Title: Recent evolution of large offspring size and post-fertilization nutrient provisioning in

swordtails

Cheyenne Y. Payne[1,2,3,4], Derek Ly[5], Rebecca Rodriguez-Soto[1], Dan Powell[1,2], Nim Robles[1,2],

Theresa Gunn[1], John J Bazcenas[1], Abby Bergman[6], Alexa Pollock[1], Ben Moran[1,2], Julie Baker[6],

David Reznick[5], Molly Schumer[1,2,7]

[1]Department of Biology, Stanford University

[2]Centro de Investigaciones Científicas de las Huastecas "Aguazarca", A.C.

[3]Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, University of California, Santa Cruz

[4]Southwest Fisheries Science Center, National Marine Fisheries Service, National Oceanic and

Atmospheric Administration

[5]Department of Biology, UC Riverside

[6]Department of Genetics, Stanford University

[7]Freeman Hrabowski Fellow, Howard Hughes Medical Institutes

*Correspondence to: cypayne@ucsc.edu and schumer@stanford.edu

Key words: swordtail fish, matrotrophy, offspring size, hybridization

Abstract

How organisms adapt to diverse environments is a foundational question in evolutionary

biology. In addition to directly adapting to ecological conditions, organisms have evolved

diverse reproductive strategies that impact the probability that their offspring survive to

adulthood. Here, we describe divergence in reproductive strategy between two closely related

species of swordtail fish (*Xiphophorus*). Swordtail fish and their relatives have evolved

viviparity: they have internal fertilization and give birth to fully developed fry. We find that one

species, *X. malinche*, which lives in high-elevation environments, has evolved larger offspring

than its closest relative *X. birchmanni* and dwarfs the offspring size of other species in the genus.

The larger fry of *X. malinche* are more resilient to starvation than their *X. birchmanni* relatives,

hinting that the evolution of large offspring size may be an adaptation to the particularly

challenging environments in which *X. malinche* are born. We find that *X. malinche* achieves

larger offspring size in part by continuing to provision their offspring over the course of

embryonic development after fertilization, the first time this process has been documented in the

Xiphophorus genus. Moreover, we observe differential regulation in the ovary of genes

associated with maternal nutrient provisioning in other species that use this reproductive

strategy. Intriguingly, these reproductive differences may drive an asymmetric hybrid

incompatibility, since *X. birchmanni* mothers pregnant with F_1 embryos give birth to premature

and stillborn fry at an exceptionally high rate.

Introduction

Sexually reproducing organisms vary vastly in their investment in their offspring. In some species, investment stops prior to fertilization, whereas in others investment and parental care continue into adulthood (Gross 2005; Klug and Bonsall 2014; Furness and Capellini 2019). In vertebrates, for example, reproductive strategies range from broadcast-spawning millions of eggs (e.g. Atlantic cod; Roney et al. 2018) to a parent raising a single offspring over decades (e.g. orca whales; Weiss et al. 2023). Though the degree of parental investment is generally positively correlated with the probability of offspring survival (Brockelman 1975; Einum and Fleming 2000), there are well-documented tradeoffs between reproductive investment and life history traits like parent survivorship, frequency of reproduction, the number of offspring per reproductive cycle, and the total number over the parent's lifetime (Smith and Fretwell 1974; Brockelman 1975; Stearns 1989; Einum and Fleming 2000; Jørgensen et al. 2011; Roney et al. 2018).

One measure of reproductive investment that has been studied in diverse organisms across the tree of life is offspring size. Within species, size at birth or weaning is strongly correlated with the probability of offspring survival to reproductive maturity (although there are some exceptions; see (Kaplan 1992). The mechanisms through which larger offspring achieve better outcomes are incompletely understood but appear to be diverse and vary between species (such as by avoiding size-dependent mortality, starvation, disease, and conspecific competition (Rollinson and Hutchings 2013; Pettersen et al. 2022). Researchers have speculated that these factors could explain the evolution of differences in offspring size across species.

Another mechanism for increasing offspring survival that has recurrently evolved is

viviparity, or internal development of embryos. Viviparity reduces size-dependent mortality of

eggs and embryos (Jørgensen et al. 2011) and can offer improved environmental conditions for

developing offspring. For some species, it provides an opportunity to directly provision nutrients

to developing offspring (Griffith and Wagner 2017). While viviparous species typically provide

some level of nutrition to their offspring in the form of yolk in the egg, a strategy known as

lecithotrophy, some provision nutrients both before and after fertilization, a strategy known as

matrotrophy (Wourms et al. 1988). This post-fertilization provisioning is mediated through a

physical interface between parent and offspring tissue, called the placenta (Griffith and Wagner

2017; Whittington et al. 2022). Placentas have independently arisen over 130 times across

vertebrates (Blackburn 2015; Whittington et al. 2022), and complex placentas that exchange

large quantities of nutrients have arisen multiple times in squamates and ray-finned fishes

(Griffith and Wagner 2017; Whittington et al. 2022). While the complex mammalian placenta

evolved once over 100 million years ago (Meredith et al. 2011), lineages in which nutrient

provisioning evolved recurrently and recently can allow us to understand the ecological and

evolutionary pressures that drive post-fertilization nutrient provisioning and ask whether shared

genetic and regulatory mechanisms are responsible for its convergent evolution across

vertebrates.

Poeciliid fishes offer an opportunity to study the evolutionary drivers of viviparity, nutrient

provisioning strategies, and variation in offspring size. The common ancestor of poeciliids

evolved internal fertilization and live birth of juvenile fish, or fry (Pollux et al. 2009). Complex

placental structures have evolved at least nine times in this group of ~300 species and in some

species embryos grow to 100-120x their initial size over development (Reznick et al. 2002; Pires

et al. 2010). Notably, the molecular mechanisms associated with the independent evolution of

nutrient provisioning in the poeciliid genus *Poeciliopsis* is at least partially shared with mammals

(Guernsey et al. 2020).

Counterintuitively, the evolution of offspring size and matrotrophy are often decoupled in

poeciliids. While it might be expected that provisioning more nutrients during development

would lead to larger offspring at birth, empirical data have yielded no consistent association

across taxa (Olivera-Tlahuel et al. 2015; Meiri et al. 2020; Furness et al. 2021), although large-

bodied matrotrophic species seem to produce larger offspring relative to their lecithotrophic

counterparts (Furness et al., 2021). The link between offspring size and matrotrophy has been

difficult to pinpoint because offspring size is strongly impacted by maternal traits like age, size,

and condition (Berkeley et al. 2004; Marshall and Keough 2007; Hagmayer et al. 2018); but see

Marshall et al. 2010), as well as ecological factors that interact with maternal traits (Reznick et

al. 1996*a*; Jennions et al. 2006; Riesch et al. 2010; Pollux and Reznick 2011; Schrader and

Travis 2012; Leips et al. 2013). For example, even with no post-fertilization provisioning,

offspring of lecithotrophic *Poecilia* from environments with low predation and high competition

are 50% larger at birth than those from high predation, low competition environments (Reznick

1982*a*; Reznick and Endler 1982; Reznick and Bryga 1987; Reznick et al. 1996*c*; Bashey 2006*a*,

2008; Jørgensen et al. 2011), presumably as a result of mothers investing in larger eggs. Similar

environmental conditions appear to promote larger offspring size in some matrotrophic species

(Schrader and Travis 2012; Leips et al. 2013; but see Reznick et al. 1996*b*) but not in others

(Pollux and Reznick 2011). Overall, offspring size appears to be specific to species and

ecological-context.

Here, we address questions about the evolution of offspring size and matrotrophy in a

group of livebearing fish that are an important evolutionary and behavioral model system.

Xiphophorus species inhabit dramatically different ecological environments, from valley rivers

nearly at sea level to mountain streams in the Sierra Madre Oriental in central México. Past work

on a handful of species has suggested that although all *Xiphophorus* species are livebearing, they

do not invest in their offspring post-fertilization (i.e. they are lecithotrophic; Constantz et al.

1989). However, this hypothesis has not been evaluated on a genus-wide scale, and data is

particularly lacking in species living in extreme environments for the group (Morris and Ryan

1992; Pollux et al. 2014). There is no data available on variation in offspring size within or

between species.

We find that *X. malinche* have evolved exceptionally large offspring and explore the

mechanisms and pressures driving the evolution of this trait. By characterizing the change in

embryo size over development in the lab and wild for the closely related northern swordtail

species *X. malinche* and *X. birchmanni*, we find that *X. malinche* achieves larger offspring size

through post-fertilization maternal nutrient provisioning. Using a combination of approaches, we

investigate the potential mechanisms of post-fertilization nutrient in *X. malinche*. Finally, we

explore the possibility that a conflict between maternal nutrient provisioning and offspring

nutrient demands over development underlies asymmetric hybrid inviability between *X.*

malinche and *X. birchmanni*.

Methods

Measuring newborn fry size across Xiphophorus species

To compare the size of newborn fry in several *Xiphophorus* species, broods were

collected in the laboratory on the day they were born. All tanks with gravid females were

inspected multiple times a day for fry. Upon collection, all fry from a brood were photographed

in shallow water from overhead for a dorsal view of each fry. Photos were imported to ImageJ2

and two measurements, standard length and head width, were made using the line measurement

tool (Supp. Fig. 1). We measured both traits for fry from five *Xiphophorus* species – *X.*

birchmanni, X. malinche, X. cortezi, X. pygmaeus, and *X. variatus*, and from *X. malinche x X.*

birchmanni F_1, F_2, and lab-reared natural hybrids descended from individuals collected from the

Calnali Low wild population. Note that we report newborn fry size for the *X. malinche x X.*

birchmanni F_1 cross direction only, as F_1s from the reciprocal *X. birchmanni x X. malinche* are

rarely carried to term (see below). Given that fry were collected from tanks with multiple gravid

females, we were not able to collect covariates such as mother standard length. However,

because average mother size is similar between species (see Supplementary Information 1), we

expect that our large sample sizes (*X. malinche* broods N=35 and *X. birchmanni* broods N=48)

will not be dominated by effects of individual mother size. Since broods varied in size, we

averaged the standard length and head width for each brood and compared means with a

Wilcoxon rank sum exact test. See Table S1 for raw fry standard length and head width and

Table S2 for statistics. Using lab-reared species and hybrid fry, we also obtained an estimate of

broad-sense heritability (H^2) of offspring standard length at birth (see Supplementary

Information 2 for methods).

Measuring embryo weight across developmental stages

To compare embryo size throughout development in each species, we measured the dry weights of embryos across developmental stages from wild-collected females. We collected 49 pregnant and nonpregnant females (with fully-yolked eggs) from the *X. malinche* source population Chicayotla on the Río Xontla (1,003 m elevation; 20°55′27.24″N 98°34′34.50″W) across two seasons (45 in May 2022 and 4 in August 2020), 79 pregnant females from the *X. birchmanni* source population Coacuilco on the Río Coacuilco (320 m elevation; 21°5'50.85 N, 98°35'19.46 W) across three seasons (22 in February 2023, 30 in September 2022, and 27 in August 2020), and 19 pregnant females from the *X. cortezi* source population Puente de Huichihuayán on the Río Huichihuayán (89 m elevation; 21°26'9.95 N 98°56'0.00 W) in one season (February 2023). In practice, the sample of 19 females from *X. cortezi* was insufficient to capture developmental profiles because we had ≤1 brood for many stages, so we limit our discussion of these results to the supplement (Supplementary Information 3). Each mother was euthanized with MS-222, cut from the anal pore to the gills so as to expose the brood for fixation, and stored in 95% ethanol. Consistent with previous reports for *Xiphophorus* (Kindsvater et al. 2012; Furness et al. 2019), we found that all embryos in a brood were at roughly the same developmental stage.

Embryos were staged following the numerical scoring guidelines described by (Reznick 1981). Briefly, stages range from 0-50 (in intervals of 5), where stage 0 describes yolking or fully yolked unfertilized eggs and stage 50 describes fully developed fry with a closed pericardial cavity (see Table S3). Importantly, these stages are categorical based on key morphological features of developing embryos and do not reflect constant time intervals throughout development, as the temporal interval varies. Embryos were separated from the ovarian follicle,

staged under a microscope, and then dried following methods described in D. Reznick (1981).

The ovarian tissue and each staged embryo were placed in individual microcentrifuge tubes that

were dried in a laboratory oven overnight at 65°C. Each embryo was dry weighed using a

standard scale sensitive to 10^{-4} grams (Table S4).

It is difficult to discern whether stage 0 eggs are fully yolked or are still yolking.

Therefore, we noted whether unfertilized eggs had an even lipid distribution and were evenly

sized within their brood, which are the best visual indicators of completed yolking. We used the

subset of unfertilized eggs that met these criteria to compare pre-fertilized egg weight between

species but note that the distribution of these dry weights likely skews lower than the true pre-

fertilized egg weights for both species (see Table S5). We fit a linear model of egg dry weight by

species with brood size and mother standard length as selected covariates, performed pairwise

comparisons with an ANOVA and Tukey post-hoc test, and plotted partial residuals of egg dry

weights with R visreg::visreg (as above).

Comparison of embryo weight over development between species

Maternal size has previously been shown to strongly correlate with offspring size in

poeciliids, including in *X. birchmanni* (Jørgensen et al. 2011; Kindsvater et al. 2012; Hagmayer

et al. 2018), as have brood size and environmental variables like collection season (Kindsvater

et al. 2012). Therefore, we evaluated which covariates impact embryo dry weight using R

stats::step to compare models that included mother standard length, brood size, and collection

date in an AIC framework. Mother standard length and collection date were selected and

included as covariates in the final linear model using R stats::lm. We averaged dry weights of

embryos at the same stage within a brood to obtain one value per stage per brood. Thus, the

model used for this analysis was: average embryo dry weight ~ stage + species + collection date

+ mother standard length. Pairwise comparisons between mean embryo dry weight for each stage

were made with an ANOVA and Tukey post-hoc test with R emmeans::emmeans (Table S6).

We visualized differences in embryo size after accounting for covariates by plotting the

partial residuals of average embryo dry weight for each stage with R visreg::visreg (Breheny and

Burchett 2017), split by species. Because it is difficult to determine whether stage 0 eggs are

fully-yolked (see above), we omitted these eggs from the developmental profile plots, but

separately plot the stage 0 eggs that appeared to have even lipid distribution and size within their

brood (Table S5). We also plotted the raw data (i.e. without accounting for covariates) and

reassuringly, the overall patterns were similar (Fig. S5).

Assessing the relationship between genome-wide ancestry and embryo and ovary size

We were curious whether genome-wide ancestry proportion in natural hybrid mothers

might predict embryo size and ovary weight, given that this trait is heritable in lab-raised hybrids

(see Results). We sampled 34 pregnant mothers from a natural hybrid population on the Río

Calnali (the Calnali Low population, elevation 905 m) with *X. malinche* ancestry proportions

ranging from 0.28 to 0.71 (see Table S7 and Supplementary Information 4). Embryos and ovary

tissue were dissected, staged, and dry-weighed as described above. We included all embryos

within a brood for this analysis, since there is variation in embryo ancestry proportion within a

brood. As above, we used R stats::step to choose an appropriate model for testing the

relationship between embryo dry weight, as well as ovary dry weight, and mother ancestry

proportion. The selected mixed linear model included brood size, stage, and the interaction

between stage and ancestry proportion as covariates, with brood ID as a random effect. We

evaluated significance with a likelihood ratio test using R stats::anova to compare the full model

and a reduced model without ancestry proportion and its interaction with stage. We also

evaluated whether mother mitochondrial genotype predicted embryo or ovary dry weight (see

Supplementary Information 4). We calculated and plotted partial residuals of embryo dry weight

after accounting for covariates (e.g. ancestry proportion) with R visreg::visreg.

Calculating Matrotrophy Index

Research has shown that the degree of post-fertilization nutrient provisioning varies

along a continuum, but researchers have described two general provisioning strategies:

lecithotrophy and matrotrophy. A simple quantitative metric for distinguishing these strategies

and measuring the level of post-fertilization provisioning is the Matrotrophy Index, which is the

dry weight of the fully-developed embryo divided by the dry weight of the fully-yolked egg. A

Matrotrophy Index < 1 indicates that the embryo has decreased in size over development

(lecithotrophy) while a value ≥ 1 indicates that the embryo has maintained or increased in size,

indicative of some level of maternal provisioning over development (matrotrophy). Reported

Matrotrophy Indices within poeciliids range from 0.45 to 103 (Furness et al. 2021). Note that a

large Matrotrophy Index does not necessarily correspond to large offspring.

We calculated Matrotrophy Index for *X. malinche* and *X. birchmanni* as the dry weight of

a fully-developed embryo divided by the dry weight of an early-stage embryo, averaging dry

weights for embryos of the same stage within a brood. Since it is difficult to identify fully-yolked

eggs, we calculated Matrotrophy Index with dry weight measurements from stage 10 embryos.

We only collected one brood with stage 50 embryos for *X. birchmanni*, so instead relied on stage

45 embryos for this calculation (see Table S3 for stage morphology). These analysis choices are

conservative in the context of our study, since they will lead to an underestimate of Matrotrophy

Index in matrotrophic species. Since many variables impact embryo dry weight, we performed

the calculations using both the raw values (Table S4) and the partial residuals of embryo dry

weights (Table S8) after accounting for developmental stage, collection date, and mother

standard length as covariates (with R stats::lm as above). We describe the impact of different

calculations with raw and partial residual dry weights on our estimate of Matrotrophy Index in

Supplementary Information 3.

Multifactorial artificial insemination crosses

To compare results from wild-caught females to females raised in controlled lab

conditions, we artificially inseminated lab-raised *X. malinche* and *X. birchmanni* mothers to

create the following crosses: *X. malinche* ♀ *x X. malinche* ♂, *X. birchmanni* ♀ *x X.birchmanni*

♂, *X. malinche* ♀ *x X. birchmanni* ♂, and *X. birchmanni* ♀ *x X. malinche* ♂. Artificially

inseminated females from each cross (N=10-15) were reared in 200-gallon outdoor tanks at

Stanford University until females were visually determined to be late in pregnancy in April-May

2023, at which point all fish were sedated with MS-222 and euthanized by severing the spinal

cord. Embryos were dissected, and each embryo was assigned a developmental stage following

Table S3. Dry weight of each embryo and the ovarian tissue were measured as described above

(see Table S9). Due to results suggesting that embryo size diverges between species around stage

15 (see Results), we compared stage ≥20 embryo dry weights between crosses. We used a linear

model including stage and brood ID as covariates with R stats::lm, ANOVA, and Tukey post-hoc

test with R emmeans::emmeans (see Table S10), and plotted partial residuals of dry weights with

R visreg::visreg. We also made crosses between individuals of the same species from different

populations (Table S11-12 and Supplementary Information 5).

To look for evidence of placental structures (i.e. thicker ovarian follicle and interacting

embryo and maternal tissue), we prepared histological slides of ovaries from both nonpregnant

and late-stage (stage 35-40) pregnancy females from the pure *X. malinche* and *X. birchmanni*

crosses, as well as both F_1 crosses. Whole ovaries containing eggs or embryos were carefully

dissected and fixed in 10% formalin. Ovaries were paraffin-embedded, sectioned, and stained

with Hematoxylin & Eosin by the Histo-Tec Laboratory (Hayward, CA). We digitally scanned

the stained slides through the Human Pathology Histology Services Laboratory at Stanford

University for morphological analysis of the ovary sections. See Supplementary Information 6

for methods quantifying morphological differences. Additionally, we verified the genetic origin

of ovary follicle tissue in *X. malinche* mothers carrying F_1 offspring (Supplementary Information

7).

Differential gene expression and co-expression network analysis

Previous work in livebearers has identified several key genes that are differentially

expressed between the ovarian tissue of pregnant lecithotrophic and matrotrophic species (Jue et

al. 2018; Guernsey et al. 2020). To broadly compare gene expression between *X. malinche*, *X.*

birchmanni, and *X. cortezi,* we sequenced ovarian tissue from mothers from two groups: those

with fully-yolked eggs and those with embryos in mid- to late-development.

Because we were interested in species-level rather than environmentally-dependent

differences, we collected both wild and lab-reared females for this analysis. For wild-caught fish,

we collected two *X. malinche* and two *X. birchmanni* pregnant females with stage 40-50 embryos

from the Chicayotla and Coacuilco localities, respectively. Additionally, we sampled at least

three "early" pregnancy (unfertilized fully-yolked or stage ≤ 5) and at least three "late"

pregnancy (stage 25-45) from our lab populations. For both sets of samples, fish were euthanized

by rapidly severing the spinal cord with a scalpel and dissecting the mother's body cavity from

anal fin to gills. Wild-caught fish were stored in RNAlater and ovaries were dissected later. For

lab collected fish, whole ovaries were dissected immediately following euthanasia and preserved

in RNAlater. See Table S13 for the full list of samples with collection dates and developmental

stages of embryos.

Ovarian follicle tissue and embryos were carefully separated. See Supplementary

Information 8 and Table S14 for differential gene expression analysis of embryos. RNA was

extracted from ovarian tissue from each mother using the Qiagen Mini RNAeasy kit. RNAseq

libraries were prepared with unique barcodes for each sample using a KAPA mRNA HyperPrep

Kit, pooled, and sequenced. The wild-caught samples and half of the lab samples were

sequenced on one Illumina HiSeq4000 lane and the rest of the lab samples were sequenced on

one Illumina NovaSeq6000 lane for an average of 28 million 150 bp paired-end reads per sample

(see Table S13). Raw reads are available under NCBI BioProject PRJNAXXXX. For each

sequencing batch, samples were paired to balance species and developmental stages so that batch

effects could be statistically accounted for in analysis.

We followed the gene expression analysis methods described in Payne et al (2022). We

used cutadapt (Martin 2011) and Trim Galore! (Krueger et al. 2021) to trim Illumina adapter

sequences and low-quality bases (Phred score < 30) from reads. Using the tool *kallisto* (Bray et

al. 2016), we pseudoaligned RNAseq reads to an *X. birchmanni* "pseudoreference" transcriptome

generated from the southern platyfish *X. maculatus* genome (Schartl et al. 2013). The *X.*

maculatus genome assembly is well-annotated and mapped to Ensembl gene IDs with associated

Gene Ontology terms (Wittbrodt et al. 1989; Schartl et al. 2013). Raw transcript counts were

converted to gene-level counts to evaluate gene expression; note that the *X. birchmanni*

transcriptome contains only a single transcript per gene.

For differential gene expression analysis, we combined all lab- and wild-collected

ovarian follicle samples into a single dataset, using a design formula that included species (*X.*

malinche, *X. birchmanni*, or *X. cortezi*) and pregnancy category (early or late) as a grouped

interaction, origin (lab or wild), and library preparation/sequencing batch. Briefly, using R

DESeq2::DESeq (Love et al. 2014), we normalized gene counts by library size, estimated within-

experimental group dispersion, fit a negative binomial generalized linear model, and tested

significance with a Wald test. Shrunken log-fold changes were calculated with the ashr::ashr

shrinkage estimator (Stephens 2017). Extreme outlier and low count genes were removed. Genes

were considered significantly differentially expressed between species at an FDR-adjusted p-

value<0.05. Out of a total of 19,176 genes in the *X. birchmanni* pseudoreference transcriptome,

96% are expressed in at least one species. Expression results from this analysis can be found in

Table S15.

To explore biological pathways enriched in genes that were differentially expressed

between species in ovarian tissue, we performed Gene Ontology enrichment analysis. We used R

biomaRt (Durinck et al. 2009) and GOstats (Falcon and Gentleman 2007) to match *X. maculatus*

Ensembl gene IDs with GO terms. We created a "universe" of all genes analyzed with DESeq2,

which was used as the reference set of genes for testing category enrichment. Using R

GSEABase::hyperGTest (Morgan, Martin et al. 2023), we ran a hypergeometric test to identify

overrepresented GO terms (p-value<0.05) in the set of genes that were significantly differentially

expressed between species and developmental stage (Table S16). We also used the R tool

WGCNA (Langfelder and Horvath 2008) to cluster co-expressed genes and identify clusters

highly correlated with species and developmental stage as a grouped variable. Detailed WGCNA

methods are in Supplementary Information 9 and full results are in Tables S17-18.

Immunostaining of prolactin expression in ovarian tissue

341   Ovaries were fixed, processed, and sectioned as described above. Briefly, sections were

deparaffinized and dehydrated through xylenes and a graded ethanol series. Slides were blocked

for 1h (at room temperature, hereafter RT) in gelatin block, then incubated with anti-prolactin

primary antibody (rabbit, Abcam EPR19386, 1:200) overnight (4C). Slides were washed,

peroxide blocked for 30m (RT) then incubated with biotinylated goat anti-rabbit secondary

antibody (Jackson ImmunoResearch 111-065-144, 1:5000) for 1h (RT). Slides were washed,

then incubated for 30m with VectaShield Elite ABC reagent (Vector Labs PK-6100; RT).

Prolactin signal was amplified with TSA-Cy3 (Akoya Biosciences NEL744001KT) for 6m (RT)

and counterstained with DAPI (Thermo Scientific 62248, 1:2000) and mounted with Prolong

Gold Antifade (Thermo Scientific P36930). See complete methods in Supplementary

Information 10.

352   Images were acquired using NIS Elements software v4.30.02 on a Nikon Ti Eclipse

353   inverted microscope equipped with an ASI MS-2000 motorized linear XY stage, Yokogawa

354   CSU-W1 single disk (50mM pinhole) spinning disk unit, Andor Zyla 4.2 (6.5mM pixel size)

355   scMOS camera, and 10x/0.45 NA or 20X/0.75 NA Nikon PlanApo Lambda air objectives (see

356   Supplementary Information 10 for complete imaging specifications). Data was saved in .nd2

357   format and manually processed in ImageJ.

358 *Exploring ecological differences in X. birchmanni and X. malinche habitats*

359   One striking environmental difference between *X. birchmanni* and *X. malinche* habitats is

360 water temperature, driven by elevation differences (Fig. S10). In addition, many ecological

361 factors such as primary productivity covary with temperature. While we investigated direct

362 responses to temperature in *X. birchmanni* and *X. malinche* fry (Supplementary Information 11

363 and Table S19), we were also interested in responses to the indirect effects of temperature, such

364 as food availability.

365   Given that *X. malinche* populations likely experience lower food and nutrient availability,

366 we predicted that newborn fry may be more likely to face starvation conditions than *X.*

367 *birchmanni*, and that large offspring size may have evolved as an adaptation to these conditions.

368 To directly test this, we compared starvation tolerance between newborn *X. malinche* and *X.*

369 *birchmanni* fry in the lab. We collected four broods of fry from each species and split individuals

370 in a brood evenly between one experimental and one control tank. Fry in the control tanks were

371 fed brine shrimp twice daily for three days while fry in experimental tanks were not fed for those

372 three days. Observations of physical state and behavior were recorded twice per day at 10:00 and

373 17:00 during the laboratory light period (09:00-18:00). At the end of the three-day trial, fry were

374 euthanized with MS-222, photographed so that standard length could be measured with ImageJ,

375 and prepared for total lipid extraction to measure differences in dry weight and fat content

376 between control and experimental fry (see below). After model selection, we fit a mixed linear

377 model (R nlme::lme) for standard length, including species, treatment, and their interaction as

378 fixed effects and brood number as a random effect. Pairwise comparisons between the interaction

379 of species and treatment were made with an ANOVA and Tukey post-hoc test with R

380 emmeans::emmeans and partial residuals were calculated and plotted with lme4::lmer and

381 visreg::visreg. See Table S20 for raw data and Table S21 for statistics.

383 *Lipid extraction and fat content analysis of wild-caught females and lab-born fry*

384  *Xiphophorus* species were thought to reproduce year-round. However, in sampling

385 natural *X. malinche* and *X. birchmanni* populations for this project we detected clear evidence for

386 seasonality in breeding in *X. malinche* populations (see Results). To more systematically

387 evaluate this, we opportunistically collected pregnancy rates for collections made in August

388 2020, May 2022, September 2022, and February 2023 from the Chicayotla *X. malinche* and

389 Coacuilco *X. birchmanni* populations (Table S22).

390  Dry weight and body fat content are indirect measures of nutrient availability in a fish's

391 environment. We dry weighed and extracted total lipids from wild-caught nonpregnant

392 Chicayotla *X. malinche* and Coacuilco *X. birchmanni* adult females caught in September 2022

393 and February 2023. We also collected dry weight and total lipid data from the fry subject to the

394 food deprivation trials described above. For adult fish, abdominal organs (i.e. digestive,

395 excretory, and reproductive tissue from the abdomen) were dissected out. Fry were left intact.

396 Fish were placed on a tray wrapped with foil and dried in a drying oven set to 65°C for five days.

397 After desiccation, dried fish were weighed to obtain dry weight prior to lipid extraction

398 (weight$_1$). To extract lipids, each dried fish was placed in a 5mL glass vial of petroleum ether.

399 After 24 hours, the petroleum ether was drained and replaced with fresh petroleum ether. This

400 process was repeated once more to ensure complete lipid extraction, for a total of three

401 petroleum ether washes. After the third wash, fish were dried at 65°C for 24 hours and then dry

weighed to obtain dry weight after lipid extraction (weight$_2$). Fat content percentage was

calculated as:

$$Fat\ Content\ \% = \frac{weight_1 - weight_2}{weight_1} \times 100$$

Differences in fat content for nonpregnant females for each season were evaluated with a

Student's two-sided t-test. Differences in dry weight and fat content between species and

treatments for food deprivation trial fry were evaluated with the same methods described for fry

standard length (see above), with the same model selected (Tables S20-21).

Measuring viability by cross direction

In addition to comparing embryo size in F_1 hybrids, we quantified differences in survival

of F_1 fry as a function of cross direction. We used additional F_1 crosses generated in the lab to do

so. For these crosses, we monitored females twice daily, and provided low-density and high-

cover conditions for females that were morphologically identified as being close to giving birth.

New broods were morphologically scored for being full-term or premature, and stillborn fry were

identified and counted. Tanks of collected fry were monitored twice daily. For crosses with high

mortality rates, survival to two weeks was recorded for surviving fry (Table S23).

Results

X. malinche newborn fry are exceptionally large

To evaluate variation in offspring size at birth across *Xiphophorus*, we measured the standard lengths and head widths of newborn fry from five species: *X. birchmanni, X. malinche, X. cortezi, X. pygmaeus*, and *X. variatus* (Fig. 1A, Supp. Fig. S1-2, Table S1). We found significant differences in size across species (Table S2). Notably, *X. malinche* have the largest fry (10.8 mm $\pm$ 1.1 mm; adjusted p-value<0.005 for all five comparisons, see Table S2). Despite this difference in offspring size, *X. birchmanni* and *X. malinche* did not consistently differ in the number of offspring per brood (although offspring size varied as a function of mother size and collection season; Supp. Fig. S3). Moreover, adult females of the two species grown in common garden conditions were similar in size (Supp. Fig. S4). Although *X. birchmanni* fry are significantly smaller than *X. malinche* fry*,* they are larger than fry of other *Xiphophorus* species (Fig. 1; Table S2).

We also compared the size of newborn *X. malinche x X. birchmanni* F_1 and F_2 fry. We found that F_1 standard length and head width was *X. malinche*-like and F_2 and natural hybrid fry were intermediate in size to their parent species (Fig. 1B, Supp. Fig. 2B, Tables S1-2). After accounting for the effects of collection date and brood ID, we estimated the broad-sense heritability of standard length at birth attributable to ancestry to be 0.27 (see Supplementary Information 1).

X. malinche mothers provision developing embryos

Given that *X. malinche* fry are significantly larger at birth than *X. birchmanni*, we hypothesized that *X. malinche* embryos are also larger throughout embryonic development. We

measured the dry weight of embryos dissected from wild-caught *X. malinche* and *X. birchmanni*

pregnant females across developmental stages. We found that *X. birchmanni* embryos show a

classically lecithotrophic developmental profile, where embryos lose weight overall across

development (Fig. 2A). In contrast, *X. malinche* embryos maintain roughly the same dry weight

throughout development.

Given that *X. birchmanni* and *X. malinche* unfertilized eggs do not differ in size at

fertilization (p-value=0.98, Fig. 2B), the maintenance of weight over development by *X.*

malinche embryos suggests that there is some level of post-fertilization nutrient provisioning

from *X. malinche* mothers. We estimated the Matrotrophy Index for each species to obtain a

quantitative metric of nutrient provisioning during development, using three calculations with

different "fully-developed" embryo stage categories: mean stage 50, mean stage 45, and mean of

stages 45 and 50 combined (see Supplementary Information 3). After accounting for collection

date and mother standard length, we calculated Matrotrophy Indices of 0.65, 0.69, and 0.69 for

X. birchmanni, respectively, and 0.99, 0.90, and 0.95 for *X. malinche*, respectively. Because we

collected many stage 50 *X. malinche* broods (N=7), the most accurate estimate of Matrotrophy

Index for *X. malinche* is 0.99. We also attempted to calculate Matrotrophy Index for the sister

species to the *X. malinche-X. birchmanni* clade, *X. cortezi*, but our small sample size led to

uncertainty in these estimates (Supplementary Information 3).

Late-stage F$_1$ embryos in the X. malinche x X. birchmanni cross are intermediate in size

To compare the size of mid- and late-stage embryos (stage $\geq$20) from the reciprocal F$_1$

crosses to pure parental crosses, we made controlled crosses between *X. malinche* and *X.*

birchmanni (see *Methods*). Consistent with our measures from wild-caught broods, lab-raised *X.*

malinche embryos are significantly larger than *X. birchmanni* embryos (p-value<0.0001). We

find that F$_1$ embryos from the *X. malinche* mother and *X. birchmanni* father cross direction trend

towards being smaller than *X. malinche* embryos (p-value=0.05) but are significantly larger than

X. birchmanni embryos (p-value<0.0001). This suggests that in an *X. malinche* maternal

environment, both maternal effects and offspring genotype contribute to offspring size at birth.

By contrast, F$_1$ embryos with *X. birchmanni* mothers are similar in size to *X. birchmanni*

embryos (Fig. 3C; see Table S10 for summary statistics).

Given that mid- and late-stage embryos are larger in *X. malinche* than *X. birchmanni*, we

tested whether higher genome-wide *X. malinche* ancestry in naturally occurring hybrids predicts

larger embryo size. We sampled pregnant mothers carrying stage $\geq$ 20 broods from the Calnali

Low natural hybrid population, since embryo size diverges between species after stage 15. After

accounting for stage and brood ID, we found that proportion of *X. malinche* ancestry in the

mother's genome and its interaction with stage are strongly positively associated with embryo

dry weight (L-ratio=61.6, p-value<0.0001, R=0.37; Supp. Fig. S6A). Unexpectedly, we find a

significant negative relationship between the mother's ancestry and ovary dry weight (F-

value=2.90, p-value=0.02), suggesting that greater *X. birchmanni* ancestry in a hybrid genome

predicts higher ovary dry weight.

X. malinche and X. birchmanni ovaries do not have clear morphological differences

To explore potential differences in ovarian structures between species, we compared

histological slides of sectioned ovaries. In highly matrotrophic livebearing fishes, nutrients are

delivered to developing embryos through the maternal follicle, which is thicker and highly

vascularized (Kwan et al. 2015; Guernsey et al. 2020; Ponce de León and Uribe 2021). Visually,

we did not observe consistent differences in maternal follicle thickness, amount of vasculature,

or overlap of maternal and embryonic membranes between sections of ovaries carrying

unfertilized eggs or late-stage embryos from *X. malinche* and *X. birchmanni* (Fig. 2D;

Supplementary Information 6).

We also sought to determine whether ovarian follicular tissue is maternal or embryonic in

origin (see Supplementary Information 7). Taking advantage of gene expression data from late

pregnancy *X. malinche* mothers carrying *X. malinche x X. birchmanni* F_1 broods, we found that

the *X. malinche* allele alone was expressed at nearly all ancestry informative sites, indicating that

the ovarian follicle is maternally-derived (Supp. Fig. S7).

Patterns of gene expression in X. malinche, X. birchmanni, and X. cortezi ovaries

To gain insight into the mechanisms underlying differences in reproductive strategy

between these closely related species, we compared gene expression patterns in ovarian tissue

from *X. malinche, X. birchmanni,* and *X. cortezi* from "early" pregnancy (fully-yolked

unfertilized or stage <5) and "late" pregnancy (25-45; Table S15). Principal component analysis

shows that PC1 explains about 31% of the variation in ovary expression and correlates with

species while PC2 explains about 17% of total variation and correlates with species and rearing-

environment (Fig. 3A). Within *X. malinche*, expression patterns in ovarian tissues separate along

PC1 by the developmental stage of the embryos they contained, while in *X. birchmanni* and *X.*

cortezi they do not (Fig. 3A). Of the genes expressed in the ovaries of both species (adjusted p-

value<0.05), *X. malinche* differs from *X. birchmanni* in expression for 13% (2,202) and 28%

(4,934) of genes in early and late pregnancy, respectively, and from *X. cortezi* for 45% (7,957)

and 32% (5,639) of genes, respectively. Notably, we see that more genes respond to embryonic

developmental stage in *X. malinche* ovaries (310) compared to *X. birchmanni* (63) and *X. cortezi*

(130) ovaries. Although we do not focus on them in the main text, we performed similar analyses

of genes that were differentially expressed in developing embryos across the three species

(Supplementary Information 8).

Several genes that are specifically involved in pregnancy and nutrient provisioning in

placental taxa, including mammals and matrotrophic poeciliids, are significantly upregulated in

X. malinche compared to its close relatives. Notably, we find that the prolactin signaling

pathway, which has been implicated in the evolution of matrotrophy in livebearers (Guernsey et

al. 2020), is strongly upregulated in *X. malinche* ovaries. Prolactin receptor and releaser genes

are highly expressed in *X. malinche* ovaries in both early and late pregnancy compared to *X.*

birchmanni and *X. cortezi* ovaries (adjusted p-value<0.001 for all comparisons; Fig. 3B).

Prolactin receptors (*prlr*) bind the hormone prolactin and are thought to be directly involved in

trophoblast invasion for nutrient uptake in mice (Stefanoska et al. 2013). Prolactin-releasing

peptide receptor (*prlhr*) regulates prolactin expression. Interestingly, *prlhr* is also upregulated in

late pregnancy *X. birchmanni* ovaries (adjusted p-value<0.001), while we did not detect

expression of this gene in *X. cortezi* ovaries (Fig. 3B).

Though there is transcription of prolactin receiving and releasing machinery in late-

pregnancy *X. malinche* and *X. birchmanni* ovaries, we do not see expression of prolactin itself

based on RNAseq data (as expected since prolactin is a hormone translated in the pituitary

gland). To evaluate whether prolactin protein appears in *X. malinche* and *X. birchmanni* ovaries,

we performed immunohistochemistry on sections of late pregnancy ovaries for both species (Fig.

3C). We find that prolactin protein is present in both *X. malinche* and *X. birchmanni* maternal

ovarian follicle and embryonic tissues. Additionally, as expected, prolactin is present in retinal

and mesenchymal tissue of embryos in both species (Fig. 3C).

537   To explore biological pathways potentially involved in nutrient transfer and provisioning

in *X. malinche*, we first looked for enriched Gene Ontology pathways in genes that were

significantly differentially expressed between *X. malinche* and *X. birchmanni* ovaries. Notably

artery development is enriched, and the genes in this category are upregulated in *X. malinche*

ovaries (p-value < 0.002; Supp. Fig. S8). We also see enrichment of several potentially relevant

metabolism and nutrient transport pathways, including acyl- and acetyl-CoA metabolism in late-

stage *X. malinche* ovaries (Table S16).

544   We also looked at groups of co-expressed genes and asked which of these groups are

significantly associated with late-stage pregnancy in *X. malinche* (see Supp. Fig. S10 for module-

trait correlation matrix). Using WGCNA, we identified 17 ovary co-expression gene clusters

significantly associated with *X. malinche* ancestry (p-value<0.05), 11 of which were associated

with late-pregnancy *X. malinche* ovaries. Gene Ontology enrichment of these clusters revealed

that the 'darkorange2' cluster was highly enriched for acyl- and acetyl-CoA biosynthesis and

metabolic pathways, as well as vascular growth and signaling pathways, like artery development

and vasculogenesis (Fig. 3D, Supp. Fig. S9). Many of the represented acyl- and acetyl-CoA

metabolism genes are strongly upregulated or downregulated in *X. malinche*, particularly in late

pregnancy, compared to *X. birchmanni* and *X. cortezi* ovaries (Fig. 3D, Supp. Fig. S9). In

mammals, fatty acids like acyl-CoA are an important source of energy for both placental and

fetal growth during gestation and are transferred through the placenta to the fetus during mid- to

later-stages of pregnancy (Chavan-Gautam et al. 2018). The 'darkorange' cluster was enriched in

amino acid biosynthesis and metabolism pathways, and the 'violet' cluster was enriched for

intracellular and transmembrane signaling pathways.

Testing the potential role of resource availability in driving the evolution of large offspring size

We tested the response of offspring of both species to two ecological factors that differ

between the *X. malinche* and *X. birchmanni* environments. We found that the larger offspring of

X. malinche did not have improved minimum thermal tolerance compared to *X. birchmanni* fry

(Supplementary Information 11). We thus turned to investigating another ecological factor that

correlates with temperature: food availability. Cooler, headwater habitats are generally less

resource-rich than warmer downstream habitat (Reznick 1982*b*). Given low winter temperatures

observed in *X. malinche* populations (Supp. Fig. S11; Payne et al. 2022), we asked whether cold

temperatures (and presumably low primary production and food availability associated with such

temperatures) impacted breeding cycles in *X. malinche* populations and starvation tolerance in

newborn fry.

Water temperatures at *X. malinche* and *X. birchmanni* sites are coolest from November to

March with peak lows in January and February and are warmest from April to October with peak

highs in May and June (Supp. Fig. S11). The shifts in temperature roughly correspond with the

rainy season and river flooding, which typically starts late June and ends in October. We

collected mature females from natural populations of both *X. birchmanni* and *X. malinche* across

seasons. We find that while many *X. birchmanni* females were reproducing regardless of

sampling month or season (the lowest pregnancy rate observed was 34% in February), <20% of

X. malinche mature females were pregnant from February – August, while 80% were pregnant in

May (Supp. Fig. S12; Table S22).

To evaluate how relative female condition may vary seasonally, we compared total lipids

from wild nonpregnant Chicayotla *X. malinche* and Coachuilco *X. birchmanni* females and found

temporal differences in fat content between species. In February, before the *X. malinche* breeding

season, we found no significant difference in percent fat content between *X. malinche* and *X.*

birchmanni females (t-value=1.64, p-value=0.12; Fig. 4A). However, in September, after the *X.*

malinche breeding season, *X. birchmanni* females had significantly higher fat content than *X.*

malinche (t-value=-12.45, p-value=1.30×10^{-6}), consistent with limited resource availability in *X.*

malinche habitat.

To directly compare how newborn fry of the two species respond to reduced food

availability, we exposed *X. malinche* and *X. birchmanni* newborn fry to abundant-food and no-

food conditions and compared standard length, dry weight, and fat content between treatments

and species. Surprisingly, after three days of feeding, *X. malinche* and *X. birchmanni* fry were

approximately the same standard length and dry weight (ANOVA and Tukey post-hoc test p-

value=0.76 and 0.91, respectively). Compared to their initial standard lengths, *X. birchmanni* fry

grew 4× more rapidly (~0.32mm/day) than *X. malinche* fry (~0.08mm/day) (Supp. Fig. 13A).

However, while both *X. malinche* and *X. birchmanni* unfed newborn fry weighed less than their

fed counterparts, we found that on average food deprivation for three days impacted *X. malinche*

fry weight less than *X. birchmanni* fry, suggesting that they may be more resilient to starvation

immediately after birth (Fig. 4B). We found no significant difference in total body fat content

between species for either treatment (Supp. Fig. S13B).

Differences in viability by cross direction

In generating F_1 crosses for this and other projects, we have found a clear difference in

the frequency of premature birth and stillbirth in crosses between *X. malinche* mothers and *X.*

birchmanni fathers compared to crosses between *X. birchmanni* mothers and *X. malinche* fathers.

Given that these crosses are genetically identical except for the Y chromosome and

mitochondrial haplotype (Moran et al. 2021), this raises the possibility that maternal effects may

impact survival of the F_1 fry.

We found high rates of premature birth in crosses between *X. birchmanni* mothers and *X.*

malinche fathers (Table S23). Of 25 crosses generated by artificial insemination between 2011-

2022, only eight F_1 fry survived (out of 64 born). In five of these crosses, the mother also

suffered mortality. In sixteen out of the 25 crosses, mothers gave birth to morphologically

premature fry (Supp. Fig. S14), which have a low probability of survival (~98% mortality,

Moran et al. 2021). In the ten crosses where fry were born at term, 50% contained stillborn fry,

versus 7% for premature broods (Fisher's exact p-value=0.04). Maternal mortality was observed

in 30% of these full-term crosses, versus 13% of crosses where fry were born prematurely

(though this difference was not significant; Fisher's exact test p-value=0.1). For cases where we

developmentally staged premature fry, individuals tended to be around stage 40.

By contrast, we have never documented a premature birth, stillbirth, or maternal

mortality for *X. malinche* mothers. To date we have generated >190 adult F_1 hybrids and 1,253

adult F_2 hybrids from intercrossing these individuals. Premature birth has also not been observed

in F_2 crosses between these F_1 individuals.

Discussion

Offspring size is a complex trait that impacts both parent and offspring fitness. Compared

with its sister species, *X. birchmanni*, and other *Xiphophorus* species, *X. malinche* produce

exceptionally large fry. Though offspring size has been shown to be sensitive to ecological

conditions and the maternal environment in livebearers (Reznick 1982*a*; Reznick and Yang

1993; Reznick et al. 1996*b*; Bashey 2006*b*, 2008), we see this size difference in lab-raised

individuals, and estimate that the broad-sense heritability of offspring size attributable to

ancestry is 0.27. Moreover, the proportion of the genome derived from *X. malinche* impacts

offspring size in natural hybrid populations.

Larger offspring size generally increases the probability of survival to adulthood across a

variety of species (Brockelman 1975; McGurk 1986; Tessier and Consolatti 1989; Einum and

Fleming 2000; Marshall and Keough 2007; Jørgensen et al. 2011; Rollinson and Hutchings 2013;

Bowen et al. 2015). Given that *X. malinche* live in unique environments compared to other

species in the genus, we predicted that larger offspring size may have evolved in response to

ecological pressures – specifically, limited nutrient availability. Sampling for this study revealed

that while *X. birchmanni* breed across seasons, *X. malinche* breed seasonally, with peak

productivity in the warmest months. Notably, before the *X. malinche* breeding season, we find

that nonpregnant *X. malinche* and *X. birchmanni* females have comparable body fat content.

However, after the *X. malinche* breeding season, *X. malinche* have significantly lower body fat

content than *X. birchmanni* females, hinting that resources are generally more limited in *X.*

malinche habitat.

Past work in guppies has shown that under limited food conditions, mothers produce

larger offspring (Reznick 1982*b*; Reznick and Yang 1993; Reznick et al. 1996*b*; Bashey 2006*b*),

which presumably have a fitness advantage in lower resource environments (Pettersen et al.

2015, 2022). We directly tested offspring performance in food-depleted conditions in a

controlled lab experiment. We find that starved newborn *X. malinche* retain more weight than

newborn *X. birchmanni*. This suggests that *X. malinche* fry may be more resilient to a low

resource environment, consistent with resource limitation (or high competition) as a driver of the

evolution of large offspring in *X. malinche* (see also Bashey 2006*b*, 2008). Notably, in scenarios

where resources were not limited, newborn *X. birchmanni* fry grew more rapidly than *X.*

malinche and matched their size within days. This pattern could hint that there is an advantage to

being large early, consistent with previous work in other taxa (Chambers et al. 1989; Gliwicz and

Guisande 1992). The relationship between energetics and offspring size is an exciting area for

future research.

There are two mechanisms through which livebearing fish can produce larger fry. First,

mothers may produce larger eggs. Second, mothers may continue to provision nutrients to

offspring over embryonic development (note that matrotrophy does not predictably lead to large

offspring at birth across taxa; Furness et al. 2021). We evaluated evidence for both mechanisms

in *X. malinche*. We measured dry weights for embryos across ten embryonic stages ranging from

unfertilized fully-yolked eggs to fully developed juveniles for *X. malinche, X. birchmanni,* and

their close relative, *X. cortezi*. Notably, unfertilized fully-yolked eggs and early stage embryos

are similar in size between *X. malinche* and *X. birchmanni* (Fig. 2). However, *X. malinche* and *X.*

birchmanni embryos diverge in size around stage 20 of embryonic development. While *X.*

birchmanni embryos undergo a decline in dry weight after stage 15, *X. malinche* embryos

maintain roughly the same weight over development. We summarize this difference in

developmental profile with the first estimates of Matrotrophy Index for *X. malinche* (0.99) and *X.*

birchmanni (~0.65). This estimate for *X. malinche* is consistent with *X. malinche* embryos

receiving nutrients from their mother over development and explains larger offspring size at birth

in *X. malinche*. Moreover, this represents a recent shift in the degree of reproductive investment

since the *X. birchmanni* and *X. malinche* lineages diverged only ~250,000 generations ago

(Schumer et al. 2018). It is notable that although *X. birchmanni* do not appear to provision

nutrients during development, *X. birchmanni* also has fry that are much larger at birth than other

Xiphophorus species. We were unable to collect sufficient samples to accurately quantify

Matrotrophy Index from these species but we predict that they may produce smaller eggs than *X.*

birchmanni, and indeed the few samples we have are consistent with this prediction (Fig. S17).

In matrotrophic species, maternal and embryonic tissues interact to form an interface for

nutrient transfer, and some species form placental structures of varying complexity. To look for

evidence of simple placental structures in *X. malinche*, we quantified characteristics of the

maternal-embryo interface in histological sections of late-stage pregnancy in *X. malinche* and *X.*

birchmanni ovaries. In extremely matrotrophic poeciliids, embryonic and maternal blood vessels

range from apposed to fused (Ponce de León and Uribe 2021) and the ovarian follicular

epithelium is dense and maximizes surface area contact with embryos for nutrient transfer (Kwan

et al. 2015). We did not see consistent differences in ovarian follicle thickness or vascularization

between stained sections of late-stage *X. malinche* and *X. birchmanni* ovaries. However, we

found it difficult to compare these traits between 2-dimensional slices of ovary. Because post-

fertilization nutrient provisioning in *X. malinche* arose recently, we might predict differences in

ovary morphology between these species to be subtle. Future work should focus on obtaining 3-

dimensional images suitable for quantitative comparisons of the whole ovary.

To further investigate differences in maternal nutrient provisioning at the molecular level,

we quantified gene expression of early- and late-stage pregnant *X. malinche* and *X. birchmanni*

ovaries. The observed expression patterns raise multiple possible mechanisms through which

post-fertilization nutrient provisioning may be occurring in *X. malinche*. Receptor and releaser

genes for prolactin, a pituitary hormone important during pregnancy in mammals and in

matrotrophic poecilids (Menzies et al. 2011; Guernsey et al. 2020), are highly expressed in the *X.*

malinche ovarian follicle compared to *X. birchmanni* (and *X. cortezi*) follicles both before and

during pregnancy. Combined with evidence that *X. malinche* mothers provision their embryos

over development to a greater extent than *X. birchmanni* mothers, this result suggests that

prolactin may be a key candidate for the evolution of matrotrophy in livebearers (as proposed by

Guernsey et al. 2020). Immunostains of prolactin in sections of late-stage pregnant *X. malinche*

and *X. birchmanni* ovaries confirms that prolactin is present in the ovarian follicle of both

species. Given these results, we predict that the striking differences in abundance of prolactin

releasing and receiving machinery that we observe at the gene expression level (Fig. 3) may

regulate the downstream transfer and function of prolactin in the two species, which includes

nutrient transport.

While offspring almost universally benefit from being larger at birth, producing large

offspring can be costly for parents (Einum and Fleming 2000; Walker et al. 2008; Ljungström et

al. 2016) and constrained by environmental conditions (Reznick and Endler 1982; Hutchings

1991; Janzen et al. 2000; Allen et al. 2008; Marshall and Keough 2008; Pettersen et al. 2022).

These pressures can drive parent-offspring conflict in the evolution of offspring size (Trivers

1974; Crespi and Semeniuk 2004; Moore 2012). Similarly, if males and females differ in their

level of resource investment in offspring, conflict between the maternal and paternal genomes

can drive similar dynamics (Moore and Haig 1991). In mammals where females tend to invest

more than males in their offspring, studies have documented paternally contributed factors that

increase offspring growth and maternally contributed factors that suppress them (Moore and

Haig 1991; Barlow and Bartolomei 2014). Given these findings in other taxa and the large

difference in *X. malinche* and *X. birchmanni* embryos during development, we were curious to

understand whether this trait impacts reproductive isolation between these species. In the lab, *X.*

malinche mothers and *X. birchmanni* fathers successfully produce viable F_1 offspring and

subsequent early-generation crosses, whereas the reverse cross is typically aborted late in

pregnancy (by stage 40). This asymmetry in reciprocal F_1 cross viability has been shown to stem

from differences in nutrient provisioning over development in other poeciliid species (Schrader

et al. 2013). We initially hypothesized that differences in provisioning strategy might result in

embryos that are too large for *X. birchmanni* mothers to sustain. However, we found no

differences in stage-matched offspring weight between pure *X. birchmanni* crosses and F_1 hybrid

crosses with *X. birchmanni* mothers. Alternatively, the energetic demands of F_1 embryos may

outpace the nutrients stored in yolk from *X. birchmanni* mothers, which could lead to

malnourishment. Consistent with this hypothesis, we find that many offspring from this cross are

stillborn and the majority are born prematurely.

Our data also shows that offspring size is a complex trait, and the size of any individual

offspring is impacted by many variables, including developmental stage, brood size, mother size,

and mother environment. Accounting for all of these effects make this trait difficult to

characterize, complicating comparisons of offspring size and embryonic development profiles

between livebearing species. Recently, Skalkos et al. (2023) reviewed the current limitations in

the way matrotrophy has been studied in livebearers. Our findings underscore several of their

points, including that direct measures of early and late stage embryo weight, rather than indirect

measures using regression-based approaches, should be used to estimate Matrotrophy Index

where possible (since stages are morphological categories that do not linearly track

developmental time). Moreover, if provisioning varies over development (as hinted at by our

data), these methods could suffer from reduced accuracy. Our results further suggest that a single

collection may not be sufficient to capture the complex factors impacting the estimate of

Matrotrophy Index for an entire species. Future work should consider these factors. We note that

in our work using lab populations served as a powerful resource for confirming patterns inferred

from wild populations (Fig. 2), as they allowed us to control for many of the environmental

variables that impact wild collections.

Using a combination of morphometric and molecular data, we provide the first

description of incipient matrotrophy and post-fertilization nutrient provisioning mechanism in

the *Xiphophorus* clade and document immense variation in offspring size across species.

Importantly, because *X. malinche* and *X. birchmanni* are recently diverged (~250,000

generations ago), this is an example of rapid evolution of reproductive strategy. Parent of origin

incompatibility phenotypes associated with spontaneous abortion late in development hint that

this difference in reproductive strategy could contribute to the diverse reproductive barriers

present in these young sister species.

Figures

Figure 1. Comparisons of offspring size at birth across *Xiphophorus* species and their hybrids.

A) Violin plots of standard length (mm) of newborn fry from five *Xiphophorus* species – *X.*

malinche, *X. birchmanni*, *X. cortezi*, *X. pygmaeus*, and *X. variatus*. Phylogenetic relationships

between species are shown schematically on the x-axis (following Preising et al. 2022). **B)**

Violin plots of standard length (mm) of newborn fry of different groups, including *X. malinche*,

X. birchmanni, F_1 hybrids, F_2 hybrids, and natural hybrids ("CALL" – Calnali Low hybrid

population). For both **A & B**, each data point represents the average standard length of newborn

fry from one brood. For each group, boxes show the mean ± 1 standard error.

Figure 2. Comparisons of embryo weight throughout development and ovarian structures

between *X. birchmanni* and *X. malinche*. **A**) Embryo size developmental profiles for *X.*

birchmanni (Xbir – pink) and *X. malinche* (Xmal – blue). Developmental stage is plotted on the

x-axis (with images of *X. malinche* embryos at corresponding stages) and the partial residuals of

average embryo dry weight per brood (after correcting for maternal size and collection date) is

plotted on the y-axis. Points show the partial residuals colored by species. For each species,

boxes show mean ±1 standard error. These profiles were generated from wild-caught fish. *X.*

birchmanni and *X. malinche* have similar weights early in development but diverge in weight

after stage 15. **B**) Violin plot comparing stage 0 (fully-yolked but unfertilized) eggs between *X.*

birchmanni and *X. malinche*. Points show the partial residuals of average egg dry weight per

brood and boxes show mean and ±1 standard error. *X. birchmanni* and *X. malinche* eggs are not

significantly different in size at stage 0. These profiles were generated from wild-caught fish. **C**)

Embryo dry weights from multifactorial crosses between species. Patterns observed in **A** are

replicated in stage ≥20 lab-crossed parental species raised under common conditions, with *X.*

birchmanni embryos being significantly smaller than *X. malinche* later in development. We find

that F_1 hybrids from *X. birchmanni* mothers (bir×mal F_1) do not differ significantly in size from

pure *X. birchmanni*, while F_1 hybrids from *X. malinche* mothers (mal×bir F_1) are significantly

larger than the alternate cross (p-value=0.02) but trend towards being smaller than pure *X.*

malinche fry (p-value=0.05), hinting that the trait is impacted by both genetic and maternal

effects. **D**) Histological slides stained with Hematoxylin & Eosin of *X. birchmanni* (left) and *X.*

malinche (right) ovaries derived from females pregnant with late-stage embryos (stage 35 and

40, respectively) are structurally similar.

Figure 3. Gene expression analysis hints at a role for prolactin, fatty acid biosynthesis, and

vascular growth pathways in nutrient provisioning post-fertilization in *X. malinche*. **A**) Principal

component analysis of transformed gene abundance counts from ovary RNAseq data from *X.*

birchmanni (pink), *X. malinche* (blue), and *X. cortezi* (yellow). For each species, samples

included ovarian tissue from both early- and late-stage pregnancies. For *X. birchmanni* and *X.*

malinche, two wild-caught samples were also analyzed (denoted by stars and labels). Samples

cluster by species, and for *X. malinche*, by developmental stage. Colored envelops show the

space in PC1 and PC2 occupied by all samples of a given species. **B**) Prolactin receptor (*prlr*)

and releaser (*prlhr*) genes are upregulated in *X. malinche* ovaries, regardless of pregnancy status

or sample origin. For each species, mean normalized read count ± 2 standard error is shown for

early-pregnancy samples on the left (denoted with diamonds) and late-pregnancy samples on the

right (denoted with circles). Semi-transparent points show data from each individual (stars for

wild-collected). **C)** Expression heatmap of genes from acyl- and acetyl-CoA biosynthesis and

vasculature development GO pathways that were enriched in the "darkorange2" WGCNA

cluster, which was significantly associated with late pregnancy *X. malinche* ovaries. Sample IDs

appear on the x-axis, gene annotations appear on the y-axis. The blue to yellow color bar

indicates the difference in expression, with yellow colors indicating greater expression than

average and blue colors indicating lower expression than average. **D**) Immunofluorescence

demonstrates the presence of the prolactin protein (magenta) in sections from both pregnant *X.*

birchmanni females (i.-iii.) and *X. malinche* (iv.-vi.). As expected, prolactin positive cells are

identified throughout the developing embryo in mesenchymal and neuronal tissues (such as the

eye, i., iv.). Both species also express prolactin in the maternal membranes surrounding the ovary

and embryos. Yellow boxes identify the area highlighted in the higher magnification images. iii.

and vi. show prolactin signal only, without DAPI counterstain.

Figure 4. Comparisons of responses to food availability in *X. malinche* and *X. birchmanni*. **A)**

Boxplots showing fat content of non-pregnant *X. malinche* and *X. birchmanni* females collected

from wild populations in different seasons. *X. malinche* females have much lower fat content

before their breeding season than *X. birchmanni*. Note that fat content is not directly comparable

across the two collections given that samples were processed in different batches. **B)** Results of

starvation experiments in newborn *X. birchmanni* and *X. malinche* fry. *X. birchmanni* (pink) and

X. malinche (blue) did not significantly differ in weight three days after birth when both were

fed, indicating that *X. birchmanni* fry gain weight rapidly after birth (see Fig. 1A). However, in

starvation conditions, *X. birchmanni* fry weighed significantly less than *X. malinche* fry,

suggesting that *X. malinche* fry have improved tolerance to starvation.

Acknowledgements

We thank Moi Exposito-Alonso and members of the Schumer lab for helpful feedback on

previous versions of this manuscript. We are grateful to the Mexican federal government for

permission to collect samples. We thank Stanford University and the Stanford Research

Computing Center for providing computational support for this project. This study was

supported by a Society for Integrative & Comparative Biology GIAR grant to CP, an American

Society of Naturalists Student Research Award to CP, and a Human Frontiers in Science

Programme grant (RGY0081), HHMI Freeman-Hrabowski award, Pew Biomedical Scholars,

and Searle Scholars Award to MS.

Data Availability

All data is publicly available. Raw sequences are available under NCBI BioProject

PRJNAXXXX. All code and data files can be found in the GitHub repository:

https://github.com/cypayne/swordtail-offspring-size.

References

Allen, R. M., Y. M. Buckley, and D. J. Marshall. 2008. Offspring Size Plasticity in Response to

Intraspecific Competition: An Adaptive Maternal Effect across Life-History Stages. The

American Naturalist 171:225–237.

Babraham Bioinformatics - FastQC A Quality Control tool for High Throughput Sequence Data.

n.d.

Barlow, D. P., and M. S. Bartolomei. 2014. Genomic Imprinting in Mammals. Cold Spring

Harbor Perspectives in Biology 6:a018382.

Bashey, F. 2006*a*. Cross-Generational Environmental Effects and the Evolution of Offspring

Size in the Trinidadian Guppy Poecilia Reticulata. Evolution 60:348–361.

———. 2006*b*. Cross-Generational Environmental Effects and the Evolution of Offspring Size

in the Trinidadian Guppy Poecilia Reticulata. Evolution 60:348–361.

———. 2008. Competition as a Selective Mechanism for Larger Offspring Size in Guppies.

Oikos 117:104–113.

Berkeley, S. A., C. Chapman, and S. M. Sogard. 2004. Maternal Age as a Determinant of Larval

Growth and Survival in a Marine Fish, Sebastes Melanops. Ecology 85:1258–1264.

Blackburn, D. G. 2015. Evolution of vertebrate viviparity and specializations for fetal nutrition:

A quantitative and qualitative analysis. Journal of Morphology 276:961–990.

Bowen, W. D., C. E. den Heyer, J. I. McMillan, and S. J. Iverson. 2015. Offspring size at

weaning affects survival to recruitment and reproductive performance of primiparous gray seals.

Ecology and Evolution 5:1412–1424.

Bray, N. L., H. Pimentel, P. Melsted, and L. Pachter. 2016. Near-optimal probabilistic RNA-seq

quantification. Nature Biotechnology 34:525–527.

Breheny, P., and W. Burchett. 2017. Visualization of Regression Models Using visreg. The R

Journal 9:56.

Brockelman, W. Y. 1975. Competition, the Fitness of Offspring, and Optimal Clutch Size. The

American Naturalist 109:677–699.

Chambers, R. C., W. C. Leggett, and J. A. Brown. 1989. Egg Size, Female Effects, and the

Correlations Between Early Life History Traits of Capelin, Mallotus villosus: An Appraisal at

the Individual Levell. Fishery Bulletin 87.

Chavan-Gautam, P., A. Rani, and D. J. Freeman. 2018. Chapter Six - Distribution of Fatty Acids

and Lipids During Pregnancy. Pages 209–239 *in* G. S. Makowski, ed. Advances in Clinical

Chemistry (Vol. 84). Elsevier.

Constantz, G., G. Meffe, and F. Snelson. 1989. Ecology and evolution of livebearing fishes

(Poeciliidae). Reproductive Biology of Poeciliid Fishes. Prentice Hall, Englewood Cliffs, NJ.

Crespi, B., and C. Semeniuk. 2004. Parent-offspring conflict in the evolution of vertebrate

reproductive mode. The American Naturalist 163:635–653.

Durinck, S., P. T. Spellman, E. Birney, and W. Huber. 2009. Mapping identifiers for the

integration of genomic datasets with the R/Bioconductor package biomaRt. Nature Protocols

4:1184–1191.

Einum, S., and I. A. Fleming. 2000. Selection Against Late Emergence and Small Offspring in

Atlantic Salmon (salmo Salar). Evolution 54:628–639.

Falcon, S., and R. Gentleman. 2007. Using GOstats to test gene lists for GO term association.

Bioinformatics (Oxford, England) 23:257–258.

Furness, A. I., J. C. Avise, B. J. A. Pollux, Y. Reynoso, and D. N. Reznick. 2021. The evolution

of the placenta in poeciliid fishes. Current Biology 31:2004-2011.e5.

Furness, A. I., and I. Capellini. 2019. The evolution of parental care diversity in amphibians.

Nature Communications 10:4709.

Furness, A. I., B. J. A. Pollux, R. W. Meredith, M. S. Springer, and D. N. Reznick. 2019. How

conflict shapes evolution in poeciliid fishes. Nature Communications 10:3335.

Gliwicz, Z. M., and C. Guisande. 1992. Family Planning in Daphnia: Resistance to Starvation in

Offspring Born to Mothers Grown at Different Food Levels. Oecologia 91:463–467.

Griffith, O. W., and G. P. Wagner. 2017. The placenta as a model for understanding the origin

and evolution of vertebrate organs. Nature Ecology & Evolution 1:1–10.

Gross, M. R. 2005. The Evolution of Parental Care. The Quarterly Review of Biology 80:37–45.

Guernsey, M. W., H. van Kruistum, D. N. Reznick, B. J. A. Pollux, and J. C. Baker. 2020.

Molecular Signatures of Placentation and Secretion Uncovered in Poeciliopsis Maternal

Follicles. Molecular Biology and Evolution 37:2679–2690.

Hagmayer, A., A. I. Furness, D. N. Reznick, and B. J. A. Pollux. 2018. Maternal size and body

condition predict the amount of post-fertilization maternal provisioning in matrotrophic fish.

Ecology and Evolution 8:12386–12396.

Hutchings, J. A. 1991. Fitness Consequences Of Variation In Egg Size And Food Abundance In

Brook Trout Salvelinus Fontinalis. Evolution; International Journal of Organic Evolution

45:1162–1168.

Janzen, F. J., J. K. Tucker, and G. L. Paukstis. 2000. Experimental analysis of an early life-

history stage: avian predation selects for larger body size of hatchling turtles. Journal of

Evolutionary Biology 13:947–954.

Jennions, M. D., B. B. M. Wong, A. Cowling, and C. Donnelly. 2006. Life-history phenotypes in

a live-bearing fish Brachyrhaphis episcopi living under different predator regimes: seasonal

effects? Environmental Biology of Fishes 76:211–219.

Jørgensen, C., S. K. Auer, and D. N. Reznick. 2011. A Model for Optimal Offspring Size in Fish,

Including Live-Bearing and Parental Effects. The American Naturalist 177:E119–E135.

Jue, N. K., R. J. Foley, D. N. Reznick, R. J. O'Neill, and M. J. O'Neill. 2018. Tissue-Specific

Transcriptome for Poeciliopsis prolifica Reveals Evidence for Genetic Adaptation Related to the

Evolution of a Placental Fish. G3: Genes|Genomes|Genetics 8:2181–2192.

Kaplan, R. H. 1992. Greater Maternal Investment Can Decrease Offspring Survival in the Frog

Bombina Orientalis. Ecology 73:280–288.

Kindsvater, H. K., G. G. Rosenthal, and S. H. Alonzo. 2012. Maternal size and age shape

offspring size in a live-bearing fish, Xiphophorus birchmanni. PloS One 7:e48473.

Klug, H., and M. B. Bonsall. 2014. What are the benefits of parental care? The importance of

parental effects on developmental rate. Ecology and Evolution 4:2330–2351.

Krueger, F., F. James, P. Ewels, E. Afyounian, and B. Schuster-Boeckler. 2021.

FelixKrueger/TrimGalore: v0.6.7 - DOI via Zenodo. Zenodo.

Kwan, L., M. Fris, F. H. Rodd, L. Rowe, L. Tuhela, and T. M. Panhuis. 2015. An examination of

the variation in maternal placentae across the genus Poeciliopsis (Poeciliidae). Journal of

Morphology 276:707–720.

Langfelder, P., and S. Horvath. 2008. WGCNA: an R package for weighted correlation network

analysis. BMC Bioinformatics 9:559.

Leips, J., F. Helen Rodd, and J. Travis. 2013. The adaptive significance of population

differentiation in offspring size of the least killifish, Heterandria formosa. Ecology and Evolution

3:948–960.

Ljungström, G., M. Stjernstedt, E. Wapstra, and M. Olsson. 2016. Selection and constraints on

offspring size-number trade-offs in sand lizards (Lacerta agilis). Journal of Evolutionary Biology

29:979–990.

Love, M. I., W. Huber, and S. Anders. 2014. Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion

for RNA-seq data with DESeq2. Genome Biology 15:550.

Marshall, D. J., S. S. Heppell, S. B. Munch, and R. R. Warner. 2010. The relationship between

maternal phenotype and offspring quality: Do older mothers really produce the best offspring?

Ecology 91:2862–2873.

Marshall, D. J., and M. J. Keough. 2007. The Evolutionary Ecology of Offspring Size in Marine

Invertebrates. Pages 1–60 *in*Advances in Marine Biology (Vol. 53). Academic Press.

Marshall, D. J., and M. J. Keough. 2008. The Relationship between Offspring Size and

Performance in the Sea. The American Naturalist 171:214–224.

Martin, M. 2011. Cutadapt removes adapter sequences from high-throughput sequencing reads.

EMBnet.journal 17:10–12.

McGurk, M. 1986. Natural mortality of marine pelagic fish eggs and larvae: role of spatial

patchiness. Marine Ecology Progress Series 34:227–242.

Meiri, S., A. Feldman, R. Schwarz, and R. Shine. 2020. Viviparity does not affect the numbers

and sizes of reptile offspring. Journal of Animal Ecology 89:360–369.

Menzies, B. R., A. J. Pask, and M. B. Renfree. 2011. Placental expression of pituitary hormones

is an ancestral feature of therian mammals. EvoDevo 2:16.

Meredith, R. W., J. E. Janečka, J. Gatesy, O. A. Ryder, C. A. Fisher, E. C. Teeling, A. Goodbla,

et al. 2011. Impacts of the Cretaceous Terrestrial Revolution and KPg Extinction on Mammal

Diversification. Science 334:521–524.

Moore, T. 2012. Review: Parent-offspring conflict and the control of placental function. Placenta

33 Suppl:S33-36.

Moore, T., and D. Haig. 1991. Genomic imprinting in mammalian development: a parental tug-

of-war. Trends in Genetics 7:45–49.

Moran, B. M., C. Y. Payne, D. L. Powell, E. N. K. Iverson, S. M. Banerjee, Q. K. Langdon, T. R.

Gunn, et al. 2021. A Lethal Genetic Incompatibility between Naturally Hybridizing Species in

Mitochondrial Complex I. bioRxiv 2021.07.13.452279.

Morgan, Martin, S. Falcon, and R. Gentleman. n.d. GSEABase: Gene set enrichment data

structures and methods version 1.52.1 from Bioconductor.

Morris, M. R., and M. J. Ryan. 1992. Breeding Cycles in Natural Populations of Xiphophorus

nigrensis, X. multilineatus, and X. pygmaeus. Copeia 1992:1074–1077.

Olivera-Tlahuel, C., A. G. Ossip-Klein, H. S. Espinosa-Pérez, and J. J. Zúñiga-Vega. 2015. Have

superfetation and matrotrophy facilitated the evolution of larger offspring in poeciliid fishes?

Biological journal of the Linnean Society. Linnean Society of London 116:787–804.

Payne, C., R. Bovio, D. L. Powell, T. R. Gunn, S. M. Banerjee, V. Grant, G. G. Rosenthal, et al.

n.d. Genomic insights into variation in thermotolerance between hybridizing swordtail fishes.

Molecular Ecology n/a.

Pettersen, A. K., L. Schuster, and N. B. Metcalfe. 2022. The Evolution of Offspring Size: A

Metabolic Scaling Perspective. Integrative and Comparative Biology 62:1492–1502.

Pettersen, A. K., C. R. White, and D. J. Marshall. 2015. Why does offspring size affect

performance? Integrating metabolic scaling with life-history theory. Proceedings of the Royal

Society B: Biological Sciences 282:20151946.

Pires, M. N., J. Arendt, And D. N. Reznick. 2010. The evolution of placentas and superfetation

in the fish genus Poecilia (Cyprinodontiformes: Poeciliidae: subgenera Micropoecilia and

Acanthophacelus). Biological Journal of the Linnean Society 99:784–796.

Pollux, B. J. A., R. W. Meredith, M. S. Springer, T. Garland, and D. N. Reznick. 2014. The

evolution of the placenta drives a shift in sexual selection in livebearing fish. Nature 513:233–

236.

Pollux, B. J. A., M. N. Pires, A. I. Banet, and D. N. Reznick. 2009. Evolution of Placentas in the

Fish Family Poeciliidae: An Empirical Study of Macroevolution. Annual Review of Ecology,

Evolution, and Systematics 40:271–289.

Pollux, B. J. A., and D. N. Reznick. 2011. Matrotrophy limits a female's ability to adaptively

adjust offspring size and fecundity in fluctuating environments. Functional Ecology 25:747–756.

Ponce de León, J. L., and M. C. Uribe. 2021. Morphology of yolk and pericardial sacs in

lecithotrophic and matrotrophic nutrition in poeciliid fishes. Journal of Morphology 282:887–

899.

Preising, G. A., T. Gunn, J. J. Baczenas, A. Pollock, D. L. Powell, T. O. Dodge, J. A. M. Kairuz,

et al. 2022. Recurrent evolution of small body size and loss of the sword ornament in Northern

Swordtail fish. bioRxiv.

Reznick, D. 1981. "Grandfather Effects": The Genetics of Interpopulation Differences in

Offspring Size in the Mosquito Fish. Evolution 35:941–953.

———. 1982*a*. Genetic Determination of Offspring Size in the Guppy (Poecilia reticulata). The

American Naturalist 120:181–188.

———. 1982*b*. The Impact of Predation on Life History Evolution in Trinidadian Guppies:

Genetic Basis of Observed Life History Patterns. Evolution 36:1236–1250.

Reznick, D., H. Callahan, and R. Llauredo. 1996*a*. Maternal Effects on Offspring Quality in

Poeciliid Fishes. American Zoologist 36:147–156.

———. 1996*b*. Maternal Effects on Offspring Quality in Poeciliid Fishes. American Zoologist

36:147–156.

Reznick, D., and J. A. Endler. 1982. The Impact of Predation on Life History Evolution in

Trinidadian Guppies (Poecilia reticulata). Evolution 36:160–177.

Reznick, D. N., and H. Bryga. 1987. Life-History Evolution in Guppies (poecilia Reticulata): 1.

Phenotypic and Genetic Changes in an Introduction Experiment. Evolution 41:1370–1385.

Reznick, D. N., M. J. Butler, F. H. Rodd, and P. Ross. 1996*c*. Life-History Evolution In Guppies

(Poecilia Reticulata) 6. Differential Mortality As A Mechanism For Natural Selection. Evolution;

International Journal of Organic Evolution 50:1651–1660.

Reznick, D. N., M. Mateos, and M. S. Springer. 2002. Independent Origins and Rapid Evolution

of the Placenta in the Fish Genus Poeciliopsis. Science 298:1018–1020.

Reznick, D., and A. P. Yang. 1993. The Influence of Fluctuating Resources on Life History:

Patterns of Allocation and Plasticity in Female Guppies. Ecology 74:2011–2019.

Riesch, R., M. Plath, F. J. García de León, and I. Schlupp. 2010. Convergent life-history shifts:

toxic environments result in big babies in two clades of poeciliids. Die Naturwissenschaften

97:133–141.

Rollinson, N., and J. A. Hutchings. 2013. The relationship between offspring size and fitness:

integrating theory and empiricism. Ecology 94:315–324.

Roney, N. E., R. A. Oomen, H. Knutsen, E. M. Olsen, and J. A. Hutchings. 2018. Temporal

variability in offspring quality and individual reproductive output in a broadcast-spawning

marine fish. ICES Journal of Marine Science 75:1353–1361.

Schartl, M., R. B. Walter, Y. Shen, T. Garcia, J. Catchen, A. Amores, I. Braasch, et al. 2013. The

genome of the platyfish, Xiphophorus maculatus , provides insights into evolutionary adaptation

and several complex traits. Nature Genetics 45:567–572.

Schrader, M., R. C. Fuller, and J. Travis. 2013. Differences in offspring size predict the direction

of isolation asymmetry between populations of a placental fish. Biology Letters 9:20130327.

Schrader, M., and J. Travis. 2012. Assessing the roles of population density and predation risk in

the evolution of offspring size in populations of a placental fish. Ecology and Evolution 2:1480–

1490.

Schumer, M., C. Xu, D. L. Powell, A. Durvasula, L. Skov, C. Holland, J. C. Blazier, et al. 2018.

Natural selection interacts with recombination to shape the evolution of hybrid genomes. Science

(New York, N.Y.) 360:656–660.

Skalkos, Z. M. G., J. U. Van Dyke, and C. M. Whittington. 2023. Distinguishing Between

Embryonic Provisioning Strategies in Teleost Fishes Using a Threshold Value for Parentotrophy.

Biomolecules 13:166.

Smith, C. C., and S. D. Fretwell. 1974. The Optimal Balance between Size and Number of

Offspring. The American Naturalist 108:499–506.

Stearns, S. C. 1989. Trade-Offs in Life-History Evolution. Functional Ecology 3:259–268.

Stefanoska, I., M. Jovanović Krivokuća, S. Vasilijić, D. Ćujić, and L. Vićovac. 2013. Prolactin

stimulates cell migration and invasion by human trophoblast in vitro. Placenta 34:775–783.

Stephens, M. 2017. False discovery rates: a new deal. Biostatistics 18:275–294.

Tessier, A. J., and N. L. Consolatti. 1989. Variation in Offspring Size in Daphnia and

Consequences for Individual Fitness. Oikos 56:269–276.

Trivers, R. L. 1974. Parent-Offspring Conflict. American Zoologist 14:249–264.

Walker, R. S., M. Gurven, O. Burger, and M. J. Hamilton. 2008. The trade-off between number

and size of offspring in humans and other primates. Proceedings of the Royal Society B:

Biological Sciences 275:827–834.

Weiss, M. N., S. Ellis, D. W. Franks, M. L. K. Nielsen, M. A. Cant, R. A. Johnstone, D. K.

Ellifrit, et al. 2023. Costly lifetime maternal investment in killer whales. Current Biology

33:744-748.e3.

Whittington, C. M., A. L. Buddle, O. W. Griffith, and A. M. Carter. 2022. Embryonic

specializations for vertebrate placentation. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B:

Biological Sciences 377:20210261.

Wittbrodt, J., D. Adam, B. Malitschek, W. Mäueler, F. Raulf, A. Telling, S. M. Robertson, et al.

1989. Novel putative receptor tyrosine kinase encoded by the melanoma-inducing Tu locus in

Xiphophorus. Nature 341:415–421.

Wourms, J. P., B. D. Grove, and J. Lombardi. 1988. 1 The Maternal-Embryonic Relationship in

Viviparous Fishes. Pages 1–134 *in* W. S. Hoar and D. J. Randall, eds. Fish Physiology, The

Physiology of Developing Fish (Vol. 11). Academic Press.

1072