The type VI secretion system of *Sinorhizobium fredii* USDA257 is required for a successful nodulation with *Glycine max* cv Pekin

Author list: Pedro José Reyes-Pérez, Irene Jiménez-Guerrero, Ana Sánchez-Reina, Cristina Civantos, Natalia Moreno-de Castro, Patricia Bernal* and Francisco Pérez-Montaño*.

Affiliation: Departamento de Microbiología, Facultad de Biología, Universidad de Sevilla, Avda. Reina Mercedes 6, Sevilla, Spain

*Address correspondence to Patricia Bernal (ORCID ID: 0000-0002-6228-0496) and Francisco Pérez-Montaño (ORCID ID: 0000-0002-6272-2063), pbernal@us.es and fperezm@us.es

Abstract: For agriculture, the symbiosis carried out by rhizobia with legumes stands out as crucial for both economic and environmental reasons. In this process, the bacteria colonize the roots of the plants, inducing the formation of plant organs called nodules. Within these structures, rhizobia fix the environmental nitrogen into ammonia reducing the demand for this essential element required for plant growth. Various bacterial secretion systems (TXSS, Type X Secretion System) are involved in the establishment of this symbiosis, with the T3SS being the most extensively studied. The T6SS is a nanoweapon present in 25% of gram-negative bacteria commonly used against other gram-negative bacteria, though some of them use it to manipulate eukaryotic cells. Interestingly, although T6SS is widely distributed among rhizobia, whether it has a specific role in symbiosis with legumes remains elusive. *Sinorhizobium fredii* USDA257 is a fast-growing rhizobium with the capacity to nodulate a great variety of

legume plants. This strain harbors a single T6SS cluster, containing the genes encoding all the structural components of the system and two genes encoding potential effectors that could target the cell wall of the plants. We have demonstrated that the system is active and can be induced in poor culture media. Additionally, we have seen by fluorescence microscopy that the T6SS is active in nodules. Competition assays between USDA257 and different preys have shown that USDA257 cannot kill any of them using its T6SS under tested conditions. By constract, nodulation assays demonstrated that USDA257 utilizes this protein secretion system to enhance nodulation and competitiviness with *G. max* cv Pekin.

Keywords: rhizobium, legume, symbiosis, nodulation, competition, type VI secretion system, effector.

1. Introduction

Rhizobia are α- and ß-Proteobacteria soil-borne microorganisms frequently found on leguminous plant roots and rhizosphere. This environment is highly appropriate for rhizobia development and eventually, they form a symbiotic relationship with the leguminous plant. The rhizosphere provides rhizobia with protection from desiccation, extreme temperatures and light stress, at the same time the leguminous supplies the bacteria with nutrients that are exudated from the root including amino acids, organic acids, sugars, aromatic compounds and secondary metabolites (Walker *et al*., 2003). The flavonoids are secondary metabolites exudated by the plant that initiate the molecular dialogue between rhizobia and legume. This dialogue culminates with the formation of a new organ in roots called nodule where the bacterial reduction of atmospheric nitrogen to the most-needed form -ammonia-, takes place (Oldroyd & Downie, 2008). Thus, when recognized by the appropriate rhizobia, the flavonoids induce the production of rhizobial signal molecules called nodulation factors (Nod factors). These signal molecules can be specifically recognized by legume plants that initiate the nodulation process that will culminate with nodule development, its occupation and the transformation of the rhizobium into a nitrogen-fixing cell, the bacteroid (Oldroyd *et al*., 2011). Besides the molecular recognition mediated by flavonoids and Nod factors, the success of the nodulation process also depend on secretion systems effectors. Thus, in the last fiteen years, the proteins secreted through the rhizobial type III secretion system (T3SS) have been proven essential for the symbiotic performance of several rhizobial genera, such as *Sinorhizobium*, *Rhizobium*, *Bradyrhizobium* and *Meshorhizobium* (López-Baena *et al*., 2016; Jiménez-Guerrero *et al*., 2021, 2022). A novel secretion system has been described in the last two decades, the type VI secretion system (T6SS). In fact, this protein secretion system was first

identified in *Rhizobium leguminosarum* (Bladergroen *et al*., 2003), although the term

was not stablished until 2006 when the T6SS of *Vibrio cholerae* and *Pseudomonas*

aeruginosa were simultaneously characterized (Mougous, 2006; Pukatzki *et al*., 2009).

The T6SS are present in 25% of gram-negative bacteria, mainly in the Proteobacteria

phylum, where the α-, β- and γ-proteobacteria classes are included (Boyer *et al*., 2009).

This system secretes effectors/toxins essentially into prokaryotic cells, playing an

critical role in inter-bacterial competition (Ho, *et al*., 2014). However, some T6SS

effectors can target eukaryotic organism cells having the ability to manipulate the host

during an infective process (Hachani *et al*., 2016).

The specific role of T6SS effectors on rhizobia symbiosis is mostly unknown, although

recent works suggest that T6SS effectors could exert either neutral, positive or negative

effects depending on the symbiotic pair. Thus, it has been described that the T6SS of

Paraburkholderia phymatum STM 815[T] and *Azorhizobium caulinodans* are involved in

symbiotic competitiveness against rhizobial competitors for nodulation, but they do not

seem to be directly implicated in the symbiotic effectiveness with *Vigna unguiculata*

and *Sesbania rostrata*, respectively (de Campos *et al*., 2017; Lin *et al*., 2018).

Conversely, in both *Rhizobium etli* Mim1 and *Bradyrhizobium* sp. LmicA16, the T6SS

is required for efficient nodulation with *Phaseolus vulgaris* and *Lupinus* spp,

respectively (Salinero-Lanzarote *et al*., 2019; Tighilt *et al*., 2022). In case of *R. etli*

Mim1, this protein secretion system is synthesized at high cell densities, in the presence

of root exudates and within host-plant nodules (Salinero-Lanzarote *et al*., 2019), being

the T6SS-dependent effector Re78 benefitial for the competitiveness for bean nodule

occupancy (de Souza *et al*., 2023). Finally, *Rhizobium leguminosarum* RBL5787 is

unable to form nitrogen-fixing nodules on pea (*Pisum sativum*) due to the presence of a

functional T6SS (Bladergroen *et al*., 2003).

T6SS is a multiprotein complex composed of thirteen main constituents. The genes

encoding these proteins are grouped in genetic clusters and named *tss* (type six

secretion) (Ho *et al*., 2014). In some systems, there is an additional set of genes that

encode accessory proteins with complementary functions named *tag* genes (type six

accessory genes). Accessory proteins have been involved in regulation (TagF, TagQ,

TagR, TagS, TagT) (Lin *et al*., 2018; Hsu 2009) and fine-tunning the system assembly

(TagP, TagL, TagN, TagW, TagA, TagB, TagJ) (Aschtgen *et al*., 2010; Santin *et al*.,

2018; Bernal *et al*., 2021). T6SS is structured in three main compartments and the TssA

protein orchestrates the assembling among the different parts. The membrane complex

formed by TssJ, TssL and TssM anchors the system to the cell envelope. TssA interacts

with the membrane complex and recruit the baseplate (TssK, TssE, TssF and TssG). In

this new position, TssA primes polymerization of the tail formed by an inner tube (Hcp)

surrounded by a contractile sheath (TssB and TssC) and ended in a needle-shaped tip

(VrgG and PAAR). Effectors can be transported inside the tube or connected to the tip,

and are released into the intracellular environment of the target cell upon sheath

contraction. The sheath, which remains in the cytosol, is then disassembled by an AAA^+

ATPase, named ClpV (or TssH), which plays a crucial role in the recycling of the

system (Cherrak *et al*., 2019).

Genes encoding effector/toxin proteins can be found within the T6SS cluster or

scattered around the genome. These genes are usually located downstream genes

encoding VgrG, Hcp and PAAR proteins. In some cases, effectors are encoded in the

same gene that encodes the Hcp, VgrG or PAAR proteins and, therefore, are fused to

them in the C-terminal end. Structural proteins with a C-terminal cytotoxic domain are

termed evolved (Hcp_e, $VgrG_e$ or $PAAR_e$) (Durand *et al*., 2014). T6SS antibacterial

effectors can target the periplasm, these include peptidoglycan hydrolases (Tae1-4,

Tge1-3), phospholipases (Tle1-4) and pore-forming effectors (VasX). Other T6SS

effectors act in the bacterial cytoplasm such as nucleases effectors (Tde1, Tke2) or those

that degrade essential cofactors - $NAD(P)^+$ hydrolase effectors (Tse6/Tne1, Tne2)

(Coulthurst, 2019). Bacteria with a functional T6SS produce immunity proteins to

protect from sister cells attack and self-intoxication. T6SS effectors delivered into

eukaryotic host cells are less widespread than antimicrobial effectors. The few identified

present actin-crosslinking, actin ADP ribosylase, tubulin-binding or host membrane

fusion domains and are involved in different steps of host manipulation to promote

bacterial infection (Hachani *et al.*, 2016).

Sinorhizobium fredii USDA257, hereafter USDA257, is a fast-growing rhizobium that

was isolated from wild soybean (*Glycine soja*) but is also able to form nitrogen-fixing

nodules in a wide variety of legume especies such as *Glycine max*, *S. rostrata, Vigna*

radiata, P. vulgaris, *Cajanus cajan*, *Lotus japonicus*, and *L. burttii* among others

(Pueppke & Broughton, 1999). USDA257 is one of the most versatile rhizobia along

with other rhizobia of the same species, *S. fredii* NGR234 and *S. fredii* HH103.

However, only USDA257 presents both T3SS and T6SS. The T3SS of USDA257 has

been extensively studied and plays a prominent role in symbiosis and in the

determination of rhizobial host-range (Staehelin & Krishnan, 2015). Legume

recognition of type III effectors can exert both a positive (induction of nodulation) or a

negative (inhibition of nodulation) effect depending on the cultivar (Jiménez-Guerrero

et al., 2022). However, ecological and physiological function of the USDA257 T6SS is

completely unknown in the *Sinorhizobium* genera.

In this work, we identified and characterized the *S. fredii* USDA257 T6SS, which

exhibits novel characteristic structural proteins implying an apparatus with a distinctive

assembly and a definite set of effectors. We showed that the USDA257 secretion system

is specially active in non-rich media at stationary phase of growth and when colonizes

legume root nodules. In fact, nodulation assays demonstrated that USDA257 utilizes

this protein secretion system to enhance nodulation and competitiviness with *G. max* cv

Pekin. According to *in silico* predictions, improvement in the symbiotic performance

could be directly mediated by essential effectors and/or indirectly by secreting

antibacterial toxins during the nodulation process.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Bioinformatical analysis

Sequences of 161 TssB proteins from 153 strains belonging to 12 genera were obtained

and compiled by using the BLASTp tool from NCBI website (Boratyn *et al*., 2013).

Sequences were aligned with the ClustalW software (Sievers *et al*., 2011) and the

construction of the phylogenetic tree was carried out using the MEGA7 software

(Kumar *et al*., 2016) applying the Maximum Likehood algorithm (Le *et al*., 2008) and a

JTT matrix model (bootstrap value=500). The phylogenetic tree was customized with

the iTOL tool (Letunic & Bork, 2016). Amino acid sequence searches were carried out

using SMART (Letunic *et al*., 2015) and Pfam (Finn *et al*., 2016). The Protein

Homology/analogy Recognition Engine (Phyre[2]) server was used to perform a template-

based approach to predict the protein structural homology (Kelley *et al*., 2015). An

additional analysis to identify structural homologs was performed using the recent

algorithm Foldseek (Van Kempen *et al*., 2024), that is a structural alignment tool based

on a structural protein alphabet of tertiary interactions that operates using predicted

models from the Alphafold database (Abramson *et al*., 2024). Molecular weight and

isoelectric point for each protein were calculated with the ExPaSy software (Artimo *et*

al., 2012). PSORTb server was used to predict sub-cellular location of proteins (Yu *et*

al., 2010), TMHMM software to predict transmembrane domains (Krogh *et al*., 2001),

and SignalP and PSORTb to predict signal peptides (Almagro Armenteros *et al*., 2019).

The figures for the cluster alignments were created using Easyfig (Sullivan *et al*., 2011).

2.2. Bacterial strains and plasmids

Bacterial strains and plasmids used in this work are listed in **Table S1**. Rhizobial strains

used in this study were grown at 28 °C on tryptone yeast (TY) medium (Beringer,

1974), yeast extract mannitol (YM) medium (Vincent, 1970) or minimal (MM) medium

(Robertsen *et al*., 1981) with two different mannitol concentrations (3 or 10 g mL^{-1},

YM3/MM3 or YM10/MM10, respectively). *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* and

Pectobacterium carotovorum strains were cultured on LB medium (Bertani, 1951) at 37

°C in the first case, or 28 °C in the last two ones. When required, the media were

supplemented with the appropriate antibiotics as previously described (Lamrabet *et al*.,

1999). Genistein was dissolved in ethanol and used at 1 µg ml $^{-1}$ to give a final

concentration of 3.7 µM.

Plasmids were transferred from *E. coli* to *Sinorhizobium* strains by triparental

conjugation as described by Simon using plasmid pRK2013 as helper (Figurski &

Helinski, 1979; Simon, 1984). Recombinant DNA techniques were performed

according to the general protocols of Sambrook *et al*. (Sambrook *et al*., 1989). PCR

amplifications were performed as previously described López-Baena *et al*., (2009).

Primer pairs used for the amplification of the *S. fredii* USDA257 genes are summarized

in **Table S2**.

For the obtention of a *tssA* mutant, an internal fragment of the USDA257 *tssA* gene was

amplified using specific primers and the resulting DNA fragment was digested with

*Eco*RI and *Bam*HI (both restriction sites were added in forward and reverse 5´ primer

ends, respectively) and cloned into pK18*mob* that was previously digested with same

enzymes obtaining plasmid pMUS1480. This plasmid was employed for the

homogenotization of the mutated version of the *tssA* gene in *S. fredii* USDA257

generating the mutant strain. Double recombination events were confirmed by southern

blot and PCR (data not shown). For hybridization, DNA was blotted to Hybond-N nylon

membranes (Amersham, UK), and the DigDNA method of Roche (Switzerland) was

employed according to the manufacturer's instructions (**Fig. S1**).

Promotor regions of the *ppkA* USDA257 T6SS gene was amplified with specific

primers (575 bp) and the resulting DNA fragments was digested with *Eco*RI and *Xba*I

(both restriction sites were added in forward and reverse 5´ primer ends, respectively)

and cloned into plasmid pMP220 upstream of the *lacZ* gene that was previously

digested with same enzymes, obtaining plasmids pMP220::P_{ppkA}.

Construction of the dual reporter strain of *S. fredii* USDA257 was performed using the

plasmid developed by Shamal and Chatterjee (2021) and inserting the promoter region

of the *ppkA* gene (530 pb) that was previously amplified and digested with *Eco*RI

(restriction sites were added in forward and reverse 5´ primer ends). Briefly,

transcriptional fusion was constructed by fusing the promoter region upstream of *ppkA*

gene (P_{ppkA}) to a red fluorescent protein gene (*rfp*), and cloned into a pBBR-MCS-4

plasmid that is constitutively expressing the *gfp* gene (P_{kan}::*gfp*). This plasmids was

conjugated with the USDA257 strain for obtaining the dual-reporter strain of USDA257

(pBBR4::P_{kan}::*gfp*-P_{ppkA}::*rfp*). To obtain a control strain, the plasmid pBBR4::P_{kan}::*gfp*-

$P_{w/o}$::*rfp* (Shamal and Chatterjee, 2021), in which the *rfp* gene lacks the promoter

region, was also transferred by conjugation to USDA257. All plasmids were stably

maintained during infection.

For *S. fredii* USDA257 Hcp antibody production, the *hcp* ORF without the stop codon

was amplified by PCR with specific primers and cloned into the entry plasmid

pDORN207 following the Gateway technology (Invitrogen, USA). The ORF fragment

was transferred to the pET42 plasmid, leading to generation of recombinant Hcp fused

to polyhistidine tag (His-tag) in both extremes. The generated plasmid was mobilized

into *E. coli* BL21(DE3). This strain was inoculated in 1 L of LB supplemented with

kanamycin at an OD_{600} of 0.1. The culture was incubated at 37 ºC with shaking at 200

RPM and protein expression was induced with 0.5 mM IPTG at an OD_{600} of 0.5.

Cultures were grown overnight at 18 ºC with shaking at 200 RPM. Cells were harvested

and the cell pellet resuspended in 50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.5), 250 mM NaCl, 20 mM

imidazole (buffer A) supplemented with protease inhibitors (Roche, Switzerland). The

cells were lysed by sonication and cell debris were eliminated by centrifugation (48.000

g, 30 mins, 4 °C). His-Hcp was purified by immobilized metal affinity chromatography

using nickel-Sepharose resin (GE Healthcare, USA) equilibrated in buffer A and eluted

off the resin with buffer A containing 500 mM instead of 20 mM imidazole. The protein

was subsequently subjected to gel filtration chromatography in 50 mM Tris-HCl (pH

7.5), 250mM NaCl using a prepacked Superdex 75 10/300 GL size-exclusion column

(GE Healthcare, USA). Polyclonal antibody production was carried out by the "Centro

de Experimentación Animal Óscar Pintado" from the University of Sevilla (Spain)

through their speedy 28-Day program (1 rabbit; 3 protein injections and 2 bleedings).

2.3. Determination of β-galactosidase activity.

To determinate the β-galactosidase activity, the wild-type strain was conjugated with

the plasmids pMP220::P_{ppkA} and pMP240, that contains transcriptional fusions between

the *ppkA* (USDA257) and *nodA* (*R. leguminosarum*) promoters, respectively, and the

lacZ gene. The empty plasmid pMP220 was also transferred to USDA257 and used as

negative control. Assays of β-galactosidase activity were carried out as described by

Zaat *et al* (Zaat *et al*., 1987). Units of β-galactosidase activity were calculated according

to Miller (Miller, 1972). The experiments were repeated at four times with three

technical replicates each time.

2.4. RNA extraction and qRT-PCR experiments

Total RNA was isolated using a High Pure RNA Isolation Kit (Roche, Switzerland),

according to the manufacturer's instructions. Verification of the amount and quality of

total RNA samples was carried out using a Nanodrop 1000 spectrophotometer (Thermo

Scientific, USA) and a Qubit 2.0 Fluorometer (Invitrogen, USA). Four independent total

RNA extractions were obtained for each condition. This (DNA-free) RNA was reverse

transcribed into cDNA by using PrimeScript RT reagent Kit with gDNA Eraser (Takara,

Japan). Quantitative PCR was performed using a LightCycler 480 (Roche, Switzerland)

with the following conditions: 95 °C, 10 min; 95 °C, 30 s; 50 °C, 30 s; 72 °C, 20 s; forty

cycles, followed by the melting curve profile from 60 to 95 °C to verify the specificity

of the reaction. The *S. fredii* USDA257 16S rRNA gene was used as an internal control

to normalize gene expression. The fold-changes of four biological samples with three

technical replicates of each condition were obtained using the ΔΔCt method (Pfaffl,

2001). Selected genes and primers are listed in **Table S2**.

2.5. Purification and analysis of extracellular proteins

Extracellular and intracellular proteins were recovered from 30 ml of the different

rhizobial cultures grown on an orbital shaker (180 rpm) for 48 h (stationary phase, with

an adjusted OD_{600} of 1.6, which correspond to approximately 10^9 bacteria ml^{-1}).

Cultures were centrifuged for 20 min at 10000 g at 4 ℃. Bacterial pellets were

normalized and added directly to 300 µl of sample buffer (62.5 mM Tris-HCl [pH 6.8],

2% SDS [m/v], 10% glycerol [v/v], 5% β-mercaptoethanol [m/v], and 0.001%

bromophenol blue [m/v]), whilst the culture supernatants were collected and

precipitated with trichloroacetic (TCA) acid overnight at -20 ℃. The mixtures were

centrifuged for 45 min at 22000 g at 4 ℃. Dried pellets were resuspended in 30 µl of

sample buffer. For immunostaining, extracellular proteins were separated on SDS 15%

(m/v) polyacrylamide gels and electroblotted to Immun-Blot polyvinylidene difluoride

membranes (Bio-Rad, USA) using a Mini Trans-Blot electrophoretic transfer cell (Bio-

Rad). Membranes were blocked with TBS containing 2% (m/v) bovine serum albumin

(BSA) and then incubated with antibodies raised against the USDA257 Hcp protein

diluted 1:1000 in the same solution. Anti-rabbit immunoglobulin AP-conjugated

secondary antibody was used and reaction results were obtained using CDP Start

Detection Reagent kits (GE Healthcare, USA) according to manufacturer's instructions.

Bands were visualized using an ImageQuant LAS 500 imaging workstation instrument

(GE Healthcare, USA).

2.6. Interbacterial competition assays

In vitro competition assays were performed on the T6SS-inducing YM3 and MM3

agar (2% w/v) plates, as previously described (Bernal *et al.*, 2021). Briefly, overnight

bacterial cultures were washed and adjusted to an OD_{600} of 1.0 in sterile PBS, and

mixed at a 1:1 ratio (USDA257:prey). *A. tumefaciens*, *P. carotovorum* and *S. fredii*

HH103 were used as preys. 100 µl of mixtures were grown on YM3 (for *A. tumefaciens*

and *P. carotovorum*) or MM3 (for *S. fredii* HH103) agar plates at 30 ℃ for 24 or 48

hours and then collected using an inoculating loop and resuspended in sterile PBS. The

outcome of the competition was quantified by counting colony forming units (CFUs)

using antibiotic selection of the input (time = 0 hours) and output (time = 24-48 hours).

A. tumefaciens and *P. carotovorum* prey strains harbored the plasmid pRL662, which

confers resistance to gentamicin and was used for antibiotic selection, whereas *S. fredii*

USDA257 was naturally resistant to streptomycing. Competition assays were performed

at least with three biologically independent experiments.

2.7. Plant tests

For the evaluation of the symbiotic phenotypes in nodulation assays, the wild-type

and derivative mutant were grown in YM3 medium. Surface-sterilized seeds of *G. max*

cv Pekin were pre-germinated and placed in sterilized Leonard jars and test tubes

respectively, containing Fårhaeus N-free solution (Vincent, 1970). Germinated seeds

were then inoculated with 1 mL of bacterial culture in a concentration approx. 10^9 cells

mL^{-1}. Growth conditions were 16 h at 26 ºC in the light and 8 h and 18 ºC in the dark,

with 70% of humidity. Nodulation parameters were evaluated after 6 weeks. Shoots

were dried at 70 ºC for 48 h and weighed. Nodulation experiments were performed

three times with five replicates for each treatment. For the different parameters

analysed, the values of each treatment were compared to those of USDA257 wild-type

strain by using the ANOVA test.

Competition for nodulation experiments (competitiveness) on *P. vulgaris* were

performed using wild-type and mutant strains. These bacteria were grown to 10^9 cells

ml^{-1}, and four to five Leonard jar assemblies containing two plant seedlings each were

inoculated with 1 ml of a mixture of bacterial competitors in 1:1, 1:10 and 10:1 ratios.

Plants were grown for 4 weeks in a plant growth chamber under the growth conditions

described above. To identify bacteria occupying the nodules, bean nodules were surface

sterilized by immersing them in bleach (1/5 dilution of a stock solution containing 14%

[wt/vol] sodium hypochlorite) for 2 min, followed by five washing steps in sterilized

distilled water. The effectiveness of the surface-sterilizing treatment was checked by

inoculating TY plates with 20-µl aliquots from the last washing step. Individual surface

sterilized nodules were crushed in 30 µl of sterilized distilled water, and 20-µl aliquots

were used to inoculate TY plates. Nodule occupancy was determined by assessing the

ratio of differential antibiotic resistant of isolates. At least 10 colonies from each isolate

were analyzed in order to check the possibility of nodules containing both inoculants.

For nodule occupancy visualization, 30-day-old *L. burttii* nodules formed in plants

inoculated with the dual fluorescent reporters of USDA257 were embedded in 6%

agarose in water and sliced in thick layer sections (30 µm) using a Leica VT 1000S

vibratome (Wetzlar, Germany). Sections of nodules were stained with 0.04% calcofluor

and observed by using a Zeiss ApoTome.2 (Jena, Germany) fluorescence microscope as

previously described (Kawarada *et al*., 2017).

3. Results

3.1. Genome-wide screening for T6SSs in N₂-fixing bacteria

We have performed a T6SS phylogenetic analyses of 160 N_2-fixing bacteria from 13

different genera all belonging to the Phylum Proteobacteria and including the main

genera containing root-nodule forming bacteria (**Table S3**). The selected species belong

to the order *Hyphomicrobiales* (better known as *Rhizobiales*) from the α-Proteobacteria

class and the order *Bulkholderiales* from the β-Proteobacteria class. We have included

well-described *Agrobacterium* and *Pseudomonas* T6SSs to identify and locate the

previously described T6SS phylogenetic groups (Wettstadt *et al*., 2020). The tree

displays in **Fig. 1** contains 160 TssB proteins showing the phylogenetic distribution of

selected rhizobia T6SSs. Our analysis shows that rhizobial T6SSs are distributed among

the five main clades previously described (Boyer *et al.*, 2009). Most of them, 140

(87.5%) belongs to groups 3 (54, 33.75%) and 5 (86, 53.75%) (**Fig. 1**).

Figure 1. Phylogenetic tree of T6SSs of nitrogen-fixing bacteria. Maximum likelihood
tree with 500 bootstrap replicates were built with Mega 7 software for the core
component protein TssB. T6SS cluster nomenclature is used to show the main
phylogenetic clusters (Boyer et al., 2009). Branches with black circles indicate a
confidence level higher than 0.75. Five groups are distinguished: group 1 (red), group 2
(green), group 3 (blue), group 4 (purple) and group 5 (orange). Bacterium of the genus
Bacteroides (brown) represents the tree root. Red star indicates the position of *S. fredii*
USDA257 T6SS.

Group 3 contains a great variety of species from the *Ensinfer*, *Rhizobium*,

Bradyrhizobium and *Mesorhizobium* genera among others and the first-discovered *P.*

aeruginosa H1-T6SS. Group 5 contains mostly *Rhizobium* and *Azorhizbium* species and

includes the well-studied *A. tumefaciens* T6SS. Although phylogenetically-distance

Cupriavidus and *Parabulkholderia* species can be found in both groups. Minority

groups 2 and 4 principally contain *Paraburkholderia* species (2, 1.25% each) and group

1 (14, 9.75%) contains predominantly species of the *Methylobacterium* genus.

Some bacteria groups, namely *Pseudomonas,* frequently contain 2 or more T6SS

clusters (Bernal *et al*, 2017). However, despite the number of T6SS clusters in a strain

can range from 1 to 5, in a broader group such a phytobacteria only an estimate 7% of

strains contain more than one cluster (Bernal *et al*., 2018). This number is even smaller

among rhizobial T6SSs where most strains contain only a single T6SS cluster.

3.2. The reference rhizobial strain S. fredii USDA257 possesses a complete T6SS

Inspection of *S. fredii* USDA257 genome revealed 26 T6SS-related ORFs located in

a chromosomal cluster (**Fig. 2A, Table 1**). Thirteen genes (*tssABCDEFGHIJKLM*)

encode the structural proteins required for a functional T6SS including the membrane

complex, the base plate, the tail and the ATPase that recycles the system. We further

identified genes encoding a previously described regulatory phosphorylation cascade

(Mougous *et al*., 2007) including the threonine kinase/phosphatase pair (PpkA-PppA)

and the phosphorylation substrate (Fha). TagF is a posttranslational repressor that

regulates T6SS via Fha interaction. TagF-linked T6SS repression have been studied in

several systems including *P. aeruginosa* and *A. tumefaciens* T6SSs (Lin *et al*., 2018). A

putative TagF protein is encoded in USDA257 T6SS cluster indicating this system

might have a similar posttranscriptional regulation to both *P. aeruginosa* and *A.*

tumefaciens.

Table 1. Genes of the main T6SS cluster of *S. fredii* USDA257.

Locus name	Protein name	Conserved domains[a]	Celullar localization prediction
USDA257_c28440	VgrG$_e$	COG3501 (VgrG) + COG3210 (FhaB)/ pfam06715 (Gp5_C)	C
USDA257_c28450	VgrG dom	COG3501 (VgrG)/ TIGR01646 (Vrg_GE)	D
USDA257_c28460		TIGR02270	C
USDA257_c28470	Tre2	Glycosyl hydrolase GH74	C
USDA257_c28480	Tre1	PAAR-pfam13665 (DUF4150)	C
USDA257_c28490	FabB	COG0304 (FabB)	MI
USDA257_c28500	Tar	DUF2169	C
USDA257_c28510	TagF	COG3913 (SciT)	D
USDA257_c28520	TssM	COG3523 (IcmF)	MI
USDA257_c28530	TssL	COG3455 (DotU) + Pfam00691 (OmpA)	ME
USDA257_c28540	TssK	COG3522	C
USDA257_c28550	TssJ	COG3521	MI
USDA257_c28560	Fha	COG3456	C
USDA257_c28570	TssG	COG3520	C
USDA257_c28580	TssF	COG3519	C
USDA257_c28590	TssE	COG3518	C
USDA257_c28600	Hcp	COG3157 (Hcp)	C
USDA257_c28610	TssC	COG3517	C
USDA257_c28620	TssB	COG3516	C
USDA257_c28630	TssA	COG3515 +	C

		TIGR03363	
USDA257_c28640	PpkA	COG0515 (SPS1)	P + PC
USDA257_c28650	CIpV	COG0542 (CIpA) + pfam07724 (AAA_2)	C
USDA257_c28660	TagL	COG2885 (OmpA)	ME
USDA257_c28670	PppA	COG0631 (PTC) + TIGR02865 (spore_II_E)	C
USDA257_c28680	TagY	Pfam04102 (SlyX) + pfam13539 (peptidasa_M15_4)	D
USDA257_c28690	TagM	COG3523 (IcmF) + COG3455 (DotU)	D

381 OM: outer membrane, IM: inner membrane, C: cytoplasmic, P: periplasm, PC: cell wall,
382 D: unknown, aa: amino acid.
383 a: conserved domains according to COG / pfam / TIGR / Phyre[2].
384

Figure 2. The T6SS of *S. fredii* USDA257. (A) Organization of the T6SS cluster of *S.*
fredii USDA257. Color codes: Genes that encode the components of membrane
complex (*tssMLJ*) and accessory proteins (*tagLYM*) (veige). Genes coding for
components of the base plate (*tssKGFE*) (magenta). *tssA* (pink). Genes *tssB* and *tssC*
(contractile sheath) (light green). The *hcp* gene, that encodes the protein forming the
inner tube (dark blue). Genes that encode regulatory proteins (*tagF*, *fha*, *ppkA* and
pppA) (light blue). *clpV*, that codes for ATPase (red). Genes coding for possible
effectors of the system (C-term domain of *vgrG*, *tsre1, tsre2* and *tsre3*) (grey). Genes
that encode a possible adapter (*tsar*) (dark green). Gene of unknown function
(*usda_c28460*) (orange). The *vgrG* and *OB-fold* genes (brown). *paar* domain (brown).
(B) Schematic representation of the structural protein domains of TssM, TssL, TssJ and
the accessory TagM and TagN. DotU cytosolic domain (dark green), transmembrane
domain (light blue), IcmF structural domain (dark blue), OmpA peptidoglycan-binding

domain (red), and signal peptide (light green). OM: outer membrane, IM: inner

membrane, PG: peptidoglycan layer.

TssJ, TssL and TssM are the core components of the T6SS membrane complex that

docks the system to the cell envelope. TssL (DotU domain) and TssM (IcmF domains)

are anchored to the inner membrane through one and three transmembrane helices

respectively and form a channel through the cell envelope by interaction with each other

and the outer membrane lipoprotein TssJ. Additional membrane-complex-related

proteins have been described in some systems including a set of proteins that anchor the

T6SS to the peptidoglycan (PG) through the PG-binding domain OmpA (pfam00691)

(Aschtgen *et al.*, 2010). The set included the specialized TssL with a canonical N-

terminal DotU domain and a C-terminal OmpA domain that have been identified in *P.*

aeruginosa and *A. tumefaciens* among others. The authors also described a ~250 amino

acids protein with an N-terminal signal peptide and a C-terminal OmpA domain

identified in *Burkholderia pseudomallei* and *Ralstonia solanacearum* and named TagN.

The localization, topology, PG-binding, and interactions with the rest of the membrane

complex remains to be characterized for this T6SS protein.

An in-depth analysis of *S. fredii* USDA257 T6SS components identified the three core

components of the membrane complex *i.e.,* TssJ, TssL and TssM, and two related

accessory proteins named TagM and TagN (**Fig. 2B**). USDA257 TssJ and TssM

proteins contain the conventional T6SS domains while USDA257 TssL is a specialized

TssL (TssL$_e$). We identified two additional accessory components, a TagN homologue

and TagM, an 821 amino acids protein that shares certain degree of homology with both

TssM and TssL (**Fig. S2**). This protein contains a N-terminal DotU domain, a single

transmembrane domain and two IcmF domains; its transmembrane domain and the

absence of a specific signal peptide indicates that this protein might anchored to the

inner membrane. USDA257 membrane complex vary from the canonical presenting not

only one but two membrane complex proteins with PG-binding domains (TssL$_e$ and

TagN) and an TssM-TssL hybrid protein (TagM) (**Fig. 2B**). This complexity might

indicate a different assembling mode/capacity to anchor to the cell envelope.

Lastly, we have identified a gene encoding an additional accessory protein we have

named *tagY* (**Fig. 2A**). TagY presents homology with an M15 peptidase, a D-alanyl D-

alanine carboxypeptidase that is involved in bacterial cell wall biosynthesis (Lessard *et*

al., 1999). Predictions indicate that TagY has a transmembrane domain at the Nt end

and depending on the software used, it might have a signal peptide, suggesting that this

protein could be anchored to the outer membrane with the Ct end facing the periplasm

or the cellular exterior.

3.3. *The S. fredii USDA257 T6SS cluster harbors genes encoding potential effectors.*

Frequently, T6SS effectors are genetically linked to *vgrG* and *hcp* genes which can

be found within a T6SS cluster or, in different numbers, scattered through the genome.

For instance, *P. aeruginosa* PAO1 genome contains 10 *vgrG* and 5 *hcp* genes (Hachani

et al., 2011). The *in silico* study of USDA257 genome has revealed single copies of

vgrG and *hcp* genes in the T6SS cluster (**Fig. 2A**). *hcp* is found surrounded by the

structural genes *tssC* and *tssE* and there is no evidence of genes encoding putative

effectors in the *hcp* proximity. On the other hand, *vgrG* is located at the end of the

cluster and genetically linked to putative T6SS effectors and adaptors, displaying a

similar genetic architecture to *P. aeruginosa* PAO1 and *P. fluorescens* F113 *vgrG1b*

clusters (Hachani *et al*., 2011; Redondo-Nieto *et al*., 2013). These clusters encoded an

evolved VgrG, an oligonucleotide-binding(OB)-fold, a DUF2169 adaptor (an

immunoglobulin-like protein), a thiolase-like protein (PRK06147) proposed to be a

novel T6SS adaptor, a PAAR protein with a C-terminal cytotoxic domain, an immunity

protein, and a heat repeat-containing protein. Both set of genes only differ in the

sequence of the toxic domain and the immunity pair, a common characteristic of this

genetic island previously described by Pissaridaou *et al*. (2018). In USDA257, genes

downstream *vgrG* are inverted but, except for the inversion, the genetic organization of

the region is conserved with the previously described ones. Thus, USDA257 contains

the genes encoding the evolved VgrG with a similar C-terminal domain, the OB-fold

protein, the DU2169 adaptor named *tsar* (type six adapter rhizobium), followed by a

thiolase-like protein (T6SS adaptor), a evolved PAAR protein named Tsre1 (*tsre*, type

six rhizobial effector) with a C-terminal domain of unknown function and, lastly, a

protein named Tsre2 (**Fig. 2A, Tables 1 and 2**). According to Phyre[2], in which model is

built based on the experimental structure of a homologue that serves as a structural

template (Kelley *et al*., 2015), Tsre2 shows structural homology with a glycoside

hydrolase enzyme GH74 with a xyloglucan binding domain from *Caldicellulosiruptor*

lactoaceticus 6A (69% alignment, 99.3% confidence). Additionaly, the predicted model

of the USDA257 Tsre2 protein was collected from the Alphafold database and queried

using the FoldSeek tool, that predict the structural homology based on the terciary

interactions of proteins in a sequence-independent manner (Van Kempen *et al.*, 2023).

Using this approach, best prediction for the structural homology is found with the

immune protein Tsi7 from *P. aeruginosa* PAO (probable structural homology between

amino acid residues 2 and 340 with an E-Value of 3.77e-14), whereas the structural

homology with the glycoside hydrolase enzyme ranges between residues 11 and 338

(1.10e-1 E-Value). Interestingly, the evolved PAAR protein named Tsre1, that do not

display any structural homology in its C-terminal domain according to Phyre[2], is

predicted to be an homologous of the DNAse toxin Tse7 of *P. aeruginosa* PAO1

(ranging from 3 to 321 amino acid positions, 5.25e-24 E-Value).

Table 2. Best predictions for the structural homology from representative rhizobial

versions coded by same loci than *tsre1* and *tsre2* genes of *S. fredii* USDA257.

Rhizobial strain	Tsre1-like	Tsre2-like
Sinorhizobium fredii USDA257	P: PAAR (25-125 a.a.) F: DNAse toxin Tse7 (3-321 a.a.) Size: 324 a.a.	P: Glycosyl hydrolase GH74 (1-340 a.a.) F: Immune protein Tsi7 (2-340 a.a.) Size: 351 a.a.
Rhizobium phaseoli N841	P: PAAR (26-126 a.a.). F: DNAse toxin Tse7 (1-327 a.a.) Size: 328 a.a.	P: Glycosyl hydrolase GH74 (106-301 a.a.) F: Immune protein Tsi7 (17-228 a.a.) Size: 309 a.a.
Rhizobium phaseoli R620	P: PAAR (26-126 a.a.). F: Not available. Size: 330 a.a.	P: Glycosyl hydrolase GH74 (98-302 a.a.) F: Not available. Size: 309 a.a.

Structural homologies were determined by Phyre^2 (P:) software and (F:) Foldseek tool.

In parenthesis are indicated range of aligned amino acids.

Not only the *paar* genes of USDA257 but also *vrgG* gene encode for evolved proteins,

in which Ct ends contain putative effector domains (Pukatzki *et al*., 2009). According to

sequence similarity, the Ct domain of VgrG corresponds to a 225 amino acid fragment

from the FhaB protein, a chaperone that prevents the premature folding of

hemagglutinin filaments, one of the virulence factors of *Bordetella pertussis* (Coutte *et*

al., 2001). However, the analysis performed with Phyre^2 software indicates that this Ct

domain also shows structural homology with the Cthe_2159 protein from

Hungateiclostridium thermocellum ATCC 27405 (85% alignment, 86.5% confidence), a polysaccharide lyase-type protein that binds to cellulose and polygalacturonic acid (**Fig. 2A, Table 2**). The predicted model of the evolved VrgG from *S. fredii* USDA 257 using Foldseek determined that the *Nitrospirae bacterium* structural homologus VrgG is the most similar protein among all proteins (between amino acid residues 3 and 768, 1.40e-75 E-Value).

We identified one potential orphan effector, since Tsar adaptor and evolved PAAR proteins, termed Tsre3, were found separatelly from the T6SS cluster (**Fig. 2A**). Curiously, this orphan PAAR protein displays sequence disimilarity with the Tsre1 effector both in the N-term and C-term domains and do not exhibit structural homology with any previously described proteins according to Phyre[2]. Using FoldSeek, the structure of a DUF4150 domain-containing protein with unknown function from *Methilobacterium* sp. yr596 is identified with probable structural homology only with the N-terminal part (amino acid residues from 38 to 168 with a E-Value 1.11e-16).

3.4. *The vrg cluster is well conserved among rhizobia except for the effector region*

To obtain a broader perspective of functioning and distribution of *tsre1* and *tsre2* genes among rhizobia, we performed a comparison of the *vgrG* clusters from eighteen rhizobial strains. **Fig. 3** shows sequence conservation across the entire cluster. As expected, the genes encoding the VgrG and the OB-fold proteins are conserved and the region encoding the putative proteins Tsre1 and Tsre2 is the one varying the most. Curiously, the 3´end of the gene encoding the DUF2169 adaptor and 5´end the gene encoding the thiolase-like protein are less conserved and could indicate that they are effector/toxin-specific.

Figure 3. Genome sequence alignment of the *vgrG* region demonstrating the divergence
of *tsre1* and *tsre2* in eighteen rhizobial strains using Easyfig. Cluster are sorted by
homology degree respect to the VrgG protein from *S. fredii* USDA257 (Blastp E value).
In parenthesis is indicated the percentage of identity for each VrgG. Putative protein
domains encoded for each gene of the *vgrG* region are boxed above alignments.

A neighbor-joining phylogeny of the Tsre1 and Tsre2 proteins from these rhizobial

strains clearly group both *S. fredii* USDA257 versions with those present in

Sinorhizobium americanum strains CCGM7 and CFNEI 73, whereas most Tsre1 and

Tsre2 *Rhizobium phaseoli* versions are distributed in two separated branches, one

grouping versions from strains R620, R650, R611, N771, N671, N261, R723 and Brasil

5, and the other one clustering proteins from strains N841, R630, N831, N931 and R744

(**Fig. 4**). Alignment of the Tsre1 protein sequences indicated a high degree of similarity

among the N-terminal but not in the C-terminal domains in all versions, that were

grouped as in the phylogenetic tree (**Fig. S3-5**). In this case, first half of the three

versions of Tsre1 proteins showed a clear structural homology with PAAR domain

according to Phyre[2] (**Table 2**). Despite alignment of Tsre2 versions showed a lower

degree of similarity among proteins from different branches of the phylogenetic tree

(**Fig. S6-8**), the structural homology predicted using this approach indicate that the

other two versions are structurally similar than the same GH74 glycoside hydrolase

enzyme, differencing in their range of alignment. When using the Foldseek tool, the

proteins most structurally similar to the N841 versions of both Tsre1 and Tsre2 are Tse7

and Tsi7 from *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* PAO1. In contrast, for the R620 version, the

lack of an AlphaFold prediction made structural comparison of the protein impossible

using this approach (**Table 2**).

Figure 4. Neighbor-joining tree of rhizobia T6SS putative effectors. Bootstrap values ≥40 are indicated for each node. The cluster analysis to group the strains by Tsre1 (A) or Tsre2 (B) sequence similarities was done using the program CLUSTALW in the MEGA7 software package with the algorithm neighbor-joining method. Tree robustness was assessed by bootstrap resampling (1000 replicates each). Asterisks indicate representative Tsre1 and Tsre2 versions for each main branch.

3.5. *The T6SS of S. fredii USDA257 is functional and induced in minimal medium and in the nodules*

To determine the conditions under which the T6SS of *S. fredii* USDA257 is expressed, we cloned the promoter region of the first gene of the T6SS structural operon (*ppkA*) upstream of the reporter gene *lacZ*. Thus, by ß-galactosidase assays, we aimed to measure the expression levels of the entire T6SS system. We tested USDA 257 cultures 30 hours post inoculation in selected rich, standard and minimal media for growing rhizobia: TY, YM, and MM respectively (**Fig. 5A**). YM is a standard medium possessing moderate quantities of yeast extract that supply the limitant organic nitrogen and micronutrients, whereas MM is a minimal medium that only has as glutamate as nitrogen source. Both YM and MM media can be prepared with different concentration

of mannitol as carbon source. Thus, the activation pattern in the ß-galactosidase assays

of the wild-type strain carrying the construct containing the USDA257 *ppkA* promoter

region fused to the *lacZ* reporter gene indicated that the expression of the T6SS genes is

the highest in the minimal medium MM3 (3 g L^{-1} of mannitol), whereas the lowes

values were obtained when this rhizobium was grown in the rich medium TY (about 3-

fold and 1,8-fold, respectively, in comparison to those values obtained by the strain

carrying the empty plasmid) (**Fig. 5A**).

Figure 5. Expression of the *S. fredii* USDA257 *ppkA* gene. (A) Fold-change values of
the β-galactosidase activity of USDA257 carrying a pMP220 plasmid containing the
ppkA promoter region fused to the *lacZ* gene respect to those values obtained by the
strain carrying the empty pMP220 plasmid. Assayed conditions were TY, YM10, YM3,
MM10 and MM3 media 30 hours after inoculation. Data followed by the same letter are
not significantly different at the level of α = 5% (One-Way ANOVA with multiple
comparisons, P<0.05). (B) Quantitative RT-PCR analysis of the expression of the *ppkA*
gene of USDA257 grown in the TY, YM10, YM3, MM10 and MM3 media 48 hours

after inoculation. Expression data shown are the mean (± standard deviation of the mean) for three biological replicates. Data followed by the same letter are not significantly different at the level of α = 5% (One-Way ANOVA with multiple comparisons, P<0.05). (C) Fold-change values of the β-galactosidase activity of USDA257 carrying a pMP220 plasmid containing the *ppkA* promoter region fused to the *lacZ* gene respect to those values obtained by the strain carrying the empty pMP220 plasmid. The assayed condition was MM3 medium at different hours after inoculation. Data followed by the same letter are not significantly different at the level of α = 5% (One-Way ANOVA with multiple comparisons, P<0.05).

To confirm these findings, we followed a complementary approach to measeure the transcriptional activation of the *ppkA* gene. *q*RT-PCR experiments shed the same transcriptional activation trend for the *ppkA* gene in USDA257 cultures after 48 hours post inoculation. The highest transcriptional values were found in cultures growing at MM3 medium (around 8-fold) in comparison to the values obtained for the same genes in TY medium (**Fig. 5B**). To delve further into the regulation of T6SS, we performed ß-galactosidase assays at different points of the growth curve of *S. fredii* USDA257 inoculated in the MM3 inducing-medium. Interestingly, the activation pattern of the wild-type strain carrying the P_{ppkA}::*lacZ* fusion pointed out that the expression of the T6SS follows the archetypical growth curve profile, with a saturation of the ß-galactosidase values around 54 hours after inoculation (about 7-fold in comparison to those values obtained by the strain carrying the empty plasmid) (**Fig. 5C**).

To gain insight about the potential role of the T6SS of *S. fredii* USDA257 in the early steps of the symbiotic process, β-galactosidase assays were performed in the presence of genistein, a *nod*-gene inducing flavonoid in several related *S. fredii* strains (Jiménez-Guerrero *et al*., 2014, 2018; Pérez-Montaño *et al*., 2016). Activation of the *ppkA* promoter was measured in MM3 medium at stationary phase in the presence or the absence of genistein, using as control of *nod*-gene induction the wild-type strain carrying the pMP240 plasmid, which harbors the conserved *nodA* promoter of *R.*

leguminosarum bv. *viciae* fused to the *lacZ* reporter gene. In the presence of the

USDA257 *nod* gene-inducing flavonoid genistein, induction values of strains carrying

the *ppkA* promotor fused to *lacZ* were similar among conditions (**Fig. S9**), indicating

that the expression of the T6SS is not controlled by the presence of this symbiotic

molecule.

The secretion of the Hcp protein into a culture medium is a hallmark to assess

functionality of the system. Therefore, we produced a *S. fredii* USDA257 Hcp antibody

to assess USDA257 T6SS activity in the previously described conditions, *i.e.* the

different media after 48 hours of growth (**Fig. 6A**). As anticipated, western blot analysis

corroborated the results obtained from both transcriptomic assays, revealing that the

presence of Hcp was lowest in TY medium and highest in both MM media. We also

used a USDA257 *tssA* mutant strain to disable the system since TssA is an essential

component for T6SS activity. As expected, we detected Hcp in the supernatant of the

wild-type strain grown in MM3 but not in the isogenic *tssA* mutant while it was present

in the cytosol of both strains (**Fig. 6B**). Altogether, results stablishe that USDA257

T6SS is a functional secretion machine and it is found active in poor media at the

stationary phase of growth.

Figure 6. Functionality of the *S. fredii* USDA257 T6SS. (A) Production and secretion of Hcp in the USDA257 wild type and the *tssA* mutant strains. The Hcp protein was detected by western blot analysis using an anti-Hcp antibody. Detection of the β-subunit of the RNA polymerase (β-RNApol) was used as control. The position of the molecular size marker (in kDa) is indicated. (B) Production and secretion of Hcp in the USDA257 wild type and the *tssA* mutant strains. The Hcp protein was detected by western blot analysis using an anti-Hcp antibody. Detection of the β-subunit of the RNA polymerase (β-RNApol) was used as control. The position of the molecular size marker (in kDa) is indicated.

3.6. The T6SS of S. fredii USDA257 is required for a successful nodulation and

competitivenes with G. max cv Pekin

To shed light into the role and functioning of the *S. fredii* USDA257 T6SS, we

carried out interbacterial competition assays between the wild-type and *ttsA* (T6SS-

defective) strains of USDA 257 as predators and the other bacterial species (*A.*

tumefaciens, *P. carotovorum* and *S. fredii* HH103) as preys. The bacteria were mixed

1:1 (predator:prey) and co-cultured on T6SS-inducing medium for 24 hours. Spots

containing the competing bacteria were collected and the bacterial CFUs were

determined on selective plates. The number of predator and prey bacteria at 24 and 48

hours is shown in **Table 3**. Both wild-type and *tssA* strains displayed a reduced number

of bacteria when competing with any prey, which indicates that the USDA257 T6SS is

not involved in interbacterial competition against another potential rhizospheric

competitor as the pathogenic *A. tumefaciens* and *P. carotovorum* or the symbiont *S.*

fredii HH103 (Bernal *et al*., 2017).

Table 3. Average of CFUs obtained in competition assays after 0, 24 or 48 hours of
interaction in T6SS-inducing media.

Strains	0 hours	24 hours	48 hours
P. carotovorum (vs WT)	1.8×10^7 $\pm 4.5 \times 10^6$	1.1×10^6 $\pm 1.0 \times 10^5$	9.5×10^4 $\pm 5.0 \times 10^3$
P. carotovorum (vs *tssA*)	1.6×10^7 $\pm 5.0 \times 10^6$	1.0×10^6 $\pm 3.0 \times 10^5$	1.1×10^5 $\pm 3.0 \times 10^4$
WT (vs *P. carotovorum*)	2.0×10^7 $\pm 7.0 \times 10^6$	7.5×10^5 $\pm 1.5 \times 10^5$	1.8×10^3 $\pm 9.0 \times 10^2$
tssA (vs *P. carotovorum*)	2.3×10^7 $\pm 1.7 \times 10^7$	1.4×10^6 $\pm 4.5 \times 10^5$	2.5×10^2 $\pm 5.0 \times 10^1$
A. tumefaciens (vs WT)	5.3×10^7 $\pm 5.0 \times 10^5$	1.3×10^7 $\pm 3.0 \times 10^6$	1.4×10^7 $\pm 1.5 \times 10^6$
A. tumefaciens (vs *tssA*)	5.6×10^7 $\pm 1.5 \times 10^6$	1.3×10^7 $\pm 2.0 \times 10^6$	1.7×10^7 $\pm 5.0 \times 10^6$
WT (vs *A. tumefaciens*)	1.8×10^7 $\pm 6.5 \times 10^6$	7.0×10^5 $\pm 1.0 \times 10^5$	9.5×10^5 $\pm 5.0 \times 10^4$
tssA (vs *A. tumefaciens*)	2.7×10^7 $\pm 1.9 \times 10^7$	1.0×10^6 $\pm 7.0 \times 10^5$	1.5×10^6 $\pm 8.0 \times 10^5$
S. fredii HH103 (vs WT)	1.8×10^7 $\pm 4.5 \times 10^6$	1.1×10^6 $\pm 1.0 \times 10^5$	9.5×10^4 $\pm 5.0 \times 10^3$
S. fredii HH103 (vs *tssA*)	1.6×10^7 $\pm 5.0 \times 10^6$	1.0×10^6 $\pm 3.0 \times 10^5$	1.1×10^5 $\pm 3.0 \times 10^4$
WT (vs *S. fredii* HH103)	2.0×10^7 $\pm 7.0 \times 10^6$	7.5×10^5 $\pm 1.5 \times 10^5$	1.8×10^3 $\pm 9.0 \times 10^2$

tssA (vs _S. fredii_ HH103)	2.3×10^7 $\pm 1.7 \times 10^7$	1.4×10^6 $\pm 4.5 \times 10^5$	2.5×10^2 $\pm 5.0 \times 10^1$

To gain insight into the role and functioning of the _S. fredii_ USDA257 T6SS, the

symbiotic abilities of the wild-type or _tssA_ strains with _G. max_ cv Pekin, considered its

natural host, were inspected (**Fig. 7A**). Interestingly, the number of nodules in examined

plants inoculated by the _tssA_ mutant was statistically lower to those obtained in plants

infected by the parental strain. Similar but not statistically trends were observed for both

nodule fresh mass and plant-top dry mass parameters. The impact of the T6SS on the

bacterial competitive nodulation capacity with _G. max_ cv Pekin was assessed by co-

inoculation experiments with USDA257 and the _tssA_ mutant. In all ratios (1:1, 1:10 and

10:1), the T6SS defected strain was clearly outcompeted by the wild-type (**Fig. 7B**).

Thus, results obtained from nodulation assays and competitiveness for nodule

occupancy indicate that the T6SS of USDA257 is required for an optimal nodule

development in soybean.

To further elucidate the potential functioning of the T6SS specifically during nodule

colonization in the rhizobial symbiosis, we conducted a detailed investigation by

confocal microscopy into the localization of rhizobial cells within nodules, along with

the activation pattern of their T6SS. The expression of both constitutive GFP and T6SS-

responsive RFP allowed to account for all dual-bioreporter cells _in vivo_ during the

infection of legume nodules. Interestingly, strong constitutive GFP and T6SS-

responsive RFP fluorescence expression were observed for all rhizobial cells that are

colonizing the symbiotic cells of _L. burttii_ nodules (**Fig. 7C**), which reveales that the

T6SS of USDA257 is fully active when rhizobial cell invade the host nodule tissue.

Figure 7. Plant responses to inoculation with *S. fredii* USDA257 strains. (A) Plant responses to inoculation of *G. max* cv Pekin with different *S. fredii* USDA257 strains. Plants were evaluated 6 weeks after inoculation. NI: non-inoculated plants. Data followed by the same letter are not significantly different at the level of α = 5% (One-Way ANOVA with multiple comparisons, P<0.05). (B) Competition for nodulation assays of different *S. fredii* USDA257 strains. Percentages of nodule occupancy were evaluated by co-inoculation at different ratios of both strains at 30 dpi. Light grey: WT, dark grey: *tssA* mutant. (C) Confocal microscopy of *L. burttii* 30 dai nodules infected by the *S. fredii* USDA257 carrying a dual fluorescent reporter plasmid with or without the *ppkA* promoter region upstream of the *rfp* gene.

4. Discussion

Application of symbiotic rhizobia is a cheap and ecofriendly alternative source of nitrogen for plants, which favors a moderate use of nitrogen fertilizers mitigating their excessive utilization (Martinelli *et al*., 2020; Pérez-Montaño *et al*., 2014). The efficiency of symbiosis depends on various factors such as soil, environment, and symbiotic pair. From the rhizobia point of view, several molecules are important in this intimate interaction, highlighting Nod factors, extracellular polysaccharides, and protein

secretion systems (López-Baena *et al*., 2016). In case of T3SS, numerous examples

have been described to exert neutral, positive or negative effects on symbiosis

depending on the plant host (Nelson & Sadowsky, 2015). However, studies about the

role of rhizobial T6SS on symbiosis are scarce, despite the first report dealing with

T6SS indicated that this protein secretion system impairs nodulation between *R*.

leguminosarum and pea (Bladergroen *et al*., 2003). Conversely, positive effect of T6SS

on symbiosis has been recently reported in the symbiosystems *R. etli*-bean and

Bradyrhizobium-Lupinus (Salinero-Lanzarote *et al*., 2019, Tighilt *et al*., 2022). In two

additional studies, it was demonstrated a role of T6SS on interbacterial competition but

not in symbiosis (de Campos *et al*., 2017; Lin *et al*., 2018). In the case of *Sinorhizobium*

genera, no studies have been performed so far.

T6SSs distributed among *Proteobacteria* phylum are classified in five phylogenetic

groups (Boyer *et al*., 2009). Reference strains for each phylogenetic group belong to the

order *Pseudomonadales*, except for group 5, whose reference strain is *Agrobacterium*

tumefaciens. TssB is commonly used to group and classify the different T6SSs among

the five phylogenetic groups, since this highly conserved protein conforms the

contractile sheath of the T6SS in all microorganisms analyzed so far (Bernal *et al*.,

2017; Cascales & Cambillau, 2012). In case of the USDA257, according to its TssB

sequence, the T6SS belongs to the group 3, which is one of the most represented

phylogenetic groups among the *Rhizobiales* order. An in deep analysis indicate that the

genome of USDA257 contains the thirteen genes coding for all structural proteins

required for a functional T6SS in one chromosomal cluster. Besides to these T6SS core

proteins, *in silico* analysis points out that USDA257 synthetizes two accessory proteins

of the membrane complex, TagL and TagM. Additionally, most T6SSs described so far

are controlled by regulatory proteins (Lin *et al*., 2018; Mougous *et al*., 2007). A well-

studied example is the kinase-phosphatase PpkA-PppA couple, which mediates the system formation through a phosphorylation cascade that ends with the structural components assembly. In the case of the USDA257 cluster, we found not only genes coding for PpkA-PppA regulatory proteins but also those encoding the TagF and Fha proteins, which also interact with the system and regulate its activity at post-transcriptional level (Lin *et al*., 2018; Mougous *et al*., 2007). Genes coding effector proteins are often found inside of the T6SS cluster, specifically in the *vrg* gene neighborhood (Durand *et al*., 2014). In USDA257, both *vrgG* and *paar* (*tsre1*) genes encode for evolved proteins. The Ct effector domain of VrgG is structurally similar than a polysaccharide lyase-type enzyme, which points out that this USDA257 effector could bind to cellulose and polygalacturonic acid, being involved in the attachment to vegetal cell wall. Tsre2 shows structural homology -according to Phyre^2- with a glycoside hydrolase enzyme with a xyloglucan binding domain able to hydrolyze the plant cell wall (Arnal *et al*., 2019), but also -using Foldseek- with the DNAse toxin Tse7 from *P. aeruginosa* PAO1 (Pissaridou *et al*., 2018). This tool also predicts that the Tsre1 protein possesses structural similarity to the antitoxin protein Tse7, further supporting their potential role as a toxin-antitoxin system. Comparisons of this effector across several rhizobial strains indicate that, despite the sequence dissimilarity among the three different versions of the effector, Tsre2 proteins consistently exhibit structural homology with the same two enzymes. This suggests that, regardless of the specific function of this protein, it is likely to be conserved among rhizobia. Moreover, it is not discartable that Tsre2 could be a moonlighting effector, a class of multifunctional protein capable of performing more than one distinct biological function, often depending on factors like its location within the cell, interaction with different molecules, or changes in environmental conditions (Jeffery, 1999). It has been recently

proposed that moonlighting proteins may be particularly important when the symbiotic interaction becomes more intimate, that is, during the development of the symbiosome (Ma *et al.*, 2024).

Overall, the exhaustive *in silico* analysis performed in this work points to a functional T6SS of *S. fredii* USDA257, which might be involved on the interaction with host plant cells and/or with bacteria present in the plant rhizosphere. But is indeed this T6SS fully functional and involved in the direct or indirect optimization of the nodulation process? According to our results, the USDA257 T6SS genes are highly expressed within soybean nodules and in poor media at exponential phase, but not in the presence of *nod-*gene inducing flavonoids (Pérez-Montaño *et al*., 2016; Jiménez-Guerrero, *et al*., 2015). Findings described for *R. etli* T6SS are in most extend similar, since this protein secretion system is fully functional in yeast mannitol medium at high optical densities of growth and in the bean nodules (Salinero-Lanzarote *et al*., 2019). In this bacterium, the T6SS exerts a positive effect in the symbiotic proccess with its natural legume, whereas information about its role on bacterial competition is missing. The involvement on the symbiotic process of the USDA257 T6SS has been confirmed in nodulation and competitiveness for nodule accupancy assays, where the absence of this protein secretion system reduces the formation of nodules and their relative nodule colonization in soybean. In summary, *in vivo* assays streghthen, at least in some extend, what emerged from *in silico* analysis, i.e. this protein secretion system is fully functional playing a positive effect on the symbiosis stablished between *S. fredii* USDA257 and *G. max* cv Pekin, its natural host.

So far, the involvement of rhizobial T6SS on interbacterial competition seems to be incompatible for its role on symbiosis and *vicerversa*, since both abilities have never been described at the same time in the same rhizobial strain (Bladergroen *et al*., 2003;

de Campos *et al.*, 2017; Lin *et al.*, 2018; Salinero-Lanzarote *et al.*, 2019; Tighilt *et al.*,

2022). Competition assays performed against various rhizobacteria under i*n vitro* T6SS-

inducing conditions indicate that this protein secretion system does not confer killing

ability to USDA257. However, this result disagrees with part of the *in silico* analysis of

Tsre2 effector, since this protein seems to share structuraly homology with a DNase-

type toxin (Pissaridou *et al.*, 2018). Perhaps the ability to kill other competing

rhizobacteria occurs in later stages of symbiosis, during root colonization and infection.

This could explain why, under controlled laboratory conditions, we did not detect the

toxic effect mediated by Tsre2.

In conclusion, we show a positive role of T6SS in *S. fredii*-legume symbiosis but not

in interbacterial competition. Despite possible functions have been suggested for some

T6SS effector proteins, future work is needed to elucidate their functioning and to

understand the specific role they play in rhizosphere and symbiosis.

Supplementary Materials: Figure S1: Southern blot hybridization with probes of *tssA*

genes. Figure S2: Multiple alignment of the TssM, TssL, TagM and TagL sequences.

Figure S3-5: Multiple alignment of the Tsre1 sequences. Figure S6-8: Multiple

alignment of the Tsre2 sequences. Figure S9: Induction of *ppkA* promoter in the

presence of *nod* gene inducing-flavonoids. Table S1. Bacterial strains and plasmid used

in this study. Table S2. DNA oligonucleotide primers used in this study. Table S3.

Nitrogen-fixing and related bacteria harboring a T6SS.

Acknowledgments: This work was funded with projects PID2020-118279RA-I00,

PID2019-107634RB-I00, US-1250546 and US-1259948.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, F.P-M., P.B., and I.J-G.; Methodology,

P.R-P., I.J-G., and P.B.; Validation, P.R-P., A.S-R., C.C., N.M-C., F.O., and I.J-G.;

Formal Analysis, P.R-P., F.P-M., P.B. and I.J-G.; Investigation, P.R-P., A.S-R., C.C.,

N.M-C., F.O., and I.J-G.; Writing – Original Draft Preparation, F.P-M.; Writing –

Review & Editing, P.B. and I.J-G.; Visualization, F.P-M., and P.B.; Project

Administration, P. B, and F.P-M.; Funding Acquisition, P. B, and F.P-M.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

Abramson, J., Adler, J., Dunger, J., Evans, R., Green, T., Pritzel, A., … & Jumper, J.M.
(2024). Accurate structure prediction of biomolecular interactions with AlphaFold
3. *Nature, 630*, 493–500. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-024-07487-w

Almagro Armenteros, J. J., Tsirigos, K. D., Sønderby, C. K., Petersen, T. N., Winther,
O., Brunak, S., von Heijne, G., & Nielsen, H. (2019). SignalP 5.0 improves signal
peptide predictions using deep neural networks. *Nature Biotechnology*, *37*(4).
https://doi.org/10.1038/s41587-019-0036-z

Arnal, G., Stogios, P. J., Asohan, J., Attia, M. A., Skarina, T., Viborg, A. H., ... &
Brumer, H. (2019). Substrate specificity, regiospecificity, and processivity in
glycoside hydrolase family 74. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, *294*(36).
https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.RA119.009861

Arnal, G., Stogios, P. J., Asohan, J., Attia, M. A., Skarina, T., Viborg, A. H., Henrissat,
B., Savchenko, A., & Brumer, H. (2019). Substrate specificity, regiospecificity,
and processivity in glycoside hydrolase family 74. *Journal of Biological
Chemistry*, *294*(36). https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.RA119.009861

Artimo, P., Jonnalagedda, M., Arnold, K., Baratin, D., Csardi, G., de Castro, E.,
Duvaud, S., Flegel, V., Fortier, A., Gasteiger, E., Grosdidier, A., Hernandez, C.,
Ioannidis, V., Kuznetsov, D., Liechti, R., Moretti, S., Mostaguir, K., Redaschi, N.,
Rossier, G., … Stockinger, H. (2012). ExPASy: SIB bioinformatics resource
portal. *Nucleic Acids Research*, *40*(W1). https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gks400

Aschtgen, M. S., Thomas, M. S., & Cascales, E. (2010). Anchoring the type VI
secretion system to the peptidoglycan: TssL, TagL, TagP... what else? *Virulence*,
1(6). https://doi.org/10.4161/viru.1.6.13732

Beringer J. E. (1974). R Factor Transfer in *Rhizobium leguminosarum. Microbiology*,
84(1). https://doi.org/10.1099/00221287-84-1-188

Bernal, P., Allsopp, L. P., Filloux, A., & Llamas, M. A. (2017). The *Pseudomonas*
putida T6SS is a plant warden against phytopathogens. *ISME Journal*, *11*(4), 972–
987. https://doi.org/10.1038/ismej.2016.169

Bernal, P., Llamas, M. A., & Filloux, A. (2018). Type VI secretion systems in
plant☐associated bacteria. *Environmental microbiology*, *20*(1).
https://doi.org/10.1111/1462-2920.13956

Bernal, P., Furniss, R. C. D., Fecht, S., Leung, R. C. Y., Spiga, L., Mavridou, D. A. I.,
& Filloux, A. (2021). A novel stabilization mechanism for the type VI secretion
system sheath. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, *118*(7).
https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2008500118

Bertani, G. (1951). Studies on lysogenesis I. *Journal of Bacteriology*, *62*(3).
https://doi.org/10.1128/jb.62.3.293-300.1951

Bladergroen, M. R., Badelt, K., & Spaink, H. P. (2003). Infection-Blocking Genes of a
Symbiotic *Rhizobium leguminosarum* Strain That Are Involved in Temperature-
Dependent Protein Secretion. *Molecular Plant-Microbe Interactions®*, *16*(1).
https://doi.org/10.1094/MPMI.2003.16.1.53

Boratyn, G. M., Camacho, C., Cooper, P. S., Coulouris, G., Fong, A., Ma, N., Madden,
T. L., Matten, W. T., McGinnis, S. D., Merezhuk, Y., Raytselis, Y., Sayers, E. W.,
Tao, T., Ye, J., & Zaretskaya, I. (2013). BLAST: a more efficient report with
usability improvements. *Nucleic Acids Research*, *41*(W1).
https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkt282

Boyer, F., Fichant, G., Berthod, J., Vandenbrouck, Y., & Attree, I. (2009). Dissecting
the bacterial type VI secretion system by a genome wide *in silico* analysis: what
can be learned from available microbial genomic resources? *BMC Genomics*,
10(1). https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2164-10-104

Buendía-Clavería, A. M., Chamber, M. & Ruiz-Sainz, J. E. (1989). A comparative study
of the physiological characteristics, plasmid content and symbiotic properties of

different *Rhizobium fredii* in European soils. Systematic and Applied Bacteriology *17*, 155–160. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0723-2020(89)80015-3

Cascales, E., & Cambillau, C. (2012). Structural biology of type VI secretion systems. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, *367*(1592). https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2011.0209

Cascales, E., & Cambillau, C. (2012). Structural biology of type VI secretion systems. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, *367*(1592). https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2011.0209

Cherrak, Y., Rapisarda, C., Pellarin, R., Bouvier, G., Bardiaux, B., Allain, F., Malosse, C., Rey, M., Chamot-Rooke, J., Cascales, E., Fronzes, R., & Durand, E. (2018). Biogenesis and structure of a type VI secretion baseplate. *Nature Microbiology*, *3*(12). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41564-018-0260-1

Coulthurst, S. (2019). The Type VI secretion system: a versatile bacterial weapon. *Microbiology*, *165*(5). https://doi.org/10.1099/mic.0.000789

Coutte, L., Antoine, R., Drobecq, H., Locht, C., & Jacob-Dubuisson, F. (2001). Subtilisin-like autotransporter serves as maturation protease in a bacterial secretion pathway. *The EMBO Journal*, *20*(18). https://doi.org/10.1093/emboj/20.18.5040

de Campos, S. B., Lardi, M., Gandolfi, A., Eberl, L., & Pessi, G. (2017). Mutations in Two *Paraburkholderia phymatum* Type VI Secretion Systems Cause Reduced Fitness in Interbacterial Competition. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, *8*. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2017.02473

de Maagd, R. A., Wijffelman, C. A., Pees, E., & Lugtenberg, B. J. (1988). Detection and subcellular localization of two Sym plasmid-dependent proteins of *Rhizobium leguminosarum* biovar viciae. *Journal of Bacteriology*, *170*(9). https://doi.org/10.1128/jb.170.9.4424-4427.1988

de Sousa, B.F.S., Domingo-Serrano, L., Salinero-Lanzarote, A., Palacios, J.M., & Rey, L. (2023). The T6SS-dependent effector Re78 of *Rhizobium etli* Mim1 benefits Bacterial Competition. *Biology*, 12(678). https://doi.org/10.3390/biology12050678

Durand, E., Cambillau, C., Cascales, E., & Journet, L. (2014). VgrG, Tae, Tle, and beyond: the versatile arsenal of Type VI secretion effectors. *Trends in Microbiology*, *22*(9). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tim.2014.06.004

Figurski, D. H., & Helinski, D. R. (1979). Replication of an origin-containing derivative
of plasmid RK2 dependent on a plasmid function provided in trans. *Proceedings of*
the National Academy of Sciences, *76*(4). https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.76.4.1648

Finn, R. D., Coggill, P., Eberhardt, R. Y., Eddy, S. R., Mistry, J., Mitchell, A. L., Potter,
S. C., Punta, M., Qureshi, M., Sangrador-Vegas, A., Salazar, G. A., Tate, J., &
Bateman, A. (2016). The Pfam protein families database: towards a more
sustainable future. *Nucleic Acids Research*, *44*(D1).
https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkv1344

Hachani, A., Wood, T. E., & Filloux, A. (2016). Type VI secretion and anti-host
effectors. *Current Opinion in Microbiology*, *29*.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mib.2015.11.006

Ho, B. T., Dong, T. G., & Mekalanos, J. J. (2014). A view to a kill: the bacterial type VI
secretion system. *Cell Host & Microbe*, *15*(1).
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chom.2013.11.008

Hsu, F., Schwarz, S., & Mougous, J. D. (2009). TagR promotes PpkA☐catalysed type
VI secretion activation in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *Molecular Microbiology*,
72(5). https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2958.2009.06701.x

Jeffery, C. J. (1999). Moonlighting proteins. *Trends in Biochemical Sciences*, *24*(1), 8-
https://doi.org/11. 10.1016/S0968-0004(98)01335-8

Jiménez-Guerrero, I., Acosta-Jurado, S., del Cerro, P., Navarro-Gómez, P., López-
Baena, F. J., Ollero, F. J., Vinardell, J. M., & Pérez-Montaño, F. (2018).
Transcriptomic studies of the effect of nod gene-inducing molecules in rhizobia:
Different weapons, one purpose. *Genes 9*(1). https://doi.org/10.3390/genes9010001

Jiménez-Guerrero, I., Medina, C., Vinardell, J. M., Ollero, F. J., & López-Baena, F. J.
(2022). The Rhizobial Type 3 Secretion System: The Dr. Jekyll and Mr. Hyde in
the Rhizobium–Legume Symbiosis. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*,
23(19), 11089. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms231911089

Jiménez☐Guerrero, I., Moreno☐De Castro, N., & Pérez☐Montaño, F. (2021). One door
closes, another opens: when nodulation impairment with natural hosts extends
rhizobial host☐range. *Environmental Microbiology*, *23*(4), 1837-1841.
https://doi.org/10.1111/1462-2920.15353

Jiménez-Guerrero, I., Pérez-Montaño, F., Medina, C., Ollero, F. J., & López-Baena, F.
J. (2015). NopC is a rhizobium-specific type 3 secretion system effector secreted

by *Sinorhizobium* (*ensifer*) *fredii* HH103. *PLoS ONE*, *10*(11).
https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0142866

Kelley, L. A., Mezulis, S., Yates, C. M., Wass, M. N., & Sternberg, M. J. E. (2015). The
Phyre2 web portal for protein modeling, prediction and analysis. *Nature Protocols*,
10(6). https://doi.org/10.1038/nprot.2015.053

Kovach, M.E., Elzer, P.H., Hill, D.S., Robertson, G.T., Farris, M.A., Roop, R.M.,
Peterson, K.M. (1995). Four new derivatives of the broad-host-range cloning
vector pBBR1MCS, carrying different antibiotic-resistance cassettes. *Gene*,
166(1):175-6. https://doi.org/10.1016/0378-1119(95)00584-1.

Krogh, A., Larsson, B., von Heijne, G., & Sonnhammer, E. L. L. (2001). Predicting
transmembrane protein topology with a hidden markov model: application to
complete genomes11Edited by F. Cohen. *Journal of Molecular Biology*, *305*(3).
https://doi.org/10.1006/jmbi.2000.4315

Kumar, S., Stecher, G., & Tamura, K. (2016). MEGA7: Molecular Evolutionary
Genetics Analysis Version 7.0 for Bigger Datasets. *Molecular Biology and*
Evolution, *33*(7). https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msw054

Lamrabet, Y., Bellogín, R. A., Cubo, T., Espuny, R., Gil, A., Krishnan, H. B., Megias,
M., Ollero, F. J., Pueppke, S. G., Ruiz-Sainz, J. E., Spaink, H. P., Tejero-Mateo, P.,
Thomas-Oates, J., & Vinardell, J. M. (1999). Mutation in GDP-Fucose Synthesis
Genes of *Sinorhizobium fredii* Alters Nod Factors and Significantly Decreases
Competitiveness to Nodulate Soybeans. *Molecular Plant-Microbe Interactions®*,
12(3). https://doi.org/10.1094/MPMI.1999.12.3.207

Le, S. Q., Lartillot, N., & Gascuel, O. (2008). Phylogenetic mixture models for proteins.
Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences,
363(1512). https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2008.0180

Lessard, I. A., & Walsh, C. T. (1999). VanX, a bacterial D-alanyl-D-alanine
dipeptidase: resistance, immunity, or survival function? *Proceedings of the*
National Academy of Sciences, *96*(20). https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.96.20.1102

Letunic, I., & Bork, P. (2016). Interactive tree of life (iTOL) v3: an online tool for the
display and annotation of phylogenetic and other trees. *Nucleic Acids Research*,
44(W1). https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkw290

Letunic, I., Doerks, T., & Bork, P. (2015). SMART: recent updates, new developments
and   status   in   2015.   *Nucleic   Acids   Research*,   *43*(D1).
https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gku949

Lien, Y.-W., & Lai, E.-M. (2017). Type VI Secretion Effectors: Methodologies and
Biology.   *Frontiers   in   Cellular   and   Infection   Microbiology*,   *7*.
https://doi.org/10.3389/fcimb.2017.00254

Lin, H.-H., Huang, H.-M., Yu, M., Lai, E.-M., Chien, H.-L., & Liu, C.-T. (2018).
Functional Exploration of the Bacterial Type VI Secretion System in Mutualism:
Azorhizobium caulinodans ORS571– *Sesbania rostrata* as a Research Model.
Molecular Plant-Microbe Interactions®, *31*(8). https://doi.org/10.1094/MPMI-01-
18-0026-R

Lin, J.-S., Pissaridou, P., Wu, H.-H., Tsai, M.-D., Filloux, A., & Lai, E.-M. (2018).
TagF-mediated repression of bacterial type VI secretion systems involves a direct
interaction with the cytoplasmic protein Fha. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*,
293(23). https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.RA117.001618

López-Baena, F. J., Monreal, J. A., Pérez-Montaño, F., Guasch-Vidal, B., Bellogín, R.
A., Vinardell, J. M., & Ollero, F. J. (2009). The Absence of Nops Secretion in
Sinorhizobium fredii HH103 Increases *GmPR1* Expression in Williams Soybean.
Molecular Plant-Microbe Interactions®, *22*(11). https://doi.org/10.1094/MPMI-
22-11-1445

López-Baena, F., Ruiz-Sainz, J., Rodríguez-Carvajal, M., & Vinardell, J. (2016).
Bacterial Molecular Signals in the *Sinorhizobium fredii*-Soybean Symbiosis.
International   Journal   of   Molecular   Sciences,   *17*(5).
https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms17050755

Ma, C., Zhang, X., Bao, X., & Zhu, X. (2024). In the symbiosome: moonlighting
functions   across   kingdoms.   *New   Crops*,   100015.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ncrops.2024.100015

Martinelli, F., Ollero, F. J., Giovino, A., Perrone, A., Bekki, A., Sikora, S., el Nabbout,
R., Bouhadida, M., Yucel, D., Bazzicalupo, M., Mengoni, A., & Pérez-Montaño, F.
(2020). Proposed research for innovative solutions for chickpeas and beans in a
climate change scenario: The Mediterranean basin. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*,
12(4). https://doi.org/10.3390/su12041315

Miller, J. H. (1972). *Experiment in molecular genetics*. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory.

Mougous, J. D. (2006). A Virulence Locus of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* Encodes a
Protein Secretion Apparatus. *Science*, *312*(5779).
https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1128393

Mougous, J. D., Gifford, C. A., Ramsdell, T. L., & Mekalanos, J. J. (2007). Threonine
phosphorylation post-translationally regulates protein secretion in *Pseudomonas*
aeruginosa. *Nature Cell Biology*, *9*(7). https://doi.org/10.1038/ncb1605

Nelson, M. S., & Sadowsky, M. J. (2015). Secretion systems and signal exchange
between nitrogen-fixing rhizobia and legumes. *Frontiers in Plant Science*, *6*.
https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2015.00491

Oldroyd, G. E. D., & Downie, J. A. (2008). Coordinating Nodule Morphogenesis with
Rhizobial Infection in Legumes. *Annual Review of Plant Biology*, *59*(1).
https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.arplant.59.032607.092839

Oldroyd, G. E. D., Murray, J. D., Poole, P. S., & Downie, J. A. (2011). The Rules of
Engagement in the Legume-Rhizobial Symbiosis. *Annual Review of Genetics*,
45(1). https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-genet-110410-132549

Pérez-Montaño, F., Alías-Villegas, C., Bellogín, R. A., del Cerro, P., Espuny, M. R.,
Jiménez-Guerrero, I., López-Baena, F. J., Ollero, F. J., & Cubo, T. (2014). Plant
growth promotion in cereal and leguminous agricultural important plants: From
microorganism capacities to crop production. In *Microbiological Research* (Vol.
169, Issues 5–6, pp. 325–336). Urban und Fischer Verlag Jena.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.micres.2013.09.011

Pérez-Montaño, F., Jiménez-Guerrero, I., Acosta-Jurado, S., Navarro-Gómez, P.,
Ollero, F. J., Ruiz-Sainz, J. E., López-Baena, F. J., & Vinardell, J. M. (2016). A
transcriptomic analysis of the effect of genistein on *Sinorhizobium fredii* HH103
reveals novel rhizobial genes putatively involved in symbiosis. *Scientific Reports*,
6(1). https://doi.org/10.1038/srep31592

Pérez-Montaño, F., Jiménez-Guerrero, I., del Cerro, P., Baena-Ropero, I., López-Baena,
F. J., Ollero, F. J., Bellogín, R., Lloret, J., & Espuny, R. (2014). The symbiotic
biofilm of *Sinorhizobium fredii* SMH12, necessary for successful colonization and
symbiosis of *Glycine max* cv Osumi, is regulated by quorum sensing systems and
inducing flavonoids via NodD1. *PLoS ONE*, *9*(8).
https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0105901

Pfaffl, M. W. (2001). A new mathematical model for relative quantification in real-time
RT-PCR. *Nucleic Acids Research*, *29*(9). https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/29.9.e45

Pissaridou, P., Allsopp, L. P., Wettstadt, S., Howard, S. A., Mavridou, D. A., & Filloux, A. (2018). The *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* T6SS-VgrG1b spike is topped by a PAAR protein eliciting DNA damage to bacterial competitors. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, *115*(49). https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1814181115

Pueppke, S. G., & Broughton, W. J. (1999). *Rhizobium* sp. Strain NGR234 and *R. fredii* USDA257 Share Exceptionally Broad, Nested Host Ranges. *Molecular Plant-Microbe Interactions®*, *12*(4). https://doi.org/10.1094/MPMI.1999.12.4.293

Pukatzki, S., McAuley, S. B., & Miyata, S. T. (2009). The type VI secretion system: translocation of effectors and effector-domains. In *Current Opinion in Microbiology* (Vol. 12, Issue 1, pp. 11–17). Elsevier Ltd. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mib.2008.11.010

Redondo-Nieto, M., Barret, M., Morrissey, J., Germaine, K., Martínez-Granero, F., Barahona, E., ... & Rivilla, R. (2013). Genome sequence reveals that *Pseudomonas fluorescens* F113 possesses a large and diverse array of systems for rhizosphere function and host interaction. *BMC Genomics*, *14*(1). https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2164-14-54

Robertsen, B.K.; Aiman, P.; Darwill, A.G.; Mcneil, M.; Albersheim, P.(1981). The structure of acidic extracellular polysaccharides secreted *by Rhizobium leguminosarum* and *Rhizobium trifolii*. *Plant Physiol*y, *67*, 389–400.

Salinero-Lanzarote, A., Pacheco-Moreno, A., Domingo-Serrano, L., Durán, D., Ormeño-Orrillo, E., Martínez-Romero, E., Albareda, M., Palacios, J. M., & Rey, L. (2019). The Type VI secretion system of *Rhizobium etli* Mim1 has a positive effect in symbiosis. *FEMS Microbiology Ecology*, *95*(5). https://doi.org/10.1093/femsec/fiz054

Sambrook, J., Fritsch, E. F., & Maniatis, T. (1989). *Molecular Cloning. A Laboratory Manual*. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press.

Santin, Y. G., Doan, T., Lebrun, R., Espinosa, L., Journet, L., & Cascales, E. (2018). In vivo TssA proximity labelling during type VI secretion biogenesis reveals TagA as a protein that stops and holds the sheath. *Nature Microbiology*, *3*(11). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41564-018-0234-3

Schäfer, A., Tauch, A., Jäger, W., Kalinowski, J., Thierbach, G., & Pühler, A. (1994). Small mobilizable multi-purpose cloning vectors derived from the *Escherichia coli* plasmids pK18 and pK19: selection of defined deletions in the chromosome of *Corynebacterium glutamicum*. *Gene*, *145*(1). https://doi.org/10.1016/0378-1119(94)90324-7

Samal B, Chatterjee S. (2021). Bacterial quorum sensing facilitates *Xanthomonas campesteris* pv. campestris invasion of host tissue to maximize disease symptoms. *Journal of Experimental Botany*, *72*(18):6524-6543. https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/erab211.

Sievers, F., Wilm, A., Dineen, D., Gibson, T. J., Karplus, K., Li, W., Lopez, R., McWilliam, H., Remmert, M., Söding, J., Thompson, J. D., & Higgins, D. G. (2011). Fast, scalable generation of high‐quality protein multiple sequence alignments using Clustal Omega. *Molecular Systems Biology*, *7*(1). https://doi.org/10.1038/msb.2011.75

Silverman, J. M., Brunet, Y. R., Cascales, E., & Mougous, J. D. (2012). Structure and Regulation of the Type VI Secretion System. *Annual Review of Microbiology*, *66*(1). https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-micro-121809-151619

Simon, R. (1984). High frequency mobilization of gram-negative bacterial replicons by the in vitro constructed Tn5-Mob transposon. *Molecular and General Genetics MGG*, *196*(3). https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00436188

Spaink, H. P., Okker, R. J. H., Wijffelman, C. A., Pees, E., & Lugtenberg, B. J. J. (1987). Promoters in the nodulation region of the *Rhizobium leguminosarum* Sym plasmid pRL1JI. *Plant Molecular Biology*, *9*(1). https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00017984

Staehelin, C., & Krishnan, H. B. (2015). Nodulation outer proteins: double-edged swords of symbiotic rhizobia. *Biochemical Journal*, *470*(3). https://doi.org/10.1042/BJ20150518

Studier, F.W. and Moffatt, B.A. (1986). Use of bacteriophage T7 RNA polymerase to direct selective high-level expression of cloned genes. *Journal of Molecular Biology*, *189*: 113-130. https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-2836(86)90385-2

Sullivan, M. J., Petty, N. K., & Beatson, S. A. (2011). Easyfig: a genome comparison visualizer. *Bioinformatics*, *27*(7). https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btr039

Tighilt, L., Boulila, F., De Sousa, B. F. S., Giraud, E., Ruiz-Argüeso, T., Palacios, J. M., ... & Rey, L. (2022). The *Bradyrhizobium* Sp. LmicA16 Type VI Secretion System Is Required for Efficient Nodulation of *Lupinus* Spp. *Microbial Ecology, 84*(3). https://doi.org/10.1007/s00248-021-01892-8

Van Kempen, M., Kim, S. S., Tumescheit, C., Mirdita, M., Lee, J., Gilchrist, C. L., ... & Steinegger, M. (2024). Fast and accurate protein structure search with Foldseek.

1083   *Nature biotechnology*, *42*(2), 243-246. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41587-023-01773-
1084   0

1085

Vincent, J. M. (1970). The modified Fåhraeus slide technique. In *A Manual for the*
Practical Study of Root Nodule Bacteria (pp. 144–145). Blackwell Scientific
Publications.

Walker, T. S., Bais, H. P., Grotewold, E., & Vivanco, J. M. (2003). Root Exudation and
Rhizosphere Biology. *Plant Physiology*, *132*(1).
https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.102.019661

Yu, N. Y., Wagner, J. R., Laird, M. R., Melli, G., Rey, S., Lo, R., Dao, P., Sahinalp, S.
C., Ester, M., Foster, L. J., & Brinkman, F. S. L. (2010). PSORTb 3.0: improved
protein subcellular localization prediction with refined localization subcategories
and predictive capabilities for all prokaryotes. *Bioinformatics*, *26*(13).
https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btq249

Zaat, S. A., Wijffelman, C. A., Spaink, H. P., van Brussel, A. A., Okker, R. J., &
Lugtenberg, B. J. (1987). Induction of the *nodA* promoter of *Rhizobium*
leguminosarum Sym plasmid pRL1JI by plant flavanones and flavones. *Journal of*
Bacteriology, *169*(1). https://doi.org/10.1128/jb.169.1.198-204.1987