1

Choline degradation in *Paracoccus denitrificans*: identification of sources of

formaldehyde

Trusha Parekh, Marcus Tsai and Stephen Spiro[#]

Department of Biological Sciences, The University of Texas at Dallas, Richardson,

Texas 75080, USA.

[#]Correspondent footnote: stephen.spiro@utdallas.edu

Keywords: choline, glycine betaine, formaldehyde, dimethylglycine, two-component regulatory system, sarcosine

Running title: Choline catabolism in *Paracoccus denitrificans*

ABSTRACT

Paracoccus denitrificans is a facultative methylotroph that can grow on methanol and methylamine as sole sources of carbon and energy. Both are oxidized to formaldehyde and then to formate, so growth on C1 substrates induces the expression of genes encoding enzymes required for the oxidation of formaldehyde and formate. Catabolism of some complex organic substrates (for example choline and L-proline betaine) generates formaldehyde, which is oxidized to CO_2, likely by the same enzymes that oxidize formaldehyde generated from C1 substrates. Thus, regulatory mutants that fail to induce expression of the formaldehyde catabolic enzymes cannot grow on methanol, methylamine and choline. The pathway for the oxidation of choline to glycine includes three steps that may be oxidative demethylations that generate formaldehyde. However, among characterized choline degradation pathways in several organisms there are variants of these reactions that do not generate formaldehyde. Therefore, the number of equivalents and the sources of formaldehyde made during choline degradation in *P. denitrificans* are not known. By exploring mutant phenotypes and the activities of a promoter and enzyme known to be up-regulated by formaldehyde, we identify the oxidations of glycine betaine and dimethylglycine as sources of formaldehyde. Genetic evidence implicates two orthologous monooxygenases in the oxidation of glycine betaine. Interestingly, one of these appears to be a bifunctional enzyme that also oxidizes L-proline betaine (stachydrine). We present preliminary evidence to suggest that growth on L-proline betaine induces expression of a formaldehyde dehydrogenase distinct from the enzyme induced during growth on other formaldehyde-generating substrates.

IMPORTANCE

The bacterial degradation of one carbon compounds (methanol and methylamine) and of some complex multi-carbon compounds (for example, choline) generates formaldehyde. Formaldehyde is toxic and must be removed, which can be done by oxidation to formate and then to carbon dioxide. These oxidations provide a source of energy, in some species the CO_2 thus generated can be assimilated into biomass. Using the Gram-negative bacterium *Paracoccus denitrificans* as the experimental model, we show that oxidation of choline to glycine generates two equivalents of formaldehyde and we identify the two steps in the catabolic pathway that are responsible. Our work sheds further light on metabolic pathways that are likely important in a variety of environmental contexts.

INTRODUCTION

Paracoccus denitrificans is a metabolically versatile Alphaproteobacterium that is frequently detected in terrestrial and aquatic environments, in waste treatment reactors, and in association with animals and plants (1–5). The organism can grow on C1 compounds (methanol and methylamine) as sole sources of carbon and energy and has been used as a model for studies of the genetics and biochemistry of methylotrophy (6, 7). Both methanol and methylamine are oxidized to formaldehyde by PQQ-dependent periplasmic enzymes. The formaldehyde is internalized and oxidized to formate by a glutathione (GSH) dependent formaldehyde dehydrogenase, GD-FALDH (7, 8). *P. denitrificans* also has a gene (Pden_1186, *hpbM*) encoding a predicted GSH-independent formaldehyde dehydrogenase (9). Formate is oxidized to carbon dioxide, which can be assimilated by the Calvin Cycle, although other species in the *Paracoccus* genus may use the serine cycle (10).

Transcription of the genes required for the oxidation of methanol, methylamine and

formaldehyde is regulated by two two-component systems comprising histidine kinases (FlhS

and MoxY) and their cognate response regulators (FlhR and MoxX, respectively). MoxXY (also

called MxaXY) are required for transcription of the genes encoding methanol dehydrogenase and

associated proteins and *moxXY* mutants have a growth defect on methanol but not methylamine

(11). FlhSR-deficient mutants are unable to grow on both methanol and methylamine (12) and

the FlhSR proteins are believed to activate transcription of the operons encoding the methanol,

methylamine and formaldehyde dehydrogenases, and MoxXY (7). The signal that triggers the

FlhSR signal transduction pathway has not been identified biochemically, but it is suggested that

both FlhS and MoxX respond to formaldehyde (7). Thus, expression of the methanol

dehydrogenase is induced not by the substrate methanol but rather by the product, formaldehyde.

FlhSR-deficient mutants are also unable to grow on choline as a source of carbon and

energy (12). The explanation for this phenotype is that the oxidation of choline to glycine is

accompanied by the production of formaldehyde and the *flhSR* mutants are poisoned because

they are unable to up-regulate expression of the formaldehyde dehydrogenase. Thus, ectopic

expression of the GD-FALDH rescues the choline growth defect of *flhS* and *flhR* mutants (12).

Choline catabolism in *P. denitrificans* has been variously suggested to be accompanied by the

production of 'several' (13), two (14) or three (15) equivalents of formaldehyde.

The aerobic pathway for choline degradation (Fig. 1) proceeds through betaine aldehyde,

glycine betaine, dimethylglycine and sarcosine to glycine (16). Each of the three steps from

glycine betaine to glycine involves the removal of one methyl group and is a potential source of

formaldehyde. Glycine betaine can be oxidatively demethylated by a glycine betaine

monooxygenase that generates dimethylglycine and formaldehyde (17, 18). In other species, a

glycine betaine:homocysteine methyl transferase donates the methyl group to homocysteine and

so makes methionine rather than formaldehyde (19, 20). Dimethylglycine can be oxidatively

demethylated by a dimethylglycine dehydrogenase (or oxidase). These are tetrahydrofolate

(THF) linked enzymes that generate methylene-THF (rather than formaldehyde) as the reaction

product (21). There is also a dimethylglycine demethylase that has not been characterized

biochemically but is a potential source of formaldehyde (16, 17). Sarcosine is oxidized either by

a monomeric or a tetrameric sarcosine oxidase. The former generates formaldehyde as the

reaction product, while the tetrameric sarcosine oxidase is THF-dependent and so makes

methylene-THF (22). The THF-dependent dimethylglycine and sarcosine oxidases can make

formaldehyde as a reaction product in vitro in the absence of THF (21, 22); whether these

enzymes are a source of formaldehyde in vivo is not known. Depending upon the combination of

enzymes used and whether or not the THF-dependent enzymes are sources of formaldehyde in

vivo, the conversion of choline to glycine may be accompanied by the formation of between zero

and three equivalents of formaldehyde (16, 20). *P. denitrificans* also has a characterized pathway

for the degradation of proline betaine which is predicted to generate two equivalents of

formaldehyde through the action of proline betaine and methyl proline demethylases (9).

While studying the regulation of formaldehyde metabolism in *P. denitrificans*, we

became interested to identify the source(s) of the formaldehyde generated during choline

catabolism. Reconstructing the pathway from the genome sequence is challenging for several

reasons: (1) for some steps there are multiple candidate enzymes encoded in the genome; (2)

some potentially relevant genes are duplicated, and (3) definitively assigning biochemical

functions to gene products is not always possible. Therefore, we have adopted an empirical

approach (informed by the genome sequence) to determine the likely pathway for choline

degradation in *P. denitrificans* and the source(s) of formaldehyde. To do so, we have taken

advantage of the fact that *flhSR* mutants have a defect for growth on substrates that generate

formaldehyde. We present evidence to indicate that the major sources of formaldehyde are two

orthologous monooxygenases that oxidatively demethylate glycine betaine to form

dimethylglycine and the subsequent oxidative demethylation of dimethylglycine.

RESULTS

Formaldehyde is a by-product of the oxidation of glycine betaine and dimethylglycine.

It has been reported previously that *flhS* and *flhR* mutants are unable to grow on solid media

containing choline as the sole source of carbon and energy (12). We have reconstructed

unmarked deletions in *flhS* and *flhR* and confirmed that these mutants are unable to grow on solid

minimal medium containing choline (Figure S1). In liquid media, the *flhS* and *flhR* mutants grow

normally to an optical density of around 0.3 before arresting (Fig. 2). This pattern is consistent

with the accumulation of a toxic intermediate (likely to be formaldehyde).

We established that *P. denitrificans* grows well on intermediates of the choline

degradation pathway: glycine betaine, dimethylglycine and sarcosine (Fig. 2, Table 1). In liquid

media, the *flhS* and *flhR* mutants show growth defects on glycine betaine similar to the choline

phenotypes (Fig. 2), whereas both mutants grow normally on dimethylglycine and sarcosine. The

patterns are similar on solid media, except that the *flhS* and *flhR* mutants exhibit a partial defect

for growth with dimethylglycine as the substrate (Figs. S5-7). We could detect significant

concentrations of formaldehyde in the supernatants of *flhS* and *flhR* mutants grown on choline,

glycine betaine and dimethylglycine (Table 1). We conclude that formaldehyde is generated as a

product of the oxidation of both glycine betaine and dimethylglycine, but that the amount of

formaldehyde accumulated in dimethylglycine grown cells is insufficient to impose a phenotype

on the *flhS* and *flhR* mutants. If one equivalent of formaldehyde is generated during the oxidation

of glycine betaine and of dimethylglycine, then growth on choline and glycine betaine is

accompanied by the formation of two equivalents of formaldehyde, while growth on

dimethylglycine generates just one equivalent.

All growth phenotypes on solid (Figs. S1-S7) and liquid (not shown) media associated

with the *flhS* and *flhR* deletions could be complemented by expression of the corresponding

genes *in trans* from recombinant plasmids.

Formaldehyde generated from choline degradation activates the FlhSR signaling pathway.

It has been proposed that formaldehyde generated during choline degradation activates

transcription of genes required for formaldehyde oxidation (12).   To confirm that choline-

derived formaldehyde activates FlhSR and to determine the source(s) of formaldehyde, we took

advantage of the fact that the promoter of the structural gene (*mxaF*) encoding the methanol

dehydrogenase is activated by formaldehyde, by a mechanism that requires FlhSR (7, 12). We

constructed an *mxaF-lacZ* promoter-reporter fusion and confirmed the expected low activity in

cells grown on succinate and >10-fold higher activity in cells grown on choline (Table 1). The

promoter fusion also had >10-fold higher activities in cells grown on glycine betaine, and

dimethylglycine, confirming that degradation of these substrates generates formaldehyde (Table

2). In all cases, induction of the *mxaF* promoter was eliminated in *flhS* and *flhR* mutants, and

introduction of the corresponding genes on plasmids complemented or partially complemented

the mutant phenotypes. These patterns of *mxaF* promoter activity correlate well with the

formaldehyde accumulation data and mutant growth phenotypes and further suggest that

degradation of glycine betaine and dimethylglycine produces formaldehyde that can switch on an

FlhSR-activated promoter, while sarcosine catabolism does not.

We also measured activity of the GSH-dependent formaldehyde dehydrogenase,

expression of which is believed to be activated by FlhSR (12). Similar to the pattern of *mxaF-*

lacZ activity, GD-FALDH activity was low in extracts of cells grown on succinate and sarcosine,

and high in cells grown on choline, glycine betaine and dimethylglycine (Table 3). Induction of

GD-FALDH activity was lost in *flhS* and *flhR* mutants (Table 3) and mutant phenotypes could be

complemented by expression of the corresponding genes from a plasmid (data not shown).

Our measurements of *mxaF* promoter activity and GD-FALDH enzyme activity both

implicate the oxidation of glycine betaine and dimethylglycine as the major sources of

formaldehyde. The predicted dimethylglycine dehydrogenase encoded by Pden_1940 is likely to

be required for dimethylglycine catabolism. We focused on identifying the enzyme(s) required

for growth on glycine betaine.

Redundancy of enzymes processing glycine betaine.

In *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and *P. syringae,* a two gene operon required for growth on glycine

betaine encodes a predicted redox enzyme, GbcAB (17, 23). In *Chromohalobacter salexigens*,

this enzyme has been characterized as a formaldehyde-generating glycine betaine

monooxygenase (named BmoAB) comprising a Rieske non-heme iron oxygenase (BmoA) and

an NADH-dependent flavin reductase, BmoB (18). In *P. denitrificans*, the predicted proteins

most similar to GbcAB are encoded by Pden_4896 and 4897. We constructed a deletion mutant

lacking these two genes and found only a modest defect for growth on choline and glycine

betaine (Fig. 3).

A previous study of proline betaine catabolism in *P. denitrificans* characterized two additional members of the GbcAB family (9). HpbC1B1 (encoded by Pden_1188-89) and HpbC2B2 (Pden_2831-32) are both annotated as stachydrine (L-proline betaine) demethylases (or monooxygenases). Deletion of both pairs of genes was required to eliminate growth on stachydrine, the implication being that both enzymes are able to oxidize stachydrine to methylproline (9). Since HpbC2B2 are more similar to GbcAB than HpbC1B1, we deleted the *hpbC2B2* (Pden_2831-32) genes and found that the mutant exhibits a greatly extended lag phase when cultured on glycine betaine. The double mutant lacking both enzymes has a null phenotype for growth on choline and glycine betaine, implying that both GbcAB and HpbC2B2 can oxidize glycine betaine. This further suggests that HpbC2B2 has a relaxed substrate specificity being able to act on both glycine betaine (this work) and stachydrine (9).

Catabolism of stachydrine is predicted to generate two equivalents of formaldehyde (9) yet we found that *flhS* and *flhR* mutants have no growth defect on stachydrine (Fig. S8), in contrast to growth on glycine betaine (Fig. 2), which also generates two equivalents of formaldehyde. The predicted GSH-independent formaldehyde dehydrogenase is encoded by a gene (Pden_1186, *hpbM*) immediately downstream of the genes encoding the stachydrine demethylase HpbC1B1 and a proline betaine epimerase (9). We therefore speculated that expression of HpbM might be specifically induced by growth on stachydrine. We measured only background levels of GD-FALDH in extracts of cells grown on stachydrine but increased activities of a GSH-independent formaldehyde dehydrogenase compared to cells grown on all other substrates. This induction by growth on stachydrine is dependent on FlhSR, thus induction of the GSH-independent formaldehyde dehydrogenase by growth on stachydrine does not explain the ability of *flhS* and *flhR* mutants to grow on this substrate. Perhaps the poorly

characterized methylproline demethylase is not a source of formaldehyde (for example, if it is

H$_4$F-linked) such that growth on stachydrine generates only one equivalent of formaldehyde that

is insufficient to impose a growth defect on the regulatory mutants. Assay of *mxaF-lacZ* reporter

activity confirmed that sufficient formaldehyde is generated during growth on stachydrine to

induce the promoter (Table 2). The phenotypes exhibited during growth on stachydrine resemble

those observed during growth on dimethylglycine, which we suggest generates just one

equivalent of formaldehyde.

The pathway for choline degradation in *Paracoccus denitrificans*.

Considering the literature, genome annotations and metabolic predictions in the KEGG database

(24), and our data, we propose a choline metabolic pathway shown in Figure 1, comprising

enzymes that are the products of the genes and operons in Figure S1. Choline degradation is

initiated with choline oxidation to betaine aldehyde by a choline dehydrogenase (BetA), and then

to glycine betaine by a betaine aldehyde dehydrogenase (BetB). In *P. denitrificans*, these

enzymes are likely encoded by Pden_1896 and Pden_1897, respectively. Upstream of these

genes is Pden_1898 which is annotated to encode BetI, a repressor that likely mediates choline

regulation of the *betAB* genes (25).

Our results indicate that the conversion of glycine betaine to dimethylglycine can be

catalyzed primarily by HpbC2B2 (encoded by Pden_2832-2831), and in its absence, by the

GbcAB (Pden_4897-4896). This step is a major source of formaldehyde in the choline

degradation pathway and FlhSR signaling is required for expression of the enzyme(s) responsible

for further catabolism of formaldehyde.

Conversion of dimethylglycine to sarcosine is likely catalyzed by a THF-dependent

dimethylglycine dehydrogenase (encoded by Pden_1940). This enzyme can generate

formaldehyde in vitro (21) and, our evidence suggests, also in vivo. In either case, our evidence

indicates that this reaction does not liberate sufficient formaldehyde to inhibit growth of the

flhSR mutants.

The *P. denitrificans* genome does not encode a predicted monomeric sarcosine oxidase

but has two sets of genes annotated to encode the subunits of a tetrameric enzyme (Pden_0512,

0514-0516, and Pden_4909-4906), with the latter likely being co-expressed with a 5,10-

methylene THF dehydrogenase (Pden_4905) from a shared promoter. Our data strongly suggest

that sarcosine oxidation does not generate formaldehyde, which is consistent with catabolism by

a THF-dependent sarcosine oxidase.

DISCUSSION

The quaternary amine choline is ubiquitously present in eukaryotes, being a component of, for

example, choline phospholipids. Bacterial degradation of choline by the aerobic pathway

generates glycine betaine as an intermediate (16). The anaerobic degradation of choline is not

considered here since it requires a glycyl radical enzyme (26) that is not encoded in the *P.*

denitrificans genome. Glycine betaine and proline betaine are quaternary amines that function as

compatible solutes, accumulating to high concentrations in bacteria exposed to osmotic stress

(27, 28). These and other compatible solutes may also protect against other environmental

stresses, for example cold stress (29). Glycine and proline betaine are also osmoprotective in

plants and marine algae (30–32). Thus, betaines and choline are potentially abundant in the

biosphere and pathways for the aerobic degradation of choline, glycine betaine and proline

betaine have been characterized in a variety of environmental and plant- and animal-associated

bacteria (9, 16).

The catabolic degradation of quaternary amines involves the removal of methyl groups

that may be released as formaldehyde, conjugated with tetrahydrofolate (H_4F) to generate

methylene-H_4F, or transferred to a suitable acceptor molecule by a methyltransferase (for

example, to homocysteine, making methionine). Thus, while degradation of choline and glycine

betaine is associated with the production of formaldehyde, the number of equivalents of

formaldehyde and their source(s) have typically not been explicitly defined (16, 20). We have

shown that the formaldehyde that is made in vivo from glycine betaine in *Paracoccus*

denitrificans originates predominantly from the oxidations of glycine betaine and

dimethylglycine. This sets an upper limit on two equivalents of formaldehyde made from choline

and glycine, and the number may be lower than that if the H_4F-linked dimethylgycine

dehydrogenase conserves some methyl carbon in the form of methylene-H_4F (20, 21, 33).

Carnitine is another quaternary amine that can be degraded by a pathway that generates

glycine betaine as an intermediate (34). It was therefore unexpected that our mutant lacking both

GbcAB and HpbC2B2 (which cannot grow on glycine betaine) retains some ability to grow on

carnitine, albeit significantly less well than wild type. One possible explanation is that *P.*

denitrificans has an alternative pathway for carnitine degradation that does not generate glycine

betaine, as described in *Acinetobacter baumanii* (35). Some of the enzymes of this pathway

appear to be conserved in *P. denitrificans*, however there is no indication that this strain can

catabolize the trimethylamine by-product of carnitine metabolism by the *A. baumanii* pathway

(10, 35).

In this work, we have successfully used mutant phenotypes and promoter and enzyme

activities as probes for the endogenous generation of formaldehyde. In addition to the C1

substrates methanol and methylamine, a variety of quaternary amines can be sources of

formaldehyde when degraded, requiring the induction of mechanisms to remove the

formaldehyde which is otherwise toxic.

METHODS

Bacterial strains, plasmids and growth conditions. Bacterial strains and plasmids used in this

study are detailed in Supplementary Table S1. *Paracoccus denitrificans* Pd1222 (36) was used as

the wild type strain. *Escherichia coli* S17-1 (37) was used as the host for the introduction of

plasmids into *P. denitrificans* by conjugation (38). L broth (10 g tryptone.liter^{-1}, 5 g yeast

extract.liter^{-1}, 5 g NaCl.liter^{-1}) was used for the routine propagation of *E. coli* and *P. denitrificans*

at 37 °C or 30 °C, respectively.

For phenotypic assays, cultures were grown in a defined minimal medium (39)

containing sodium succinate (20 mM), methanol (50 mM), choline chloride (32 mM), glycine

betaine (32 mM), dimethylglycine (40 mM), sarcosine (54.3 mM), stachydrine hydrochloride (12

mM), L-proline (32 mM) or carnitine hydrochloride (12 mM). Aerobic cultures were grown in

200 μl minimal medium in 96-well plates shaken at 420 rpm. Growth was assayed every 2-4

hours by measurement of light scatter at 650 nm (OD650). Growth was also assessed on minimal

medium agar streak plates.

Genetic manipulations. Plasmid pSTBlue-1 (Novagen) was used as the vector for routine DNA

manipulations. Triparental matings were performed by mixing log-phase *P. denitrificans*, *E. coli*

S17-1 transformed with recombinant plasmid derivatives, and *E. coli* DH5α (pRK2013) in a

2:1:1 ratio and incubating on L agar for 24 h at 30 °C. *P. denitrificans* exconjugants were

selected by rifampicin and indicated antibiotic(s). For conjugation and subsequent selection salt-

free L broth and a low-salt (4 g sodium chloride.liter^{-1}) L agar were used.

Unmarked in-frame deletions were made by allelic replacement using pK18*mobsacB*

(40). Briefly, ~500 bp regions flanking the gene(s) to be deleted were amplified separately and

then ligated together by PCR using overlapping regions added to the primers. The ligated

fragment was cloned into pK18*mobsacB* then mobilized into *P. denitrificans* as described above.

Selection for kanamycin resistance yielded strains in which the plasmid was integrated into the

chromosome by a single homologous recombination event. Recombinants with double

crossovers were then isolated on the basis of sucrose resistance and kanamycin sensitivity;

deletion mutations were confirmed by PCR.

For complementation tests, genes were cloned into the broad host-range expression

vector pIND4 which allows induction of expression by IPTG (41). A promoter-*lacZ* reporter

fusion was constructed by cloning 300 bp upstream of the start codon of the methanol

dehydrogenase structural gene *mxaF* into pMP220 (42). After triparental mating into *P.*

denitrificans, selection for recombinant pIND4 and pMP220 plasmids was done using 25 µg/ml

kanamycin or 4 µg/ml tetracycline respectively. PCR confirmation was done with isolated

plasmids.

Formaldehyde measurement. Formaldehyde accumulation in supernatants collected from

stationary phase cultures was measured using a modified NASH assay (43). 96-well plates were

centrifuged at 5,000 *g* for 5 min at 4 °C after the completion of growth measurements. Triplicate

125 µl aliquots of each supernatant were mixed with 125 µl of NASH reagent (0.3% acetic acid

and 0.2 % acetylacetone added freshly to a 3% ammonium acetate solution) in a clear round

bottom 96 well plate and incubated at 37 °C for 50 minutes for color development.

Formaldehyde standards ranged from 0.01 mM to 1 mM. The plate was equilibrated to room

temperature and the absorbance at 412 nm was recorded. Concentrations are reported after

normalization to the final culture densities.

Enzyme assays. β-galactosidase expressed from the *mxaF* promoter-*lacZ* fusion was assayed as

described by Miller (44). Cultures (three independent replicates) were grown in 96 well plates,

0.05 ml samples in 0.9 ml reaction mix were made permeable with chloroform and SDS and

assayed according to the standard protocol (44), the absorbance at 420 nm was measured in 96-

well plates.

326   Glutathione-dependent formaldehyde dehydrogenase and GSH-independent

formaldehyde dehydrogenase were assayed in extracts prepared from 5-50 ml cultures. Mid- to

late-log phase cultures were harvested at 6,000 *g* at 4 °C for 20 min and cells were washed twice

with sodium phosphate buffer (pH 7.4). The wet cell pellet was resuspended in approximately

three volumes of phosphate buffer along with 2 mM DTT and 2 mM PMSF. The cell suspension

was sonicated with a cycle of 20s on/20s off for 2-5 min in an ice-water bucket. The sonicated

suspension was clarified by centrifugation at 16,000 g at 4 °C for 20 min.

333   Enzyme activity was measured at 30 °C using a Cary UV spectrophotometer. The 3 ml

reaction mixture contained 50-200 µl of cell lysate in 100 mM sodium phosphate buffer (pH 7.4)

and 1 mM NAD^+. For the GSH-independent formaldehyde dehydrogenase, assays were started

by the addition of 10 mM formaldehyde. For the GD-FALDH, formaldehyde addition was

followed by 20 mM GSH. Specific activity was calculated as previously described using 6.22 as

the millimolar extinction coefficient of NADH at 340 nm (45). Enzyme activities were assayed

in triplicate in extracts of three independently grown cultures. Protein concentrations in cell

lysates were measured with the Pierce BCA assay kit.

FIGURE LEGENDS

FIGURE 1.

Pathways for catabolism of choline, carnitine and L-proline betaine and C1 substrates in

***Paracoccus denitrificans*.**

Metabolic pathways are proposed based on previous literature (see citations in the text), the

KEGG database (24) and results presented in this paper. Enzymes that are known or likely

sources of formaldehyde are highlighted in orange. Solid arrows denote experimentally

supported reactions, dashed lines are predictions based on the genome annotation. Based on the

data presented in this paper, we suggest that formaldehyde generated from glycine betaine and

dimethylglycine is oxidized predominantly by GD-FALDH, while the GSH-independent enzyme

oxidizes formaldehyde generated from L-proline betaine and methylpoline. The genes encoding

the enzymes shown in this diagram are depicted in Figure S1.

FIGURE 2.

Growth phenotypes of Δ*flhS* and Δ*flhR* mutants.

Wild type *P. denitrificans* and Δ*flhS* and Δ*flhR* deletion mutants were grown in minimal media

containing either (A) choline, (B) glycine betaine, (C) dimethylglycine or (D) sarcosine as sole

sources of carbon and energy (substrate concentrations were adjusted such that carbon was

equimolar). Growth data are the mean of three biological replicates and the error bars represent

standard deviations.

FIGURE 3.

Growth phenotypes of Δ*gbcAB*, Δ*hpbC2B2* and Δ*gbcAB* Δ*hpbC2B2* mutants.

Wild type *P. denitrificans* and Δ*gbcAB*, Δ*hpbC2B2* and Δ*gbcAB* Δ*hpbC2B2* mutants were grown

in minimal media containing (A) choline, (B) glycine betaine, (C) dimethylglycine, and (D)

stachydrine as sole sources of carbon and energy (substrate concentrations were adjusted such

that carbon was equimolar). Growth data are the mean of three biological replicates and the error

bars represent standard deviations.

TABLE 1. Growth phenotypes on solid media and formaldehyde accumulation in liquid culture supernatants.

	Growth phenotype[a]						Formaldehyde accumulation[b]		
	Wild type	$\Delta flhS$	$\Delta flhR$	$\Delta gbcAB$	$\Delta hpbC2B2$	$\Delta gbcAB$ $\Delta hpbC2B2$	Wild type	$\Delta flhS$	$\Delta flhR$
Succinate	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	-	-	-
Choline	+++	-	+	+++	+	-	-	++	++
Glycine betaine	+++	-	+	+++	-	-	-	++	++
Dimethylglycine	+++	++	++	+++	+++	+++	-	+	+
Sarcosine	+++	+++	++	+++	+++	+++	-	-	-
Stachydrine	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	-	-	-
Carnitine	+++	+	-	++	++	+++	-	+	++

a. Streak plates were incubated for approximately 72 hours at 30 °C. Images of representative plates and of complementation tests are in Figures S4-S7.

b. -: not detectable, below the detection limit of the assay (0.6 μM/OD unit); +: detectable in the range 10-13 μM/OD unit; ++: detectable in the range 13-28 μM/OD unit.

TABLE 2. β-Galactosidase activities expressed from the *mxaF-lacZ* reporter fusion.

	β-Galactosidase[a]					
	Wild type	Δ*flhS*	Δ*flhR*	Δ*gbcAB*	Δ*hpbC2B2*	Δ*gbcAB* Δ*hpbC2B2*
Succinate	41 (2)	39 (3)	40 (1)	ND[b]	ND	ND
Choline	574 (84)	20 (17)	30 (36)	438 (41)	134 (16)	65 (9)
Glycine betaine	646 (61)	11 (9)	2 (1)	258 (49)	118 (4)	83 (5)
Dimethylglycine	413 (115)	22 (4)	44 (33)	319 (102)	77 (20)	73 (7)
Sarcosine	200 (4)	30 (25)	51 (23)	81 (18)	104 (4)	107 (2)
Stachydrine	434 (101)	16 (5)	28 (18)	ND	ND	ND

a. β-Galactosidase activity was measured in cells grown on the indicated carbon sources. Units of activity are as defined by Miller (44). β-Galactosidase activities are the means of triplicate assays from three independently grown cultures with the standard deviation in parentheses.

b. ND: not done.

TABLE 3. GSH-dependent formaldehyde dehydrogenase activities.

	GSH-dependent formaldehyde dehydrogenase (μ mol NADH/min/mg protein)[a]					
	Wild type	$\Delta flhS$	$\Delta flhR$	$\Delta gbcAB$	$\Delta hpbC2B2$	$\Delta gbcAB$ $\Delta hpbC2B2$
Succinate	75 (8)	6 (2)	27 (13)	ND[b]	ND	ND
Choline	361 (107)	53 (7)	39 (7)	95 (35)	264 (60)	25 (12)
Glycine betaine	539 (73)	9 (8)	21 (12)	82 (36)	207 (99)	26 (13)
Dimethylglycine	313 (62)	37 (18)	29 (3)	244 (106)	145 (49)	53 (31)
Sarcosine	135 (39)	16 (10)	14 (6)	140 (90)	32 (18)	20 (7)
Stachydrine	63 (23)	34 (5)	11 (7)	ND[b]	ND	ND

a. GSH-dependent formaldehyde dehydrogenase activities are the means of assays from three independently grown cultures with the standard deviation in parentheses.

b. ND: not done.

TABLE 4. GSH-independent formaldehyde dehydrogenase activities.

	GSH-independent formaldehyde dehydrogenase (μ mol NADH/min/mg protein)[a]		
	Wild type	$\Delta flhS$	$\Delta flhR$
Succinate	4 (0.1)	2 (0.6)	3 (0.9)
Methanol	5 (2)	1 (0.6)	2(0.2)
Glycine betaine	6 (2)	5 (1)	4 (0.4)
Dimethylglycine	20 (3)	7 (4)	9 (1)
Sarcosine	13 (5)	6 (4)	14 (7)
Stachydrine	74 (21)	8 (3)	28 (4)

a. GSH-independent formaldehyde dehydrogenase activities are the means of assays from

three independently grown cultures with the standard deviation in parentheses.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are grateful to Sneha Narvekar for her contributions to strain constructions.

REFERENCES

1. Du H, Jiao N, Hu Y, Zeng Y. 2006. Diversity and distribution of pigmented heterotrophic bacteria in marine environments. *FEMS Microbiol Ecol* 57:92–105.
2. Russell JA, León-Zayas R, Wrighton K, Biddle JF. 2016. Deep subsurface life from North Pond: Enrichment, isolation, characterization and genomes of heterotrophic Bacteria. *Front Microbiol* 7:678.
3. Wang Z, Zhang X-X, Lu X, Liu B, Li Y, Long C, Li A. 2014. Abundance and diversity of bacterial nitrifiers and denitrifiers and their functional genes in tannery wastewater treatment plants revealed by high-throughput sequencing. *PLOS One* 9:e113603.
4. Dias ACF, Dini-Andreote F, Hannula SE, Andreote FD, Pereira E Silva M de C, Salles JF, de Boer W, van Veen J, van Elsas JD. 2013. Different selective effects on rhizosphere bacteria exerted by genetically modified versus conventional potato lines. *PLOS One* 8:e67948.
5. Castelino M, Eyre S, Moat J, Fox G, Martin P, Ho P, Upton M, Barton A. 2017. Optimisation of methods for bacterial skin microbiome investigation: primer selection and comparison of the 454 versus MiSeq platform. *BMC Microbiol* 17:23.
6. Baker SC, Ferguson SJ, Ludwig B, Page MD, Richter OM, van Spanning RJ. 1998. Molecular genetics of the genus *Paracoccus*: metabolically versatile bacteria with bioenergetic flexibility. *Microbiol Mol Biol Rev* 62:1046–78.
7. Van Spanning RJM, de Vries S, Harms N. 2000. Coping with formaldehyde during C1 metabolism of *Paracoccus denitrificans*. *J Mol Catal B Enzym* 8:37–50.
8. van Ophem PW, Duine JA. 1994. NAD- and co-substrate (GSH or factor)-dependent formaldehyde dehydrogenases from methylotrophic microorganisms act as a class III alcohol dehydrogenase. *FEMS Microbiol Lett* 116:87–93.
9. Kumar R, Zhao S, Vetting MW, Wood BM, Sakai A, Cho K, Solbiati J, Almo SC, Sweedler JV, Jacobson MP, Gerlt JA, Cronan JE. 2014. Prediction and biochemical demonstration of a catabolic pathway for the osmoprotectant proline betaine. *mBio* 5:e00933-00913.
10. Dziewit L, Czarnecki J, Prochwicz E, Wibberg D, Schlüter A, Pühler A, Bartosik D. 2015. Genome-guided insight into the methylotrophy of *Paracoccus aminophilus* JCM 7686. *Front Microbiol* 6: 852.
11. Harms N, Reijnders WN, Anazawa H, van der Palen CJ, van Spanning RJ, Oltmann LF, Stouthamer AH. 1993. Identification of a two-component regulatory system controlling methanol dehydrogenase synthesis in *Paracoccus denitrificans*. *Mol Microbiol* 8:457–470.
12. Harms N, Reijnders WN, Koning S, van Spanning RJ. 2001. Two-component system that regulates methanol and formaldehyde oxidation in *Paracoccus denitrificans*. *J Bacteriol* 183:664–670.
13. Ras J, Van Ophem PW, Reijnders WN, Van Spanning RJ, Duine JA, Stouthamer AH, Harms N. 1995. Isolation, sequencing, and mutagenesis of the gene encoding NAD- and glutathione-dependent formaldehyde dehydrogenase (GD-FALDH) from *Paracoccus denitrificans*, in which GD-FALDH is essential for methylotrophic growth. *J Bacteriol* 177:247–251.

14. de Vries GE, Harms N, Maurer K, Papendrecht A, Stouthamer AH. 1988. Physiological
regulation of *Paracoccus denitrificans* methanol dehydrogenase synthesis and activity. *J*
Bacteriol 170:3731–3737.
15. Harms N, van Spanning RJM. 1991. C1 metabolism in <u>Paracoccus denitrificans</u>: Genetics of
Paracoccus denitrificans. *J Bioenerg Biomembr* 23:187–210.
16. Wargo MJ. 2013. Homeostasis and catabolism of choline and glycine betaine: lessons from
Pseudomonas aeruginosa. Appl Environ Microbiol 79:2112–2120.
17. Wargo MJ, Szwergold BS, Hogan DA. 2008. Identification of two gene clusters and a
transcriptional regulator required for *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* glycine betaine catabolism. *J*
Bacteriol 190:2690–2699.
18. Shao Y-H, Guo L-Z, Zhang Y-Q, Yu H, Zhao B-S, Pang H-Q, Lu W-D. 2018. Glycine
betaine monooxygenase, an unusual Rieske-type oxygenase system, catalyzes the oxidative
N-demethylation of glycine betaine in *Chromohalobacter salexigens* DSM 3043. *Appl*
Environ Microbiol 84:e00377-18.
19. Barra L, Fontenelle C, Ermel G, Trautwetter A, Walker GC, Blanco C. 2006. Interrelations
between glycine betaine catabolism and methionine biosynthesis in *Sinorhizobium meliloti*
strain 102F34. *J Bacteriol* 188:7195–7204.
20. Lidbury I, Kimberley G, Scanlan DJ, Murrell JC, Chen Y. 2015. Comparative genomics and
mutagenesis analyses of choline metabolism in the marine *Roseobacter* clade. *Environ*
Microbiol 17:5048–5062.
21. Leys D, Basran J, Scrutton NS. 2003. Channelling and formation of 'active' formaldehyde in
dimethylglycine oxidase. *EMBO J* 22:4038–4048.
22. Wagner MA, Jorns MS. 1997. Folate utilization by monomeric versus heterotetrameric
sarcosine oxidases. *Arch Biochem Biophys* 342:176–181.
23. Li S, Yu X, Beattie GA. 2013. Glycine betaine catabolism contributes to *Pseudomonas*
syringae tolerance to hyperosmotic stress by relieving betaine-mediated suppression of
compatible solute synthesis. *J Bacteriol* 195:2415–2423.
24. Kanehisa M, Sato Y, Kawashima M, Furumichi M, Tanabe M. 2016. KEGG as a reference
resource for gene and protein annotation. *Nucleic Acids Res* 44:D457-462.
25. Rkenes TP, Lamark T, Strøm AR. 1996. DNA-binding properties of the BetI repressor
protein of *Escherichia coli*: the inducer choline stimulates BetI-DNA complex formation. *J*
Bacteriol 178:1663–1670.
26. Craciun S, Balskus EP. 2012. Microbial conversion of choline to trimethylamine requires a
glycyl radical enzyme. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 109:21307–21312.
27. Gloux K, Le Rudulier D. 1989. Transport and catabolism of proline betaine in salt-stressed
Rhizobium meliloti. *Arch Microbiol* 151:143–148.
28. Wood JM. 2011. Bacterial osmoregulation: A paradigm for the study of cellular homeostasis.
Annu Rev Microbiol 65:215–238.
29. Hoffmann T, Bremer E. 2011. Protection of *Bacillus subtilis* against cold stress via
compatible-solute acquisition. *J Bacteriol* 193:1552–1562.
30. Blunden G, Smith BE, Irons MW, Yang M-H, Roch OG, Patel AV. 1992. Betaines and
tertiary sulphonium compounds from 62 species of marine algae. *Biochem Syst Ecol* 20:373–
388.
31. Hanson AD, Rathinasabapathi B, Rivoal J, Burnet M, Dillon MO, Gage DA. 1994.
Osmoprotective compounds in the *Plumbaginaceae*: a natural experiment in metabolic
engineering of stress tolerance. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 91:306–310.

32. Trinchant J-C, Boscari A, Spennato G, Van de Sype G, Le Rudulier D. 2004. Proline betaine
accumulation and metabolism in alfalfa plants under sodium chloride stress. Exploring its
compartmentalization in nodules. *Plant Physiol* 135:1583–1594.
33. Meskys R, Harris RJ, Casaite V, Basran J, Scrutton NS. 2001. Organization of the genes
involved in dimethylglycine and sarcosine degradation in *Arthrobacter* spp.: implications for
glycine betaine catabolism. *Eur J Biochem* 268:3390–3398.
34. Bazire P, Perchat N, Darii E, Lechaplais C, Salanoubat M, Perret A. 2019. Characterization
of L-carnitine metabolism in *Sinorhizobium meliloti*. *J Bacteriol* 201:e00772-18.
35. Breisch J, Schumm C, Poehlein A, Daniel R, Averhoff B. 2022. The carnitine degradation
pathway of *Acinetobacter baumannii* and its role in virulence. *Environ Microbiol* 24:4437–
4448.
36. de Vries GE, Harms N, Hoogendijk J, Stouthamer AH. 1989. Isolation and characterization
of *Paracoccus denitrificans* mutants with increased conjugation frequencies and pleiotropic
loss of a (nGATCn) DNA-modifying property. *Arch Microbiol* 152:52–57.
37. Simon R, Priefer U, Pühler A. 1983. A broad host range mobilisation system for in vivo
genetic engineering: transposon mutagenesis in Gram negative bacteria. *Biotechnology*
1:784–791.
38. Shearer N, Hinsley AP, Van Spanning RJ, Spiro S. 1999. Anaerobic growth of *Paracoccus*
denitrificans requires cobalamin: characterization of *cobK* and *cobJ* genes. *J Bacteriol*
181:6907–13.
39. Hahnke SM, Moosmann P, Erb TJ, Strous M. 2014. An improved medium for the anaerobic
growth of *Paracoccus denitrificans* Pd1222. *Front Microbiol* 5:18.
40. Sullivan MJ, Gates AJ, Appia-Ayme C, Rowley G, Richardson DJ. 2013. Copper control of
bacterial nitrous oxide emission and its impact on vitamin B12-dependent metabolism. *Proc*
Natl Acad Sci USA 110:19926–19931.
41. Ind AC, Porter SL, Brown MT, Byles ED, de Beyer JA, Godfrey SA, Armitage JP. 2009.
Inducible-expression plasmid for *Rhodobacter sphaeroides* and *Paracoccus denitrificans*.
Appl Environ Microbiol 75:6613–6615.
42. Spaink HP, Okker RJH, Wijffelman CA, Pees E, Lugtenberg BJJ. 1987. Promoters in the
nodulation region of the *Rhizobium leguminosarum* Sym plasmid pRJ1JI. *Plant Mol Biol*
9:27–39.
43. Kleeberg U, Klinger W. 1982. Sensitive formaldehyde determination with NASH's reagent
and a 'tryptophan reaction.' *J Pharmacol Methods* 8:19–31.
44. Miller JH. 1992. A short course in bacterial genetics. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press,
Cold Spring Harbor, N.Y.
45. Ogushi S, Ando M, Tsuru D. 1984. Substrate specificity of formaldehyde dehydrogenase
from *Pseudomonas putida*. *Agric Biol Chem* 48:597–601.
530
531
532

FIGURE 1

FIGURE 2.

FIGURE 3.