Sexual Dimorphism, Altered Hippocampal Glutamatergic Neurotransmission and

Cognitive Impairment in APP Knock-In Mice

Caleigh A. Findley[a,b], Samuel .A. McFadden[a], Tiarra. Hill[a], Mackenzie R. Peck[a],

Kathleen Quinn[a], Kevin N. Hascup[a, b, c], Erin R. Hascup[a, b*]

[1]Neuroscience Institute, Dale and Deborah Smith Center for Alzheimer's Research and Treatment, Depts of

Neurology, [2]Pharmacology, and [3]Medical Microbiology, Immunology and Cell Biology, Southern Illinois University

School of Medicine, Springfield, IL, USA

*Erin R. Hascup, Department of Neurology, Dale and Deborah Smith Center for Alzheimer's Research and Treatment,

Southern Illinois University School of Medicine, P.O. Box 19628, Springfield, IL 62794-9628, USA. Email:

ehascup@siumed.edu

Potential Keywords: cognition, amyloid, learning, memory, sex differences,

Alzheimer's disease

Running head: Glutamate and cognition in APP$^{NL-F/NL-F}$ mice

Abbreviations: Alzheimer's disease (AD), morris water maze (MWM), novel object

recognition (NOR), dentate gyrus (DG), amyloid-beta (Aβ), microelectrode array (MEA),

platinum (Pt), glutamate oxidase (GluOX), 1,3 phenylenediamine dihydrochloride

(mPD), standard error of the mean (SEM), time to 80% signal decay (T_{80})

Abstract

Background: It is well established that glutamatergic neurotransmission plays an essential role in learning and memory. Previous studies indicate that glutamate dynamics shift with Alzheimer's disease (AD) progression, contributing to negative cognitive outcomes.

Objective: In this study, we characterized hippocampal glutamatergic signaling with age and disease progression in a knock-in mouse model of AD (APP$^{NL-F/NL-F}$).

Methods: At 2-4 and 18+ months old, male and female APP$^{NL/NL}$, APP$^{NL-F/NL-F}$, and C57BL/6 mice underwent cognitive assessment using Morris water maze (MWM) and Novel Object Recognition (NOR). Then, basal and 70 mM KCl stimulus-evoked glutamate release was measured in the dentate gyrus (DG), CA3, and CA1 regions of the hippocampus using a glutamate-selective microelectrode in anesthetized mice.

Results: Glutamate recordings support elevated stimulus-evoked glutamate release in the DG and CA3 of young APP$^{NL-F/NL-F}$ male mice that declined with age compared to age-matched control mice. Young female APP$^{NL-F/NL-F}$ mice exhibited increased glutamate clearance in the CA1 that slowed with age compared to age-matched control mice. Male and female APP$^{NL-F/NL-F}$ mice exhibited decreased CA1 basal glutamate levels, while males also showed depletion in the CA3. Cognitive assessment demonstrated impaired spatial cognition in aged male and female APP$^{NL-F/NL-F}$ mice, but only aged females displayed recognition memory deficits compared to age-matched control mice.

Conclusions: These findings confirm a sex-dependent hyper-to-hypoactivation glutamatergic paradigm in APP$^{NL-F/NL-F}$ mice. Further, data illustrate a sexually dimorphic biological aging process resulting in a more severe cognitive phenotype for female APP^{NL-}

48   F/NL-F mice than their male counterparts. Research outcomes mirror that of human AD

49   pathology and provide further evidence of divergent AD pathogenesis between sexes.

Introduction

Glutamate is the most abundant neurotransmitter in the brain, expressed by the vast majority of excitatory neurons [1]. It is a central component of many neurological functions, including learning and memory [2]. Glutamate dynamics are impacted by aging-related cortical thinning and synaptic pruning that occurs in the hippocampus and prefrontal cortex, amongst other areas [3,4]. In the human condition, aging diminishes glutamate levels over time, impacting pivotal processes for learning and memory and contributing to cognitive decline commonly associated with age [3–5].

Many pathological conditions involve dysregulation of the glutamatergic system, including epilepsy and aging-related neurodegenerative disorders like Alzheimer's disease (AD). These conditions include loss of glutamatergic homeostasis that results in neuronal death and brain atrophy [6]. Glutamatergic hyperactivity has been characterized in both AD mouse models and human pathology, first appearing before the onset of plaque deposition and cognitive symptomology. Prior work from our laboratory demonstrated a hippocampal glutamatergic imbalance that appears in young (2-6 months old) male AβPP/PS1 transgenic AD mice, and shifts in a temporal-specific manner with disease progression [7]. The CA1 and dentate gyrus (DG) subregions displayed glutamatergic hyperactivity that continued into later disease stages, with a significant drop-off around 18 months of age in the CA1. The pattern found in the CA1 reflects the hyper-to-hypoactivation hypothesis, describing early overstimulation of glutamatergic receptors and astrocytic glutamate transporters leading to dyshomeostasis at the glutamatergic synapse in AD pathology [8–10]. As well, our laboratory found increased basal glutamate levels in the CA1, CA3, and DG of AβPP/PS1 male mice starting at 6 months of age and

continued throughout disease progression. These findings provided further evidence

supporting the loss of hippocampal signal-to-noise ratio with disease progression in AD

pathology. Signal-to-noise ratio describes the threshold by which neuronal stimulation

must overcome to evoke an action potential. An imbalance in this ratio indicates

dyshomeostasis at the glutamatergic synapse and can hinder long-term potentiation [8,9].

This study aims to characterize hippocampal glutamate dynamics in a novel knock-in

mouse model of AD (APP$^{NL-F/NL-F}$). The APP$^{NL-F/NL-F}$ mouse model may better recapitulate

the human condition and avoids confounding variables associated with transgenic mice,

such as overexpression of the amyloid precursor protein and presenilin-1 [11]. APP$^{NL-F/NL-F}$

mice have a knock-in APP gene with an endogenous promoter and humanized amyloid-

beta (Aβ) sequence. The Aβ sequence used also appears in most human sporadic and

familial AD patients [11]. There is no genetic overexpression, however, this model does

have the Swedish mutation to APP (NL) that raises total Aβ levels. APP has the Iberian

mutation (F), which increases the $A\beta_{42}$: $A\beta_{40}$ ratio. This model typically shows plaque

deposition at around 6 months old, with steady plaque accumulation up to 18 months old

[12–14].

To our knowledge, glutamatergic neurotransmission throughout disease progression has

not previously been characterized in this model. Further, investigation of sex differences

for AD mice is limited [12,14,15]. Amyloidosis throughout disease progression has been

observed as similar between male and female APP$^{NL-F/NL-F}$ mice, while reports of cognitive

symptomology yield mixed results [14,15]. Prior work from our laboratory investigating

glutamate dynamics has been limited to male transgenic (AβPP/PS1) mice [7]. Thus, it

remains unclear how sex may impact glutamate and cognition with age and disease
progression in this model.

To address this question, we utilized glutamate-specific *in vivo* microelectrode array
(MEA) recordings in the hippocampus of APP$^{NL-F/NL-F}$ mice at young (2-4 months old) and
aged (18+ months old) time points. The APP$^{NL/NL}$ control model that expresses only the
APP Swedish mutation was also examined. Comparing results from the APP$^{NL-F/NL-F}$
model to the APP$^{NL/NL}$ model allows for the elimination of confounding variables such as
non-Aβ fragments of APP, including C-terminal fragments [11]. We hypothesized that
APP$^{NL-F/NL-F}$ mice would exhibit presymptomatic hippocampal glutamatergic
hyperactivation that shifts with age and disease progression.

Materials and Methods

Animals: Founder male and female C57BL/6 (RRID:IMSR JAX:000,664) were obtained
from Jackson Laboratory (Bar Harbor, ME). Founder APP$^{NL/NL}$
(RRID:IMSR_RBRC06342) and APP$^{NL-F/NL-F}$ (RRID: IMSR_RBRC06343) male and
female mice were obtained from Riken (Tokyo, Japan). Founder mice of all strains were
used to start breeding colonies of the respective genotypes and their progeny were used
for all experiments. Protocols for animal use were approved by the *Institutional Animal*
Care and Use Committee at Southern Illinois University School of Medicine, which is
accredited by the Association for Assessment and Accreditation of Laboratory Animal
Care. The study was not pre-registered. Mice were group housed according to sex and
genotype on a 12:12 h light-dark cycle, and food and water were available *ad libitum*. All
experiments were conducted during the light phase. Mouse cages were pseudo-
randomized using the Microsoft Excel 2013 randomization function to generate random

decimal numbers between 0 and 1 for each mouse cage. No exclusion criteria were pre-

determined. 164 animals were used in this study including the following groups: 2-4

months old males (C57BL/6 (n=13), APP$^{NL/NL}$ (n=14), APP$^{NL-F/NL-F}$ (n=16)) and females

(C57BL/6 (n=14), APP$^{NL/NL}$ (n=13), APP$^{NL-F/NL-F}$ (n=12)); 18+ months old males (C57BL/6

(n=14), APP$^{NL/NL}$ (n=12), APP$^{NL-F/NL-F}$ (n=15)) and females (C57BL/6 (n=15), APP$^{NL/NL}$

(n=13), APP$^{NL-F/NL-F}$ (n=13). All mice underwent cognitive assessment and *in vivo*

glutamate recordings with the exception of electrode failure or death before or during

glutamate recordings. Immediately following anesthetized glutamate recordings, mice

were euthanized with an overdose of isoflurane followed by rapid decapitation. Genotypes

were confirmed by collecting a 5 mm tail tip for analysis by TransnetYX, Inc (Cordova,

TN). All mice were tattooed with unique numerical identifiers to blind researchers

throughout experimental paradigms.

8-Day Hidden Platform Morris Water Maze (MWM): The MWM examines spatial

learning and long-term memory through requiring mice to utilize external visual cues to

locate the hidden platform (submerged 1 cm below the opaque water surface), regardless

of starting position in the pool. The MWM consists of one acclimation day, five consecutive

learning days, and a delayed probe challenge. The five learning days include three trials,

up to 90-seconds in duration starting from three different entry points into the pool (order

is randomized throughout the learning days) with at least a 20-minute inter-trial-interval

to test spatial learning capabilities. After two days without testing mice then undergo a

probe challenge consisting of one 60-second trial with the platform removed from the pool

to test long-term spatial memory recall. The ANY-maze video tracking system (Stoelting,

Wood Dale, IL) tracks and analyzes several parameters throughout the learning days and

probe challenge, including average speed, cumulative distance from platform, platform

entries, latency to first platform entry, and path efficiency to first platform entry.

Novel Object Recognition (NOR): NOR is designed to investigate object recognition

memory by measuring the exploration time of a novel object compared to a familiar object

within a testing arena. One week after the MWM probe challenge, mice were habituated

to the NOR testing arena for 30 minutes. Familiarization training occurred 24 hours later

in which two similar objects are placed into the arena and the mice were allowed to

explore for 5 minutes. After a 24-hour inter-session-interval, mice were placed back into

the arena for 5 minutes with one familiar object from the previous training day and a novel

object to test retention. The ANY-maze video tracking system was utilized to track animals

throughout the three experiment days and provides measures of distance traveled,

average speed, and exploration time to determine the novel object discrimination index.

***In Vivo* Electrochemistry**: Enzyme-based MEAs with platinum (Pt) recording surfaces

were prepared for *in vivo* glutamate measurements and calibrated through the FAST-16

recording software as previously described [7,16,17]. A glutamate oxidase (GluOX) solution

was applied to a Pt recording surface to allow for enzymatic degradation of glutamate to

α-ketoglutarate and the electroactive reporter molecule H_2O_2. The adjacent self-

referencing recording surface was only coated with an inactive protein matrix and cannot

enzymatically generate H_2O_2 from l-glutamate. The FAST-16 system utilizes the self-

referencing site for offline subtraction from the GluOX coated site as a localized control

mechanism. A potential of + 0.7 V vs an Ag/AgCl reference electrode was applied to the

recording sites for oxidation of H_2O_2. Recording sites were then electroplated with 5 mM

1,3 phenylenediamine dihydrochloride (mPD) in 0.05M phosphate buffered saline 72

hours after enzymatic coating. mPD provides an exclusion layer that blocks the detection

of possible interferants that are electrochemically active at + 0.7 V. MEA calibration

occurred on experiment day, with an average ± standard error of the mean (SEM)

glutamate sensitivity of 4.8610 ± 0.0002 pA/µM (R^2 = 0.998 ± 0.001), selectivity ratio of

126 ± 11 to 1, and limit of detection of 0.9305 ± 0.0652 µM based on a signal-to-noise

ratio of 3. A micropipette (inner diameter ~20µm) was then waxed on to the MEA (50-

100µm from the electrode surface) for local application of 70mM KCl. Mice were placed

into a stereotaxic frame under isoflurane anesthesia and a craniotomy was performed for

insertion of the MEA assembly into the hippocampus. Body temperature was maintained

with a heated recirculating bath pump attached to a water pad. The starting hemisphere

and hippocampal subregion was randomized across the CA1 (AP: −2.0, ML: ±1.0, DV:

−1.7 mm) or CA3 (AP: −2.0, ML: ±2.0, DV: −2.2 mm)]. After CA1 recordings, the MEA

was lowered into the DG (AP: −2.0, ML: ±1.0, DV: −2.2 mm). MEAs were allowed to

baseline for 60 minutes after initial insertion before a 10 s basal glutamate determination

and pressure ejection studies commenced. An additional 20-minute baseline period

occurred between each hippocampal subregion. Pressure ejections involved a constant

volume (~100-200nL) of 70mM KCl locally applied using a Picospritzer (Parker Hannafin,

Morton, IL) attached to the micropipette to evoke glutamate release.

<u>Cresyl Violet Staining</u>: Mice were euthanized after stimulation by isoflurane overdose

and rapid decapitation with sharp scissors. The brain was extracted and fixed in 4%

paraformaldehyde for 48 hours and then transferred to 30% sucrose for storage. A

cryostat (Model HM525 NX, Thermo Fisher Scientific) was used to obtain 20-micron

coronal sections throughout the hippocampus. Slices were mounted on a glass slide,

stained with cresyl violet, and coverslipped to verify MEA placement for each mouse.

Statistical Analysis: Sample size was determined based on previous MWM and

electrochemical data using multiple mouse models. GraphPad Prism 9 Software (La Jolla,

CA; RRID:SCR 002798) was used for statistical analyses. Statistical tests are listed in

each figure legend. Data were not assessed for normality. A single Grubb's test (alpha =

0.05) was utilized to identify significant outliers in each group. Data are represented as

mean ± SEM and statistical significance was defined as $p < 0.05$.

Results

Alterations to glutamatergic neurotransmission before cognitive symptoms in

young AD mice

At 2-4 months of age, APP$^{NL/NL}$, APP$^{NL-F/NL-F}$, and C57BL/6 mice underwent a cognitive

battery to assess spatial navigation and retention memory. As expected, no significant

genotype differences were observed for either sex in spatial learning and memory

(Supplementary Figure 1A-E). During the NOR retention day, male mice showed no

genotype differences in exploratory behavior and retention memory (Supplementary

Figure 1G-I). A main effect for genotype was observed for distance travelled ($F(2.36)=$

12.90, $p < 0.0001$) and average speed ($F(2.36)= 12.72$, $p < 0.0001$) in female mice. Female

APP$^{NL-F/NL-F}$ mice showed significantly increased distance travelled and average speed

compared to age-matched APP$^{NL/NL}$ ($p < 0.0001$; $p = < 0.0001$) and C57BL/6 mice

($p = 0.0066$; $p = 0.0068$), respectively, that was only exhibited during the retention day. No

genotype differences were observed in retention memory for female mice. These findings

indicate elevated exploratory behavior in female APP$^{NL-F/NL-F}$ mice during cognitive testing

in agreement with previous studies [15].

To characterize possible changes in hippocampal glutamate dynamics before cognitive

decline, *in vivo* glutamate recordings were conducted on anesthetized mice following

completion of the behavioral assays. For male mice, representative traces are shown in

Figure 1A. No significant differences were observed in basal glutamate levels for any

hippocampal subregion (Figure 1B). However, stimulation of surrounding neurons with

70mM KCl yielded a significant main effect for evoked glutamate release in the DG

(F(2,29)= 11.01, p=0.003) and CA3 (F(2,26)= 6.920, p=0.0039) for male mice (Figure

1C). APP$^{NL-F/NL-F}$ male mice showed elevated stimulus-evoked glutamate release

compared to age-matched APP$^{NL/NL}$ (p=0.0016, p=0.0128) and C57BL/6 mice (p=0.0008,

p= 0.0087) in the DG and CA3. Time to 80% signal decay (T$_{80}$) was used to characterize

glutamate clearance after stimulation, an important factor for maintaining glutamatergic

homeostasis [18–20]. Examination of T$_{80}$ glutamate clearance indicated no significant

differences for male mice in any hippocampal subregion. These findings demonstrate

early hyperactivation of glutamatergic neurotransmission prior to the onset of cognitive

symptoms in male APP$^{NL-F/NL-F}$ mice.

Representative traces for young female mice are shown in Figure 2A. No significant

differences in basal glutamate levels or stimulus-evoked glutamate release for any

hippocampal subregion were observed (Figure 2B-C). Analysis of glutamate signal decay

time yielded a significant main effect in the CA1 alone for female mice (F(2,28)= 4.577,

p=0.0191) (Figure 2D). APP$^{NL-F/NL-F}$ females exhibited faster T$_{80}$ clearance of glutamate

compared to age-matched APP$^{NL/NL}$ (p=0.0324) and C57BL/6 mice (p=0.0390). Data

support potentially altered glutamate clearance in the CA1 of young female APP$^{NL-F/NL-F}$

mice that may act as an early compensatory mechanism to prevent hyperactivity.

Aged AD mice exhibit sex-dependent selective cognitive decline

Previous literature indicates progressive cognitive decline in APP$^{NL-F/NL-F}$ mice, with

modest deficits in spatial reversal learning and place preference learning observed at 13-

17 months [12] and spatial working memory at 18 months [11]. At 18+ months old, no

significant differences were observed for male mice during the learning trials (Figure 3A).

A main effect for genotype was observed with cumulative distance from the platform (F(2,

38)= 9.828, p= 0.0004) and area under the curve (AUC) (F(2,38)= 9.322, p=0.0005) in

female mice (Figure 3B). AUC for the five trial days was significantly elevated for female

APP$^{NL-F/NL-F}$ mice compared to age-matched APP$^{NL/NL}$ (p=0.0331) and C57BL/6 mice

(p=0.0003). Overall, female APP$^{NL-F/NL-F}$ mice had a slower spatial learning curve

compared to age-matched C57BL/6 mice. By the final training session, all mice had

learned the location of the hidden escape platform. During the probe challenge that is

used to evaluate long-term memory recall, a significant main effect was observed for

cumulative distance from the platform in female mice (F(2,38)= 7.716, p=0.0015). Female

APP$^{NL-F/NL-F}$ mice exhibited increased cumulative distance compared to age-matched

APP$^{NL/NL}$ (p= 0.0064) and C57BL/6 mice (p=0.0029) (Figure 3C). No significant

differences in cumulative distance were observed for male mice. However, analysis of

platform entries per distance swam indicated a significant main effect for male mice

(F(2,38)= 5.978, p=0.0055). APP$^{NL-F/NL-F}$ (p=0.0043) male mice showed a significant

decrease in number of platform entries compared to age-matched C57BL/6 mice (Figure

3D). No significant genotype differences were observed for female mice on platform

entries. Path efficiency to first platform entry was also examined and yielded no significant

findings for either sex (Figure 3E). Representative path traces are shown in Figure 3F.

These findings indicate sex differences in spatial learning and memory impairment with

age in APP$^{NL-F/NL-F}$ mice, in agreement with prior aging literature examining this mouse

model [14].

Data from the NOR task indicated no significant genotype differences on any parameters

during the retention day for male mice (Figure 3G-I). Significant main effects were

observed for distance ($F_{(2,37)}$= 3.947, p= 0.0279), average speed ($F_{(2,37)}$= 4.006, p=

0.0266), and novelty preference ($F_{(2,37)}$= 3.108, p= 0.0565) in female mice. Female

APP$^{NL/NL}$ female mice alone exhibited decreased distance (p= 0.0310) and average speed

(p= 0.0266) compared to age-matched C57BL/6 mice (Figure 3G-H). APP$^{NL-F/NL-F}$ female

mice showed significantly decreased novelty preference compared to age-matched

C57BL/6 mice (p=0.0453) (Figure 3I). Together, data support impaired spatial cognition

and selective impairment of recognition memory in aged female APP$^{NL-F/NL-F}$ mice,

indicating a potentially more severe cognitive phenotype for aged females than males in

the APP$^{NL-F/NL-F}$ mouse model.

Temporal-specific alterations to hippocampal glutamate dynamics in aged AD mice

In vivo glutamate recordings were also conducted in aged mice to investigate possible

changes in hippocampal glutamate dynamics with age and disease progression.

Representative traces for aged male mice are shown in Figure 4A. Analysis of basal

glutamate indicated a significant main effect in the CA3 ($F_{(2, 27)}$= 6.486, p=0.0050) and

the CA1 ($F_{(2, 25)}$= 3.437, p=0.0480) for male mice (Figure 4B). APP$^{NL-F/NL-F}$ male mice

exhibited decreased basal glutamate levels in the CA3 (p=0.0193) and the CA1 (p=

0.0488) compared to age-matched C57BL/6 male mice. No significant differences in

basal glutamate were observed for APP$^{NL/NL}$ mice in any hippocampal subregion. A

significant main effect for evoked glutamate release was observed in the DG (F(2, 27)=

12.06, p=0.0002) and CA3 (F(2, 28)= 5.313, p=0.0111) for male mice (Figure 4C).

APP$^{NL/NL}$ (p=0.0006, p=0.0209) and APP$^{NL-F/NL-F}$ (p=0.0009, p=0.0280) male mice showed

decreased stimulus-evoked glutamate release in the DG and CA3 compared to age-

matched C57BL/6 mice. Analysis of T$_{80}$ also indicated a significant main effect in the DG

for aged male mice (F(2, 27)= 3.579, p= 0.0418). APP$^{NL/NL}$ male mice showed increased

clearance time compared to C57BL/6 male mice (p= 0.0333; Figure 4D). These findings

indicate a potentially subregion-specific hypoglutamatergic environment in the

hippocampus of aged male AD mice and effectively demonstrate the hyper-to-

hypoactivation phenomenon for glutamatergic neurotransmission throughout disease

progression in APP$^{NL-F/NL-F}$ male mice.

Representative traces for aged female mice are shown in Figure 5A. A significant main

effect (F(2, 29)= 5.080, p=0.0128) was observed for basal glutamate levels in the CA1

alone for female mice (Figure 5B). Female APP$^{NL-F/NL-F}$ mice displayed decreased CA1

basal glutamate compared to age-matched C57BL/6 mice (p=0.0093). No differences in

basal glutamate were observed for APP$^{NL/NL}$ female mice in any hippocampal subregion.

Data support no significant differences in stimulus-evoked glutamate release in any

subregion for aged female mice (Figure 5C). A main effect for T$_{80}$ was observed in the

DG for female mice (F(2, 27)= 3.579, p=0.0418). APP$^{NL/NL}$ female mice alone exhibited

faster T$_{80}$ than age-matched C57BL/6 mice (p=0.0333) (Figure 5D). Together, data

support potential alterations in glutamate clearance and regulation of the glutamatergic

homeostasis with age in female APP$^{NL-F/NL-F}$ mice and a unique biological aging process

from that observed in male APP$^{NL-F/NL-F}$ mice.

Discussion

This study aimed to characterize glutamatergic neurotransmission throughout disease

progression in the APP$^{NL-F/NL-F}$ mouse model of AD. We utilized a glutamate biosensor to

record hippocampal glutamatergic neurotransmission *in vivo* in both sexes at young (2-4

months old) and aged (18+ months old) time points to address this question. Further, we

implemented the use of multiple sex- and age-matched C57BL/6 groups, including the

APP$^{NL/NL}$ model, to eliminate confounding variables and better analyze the role of Aβ_{42}

pathology. We hypothesized that APP$^{NL-F/NL-F}$ mice would exhibit presymptomatic

hippocampal glutamatergic hyperactivation that shifts with age and disease progression.

Our findings indicated a more severe cognitive phenotype in aged female APP$^{NL-F/NL-F}$

mice than genotype- and age-matched males, and demonstrated sex differences in

hippocampal glutamate dynamics throughout the lifespan. These outcomes reflect that of

human AD pathology, in which females bear a heavier disease burden and distinct

cognitive profile [21–23].

Hippocampal glutamate recordings demonstrated a hyper-to-hypoactivation event for

glutamatergic neurotransmission throughout the lifespan of APP$^{NL-F/NL-F}$ male mice.

Before the onset of cognitive symptoms, young male APP$^{NL-F/NL-F}$ mice displayed

increased evoked glutamate release in the DG and CA3 hippocampal subregions. These

were the same subregions in which we observed depleted evoked glutamate release in

aged APP$^{NL-F/NL-F}$ male mice. A decrease in basal glutamate in the CA1 and CA3

subregions was also observed in these mice, supporting a hypoactive glutamatergic

environment with age and disease progression, similar to what is observed in humans

(cite Caleigh's review manuscript). Loss of glutamatergic stimulation of postsynaptic

receptors would impair hippocampal long-term potentiation and confer impaired

performance on memory tasks [7–9]. Soluble $A\beta_{42}$ appears as a likely driver of hyper-to-

hypoactivation, as early hyperactivation was not observed in young male APP[NL/NL] mice

that only express increased total amyloid levels and not specific preference for $A\beta_{42}$

production. Soluble $A\beta_{42}$ has been shown to directly interact with the glutamatergic

synapse and drive dyshomeostasis with disease progression [9,24–27]. Further, elevated

soluble $A\beta_{42}$ has been characterized in male APP[NL-F/NL-F] mice beginning around 2 months

of age, concurrent with the window of hyperactivation observed in this study [11,12].

However, aged male APP[NL/NL] mice showed decreased evoked glutamate release in the

same subregions as age-matched APP[NL-F/NL-F] mice, leaving open the possibility of

multiple drivers for glutamatergic hypoactivation in later disease stages.

Data from glutamate recordings in male APP[NL-F/NL-F] mice varies from that previously

obtained by our laboratory in transgenic AβPP/PS1 male mice [7]. Namely, we observed a

steady incline in basal glutamate levels in all subregions of the hippocampus in AβPP/PS1

male mice that was absent in male APP[NL-F/NL-F] mice— and juxtaposed for the CA3 and

CA1 with age and disease progression. Further, a similar hyper-to-hypoactivation event

occurred with disease progression in male AβPP/PS1 mice, but primarily in the CA1

instead of the DG and CA3 as observed in APP[NL-F/NL-F] male mice. This divergence could

be due to differences between the mouse models including gene overexpression and

transgenic versus knock-in genetic manipulation. AβPP/PS1 exhibit greater amyloid

burden, higher early attrition rate, and more aggressive cognitive decline [14]. However,

previous studies have shown a similar amyloidosis timeline between AβPP/PS1 and

APP[NL-F/NL-F] mice, first appearing in cortex and hippocampus [7,11,12,14]. Temporal

progression through the hippocampus is also similar between the models, occurring first

and primarily in the CA1 and then moving into the DG and CA3 [7,14]. Yet, the temporal

progression for amyloidosis and glutamate hyperactivity in male AβPP/PS1 mice mirror

each other, unlike that observed in APP[NL-F/NL-F] mice. Other intermediating factors may

come into play – such as mouse model differences in the expression of key glutamate

synaptic components across hippocampal subregions – and should be explored in future

studies.

Female APP[NL-F/NL-F] mice underwent a unique and divergent biological aging process to

that characterized in male APP[NL-F/NL-F] mice. No alterations in evoked glutamate release

appeared throughout the lifespan for APP[NL-F/NL-F] female mice — their story pertained

more to glutamate clearance and homeostatic maintenance. Young APP[NL-F/NL-F] female

mice showed faster glutamate clearance times compared to age-matched APP[NL/NL] and

C57BL/6 mice in the CA1. The same subregion then showed a loss of basal glutamate

levels in aged female APP[NL-F/NL-F] mice, indicating a possible early compensatory

mechanism to maintain glutamatergic homeostasis that failed with disease progression.

Glutamatergic transport is the crux of homeostasis at the tripartite glutamate synapse —

dysregulation of astrocytic glutamate clearance can significantly impact cognitive

outcomes [28–30]. Of note, a prior study from our laboratory found that local Aβ$_{42}$ application

stimulated lactate release only in the CA1 of female C57BL/6 mice, a possible indication

of Aβ$_{42}$-mediated increased glutamate clearance localized to this hippocampal subregion

in female mice [24]. It is possible that glutamatergic alterations exist more in tonic or

spontaneous activity for APP[NL-F/NL-F] female mice than with stimulus-evoked glutamate

release. Such a possibility would explain the absence of effects to evoked glutamate

release, and provide more context to faster glutamate clearance times in young APP[NL-F/NL-F]

female mice. Another possible explanation may reside in astrocyte activity and

response to pathology, in which prior studies indicate sexual dimorphism and emphasize

the neuromodulatory role of estrogen [31]. Estrogen can protect against glutamate

hyperexcitability by supporting astrocyte function and inhibitory regulation [32,33] — and

yield devastating biological consequences when lost during menopause [34,35]. However,

this is not supported by the results of this study as immunohistochemical evaluation of

astrocytes examining both GFAP and GLT-1 did not show significant differences (data

not shown). Taken together, there are likely multiple factors contributing to the difference

in hippocampal glutamate dynamics with disease progression for female APP[NL-F/NL-F]

mice.

Glutamate findings in female APP[NL-F/NL-F] mice contrast that of previous studies from

other laboratories in female AβPP/PS1 mice [36]. A loss of hippocampal evoked glutamate

response with disease progression was reported, with no alterations to basal glutamate

throughout the lifespan. Prior examination of amyloid pathology from both models indicate

more aggressive amyloidosis in female AβPP/PS1 mice, with both models following a

similar temporal progression observed in their male counterparts [14]. At 12 months of age,

female AβPP/PS1 mice display more severe amyloid pathology, especially in the

hippocampus, than male AβPP/PS1 mice [37]. It is possible that the severity of amyloid

pathology observed in female AβPP/PS1 mice drove the glutamatergic hypoactivity

observed in later disease stages and would explain the absence of this effect in APP^{NL-}

$^{F/NL-F}$ female mice.

Results from our behavioral analysis indicated sexual dimorphism in cognitive deficits for

APP$^{NL-F/NL-F}$ aged mice. Aged male APP$^{NL-F/NL-F}$ mice exhibited impaired spatial long-term

memory during the MWM, but did not show any loss in recognition memory. These

findings are congruent with previous studies examining cognition in APP$^{NL-F/NL-F}$ mice

[11,12,14,38]. Aged APP$^{NL/NL}$ male mice did not exhibit deficits in spatial or retention memory,

in agreement with previous studies examining 24-month-old male APP$^{NL/NL}$ mice [39]. It is

possible that prolonged glutamatergic dyshomeostasis in multiple subregions for APP^{NL-}

$^{F/NL-F}$ male mice contributed to an earlier onset of cognitive symptoms than APP$^{NL/NL}$ mice.

Aged female APP$^{NL-F/NL-F}$ mice showed impaired spatial learning and memory and

recognition memory, collectively displaying a more severe cognitive phenotype than that

observed in male mice. As previously discussed, this may be due to loss of astrocytic

homeostatic regulation of the glutamatergic synapse with disease progression. This

finding mirrors previous studies indicating that AD tends to be more aggressive in female

AD mice and in humans [37,40]. Spatial long-term memory impairment for female APP$^{NL-F/NL-}$

^{F} mice was also observed on a different parameter than genotype- and age-matched male

mice during the MWM probe challenge. It is possible that sex differences in spatial

navigation and wayfinding could have impacted the parameters by which cognitive deficits

were observed [41]. Previous studies would indicate that this divergence between sexes is

unlikely to be attributed to sex differences in executive function [42]. Sexual dimorphism in

glutamate and cognition have been previously described [43–45], and support that

414 differences in hippocampal glutamate dynamics observed throughout the lifespan may

415 have also influenced sex differences in cognitive decline for this model.

416 Collectively, these findings indicate genotype and sex differences in glutamate dynamics

417 and cognition for knock-in AD mice. APP$^{NL/NL}$ mice provided a necessary middle ground

418 between C57BL/6 littermates and APP$^{NL-F/NL-F}$ mice to delineate the role of Aβ_{42} in study

419 outcomes. Further, these genotype differences also support that APP knock-in alone

420 exerts moderate pathology, which is compounded by increased Aβ_{42} production

421 generating a more severe cognitive profile. Biological differences in sex add on another

422 layer of complexity to the observed findings and point to worsened pathology in female

423 mice likely implicating a hormonal impact to glutamate and cognition with age and disease

424 progression that warrants further study.

425 Sexually dimorphic rates of biological aging may also contribute to the differences in

426 glutamate dynamics and cognitive performance. Evidence suggests females maintain

427 better cellular health for most of their life, but become frailer when approaching older age

428 than males [46]. Divergent disease progression between the sexes would hold important

429 implications for therapeutic treatment. Interventional strategies must evaluate opportune

430 windows for treatment that are tailored to the sex-specific degeneration timelines and

431 broader disease pathogenesis to maximize efficacy. Further, these findings also reinforce

432 that experimentation protocols for therapeutic candidates need to include evaluations of

433 male and female participants. It is entirely plausible that intervention strategies may

434 produce variable outcomes between sexes due to hormonal neuromodulation and sex-

435 dependent pathogenic phenotype.

Author Contribution

CAF executed electrochemistry recordings, behavioral assays, data analysis and interpretation, and drafting of the manuscript. SAM assisted with conducting behavioral assays.TH assisted with cryosectioning and cresyl violet staining. MRP and KQ managed the breeding colony. KNH and ERH conceived and supervised the study, imparted surgical and electrochemistry training, and provided manuscript revisions.

Acknowledgements

The authors have no acknowledgments to report.

Funding

This work was supported by the NIH (R01AG057767, R01AG061937), Smith Alzheimer's Center, and Kenneth Stark Endowment.

Conflict of Interest

The authors have no conflict of interest to report.

Datasets/Data Availability

The data to support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

References

1.   Purves D, Augustine GJ & Fitzpatrick D. Glutamate. in *Neuroscience*

(Sinauer Associates, Sunderland (MA), 2001).

2.   McEntee, W. J. & Crook, T. H. Glutamate: its role in learning, memory, and

the aging brain. *Psychopharmacology* vol. 111 391–401 Preprint at

https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02253527 (1993).

3.   Segovia, G., Porras, A., Del Arco, A. & Mora, F. Glutamatergic

neurotransmission in aging: A critical perspective. *Mech Ageing Dev* **122**,

1–29 (2001).

4.   Cox, M. F., Hascup, E. R., Bartke, A. & Hascup, K. N. Friend or Foe?

Defining the Role of Glutamate in Aging and Alzheimer's Disease. *Frontiers*

in Aging **3**, 65 (2022).

5.   Gasiorowska, A. *et al.* The Biology and Pathobiology of Glutamatergic,

Cholinergic, and Dopaminergic Signaling in the Aging Brain. *Frontiers in*

Aging Neuroscience vol. 13 391 Preprint at

https://doi.org/10.3389/fnagi.2021.654931 (2021).

6.   Lewerenz, J. & Maher, P. Chronic glutamate toxicity in neurodegenerative

diseases-What is the evidence? *Frontiers in Neuroscience* vol. 9 Preprint at

https://doi.org/10.3389/fnins.2015.00469 (2015).

7.   Hascup, K. N., Findley, C. A., Sime, L. N. & Hascup, E. R. Hippocampal

alterations in glutamatergic signaling during amyloid progression in

AβPP/PS1 mice. *Sci Rep* **10**, 14503 (2020).

8.   Parsons, C. G., Stöffler, A. & Danysz, W. Memantine: a NMDA receptor

antagonist that improves memory by restoration of homeostasis in the

glutamatergic system - too little activation is bad, too much is even worse.

Neuropharmacology **53**, 699–723 (2007).

9.   Findley, C. A. C. A., Bartke, A., Hascup, K. N. K. N. & Hascup, E. R. E. R.

Amyloid Beta-Related Alterations to Glutamate Signaling Dynamics During

Alzheimer's Disease Progression. *ASN Neuro* **11**, 1–20 (2019).

10.   Olney, J. W., Wozniak, D. F. & Farber, N. B. Excitotoxic neurodegeneration

in Alzheimer disease. New hypothesis and new therapeutic strategies. *Arch*

Neurol **54**, 1234–40 (1997).

11.   Saito, T. *et al.* Single App knock-in mouse models of Alzheimer's disease.

Nat Neurosci **17**, 661–663 (2014).

12.   Masuda, A. *et al.* Cognitive deficits in single App knock-in mouse models.

Neurobiol Learn Mem **135**, 73–82 (2016).

13.   Shah, D. *et al.* Spatial reversal learning defect coincides with

hypersynchronous telencephalic BOLD functional connectivity in APPNL-

F/NL-F knock-in mice. **8**, 6264 (2018).

14.   Britz, J. *et al.* Assessing Sex-Specific Circadian, Metabolic, and Cognitive

Phenotypes in the AβPP/PS1 and APPNL-F/NL-F Models of Alzheimer's

Disease. *Journal of Alzheimer's Disease* **85**, 1077–1093 (2022).

15.   Kundu, P. *et al.* Integrated analysis of behavioral, epigenetic, and gut

microbiome analyses in App NL-G-F, App NL-F, and wild type mice. *Sci*

Rep **11**, 4678 (2021).

16.   Hascup, K. N. & Hascup, E. R. Altered neurotransmission prior to cognitive

decline in AβPP/PS1 mice, a model of Alzheimer's disease. *Journal of*

Alzheimer's Disease **44**, 771–776 (2015).

17.   Hascup, K. N. *et al.* Second-by-Second Measures of L-Glutamate and

Other Neurotransmitters Using Enzyme-Based Microelectrode Arrays. in

Electrochemical Methods for Neuroscience (CRC Press/Taylor & Francis,

2007).

18.   Rudy, C. C., Hunsberger, H. C., Weitzner, D. S. & Reed, M. N. The Role of

the Tripartite Glutamatergic Synapse in the Pathophysiology of Alzheimer's

Disease. *Aging Dis* **6**, 131–148 (2015).

19.   Revett, T. J., Baker, G. B., Jhamandas, J. & Kar, S. Glutamate system,

amyloid ß peptides and tau protein: functional interrelationships and

relevance to Alzheimer disease pathology. *J Psychiatry Neurosci* **38**, 6–23

(2013).

20.   Valladolid-Acebes, I. *et al.* High-fat diets induce changes in hippocampal

glutamate metabolism and neurotransmission. *American Journal of*

Physiology-Endocrinology and Metabolism **302**, E396–E402 (2012).

21.   Alzheimer's Association. 2022 Alzheimer's disease facts and figures. **18**,

700–789 (2022).

22.   Podcasy, J. L. & Epperson, C. N. Considering sex and gender in Alzheimer

disease and other dementias. *Dialogues Clin Neurosci* **18**, 437–446 (2016).

23.   Laws, K. R., Irvine, K. & Gale, T. M. Sex differences in cognitive impairment

in Alzheimer's disease. *World J Psychiatry* **6**, 54 (2016).

521 24. Hascup, E. R., Sime, L. N., Peck, M. R. & Hascup, K. N. Amyloid-β42

522   stimulated hippocampal lactate release is coupled to glutamate uptake.

523   *Scientific Reports 2022 12:1* **12**, 1–11 (2022).

524 25. Hascup, K. N. & Hascup, E. R. Soluble Amyloid-β42 Stimulates Glutamate

525   Release through Activation of the α7 Nicotinic Acetylcholine Receptor.

526   *Journal of Alzheimer's Disease* **53**, 337–347 (2016).

527 26. Ferreira, S. T. & Klein, W. L. The Aβ oligomer hypothesis for synapse failure

528   and memory loss in Alzheimer's disease. *Neurobiol Learn Mem* **96**, 529–

529   543 (2011).

530 27. Wilcox, K. C., Lacor, P. N., Pitt, J. & Klein, W. L. Aβ Oligomer-Induced

531   Synapse Degeneration in Alzheimer's Disease. *Cell Mol Neurobiol* **31**, 939–

532   948 (2011).

533 28. Varga, E. *et al.* Amyloid-β1-42 Disrupts Synaptic Plasticity by Altering

534   Glutamate Recycling at the Synapse. *J Alzheimers Dis* **45**, 449–456 (2015).

535 29. Lewerenz, J. & Maher, P. Chronic glutamate toxicity in neurodegenerative

536   diseases-What is the evidence? *Frontiers in Neuroscience* vol. 9 469

537   Preprint at https://doi.org/10.3389/fnins.2015.00469 (2015).

538 30. Sheldon, A. L. & Robinson, M. B. The role of glutamate transporters in

539   neurodegenerative diseases and potential opportunities for intervention.

540   *Neurochemistry International* vol. 51 333–355 Preprint at

541   https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuint.2007.03.012 (2007).

31.   Chowen, J. A. & Garcia-Segura, L. M. Role of glial cells in the generation of

sex differences in neurodegenerative diseases and brain aging. *Mech*

Ageing Dev **196**, 111473 (2021).

32.   Spencer, J. L. *et al.* Uncovering the mechanisms of estrogen effects on

hippocampal function. *Frontiers in Neuroendocrinology* vol. 29 219–237

Preprint at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.yfrne.2007.08.006 (2008).

33.   Nematipour, S. *et al.* Estrogen and progesterone attenuate glutamate

neurotoxicity via regulation of EAAT3 and GLT-1 in a rat model of ischemic

stroke. *Iran J Basic Med Sci* **23**, 1346–1352 (2020).

34.   Wang, Y. *et al.* Midlife Chronological and Endocrinological Transitions in

Brain Metabolism: System Biology Basis for Increased Alzheimer's Risk in

Female Brain. *Sci Rep* **10**, 8528–8528 (2020).

35.   Georgakis, M. K., Beskou-Kontou, T., Theodoridis, I., Skalkidou, A. &

Petridou, E. T. Surgical menopause in association with cognitive function

and risk of dementia: A systematic review and meta-analysis.

Psychoneuroendocrinology **106**, 9–19 (2019).

36.   Minkeviciene, R. *et al.* Age-related decrease in stimulated glutamate

release and vesicular glutamate transporters in APP/PS1 transgenic and

wild-type mice. *J Neurochem* **105**, 584–594 (2008).

37.   Jiao, S. S. *et al.* Sex Dimorphism Profile of Alzheimer's Disease-Type

Pathologies in an APP/PS1 Mouse Model. *Neurotox Res* **29**, 256–266

(2016).

38.   Mazzei, G. *et al.* A high-fat diet exacerbates the Alzheimer's disease

565   pathology in the hippocampus of the App NL−F/NL−F knock-in mouse

566   model. *Aging Cell* **20**, e13429 (2021).

567   39.   Salas, I. H. *et al.* Increased Insoluble Amyloid-β Induces Negligible

568   Cognitive Deficits in Old APPNL/NL Knock-In Mice. **66**, 801–809 (2018).

569   40.   Mielke, M. M. Sex and gender differences in Alzheimer disease dementia.

570   *Psychiatric Times* **35**, 14–15 (2018).

571   41.   Andreano, J. M. & Cahill, L. Sex influences on the neurobiology of learning

572   and memory. *Learning and Memory* **16**, 248–266 (2009).

573   42.   Grissom, N. M. & Reyes, T. M. Let's call the whole thing off: evaluating

574   gender and sex differences in executive function.

575   *Neuropsychopharmacology* vol. 44 86–96 Preprint at

576   https://doi.org/10.1038/s41386-018-0179-5 (2019).

577   43.   Giacometti, L. L. & Barker, J. M. Sex differences in the glutamate system:

578   Implications for addiction. *Neuroscience and Biobehavioral Reviews* vol.

579   113 157–168 Preprint at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neubiorev.2020.03.010

580   (2020).

581   44.   Barth, C., Villringer, A. & Sacher, J. Sex hormones affect neurotransmitters

582   and shape the adult female brain during hormonal transition periods.

583   *Frontiers in Neuroscience* vol. 9 37 Preprint at

584   https://doi.org/10.3389/fnins.2015.00037 (2015).

585   45.   Hädel, S., Wirth, C., Rapp, M., Gallinat, J. & Schubert, F. Effects of age and

586   sex on the concentrations of glutamate and glutamine in the human brain.

587   *Journal of Magnetic Resonance Imaging* **38**, 1480–1487 (2013).

588   46.   Hägg, S. & Jylhävä, J. Sex differences in biological aging with a focus on

589   human studies. *Elife* **10**, (2021).

Figure Legend

Figure 1: *Elevated evoked glutamate release in 2-4 months old APP$^{NL-F/NL-F}$ male mice.*

A) Representative traces of 70 mM KCl stimulus-evoked glutamate recordings in the

DG, CA3, and CA1 hippocampal subregions. B-C) Basal and stimulus-evoked

glutamate concentration by subregion. Inset depicts average amount of 70 mM KCl

locally applied to evoke glutamate release. D) Time to 80% of glutamate clearance.

One-way ANOVA, Tukey's post-hoc, *$p<0.05$, **$p<0.01$.

Figure 2: *Altered glutamate clearance in the CA1 of 2-4 months old female APP$^{NL-F/NL-F}$*

mice. A) Representative traces of 70 mM KCl stimulus-evoked glutamate recordings in

the DG, CA3, and CA1 hippocampal subregions. B-C) Basal and stimulus-evoked

glutamate concentration by subregion. Inset depicts average amount of 70 mM KCl

locally applied to evoke glutamate release. D). Time to 80% of glutamate clearance.

One-way ANOVA, Tukey's post-hoc, *$p<0.05$.

Figure 3: *Sex-dependent cognitive decline in aged AD mice.* A-B) Cumulative distance

to the platform throughout the five trial days for male (A) and female (B) mice. Insets

depict area under the curve (AUC) for the five-day learning period. C-E) Probe

challenge measures include: cumulative distance, platform entries per distance swam,

and path efficiency to first entry. F) Representative track plots from the probe challenge

for each sex and genotype. G-H) Distance and average speed during the novel object

retention day. I) Evaluation of retention memory through novelty preference. MWM

Trials: Two-way ANOVA, Tukey's post-hoc; MWM Probe and NOR: One-way ANOVA,

Tukey's post-hoc, *p<0.05, **p<0.01, ***p<0.001.

Figure 4: *Hypoactive glutamate dynamics in the hippocampus of 18+ months old male*

AD mice. A) Representative traces from 70 mM KCl stimulus-evoked glutamate

recordings in the DG, CA3, and CA1 hippocampal subregions. B-C) Basal and stimulus-

evoked glutamate concentration by subregion. Inset depicts average amount of 70 mM

KCl locally applied to evoke glutamate release. D) Time to 80% of glutamate clearance.

One-way ANOVA, Tukey's post-hoc, *p<0.05, ***p<0.001.

Figure 5: *Decreased basal glutamate levels in the CA1 of 18+ months old female*

APP$^{NL-F/NL-F}$ mice. A) Representative traces from the DG, CA3, and CA1. B-C) Basal

and stimulus-evoked glutamate concentration by subregion. Inset depicts average

amount of 70 mM KCl locally applied to evoke glutamate release. D) Time to 80% of

glutamate clearance. One-way ANOVA, Tukey's post-hoc, *p<0.05, **p<0.01.

Supplementary Figure 1: *No memory deficits present in 2-4 months old knock-in AD*

mice. A-B) Spatial learning performance evaluated by cumulative distance from the

platform area throughout the five trial days for male (A) and female (B) mice. C-E) Long-

term spatial memory recall performance during probe challenge was measured by:

cumulative distance, platform entries per distance swam, and path efficiency to first

entry. G-H) Distance and average speed during the novel object retention day. I)

Evaluation of retention memory through novelty preference. MWM Trials: Two-way

ANOVA, Tukey's post-hoc; MWM Probe and NOR: One-way ANOVA, Tukey's post-hoc,

**p<0.01, ****p<0.0001