

1 **Virological characteristics of the SARS-CoV-2 JN.1 variant**

2

3 Yu Kaku^{1#}, Kaho Okumura^{1,2#}, Miguel Padilla-Blanco^{3,4}, Yusuke Kosugi^{1,5}, Keiya
4 Uriu^{1,5}, Alfredo A Hinay Jr.¹, Luo Chen^{1,6}, Arnon Plianchaisuk¹, Kouji Kobiyama^{7,8},
5 Ken J Ishii^{7,8}, The Genotype to Phenotype Japan (G2P-Japan) Consortium, Jiri
6 Zahradnik³, Jumpei Ito^{1,9}, Kei Sato^{1,5,6,8,9,10,11*}

7

8 ¹ Division of Systems Virology, Department of Microbiology and Immunology,
9 The Institute of Medical Science, The University of Tokyo, Tokyo, Japan

10 ² Faculty of Liberal Arts, Sophia University, Tokyo, Japan

11 ³ First Medical Faculty at Biocev, Charles University, Vestec-Prague, Czechia

12 ⁴ Departamento de Farmacia, Facultad de Ciencias de la Salud, Universidad
13 Cardenal Herrera-CEU (UCH-CEU), CEU Universities, Valencia, Spain

14 ⁵ Graduate School of Medicine, The University of Tokyo, Tokyo, Japan

15 ⁶ Graduate School of Frontier Sciences, The University of Tokyo, Kashiwa,
16 Japan

17 ⁷ Division of Vaccine Science, Department of Microbiology and Immunology, The
18 Institute of Medical Science, The University of Tokyo, Tokyo, Japan.

19 ⁸ International Vaccine Design Center, The Institute of Medical Science, The
20 University of Tokyo, Tokyo, Japan

21 ⁹ International Research Center for Infectious Diseases, The Institute of Medical
22 Science, The University of Tokyo, Tokyo, Japan

23 ¹⁰ Collaboration Unit for Infection, Joint Research Center for Human Retrovirus
24 infection, Kumamoto University, Kumamoto, Japan

25 ¹¹ CREST, Japan Science and Technology Agency, Kawaguchi, Japan

26 # Contributed equally to this study.

27 *Correspondence: KeiSato@g.ecc.u-tokyo.ac.jp (Kei Sato)

28

29 Word count: 430/500 words, 7/8 references

30 **Abstract**

31 The SARS-CoV-2 BA.2.86 lineage, first identified in August 2023, is
32 phylogenetically distinct from the currently circulating SARS-CoV-2 Omicron
33 XBB lineages, including EG.5.1 and HK.3. Comparing to XBB and BA.2, BA.2.86
34 carries more than 30 mutations in the spike (S) protein, indicating a high
35 potential for immune evasion. BA.2.86 has evolved and its descendant, JN.1
36 (BA.2.86.1.1), emerged in late 2023. JN.1 harbors S:L455S and three mutations
37 in non-S proteins. S:L455S is a hallmark mutation of JN.1: we have recently
38 shown that HK.3 and other "FLip" variants carry S:L455F, which contributes to
39 increased transmissibility and immune escape ability compared to the parental
40 EG.5.1 variant. Here, we investigated the virological properties of JN.1.

41 **Text**

42 The SARS-CoV-2 BA.2.86 lineage, first identified in August 2023, is
43 phylogenetically distinct from the currently circulating SARS-CoV-2 Omicron
44 XBB lineages, including EG.5.1 and HK.3. Comparing to XBB and BA.2, BA.2.86
45 carries more than 30 mutations in the spike (S) protein, indicating a high
46 potential for immune evasion.¹⁻⁴ BA.2.86 has evolved and its descendant, JN.1
47 (BA.2.86.1.1), emerged in late 2023. JN.1 harbors S:L455S and three mutations
48 in non-S proteins (**Figure 1A**). S:L455S is a hallmark mutation of JN.1: we have
49 recently shown that HK.3 and other "FLip" variants carry S:L455F, which
50 contributes to increased transmissibility and immune escape ability compared to
51 the parental EG.5.1 variant.⁵ Here, we investigated the virological properties of
52 JN.1. We estimated the relative effective reproductive number (R_e) of JN.1 using
53 genomic surveillance data from France, the United Kingdom and Spain, where
54 >25 sequences of JN.1 have been reported, using a Bayesian multinomial
55 logistic model (**Figures 1B, 1C, Table S3**).⁶ The R_e of JN.1 in these three
56 countries was higher than that of BA.2.86.1 and HK.3, one of the XBB lineages
57 with the highest growth advantage at the end of November 2023 (**Figure 1B**).⁵
58 These results suggest that JN.1 may soon become the dominant lineage
59 worldwide. Indeed, by the end of November 2023, JN.1 has already overtaken
60 HK.3 in France and Spain (**Figure 1C**).

61 The *in vitro* ACE2 binding assay⁷ showed that the dissociation constant
62 (K_D) value of the JN.1 receptor-binding domain (RBD) is significantly higher than
63 that of the BA.2.86 RBD (**Figure 1D**), suggesting that S:L455S decreases the
64 binding affinity to the human ACE2 receptor. In contrast, the pseudovirus assay
65 showed that the infectivity of JN.1 is significantly higher than that of BA.2.86
66 (**Figure 1E**). This discrepancy (**Figures 1D, 1E**) would be due to the difference
67 between monomeric RBD and trimerized whole S protein (see also
68 **Supplementary Discussion**). We then performed a neutralization assay using
69 rodent sera infected with BA.2.86 or immunized with BA.2.86 S protein. In both
70 cases, the 50% neutralization titer (NT_{50}) against JN.1 was comparable to that
71 against BA.2.86 (**Figures 1F, 1G**), suggesting that S:L455S does not affect the
72 antigenicity of BA.2.86. On the other hand, the NT_{50} of breakthrough infection
73 (BTI) sera with XBB.1.5 and EG.5.1 against JN.1 was significantly lower than
74 that of HK.3 (2.6- to 3.1-fold) and BA.2.86 (3.8-fold) (**Figures 1H, 1I**).
75 Furthermore, JN.1 shows robust resistance to monovalent XBB.1.5 vaccine sera
76 compared to BA.2.86 (**Figure 1J**). Taken together, these results suggest that
77 JN.1 is one of the most immune-evading variants to date. Our results suggest
78 that S:L455S contributes to increased immune evasion, which partly explains the
79 increased R_e of JN.1.

80 **Grants**

81 Supported in part by AMED SCARDA Japan Initiative for World-leading Vaccine
82 Research and Development Centers "UTOPIA" (JP223fa627001, to Ken J Ishii
83 and Kei Sato), AMED SCARDA Program on R&D of new generation vaccine
84 including new modality application (JP223fa727002, to Ken J Ishii and Kei Sato);
85 AMED Research Program on Emerging and Re-emerging Infectious Diseases
86 (JP22fk0108146, to Kei Sato; JP21fk0108494 to G2P-Japan Consortium and
87 Kei Sato; JP21fk0108425, to Kei Sato; JP21fk0108432, to Kei Sato;
88 JP22fk0108511, to G2P-Japan Consortium and Kei Sato; JP22fk0108516, to
89 Kei Sato; JP22fk0108506, to Kei Sato); AMED Research Program on HIV/AIDS
90 (JP22fk0410039, to Kei Sato); JST PRESTO (JPMJPR22R1, to Jumpei Ito); JST
91 CREST (JPMJCR20H4, to Kei Sato); JSPS KAKENHI Fund for the Promotion of
92 Joint International Research (International Leading Research) (JP23K20041, to
93 G2P-Japan and Kei Sato); JSPS KAKENHI Grant-in-Aid for Early-Career
94 Scientists (23K14526, to Jumpei Ito); JSPS Core-to-Core Program (A. Advanced
95 Research Networks) (JPJSCCA20190008, Kei Sato); JSPS Research Fellow
96 DC2 (22J11578, to Keiya Uriu); JSPS Research Fellow DC1 (23KJ0710, to
97 Yusuke Kosugi); The Tokyo Biochemical Research Foundation (to Kei Sato);
98 The Mitsubishi Foundation (to Kei Sato); International Joint Research Project of
99 the Institute of Medical Science, the University of Tokyo (to Jiri Zahradnik); and
100 the project of National Institute of Virology and Bacteriology, Programme
101 EXCELES, funded by the European Union, Next Generation EU (LX22NPO5103,
102 to Jiri Zahradnik). We wish to express our gratitude to CEU Universities and
103 Santander Bank (Ayudas a la movilidad internacional de los investigadores en
104 formación de la CEINDO) as well as to the Federation of European Biochemical
105 Societies (FEBS; Short-Term Fellowship), for their financial support to Miguel
106 Padilla-Blanco during the first and second part of his internship period at
107 BIOCEV, respectively.

108

109 **Declaration of interest**

110 J.I. has consulting fees and honoraria for lectures from Takeda Pharmaceutical
111 Co. Ltd. K.S. has consulting fees from Moderna Japan Co., Ltd. and Takeda
112 Pharmaceutical Co. Ltd. and honoraria for lectures from Gilead Sciences, Inc.,
113 Moderna Japan Co., Ltd., and Shionogi & Co., Ltd. The other authors declare no
114 competing interests. All authors have submitted the ICMJE Form for Disclosure
115 of Potential Conflicts of Interest. Conflicts that the editors consider relevant to
116 the content of the manuscript have been disclosed.

117 **Figure 1. Virological features of JN.1**

118 **(A)** Frequency of mutations in JN.1 and other lineages of interest. Only
119 mutations with a frequency >0.5 in at least one but not all the representative
120 lineages are shown.

121 **(B)** Estimated relative R_e of the variants of interest in France, United Kingdom,
122 and Spain. The relative R_e of EG.5.1 is set to 1 (horizontal dashed line). Violin,
123 posterior distribution; dot, posterior mean; line, 95% Bayesian confidence
124 interval.

125 **(C)** Estimated epidemic dynamics of the variants of interest in France, United
126 Kingdom, and Spain from April 1, 2023 to November 16, 2023. Countries are
127 ordered according to the number of detected sequences of JN.1 from high to low.
128 Line, posterior mean, ribbon, 95% Bayesian confidence interval.

129 **(D)** Yeast surface display affinity between the RBD of the BA.2.86 SARS-CoV-2
130 variant or BA.2.86 that contained the L455S mutation and mACE2 was
131 measured by yeast surface display. The dissociation constant (K_D) value
132 indicates the binding affinity of the RBD of the SARS-CoV-2 S protein to soluble
133 ACE2 when expressed on yeast. Statistically significant differences versus
134 BA.2.86 is determined by two-sided Student's t tests.

135 **(E)** Lentivirus-based pseudovirus assay. HOS-ACE2/TMPRSS2 cells were
136 infected with pseudoviruses bearing each S protein of B.1.1 or BA.2 sublineages.
137 The amount of input virus was normalized to the amount of HIV-1 p24 capsid
138 protein. The percentage infectivity of B.1.1, BA.2 and JN.1 are compared to that
139 of BA.2.86. The horizontal dash line indicates the mean value of the percentage
140 infectivity of BA.2.86. Assays were performed in quadruplicate, and a
141 representative result of four independent assays is shown. The presented data
142 are expressed as the average \pm SD. Each dot indicates the result of an individual
143 replicate. Statistically significant differences versus BA.2.86 is determined by
144 two-sided Student's t tests.

145 **(F-J)** Neutralization assay. Assays were performed with pseudoviruses
146 harboring the S proteins of B.1.1, BA.2, BA.2.86, JN.1 and HK.3. The following
147 sera were used: sera from six hamsters infected with BA.2.86 **(F)**; sera from ten
148 mice immunized with SARS-CoV-2 BA.2.86 S **(G)**; convalescent sera from fully
149 vaccinated individuals who had been infected with XBB.1.5 (eight 3-dose
150 vaccinated donors, six 4-dose vaccinated donors, four 5-dose vaccinated donors
151 and one 6-dose vaccinated donor. 19 donors in total) **(H)**; and EG.5.1 (one
152 2-dose vaccinated donor, four 3-dose vaccinated donors, five 4-dose vaccinated
153 donors, four 5-dose vaccinated donors and four 6-dose vaccinated donors. 18
154 donors in total) **(I)**. Assays were also performed with pseudoviruses harboring
155 the S proteins of BA.2.86 and JN.1. The following two sera were used:
156 vaccinated sera from fully vaccinated individuals who had not been infected (8
157 donors) and vaccinated sera from fully vaccinated individuals who had been
158 infected with XBB subvariants (after June, 2023) (10 donors). Sera were
159 collected before vaccination ('Pre') and 3-4 weeks after XBB.1.5 monovalent
160 vaccination ('Post') **(J)**. Assays for each serum sample were performed in
161 triplicate to determine the 50% neutralization titer (NT_{50}).

162 Each dot represents one NT₅₀ value, and the geometric mean and 95%
163 confidence interval are shown. The number in parenthesis indicates the
164 geometric mean of NT₅₀ values. The horizontal dash line indicates the detection
165 limit (40-fold) and the number of samples with neutralization titer under the limit
166 are shown below the dash line. In **F-J**, statistically significant differences versus
167 JN.1 were determined by two-sided Wilcoxon signed-rank tests, and p values
168 are indicated in parentheses. The fold changes of NT₅₀ from that of JN.1 are
169 indicated with “X”. In **F** and **G**, *, p<0.05; **, p<0.01 versus JN.1.

170 **References**

- 171 1. Urie K, Ito J, Kosugi Y, et al. Transmissibility, infectivity, and immune
172 evasion of the SARS-CoV-2 BA.2.86 variant. *Lancet Infect Dis* 2023; **23**(11):
173 e460-e1.
- 174 2. Wang Q, Guo Y, Liu L, et al. Antigenicity and receptor affinity of
175 SARS-CoV-2 BA.2.86 spike. *Nature* 2023.
- 176 3. Sheward DJ, Yang Y, Westerberg M, et al. Sensitivity of the
177 SARS-CoV-2 BA.2.86 variant to prevailing neutralising antibody responses.
178 *Lancet Infect Dis* 2023; **23**(11): e462-e3.
- 179 4. Yang S, Yu Y, Jian F, et al. Antigenicity and infectivity characterisation of
180 SARS-CoV-2 BA.2.86. *Lancet Infect Dis* 2023; **23**(11): e457-e9.
- 181 5. Kosugi Y, Plianchaisuk A, Putri O, et al. Virological characteristics of the
182 SARS-CoV-2 Omicron HK.3 variant harboring the “FLip” substitution. *BioRxiv*
183 2023: doi: <https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.11.14.566985>.
- 184 6. Yamasoba D, Kimura I, Nasser H, et al. Virological characteristics of the
185 SARS-CoV-2 Omicron BA.2 spike. *Cell* 2022; **185**(12): 2103-15.e19.
- 186 7. Urie K, Ito J, Zahradnik J, et al. Enhanced transmissibility, infectivity, and
187 immune resistance of the SARS-CoV-2 omicron XBB.1.5 variant. *Lancet Infect*
188 *Dis* 2023; **23**(3): 280-1.

189

190

