Aerosolized α_1 adrenoreceptor antagonism does not affect experimentally induced lung fibrosis in animal models

Short Title: Aerosolized α_1-AR antagonism does not affect lung fibrosis

Alexander Ghincea[1], Carrighan Perry[1], Angela Liu[1], John McGovern[1], Sheeline Yu[1], Xue-Yan Peng[1], Genta Ishikawa[1], Thomas Barnthaler[1], Erica L. Herzog[1,2], Huanxing Sun[1*]

[1]Section of Pulmonary, Critical Care, and Sleep Medicine, Department of Internal Medicine, Yale University School of Medicine, New Haven, Connecticut, United States of America
[2]Department of Molecular Medicine and Experimental Pathology, Yale University School of Medicine, New Haven, Connecticut, United States of America

*Corresponding author
Email: huanxing.sun@yale.edu (HXS)

Abstract

Pulmonary Fibrosis is a progressive and incurable condition that complicates many disease states. Adrenergic hyperinnervation and accumulation of fibroblasts expressing α_1-adrenoreceptors have been implicated in this process. Previous studies have demonstrated that systemic treatment with an α_1-adrenoreceptors antagonist attenuates fibrotic endpoints in lung fibrosis models. In an attempt to develop a lung targeted therapy, we determined whether α_1-adrenoreceptors antagonism delivered via inhaled administration of terazosin exerts antifibrotic benefits in experimentally induced lung fibrosis. C57/BL6 mice treated with bleomycin, or a doxycycline inducible line of transgenic mice with lung specific overexpression of the bioactive form of the human TGFβ1 (*TGFβ1-Tg*$^+$ model), received nebulized terazosin at varying doses on a therapeutic schedule following the induction of fibrosis and were sacrificed at 21 days. Airway inflammation, fibrotic endpoints, and lung function were evaluated. α_1-adrenoreceptors antagonism delivered via this method did not impact airway inflammation as indicated by bronchoalveolar lavage cell counts, and there was no significant difference observed in soluble collagen content. There was similarly no significant difference in respiratory mechanics with terazosin administration. These data show that inhaled delivery of the α_1-adrenoreceptors antagonist terazosin by this method is ineffective at treating fibrosis in these models and suggest that alternative dosing schedules or delivery methods may be more fruitful avenues of investigation. Further exploration of these findings may provide new therapeutic options and illuminate mechanisms through which adrenergic innervation and α_1-adrenoreceptors mediate fibrosis in the adult mammalian lung.

Introduction

Pulmonary fibrosis (PF) is an incurable condition that complicates numerous interstitial lung diseases and is characterized by progressive pulmonary fibrosis and lung function decline [1, 2]. The incidence and demographic distribution of PF is heterogenous depending on underlying diagnosis but tends to occur in older adults and is often associated with a poor prognosis [3]. Idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis (IPF), one of the better studied forms of PF, is defined by a pattern of usual interstitial pneumonia on imaging and histopathology in the absence of a known cause and has a median survival of 2-4 years [4, 5]. Though the underlying pathologic mechanisms vary, pulmonary fibrosis is thought to be the result of dysregulated lung healing that results in the accumulation of activated fibroblasts and excessive extracellular matrix [6-8]. Despite numerous recent advances, treatments remain limited and lung transplantation is the only cure [4, 9]. Thus, considering the significant morbidity and mortality caused by these diseases, there is an urgent need for new targeted interventions to reduce the burden of fibrosis.

Noradrenergic mechanisms are increasingly associated with numerous pathologic processes including acute inflammation [10, 11], innate immune activation [12, 13], cancer [14], obesity and metabolism [15], bone marrow aging [16], and pathologic lung remodeling [17-19]. Adrenergic hyperinnervation and the accumulation of α_1-adrenoreceptor (α_1-AR) expressing cells are associated with fibrosis in animal models, where interruption of adrenergic signaling via chemical denervation and α_1-AR antagonism mitigates fibrotic endpoints [17, 19]. It has further been shown that in some settings the blood [20] and lungs [19] of IPF patients are enriched for noradrenaline, and that IPF patients prescribed α_1-AR antagonists for non-pulmonary indications experience improved survival [19]. However, despite the increasing association of noradrenaline and fibrotic lung remodeling, the mechanisms of this effect and its therapeutic potential remain unexplored [21, 22].

Pulmonary expression of α_1-ARs is the best characterized in smooth muscle cells of the

airways and vasculature, where they are reported to mediate noradrenaline-induced contractile

responses [23, 24]. Interestingly, this receptor class is also expressed in parenchymal

endothelium and fibroblasts [25], which may, in part, account for the capillary leak-associated

fibroblast activation and extracellular matrix expansion caused by intravenous infusion of the α_1-

AR agonist phenylephrine [26, 27] and the therapeutic benefit of systemically administered α_1-

AR antagonism delivered via the oral or intraperitoneal routes [17-19]. However, α_1-ARs are

also expressed by populations of lung epithelia and airway inflammatory cells which may

contribute to noradrenaline's well described role in remodeling responses of apoptosis [28],

inflammatory cell trafficking [29], and cytokine production [30]. This expression pattern suggests

that interventions leveraging inhaled administration might exert therapeutic benefit while

minimizing off-target effects. Elucidation of this biology has the potential to provide insights into

the cell(s) and mechanism(s) through which noradrenaline exerts its fibrogenic effects while

exploring new treatment modalities [31-33] for parenchymal disease.

This study sought to address this knowledge gap by assessing whether inhaled

administration of the non-selective $\alpha1$-AR antagonist terazosin reduces lung inflammation,

pulmonary function, biochemical collagen accumulation, and lung histology in two well accepted

animal models of experimentally induced fibrosis.

Materials and Methods

Study Design

120   The objective of our study was to test the prespecified hypothesis that nebulized delivery

121   of an α_1-AR antagonist, specifically terazosin, would improve experimentally induced pulmonary

122   fibrosis in two commonly used mouse models. Primary endpoints were prospectively determined.

Animals

All animal experiments were approved by the Yale School of Medicine IACUC in accordance with federal regulations (protocol #20292). Twenty-one days following the experimental induction of fibrosis, animals were anesthetized for pulmonary function testing, then humanely sacrificed to isolate bronchoalveolar lavage (BAL), and *en bloc* resection of lungs for soluble collagen quantification and histopathology scoring as we have previously described [34]. C57 Black 6 (C57BL/6) wild-type mice were used for bleomycin experiments. TGFβ1 lung specific over-expressing (*CC10-tTS-rtTA-TGFβ1,* from here on called "*TGFβ1-Tg+*") mice have been described previously [35] and develop fibrosis in response to doxycycline inducible expression of the bioactive form of the human *TGFβ1* gene under the lung specific CC10 promoter. All experimental groups were matched for age and sex.

Bleomycin model

Sex-matched C57BL/6 aged 9 to 11 weeks mice were exposed to a single dose of 1.5 U/kg pharmacologic grade bleomycin (Northstar Rx LLC, NDC 16714-886-0) or sterile saline by orotracheal aspiration [36]. Mice were anesthetized with isoflurane and suspended by their incisors on a standing rack. With the tongue held in gentle retraction, 50 μL bleomycin or saline was pipetted into the oropharynx and aspirated. Animals were sacrificed after 21 days and evaluated for the endpoints described above.

TGFβ1-Tg+ model

Sex-matched 9 to 11-week-old wild-type *TGFβ1-Tg+* mice received 0.5 mg/mL doxycycline hyclate (Thermo Scientific Cat No. J60579.22) in their drinking water for 21 days as previously described [35].

Nebulization Experiments

Starting at day 5 after bleomycin administration or doxycycline initiation, mice received

daily administration of nebulized terazosin (Millipore Sigma, Product No. 1643452) dissolved in

sterile water at concentrations of 0.001 mg/mL, 0.002 mg/mL, 0.01 mg/mL, 0.02 mg/mL, 0.1

mg/mL, and 0.2 mg/mL which were derived to approximate a total inhaled dose of 0.001 mg/kg,

0.002 mg/kg, 0.01 mg/kg, 0.02 mg/kg, 0.1 mg/kg, and 0.2 mg/kg per day (Fig S1) [37].

Concentrations that showed potential benefit were selected for confirmatory studies. Groups of

up to 5 mice from a single cage were placed in a clean plastic box with an attachment for nebulizer

tubing in a manner similar to that used by Schroeder et al [38] for drug administration. Omron

CompAir NE-C28 compressor nebulizers were used to aerosolize and deliver 7 mL of terazosin

or sterile water control on a daily therapeutic schedule over 30 min after which mice were returned

to their original cages. The same terazosin dose was repeated for every treatment within each

group. A schematic of the nebulizer apparatus is shown in Fig 1A.

Fig 1: **Inhalational administration of the nonselective α_1-AR antagonist terazosin does not**

impact lung inflammation. *A*: Experimental design. Inhaled terazosin was started five days after

bleomycin administration or doxycycline induced TGFβ1 transgene activation. Up to 5 mice from

a single cage were placed into a clean, lidded plastic box with an attachment for nebulizer tubing.

7 mL of sterile water or terazosin was loaded into the nebulizer module for each treatment. Tubing

connections were checked to be airtight prior to every treatment. Omron NE-C28 compressor

nebulizers were used to aerosolize and deliver the treatment over 30 minutes. After treatment

mice were returned to their original cages, and the plastic box and nebulizer equipment cleaned

thoroughly. ***B***: In the bleomycin model, relative to vehicle, inhaled terazosin had no significant

impact on lung inflammation as measured by BAL cell counts. ***C***: In the TGFβ1-Tg+ model, relative

to vehicle, inhaled terazosin had no significant impact on lung inflammation as measured by BAL

cell counts. Schematic diagrams created using BioRender.com

BAL cell count

At the time of sacrifice, two aliquots of 0.8 mL of PBS were slowly instilled into lung and the lavage fluid gently aspirated. The combined BAL sample was assessed for white blood cell counts using a Beckman Coulter Ac.T Diff instrument.

Pulmonary function testing

Pulmonary mechanics and airway hyperresponsiveness were assessed in a subset of terazosin-exposed and control mice from both bleomycin and *TGFβ-Tg*⁺ arms of our study using the Sireq FlexiVent Fx computer-controlled piston ventilator running Flexiware version 7.6 [39]. Animals were anesthetized with an intraperitoneally administered mixture of xylazine and ketamine, xylazine (Akorn, NDC 59399-110-20) at a dose of 10 mg/kg and ketamine (Covetrus, NDC 11695-0703-1) at a dose of 100 mg/kg, followed by urethane at a dose between 0.009 to 0.03 g per mouse, administered intraperitoneally. Once an appropriate level of sedation was established, a midline neck incision was made, and the soft tissue dissected to expose the trachea. A rigid 20-guage blunt tipped catheter for female mice or 18-gauge blunt tipped catheter for male mice was used to cannulate the trachea. Mice were then paralyzed with pancuronium bromide (Sigma, Cat# P1918-10mg) at a dose of 10 µg per mouse and attached to the FlexiVent for pulmonary function measurements. Methacholine (SigmaAldrich A2251-25G) was used for airway hyperresponsiveness measurements. After completion of lung function testing, mice were humanely euthanized and harvested for tissue as described above.

Collagen quantification

Right lung soluble collagen content was assessed using the Sircol Soluble Collagen Assay (Biocolor Ltd., CLS 1111) according to the manufacturer's instructions.

Histologic analysis

195   Formalin fixed and paraffin embedded (FFPE) tissue sections obtained from whole left

196   lungs harvested from experimental animals were stained with Masson's trichrome stain to

197   visualize collagen deposition. Modified Ashcroft Scores, a semiquantitative scoring system

198   validated for experimentally induced fibrosis in rodents, were also determined [40].

199 Statistics

200   GraphPad Prism version 9.4.1 was used for statistical analysis and data graphing.

201   Unpaired 2-tailed Mann-Whitney and Kruskal-Wallis tests were used for nonparametric

202   comparisons, and 2-tailed Students T-test and Analysis of Variance used for parametric

203   comparisons. The slopes of expiratory flow-volume loops and airway resistance curves were

204   compared using simple linear regression.

206 Results

207 Inhalational administration of an α_1-AR antagonist does not

208 impact lung inflammation

α_1-AR antagonists administered via oral or intraperitoneal routes demonstrate antifibrotic

benefit in models of cross organ fibrosis including the lung, liver, heart, and kidney [41-44]. To

determine whether similar benefit is achieved by local delivery to the lung, the following

experiments were performed. Because the benefits of α_1-AR antagonism include attenuation of

inflammation [45, 46] and TGFβ1-dependent tissue responses [47], two experimental models

were used: single dose inhaled bleomycin, and lung specific, doxycycline inducible

overexpression of the bioactive form of the human *TGFβ1* gene. In both models, mice received

nebulized terazosin according to a therapeutic schedule (Fig 1A). Doses were determined based

on previous literature indicating a 1:1 conversion between systemic and inhaled delivery of the

related α_1-AR antagonist, Prazosin [48]. Because α_1-AR antagonism mitigates lung inflammation

in a number of contexts, we began by determining whether this treatment might reduce the airway

inflammation associated with pulmonary fibrosis in the hyperinflammatory bleomycin mode. The

assessment of BAL cell counts in the bleomycin model revealed no significant change following

administration of nebulized terazosin at any dose (Fig 1B). Similar findings were seen in the

TGFβ1-Tg+ model, where BAL cell counts remained essentially unaltered by terazosin (Fig 1C).

These data indicate that at the range of doses tested, aerosolized delivery of an α_1-AR antagonist

does not impact the magnitude of lung inflammation.

Inhalational administration of an α_1-AR antagonist does not impact lung compliance or airway resistance

Physiologic changes caused by pulmonary fibrosis include the development of restrictive

lung physiology and our previous work has shown that patients prescribed α_1-AR antagonists for

prostate-related indications exhibited preserved lung compliance as reflected by the forced vital

capacity (FVC) [19] and could improve lung compliance without a concomitant increase in airway

resistance that could be theoretically induced by α_1-AR signaling [48]. To test this hypothesis,

bleomycin-challenged mice that had or had not received inhaled terazosin underwent pulmonary

function testing using the FlexiVent system. In the bleomycin model, mean baseline static lung

compliance of 0.046 mL/cmH2O (Fig 2A), pressure-volume loops (Fig 2B), and mean baseline

maximal airway resistance of 2.96 cmH2O.s/mL assessed following methacholine challenge (Fig

2C) were not significantly changed by terazosin administration. Similar findings were observed in

the *TGFβ1-Tg+* mice, where baseline static compliance of 0.044 mL/cmH2O and airway

resistance of 5.66 cmH2O.s/mL did not display changes in response to nebulized terazosin (Fig

2D-F). These data show that aerosolized delivery of the α_1-AR antagonist terazosin is ineffective

in altering lung physiology in two models of fibrotic ILD.

Fig 2: **Inhalational administration of an α_1-AR antagonist does not impact lung compliance or airway resistance.** *A*: Bleomycin-challenged mice that had or had not received inhaled terazosin underwent pulmonary function testing using the FlexiVent system. Here, a mean baseline static lung compliance of 0.046 mL/cmH2O was observed in untreated mice and was not significantly altered by terazosin administration. *B*: Terazosin did not significantly change the pressure-volume relationship for lungs tested. *C*: Maximal airway resistance assessed following methacholine challenge did not significantly change following terazosin administration from a mean baseline of 2.96 cmH2O.s/mL in untreated mice. *D-F*: Similar findings were observed in the TGFβ1-Tg$^+$ mice, where baseline static compliance of 0.044 mL/cmH2O and airway resistance of 5.66 cmH2O.s/mL did not display changes in response to nebulized terazosin. These data show that aerosolized delivery of the α_1-AR antagonist terazosin is ineffective in altering lung physiology in two models of fibrotic ILD.

Inhalational administration of an α_1-AR antagonist does not impact lung collagen accumulation

Systemic administration of α_1-AR antagonists has been shown to suppress fibroblast activation and its associated accumulation of collagen in numerous models of organ fibrosis. Our own work and that of others shows that this effect extends to the lungs [17, 19]. Reasoning that local delivery of the α_1-AR antagonist terazosin might show similar efficacy, the lungs of bleomycin-challenged mice that did and did not receive terazosin underwent biochemical quantification of collagen using the well described Sircol assay. Using this approach, fold change from a baseline collagen content per whole right lung was essentially unchanged across all terazosin doses tested (Fig 3A). In the *TGFβ1-Tg$^+$* model, promising reductions in the fold change from baseline collagen content per whole right lung were observed at several tested terazosin doses (Fig 3B). Based on these results we selected the terazosin doses of 0.002 and 0.02 mg/mL

for confirmatory studies but were unable to replicate our initial results (Fig 3C-D). Given these

conflicting findings, we sought to confirm our results using histological measures such as

trichrome staining and semi-quantitative modified Ashcroft scores, which complement

biochemical measures by assessing collagen accumulation in parenchymal regions. The results

of these studies were consistent with our confirmatory biochemical measurements, as in the

TGFβ1-Tg+ model trichrome staining and baseline modified Ashcroft scores was similar at the

doses of terazosin assessed (Fig 3E-F). When viewed in combination, our data show that

localized delivery of aerosolized terazosin exerts no observable benefit in these models and is

insufficient to mitigate lung fibrosis.

Fig 3: **Inhalational administration of an α_1-AR antagonist does not impact lung collagen**

accumulation. **A**: Fold change from baseline collagen content per whole right lung was

essentially unchanged across all doses tested in bleomycin challenged mice. **B**: In the TGFβ1-

Tg+ model, initial studies revealed a significant difference in fold change from baseline right lung

collagen content at 0.002 mg/mL, 0.01 mg/mL, 0.02 mg/mL, and 0.1 mg/mL Terazosin. **C-D**: Due

to these initially promising results confirmatory studies were performed for 0.002 mg/mL and 0.02

mg/mL. We were unable to replicate the initially promising differences seen in TGFβ-Tg+ animals

at Terazosin doses of 0.002 mg/mL and 0.02 mg/mL. **E-F**: Trichrome staining and semi-

quantitative Modified Ashcroft Scores done in our confirmatory studies did not significantly change

after administration of terazosin. These data show that in contrast to the previously reported

antifibrotic benefit of systemic α_1-AR, nebulized delivery of this agent is insufficient to mitigate

collagen deposition in lung tissues.

Discussion

This study aimed to determine whether local delivery of the α_1-AR antagonist terazosin

improves markers of pulmonary fibrosis in two different animal models. Our data indicate that the

administration of terazosin in nebulized form did not impact lung inflammation, airway compliance

or resistance, collagen accumulation, or histologic measures of lung remodeling in the bleomycin

and *TGFβ1-Tg*+ models of murine pulmonary fibrosis. These results are in contrast to prior work

in which intraperitoneal administration of terazosin modulates fibrotic changes in bleomycin

challenged mice [17] and numerous studies demonstrating that α_1-AR blockade improves fibrotic

endpoints in cross-organ models of tissue fibrosis including the lung, liver, heart, and kidney [41-

44]. Notably, because the present study assessed localized tissue delivery as opposed to

systemic α_1-AR antagonism, our data indicate that alternate approaches will be required to

support the repositioning of this drug for the treatment of lung fibrosis.

The α_1-ARs are a conserved family of G-protein coupled receptors found in numerous

tissues including the lung and have been identified both intracellularly and on the extracellular

membrane of smooth muscle cells in the airway and pulmonary vasculature, immune cells, and

fibroblasts [23, 24, 49]. Additionally α_1-AR agonism has been linked to increased expression of

inflammatory markers such as IL-1α, IL-1β, IL-6, and TNF-α both systemically and in the lungs,

and prior work has associated this to increased levels of TGFβ [27]. In contrast, systemically

delivered α_1-AR antagonism has been shown to attenuate lung inflammation [45, 46] and TGFβ1-

dependent tissue responses [47], is associated with improved fibrotic endpoints [41-44] and

survival in IPF patients [19]. One interpretation of our conflicting results is that administration of

α_1-AR antagonist by nebulized aerosol might only interact with cells locally in the airway and

alveolus and may not achieve sufficient uptake to the fibrotic interstitium to exert its effects on the

fibroblasts, myofibroblasts, and pericytes that are the primary effectors of fibrosis (Fig 4).

Fig 4: Proposed schematics. *A*. Schematic of the proposed basic mechanism of terazosin. By non-selectively antagonizing α_1-ARs, Terazosin is proposed to block the pro-fibrotic effects of noradrenaline in the lung. ***B***. Adrenergic nerve density is increased in the fibrotic lung and increased noradrenaline is believed to promote a fibrotic phenotype. Numerous cell types including fibroblasts, myofibroblasts, macrophages, airway epithelial cells, and sympathetic neurons express α_1-ARs, and these are up-regulated in fibrosis. There are several mechanisms which may explain the lack of anti-fibrotic effect observed in our study. When terazosin is nebulized and inhaled, particulate size, dosage, and the heterogeneous nature of fibrotic lung may limit the amount of drug that reaches the terminal airways and alveoli (indicated by more lightly shaded particulates in the schematic). Additionally, though remodeling responses secondary to noradrenaline have been described in the epithelium, the terazosin dose when administered in nebulized form may be insufficient to alter pro-fibrotic signaling. Alveolar macrophages, which are more likely to be exposed to terazosin, may not play as large a role in the development of fibrosis in our model. Finally, transport of terazosin across the basement membrane may also be limited so that insufficient quantities of the drug reach interstitial fibroblasts, myofibroblasts, and pericytes. In contrast, systemic administration of terazosin is more likely to achieve therapeutic levels for α_1-AR antagonism in fibrotic foci. Created with BioRender.com

Terazosin is a specific α_1-AR antagonist [50] that has been approved for use for decades in the treatment of benign prostatic hyperplasia and hypertension, and has a well-established safety profile [51, 52]. Its long half-life makes it well suited for once daily administration [53]. Though there are no prior studies assessing inhalational forms of terazosin, other α_1-AR antagonists have been studied in the context of asthma [54] including a nebulized form of another long acting α_1-AR antagonist Prazosin [48, 55, 56]. While these early studies had limited efficacy

in the overall management of asthma, they did indicate that nebulized delivery of α_1-AR

antagonists is a viable modality. However, the fibrotic lung is spatially heterogenous with areas of

normal tissue interspersed with diseased lung that has mismatched ventilation and perfusion [57].

Numerous agents are in development or have been investigated for topical delivery in IPF and

recent work has demonstrated that particulate dimension is critically important for adequate drug

delivery to fibrotic sites [58]. Specifically, Usmani and colleagues demonstrated that a particulate

size of 1.5 μm compared to 6 μm was best for uptake in the fibrotic lung [58]. The Omron CompAir

NE-C28 compressor nebulizers used in the present study produce an aerosol particle size of 3

μm median mass aerodynamic diameter. While suboptimal based upon the aforementioned

study, we believe that this particle size should reach the terminal airways. However, particulate

size may yet represent a potential source for the negative results observed in our study. If too

large, the particulates may become trapped in the oropharynx, trachea, or larger airways and fail

to reach fibrotic regions.

Our study is limited in not having assessed the spatial delivery of drug within the mouse

lung nor systemic uptake of terazosin into the bloodstream. Target engagement was also not

evaluated. Furthermore, our apparatus delivered the aerosolized terazosin into a box containing

several mice such that at least some amount of the aerosol was deposited onto the fur of treated

animals as well as the interior surface of the container, limiting the quantity of terazosin inhaled

into the lungs. Oral ingestion of drug accumulated on the fur or interior surface may further

confound our results. Finally, the dose of terazosin administered, or dosing schedule utilized may

have been insufficient to achieve adequate penetration or α_1-AR blockade. Recent work by Bai et

al using a nanoparticle delivery system presents an alternative method for blocking α_1-ARs that

can be investigated in future studies [59].

Conclusion

Aerosolized delivery of the α_1-AR antagonist terazosin is ineffective at treating fibrosis in

the bleomycin and *TGFβ1-Tg*[+] models of murine pulmonary fibrosis. Given existing data showing

benefit from systemic α_1-AR antagonism in fibrotic models, alternative dosing schedules or modes

of delivery may be more fruitful avenues of research. Further exploration of these findings may

provide new therapeutic options and illuminate the mechanisms through which adrenergic

innervation and α_1-ARs mediate fibrosis in the adult mammalian lung.

Acknowledgements

378   We are grateful for Dr. Qing Liu's instruction of the operation of the FlexiVent System and the

379   Omron CompAir NE-C28 compressor nebulizer.

Supporting information

Fig S1: **Method used to derive Terazosin doses administered. *A***: The time (T_f) to fill the box in which mice were placed for nebulization experiments with nebulized drug is represented by the quotient of Box Volume divided by the Nebulizer Flow Rate. Our enclosures have a volume of 6682 mL, and the Omron CompAir NE-C28 nebulizer has a flow rate of 4000 mL/min. ***B***: The aggregate rate (R) at which nebulized drug enters the box is equal to the total Volume of Drug used divided by the Nebulization Time multiplied by the Drug Concentration loaded into the nebulizer. 7 mL of drug was used in our experiments, and based on our observations, the Omron CompAir NE-C28 nebulizer requires approximately 30 minutes to nebulize all 7 mL. ***C***: The drug weight (D_w) of nebulized terazosin within our enclosure is equal to the product of T_f and R. ***D***: When divided by the box volume, this results in the nebulized drug's concentration (D_c) within the box. Minute Ventilation (V_E) is equal to the product of Respiratory Rate and Tidal Volume. The respiratory rate for adult mice is 187 breaths per minute, and the tidal volume 0.061 mL and 0.094 mL for 20g and 25g mice respectively (38). ***E***: Thus, we derive the total dose of drug in milligrams inhaled (D_t) by a single mouse during each 30-minute nebulization treatment to be equal to the product of D_c, V_E, and nebulization time.

References

1.   Wells AU, Brown KK, Flaherty KR, Kolb M, Thannickal VJ, Group IPFCW. What's in a name? That which we call IPF, by any other name would act the same. Eur Respir J. 2018;51(5). Epub 20180517. doi: 10.1183/13993003.00692-2018. PubMed PMID: 29773608.

2.   Cottin V, Hirani NA, Hotchkin DL, Nambiar AM, Ogura T, Otaola M, et al. Presentation, diagnosis and clinical course of the spectrum of progressive-fibrosing interstitial lung diseases. Eur Respir Rev. 2018;27(150). Epub 20181221. doi: 10.1183/16000617.0076-2018. PubMed PMID: 30578335; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC9489068.

3.   Olson AL, Gifford AH, Inase N, Fernandez Perez ER, Suda T. The epidemiology of idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis and interstitial lung diseases at risk of a progressive-fibrosing phenotype. Eur Respir Rev. 2018;27(150). Epub 20181221. doi: 10.1183/16000617.0077-2018. PubMed PMID: 30578336.

4.   Raghu G, Remy-Jardin M, Richeldi L, Thomson CC, Inoue Y, Johkoh T, et al. Idiopathic Pulmonary Fibrosis (an Update) and Progressive Pulmonary Fibrosis in Adults: An Official ATS/ERS/JRS/ALAT Clinical Practice Guideline. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 2022;205(9):e18-e47. doi: 10.1164/rccm.202202-0399ST. PubMed PMID: 35486072.

5.   Raghu G, Chen SY, Yeh WS, Maroni B, Li Q, Lee YC, et al. Idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis in US Medicare beneficiaries aged 65 years and older: incidence, prevalence, and survival, 2001-11. Lancet Respir Med. 2014;2(7):566-72. Epub 20140527. doi: 10.1016/S2213-2600(14)70101-8. PubMed PMID: 24875841.

6.   Mei Q, Liu Z, Zuo H, Yang Z, Qu J. Idiopathic Pulmonary Fibrosis: An Update on Pathogenesis. Front Pharmacol. 2021;12:797292. Epub 20220119. doi: 10.3389/fphar.2021.797292. PubMed PMID: 35126134; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC8807692.

7.   Ishikawa G, Liu A, Herzog EL. Evolving Perspectives on Innate Immune Mechanisms of IPF. Front Mol Biosci. 2021;8:676569. Epub 20210809. doi: 10.3389/fmolb.2021.676569. PubMed PMID: 34434962; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC8381017.

8.   Wijsenbeek M, Cottin V. Spectrum of Fibrotic Lung Diseases. N Engl J Med. 2020;383(10):958-68. doi: 10.1056/NEJMra2005230. PubMed PMID: 32877584.

9.   Flaherty KR, Wells AU, Cottin V, Devaraj A, Walsh SLF, Inoue Y, et al. Nintedanib in Progressive Fibrosing Interstitial Lung Diseases. N Engl J Med. 2019;381(18):1718-27. Epub 20190929. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1908681. PubMed PMID: 31566307.

10.   Mirakaj V, Dalli J, Granja T, Rosenberger P, Serhan CN. Vagus nerve controls resolution and pro-resolving mediators of inflammation. J Exp Med. 2014;211(6):1037-48. Epub 20140526. doi: 10.1084/jem.20132103. PubMed PMID: 24863066; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC4042652.

11.   Borovikova LV, Ivanova S, Zhang M, Yang H, Botchkina GI, Watkins LR, et al. Vagus nerve stimulation attenuates the systemic inflammatory response to endotoxin. Nature. 2000;405(6785):458-62. doi: 10.1038/35013070. PubMed PMID: 10839541.

12.   Willemze RA, Welting O, van Hamersveld P, Verseijden C, Nijhuis LE, Hilbers FW, et al. Loss of intestinal sympathetic innervation elicits an innate immune driven colitis. Mol Med.

2019;25(1):1. Epub 20190107. doi: 10.1186/s10020-018-0068-8. PubMed PMID: 30616543;
PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC6322236.
13.   Grebe KM, Takeda K, Hickman HD, Bailey AL, Embry AC, Bennink JR, et al. Cutting edge:
Sympathetic nervous system increases proinflammatory cytokines and exacerbates influenza A
virus pathogenesis. J Immunol. 2010;184(2):540-4. Epub 20091216. doi:
10.4049/jimmunol.0903395. PubMed PMID: 20018617; PubMed Central PMCID:
PMCPMC2941093.
14.   Zahalka AH, Arnal-Estape A, Maryanovich M, Nakahara F, Cruz CD, Finley LWS, et al.
Adrenergic nerves activate an angio-metabolic switch in prostate cancer. Science.
2017;358(6361):321-6. doi: 10.1126/science.aah5072. PubMed PMID: 29051371; PubMed
Central PMCID: PMCPMC5783182.
15.   Pirzgalska RM, Seixas E, Seidman JS, Link VM, Sanchez NM, Mahu I, et al. Sympathetic
neuron-associated macrophages contribute to obesity by importing and metabolizing
norepinephrine. Nat Med. 2017;23(11):1309-18. Epub 20171009. doi: 10.1038/nm.4422.
PubMed PMID: 29035364; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC7104364.
16.   Maryanovich M, Zahalka AH, Pierce H, Pinho S, Nakahara F, Asada N, et al. Adrenergic
nerve degeneration in bone marrow drives aging of the hematopoietic stem cell niche. Nat
Med. 2018;24(6):782-91. Epub 20180507. doi: 10.1038/s41591-018-0030-x. PubMed PMID:
29736022; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC6095812.
17.   Ishikawa G, Peng X, McGovern J, Woo S, Perry C, Liu A, et al. alpha1 Adrenoreceptor
antagonism mitigates extracellular mitochondrial DNA accumulation in lung fibrosis models and
in patients with idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis. Am J Physiol Lung Cell Mol Physiol.
2023;324(5):L639-L51. Epub 20230117. doi: 10.1152/ajplung.00119.2022. PubMed PMID:
36648147; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC10110730.
18.   Briest W, Homagk L, Rassler B, Ziegelhoffer-Mihalovicova B, Meier H, Tannapfel A, et al.
Norepinephrine-induced changes in cardiac transforming growth factor-beta isoform
expression pattern of female and male rats. Hypertension. 2004;44(4):410-8. Epub 20040823.
doi: 10.1161/01.HYP.0000141414.87026.4d. PubMed PMID: 15326086.
19.   Gao R, Peng X, Perry C, Sun H, Ntokou A, Ryu C, et al. Macrophage-derived netrin-1
drives adrenergic nerve-associated lung fibrosis. J Clin Invest. 2021;131(1). doi:
10.1172/JCI136542. PubMed PMID: 33393489; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC7773383.
20.   Miki K, Maekura R, Hiraga T, Hashimoto H, Kitada S, Miki M, et al. Acidosis and raised
norepinephrine levels are associated with exercise dyspnoea in idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis.
Respirology. 2009;14(7):1020-6. doi: 10.1111/j.1440-1843.2009.01607.x. PubMed PMID:
19740262.
21.   Gillis CN, Huxtable RJ, Roth RA. Effects of monocrotaline pretreatment of rats on
removal of 5-hydroxytryptamine and noradrenaline by perfused lung. Br J Pharmacol.
1978;63(3):435-43. doi: 10.1111/j.1476-5381.1978.tb07795.x. PubMed PMID: 667487; PubMed
Central PMCID: PMCPMC1668101.
22.   Oriowo MA, Chandrasekhar B, Kadavil EA. Alpha 1-adrenoceptor subtypes mediating
noradrenaline-induced contraction of pulmonary artery from pulmonary hypertensive rats. Eur
J Pharmacol. 2003;482(1-3):255-63. doi: 10.1016/j.ejphar.2003.10.001. PubMed PMID:
14660030.

23.   Reinhardt D. Adrenoceptors and the lung: their role in health and disease. Eur J Pediatr.
1989;148(4):286-93. doi: 10.1007/BF00444116. PubMed PMID: 2651128.
24.   Salvi SS. Alpha1-adrenergic hypothesis for pulmonary hypertension. Chest.
1999;115(6):1708-19. doi: 10.1378/chest.115.6.1708. PubMed PMID: 10378571.
25.   Neumark N, Cosme C, Jr., Rose KA, Kaminski N. The Idiopathic Pulmonary Fibrosis Cell
Atlas. Am J Physiol Lung Cell Mol Physiol. 2020;319(6):L887-L93. Epub 20200930. doi:
10.1152/ajplung.00451.2020. PubMed PMID: 32996785; PubMed Central PMCID:
PMCPMC7792683.
26.   Rassler B, Marx G, Schierle K, Zimmer HG. Catecholamines can induce pulmonary
remodeling in rats. Cell Physiol Biochem. 2012;30(5):1134-47. Epub 20121005. doi:
10.1159/000343304. PubMed PMID: 23171784.
27.   Rassler B. Role of alpha- and beta-adrenergic mechanisms in the pathogenesis of
pulmonary injuries characterized by edema, inflammation and fibrosis. Cardiovasc Hematol
Disord Drug Targets. 2013;13(3):197-207. doi: 10.2174/1871529x1303140129154602. PubMed
PMID: 24479719.
28.   Dincer HE, Gangopadhyay N, Wang R, Uhal BD. Norepinephrine induces alveolar
epithelial apoptosis mediated by alpha-, beta-, and angiotensin receptor activation. Am J
Physiol Lung Cell Mol Physiol. 2001;281(3):L624-30. doi: 10.1152/ajplung.2001.281.3.L624.
PubMed PMID: 11504689.
29.   Abraham E, Kaneko DJ, Shenkar R. Effects of endogenous and exogenous
catecholamines on LPS-induced neutrophil trafficking and activation. Am J Physiol.
1999;276(1):L1-8. doi: 10.1152/ajplung.1999.276.1.L1. PubMed PMID: 9887049.
30.   Staedtke V, Bai RY, Kim K, Darvas M, Davila ML, Riggins GJ, et al. Disruption of a self-
amplifying catecholamine loop reduces cytokine release syndrome. Nature.
2018;564(7735):273-7. Epub 20181212. doi: 10.1038/s41586-018-0774-y. PubMed PMID:
30542164; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC6512810.
31.   Lu M, Fan X, Liao W, Li Y, Ma L, Yuan M, et al. Identification of significant genes as
prognostic markers and potential tumor suppressors in lung adenocarcinoma via
bioinformatical analysis. BMC Cancer. 2021;21(1):616. Epub 20210526. doi: 10.1186/s12885-
021-08308-3. PubMed PMID: 34039311; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC8157630.
32.   Muhl L, Mocci G, Pietila R, Liu J, He L, Genove G, et al. A single-cell transcriptomic
inventory of murine smooth muscle cells. Dev Cell. 2022;57(20):2426-43 e6. doi:
10.1016/j.devcel.2022.09.015. PubMed PMID: 36283392.
33.   Kircali MF, Turanli B. Idiopathic Pulmonary Fibrosis Molecular Substrates Revealed by
Competing Endogenous RNA Regulatory Networks. OMICS. 2023;27(8):381-92. Epub 20230804.
doi: 10.1089/omi.2023.0072. PubMed PMID: 37540140.
34.   Zhou Y, Peng H, Sun H, Peng X, Tang C, Gan Y, et al. Chitinase 3-like 1 suppresses injury
and promotes fibroproliferative responses in Mammalian lung fibrosis. Sci Transl Med.
2014;6(240):240ra76. doi: 10.1126/scitranslmed.3007096. PubMed PMID: 24920662; PubMed
Central PMCID: PMCPMC4340473.
35.   Lee CG, Cho SJ, Kang MJ, Chapoval SP, Lee PJ, Noble PW, et al. Early growth response
gene 1-mediated apoptosis is essential for transforming growth factor beta1-induced
pulmonary fibrosis. J Exp Med. 2004;200(3):377-89. doi: 10.1084/jem.20040104. PubMed
PMID: 15289506; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC2211975.

36.   Mehdizadeh S, Taherian M, Bayati P, Mousavizadeh K, Pashangzadeh S, Anisian A, et al.
Plumbagin attenuates Bleomycin-induced lung fibrosis in mice. Allergy Asthma Clin Immunol.
2022;18(1):93. Epub 20221021. doi: 10.1186/s13223-022-00734-7. PubMed PMID: 36271442;
PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC9585773.
37.   Depledge MH. Respiration and lung function in the mouse, Mus musculus (with a note
on mass exponents and respiratory variables). Respir Physiol. 1985;60(1):83-94. doi:
10.1016/0034-5687(85)90041-6. PubMed PMID: 4001609.
38.   Schroeder WG, Mitrescu LM, Hart ML, Unnithan R, Gilchrist JM, Smith EE, et al. Flexible
low-cost system for small animal aerosol inhalation exposure to drugs, proteins, inflammatory
agents, and infectious agents. Biotechniques. 2009;46(3 Suppl):Piii-Pviii. doi:
10.2144/000112895. PubMed PMID: 19317668.
39.   McGovern TK, Robichaud A, Fereydoonzad L, Schuessler TF, Martin JG. Evaluation of
respiratory system mechanics in mice using the forced oscillation technique. J Vis Exp.
2013;(75):e50172. Epub 20130515. doi: 10.3791/50172. PubMed PMID: 23711876; PubMed
Central PMCID: PMCPMC3684007.
40.   Hubner RH, Gitter W, El Mokhtari NE, Mathiak M, Both M, Bolte H, et al. Standardized
quantification of pulmonary fibrosis in histological samples. Biotechniques. 2008;44(4):507-11,
14-7. doi: 10.2144/000112729. PubMed PMID: 18476815.
41.   Urushiyama H, Terasaki Y, Nagasaka S, Kokuho N, Endo Y, Terasaki M, et al. Naftopidil
reduced the proliferation of lung fibroblasts and bleomycin-induced lung fibrosis in mice. J Cell
Mol Med. 2019;23(5):3563-71. Epub 20190315. doi: 10.1111/jcmm.14255. PubMed PMID:
30873733; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC6484423.
42.   Serna-Salas SA, Arroyave-Ospina JC, Zhang M, Damba T, Buist-Homan M, Munoz-Ortega
MH, et al. alpha-1 Adrenergic receptor antagonist doxazosin reverses hepatic stellate cells
activation via induction of senescence. Mech Ageing Dev. 2022;201:111617. Epub 20211224.
doi: 10.1016/j.mad.2021.111617. PubMed PMID: 34958827.
43.   Perlini S, Palladini G, Ferrero I, Tozzi R, Fallarini S, Facoetti A, et al. Sympathectomy or
doxazosin, but not propranolol, blunt myocardial interstitial fibrosis in pressure-overload
hypertrophy. Hypertension. 2005;46(5):1213-8. Epub 20051010. doi:
10.1161/01.HYP.0000185689.65045.4c. PubMed PMID: 16216989.
44.   Pawluczyk IZ, Patel SR, Harris KP. The role of the alpha-1 adrenoceptor in modulating
human mesangial cell matrix production. Nephrol Dial Transplant. 2006;21(9):2417-24. Epub
20060516. doi: 10.1093/ndt/gfl230. PubMed PMID: 16705025.
45.   Koenecke A, Powell M, Xiong R, Shen Z, Fischer N, Huq S, et al. Alpha-1 adrenergic
receptor antagonists to prevent hyperinflammation and death from lower respiratory tract
infection. Elife. 2021;10. Epub 20210611. doi: 10.7554/eLife.61700. PubMed PMID: 34114951;
PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC8195605.
46.   Li S, Jun T, Tyler J, Schadt E, Kao YH, Wang Z, et al. Inpatient Administration of Alpha-1-
Adrenergic Receptor Blocking Agents Reduces Mortality in Male COVID-19 Patients. Front Med
(Lausanne). 2022;9:849222. Epub 20220228. doi: 10.3389/fmed.2022.849222. PubMed PMID:
35295598; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC8919772.
47.   Munoz-Ortega MH, Llamas-Ramirez RW, Romero-Delgadillo NI, Elias-Flores TG, Tavares-
Rodriguez Ede J, Campos-Esparza Mdel R, et al. Doxazosin Treatment Attenuates Carbon
Tetrachloride-Induced Liver Fibrosis in Hamsters through a Decrease in Transforming Growth

Factor beta Secretion. Gut Liver. 2016;10(1):101-8. doi: 10.5009/gnl14459. PubMed PMID:
26573293; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC4694741.

48. Barnes PJ, Wilson NM, Vickers H. Prazosin, an alpha 1-adrenoceptor antagonist, partially
inhibits exercise-induced asthma. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 1981;68(6):411-5. doi: 10.1016/0091-
6749(81)90193-7. PubMed PMID: 6118384.

49. Koshimizu TA, Tanoue A, Hirasawa A, Yamauchi J, Tsujimoto G. Recent advances in
alpha1-adrenoceptor pharmacology. Pharmacol Ther. 2003;98(2):235-44. doi: 10.1016/s0163-
7258(03)00033-0. PubMed PMID: 12725871.

50. Kyncl JJ. Pharmacology of terazosin. Am J Med. 1986;80(5B):12-9. doi: 10.1016/0002-
9343(86)90846-6. PubMed PMID: 2872801.

51. Li H, Xu TY, Li Y, Chia YC, Buranakitjaroen P, Cheng HM, et al. Role of alpha1-blockers in
the current management of hypertension. J Clin Hypertens (Greenwich). 2022;24(9):1180-6.
doi: 10.1111/jch.14556. PubMed PMID: 36196467; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC9532918.

52. Butt AK, Patel J, Shirwany H, Mirza Q, Hoover J, Khouzam RN. Beneficial Extracardiac
Effects of Cardiovascular Medications. Curr Cardiol Rev. 2022;18(2):e151021197270. doi:
10.2174/1573403X17666211015145132. PubMed PMID: 34779371; PubMed Central PMCID:
PMCPMC9413730.

53. Somberg JC, Achari R, Laddu AR. Terazosin: pharmacokinetics and the effect of age and
dose on the incidence of adverse events. Am Heart J. 1991;122(3 Pt 2):901-5. doi:
10.1016/0002-8703(91)90809-v. PubMed PMID: 1678920.

54. Goldie RG, Lulich KM, Paterson JW. Bronchial Alpha-Adrenoceptor Function in Asthma.
Trends Pharmacol Sci. 1985;6(12):469-72. doi: Doi 10.1016/0165-6147(85)90225-1. PubMed
PMID: WOS:A1985AXH3500012.

55. Barnes PJ, Ind PW, Dollery CT. Inhaled prazosin in asthma. Thorax. 1981;36(5):378-81.
doi: 10.1136/thx.36.5.378. PubMed PMID: 6118964; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC471513.

56. Black JL, Salome C, Yan K, Shaw J. The action of prazosin and propylene glycol on
methoxamine-induced bronchoconstriction in asthmatic subjects. Br J Clin Pharmacol.
1984;18(3):349-53. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2125.1984.tb02475.x. PubMed PMID: 6487474;
PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC1463636.

57. Strickland NH, Hughes JM, Hart DA, Myers MJ, Lavender JP. Cause of regional
ventilation-perfusion mismatching in patients with idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis: a combined
CT and scintigraphic study. AJR Am J Roentgenol. 1993;161(4):719-25. doi:
10.2214/ajr.161.4.8372745. PubMed PMID: 8372745.

58. Usmani OS, Biddiscombe MF, Yang S, Meah S, Oballa E, Simpson JK, et al. The topical
study of inhaled drug (salbutamol) delivery in idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis. Respir Res.
2018;19(1):25. Epub 20180206. doi: 10.1186/s12931-018-0732-0. PubMed PMID: 29409488;
PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC5801831.

59. Bai X, Zhao G, Chen Q, Li Z, Gao M, Ho W, et al. Inhaled siRNA nanoparticles targeting
IL11 inhibit lung fibrosis and improve pulmonary function post-bleomycin challenge. Sci Adv.
2022;8(25):eabn7162. Epub 20220622. doi: 10.1126/sciadv.abn7162. PubMed PMID:
35731866; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC9216512.

Figure 1

Figure 2

Figure 3

A

Adrenaline/
Noradrenaline

Terazosin

α1-AR

↑ FIBROSIS ✕

B Nebulized
Terazosin

Systemic
Terazosin

LEGEND

Noradrenaline

Terazosin

α1-AR

Interstitial Collagen

Fibroblast

Myofibroblast

Interstitial Macrophage

Alveolar Macrophage

Type 1 Pneumocyte

Type 2 Pneumocyte

Pericyte

Airway Smooth Muscle

Adrenergic Nerve

Alveolar Capillary

Figure 4