1 **The cryoEM structure of the *Hendra henipavirus* nucleoprotein reveals insights into paramyxoviral**
2 **nucleocapsid architectures**
3
4

5 Tim C Passschier[1,Ψ], Joshua B R White[1], Daniel P Maskell[1], Matthew J Byrne[1,$], Neil A Ranson[1], Thomas
6 A Edwards[1,*,#], John N Barr[1,*]
7
8
9 [1]Astbury Centre for Structural Molecular Biology, School of Molecular and Cellular Biology, Faculty of
10 Biological Sciences, University of Leeds, Leeds, LS2 9JT, United Kingdom
11
12
13 [Ψ]Current address: Department of Biology, University of York, York, YO10 5DD, UK
14 [$] Current address: Exscientia, The Schrödinger Building Oxford Science Park, Oxford UK, OX4 4GE
15 [#]Current address: Larkin University, College of Biomedical Sciences, 18301 N Miami Avenue, Miami,
16 FL 33169 USA

*Corresponding authors: Thomas A Edwards (t.a.edwards@leeds.ac.uk); John N Barr
(j.n.barr@leeds.ac.uk)

Abstract

We report the first cryoEM structure of the *Hendra henipavirus* nucleoprotein in complex with RNA,

at 3.5 Å resolution, derived from single particle analysis of homotetradecameric RNA-bound N

protein rings exhibiting D14 symmetry. The structure of the HeV N protein adopts the common bi-

lobed paramyxoviral N protein fold; the N-terminal and C-terminal globular domains are bisected by

an RNA binding cleft containing six RNA nucleotides and are flanked by the N-terminal and C-

terminal arms, respectively. In common with other paramyxoviral nucleocapsids, the lateral

interface between adjacent N and N_{+1} protomers involves electrostatic and hydrophobic interactions

mediated primarily through the N-terminal arm and globular domains with minor contribution from

the C-terminal arm. However, the HeV N multimeric assembly uniquely identifies an additional

interaction between N_{+1} and N_{-1} protomers. The model presented here broadens the understanding

of RNA-bound paramyxoviral nucleocapsid architectures and provides a platform for further insight

into the molecular biology of HeV, as well as the development of antiviral interventions.

Introduction

The non-segmented, negative-sense RNA virus *Hendra henipavirus* (HeV) emerged in 1994 as a fatal infectious agent on the Hendra racetracks of Brisbane, Australia, leading to the deaths of 15 horses and one human [1,2]. Since its discovery, there have been over 65 recognised and suspected outbreaks of HeV along the Australian east coast, with documented annual outbreaks since 2006 [3]. Serological sampling of wild populations has identified pteropid fruit bats as the natural reservoir of HeV [4,5]. While all recorded spillover events of HeV have occurred in Australia, the natural range of pteropid bats extends much further north into Asia, potentially extending the at-risk area [6]. Indeed, sampling among bats and humans in Africa has uncovered neutralising antibodies in both populations against HeV, as well as fellow genus members *Nipah henipavirus* (NiV) and *Ghanaian bat henipavirus* (GhV) [7]. Seropositivity in humans was most common amongst individuals dealing in bat bush meat or inhabiting areas of active deforestation [7], highlighting the increasing spillover risk of emerging zoonotic viruses due to human encroachment on natural landscapes. HeV infection typically exhibits a predominantly respiratory and neurological tropism, explaining the common symptoms of HeV infection including pulmonary haemorrhage and oedema, encephalitis, and meningitis and carries a mortality rate of 60-75% for both equine and human victims [8,9]. Disease progression is generally rapid and most infected individuals die within days, or in the case of horses are euthanised. However, one human case of recovery and subsequent fatal relapse 14 months after infection has been reported that resembles the brain inflammation seen in persistent *Measles morbillivirus* (MeV) infection [10]. Documented HeV transmission is predominantly through contact with bodily fluids and the data reported on spillover events suggests that inter-equine transmission can occur [1,2]. No direct transmission from bats to humans, or humans to humans, has yet been described, with all human cases the result of contact with infected horses. However, highly productive inter-human transmission of the closely related NiV has been observed in an outbreak with a mortality rate of around 75%, suggesting that similar outbreaks of HeV with inter-human transmission may occur in the future [11].

The HeV negative-sense single-stranded RNA genome is 18,234 nucleotides long, encodes six genes, and conforms to the paramyxoviral 'rule of six' [12–14]. The first gene encodes the nucleoprotein (N protein) which associates with the viral genomic and antigenomic RNAs to form the helical nucleocapsid [14–16]. The nucleocapsid associates with the viral RNA dependent RNA polymerase (RdRp) comprising the large (L) and phospho (P) proteins to form the holonucleocapsid. The N-RNA interaction is critical for virus multiplication as genomic RNA is only recognised by the RdRp as a template for mRNA transcription and genome replication when in the context of the assembled nucleocapsid (reviewed in [17]), and incorporation of genomic RNA into new virions

depends on interactions between N protein within the nucleocapsid and the viral matrix (M) protein
[17–19].

Paramyxoviral nucleocapsids, as well as those from the closely related family *Pneumoviridae*,
classically exhibit a flexible, hollow, left-handed helical architecture under electron microscopy (EM),
showing a characteristic herringbone pattern on transmission micrographs [20–26]. In these
nucleocapsids, neighbouring N protomers laterally interact through their globular domains and
completely encapsidate the viral genomic and antigenomic RNA within a continuous RNA binding
cleft [27–29]. The N protomer of interest is topographically referred to as the index nucleoprotein
(N_i) while the immediately adjacent protomers upstream and downstream of the N_i are referred to
as index +1 N protein (N_{i+1}) or index -1 N protein (N_{i-1}), respectively. Each paramyxovirus N protomer
covers exactly six RNA bases, which obeys the paramyxovirus 'rule of six', with the RNA bases
generally following a 'three in, three out' binding register where three RNA bases are oriented in
towards the RNA binding cleft and three RNA bases are oriented out towards the solvent [12,13,29–
31]. Each helical turn of the paramyxoviral nucleocapsid comprises approximately 13 N protomers,
although the exact number differs between viral species and is not necessarily an integer [21,26–
29,31,32].

In addition to the extended helical nucleocapsid architecture, other atypical nucleocapsid
assemblies have been observed in recent years. The formation of N protein-RNA ring complexes has
been described for recombinantly-expressed nucleoproteins from various viruses, such as decameric
N-RNA rings of *Human orthopneumovirus* (RSV) and *Vesiculovirus indiana* [25,33], undecameric N-
RNA rings of *Lyssavirus rabies* [34], and tridecameric N-RNA rings of *Mammalian orthorubulavirus 5*
[35]. Interestingly, features that resemble N-RNA rings were also observed packaged within RSV
virions [36]. Furthermore, so-called 'clam-shell' nucleocapsid assemblies have been observed from
recombinantly expressed nucleoproteins [32,37,38]. These clam-shells are formed by two helical
nucleocapsids engaged in back-to-back stacking and have been found for *Avian orthoavulavirus 1*
(formerly Newcastle disease virus; NDV), *Murine respirovirus*, and NiV [32,37,38].

Structural information on the HeV N protein and nucleocapsid assembly is scarce,
collectively no more than a handful of negative-stain EM micrographs of isolated HeV nucleocapsids
[39] or recombinantly expressed HeV nucleocapsid-like filaments [40] exist. While these confirm the
similar herringbone morphology of HeV nucleocapsids to those of closely related viruses, a high-
resolution reconstruction of the HeV N protein and nucleocapsid assembly has not yet been
determined. Here, we report for the first time the HeV N protein structure in the context of a
double-ring assembly as determined through cryoEM from recombinantly expressed HeV N protein.

The approach taken reveals the full HeV Ncore structure (residues 1-394) and further elucidates

established and novel paramyxoviral N-N and N-RNA interactions.

Results

Architecture of the HeV N protein-RNA assembly

HeV N protein was recombinantly expressed in *E. coli* and purified through IMAC using an N-
terminally fused hexahistidine-SUMO affinity purification tag, followed by proteolytic removal of the
affinity purification tag and subsequent SEC purification (Supplementary Figure S1). The purified HeV
N sample was applied to cryo-grids and automated data collection was performed, including on-the-
fly (OTF) pre-processing of the 3548 collected micrographs (Supplementary Figure S2) [41]. The
micrographs contained a range of particles exhibiting circular and squat ring morphologies. Selection
of these particles followed by 2D and 3D classification in RELION [42] revealed that these
morphologies represent different orientations of the same multimeric N protein assembly, viewed
across a 14-fold and 2-fold axis of symmetry, respectively (Supplementary Figure S3). Subsequent 3D
refinement of a curated particle stack comprising 5638 particles and using D14 symmetry resulted in
a 3.5 Å resolution EM density map, revealing a previously uncharacterised multimeric nucleoprotein
assembly (Supplementary Figure S3, Supplementary Figure S4). The final map is a dimer of
tetradecameric rings which enabled model building resulting in the first reported HeV N protein
structure (Figure 1, Supplementary Figure S3, Supplementary Figure S4, Supplementary Table S1). In
the HeV N protein assembly, two rings stack 'bottom-to-bottom', where the globular N-terminal
domains (NTDs) intercalate to form a dimer of tetradecamers (Figure 1a-c). Each tetradecameric ring
is topped with the C-terminal arms (Ct-arms) which cover parts of the globular C terminal domains
(CTDs) (Figure 1a-c). The N-terminal arm (Nt-arm) lies completely within the particle's central cavity
where it crosses over the NTD and interfaces with the back face of the CTD (Figure 1b).

Structure of the HeV N protein

The EM map of the HeV N protein assembly fully resolved the N protein core (Ncore),
however no density was observed in the map corresponding to the intrinsically disordered C-
terminal N protein tail (Ntail, Ala395-Val532). The HeV Ncore structure follows the common bi-lobed
paramyxoviral N protein fold and includes the Nt-arm (Met1-Thr32), NTD (Thr33-Glu260), CTD
(Glu261-His370), and Ct-arm (His371-Ala394), as well as bound *E. coli* host-derived RNA (Figure 1d-
e). Both the Nt- and Ct-arm are linked to the globular domains by flexible loops and each forms an
alpha helix in proximity to the CTD (Figure 1d). The N protein surface area is overall hydrophilic, with
three prominent hydrophobic patches that are covered by neighbouring N protomers in the
assembly (Supplementary Figure S5, Supplementary Figure S6). The Nt-arm alpha helix (α1) contains
a strongly negatively charged, solvent-exposed region facing the central cavity consisting of residues
Asp3, Asp6, and Glu7 (Figure 2).

HeV N – N protein interactions in the multimeric assembly

The HeV N protein double-ring assembly is formed through extensive lateral interactions in each tetradecameric ring as well as intercalating interactions between two tetradecameric rings. The lateral interfaces consist of electrostatic and hydrophobic interactions and are mediated primarily through the Nt-arms, NTDs, and CTDs of two neighbouring N protomers, with contributions from the Ct-arm, over a total buried surface area of ~2930 $Å^2$, calculated via PDBe PISA [43] (Supplementary Figure S5, Supplementary Figure S6). At a calculated buried surface area of ~1195 $Å^2$, the N_i - N_{i+1} interface mediated by globular domains represents just over a third of the total buried surface area between the neighbouring protomers. The globular domain interface consists of extensive electrostatic interactions, with the N_i surface containing mostly positively charged patches and the N_{i+1} surface mostly negatively charged patches (Supplementary Figure S5). While the contribution of the Ct-arm is minor, with a calculated buried surface area of only ~340 $Å^2$, the Nt-arm contributes extensively to the lateral interactions. At a calculated buried surface area of ~1740 $Å^2$, this interface represents just over half of the total lateral interface between N_i and N_{i+1}. Aside from electrostatic patches, a conserved hydrophobic region consisting of a triad of aromatic residues (Phe267, Phe268, and Tyr301) in the CTD of the N_i protomer and Phe11 in α1 of Nt-arm N_{i+1} protomer forms a key interface [28,32] (Figure 3a). A further hydrophobic cleft in the N_i NTD (Tyr80, Ser81, Leu87, Val162, Val165, Ile166, Ile234, and Val249) is occupied by the N_{i+1} Nt-arm loop Ala27-Thr33. Within this N_{i+1} Nt-arm loop, residue Leu31 is buried inside a pocket in the N_i NTD consisting of Asn43, Arg48, Tyr80, Glu82, Met158, Leu161, Val162, and Val165 (Figure 3b). Beyond the extensive N_{i+1} Nt-arm interface with the Ni NTD and CTD, a minor contact exists between the utmost amino-terminal residues of the N_{i+1} Nt-arm (Met1, Ser2, Ile4, and Phe5) and the N_{i-1} Ct-arm loop region Gln376-Lys381 (Figure 4). This N_{+1} - N_{-1} interface represents a novel interaction that, to the best of our knowledge, has not been described in the literature for paramyxoviral nucleocapsids and will be referred to as the 'elbow interface'. Since the elbow interface only has a calculated buried surface area of ~80 $Å^2$, it is unlikely to contribute strongly to N-N interactions. A defining feature of this HeV N protein double-ring assembly is the gear-wheel interface between the two tetradecameric rings. This intercalation zone consists solely of residues in the NTDs of N protomers in the top ring and N protomers in the bottom ring (indicated by the lower-case n) (Figure 5). The N_i protomer contacts two protomers in the bottom ring (n_i and n_{i+1}), with a total calculated buried surface area of ~545 $Å^2$. A clear, reciprocal electrostatic interface exists between N_i and n_{i+1} with the residues Lys120, Glu123, and Glu124 where the two Glu residues in N_i form a tab that interacts with the Lys residue in n_{i+1} and *vice versa* (Figure 5b). The poor electron density surrounding loop Met114-Asp119 forced the stubbing of side chains and, accordingly, these were modelled as alanines (indicated in italics)

(Figure 5b-c). An electrostatic interaction may exist between the N_i Asp157 and n_i *Arg116*, as they

are in proximity and there is ample space for the Arg side chain. Similarly, N_i residues Ser44 and

Glu46 form a patch that may interact with n_i residues *Met114* and *Glu115* which are in close

proximity. The absence of clear electron density neither supports nor refutes these putative

interactions and improved local resolution may further elucidate the intercalation zone and increase

the calculated buried surface area.

HeV N – RNA interactions

The HeV N assembly map showed clear density for single-stranded RNA in the RNA-binding

cleft that separates the NTD and CTD, which was modelled as a poly-uridine; one hexa-uridine per N

protomer (Figure 6, Supplementary Figure S7). The RNA binding cleft contains hydrophobic patches

and is mostly positively charged near the RNA backbone and negatively charged near the RNA bases

(Supplementary Figure S5). The RNA molecule is oriented in the conventional 'three-base in, three-

base out' similar to other paramyxoviruses [28,29,32,35,37]. This orientation is facilitated by a 180°

turn of the RNA backbone every three nucleotides. In the case of HeV N, the 3'-most three-base

stack is oriented in towards the RNA-binding cleft while the 5'-most three-base stack are oriented

towards the solvent (Figure 6, Supplementary Figure S7. The charged RNA-binding cleft consists of a

cleft floor and two ridges that follow the orientation of the RNA chain (Figure 6a-c). Residue

segments Thr181-Gln200, containing α8, in the NTD and the loop Ser344-Tyr354 in the CTD form the

solvent-facing cleft ridges. The cleft floor consists of the NTD-CTD-connecting loop Glu260-Ala265

continuing into α12 until Arg272 as well as the α14-containing stretch Ser317-Gly326. Within the

RNA-binding cleft, a series of conserved basic (Lys178, Arg192, Arg193, Arg352) and polar (Thr185,

Gln199, Gln200, Tyr258, Gln319, Ser344, Tyr354) residues likely interact with the RNA chain through

electrostatic and hydrophobic interactions (Figure 6b-d) [28,29,32,35,37].

Adjacent to and contiguous with the RNA binding cleft floor is a large cavity surrounding the

inward facing three-base stack flanked by the N_i NTD and CTD as well as α13 of the N_{i+1} CTD and α1

of the N_{i+1} Nt-arm (Figure 7). While the modelled RNA bases are all uridines, this cavity – here called

the 'in-base cavity' – is sufficiently spacious to accommodate the larger purine bases and,

correspondingly, N_i residues Tyr258, Glu260, Glu261, Phe268, Ser344, and Met345, and N_{i+1} residue

Lys321 may be involved in interactions with these bases (Figure 7b).

Comparison with other paramyxoviral N protein assemblies

The HeV N protein fold resembles that of NiV N protein, its closest relative [8,14,32,44]. The

NiV N protein structure has been solved recently through cryoEM [32] and earlier through X-ray

crystallography [44]. Structural alignment of the HeV N protein model with the NiV N models reveals

some key differences (Figure 8). The global RMSDs for the HeV N protein structure compared to that

of the cryoEM-derived, RNA-bound NiV N protein structure and that of the NiV N protein structure

solved through X-ray crystallography are very similar (0.756 Å and 0.783 Å, respectively). In the NiV

X-ray structure, however, the NTDs and CTDs are rotated approximately 25° with respect to each

other compared to the HeV N and NiV cryoEM structures [32] resulting in a shift of the CTD of up to

15.5 Å at its greatest extent (Figure 8a). This rotation in the crystal structure is likely induced by

binding to the P protein Nt region which keeps the N monomers in an 'open' RNA-free conformation

(N^0) [16,44–47]. Three key differences appear from the alignment of HeV N with the two NiV N

structures. Firstly, the HeV N Nt- and Ct-arms in the double-ring assembly are shifted compared to

the Nt- and Ct-arms in the NiV N helical assembly (Figure 8b). Helical spiralling of a single split

tetradecameric ring would generate a nucleocapsid-like helix and inevitably requires some minor

conformational changes. The flexible nature of the Nt- and Ct-arm put them forward as suitable

candidates to provide those changes. Secondly, the solvent facing NTD alpha-helix 5 and its

succeeding loop (α5-loop) are also shifted compared to the homologous helix-loop in the NiV

structure (Figure 8b). In fact, this helix-loop region exhibits significant structural and sequence

variability among paramyxoviruses. Both its position and length are highly variable among the N

proteins of closely related paramyxoviruses, including *Mammalian orthorubulavirus 5* (formerly

human parainfluenza virus 5; PIV5), MeV, NDV, NiV, and SeV [29,32,35,37,38,44]. For example, the

HeV N protein α5-loop region contains two amino acid substitutions compared with the NiV N

protein; L108V and E137D, both of which are solvent exposed [32]. Whether there is a functional

relevance to these substitutions is unknown, however in the context of a helical nucleocapsid the

α5-loop region is the main solvent exposed section of the N protomer and may be important for

interactions with the RdRp complex during transcription and replication, or could potentially

interface in-phase with the M protein lattice during virion assembly, as proposed for other

paramyxoviruses [17–19]. Furthermore, the α5-loop region has also been identified as the main

interface in intercalating interactions in the HeV N protein assembly (Figure 5), as well as in clam-

shell formation in NDV, NiV, and SeV nucleocapsid-like filaments (NLFs) [32,37,38]. The intercalating

interface observed in the HeV N double-ring assembly suffered from local poorly resolved electron

density (Supplementary Figure S4), which abrogated confident model building and forced the

stubbing of residue side chains for *Met114*, *Glu115*, *Arg116*, *Arg117*, and *Asp119*. This poor local

density may reflect the existence of weak interactions within the interface since stronger

interactions would rigidify the loop side chains and by extension produce clearer density. The

modelled alanines likely do not accurately portray the potential for extensive interactions these

residues may engage in with neighbouring N protomers. Indeed, residues homologous to these have

been identified as putative drivers of clam-shell interactions in the paramyxoviruses NDV, NiV, and

SeV [32,37,38]. Focussed refinement on this area failed to improve map resolution, and likely

reflects – in part – the inherent flexibility of both this loop and the intercalating interface. Similarly,

local resolution around the HeV N protein Ct-arm α17 was poor and while an alpha helix could

confidently be built into the density, a number of side chains were also stubbed and modelled as

alanines. Finally, more Ct-arm residues are resolved in the helical NiV N protein structure than in the

HeV N double-ring structure, while the HeV N protein structure shows the very N-terminal Met1

residue where the NiV N protein structure cuts off at Ile4 [32]. Comparison of the cognate region in

protein structures from related viruses revealed that the extreme N-terminal residues are either

distended away towards the central cavity as in NDV [37] or are completely absent as for PIV5, NiV,

MeV, and SeV [29,32,35,38] (Figure 8c-f). The shift in orientation or complete absence of the

extreme N-terminal residues may be due to the presence of remaining N-terminal affinity tags that

limit local cryoEM resolution. Indeed, an N-terminal affinity purification tag remained attached to

resolved N protein structures for PIV5, NDV, NiV, and SeV [32,35,37,38] while the MeV purification

used a C-terminal tag [29]. The tag-free N-terminal residues in the HeV double-ring assembly were

identified as a potential minor interface (Figure 4). This elbow interface likely exists in NiV N protein

assemblies as well, and the data suggest a similar interface may occur in more distantly related

viruses such as PIV5 and MeV [29,35].

Discussion

The emergence of novel pathogens such as HeV is accompanied by gaps in fundamental

knowledge and technical resources. It is therefore of paramount importance to increase these key

assets prior to an outbreak. While preparedness systems are falling into place for HeV, including

surveillance, diagnostic tools and laboratory confirmation, and treatment and prevention, many

questions regarding the molecular biology of HeV remain unanswered.

Following cryoEM data collection, a multimeric HeV N protein double-ring assembly was

resolved to 3.5 Å resolution, enabling the building and refinement of an atomic HeV N protein

model. Paramyxoviral double-ring complexes may represent a convergent architecture that reflects

the need for 5' capping of genomic and antigenomic nucleocapsids, the need to encapsidate leader

sequences, and the need for short-term metastable N protein storage. The elucidation of the HeV N

protein structure allowed for the identification of differences between it and related viral N protein

structures, but importantly may also highlight commonalities that can be exploited for the design of

new, broad-acting therapeutics.

The paramyxoviral nucleocapsid assembly entirely covers the viral genome, protecting it
from detection and degradation by host factors and serving as the template for viral mRNA
transcription and genome replication. Here, we determined the cryoEM structure of the
recombinantly expressed, full-length and tag-free HeV N protein in complex with *E. coli* host-derived
RNA. This structure is, to our best knowledge, the first high-resolution structure of the HeV N protein
at 3.5 Å resolution and the first formal description of a paramyxoviral N protein double-ring
assembly.

Overall, the HeV N protein fold resembles closely and more distantly related paramyxoviral
N protein structures, including the recently solved N protein structures of PIV5 [35], MeV [29], NDV
[37], and SeV [38], with its closest structural and genetic match being NiV N [32,44]. The N protein
consists of an Ncore region and an intrinsically disordered Ntail, and, as is common for
paramyxoviral N proteins, only the Ncore region was well resolved with no clear cryoEM density
representing the Ntail. The HeV N protein shares around 92% amino acid identity with the NiV N
protein [8,14,32,44]. The crystal structure for NiV N was solved as a truncated protein – missing both
the Nt- and Ct-arms – and in complex with the Nt region of the NiV P protein [44]. More recently, the
full NiV Ncore structure was solved through cryoEM from helical particles [32].

Structural alignment of the final HeV N protein model (PDB: 8CBW) with both the NiV N
protein crystal structure (PDB: 4CO6) and cryoEM structure (PDB: 7NT5) revealed a globally similar
protein fold, with some key differences. The most prominent of these differences is the
approximately 25° rotation and up to 15.5 Å shift in the CTD, which is the result from the open, apo-
configuration of the P protein-bound NiV N (P-N^0) complex in the NiV N crystal structure [44]. Since
the cryoEM NiV N structure is RNA-bound [32], it offers a better comparison with the similarly RNA-
bound HeV N structure. A slight shift in the orientations of both the Nt- and Ct-arms likely stems
from the differences in overall multimeric morphology, since the NiV structure originates from a
helical segment with ~13.2 N protomers per helical turn, while the HeV structure is drawn from a 14
N protomer-containing flat ring within the double-ring particle. Helical spiralling of a single, split
tetradecameric ring would generate a helix resembling that of NiV and inevitably incur some minor
conformational changes likely engendered by the flexible Nt- and Ct-arms whilst maintain a
relatively static globular domain fold.

Furthermore, the NiV N Ct-arm has resolved four additional residues compared to the HeV N
protein structure, while the HeV N protein structure resolves the extreme N-terminal Met residue
where the NiV N protein structure terminates at Ile4 [32]. Similarly, the extreme N-terminal residues
are often absent in N protein structures from other, related viruses, including PIV5, MeV, and SeV
[29,35,38]. The resolution of the extreme N-terminal residues in the HeV N structure enabled the

identification of a novel, albeit likely weak, interaction surface between the N_{i+1} and N_{i-1} protomers
here called the elbow interface. The presence of an elbow interface in the N protein assemblies of
PIV5, MeV, NiV, and SeV is likely obscured due to the absence of the extreme N-terminal residues in
these structures. Where the expression and purification pipeline for HeV N included an affinity-tag
removal step to generate a native protein sequence, those for the viral N proteins listed above did
not. As a result, the presence of remaining, unstructured, N-terminal affinity tags may locally limit
cryoEM resolution and fail to depict the elbow interface. This hypothesis is further supported by the
N protein structure of NDV which does resolve the extreme N-terminal residues, but these face away
from the putative elbow interface and towards the central cavity [37], likely due to the presence of
an N-terminal affinity tag.

Finally, the solvent facing HeV NTD alpha-helix 5 (α5) and its succeeding loop show
significant structural and sequence variability among paramyxoviruses and its position and length
are highly variable among the N proteins of closely related paramyxoviruses, including MeV, NDV,
NiV, PIV5, and SeV [29,32,35,37,38,44]. Compared to the cryoEM NiV N structure, the HeV α5-loop
region contains two amino acid substitutions compared with the NiV N protein; L108V and E137D,
both of which are solvent exposed [32]. In the context of a helical nucleocapsid, this solvent-exposed
helix-loop region is likely important for interactions with both the RdRp complex and the M protein
at various stages of the viral life cycle [17,48]. Furthermore, the α5-loop region is also part of the
intercalating interface in the HeV double-ring assembly and in the clam-shell assemblies found for
NDV, NiV, and SeV [32,37,38].

While the experimental particle stack only contained 5683 particles, D14 symmetry
imposition resulted in an effective 157,864 asymmetric units. Symmetrised reconstructions often
struggle to resolve regions of structural or compositional heterogeneity, and their signal may be
diluted compared to the less heterogenic regions. In the reconstruction of the HeV N protein
assembly, some of these heterogenic regions, foremost Met114-Asp119, are poorly resolved and as
a result hindered confident model building of side chain residues. The electron density in the RNA
binding cleft, identified through comparison with homologous structures, enabled *de novo* modelling
of a hexameric RNA chain in the asymmetric unit. The electron density unambiguously identified the
RNA backbone and the general location of the bases, but not their identities. This is to be expected
due to both the presence of random host-derived RNA and symmetrised averaging of that RNA.
Individual HeV N protein double-ring assemblies are unlikely to have complete occupancy by
bacterial host-derived RNA over each of the 28 N protomers. Any RNA-free N protomers in the
assembly would be outnumbered by RNA-bound protomers and as a result are averaged out against
them, evidenced by the clear RNA density. Non-symmetrised particles would likely shed more light

on both the uniformity of RNA occupancy as well as regions of structural flexibility with the
requirement for larger particle stacks.

The RNA occupying the RNA binding cleft was modelled as one hexa-uridine per N protomer,
adhering to the convention for paramyxoviral N proteins. The typical 3' to 5' 'three in, three out'
base stacking orientation observed in other paramyxoviral N proteins is also found in the HeV N
assembly, where bases 1, 2, and 3 face in towards the protein and bases 4, 5, and 6 are solvent
exposed. Furthermore, a series of basic (Lys178, Arg192, Arg193, Arg352) and polar (Thr185, Gln199,
Gln200, Tyr258, Gln319, Ser344, Tyr354) residues were identified as putatively interacting with the
RNA chain through electrostatic and hydrophobic interactions. While most of these interactions are
with the RNA backbone, a key, conserved interaction occurs between RNA bases 5 and 6 and the
amino acid dyad Gln199 and Gln200 which HeV N shares with the N proteins from MeV, NiV, and
PIV5 [29,32,35]. Additionally, a conserved in-base cavity is contiguous with the RNA binding cleft,
consisting of two neighbouring N protomers and can accommodate larger purines in the in-base
stack comprising nucleotides 1, 2, and 3. Putative interactions with residues Tyr258, Glu260, Glu261,
Phe268, Lys 321, Ser344, and Met345 may facilitate purine binding where pyrimidines are too
distant. A homologous cavity exists in closely related N protein assemblies, such as NiV, NDV, and
MeV [29,32,37] and merits further investigation as a potential therapeutic target.

While N-RNA interactions are thought to be sequence non-specific and HeV N model
building was done in a sequence-agnostic manner, some evidence for MeV suggests RNA
encapsidation exhibits a preference for purine-rich sequences and importantly, the antigenomic
leader sequence [49]. Similar preferences for purine rich and leader-specific RNA were also observed
for the more distantly related RSV and VSV, respectively [50,51]. Indeed, the HeV genomic and
antigenomic leader sequences are purine rich as well, with ~70% and ~63% purines for the genomic
and antigenomic leaders, respectively. Moreover, the terminal six nucleotides of the HeV genomic
and antigenomic leaders are identical (5'-ACCGAA) [14]. Additional interactions with these purine
bases both in the in-base cavity as well as in the region of bases 5 and 6 may drive this difference in
specificity and be important factors in the initial stages of 5' encapsidation of the nascent genome as
it emerges from the RdRp complex. As a result, the in-base cavity and the RNA binding cleft may
present a target for the development of therapeutics that abrogate the critical step of RNA-
encapsidation in the paramyxoviral life cycle.

HeV, discovered in 1994, is the type species for the genus *henipavirus* in the family
Paramyxoviridae [52]. Emergent zoonotic outbreaks and surveillance of wild populations have
expanded the genus with NiV, *Cedar henipavirus* (CedV), *Mojiang heninpavirus* (MojV), and
Ghanaian bat henipavirus (GhV) [53–55] and over the past few years with the discovery of four new

species; *Gamak henipavirus* (GAKV), *Daeryong henipavirus* (DARV), *Langya henipavirus* (LaV), and

Angavokely henipavirus (AngV) [56–58]. The recent discovery of a novel HeV strain in Australia,

called HeV-var [59], as well as the addition of four new henipaviral species in the past 3 years,

highlights the needs for continued surveillance and research into this genus and HeV specifically.

With around 88% sequence identity for the HeV-var N gene sequence compared to the HeV

reference strain (NCBI RefSeq: NC_001906), a total of 18 amino acid substitutions were found of

which 13 lie in the intrinsically disordered Ntail region (Supplementary Figure S8). Five substitutions

are located in the Ncore region; S2G, D6E, and S13N in the Nt-arm, L153M in the NTD, and S386P in

the Ct-arm (Supplementary Figure S8). Both D6E and S13N face the solvent of the double-ring

central hole, however Ser2 forms part of the putative elbow interface between the N_{i-1} and N_{i+1}

neighbours (Figure 4). The S2G substitution in HeV-var could abrogate this elbow interface. The NTD-

located L153M substitution sits at the upper surface of the NTD facing the exterior solvent and is

unlikely to be involved in intercalation zone interactions. Finally, the S386P substitution in the Ct-

arm faces the solvent in the double-ring assembly, but likely forms part of the interface between

successive helical turns in the nucleocapsid assembly. These interactions are thought to contribute

minimally to nucleocapsid stability due to the nucleocapsid's inherent flexibility and the observation

that each helical turn consists of a non-integral number of N protomers [27]. Interestingly, no

substitutions were described in the α5-loop region, which normally exhibits significant structural and

sequence variability among paramyxoviruses. Taken together, the amino acid substitutions in the

Ncore observed in the HeV variant strain likely have a minimal impact on HeV nucleocapsid

formation and architecture. The large number of substitutions in the intrinsically disordered Ntail

region, especially towards the C-terminus, could indicate a changed interaction with the RdRp

complex and M proteins however these assumptions still await experimental validation.

The dimer of tetrameric rings that form the HeV N protein double-ring assembly is, to our

best knowledge, a unique paramyxoviral N protein architecture that lacks a formal description in the

current literature. However, other paramyxoviral N protein architectures have been observed that

are reminiscent of the double-ring assembly. N protein clamshell interfaces have been described, in

detail, for the paramyxoviruses NDV, NiV, and SeV NLFs [32,37,38]. In these cases, recombinant

expression of N proteins revealed clamshell interfaces as both discrete particles reminiscent of, but

distinct from, the double-ring assemblies [32,37] as well as part of a so-called double-headed helix;

two anti-parallel NLFs stacked bottom-to-bottom and following the NLF's helical register [37,38].

While a similar loop region is involved in these interactions in all three, the specifics of the clamshell

architectures differ between the three reports. In the case of NDV, the N protomers that constitute

the clamshell are positioned vertically in line and do not intercalate [37]. Those described for SeV

appear to have two forms, called 'closed' and 'hyper-closed', where the level of intercalation is
higher for the hyper-closed state [38]. Finally, the NiV clamshell exhibits extensive intercalation
similar to the HeV double-ring assembly [32]. Furthermore, while in each of these cases the two
faces of the clamshell follow the helical register of the NLF, an additional small population of NiV
clamshells have been observed where the ~13.2 N protomer helix is capped with a flat
tetradecameric ring [32]. This ring closely matches one half of the HeV double-ring assembly.
Importantly, the presence of double-headed helices was confirmed from virus-extracted
nucleocapsids of SeV [38] and the inability to form double-headed nucleocapsids was detrimental to
EGFP reporter gene expression in an NDV minigenome-based replicon assay [37].

The double decameric N protein rings of RSV, a member of the family *Pneumoviridae*, has
recently been resolved through cryoEM [60]. In this RSV N protein assembly, akin to HeV, two N
protein rings stack in a bottom-to-bottom orientation. Interestingly, the two rings do not intercalate
to an appreciable degree and instead interact solely through the tips of the NTDs. The RSV N_i thus
only interacts with one N protomer in the opposite ring (n_i) where in the HeV N double-ring
assembly the N_i protomer interacts with two N protomers (n_i and n_{i+1}). As a consequence, the RSV
double-ring assembly exhibits ten apertures along the interface between the two rings that made up
of the NTDs of N_i, N_{i-1}, n_i, and n_{i+1} which are over 13 Å wide at their narrowest point Interestingly, a
recent paper describes the presence of single-faced closed-ring N protein assemblies inside RSV
virions [36]. Although of lower resolution due to the use of cryo-ET, these rings bear a striking
resemblance to the single and double decameric rings observed for recombinantly expressed RSV N
protein [25,26,60] and indeed one tetradecameric half of the HeV double-ring assembly. Their
abundance in RSV virions hints at a potential physiological relevance. While the occupancy and
identity of RNA in these virion-enclosed rings was not confirmed, it is known that in RSV-infected
cells short, 21 to 25 nucleotide-long RNAs generated from the genomic leader and trailer sequences
are among the most abundant viral RNAs present [61–63]. Furthermore, some of these RNAs are
resistant to nuclease treatment, indicating they may be encapsidated [62].

The occurrence of N protein double-rings and the related clamshells in recombinantly
expressed N protein samples could be an artefactual result of the method of sample generation.
However, the presence of clamshells in virion-extracted nucleocapsids [38] and of N protein ring
assemblies inside virions [36] suggests otherwise. A picture emerges where the double-ring
assembly may be indicative of one or multiple of a number of viral processes.

Firstly, it is hypothesised that the occurrence of clamshell interfaces both protects the viral
genome from nuclease and protease attack and drives the inclusion of multiple copies of the viral
genome into nascent virions [17,37,38]. Interestingly, these clamshells appear to join only the 5'

ends, which are the first to emerge from the RdRp during genome replication and may be the most

vulnerable to degradation. Furthermore, polyploidy is increasingly recognised in a wide range of

members of the order *Mononegavirales* [64–67] and clamshell interfaces may explain this

occurrence at least partially. The double-ring resembles these clamshells, in particular the flat ring of

the semi-spiral clamshell interface found in NiV N assemblies, and the double-ring assembly may

therefore be a by-product of the viral need for genomic 5' nucleocapsid capping.

Secondly, the high abundance of complementary leader and trailer RNAs in virus-infected

cells could result in the activation of the innate immune response. The encapsidation of these

sequences is hinted at for RSV [62], which could shield them from detection by the innate immune

system. Interestingly, the 56 nt-long HeV leader sequence, which is transcribed and separated from

the mRNA transcripts during the RdRp transcription mode, falls within the occupancy range for a

single tetradecameric ring (84 nt). This purine-rich sequence is also likely preferentially encapsidated

over RNA chains with an even purine-pyrimidine ratio [49–51]. The HeV trailer sequence is shorter

than the leader and similarly rich in purine bases. An antagonistic function in stress granule

formation and cellular apoptosis and has been reported for the (released) trailer sequence in SeV

and RSV [68,69] and a similar function could exist for the HeV trailer. Whether putative

encapsidation of the trailer aids or hinders this function is unclear, but encapsidation of both the

leader and the trailer could permit inclusion into progeny virions and subsequent release in newly

infected cells to perform their suggested antagonistic functions without immediate the requirement

for viral RNA transcription.

Thirdly, single or double N-RNA rings such as the HeV double-ring assembly, could play a role

in nucleating liquid-liquid phase separation for biomolecular condensates and aid in the formation of

viral transcription/replication centres [70,71]. Evidence has been found for this process in RSV and

has recently been investigated in detail for MuV where a combination of cryo-ET, fluorescence

microscopy, and mass spectrometry reveal a stress-induced modulation of phase-separated N-P

complexes [72]. A similar role may exist for the HeV double-ring assembly during phase separation in

HeV infected cells.

Finally, the HeV N protein double-ring, and indeed N protein rings observed elsewhere, may

reflect the need for short-term, reversible storage of N protomers in the absence of abundant P

protein chaperones. Indeed, the P protein engages in extensive interactions with N protomers to

maintain them in an open, apo-state (N^0). Re-initiation of transcription by the RdRp complex is

inefficient and as a result viral mRNA abundance is inversely correlated to the distance from the

genomic 3'-end [17,73,74]. Consequently, the abundance of non-nucleocapsid-bound N protein is

likely much higher than that of P protein and there is the potential for excessive N protein

concentrations inside the infected cell. The formation of double-ring assemblies, either RNA-bound
or in the apo-state, could therefore provide a short-term, metastable, multimeric N protein storage
where P protein shuttles between them and the RdRp complex during active encapsidation of
nascent genomic or antigenomic RNA.
498

Materials and Methods
Cloning *Hendra henipavirus* N gene into bacterial expression vectors
The HeV N gene sequence was taken from the reference *Hendra henipavirus*
genome (NCBI Reference Sequence: NC_001906.3), codon-optimised for recombinant expression in
Escherichia coli hosts, flanked by 5' *Bam*HI and 3' *Xho*I restriction sites, and supplied in pTwist (Twist
Bioscience). Following digestion with *Bam*HI and *Xho*I, the restriction fragment was subcloned into
pET-28a-6His-SUMO so that the HeV N protein coding region is downstream of the hexahistidine
(6xHis) affinity tag and SUMO protease recognition sequence, with the resulting plasmid confirmed
as correct by Sanger sequencing (Genewiz). The *Escherichia coli* (*E. coli*) DH5-α strain (Thermo Fisher
Scientific) was used for plasmid amplification. Sequencing and amplification primers were designed
using Benchling and ordered through Integrated DNA Technologies. Plasmid DNA was purified using
a QIAprep Spin Miniprep kit (Qiagen) or QIAGEN Plasmid Midi kit (Qiagen) according to the
manufacturer's instructions. Where appropriate, products were resolved by agarose gel
electrophoresis, excised from the gel and purified using a QIAquick Gel Extraction kit (Qiagen)
according to the manufacturer's instructions.
514

Recombinant protein expression
E. coli BL21-Rosetta 2(DE3)pLysS (Novagen) transformed with pET-28a-6His-SUMO-HeVN
was inoculated in a 1/500 ratio into 2xYT medium with kanamycin (16 gr/l Tryptone Plus (Sigma
Aldrich), 10 gr/l Yeast Extract (Sigma Aldrich), 10 gr/l NaCl (Fisher Scientific), 50 µg/ml Kanamycin
(Bio Basic)) and grown at 37°C in a Multitron Standard incubator (Infors HT) until reaching an OD_{600}
of 0.5, at which point the growth temperature was reduced to 26°C and the inoculi were further
grown until an OD_{600} of 0.8 was reached. Expression of HeV N was then induced by addition of 0.5
mM IPTG (ChemCruz) and cultures were further incubated for 4 hours at 26°C. Cultures were
harvested by centrifugation for 25 minutes at 4500 rpm in an Avanti J26-XP with JLA 8.1000 rotor
(Beckman Coulter).
Harvested pellets were resuspended in 30 ml lysis buffer (20 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5
(ChemCruz), 500 mM NaCl, 20 mM Imidazole (Acros Organics), 0.1% v/v Triton X-100 (SAFC)) and
subjected to one freeze-thaw cycle (-80°C to +40°C). The lysis buffer was then supplemented with

cOmplete Mini Protease Inhibitor cocktail tablets (Sigma Aldrich), 10 µg/ml DNase I (Roche), 20

µg/ml RNase A (Invitrogen), and 0.25 µg/ml Lysozyme (Sigma Aldrich) and incubated for 30 minutes

on ice with a magnetic stirrer. Lysates were sonicated for 10 cycles of 15 seconds on, 45 seconds off

using the SoniPrep 150 Ultrasonic Disintegrator with External Process Timer (MSE), then transferred

to ultracentrifuge tubes and centrifuged for 45 minutes at 16000 rpm and 4°C in an Evolution RC

with SA300 rotor (Sorvall). The soluble fraction was collected in 50 ml falcon tubes and carried

forward to purification.

Affinity chromatography

Soluble fractions were passed through a primary HisTrap HP 5 ml column (GE Healthcare),

previously equilibrated with column buffer (20 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 500 mM NaCl, 20 mM

Imidazole), using a 101U/R peristaltic pump (Watson Marlow). The column was washed with

multiple washes of 25 ml column buffer with increasing concentrations of imidazole (20 mM, 50

mM, 100 mM, 300 mM, and 500 mM). The flow-through of the soluble fraction and each of the wash

fractions was collected in full. The presence of protein was confirmed with Bradford Assay (Bio-Rad),

NanoDrop One (Thermo Fischer Scientific), and SDS-PAGE analysis.

Fractions containing the majority of the Hev N protein were transferred into Spectra/Por 3

Standard RC Tubing (MWCO 3.5 kDa) (Spectrum). In-house recombinantly-expressed hexahistidine-

tagged SUMO protease (Ulp1) was added to the dialysis tubing and fractions were dialysed overnight

against dialysis buffer (20 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 300 mM NaCl) at 4°C. SUMO protease cleavage was

confirmed via SDS-PAGE analysis and the dialysed, cleaved mix was sterile filtered using a Minisart

NML Syringe filter 0.45 µm SFCA (Sartorius) and passed through a secondary HisTrap column. The

flow-through was collected and kept on ice, and the column was washed with pre-chilled low- and

high-imidazole washes. The flow-through was sterile filtered and concentrated to a final volume of 7

ml using Amicon Ultra-15 Centrifugal filter concentrator (Merck Millipore).

Size exclusion chromatography

Size exclusion chromatography (SEC) was performed using an ÄKTAprime Plus with HiPrep

26/60 Sephacryl 400 HR column (GE Healthcare) at 4°C, previously equilibrated with degassed and

filtered SEC buffer (25 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 100 mM NaCl). Fractions of 2.5 ml were collected

following an 80 ml void volume. Presence of HeV N protein was confirmed with SDS-PAGE analysis.

Concentrations and 260/280 ratios were determined and fractions containing HeV N protein were

either directly used for electron microscopy (see below) or flash frozen and stored at -80°C.

Preparation of cryo-grids

Copper Ultrathin Carbon (UC) Lacey grids (Agar Scientific) were glow discharged for 30 seconds at 10 mA on an easiGlow Glow Discharge Cleaning System. 3 µl protein sample at 1 mg/ml concentration was applied to the grids and plunge frozen into liquid ethane cooled in liquid nitrogen using an FEI Vitrobot Mark IV (Thermo Fisher Scientific; blot force 6, blot time 6-8s, humidity 95-100%).

Data collection on cryo-grids

Data was collected on an FEI Titan Krios (X-FEG) TEM (Thermo Fisher Scientific) (ABSL, University of Leeds) operated at 300 keV with a Falcon III direct electron detector in integrating mode (Thermo Fisher Scientific), at a nominal magnification of 75000x and a pixel size of 1.065 Å. Further data acquisition parameters are shown in Supplementary Figure S2. 3548 micrographs were taken at a total dose of 59.7 e/Å^2 split into 55 fractions and a defocus range of -0.7 to -2.8 µm. A detailed description of the data collection pipeline has been published [41]. Micrographs were visualised in ImageJ or RELION 3.1.1.

Electron microscopy data processing and single particle analysis

The dataset of 3548 micrographs was collected using an automated approach and pre-processed on the fly during collection using the RELION software suite [41,42]. Motion correction and CTF estimation were carried out using RELION-integrated implementations of MotionCor2 (v1.2.1) [75] and Gctf (v1.18) [76], respectively. Following pre-processing, particles coordinates were manually selected using the manual picking job following the single-particle analysis (SPA) procedure. 3D maps were visualised in UCSF Chimera [77] and UCSF ChimeraX [78,79].

Using single particle analysis, a total of 3500 particles were selected from a random subset of 502 micrographs to generate a reference for automated picking through 2D classification. Reference-based automated picking was then optimised for picking threshold, maximum stddv noise, and minimum avg noise values, resulting in 875,262 selected particles, extracted using a box size of 360 pixels (383.4 Å). Multiple rounds of 2D classification were carried out to enrich the particle stack with true particles and removing junk particles. The high-resolution 2D classes revealed the presence of both a C2 and a C14 symmetry axis, for a combined D14 symmetry in the double-ring particle.

An initial 3D reference map was generated *de novo* by stochastic gradient descent (SGD) and used for 3D classification and 3D refinement. Manual re-alignment and resampling of the C1 symmetry refined 3D map to the grid of the unaligned map in UCSF Chimera [77] enabled D14

symmetry imposition in subsequent 3D jobs. The C1 symmetry refined 3D map was then used as a
3D reference map for subsequent D14 symmetry-imposed 3D classification and refinement jobs. A
solvent mask was generated from the 3D class in Relion, using a lowpass filter of 15Å, an initial
binarisation threshold of 0.012, a 3 pixel binary map extension, and a 3 pixel soft-edge. In post-
processing, this solvent mask was applied to the refined cryoEM map to counter the influence of
high-resolution noise to improve map quality and improve estimated resolution. The final EM map
was deposited in the EMDB under accession EMD-16426.

Atomic protein model refinement and interpretation

The cryoEM density map was of sufficient quality to allow the building and refinement of an
atomic model. SWISS-MODEL [80] was used to predict an initial model based on homology search
with PDB: 4CO6 [44], the closest match at the time of processing. The homology model was input for
automated model building in Buccaneer [81]. The Buccaneer model was imported into Coot v0.9.4
[82,83] for manual refinement. High-resolution density enabled *de novo* building of a hexameric RNA
chain modelled as poly-uridine following nucleoprotein structure convention. Multiple rounds of
automated real-space refinement in Phenix v1.20.1 [84,85] and manual adjustment in Coot resulted
in the final model. Manual adjustments were carried out on a single monomer in Coot which was
then symmetry expanded in UCSF Chimera v1.15 according to D14 symmetry prior to real-space
refinement to prevent erroneous refinement into non-cognate density. Poor local density abrogated
confident side chain placement and forced the stubbing of some amino acids, which were modelled
as alanines in the final model. Model validation was carried out as part of the final real-space
refinement job through MolProbity [86,87] integrated within Phenix. Atomic models were deposited
with the Protein Data Bank under accession 8C4H for the complete double-ring assembly and 8CBW
for the asymmetric protomer unit.
Interaction surfaces were calculated through PDBe PISA v1.52 [43] and 3D atomic models
were visualised in UCSF ChimeraX v1.2.5 [78,79].

Data Availability Statement

The refined cryoEM map was deposited with the EMDB under accession EMD-16426. Atomic models were deposited with the Protein Data Bank under accession 8C4H for the complete double-ring assembly and 8CBW for the asymmetric protomer unit.

References

[1]   Murray, K. et al. A morbillivirus that caused fatal disease in horses and humans. Science (80-.
). **268** 94–97 (1995). https://doi.org/10.1126/science.7701348.

[2]   Selvey, L.A. et al. Infection of humans and horses by a newly described morbillivirus. Med. J.
Aust. **162** 642–645 (1995). https://doi.org/10.5694/j.1326-5377.1995.tb126050.x.

[3]   Queensland Government Summary of Hendra virus incidents in horses. Bus. Queensl.
Website. (2022). https://www.business.qld.gov.au/industries/service-industries-
professionals/service-industries/veterinary-surgeons/guidelines-hendra/incident-summary
(accessed July 14, 2022).

[4]   Young, P.L. et al. Serologic Evidence for the presence in Pteropus Bats of a Paramyxovirus
Related to Equine Morbillivirus. Emerg. Infect. Dis. **2** 239–240 (1996).
https://doi.org/10.3201/eid0203.960315.

[5]   Halpin, K., Young, P.L., Field, H.E., Mackenzie, J.S. Isolation of Hendra virus from pteropid
bats: A natural reservoir of Hendra virus. J. Gen. Virol. **81** 1927–1932 (2000).
https://doi.org/10.1099/0022-1317-81-8-1927.

[6]   World Health Organization Geographic distribution of Marburgh haemorrhaigc fever
outbreaks and fruit bats of Pteropodidae Family. (2008).
https://www.who.int/images/default-source/health-topics/marburg-virus-disease/marburg-
virus-disease-map-2009.png?sfvrsn=2718bf75_0.

[7]   Pernet, O. et al. Evidence for henipavirus spillover into human populations in Africa. Nat.
Commun. **5** 5342 (2014). https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms6342.

[8]   Eaton, B.T., Broder, C.C., Middleton, D., Wang, L.F. Hendra and Nipah viruses: Different and
dangerous. Nat. Rev. Microbiol. **4** 23–35 (2006). https://doi.org/10.1038/nrmicro1323.

[9]   Playford, E.G. et al. Human Hendra virus encephalitis associated with equine outbreak,
Australia, 2008. Emerg. Infect. Dis. **16** 219–223 (2010).
https://doi.org/10.3201/eid1602.090552.

[10]   O'Sullivan, J.D. et al. Fatal encephalitis due to novel paramyxovirus transmitted from horses.
Lancet. **349** 93–95 (1997). https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(96)06162-4.

[11]   Gurley, E.S. et al. Person-to-person transmission of Nipah virus in a Bangladeshi community.

Emerg. Infect. Dis. **13** 1031–1037 (2007). https://doi.org/10.3201/eid1307.061128.

[12]   Calain, P., Roux, L. The rule of six, a basic feature for efficient replication of Sendai virus

defective interfering RNA. J. Virol. **67** 4822–4830 (1993).

https://doi.org/10.1128/jvi.67.8.4822-4830.1993.

[13]   Kolakofsky, D. et al. Paramyxovirus RNA Synthesis and the Requirement for Hexamer Genome

Length: the Rule of Six Revisited. J. Virol. **72** 891–899 (1998).

https://doi.org/10.1128/jvi.72.2.891-899.1998.

[14]   Wang, L.-F. et al. The Exceptionally Large Genome of Hendra Virus: Support for Creation of a

New Genus within the Family Paramyxoviridae . J. Virol. **74** 9972–9979 (2000).

https://doi.org/10.1128/jvi.74.21.9972-9979.2000.

[15]   Hamaguchi, M., Yoshida, T., Nishikawa, K., Naruse, H., Nagai, Y. Transcriptive complex of

Newcastle disease virus: I. Both L and P proteins are required to constitute an active complex.

Virology. **128** 105–117 (1983). https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/0042-6822(83)90322-

7.

[16]   Horikami, S.M., Curran, J., Kolakofsky, D., Moyer, S.A. Complexes of Sendai virus NP-P and P-L

proteins are required for defective interfering particle genome replication in vitro. J. Virol. **66**

4901–4908 (1992). https://doi.org/10.1128/jvi.66.8.4901-4908.1992.

[17]   Bloyet, L.-M. The Nucleocapsid of Paramyxoviruses: Structure and Function of an

Encapsidated Template. Viruses. **13** (2021). https://doi.org/10.3390/v13122465.

[18]   Battisti, A.J. et al. Structure and assembly of a paramyxovirus matrix protein. Proc. Natl. Acad.

Sci. **109** 13996–14000 (2012). https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1210275109.

[19]   Ke, Z. et al. Promotion of virus assembly and organization by the measles virus matrix protein.

Nat. Commun. **9** 1736 (2018). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-018-04058-2.

[20]   Finch, J.T., Gibbs, A.J. Observations on the Structure of the Nucleocapsids of some

Paramyxoviruses. J. Gen. Virol. **6** 141–150 (1970).

https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1099/0022-1317-6-1-141.

[21]   Egelman, E.H., Wu, S.S., Amrein, M., Portner, A., Murti, G. The Sendai virus nucleocapsid

exists in at least four different helical states. J. Virol. **63** 2233–2243 (1989).

https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.63.5.2233-2243.1989.

[22]   Bhella, D., Ralph, A., Murphy, L.B., Yeo, R.P. Significant differences in nucleocapsid

morphology within the Paramyxoviridae. J. Gen. Virol. **83** 1831–1839 (2002).

https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1099/0022-1317-83-8-1831.

[23]   Schoehn, G. et al. The 12 Å structure of trypsin-treated measles virus N-RNA. J. Mol. Biol. **339**

301–312 (2004). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmb.2004.03.073.

[24]   Bhella, D., Ralph, A., Yeo, R.P. Conformational flexibility in recombinant measles virus

nucleocapsids visualised by cryo-negative stain electron microscopy and real-space helical

reconstruction. J. Mol. Biol. **340** 319–331 (2004). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmb.2004.05.015.

[25]   Tawar, R.G. et al. Crystal Structure of a Nucleocapsid-Like Nucleoprotein-RNA Complex of

Respiratory Syncytial Virus. Science (80-.). **326** 1279–1283 (2009).

https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1177634.

[26]   Bakker, S.E. et al. The respiratory syncytial virus nucleoprotein-RNA complex forms a left-

handed helical nucleocapsid. J. Gen. Virol. **94** 1734–1738 (2013).

https://doi.org/10.1099/vir.0.053025-0.

[27]   Cox, R. et al. Structural studies on the authentic mumps virus nucleocapsid showing uncoiling

by the phosphoprotein. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. **111** 15208–15213 (2014).

https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1413268111.

[28]   Gutsche, I. et al. Near-atomic cryo-EM structure of the helical measles virus nucleocapsid.

Science (80-.). **348** 704–707 (2015). https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aaa5137.

[29]   Desfosses, A. et al. Assembly and cryo-EM structures of RNA-specific measles virus

nucleocapsids provide mechanistic insight into paramyxoviral replication. Proc. Natl. Acad.

Sci. **116** 4256–4264 (2019). https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1816417116.

[30]   Iseni, F. et al. Chemical modification of nucleotide bases and mRNA editing depend on

hexamer or nucleoprotein phase in Sendai virus nucleocapsids. RNA. **8** 1056–1067 (2002).

https://doi.org/10.1017/S1355838202029977.

[31]   Zinzula, L. et al. Cryo-EM structure of the cetacean morbillivirus nucleoprotein-RNA complex.

J. Struct. Biol. **213** 107750 (2021). https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsb.2021.107750.

[32]   Ker, D.S., Jenkins, H.T., Greive, S.J., Antson, A.A. CryoEM structure of the Nipah virus

nucleocapsid assembly. PLoS Pathog. **17** 1–8 (2021).

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1009740.

[33]   Green, T.J., Zhang, X., Wartz, G.W., Luo, M. Structure of the vesicular stomatitis virus

nucleoprotein-RNA complex. Science (80-.). **313** 357–360 (2006).

https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1126953.

[34]   Albertini, A.A.V. et al. Crystal structure of the rabies virus nucleoprotein-RNA complex.

Science (80-.). **313** 360–363 (2006). https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1125280.

[35]   Alayyoubi, M., Leser, G.P., Kors, C.A., Lamb, R.A. Structure of the paramyxovirus

parainfluenza virus 5 nucleoprotein-RNA complex. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. **112** E1792–

E1799 (2015). https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1503941112.

[36]   Conley, M.J. et al. Helical ordering of envelope-associated proteins and glycoproteins in
respiratory syncytial virus. EMBO J. **41** e109728 (2022).
https://doi.org/10.15252/embj.2021109728.
[37]   Song, X. et al. Self-capping of nucleoprotein filaments protects the newcastle disease virus
genome. Elife. **8** 1–19 (2019). https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.45057.
[38]   Zhang, N. et al. Structure and assembly of double-headed Sendai virus nucleocapsids.
Commun. Biol. **4** 1–10 (2021). https://doi.org/10.1038/s42003-021-02027-y.
[39]   Hyatt, A.D., Zaki, S.R., Goldsmith, C.S., Wise, T.G., Hengstberger, S.G. Ultrastructure of Hendra
virus and Nipah virus within cultured cells and host animals. Microbes Infect. **3** 297–306
(2001). https://doi.org/10.1016/S1286-4579(01)01383-1.
[40]   Pearce, L.A. et al. Structural characterization by transmission electron microscopy and
immunoreactivity of recombinant Hendra virus nucleocapsid protein expressed and purified
from Escherichia coli. Protein Expr. Purif. **116** 19–29 (2015).
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pep.2015.07.008.
[41]   Thompson, R.F., Iadanza, M.G., Hesketh, E.L., Rawson, S., Ranson, N.A. Collection, pre-
processing and on-the-fly analysis of data for high-resolution, single-particle cryo-electron
microscopy. Nat. Protoc. **14** 100–118 (2019). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41596-018-0084-8.
[42]   Scheres, S.H.W. RELION: Implementation of a Bayesian approach to cryo-EM structure
determination. J. Struct. Biol. **180** 519–530 (2012).
https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsb.2012.09.006.
[43]   Krissinel, E., Henrick, K. Inference of macromolecular assemblies from crystalline state. J. Mol.
Biol. **372** 774–797 (2007). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmb.2007.05.022.
[44]   Yabukarski, F. et al. Structure of Nipah virus unassembled nucleoprotein in complex with its
viral chaperone. Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol. **21** 754–759 (2014).
https://doi.org/10.1038/nsmb.2868.
[45]   Curran, J., Marq, J.B., Kolakofsky, D. An N-terminal domain of the Sendai paramyxovirus P
protein acts as a chaperone for the NP protein during the nascent chain assembly step of
genome replication. J. Virol. **69** 849–855 (1995). https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.69.2.849-
855.1995.
[46]   Spehner, D., Drillien, R., Howley, P.M. The assembly of the measles virus nucleoprotein into
nucleocapsid-like particles is modulated by the phosphoprotein. Virology. **232** 260–268
(1997). https://doi.org/10.1006/viro.1997.8568.
[47]   Mavrakis, M. et al. Rabies virus chaperone: identification of the phosphoprotein peptide that
keeps nucleoprotein soluble and free from non-specific RNA. Virology. **349** 422–429 (2006).

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.virol.2006.01.030.

[48]   Plemper, R.K., Lamb, R.A. Paramyxoviridae: The Viruses and Their Replication, in: Fields Virol.

Vol. 1 Emerg. Viruses, 7th Ed, Wolters Kluwer, Philadelphia, 2021: pp. 504–549.

[49]   Milles, S. et al. Self-Assembly of Measles Virus Nucleocapsid-like Particles: Kinetics and RNA

Sequence Dependence. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. **55** 9356–9360 (2016).

https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.201602619.

[50]   Blumberg, B.M., Giorgi, C., Kolakofsky, D. N protein of vesicular stomatitis virus selectively

encapsidates leader RNA in vitro. Cell. **32** 559–567 (1983). https://doi.org/10.1016/0092-

8674(83)90475-0.

[51]   Gao, Y. et al. In vitro trackable assembly of RNA-specific nucleocapsids of the respiratory

syncytial virus. J. Biol. Chem. **295** 883–895 (2020).

https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.RA119.011602.

[52]   International Committee on Taxonomy of Viruses (ICTV) Taxonomy. Taxonomy. (2023).

https://ictv.global/taxonomy/.

[53]   Harcourt, B.H. et al. Molecular characterization of Nipah virus, a newly emergent

paramyxovirus. Virology. **271** 334–349 (2000). https://doi.org/10.1006/viro.2000.0340.

[54]   International Committee on Taxonomy of Viruses (ICTV) Taxonomy. Taxonomy. (2020).

https://ictv.global/taxonomy/.

[55]   Lee, B., Broder, C.C., Wang, L.-F. Henipaviruses: Hendra and Nipah Viruses, in: Fields Virol.

Vol. 1 Emerg. Viruses, 7th Ed, Wolters Kluwer, Philadelphia, 2021: pp. 559–590.

[56]   Lee, S.-H. et al. Discovery and Genetic Characterization of Novel Paramyxoviruses Related to

the Genus Henipavirus in Crocidura Species in the Republic of Korea. Viruses. **13** (2021).

https://doi.org/10.3390/v13102020.

[57]   Madera, S. et al. Discovery and Genomic Characterization of a Novel Henipavirus, Angavokely

Virus, from Fruit Bats in Madagascar. J. Virol. e0092122 (2022).

https://doi.org/10.1128/jvi.00921-22.

[58]   Zhang, X.-A. et al. A Zoonotic Henipavirus in Febrile Patients in China. N. Engl. J. Med. **387**

470–472 (2022). https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMc2202705.

[59]   Annand, E.J. et al. Novel Hendra Virus Variant Detected by Sentinel Surveillance of Horses in

Australia. Emerg. Infect. Dis. **28** 693–704 (2022). https://doi.org/10.3201/eid2803.211245.

[60]   Gonnin, L. et al. Structural landscape of the respiratory syncytial virus nucleocapsids. Nat.

Commun. **14** 5732 (2023). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-023-41439-8.

[61]   Noton, S.L., Deflubé, L.R., Tremaglio, C.Z., Fearns, R. The respiratory syncytial virus

polymerase has multiple RNA synthesis activities at the promoter. PLoS Pathog. **8** e1002980

(2012). https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1002980.

[62]   Tremaglio, C.Z., Noton, S.L., Deflubé, L.R., Fearns, R. Respiratory syncytial virus polymerase

can initiate transcription from position 3 of the leader promoter. J. Virol. **87** 3196–3207

(2013). https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.02862-12.

[63]   Braun, M.R. et al. RNA elongation by respiratory syncytial virus polymerase is calibrated by

conserved region V. PLoS Pathog. **13** e1006803 (2017).

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1006803.

[64]   Rager, M., Vongpunsawad, S., Duprex, W.P., Cattaneo, R. Polyploid measles virus with

hexameric genome length. EMBO J. **21** 2364–2372 (2002).

https://doi.org/10.1093/emboj/21.10.2364.

[65]   Loney, C., Mottet-Osman, G., Roux, L., Bhella, D. Paramyxovirus ultrastructure and genome

packaging: cryo-electron tomography of sendai virus. J. Virol. **83** 8191–8197 (2009).

https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.00693-09.

[66]   Beniac, D.R. et al. The organisation of Ebola virus reveals a capacity for extensive, modular

polyploidy. PLoS One. **7** e29608 (2012). https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0029608.

[67]   Goff, P.H., Gao, Q., Palese, P. A majority of infectious Newcastle disease virus particles

contain a single genome, while a minority contain multiple genomes. J. Virol. **86** 10852–

10856 (2012). https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.01298-12.

[68]   Iseni, F. et al. Sendai virus trailer RNA binds TIAR, a cellular protein involved in virus-induced

apoptosis. EMBO J. **21** 5141–5150 (2002). https://doi.org/10.1093/emboj/cdf513.

[69]   Hanley, L.L. et al. Roles of the respiratory syncytial virus trailer region: effects of mutations on

genome production and stress granule formation. Virology. **406** 241–252 (2010).

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.virol.2010.07.006.

[70]   Rincheval, V. et al. Functional organization of cytoplasmic inclusion bodies in cells infected by

respiratory syncytial virus. Nat. Commun. **8** 563 (2017). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-017-

00655-9.

[71]   Roden, C., Gladfelter, A.S. RNA contributions to the form and function of biomolecular

condensates. Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol. **22** 183–195 (2021). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41580-

020-0264-6.

[72]   Zhang, X. et al. Molecular mechanisms of stress-induced reactivation in mumps virus

condensates. BioRxiv. 2021.07.10.451879 (2022).

https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.07.10.451879.

[73]   Cattaneo, R., Rebmann, G., Baczko, K., ter Meulen, V., Billeter, M.A. Altered ratios of measles

virus transcripts in diseased human brains. Virology. **160** 523–526 (1987).

https://doi.org/10.1016/0042-6822(87)90031-6.

[74]   Bloyet, L.-M. et al. Modulation of Re-initiation of Measles Virus Transcription at Intergenic

Regions by PXD to NTAIL Binding Strength. PLOS Pathog. **12** e1006058 (2016).

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1006058.

[75]   Zheng, S.Q. et al. MotionCor2: anisotropic correction of beam-induced motion for improved

cryo-electron microscopy. Nat. Methods. **14** 331–332 (2017).

https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.4193.

[76]   Zhang, K. Gctf: Real-time CTF determination and correction. J. Struct. Biol. **193** 1–12 (2016).

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsb.2015.11.003.

[77]   Pettersen, E.F. et al. UCSF Chimera - A visualization system for exploratory research and

analysis. J. Comput. Chem. **25** 1605–1612 (2004). https://doi.org/10.1002/jcc.20084.

[78]   Goddard, T.D. et al. UCSF ChimeraX: Meeting modern challenges in visualization and analysis.

Protein Sci. **27** 14–25 (2018). https://doi.org/10.1002/pro.3235.

[79]   Pettersen, E.F. et al. UCSF ChimeraX: Structure visualization for researchers, educators, and

developers. Protein Sci. **30** 70–82 (2021). https://doi.org/10.1002/pro.3943.

[80]   Waterhouse, A. et al. SWISS-MODEL: Homology modelling of protein structures and

complexes. Nucleic Acids Res. **46** W296–W303 (2018). https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gky427.

[81]   Cowtan, K. The Buccaneer software for automated model building. 1. Tracing protein chains.

Acta Crystallogr. Sect. D Biol. Crystallogr. **62** 1002–1011 (2006).

https://doi.org/10.1107/S0907444906022116.

[82]   Emsley, P., Cowtan, K. Coot: model-building tools for molecular graphics. Acta Crystallogr. D.

Biol. Crystallogr. **60** 2126–2132 (2004). https://doi.org/10.1107/S0907444904019158.

[83]   Emsley, P., Lohkamp, B., Scott, W.G., Cowtan, K. Features and development of Coot. Acta

Crystallogr. Sect. D Biol. Crystallogr. **66** 486–501 (2010).

https://doi.org/10.1107/S0907444910007493.

[84]   Adams, P.D. et al. PHENIX: a comprehensive Python-based system for macromolecular

structure solution. Acta Crystallogr. D. Biol. Crystallogr. **66** 213–221 (2010).

https://doi.org/10.1107/S0907444909052925.

[85]   Afonine, P. V. et al. Real-space refinement in PHENIX for cryo-EM and crystallography. Acta

Crystallogr. Sect. D Struct. Biol. **74** 531–544 (2018).

https://doi.org/10.1107/S2059798318006551.

[86]   Chen, V.B. et al. MolProbity: all-atom structure validation for macromolecular

crystallography. Acta Crystallogr. D. Biol. Crystallogr. **66** 12–21 (2010).

https://doi.org/10.1107/S0907444909042073.

[87]   Williams, C.J. et al. MolProbity: More and better reference data for improved all-atom

862   structure validation. Protein Sci. **27** 293–315 (2018). https://doi.org/10.1002/pro.3330.

863   [88]   Kyte, J., Doolittle, R.F. A simple method for displaying the hydropathic character of a protein.

864   J. Mol. Biol. **157** 105–132 (1982). https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-2836(82)90515-0.

Acknowledgements

JNB, TAE and TCP were supported by funding from the European Union Horizon 2020 research and

innovation programme, under the Marie Skłodowska-Curie Actions grant agreement no. 721367

(HONOURs). JBRW was supported by a Wellcome Trust 4-year PhD studentship (215064/Z/18/Z) and

MJB was funded by grant BB/R00160X/1 from the BBSRC. The FEI Titan Krios microscopes were

funded by the University of Leeds (UoL ABSL award) and The Wellcome Trust (108466/Z/15/Z). The

FEI Vitrobot was funded by The Wellcome Trust, grant 218785/Z/19/Z. All *E. coli* strains were

produced in house by Susan Matthews. Valuable suggestions during cryoEM data processing and

model building were given by Dr. David Nicholson and Dr. David Klebl.

Author Contributions

TCP, JNB, and TAE conceptualised the work. JNB and TAE were responsible for primary supervision of

the investigation. TCP carried out the investigation. DPM assisted in cryoEM data collection. JBRW

and MJB provided critical input in data processing. TCP visualised the data and prepared the

manuscript. JNB, TAE, and NAR provided valuable feedback on the investigation and manuscript. JNB

and TAE acquired funding for the work.

Additional Information

Competing Interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Figure Legends

Figure 1. Structure of the HeV N protein-RNA double-ring assembly. The cryoEM map (**a-b**),

resolved to 3.5 Å resolution, and reconstructed HeV N multimer (**c**) and protomer (**d**) were coloured

according to HeV N protein domains (**e**). Views of the map showing a complete double-ring assembly

(**a**) or single tetradecameric ring (**b**) are shown aligned to the corresponding view of the

reconstructed multimer (**c**). The multimeric assembly reveals that the top of each tetradecameric

ring consists of the C-terminal arm (Ct-arm; gold) which lies on top of the globular C-terminal

domain (CTD; green) (**a**). The globular N-terminal domains (NTDs; orange) intercalate to form a

dimer of tetradecamers (right panels). The N-terminal arm (Nt-arm; blue) lies completely within the

double-ring central cavity (**b**) where its loop region jumps over the NTD and its alpha-helical coil

interfaces with the CTD. **d**) A focused view of a single HeV N protomer from the double-ring

assembly shows the bound RNA (sticks; coloured by element). **e**) Schematic, to-scale linear

representation of the HeV N protein domain architecture indicating the Ncore and Ntail regions. The

Ncore consists of the NTD and CTD, each of which are flanked by a flexible arm; the Nt-arm and the

Ct-arm. The intrinsically disordered Ntail region was not resolved in the cryoEM map nor modelled in

the reconstruction.

Figure 2. HeV N protein double-ring surface values. The presence of a strongly negatively charged

patch (Asp3, Asp6, Glu7) is indicated within the context of a HeV N protomer (**a**) or a tetradecameric

ring (**b**). The protomer or ring of interest is coloured according to electrostatic surface potentials for

negative (red, -) and positive (blue, +) residues or uncoloured (white) for neutral residues. HeV N

protomers or tetradecameric ring adjacent to the protomer or ring of interest, respectively, are

transparent.

Figure 3. HeV N_{i+1} Nt arm residues Phe11 and Leu31 are buried in conserved pockets on the

neighbouring N_i globular domains. a) The N_{i+1} Nt-arm residue Phe11 (red) sits within a conserved

hydrophobic pocket consisting of the neighbouring N_i protomer CTD residues Phe267, Phe268, and

Tyr301 (grey sticks). Surface colours are mapped to Kyte-Doolittle hydrophobicity scale [88] from

most hydrophilic (green, ζ) to most hydrophobic (gold, φ). The RNA is represented as a dark grey

ribbon. **b**) The N_{i+1} Nt-arm residue Leu31 (red) engages in electrostatic interactions with the

neighbouring N_i protomer NTD residues Asn43, Arg48, Tyr80, Glu82, Met158, Leu161, Val162, and

Val165 (grey sticks). Electrostatic surface potentials are coloured for negative (red, -) and positive

(blue, +) residues or uncoloured (white) for neutral residues.

Figure 4. The HeV double-ring assembly forms elbow interactions bridging non-neighbouring
protomers. Three N protomers in the assembled ring, showing the N_i (pale blue), N_{i+1} (coral), and N_{i-1}
$_1$ (green) protomers, centred on the elbow interaction between the N_{i+1} Nt-arm and the N_{i-1} Ct-arm.
a) A surface render of three adjacent N protomers within the assembled ring with their Nt-arm (Nt),
CTD, and Ct-arm (Ct) indicated. **b-c**) A ribbon visualisation of three N protomers. Protomers N_{i+1} and
N_{i-1} are coloured white and the N_i is coloured pale blue. Putative interacting residues are coloured
coral and green and presented as sticks. **b**) Residues putatively involved in the elbow interactions are
shown as sticks and indicated. **c**) The cryoEM double-ring density map is shown as a transparent
overlay.

Figure 5. The HeV double-ring assembly intercalation zone is poorly resolved. A ribbon visualisation
of a dimer of N pentamers. N protomers are coloured white with loops belonging to the intercalation
zone coloured per protomer. Putative interacting residues are presented as sticks. **a**) An overview of
the dimer of pentamers assembly showing the intercalation zone. **b-c**) The intercalation zone
centred around the N_i protomer (lime) of the top ring, showing the N_{i+1} (pink) protomer as well as
the bottom ring protomers N_i (n_i; gold), N_{i-1} (n_{i-1}; purple), and N_{i+1} (n_{i-1}; coral). **b**) Residues putatively
involved in the intercalation interactions are shown as sticks and indicated. Italicised residues are
stubbed and modelled as alanines. **c**) The cryoEM double-ring density map is shown as a transparent
overlay revealing areas of poor density.

Figure 6. The HeV N protein binds RNA through electrostatic and hydrophobic interactions. a)
Three N protomers within the assembled ring with the N_i protomer as a surface render and the
neighbouring N_{i+1} and N_{i-1} protomers as ribbons. The N protein domains are individually coloured;
the Nt-arm in blue, the NTD in orange, the CTD in green, and the Ct-arm in gold. The 18-mer RNA
chain is visualised as sticks and follows heteroatom colouring. **b-c**) Two different close ups of the
RNA binding cleft centred on the N_i protomer. N proteins are visualised as ribbons and the RNA
chain as sticks. Helices and loops containing putative RNA interacting residues are coloured for the
N_i (NTD; gold, CTD; green), the N_{i+1} (purple), and the N_{i-1} (coral). RNA bases in each hexamer are
numbered 1 to 6 on a per-N protomer basis from the 3'- to the 5'-end. **d**) A topological schematic of
the RNA-interacting residues centred around the Ni protomer and the corresponding RNA hexamer.
RNA base stack orientations are shown and bases in each hexamer are numbered 1 to 6 from the 3'-
to the 5'-end. Putative protein-RNA interactions are indicated for electrostatic interactions (blue
dashed line) and hydrophobic interactions (green dashed arc). Residues belonging to neighbouring N
protomers are bounded by a dashed box.

Figure 7. Neighbouring HeV N protomers form an in-base cavity. a) A trimer of N protomers as
surface renders and individually coloured; N_i (light blue), N_{i+1} (coral), and N_{i-1} (green). Protomer
domains (NTD, CTD, Nt-arm, and Ct-arm) are indicated. The trimer was sliced between two
neighbouring protomers (1) and down the frontal face (2) to reveal the RNA binding cleft (orange
dashed area) and in-base cavities (white dashed area). The RNA chain has been removed for clarity.
b) An N protein dimer centred on the in-base cavity. Helices and loops that form the in-base cavity
are coloured for the N_i (light blue) and the N_{i+1} (coral). Putative RNA-base interacting residue side
chains are visualised as sticks and indicated. The N_i Ct-arm has been removed for clarity. RNA bases
in each hexamer are numbered 1 to 6 on a per-N protomer basis from the 3'- to the 5'-end.
967

Figure 8. Comparison of the HeV N protein double-ring assembly to N protein assemblies from
related viruses. a-b) Structural alignment of the HeV N protein model and two NiV N protein models.
Models are presented as ribbons, with the HeV structure in green and the NiV structures in red. **a**)
Alignment of the HeV N protein with the crystal structure of NiV N protein (PDB: 4CO6) with a global,
pruned RMSD of 0.783 Å. The * indicates a 15.5 Å shift in position of the His369 Cα. The HeV N
protomer-bound RNA hexamer was removed and the Nt- and Ct-arms were truncated to resemble
the truncated apo-NiV N protein. The NiV P protein domain present in the crystal structure was also
removed for clarity. **b**) Alignment of the HeV N protein with the helical cryoEM structure of NiV N
protein (PDB: 7NT5) with a global, pruned RMSD of 0.756 Å. **c-f**) The structures of the multimeric N-
protein assemblies of (**c**) SeV (PDB: 6M7D, EMD-30129), (**d**) NDV (PDB: 6JC3, EMD-9793, (**e**) NiV
(PDB: 7NT5, EMD-12581), and (**f**) HeV (this study) are presented with the N_{i+1} (red) and N_{i-1} (green)
protomers as ribbons and the bound RNA as black sticks inside their respective EM density maps
(transparent grey). The N_i protomer models are not visualised for clarity but the density map is
retained. The N_{i+1} utmost N-terminal residue resolved in each model is indicated by an asterisk,
corresponding to SeV Gly3 (**c**), NDV Met1 (**d**), NiV Ile4 (**e**), and HeV Met1 (**f**) which are distended
away from the N_{i-1} Ct-arm loop for SeV, NDV, and NiV (**c-e**) but not for HeV (**f**). The HeV N-terminal
purification tag (hexahistidine-SUMO) was proteolytically removed prior to cryoEM grid preparation.
The N-terminal hexahistidine-tag (SeV and NDV) or N-terminal hexahistidine-3C-tag (NiV) was
retained but not resolved.

Figure 1

Figure 2

Figure 3

F267   F11

Y301   F268

φ   ζ

E82   Y80   V165 V162

R48   N43   L31   M158 L161

−   +

Figure 4

Figure 5

a

b

K120 *D119* D157 T156   E46 S44 T42 S106 R83 *M114* D103 *E115* E124 *R116*

D157
T156

E124   **N**ᵢ₊   **N**ᵢ₋₁
E123   K120
K120   *R116*
E115   *D119*
D119   **n**ᵢ   **n**ᵢ₊₁   *E115*
R116
E46   E123
D157   E123
nᵢ₋₁   **N**ᵢ   K120
                                                            E124

E123 D157 *R116* *E115* *M114* D103 S106 R83 T42 S44 E46 T156 D157 *D119*

c

Figure 6

Figure 7

Figure 8