1

Neuropeptide Modulation of Bidirectional Internetwork Synapses

Savanna-Rae H Fahoum

Dawn M Blitz[1]

Running Title: Neuropeptide Modulation of Internetwork Synapses

Department of Biology
Center for Neuroscience and Behavior
Miami University
Oxford OH 45056
14
15
[1]Corresponding Author:
Dawn M. Blitz
700 E High St
PSN 212
Oxford OH, 45056
blitzdm@miamoh.edu
513-529-6327

23
Number of pages: 69
Number of figures: 9 (+ 9 Supplemental Figures)
Number of tables: 6
Number of words
Abstract: 250
New and Noteworthy: 74
30

31 Conflict of Interest: The authors declare no competing financial interests.
32
Acknowledgements: This work supported by the National Science Foundation
IOS:1755283 (DMB).

<u>Abstract</u>

Oscillatory networks underlying rhythmic motor behaviors, and sensory and complex

neural processing, are flexible, even in their neuronal composition. Neuromodulatory

inputs enable neurons to switch participation between networks, or participate in

multiple networks simultaneously. Neuromodulation of internetwork synapses can both

recruit and coordinate a switching neuron in a second network. We previously identified

an example in which a neuron is recruited into dual-network activity via peptidergic

modulation of intrinsic properties. We now ask whether the same neuropeptide also

modulates internetwork synapses for internetwork coordination. The crab (*Cancer*

borealis) stomatogastric nervous system contains two well-defined feeding-related

networks (pyloric, food filtering, ~1 Hz; gastric mill, food chewing, ~0.1 Hz). The

projection neuron MCN5 uses the neuropeptide Gly^1-SIFamide to recruit the pyloric-only

LPG neuron into dual pyloric plus gastric mill-timed bursting via modulation of LPG's

intrinsic properties. Descending input is not required for a coordinated rhythm, thus

intra-network synapses between LPG and its second network must underlie

coordination among these neurons. However, synapses between LPG and gastric mill

neurons have not been documented. Using two-electrode voltage clamp recordings, we

found that graded synaptic currents between LPG and gastric mill neurons (LG, IC, DG)

were primarily negligible in saline, but were enhanced by Gly^1-SIFamide. Further, LPG

and gastric mill neurons entrain each other during Gly^1-SIFamide application, indicating

bidirectional, functional connectivity. Thus, a neuropeptide mediates neuronal switching

through parallel actions, modulating intrinsic properties in a switching neuron to recruit it

into a second network and as shown here, also modulating bidirectional internetwork

synapses for coordination.

<u>New and Noteworthy</u>

Neuromodulation can enable neurons to be simultaneously coordinated with separate

networks. Both recruitment into, and coordination with, a second network can occur via

modulation of internetwork synapses. Alternatively, recruitment can occur via

modulation of intrinsic ionic currents. We find that the same neuropeptide previously

determined to modulate intrinsic currents also modulates bidirectional internetwork

synapses that are typically ineffective. Thus, complementary modulatory peptide actions

enable recruitment and coordination of a neuron into a second network.

Keywords: central pattern generator, neuronal switching, graded synaptic transmission,

Gly^1-SIFamide

Introduction

Central pattern generators (CPGs) are flexible neuronal networks that each produce different versions of particular rhythmic motor behaviors such as walking, breathing, and chewing (1–5). CPG flexibility includes neuronal switching, in which a neuron changes participation from its "home" network into another, or multiple networks simultaneously, via neuromodulation of synaptic and/or intrinsic properties (6–12). Neuronal switching occurs in invertebrate and vertebrate CPG motor networks, other local oscillatory networks such as in the hippocampus, and is proposed to contribute to larger-scale changes in functional connectivity (10, 13–18). Neuromodulator inputs such as cholinergic basal forebrain and locus coeruleus neurons are implicated in regulating network dynamics on multiple temporal and spatial scales (14, 15, 17, 19). To fully understand how neuromodulatory inputs alter neuronal participation, it is necessary to identify the full complement of modulatory actions enabling a switching neuron to generate activity at the same frequency as a new network and with the correct timing relative to other neurons.

Modulation of internetwork synapses onto a switching neuron recruits a neuron to generate activity at the correct frequency and also enables the correct relative timing (6, 8, 20). In such instances, the switching neuron typically follows the pattern imposed on it, but does not contribute to generating the output pattern in its new network. More recent examples indicate that modulation of intrinsic properties can also regulate neuronal switching (10–12). We found that a neuron recruited to generate activity at a second network frequency via modulation of intrinsic properties can coordinate activity of neurons in the network into which it is recruited (21). For a switching neuron to be

able to coordinate activity in the new network, one possibility is that there are functional

synapses already present, and bursting of the switching neuron at the second frequency

simply has effects via those existing synapses. An alternative possibility is that there are

not functional synapses to mediate coordination under unmodulated conditions, and

modulation of synapses is required to enable coordination between a switching neuron

and other neurons in its new network. This suggests that in contrast to modulation of

internetwork synapses enabling a neuron to generate activity at the correct frequency

and with the correct relative timing, modulation of intrinsic properties may require

complementary modulatory actions. Here, we tested the hypothesis that modulation of

synapses occurs between a switching neuron and its new network neurons, as a

complement to the modulation of intrinsic properties that enable a switching neuron to

exhibit dual frequency bursting.

In the STNS of the crab, *Cancer borealis*, activation of the modulatory

commissural neuron 5 (MCN5) causes the pyloric-only LPG neuron to switch into dual

pyloric- and gastric mill-timed bursting (12, 21). The LPG switch into gastric mill bursting

occurs due to the MCN5 neuropeptide Gly^1-SIFamide modulating a hyperpolarization-

activated current, a persistent sodium current, and calcium, or calcium-related current(s)

(12, 22). As part of our investigation into how the MCN5-elicited rhythm is generated,

we found that LPG gastric mill-timed (slow) bursting is regulated by gastric mill network

neurons, and that LPG can contribute to coordination among gastric mill network

neurons (21), suggesting bidirectional communication between the LPG switching

neuron and at least some of the gastric mill network neurons. However, in unmodulated

saline conditions synapses between LPG and LG, IC, and DG gastric mill neurons have

not been described. Therefore, we used two-electrode voltage clamp recordings to

investigate whether there are functional synapses in the control saline condition, and

whether the neuropeptide Gly^1-SIFamide modulates synaptic transmission between

LPG and LG, IC, and DG neurons, in addition to its established modulation of LPG's

intrinsic properties. We further tested for connectivity among the neurons by assessing

the ability of LPG, LG, IC, and DG to entrain each other during Gly^1-SIFamide

application.

Materials and methods

Animals

Male *Cancer borealis* crabs were obtained from The Fresh Lobster company

(Gloucester, MA) and maintained in tanks containing artificial seawater at 10-12 °C. For

experiments, crabs were anesthetized on ice for 30-50 min, then during gross

dissection, the foregut was removed from the animal, bisected, and pinned flat in a

Slygard-170-lined dish (Thermo Fisher Scientific). During fine dissection, the

stomatogastric nervous system (STNS) was dissected from the foregut and pinned in a

Sylgard 184-lined petri dish (Thermo Fisher Scientific) (23). During the dissection, the

preparation was kept chilled in *C. borealis* physiological saline at 4 °C.

Solutions

C. borealis physiological saline was composed of the following (in mM): 440

NaCl, 26 $MgCl_2$,13 $CaCl_2$,11 KCl,10 Trizma base, 5 Maleic acid, pH 7.4-7.6. Squid

internal electrode solution contained the following (in mM): 10 $MgCl_2$, 400 Potassium D-

gluconic acid, 10 HEPES, 15 Na_2SO_4, 20 NaCl, pH 7.45 (24). Gly^1-SIFamide

(GYRKPPFNG-SIFamide, custom peptide synthesis: Genscript) (12, 25–28) was

dissolved in optima water (Thermo Fisher Scientific) at 10^{-2} M and stored at -20 °C.

Tetrodotoxin (TTX) powder was dissolved in optima water at a final concentration of 10^{-4}

M and stored at -20 °C. Stock solution of picrotoxin (PTX) was made by adding PTX

powder (Sigma Aldrich) to 95% ethanol at 10^{-2} M and storing at -20 °C. For experiments,

each substance was thawed and diluted in physiological saline at a final concentration

of: Gly^1-SIFamide, 5 µM; TTX, 1 µM and 0.1 µM; PTX, 10 µM.

<u>Electrophysiology</u>

All preparations were continuously superfused with chilled *C. borealis* saline (8-

10 °C), or chilled saline containing Gly^1-SIFamide, PTX, and/or TTX, as indicated. For

uninterrupted superfusion of the preparation, all solution changes were performed using

a switching manifold. Extracellular nerve activity was recorded using a model 1700 A-M

Systems Amplifier and custom-made stainless-steel pin electrodes. Vaseline wells were

built around nerves to isolate neuron signals, with one stainless-steel electrode wire

placed inside the well, and the other outside the well as reference. Stomatogastric

ganglion (STG) somata were exposed by removing the thin layer of tissue across the

ganglion and observed with light transmitted through a dark-field condenser (MBL-

12010 Nikon Instruments). Intracellular recordings were collected using sharp-tip glass

microelectrodes (18 – 40 MΩ) filled with squid internal electrode solution for entrainment

experiments or filled with 0.6 M K_2SO_4 plus 20 mM KCl electrode solution for voltage

clamp experiments. STG neurons and their locations were identified based on their

intracellular activity, nerve projection patterns, and their interactions with other STG

neurons. All intracellular recordings were collected using AxoClamp 900A amplifiers in

current-clamp mode for entrainment experiments or two-electrode voltage clamp

(TEVC)-mode for synapse experiments (Molecular Devices). All experiments were

conducted in the isolated STNS following transection of the inferior and superior

oesophageal nerves (*ion* and *son*, respectively). Electrophysiology recordings were

collected using data acquisition hardware (Entrainment experiments: Micro1401,

Cambridge Electronic Design; Synapse experiments: DigiData 1440A, Molecular

Devices), software (Entrainment experiments: Spike2, ~5 kHz sampling rate, Cambridge

Electronic Design; Synapse experiments: Clampex 10.7, Molecular Devices, ~5 kHz

sampling rate) and laboratory computer (Dell).

Prior to all conducted experiments, unless otherwise indicated, the LP neuron

was photoinactivated for the established bath-application model of MCN5 actions (12).

Briefly, the LP neuron was impaled with a sharp microelectrode (30-40 MΩ) that was

tip-filled with AlexaFluor-568 hydrazide (10 mM in 200 mM KCl, Thermo Fisher

Scientific) and back-filled with squid internal solution (see *Solutions*). The LP soma was

filled using constant hyperpolarizing current injection (-5 nA) for 5-10 min, and the

current injection removed to allow the dye to diffuse to the neurites and axon in the *dvn*

for 20 – 40 min. The STG was then illuminated for 5 – 7 min using a Texas red filter set

(560 ± 40 nm wavelength; Leica Microsystems). Complete photoinactivation was

confirmed when the LP membrane potential reached 0 mV.

<u>Graded synapses via two-electrode voltage clamp</u>

To determine whether graded synapses between LPG and gastric mill neurons

were present and/or modulated by Gly[1]-SIFamide, we used two-electrode voltage clamp

(TEVC) recordings. Based on previous studies, we only proceeded with experiments

and analyzed data when pre- and post-synaptic cells had an input resistance of at least

10 MΩ (24, 29). Action potentials were blocked with TTX (initial concentration: 1 µM,

~5-10 min; final maintained concentration: 0.1 µM). When IC, LG, or DG was the

presynaptic neuron, they were voltage clamped at -60 mV. The presynaptic neuron was

stepped from its holding potential to -55 to -20 mV in 5 mV increments (5 s duration, 11

s inter-step interval). The postsynaptic cell was voltage clamped at -40 mV. For IC, LG,

and DG to LPG synapses, the voltage step protocol was repeated in Gly[1]-SIFamide

(SIF, 5 µM) plus TTX (0.1 µM) (TTX:SIF), following ~10 min wash-in of TTX:SIF. To

measure potential LPG to IC, LG, or DG synapses, the same voltage step protocol was

used, however, PTX (10 µM) was used to block glutamatergic inhibitory synapses. LPG

uses acetylcholine as its transmitter, and thus its actions are not blocked by PTX (30–

32), enabling us to examine synapses from LPG onto LG, IC, and DG more directly.

When LPG was the presynaptic neuron, it was held at -80 mV to turn off spontaneous

activity of the other non-clamped LPG neuron. In TTX:PTX:Saline LPG was stepped

from its holding potential to -55 mV to -20 mV in 5 mV increments, and the postsynaptic

neuron current recorded with cells at a holding potential of -40 mV. This protocol was

repeated in Gly[1]-SIFamide in TTX plus PTX (TTX:PTX:SIF), following ~10 min wash-in

of TTX:PTX:SIF. In a small subset of experiments as indicated, each presynaptic

neuron (LG, IC, DG, or LPG), was clamped at a holding potential of -80 mV and

stepped from -70 mV to -20 mV in 5 mV increments. Between each experimental

condition, all neurons were held at -60 mV, near resting potential for STG neurons (33).

Due to a factor of 10 error in a gain setting in TEVC in one amplifier, current amplitudes

were multiplied post-hoc by a factor of 10. Before any adjustments were made, input

resistance measurements in current clamp mode, unaffected by the gain error, from

pre- and post-TEVC mode were compared to input resistance measurements in TEVC

mode to verify the gain factor error. Additionally, the same neuron (e.g., LG) was not

always recorded using the channel with the gain error in different preparations. Adjusted

data matched the data recorded with the correct conversion factor in other experiments.

Voltage step protocols were repeated five times in each direction during each

pair of synapses tested. Recordings were averaged and filtered using Clampfit 10.7

(pClamp, Molecular Devices, San Jose, CA) prior to analysis. Synaptic currents were

measured by subtracting the mean holding current during the 2 s preceding each

voltage step (Baseline) from the peak current within the first 2 s of the voltage step

(Early) and the last 2 s of the voltage step (Late). For LPG to IC and to LG, we observed

an inward current within the first 2 s of each voltage step. Thus, we also measured the

peak amplitude of this inward current and subtracted it from the mean holding current.

Gastric mill network entrainment

To examine whether each gastric mill-timed network neuron entrained each

other, entrainment neurons (LPG [two copies], LG, IC, and DG [one copy each]) were

stimulated with rhythmic depolarizing current injections (5 s pulse duration, 0.5 to 6 nA)

at different entrainment frequencies (0.03 – 0.12 Hz; 5 s pulse, 6 to 28 s inter-pulse

interval). Entrainment frequency started at 0.3 Hz or the first frequency (in 0.1 Hz

increments) at which a neuron was able to be entrained. The amount of current injected

into the entrainment neuron was chosen to match the firing frequency (± 5 Hz) of that

neuron at steady state Gly[1]-SIFamide application prior to initiating entraining

depolarizations. In some preparations, the entraining neuron was not active in Gly[1]-

SIFamide, and we matched its firing frequency to the entraining neuron mean firing

frequency from a previous data set (21). All entrainment manipulations were conducted

during the last 20 min of a 30 min Gly[1]-SIFamide (5 µM) application. To examine LPG

entrainment of gastric mill neurons LG, IC, and DG more directly, we performed an

additional set of experiments in which picrotoxin (PTX, 10 µM), which blocks LG, IC,

and DG glutamatergic inhibitory synapses, but not cholinergic LPG synapses (30–32),

was added to the saline and Gly[1]-SIFamide (SIF:PTX) solutions. This condition isolates

LPG from gastric mill input and blocks chemical synapses among the LG, IC, DG,

neurons (30–32).

For each entrainment frequency, either the single LG, IC, or DG neuron, or both

LPG neurons were rhythmically depolarized for ten cycles (11 bursts), and the

instantaneous gastric mill burst frequency (1/cycle period [time between the first action

potentials of two consecutive bursts]) for each test neuron (LG, IC, DG, and LPG) was

measured. For LPG and IC, which can participate in both the pyloric and gastric mill

rhythms, only gastric mill-timed bursts (defined below in Gastric mill burst identification)

were used in analyses.

 Integer coupling analysis

To quantify the extent of entrainment between LG and IC, DG, and LPG, we

used integer coupling as a measure of whether there was a fixed relationship between

the instantaneous burst frequencies of each pair of neurons (34, 35). Integer coupling

was measured by dividing the entraining neuron stimulation frequency by the

instantaneous test neuron burst frequency and extracting the significand (the value to

the right of the decimal point) from each ratio at each entrainment frequency, across

preparations. The significand indicates a measure of how close a ratio is to an integer.

For instance, if the ratio between the entrainment and instantaneous burst frequencies

is 1.01, then significand is 0.01 and close to zero. If the ratio is 1.99, then the significand

is 0.99 and close to 1. The cumulative probability distribution frequency (c.d.f.) of all

significands for each entrainment frequency was calculated to determine how close

each set of ratios was to an integer and plotted on a histogram. The shaded regions

indicate the c.d.f. curves between entraining and test neurons at 0.06 Hz entrainment

frequency (Figs. 6D, 7-8Ai, Bi). The blue dotted line indicates one extreme of perfect

integer coupling, where all significands from all ratios are near 0 or 1, and the c.d.f.

accumulates primarily at 0 or 1 (Figs. 6D, 7-8Ai, Bi) (35). On the other hand, if all

significands across a group of data points are randomly and uniformly distributed

between 0 and 1, then the c.d.f. of these values would be close to a diagonal line, and

thus indicate zero integer coupling between neurons (solid red y = x line, Figs. 6D, 7-

8Ai, Bi) (35).

To quantify the extent of integer coupling at each entrainment frequency, that is,

the distance between the cumulative distribution and a random distribution (i.e., a

diagonal line), we calculated the area between each c.d.f. curve and the diagonal line

using a custom-written MATLAB script (MathWorks). For instance, if there was perfect

integer coupling at a particular entrainment frequency, then the area between a c.d.f.

falling along the blue dotted line and the diagonal would be 0.25, while an area close to

zero would suggest there is no integer coupling between the entrainment and test

neurons. To determine whether significands from a group of entrainment frequencies

were significantly different from the diagonal line, we formulated a uniform distribution

that would equal the $y = x$ diagonal line, and thus have an area equal to zero to indicate

no integer coupling. Then, for each entrainment frequency, we compared the

experimental data to the uniform distribution using a two-sample Kolmogorov-Smirnov

test (35). We used a two-sample test instead of a one-sample test because the

calculated significands for the experimental data cannot be expected to be normally

distributed (35). We divided the significance level by the number of entrainment

frequencies (7) to correct for multiple comparisons. Thus, our significance threshold was

0.05/7 = 0.007. Entrainment frequencies with data from only one preparation were

excluded from the integer coupling analysis.

The integer coupling analysis assumes test neuron integer-multiple relationships

to the entrainment neuron (e.g., 1:1, 2:1, 3:1) using test neuron/entrainment neuron

ratios. However, we did observe some fractional relationships in which there are fewer

test neuron cycles relative to entrainment neuron (e.g., 1:2, 1:3; Fig. 6Biii). These

entrainment relationships would result in significands between 0 and 1, for example a

1:2 entrainment would result in a significand of 0.5. The example "perfect" entrainment

area of 0.25 (area between blue and red lines in figure 6D), represents equal

proportions of significands occurring close to 0 or 1. Although the area calculation for

"perfect" entrainment at intermediate significand values would differ from 0.25, it should

still be greater than 0 and thus our area measurement enables us to quantify whether

entrainment occurred, regardless of the type of entrainment.

<u>Gastric mill neuron burst identification</u>

Only neurons that were bursting in gastric mill time during entrainment

stimulations were included in the entrainment plots and integer coupling analysis.

Criteria to determine gastric mill-timed bursting included: IC bursts had a duration of at

least 0.45 s, with consecutive pyloric-timed IC bursts with burst durations of at least

0.45 s grouped together into a gastric mill burst, including up to one IC burst < 0.45 s in

duration if it occurred between other IC bursts that were at least 0.45 s (21, 28); LG and

DG bursts had at least 3 spikes per burst with a maximum 2 s interspike interval; and

LPG gastric mill-timed bursts were identified as described (12). Briefly, a histogram

containing LPG interspike intervals (ISIs) across an entire Gly[1]-SIFamide application

was generated using a custom-written MATLAB script and the two largest peaks

identified. The mean ISI between the first peak (~0 – 0.5 s, intraburst intervals) and

second peak (~0.5 – 2 s, interburst intervals) was calculated and used as a cutoff value

to identify LPG bursts, such that an ISI above the cutoff indicated the end of one burst

and the beginning of another. A custom written Spike2 script that identifies LPG bursts

with a duration greater than one pyloric cycle period (from PD burst onset to the next

PD burst onset, ~1 s) was then used to select only LPG gastric mill bursts from all LPG

bursts identified with the ISI cutoff (12).

<u>Software and Statistics</u>

Raw electrophysiology data were analyzed using Clampfit 10.7, as well as

functions and scripts that were written in Spike2 and MATLAB. Graphs were plotted in

SigmaPlot (Systat) or MATLAB and imported into CorelDraw (Corel). All final figures

were created using CorelDraw. Statistical analyses were performed using SigmaPlot or

MATLAB. Data were analyzed for normality using Shapiro-Wilk test before determining

whether to use a parametric or nonparametric test on each dataset. A two-sample

Kolmogorov-Smirnov test was used for integer coupling analysis. Two-way repeated

measures (RM) analysis of variance (ANOVA) and Holm-Sidak post hoc tests for

multiple comparisons were used for synaptic current analyses. Threshold for

significance was $p < 0.05$, except for integer coupling analysis which had a threshold of

$p < 0.007$. All data are presented as mean ± SEM.

<u>Code Accessibility</u>

339   Scripts and functions used for analysis were written in Spike2 and/or MATLAB

340 and are available at https://github.com/blitzdm/Fahoum_Blitz_2023_Synapses or upon

341 request from D.M.B.

Results

The crustacean stomatogastric nervous system (STNS) is a region of the central

nervous system that controls feeding-related movements of the foregut, including the

pyloric (food filtering, ~1 Hz) and gastric mill (food chewing, ~0.1 Hz) rhythms (36, 37)

(Fig. 1A). The STNS is comprised of the paired commissural ganglia (CoG), single

oesophageal ganglion (OG), the single stomatogastric ganglion (STG), and their

connecting and peripheral nerves (Fig. 1A). The STG contains ~30 neurons contributing

to well-characterized CPG networks which drive the pyloric and gastric mill rhythms

(Fig. 1B). Modulatory projection neurons originating in the CoGs and OG project to the

STG where they alter intrinsic properties of individual neurons and/or the synapses

between them to elicit multiple different versions of each rhythm (38–40).

The projection neuron modulatory commissural neuron 5 (MCN5) is located in the

CoG and projects its axons to the STG via the inferior oesophageal and stomatogastric

nerves (*ion* and *stn*, respectively; Fig. 1A). Bath application or neuronal release of the

MCN5 neuropeptide Gly^1-SIFamide increases pyloric frequency (41) and activates the

gastric mill rhythm (12, 28). Furthermore, MCN5 activation or bath application of its

neuropeptide Gly^1-SIFamide (5 µM) switches the LPG neuron from pyloric-only activity

to dual pyloric/gastric mill-timed bursting (fast/slow, respectively) (12, 21, 28). LPG is

active in pyloric time due to electrical coupling to the other pyloric pacemaker neurons

(AB, PD; Fig. 1B) and generates slower, gastric mill-timed bursts due to Gly^1-SIFamide

modulation of LPG intrinsic properties (12, 22). Although the LPG gastric mill-timed

bursting does not require synaptic input from the gastric mill network, these oscillations

are regulated by gastric mill network neurons including being coordinated with the rest

of the gastric mill network. Further, LPG slow bursting regulates LG and dorsal gastric

(DG) neuron activity, and LPG can coordinate gastric mill network neurons (21),

suggesting the presence of synapses between LPG and the gastric mill neurons.

Synapses between LPG and gastric mill network neurons have not been described (Fig.

1B) (42). We hypothesized that Gly[1]-SIFamide modulates synapses between LPG and

LG, IC, and DG to become functional in this particular modulatory state.

<u>Gly[1]-SIFamide modulates synapses between LG and LPG</u>

Among STG neurons, neurotransmitter release is primarily controlled in a graded,

voltage-dependent manner by subthreshold membrane potential oscillations (43–46).

Therefore, we used two-electrode voltage clamp (TEVC) recordings in pairs of neurons

(LPG:LG, LPG:IC, and LPG:DG), in TTX (0.1 µM) to block action potentials, and

examined whether a synaptic current was elicited in response to presynaptic voltage

steps.

We first examined whether there was a graded synapse from LG to LPG. LG was

voltage clamped at -60 mV and stepped from -55 mV to -20 mV in 5 mV increments (5 s

duration, 11 s inter-step interval) and LPG was voltage clamped at -40 mV (Fig. 2A). In

TTX:Saline, there was no apparent synaptic current elicited in LPG, suggesting that

there was no functional synapse from LG to LPG in saline conditions (Fig. 2A, black

traces). When TTX plus Gly[1]-SIFamide (TTX:SIF) was applied to the preparation, an

outward current was evoked during LG voltage steps, with increased amplitude at more

depolarized voltage steps (Fig. 2A, red traces). Additionally, we observed "bumps" in

the LPG synaptic currents, such as in the grey trace, that were similar to transient

currents that we observed between LG voltage steps (Fig. 2A, grey arrows, n = 4/4

preparations). We hypothesized that these "bumps" reflect synaptic input due to Gly[1]-

SIFamide-elicited activity in the DG and/or IC neuron. However, the early outward

current (during the "Early" bracket), which increased in amplitude with greater

depolarization of the LG neuron, was consistently time-locked to the LG voltage steps,

and is thus a synaptic current elicited by depolarization of the LG neuron (Fig. 2A, red

traces). To quantify the LPG response to LG voltage steps, we subtracted the mean

holding current (Baseline) across two seconds immediately prior to the voltage step

from the peak amplitude of LPG current within the first two seconds of the voltage step

(Early) and the last two seconds of the voltage step (Late) (Fig. 2A, blue brackets). LPG

synaptic current was plotted as a function of LG voltage steps for each of the two

measurement time points (Fig. 2Bi-Bii; TTX:Saline black symbols, TTX:SIF red symbols;

each symbol represents one experiment). We used a two-way repeated measures (RM)

ANOVA for statistical analysis with condition (TTX:Saline vs. TTX:SIF), and voltage as

the two main effects. For the LPG synaptic current during the first 2 s, there was a

significant interaction of condition and voltage (Fig. 2Bi) ($F_{[3,7]}$ = 2.92, p = 0.027, Two

Way RM ANOVA; n = 4). Specifically, the peak current amplitude was different between

TTX:Saline and TTX:SIF between -35 and -20 mV, except for -30 mV (Table 1; n = 4).

As a graded synaptic current should express voltage-dependence, we used a

comparison of the measured current between -55 and -20 mV for an indicator of

voltage-dependence. There was no difference in current between these two voltages in

the TTX:Saline condition, but there was a larger current at -20 mV compared to -55 mV

in the TTX:SIF condition (Table 1; n = 4). During the last 2 s of each LG voltage step,

there was also a significant interaction between condition and voltage on the LPG

synaptic current (Fig. 2Bii) ($F_{[3,7]}$ = 5.01, p = 0.002, Two Way RM ANOVA, n = 4).

There was a larger LPG synaptic current during Gly[1]-SIFamide compared to TTX:Saline

during LG depolarizing steps from -35 to -20 mV (Table 1; n = 4). Similar to the first 2 s,

there was no voltage-dependence in TTX:Saline during the last 2 s of the voltage steps,

but there were differences in the synaptic current amplitudes between -55 and -20 mV

during TTX:SIF (Table 1; n = 4). Thus, Gly[1]-SIFamide enhanced a synapse from LG to

LPG, which was dependent upon presynaptic voltage, that was not evident in the

TTX:Saline condition.

We next tested for a synaptic connection from LPG to LG. TTX was applied with

picrotoxin (PTX, 1 µM) to block LG, IC, and DG inhibitory glutamatergic synapses to

examine LPG cholinergic synapses onto LG without complications of chemical

transmission from the other gastric mill neurons that were not voltage clamped. Since

we performed TEVC with only one of the two LPG neurons, LPG was voltage clamped

at -80 mV to eliminate spontaneous bursting activity of the other non-clamped LPG

neuron between voltage steps. The voltage-clamped LPG neuron was stepped from its

holding voltage to -55 to -20 mV in 5 mV increments, or in a subset of experiments to

additional voltage steps ranging from -70 mV to -20 mV (5 s duration, 11 s inter-step

interval) (Fig. 2C). LG was voltage clamped at -40 mV. In saline conditions, during LPG

voltage steps, a series of small amplitude, inward currents were evoked in LG (Fig. 2C,

black traces, black arrows). During application of Gly[1]-SIFamide, there was still an initial

inward current in LG within the first two seconds of each LPG voltage step, but the small

repetitive currents were then summed with a larger amplitude outward current (Fig. 2C,

red traces). The outward current in LG increased with increasing LPG voltage steps,

indicating graded transmitter release (Fig. 2C, red traces).

We quantified LG synaptic current from LPG in the same way as described above at

the Early and Late time points, with LG synaptic current plotted as a function of LPG

voltage steps (Fig. 2Di-Dii). For the peak LG synaptic current during the Early part of the

voltage step, there was no significant interaction of condition and voltage (Fig. 2Di)

$(F_{[3,7]} = 2.267, p = 0.069)$, nor a main effect of voltage $(F_{[3,7]} = 1.61, p = 0.187)$ or

condition $(F_{[3,1]} = 3.95, p = 0.141;$ Two-way RM ANOVA; n = 4). However, during the

Late part of each LPG voltage step, there was a significant interaction between

condition and voltage (Fig. 2Dii) $(F_{[3,7]} = 5.11, p = 0.002,$ Two-way RM ANOVA; n = 4).

Specifically, the peak LG synaptic current amplitude was different between TTX:Saline

and TTX:SIF between -35 and -20 mV (Fig. 2Dii; Table 1; n = 4). Further, the synaptic

current amplitudes were different between -55 and -20 mV in TTX:SIF (Table 1; n = 4)

indicating voltage-dependence, but not during TTX:Saline (Table 1; n = 4). Thus, the

LPG to LG current appeared to have a slower onset than the LG to LPG synaptic

current as there was only a significant increase in current in the Late component of the

LPG voltage step (Fig. 2Dii). In the STNS, cholinergic inhibition has a slower time

course than glutamatergic inhibition (32, 47, 48), aligning with the apparent differences

in timing between the LG- (glutamatergic) and LPG- (cholinergic) elicited synaptic

currents.

Unlike the outward current elicited in LG, the inward current was not voltage

dependent or modulated by Gly[1]-SIFamide. As the inward current summed with the

outward current beyond the beginning of the voltage step, we only quantified its

amplitude during the initial 2 s period. We found no interaction between condition and

voltage $(F_{[1,7]} = 0.34, p = 0.911)$, and no effect of condition $(F_{[1,1]} = 3.25, p = 0.071)$ or

voltage $(F_{[1,7]} = 0.71, p = 0.554;$ Two-way RM ANOVA, n = 4).

<u>Gly[1]-SIFamide modulates synapses between IC and LPG</u>

We next examined whether a graded synapse was present from IC to LPG. Using

the same protocol as above, in TTX:Saline, IC voltage steps elicited a small outward

current during steps from -35 to -20 mV indicating a functional synapse between IC and

LPG in Saline (Fig. 3A, black traces, n = 5/5). When TTX:SIF was applied to the

preparation, a larger outward current was evoked during IC voltage steps, with

increased amplitude at more depolarized voltage steps (Fig. 3A, red traces). Similar to

the LPG synaptic current from LG voltage steps, we observed that the LPG outward

synaptic current had a steep slope as it reached its peak in the first two seconds of IC

voltage steps at the more depolarized voltages (Fig. 3A, red traces). In 5/5 preparations,

we observed "bumps" in LPG synaptic current during IC voltage steps that appeared

similar to those observed during LG voltage steps (Fig. 3A, red trace, grey arrows).

However, these currents occurred only during IC voltage steps, not when IC was held at

-60 mV.

Across preparations, during the Early time point of the LPG synaptic current,

there was a significant interaction of condition and voltage (Fig. 3Bi) ($F_{[4,7]}$ = 3.81, p =

0.005; Two-way RM ANOVA; n = 5). Specifically, the peak LPG current amplitude was

different between TTX:Saline and TTX:SIF between -35 and -20 mV (Table 2; n = 5). In

addition, there was a difference in the LPG synaptic current amplitude between -55 mV

and -20 mV in TTX:SIF, but not during TTX:Saline (Table 2; n = 5). During the Late time

window of each IC voltage step, there was also a significant interaction between

condition and voltage on the LPG synaptic current (Fig. 3Bii) ($F_{(4, 7)}$ = 5.07, p < 0.001;

Two-way RM ANOVA; n = 5). LPG synaptic current was larger during TTX:SIF

compared to TTX:Saline during IC depolarizing voltage steps between -35 and -20 mV

(Table 2; n = 5). Similar to the Early component, there was no voltage dependence in

TTX:Saline during the Late component of the IC voltage steps, but the LPG synaptic

current amplitude was different between -55 mV and -20 mV during TTX:SIF (Table 2; n

= 5). Thus, there were synaptic currents present in the Saline condition, and Gly[1]-

SIFamide enhanced this synapse from IC to LPG.

We also found a modulated synapse in the opposite direction, from LPG to IC. As

explained above, LPG was voltage clamped at -80 mV and stepped from -70 to -20 mV

or from -55 to -20 mV in 5 mV increments (Fig. 3C-D), with PTX included to block

glutamatergic transmission. IC was voltage clamped at -40 mV. In saline conditions, IC

responded to LPG voltage steps by generating a small amplitude, downward peak (Fig.

3C, black traces, black arrows, n = 4/5). During Gly[1]-SIFamide plus TTX:PTX

application, there was still an initial downward response (inward, excitatory current, n =

5/5) in the first two seconds of each LPG voltage step with a subsequent outward

inhibitory current in IC that increased with more depolarized LPG voltage steps,

suggesting that LPG inhibited IC in a graded manner (Fig. 3C, red traces).

Across experiments, there was an increased amplitude of LPG-elicited synaptic

current in IC, with a presynaptic voltage-dependence, in Gly[1]-SIFamide. For the IC

synaptic current in the Early component of each LPG voltage step, there was a

significant interaction of condition and voltage (Fig. 3Di) (F[4,7] = 6.57, p < 0.001; Two-

way RM ANOVA; n = 5). The Early IC synaptic current amplitude was different between

TTX:PTX:Saline and TTX:PTX:SIF from -50 to -20 mV (Table 2; n = 5). Furthermore,

there was a difference in the IC current amplitude at -55 vs. -20 mV in TTX:PTX:SIF, but

not during TTX:PTX:Saline (Table 2; n = 5). During the Late component of LPG voltage

steps, there was also a significant interaction between condition and voltage (Fig. 3Dii)

($F_{[4,7]}$ = 10.75, $p < 0.001$; Two-way RM ANOVA; n = 5). There was a larger IC synaptic

current during TTX:PTX:SIF compared to TTX:PTX:Saline during LPG depolarizing

voltage steps from -55 to -20 mV (Table 2; n = 5). Furthermore, IC synaptic current

amplitude during the Late component displayed voltage dependence during

TTX:PTX:SIF application, but not during TTX:PTX:Saline (-55 vs -20 mV; Table 2; n =

5).

We also measured the peak inward current in IC in saline and Gly[1]-SIFamide

conditions. We found an effect of condition ($F_{[4,1]}$ = 11.85, $p = 0.026$) and LPG voltage

($F_{[4,7]}$ = 7.13, $p < 0.001$), but no interaction between condition and voltage ($F_{[4,7]}$ =

1.28, $p = 0.299$; Two-way RM ANOVA; n = 5). In conclusion, these results suggest that

a voltage dependent inhibitory graded synapse from LPG onto IC was not functional in

baseline condition but was enhanced by Gly[1]-SIFamide. For this pair, there was little

difference in the Early vs. Late components, however in the example traces (Fig. 3C),

the rise time of the IC synaptic current does appear slower than the rise time of the IC to

LPG synapse (Fig. 3A).

<u>Gly[1]-SIFamide modulates synapses between DG and LPG</u>

For the last pair of neurons, DG and LPG, there was no apparent synaptic current

elicited in LPG in an example experiment in TTX:Saline (Fig. 4A, black traces). When

TTX:SIF was applied to the preparation, a small outward current was evoked during DG

voltage steps, but the amplitude did not appear to change with more depolarized

voltage steps (Fig. 4A, red traces). Although small, at more depolarized DG voltages (-

25 to -20 mV), the LPG outward synaptic current reached its peak rapidly (Fig. 4A, red

traces), compared to the example LPG to DG synaptic currents (Fig. 4C).

With one exception, DG to LPG synaptic currents were small relative to those

elicited by IC and LG voltages (Figs. 2-4). Using the same quantification of synaptic

currents as above, we found for the Early LPG synaptic current, there was only an effect

of voltage (Fig. 4Bi) ($F_{[3, 7]}$ = 4.86, p = 0.002, Two-way RM ANOVA; n = 4), such that

the LPG synaptic current amplitude was different between -55 vs. -20 mV during

TTX:SIF, but not during TTX:Saline (Table 3; n = 4). There was no effect of condition

($F_{[3, 1]}$ = 4.58, p = 0.122), nor interaction between condition and voltage on LPG

current during the Early component ($F_{[3, 7]}$ = 0.98, p = 0.475; Two-way RM ANOVA; n

= 4). Similarly, during the Late component of each DG voltage step, there was an effect

of voltage (Fig. 4Bii) ($F_{[3,7]}$ = 6.79, p < 0.001; Two-way RM ANOVA; n = 4), such that

there were differences in LPG synaptic current amplitudes between DG voltage steps to

-55 vs. -20 mV in TTX:SIF, but not during TTX:Saline (Table 3; n = 4). However, there

was no effect of condition ($F_{[3, 1]}$ = 5.34, p = 0.104), nor an interaction between

condition and voltage ($F_{[3, 7]}$ = 1.88, p = 0.132; Two-way RM ANOVA; n = 4). Overall,

although there was no statistical difference between saline and Gly[1]-SIFamide

conditions, there was a voltage-dependent effect on LPG current only during Gly[1]-

SIFamide. This suggests that the Gly[1]-SIFamide peptide did modulate a DG-to-LPG

synapse that was not effective at baseline, however the peptide effects were quite

variable. The effects on the DG to LPG synaptic currents included a quadrupling of

current amplitude (n=1/4), no change in amplitude (n = 1/4) and a small but evident

increase in strength (n = 2/4; Fig. 4A, Bi). We did not explore this variability further.

Lastly, we tested whether a graded synapse from LPG to DG was present. In

TTX:PTX:Saline, DG current did not appear to change relative to baseline current,

suggesting that there was no functional synapse between LPG and DG in saline

conditions (Fig. 4C, black traces). During Gly[1]-SIFamide application, DG generated an

outward inhibitory current that increased with increasing LPG voltage steps, suggesting

that LPG inhibited DG in a graded manner (Fig. 4C, red traces). As in LG and IC, the

LPG-elicited synaptic current in DG had a slower time-course than the DG to LPG

synaptic current.

Quantification and statistical analysis across experiments supported modulation

of an LPG to DG synapses as in the example experiment. For the Early component of

the DG synaptic current, there was a significant interaction of condition and voltage (Fig.

4Di) ($F_{[4, 7]}$ = 4.45, p = 0.002; Two-way RM ANOVA; n = 5). Specifically, the Early DG

synaptic current amplitude was different between TTX:PTX:Saline and TTX:PTX:SIF

between -35 and -20 mV, except for -30 mV (Table 3; n = 5). Furthermore, there was a

difference in the DG synaptic current amplitude at -55 vs. -20 mV in TTX:PTX:SIF, but

not during TTX:PTX:Saline (Table 3; n = 5). During the Late component of each LPG

voltage step, there was also a significant interaction of condition and voltage (Fig. 4Dii)

($F_{[4, 7]}$ = 6.49, p < 0.001; Two-way RM ANOVA; n = 5). There was a larger DG synaptic

current during TTX:PTX:SIF compared to TTX:PTX:Saline during LPG depolarizing

steps from -40 to -20 mV (Table 3; n = 5). Additionally, voltage dependence of the DG

synaptic current amplitudes was evident during TTX:PTX:SIF, but not during

TTX:PTX:Saline (-55 vs. -20 mV; Table 3; n = 5). Thus, Gly[1]-SIFamide enhanced a

synapse from LPG to DG, which was dependent upon presynaptic voltage, that was not

functional in the TTX:PTX:Saline condition.

Collectively, our voltage clamp experiments indicated that there are graded

synapses, which are primarily ineffective in TTX:Saline, that are modulated to become

effective, or to become stronger (IC to LPG synapse), during Gly[1]-SIFamide application.

In some modulatory conditions, graded transmission can be sufficient for CPG neurons

to generate coordinated network oscillations in the absence of spike-mediated

transmission (43, 46, 49). We tested whether graded transmission is also sufficient to

drive the Gly[1]-SIFamide gastric mill rhythm in the absence of action potentials.

In normal saline conditions, recording from the neurons in current-clamp mode, only

the pyloric rhythm was active, with LPG and PD (*pdn* recording) generating pyloric-

timed bursting activity (Fig. 5A, left). During application of Gly[1]-SIFamide (5 µM), there

was a coordinated gastric mill rhythm between LPG, LG, IC, and DG neurons (Fig. 5A,

right) (12, 21, 28). When spike-mediated transmission was blocked by eliminating action

potential generation with TTX (0.1 µM) (Fig. 5B), the neurons exhibited stable resting

membrane potentials without oscillations. However, during TTX plus Gly[1]-SIFamide

application (5 µM), LPG, LG, IC, and DG generated coordinated gastric mill-timed

oscillations (Fig. 5B, right; n = 2). There was coordination of both pyloric (grey lines) and

gastric mill-timed (e.g., shaded box) oscillations between the neurons (Fig. 5B, right).

Inhibitory relationships are suggested, for example, in the most hyperpolarized

membrane potentials in DG occurring during the most depolarized membrane potentials

in LPG (Fig. 5B, dotted box). Thus, graded transmission was sufficient to mediate

coordinated gastric mill network activity in the Gly^1-SIFamide modulatory state. These

experiments plus our previous study (21) demonstrated that LPG and the LG, IC, DG

group of gastric mill neurons contribute to coordination of gastric mill bursting in the

Gly^1-SIFamide modulatory state.

Our voltage-clamp analysis focused on whether there was modulation of

bidirectional synapses between LPG and each of the other gastric mill neurons, as we

were particularly interested in how well the switching neuron LPG is integrated into the

gastric mill network in the Gly^1-SIFamide modulatory condition. However, the triphasic

pattern of the Gly^1-SIFamide gastric mill rhythm requires chemical synapses from some

or all of the LG, IC, and DG gastric mill neurons (21). Voltage-clamp analysis of all

synaptic combinations is a daunting task and we instead turned to entrainment

experiments to quantify the relative ability of each gastric mill neuron to regulate gastric

mill-timed activity of each of the other gastric mill neurons in the intact network.

<u>Entrainment</u>
To examine the ability of gastric mill neurons to regulate gastric mill bursting of

the other neurons, we injected rhythmic depolarizing current into each entraining neuron

(LG, IC, DG, or LPG [both copies]) across a range of burst frequencies (0.03 – 0.12 Hz;

see Methods) and quantified its ability to entrain the burst frequency of the other

neurons (Figs. 6 – 8; Supplemental Figs. S1 – S9).

<u>LG entrainment of IC, DG, and LPG</u>

We first examined whether LG could entrain IC, DG, and LPG neurons in Gly^1-

SIFamide (5 µM, Fig. 6). In an example experiment, prior to any manipulations, LG was

bursting at approximately 0.03 Hz and IC, DG, and LPG were bursting in a relatively

coordinated manner (Fig. 6Ai-Ci, "No Entrainment"). For clarity, for all entrainment

frequencies presented, we use upward arrowheads to indicate the onset of each

entrained neuron burst and only show recordings of the test neurons. When LG was

stimulated with an entrainment frequency of 0.06 Hz (upward arrowheads), IC

generated a slow burst with each LG stimulation (Fig. 6Aii, boxes). As LG entrainment

frequency was increased, IC continued to generate 1-2 slow bursts within each LG

stimulation cycle, with two slow IC bursts triggered by an LG stimulation more frequently

at higher entrainment frequencies (Fig. 6Aii). IC bursts in both pyloric- and gastric mill-

time. IC gastric mill bursts were identified as previously described (Fig. 6Aii, boxes; see

Methods) (21). DG bursts overlapped with LG bursts in the No Entrainment condition,

except for one LG cycle, where DG burst twice within the cycle (Fig. 6Bi, No

Entrainment, dotted line). However, when the LG entrainment frequency was increased

from 0.06 Hz to 0.09 Hz, DG generated one burst for every two LG cycles (Fig. 6Bii). At

0.12 Hz entrainment frequency, DG burst frequency slowed further, such that DG

generated one burst for every four LG cycles (Fig. 6Bii). Thus, DG was coordinated with

LG at higher entrainment frequencies but not in a 1:1 relationship. Finally, for LG and

LPG slow bursting, without entrainment, LPG typically generated one burst per LG

cycle, except for one cycle where LPG generated two slow bursts (Fig. 6Ci, No

Entrainment). As the LG entrainment frequency was increased from 0.06 Hz to 0.12 Hz,

LPG appeared to maintain 1:1 coordinated slow bursting (Fig. 6Cii). At 0.12 Hz LG

entrainment frequency, a 1:1 relationship between LPG and LG persisted, although the

relative timing between each LPG burst and the onset of the LG bursts was less

consistent than at lower entrainment frequencies (Fig. 6Cii, 0.12Hz). LG does regulate

LPG slow burst cycle period (21). The example experiment shown indicates that LG

was able to entrain LPG within a range of frequencies (0.06 – 0.09 Hz), whereas above

that, LG regulated the LPG cycle period but was not able to entrain LPG bursting.

Across preparations, to determine whether IC, DG, and LPG neurons were

entrained to the LG entrainment frequencies, we plotted the instantaneous burst

frequencies (1/time between two consecutive bursts) against LG entrainment frequency

for all gastric mill-timed bursting, across all preparations (Fig. 6Aiii-Ciii). Each color

represents one preparation. For LG and IC, the data points from each preparation

clustered mostly on the solid diagonal line which indicates a 1:1 relationship of LG and

IC bursting (Fig. 6Aiii). For instance, at 0.06 Hz, 0.075 Hz, and 0.09 Hz there are a total

of 71, 48, and 71 cycles plotted, respectively. However, these data points are largely

overlapping due to their entrainment by LG at these frequencies (Fig. 6Aiii; n = 3 – 7

preparations per frequency; see Table 4 for numbers of cycles at each frequency). At

some frequencies, in some preparations, IC did not burst in a 1:1 manner, e.g., 0.06 Hz

and 0.12 Hz (Fig. 6Aiii). Diagonal lines indicate other modes of entrainment in which the

test neuron burst frequency was a multiple (or fraction) of the entrainment frequency

(Fig. 6Aiii, dashed diagonal lines, see Methods). The addition of these lines enabled us

to determine whether the data points not clustered on the 1:1 diagonal line were still

entrained to the LG frequency by clustering at another entrainment mode, or perhaps

not entrained if spread between entrainment modes. For instance, at 0.06 Hz, data

points for instantaneous IC burst frequencies clustered primarily near the 1:1 line (65/71

data points). However, the additional points fell near the 1:2 (2/71), 4:1 (1/71), or 5:1

(3/71) lines, indicating that even if it was not a 1:1 relationship for every IC burst, IC

activity was linked to that of LG and therefore was entrained by LG at 0.06 Hz.

Conversely, at 0.12 Hz, while some data points fell on integer lines, many fell between

them, indicating that IC was not readily entrained by LG at this frequency (Fig. 6Aiii).

For LG and DG, there was a range of instantaneous DG burst frequencies at

each LG entrainment frequency (Fig. 6Biii; n = 2-7, Table 4). Unlike IC, DG was not

locked to primarily one mode of entrainment. For example, in one preparation (Fig. 6Bii,

light blue data points), DG was entrained 1:1 at 0.05 Hz, but at other entrainment

frequencies DG exhibited a 1:2 (0.06 – 0.09 Hz) or 1:3 (0.09 – 0.12 Hz) relationship to

LG bursting. Overall, LG to DG entrainment appeared to be weaker than LG to IC, e.g.,

note the spread of points between entrainment lines for an entrainment frequency of

0.06 Hz (Fig. 6Biii). However, it was a relatively small number of points (7/35 data

points) that were spread between entrainment mode lines, the remainder of the data

points clustered near 1:1 (12/35 data points), 1:2 (10/35), and 1:3 (6/35) lines. Thus, LG

had some ability to entrain DG, although not always in a 1:1 relationship (Fig. 6Biii).

For LG and LPG slow bursting, we observed a range of instantaneous LPG slow

burst frequencies at most entrainment frequencies, except at 0.075 and 0.09 Hz (Fig.

6Ciii, n = 2-8, Table 4). Although some data points fell on entrainment mode lines, many

points, across multiple experiments, were spread between entrainment modes between

0.03 and 0.06 Hz, and for 0.12 Hz (Fig. 6Ciii). However, at 0.075 and 0.09 Hz, LPG data

points clustered on the 1:1 entrainment mode, with little variability, suggesting that LG

can entrain LPG within a limited frequency range (Fig. 6Ciii). While these plots enabled

a qualitative assessment of entrainment, we pursued a quantitative analysis as well.

Quantification of LG Entrainment of IC, DG, and LPG

To quantify entrainment between LG and IC, DG, and LPG, we collapsed the

data points at each entrainment frequency into a single value using a previously

described approach to quantify integer coupling relationships, e.g., 1:1, 2:1, etc., for

entrainment neuron/test neuron burst frequencies (35). Specifically, we first calculated

the ratio between each instantaneous neuron burst frequency and its respective LG

entrainment frequency and then used the significands (value to the right of the decimal)

from each set of ratios (i.e., IC/LG, DG/LG, LPG/LG) at each entrainment frequency to

generate a cumulative probability distribution (c.d.f.) of significands (Fig. 6D;

Supplemental Fig. S1). Perfect integer coupling would result in all significands being

close to 0 or 1. However, if there was no relationship between entrainment frequency

and test neuron burst frequency, then the significands would be distributed relatively

evenly between 0 and 1, resulting in a c.d.f. close to a diagonal line (y = x) (Fig. 6D, red

diagonal line) (35). For the example entrainment frequency of 0.06 Hz, c.d.f.s of

significands (bin size 0.01) for each of the test neurons are overlaid (Fig. 6D; IC, black;

DG, dark grey; LPG, light grey; remaining entrainment frequency c.d.f.s in Supplemental

Fig. S1). The blue dotted line indicates an extreme in which 50% of a theoretical

significand distribution is 0 and 50% is 1, indicating an example of perfect entrainment

(Fig. 6D, blue dotted line). In the c.d.f. for IC significands (black) ~70 % of significands

were less than ~0.1 (Fig. 6D, black shape). This shape reflects the clustering of IC

instantaneous burst frequency around 1:1 coupling at 0.06 Hz entrainment in the scatter

plot (Fig. 6Aiii). The c.d.f. of DG significands indicates that fewer were close to 0 or 1

(Fig. 6D, dark grey). This reflects the spread of some DG data points between

entrainment modes at 0.06 Hz in the scatter plot (Fig. 6Biii). The c.d.f. of LPG

significands indicated even weaker integer coupling at 0.06 Hz entrainment frequency,

with the distribution being more similar to the diagonal line, demonstrating a relatively

uniform distribution between 0 and 1 (Fig. 6D, light grey). This reflects the large spread

of LPG data points between entrainment modes at 0.06 Hz (Fig. 6Ciii). Qualitatively, the

c.d.f. histograms suggest that LG entrains IC more strongly than DG but does not

entrain LPG at 0.06 Hz (Fig. 6D). Consistent with the scatter plots (Fig. 6Ciii), the c.d.f.s

for LG to LPG entrainment at 0.075 and 0.09 indicate stronger integer coupling

(Supplemental Fig. S1).

Once the c.d.f. for each test neuron, at each entrainment frequency (Fig. 6D;

Supplemental Fig. S1), was determined, we calculated an overall measure of the extent

of integer coupling at each entrainment frequency by calculating the area between each

c.d.f. histogram (test neuron coupling) and the diagonal line (no integer coupling) (Fig.

6D, shaded regions). These area measurements for each neuron were plotted against

entrainment frequency, including from the example c.d.f.s for 0.06 Hz in figure 6D (Fig.

6E, downward arrow/dotted line). The dashed line at y = 0.25 indicates an example of

perfect integer coupling, where test neuron burst frequency is equal to the entrainment

neuron frequency, i.e., the area between the blue dotted line and the red diagonal line in

figure 6D (35). To determine whether the c.d.f. for each neuron at each entrainment

frequency was significantly different from a uniform distribution (i.e., the diagonal line),

we used a two-sample Kolmogorov-Smirnov test to compare each c.d.f. to a uniform

distribution. A corrected significance level of 0.007 (see Methods) for the comparison

between test neuron c.d.f. and a uniform distribution was used as the criterion for

entrainment at a particular frequency. Results of this statistical analysis is indicated

above each entrainment frequency for each neuron (Fig. 6E). For instance, the area

between the IC c.d.f. and the diagonal line at 0.03 Hz entrainment frequency was

approximately 0.45 but the IC c.d.f. area decreased at higher entrainment frequencies

(Fig. 6E, black line/symbols). Specifically, LG-to-IC integer coupling, and thus

entrainment, occurred between 0.03 – 0.09 Hz, but not at 0.12 Hz (Fig. 6E; Table 5).

Although the area between c.d.f. and the diagonal line for DG was greater at an

entrainment frequency of 0.05 and 0.075 Hz, than at other frequencies (Fig. 6E, dark

grey line/circles), DG was entrained by LG at all entrainment frequencies (Table 5).

Finally, the area for LPG was below 0.25 across frequencies but was non-zero (Fig. 6E,

light grey line/circles) and this area measurement was different from the uniform

distribution at all entrainment frequencies except 0.06 Hz (Table 5). Overall, these

results indicate that IC, DG, and LPG were integer coupled to and entrained by LG to

some extent, supporting our voltage-clamp analysis that there was a functional synapse

between these neurons in Gly[1]-SIFamide.

<u>Entrainment and integer coupling among the other gastric mill neurons</u>

For the remainder of the neurons, we focused on the quantification afforded by

generating c.d.f.s of significands at each entrainment frequency, and measuring the

area between the test neuron c.d.f. and a uniform distribution (no coupling). The

complete assessment of the ability of IC, DG, and LPG to entrain the other neurons,

including individual data points as in figure 6 are provided in Supplemental Figs. S2-S9.

Overall, we found that all neurons (LG, IC, DG, LPG) could entrain all other neurons, at

least at some entrainment frequencies, however the extent of entrainment was variable

(Figs. 6 – 8, Supplemental Figs. S1 - S9).

At an example IC entrainment frequency of 0.06 Hz (additional c.d.f.s in

Supplemental Fig. S3), IC most effectively entrained LPG (Fig. 7Ai, black) with ~60% of

LPG/IC significands being less than 0.1 (Fig. 7Ai; n = 3-9, Table 4). The c.d.f.s for DG

(dark grey) and LG (light grey) were closer to the diagonal line, with ~25% and ~20% of

significands less than 0.1, respectively (Fig. 7Ai). The c.d.f. of significands for IC/LG

(Fig. 7Ai, light grey) was fairly close to the uniform distribution (red diagonal line). In

fact, using the c.d.f.s compared to a uniform distribution, IC entrained LG at 0.04, 0.06,

and 0.12 Hz, but not at 0.05, 0.075, or 0.09 Hz (Fig. 7Aii; Table 5). LG appeared better

able to entrain IC as the LG/IC area measurements were farther away from zero

(compare Fig. 6D and Fig. 7Aii). IC entrained DG from 0.04 – 0.12 Hz, but not at 0.03

Hz (Fig. 7Aii, dark grey circles and line; Table 5). Finally, LPG was entrained by IC at all

entrainment frequencies (Fig. 7Aii; Table 5; Supplemental Figs. S2-S3). Thus, LG, DG,

and LPG were all integer coupled to and entrained by IC at some entrainment

frequencies, also supporting the presence of functional synapses from IC to LG, DG,

and LPG in Gly[1]-SIFamide.

The DG neuron is the most likely to be uncoordinated with the other gastric mill

neurons in the Gly[1]-SIFamide gastric mill rhythm (21, 28). Despite this, stimulating DG

across a range of entrainment frequencies revealed some degree of control over the

burst frequency of the other neurons. For example, DG entrainment of LG and IC at an

entrainment frequency of 0.06 Hz, was evident in the ~75-80% of significands that were

less than 0.1 for LG and IC, while there were ~35% of significands less than 0.1 for LPG

(Fig. 7Bi, shaded regions, LG (black); IC (dark grey); LPG (light grey) (additional c.d.f.s

in Supplemental Fig. S5). The area between each c.d.f. and the diagonal line indicated

that integer coupling was variable for DG to LG (black), IC (dark grey), and LPG (light

grey) (Fig. 7Bii). LG and IC were entrained to DG at 0.03 and 0.05 – 0.12 Hz, but not

entrained at 0.04 Hz (Fig. 7Bii; Table 5). LPG was entrained by DG at 0.04 – 0.06 and

0.09 Hz, but not entrained at 0.03, 0.075, or 0.12 Hz entrainment frequencies (Fig. 7Bii,

Table 5; Supplemental Figs. S4-S5). The entrainment results correlate with the voltage-

clamp analysis in that the DG to LPG synapse was weaker and more variable than LG

or IC to LPG synapses (Figs. 2-4).

In the Gly[1]-SIFamide modulatory state, LPG more strongly entrained the DG

neuron compared to IC and LG. In the c.d.f. histogram for DG at a 0.06 Hz entrainment

frequency, ~45% of significands were less than 0.1, and the area between DG c.d.f. and

the diagonal was 0.21 (Fig. 8Ai-ii, black shaded region and black data point at

downward arrow, respectively). Based on calculations of area between the c.d.f.s and

the diagonal line of no entrainment (Fig. 8Ai-ii; Supplemental Figs. S6-S7), LG was

entrained by LPG at 0.03, 0.075, and 0.09 Hz, but not at 0.04 – 0.06 or 0.12 Hz (Fig.

8Aii; Table 6). DG was entrained by LPG at all entrainment frequencies (Fig. 8Aii; Table

6) and IC was entrained by LPG only at 0.075 and 0.09 Hz, and not at the other

frequencies (Fig. 8Aii; Table 6; Supplemental Figs. S6-S7).

LPG can coordinate IC, LG, and DG during Gly[1]-SIFamide application when all other

synapses are blocked. However, LPG was not necessary for coordinating these

neurons when other chemical synapses among the gastric mill neurons were intact (21),

suggesting overlapping functions of synaptic connections, which might interfere with

measurements of the ability of LPG to entrain the other neurons. Thus, we performed

an additional set of experiments to assess the ability of LPG to entrain LG, IC, and DG

neurons in the presence of Gly[1]-SIFamide (SIF), plus picrotoxin (PTX, 10^{-5} M; Fig. 8Bi-

ii). As noted above, PTX application blocks glutamatergic inhibitory synapses, isolating

LPG from the glutamatergic LG, IC, and DG gastric mill neurons, but LPG cholinergic

transmission is not blocked by PTX (21, 30, 32, 50). Test neuron c.d.f.s in SIF:PTX (Fig.

8Bi) appeared larger than in Gly[1]-SIFamide only (Fig. 8Ai). In SIF:PTX the c.d.f.

histograms for LG (dark grey), IC (light grey), and DG (black) had ~50-70% of

significands that were less than 0.1 at 0.06 Hz entrainment frequency (Fig. 8Bi, shaded

regions). Across all entrainment frequencies, most c.d.f.s appeared to indicate greater

entrainment in SIF:PTX compared to Gly[1]-SIFamide alone (Supplemental Figs. S7 vs.

S9). Quantitatively, with glutamatergic synapses blocked (SIF:PTX), LPG entrained DG

between 0.04 – 0.12 Hz, but not at 0.03 Hz (Fig. 8Bii; Table 6). LPG was already able to

entrain DG from 0.04 – 0.12 Hz in Gly[1]-SIFamide (Fig. 8Aii), but data was not obtained

at 0.03 Hz in this condition. However, for LG and IC, which were entrained by LPG from

0.04 – 0.12 Hz in Gly[1]-SIFamide (Fig. 8Aii), in SIF:PTX LPG entrained them at all

frequencies (Fig. 8Bii, LG, dark grey line/circles; IC, light grey line/circles) (Fig. 8Bii;

Table 6; Supplemental Figs. S8-S9). Thus, it appears likely that synaptic actions from

LG, IC, and/or DG in Gly[1]-SIFamide interfere with the ability of LPG to control LG and IC

bursting. Because only cholinergic LPG synapses were active in SIF:PTX, our

entrainment assay indicates direct functional synapses from LPG onto LG, IC, and DG

neurons in the Gly^1-SIFamide modulatory state, in alignment with our voltage-clamp

findings (Figs. 2-4).

<u>Discussion</u>

In this study we determined that there are functional, bidirectional synapses between

LPG and gastric mill network neurons LG, IC, and DG in the modulatory state elicited by

the neuropeptide Gly^1-SIFamide. As revealed by two electrode voltage-clamp

recordings, most of the synaptic connections are ineffective in saline conditions, but are

enhanced by Gly^1-SIFamide (Fig. 9). Additionally, we found that each neuron, including

the switching neuron LPG, is capable of entraining other neurons in the network, within

a physiological frequency range during the Gly^1-SIFamide modulatory state. Thus, in

addition to modulating intrinsic properties of LPG, thereby enabling dual frequency

oscillations (21, 22), the neuropeptide Gly^1-SIFamide enables previously ineffective

synapses to become a functional pathway for a switching neuron to both be influenced

by, and to influence, neurons in a network to which it transiently belongs.

<u>Modulation of Internetwork Synapses</u>

Neuromodulator regulation of synaptic strength contributes to CPG flexibility (39,

51). In particular, neuropeptide modulation of synapses occurs throughout nervous

systems with both pre- and postsynaptic sites of action (52–57). Modulator-elicited

enhancement of internetwork synapses can serve as the sole mechanism by which a

neuron is both recruited to generate activity at a second frequency, and coordinated

with other neurons (6, 8, 20). In the saline state, LPG activity is pyloric due to electrical

coupling with the pyloric pacemaker ensemble (12, 58). Gly[1]-SIFamide modulates

multiple ionic currents to switch LPG into dual pyloric and gastric mill-timed bursting (12,

22). However, we found that in saline, among gastric mill neurons and LPG, there was

only a weak synapse from IC onto LPG. Thus, modulation of intrinsic properties is not

sufficient to coordinate LPG with other gastric mill neurons. The ability of Gly[1]-SIFamide

to also enhance inhibitory connections between LPG and gastric mill neurons LG, IC,

and DG, explain the ability of LPG and LG, IC, and DG to entrain each other (this study)

and to generate a coordinated rhythm (21, 28), despite being functionally disconnected

in saline conditions (Fig. 9A). Thus, parallel modulatory actions impact a switching

neuron such that (1) modulation of intrinsic properties enables generation of rhythmic

activity at a second frequency, and (2) modulation of synaptic properties between

switching and network neurons enables coordination within the second network.

Regardless of whether synaptic modulation occurs in isolation or with modulation

of intrinsic properties, network output is determined by complex interactions between

both sets of properties (59). Such interactions may explain the diversity of entrainment

we observed. Most simply, entrainment is 1:1 coordination between two neurons or

rhythmic patterns, with test neuron frequency equal to entrainment frequency (60–63).

While 1:1 entrainment among LPG, LG, IC, and DG occurred (notably from LG to IC),

we also observed other coordination patterns. For instance, regardless of the

entrainment neuron, DG exhibited some 1:2 entrainment (one DG burst for every two

entrainment neuron bursts). In addition to LPG, DG is an endogenous burster in Gly[1]-

SIFamide (21). Slower DG bursting at higher entrainment frequencies suggests that

rhythmic synaptic inhibition may not be able to overcome the slower ionic currents

underlying endogenous DG bursting, reinforcing the combined contributions of synaptic

and intrinsic properties to network output.

Although communication was bidirectional between all pairs, differences in

synaptic properties were observed. For example, LPG cholinergic output synapses onto

the gastric mill neurons have a slower time course than glutamatergic synapses onto

LPG, as do cholinergic versus glutamatergic synapses within the pyloric network (47,

48). Pharmacological block of glutamatergic transmission makes it likely that the LPG to

DG synapse is direct. However, determining direct connections is difficult, even in small

networks due to the complicating factor of highly prevalent electrical coupling, common

across networks (64–66). For example, LPG-elicited synaptic currents in LG and IC are

difficult to interpret due to LG/IC electrical coupling. Identifying direct synapses among

gastric mill neurons would require photoinactivation to selectively eliminate subsets of

neurons (47).

In addition to chemical synapses, properties of electrical synapses among gastric

mill neurons are likely important in the Gly[1]-SIFamide rhythm. During Gly[1]-SIFamide,

LG/IC gastric mill-timed bursting is typically coincident (21), likely due to electrical

coupling (64). However, while LG entrained IC in a mostly 1:1 fashion across most

frequencies, IC only entrained LG at half of the frequencies. We speculate this

difference is due to either rectifying electrical coupling, where positive current flows

better from LG to IC, or an inhibitory synapse from IC to LG (67) that is stronger than

LG/IC electrical coupling. Rectification promotes CPG flexibility by enabling differential

modulatory actions on coupled neurons, and may be important for neuronal switching

between networks (11, 68–70). For instance, rectifying LPG/PD electrical coupling (58)

may be important for enabling LPG switching into gastric mill timing (S-RH Fahoum, F

Nadim, and DM Blitz, unpublished observations). Similarly, LG/IC rectification could

enable IC recruitment from pyloric-only to dual pyloric/gastric mill timing, while

preventing LG from being pulled into pyloric-timed activity. Thus, rectification can play

an important role in maintaining network distinctions, even as some neurons are

recruited to participate in secondary networks.

<u>Functional Implications of Synaptic Modulation</u>

Synaptic modulation is a common mechanism for regulating the relative timing of

neurons, and thus the particular activity pattern, although modulation of ionic currents

that alter responses to synaptic input can also be important for patterning network

output (1, 59, 71, 72). Other gastric mill rhythm versions are biphasic (73–75), whereas

the Gly[1]-SIFamide-elicited rhythm has a third phase, during which endogenous LPG

slow bursting is out of phase with the other neurons ((21). Inhibitory synapses from LPG

to LG, IC, and DG likely ensure the offset between LPG and the other neurons, while

synapses between LG, IC, and DG (Fig. 9B) are likely important for maintaining offsets

in their timing. In fact, the rhythm collapses into two phases (LG/IC/DG co-active and

alternating with LPG) when glutamatergic synapses are blocked via PTX, leaving only

cholinergic LPG synapses functional (21). Additionally, LPG regulates LG and DG

neuron firing frequency in Gly[1]-SIFamide (21). Collectively, these results emphasize the

full incorporation of an endogenously bursting switching neuron, such that it can

contribute to pattern generation in a second network.

Spike-mediated and graded neurotransmission play complementary roles at

vertebrate and invertebrate synapses and are both subject to neuromodulation (55, 76–

81). In the STNS, spike-mediated and graded transmission occur, but graded

transmission is dominant and can be sufficient to generate fully coordinated pyloric

rhythms under some modulatory states (43, 44, 46). Here we determined that a

coordinated gastric mill rhythm can occur without spike-mediated transmission in the

Gly^1-SIFamide state. However, whether rhythm generating mechanisms differ for Gly^1-

SIFamide compared to other gastric-mill rhythm activators, and whether they require

spike-mediated transmission is unknown (75, 82). Rhythm generation of episodic

behaviors (e.g., chewing, locomotion) typically relies on intra-network synapses, as

opposed to pacemaker neurons generating continuously active rhythms (e.g., pyloric,

respiratory) (1, 83). In other gastric mill rhythm versions, reciprocal inhibition between

the LG and Int1 neurons forms the core rhythm generator. Gly^1-SIFamide elicits

endogenous bursting in LPG and DG, but IC and LG cannot generate rhythmic activity

in the absence of chemical synapses in Gly^1-SIFamide (12, 21, 22). Thus, in addition to

coordinating endogenous oscillations of the LPG switching neuron plus DG with other

network neurons, the modulated graded synapses are likely necessary for rhythmic

activity in at least some of the network neurons.

<u>Conclusions</u>

There is increasing evidence from small- to large-scale, and local to long-

distance, that neuromodulators can rapidly alter network composition based on sensory

information, a required behavior, or required coordination between multiple behaviors

(14–17, 19, 84–86). Our results indicate that parallel modulation of intrinsic and synaptic

properties of a switching neuron can mediate recruiting a neuron into generating

rhythmic activity at a second frequency (intrinsic properties) and coordinating those

oscillations with other neurons in the network (synaptic properties), potentially providing

additional flexibility under different behavioral states. It is also possible that the

combined influence of parallel actions is necessary based on the particular complement

of intrinsic and synaptic properties that must be overcome for a switching neuron to

"escape" from its home network.

SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIAL

Supplemental Figs. S1–S9:

https://figshare.com/articles/figure/Supplemental_Synapses_pdf/25559007

References

References

1.   **Bucher D**, **Haspel G**, **Golowasch J**, **Nadim F**. Central pattern generators. In:
eLS. John Wiley & Sons, Ltd: Chichester, 2015.

2.   **Grillner S**, **Kozlov A**. The cpgs for limbed locomotion–facts and fiction. *Intern J of*
Molec Sci 22, 2021. doi: 10.3390/ijms22115882.

3.   **Leiras R**, **Cregg JM**, **Kiehn O**. Brainstem circuits for locomotion. *Ann Rev*
Neurosci 45: 63–85, 2022. doi: 10.1146/ANNUREV-NEURO-082321-025137.

4.   **Doi A**, **Ramirez JM**. Neuromodulation and the orchestration of the respiratory
rhythm. *Respir Physiol Neurobiol* 164: 96–104, 2008. doi:
10.1016/J.RESP.2008.06.007.

5.   **Devineni A V.**, **Scaplen KM**. Neural circuits underlying behavioral flexibility:
Insights from Drosophila. *Front Behav Neurosci* 15, 2022. doi:
10.3389/FNBEH.2021.821680.

6.   **Hooper SL**, **Moulins M**. Cellular and synaptic mechanisms responsible for a
long-lasting restructuring of the lobster pyloric network. *J Neurophysiol* 64: 1574,
1990. doi: 10.1152/jn.1990.64.5.1574.

7.   **Weimann JM**, **Meyrand P**, **Marder E**. Neurons that form multiple pattern
generators: Identification and multiple activity patterns of gastric/pyloric neurons in
the crab stomatogastric system. *J Neurophysiol* 65: 111–122, 1991. doi:
10.1152/jn.1991.65.1.111.

8.   **Meyrand P**, **Simmers J**, **Moulins M**. Dynamic construction of a neural network
from multiple pattern generators in the lobster stomatogastric nervous system. *J*
Neurosci 14: 630–644, 1994.

9.   **Dickinson PS**. Interactions among neural networks for behavior. *Curr Opin*
Neurobiol 5: 792–798, 1995. doi: 10.1016/0959-4388(95)80108-1.

10.   **Tryba AK**, **Peña F**, **Lieske SP**, **Viemari J-C**, **Thoby-Brisson M**, **Ramirez J-M**.
Differential modulation of neural network and pacemaker activity underlying
eupnea and sigh-breathing activities. *J Neurophysiol* 99: 2114–2125, 2008. doi:
10.1152/jn.01192.2007.

11.   **Drion G**, **Franci A**, **Sepulchre R**. Cellular switches orchestrate rhythmic circuits.
Biol Cybern 113: 71–82, 2019. doi: 10.1007/s00422-018-0778-6.

12.   **Fahoum S-RH**, **Blitz DM**. Neuronal switching between single- and dual-network
activity via modulation of intrinsic membrane properties. *J Neurosci* 41: 7848–
7863, 2021. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0286-21.2021.

13.   **Buzsáki G**, **Draguhn A**. Neuronal oscillations in cortical networks. *Science* 304:
1926–1930, 2004.

14. **Bouret S**, **Sara SJ**. Network reset: a simplified overarching theory of locus
coeruleus noradrenaline function. *TINS* 28: 574–582, 2005. doi:
10.1016/J.TINS.2005.09.002.

15. **Kopell NJ**, **Gritton HJ**, **Whittington MA**, **Kramer MA**. Beyond the connectome:
The dynome. *Neuron* 83: 1319–1328, 2014. doi: 10.1016/j.neuron.2014.08.016.

16. **Rangel LM**, **Rueckemann JW**, **Riviere PD**, **Keefe KR**, **Porter BS**, **Heimbuch IS**,
Budlong CH, **Eichenbaum H**. Rhythmic coordination of hippocampal neurons
during associative memory processing. *Elife* 5: 1–24, 2016. doi:
10.7554/eLife.09849.

17. **van den Brink RL**, **Pfeffer T**, **Donner TH**. Brainstem Modulation of Large-Scale
Intrinsic Cortical Activity Correlations. *Front Hum Neurosci* 13, 2019. doi:
10.3389/FNHUM.2019.00340.

18. **Higley MJ**, **Cardin JA**. Spatiotemporal dynamics in large-scale cortical networks.
Curr Opin Neurobiol 77: 1–7, 2022. doi: 10.1016/j.conb.2022.102627.

19. **Lohani S**, **Moberly AH**, **Benisty H**, **Landa B**, **Jing M**, **Li Y**, **Higley MJ**, **Cardin**
JA. Spatiotemporally heterogeneous coordination of cholinergic and neocortical
activity. *Nat Neurosci* 25: 1706–1713, 2022. doi: 10.1038/s41593-022-01202-6.

20. **Dickinson PS**, **Mecsas C**, **Marder E**. Neuropeptide fusion of two motor-pattern
generator circuits. *Nature* 344: 155–158, 1990. doi: 10.1038/344155A0.

21. **Fahoum S-RH**, **Blitz DM**. Switching neuron contributions to second network
activity. *J Neurophysiol* 131: 417–434, 2024. doi: 10.1152/JN.00373.2023.

22. **Snyder RR**, **Blitz DM**. Multiple intrinsic membrane properties are modulated in a
switch from single- to dual-network activity. *J Neurophysiol* 128: 1181–1198,
2022. doi: 10.1152/JN.00337.2022.

23. **Gutierrez GJ**, **Grashow RG**. *Cancer borealis* stomatogastric nervous system
dissection. *J Vis Exp* 23: 1–5, 2009. doi: 10.3791/1207.

24. **Hooper SL**, **Thuma JB**, **Guschlbauer C**, **Schmidt J**, **Büschges A**. Cell dialysis
by sharp electrodes can cause nonphysiological changes in neuron properties. *J*
Neurophysiol 114: 1255–1271, 2015. doi: 10.1152/jn.01010.2014.

25. **Huybrechts J**, **Nusbaum MP**, **Bosch L Vanden**, **Baggerman G**, **De Loof A**,
Schoofs L. Neuropeptidomic analysis of the brain and thoracic ganglion from the
Jonah crab, *Cancer borealis*. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* 308: 535–544,
2003. doi: 10.1016/S0006-291X(03)01426-8.

26. **Yasuda A**, **Yasuda-Kamatani Y**, **Nozaki M**, **Nakajima T**. Identification of
GYRKPPFNGSIFamide (crustacean-SIFamide) in the crayfish *Procambarus*
clarkii by topological mass spectrometry analysis. *Gen and Comp Endocrinol* 135:
391–400, 2004. doi: 10.1016/j.ygcen.2003.10.001.

27. **Dickinson PS**, **Stemmler EA**, **Cashman CR**, **Brennan HR**, **Dennison B**, **Huber**
KE, **Peguero B**, **Rabacal W**, **Goiney CC**, **Smith CM**, **Towle DW**, **Christie AE**.
SIFamide peptides in clawed lobsters and freshwater crayfish (Crustacea,
Decapoda, Astacidea): A combined molecular, mass spectrometric and
electrophysiological investigation. *Gen and Comp Endocrinol* 156: 347–360,
2008. doi: 10.1016/j.ygcen.2008.01.011.

28. **Blitz DM**, **Christie AE**, **Cook AP**, **Dickinson PS**, **Nusbaum MP**. Similarities and
differences in circuit responses to applied Gly[1]-SIFamide and peptidergic (Gly[1] -
SIFamide) neuron stimulation. *J Neurophysiol* 121: 950–972, 2019. doi:
10.1152/jn.00567.2018.

29. **Schneider AC**, **Fox D**, **Itani O**, **Golowasch J**, **Bucher D**, **Nadim F**. Frequency-
dependent action of neuromodulation. *eNeuro* 8, 2021. doi:
10.1523/ENEURO.0338-21.2021.

30. **Marder E**. Acetylcholine as an excitatory neuromuscular transmitter in the
stomatogastric system of lobster. *Nature* 251: 730–731, 1974.

31. **Marder E**. Cholinergic motor neurones in the stomatogastric system of the
lobster. *J Physiol* 257: 63–86, 1976. doi: 10.1113/jphysiol.1976.sp011356.

32. **Hooper SL**, **O'Neil MB**, **Wagner R**, **Ewer J**, **Golowasch J**, **Marder E**. The
innervation of the pyloric region of the crab, Cancer borealis: homologous
muscles in decapod species are differently innervated. *J Comp Physiol A* 159:
227–240, 1986. doi: 10.1007/BF00612305.

33. **Martinez D**, **Santin JM**, **Schulz D**, **Nadim F**. The differential contribution of
pacemaker neurons to synaptic transmission in the pyloric network of the Jonah
crab, *Cancer borealis*. *J Neurophysiol* 122: 1623–1633, 2019. doi:
10.1152/jn.00038.2019.

34. **Bucher D**, **Taylor AL**, **Marder E**. Central pattern generating neurons
simultaneously express fast and slow rhythmic activities in the stomatogastric
ganglion. *J Neurophysiol* 95: 3617–3632, 2006. doi: 10.1152/jn.00004.2006.

35. **Powell D**, **Haddad SA**, **Gorur-Shandilya S**, **Marder E**. Coupling between fast
and slow oscillator circuits in *Cancer borealis* is temperature-compensated. *Elife*
10: e60454, 2021. doi: 10.7554/eLife.60454.

36. **Nusbaum MP**, **Beenhakker MP**. A small-systems approach to motor pattern
generation. *Nature* 417: 343–350, 2002. doi: 10.1038/417343a.

37. **Daur N**, **Nadim F**, **Bucher D**. The complexity of small circuits: the stomatogastric
nervous system. *Curr Opin Neurobiol* 41: 1–7, 2016. doi:
10.1016/J.CONB.2016.07.005.

38. **Blitz DM**. Neural circuit regulation by identified modulatory projection neurons.
Front Neurosci 17, 2023. doi: 10.3389/FNINS.2023.1154769.

39. **Nadim F**, **Bucher D**. Neuromodulation of neurons and synapses. *Curr Opin*
Neurobiol 29: 48–56, 2014. doi: 10.1016/j.conb.2014.05.003.

40. **Stein W**. Modulation of stomatogastric rhythms. *J Comp Physiol* 195: 989–1009,
2009. doi: 10.1007/S00359-009-0483-Y.

41. **Norris BJ**, **Coleman MJ**, **Nusbaum MP**. Pyloric motor pattern modification by a
newly identified projection neuron in the crab stomatogastric nervous system. *J*
Neurophysiol 75: 97–108, 1996. doi: 10.1152/JN.1996.75.1.97.

42. **Marder E**, **Bucher D**. Understanding circuit dynamics using the stomatogastric
nervous system of lobsters and crabs. *Ann Rev Physiol* 69: 291–316, 2007. doi:
10.1146/annurev.physiol.69.031905.161516.

43. **Raper JA**. Nonimpulse-mediated synaptic transmission during the generation of a
cyclic motor program. *Science* 205: 304–306, 1979. doi: 10.1126/science.221982.

44. **Graubard K**, **Raper JA**, **Hartline DK**. Graded synaptic transmission between
spiking neurons. *PNAS* 77: 3733–3735, 1980.

45. **Thirumalai V**, **Prinz AA**, **Johnson CD**, **Marder E**. Red pigment concentrating
hormone strongly enhances the strength of the feedback to the pyloric rhythm
oscillator but has little effect on pyloric rhythm period. *J Neurophysiol* 95: 1762–
1770, 2006. doi: 10.1152/jn.00764.2005.

46. **Rosenbaum P**, **Marder E**. Graded transmission without action potentials sustains
rhythmic activity in some but not all modulators that activate the same current. *J*
Neurosci 38: 8976–8988, 2018. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2632-17.2018.

47. **Eisen JS**, **Marder E**. Mechanisms underlying pattern generation in lobster
stomatogastric ganglion as determined by selective inactivation of identified
neurons. III. Synaptic connections of electrically coupled pyloric neurons. *J*
Neurophysiol 48: 1392–1415, 1982. doi: 10.1152/jn.1982.48.6.1392.

48. **Marder E**, **Eisen JS**. Transmitter identification of pyloric neurons: electrically
coupled neurons use different transmitters. *J Neurophysiol* 51: 1345–1361, 1984.

49. **Harris-Warrick RM**, **Flamm RE**. Multiple mechanisms of bursting in a conditional
bursting neuron. *J Neurosci* 7: 2113–2128, 1987. doi: 10.1523/jneurosci.07-07-
02113.1987.

50. **Bidaut M**. Pharmacological dissection of pyloric network of the lobster
stomatogastric ganglion using picrotoxin. *J Neurophysiol* 44: 1089–1101, 1980.
doi: 10.1152/jn.1980.44.6.1089.

51. **Marder E**, **Thirumalai V**. Cellular, synaptic and network effects of
neuromodulation. *Neural Networks* 15: 479–493, 2002. doi: 10.1016/S0893-
6080(02)00043-6.

52. **Merighi A**, **Salio C**, **Ferrini F**, **Lossi L**. Neuromodulatory function of
neuropeptides in the normal CNS. *J Chem Neuroanat* 42: 276–287, 2011. doi:
10.1016/J.JCHEMNEU.2011.02.001.

53. **Bacci A**, **Huguenard JR**, **Prince DA**. Differential modulation of synaptic
transmission by neuropeptide Y in rat neocortical neurons. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U*
S A 99: 17125–17130, 2002. doi: 10.1073/PNAS.012481899.

54. **Ormerod KG**, **Jung JH**, **Mercier AJ**. Modulation of neuromuscular synapses and
contraction in Drosophila 3rd instar larvae. *J Neurogenet* 32: 183–194, 2018. doi:
10.1080/01677063.2018.1502761.

55. **Zhao S**, **Sheibanie AF**, **Oh M**, **Rabbah P**, **Nadim F**. Peptide neuromodulation of
synaptic dynamics in an oscillatory network. *J Neurosci* 31: 13991–14004, 2011.
doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.3624-11.2011.

56. **Nusbaum MP**, **Blitz DM**, **Marder E**. Functional consequences of neuropeptide
and small-molecule co-transmission HHS Public Access. *Nat Rev Neurosci* 18:
389–403, 2017. doi: 10.1038/nrn.2017.56.

57. **Koh HY**, **Vilim FS**, **Jing J**, **Weiss KR**. Two neuropeptides colocalized in a
command-like neuron use distinct mechanisms to enhance its fast synaptic
connection. *J Neurophysiol* 90: 2074–2079, 2003. doi: 10.1152/JN.00358.2003.

58. **Shruti S**, **Schulz DJ**, **Lett KM**, **Marder E**. Electrical coupling and innexin
expression in the stomatogastric ganglion of the crab *Cancer borealis*. *J*
Neurophysiol 112: 2946–2958, 2014. doi: 10.1152/jn.00536.2014.

59. **Marder E**, **Calabrese RL**. Principles of rhythmic motor pattern generation. *Physiol*
Rev 76: 687–717, 1996. doi: 10.1152/physrev.1996.76.3.687.

60. **Weimann JM**, **Skiebe P**, **Heinzel HG**, **Soto C**, **Kopell N**, **Jorge-Rivera JC**,
Marder E. Modulation of oscillator interactions in the crab stomatogastric ganglion
by crustacean cardioactive peptide. *J Neurosci* 17: 1748–1760, 1997. doi:
10.1523/JNEUROSCI.17-05-01748.1997.

61. **Kawahara K**, **Kumagai S**, **Nakazono Y**, **Miyamoto Y**. Coupling between
respiratory and stepping rhythms during locomotion in decerebrate cats. *J Appl*
Physiol 67: 110–115, 1989. doi: 10.1152/jappl.1989.67.1.110.

62. **Maezawa H**, **Koganemaru S**, **Matsuhashi M**, **Hirata M**, **Funahashi M**, **Mima T**.
Entrainment of chewing rhythm by gait speed during treadmill walking in humans.
Neurosci Res 156: 88–94, 2020. doi: 10.1016/J.NEURES.2020.02.008.

63. **Bartos M**, **Manor Y**, **Nadim F**, **Marder E**, **Nusbaum MP**. Coordination of fast and
slow rhythmic neuronal circuits. *J Neurosci* 19: 6650–6660, 1999. doi:
10.1523/JNEUROSCI.19-15-06650.1999.

64.   **Marder E**, **Gutierrez GJ**, **Nusbaum MP**. Complicating connectomes: Electrical
coupling creates parallel pathways and degenerate circuit mechanisms. *Dev*
Neurobiol 77: 597–609, 2017. doi: 10.1002/DNEU.22410.

65.   **Nadim F**, **Li X**, **Gray M**, **Golowasch J**. The role of electrical coupling in rhythm
generation in small networks. In: *Network Functions and Plasticity: Perspectives*
from Studying Neuronal Electrical Coupling in Microcircuits. 2017, p. 51–78.

66.   **Connors BW**. Synchrony and so much more: Diverse roles for electrical
synapses in neural circuits. *Dev Neurobiol* 77: 610–624, 2017. doi:
10.1002/dneu.22493.

67.   **Weimann JM**, **Marder E**. Switching neurons are integral members of multiple
oscillatory networks. *Curr Biol* 4: 896–902, 1994. doi: 10.1016/S0960-
9822(00)00199-8.

68.   **Gutierrez GJ**, **Marder E**. Rectifying electrical synapses can affect the influence of
synaptic modulation on output pattern robustness. *J Neurosci* 33: 13238–13248,
2013. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0937-13.2013.

69.   **Gutierrez GJ**, **O'Leary T**, **Marder E**. Multiple mechanisms switch an electrically
coupled, synaptically inhibited neuron between competing rhythmic oscillators.
Neuron 77: 845–858, 2013. doi: 10.1016/j.neuron.2013.01.016.

70.   **Palacios-Prado N**, **Huetteroth W**, **Pereda AE**. Hemichannel composition and
electrical synaptic transmission: molecular diversity and its implications for
electrical rectification. *Front Cell Neurosci* 8: 324, 2014. doi:
10.3389/FNCEL.2014.00324.

71.   **Katz PS**. Evolution of central pattern generators and rhythmic behaviours.
Philosoph Trans 371, 2016. doi: 10.1098/rstb.2015.0057.

72.   **Stein P**. Central pattern generators in the turtle spinal cord: selection among the
forms of motor behaviors. *J Neurophysiol* 119: 422–440, 2018. doi:
10.1152/jn.00602.2017.

73.   **Christie AE**, **Stein W**, **Quinlan JE**, **Beenhakker MP**, **Marder E**, **Nusbaum MP**.
Actions of a histaminergic/peptidergic projection neuron on rhythmic motor
patterns in the stomatogastric nervous system of the crab *Cancer borealis*. *J*
Comp Neurol 469: 153–169, 2004. doi: 10.1002/CNE.11003.

74.   **Blitz DM**, **Beenhakker MP**, **Nusbaum MP**. Different sensory systems share
projection neurons but elicit distinct motor patterns. *J Neurosci* 24: 11381–11390,
2004. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.3219-04.2004.

75.   **White RS**, **Nusbaum MP**. The same core rhythm generator underlies different
rhythmic motor patterns. *J Neurosci* 31: 11484–11494, 2011. doi:
10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1885-11.2011.

76.　**Johnson BR**, **Harris-Warrick RM**. Aminergic modulation of graded synaptic
transmission in the lobster stomatogastric ganglion. *J Neurosci* 10: 2066–2076,
1990. doi: 10.1523/jneurosci.10-07-02066.1990.

77.　**DiCaprio RA**. Nonspiking and spiking proprioceptors in the crab: Nonlinear
analysis of nonspiking TCMRO afferents. *J Neurophysiol* 89: 1826–1836, 2003.
doi: 10.1152/jn.00978.2002.

78.　**Alle H**, **Geiger JRP**. Combined analog and action potential coding in
hippocampal mossy fibers. *Science* 311: 1290–1293, 2006. doi:
10.1126/science.1119055.

79.　**Shu Y**, **Hasenstaub A**, **Duque A**, **Yu Y**, **McCormick DA**. Modulation of
intracortical synaptic potentials by presynaptic somatic membrane potential.
Nature 441: 761–765, 2006. doi: 10.1038/nature04720.

80.　**Beckers U**, **Egelhaaf M**, **Kurtz R**. Precise timing in fly motion vision is mediated
by fast components of combined graded and spike signals. *Neuroscience* 160:
639–650, 2009. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroscience.2009.02.045.

81.　**Ivanov AI**, **Calabrese RL**. Spike-mediated and graded inhibitory synaptic
transmission between leech interneurons: Evidence for shared release sites. *J
Neurophysiol* 96: 235–251, 2006. doi: 10.1152/jn.01094.2005.

82.　**Coleman MJ**, **Meyrand P**, **Nusbaum MP**. A switch between two modes of
synaptic transmission mediated by presynaptic inhibition. *Nature* 378: 502–505,
1995. doi: 10.1038/378502a0.

83.　**Grillner S**, **El Manira A**. Current principles of motor control, with special
reference to vertebrate locomotion. *Physiol Rev* 100: 271–320, 2020. doi:
10.1152/physrev.00015.2019.

84.　**Bartlett D**, **Leiter JC**. Coordination of breathing with nonrespiratory activities.
Comp Physiol 2: 1387–1415, 2012.

85.　**Roopun AK**, **Kramer MA**, **Carracedo LM**, **Kaiser M**, **Davies CH**, **Traub RD**,
Kopell NJ, **Whittington MA**. Period concatenation underlies interactions between
gamma and beta rhythms in neocortex. *Front Cell Neurosci* 2: 1–8, 2008. doi:
10.3389/neuro.03.001.2008.

86.　**Martin C**, **Ravel N**. Beta and gamma oscillatory activities associated with
olfactory memory tasks: different rhythms for different functional networks? *Front
Behav Neurosci* 8, 2014. doi: 10.3389/FNBEH.2014.00218.

1208

1209

1210 **Figure Legends**
1211

Figure 1. STNS schematic and circuit diagram of pyloric and gastric mill

networks.

A, The modulatory projection neuron 5 (MCN5; two copies) has a soma (arrow) in each

commissural ganglion (CoG) of the stomatogastric nervous system (STNS). The axon of

each MCN5 neuron projects through the inferior oesophageal (*ion*), oesophageal (*on*),

and stomatogastric (*stn*) nerves and terminates in the stomatogastric ganglion (STG) to

synapse with motor neurons and interneurons responsible for generating rhythmic

activity of the pyloric (~1 Hz, food filtering) and gastric mill (~0.1 Hz, food chewing)

feeding-related behaviors. Each pyloric and gastric mill motor neuron has axons that

project through posterior motor nerves to innervate muscles that control the movements

for pyloric and gastric mill activity. Vaseline wells (*circles*) were built around motor

nerves to record extracellular activity of these neurons. *B,* The circuit diagram of the

STG indicates known electrical (*resistor and diode symbols*) and inhibitory chemical

(*ball and stick*) synapses among pyloric and gastric mill neurons in saline conditions.

When active, the MCN5 neuron targets both pyloric and gastric mill neurons (*grey*

boxes), however, synaptic modulation targets of MCN5 are unknown. Abbreviations,

Ganglia: CoG, commissural ganglion; OG, oesophageal ganglion; STG, stomatogastric

ganglion; Nerves: *dgn*, dorsal gastric nerve; *ion*, inferior oesophageal nerve; *lgn*, lateral

gastric nerve; *lpgn* lateral posterior gastric nerve; *lvn*, lateral ventricular nerve; *mvn*,

medial ventricular nerve; *on*, oesophageal nerve; *pdn*, pyloric dilator nerve; *son*,

superior oesophageal nerve*; stn*, stomatogastric nerve; Neurons: AB, anterior burster;

AM, anterior medial; DG, dorsal gastric; GM, gastric mill; IC, inferior cardiac; Int 1,

interneuron 1; LG, lateral gastric; LP, lateral pyloric; LPG, lateral posterior gastric; MG,

medial gastric; PD, pyloric dilator; PY, pyloric; VD, ventricular dilator.

1236

Figure 2. Gly[1]-SIFamide modulates graded synaptic transmission between LG and

LPG.

Intracellular two-electrode voltage clamp (TEVC) recordings were performed to examine

synapses between LG and LPG. Symbols at the top indicate the synapse being tested

in the left and right columns. *A*, LPG was voltage clamped at -40 mV while LG was held

at -60 mV and stepped from -55 mV to -20 mV in 5 mV increments (5 s duration, 11 s

inter-step interval) in either TTX:Saline (*top*, black traces) or TTX:SIF (*bottom*, red

traces). Grey arrows and grey trace indicate "bumps" in LPG voltage that occurred both

during and between the LG voltage steps. Blue brackets indicate the time periods used

for analysis. For each time point, the baseline current was subtracted from the peak

current within that time window. *Bi-Bii,* LPG synaptic current in TTX:Saline (black) and

TTX:SIF (red) as a function of LG voltage steps during the Early (*Bi*) and Late (*Bii*)

component of the voltage step. Different shapes in Bi-Bii and Di-Dii represent individual

experiments (n = 4). Insets indicate timepoints of measurements during an example

voltage step. *C,* LPG was voltage clamped at -80 mV to eliminate spontaneous bursting

activity of the other non-clamped LPG neuron between voltage steps and stepped to -55

mV to -20 mV in 5 mV increments (5 s duration, 11 s inter-step interval) with LG held at

-40 mV. 10 µM PTX was used to block glutamatergic transmission. Synaptic currents

were measured in TTX:PTX:Saline (*top,* black traces) and TTX:PTX:SIF (*bottom*, red

traces). *Di-Dii*, LG synaptic current as a function of LPG voltage steps during the Early

(*Di*) and Late components of the LPG voltage step. [#]$p < 0.05$ TTX:Saline vs. TTX:SIF

(Bi-ii) or TTX:PTX:Saline vs. TTX:PTX:SIF (Di-ii) at marked voltages (Two-way ANOVA,

Holm-Sidak post hoc; see Table 1).

1260

Figure 3. Gly[1]-SIFamide modulates graded synaptic transmission between IC and

LPG.

Intracellular TEVC recordings were used to examine graded synapses between IC and

LPG. Symbols at the top indicate the synapse being tested in the left and right columns.

A, LPG voltage clamped at -40 mV and IC stepped from -60 mV to -55 mV to -20 mV in

5 mV increments in TTX:Saline (*top*, black traces) or TTX:SIF (*bottom*, red traces).

Grey arrows indicate "bumps" in LPG voltage that occurred only during the IC voltage

steps. *Bi-Bii, Di-Dii,* Same analysis as in figure 2A but for IC and LPG neurons (n = 5).

C, Example recordings of LPG held at -80 mV to eliminate spontaneous bursting activity

of the other non-clamped LPG neuron between voltage steps and stepped to -55 mV to

-20 mV with IC held at -40 mV. 10 µM PTX was used to block glutamatergic

transmission. Synaptic currents were measured in TTX:PTX:Saline (*top,* black traces)

and TTX:PTX:SIF (*bottom*, red traces). [#]$p < 0.05$ TTX:Saline vs. TTX:SIF (Bi-ii) or

TTX:PTX:Saline vs. TTX:PTX:SIF (Di-ii) at marked voltages (Two-way ANOVA, Holm-

Sidak post hoc; see Table 2).

1276

1277

Figure 4. Gly[1]-SIFamide modulates graded synaptic transmission from LPG to

DG, but not DG to LPG.

Intracellular TEVC recordings were performed to examine synapses between DG and

LPG. *A*, Example recordings of LPG (voltage clamped at -40 mV) and DG held at -60

mV and stepped from -55 mV to -20 mV in 5 mV increments in TTX:Saline (*top*, black

traces) or TTX:SIF (*bottom*, red traces). LPG synaptic current as a function of DG

voltage steps is plotted for Early (*Bi*) and Late (*Bii*) timepoints (n=4). *C*, Example

recordings of LPG voltage clamped at -80 mV and stepped to -55 mV to -20 mV with

DG held at -40 mV. Synaptic currents were measured in TTX:PTX:Saline (*top,* black

traces) and TTX:PTX:SIF (*bottom*, red traces, transient in DG current trace truncated

for presentation purposes). *Di-Dii,* DG synaptic current as a function of LPG voltage

steps during Early (*Di*) and Late time points (n=5). [#]$p < 0.05$ TTX:Saline vs. TTX:SIF

(Bi-ii) or TTX:PTX:Saline vs. TTX:PTX:SIF (Di-ii) at marked voltages (Two-way ANOVA,

Holm-Sidak post hoc; see Table 3).

1292

1293

Figure 5. Graded synaptic transmission is sufficient for a coordinated gastric mill

rhythm in Gly[1]-SIFamide.

A, Intracellular recordings of LPG, LG, IC, and DG during saline (*left*) and Gly[1]-

SIFamide application (*right*). In saline, only the pyloric rhythm was active, with LPG

bursting in pyloric time with the PD neuron (*pdn*). In Gly[1]-SIFamide, the gastric mill

rhythm was activated (LG, DG, IC) and LPG generated both pyloric- and gastric mill-

timed bursts. LPG pyloric-timed bursting in both saline and Gly^1-SIFamide was time-

locked to the PD neuron *(pdn)*. **B,** Tetrodotoxin (TTX) was applied to the preparation to

block action potentials (***left***), then combined with Gly^1-SIFamide (***right***). LPG, LG, IC,

and DG generated gastric mill-timed oscillations in the absence of action potentials

during TTX + Gly^1-SIFamide application. The shaded region indicates one gastric mill

cycle, using the LG neuron as a reference neuron. Note that LG and IC were co-active

and out of phase with LPG and DG neurons, as in Gly^1-SIFamide application without

TTX (***A***). Troughs in DG oscillations appeared to alternate with peaks in LPG

oscillations (*dotted box*). LPG, LG, and IC also generated pyloric-timed oscillations

(arrows and grey lines), suggesting that Gly^1-SIFamide also activated the pyloric rhythm

without action potential-mediated transmission.

1311

Figure 6. LG entrained IC, DG, and LPG gastric mill neurons during Gly^1-SIFamide

application.

All columns are from the same preparation, in which LG entrainment of test neurons IC

(***Ai-iii***), DG (***Bi-iii***), and LPG (***Ci-iii***) was examined. In Gly^1-SIFamide (5 μM) prior to any

manipulations, LG burst frequency was approximately 0.03 Hz and IC, DG, and LPG

were bursting (***Ai-Ci***, No Entrainment). Dotted line indicates one LG cycle. ***Aii-Cii,*** To

determine the ability of LG to entrain the other neurons, LG was rhythmically

depolarized (*upward arrowheads*, 5 s duration; LG recordings are omitted for clarity) at

different entrainment frequencies, and IC (***Aii***), DG (***Bii***), and LPG (***Cii***) activity

recorded. IC is active in both pyloric and gastric mill time during the Gly^1-SIFamide

rhythm, but only its gastric mill bursts were analyzed. IC gastric mill bursts (boxes) were

identified as in previous studies (12, 21)(see Methods). **Aiii-Ciii,** Instantaneous gastric

mill burst frequency of IC (**Aiii**), DG (**Biii**), and LPG (**Ciii**) was plotted as a function of

LG entrainment frequency. Each colored data point is the instantaneous burst frequency

for one cycle (1/duration between two consecutive bursts), and each color represents

data from a single preparation. Solid diagonal line indicates perfect entrainment (1:1),

where instantaneous burst frequency was equal to entrainment frequency. Dashed

diagonal lines indicate other modes of entrainment at which test neuron burst frequency

would be a multiple, or a fraction, of entrainment frequency. Data points that fall

between diagonal lines indicate a lack of LG entrainment of that neuron burst. Numbers

of instantaneous burst frequencies plotted at each frequency are presented in Table 4.

D, The cumulative distribution frequency (c.d.f.) of significands are overlaid for LPG

(*light grey*), DG (*dark grey*), and IC (*black*) responses to an LG entrainment frequency

of 0.06 Hz. Significands are the value to the right of the decimal after dividing each

instantaneous burst frequency of IC, LPG, or DG by the LG entrainment frequency. The

red diagonal line represents a c.d.f. where significands are randomly distributed

between 0 and 1, indicating no entrainment. The blue dotted line represents a c.d.f. with

a large portion of the distribution close to 0 and/or 1, indicating entrainment. Shaded

regions indicate the area between the c.d.f. for each neuron and the diagonal line.

Insets of c.d.f. graphs enable visualization of area for each neuron separately. **E,** Area

between the significand c.d.f. curve and the diagonal line for IC (*black*), DG (*dark grey*),

and LPG (*light grey*) as a function of LG entrainment frequency. The arrow and vertical

dotted line indicate the c.d.f. area for the 0.06 Hz c.d.f. histograms plotted in (**D**) (other

c.d.f.s are presented in Supplemental Fig. S1). The horizontal dashed line at 0.25

indicates one example of perfect entrainment, calculated as the area between the

dotted blue line and the red diagonal line in (**D**). The c.d.f. for each neuron at each

entrainment frequency was compared to a uniform distribution indicating zero integer

coupling (i.e., diagonal line) to test for statistical significance using the Kolmogorov-

Smirnoff test with a corrected alpha level of 0.007 (see Methods). Area values different

from the uniform distribution at each entrainment frequency are indicated above the

graph for each neuron pair. *p < 0.007, [ns]p > 0.007 (see Table 5). Dash indicates data

was not obtained at that entrainment frequency.

1354

Figure 7. IC and DG entrained the other gastric mill neurons during Gly^1-SIFamide

application at some entrainment frequencies.

Ai, Overlaid cumulative distribution frequency histograms (c.d.f.s) for IC entrainment

frequency of 0.06 Hz for LG (*light grey*), DG (*dark grey*), and LPG (*black*). *Bi*, Overlaid

c.d.f.s for DG entrainment frequency of 0.06 Hz for LPG (*light grey*), IC (*dark grey*), and

LG (*black*). Area between the significand c.d.f. curve and the red diagonal line for LG

(*light grey*), DG (*dark grey*), and LPG (*black*) as a function of IC entrainment frequency

(*Aii*) or LPG (*light grey*), IC (*dark grey*), and LG (*black*) as a function of DG entrainment

frequency (*Bii*). The arrow and vertical dotted lines indicate the c.d.f. area calculated for

the 0.06 Hz c.d.f. histograms plotted in (*Ai, Bi*) (other c.d.f.s are presented in

Supplemental Figs. S3, S5). The c.d.f. for each neuron at each entrainment frequency

was compared to a uniform distribution indicating zero integer coupling (i.e., diagonal

line) to test for statistical significance using the Kolmogorov-Smirnoff test with a

corrected alpha level of 0.007 (See Methods). Area values different from the uniform

distribution at each entrainment frequency are indicated above the graph for each

neuron pair. *p < 0.007, ^{ns}p > 0.007 (see Table 5). Dash indicates data was not

obtained at that entrainment frequency.

1372

Figure 8. LPG entrainment of LG, IC, and DG gastric mill neurons during Gly^1-

SIFamide (SIF) and Gly^1-SIFamide plus picrotoxin (SIF:PTX) application.

Ai, Overlaid cumulative distribution frequency histograms (c.d.f.s) for LPG entrainment

frequency of 0.06 Hz for IC (*light grey*), LG (*dark grey*), and DG (*black*) during Gly^1-

SIFamide (SIF) application. *Bi*, Overlaid c.d.f.s for LPG entrainment frequency of 0.06

Hz for IC (*light grey*), LG (*dark grey*), and DG (*black*) during Gly^1-SIFamide plus

picrotoxin (SIF:PTX) application. Area between the significand c.d.f. curve and the red

diagonal line for IC (*light grey*), LG (*dark grey*), and DG (*black*) as a function of LPG

entrainment frequency during SIF (*Aii*) or SIF:PTX (*Bii*). The arrow and vertical dotted

lines indicate the c.d.f. area calculated for the 0.06 Hz c.d.f. histograms plotted in (*Ai,*

Bi) (other c.d.f.s are presented in Supplemental Figs. S7, S9). The c.d.f. for each

neuron at each entrainment frequency was compared to a uniform distribution indicating

zero integer coupling (i.e., diagonal line) to test for statistical significance using the

Kolmogorov-Smirnoff test with a corrected alpha level of 0.007 (See Methods). Area

values different from the uniform distribution at each entrainment frequency are

indicated above the graph for each neuron pair. *p < 0.007, ^{ns}p > 0.007 (see Table 6).

Dash indicates data was not obtained at that entrainment frequency.

1390

Figure 9. Gly^1-SIFamide modulates multiple synapses.

Updated partial circuit diagram from figure 1. ***A, Left,*** In saline conditions (Saline), the

pyloric neuron LPG is electrically coupled to the AB/PD pyloric pacemaker ensemble,

and receives a weak chemical inhibitory synapse from IC. The gastric mill rhythm is off.

Right, During Gly^1-SIFamide application, LPG intrinsic properties are modulated to

enable LPG switching into dual pyloric plus gastric mill activity (red/blue LPG circle).

Gly^1-SIFamide modulation also enhances bidirectional chemical inhibitory synapses

between LPG and the IC, LG, and DG gastric mill neurons. ***B***, Potential additional

inhibitory chemical synapses that are functional during Gly^1-SIFamide application

among the LG, IC, and DG neurons, based on results of entrainment experiments.

Additionally, entrainment data suggests that the established electrical coupling between

IC and LG may be rectifying (*diode*), such that positive current flows better from LG to

IC. Resistor and diode, electrical coupling; ball and stick, chemical inhibition, thickness

indicates relative synapse strength; red circles, pyloric neurons; blue circles, gastric mill

neurons; red/blue circles; gastropyloric neurons.

1406

1407 Table 1. Effects of voltage and Gly[1]-SIFamide on synaptic currents between LG and LPG, at
1408 early and late time windows in the voltage step.

Neurons	Voltage (mV)	Voltage Step: Early			Voltage Step: Late		
		p values (t)			p values (t)		
		Voltage-Dependence		TTX vs TTX:SIF	Voltage-Dependence		TTX vs TTX:SIF
		TTX	TTX:SIF		TTX	TTX:SIF	
LG to LPG (n = 4)	-55 vs -20	0.999 (1.227)	0.003 (4.204)		1.000 (0.998)	<0.001 (5.283)	
	-55			0.951 (0.063)			0.382 (0.895)
	-50			0.574 (0.582)			0.624 (0.499)
	-45			0.862 (0.179)			0.168 (1.430)
	-40			0.192 (1.410)			0.102 (1.715)
	-35			0.008 (3.414)			<0.001 (4.715)
	-30			0.055 (2.196)			<0.001 (4.805)
	-25			0.03 (2.573)			<0.001 (4.896)
	-20			0.046 (2.315)			<0.001 (5.074)
LPG to LG[a] (n = 4)	-55 vs -20	ns			1.000 (0.162)	<0.001 (6.360)	
	-55						0.254 (1.346)
	-50						0.117 (2.031)
	-45			ns			0.101 (2.168)
	-40						0.072 (2.488)
	-35						0.044 (2.986)
	-30						0.042 (3.021)
	-25						0.023 (3.709)
	-20						0.029 (3.450)

1409 Two-way repeated measures (RM) ANOVA analysis with condition (TTX:Saline vs. TTX:SIF),
1410 and voltage as the two main effects, followed by post-hoc Holm-Sidak pairwise comparisons.
1411 [a]PTX included in TTX:Saline and TTX:SIF solutions to block glutamatergic transmission when
1412 testing the LPG to LG synapse. [ns]RM ANOVA p> 0.05, no post-hoc tests performed.

1413

Table 2. Effects of voltage and Gly[1]-SIFamide on synaptic currents between IC and LPG, at early and late time windows in the voltage step.

| Neurons | Voltage (mV) | Voltage Step: Early p values (t) | | | Voltage Step: Late p values (t) | | |
| | | Voltage-Dependence | | | Voltage-Dependence | | |
		TTX	TTX:SIF	TTX vs TTX:SIF	TTX	TTX:SIF	TTX vs TTX:SIF
IC to LPG (n = 5)	-55 vs -20	0.059 (3.267)	<0.001 (6.121)		0.070 (3.155)	<0.001 (7.086)	
	-55			0.071 (2.445)			0.498 (0.705)
	-50			0.640 (0.483)			0.617 (0.517)
	-45			0.407 (0.869)			0.317 (0.940)
	-40			0.132 (1.652)			0.085 (1.930)
	-35			0.032 (2.516)			0.027 (2.636)
	-30			0.020 (2.810)			0.006 (3.522)
	-25			0.008 (3.352)			0.004 (3.835)
	-20			0.007 (3.495)			0.003 (3.959)
LPG to IC[a] (n = 5)	-55 vs -20	0.335 (2.528)	<0.001 (5.177)		0.972 (1.565)	<0.001 (8.036)	
	-55			0.057 (2.529)			0.032 (2.903)
	-50			0.028 (3.185)			0.014 (3.601)
	-45			0.019 (3.551)			0.004 (4.840)
	-40			0.017 (3.658)			0.007 (4.372)
	-35			0.014 (3.879)			0.003 (5.169)
	-30			0.011 (4.128)			0.002 (5.560)
	-25			0.011 (4.162)			0.003 (5.431)
	-20			0.006 (4.904)			<0.001 (6.926)

1416 Two-way repeated measures (RM) ANOVA analysis with condition (TTX:Saline vs. TTX:SIF),
1417 and voltage as the two main effects, followed by post-hoc Holm-Sidak pairwise comparisons.
1418 [a]PTX included in TTX:Saline and TTX:SIF solutions to block glutamatergic transmission when
1419 testing the LPG to IC synapse.

Table 3. Effects of voltage and Gly[1]-SIFamide on synaptic currents between DG and LPG, at early and late time windows in the voltage step.

Neurons	Voltage (mV)	Voltage Step: Early			Voltage Step: Late		
		p values (t)			p values (t)		
		Voltage-Dependence		TTX vs TTX:SIF	Voltage-Dependence		TTX vs TTX:SIF
		TTX	TTX:SIF		TTX	TTX:SIF	
DG to LPG (n = 4)	-55 vs -20	0.415 (2.459)	<0.001 (4.996)		0.190 (2.848)	<0.001 (6.262)	
	-55			0.294 (1.187)			0.207 (1.521)
	-50			0.274 (1.244)			0.205 (1.528)
	-45			0.139 (1.793)			0.137 (1.883)
	-40			0.101 (2.054)			0.136 (1.885)
	-35			0.064 (2.441)			0.064 (2.593)
	-30			0.134 (1.826)			0.104 (2.126)
	-25			0.137 (1.804)			0.099 (2.178)
	-20			0.103 (2.036)			0.072 (2.476)
LPG to DG[a] (n = 5)	-55 vs -20	1.000 (0.156)	<0.001 (6.058)		1.000 (0.128)	<0.001 (7.501)	
	-55			0.177 (1.605)			0.131 (1.807)
	-50			0.073 (2.342)			0.065 (2.363)
	-45			0.100 (2.072)			0.062 (2.396)
	-40			0.078 (2.283)			0.043 (2.700)
	-35			0.043 (2.816)			0.034 (2.902)
	-30			0.057 (2.556)			0.024 (3.199)
	-25			0.033 (3.066)			0.010 (4.042)
	-20			0.035 (3.003)			0.007 (4.413)

Two-way repeated measures (RM) ANOVA analysis with condition (TTX:Saline vs. TTX:SIF), and voltage as the two main effects, followed by post-hoc Holm-Sidak pairwise comparisons.
[a]PTX included in TTX:Saline and TTX:SIF solutions to block glutamatergic transmission when testing the LPG to DG synapse.

1426 Table 4. Number of test neuron cycles per preparation from each LG, IC, and DG entrainment
1427 frequency in Gly^1-SIFamide.

LG Entrainment Neuron				IC Entrainment Neuron				DG Entrainment Neuron			
Test Neuron	Entrain Freq (Hz)	# Cycles / Prep	*n*	Test Neuron	Entrain Freq (Hz)	# Cycles / Prep	*n*	Test Neuron	Entrain Freq (Hz)	# Cycles / Prep	*n*
IC	0.03	9-10	3	LG	0.03	--	-	LG	0.03	9-18	3
	0.04	--	-		0.04	4-22	2		0.04	12-19	4
	0.05	--	-		0.05	8-13	4		0.05	7-18	6
	0.06	8-14	7		0.06	6-11	5		0.06	5-11	8
	0.075	8-10	6		0.075	2-9	6		0.075	3-10	8
	0.09	10-11	7		0.09	5-8	4		0.09	2-9	8
	0.12	4-14	7		0.12	4-6	4		0.12	1-9	7
DG	0.03	--	-	DG	0.03	4-18	3	IC	0.03	12-14	2
	0.04	--	-		0.04	6-11	3		0.04	9-18	4
	0.05	8-9	2		0.05	9-11	3		0.05	9-17	5
	0.06	3-9	7		0.06	2-10	8		0.06	2-10	8
	0.075	2-9	6		0.075	1-10	8		0.075	6-10	7
	0.09	1-9	6		0.09	1-10	7		0.09	3-9	7
	0.12	1-9	7		0.12	1-10	7		0.12	4-7	6
LPG	0.03	23-29	3	LPG	0.03	6-28	4	LPG	0.03	15-29	3
	0.04	--	-		0.04	15-19	3		0.04	10-21	5
	0.05	14-19	2		0.05	6-12	4		0.05	6-18	6
	0.06	9-20	8		0.06	7-10	9		0.06	9-16	11
	0.075	8-10	7		0.075	3-10	9		0.075	7-14	10
	0.09	8-10	8		0.09	3-9	8		0.09	5-12	11
	0.12	5-9	8		0.12	1-11	8		0.12	4-10	11

1428

1429

1430

1431

1432

1433

1434 Table 5. Statistical analysis of the ability of LG, IC, and DG to entrain the other gastric mill
1435 neurons during Gly[1]-SIFamide application.

1436

	p value (D)								
	Entrainment/Test Neuron								
Entrainment Frequency	LG/IC	LG/DG	LG/LPG	IC/LG	IC/DG	IC/LPG	DG/LG	DG/IC	DG/LPG
0.03	<0.001 (0.902)	---	<0.001 (0.284)	---	0.271 (0.137)	<0.001 0.324)	<0.001 (0.353)	0.003 (0.245)	0.025 (0.206)
0.04	---	---	---	0.001 (0.265)	<0.001 (0.382)	<0.001 (0.275)	0.360 (0.127)	0.074 (0.176)	<0.001 (0.324)
0.05	---	<0.001 (0.725)	0.001 (0.265)	0.051 (0.186)	<0.001 (0.520)	<0.001 (0.363)	<0.001 (0.353)	<0.001 (0.373)	<0.001 (0.441)
0.06	<0.001 (0.509)	<0.001 (0.324)	0.034 (0.196)	0.0057 (0.235)	<0.001 (0.275)	<0.001 (0.451)	<0.001 (0.600)	<0.001 (0.647)	0.002 (0.255)
0.075	<0.001 (0.520)	<0.001 (0.559)	<0.001 (0.520)	0.051 (0.186)	<0.001 (0.461)	<0.001 (0.608)	<0.001 (0.627)	<0.001 (0.529)	0.014 (0.216)
0.09	<0.001 (0.431)	<0.001 (0.676)	<0.001 (0.461)	0.034 (0.196)	<0.001 (0.539)	<0.001 (0.422)	<0.001 (0.412)	<0.001 (0.392)	0.001 (0.265)
0.12	0.074 (0.176)	<0.001 (0.392)	<0.001 (0.284)	<0.001 (0.353)	<0.001 (0.520)	0.003 (0.245)	<0.001 (0.471)	<0.001 (0.363)	0.051 (0.186)

1437 Two-sample Kolmogorov-Smirnov test was used to compare each c.d.f. to a uniform
1438 distribution, alpha level was 0.007 to correct for multiple comparisons

1439

1440

1441

1442

1443

1444

1445

1446

1447 Table 6. Number of test neuron cycles per preparation from each LPG entrainment frequency in
1448 Gly[1]-SIFamide (SIF) and Gly[1]-SIFamide plus PTX (SIF:PTX), and statistical analysis of
1449 entrainment.
1450

Test Neuron	Entrain Freq (Hz)	# Cycles/ Prep	n	p value (D)	Test Neuron	Entrain Freq (Hz)	# Cycles/ Prep	n	p value (D)
	LPG Entrainment Neuron (SIF)					LPG Entrainment Neuron (SIF:PTX)			
LG	0.03	10-20	2	<0.001 (0.314)	LG	0.03	11-21	4	<0.001 (0.363)
	0.04	10-17	4	0.271 (0.137)		0.04	8-27	4	<0.001 (0.353)
	0.05	9-18	5	0.689 (0.098)		0.05	9	3	<0.001 (0.549)
	0.06	5-16	6	0.009 (0.225)		0.06	7-12	7	<0.001 (0.441)
	0.075	5-12	6	<0.001 (0.304)		0.075	4-9	7	<0.001 (0.333)
	0.09	4-9	5	<0.001 (0.333)		0.09	1-9	7	<0.001 (0.520)
	0.12	3-10	5	0.015 (0.216)		0.12	1-9	7	<0.001 (0.275)
IC	0.03	--	-	--	IC	0.03	7-14	4	<0.001 (0.431)
	0.04	10-12	4	0.034 (0.196)		0.04	1-11	5	<0.001 (0.618)
	0.05	9-17	5	0.020 (0.147)		0.05	6-10	4	<0.001 (0.814)
	0.06	5-16	5	0.034 (0.196)		0.06	5-16	8	<0.001 (0.412)
	0.075	4-12	5	<0.001 (0.373)		0.075	1-10	8	<0.001 (0.373)
	0.09	3-9	5	<0.001 (0.314)		0.09	3-12	8	<0.001 (0.402)
	0.12	3-9	5	0.051 (0.186)		0.12	2-8	8	<0.001 (0.353)
DG	0.03	--	-	--	DG	0.03	11-16	4	0.356 (0.127)
	0.04	6-10	4	<0.001 (0.637)		0.04	9-12	5	<0.001 (0.461)
	0.05	7-12	5	<0.001 (0.559)		0.05	9-10	4	<0.001 (0.569)
	0.06	1-10	7	<0.001 (0.451)		0.06	5-10	8	<0.001 (0.539)
	0.075	2-10	7	<0.001 (0.696)		0.075	3-9	8	<0.001 (0.5)
	0.09	2-10	7	<0.001 (0.471)		0.09	3-10	8	<0.001 (0.490)
	0.12	1-10	7	<0.001 (0.480)		0.12	2-8	7	<0.001 (0.392)

1451 Two-sample Kolmogorov-Smirnov test to compare each c.d.f. to a uniform distribution, alpha
1452 level was 0.007 to correct for multiple comparisons

1453

1454 **Supplemental Figure S1. LG entrainment of IC (*left*), DG (*middle*), and LPG (*right*)**

1455 **during Gly[1]-SIFamide.**

1456 C.d.f. graphs for IC (n = 3-7), DG (n = 2-7), and LPG (n = 2-8) neurons during LG

1457 entrainment, with each row indicating the entrainment frequency. Missing graphs

1458 indicate excluded data that was n = 1, or data that was not collected for that frequency.

1459

Supplemental Figure S2. IC entrainment of LG, DG, and LPG gastric mill neurons

during Gly[1]-SIFamide application.

Ai-Ci, Aii-Cii, All columns are from the same preparation, in which IC entrainment of

test neurons LG (*lgn, Ai-iii*), DG (*dgn, Bi-iii*), and LPG (*lpgn, Ci-iii*) was examined. In

Gly[1]-SIFamide (5 µM) prior to any manipulations, IC burst frequency was approximately

0.05 Hz and IC, DG, and LPG were bursting (*Ai-Ci*, No Entrainment). *Aii-Cii*, To

determine the ability of IC to entrain the other neurons, IC was rhythmically depolarized

(*upward arrowheads*, 5 s duration; IC recordings are omitted for clarity) at different

entrainment frequencies, and LG (*lgn, Aii*), DG (*dgn, Bii*), and LPG (*lpgn, Cii*) activity

recorded. *Aiii-Ciii,* Instantaneous burst frequency of LG (*Aiii*), DG (*Biii*), and LPG (*Ciii*)

was plotted as a function of IC entrainment frequency. Each colored data point is one

instantaneous burst frequency from one preparation. Solid diagonal line indicates

perfect entrainment (1:1), where instantaneous burst frequency was equal to

entrainment frequency. Dashed diagonal lines indicate other modes of entrainment at

which test neuron burst frequency would be a multiple, or a fraction, of entrainment

frequency. Data points that fall between diagonal lines indicate a lack of IC entrainment

of that neuron burst.

1477

1478 **Supplemental Figure S3. IC entrainment of LG (*left*), DG (*middle*), and LPG (*right*)**

1479 **during Gly^1-SIFamide.**

1480 C.d.f. graphs for LG (n = 2-6), DG (n = 3-8), and LPG (n = 2-9) neurons during IC

1481 entrainment, with each row indicating the entrainment frequency. Missing graphs

1482 indicate excluded data that was n = 1, or data that was not collected for that frequency.

1483

Supplemental Figure S4. DG entrainment of LG, IC, and LPG gastric mill neurons

during Gly^1-SIFamide application.

Ai-Ci, Aii-Cii, All columns are from the same preparation, in which DG entrainment of

test neurons LG (*lgn, Ai-iii*), IC (*mvn, Bi-iii*), and LPG (*lpgn, Ci-iii*) was examined. In

Gly^1-SIFamide (5 µM) prior to any manipulations, DG burst frequency was

approximately 0.04 Hz and LG, IC, and LPG were bursting (*Ai-Ci*, No Entrainment). *Aii-*

Cii, To determine the ability of DG to entrain the other neurons, DG was rhythmically

depolarized (*upward arrowheads*, 5 s duration; DG recordings are omitted for clarity) at

different entrainment frequencies, and LG (*lgn, Aii*), IC (*mvn, Bii*), and LPG (*lpgn, Cii*)

activity recorded. *Aiii-Ciii*. Instantaneous burst frequency of LG (*Aiii*), IC (*Biii*), and

LPG (*Ciii*) was plotted as a function of DG entrainment frequency. Each colored data

point is one instantaneous burst frequency from one preparation. Solid diagonal line

indicates perfect entrainment (1:1), where instantaneous burst frequency was equal to

entrainment frequency. Dashed diagonal lines indicate other modes of entrainment at

which test neuron burst frequency would be a multiple, or a fraction, of entrainment

frequency. Data points that fall between diagonal lines indicate a lack of DG

entrainment of that neuron burst.

1501

1502 **Supplemental Figure S5**. **DG entrainment of LG (*left*), IC (*middle*), and LPG (*right*)**

1503 **during Gly^1-SIFamide.**

1504 C.d.f. graphs for LG (n = 3-8), IC (n = 2-8), and LPG (n = 3-11) neurons during DG

1505 entrainment, with each row indicating the entrainment frequency.

1506

Supplemental Figure S6. LPG entrainment of LG, IC, and DG gastric mill neurons

during Gly^1-SIFamide application.

Ai-Ci, Aii-Cii, All columns are from the same preparation, in which LPG entrainment of

test neurons LG (*lgn, Ai-iii*), IC (*mvn, Bi-iii*), and DG (*dgn, Ci-iii*) was examined. In

Gly^1-SIFamide (5 µM) prior to any manipulations, LPG burst frequency was

approximately 0.05 Hz and LG, IC, and DG were bursting (*Ai-Ci*, No Entrainment). *Aii-*

Cii, To determine the ability of LPG to entrain the other neurons, LPG was rhythmically

depolarized (*upward arrowheads*, 5 s duration; LPG recordings are omitted for clarity) at

different entrainment frequencies, and LG (*lgn, Aii*), IC (*mvn, Bii*), and DG (*dgn, Cii*)

activity recorded. *Aiii-Ciii*. Instantaneous burst frequency of LG (*Aiii*), IC (*Biii*), and DG

(*Ciii*) was plotted as a function of LPG entrainment frequency. Each colored data point

is one instantaneous burst frequency from one preparation. Solid diagonal line indicates

perfect entrainment (1:1), where instantaneous burst frequency was equal to

entrainment frequency. Dashed diagonal lines indicate other modes of entrainment at

which test neuron burst frequency would be a multiple, or a fraction, of entrainment

frequency. Data points that fall between diagonal lines indicate a lack of LPG

entrainment of that neuron burst.

1524

Supplemental Figure S7. LPG entrainment of LG (*left*), IC (*middle*), and DG (*right*)

during Gly^1-SIFamide.

C.d.f. graphs for LG (n = 2-6), IC (n = 4-5), and DG (n = 4-7) neurons during LPG

entrainment, with each row indicating the entrainment frequency. Missing graphs

indicate excluded data that was n = 1, or data that was not collected for that frequency.

1530

Supplemental Figure S8. LPG entrainment of LG, IC, and DG gastric mill neurons

during Gly^1-SIFamide plus picrotoxin (SIF:PTX) application.

Ai-Ci, Aii-Cii, All columns are from the same preparation, in which LPG entrainment of

test neurons LG (*lgn, Ai-iii*), IC (*mvn, Bi-iii*), and DG (*dgn, Ci-iii*) was examined during

Gly^1-SIFamide (5 µM) plus picrotoxin (10 µM) (SIF:PTX). Prior to any manipulations,

LPG burst frequency was approximately 0.06 Hz and LG, IC, and DG were bursting (***Ai-***

Ci, No Entrainment). ***Aii-Cii,*** To determine the ability of LPG to entrain the other

neurons, LPG was rhythmically depolarized (*upward arrowheads*, 5 s duration; LPG

recordings are omitted for clarity) at different entrainment frequencies, and LG (*lgn, **Aii***),

IC (*mvn, **Bii***), and DG (*dgn, **Cii***) activity recorded. ***Aiii-Ciii***. Instantaneous burst

frequency of LG (***Aiii***), IC (***Biii***), and DG (***Ciii***) was plotted as a function of LPG

entrainment frequency. Each colored data point is one instantaneous burst frequency

from one preparation. Solid diagonal line indicates perfect entrainment (1:1), where

instantaneous burst frequency was equal to entrainment frequency. Dashed diagonal

lines indicate other modes of entrainment at which test neuron burst frequency would be

1546　a multiple, or a fraction, of entrainment frequency. Data points that fall between diagonal

1547　lines indicate a lack of LPG entrainment of that neuron burst.

1548

1549　**Supplemental Figure S9. LPG entrainment of LG (*left*), IC (*middle*), and DG (*right*)**

1550　**during Gly^1-SIFamide plus picrotoxin (SIF:PTX).**

1551　C.d.f. graphs for LG (n = 4-7), IC (n = 4-8), and DG (n = 4-8) neurons during LPG

1552　entrainment, with each row indicating the entrainment frequency.

A.

B.

MCN5 neuron targets

Figure 1
Fahoum and Blitz

Figure 2
Fahoum and Blitz

Figure 3
Fahoum and Blitz

Figure 4
Fahoum and Blitz

Figure 5
Fahoum and Blitz

Figure 6
Fahoum and Blitz

Figure 7
Fahoum and Blitz

Figure 8
Fahoum and Blitz

A. Saline   Gly[1]-SIFamide

B. Potential Additional Synapses

Figure 9
Fahoum and Blitz

Supplemental Figure S1
Fahoum and Blitz

IC to LG   **IC to DG**   **IC to LPG**

Cumulative Distribution Frequency (c.d.f.)

Significand of Entrain/Instantaneous Frequency

Supplemental Figure S3
Fahoum and Blitz

DG to LG **DG to IC** **DG to LPG**

0.03 Hz   0.03 Hz   0.03 Hz

0.04 Hz   0.04 Hz   0.04 Hz

0.05 Hz   0.05 Hz   0.05 Hz

0.075 Hz   0.075 Hz   0.075 Hz

0.09 Hz   0.09 Hz   0.09 Hz

0.12 Hz   0.12 Hz   0.12 Hz

Cumulative Distribution Frequency (c.d.f.)

Significand of Entrain/Instantaneous Frequency

Supplemental Figure S5
Fahoum and Blitz

Supplemental Figure S6
Fahoum and Blitz

LPG to LG   LPG to IC   LPG to DG

Cumulative Distribution Frequency (c.d.f.)

Significand of Entrain/Instantaneous Frequency

Supplemental Figure S7
Fahoum and Blitz

Gly¹ -SIFamide plus PTX (SIF:PTX, 5 µM:10 µM)

LPG to LG **LPG to IC** **LPG to DG**

Cumulative Distribution Frequency (c.d.f.)

Significand of Entrain/Instantaneous Frequency

Supplemental Figure S9
Fahoum and Blitz