Antibiotics at Clinical Concentrations Show Limited Effectivity Against Acute and Chronic Intracellular *S. aureus* Infections in Osteocytes

Anja R. Zelmer[1#], Nicholas J. Gunn[1], Dongqing Yang[1], L. Bogdan Solomon[1,2], Renjy Nelson[3], Stephen P. Kidd[4,5], Katharina Richter[6,7] and Gerald J. Atkins[1#]

[1] Centre for Orthopaedic & Trauma Research, Adelaide Medical School, Faculty of Health and Medical Sciences, University of Adelaide, Adelaide, SA, Australia

[2] Department of Orthopaedics and Trauma, Royal Adelaide Hospital, Adelaide, SA, Australia

[3] Department of Infectious Diseases, Central Adelaide Local Health Network, Adelaide, SA, Australia

[4] Australian Centre for Antimicrobial Resistance Ecology, University of Adelaide, Adelaide, SA, Australia

[5] Research Centre for Infectious Disease, School of Biological Science, University of Adelaide, Adelaide, SA, Australia

[6] Richter Lab, Department of Surgery, Basil Hetzel Institute for Translational Health Research, University of Adelaide, Adelaide, SA, Australia

[7] Institute for Photonics and Advanced Sensing, University of Adelaide, Adelaide, SA, Australia

[#]Corresponding authors:

Prof. Gerald J. Atkins, Email: Gerald.Atkins@adelaide.edu.au

Anja R. Zelmer, Email: Anja.Zelmer@adelaide.edu.au

23   Running head: ABX Treatment of Intra-osteocytic *S. aureus* Infections

24   **Keywords:** Intracellular *S. aureus* infection, chronic osteomyelitis, intracellular antibiotic

25   treatment

Abstract

Case numbers of osteomyelitis are rising and chronic infections remain difficult to cure. While it is known that the major pathogen *Staphylococcus aureus* can persist intracellularly in osteocytes, the effectivity of antibiotics against intracellular *S. aureus* in human osteocytes remains largely unknown. Rifampicin, vancomycin, levofloxacin, ofloxacin, amoxicillin, oxacillin, doxycycline, linezolid, gentamicin and tigecycline were assessed for their MIC and minimum bacterial concentrations (MBC) against 11 *S. aureus* clinical isolates and the reference strain ATCC 25923, at pH 5.0 and 7.2 to mimic lysosomal and cytoplasmic environments, respectively. Five antibiotics reached clinically relevant concentrations above their respective MIC and were tested in osteocyte infection models. Osteocytes were treated at 1, 4 and 10x the MIC for 1 and 7 days immediately following infection (acute model), or after 14 days of infection (chronic model). The effectivity of each antibiotic on intracellular bacteria was measured in terms of colony forming unit (CFU) reduction, small colony variant (SCV) formation and specific bacterial mRNA expression change. Only rifampicin, levofloxacin and linezolid reduced intracellular CFU numbers significantly in the acute model. The effect was larger after 7 days compared to 1 day of treatment. However, no treatment reduced the quantity of bacterial mRNA, nor prevented non-culturable bacteria from returning to a culturable state. This indicates that *S. aureus* adapts phenotypically during intracellular infections of osteocyte-like cells, adopting a reversible quiescent state and is protected against antibiotics even at 10x MIC. Thus, new therapeutic approaches are necessary to cure *S. aureus* intracellular infections in osteocytes.

Introduction

Osteomyelitis caused by pathogenic bacteria is a rare and often difficult to treat infection of bone tissue. These infections can present in different contexts, such as periprosthetic joint infection, diabetic foot infection (DFI) and fracture related infection, and are therefore strongly associated with co-morbidities, such as diabetes, rheumatoid arthritis and trauma (1). Since the prevalence of these co-morbidities is increasing, so is the incidence of osteomyelitis cases (2, 3). Especially challenging are recurrent and chronic infections, which can reappear years after the initial infection. They make up approximately 40% of osteomyelitis cases (4) and have a high treatment failure rate, up to 37% (5, 6).

The main causative pathogens of osteomyelitis are Staphylococci, of which *Staphylococcus aureus* is both the most common and problematic. In periprosthetic joint infection, up to 50% of osteomyelitis is caused by methicillin-resistant *S. aureus* (MRSA) and *S. aureus* has the highest treatment failure rate in periprosthetic joint infection, up to 4 times higher than the next most common pathogen, *Staphylococcus epidermidis* (7, 8). A major reason for the high treatment failure rate of osteomyelitis caused by *S. aureus* is the adaptability of the pathogen. On the one hand, *S. aureus* can survive in reservoirs, such as in abscesses, biofilms and the osteocyte lacunocanalicular network, while on the other hand, the pathogen can establish intracellular infections in a number of immune and bone cell types, including osteocytes (9). In intracellular infections, two thirds of available antibiotics have been reported to be ineffective (10). This could be caused by a sub-therapeutic antibiotic concentration reaching the bacteria due to low drug penetration into the host cell or the failure to accumulate in the same compartment in which bacteria reside. *S. aureus* can persist intracellularly in phagosomes, with or without lysosomal fusion, or in the cytoplasm (11, 12). The effectivity of antibiotics can change intracellularly due to enzymes affecting the drug and a low intracellular pH, which is on average 0.3 pH units lower in the cytoplasm than extracellularly (pH 7.0-7.4) and as low as pH 4.5 in lysosomes (13, 14), making intracellular effectivity difficult to predict (15).

S. aureus can adapt phenotypically by forming alternative growth phenotypes, such as small

colony variants (SCV) and persister cells, especially in biofilms and intracellularly (16-19).

Chronic osteomyelitis is associated with increased SCV formation (8, 20), which has been

observed intracellularly in bone cells *ex vivo* and *in vitro* (16, 21). Furthermore, it has been

shown that this phenotype displays adaptations resulting in higher antibiotic tolerance and

immune protection that are thought to promote chronic infections (15, 17, 22, 23). Antibiotic

tolerance is defined as the ability of a bacterial population to survive but not replicate during

antibiotic treatment, in contrast to resistance, where a bacterial population can replicate in the

presence of an antibiotic (24). Another phenotypic adaptation in chronic infections is that of

persister cells, which are a subpopulation of dormant bacteria with reduced metabolism,

rendering them impervious to most current antibiotics (18, 25). Thus, to treat chronic

osteomyelitis effectively, both the intracellular location and phenotypic adaptation of the

causative pathogen are potential factors to consider.

Only a few studies have reported intracellular antibiotic effectivity in bone cells, the majority of

these being in osteoblasts (26). The treatment of intracellular *S. aureus* infection in osteocytes

is yet to be thoroughly investigated. Osteocytes form a syncytial network throughout the bone

and are the most abundant and long-lived resident population (27), theoretically providing an

ideal niche for long-term infections. Intracellular infections of osteocytes have been reported

in vivo (28), *ex vivo* and *in vitro* (16, 29). Furthermore, intracellular colonies of *S. aureus* were

isolated up to at least 15 days post-infection in osteocyte-like cells and showed evidence of

phenotypic adaption into SCV (16, 29), indicating chronic intracellular persistence of *S. aureus*

in osteocytes.

In this study, we investigated the effectivity of clinically relevant antibiotics at concentrations

predicted to be achievable in the bone in an intracellular osteocyte model. Additionally, both

acute and chronic infections of *S. aureus* were modelled, as well as short and long-term

antibiotic treatments. The antibiotics rifampicin, vancomycin, levofloxacin, ofloxacin,

amoxicillin, oxacillin, doxycycline, linezolid, gentamicin and tigecycline were chosen,

representing different mechanisms of action, levels of evidence and reported effectivity in

previous studies, as recently reviewed (26). Furthermore, we tested multiple osteomyelitis-

related clinical isolates of *S. aureus* to take into consideration possible phenotypic adaptations,

such as SCV, which might influence the bacterial susceptibility towards antibiotics and clinical

outcome.

Results

MIC and Minimal Bactericidal Concentration (MBC)

To determine which of the chosen antibiotics could satisfy the dosage criteria of being both clinically relevant and achievable in the bone, we determined the MIC and MBC for rifampicin, vancomycin, levofloxacin, ofloxacin, amoxicillin, oxacillin, doxycycline, linezolid, gentamicin and tigecycline against 11 clinical isolates of *S. aureus* from DFI patients and the ATCC 25923 reference strain. As *S. aureus* can persist intracellularly in phagolysosomes, as well as the cytosol, we also tested the MIC and MBC for each antibiotic at both pH 5.0 and 7.2. The results are summarised in **Table 1**; clinical isolates 5 and 10 did not grow at pH 5.0, hence no MIC or MBC could be determined under these conditions.

Compared to the ATCC 25923 strain, all clinical isolates were similarly susceptible to vancomycin, linezolid, gentamicin and tigecycline. However, five strains (clinical isolates 2-5,10) were resistant to levofloxacin, ofloxacin and oxacillin (30). The MBC for some strains was at least 4-fold higher than the MIC for rifampicin, oxacillin, doxycycline, linezolid and tigecycline, indicating a large bacteriostatic range under the culture conditions used. Some antibiotics showed pH dependency, with levofloxacin, ofloxacin, doxycycline and tigecycline being at least 4-times more effective at pH 7.2 than at pH 5.0 and rifampicin, oxacillin and gentamicin were more effective at pH 5.0 than pH 7.2, which is consistent with previous studies (26). There were strong variations in the antibiotic susceptibility of the bacterial strains: clinical isolates 1, 6-9, and the ATCC reference strain were susceptible to most antibiotics, while isolates 2-5, 10 and 11 were resistant to at least 3 antibiotics.

The MIC and MBC values for each strain were compared to the known concentrations of the antibiotics that can be achieved in the bone (26, 31-35). Only antibiotics that could reach a potentially therapeutic concentration in at least 6 strains (i.e. >4x MIC (36)), namely doxycycline, oxacillin, levofloxacin, rifampicin and linezolid, were further tested in an

131   intracellular infection model. Our capacity to test amoxicillin further was limited, as while this

132   can reach 27.8-37.4 mg/l in bone (31, 34), we only had access to the trihydrate and not the

133   more soluble amoxicillin-sodium form, limiting testing up to 2 mg/l, which was lower than the

134   MIC for some strains at pH 7.2 (**Table 1**).

Infected Host Cell Survival

For infection experiments, clinical isolate 8 was chosen, since it was relatively susceptible to

most antibiotics in an extracellular environment. To ensure that neither the bacterial infection

itself, nor the antibiotic treatments affected host cell viability, we conducted live/dead staining

at each time point and measured the *18S* rRNA expression. There was no significant change

in host cell *18S* rRNA expression due to the infection or any treatment, as shown for

levofloxacin as a representative example of all treatments at any time point (**Supp. Fig. 1A**).

No difference in viability of the host cells between the infected and uninfected controls or any

time point within the assay were observed (**Supp. Fig. 1B**).

Intracellular Antibacterial Activity

Next, we tested the five candidate antibiotics (doxycycline, oxacillin, levofloxacin, rifampicin

and linezolid) for intracellular antibacterial activity at 1, 4 and 10x their respective MIC. The

treatment period was either 1 day or 7 days and started either immediately (acute model) or

14 days (chronic model) after the infection. As an outcome, we measured the numbers of

colony forming units (CFU), the proportion of SCV, and mRNA expression of bacterial genes.

A schematic for the infection models is depicted in **Figure 1**.

Acute Infection Model

To evaluate the effectivity of treatments given immediately during an acute infection, we first

determined the effect on CFU reduction, caused by the different antibiotics and antibiotic

concentration levels.

For all experiments there was a natural decline in CFU recovery between 1- and 7-days post-

infection (**Fig. 2**), consistent with phenotypic loss of culturability. Beyond this, doxycycline had

no effect on the number of intracellular CFU at any dosage after either 1 or 7 days of treatment

(**Fig. 2A, Table 2**). Even though oxacillin caused a significant CFU reduction after 1 day of

treatment at all concentrations, the reduction was less than 1-log (**Fig. 2B, Table 2**), which

would not be clinically useful. After 7 days of treatment with oxacillin, no significant effect was

observed, indicating that oxacillin most likely does not reduce intracellular *S. aureus* number

to a clinically relevant extent. Similarly, levofloxacin was ineffective at all concentrations after

1 day of treatment. However, 7 days of levofloxacin treatment at all concentrations resulted in

a significant and clinically relevant intracellular CFU-reduction of up to 2.98-log (**Fig. 2C, Table**

2), indicating that levofloxacin might be an effective treatment, when given for a prolonged

period. Rifampicin caused the highest significant dose-dependent CFU reduction after 1 day

of treatment of up to 2.28-log (**Fig. 2D, Table 2**), which increased up to 3.32-log after 7 days

of treatment. The highest significant CFU reduction of 3.74-log was achieved after 7 days of

treatment with linezolid, however, linezolid had a significant but smaller impact after 1 day of

treatment of 1.54 log (**Fig. 2E, Table 2**). Rifampicin and linezolid therefore seemed to be able

to reduce intracellular *S. aureus* CFU immediately after 1 day, but had an increased impact

after 7 days, making them the most potent candidate treatments to reduce CFU numbers

during an acute infection.

When evaluating the impact of antibiotic treatments on bacterial mRNA levels, we did not find

concordance with CFU recovery. Even at the highest antibacterial concentration used for 7

days of treatment no antibiotic treatment reduced intracellular levels of *aroE* or *gyrB* mRNA

compared to the untreated control (**Fig. 2F**).

Next, we analysed the phenotypes of the recovered colonies. Overall, the proportion of SCV

(%SCV) increased from 3.01% ± 2.06% at the day of the infection to 7.22% ± 3.29% after 7

days in the untreated controls (*p = 0.0012*) (**Supp. Fig 2A**). Doxycycline, oxacillin and linezolid

did not significantly impact the %SCV at any concentration after 1 or 7 days of treatment (**Fig.**

3A, B and E). However, levofloxacin caused a dose-dependent increase in the %SCV with up

to 28.21% ± 15.67% at day 7, which was significantly higher than the untreated control (*p =*

0.0007) (**Fig. 3C**). Rifampicin, in contrast, significantly reduced the proportion of SCV to 1.73%

± 2.44% after 7 days of treatment at 1x MIC (*p = 0.0197*) (**Fig. 3D**). For rifampicin at 4x MIC

and 10x MIC no effect could be quantified since most wells did not grow any colonies. Example

images of WT and SCV are shown in **Figure 3F**. The formation of SCV has been shown to be

associated with an increased expression of *sigB* or *asp23* (37, 38). After 7 days of treatment,

there was a non-significant and less than 2-fold change in the expression of *sigB* or *asp23*

and no change caused by any treatment (**Supp. Fig 2B-C**), showing no evident relationship

between colony morphology and gene expression in this experiment.

Chronic Infection Model

Following 14 days of infection, free of antimicrobial treatment, cells were treated for a further

1 or 7 days at 1, 4 and 10x MIC with doxycycline (**Fig. 4A**), oxacillin (**Fig. 4B**), levofloxacin

(**Fig. 4C**), rifampicin (**Fig. 4D**) or linezolid (**Fig. 4E**) as in the acute model. CFU numbers and

mRNA expression were evaluated as in the acute model.

Only very low CFU numbers, if any, were recovered, so that the change in CFU number and

%SCV could not statistically analysed. The initial bacterial intracellular bacterial number in the

doxycycline and levofloxacin experiments (see **Fig. 2A, C**) were higher than in the oxacillin,

levofloxacin and linezolid experiments, which might contribute to the overall higher CFU

numbers evident in the chronic infection model (**Fig. 4A, C**). For oxacillin (**Fig. 4B**), rifampicin

(**Fig. 4D**) and linezolid (**Fig. 4E**), less than 100 colonies were recovered after 1 day and no

colonies (with the exception of one well for oxacillin) were cultured after 7 days.

Interestingly, bacterial mRNA expression was similar to levels in the acute model and did not

significantly change with any treatment throughout the time course, as shown in **Figure 4F**.

Since the mRNA levels were similar to the acute model, the reduction in CFU might not be

due to a bacterial decline but a switch to a non-culturable phenotype.

Bacterial Resuscitation

To further test if antibiotic-treated, chronically infected cells harboured viable bacteria, a

resuscitation assay was used. Differentiated SaOS-2 osteocyte-like cells harbouring quiescent

S. aureus were treated with each antibiotic at 10x MIC in a multi-well assay plate. Following

removal of the antibiotic and any lysostaphin in the media, plates were monitored for bacterial

outgrowth. As shown in **Figure 5,** bacterial resuscitation occurred in all cases. The infected

control (**Fig. 5A**), and cells treated with oxacillin (**Fig. 5C**) and linezolid (**Fig. 5F**) had a similar

outgrowth of ~50% after 7 days and >80% after 11 days. Levofloxacin treated cells (**Fig. 5D**)

showed a similar behaviour after 7 days but had a lower proportion of outgrowth after 11 days.

Cells that were exposed to doxycycline (**Fig. 5B**), showed an overall lower outgrowth at day 5

and 11, while rifampicin (**Fig. 5E**) only produced outgrowth at day 11. It is noteworthy that

most wells that had culturable bacteria in the lysate also had culturable bacteria in the

supernatant, except for rifampicin-treated wells, where culturable bacteria were only

recovered from the host cell lysate.

In addition to the observation of outgrowth, bacterial DNA levels were measured. Compared

to the DNA levels at a culturable state immediately after infection, the DNA levels decreased

significantly for all conditions between 2-3-logs when the bacteria were non-culturable at day

1. However, this change was smaller than the change in CFU numbers and larger than the

mRNA changes in the chronic model (see **Fig. 4**). DNA levels increased significantly with the

increase in culturability for all conditions (**Fig. 5A-D**) with the exception of rifampicin (**Fig. 5E**).

The ability to regain a culturable phenotype after treatment and persistence of high levels of

mRNA expression confirms that the antibiotics failed to kill intracellular bacteria in this model

and instead may have promoted a switch to a non-culturable but metabolically active

phenotype.

Discussion

The treatment of chronic and recurrent bone infection is becoming increasingly challenging with a rise in case numbers and a high proportion of treatment failure. One pathway for this failure is intracellularly persisting bacteria, especially within osteocytes, which are the most abundant, longest lived and arguably the most immune privileged bone cell type. Therefore, the effectivity of antibiotics used at clinically relevant concentrations against intracellular *S. aureus,* the most common pathogen responsible of causing osteomyelitis was investigated in the context of osteocyte infections.

The 10 antibiotics tested represent a variety of classes and mechanisms of action. These, include protein biosynthesis inhibitors, such as the oxazolidinone linezolid, the tetracycline doxycycline, the glycylcycline tigecycline and the aminoglycoside gentamicin, enzyme inhibitors such as the fluoroquinolones ofloxacin and levofloxacin and the ansamycine rifampicin, and cell wall inhibitors, such as the beta lactams amoxicillin and oxacillin and the glycopeptide vancomycin. All of these antibiotics are currently used clinically for the treatment of osteomyelitis (26).

Rifampicin is one of the most demonstrably effective antibiotics against intracellular *S. aureus* (26). MICs for rifampicin have been reported between 0.0005-0.016 mg/l (32, 39-44), being even lower at pH 5.0 (39-42), and represent a large potential therapeutic window as up to 5-6.5 mg/l that can be achieved in the bone (31, 32, 35). We found an MIC range between 0.005-0.032 mg/l at pH 7.2 and 0.00125-0.008 at pH 5, with a 2-8-fold higher sensitivity at pH 5.0 compared to pH 7.2. In the osteocyte infection model, rifampicin reduced the intracellular CFU number after 24h, with up to a 2.28-log reduction, and up to a 3.7-log reduction after 7 days of treatment. Rifampicin was also the only drug to significantly reduce SCV appearance. It is remarkable that this effect was achieved with the low concentration range of 0.016-0.16 mg/l, while other studies used 2-32 mg/l and often only found a 1-log reduction after 24h (40, 42-45). Furthermore, it was the only drug treatment that did not yield culturable bacteria in the media after antibiotic removal and yielded continuously low relative bacterial DNA quantities.

Linezolid was the only protein biosynthesis inhibitor tested that was effective in reducing

intracellular bacterial culturability at a clinically relevant concentration, in particular after a

longer treatment duration of 7 days. The MICs were between 1-4 mg/l, similar to other studies

(32, 39, 40, 43, 46, 47), with little pH dependency, however the MBCs were up to 64-fold

higher than the MIC. After 24h of treatment the maximal reduction of 1.54-log was similar to

most reported findings (40, 43, 46, 48-51), though the 7 day effectivity was considerably

improved, with up to a 3.74-log reduction at 40 mg/l and 2.87 at 16 mg/l. These levels of

effectivity are greater than that reported in a primary osteoblast model at 20 mg/l (21) and was

the greatest reduction achieved after 7 days of treatment in this study. Valour *et al.*, however,

reported an effectivity of up to 3-log after 1 day of treatment in MG-63 osteoblasts (32). Our

study suggests that linezolid might be effective to reduce CFU when it is given for a longer

duration, and appears to impact the growth phenotype, as persister cells readily reverted and

escaped after antibiotic removal.

Even though the fluoroquinolone ofloxacin showed a similar MIC of 0.5 mg/l against the

ATCC25923 strain to that found for the three clinical isolates tested, six clinical isolates were

resistant to this antibiotic according to EUCAST (30), which is consistent with other reports

(32, 39, 52, 53). Furthermore, susceptible strains showed an approximately 10-fold reduced

susceptibility at pH 5.0, resulting in a higher MIC than the 3 mg/l achievable in bone (32).

Therefore, ofloxacin was not investigated further in the osteocyte model. However, Valour *et*

al. found ofloxacin to be very effective (3-5-log reduction) after 24h against an *S. aureus* strain

with an MIC also of 0.5 mg/l (32). The newer fluoroquinolone, levofloxacin, similarly showed a

lower susceptibility of 10-fold at pH 5.0 compared to pH 7.2 and cross-resistance to ofloxacin

in our study, which is consistent with other studies (39, 40, 54). However, when susceptible,

the MIC was between 0.5-5 mg/l at both pH levels tested, a range that easily falls below the

maximum concentration of 10 mg/l achievable in bone (31). In the osteocyte model, the CFU

number after 24h of levofloxacin treatment was reduced up to 1-log, similar to previous reports

(39, 40, 54), but this was reduced further, up to 2.98-log, after 7 days of treatment. Still,

levofloxacin failed to reduce the bacterial mRNA levels at any stage and showed a significant dose-dependent increase in SCV emergence after 7 days of treatment. Therefore, fluoroquinolones might be effective in reducing intracellular CFU numbers if the bacterial strain is non-resistant, however, they also might induce phenotypic switches to less antibiotic and immune-susceptible phenotypes and should therefore only be used after careful consideration.

Doxycycline showed a strongly strain-dependent MIC between <0.03125–0.5 mg/l and 3 strains had a MIC of 8 mg/l or higher, which were classified as resistant (30). There was only a slight pH dependency. Since the maximum bone concentration is reportedly up to 3 mg/l, we tested doxycycline effectivity in the osteocyte infection model. However, it did not prove to be effective, with less than a 1-log reduction in CFU and no effect on mRNA expression of the bacterial genes tested.

The three cell wall inhibitors (oxacillin, amoxicillin and vancomycin) tested were found to be ineffective in reducing intracellular bacterial numbers. Oxacillin had low MICs of 0.03125-0.25 mg/l for most strains and was much more effective at pH 5.0 than at pH 7.2 (up to 16-fold), which is consistent with other studies (39, 40, 55) and much lower than the maximum bone concentration of 4-10 mg/l (31, 32). However, in this study the outcomes were similar at all concentrations tested (0.625-2.5 mg/l) after 1 day and 7 days with a less than 1-log CFU reduction. This is comparable to findings reported by Barcia-Macay *et al*., who found a similar reduction at 0.125 and 1.25 mg/l but only up to a 2-log reduction at 63 mg/l in a THP-1 macrophage model (40). In contrast, others found less than a 1-log CFU reduction at 10 and 64 mg/l (43, 55). Only Valour *et al.* found an intracellular CFU reduction between 1.7 and 4.9-log at higher concentrations of 3.3-30 mg/l (32). Overall, we conclude that at clinically-relevant concentrations, oxacillin is most likely ineffective against intracellular *S. aureus.* Gentamicin was used as a standard control antibiotic and is often described as only being effective against extracellular pathogens, and is therefore used to clear extracellular bacteria in intracellular infection assays (56). However, the cell:plasma ratio measured in macrophages between 4.4

and 6.8 is comparable to other antibiotics that have intracellular activity, such as linezolid and
oxacillin (40, 57). Similarly to previous studies, we observed a 2- to 8-fold reduced effectivity
of gentamicin at pH 5.0 compared to pH 7.2 (40, 41, 57), which might contribute to the low
intracellular activity of 1-3-log reported in bone cells (21, 45, 58-60), when given at
concentrations that exceed those that can be expected in the bone due to poor bone
penetration (31). Therefore, gentamicin does not seem to be a suitable candidate to treat
chronic osteomyelitis.

Tigecycline showed a consistently low MIC of 0.125 mg/l, which is comparable to other
studies, as well as reduced effectivity at pH 5.0 of up to 8-fold (32, 39, 43). It was not tested
further because the MIC at pH 5 and the MBC were higher than the achievable bone
concentration of 0.3 mg/l (32). Two studies found only a less than 1-log reduction in CFU at 1
and 10 mg/l, which is higher than the maximal concentration within bone, indicating a low
potential for the treatment of bone infections. In contrast, tigecycline used at 0.3 mg/l led to an
intracellular bacterial reduction of 3.9-log in MG-63 osteoblasts (32), indicating that despite
low bone penetration, this antibiotic might be effective through mechanisms such as
intracellular accumulation. In spite of this, the relatively low therapeutic window of 0.125 mg/l
MIC and maximum concentration of 0.3 mg/l makes the potential effectivity of tigecycline
doubtful.

Vancomycin showed low variation in MIC due to strain and pH differences, with all values
falling between 0.5-2 mg/l, comparable to other studies (32, 39-41, 43). Since the maximum
bone concentration is estimated to be between 1.1-6 mg/l (31, 32, 35), the antibiotic was not
tested further in the osteocyte model. Other studies found either no change or a maximum of
1-log reduction in CFU at concentrations up to 50 mg/l even after 7 days of treatment (21, 32,
40, 43), providing strong evidence that even when supra-clinical concentrations of vancomycin
are used that vastly exceed the concentration that can be achieved in the bone, the antibiotic
remains ineffective against intracellular *S. aureus*.

Of five antibiotics tested here, only two had a significant impact on SCV induction, with

levofloxacin increasing and rifampicin decreasing the %SCV. Rifampicin has been previously

reported to induce the appearance of SCV (42, 44), however this has not always been the

case (21, 32). The impact of levofloxacin treatment across different studies was inconsistent

with either no effect or a decrease in prevalence of SCV (42, 61). However, the strain used

herein throughout the intracellular infection experiments showed overall a low induction of

SCV compared to other strains, which might have influenced the outcome. This implies that

the emergence of SCV in response to an antibiotic may be both strain and host cell context-

dependent rather than an intrinsic property of the antibiotic in question. The low SCV formation

overall during the experiment is most likely the cause for the lack of upregulation of *asp23* and

sigB, which have been regularly reported during chronic intracellular persistence of *S. aureus*

that involve an increase in SCV prevalence (16, 37, 38, 62).

Furthermore, this study highlights an important limitation of the utility of currently available

antibiotics, in that while culturability may be inhibited, intracellular bacterial viability and activity

may be unaffected, an observation that was especially evident in the delayed treatment model,

and may be able to regain culturability as shown in the outgrowth experiment. In the

resuscitation model, it is noteworthy that the first culturable bacteria were detected several

days after the removal of the antibiotics. Most cultures started to show bacteria in the media

after 7 days following antibiotic removal, with the exception of oxacillin, which displayed

outgrowth within 4 days of its removal, and rifampicin-treated cultures, which only acquired

intracellular culturable bacteria within the time frame of the experiment. The observation of

persisting high DNA counts with a decrease in culturability, up to a non-culturable state during

to antibiotic treatment with rifampicin and vancomycin has been recently been published (63).

This is further evidence that phenotype switching to low- or non-culturable phenotypes is an

important and emerging aspect of intracellular infections (16, 29, 64) and additional efforts

should ideally be employed to monitor this.

Limitations of this study, include the limited number of antibiotics tested and the mono-

therapeutic approach. Clinically utilised antibiotics were selected to represent the various

known mechanisms of action and included those that were concluded to be most likely

effective in a recent systematic review (26). Future investigations of additional antibiotics and

clinically-relevant combinations should be considered. Further, even though the MIC/MBC

were tested in multiple clinical isolates of *S. aureus*, for feasibility purposes, the intracellular

infection assay was only conducted with one clinical isolate. However, this isolate, an actual

clinical osteomyelitis strain, brings further clinical relevance to the findings. The purpose of the

model utilised here was for the high-throughput testing of antimicrobial effectivity against

intracellular *S. aureus* in a human osteocyte-like context. To achieve reproducibility, we used

an osteocyte-like cell line model rather than primary cells, which might behave differently.

However, osteogenically differentiated SaOS-2 is a validated human osteocyte cell line model

for infection experiments, shown to possess key properties of primary cells (29, 65, 66).

In conclusion, we show in a human osteocyte-like cell culture model, the most effective

antibiotics at clinically relevant dosages in reducing intracellular CFU were rifampicin,

levofloxacin, and linezolid. Furthermore, we show that treatment duration has a significant

impact on the effectivity of these antibiotics, which should be considered in further studies.

However, this study also demonstrated that treatments that seem to be effective in reducing

bacterial CFU numbers, might not necessarily eradicate the bacteria, as bacteria may simply

switch to a non-culturable state and regrow once antibiotic pressure is removed.

Material and Methods

Osteocyte-like Culture

All chemicals were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St Louis, USA), unless stated otherwise.

SaOS-2 ATCC ® HTB-85™ (obtained from the American Type Culture Collection, Manassas,

USA) were passaged in alpha-modification of minimum essential medium (αMEM)

supplemented with 10% v/v foetal calf serum (FCS; Thermo-Fisher Scientific, Scoresby,

Australia), 50 mg/l ascorbate 2-phosphate and standard tissue culture additives (10 mM

HEPES, 2 mM L-Glutamine, 1 unit/ml penicillin/streptomycin each, Thermo-Fisher Scientific)

at 37°C/ 5% CO_2/ 21% O_2.Cells were differentiated to an osteocyte-like stage as previously

described (66). Briefly, cells were cultured in 48 well plates until confluence. Then the FCS

concentration was reduced to 5% v/v, 1.8 mM potassium di-hydrogen phosphate (AJAY

Chemicals, Auburn, Australia) was added, and the cells were incubated at 37°C/ 5% CO_2/ 1%

O_2 (Galaxy 170 R/ New Brunswick/ Eppendorf). Cells were cultured for up to 14 days with bi-

weekly media change, with the last media change being into antibiotic-free media. To ensure

that an osteocyte-like state was achieved, the expression of the osteocyte-marker genes

Col1a1, *RunX2*, *MEPE*, *BGLAP, DMP1* and *SOST* were measured after 7 and 14 d of

differentiation. All osteocyte marker gene expression increased significantly over time. The

mineralisation was confirmed via Alizarin Red and von Kossa staining (**Supp. Fig. 3)** (16, 66-

69).

Bacterial Culture and Growth Conditions

Eleven *S. aureus* clinical isolates were utilised that have been taken from patient's wound or

bone undergoing treatment for DFI and the methicillin susceptible *S. aureus* (MSSA) ATCC

25923 (obtained from the American Type Culture Collection). Bacteria were grown in terrific

broth (TB; Invitrogen, Waltham, USA) on a 37°C/ 200 rpm rocking platform, pelleted at 20,000

×g for 5 min and resuspended in phosphate buffered saline (PBS). The viable bacterial

concentration for each experiment was estimated from a CFU/ml *vs.* OD_{630} nm standard curve,

then validated by plating dilutions on nutrient broth (NB; 10 g/l Peptone, 5 g/l sodium chloride,

3 g/l beef extract; Chem-supply, Gillman, Australia)-agar.

MIC/MBC Assay

The MIC for tigecycline, linezolid, oxacillin sodium salt monohydrate, levofloxacin, ofloxacin (all Glentham Life Science, Corsham, UK), doxycycline hydrate, vancomycin, amoxicillin and rifampicin were determined with the microdilution method in TB at pH 5.0 (adjusted with HCl) and pH 7.2 (70). For MBC evaluation, all clear wells as well as the first well showing turbidity (as a positive control) were spot plated in triplicate and colony growth was observed after 24 and 48h. Each experiment was at least repeated once to ensure biological replication. European committee on antimicrobial susceptibility testing (EUCAST) tables were used to define resistance, however, TB was used instead of Mueller Hinton broth (30).

Intracellular Antibacterial Activity

Clinical isolate 8 was grown, as described above. The required number of bacteria for a multiplicity of infection (MOI) of 50 was diluted into PBS and validated by plating dilutions onto NB agar. Intracellular infection was conducted, essentially as previously described (16, 29, 63). Briefly, culture medium was removed, and the differentiated SaOS-2 cells were exposed to the bacterial suspension or sterile PBS (uninfected control) for 2 h. Following washing with PBS, all cells were re-incubated with 10 mg/l lysostaphin for 2 h in antibiotic-free media, to lyse extracellular bacteria. Then the cells were treated with antibiotics at 1x, 4x, 10x MIC (i.e., 0.25, 1, 2.5 mg/l doxycycline-hydrate, 0.016, 0.064, 0.16 mg/l rifampicin, 0.0625, 0.25, 0.625 mg/l oxacillin, 1, 4, 10 mg/l levofloxacin and 2, 8, 20 mg/l linezolid) immediately or after 14 days. The infected-untreated, infected-treated cells, as well as uninfected controls were harvested after 1, 7, 15 and 21 days. Infected-untreated and uninfected controls were additionally harvested immediately after the extracellular clearance and after 14 days. The antibiotic-free media of the cells was changed weekly with the addition of 10 mg/l lysostaphin throughout the experiment. Each condition was conducted in at least triplicate. To test for outgrowth after antibiotic treatment in the chronic model, the 10x MIC treatment was removed and the cells washed with PBS, before changing to an antibiotic- and lysostaphin-free media.

444   Media were spot plated regularly and observed for changes in colour and cloudiness daily.

445   After 11 days, cells were washed and lysed to identify any intracellular CFU that did not grow

446   into the media.

Quantification of Intracellular Bacteria *via* CFU Counting

At each time point, culture supernatants were tested for viable bacteria by spot-plating. The

media were then removed, and the host cells lysed in sterile water for 20 min. Cell lysates

were 1:10 serially diluted and plated on NB agar. Plates were evaluated after 24h and 48 h to

quantify colony number and phenotype. Colonies that 1) only appeared after 48h or were

smaller after 24h and did not increase in size compared to 48h like the wild type (WT) 2) had

a white colour were defined as SCV **(Fig. 3F).**

Measurement of Host Cell and Bacterial Gene Expression

Cell supernatant was removed, and RNA isolated using 300 µl TRI Reagent (Life

Technologies, Grand Island, USA), combining 2 wells/replicate. After DNAse digestion,

complementary DNA was synthesised with the the iScript gDNA clear cDNASynthesis kit

(BioRad, Hercules, USA), all as per manufacturer's instructions. DNA was extracted with the

Viagen DirectPCR® DNA Extraction System™ and 1% proteinase K (Australian Biosearch,

Wangara, Australia) for 10min at room temperature, 30min at 55°C and 15min at 85°C. The

DNA was then digested with Ncol-HF (New England BioLabs, Notting Hill, Australia). Real-

time PCR reactions were then performed to determine the mRNA levels of target genes or

DNA levels using RT2 Forget-Me-Not™ EvaGreen qPCR Mastermix (Biotium, Assay Matrix,

Melbourne, Australia) on a CFX Connect Real Time PCR System (BioRad). Measurement of

effects on bacterial housekeeping gene levels in response to a 10x MIC dose of each antibiotic

was made against infected but untreated control or the corresponding 1x MIC treatment.

Oligonucleotide primer sequences were used as previously published (16, 66, 68).

Live/Dead staining

Cells were cultured, as described above, on Cell Imaging Plates (Eppendorf, Germany). Immediately before the infection or 1, 7, 15 and 21 days after the infection the media of infected and uninfected cells were incubated in the dark at 37°C/ 5% CO_2/ 1% O_2 with eBioscience^{TM} Calcein Violet 450 AM Viability Dye (Invitrogen) and Ethidium Homodimer III (Biotium) for 30 min. Confocal images were taken with an Olympus FV3000 confocal microscope (Olympus, Tokyo, Japan) and processed with ImageJ software (Bethesda, USA) to obtain relative intensities of live/dead stained cells.

Statistics

Each experiment was conducted with a minimum of biological triplicates. For statistical analysis and graphical visualisation of the data GraphPad Prism version 9.0 (GraphPad Software, La Jolla, USA) was used. Differences in CFU, gene expression and proportion of SCV were analysed with either a 1- or 2-way ANOVA with a Tuckey's multiple comparison or an unpaired t -Test (α = 0.05). Graphs show mean values with SD.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by funding from the National Health and Medical Research Council of Australia (NHMRC; Grant No. 2011042) awarded to G.J.A. and D.Y.. A.R.Z. was supported by a University of Adelaide Faculty of Health and Medical Sciences Postgraduate Research Scholarship. The authors wish to thank Mr. James Lee and Professor Robert Fitridge (The University of Adelaide) for providing the clinical *S. aureus* isolates for this study.

Disclosures

None of the authors has any conflicts of interest, financial or otherwise, to disclose.

Author Contributions

ARZ project conception, performed experiments, manuscript draft

DY, NJG, KR, SPK experimental support, manuscript development

LBG, RN manuscript development

GJA, project conception, manuscript development

References

1. Kremers HM, Nwojo ME, Ransom JE, Wood-Wentz CM, Melton III LJ, Huddleston III PM. 2015. Trends in the epidemiology of osteomyelitis: A population-based study, 1969 to 2009. The Journal of Bone and Joint Surgery American Volume 97:837.
2. Singh JA, Yu S, Chen L, Cleveland JD. 2019. Rates of total joint replacement in the United States: future projections to 2020–2040 using the national inpatient sample. The Journal of rheumatology 46:1134-1140.
3. Walter N, Baertl S, Alt V, Rupp M. 2021. What is the burden of osteomyelitis in Germany? An analysis of inpatient data from 2008 through 2018. BMC Infectious Diseases 21:1-8.
4. Kavanagh N, Ryan EJ, Widaa A, Sexton G, Fennell J, O'rourke S, Cahill KC, Kearney CJ, O'brien FJ, Kerrigan SW. 2018. Staphylococcal osteomyelitis: Disease progression, treatment challenges, and future directions. Clinical Microbiology Reviews 31:1-25.
5. Kandel CE, Jenkinson R, Daneman N, Backstein D, Hansen BE, Muller MP, Katz KC, Widdifield J, Bogoch E, Ward S, Sajja A, Jeldes FG, McGeer A. 2019. Predictors of treatment failure for hip and knee prosthetic joint infections in the setting of 1- and 2-stage exchange arthroplasty: A multicenter retrospective cohort. Open Forum Infectious Diseases 6:1-7.
6. Davis JS, Metcalf S, Clark B, Robinson JO, Huggan P, Luey C, McBride S, Aboltins C, Nelson R, Campbell D, Solomon LB, Schneider K, Loewenthal MR, Yates P, Athan E, Cooper D, Rad B, Allworth T, Reid A, Read K, Leung P, Sud A, Nagendra V, Chean R, Lemoh C, Mutalima N, Tran T, Grimwade K, Sehu M, Looke D, Torda A, Aung T, Graves S, Paterson DL, Manning L. 2022. Predictors of treatment success after periprosthetic joint infection: 24-month follow up from a multicenter prospective observational cohort study of 653 Patients. Open Forum Infectious Diseases 9:1-9.
7. Muthukrishnan G, Masters EA, Daiss JL, Schwarz EM. 2019. Mechanisms of immune evasion and bone tissue colonization that make Staphylococcus aureus the primary pathogen in osteomyelitis. Current Osteoporosis Reports 17:395-404.
8. Tande AJ, Osmon DR, Greenwood-Quaintance KE, Mabry TM, Hanssen AD, Patel R. 2014. Clinical characteristics and outcomes of prosthetic joint infection caused by small colony variant staphylococci. mBio 5:1-9.
9. Gimza BD, Cassat JE. 2021. Mechanisms of antibiotic failure during Staphylococcus aureus osteomyelitis. Frontiers in Immunology 12.
10. Abed N, Couvreur P. 2014. Nanocarriers for antibiotics: A promising solution to treat intracellular bacterial infections. International Journal of Antimicrobial Agents 43:485-496.
11. Fraunholz M, Sinha B. 2012. Intracellular Staphylococcus aureus: live-in and let die. Frontiers in Cellular and Infection Microbiology 2:43.
12. Häffner N, Bär J, Dengler Haunreiter V, Mairpady Shambat S, Seidl K, Crosby HA, Horswill AR, Zinkernagel AS. 2020. Intracellular environment and agr system affect colony size heterogeneity of Staphylococcus aureus. Frontiers in Microbiology 11.
13. Carryn S, Chanteux H, Seral C, Mingeot-Leclercq M-P, Van Bambeke F, Tulkens PM. 2003. Intracellular pharmacodynamics of antibiotics. Infectious Disease Clinics 17:615-634.
14. Wang C, Zhao T, Li Y, Huang G, White MA, Gao J. 2017. Investigation of endosome and lysosome biology by ultra pH-sensitive nanoprobes. Advanced Drug Delivery Reviews 113:87-96.
15. Johns BE, Purdy KJ, Tucker NP, Maddocks SE. 2015. Phenotypic and genotypic characteristics of small colony variants and their role in chronic infection. Microbiology Insights 8:MBI. S25800.

16. Yang D, Wijenayaka AR, Solomon LB, Pederson SM, Findlay DM, Kidd SP, Atkins GJ.
2018. Novel insights into Staphylococcus aureus deep bone infections: The
involvement of osteocytes. mBio 9:1-10.
17. Lee J, Zilm PS, Kidd SP. 2020. Novel research models for Staphylococcus aureus
small colony variants (SCV) development: Co-pathogenesis and growth rate. Frontiers
in Microbiology 11.
18. Bui LM, Conlon BP, Kidd SP. 2017. Antibiotic tolerance and the alternative lifestyles of
Staphylococcus aureus. Essays in Biochemistry 61:71-79.
19. Tuchscherr L, Medina E, Hussain M, Völker W, Heitmann V, Niemann S, Holzinger D,
Roth J, Proctor RA, Becker K. 2011. Staphylococcus aureus phenotype switching: An
effective bacterial strategy to escape host immune response and establish a chronic
infection. EMBO Molecular Medicine 3:129-141.
20. Kahl BC, Becker K, Löffler B. 2016. Clinical significance and pathogenesis of
staphylococcal small colony variants in persistent infections. Clinical Microbiology
Reviews 29:401-427.
21. Tuchscherr L, Kreis C, Hoerr V, Flint L, Hachmeister M, Geraci J, Bremer-Streck S,
Kiehntopf M, Medina E, Kribus M. 2016. Staphylococcus aureus develops increased
resistance to antibiotics by forming dynamic small colony variants during chronic
osteomyelitis. Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy 71:438–448.
22. Loss G, Simões PM, Valour F, Cortês MF, Gonzaga L, Bergot M, Trouillet-Assant S,
Josse J, Diot A, Ricci E. 2019. Staphylococcus aureus small colony variants (SCVs):
News from a chronic prosthetic joint infection. Frontiers in Cellular and Infection
Microbiology 9:363.
23. Proctor RA, Von Eiff C, Kahl BC, Becker K, McNamara P, Herrmann M, Peters G.
2006. Small colony variants: A pathogenic form of bacteria that facilitates persistent
and recurrent infections. Nature Reviews Microbiology 4:295-305.
24. Balaban NQ, Helaine S, Lewis K, Ackermann M, Aldridge B, Andersson DI, Brynildsen
MP, Bumann D, Camilli A, Collins JJ. 2019. Definitions and guidelines for research on
antibiotic persistence. Nature Reviews Microbiology 17:441-448.
25. Chang J, Lee R-E, Lee W. 2020. A pursuit of Staphylococcus aureus continues: A role
of persister cells. Archives of Pharmacal Research 43:630-638.
26. Zelmer AR, Nelson R, Richter K, Atkins GJ. 2022. Can intracellular Staphylococcus
aureus in osteomyelitis be treated using current antibiotics? A systematic review and
narrative synthesis. Bone Research 10:1-18.
27. Prideaux M, Findlay DM, Atkins GJ. 2016. Osteocytes: The master cells in bone
remodelling. Current Opinion in Pharmacology 28:24-30.
28. Bosse MJ, Gruber HE, Ramp WK. 2005. Internalization of bacteria by osteoblasts in a
patient with recurrent, long-term osteomyelitis. The Journal of Bone and Joint Surgery
87:1343-1347.
29. Gunn NJ, Zelmer AR, Kidd SP, Solomon LB, Roscioli E, Yang D, Atkins GJ. 2021. A
human osteocyte cell line model for studying Staphylococcus aureus persistence in
osteomyelitis. Frontiers in Cellular and Infection Microbiology:1-10.
30. Anonymous. 2023. Breakpoint tables for interpretation of MICs and zone diameters.,
13.0 ed. The European Committee on Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing,
http://www.eucast.org.
31. Thabit AK, Fatani DF, Bamakhrama MS, Barnawi OA, Basudan LO, Alhejaili SF. 2019.
Antibiotic penetration into bone and joints: An updated review. International Journal of
Infectious Diseases 81:128-136.
32. Valour F, Trouillet-Assant S, Riffard N, Tasse J, Flammier S, Rasigade J-P, Chidiac C,
Vandenesch F, Ferry T, Laurent F. 2015. Antimicrobial activity against
intraosteoblastic Staphylococcus aureus. Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy
59:2029–2036.
33. Lovering A, Zhang J, Bannister G, Lankester B, Brown J, Narendra G, MacGowan A.
2002. Penetration of linezolid into bone, fat, muscle and haematoma of patients
undergoing routine hip replacement. Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy 50:73-77.

34. Landersdorfer CB, Bulitta JB, Kinzig M, Holzgrabe U, Sörgel F. 2009. Penetration of
antibacterials into bone. Clinical Pharmacokinetics 48:89-124.
35. Spellberg B, Lipsky BA. 2012. Systemic antibiotic therapy for chronic osteomyelitis in
adults. Clinical Infectious Diseases 54:393-407.
36. Schentag JJ, Gengo FM, Tsuang MT. 1982. Principles of antibiotic tissue penetration
and guidelines for pharmacokinetic analysis. The Journal of Urology 128:871-872.
37. Tuchscherr L, Bischoff M, Lattar SM, Noto Llana M, Pförtner H, Niemann S, Geraci J,
Van de Vyver H, Fraunholz MJ, Cheung AL. 2015. Sigma factor SigB is crucial to
mediate Staphylococcus aureus adaptation during chronic infections. PLoS Pathogens
11:1-26.
38. Mayer K, Kucklick M, Marbach H, Ehling-Schulz M, Engelmann S, Grunert T. 2021.
Within-host adaptation of Staphylococcus aureus in a bovine mastitis infection is
associated with increased cytotoxicity. International Journal of Molecular Sciences
22:8840.
39. Abad L, Chauvelot P, Audoux E, Andre C, Josse J, Dupieux C, Lustig S, Ferry T,
Verhoeven P, Diot A. 2021. Lysosomal alkalization to potentiate eradication of
intraosteoblastic Staphylococcus aureus in the bone and joint infection setting. Clinical
Microbiology and Infection.
40. Barcia-Macay M, Seral C, Mingeot-Leclercq M-P, Tulkens PM, Van Bambeke F. 2006.
Pharmacodynamic evaluation of the intracellular activities of antibiotics against
Staphylococcus aureus in a model of THP-1 macrophages. Antimicrobial Agents and
Chemotherapy 50:841-851.
41. Garcia L, Lemaire S, Kahl B, Becker K, Proctor R, Denis O, Tulkens PM, Van Bambeke
F. 2012. Pharmacodynamic evaluation of the activity of antibiotics against hemin-and
menadione-dependent small-colony variants of Staphylococcus aureus in models of
extracellular (broth) and intracellular (THP-1 monocytes) infections. Antimicrobial
Agents and Chemotherapy 56:3700-3711.
42. Meléndez-Carmona MÁ, Muñoz-Gallego I, Viedma E, Lora-Tamayo J, Chaves F.
2019. Intraosteoblastic activity of levofloxacin and rifampin alone and in combination
against clinical isolates of meticillin-susceptible Staphylococcus aureus causing
prosthetic joint infection. International Journal of Antimicrobial Agents 54:356-360.
43. Nguyen HA, Denis O, Vergison A, Theunis A, Tulkens PM, Struelens MJ, Van
Bambeke F. 2009. Intracellular activity of antibiotics in a model of human THP-1
Macrophages Infected by a Staphylococcus aureus small-colony variant strain isolated
from a cystic fibrosis patient: Pharmacodynamic evaluation and comparison with
isogenic normal-phenotype and revertant strains. Antimicrobial Agents and
Chemotherapy 53:1434-1442.
44. Abad L, Josse J, Tasse J, Lustig S, Ferry T, Diot A, Laurent F, Valour F. 2020.
Antibiofilm and intraosteoblastic activities of rifamycins against Staphylococcus
aureus: Promising in vitro profile of rifabutin. Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy
75:1466-1473.
45. Yu K, Song L, Kang HP, Kwon HK, Back J, Lee FY. 2020. Recalcitrant methicillin-
resistant Staphylococcus aureus infection of bone cells: Intracellular penetration and
control strategies. Bone and Joint Research 9:49-59.
46. Gao T, Lin J, Zhang C, Zhu H, Zheng X. 2020. Is intracellular Staphylococcus aureus
associated with recurrent infection in a rat model of open fracture? Bone and Joint
Research 9:71-76.
47. Lemaire S, Kosowska-Shick K, Appelbaum PC, Verween G, Tulkens PM, Van
Bambeke F. 2010. Cellular pharmacodynamics of the novel biaryloxazolidinone
radezolid: studies with infected phagocytic and nonphagocytic cells, using
Staphylococcus aureus, Staphylococcus epidermidis, Listeria monocytogenes, and
Legionella pneumophila. Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy 54:2549-2559.
48. Yang X, Shi G, Guo J, Wang C, He Y. 2018. Exosome-encapsulated antibiotic against
intracellular infections of methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus. International
Journal of Nanomedicine 13:8095.

49. Guo P, Buttaro BA, Xue HY, Tran NT, Wong HL. 2020. Lipid-polymer hybrid nanoparticles carrying linezolid improve treatment of methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA) harbored inside bone cells and biofilms. European Journal of Pharmaceutics and Biopharmaceutics 151:189-198.

50. Lemaire S, Tulkens Paul M, Van Bambeke F. 2010. Cellular pharmacokinetics of the novel biaryloxazolidinone radezolid in phagocytic cells: Studies with macrophages and polymorphonuclear neutrophils. Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy 54:2540-2548.

51. Abad L, Tafani V, Tasse J, Josse J, Chidiac C, Lustig S, Ferry T, Diot A, Laurent F, Valour F. 2019. Evaluation of the ability of linezolid and tedizolid to eradicate intraosteoblastic and biofilm-embedded Staphylococcus aureus in the bone and joint infection setting. Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy 74:625–632.

52. Mandell W, Neu H. 1985. Disk susceptibility of ofloxacin, a new carboxyquinolone. Journal of Clinical Microbiology 22:786-788.

53. Von Eiff C, Peters G. 1996. In-vitro activity of ofloxacin, levofloxacin and D-ofloxacin against staphylococci. Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy 38:259-263.

54. Guo P, Xue HY, Buttaro BA, Tran NT, Wong HL. 2020. Enhanced eradication of intracellular and biofilm-residing methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA) reservoirs with hybrid nanoparticles delivering rifampicin. International Journal of Pharmaceutics 589:1-10.

55. Dupieux C, Trouillet-Assant S, Camus C, Abad L, Bes M, Benito Y, Chidiac C, Lustig S, Ferry T, Valour F. 2017. Intraosteoblastic activity of daptomycin in combination with oxacillin and ceftaroline against MSSA and MRSA. Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy 72:3353-3356.

56. Kim J-H, Chaurasia AK, Batool N, Ko KS, Kim KK. 2019. Alternative enzyme protection assay to overcome the drawbacks of the gentamicin protection assay for measuring entry and intracellular survival of staphylococci. Infection and immunity 87.

57. Seral C, Van Bambeke F, Tulkens PM. 2003. Quantitative analysis of gentamicin, azithromycin, telithromycin, ciprofloxacin, moxifloxacin, and oritavancin (LY333328) activities against intracellular Staphylococcus aureus in mouse J774 macrophages. Antimicrobial agents and chemotherapy 47:2283-2292.

58. Mohamed W, Sommer U, Sethi S, Domann E, Thormann U, Schutz I, Lips K, Chakraborty T, Schnettler R, Alt V. 2014. Intracellular proliferation of S. aureus in osteoblasts and effects of rifampicin and gentamicin on S. aureus intracellular proliferation and survival. European Cells and Materials 28:258-268.

59. Kreis CA, Raschke MJ, Roßlenbroich SB, Tholema-Hans N, Löffler B, Fuchs T. 2013. Therapy of intracellular Staphylococcus aureus by tigecyclin. BMC Infectious Diseases 13:1-6.

60. Yang S, Han X, Yang Y, Qiao H, Yu Z, Liu Y, Wang J, Tang T. 2018. Bacteria-targeting nanoparticles with microenvironment-responsive antibiotic release to eliminate intracellular Staphylococcus aureus and associated infection. ACS Applied Materials & Interfaces 10:14299-14311.

61. Schmitz F-J, von Eiff C, Gondolf M, Fluit AC, Verhoef J, Peters G, Hadding U, Heinz H-P, Jones ME. 1999. Staphylococcus aureus small colony variants: Rate of selection and MIC values compared to wild-type strains, using ciprofloxacin, ofloxacin, levofloxacin, sparfloxacin and moxifloxacin. Clinical Microbiology and Infection 5:376-378.

62. Tuchscherr L, Löffler B. 2016. Staphylococcus aureus dynamically adapts global regulators and virulence factor expression in the course from acute to chronic infection. Current Genetics 62:15-17.

63. Gunn NJ, Zelmer AR, Kidd SP, Solomon LB, Yang D, Roscioli E, Atkins GJ. 2023. Failure to clear intra-osteocytic Staphylococcus aureus is not the result of antibiotic-mediated autophagy dysregulation. bioRxiv doi:10.1101/2023.10.27.564475:2023.10.27.564475.

64.   Sun Q, Huynh K, Muratovic D, Gunn NJ, Zelmer AR, Solomon LB, Atkins GJ, Yang D.
2023. Beyond the colony-forming-unit: Rapid bacterial evaluation in osteomyelitis.
bioRxiv doi:10.1101/2023.11.21.568051:2023.11.21.568051.
65.   Prideaux M, Wijenayaka AR, Kumarasinghe DD, Ormsby RT, Evdokiou A, Findlay DM,
Atkins GJ. 2014. SaOS2 osteosarcoma cells as an in vitro model for studying the
transition of human osteoblasts to osteocytes. Calcified Tissue International 95:183-
193.
66.   Zelmer AR, Starczak Y, Solomon LB, Richter K, Yang D, Atkins GJ. 2023. Saos-2 cells
cultured under hypoxia rapidly differentiate to an osteocyte-like stage and support
intracellular infection by Staphylococcus aureus. Physiol Rep 11:e15851.
67.   Atkins GJ, Anderson PH, Findlay DM, Welldon KJ, Vincent C, Zannettino AC,
O'Loughlin PD, Morris HA. 2007. Metabolism of vitamin D3 in human osteoblasts:
evidence for autocrine and paracrine activities of 1α, 25-dihydroxyvitamin D3. Bone
40:1517-1528.
68.   Atkins GJ, Welldon KJ, Holding CA, Haynes DR, Howie DW, Findlay DM. 2009. The
induction of a catabolic phenotype in human primary osteoblasts and osteocytes by
polyethylene particles. Biomaterials 30:3672-3681.
69.   Kalinka J, Hachmeister M, Geraci J, Sordelli D, Hansen U, Niemann S, Oetermann S,
Peters G, Löffler B, Tuchscherr L. 2014. Staphylococcus aureus isolates from chronic
osteomyelitis are characterized by high host cell invasion and intracellular adaptation,
but still induce inflammation. International Journal of Medical Microbiology 304:1038-
1049.
70.   Wiegand I, Hilpert K, Hancock RE. 2008. Agar and broth dilution methods to determine
the minimal inhibitory concentration (MIC) of antimicrobial substances. Nature
protocols 3:163-175.

Figure legends

Figure 1: Schematic of the experimental design of the osteocyte infection and antibiotic treatment model. SaOS-2 osteoblasts were differentiated into human osteocyte-like cells and infected with *S. aureus;* extracellular bacteria were removed with lysostaphin; antibiotic treatment (blue lines) occurred either immediately after infection (acute model) or after 14 days of infection (chronic model) for 1 day or 7 days with 1x,4x,10x MIC, which were concentrations achievable in bone.

Figure 2: Effects of antibiotics in a model of acute intracellular *S. aureus* infection in osteocytes. Osteocyte-like SaOS-2 cells were infected with S. aureus and immediately treated with antibiotics at 1x, 4x, 10x MIC for 1 day or 7 days (n ≥ 3). A-E) CFU count per well for each antibiotic treatment and infected control after 1 and 7 days of treatment. F) Relative mRNA expression of housekeeping genes (*aroE* and *gyrB*) after 7 days of treatment at 10x MIC for each antibiotic compared to infected control cultures (CTRL). Significant differences to the infected controls are indicated by *$p < 0.05$, **$p < 0.01$, *** $p < 0.001$ and ****$p < 0.0001$.

Figure 3: Effects of antibiotics on colony phenotype of *S. aureus* recovered from an intracellular infection in osteocytes. The proportion of small colony variants (%SCV) from host cell lysates was determined after 1 day and 7 days of antibiotic treatments at 1x, 4x and 10x their respective MIC (*n* ≥ 3). A-E) %SCV emergence for the infected control and all antibiotic treatments. F) Example images of SCV determination (indicated with yellow arrow): Non-pigmented colonies that appeared only after 48h or were smaller after 24h and did not increase in size compared to 48h wild type (WT) colonies were defined as SCV. Significant differences to the infected controls are indicated by *$p < 0.05$ and ***$p < 0.0001$.

Figure 4: Effects of antibiotics in a model of chronic intracellular S. aureus infection in osteocytes. Osteocyte-like SaOS-2 cells were infected with S. aureus and cultured for 14 days. Following this, cells were treated with antibiotics at 1x, 4x, 10x MIC for a further 1 or 7 days (n ≥ 3). A-E) CFU count from cell lysates per well for each antibiotic treatment and infected control after 1 and 7 days of treatment. An absence of colonies after a 48h incubation was recorded as 'No CFU'. F) Relative mRNA expression of housekeeping genes (*aroE* and *gyrB*) after 7 days of treatment at 10x MIC for each antibiotic compared to infected control cultures (CTRL). No significant differences between treatments and non-treated infected controls were found.

Figure 5: Outgrowth of intracellular *S. aureus* from a non-culturable state. SaOS-2 osteocyte-like cells were infected for 14 days with *S. aureus* to a non-culturable stage and then treated for 3 days with each antibiotic at their respective 10x MIC (*n* = 30 wells per condition). Following washing and replenishment with antibiotic-free media, cultures were visually inspected and assayed daily for up to 11 days for bacterial re-growth into media, with data plotted as percentage of wells with outgrowth (right axis, blue bars). At the day of infection, 1 and 11 days post-antibiotic treatment, replicate culture lysates were also used to prepare DNA for PCR analysis (left axis: purple dots).

Supplementary Figure 1: Survival of host cells during intracellular infection. A) Levels of *18s* rRNA over time in infected control, uninfected control or treatment with levofloxacin (as a representative example for all treatments; *n* = 4). B) Live/dead staining of infected and uninfected controls using Calcein Violet 450 AM Viability Dye (live) and Ethidium Homodimer III (dead) and imaging using confocal microscopy for up to 21 days post-infection. Data shown as means ± standard error of the mean for 4 biological replicates, in at least 3 regions of interest per well.

Supplementary Figure 2: Small colony variant (SCV) growth and related bacterial gene

expression. A) The proportion of SCV in the controls of an acute intracellular infection model

of *S. aureus* in osteocytes. After 7 days of infection, the proportion of SCV in the whole

population increased significantly compared to the original population at day 0 (n = 15, p =

0.0012). B-C) Relative gene expression of *sigB* (B) and *asp23* (C) to the housekeeping genes

(*aroE* and *gyrB*) after 7 day of treatment ($n \geq$ 3). Gene expression was normalised to that of

the bacterial housekeeping genes *aroE* and *gyrB* genes and calculated with the $2^{-\Delta Ct}$ method.

Supplementary Figure 3: Osteocytic differentiation of SaOS-2 cells. A-F) Osteogenic gene

expression of osteocyte markers during differentiation under 1% O_2, relative to *18S* rRNA

levels (n = 4). *p < 0.05, ***p < 0.001 and ***p < 0.0001. Gene expression was normalised to

that of the housekeeping gene *18S* and calculated with the $2^{-\Delta Ct}$ method. G) Von Kossa stain

for phosphate and Alizarin Red stain for calcium to observe mineralisation of osteocytes after

7 and 14 days of differentiation (n = 4).

Table 1: Antimicrobial susceptibility of *S. aureus* and antimicrobial concentrations. Minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) and minimum bactericidal concentration (MBC) for antibiotics tested against *S. aureus* strains at pH 5.0 and pH 7.2. Values shown are in µg/ml. Cmax bone = the maximal concentration achievable in bone.

Antibiotic	pH		Clinical Isolates											ATCC 25923	Cmax bone (31-35)
			1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11		
Rifampicin	5.0	MIC	0.008	0.004	0.008	0.008		0.00125	0.002	0.008	0.008		0.005	<0.004	1.3-6.5
		MBC	0.032	0.008	0.008	0.016		0.002	0.005	0.016	0.016		>0.064	0.008	
	7.2	MIC	0.032	0.016	0.016	0.016	0.008	0.005	0.016	0.016	0.016	0.005	>0.064	0.008	
		MBC	0.064	0.032	0.032	0.032	0.016	0.8	>0.064	>0.064	>0.064	0.064	>0.064	0.016	
Vancomycin	5.0	MIC	2	1	1	1		1	2	1	1		1	2	1.1-6
		MBC	2	2	4	4		8	4	2	4		8	4	
	7.2	MIC	1	1	2	1	2	1	1	1	1	0.5	1	2	
		MBC	2	1	1	1	2	2	2	1	8	0.5	8	4	
Levofloxacin	5.0	MIC	5	>500	500	500		5	5	5	5		10	5	4.6-10
		MBC	10	>500	>500	>500		10	10	50	10		50	5	
	7.2	MIC	0.5	50	50	50	100	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	50	1	0.5	
		MBC	1	50	50	50	>500	1	1	10	0.5	>500	50	0.5	

	pH		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	
Ofloxacin	5.0	MIC	10	500	500	100		10	10	5	5		50	5	
		MBC	10	>500	>500	>500		15	50	10	10		100	10	3
	7.2	MIC	0.5	100	100	100	100	5	1	0.5	0.5	100	1	0.5	
		MBC	1	>500	>500	>500	>500	5	5	5	1	>500	50	1	
Amoxicillin	5.0	MIC	0.25	1	1	2		0.25	0.25	1	1		0.25	0.125	
		MBC	0.5	>2	>2	>2		2	0.25	2	2		>2	0.25	27-37
	7.2	MIC	0.0625	>2	>2	>2	1	0.125	0.0625	>2	>2	1	0.5	0.0625	
		MBC	0.0625	>2	>2	>2	2	0.25	0.25			2	>2	0.25	
Oxacillin	5.0	MIC	0.0625	0.0625	0.03125	0.125		0.03125	0.03125	0.03125	0.03125		2.5	0.0625	
		MBC	0.625	0.25	0.125	1		0.0625	0.0625	0.0625	0.0625		>80	0.125	4-10
	7.2	MIC	0.0625	0.5	1	2	>2	0.25	0.125	0.25	0.25	20	20	0.25	
		MBC	0.5	>2	>2	>2	>2	1	0.25	2	0.25	40	>80	0.5	
Doxycycline	5.0	MIC	0.125	0.25	0.125	0.25		0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25		16	0.0625	
		MBC	2	0.25	1	8		16	16	2	0.5		>16	2	3
	7.2	MIC	0.125	<0.03125	<0.03125	<0.03125	8	0.125	0.25	0.125	0.5	8	8	0.0625	
		MBC	2	0.0625	0.125	8	>16	>8	8	1	2	16	>16	0.25	
Linezolid	5.0	MIC	1	2	2	2		4	4	2	2		2	2	
		MBC	64	64	16	64		64	32	8	32		64	16	6.3-9.1
	7.2	MIC	2	1	1	1	1	4	4	2	2	<0.5	1	2	

		MBC	16	2	1	32	4		32	64	16	8	<0.5	64	16	
Gentamicin	5.0	MIC	32	>64	>64	>64		>64	>64	64	>64		>64	>64	
		MBC	>64	>64	>64	>64		>64	>64	>64	>64		>64	32	Detectable
	7.2	MIC	2	4	4	4	8	16	16	4	32	16	64	8	
		MBC	16	16	8	16	16	32	32	32	32	64	>64	8	
Tigecycline	5.0	MIC	4	8	8	8		8	8	8	8		0.125	4	
		MBC	>8	8	8	8		>8	8	7	>8		4	4	0.3
	7.2	MIC	0.125	0.125	0.125	0.125	0.25	0.125	0.125	0.125	0.125	0.125	2	0.0625	
		MBC	2	0.5	1	1	2	2	2	0.5	0.5	0.5	8	2	

811   **Table 2**: Antimicrobial effect on colony forming units (CFU) in an acute intra-osteocytic *S.*

812   *aureus* infection. Logarithmic CFU reduction in an acute infection model of SaOS-2 osteocyte

813   of each antibiotic at 1, 4 and 10x MIC after 1 and 7 days of treatment. Asterix indicates

814   significant CFU reduction compared to an untreated control with * p<0.05, ** p<0.01, ***

815   p<0.001 and *** p<0.0001.

Treatment		1-day treatment		7- days treatment	
		Mean ± SD	Log red	Mean ± SD	Log red
Doxycycline	Infected CTRL	866667±325167		36000±10000	
	1 x MIC	74667±227450	0.06	47333±15011	-0.12
	4 x MIC	753333±133167	0.06	79333±22301	-0.34
	10 x MIC	473333±46188	0.26	36000±19698	0
Oxacillin	Infected CTRL	20400±7292		1100±698	
	1 x MIC	5355±4168	0.58*	455±200	0.38
	4 x MIC	5725±1612	0.55*	390±125	0.45
	10 x MIC	3240±817	0.80*	122±63	0.96
Levofloxacin	Infected CTRL	730000±728080		13933±4291	
	1 x MIC	264667±28095	0.44	840±640	1.22***
	4 x MIC	534667±715476	0.14	103±2	2.13***
	10 x MIC	66667±14742	1.04	15±1	2.98***
Rifampici s	Infected CTRL	100000 ±59025		2080± 413	

	1 x MIC	11933± 5368	0.92***	33±30	1.80****
	4 x MIC	1620±851	1.79***	43±75	1.68****
	10 x MIC	520±320	2.28***	0	3.32****
Linezolid	Infected CTRL	19867±3521		5490±2079	
	1 x MIC	13333±4407	0.17*	498±271	1.04**
	4 x MIC	8777±7479	0.35****	7±2	2.87***
	10 x MIC	573±628	1.54****	0	3.74***

Figure 1: Schematic of the experimental design of the osteocyte infection and antibiotic treatment model. SaOS-2 osteoblasts were differentiated into human osteocyte-like cells and infected with *S. aureus;* extracellular bacteria were removed with lysostaphin; antibiotic treatment (blue lines) occurred either immediately after infection (acute model) or after 14 days of infection (chronic model) for 1 day or 7 days with 1x,4x,10x MIC, which were concentrations achievable in bone.

Figure 2: Effects of antibiotics in a model of acute intracellular *S. aureus* infection in osteocytes. Osteocyte-like SaOS-2 cells were infected with S. aureus and immediately treated with antibiotics at 1x, 4x, 10x MIC for 1 day or 7 days (n ≥ 3). A-E) CFU count per well for each antibiotic treatment and infected control after 1 and 7 days of treatment. F) Relative mRNA expression of housekeeping genes (*aroE* and *gyrB*) after 7 days of treatment at 10x MIC for each antibiotic compared to infected control cultures (CTRL). Significant differences to the infected controls are indicated by *$p < 0.05$, **$p < 0.01$, *** $p < 0.001$ and ****$p < 0.0001$.

Figure 3: Effects of antibiotics on colony phenotype of *S. aureus* recovered from an intracellular infection in osteocytes. The proportion of small colony variants (%SCV) from host cell lysates was determined after 1 day and 7 days of antibiotic treatments at 1x, 4x and 10x their respective MIC (n ≥ 3). A-E) %SCV emergence for the infected control and all antibiotic treatments. F) Example images of SCV determination (indicated with yellow arrow): Non-pigmented colonies that appeared only after 48h or were smaller after 24h and did not increase in size compared to 48h wild type (WT) colonies were defined as SCV. Significant differences to the infected controls are indicated by *$p < 0.05$ and ***$p < 0.0001$.

Figure 4: Effects of antibiotics in a model of chronic intracellular S. aureus infection in osteocytes. Osteocyte-like SaOS-2 cells were infected with S. aureus and cultured for 14 days. Following this, cells were treated with antibiotics at 1x, 4x, 10x MIC for a further 1 or 7 days (n ≥ 3). A-E) CFU count from cell lysates per well for each antibiotic treatment and infected control after 1 and 7 days of treatment. An absence of colonies after a 48h incubation was recorded as 'No CFU'. F) Relative mRNA expression of housekeeping genes (*aroE* and *gyrB*) after 7 days of treatment at 10x MIC for each antibiotic compared to infected control cultures (CTRL). No significant differences between treatments and non-treated infected controls were found.

Figure 5: Outgrowth of intracellular *S. aureus* from a non-culturable state. SaOS-2 osteocyte-like cells were infected for 14 days with *S. aureus* to a non-culturable stage and then treated for 3 days with each antibiotic at their respective 10x MIC (n = 30 wells per condition). Following washing and replenishment with antibiotic-free media, cultures were visually inspected and assayed daily for up to 11 days for bacterial re-growth into media, with data plotted as percentage of wells with outgrowth (right axis, blue bars). At the day of infection, 1 and 11 days post-antibiotic treatment, replicate culture lysates were also used to prepare DNA for PCR analysis (left axis: purple dots).