Olig2* in the

MGE, we crossed *Nkx2.1-Cre* mice with *Olig2* *F/+* mice to eliminate *Olig2*

expression in the SVZ/VZ of the MGE/AEP (Figures 4A-B). We referred to the

conditional mutants as *Olig2-NCKO* mice. A previous study[9] showed that

MGE/AEP-derived OPCs migrate into the cortex around E14.5, and

LGE/CGE-derived OPCs migrate into cortex around E15.5. We assumed that

the MGE/AEP was the sole ventral source of cortical OPCs, and that inhibiting

MGE/AEP-derived OPC generation would therefore significantly reduce the

number of cortical OPCs at E16.5. To test this possibility, we analyzed

Olig2-NCKO mice, in which the generation of MGE/AEP-derived OPCs was

inhibited. Our results showed that the expression of OPC marker genes, such

as *Sox10* and *Pdgfra* was significantly reduced (> 10-fold) in the cortex of

Olig2-NCKO mice, compared to the control mice at E14.5 and E16.5 (Figures

4C-E). Considering the recombination efficiency of the CRE enzyme, the

limited presence of residual OPCs in the cortex of *Olig2-NCKO* mice may be

arise from the MGE/AEP. Indeed, we observed few PDGFRA-positive cells in

the MGE/AEP of the *Olig2-NCKO* mice (Figure 4F). Altogether, our findings

indicated that the MGE/AEP was the sole ventral source of cortical OPCs.

Discussion

It is widely accepted in the field of developmental neuroscience that

cortical oligodendrocyte precursor cells (OPCs) originate from three regions:

the MGE/AEP, LGE/CGE and cortex. However, in the current study, we

re-examined the developmental origins of cortical OPCs using diverse

strategies. Our findings show that cortical OPCs do not come from the

LGE/CGE, contrary to previous reports[9,12], which suggested that the LGE/CGE

generates cortical OPCs (Figure 4G). In fact, no cortical OPCs migrating from

the LGE to the cortex were observed via our two fate mapping strategies.

Furthermore, an exclusion strategy suggested that the LGE/CGE were not

responsible for generating cortical OPCs. In addition, according to our study of

Olig2-NCKO mice, MGE/AEP seems to be the sole ventral source of cortical

OPCs during neocortical development. Overall, these findings provide

evidence that the LGE does not generate cortical OPCs in the mouse brain.

Why the LGE cannot generate cortical OPCs during cortical development

OLs are specialized cells of the CNS that produce myelin, a multilayered

membrane that spirally enwraps axons and facilitates rapid nerve conduction.

Recent studies have shown that cortical OLs are heterogeneous, as there are

transcriptionally distinct subpopulations of cortical OLs during development

and disease[8,30-32]. Indeed, OLs are derived from distinct OPC pools, which

acquire different abilities to respond to demyelination[9,33]. In the spinal cord, the

developmental origin of OLs has been hotly debated for many years. Some

groups believed that OLs originate only from the ventral neural tube, whereas

others tend to support multiple origins[12,34-36]. Currently, it is generally accepted

that spinal cord OLs are derived from two origins: the ventral region and dorsal

region. One pioneering study[9] purported that, in the forebrain, cortical OLs

derive from multiple origins, such as the MGE, LGE and cortex.

In general, the specification of OLs depends on SHH protein released

from the notochord and floor plate, as *Shh* expression is both necessary and

sufficient for OL generation in the spinal cord[37]. As in the spinal cord, OL

generation in the cortex is also dependent on the *Shh* signaling pathway[38].

During MGE development, *Nkx2.1* and *Six3* promote SHH secretion.

Furthermore, the early-born neurons of the MGE also secrete SHH protein

before E14.5[39]. Thus, the high levels of SHH in the MGE contribute to the

generation of OPCs from RGCs. In contrast, *Shh* signaling pathway activity is

very weak in the LGE at the early stage (before E14.5). As the level of SHH

gradually increases in the LGE, this results in a shift from neurogenesis to

gliogenesis after E15.5, and the large number of the striatal neurons may block

the migration of OPCs into the neocortex[40,41]. In summary, the process of

cortical OL generation can be summarized as follows: First, the time window

during which the MGE generates OLs is very brief, perhaps occurring before

MGE neurogenesis. The high level of SHH in the MGE allows for the

production of a small population of cortical OPCs around E12.5. Subsequently,

multipotent intermediate progenitors begin to express DLX transcription factors

resulting in ending the generation of OPCs in the MGE[42-44]. Second, radial glial

cells (RGCs) undergo a gradual transition from neurogenesis to gliogenesis

around E15.5, driven by the progressive elevation of SHH levels in the LGE.

However, the LGE had already generated a substantial number of neurons

during this period, blocking the migration of OPCs into the cortex. Finally, with

the gradual increase of SHH concentration, cortical RGCs begin to cease

neurogenesis and shift toward gliogenesis after E16.5[10,40,45]. Therefore, the

generation of cortical OPCs (OLs) is regulated by both precise temporal and

spatial patterning, which is strictly dependent on the local environment.

Cortical-derived OLs/OPCs make up the majority of the cortical

OLs/OPCs in the adult brain

During the early development of the cortex, both pallium and subpallium

can generate cortical OPCs[9,46]. Interestingly, most of the ventral-derived OPCs,

particularly MGE-derived OPCs/OLs, are eventually eliminated due to the

continued expansion of dorsal-derived OPCs in the adult cortex[6,9]. It appears

that OPCs generated later in development may possess a competitive

advantage over their earlier-generated counterparts. Indeed, human glial

progenitor cells (hGPCs) engrafted neonatally or transplanted into the adult

mouse brain can effectively disperse throughout the forebrain, differentiate into

mature OLs and remyelinate the demyelinated adult brain[1,47]. These findings

indicate that OPCs generated later in development have the ability to take over

for earlier-generated OPCs in the adult brain.

Based on the present work and other studies[8,9,46], cortical-derived OPCs

probably constitute the majority of cortical OPCs, including mature cortical OLs.

Thus, OLs may function as a homogeneous population in the adult forebrain.

In fact, the number of LGE/CGE-derived cortical OPCs may also gradually

decrease in the adult brain, as reported by Lui et al[6]. However, Lui et al. did not

analyze LGE-derived and MGE-derived OPCs separately. Therefore, they did

not exclude the possibility that the LGE/CGE does not generate cortical OPCs.

In summary, cortical OPCs/OLs comprise MGE-derived and cortical

RGC-derived OPCs/OLs during the neonatal stage. However, only

cortical-derived OPCs/OLs remain in the adult cortex.

Our study provides strong evidence that the LGE does not give rise to

cortical OPCs/OLs during forebrain development. Thus, our findings provide

new evidence about the developmental origins of cortical OLs, which may

guide future research on both normal development and disease.

Methods

Animals

All experiments conducted in this study were in accordance with the

guidelines of Fudan University. We used *Emx1-Cre*[26,27], and *Nkx2.1-Cre*[29]

mice, in conjunction with either *Rosa-H2B-GFP*[18] or *Rosa-IS*[18] for genetic fate

mapping. *Olig2^{F/+}* mice were generated via the CRISPR/Cas9 strategy. LoxP

sites flanked the coding region of exons 1 and 2. Wild-type mice or littermates

without the *Cre* allele were used as controls. All mice were maintained on a

mixed genetic background (C57BL/6J and CD1). The mice were allowed

access to water and food ad libitum and maintained on a 12-hour light/dark

cycle. The day of vaginal plug detection was considered embryonic day 0.5

(E0.5), and the day of birth was defined as postnatal day 0 (P0). Both male and

female mice were used in all experiments.

Tissue preparation

Postnatal mice were deeply anesthetized and perfused intracardially

thoroughly with ice-cold PBS followed by 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA).

Embryos were harvested from deeply anesthetized pregnant mice. All brains

were fixed overnight in 4% PFA at 4°C, cryoprotected in 30% sucrose for at

least 24 hours, embedded in optimal cutting temperature (O.C.T.) (Sakura

Finetek) on dry ice and ethanol slush and preserved at -80°C. All frozen

mouse tissues were sectioned into 20 μm thick sections unless specifically

mentioned and stained on glass slides.

Plasmid Construction

The *pCAG-Cre* vector was constructed as reported elsewhere. The coding

sequence of *Cre* in the *pCAG-Cre* vector was replaced by the *PiggyBac*

transposase to construct the *pCAG-PiggyBac transposase* vector. The coding

DNA sequence (CDS) of *Cre* in the *pCAG-Cre* vector was replaced by

H2B-GFP from the *Rosa-H2B-GFP* mouse strain to construct the

PB-pCAG-H2B-GFP vector. The inverted terminal repeat (ITR) sequence of

the PiggyBac transposon was cloned upstream of the *CAG* promoter and

downstream of the beta-globin polyA sequence for recognition by the

PiggyBac transposase.

In utero electroporation (IUE)

In utero electroporation (IUE) of wild-type or IS embryos was performed at

E13.5. The *pCAG-Cre* (Addgene #13775), *pCAG-GFP* (Addgene #11150),

pCAG-PiggyBac transposase or *PB- pCAG-H2B-GFP* (final concentration: 1-2

μg/μL) plasmid was mixed with 0.05% Fast Green (Sigma) and injected into

the lateral ventricle of embryos (0.5 μL per embryo) using a beveled glass

micropipette. Five electrical pulses (duration: 50 ms) were applied at 42 V

across the uterine wall with a 950 ms interval between pulses. Electroporation

was performed using a pair of 5 mm platinum electrodes (BTX, Tweezertrode

45-0488, Harvard Apparatus) connected to an electroporator (BTX, ECM830).

The embryos were analyzed at E18.5 and P10.

Immunofluorescence

The sections were first washed with 0.05 M TBS for 10 min, incubated in

Triton-X-100 (0.5% in 0.05 M TBS) for 30 min at room temperature (RT), and

then incubated with blocking solution (5% donkey serum +0.5% Triton-X-100

in 0.05 M TBS, pH= 7.2) for 2 h at RT. The primary antibodies were diluted in 5%

donkey serum, incubated overnight at 4°C, and then rinsed 3 times with 0.05

M TBS.

Secondary antibodies (1:500, all from Jackson ImmunoResearch)

matching the appropriate species were added and incubated for 2-4 h at RT.

Fluorescently stained sections were then washed 3 times with 0.05 M TBS for

10 min. The sections were then stained with 4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole

(DAPI) (1:3000, Sigma) diluted in TBS for 1 min and then finally rinsed three

more times with TBS. The sections were then coverslipped with Gel/Mount

(Biomeda, Foster City, CA). The primary antibodies used in this study are

shown in the key resource table.

In situ **hybridization (ISH)**

363 ISH was performed on 20-µm cryosections using digoxigenin riboprobes

364 as previously described [48]. The sections were first postfixed in 4% PFA for 20

365 min. mRNA *in situ* hybridization was performed as previously described[49]. The

366 probes were constructed from cDNA isolated from the wild-type mouse brain

367 and amplified by PCR using the following primers:

Probe Name	Primer Sequence
Pdgfra	Primer F: TGGCCAGCAATGTCTCAAAT
	Primer R: TCCTTTCAAGCATGGGGACA

369 **3D Reconstruction**

370 Images of serial coronal sections along the rostral–caudal axis were

371 collected via an Olympus VS 120 microscope using a 10X objective and used

372 by Amira as a formwork for reconstruction. The coordinates of each labeled

373 cell (tdT+) in the brain were determined. A stereotaxic mouse brain atlas was

374 used to locate the brain anatomical structures where the labeled cells were

375 positioned.

377 **Image acquisition and statistical analysis**

378 Images for quantitative analyses were acquired with an Olympus VS 120

379 microscope using a 10X objective. Bright-field images were acquired with an

380 Olympus VS 120 microscope using a 10X objective. Other fluorescence

381 images were taken with the Olympus FV3000 confocal microscope system

using 20× or 40× objectives. The images were merged, cropped and optimized

in Adobe Photoshop and Adobe Illustrator without distorting the original visual

information.

Analyses were performed using Microsoft Excel. Unpaired t test was used

to determine statistical significance. All quantitative data are presented as the

mean ± SEM. Differences with p values < 0.05 were considered significant. For

quantification of SOX10$^+$, PDGFRA$^+$ and GFP$^+$ cells in the cortex at E18.5 and

P10, six anatomically matched 20-μm thick coronal sections were selected (n

= 6 mice per group). We counted SOX10$^+$, PDGFRA$^+$ and GFP$^+$ cells in the

cortex under a 20X objective lens. The data are presented as the number of

SOX10$^+$, PDGFRA$^+$ and GFP$^+$ cells per section for each cortex.

For quantification of SOX10$^+$ and PDGFRA$^+$ cells in the dorsal cortex at

E14.5 and E16.5, five or six anatomically matched 20-μm thick coronal

sections were selected (n = 6, E14.5; n=5, E16.5). We counted SOX10$^+$ and

PDGFRA$^+$ cells in the cortex under a 20X objective lens. The data are

presented as the number of SOX10$^+$ and PDGFRA$^+$ cells per section for each

cortex.

Key resource table

Reagent or Resource	Source	Identifier
Antibodies		
Chicken Anti-GFP	Aves Labs	GFP-1020, 1:3000

Rabbit Anti-ALDH1L1	Abcam	ab87117, 1:1000
Rabbit Anti- OLIG2	Oasis Biofarm	OB-PRB009, 1:500
Rat Anti-OLIG2	Oasis Biofarm	OB-PRT020, 1:500
Mouse Anti-OLIG2	Millipore	MABN50, 1:1000
Rabbit Anti- OLIG2	Millipore	AB9610, 1:1000
Goat Anti-SOX10	R&D Systems	ab442208, 1:500
Guinea Pig Anti-SOX10	Oasis Biofarm	OB-PGP001, 1:1000
Rabbit Anti-PDGFRA	Oasis Biofarm	OB-PRB051, 1:800
Rabbit Anti-NeuN	Bioscience	R-3770-100, 1:1000
Rabbit Anti-NeuN	Oasis Biofarm	OB-PRB039, 1:1000
Guinea Pig Anti-NG2	Oasis Biofarm	OB-PGP002, 1:1000

401 Experimental models

Mouse: C57BL/6	Department of Laboratory Animal Science at Fudan University	http://10.107.12.196/

Mouse: CD1	Department of Laboratory Animal Science at Fudan University	http://10.107.12.196/
Mouse: IS reporter	The Jackson Laboratory	Stock No. 028582
Mouse: Olig2$^{F/+}$	This manuscript	N/A; available from the authors
Mouse: *Nkx2.1-Cre*	The Jackson Laboratory	Stock No. 008661
Mouse: *Emx1-Cre*	The Jackson Laboratory	Stock No. 005628

403   Recombinant DNA

pCAGIG	Addgene	Cat#11159
pGL4.10	Promega	Cat#E6651
pGL4.23	Promega	Cat#E8411

405   All secondary antibodies were from Jackson ImmunoResearch Labs.

Secondary Antibodies	Cat Number	Dilution
Alexa®488-Conjugated Affinipure Donkey Anti-Chicken IgY^{++} (IgG) (H$^+$L)	703-545-155	1:500
Cy^{TM}3-Conjugated Affinipure Donkey Anti-Rabbit IgG (H$^+$L)	711-165-152	1:500
Alexa®647-Conjugated Affinipure Donkey Anti-Rat IgG (H+L)	712-605-153	1:500

Alexa®647-Conjugated Affinipure Donkey Anti-Goat IgG (H$^+$L)	705-605-147	1:500
Alexa®488-Conjugated Affinipure Donkey Anti-Rabbit IgG (H$^+$L)	711-545-152	1:500
Cy™3-AffiniPure Donkey Anti-Goat IgG (H$^+$L)	705-505-147	1:500
Cy™3-AffiniPure Donkey Anti-Rat IgG (H$^+$L)	712-165-150	1:500
Cy™3-AffiniPure Donkey Anti-Guinea Pig IgG (H$^+$L)	706-165-148	1:500

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Ministry of Science and Technology of China (STI2030-2021ZD0202300), National Natural Science Foundation of China (NSFC 31820103006, 82271197, 81974175 and 32200776), Shanghai Municipal Science and Technology Major Project (No. 2018SHZDZX01), ZJ Lab, and Shanghai Center for Brain Science and Brain-Inspired Technology.

Data availability statement

The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

Potential conflicts of interest

We declare that there is no conflict of interest.

Author contributions

J.L., F.Y. and Y.T. performed all experiments and analyzed data. Z.W., and D.Q. helped to conduct experiments and analyze the data. Z.Y. and Z.Z. designed the experiments and analyzed the results. Z.Z. wrote this manuscript. Z.Y., J.S., J.D., X.W. and Z.Y. help to review this manuscript.

References

1   Goldman, S. A., Mariani, J. N. & Madsen, P. M. Glial progenitor cell-based repair of the
dysmyelinated brain: Progression to the clinic. *Semin Cell Dev Biol* **116**, 62-70,
doi:10.1016/j.semcdb.2020.12.004 (2021).

2   Zhou, B., Zhu, Z., Ransom, B. R. & Tong, X. Oligodendrocyte lineage cells and depression. *Mol*
Psychiatry **26**, 103-117, doi:10.1038/s41380-020-00930-0 (2021).

3   Miller, R. H. Oligodendrocyte origins. *Trends Neurosci* **19**, 92-96,
doi:10.1016/s0166-2236(96)80036-1 (1996).

4   Huang, W. *et al.* Origins and Proliferative States of Human Oligodendrocyte Precursor Cells.
Cell, doi:10.1016/j.cell.2020.06.027 (2020).

5   Allen, D. E. *et al.* Fate mapping of neural stem cell niches reveals distinct origins of human
cortical astrocytes. *Science* **376**, 1441-1446, doi:10.1126/science.abm5224 (2022).

6   Liu, R. *et al.* In Vivo Clonal Analysis Reveals Development Heterogeneity of Oligodendrocyte
Precursor Cells Derived from Distinct Germinal Zones. *Advanced Science* **8**,
doi:10.1002/advs.202102274 (2021).

7   Shen, Z. *et al.* Distinct progenitor behavior underlying neocortical gliogenesis related to
tumorigenesis. *Cell Rep* **34**, 108853, doi:10.1016/j.celrep.2021.108853 (2021).

8   Marques, S. *et al.* Transcriptional Convergence of Oligodendrocyte Lineage Progenitors during
Development. *Dev Cell* **46**, 504-517 e507, doi:10.1016/j.devcel.2018.07.005 (2018).

9   Kessaris, N. *et al.* Competing waves of oligodendrocytes in the forebrain and postnatal
elimination of an embryonic lineage. *Nat Neurosci* **9**, 173-179, doi:10.1038/nn1620 (2006).

10 Li, X. *et al.* Decoding Cortical Glial Cell Development. *Neurosci Bull* **37**, 440-460,
doi:10.1007/s12264-021-00640-9 (2021).

11 Zhang, Y. *et al.* Cortical Neural Stem Cell Lineage Progression Is Regulated by Extrinsic
Signaling Molecule Sonic Hedgehog. *Cell Reports* **30**, 4490-4504.e4494,
doi:10.1016/j.celrep.2020.03.027 (2020).

12 Richardson, W. D., Kessaris, N. & Pringle, N. Oligodendrocyte wars. *Nat Rev Neurosci* **7**, 11-18,
doi:10.1038/nrn1826 (2006).

13 Rowitch, D. H. & Kriegstein, A. R. Developmental genetics of vertebrate glial-cell specification.
Nature **468**, 214-222, doi:10.1038/nature09611 (2010).

14 Bergles, D. E. & Richardson, W. D. Oligodendrocyte Development and Plasticity. *Cold Spring*
Harb Perspect Biol **8**, a020453, doi:10.1101/cshperspect.a020453 (2015).

15 Chapman, H. *et al.* Gsx transcription factors control neuronal versus glial specification in
ventricular zone progenitors of the mouse lateral ganglionic eminence. *Dev Biol* **442**, 115-126,
doi:10.1016/j.ydbio.2018.07.005 (2018).

16 Chapman, H., Waclaw, R. R., Pei, Z., Nakafuku, M. & Campbell, K. The homeobox gene Gsx2
controls the timing of oligodendroglial fate specification in mouse lateral ganglionic eminence
progenitors. *Development* **140**, 2289-2298, doi:10.1242/dev.091090 (2013).

17 Wen, Y. *et al.* Transcription Factor VAX1 Regulates the Regional Specification of the
Subpallium Through Repressing Gsx2. *Molecular Neurobiology* **58**, 3729-3744,
doi:10.1007/s12035-021-02378-x (2021).

18 He, M. *et al.* Strategies and Tools for Combinatorial Targeting of GABAergic Neurons in Mouse
Cerebral Cortex. *Neuron* **91**, 1228-1243, doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2016.08.021 (2016).

19 Sun, T. *et al.* Olig bHLH proteins interact with homeodomain proteins to regulate cell fate
acquisition in progenitors of the ventral neural tube. *Current biology : CB* **11**, 1413-1420,
doi:10.1016/s0960-9822(01)00441-9 (2001).

20 Zhou, Q., Choi, G. & Anderson, D. J. The bHLH transcription factor Olig2 promotes
oligodendrocyte differentiation in collaboration with Nkx2.2. *Neuron* **31**, 791-807,
doi:10.1016/s0896-6273(01)00414-7 (2001).

21 Lu, Q. R. *et al.* Common developmental requirement for Olig function indicates a motor
neuron/oligodendrocyte connection. *Cell* **109**, 75-86, doi:10.1016/s0092-8674(02)00678-5
(2002).

22 Finzsch, M., Stolt, C. C., Lommes, P. & Wegner, M. Sox9 and Sox10 influence survival and
migration of oligodendrocyte precursors in the spinal cord by regulating PDGF receptor alpha
expression. *Development* **135**, 637-646, doi:10.1242/dev.010454 (2008).

23 Reiprich, S. *et al.* Transcription factor Sox10 regulates oligodendroglial Sox9 levels via
microRNAs. *Glia* **65**, 1089-1102, doi:10.1002/glia.23146 (2017).

24 Kucharova, K. & Stallcup, W. B. The NG2 proteoglycan promotes oligodendrocyte progenitor
proliferation and developmental myelination. *Neuroscience* **166**, 185-194,
doi:10.1016/j.neuroscience.2009.12.014 (2010).

25 Sanchez-Gonzalez, R., Bribian, A. & Lopez-Mascaraque, L. Cell Fate Potential of NG2
Progenitors. *Sci Rep* **10**, 9876, doi:10.1038/s41598-020-66753-9 (2020).

26 Gorski, J. A. *et al.* Cortical excitatory neurons and glia, but not GABAergic neurons, are
produced in the Emx1-expressing lineage. *J Neurosci* **22**, 6309-6314,
doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.22-15-06309.2002 (2002).

27 Du, H. *et al.* Transcription Factors Bcl11a and Bcl11b Are Required for the Production and
Differentiation of Cortical Projection Neurons. *Cerebral Cortex*, doi:10.1093/cercor/bhab437
(2021).

28 Li, Z. *et al.* BMP7 expression in mammalian cortical radial glial cells increases the length of the
neurogenic period. *Protein Cell*, doi:10.1093/procel/pwad036 (2023).

29 Xu, Q., Tam, M. & Anderson, S. A. Fate mapping Nkx2.1-lineage cells in the mouse
telencephalon. *J Comp Neurol* **506**, 16-29, doi:10.1002/cne.21529 (2008).

30 Floriddia, E. M. *et al.* Distinct oligodendrocyte populations have spatial preference and
different responses to spinal cord injury. *Nat Commun* **11**, 5860,
doi:10.1038/s41467-020-19453-x (2020).

31 Marques, S. *et al.* Oligodendrocyte heterogeneity in the mouse juvenile and adult central
nervous system. *Science* **352**, 1326-1329, doi:10.1126/science.aaf6463 (2016).

32 Falcao, A. M. *et al.* Disease-specific oligodendrocyte lineage cells arise in multiple sclerosis.
Nat Med **24**, 1837-1844, doi:10.1038/s41591-018-0236-y (2018).

33 Crawford, A. H., Tripathi, R. B., Richardson, W. D. & Franklin, R. J. M. Developmental Origin of
Oligodendrocyte Lineage Cells Determines Response to Demyelination and Susceptibility to
Age-Associated Functional Decline. *Cell Rep* **15**, 761-773, doi:10.1016/j.celrep.2016.03.069
(2016).

34 Yu, W.-P., Collarini, E. J., Pringle, N. P. & Richardson, W. D. Embryonic expression of myelin
genes: Evidence for a focal source of oligodendrocyte precursors in the ventricular zone of
the neural tube. *Neuron* **12**, 1353-1362, doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/0896-6273(94)90450-2
(1994).

35   Spassky, N. *et al.* Multiple restricted origin of oligodendrocytes. *J Neurosci* **18**, 8331-8343,
doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.18-20-08331.1998 (1998).

36   Fogarty, M., Richardson, W. D. & Kessaris, N. A subset of oligodendrocytes generated from
radial glia in the dorsal spinal cord. *Development* **132**, 1951-1959, doi:10.1242/dev.01777
(2005).

37   Orentas, D. M., Hayes, J. E., Dyer, K. L. & Miller, R. H. Sonic hedgehog signaling is required
during the appearance of spinal cord oligodendrocyte precursors. *Development* **126**,
2419-2429, doi:10.1242/dev.126.11.2419 %J Development (1999).

38   Lu, Q. R. *et al.* Sonic hedgehog--regulated oligodendrocyte lineage genes encoding bHLH
proteins in the mammalian central nervous system. *Neuron* **25**, 317-329,
doi:10.1016/s0896-6273(00)80897-1 (2000).

39   Flandin, P. *et al.* Lhx6 and Lhx8 coordinately induce neuronal expression of Shh that controls
the generation of interneuron progenitors. *Neuron* **70**, 939-950,
doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2011.04.020 (2011).

40   Tong, C. K. *et al.* A Dorsal SHH-Dependent Domain in the V-SVZ Produces Large Numbers of
Oligodendroglial Lineage Cells in the Postnatal Brain. *Stem Cell Reports* **5**, 461-470,
doi:10.1016/j.stemcr.2015.08.013 (2015).

41   Su, Z. *et al.* Dlx1/2-dependent expression of Meis2 promotes neuronal fate determination in
the mammalian striatum. *Development* **149**, doi:10.1242/dev.200035 (2022).

42   Dai, J., Bercury, K. K., Ahrendsen, J. T. & Macklin, W. B. Olig1 function is required for
oligodendrocyte differentiation in the mouse brain. *J Neurosci* **35**, 4386-4402,
doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.4962-14.2015 (2015).

43   Silbereis, J. C. *et al.* Olig1 function is required to repress dlx1/2 and interneuron production in
Mammalian brain. *Neuron* **81**, 574-587, doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2013.11.024 (2014).

44   Price, J. D. *et al.* DLX1 and the NuRD complex cooperate in enhancer decommissioning and
transcriptional repression. *Development* **149**, doi:10.1242/dev.199508 (2022).

45   Winkler, C. C. *et al.* The Dorsal Wave of Neocortical Oligodendrogenesis Begins Embryonically
and Requires Multiple Sources of Sonic Hedgehog. *J Neurosci* **38**, 5237-5250,
doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.3392-17.2018 (2018).

46   van Tilborg, E. *et al.* Origin and dynamics of oligodendrocytes in the developing brain:
Implications for perinatal white matter injury. *Glia* **66**, 221-238, doi:10.1002/glia.23256
(2018).

47   Windrem, M. S. *et al.* Human Glial Progenitor Cells Effectively Remyelinate the Demyelinated
Adult Brain. *Cell Rep* **31**, 107658, doi:10.1016/j.celrep.2020.107658 (2020).

48   Yang, L. *et al.* Transcriptional profiling reveals the transcription factor networks regulating the
survival of striatal neurons. *Cell death & disease* **12**, 262-262,
doi:10.1038/s41419-021-03552-8 (2021).

Figure legends:

Figure 1. Fate mapping of LGE-derived OPCs by combining IUE with a *Cre* recombinase-dependent *IS* reporter

(A) *In situ* hybridization showing *Pdgfra* expression from E13.5 to P0. Arrowheads indicated the *Pdgfra*+ cell migration stream from the MGE/AEP to the cortex.

(B) Scheme of the *IS* reporter lines.

(C) Experimental schedule to trace LGE-derived OPCs.

(D) Representative coronal sections showing the distribution of tdT+ cells.

(E) tdT+ cells expressed NeuN but not OLIG2, SOX10, PDGFRA or NG2 in the cortex. In contrast, the tdT+ cells expressed all of these markers (OLIG2, SOX10, PDGFRA, NG2 and NeuN) in the striatum.

(F) Fate mapping of LGE-derived cells at P0. tdT+ cells expressed SOX10 and SOX9 in the striatum at P49.

Figure 2. Fate mapping of LGE-derived OPCs by combining IUE with PiggyBac transposon system

(A) Schematic of the PiggyBac transposon reporter system used in this study.

(B) The experimental workflow.

(C) Representative coronal sections showing the distribution of GFP+ cells at E18.5.

(D) GFP⁺ cells expressed NeuN but not glial cell markers, such as OLIG2,

SOX10 and PDGFRA in the cortex. However, GFP⁺ cells expressed NeuN,

OLIG2, SOX10 and PDGFRA in the striatum.

(E) GFP⁺ cells expressed NeuN but not glial cell markers, such as OLIG2,

SOX10 and PDGFRA in the cortex and GFP⁺ cells expressed NeuN, OLIG2,

SOX10 and PDGFRA in the striatum at P10.

Figure 3. An exclusion strategy showed that cortical OPCs do not come

from the LGE/CGE

(A) Scheme of the *H2B-GFP* reporter lines.

(B) Experimental design of the exclusion strategy to trace the lineage of LGE

RGCs.

(C) Representative coronal sections showing the traced cells in the forebrain.

The majority of SOX10- and PDGFRA-positive cells were GFP⁺ cells in the

cortex at P10.

(D-E) The pie chart shows that the percentage of LGE/dMGE-derived cortical

OPCs was approximately 3%. N = 6 mice per group.

(F) Many GFP⁺ cells expressed SOX10 and PDGFRA in the striatum at P10.

(G) Nearly all SOX10 and PDGFRA expressed GFP in the cortex at P0.

(H-I) The pie chart shows that the percentage of LGE/dMGE-derived cortical

OPCs was less than 3%. N = 6 mice per group.

Figure 4. The MGE may be the sole ventral source of cortical OPCs

(A) The CRISPR/Cas9 technique was used to generate an *Olig2* conditional

knockout allele.

(B) Experimental design for the generation of *Olig2-NCKO* mice.

(C-D) The expression of SOX10 and PDGFRA was significantly reduced in the

cortex of *Olig2-NCKO* mice compared with that of control mice.

(E) The number of SOX10- and PDGFRA-positive cells was significantly

reduced in *Olig2-NCKO* mice compared with control mice. Student's t-test, **P

<.01, ***P <.001, n ≥ 5 mice per group, mean ± SEM.

(F) Few PDGFRA-positive cells were detected in the MGE/AEP of the

Olig2-NCKO mice.

(G) A new model of the developmental origins of cortical OPCs.

Figure S1. Lineage tracing of LGE-derived OPCs by combining IUE with a *Cre*

recombinase-dependent *IS* reporter

(A) Experimental schedule for fate mapping of LGE-derived OPCs.

(B) Representative coronal sections showing the distribution of the tdT$^+$ cells at

P10.

(C) Nearly all tdT$^+$ cells expressed NeuN but not OLIG2 in the cortex. tdT$^+$ cells

expressed NeuN and OLIG2 in the striatum.

(D) tdT$^+$ cells did not express OLIG2, SOX10, PDGFRA or ALDH1L1. Instead,

they expressed NeuN in the cortex. In sharp contrast, tdT$^+$ cells in the striatum

expressed OLIG2, SOX10, PDGFRA, ALDH1L1 and NeuN at P10.

(E) 3D reconstruction of consecutive brain sections demonstrated that the

traced cells were mainly located in the striatum.

A

CAG
PBase

CAG
PB | H2B-GFP | PB

IUE ▼ Analysis ▼
E13.5   E18.5   P10   Days

B

IUE @ E13.5   Wild type   Target LGE   Analysis

C

GFP/OLIG2

Cortex

LGE

Striatum

MGE   Septum

200 μm   IUE @ E13.5

E18.5

D

Cortex

GFP/OLIG2   GFP/SOX10   GFP/PDGFRA   GFP/NeuN

10 μm

Striatum

GFP/OLIG2   GFP/SOX10   GFP/PDGFRA   GFP/NeuN

E

GFP/NeuN/OLIG2

Cortex

Striatum

50 μm   IUE @ E13.5

P10

Cortex

GFP/OLIG2   GFP/SOX10   GFP/PDGFRA   GFP/NeuN

Striatum

10 μm

A

Rosa26 ▶ ▶▶ | | | ◀ H2B-GFP

Stop site

↓ Cre

Rosa26 ▶ ▶ H2B-GFP

Loxp

B

Dorsal

Neocortex

LP

LGE

Striatum

MGE

AEP/POA

Ventral

Emx1-Cre domain

Nkx2.1-Cre domain

Nkx2.1-Cre × Emx1-Cre

↓

Emx1-Cre;Nkx2.1-Cre × H2B-GFP

↓

Emx1-Cre;Nkx2.1-Cre;H2B-GFP

C

Emx1-Cre;Nkx2.1-Cre;H2B-GFP

P10

GFP/SOX10

Cortex

Striatum

Septum

Cortex

GFP/SOX10/DAPI

20 μm

Cortex

GFP/PDGFRA/DAPI

D

3% LGE/dMGE-Derived

97%

GFP⁻/SOX10⁺ / SOX10⁺

E

2.2% LGE/dMGE-Derived

97.8%

GFP⁻/PDGFRA⁺ / PDGFRA⁺

F

Striatum

GFP/PDGFRA/SOX10

GFP/PDGFRA

GFP/SOX10

10 μm

G

Emx1-Cre;Nkx2.1-Cre;H2B-GFP

P0

GFP/SOX10

Cortex

Striatum

GFP   SOX10   GFP/SOX10

Cortex

GFP   PDGFRA   GFP/PDGFRA

Cortex

5 μm

H

2.3% LGE/dMGE-Derived

97.7%

GFP⁻/SOX10⁺ / SOX10⁺

I

1.8% LGE/dMGE-Derived

98.2%

GFP⁻/PDGFRA⁺ / PDGFRA⁺

A Generation of Olig2 conditional knockout mice

Wild type allele

Olig2-Flox allele

Cre recombinase

Olig2-CKO allele

Lox2272

B

Dorsal

Neocortex

LP

LGE

Striatum

MGE

Nkx2.1-Cre domain

AEP/POA

Ventral

Nkx2.1-Cre × Olig2^Flox/+

Nkx2.1-Cre; Olig2^Flox/+ × Olig2^Flox/+

Nkx2.1-Cre; Olig2^Flox/Flox

C PDGFRA/SOX10

Control E14.5

Cortex

Lv

LGE

Olig2-NCKO

Cortex

Lv

LGE

D PDGFRA/SOX10

Control E16.5

Lv

Olig2-NCKO

Lv

D
M L
V

E

Cell number per section

Control
Olig2-NCKO

SOX10 E14.5 PDGFRA   SOX10 E16.5 PDGFRA

*** *** ** **

F

Control   Olig2-NCKO

MGE   AEP   MGE   AEP

PDGFRA

E14.5

G

Neocortex

Ventricle

MGE

MGE

LGE

AEP/POA

Old model

Neocortex

Ventricle

MGE

MGE

LGE

AEP/POA

New model