Stage-specific expression patterns and co-targeting relationships among miRNAs in the

developing mouse cerebral cortex

Hristo Todorov[1], Stephan Weißbach[1,2], Laura Schlichtholz[1,3], Hanna Mueller[1], Dewi

Hartwich[1], Susanne Gerber[*1], Jennifer Winter[*1,4]

[1] Institute of Human Genetics, University Medical Center of the Johannes Gutenberg

University Mainz, Germany

[2] Institute of Developmental Biology and Neurobiology (iDN), Johannes Gutenberg

University, Mainz, Germany

[3] Focus Program of Translational Neurosciences, University Medical Center Mainz, Mainz,

Germany

[4] Lead contact: Jennifer Winter

[*] Corresponding authors: Susanne Gerber and Jennifer Winter

Contact data

Hristo Todorov: hristo.todorov@uni-mainz.de

Stephan Weißbach: s.weissbach@uni-mainz.de

Laura Schlichtholz: schlichtholz.laura@googlemail.com

Hanna Mueller: hanna.mueller.1909@gmail.com

Dewi Hartwich: dewi.hartwich@unimedizin-mainz.de

Susanne Gerber: sugerber@uni-mainz.de

Jennifer Winter: jewinter@uni-mainz

Keywords

Embryonic cortical development, miRNAs, co-targeting networks, gene silencing

Abstract

microRNAs are particularly important during brain development, however, the composition and temporal dynamics of miRNA regulatory networks are not sufficiently characterized. Here, we performed small RNA sequencing of mouse embryonic cortical samples at E14, E17, and P0 as well as in neural progenitor cells differentiated *in vitro* into neurons. Using co-expression network analysis, we detected clusters of miRNAs that were co-regulated at distinct developmental stages. miRNAs such as miR-92a/b acted as hubs during early, and miR-124 and miR-137 during late neurogenesis. Notably, validated targets of P0 hub miRNAs were enriched for down-regulated genes related to stem cell proliferation, negative regulation of neuronal differentiation and RNA splicing, among others, suggesting that miRNAs are particularly important for modulating transcriptional programs of crucial factors that guide the switch to neuronal differentiation. As most genes contain binding sites for more than one miRNA, we furthermore constructed a co-targeting network where numerous miRNAs shared more targets than expected by chance. Using luciferase reporter assays, we demonstrated that simultaneous binding of miRNA pairs to neurodevelopmentally relevant genes exerted an enhanced transcriptional silencing effect compared to single miRNAs. Taken together, our study provides a comprehensive resource of miRNA longitudinal expression changes during corticogenesis. Furthermore, we highlight several potential mechanisms through which miRNA regulatory networks can shape embryonic brain development.

Introduction

Mammalian brain development is an extraordinarily complex process where neural progenitor cells proliferate and give rise to all neuronal and glial cell types. Numerous transcriptional,

post-transcriptional, and epigenetic mechanisms integrate with each other to control progenitor

proliferation and self-renewal, differentiation, and lineage commitment as well as migration in

a strictly spatio-temporal manner. One of the key regulators of these processes are microRNAs

(miRNAs). In most cases, these small RNAs bind to their target mRNAs' 3'- untranslated

region (3'UTR) to induce mRNA degradation or translational inhibition. In the canonical

pathway, miRNA genes are transcribed into a pri-miRNA in the cell nucleus by PolII/III (1, 2).

In subsequent processing steps, the pri-miRNA is cleaved into a hairpin pre-miRNA by the

microprocessor complex Drosha-Dgcr8 and transported to the cytoplasm by Exportin-5 (3-6).

In the cytoplasm, the pre-miRNA is bound and cleaved by a complex containing the RNase

Dicer1 to form the mature miRNA duplex (7). From this duplex, the functional strand is loaded

into the RISC complex, guiding it to the 3'UTR's target site (8). Mice carrying conditional

Dicer gene deletions in the embryonic telencephalon have shown a variety of phenotypes in

the cerebral cortex, such as reduced cell proliferation and impaired neuronal differentiation,

increased apoptosis, defective cortical layering and microcephaly (9-14).

In the brain, 70% of all miRNAs are expressed, most of them in a highly cell-type- and

developmental-time-specific manner (15). Given that the total number of human miRNAs is

estimated to be ~ 2300, at least 1600 different miRNAs may be expressed in the brain (16).

While this suggests that miRNA regulation is generally important for brain development and

function, the specific functions of most miRNAs remain unknown. Single miRNAs are

involved in some of these processes including, for example, cell proliferation (e.g. let-7, miR-

124, miR-9), neuronal differentiation (e.g. let-7, miR-124, miR-9, miR-128) and migration

(e.g. miR-9, miR-124, miR-379-410) (17, 18). Many of the functionally studied miRNAs are

among the most highly expressed in the brain such as miR-9, miR-124 and let-7 (17). Most

miRNAs typically have a relatively weak effect on their target genes (19, 20). Previous research

has suggested that the regulatory potential of a given miRNA could be enhanced by cooperating

with other miRNAs in co-targeting networks (21). This hypothesis is supported by the fact that

most 3'UTRs contain more than one miRNA binding site and most miRNAs have matching

binding sites in up to several hundred 3'UTRs. A network of miRNAs co-operatively binding

at distinct 3'UTR positions can potentially enhance target gene repression by additive or

synergistic effects (22, 23). MiRNA binding at closely spaced binding sites ($\sim 15 - 35$ nt) can

further enhance the repressive effect (23-25). We and others have previously identified mRNAs

that are targeted by several miRNAs leading to a synergistic or additive repressive effect (19,

20, 26). For example, miRNAs of the miR-379-410 cluster regulate neurogenesis by targeting

multiple miRNA binding sites in the N-cadherin 3'UTR in an additive manner (19). While this

highlights the regulatory potential of such miRNA networks during brain development, their

detailed composition and temporal dynamics remain poorly characterized. Using an unbiased

bioinformatics approach to identify co-targeting networks in mouse tissues, Cherone and

colleagues recently found that miRNAs enriched in the human prefrontal cortex had more co-

targeting partners than those enriched in other tissues suggesting that miRNA co-targeting is

especially important in the brain (20).

In the current study, we employed high-throughput small RNA sequencing of the mouse

cerebral cortex to create a detailed map of miRNA expression patterns and their longitudinal

dynamics at key developmental stages. Using miRNAs whose expression was significantly

correlated with developmental time, we constructed a comprehensive co-targeting network in

which miRNAs with higher expression levels were associated with significantly more co-

targeting relationships. In luciferase assays, we validated the enhanced gene silencing effect of

cooperative miRNA binding in a set of target genes involved in the regulation of nervous

system development.

Results

Temporal dynamics of miRNA expression during cerebral cortex development

To study the expression patterns of miRNAs during mammalian corticogenesis, we performed

small RNA sequencing in both female and male mice at a progenitor-dominated developmental

phase (embryonic day E14), at an intermediate time point (E17) and at birth (postnatal day P0)

when neurons from all six layers of the neocortex have been born (27, 28) (Fig 1A). Using

stringent filtering criteria for expression levels (>10 CPM in at least five samples), we detected

a total of 489 miRNAs with only 13 of those corresponding to novel miRNAs. In agreement

with previous reports (17, 29), miR-9, members of the let-7 family, miR-128, and miR-124

were among the top 20 most abundantly expressed miRNAs in the developing cerebral cortex

(Fig S1). Principal component analysis (PCA) revealed a distinct separation of samples

according to the developmental stage. However, E17 and P0 samples had a more similar global

expression pattern to each other compared to E14 (Fig 1B). Since we did not observe apparent

differences related to sex, female and male samples were processed together in the subsequent

analyses.

We detected the highest number of differentially expressed miRNAs in the E14 vs. P0 time

points, where 169 miRNAs were up- and 172 were downregulated (Fig 1C-G). However, the

major transcriptional shift already occurred in the transition from E14 to E17, where approx.

57% of all detected miRNAs significantly changed their expression level (122 up- and 144

down-regulated miRNAs). In contrast, only 36% of all detected miRNAs were differentially

expressed in E17 vs. P0 samples (82 up-regulated and 93 down-regulated miRNAs).

Interestingly, a higher number of miRNAs were consistently down-regulated at earlier

developmental time-points in all pairwise comparisons (45 vs. 32 up-regulated miRNAs, Fig

1C, D, H).

To study the expression of miRNAs specifically during neurogenesis, we isolated NPCs from

the cortices of mouse embryos at E14 and differentiated them *in vitro* into neurons. Small RNA

sequencing of these cells revealed 110 miRNAs that were up-regulated and 113 miRNAs that

were down-regulated in NPCs compared to neurons, respectively. miR-124-3p and miR-369-

3p were the most significantly up-regulated miRNA in neurons, whereas miR-155-3p and miR-

34b-3p were the most significantly up-regulated miRNAs in NPCs (Fig S2A, D). 66% of the

up-regulated (72 out of 110) and 65% of the down-regulated (73 out of 113) miRNAs in NPCs

vs. neurons were also differentially expressed in the comparison of bulk samples from E14 vs.

P0, confirming the replicability of the expression patterns we observed (Fig S2B-D).

Interestingly, these overlapping miRNAs corresponded to 43% of all up-regulated and 42% of

the down-regulated miRNAs in E14 vs. P0, suggesting that a large proportion of the

differentially regulated miRNAs might be attributable to the non-neuronal cells in the bulk

samples. This observation is plausible, as gliogenesis takes place roughly between E17 and P0

of cortical development (28, 30) therefore the bulk samples from P0 likely contained both

neuronal and non-neuronal cell types.

Fig. 1. Expression changes of miRNAs during mouse cerebral cortex development. A Schematic representation of the experimental approach. **B** Principal component analysis of small RNA sequencing samples from the cerebral cortex of female and male mice at different developmental stages. **C** Venn-diagram of up-regulated miRNAs at earlier compared to later developmental stages. **D** Venn-diagram of down-regulated miRNAs at earlier compared to later developmental stages. **E-G** Volcano plots of differentially expressed miRNAs. Positive log2 fold changes indicate miRNAs up-regulated at earlier

compared to later developmental stages in each pairwise comparison (E14 vs. E17, E14 vs. P0 and E17

vs. P0). **H** Heatmap of miRNAs differentially expressed in each pairwise comparison of different

developmental stages. Mean expression values per developmental stage are shown as z-scores.

Weighted co-expression gene network analysis identifies sets of co-regulated miRNAs

during the development of the cerebral cortex

Next, we applied weighted co-expression gene network analysis (WCGNA) to construct

networks of co-expressed miRNAs and better characterize their transcriptional dynamics along

the cortical developmental trajectory. We obtained 18 modules that were assigned to arbitrary

colors (Fig 2A). Module eigengenes (MEs) that correspond to the first dimension in a principal

component analysis of the expression matrix of the corresponding WCGNA module, served as

proxies for the characteristic transcriptomic signature of each module. Six MEs were

significantly negatively correlated with the developmental time point indicating that the

miRNAs in the respective modules showed overall reduced expression levels at later compared

to earlier developmental stages (Fig 2B). In contrast, four modules contained miRNAs whose

eigengene expression significantly increased during brain development. The black module,

which contained the highest number of miRNAs (139), had the strongest negative correlation

with the developmental stage. The overall expression pattern captured by the ME, indicated

that the black module contained miRNAs whose expression level peaked at E14 and dropped

at E17, remaining stable afterwards (Fig 2C). The green module was the second largest,

containing 101 miRNAs with generally linearly increasing expression levels from E14 to P0

(Fig 2D). Remarkably, although these two modules were regulated in opposite directions, they

shared most of their targets (6244 common targets, corresponding to 79% of all black module

and 72% of all green module targets, Fig S3C). Gene ontology analysis using the genes targeted

by the miRNAs revealed that both the black and the green modules are involved in the

regulation of key nervous system developmental processes such as axon development and

guidance, neuron projection, dendrite development, and synapse organization (Fig 2E, F).

Fig. 2 Weighted miRNA co-expression analysis. A Bar plot showing the number of miRNAs in each

co-expression network module. **B** Pearson correlation between developmental stages of the mouse

cerebral cortex (E14, E17 and P0) and the module eigengenes (ME). ***p<0.001, ** p<0.01, *p<0.05.

C-D Module eigengene values at each developmental stage for the black and green module. **E-F** Top

15 enriched GO terms for the biological process (BP) category for the gene targets of the black and

green modules.

To identify key miRNAs at early and late embryonic brain developmental stages, we

reconstructed the network structure of miRNAs within the black and green modules and

identified key driver (hub) miRNAs as described previously (31, 32). The black module

contained 21 hub miRNAs. 12 miRNAs were also up-regulated in NPCs compared to neurons

including members of the miR-92 family - miR-92a, miR-92b (Fig 3C). Moreover, we detected

18 key driver miRNAs in the green module. Ten of these were also significantly up-regulated

in neurons compared to NPCs, including the neuron-specific miR-124 and miR-137 (Fig 3D).

This analysis confirmed the stage-specific regulation of a core set of miRNAs during

embryonic cortical development.

Importantly, all hub miRNAs in the green module and all but one miRNA in the black module

were significantly differentially regulated in the E14 compared to the P0 developmental stage

(Fig 3A, B). Furthermore, hub miRNAs in both the black and the green modules showed

significantly stronger module membership and gene trait significance estimates compared to

the remaining miRNAs in the respective module (Fig S3E-H), thereby confirming their

importance. Here, module membership corresponds to the correlation between the miRNA's

expression and the module eigengene. Furthermore, the gene trait significance represents the

correlation between miRNA expression and the trait of interest, in our study – the

developmental stage (33).

To gain an insight into the relevance of hub miRNAs in regulating target gene expression across

development, we re-analyzed RNA-seq data from the mouse cortex at E14.5, E16.5 and P0

from the study by Weyn-Vanhentenryck and colleagues (34). We limited this analysis to the

experimentally validated targets of the conserved hub miRNAs that we obtained from

miRTarBase (35). We did not observe a significant deviation in the differential expression of

target genes of the black module when comparing P0 and E14.5 (Fig 3E). This could likely be

explained by the overall lower number of validated targets for conserved key driver miRNAs

from the black module (Fig S3D). However, the number of down-regulated targets of the green

module's hub miRNAs was significantly higher compared to the overall background

distribution of differentially expressed genes (Fig 3E). The increased proportion of genes with

a reduced expression at the time point when the green module's hub miRNAs that target them

have their expression peak strongly suggests that these miRNAs are involved in repressing

these targets' expression *in vivo*.

To gain insight into the biological processes that the down-regulated targets of green module

hub miRNAs are involved in, we performed a GO annotation analysis (Fig 3F). Notably, many

of these genes are associated with the cell cycle, stem cell maintenance and proliferation (e.g.,

the transcription factors *Sox2, Sox9* and *Sox11),* cell fate commitment (e.g., *Neurog2),* and

negative regulation of neuronal differentiation. Furthermore, several targets are involved in

activating the Notch signaling pathway (e.g., *Notch1, Notch3)* and negatively regulating the

Wnt pathway. Important mediators of RNA splicing (e.g., the RNA binding proteins *Ptbp1* and

Ptbp2) were also among the down-regulated targets. Notably, the green module hub miR-124-

3p is known to repress *Ptbp1* expression and thereby induce neuron-specific alternative

splicing programs required for neuronal differentiation (36). Therefore, these results indicate

that miRNAs might be particularly important for the switch from undifferentiated NPCs to

differentiated neurons by silencing the expression of key factors that promote stem cell

maintenance, proliferation and non-neuronal splicing patterns.

Fig. 3 Hub miRNAs in the black and green modules. Network plot showing the hub miRNAs in the

black **(A)** and green **(B)** modules. Hub miRNAs are represented as bigger nodes. Purple halos around

the nodes indicate miRNAs that are significantly differentially expressed between E14 and P0. **C-D**

Differential expression of hub miRNAs from the black **(C)** and green **(D)** module detected in the NPCs

versus neurons analysis. Positive log2 gold changes indicate an up-regulation in NPCs, negative values

correspond to up-regulation in neurons. White bars indicate non-significant fold changes. **E** Distribution

of differentially expressed genes in the mouse cerebral cortex between E14.5 and P0. The overall

number of genes as well as the number of these genes that are validated targets from miRNAs in the

black and green module as obtained from miRTarBase are given. The comparison of the distribution of

differentially expressed targets of the black or green module from the overall distribution of

differentially expressed genes was performed with a Fisher's exact test. **F** Z-score transformed average

expression of selected validated targets of miRNAs from the green module that are significantly up-

regulated at E14.5 compared to P0. The expression values in E and F were obtained from the study by

Weyn-Vanhentenryck et al. (34).

Prediction of miRNA co-targeting networks in the developing cerebral cortex

WCGNA revealed that clusters containing multiple miRNAs are co-expressed during cortical

development. Furthermore, when looking at the predicted targets of the black and green

modules, we observed that only approx. 30% were potentially targeted merely by a single

miRNA. On average, each gene was associated with more than 3 miRNAs (Fig S3A-B). This

apparent redundancy of simultaneously expressed miRNAs that putatively bind to overlapping

sets of genes supports the previously proposed hypothesis that miRNAs might act together to

co-operatively exert stronger gene silencing. To construct a comprehensive co-targeting

network of miRNAs in the developing cerebral cortex, we designed a statistical framework that

allowed us to detect which miRNAs share significantly more targets than expected by chance.

In this analysis, we included all miRNAs whose module eigengene was correlated significantly

with developmental time in the WCGNA (Fig 2B). Furthermore, we only considered conserved

miRNAs with at least 300 conserved targets and distinct seed sequences (20). miRNAs

belonging to the same broad conserved family and thereby having identical seed sequences,

were grouped together for the statistical testing. After applying these filtering criteria, we

performed the co-targeting prediction with a set of 77 miRNA families corresponding to 106

individual miRNAs (Fig 4A). We detected 1216 significant co-targeting pairs with an adjusted

p-value <0.05 (Fig 5) and each miRNA had on average 41 co-targeting relationships (Fig 4B).

Interestingly, the number of significant co-targeting relationships was positively correlated

with the miRNA's average expression level (Fig 4C). This finding implies that important

miRNAs might need to be produced at higher amounts to facilitate their involvement in

multiple cooperative gene silencing interactions in the co-targeting network. Importantly, hub

miRNAs such as mir-92a/b from the black module as well as miR-124 and miR-137 from the

green module were among the miRNAs with the highest expression level and highest number

of co-targeting relationships (Fig 4C) indicating that these miRNAs might be master regulators

in both co-expression and multi-targeting networks. As expected, the length of the 3' UTR was

positively correlated with the number of significant miRNA pairs targeting a gene (Fig 4D).

Interestingly, *Nova1*, was among the top 10 genes involved in the highest number of co-

targeting associations. NOVA1 is a neuron-specific splice factor that is crucial for neuronal

viability (37) and regulates the alternative splicing of genes involved in synapse formation (38).

In line with this, we detected a significant *Nova1* expression increase in cortical samples at P0

compared to E14.5 (log2 fold change = 0.84, adj. p-value <0.0001) when re-analyzing the

RNA-seq data from Weyn-Vanhentenryck et al. (34). Thus, our findings further highlight the

potential importance of miRNAs in regulating neurodevelopment by influencing the

expression of crucial effectors of alternative splicing processes.

Remarkably, we detected the strongest co-targeting relationship between miR-137 and the

miR-25/miR-363/miR-92 family, sharing 353 common gene targets (Fig 4F). While miR-137

was up-regulated at later developmental stages, all members of the miR-25/miR-363/miR-92

cluster were regulated in the opposite direction. This prominent association between hub

miRNAs, that were not co-expressed, prompted us to investigate the distribution of intra-

modular (between miRNAs from the same module) and inter-modular (miRNAs from different

modules) co-targeting relationships for the black and green WCGNA modules. While we

expected that relationships between co-expressed miRNAs might be enriched, we indeed

observed a comparable distribution of intra- and inter-modular co-targeting pairs (Fig 4E).

These findings point to two distinct potential regulatory mechanisms justifying the need for

miRNAs to share significantly more targets than expected by chance. On the one hand,

miRNAs with common targets regulated in opposite directions during cortical development

might arise from the need to facilitate target gene regulation at different time points or in

distinct cell types. On the other hand, co-expressed miRNAs that regulate the same genes could

cooperatively bind to their targets to exert a stronger repressive effect compared to single

miRNAs.

Fig. 4. Features of the miRNA co-targeting network of the developing cerebral cortex. A Bar plot

showing the number of miRNAs from each module that were included in the co-targeting analysis after

applying the filtering criteria. **B** Histogram of the number of miRNAs having a specific number of

significant co-targeting relationships. **C** Scatter plot indicating the correlation between the number of co-targeting relationships with the log2-transformed miRNA's average expression level; *r* corresponds to Pearson's correlation coefficient. Labels indicate hub miRNAs from the WCGNA analysis of the black and green modules **D** Correlation between the 3' UTR length of target genes and the number of significant co-targeting miRNA pairs the genes are targeted by; *r* corresponds to Pearson's correlation coefficient. **E** Bar plot with the association of the number of intra-modular (between miRNAs of the same module) and inter-modular (involving miRNAs from different modules) co-targeting relationships. Odds ratios were obtained with a Fisher's exact test. Error bars indicate the 95% confidence interval. **F** Heatmap with the top 10 strongest co-targeting relationships between pairs of miRNAs/conserved miRNA families. Values indicate odds ratios as measures of association between the co-targeting pairs.

A

Fig. 5 Significant co-targeting miRNA pairs. Colored squares on the heatmap indicate significant co-targeting relationships. miRNAs that belong to the same conserved family and therefore have identical co-targeting relationships to each other, are indicated with gray rectangles on the vertical axis of the heatmap.

Enhanced gene silencing effect by cooperative binding of co-expressed miRNAs

To validate the hypothesis that co-expressed miRNAs bind co-operatively to their common targets to enhance their repressive effect, we employed luciferase reporter assays focusing on miRNAs that were up-regulated during embryonic brain development. We performed a gene

ontology term look-up of all targets and filtered potential candidate genes for terms including

brain/forebrain development and (central) nervous system development. Then we looked for

genes with two or more conserved binding sites in their 3' UTR for miRNAs up-regulated

during cortical development. Using this strategy, we compiled a list of 7 candidate target genes

(*Neurod1, Apc, Dcx, Ndst1, Zeb2, Src* and *Cxcl12*) and 5 miRNAs (miR-128-3p, miR-129-5p,

miR-135b-5p, miR-137-3p and miR-153-3p).

First, we performed RT-qPCR analyses to validate the expression patterns that we observed in

the bulk sequencing of the miRNAs selected for luciferase assays. All 5 miRNAs showed

significantly increased expression levels in neurons compared to NPCs with mean relative

quantification (RQ) values ranging from 2.9 to 3987 in the case of miR-135b-5p (Fig S4A-E).

Furthermore, all miRNAs were associated with increased expression at later developmental

stages in cortical tissue samples. The relative expression of miR-128-3p and miR-135b-5p

significantly increased over all time points analyzed (Fig S4 F, H). miR-137-3p and miR-153-

3p were associated with a significantly higher expression levels at E17 and P0 compared to

E14 (Fig S4 I, J). miR-129-5p was the only miRNA that showed a non-significant trend of

higher expression at later developmental stages (Fig S4G).

Subsequently, for each luciferase reporter assay, we cloned the target gene's 3'UTR fragment

containing the binding sites of the selected miRNAs, downstream of the synthetic *Renilla*

luciferase gene into the psiCHECK^{TM}-2 vector, which also expresses firefly luciferase as

internal control. Plasmids were then co-transfected into HEK293 cells with different

combinations of miRNA mimics and luciferase activity was evaluated in cell lysates 48 h later.

The fold change of *Renilla/*firefly luciferase signal was normalized to a control group

containing either a non-targeting siRNA or a miRNA mimic without a predicted binding site

in the 3'UTR fragment of the target gene.

The first gene we investigated, *Neurod1*, is an important transcription factor regulating

neuronal differentiation and migration in the developing cerebral cortex (39). *Neurod1* contains

binding sites for miR-137-3p and miR-153-3p that were previously demonstrated to co-

operatively repress the gene's expression (20). Therefore, we used this experiment as a positive

control. We independently cloned two *Neurod1* 3' UTR fragments with different lengths (274

bp and 1188 bp, respectively). The luciferase activity was significantly reduced in cells

transfected with the combination of miR-137-3p and miR-153-3p compared to individual

miRNAs as well as two different negative controls (siRNA and miR-128-3p), thereby

confirming the co-targeting effect previously observed (Fig 6A-B).

Src, a proto-oncogene that codes for a non-receptor protein tyrosine kinase is another important

neurodevelopmental regulator that contains binding sites for both miR-137-3p and miR-153-3p in

its 3'UTR. *Src* is expressed at steadily high levels in the mouse neocortex from E12.5 to P1 and

overexpression of this gene leads to impaired neuronal migration due to altered adhesion properties

and cytoskeletal dynamics (40). We observed that the combination of both miRNAs exerted a

significantly stronger repressive effect on *Src* compared to each individual miRNA (Fig 6C).

As an additional target gene for the reporter assays, we selected *Cxcl12* which is also an important

regulator of early brain development. *Cxcl12* is involved in migration of NPCs, early localization

of Cajal-Retzius cells in the developing cortex, and in axon guidance and pathfinding (41, 42).

Cxcl12 is a predicted target of miR-137-3p and miR-135b-5p. Luciferase reporter assays showed

that co-transfection with both miRNAs significantly increased the repressive effect compared to

the negative siRNA control and treatment with each miRNA separately (Fig 6D).

Fig. 6 Luciferase activity in lysates of HEK293 cells transfected with plasmids containing 3' UTR fragments of target genes. A-D Lysates were co-transfected with different combinations of miRNA mimics. Fold change (FC) of luciferase activity was obtained by calculating the ratio of *Renilla* luciferase and firefly luciferase activity and then normalizing to the mean of the control siRNA or miRNA group. Locations of the binding sites in the 3' UTR of the respective gene are represented by colored dots. The length of the 3' UTRs is indicated in kbp. **E-H** Fold change of luciferase activity was measured after introducing point mutations in the binding sites of the miRNAs in the 3' UTR regions. Colored dots on the x-axis indicate that the binding site of the respective miRNA was intact, gray dots indicate a mutated binding site. **I-K** Expression of miRNAs and target genes during

embryonic brain development. Expression values were normalized to a 0-1 range. Target gene expression values

were measured at E14.5, E16.5 and P0 and were obtained from the study by Weyn-Vanhentenryck et al.(34). Data

are shown as mean ± standard error of the mean and individual values. *p<0.05, ** p<0.01, *** p<0.001, two-

way analysis of variance followed by Tukey's post-hoc test.

In contrast, we did not observe a significant co-targeting effect for miR-153-3p with miR-129-

5p and miR-137-3p with miR-128-3p in reporter assays with *Apc* and *Ndst1,* respectively (Fig

S5A, C). Furthermore, we aimed to investigate if higher-order co-targeting interactions,

including three miRNAs would exert stronger silencing effects on gene expression. To this

end, we co-transfected cells with plasmids containing the 3' UTR fragment of the *Dcx* gene

and mimics of miR-128-3p, miR-129-5p, and miR-135b-5p (Fig S5B). In an additional assay,

we cloned the 3' UTR of *Zeb2* and co-transfected cells with its targeting miRNAs – miR-129-

5p, miR-137-3p and miR-153-3p (Fig S5D). Treatment with different miRNA mimics

significantly reduced luciferase activity compared to the siRNA control in the *Zeb2* assays.

However, we did not observe a significant cooperative repressive effect for either *Dcx* or *Zeb2.*

To confirm that the cooperative silencing effect for *Neurod1, Src,* and *Cxcl12* was mediated

via direct binding of the miRNAs, we employed *in vitro* site-directed mutagenesis to introduce

mutations in the miRNA binding sites of the 3' UTRs. We generated plasmids carrying a

mutation in one of the binding sites or in both binding sites of the respective co-targeting pair.

For all three genes, we observed the highest luciferase activity in the cell lysates where both

miRNA binding sites were mutated. Conversely, the strongest repressive effect was detected

when both binding sites were intact, thereby confirming that miRNAs acted co-operatively to

exert stronger gene silencing (Fig 6E-H). Furthermore, we investigated the expression patterns

of these three genes by employing the data from Weyn-Vanhentenryck et al. (34). *Neurod1*

was significantly down-regulated at P0 compared to E14.5 and E16.5, correlating negatively

with the expression pattern of the co-targeting pair mir-137-3p and miR-153-3p (Fig 6I, Fig

S6A). While *Src* was not differentially regulated, it still had its expression minimum at P0 (Fig

6J, Fig S6B). Interestingly, *Cxcl12* had a significantly reduced expression only at E16.5

compared to E14.5, but this pattern negatively correlated with the expression peak of miR-

135b-5p at E17 (Fig 6K, Fig S6C). These matching *in vivo* expression patterns of the miRNAs

and the genes they co-target lend further support to the biological relevance of the co-operative

miRNA gene silencing we observed in the luciferase assays.

Discussion

Our study provides a detailed map of the longitudinal changes in miRNA expression patterns

that occur in the transition between NPCs and neurons as well as *in vivo* at key stages of

embryonic cortical development in the mouse. Previous studies employing high-throughput

sequencing to examine miRNA composition in the embryonic cortex have focused on a single

time point (29, 43). In contrast, *in situ* hybridization-based technologies have successfully

profiled miRNA expression in different embryonic brain structures and at multiple time points

(44), however, this analysis has been limited to a pre-selected set of miRNAs. To our

knowledge, this is the first high-throughput longitudinal investigation, therefore providing a

valuable resource for elucidating the regulatory role miRNAs play during embryonic

corticogenesis.

Remarkably, the majority of the miRNAs significantly altered their expression level already at

the transition from E14 to E17. This predominantly neurogenic phase is characterized by a

complex interplay of multiple processes that shape late-stage embryonic corticogenesis (45).

At E14, radial glia cells in the ventricular zone have switched from symmetrical to

asymmetrical divisions to give rise to the basal progenitors that later generate neurons.

Subsequently, newly born neurons migrate out of the ventricular zone to the upper layers of

the neocortex where they differentiate into mature neurons. Upon neurogenesis completion at

around E17, neural stem cells give rise to the other brain cell types including astrocytes and

oligodendrocytes (28, 30). Cell fate commitment and neuronal identity are determined by

specialized transcriptional programs that occur in sequential waves and eventually result in the

immense cell type diversity observed in the brain (46, 47). The dynamic changes of miRNA

expression we detected indicate that miRNA networks can act as quick regulators of these

transcriptional programs by controlling the expression of cell-type specific genes (48).

miRNA-mediated transcriptional inhibition could also contribute to reducing excess levels of

target mRNA arising as noise from transcriptional bursts and thereby ensuring appropriate cell-

type or developmental-stage specific expression.

By employing a co-expression network analysis, we detected sets of co-regulated miRNAs

with individual miRNAs acting as hubs at early (e.g., miR-92a/b) and late (e.g., miR-124 and

miR-137) stages of neurogenesis. miR-92 is a part of the miR-17-92 cluster that is involved in

the maintenance of neural stem cells, and its genetic ablation results in a reduced pool of neural

stem and radial glia cells and a premature transition to intermediate progenitors (49).

Furthermore, miR-137 has been reported previously to influence neuronal differentiation via a

regulatory loop between TLX and miR-137' downstream target LSD1 (50).

Interestingly, we observed an enrichment of down-regulated genes at P0 compared to E14,

which were validated targets of hub miRNAs in the green module (Fig 3E). This module

consisted of miRNAs that were up-regulated at P0. A GO annotation analysis revealed that

many of these genes are regulators of key processes that are responsible for keeping neural

stem cells in a proliferative state and blocking neuronal differentiation. For instance, the

canonical NPC marker *Sox2* is crucial for the maintenance and self-renewal of progenitors (51,

52), and silencing its expression promotes neuronal differentiation (51). Another member of

the SOX transcription factor family – *Sox9,* also plays an important role in maintaining neural

stem cells in the early embryonic neocortex and its expression levels determine self-renewal

and neurogenic division behavior of radial glial cells (53) . In fact, a recent review highlighted

a complex regulatory interplay between SOX transcription factors and miRNAs that guide

distinct cellular activities in the developing and adult brain under physiological and

pathological conditions (54).

Green module hub miRNA targets that were down-regulated at P0 also included positive

regulators of Notch signaling and negative regulators of the Wnt pathway. Activation of the

Notch pathway indeed leads to maintaining the neural progenitor pool (55). Furthermore,

inhibiting Wnt signaling during mid and late stages of neurogenesis in the neocortex was

previously reported to result in reduced neuronal production (56).

Another crucial mechanism through which miRNAs can influence the development of the

cerebral cortex is via the regulation of RNA-binding proteins. In line with this, we observed

that several effectors of alternative splicing whose expression significantly changed during

embryonic corticogenesis were validated targets of hub miRNAs (Fig 3F). Alternative splicing

plays a pivotal role in neuronal differentiation (57), axonogenesis (58) and synapse formation

(59). In this context, miR-124 represents one of the most well-studied examples in neuronal

differentiation. miR-124 targets PTBP1, a protein that represses neuronal splice patterns. The

upregulation of miR-124 in neurons is sufficient to suppress the expression of *Ptbp1* and

thereby induce the necessary splicing changes for the transition from NPCs to neurons (36).

Thus, miRNAs could act as a post-transcriptional mechanism ensuring the precise spatio-

temporal expression of RNA-binding proteins which is critical for proper development of the

central nervous system (60).

Surprisingly, the gene silencing effect of most miRNAs is modest despite their crucial

regulatory potential (61), indicating that – with the exception of hub miRNAs – they might be

fine tuners rather than master regulators of embryonic brain development. However, the

redundancy of multiple co-expressed miRNAs sharing the same target genes supports the

mechanism of co-operative binding to enhance the gene-silencing effect of individual miRNAs

(20). Accordingly, we confirmed the co-targeting for several neurodevelopmentally relevant

genes in luciferase assays (Fig. 6). Furthermore, the negative correlation between the *in vivo*

miRNA and target expression peaks strongly suggests that co-targeting is a biological

phenomenon that can occur during embryonic cortical development. As 3' UTRs of mRNAs

expressed in the brain are longer compared to other tissues (62) and this length even increases

in neuronal transcripts (63), the brain indeed offers extremely favorable conditions for the

emergence of miRNA multi-targeting networks (20).

Notably, significant co-targeting relationships between co-expressed miRNAs were as likely

as co-targeting associations between miRNAs regulated in opposite directions. Apart from

simultaneous cooperative biding to enhance gene silencing, this observation points to an

alternative evolutionary need for the presence of multiple binding sites for distinct miRNAs in

the same gene, namely regulating the expression at different time points or in diverse cell types.

Accordingly, Nowakowski and colleagues recently reported cell-type specific miRNA-mRNA

interactions in the developing human cortex using a single-cell profiling strategy (48). Thus,

distinct miRNAs might be responsible for silencing temporally or spatially abnormal

expression of an overlapping set of genes. This explains our observation of miRNAs with

opposite expression patterns that share more targets than expected by chance.

In summary, we detected dynamic changes in miRNA expression during embryonic brain

development with distinct miRNAs acting as hubs in co-expression and co-targeting networks.

Furthermore, we showed that miRNAs might be particularly important for controlling cell fate

commitment and neuronal differentiation by silencing the expression of genes that promote

neural stem cell proliferation and maintenance as well as NPC-like splicing patterns. Our study

also provides additional evidence that simultaneous binding to common targets increases the

transcriptional repression effect of miRNAs. To further resolve the complexity of mRNA-

miRNA as well as miRNA co-targeting networks in distinct cell types *in vivo,* future studies

should focus on single-cell high-throughput profiling techniques.

Methods

miRNA sequencing of the developing mouse cerebral cortex and in NPCs/neurons

For NPC/neuron culture, the cortices of E14.5 NMRI embryos (Janvier Labs; Le Genest-Saint-

Isle, France) were dissected and collected in cooled DMEM high glucose media (Gibco Life

Technologies) and processed into single cells by trypsin digestion. For NPC and neuron

culture, $0.2x10^6$ cells per well and $1.4x10^6$ cells per well, respectively, were seeded on Poly-

L-ornithin and Laminin coated 6 well plates. The NPCs were cultured in neurobasal medium

containing 2% B27 -VitA supplement (Gibco Life Technologies), 500µM Glutamax (Gibco

Life Technologies) and EGF (10ng/ml) and FGF (10ng/ml). For neural differentiation, the cells

were cultured in neurobasal medium containing 2% B27 supplement (Gibco Life

Technologies) and 500 µM Glutamax (Gibco Life Technologies). Total RNA, including

miRNA, was isolated from the NPCs and neurons as well as from cortices of female and male

NMRI embryos (Janvier Labs; Le Genest-Saint-Isle, France) at the time points E14, E17 and

P0 (n=6 embryos per sex and time point) using the Qiagen miRNeasy mini kit (CatNo. 217004;

Qiagen; Hilden, Germany). 500 ng of total RNA, including miRNA, were used as an input for

library preparation with the Bioo Scientific NextFlex small RNA v3 Seq Kit (CatNo. NOVA-

5132-05; Bioo Scientific; Austin, USA). Library preparation was conducted according to the

kit manual. Size distribution and concentrations of the prepared libraries were checked by

Qubit dsDNA High Sensitivity Assay (CatNo. Q32851; Thermo Scientific; Waltham, USA).

0.5 nanomoles (NPCs/neurons) or 4 nanomoles (Cortex samples) of the prepared miRNA

libraries were loaded on a High Output v2 kit (75 cycles) Illumina cartridge which was run on

a NextSeq 500 device.

miRNA sequencing data pre-processing

After the sequencing, bcl2fastq v2.17.1.14 conversion software (Illumina, Inc.) was used to demultiplex sequencing data and convert base call (BCL) files into fastq files. The trimming of the fastq files was conducted in two steps as suggested by the NEXTflex™ Small RNA Trimming Instructions. Briefly, sequencing adapters (TGGAATTCTCGGGTGCCAAGG) were trimmed and reads shorter than 15 nucleotides were removed from further analysis. Afterwards, 4 bases from either side of each read were trimmed using Cutadapt v1.18. Quality control checks were performed on the trimmed data with FastQC v0.11.7.

miNRA differential expression analysis

miRNA samples with at least 20 million reads were further analyzed. Using miRDeep's v2.0.1.2 *mapper.pl* script, miRNA reads were mapped to the *Mus musculus* GRCm38 genome. Afterwards, known and novel miRNA were identified using the *miRDeep2.pl* script. Prior to differential expression analysis, miRNAs with CPM expression values less than 10 were filtered out using edgeR v3.30.3. Differential expression analysis was then performed with DESeq2 v1.28.1. miRNAs with an adjusted p-value <0.05 and an absolute log fold change exceeding 0.5 were considered differentially expressed. P-values were corrected for multiple comparisons with the Benjamini-Hochberg method.

Weighted gene co-expression network analysis

We performed a Weighted Correlation Network Analysis (WGCNA) (33) to find clusters or "modules" of co-expressed miRNAs. For the analysis, a *bicor* correlation type and a *signed* network type with soft-thresholding power of 24, minimal module size of 5 and dynamic tree cut height of 0.2 were applied. Target prediction of the miRNAs in each module was performed

using the TargetScanMouse database v7.2 (64). Functional enrichment analysis of the different

modules in the co-expression network was conducted using the clusterProfiler v4.6.2 R

package and the target genes of the miRNAs in the respective module as input.

Key driver analysis

To identify hub (or key driver) miRNAs in the modules of the co-expression network, we

performed a key driver analysis as previously described (31, 32). First, we used the algorithm

for the reconstruction of cellular networks (ARACNE) (65) as implemented in the bnlearn R

package v4.8.3 to obtain an undirected gene regulatory network from the expression levels of

the miRNAs in the respective WGCNA module. Next, we performed key driver analysis on

the ARACNE reconstructed network using the KDA R package v0.2.2

(https://github.com/mw201608/mnml-public/tree/master/pkgs) to identify important

regulatory miRNAs.

Analysis of publicly available RNA-seq data of the developing mouse cortex

To explore the expression of target genes during cortical development, we re-analyzed publicly

available data from the study by Weyn-Vanhentenryck et al.(34) containing RNA-seq of the

mouse cortex. We focused on E14.5, E16.5, P0 as these were comparable with the time points

in our study. Fastq files were downloaded from the NCBI Short Read Archive, accession

number SRP055008. After trimming with BBDuk v39.01, reads were mapped to the Gencode

mm39 reference genome (released 19.10.2022) using STAR v2.7.10b. A count matrix was

obtained using FeatureCounts provided by SubRead v2.0.6. Differential expression analysis

was performed with DESeq2 v1.40.1 with default settings. Genes with an adjusted p-value

(Benjamini-Hochberg method) < 0.05 were considered to be differentially expressed.

miRNA expression profiling using RT-qPCR

miRNA expression patterns identified by small RNA sequencing were validated with the TaqMan MicroRNA Assay (Applied Biosystems). Reverse transcription was performed using the TaqMan MicroRNA Reverse Transcription Kit (Applied Biosystems; CatNo. 4366596). RT-qPCRs were conducted with the TaqMan Universal Master Mix II, no UNG (Applied Biosystems; CatNo. 4440040) on a StepOnePlus Real-Time PCR System (Applied Biosystems). The U6 snRNA was used as a control for normalization of experimental samples. Relative quantification (RQ) values of miRNA expression were calculated with the $\Delta\Delta$CT method. Expression levels in NPCs and E14 samples, respectively, were used as reference groups. Primers used for the RT-qPCR analysis are shown in Table S1.

miRNA co-targeting prediction

miRNA co-targeting analysis was performed using a custom R script. We employed the TargetScanMouse database v7.2 to identify the broadly conserved mRNA targets for each miRNA. miRNAs which belong to the same broadly conserved family and therefore have identical gene target sets were grouped together for further analysis. Additionally, we only kept miRNAs with at least 300 targets [20].

Subsequently, we created a custom control set for each miRNA, containing genes with similar 3' UTR length, GC content, and sequence conservation as the actual genes included in the respective target set based on a case-control strategy. To select the best matching control for a gene *X* from the respective target set (case), first we filtered the potential pool of candidates for genes with a 3' UTR length within the range of 0.85-1.15 of the 3'UTR length of target gene *X.* Then, we filtered out genes with a GC content outside the range of 0.95-1.05 of the GC content of gene *X.* Finally, we eliminated candidates with a phyloP score outside the range of 0.8-1.2 for gene *X.* If more than one candidate remained after the filtering steps, a control

gene *Y* for the target gene *X* was picked randomly. If the filtering steps returned an empty set,

no control gene was selected for the respective target. This procedure was repeated for all genes

included in the target set for the miRNA. The filtering cut-off values were selected empirically

to maximize the number of controls while simultaneously minimizing the difference in the 3'

UTR length, GC content, and phyloP score distributions of genes in the target and control sets.

The similarity of the distributions of the three parameters between the control and target sets

was ensured by non-significant pairwise Wilcoxon tests.

We considered miRNA *A* and miRNA *B* to be a co-targeting pair if the intersection of their

target sets contained more genes than what would be expected by chance. To this end, we

compared the observed number of common targets with the expected number obtained by

intersecting the target set of miRNA *A* with the control set of miRNA *B* and vice versa. This

yields two comparisons for each pair of miRNAs whose significance was evaluated with a

Fisher's exact test. If both tests were statistically significant after a false discovery rate p-value

adjustment (Benjamini-Hochberg method), then we considered miRNA *A* and miRNA *B* to be

a bidirectional co-targeting pair. To quantify the magnitude of the co-targeting relationship, we

calculated the odds ratios with values significantly higher than 1 corresponding to a pair of

miRNAs sharing a significantly higher number of targets than what would be expected by

chance. Higher odds ratios indicate a higher number of shared targets. To ensure that co-

targeting pairs do not originate from identical seed sequences, miRNAs having identical seeds

up to one mismatch were excluded *a priori* from the statistical analysis.

Comparing the number of intra- and inter-modular co-targeting relationships

To investigate if the black or green WCGNA modules contain more intra-modular (miRNAs

of the same module) than inter-modular (miRNAs from different modules) co-targeting

relationships, we performed a Fisher's exact test on the following contingency table:

	Significant co-targeting relationship	Non-significant co-targeting relationship
Intra-modular	m	M - m
Inter-modular	n	N - n

where *m* represents the number of significant intra-modular co-targeting relationships, *M*

corresponds to the total number of possible intra-modular relationships, *n* corresponds to the

number of significant inter-modular relationships and *N* refers to the total number of possible

inter-modular relationships. We used the following formula to calculate *M*:

$$M = x(x - 1)/2$$

where *x* corresponds to the number of miRNAs in the respective module. The total number of

possible inter-modular relationships was estimated with the formula:

$$N = xy$$

with *x* being the number of miRNAs in the module of interest and *y* corresponding to the

number of miRNAs in the remaining modules.

Luciferase reporter assays

3' UTR fragments of miRNA target genes (for primer sequences see Table S2) were cloned

into the XhoI/NotI sites downstream of the synthetic *Renilla* luciferase gene of psiCHECK-2

vector (Promega; CatNo. C8021). Mutations in the miRNA binding sites were introduced using

the QuikChange II XL system (Agilent Technologies; CatNo. 200521-5). Primers used are

shown in Table S2. 5×10^4 HEK293 cells were seeded into each well of a 12-well plate. 24

hours later, 200 ng psiCHECK-2 reporter plasmid were co-transfected with 5 µl miScript

miRNA mimics (Qiagen; CatNo. 219600) using 4 µl Lipofectamine 2000 Transfection Reagent

(Thermo Fisher Scientific; CatNo. 11668019). Luciferase reporter assays were conducted 48

hours later using the Dual-Luciferase Reporter Assay System (Promega; CatNo. E1980).

Luciferase activity was measured on a CentroXS LB 960 (Berthold Technologies).

Statistical analysis of qPCR and luciferase reporter assay data

Two groups were compared statistically using an unpaired t-test or Welch's t-test in case of

unequal variance between groups. For comparisons of three groups, a one- or two-way analysis

of variance (ANOVA) followed by Tukey's post hoc test was performed. The assumptions of

the linear model were evaluated by inspecting Q-Q plots and fitted values vs. residuals plots.

Relative quantification values from the RT-qPCR assays were $\log_e$-transformed prior to

statistical testing. All p-values are two-tailed and a p-value ≤ 0.05 was considered statistically

significant. Statistical analysis was performed using R v. 4.2.2.

Ethics statement

657 Ethical review and approval were not required for the animal study because the study did not

658 include any animal experiments requiring approval. To carry out this study, mice were killed

659 for organ removal. In Germany, this procedure is notifiable but does not require approval by

660 an ethics committee.

Competing interests

662 The authors declare they have no competing interests.

Acknowledgements

664 HT and SG acknowledge funding by the Landesinitiative Rheinland-Pfalz and the Resilience,

665 Adaptation, and Longevity (ReALity) initiative of the Johannes Gutenberg University of

666 Mainz. SW was funded by the Emergent Algorithmic Intelligence initiative of the Johannes

667 Gutenberg University Mainz supported by the Carl-Zeiss foundation. JW acknowledges

668 funding from the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (WI-3837 / 8-1).

Author contributions

HT, DH and SW performed the bioinformatics analysis. LS and HM performed miRNA

sequencing, RT-qPCR and luciferase experiments. HT and JW wrote the manuscript. SG and

JW supervised the study. All authors read and approved the final version of the manuscript.

Data availability

The raw RNA-seq data were uploaded to the Sequence Read Archive (SRA) data base under

the accession number PRJNA1018560.

The following supplementary tables are available online under 10.5281/zenodo.1016183:

Supplementary_table_1.xlsx: Differentially expressed miRNAs between E14, E17 and P0

cortical samples as well as NPCs versus neurons

Supplementary_table_2.xlsx: Module assignment of miRNAs in the WCGNA analysis.

Supplementary_table_3.xlsx: GO terms of miRNA targets of the black and green modules from

the WCGNA analysis.

Supplementary_table_4.xlsx: Significant co-targeting relationships between miRNAs/miRNA

families.

References

1.   Lee Y, Kim M, Han J, Yeom KH, Lee S, Baek SH, et al. MicroRNA genes are transcribed
by RNA polymerase II. EMBO J. 2004;23(20):4051-60.
2.   Borchert GM, Lanier W, Davidson BL. RNA polymerase III transcribes human
microRNAs. Nat Struct Mol Biol. 2006;13(12):1097-101.
3.   Denli AM, Tops BB, Plasterk RH, Ketting RF, Hannon GJ. Processing of primary
microRNAs by the Microprocessor complex. Nature. 2004;432(7014):231-5.
4.   Gregory RI, Yan KP, Amuthan G, Chendrimada T, Doratotaj B, Cooch N, et al. The
Microprocessor complex mediates the genesis of microRNAs. Nature. 2004;432(7014):235-
40.
5.   Han J, Lee Y, Yeom KH, Kim YK, Jin H, Kim VN. The Drosha-DGCR8 complex in primary
microRNA processing. Genes Dev. 2004;18(24):3016-27.
6.   Yi R, Qin Y, Macara IG, Cullen BR. Exportin-5 mediates the nuclear export of pre-
microRNAs and short hairpin RNAs. Genes Dev. 2003;17(24):3011-6.
7.   Ketting RF, Fischer SE, Bernstein E, Sijen T, Hannon GJ, Plasterk RH. Dicer functions in
RNA interference and in synthesis of small RNA involved in developmental timing in C.
elegans. Genes Dev. 2001;15(20):2654-9.
8.   O'Brien J, Hayder H, Zayed Y, Peng C. Overview of MicroRNA Biogenesis, Mechanisms
of Actions, and Circulation. Front Endocrinol (Lausanne). 2018;9:402.

9.   Davis TH, Cuellar TL, Koch SM, Barker AJ, Harfe BD, McManus MT, et al. Conditional
loss of Dicer disrupts cellular and tissue morphogenesis in the cortex and hippocampus. J
Neurosci. 2008;28(17):4322-30.
10.   McLoughlin HS, Fineberg SK, Ghosh LL, Tecedor L, Davidson BL. Dicer is required for
proliferation, viability, migration and differentiation in corticoneurogenesis. Neuroscience.
2012;223:285-95.
11.   Kawase-Koga Y, Otaegi G, Sun T. Different timings of Dicer deletion affect
neurogenesis and gliogenesis in the developing mouse central nervous system. Dev Dyn.
2009;238(11):2800-12.
12.   Nowakowski TJ, Mysiak KS, Pratt T, Price DJ. Functional dicer is necessary for
appropriate specification of radial glia during early development of mouse telencephalon.
PLoS One. 2011;6(8):e23013.
13.   Saurat N, Andersson T, Vasistha NA, Molnar Z, Livesey FJ. Dicer is required for neural
stem cell multipotency and lineage progression during cerebral cortex development. Neural
Dev. 2013;8:14.
14.   De Pietri Tonelli D, Pulvers JN, Haffner C, Murchison EP, Hannon GJ, Huttner WB.
miRNAs are essential for survival and differentiation of newborn neurons but not for
expansion of neural progenitors during early neurogenesis in the mouse embryonic
neocortex. Development. 2008;135(23):3911-21.
15.   De Pietri Tonelli D, Clovis YM, Huttner WB. Detection and monitoring of microRNA
expression in developing mouse brain and fixed brain cryosections. Methods Mol Biol.
2014;1092:31-42.
16.   Alles J, Fehlmann T, Fischer U, Backes C, Galata V, Minet M, et al. An estimate of the
total number of true human miRNAs. Nucleic Acids Res. 2019;47(7):3353-64.
17.   Prieto-Colomina A, Fernández V, Chinnappa K, Borrell V. MiRNAs in early brain
development and pediatric cancer: At the intersection between healthy and diseased
embryonic development. Bioessays. 2021;43(7):e2100073.
18.   Winter J. MicroRNAs of the miR379-410 cluster: New players in embryonic
neurogenesis and regulators of neuronal function. Neurogenesis (Austin).
2015;2(1):e1004970.
19.   Rago L, Beattie R, Taylor V, Winter J. miR379-410 cluster miRNAs regulate
neurogenesis and neuronal migration by fine-tuning N-cadherin. EMBO J. 2014;33(8):906-
20.
20.   Cherone JM, Jorgji V, Burge CB. Cotargeting among microRNAs in the brain. Genome
Res. 2019;29(11):1791-804.
21.   Krek A, Grun D, Poy MN, Wolf R, Rosenberg L, Epstein EJ, et al. Combinatorial
microRNA target predictions. Nat Genet. 2005;37(5):495-500.
22.   Nielsen CB, Shomron N, Sandberg R, Hornstein E, Kitzman J, Burge CB. Determinants
of targeting by endogenous and exogenous microRNAs and siRNAs. RNA. 2007;13(11):1894-
910.
23.   Grimson A, Farh KK, Johnston WK, Garrett-Engele P, Lim LP, Bartel DP. MicroRNA
targeting specificity in mammals: determinants beyond seed pairing. Mol Cell.
2007;27(1):91-105.
24.   Saetrom P, Heale BS, Snove O, Jr., Aagaard L, Alluin J, Rossi JJ. Distance constraints
between microRNA target sites dictate efficacy and cooperativity. Nucleic Acids Res.
2007;35(7):2333-42.

25.   Doench JG, Sharp PA. Specificity of microRNA target selection in translational
repression. Genes Dev. 2004;18(5):504-11.

26.   Wu S, Huang S, Ding J, Zhao Y, Liang L, Liu T, et al. Multiple microRNAs modulate
p21Cip1/Waf1 expression by directly targeting its 3' untranslated region. Oncogene.
2010;29(15):2302-8.

27.   Loo L, Simon JM, Xing L, McCoy ES, Niehaus JK, Guo J, et al. Single-cell transcriptomic
analysis of mouse neocortical development. Nature Communications. 2019;10(1):134.

28.   Mukhtar T, Taylor V. Untangling Cortical Complexity During Development. Journal of
Experimental Neuroscience. 2018;12:1179069518759332.

29.   Ling K-H, Brautigan PJ, Hahn CN, Daish T, Rayner JR, Cheah P-S, et al. Deep
sequencing analysis of the developing mouse brain reveals a novel microRNA. BMC
Genomics. 2011;12(1):176.

30.   Cadwell CR, Bhaduri A, Mostajo-Radji MA, Keefe MG, Nowakowski TJ. Development
and Arealization of the Cerebral Cortex. Neuron. 2019;103(6):980-1004.

31.   Bagot RC, Cates HM, Purushothaman I, Lorsch ZS, Walker DM, Wang J, et al. Circuit-
wide Transcriptional Profiling Reveals Brain Region-Specific Gene Networks Regulating
Depression Susceptibility. Neuron. 2016;90(5):969-83.

32.   Lorsch ZS, Hamilton PJ, Ramakrishnan A, Parise EM, Salery M, Wright WJ, et al. Stress
resilience is promoted by a Zfp189-driven transcriptional network in prefrontal cortex.
Nature Neuroscience. 2019;22(9):1413-23.

33.   Langfelder P, Horvath S. WGCNA: an R package for weighted correlation network
analysis. BMC Bioinformatics. 2008;9(1):559.

34.   Weyn-Vanhentenryck SM, Feng H, Ustianenko D, Duffié R, Yan Q, Jacko M, et al.
Precise temporal regulation of alternative splicing during neural development. Nature
Communications. 2018;9(1):2189.

35.   Huang HY, Lin YC, Cui S, Huang Y, Tang Y, Xu J, et al. miRTarBase update 2022: an
informative resource for experimentally validated miRNA-target interactions. Nucleic Acids
Res. 2022;50(D1):D222-d30.

36.   Makeyev EV, Zhang J, Carrasco MA, Maniatis T. The MicroRNA miR-124 promotes
neuronal differentiation by triggering brain-specific alternative pre-mRNA splicing. Mol Cell.
2007;27(3):435-48.

37.   Jensen KB, Dredge BK, Stefani G, Zhong R, Buckanovich RJ, Okano HJ, et al. Nova-1
regulates neuron-specific alternative splicing and is essential for neuronal viability. Neuron.
2000;25(2):359-71.

38.   Ule J, Ule A, Spencer J, Williams A, Hu JS, Cline M, et al. Nova regulates brain-specific
splicing to shape the synapse. Nat Genet. 2005;37(8):844-52.

39.   Tutukova S, Tarabykin V, Hernandez-Miranda LR. The Role of Neurod Genes in Brain
Development, Function, and Disease. Frontiers in Molecular Neuroscience. 2021;14.

40.   Wang JT, Song LZ, Li LL, Zhang W, Chai XJ, An L, et al. Src controls neuronal migration
by regulating the activity of FAK and cofilin. Neuroscience. 2015;292:90-100.

41.   Li M, Ransohoff RM. Multiple roles of chemokine CXCL12 in the central nervous
system: a migration from immunology to neurobiology. Prog Neurobiol. 2008;84(2):116-31.

42.   Mithal DS, Banisadr G, Miller RJ. CXCL12 signaling in the development of the nervous
system. J Neuroimmune Pharmacol. 2012;7(4):820-34.

43.   Dori M, Cavalli D, Lesche M, Massalini S, Alieh LHA, de Toledo BC, et al. MicroRNA
profiling of mouse cortical progenitors and neurons reveals miR-486-5p as a regulator of
neurogenesis. Development. 2020;147(9).

44.   Shu P, Wu C, Liu W, Ruan X, Liu C, Hou L, et al. The spatiotemporal expression
pattern of microRNAs in the developing mouse nervous system. Journal of Biological
Chemistry. 2019;294(10):3444-53.
45.   Pilaz L-J, Silver DL. Post-transcriptional regulation in corticogenesis: how RNA-binding
proteins help build the brain. WIREs RNA. 2015;6(5):501-15.
46.   Mukhtar T, Breda J, Adam MA, Boareto M, Grobecker P, Karimaddini Z, et al.
Temporal and sequential transcriptional dynamics define lineage shifts in corticogenesis.
Embo j. 2022;41(24):e111132.
47.   Telley L, Govindan S, Prados J, Stevant I, Nef S, Dermitzakis E, et al. Sequential
transcriptional waves direct the differentiation of newborn neurons in the mouse
neocortex. Science. 2016;351(6280):1443-6.
48.   Nowakowski TJ, Rani N, Golkaram M, Zhou HR, Alvarado B, Huch K, et al. Regulation
of cell-type-specific transcriptomes by microRNA networks during human brain
development. Nature Neuroscience. 2018;21(12):1784-92.
49.   Bian S, Hong J, Li Q, Schebelle L, Pollock A, Knauss JL, et al. MicroRNA cluster miR-17-
92 regulates neural stem cell expansion and transition to intermediate progenitors in the
developing mouse neocortex. Cell Rep. 2013;3(5):1398-406.
50.   Sun G, Ye P, Murai K, Lang MF, Li S, Zhang H, et al. miR-137 forms a regulatory loop
with nuclear receptor TLX and LSD1 in neural stem cells. Nat Commun. 2011;2:529.
51.   Graham V, Khudyakov J, Ellis P, Pevny L. SOX2 Functions to Maintain Neural
Progenitor Identity. Neuron. 2003;39(5):749-65.
52.   Hagey DW, Muhr J. Sox2 Acts in a Dose-Dependent Fashion to Regulate Proliferation
of Cortical Progenitors. Cell Reports. 2014;9(5):1908-20.
53.   Fabra-Beser J, Alves Medeiros de Araujo J, Marques-Coelho D, Goff LA, Costa MR,
Müller U, et al. Differential Expression Levels of Sox9 in Early Neocortical Radial Glial Cells
Regulate the Decision between Stem Cell Maintenance and Differentiation. J Neurosci.
2021;41(33):6969-86.
54.   Stevanovic M, Stanisavljevic Ninkovic D, Mojsin M, Drakulic D, Schwirtlich M.
Interplay of SOX transcription factors and microRNAs in the brain under physiological and
pathological conditions. Neural Regen Res. 2022;17(11):2325-34.
55.   Imayoshi I, Sakamoto M, MasahiroYamaguchi, Mori K, Kageyama R. Essential Roles
of Notch Signaling in Maintenance of Neural Stem Cells in Developing and Adult Brains. The
Journal of Neuroscience. 2010;30(9):3489.
56.   Munji RN, Choe Y, Li G, Siegenthaler JA, Pleasure SJ. Wnt signaling regulates
neuronal differentiation of cortical intermediate progenitors. J Neurosci. 2011;31(5):1676-
87.
57.   Zhang X, Chen MH, Wu X, Kodani A, Fan J, Doan R, et al. Cell-Type-Specific
Alternative Splicing Governs Cell Fate in the Developing Cerebral Cortex. Cell.
2016;166(5):1147-62.e15.
58.   Zhang M, Ergin V, Lin L, Stork C, Chen L, Zheng S. Axonogenesis Is Coordinated by
Neuron-Specific Alternative Splicing Programming and Splicing Regulator PTBP2. Neuron.
2019;101(4):690-706.e10.
59.   Lin YS, Wang HY, Huang DF, Hsieh PF, Lin MY, Chou CH, et al. Neuronal Splicing
Regulator RBFOX3 (NeuN) Regulates Adult Hippocampal Neurogenesis and Synaptogenesis.
PLoS One. 2016;11(10):e0164164.
60.   Vuong CK, Black DL, Zheng S. The neurogenetics of alternative splicing. Nature
Reviews Neuroscience. 2016;17(5):265-81.

61.   Linsen SEV, Tops BBJ, Cuppen E. miRNAs: small changes, widespread effects. Cell
Research. 2008;18(12):1157-9.
62.   Ramsköld D, Wang ET, Burge CB, Sandberg R. An abundance of ubiquitously
expressed genes revealed by tissue transcriptome sequence data. PLoS Comput Biol.
2009;5(12):e1000598.
63.   Miura P, Shenker S, Andreu-Agullo C, Westholm JO, Lai EC. Widespread and
extensive lengthening of 3' UTRs in the mammalian brain. Genome Res. 2013;23(5):812-25.
64.   Agarwal V, Bell GW, Nam J-W, Bartel DP. Predicting effective microRNA target sites
in mammalian mRNAs. eLife. 2015;4:e05005.
65.   Margolin AA, Nemenman I, Basso K, Wiggins C, Stolovitzky G, Favera RD, et al.
ARACNE: An Algorithm for the Reconstruction of Gene Regulatory Networks in a
Mammalian Cellular Context. BMC Bioinformatics. 2006;7(1):S7.
855