Amalgam plays a dual role in controlling the number of leg muscle progenitors and regulating their interactions with developing tendon

Blandine Moucaud, Elodie Prince, Elia Ragot, Yoan Renaud, Krzysztof Jagla, Guillaume Junion and Cédric Soler*

GReD Institute, UMR CNRS 6293, INSERM U1103, University of Clermont-Auvergne, Clermont-Ferrand, France

Keywords: myogenesis, tendon development, muscle transcriptome, cell adhesion, *Drosophila*, Amalgam, Neurotactin

ABSTRACT

Formation of functional organs requires cell-cell communication between different cell lineages, and failure in this communication can result in severe developmental defects. Hundreds of possible interacting pairs of proteins are known, but identifying the interacting partners that ensure a specific interaction between two given cell types remains challenging. Here, we use the *Drosophila* leg model and our cell type-specific transcriptomic datasets to uncover the molecular mediators of cell-cell communication between tendon and muscle precursors. Through the analysis of gene expression signatures of appendicular muscle and tendon precursor cells, we identify two candidates for early interactions between these two cell populations: *Amalgam (Ama)* encoding a secreted protein and *Neurotactin (Nrt)* known to encode a membrane-bound protein. Developmental expression and function analyses reveal that: i) Ama is expressed in the leg myoblasts, whereas Nrt is expressed in adjacent tendon precursors, and ii) in Ama and Nrt mutants, myoblast-tendon cell-cell association is lost, leading to tendon developmental defects. Furthermore, we demonstrate that Ama acts downstream of the FGFR pathway to maintain the myoblast population by promoting cell survival and proliferation in a Nrt-independent manner. Together, our data pinpoint Ama and Nrt as molecular actors ensuring early reciprocal communication between leg muscle and tendon precursors, a prerequisite for the coordinated development of the appendicular musculoskeletal system.

INTRODUCTION

Development of organs in a multicellular organism requires the orchestration of cell-cell interactions that control the assembly of various cell types. Altered cellular communication or inappropriate responses to intercellular signals can lead to major developmental defects (Mattes and Scholpp 2018). Research on multicellular organism development generally focuses on one specific tissue. Classically, these studies highlight how the activation of signalling pathways, in a cell-autonomous or non cell-autonomous manner, influences the fate or behavior of a particular cell type. However, bi-directional communication between

different cell types, and how these cells are integrated into a functional physiological unit, have been less investigated.

The limb musculoskeletal system is a remarkable model to study the integration of multiple cell types. It is composed of muscle fibers, motor neurons, blood vessels and connective tissue (CT) cells. CTs include tendons connecting muscles to bones and irregular muscle CT cells (MCT) surrounding and connecting muscle fibers. During vertebrate development, CT progenitors from the lateral plate mesoderm and myogenic cells from the myotome undergo a coordinated migration into the limb bud and differentiate coordinately to build the appendicular musculoskeletal organ. Flies do not possess an internal skeleton. Instead, their muscles are connected to the exoskeleton through specialized muscle attachment cells known as apodemes. These structures are regarded as the functional counterparts of tendons found in vertebrates. Tendon and muscle progenitors of the *Drosophila* larval muscle system develop independently from each other (Becker et al. 1997). However, in the *Drosophila* leg, the behavior of tendon and muscle progenitors are intimately linked (Soler et al. 2004, 2016). This suggests that, like in vertebrates, there are reciprocal interactions between muscle and CT progenitors shaping the appendage musculature (Hasson 2011, Nassari *et al.* 2017, laurichesse et al. 2020).

Drosophila leg musculature develops from the appendicular muscle precursors that lie on the surface of the leg disc in close vicinity of the leg tendon precursors that derive from the disc epithelium. At the onset of metamorphosis, tendon precursors undergo a collective cell migration and form long internal structures inside the developing leg, whereas myoblasts aggregate and engage in a coordinated migration following the invaginating tendon precursors. Consequently the perturbation of tendon formation during the early steps of leg development affects the spatial localization of the associated myoblasts (reviewed in Laurichesse and Soler 2020). Interestingly, *Drosophila* leg myoblasts express *ladybird (lb)*, the vertebrate ortholog of *Lbx1,* which is also expressed in vertebrate myoblasts and required for their migration into the limb buds (Brohmann *et al.* 2000, Gross *et al.* 2000, Maqbool *et al.* 2006). Moreover, appendicular tendon specification and invagination/migration rely on Stripe (Sr), Dar1 and Odd-skipped (Odd), three transcription factors whose vertebrate orthologs are markers of limb tendon and MCT cells (Vallecillo-García *et al.* 2017, Orgeur *et al.* 2018). Strikingly, in the limb of chick embryo, a subset of MCT cells expressing Odd skipped-related 1 (Osr1), the ortholog of Odd, were observed in close association with migrating Lbx1+ myogenic progenitors (Vallecillo-García *et al.* 2017). In *Osr1* mutant, the pool and distribution of myogenic cell is altered, suggesting that muscle progenitors require Osr1+ MCT cells to survive/proliferate and/or to reach the correct position (Vallecillo-García *et al.* 2017).

Thus, convergent observations in vertebrates and invertebrates suggest the existence of a common genetic circuitry that controls muscle and CT progenitor interactions, and coordination during appendicular development. The molecular signals that ensure the

specificity of interactions between subpopulations of myoblasts and their respective tendon precursors remain largely unknown. This prompted us to use the *Drosophila* model to search for candidates affecting early interactions between muscle and tendon precursors.

Nrt is a transmembrane protein of the serine esterase-like family and belongs to the family of neuronal cell adhesion molecules (de la Escalera *et al.* 1990, Hortsch *et al.* 1990, Frémion *et al.* 2000, Liebl *et al.* 2003, Speicher *et al.* 1998). Ama is a secreted protein of the immunoglobulin superfamily (Seeger *et al.* 1988) that plays a role as a cell adhesion molecule involved in axon guidance (Frémion *et al.* 2000, Liebl *et al.* 2003) by acting through its ligand, Nrt. In the central nervous system, Ama/Nrt also regulate neuroblast specification (Becker *et al.* 2016), and Ama affects glial cell proliferation/survival and migration through the receptor tyrosine kinase signaling (RTK) by modulating the expression of Sprouty, a negative regulator of the RTK pathway (Hacohen *et al.* 1998, Reich *et al.* 1999, Ariss *et al.* 2020). More recently, two independent single-cell transcriptomic studies indicated that Ama and Nrt are expressed in a subset of flight muscle progenitors. In the wing disc, depletion of Ama results in a reduced pool of wing disc-associated myoblasts (Zappia *et al.* 2020), and Nrt is critical for proper direct flight muscle development (Everetts *et al.* 2021).

Here we generate transcriptomic datasets from myogenic precursors and analyze them together with our previously published datasets on isolated tendon precursors (Laurichesse *et al.* 2021) and identify Neurotactin (Nrt) and its binding partner, Amalgam (Ama), as candidates selectively expressed in a specific tendon cluster and in leg myoblasts, respectively. We show that knockdown of Ama results in a dramatic decrease of the leg disc myoblast population independently of Nrt. However, later during metamorphosis, Ama and Nrt are both required for keeping tendon and myogenic precursor cells closely associated, thus ensuring correct development of appendicular muscles. These results highlight an unexpected double role of Ama during leg muscle formation in both maintaining the pool of leg muscle precursor cells and coordinating tendon-muscle precursor growth.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Transcriptomics data identify Ama and Nrt as a candidate pair for cell-cell interaction

We previously reported transcriptional signatures of leg tendon precursor cells (Laurichesse *et al.* 2021) at the developmental time point of 0 h after pupae formation (APF) when sub-populations of myoblasts localize around clusters of tendon precursors. Here, we analyzed the transcriptome of leg disc myoblasts at the same time of development to identify potential interacting pairs. After fluorescence-activated cell sorting and RNA sequencing of the myoblasts (see Material and Methods and GSE245192), we performed differential gene expression analysis and identified a set of 2,236 differentially expressed (DE) genes in myoblasts compared to all other cells in the leg disc (Fig. 1A). Amongst enriched genes

(FC>1.5), we consistently found genes specifically expressed in leg myoblast or involved in leg muscle development, such as *twist, htl, zfh1, him, mef2, vg*, *cut* (Bate *et al.* 1991, Broadie and Bate 1991, Sudarsan *et al.* 2001, Soler *et al.* 2004, Maqbool *et al.* 2006, Soler and Taylor 2009, Boukhatmi and Bray 2018)*. Gene ontology (GO) analysis of enriched genes showed an over-representation of GO terms related to muscle development (Fig. 1B). We then searched for corresponding interacting partners expressed in muscle and tendon precursors. Considering a RPKM>5, we identified up to 290 pairs of cell surface or secreted proteins known to interact with each other. To identify pairs of interactors more likely to participate in specific interactions between tendon cells and myoblasts, we narrowed down this list to genes exhibiting a more specific expression (FC > 1.5) in tendon cells and myoblasts compared to other disc cells (Fig. 1C). Amongst the most enriched genes, we found *Nrt* encoding a transmembrane protein (RPKM=234, FC=2.5) specifically in tendon cells and *Ama* encoding its binding partner. *Ama* was highly enriched both in myoblasts (RPKM=385, FC=3) and in tendon cells (RPKM=221, FC=1.7). These data prompted us to analyze in detail the expression pattern of *Ama* and *Nrt* during leg disc development.

Ama and Nrt show a complementary expression pattern in muscle and tendon precursors of the leg disc

As tendon precursors in the leg disc are specified from third larval instar (L3) and elongate during early metamorphosis, we performed immunostaining using anti-Nrt antibody on leg discs from L3 to 5 h APF expressing Lifeact.GFP in developing tendons (Sr>Lifeact.GFP line; Laurichesse *et al.* 2021) (Fig. 2A-D; I-L). Remarkably, in L3 stage, *Nrt* is expressed in only one cluster of tendon cells, in the dorsal femur, corresponding to the future tibia levator tendon (*tilt*) (for nomenclature see Soler et al. 2004) (Fig. 2A-B). This tendon precursor-associated Nrt expression remains restricted to the dorsal femur in the next stages of development (Fig. 2C-D; I-J). In addition, Nrt is detected in the chordotonal organ (Fig. 2A-D; I-J) and in the two most distal neuronal precursors that project axons in tarsi (Jan *et al.* 1985). To follow *Ama* expression we generated an *Ama::EGFP* knock-in line. In stages preceding tendon cell specification, Ama::EGFP protein can already be detected in the vicinity of leg disc myoblasts (Suppl. Fig.1A-F). From L3 stage to 5 h APF, Ama is associated with muscle precursors located in the presumptive femur, tibia, and tarsi segments (Fig. 2E-H; K-L), and is barely detectable around myoblasts in more proximal regions, at the periphery of the leg disc. This observation suggests that distal myoblasts could be the major source of secreted Ama protein. Noticeably, as early as L3 stage, Ama protein strongly accumulates in the dorsal femur at the interface with Nrt-expressing tilt tendon (arrowheads in Fig. 2E-H; K-L). To accurately document the expression of *Ama* and *Nrt* in this specific region, we used confocal Airyscan technology to image at high spatial resolution, 5h APF leg discs from a Sr>CAAXmCherry ; *Ama::EGFP* line immunostained for the myoblast (Twist) and tendon (mCherry) markers and for Ama (EGFP) and Nrt (Fig. 2M-N; M'-N'). High-resolution imaging of dorsal femur region reveals close association of myoblasts with the invaginating tendon (Fig. 2M, N). A closer view

confirms that Nrt protein is specifically expressed by the developing tendon and concentrate at the membrane of cytoplasmic projections facing myoblasts (**Fig. 2M' and N'**). In contrast, Ama::EGFP staining accumulates at the interface between the myoblasts and the membrane of the tilt tendon cells exhibiting a more diffuse pattern, as expected for a secreted protein (**Fig. 2M'-N'**). This corroborates our previous findings that myoblasts align along this tendon, and disruption in tendon development impact their spatial distribution. To further investigate the membrane proximity of these two cell types, we identified a new myoblast-specific Gal4 line, R32D05-Gal4 (**Suppl. Fig. 1G-I**; Jenett et al. 2012), to generate a transgenic line expressing a membrane tagged tdTomato fluorescent protein under the R32D05 regulatory region (R32D05::mCD4tdTomato). This line was crossed with the Sr-Gal4 line expressing a membrane tagged myrGFP protein (Sr>UAS-myrGFP), and 5h APF leg discs from the F1 generation were immunostained using anti-Twist antibody. High-resolution images, using Airyscan technology, confirmed the close proximity of the two membranes, highlighting cytoplasmic filopodia from tendon cells weaving between myoblasts (Fig. 2O-P; O'-P'). These observations support the hypothesis of physical interaction between the myoblasts and developing tendons.

To precisely determine the origin of *Ama* expression, we performed a series of *in situ* hybridizations to detect *Ama* RNA in leg discs (Fig. 3). We used the myoblast-specific Gal4 line R32D05-Gal4 crossed with an UAS-GFP line. In L3 larval leg disc of R32D05>GFP line, *Ama* RNA colocalizes with most GFP+ myoblasts as well as in three distinct clusters in tarsal segments, revealing an additional source of Ama in most distal segments (Fig. 3A-C). In the dorsal femur, in the vicinity of Nrt+ tendon, myoblasts show a particularly high level of *Ama* RNA. To confirm that *Ama* expression is myoblast-specific, we crossed a UAS-AmaRNAi line with R32D05-Gal4>UAS-GFP line (Jenett *et al.* 2012). Ama RNAi expression in myoblasts led to a strong reduction of *Ama* RNA level in leg disc, except in the three clusters in the tarsi where R32D05-Gal4 driver is not expressed (Fig. 3D-F). In the dorsal femur, *Ama* expression is completely abolished indicating that at L3 stage, myoblasts are the only source of Ama in this region. However, at later stage of development (5h APF), *Ama* RNA was clearly detectable in both myoblasts and in the tilt tendon in control leg disc (Suppl. Fig. 2A-C; G-I). Accordingly, when we used specific Gal4 drivers to induce UAS-AmaRNAi either in myoblasts (R32D05-Gal4) or tendon (Sr-Gal4), *Ama* expression was abolished only in myoblasts or only in the tendon (Suppl. Fig. 2D-F; J-L). This result indicates that, a few hours after pupae formation, the tendon in the dorsal femur also expresses *Ama.* To determine whether myoblasts are the sole source of the Ama protein in the dorsal femur, we examined the presence of the Ama protein in R32D05-Gal4>UAS-AmaRNAi discs, where most of the myoblasts are missing at 5h APF. To mitigate any potential effects of the GFP peptide on the stability of the Ama::EGFP protein, we used an antibody that directly targets the Ama protein (Zeev-Ben-Mordehai *et al.* 2009b). When *Ama* expression is specifically reduced in myoblasts, the Ama protein level is barely detected in the femur region at 5h APF (**Suppl Fig. 2M-P**). This suggests that, although *Ama* transcripts are detected in tilt at 5h APF, the myoblasts remain the major source of Ama protein at this stage.

Overall, our expression analyses indicate that most myoblasts express *Ama,* while *Nrt* is restricted to a single developing tendon (tilt). *Ama* expression is also initiated earlier in larval development than that of Nrt and could be detected in leg myoblasts at L2 larval stage (Suppl. Fig. 1A-F). Thus, spatial and temporal expression patterns of Ama and Nrt suggest that during appendicular myogenesis Ama could act not only by interacting with Nrt but also in a Nrt-independent way.

Ama controls myoblast number in an Nrt-independent way

Ama was previously shown to regulate the pool of glial cells and flight muscle progenitors (Zappia *et al.* 2020, Ariss *et al.* 2020). Interestingly, Ama is expressed in leg disc myoblasts from the early larval stage onwards (Suppl. Fig. 1A-C). Ama downregulation in myoblasts in R32D05-Gal4>UAS-GFP leg discs (Fig. 3) leads to a strong reduction in GFP fluorescence, suggesting that the number of myoblasts could have been reduced. Because the number of total leg disc myoblasts and their proliferative rate have never been precisely determined, we first counted them at different stages of development using a Twist antibody. The number of myoblasts grows from a pool of around ten per disc primordia at the end of embryonic stage to 36 (+/-10) at mid-L2 stage and to 653(+/-82) by the end of L3 stage.

To thoroughly assess the effect of Ama knockdown on the pool of myoblasts, we used a Twist antibody to compare the number of muscle progenitors in L3 leg discs from R32D05-Gal4>UAS-AmaRNAi, R32D05-Gal4>UAS-mcherryRNAi and w[1118] strains. Myoblast-specific RNAi-knockdown of *Ama* leads to a severe reduction in muscle precursors with almost no Twist+ myoblasts (<10/disc) when compared to both controls (Fig. 4A-B and E). No significant differences could be observed between R32D05-Gal4>UAS-mcherryRNAi and w[1118] controls, with 643 and 653 myoblasts on average, respectively by the end of L3. Thus, Ama depletion results in a severe reduction of Twist+ myoblasts associated with the leg disc. As a decrease in the number of cells may be due to a defect in cell proliferation and/or to an increase in cell death, we tested these two variables by immunostaining L3 leg discs with antibodies against either the mitotic marker phospho-histone H3 (pH3) or the apoptotic marker caspase-activated Dcp1. Because these two antibodies were raised in rabbit, as was the Twist antibody, we could not use this latter to visualize the myoblasts. So, we performed the anti-Dcp1 and anti-pH3 immunostainings on R32D05-Gal4>UAS-AmaRNAi and R32D05-Gal4>UAS-mcherryRNAi leg discs expressing UAS-GFP to visualize the myoblasts (Fig. 4F-J). Then, we quantified the number of GFP cells that were pH3+ or Dcp1+. In control leg discs, 1.8% of cells were pH3+/GFP+. After *Ama* RNAi induction, this ratio decreased at 0.7% (Fig. 4F-H). 2.5% of GFP+ cells were also Dcp1+ in controls, indicating the cells were apoptotic. This ratio increased to 13.8% when *Ama* was knocked down. (Fig. 4H-J). The low number of total myoblasts in L3 leg discs after Ama knockdown (<10) can make these outcomes difficult to interpret. Thus, to increase the number of myoblasts at the time of counting (L3), we delayed the expression of the *UAS-AmaRNAi* transgene using Gal80[ts], a thermosensitive form of the Gal4-inhibitor Gal80

(McGuire *et al.* 2003). In this way, we induced *UAS-AmaRNAi* expression from L2 stage, and we could count an average of 100 GFP+ cells in L3 stage. The percentage of mitotic cells was still significantly lower compared to controls, and the percentage of apoptotic cells was higher (Fig. 4H), indicating that Ama depletion affects both viability and the proliferation rate of leg disc myoblasts. Since *Ama* expression was also observed in tendon cell (Fig. 2 and Suppl. Fig. 2), we tested whether AmaKD could similarly impact the viability and the proliferation rate of these cells. However, anti-Ph3 and anti-Dcp1 immunostainings showed no difference between Sr-Gal4>UAS-AmaRNAi and control leg discs (Suppl. Fig. 3 A-C).

A previous study suggested that Ama-depleted flight muscle precursors were unable to proliferate but the authors could not assay a defect in proliferation rate (Zappia *et al.* 2020). Moreover, Nrt was expressed in flight muscle precursors and its depletion resulted in a flight muscle defect, suggesting that Ama may interact with Nrt to regulate the pool of flight muscle myoblasts (Zappia *et al.* 2020, Everetts *et al.* 2021). To test this possibility in leg myoblasts, we counted the number of Twist+ cells in leg discs of Nrt^1/Nrt^2 transheterozygous mutants. We observed no differences compared to w^{1118} or with heterozygous Nrt^1/Nrt^+ and Nrt^2/Nrt^+ leg discs (Fig. 4C-E). This result is consistent with the absence of *Nrt* expression in myoblasts at L3 stage (Fig. 2). Moreover, myoblast depletion can already be observed in Ama-RNAi expressing leg discs of earlier stage L2 (Suppl. Fig. 3A-F), a stage when Nrt could not be detected in leg disc even in tendon cells, which are specified at the beginning of L3 stage (Suppl. Fig. 3G-I). We conclude that Ama controls the number of leg myoblasts in an Nrt-independent way during larval stages.

Ama is a potential downstream effector of FGF pathway in the regulation of myoblast number

A previous study proposed that Ama could modulate the Receptor Tyrosine Kinase (RTK) pathway activity during *Drosophila* brain development by regulating Sprouty (Sty) expression, a negative regulator of this pathway (Ariss *et al.* 2020). In this study, when Ama was specifically attenuated in glial cells, single-cell RNA-sequencing showed a strong downregulation of cell cycle genes such as *PCNA* and *Cyclins,* as well as high expression of the pro-apoptotic gene *hid,* supporting a role of Ama in regulating cell survival and proliferation. Interestingly, we had previously shown that the RTK pathway, FGFR, is involved in regulating the number of leg disc myoblasts (Maqbool *et al.* 2006). To test whether Ama could regulate myoblast number through the modulation of the RTK pathway, we conducted a set of gain- and loss-of-function experiments using the R32D05-Gal4 line. First, we counted the total number of Twist+ myoblasts in leg disc expressing an active form of the downstream effector of the RTK pathway, ERK, also named Rolled (UAS-rl^{sem}), a dominant-negative form (UAS-Htl^{DN}) or a constitutively active form (UAS-Htl^{CA}) of the FGF receptor Heartless (Htl) (Fig. 4K). Compared to control (mean=643 myoblasts/disc, n=37), we found that the number of myoblasts was significantly increased in rl^{sem} (mean=1032, n=14) and in Htl^{CA} (mean=1706, n=27) expressing

leg discs, and reduced after Htl[DN] induction in myoblasts (mean=410, n=19). This result confirmed that RTK pathways, and notably the FGFR pathway, are involved in controlling the number of total myoblasts. Over-expression of Sty (UAS-Sty) resulted in a significant decrease of myoblast number (mean=502, n=19), whereas its reduction (UAS-StyRNAi) leads to more myoblasts (mean=839, n=18), in accordance with its role of inhibiting FGFR pathway (Hacohen *et al.* 1998).

As Ama negatively regulates Sty expression in glial cells, we asked whether Ama could counteract Sty-induced changes in myoblast numbers in the developing leg. We found that Ama overexpression (Ama-HA) alone cannot rescue the reduced number of myoblasts caused by Sty overexpression (UAS-lacZ;UAS-Sty) (Fig. 4L). Similarly, no rescue was observed when co-expressing AmaRNAi with StyRNAi compared to UAS-lacZ;UAS-AmaRNAi (Fig. 4L). These results indicate that the hypothesis that Ama stimulates the FGFR pathway by negatively regulating *Sty* expression is not sufficient to explain the role of Ama in controlling leg myoblast number (see Material and Methods for myoblast counting details). Nevertheless, we continued to investigate possible connections between Ama and the FGFR pathway. Previous work has shown that the expression of the constitutively active form of ERK can rescue the phenotype of Ama depletion in glial cells (Ariss *et al.* 2020). Therefore, we tried to rescue the UAS-AmaRNAi phenotype by co-expressing the UAS-rl[sem]. However, rl[sem] over-expression was insufficient to rescue myoblast depletion (Fig. 4L). Since we could not show an upstream effect of Ama on the FGFR pathway, we asked if Ama could act downstream. Strikingly, leg discs co-expressing UAS-AmaHA and UAS-Htl[DN] showed a significantly higher number of myoblasts compared to the ones expressing UAS-Htl[DN]; UAS-mcherryRNAi. This suggests that Ama could, in fact, be a target of the FGFR pathway. Lastly, when Ama was overexpressed in a context where Sty expression was decreased (UAS-StyRNAi; UAS-AmaHA), the increase in the number of myoblasts was even higher than when only Sty expression was reduced (Fig. 4L). However, the mere overexpression of Ama (UAS-AmaHA) without modifying Sty expression had no impact on the number of myoblasts (Fig. 4K).

Thus, our results indicate that Ama does not promote myoblast proliferation by alleviating Sprouty's inhibitory effect on the RTK pathway, in contrast to the previously described effect on glial cells (Ariss *et al.* 2020). However, the fact that Ama over-expression and Sty knockdown show an additive effect on myoblasts number and that Ama overexpression could improve loss of myoblasts in the Htl[DN] context supports a model in which Ama acts downstream of FGF/RTK pathway. Another, non-mutually exclusive, possibility is that Ama enhances myoblast responsiveness to proliferation-inducing FGF signals. This view is supported by two studies. First, Ama knockdown was found to reduce the suppressive effect on retinal degeneration of the E3 ligase SORDD1 (Xu *et al.* 2020). Second, a two-hybrid screen found Ama interaction with Syndecan (Nguyen *et al.* 2016), a proteoglycan acting as a co-receptor that modulates ligand availability for the FGF receptor (Stork *et al.* 2014).

Ama and its receptor Nrt are required for myoblast-tendon adhesion

Given the restricted *Nrt* expression in one unique tendon precursor, the tilt, and the known role of Nrt as an adhesion molecule (de la Escalera *et al.* 1990, Hortsch *et al.* 1990, Frémion *et al.* 2000), we hypothesized that Ama and Nrt interact to ensure adhesion between myoblasts and the tilt. To test this hypothesis, we used an alternative myoblast specific driver, R15B03-Gal4 (Suppl. Fig.4A-F), to induce Ama attenuation (UAS-AmaRNAi) after the extensive larval phases of proliferation. We combined the R15B03-Gal4 driver with R79D08-lexA that induced lexAop-GFP transgene in tendon cells (Suppl. Fig.4G-I), allowing us to observe the developing tendons while inducing UAS-AmaRNAi in myoblasts from late L3 stage. We then analyzed the consequences of late myoblast-specific *Ama* knockdown on myoblast distribution at 5 h APF, a time when myoblasts are properly aligned along the elongating tilt tendon (Soler *et al.* 2016, Fig. 5A and A'). Late myoblast-specific *Ama* knockdown results in an apparent misdistribution of the myoblasts around the tilt tendon (Fig. 5B-B' compared to 5A-A'). To quantify the myoblast position variability relative to the tilt, we measured the shortest distance between each myoblast and the surface of the tilt and calculated the myoblast-to-tendon mean distance (dMT) for each disc (for detailed protocol see Material and Methods **and Suppl. Fig. 5**). In controls, myoblasts are located at an overall average dMT of 4 μm from the tilt across all discs, whereas in AmaRNAi-expressing leg discs, this value increased slightly but significantly to 5.4 μm. This result indicates that *Ama* knockdown, leads to myoblasts misdistribution around the tilt, suggesting that the reduction of Ama level could affect myoblast-tendon adhesion (Fig. 5D). To better assess whether *Ama* knockdown increases the probability of the myoblasts being located farther away from the tilt than in control, we calculated the percentage of discs for which the dMT was greater than 4 μm (average dMT across all control discs). 68% of the discs expressing R15B03-Gal4>AmaRNAi had a dMT greater than 4 μm, compared to 45% of the control discs (Fig. 5E). Thus, reducing level of *Ama* expressed by the myoblasts significantly increases the proportion of discs in which myoblasts are not properly associated with the tilt. In parallel, we generated a strain carrying the Nrt^2 allele and the R79D08-lexA>lexAop-GFP transgenes that we crossed with the line carrying the Nrt^1 allele. F1 were then analyzed the same way as Ama knockdowns for myoblast positioning relative to the tilt. R79D08-lexA>lexAop-GFP; Nrt^2/Nrt^1 transheterozygous mutants exhibit an average dMT of 6.2 μm, significantly elevated compared to the average dMT of R79D08-lexA>lexAop-GFP of 4.3 μm and R79D08-lexA>lexAop-GFP; Nrt^2/Nrt^+ controls (4.8 μm) (Fig. 5D). As expected, the percentage of discs with a dMT greater than the average dMT of control discs (4.3 μm) was much higher in transheterozygous mutants (84%) compared to controls (48% and 45%, respectively - Fig. 5E). Thus, in both *Ama* and *Nrt* knockdown contexts, myoblasts lose their ability to aggregate and to align along their corresponding tendon. These results support the hypothesis that Ama/Nrt heterophilic interactions play a role in myoblasts for tendon precursor adhesion. Given that Ama is a secreted protein (Seeger *et al.* 1988) and Nrt is only present on the surface of the tendon, it is likely that Ama interacts with another membrane-associated protein at the surface of the myoblasts. Alternatively, the presence of a short hydrophobic domain at its COOH-terminal end suggests that Ama could directly tether

to the membrane via a glycosyl-phosphotidylinositol (GPI) anchor (Seeger *et al.* 1988) similar to other cell adhesion molecules (Saha *et al.* 2016).

Thus, Ama could mediate tendon-myoblast adhesion by interacting with Nrt on the tendon side and myoblast-myoblast adhesion on the other side, directly or through another unidentified transmembrane receptor. Ama is orthologous to several Ig-like neuronal cell adhesion molecules in vertebrates, including the IgLON 4 and 5 GPI-anchored membrane proteins that regulate myoblast adhesion during myogenesis and muscle regeneration by providing an essential microenvironment that ensures muscle stem cell survival (Lim *et al.* 2021, 2022).
Thus, the conservation of Ama's function could highlight a pivotal role in sustaining muscle tissue homeostasis and development across evolution.

Ama and Nrt contribute to long tendon morphogenesis

In addition to the loss of myoblast adhesion observed in Nrt mutants and R15B03-Gal4>AmaRNAi discs, we also noticed a general alteration in the morphology of the tilt. In particular, the tilt appears shorter in mutants compared to controls (Fig. 5B' and C'). Suggesting that in addition to their role in myoblast positioning, Ama-Nrt interaction would also contribute to tendon morphogenesis. This would support the hypothesis of a reciprocal influence between these two cell types for coordinating their developmental fate.
Given the implications of Ama and Nrt in glial cell migration and axonal pathfinding (Liebl *et al.* 2003, Liu *et al.* 2020, Ariss *et al.* 2020), and because leg long tendons form by collective migration of epithelial cells (Laurichesse *et al.* 2021), we wondered if loss of Ama or Nrt could affect tilt elongation. We measured the length of the tilt relative to the length of the femur (see Materials and Methods), and found that tilts in discs with *Ama* knockdown in myoblasts are shorter than in control (Fig. 5G). In a similar manner, the combination of transheterozygous *Nrt[1]/Nrt[2]* mutants exhibit a shorter tilt compared to controls (Fig. 5G). Interestingly, in both cases, the overall tendon volume is not affected. This result implies that the tendon shortening is somehow compensated for by a widening of the structure, resulting in a clearly visible deformation of the tendon (Fig. 5F). As we showed that tendon cells also express *Ama*, we investigated the effect of tendon-specific *Ama* knockdown on tendon elongation. Tendon specific induction of UAS-AmaRNAi using Sr-Gal4 driver did not result in any tendon elongation defects (Fig. 5F and G). Thus, Ama secretion by the myoblasts, likely interacting with Nrt at the tendon surface, is essential for proper elongation and morphogenesis of the tilt long tendon.

Altogether, these results support a model in which Ama::Nrt interaction is important for ensuring specificity of myoblast to tendon adhesion. These results also suggest that, in return, Ama could provide a guiding cue through Nrt that would be necessary for the correct growth of the tendon. It has been shown that Ama and Nrt are dominant enhancers of the axon

misguidance phenotype observed in an Abelson tyrosine kinase (Abl) mutant (Liebl *et al.* 2003). Because Abl regulates modulators of the actin cytoskeleton (Liebl *et al.* 2000, Grevengoed *et al.* 2003, Kannan *et al.* 2017) is tempting to speculate that activation of this pathway by Ama/Nrt could contribute to tendon growth in coordination with myoblast adhesion.

CONCLUSION

Overall, we identify *Ama* and *Nrt* as a part of transcriptional signature of developing leg muscle and tendon precursors. We show that Ama is a key player with a double role during appendicular myogenesis. First, during early larval development, Ama acts in a myoblast-autonomous way to promote their proliferation and to establish the appropriate number of leg myoblasts, independentlty of Nrt. Our genetic analyses indicate that Ama acts downstream of FGFR pathway to fulfill this function. Second, slightly later in development, Ama secreted by myoblasts interacts with Nrt expressed at the surface of one of leg tendon (tilt) precursors. This interaction is crucial for myoblast-tendon specific adhesion, tilt tendon elongation, and consequently, coordinated development of tilt-associated appendicular muscle. Thus, Ama and Nrt represent a pair of molecules that ensure early cross talk between two key components of developing muscle, the tendon precursors and myoblasts. This result points to the importance of reciprocal cell-cell communication during early steps of muscle development. We also uncover a dual role for Ama in regulating both myoblast number and cell adhesion, indicating that within a cell population the use of a single molecule can be reoriented by modulating its mode of interaction. Giving the well-characterized cellular and molecular convergences observed during muscle development between vertebrates and *Drosophila*, our findings could be relevant to analogous processes in vertebrates.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Fly strains and genetic
The following fly stocks were used: enhancer traps line sr-gal4^{md710} (Usui et al., 2004, BDSC 2663); UAS-Lifeact.GFP (BDSC 35544); UAS-mCherryCAAX (BDSC 59021); R32D05-Gal4 (BDSC 49712); UAS-stingerGFP (BDSC 84278); UAS-mCherryRNAi (BDSC 35785); UAS-AmaRNAi (BDSC 33416); w^{1118}; Nrt^1/TM6B (BDSC 25032); Nrt^2/TM6B (BDSC 25033); tubGal80^{ts} (BDSC 7108); UAS-rlSem (BDSC 59006); UAS-Htl-DN (BDSC 5366); UAS-Htl-CA (BDSC 5367); UAS-StyRNAi (VDRC 330208); UAS-Sty (BDSC 20670); UAS-lacZ (BDSC 1776); R15B03-Gal4 (BDSC 49261); R79D08-lexA (BDSC 54734); lexAop-mCD8::GFP (BDSC 66545); UAS-mCherryNLS (BDSC

38425). For experiments using tubGal80[ts], crosses were kept at 18°C and then shifted at the appropriate stage (L2) to 30°C. All other experiments were performed at 25°C.

Cell sorting and RNA extraction

The fluorescence-activated cell sorting and RNA sequencing of 0 h APF leg disc myoblasts were conducted following the same protocol as described in Laurichesse et al. (2021). For myoblast sorting, we used the targeted expression system lexA/lexAop to express the lexAopGFP transgene specifically in leg disc myoblasts (R32D05-lexA>lexAopGFP).

RNA-seq and transcriptomic data analysis

Extracted total RNA from GFP+ myoblasts and input (IP) cells (sample of all leg disc cells) from three different replicates were sent to Heidelberg genomic platform EMBL for high-throughput mRNA sequencing (NextSeq 500/Illumina). They generated mRNA libraries with NEB RNA Ultra kit (New England Biolabs E7770L) and oligo(dT) probe were used to target mRNA for cDNA synthesis. Single-end multiplexing was performed. Bioinformatic analysis was performed using FastQC and Cutadapt (Kechin et al. 2017) for reads quality control and filtration. Reads alignment and counting were performed using Hisat2 (Kim et al. 2019) and HTseq (Anders et al. 2015) (reference genome Dm6 Ensembl release 70). Values were normalized for each gene (RPKM) using Cufflinks (Trapnell et al. 2012) and differential expression between IP and GFP+ samples were determined using R script DEseq2 V :3.13 software. Fold change (FC) between GFP+ and IP samples was computed using their normalized raw counts. GEO accession number: GSE245192

Ama transgenes

UAS-Ama-HA transgene

The UAS-Ama C-terminal tagged with FLAG-HA (UFO01101 - DGRC Stock 1621050; https://dgrc.bio.indiana.edu//stock/1621050; RRID:DGRC_1621050) clone was obtained from the Universal Proteomics Resources, a Berkeley Drosophila Genome Project (BDGP) (https://www.fruitfly.org/EST/proteomics.shtml) and contains a *white* gene selectable marker and an *attB* site. The PhiC31 transformation services in BestGene (http://www. thebestgene.com/) were performed on *y[1] w[67c23]; P{CaryP}attP2* line (BDSC 8622) with an estimated CytoSite 68A4 (chromosome 3) and on *y[1] w[67c23]; P{CaryP}attP40* line with an estimated CytoSite 25C6 (chromosome 2) using the UAS-Ama construct above.

Ama::EGFP transgene

w[1118] line expressing EGFP C-terminal tagged endogenous Ama protein was generated by CRISPR-mediated-genome editing by homology repair using the following guide 5'-CTTCGAGGGCACTGGGATGACGGTC-3' by Wellgenetics Inc. (Taiwan). Detailed protocol on request.

Immuno-Histochemistry and Confocal Microscopy

The following primary antibodies were used: mouse anti-Nrt (1:100 - BP106- Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank DSHB); rabbit anti-Twist (1:300 - our lab); rabbit anti-Dcp1 (1:100 - Cell Signaling); rabbit anti-pH3 (1:500 - Merk Millipore); goat anti-GFP (1:500 – ab5450-Abcam) and rabbit anti-Ama (1:500, gift from I. Silman). Secondary antibodies donkey anti-rabbit, donkey anti-mouse conjugated to cy3- or cy5- fluorochromes (Jackson Immunoresearch), donkey anti-rabbit Dylight 405 (Jackson Immunoresearch), donkey anti-rabbit Alexa Fluor 488 (ThermoFisher) and donkey anti-goat Alexa Fluor 488 (Invitrogen) were used (1:500). Immunohistochemistry experiments were performed on samples fixed in 4% formaldehyde (FA-methanol free) for 20 min, washed in 0.5% PBS-Triton and blocked in 30% horse serum before immunostaining with primary antibodies, at 4°C overnight. The samples were washed in 0.5% PBS-Triton and incubated with secondary antibodies, at room temperature during 2h. After three washes in 1XPBS, samples are mounted in Vectashield medium for analysis. Immunostained samples were imaged on LSM800 Zeiss confocal microscope, and images were analyzed using Imaris 9.8.2 and ImageJ-fiji 2.9.0/1.53t softwares.

In situ hybridization

Leg imaginal discs were dissected in 1XPBS and fixed by 4% formaldehyde (FA-methanol free) for 20min. Samples were washed three times in 1XPBS and incubated in methanol over-night (o/n) at -20°C. Samples were progressively rehydrated in 75% MetOH-25% PBS for 5min; 50% MetOH-50% PBS for 5min; 25% MetOH-75% PBS for 5min; 10% MetOH- 90% PBS for 5min and samples were quenched with 0.3% H_2O_2 for 15min. The samples were washed three times in 1XPBS for 5min each and were permeabilized by 80% acetone for 10min at -20°C, and washed three times in PBS-Tween (PBSTw) for 5min at room temperature. The samples were refixed by 4% FA for 20min and washed three times for 5min each. Then, samples were incubated in 50% Hybridization Buffer (50% formamide, 2x SSC, 1 mg/ml Torula RNA, 0.05 mg/ml Heparin, 2% Roche blocking reagent, 0.1% CHAPS, 5mM EDTA, 0.1% Tween 20) – 50% PBSTw for 5min, 75% HB-25%PBSTw for 5min, 90% HB-10%PBSTw for 5min, and incubated during 1.5h at 60°C in 100% HB Buffer. The samples were incubated o/n at 60°C with anti-sense DIG-labeled RNA probes against *Ama* diluted in HB (1:100). The samples were washed in 100% HB for 1h at 60°C, in 75%HB-25%PBSTw for 15min at 60°C, in 50%HB-50%PBSTw for 15min at 60°C, in 25%HB-75%PBSTw for 15min at 60°C, in 10%HB-90%PBSTw at 60°C for 15min and were washed three times in PBSTw 5min for each at room temperature. Then, the samples were incubated for 1.5h in PBSTw-1%BSA before being incubated with anti-DIG-POD antibody (Roche, 1:2000) and other primary antibodies o/n at 4°C. After 5 washes in PBSTw, Tyramide Signal Amplification (TSA™) were performed in 100ul Amplification Buffer + 2ul TSA⁺ Cy3 (PerkinElmer, 1 :50) for 5min at room temperature. The sample were washed six times for 5min each in PBSTw and were incubated with secondary antibodies for 2h at room temperature. After three washes in 1XPBS, samples are mounted in Vectashield medium for analysis.

Quantification and statistical analysis

Myoblast counting

Images were processed with Imaris 9.8.2 software and GFP+, Twist+, Dcp1+ and pH3$^+$ cells were detected automatically using the *"Spots"* function. The automatic cell counting was subject to manual adjustment. Myoblast proliferation and apoptosis were calculated by determining the percentage of pH3+/GFP+ cells and Dcp1+/GFP+ cells, respectively. It is noteworthy that the use of two UAS-transgenes (UAS-lacZ; UAS-Sty) is sufficient to rescue the reduction of myoblast number induced by UAS-Sty expression alone, emphasizing the importance of adding a second UAS-transgene as a control.

Myoblasts to tendon distance

Tilt surface was automatically determined using the *"Surface"* function of Imaris Software, then a distance map was generated using the *"Distance Transformation"* function. The distance in μm between the tilt surface and each myoblast, detected using the *"Spot"* function as described above, was calculated with the *"intensity center spot"* function. (See also Suppl. Fig. 5)

Tendon length and volume measurement

Contour surfaces of the tilt and of the femur were determined either automatically (for the tilt) or manually (for femur) using Imaris *"Surface"* function to extract 3D objects and calculate their volumes. Tilt volume was determined by normalizing the volume of the tilt over the volume of the femur. 3D objects were then used to measure tilt and femur lengths using *"skeletonize" (2D-3D)* plugin of ImageJ-Fiji 2.9.0/1.53t software. Tilt length was then determined by normalizing the length of the tilt over the length of the femur.

Statistical analyses were carried out using Prism 9.2.0 and statistical significances were determined using Mann-Whitney tests or Fisher's exact test/Chi-square test. Differences were considered significant if p-value<0.05.

Funding

This work was supported by the iGReD institute (INSERM U1103, CNRS 6293, University of Clermont-Auvergne), AFM- Téléthon (MyoNeurAlp Strategic Program), Agence Nationale pour la Recherche (ANR LIMBCT) and the iSITE CAP2025 Grant.

Acknowledgements

We thank Bloomington *Drosophila* Stock Center (BDSC) and Vienna *Drosophila* Resource Center (VDRC) for keeping and sending *Drosophila* stocks. We thank the Drosophila Genomics Resource Center (NIH Grant 2P40OD010949) for DNA clone. We thank the Bioimaging platform (CLIC microscopy facility), the Single-Cell platform (S3C) and the Bio-Informatic (BIM) at the iGRed institute. We are grateful to I. Silman for its gift of Ama antibody.

REFERENCES

ARISS, M. M., TERRY, A. R., ISLAM, A. B. M. M. K., HAY, N. and FROLOV, M. V. 2020. Amalgam regulates the receptor tyrosine kinase pathway through Sprouty in glial cell development in the Drosophila larval brain. *Journal of Cell Science* 133: jcs250837.

BATE, M., RUSHTON, E. and CURRIE, D. A. 1991. Cells with persistent twist expression are the embryonic precursors of adult muscles in Drosophila. *Development* 113: 79–89.

BECKER, H., RENNER, S., TECHNAU, G. M. and BERGER, C. 2016. Cell-Autonomous and Non-cell-autonomous Function of Hox Genes Specify Segmental Neuroblast Identity in the Gnathal Region of the Embryonic CNS in Drosophila. *PLOS Genetics* 12: e1005961.

BOUKHATMI, H. and BRAY, S. 2018. A population of adult satellite-like cells in Drosophila is maintained through a switch in RNA-isoforms. *eLife* 7: e35954.

BROADIE, K. S. and BATE, M. 1991. The development of adult muscles in Drosophila: ablation of identified muscle precursor cells. *Development* 113: 103–118.

BROHMANN, H., JAGLA, K. and BIRCHMEIER, C. 2000. The role of Lbx1 in migration of muscle precursor cells. *Development (Cambridge, England)* 127: 437–445.

CHEVALLIER, A. and KIENY, M. 1982. On the role of the connective tissue in the patterning of the chick limb musculature. *Wilhelm Roux's Archives of Developmental Biology* 191: 277–280.

DE LA ESCALERA, S., BOCKAMP, E. O., MOYA, F., PIOVANT, M. and JIMÉNEZ, F. 1990. Characterization and gene cloning of neurotactin, a Drosophila transmembrane protein related to cholinesterases. *The EMBO journal* 9: 3593–3601.

EVERETTS, N. J., WORLEY, M. I., YASUTOMI, R., YOSEF, N. and HARIHARAN, I. K. 2021. Single-cell transcriptomics of the Drosophila wing disc reveals instructive epithelium-to-myoblast interactions. *eLife* 10: e61276.

FRÉMION, F., DARBOUX, I., DIANO, M., HIPEAU-JACQUOTTE, R., SEEGER, M. A. and PIOVANT, M. 2000. Amalgam is a ligand for the transmembrane receptor neurotactin and is required for neurotactin-mediated cell adhesion and axon fasciculation in Drosophila. *The EMBO Journal* 19: 4463–4472.

GREVENGOED, E. E., FOX, D. T., GATES, J. and PEIFER, M. 2003. Balancing different types of actin polymerization at distinct sites: roles for Abelson kinase and Enabled. *The Journal of Cell Biology* 163: 1267–1279.

GROSS, M. K., MORAN-RIVARD, L., VELASQUEZ, T., NAKATSU, M. N., JAGLA, K. and GOULDING, M. 2000. Lbx1 is required for muscle precursor migration along a lateral pathway into the limb. *Development (Cambridge, England)* 127: 413–424.

HACOHEN, N., KRAMER, S., SUTHERLAND, D., HIROMI, Y. and KRASNOW, M. A. 1998. sprouty Encodes a Novel Antagonist of FGF Signaling that Patterns Apical Branching of the Drosophila Airways. *Cell* 92: 253–

263.

HASSON, P. 2011. "Soft" tissue patterning: Muscles and tendons of the limb take their form. *Developmental Dynamics* 240: 1100–1107.

HASSON, P., DELAURIER, A., BENNETT, M., GRIGORIEVA, E., NAICHE, L. A., PAPAIOANNOU, V. E., MOHUN, T. J. and LOGAN, M. P. O. 2010. Tbx4 and Tbx5 acting in connective tissue are required for limb muscle and tendon patterning. *Developmental cell* 18: 148–156.

HORTSCH, M., PATEL, N. H., BIEBER, A. J., TRAQUINA, Z. R. and GOODMAN, C. S. 1990. Drosophila neurotactin, a surface glycoprotein with homology to serine esterases, is dynamically expressed during embryogenesis. *Development (Cambridge, England)* 110: 1327–1340.

JAN, Y. N., GHYSEN, A., CHRISTOPH, I., BARBEL, S. and JAN, L. Y. 1985. Formation of neuronal pathways in the imaginal discs of Drosophila melanogaster. *Journal of Neuroscience* 5: 2453–2464.

JENETT, A., RUBIN, G. M., NGO, T.-T. B., SHEPHERD, D., MURPHY, C., DIONNE, H., PFEIFFER, B. D., CAVALLARO, A., HALL, D., JETER, J., IYER, N., FETTER, D., HAUSENFLUCK, J. H., PENG, H., TRAUTMAN, E. T., SVIRSKAS, R. R., MYERS, E. W., IWINSKI, Z. R., ASO, Y., DEPASQUALE, G. M., ENOS, A., HULAMM, P., LAM, S. C. B., LI, H.-H., LAVERTY, T. R., LONG, F., QU, L., MURPHY, S. D., ROKICKI, K., SAFFORD, T., SHAW, K., SIMPSON, J. H., SOWELL, A., TAE, S., YU, Y. and ZUGATES, C. T. 2012. A GAL4-Driver Line Resource for Drosophila Neurobiology. *Cell Reports* 2: 991–1001.

KANNAN, R., SONG, J.-K., KARPOVA, T., CLARKE, A., SHIVALKAR, M., WANG, B., KOTLYANSKAYA, L., KUZINA, I., GU, Q. and GINIGER, E. 2017. The Abl pathway bifurcates to balance Enabled and Rac signaling in axon patterning in Drosophila. *Development (Cambridge, England)* 144: 487–498.

KARDON, G., HARFE, B. D. and TABIN, C. J. 2003. A Tcf4-positive mesodermal population provides a prepattern for vertebrate limb muscle patterning. *Developmental Cell* 5: 937–944.

LAURICHESSE, Q., MOUCAUD, B., LADDADA, L., RENAUD, Y., JAGLA, K. and SOLER, C. 2021. Transcriptomic and Genetic Analyses Identify the Krüppel-Like Factor Dar1 as a New Regulator of Tube-Shaped Long Tendon Development. *Frontiers in Cell and Developmental Biology* 9: 3592.

LAURICHESSE, Q. and SOLER, C. 2020. Muscle development : a view from adult myogenesis in Drosophila. *Seminars in Cell & Developmental Biology*.

LIEBL, E. C., FORSTHOEFEL, D. J., FRANCO, L. S., SAMPLE, S. H., HESS, J. E., COWGER, J. A., CHANDLER, M. P., SHUPERT, A. M. and SEEGER, M. A. 2000. Dosage-sensitive, reciprocal genetic interactions between the Abl tyrosine kinase and the putative GEF trio reveal trio's role in axon pathfinding. *Neuron* 26: 107–118.

LIEBL, E. C., ROWE, R. G., FORSTHOEFEL, D. J., STAMMLER, A. L., SCHMIDT, E. R., TURSKI, M. and SEEGER, M. A. 2003. Interactions between the secreted protein Amalgam, its transmembrane receptor Neurotactin and the Abelson tyrosine kinase affect axon pathfinding. *Development (Cambridge, England)* 130: 3217–3226.

LIM, J. H., AHMAD, K., CHUN, H. J., HWANG, Y. C., QADRI, A. F., ALI, S., AHMAD, S. S., SHAIKH, S., CHOI, J.,

KIM, J., JIN, J.-O., KIM, M., HAN, S. S., CHOI, I. and LEE, E. J. 2022. IgLON4 Regulates Myogenesis via Promoting Cell Adhesion and Maintaining Myotube Orientation. *Cells* 11: 3265.

LIM, J. H., BEG, M. M. A., AHMAD, K., SHAIKH, S., AHMAD, S. S., CHUN, H. J., CHOI, D., LEE, W.-J., JIN, J.-O., KIM, J., JAN, A. T., LEE, E. J. and CHOI, I. 2021. IgLON5 Regulates the Adhesion and Differentiation of Myoblasts. *Cells* 10: 417.

LIU, Z., CHEN, Y. and RAO, Y. 2020. An RNAi screen for secreted factors and cell-surface players in coordinating neuron and glia development in Drosophila. *Molecular Brain* 13: 1.

MAQBOOL, T., SOLER, C., JAGLA, T., DACZEWSKA, M., LODHA, N., PALLIYIL, S., VIJAYRAGHAVAN, K. and JAGLA, K. 2006. Shaping Leg Muscles in Drosophila: Role of ladybird, a Conserved Regulator of Appendicular Myogenesis. *PLOS ONE* 1: e122.

MATHEW, S. J., HANSEN, J. M., MERRELL, A. J., MURPHY, M. M., LAWSON, J. A., HUTCHESON, D. A., HANSEN, M. S., ANGUS-HILL, M. and KARDON, G. 2011. Connective tissue fibroblasts and Tcf4 regulate myogenesis. *Development (Cambridge, England)* 138: 371–384.

MATTES, B. and SCHOLPP, S. 2018. Emerging role of contact-mediated cell communication in tissue development and diseases. *Histochemistry and Cell Biology* 150: 431–442.

MCGUIRE, S. E., LE, P. T., OSBORN, A. J., MATSUMOTO, K. and DAVIS, R. L. 2003. Spatiotemporal rescue of memory dysfunction in Drosophila. *Science (New York, N.Y.)* 302: 1765–1768.

NASSARI, S., DUPREZ, D. and FOURNIER-THIBAULT, C. 2017. Non-myogenic Contribution to Muscle Development and Homeostasis: The Role of Connective Tissues. *Frontiers in Cell and Developmental Biology* 5: 22.

NGUYEN, M. U., KWONG, J., CHANG, J., GILLET, V. G., LEE, R. M. and JOHNSON, K. G. 2016. The Extracellular and Cytoplasmic Domains of Syndecan Cooperate Postsynaptically to Promote Synapse Growth at the Drosophila Neuromuscular Junction. *PLOS ONE* 11: e0151621.

ORGEUR, M., MARTENS, M., LEONTE, G., NASSARI, S., BONNIN, M.-A., BÖRNO, S. T., TIMMERMANN, B., HECHT, J., DUPREZ, D. and STRICKER, S. 2018. Genome-wide strategies identify downstream target genes of chick connective tissue-associated transcription factors. *Development (Cambridge, England)* 145: dev161208.

REICH, A., SAPIR, A. and SHILO, B. 1999. Sprouty is a general inhibitor of receptor tyrosine kinase signaling. *Development (Cambridge, England)* 126: 4139–4147.

SAHA, S., ANILKUMAR, A. A. and MAYOR, S. 2016. GPI-anchored protein organization and dynamics at the cell surface. *Journal of Lipid Research* 57: 159–175.

SEEGER, M. A., HAFFLEY, L. and KAUFMAN, T. C. 1988. Characterization of amalgam: a member of the immunoglobulin superfamily from Drosophila. *Cell* 55: 589–600.

SOLER, C., DACZEWSKA, M., PONTE, J. P. D., DASTUGUE, B. and JAGLA, K. 2004. Coordinated development

of muscles and tendons of the Drosophila leg. *Development* 131: 6041–6051.

SOLER, C., LADDADA, L. and JAGLA, K. 2016. Coordinated Development of Muscles and Tendon-Like Structures: Early Interactions in the Drosophila Leg. *Frontiers in Physiology* 7.

SOLER, C. and TAYLOR, M. V. 2009. The Him gene inhibits the development of Drosophila flight muscles during metamorphosis. *Mechanisms of Development* 126: 595–603.

SPEICHER, S., GARCÍA-ALONSO, L., CARMENA, A., MARTÍN-BERMUDO, M. D., DE LA ESCALERA, S. and JIMÉNEZ, F. 1998. Neurotactin Functions in Concert with Other Identified CAMs in Growth Cone Guidance in Drosophila. *Neuron* 20: 221–233.

STORK, T., SHEEHAN, A., TASDEMIR-YILMAZ, O. E. and FREEMAN, M. R. 2014. Neuron-Glia Interactions through the Heartless FGF Receptor Signaling Pathway Mediate Morphogenesis of Drosophila Astrocytes. *Neuron* 83: 388–403.

SUDARSAN, V., ANANT, S., GUPTAN, P., VIJAYRAGHAVAN, K. and SKAER, H. 2001. Myoblast diversification and ectodermal signaling in Drosophila. *Developmental Cell* 1: 829–839.

VALLECILLO-GARCÍA, P., ORGEUR, M., VOM HOFE-SCHNEIDER, S., STUMM, J., KAPPERT, V., IBRAHIM, D. M., BÖRNO, S. T., HAYASHI, S., RELAIX, F., HILDEBRANDT, K., SENGLE, G., KOCH, M., TIMMERMANN, B., MARAZZI, G., SASSOON, D. A., DUPREZ, D. and STRICKER, S. 2017. Odd skipped-related 1 identifies a population of embryonic fibro-adipogenic progenitors regulating myogenesis during limb development. *Nature Communications* 8: 1218.

XU, J., ZHAO, H. and WANG, T. 2020. Suppression of retinal degeneration by two novel ERAD ubiquitin E3 ligases SORDD1/2 in Drosophila. *PLOS Genetics* 16: e1009172.

ZAPPIA, M. P., DE CASTRO, L., ARISS, M. M., JEFFERSON, H., ISLAM, A. B. and FROLOV, M. V. 2020. A cell atlas of adult muscle precursors uncovers early events in fibre-type divergence in Drosophila. *EMBO reports* n/a: e49555.

ZEEV-BEN-MORDEHAI, T., MYLONAS, E., PAZ, A., PELEG, Y., TOKER, L., SILMAN, I., SVERGUN, D. I. and SUSSMAN, J. L. 2009a. The Quaternary Structure of Amalgam, a Drosophila Neuronal Adhesion Protein, Explains Its Dual Adhesion Properties. *Biophysical Journal* 97: 2316–2326.

ZEEV-BEN-MORDEHAI, T., PAZ, A., PELEG, Y., TOKER, L., WOLF, S. G., RYDBERG, E. H., SUSSMAN, J. L. and SILMAN, I. 2009b. Amalgam, an axon guidance Drosophila adhesion protein belonging to the immunoglobulin superfamily: Over-expression, purification and biophysical characterization. *Protein Expression and Purification* 63: 147–157.

A

B

GO biological process	Fold Enrichment	p-value
mesodermal cell migration (GO:0008078)		3.60E-05
skeletal muscle organ development...		3.48E-06
somatic muscle development (GO:0007525)		4.30E-08
muscle cell development (GO:0055001)		2.09E-06
mesoderm morphogenesis (GO:0048332)		1.42E-03
muscle organ development (GO:0007517)		7.00E-07
mesoderm development (GO:0007498)		6.61E-07
muscle structure development (GO:0061061)		8.18E-13
muscle cell differentiation (GO:0042692)		3.66E-07
striated muscle cell differentiation...		3.50E-05
cell migration (GO:0016477)		1.07E-05

C

CSS expressed in tendon cells (RPKM>5 ; FC>1.5)	Interacting partners expressed in myoblasts (RPKM>5 ; FC>1.5)
Ama	Ama
Nrt	Ama
Appl	Fas2
CG33543	Fas2
fipi	Fas2
Ror	fz2
Ror	otk
beat-Ia	side
Ror	Wnt4

Figure 1: Differentially expressed (DE) genes and interactome data in leg disc myoblasts

(A) Volcano plot showing DE genes, including 533 enriched genes (red) and 1703 down-regulated genes (blue). Those not significantly changed (fold change < 1.5; $P > 0.05$) are in grey. Examples of genes known to be specifically expressed in myoblasts are annotated, as are *Nrt* and *Ama.* For graphical representation, outliers (-log10 (p-value) >100 and log FC>20) were excluded. Generated using Volcano Plot tool (Galaxy V.0.0.5).

(B) Gene ontology (GO) analysis of enriched genes in leg myoblasts. Graph shows selected GO terms for biological process, GO term accession numbers, fold enrichment, and p-value. Data were obtained by GO overrepresentation test using PANTHER.

(C) List of identified pairs of interacting partners expressed in myoblasts and tendon cells (Flybase protein interactions browser). Among the 290 pairs of interacting genes expressed in myoblasts and tendon cells (RPKM>5), 9 of them have corresponding interacting genes with a Fold Change >1.5.

Figure 2: *Nrt* and *Ama* are expressed in muscle and tendon precursors during leg disc development

(A-L) Confocal optical sections of Sr-Gal4>UAS-Lifeact.GFP (green) and Ama::EGFP (grey) leg discs at different stages of development immunostained for Nrt (magenta) and for Twist (Twi - red), respectively. **(A-D)** In L3 and 0h APF leg discs, Nrt is localized in the tilt tendon in the dorsal femur (white arrowhead) but not in the other tendon precursors in green (white arrows); note Nrt presence in the chordotonal organ (yellow arrow head). **(E-H)** In L3 and 0h APF leg discs, Ama::EGFP protein is found surrounding most of distal myoblasts immunostained for Twi (red); but not around the most proximal ones at the periphery of leg

disc. Ama::EGFP accumulates in the region of dorsal femur close to tilt tendon precursors (white arrowhead). **(I, J)** At 5h APF, Nrt remains localized in the tilt that elongates in the dorsal femur (white arrowhead) and in the chordotonal organ (yellow arrowhead). Nrt is not visible in any tendons of the other segments (white arrows) but can be detected in the two most distal neuronal precursors projecting axons in tarsi (asterisks, Jan et al. 1985). **(K, L)** At 5h APF, Ama::EGFP is detected in the entire leg disc cavity where myoblasts stand, including the dorsal femur where the Nrt-expressing tilt tendon invaginates and elongates (arrowhead).

(M-P') High resolution imaging of Nrt-expressing tilt tendon and surrounding myoblasts at 5h APF using Zeiss Airyscan confocal technology. The imaged regions on these discs approximately correspond to the framed area of the disc shown in J. **(M-N)** Close up view of myoblast-tendon interface of a 5h APF leg disc expressing *Sr-Gal4>CAAXmCherry* and *Ama::EGFP* immunostained with Twi and Nrt antibodies with higher magnifications (**M', N'**) of the framed area in **M**. Ama::EGFP (grey) localizes all around myoblasts (red) and next to the membrane of tendon cells (green), whereas Nrt (magenta) is specifically found in tendon cells. Note the enrichment of Nrt at the tendon membrane (between arrowheads in **N'**) facing the myoblast where Ama::EGFP accumulates. **(O-P)** Close up view of myoblast-tendon interface of a 5h APF leg disc expressing *Sr-Gal4>myrGFP* and *R32D05::tdTomato* immunostained with Twi antibody with higher magnifications (**O', P'**) of the framed area in **O**. Labelled membranes of myoblasts (magenta) and tendon cells (green) show a closed proximity of these two cell types with cytoplasmic projections from tendon cells navigating between myoblasts. Note the relative short distance between the plasma membrane and the immunostaining of Twist transcription factor suggesting that in these cells nuclei occupy most of the cell volume.

Suppl figure 1: *Nrt* and *Ama* expression patterns in L2 leg disc and *R32D05-Gal4* expression pattern in L3 leg discs

(A-C) Confocal optical sections of Ama::EGFP (grey) L2 leg discs immunostained for Twist (red). Ama::EGFP protein is found surrounding most of the myoblasts. **(D-F)** Confocal optical sections of Sr-Gal4>UAS-Lifeact.GFP (green) L2 leg discs immunostained for Nrt (magenta). Tendon precursors are not yet specified at this stage and no Nrt presence can be detected. **(G-I)** R32D05-Gal4 drives expression in all leg disc myoblasts. *Gal4* expression under the control of DNA sequences from regulatory regions of *string* gene drives the expression of *GFP* (green) that colocalizes with Twi-positive myoblasts (magenta). This expression was already observed in early L2 stage (see suppl. Fig.3).

Figure 3: Myoblasts are a main source of Ama in third larval instar

Expression of *Ama* revealed by *in situ* hybridizations on L3 leg discs from R32D05-Gal4>UAS-GFP larvae. **(A-C)** In control leg disc expressing *UAS-mCherryRNAi* in the myoblasts, *Ama* mRNA localized in myoblasts (green) with a sustained expression in the dorsal femur (outlined area). Note the 2 additional sources of *Ama* mRNA in the most distal tarsus that are not GFP-positive (arrowheads in A). **(D-F)** In leg disc expressing *UAS-AmaRNAi* specifically in the myoblasts, *Ama* expression is nearly abolished in the region of the tilt in the dorsal femur (outlined area); confirming that, in this region, myoblasts are the main source of Ama transcripts at this stage. As an internal control, *Ama* remains strongly expressed in the three non-GFP clusters of the distal tarsus (arrowheads). Scale bar 50 µm.

Suppl. Figure 2: During early metamorphosis *Ama* is expressed in both myoblasts and the tilt tendon

(A-F) *in situ* hybridizations of *Ama* (grey) in dorsal femur region from R32D05-Gal4>UAS-GFP (green) 5h APF leg discs. **(A-C)** In control disc expressing *UAS-mCherryRNAi* in myoblasts, *Ama* expression is detected in both myoblasts (in green) and the elongating tilt tendon (red outlined). **(D-F)** When AmaRNAi is specifically driven in the myoblasts using R32D05-Gal4, *Ama* expression remains visible only in the elongating tendon, indicating that, at this stage, both myoblasts and the tilt express *Ama*. **(G-L)** *in situ* hybridizations of *Ama* (grey) in dorsal femur region from Sr-Gal4>UAS-LifeactGFP (green) 5h APF leg discs. **(G-I)** In control disc expressing *UAS-mCherryRNAi* in tendon cells, *Ama* expression is detected in both the elongating tilt tendon (green, red outlined) and the surrounding myoblasts. **(J-L)** When AmaRNAi is specifically driven in the tendon cells using Sr-Gal4, *Ama* expression is lost in the tilt but remains visible in the surrounding myoblasts, confirming that, at this stage, both myoblasts and the tilt express *Ama*. **(M-P)** Confocal sections of 5h APF leg discs immunostained for Ama protein (grey) and FasIII (magenta) that allows to reveal the leg disc epithelium and the invaginating tilt (arrowheads). **(M, N)** In R32D05-Gal4>UAS-mcherryRNAi control leg discs, Ama protein is widely distributed in the dorsal femur region. **(O, P)** In R32D05-Gal4 leg discs expressing *UAS-AmaRNAi* specifically in the myoblasts, Ama secreted protein is barely visible (number of analyzed discs, AmaRNAi=10, mCherryRNAi=10).

Figure 4: Ama controls the pool of myoblast number independently of Nrt

(A-D) L3 leg discs immunostained for Twi (magenta). **(A-B)** Expression of *UAS-AmaRNAi* in myoblasts using R32D05-Gal4 driver leads to strong depletion of myoblasts when compared to R32D05-Gal4>UAS-mCherryRNAi control. **(C-D)** Comparison between leg discs of w[1118] control and Nrt[1]/Nrt[2] transheterozygous show no difference in myoblast number. **(E)** Dot-plot graph showing the mean number of Twi-positive myoblasts per disc, in R32D05-Gal4>UAS-mCherryRNAi (control) leg disc versus R32D05-Gal4>UAS-AmaRNAi (Ama KD) leg disc; and between w[1118]control leg discs *versus* Nrt[1]/Nrt[+] and Nrt[2]/Nrt[+] heterozygous leg discs and Nrt[1]/Nrt[2] transheterozygous leg discs. **(F-G)** R32D05-Gal4>UAS-GFP (green) leg discs immunostained for pH3 (magenta); a small portion of myoblasts are proliferating in control **(F),** while only few cells remained after *UAS-AmaRNAi* expression in the myoblasts **(G)**; none of them are pH3-positive. **(I-J)** R32D05-Gal4>UAS-GFP (green) leg discs immunostained for

dcp1 (magenta); several apoptotic cells can be found amongst the GFP-positive cells remaining after *UAS-AmaRNAi* expression (arrows in J). **(H)** Graphs showing the percentage of mitotic myoblasts (on left) and the percentage of apoptotic myoblasts (on right). Compared to UAS-mCherryRNAi (control), the percentage of mitotic myoblasts is significantly reduced when *UAS-AmaRNAi* is expressed in the myoblasts from early larval stages (Ama KD) and when it is expressed from the beginning of L2 stage using Gal80^{ts} (Ama KD from L2). Compared to UAS-mCherryRNAi (control), the percentage of apoptotic myoblasts is significantly higher when *UAS-AmaRNAi* is expressed in the myoblasts from early larval stages (Ama KD) and when it is expressed from the beginning of L2 stage using Gal80^{ts} (Ama KD from L2). **(K)** Dot-plot graph showing the mean number of Twi-positive myoblasts per disc, in R32D05-Gal4 leg discs crossed with different UAS-transgenic lines affecting the FGFR pathway. Statistical analysis reveals an increase in the total of myoblasts when overexpressing an activated ERK (rl OE), a constitutive active FGFR (FGFR OE) or when downregulating *sty* expression (Sty KD), compared to control RNAi. Inversely, overexpressing *sty* (Sty OE) or a dominant negative FGFR (FGFR DN) reduce the number of myoblasts. Note that overexpressing *Ama* (Ama OE) is not sufficient to induce an increase of myoblast number. **(L)** Dot-plot graph showing the mean number of Twi-positive myoblasts per disc in rescue experiments using R32D05-Gal4 driver. Overexpressing *UAS-Ama* together with *UAS-Sty* (Ama OE ; Sty OE) show no significant difference in myoblast number when compared to *UAS-LacZ, UAS-Sty* overexpression (Sty OE). Co-expressing *UAS-StyRNAi* with *UAS-AmaRNAi* (Sty KD ; Ama KD) is not sufficient to rescue the loss of myoblasts after *UAS-AmaRNAi* ; UAS-LacZ (Ama KD) expression. Co-expressing UAS-Ama with UAS-HtlDN (FGFR DN; Ama OE) partially rescues the decrease of myoblast number of *UAS-HtlDN ;* UAS-mCherryRNAi (FGFR DN) expression. Co-expression of *UAS-Ama* and *UAS-StyRNAi* (Sty KD ; Ama OE) together enhances the phenotype of UAS-StyRNAi (Sty KD).

In all graphs, error bars represent s.d.; *$p < 0.05$, **$p<0.01$, ***$p < 0.001$ and ****$p < 0.0001$, ns: not significant, (Mann-Whitney test). n=number of discs. Scale bars= 50μm

Suppl. Figure 3: Ama specific downregulation in tendon and myoblasts

(A-C) Tendon specific *Ama* downregulation does not affect proliferation rate and viability of tendon cells. Representative confocal images of the tilt in the dorsal femur of Sr-Gal4>UAS-mCherryNLS leg discs expressing UAS-AmaRNAi, immunostained for pH3 **(A)** and Dcp1 **(B)** at L3 and 5h APF stage respectively. **(C)** As previously described, only rare mitotic events can be observed among leg tendon cells which are recruited from the leg disc epithelium (Laurichesse et al. 2021). Consequently, no proliferative rate differences are observed between AmaKD and control leg discs. Similarly, we did not observe any significant increase of cell death in AmaKD in L3 (not shown) or 5h APF leg discs.

(D-L) Ama downregulation leads to myoblast depletion in second larval instar before any *Nrt* expression is observed. Confocal optical sections of R32D05-Gal4>UAS-GFP (green) leg discs at mid L2 stage immunostained for Twi **(D, G)** and for Nrt **(J)**. **(D-F)** In control leg discs, R32D05-Gal4 induces *GFP* expression (Green) in all Twi-positive myoblasts (magenta). **(G-H)** *AmaRNAi* expression using R32D05-Gal4 driver leads to the loss of most of the myoblasts. **(J-L)** Nrt protein (magenta) is not detected in midL2 stage.

Suppl. Figure 4: Expression patterns of R15B03-Gal4 and R79D08-lexA drivers
(A-F) R15B03-Gal4>UAS-mCherryNLS (green) leg disc immunostained for Twi (magenta). **(A-C)** L2 leg disc shows no *mCherryNLS* expression in Twi-positive myoblasts, indicating that R15B03-Gal4 driver is not expressed in myoblasts at this stage. **(D-F)** During L3 stage, *mCherryNLS* starts to be expressed in Twi-positive myoblasts as well as in few other cells. **(G-H)** 5h APF R79D08-lexA>lexAop-mCD8::GFP; Sr-Gal4>UAS-CAAXmCherry leg discs, R79D08--lexA driving lexAop-GFP allows the visualization of developing tendons identified through the expression of the well characterized *Sr-Gal4* driver expression (magenta).

Figure 5: Myoblast positioning and tendon morphogenesis require Ama and Nrt

(A-C) Confocal optical sections of 5 h APF leg disc immunostained for Twi from **(A)** R79D08-lexA>lexAop-mCD8::GFP; R15B04-Gal4>UAS-mCherryRNAi, from **(B)** R79D08-lexA>lexAop-mCD8::GFP; R15B04-Gal4>UAS-AmaRNAi and from **(C)** R79D08-lexA>lexAop-mCD8::GFP;

Nrt^1/Nrt^2 transheterozygous. **(A'-C')** Higher magnifications of the dorsal femur regions from (A), (B) and (C), respectively. **(A, A')** In control leg discs expressing *UAS-mCherryRNAi* using R15B03-Gal4 late myoblast driver, the tilt (green) has elongated within the dorsal femur cavity to form a long internal structure along which the myoblasts (magenta) are aligned. **(B, B')** When *UAS-AmaRNAi* is expressed in the myoblasts, they lose their adhesion with the tilt; the tilt itself appears wider and shorter compared to control. **(C, C')** The same observations can be made in Nrt^1/Nrt^2 transheterozygous leg discs, with a misdistribution of the myoblasts along the tilt and an elongation default of the tilt. **(D)** Dot-plot graph showing the mean distance between myoblasts and the tilt surface; each dot corresponds to the mean distance between the tilt and all the myoblasts for one disc. The average of mean distance for R79D08-lexA>lexAop-mCD8::GFP; R15B04-Gal4>UAS-AmaRNAi (Ama KD) leg discs is statistically higher compared to R79D08-lexA>lexAop-mCD8::GFP; R15B04-Gal4>UAS-mCherryRNAi (control RNAi) leg disc ($p<0.0016$). This average is also higher when comparing R79D08-lexA>lexAop-mCD8::GFP; Nrt^1/Nrt^2 transheterozygous leg discs with both R79D08-lexA>lexAop-mCD8::GFP (+/+) ($p<0.0008$) and R79D08-lexA>lexAop-mCD8::GFP; Nrt^2/Nrt$^+$ ($p<0.0201$) control leg discs. **(E)** Graphs showing the percentage of discs for which the mean distance between the myoblasts and the tilt is higher than the average mean distance of the controls UAS-mCherryRNAi and +/+ respectively. **(F)** Dot-plot graph showing the volume of the tilt, each dot corresponds to one disc. No statistically significant difference is observed between the control lines and the lines expressing *AmaRNAi*, as well as the mutant lines for Nrt. **(G)** Dot-plot graph showing the lengths of the tilt, each dot corresponds to one disc. *UAS-AmaRNAi* (Ama KD) myoblast late expression leads to shortening of the tilt length compared to UAS-mCherryRNAi control ($p<0,0042$). This shortening is also evident in transheterozygous mutants Nrt^1/Nrt^2 compared to wild-type Nrt homozygous ($p<0,025$) and Nrt^2/ Nrt$^+$ ($p<0,011$). [#]Tendon-specific AmaKD in R79D08-lexA>lexAop-mCD8::GFP; Sr-Gal4>UAS-AmaRNAi discs does not significantly affect either the volume or length of tendons.

In all graphs, error bars represent s.d.; *$p < 0.05$, **$p<0.01$, ***$p < 0.001$ and ****$p < 0.0001$ ns: not significant, Mann-Whitney test (D) and (G), Fisher's exact test (E). n=number of discs.

Suppl. Figure 5: Experimental determination of myoblasts to tendon distance using Imaris Software.

(A) Confocal optical sections of 5h APF leg disc corresponding to the image presented in Fig.5 A and A'. R79D08-lexA>lexAop-mCD8::GFP allows the visualization of the tilt (green), myoblasts are visualized by Twi immunostaining (magenta) and the whole femur epithelium by Fasciclin 3 immunostaining (cyan).

(B) Modelization of the tilt and associated myoblasts using Imaris Software. The tilt surface (grey) was determined automatically from the green channel using the "Surface" function of Imaris Software. Each myoblast associated with tilt were detected automatically (red) from the magenta channel (Twist) using the "Spot" function. As shown in Figure 2O-P', myoblast membranes are very closed to each other. Therefore, we found it more accurate to use the nuclei of myoblasts (stained with Twi antibody) than the cytoplasmic membrane to individually identify myoblasts. Moreover, we considered that the distances between the myoblast nuclei and the tendon surface accurately reflect the spatial relationship between these two cell types, given that the myoblast nucleus occupies most of the cell volume. **(C)** From the 3D modelization of the "tilt surface" object, a distance map (blue) was generated from the outside "tilt surface" object using the "Distance Transformation" function of Imaris Software, after converting our data from 8-bit to 32-bit. Then, the 'tilt surface' object serves as the focal point of the distance map, enabling the calculation of distances from any external object (here the red spots representing individual myoblasts) to the nearest boundaries of the surface object. **(D)** Higher magnification, depicting the distance (green line) between the surface of the tilt and each myoblast (center of each spot). The distance in µm between the tilt surface and each myoblast is shown in spots statistics "intensity center channel" (channel corresponding to the distance map). This corresponds to the distance between the center of the spot (myoblast nucleus) and the surface of the "tilt surface" object closest to the spot (green line). The mean of all distances was then calculated.