Reconstitution of autophagosomal membrane tethering reveals that the ability of Atg11 to bind membranes is important for mitophagy.

Devika Andhare[1], Sarah Katzenell[1], Sarah I Najera[2], Katherine M Bauer[2] and Michael J Ragusa[*1,2]

[1]Department of Chemistry, Dartmouth College, Hanover, NH 03755, USA

[2]Department of Biochemistry and Cell Biology, Geisel School of Medicine, Dartmouth College, Hanover, New Hampshire 03755, United States.

[*] Correspondence: michael.j.ragusa@dartmouth.edu

ABSTRACT

Autophagy is essential for the degradation of mitochondria from yeast to humans. Mitochondrial autophagy in yeast is initiated when the selective autophagy scaffolding protein Atg11 is recruited to mitochondria through its interaction with the selective autophagy receptor Atg32. This also results in the recruitment of small 30 nm vesicles that fuse to generate the initial autophagosomal membrane. We demonstrate that Atg11 can bind to autophagosomal-like membranes in vitro in a curvature dependent manner via a predicted amphipathic helix. Deletion of the amphipathic helix from Atg11 results in a delay in mitophagy in yeast. Furthermore, using a novel biochemical

19 approach we demonstrate that the interaction between Atg11 and Atg32 results in the tethering of

20 autophagosomal-like vesicles to giant unilamellar vesicles containing a lipid composition designed

21 to mimic the outer mitochondrial membrane. Taken together our results demonstrate an important

22 role for autophagosomal membrane binding by Atg11 in the initiation of mitochondrial autophagy.

ABBREVIATIONS

25 Atg11: autophagy related 11; Atg32: autophagy related 32; DLS: dynamic light scattering; GLT:

26 GUV liposome tethering assay; GUV: giant unilamellar vesicle; EEA1: early endosome antigen1;

27 PE: phosphatidyl ethanolamine; PI3P: phosphatidyl inositol 3-phosphate; PM: plasma membrane;

28 SAR: selective autophagy receptor; YPL: yeast polar lipids

INTRODUCTION

Macroautophagy, hereafter autophagy, is the cellular process in which double-membrane vesicles, termed autophagosomes, are generated around cytosolic material leading to their capture within these vesicles[1]. Completed autophagosomes fuse with the vacuole, in yeast, or lysosomes, in higher eukaryotes, leading to the degradation of the captured contents. Autophagy can capture and degrade a wide range of cytosolic material including protein aggregates, intracellular pathogens and membrane-bound organelles. As such, autophagy is essential to maintain cellular homeostasis and also serves as an integral component of innate immunity[2,3].

Cargo selection during autophagy can occur via a non-selective or selective mechanism[4]. In selective autophagy, cargos are marked as targets for autophagy by selective autophagy receptors (SARs)[5]. SARs are either soluble cytosolic proteins that commonly recognize ubiquitin moieties on the cargo to be degraded or, in the case of membrane-bound organelles, they can also be integral membrane proteins in the membrane of the organelle to be degraded. To initiate selective autophagy, SARs recruit the selective autophagy scaffolding protein Atg11, in yeast, or FIP200, in higher eukaryotes, to the cargo to be degraded[6]. In yeast, Atg11 also binds to Atg9, an integral membrane protein embedded in 30 nm vesicles that serve as the initial membrane source for autophagy[7-9]. Once recruited to the cargo, Atg9 vesicles fuse to generate an initial membrane sheet, termed the phagophore[10]. The phagophore expands around the cargo through lipid transport from Atg2 in addition to other mechanisms[11-13]. Once the autophagosomal membrane has fully surrounded the cargo, it is closed leading to the capture of the marked cargo in a double membrane autophagosome[14].

One of first critical steps in selective autophagy is the recruitment of Atg9 vesicles by Atg11 to autophagic cargo. Deletion of Atg11 in yeast was initially shown to block the recruitment of Atg9 to autophagy cargos highlighting the important role of Atg11 in recruiting membrane to cargo during selective autophagy initiation[15]. Atg11 was later shown to be required for the recruitment of Atg9 vesicles to cargo mimetic beads in vitro using biochemical reconstitution[9]. Following on this work, Atg11 was shown to directly interact with the flexible N-terminus of Atg9 via two PLF motifs[8]. More recently, truncations or mutations within the N-terminal domain of Atg11 have been shown to lead to a loss in the interaction between Atg11 and Atg9 in yeast[16]. These studies all point towards an essential role for Atg11 in the recruitment of membrane to cargos, specifically through the interaction between Atg11 and Atg9. However, while mutation of both PLF motifs in Atg9 led to a near complete loss in the interaction between Atg11 and Atg9, it did not fully prevent the recruitment of Atg9 to selective autophagy cargos[8]. Furthermore, the mammalian analog of Atg11, FIP200, can bind membranes directly, although the exact function of membrane binding by FIP200 is unknown[17]. Given these observations, we wanted to test if Atg11 is capable of binding membranes and whether this plays a role in selective autophagy.

RESULTS

Atg11 binds autophagosomal membranes in a clustered manner

To test if Atg11 can bind membranes we first purified Atg11 and GFP-Atg11 using Freestyle 293 cells as a recombinant expression system (**Figure S1**). Size exclusion chromatography of Atg11 in buffer containing 300mM NaCl had a similar elution profile and estimated molecular weight to previously published purifications of Atg11 from insect cells where it was shown to be a dimer in

solution (**Figure S1B**)[18]. Next, we tested the ability of Atg11 to bind different membranes.

Autophagosomal membranes and Atg9 vesicles are known to be composed of an unusually high

concentration of negatively charged lipids, including between 37% and 44% of the negatively

charged phosphatidylinositol (PI)[9,19]. Previously we have shown that several autophagy proteins

including Atg23 and Atg16 bind membranes generated from yeast polar lipids (YPL), which also

contain a high concentration of negatively charged lipids[20,21]. Therefore, we first tested if Atg11

can bind giant unilamellar vesicles (GUVs) made from YPL or a lipid mixture designed to mimic

the autophagosomal membrane composition (43.9% phosphatidylcholine (PC), 19%

phosphatidylethanolamine (PE), 32% phosphatidylinositol (PI), 5% phosphatidylinositol-3-

phosphate (PI3P), and 0.1% rhodamine PE (RhPE) (automix+PI3P))[19]. 5% PI3P was added at the

expense of PI as autophagosomal membranes contain PI3P but the exact concentration is unknown.

GFP-Atg11 showed robust binding to YPL GUVs but was unable to bind autophagosomal

membrane GUVs (**Figure 1A and B**). Given that Atg9 vesicles are highly curved while GUVs are

essentially flat relative to the size of Atg11, we next investigated if membrane curvature impacts

the ability of Atg11 to bind membranes. For this we employed supported membrane templates

(SMrT) that consist of an array of highly curved membrane tubes ranging from 20-60 nm in

diameter and supported lipid bilayers (SLB) resting on a PEGylated glass coverslip[22,23]. The size

of the SMrT nanotubes match the size of Atg9 vesicles that are reported to be 30-60 nm in diameter

while SLBs are primarily flat membranes[10,24]. We made SMrT and SLBs containing 43.2% PC,

19% PE and either 37% PI alone (automix) or 32% PI with 5% PI3P (automix+PI3P). Interestingly,

GFP-Atg11 bound autophagosomal-like membranes as discreet clusters (**Figure 1C**). The

presence of PI3P in membranes enhanced GFP-Atg11 recruitment. Importantly, the quantification

of the ratio of GFP to membrane fluorescence showed that Atg11 binding was 5-fold higher on

tubes (mean = 0.8 ± 0.25) than on SLB (mean = 0.16 ± 0.07) suggesting that Atg11 membrane

binding is curvature sensitive (**Figure 1D**). As an independent validation of membrane binding,

we also performed a floatation assay with automix and automix+PI3P liposomes. Atg11 migrated

to the top layer of the sucrose density gradient in the presence of 100 nm diameter but not 1 µm

diameter automix+PI3P liposomes further supporting the curvature sensitivity of Atg11 membrane

binding (**Figure S2A**). DLS analysis also demonstrated that Atg11 binding to 100 nm liposomes

increased the diameter of these vesicles from 107 nm to 260 nm suggesting that Atg11 may also

be able to tether automix+PI3P liposomes (**Figure S2B**). These results suggest that Atg11 can bind

autophagosomal-like membranes in a curvature dependent manner and that this binding is

enhanced by the presence of PI3P.

The N-terminal (amino acids 1-646) and C-terminal (amino acids 700-1178) regions of Atg11 can

be purified using *E. coli* as a recombinant expression system[18]. Structure prediction of the N-

terminal region of Atg11 (Atg11-Nterm) using AlphaFold 3 demonstrates that it forms a helical

dimer with a structure that is similar to the N-terminal domain of FIP200 except that it is predicted

to form a Z shaped dimer instead of a C shaped dimer (**Figure S3A**)[25,26]. The C-terminal region of

Atg11 (Atg11-Cterm) contains a long coiled-coil domain, connected by linker regions to the Claw

domain that binds SARs[27,28]. We purified GFP-tagged Atg11-Nterm and -Cterm and analyzed

their membrane binding abilities using SMrT and SLBs (**Figure 1E**). Quantitative fluorescence

microscopy showed that Atg11-Nterm had significantly higher membrane binding than Atg11-

Cterm suggesting that the N-terminal region of Atg11 contains the primary membrane binding

region of Atg11 (**Figure 1F**). Moreover, unlike the full-length protein, Atg11-Nterm did not

cluster on membranes and instead bound both membrane tubes and SLBs in a diffuse manner

suggesting that membrane binding and clustering of Atg11 on membranes requires multiple

regions of Atg11.

Atg11 contains an amphipathic helix required for membrane binding

Sequence and structural analysis of the Atg11-Nterm revealed that it contains a predicted

amphipathic helix spanning residues 612-646, with the highest hydrophobic moment (μH=0.546)

occurring between residues 626 and 643 (**Figure 2A**). Amphipathic helices are known to mediate

curvature- and charge-dependent membrane binding[29,30]. Importantly, this region in the predicted

Atg11-Nterm structure has low and very low pLDDT scores, indicating that it is likely not in the

correct conformation in the structure prediction. This suggests that this helix may instead be

available for membrane binding (**Figure S3B**). Deletion of amino acids 612-646 from the Atg11-

Nterm sequence and rerunning the AlphaFold3 prediction did not alter the overall dimer structure

further suggesting that the predicted amphipathic helix is not required for formation of the Atg11-

Nterm dimer structure (**Figure S3C**). We therefore deleted the predicted amphipathic helix in

Atg11-Nterm (Atg11-Nterm$^{\Delta 612\text{-}646}$) and purified it using *E. coli* as a recombinant expression

system. We compared the elution profile of Atg11-Nterm$^{\Delta 612\text{-}646}$ from size exclusion

chromatography with that of Atg11-Nterm to verify that deletion of this helix did not disrupt the

overall shape of the protein (**Figure S3D**). The ability of GFP-Atg11-Nterm$^{\Delta 612\text{-}646}$ to bind

membrane tubes was tested using SMrT (**Figure 2B**). Comparison of GFP to membrane

fluorescence ratios revealed that Atg11-Nterm$^{\Delta 612\text{-}646}$ had 3-fold lower binding to membrane tubes

than Atg11-Nterm (**Figure 2C**). Together these results suggest that Atg11 binds autophagosomal-

like membranes in a curvature-dependent manner primarily via an amphipathic helix between

amino acids 612-646.

To determine if the amphipathic helix of Atg11 is required for selective autophagy in cells we

monitored the selective autophagy of mitochondria (mitophagy) using the established OM45-GFP

assay[31]. In this assay, the outer mitochondrial membrane (OMM) protein OM45 contains a C-

terminal GFP tag. Once OM45-GFP is delivered to the vacuole during mitophagy, OM45 is rapidly

degraded resulting in the production of free GFP. Deletion of the amphipathic helix of Atg11

resulted in a kinetic delay in mitophagy with a significant reduction in mitophagy at 2 hours which

recovered after 4 hours (**Figure 2D and E**). Importantly, deletion of amino acids 612-646 did not

alter the expression of Atg11 further suggesting that deletion of this helix did not destabilize Atg11

(**Figure 2F**). Atg32, an integral membrane protein residing in the OMM, functions as the SAR for

mitophagy in yeast[32,33]. In response to mitophagy inducing conditions, Atg32 clusters on the

surface of mitochondria (**Figure S4A**). Atg32 puncta co-localize with Atg8 and are eventually

delivered to the vacuole (**Figure S4B**). These Atg32 puncta therefore represent mitophagy

initiation sites[34]. We previously demonstrated that truncations and mutations of the third coiled-

coil domain of Atg11 resulted in a change in the clustering of Atg32 during mitophagy initiation

which suggested an important link between the morphology of Atg11 and Atg32 during mitophagy

initiation[27]. Therefore, we also tested to see if Atg11$^{\Delta612\text{-}646}$ altered the formation of Atg32 puncta.

RFP-Atg32 puncta formed and co-localized with 2xGFP-Atg11 and 2xGFP-Atg11$^{\Delta612\text{-}646}$

suggesting that deletion of the amphipathic helix did not affect recruitment of Atg11 during

mitophagy (**Figure S4C**). To further test if Atg11$^{\Delta612\text{-}646}$ altered the kinetics of mitophagy

initiation, we monitored the formation of GFP-Atg32 puncta, in response to nitrogen-starvation,

in real-time using the temperature- and flow rate- controlled FCS2 chamber (**Figure 2G**). We

found that, at 28 minutes post starvation, 50% of cells expressing WT Atg11 had at least one GFP-

Atg32 punctum (**Figure 2H, Supplemental Movie 1**). Strikingly, only 20% of cells expressing

Atg11$^{\Delta612-646}$ had at least one GFP-Atg32 puncta at 28 minutes post starvation (**Supplemental**

Movie 2). This suggests that the deletion of the predicted amphipathic helix in Atg11 led to a delay

in the kinetics of mitophagy initiation.

Atg11 forms clusters in solution

Formation of autophagy protein condensates have been proposed to enhance weak protein

interactions, direct the formation of protein-complexes, and play a role in the overall organization

of autophagy initiation sites[35,36]. We observed that GFP-Atg11 clustered on membrane tubes and

SLBs which prompted us to investigate if Atg11 may cluster in solution or if the clustering of

Atg11 is induced by membrane binding. GFP-Atg11 was purified in the presence of 300 mM NaCl

as this was previously reported to allow for soluble full-length Atg11[9,18]. Therefore, we monitored

1 µM GFP-Atg11 by confocal microscopy to determine if it formed puncta, which would indicate

clustering of Atg11 (**Figure 3A**). We found that while GFP-Atg11 appeared largely diffuse at 300

mM NaCl, it formed puncta when the NaCl concentration was reduced to 150 mM or less (**Figure**

3A and B). We next asked if the formation of these puncta was dependent on the concentration of

Atg11. We diluted GFP-Atg11 to concentrations from 0.05 µM to 5.0 µM into buffer with a final

concentration of 150 mM NaCl (**Figure 3C**). We observed that increasing the concentration of

Atg11 increased the number of Atg11 puncta indicating that Atg11 clustering is both salt and

concentration dependent (**Figure 3D**). The puncta we observed were not liquid-like condensates

as they are not entirely circular, nor did we observe the fusion of any puncta. This is in contrast to

the non-selective autophagy initiation complex which was shown to undergo liquid-liquid phase separation[36]. It is possible that diluting Atg11 into 150 mM NaCl resulted in an irreversible aggregation event. Therefore, to test if the formation of Atg11 puncta was reversible, we first generated Atg11 puncta in 150 mM NaCl, and then increased the NaCl concentration to 300 mM by mixing the 1 µM Atg11 in 150 mM NaCl with 1 µM of Atg11 in 450 mM NaCl (**Figure 3E**). After the samples were mixed, we saw a near complete loss in the formation of Atg11 puncta suggesting that these Atg11 clustering events are reversible in solution (**Figure 3F**). We next wanted to determine whether Atg11-Nterm or Atg11-Cterm could undergo similar clustering events as full-length Atg11 in solution. Therefore, we diluted GFP-Atg11, GFP-Atg11-Nterm and GFP-Atg11-Cterm into buffer containing 150 mM NaCl. While GFP-Atg11 formed puncta at 1 µM in 150 mM NaCl, neither the Nterm nor Cterm regions of Atg11 formed puncta at 1 µM in 150 mM NaCl, suggesting that the ability of Atg11 to cluster is a property of the full-length protein (**Figure 3G**). This data demonstrates that full-length Atg11 can form reversible puncta that are salt and concentration dependent while the Nterm and Cterm regions of Atg11 are unable to form puncta. This is consistent with our membrane binding observations in **Figure 1** where full-length Atg11 bound to membranes in a clustered fashion while the Nterm and Cterm regions of Atg11 bound membranes in a diffuse manner.

A novel fluorescence-microscopy-based assay to study membrane tethering

We have demonstrated that Atg11 can bind membranes in a clustered manner via a predicted amphipathic helix and that a loss of this helix leads to a delay in the formation of Atg32 puncta and the onset of mitophagy in yeast. Since Atg11 binding to Atg32 is critical for the recruitment

of Atg9 vesicles to mitochondria in yeast, we wanted to determine if the interaction between Atg32

and Atg11 could result in the recruitment of autophagosomal-like vesicles to sites of mitophagy

using biochemical reconstitution[7]. Atg9 vesicle recruitment by the Atg32-Atg11 complex is a

process in which highly curved autophagy precursor vesicles are recruited to the relatively flat

outer mitochondrial membrane. This recruitment results in a heterotypic membrane tethering event

between flat and curved membranes containing different lipid compositions. However, while much

work has focused on the establishment of methods to reconstitute and study selective autophagy

in vitro, these efforts have focused on protein-based cargos or cargo mimetics including Sepharose

or agarose beads[9,37-39]. As such, we searched the membrane trafficking literature to identify a

method that would be suitable for reconstituting and monitoring heterotypic tethering in vitro but

we found no established methods that were suitable for our studies. Therefore, we next established

and validated a new method to study heterotypic membrane tethering which we have termed GUV

and Liposome Tethering (GLT) that is derived from our recent work on Atg23[20]. We believe that

this assay will be broadly useful for studying organelle selective autophagy in vitro in addition to

membrane tethering.

To establish an assay to study heterotopic membrane tethering in vitro we added liposomes to

GUVs labeled with different fluorophores. We hypothesized that in the absence of a tethering

protein, the liposomes would diffuse around the GUV while in the presence of a tethering protein

the liposomes would be anchored to the GUV (**Figure 4A**). We reasoned that the large size of

GUVs (typically 1 to 100 μm in diameter) would allow us to directly visualize liposomes tethered

on the GUV surface, thus eliminating ambiguities arising due to aggregation of liposomes and/or

protein. Liposomes can be extruded or sonicated to achieve a diameter ranging from 60 nm to 1

µm which would also allow for the analysis of curvature-dependent tethering. Lastly, GUVs would

allow us to observe if a fluorescently tagged protein also localizes at tethering sites further adding

to the applications of such an assay.

To initially test the feasibility of GLT we engineered an artificial membrane tether by fusing the

monomeric PI3P-binding EEA1 FYVE$_{1337-1411}$ with GST (GST-FYVE)[40]. Since GST dimerizes,

this generates a stable dimer of EEA1 FYVE$_{1337-1411}$ with two PI3P binding sites in a single protein

complex. Similarly engineered tethers generated by linking membrane binding domains to GST

have been shown to facilitate clustering of small liposomes[41]. GUVs containing PI3P were first

incubated with GST-FYVE, followed by incubation with 400 nm liposomes containing an identical

lipid composition to the GUVs except for a different fluorophore for detection. GUVs mixed with

PI3P-liposomes alone or with the addition of purified GST showed no co-localization between

GUVs and liposomes (**Figure 4B and C**). In contrast, addition of PI3P-liposomes to GUVs pre-

incubated with 1 µM GST-FYVE showed robust recruitment of liposomes to GUVs as illustrated

by fluorescence intensity traces along the surface of a GUV in the liposome fluorescence channel

(**Figure 4D**). Comparison of liposome fluorescence per micron calculated along the surface of

GUVs further showed that tethering of liposomes to GUVs only occurred in the presence of GST-

FYVE (**Figure 4E**). To further demonstrate that membrane tethering is directly mediated by the

membrane-binding FYVE domains, we generated a GST-GFP-FYVE construct. Repeating the

GLT assay with GST-GFP-FYVE showed that the protein coated GUVs and tethered liposomes

(**Figure 4F**). In addition, fluorescence intensity traces revealed that areas with tethered liposomes

showed increased intensity of GST-GFP-FYVE demonstrating that protein is localized to tethering

sites (**Figure 4G**). Together these results validate the GLT assay for the study of membrane

tethering in vitro and highlight the applicability of this technique to monitor protein colocalization

with tethering events.

GLT demonstrates autophagosomal-like liposome tethering by the Atg32-Atg11 complex

Having established that GLT can be used to monitor membrane tethering in vitro, we asked if the

ability of Atg11 to bind membranes allows for the tethering of autophagosomal-like liposomes on

the surface of mitochondrial membranes. To do this, we made GUVs containing a lipid

composition similar to the OMM (OMM-GUVs)[42]. GFP-Atg11 alone was unable to bind these

OMM-GUVs further highlighting that Atg11 is not a broad membrane binding protein (**Figure**

S5A). Next, we displayed the cytosolic domain of the mitophagy SAR Atg32 (1-381), which

contains the Atg11 binding region, fused to an N-terminal GFP and a C-terminal 10xHistidine tag,

on OMM-GUVs (**Figure S5B**)[34]. GUVs included 5 mol% of $DGSNi^{2+}NTA$ to recruit of the

cytosolic domain of GFP-$Atg32_{1-381}$. To monitor if Atg11 can bind to these Atg32-displaying

OMM-GUVs, we labeled purified Atg11 with Alexa Flour 594. Atg11 was recruited on GFP-

$Atg32_{1-381}$ bound GUVs. In contrast, no Atg11 recruitment occurred on GUVs displaying

6xHistidine tagged GFP (**Figure S5C**), demonstrating that Atg11 requires Atg32 for its

recruitment to the surface of OMM-GUVs (**Figure S5D**). To test if the Atg32-Atg11 complex

could tether autophagosomal-like liposomes, we added sonicated automix+PI3P liposomes,

mimicking the lipid composition and size of Atg9 vesicles (30 nm diameter), to GFP-$Atg32_{1-381}$

OMM-GUVs in the presence and absence of Atg11 (**Figure 5A**). Automix+PI3P liposomes were

recruited to $Atg32_{1-381}$ bound GUVs only in the presence of Atg11 (**Figure 5B-E**). Fluorescence

intensity traces along the GUVs further confirm that peaks of liposome fluorescence correspond

with peaks of GFP-Atg32$_{1-381}$ fluorescence and were only seen in presence of Atg11 (**Figure 5C**

and **D**). Interestingly, tethered automix+PI3P liposomes appeared punctate on the GUV and these

overlap with peaks of Atg32 fluorescence, suggesting that Atg11 may be able to cluster Atg32 and

liposomes (**Figure 5C**). In comparison, GFP-Atg32 fluorescence was uniform in the absence of

Atg11 (**Figure 5D**). While Atg11 robustly tethered 30 nm automix+PI3P liposomes to Atg32

OMM-GUVs, the ability of Atg11 to recruit larger automix+PI3P liposomes (1 μm diameter) was

drastically reduced (**Figure 5F** and **G**). This is in agreement with our results on SMrT showing

that automix+PI3P membrane binding by Atg11 occurred in a curvature-dependent manner.

We hypothesized that using YPL could override the need for curvature as Atg11 was able to bind

GUVs containing YPL but not automix+PI3P GUVs (**Figure 1A**). This may be due to the

heterogeneity of lipids present in YPL which could lead to packing defects similar to what would

be expected for more highly curved vesicles[48]. To test if Atg11 was also able to tether larger YPL

liposomes to GUVs, we performed the GLT assay with 400 nm YPL liposomes and GFP-Atg32$_{1-}$

$_{381}$ bound GUVs in the presence and absence of Atg11 (**Figure S6A**). As expected, YPL liposomes

were tethered on GUVs only in the presence of Atg11 (**Figure S6B** and **E**) and the tethered

liposomes colocalized with regions of higher Atg32 fluorescence (**Figure S6C** and **D**). We again

observed that GFP-Atg32 was uniform on the surface of the GUV in the absence of Atg11 but

concentrated into distinct peaks when Atg11 was present.

The N-terminal region of Atg11 is critical for membrane tethering

Our results till now show that Atg11 binds membranes via a putative amphipathic helix located in

its N-terminus and that it can self-associate to form puncta. Additionally, the interaction between

Atg11 and Atg32 leads to membrane tethering in vitro. Therefore, to test if the Atg11-Nterm

contributes to tethering of autophagosomal-like liposomes, we carried out GLT using GFP-Atg32$_{1-}$

$_{381}$ bound OMM-GUVs and automix+PI3P liposomes with Atg11 alone and Atg11 mixed with 20-

fold molar excess of Atg11-Nterm (**Figure 6A**). We reasoned that excess Atg11-Nterm would

compete with the full-length Atg11 and inhibit tethering of automix+PI3P to GUVs. As a control

we also carried out the GLT assay using Atg11 mixed with 20-fold molar excess of GST.

Quantification of the fluorescence intensity of liposomes normalized to that of GFP-Atg32$_{1-381}$

showed that the addition of excess Atg11-Nterm led to a near complete block in automix+PI3P

liposome tethering (**Figure 6B**). Atg11 mixed with an excess of GST had only a modest reduction

in the tethering of automix+PI3P liposomes. This lends further support to the idea that

autophagosomal-like liposome tethering by Atg11 occurs via membrane binding by the N-terminal

region of Atg11.

Next, we compared the liposome tethering abilities of full-length Atg11 with that of the Atg11-

Nterm. Full-length Atg11 was mixed with GFP-Atg32$_{1-381}$ bound OMM-GUVs and subsequently

incubated with automix+PI3P liposomes (**Figure 6C**). Because the Atg32 binding interface lies at

the C-terminus of Atg11, we directly recruited Atg11-Nterm to NTA-containing mitochondrial

GUVs and subsequently added automix+PI3P liposomes. GUV recruited Atg11-Nterm was also

able to tether liposomes further supporting the role of the Atg11-Nterm in membrane binding and

tethering. Intriguingly, while liposomes tethered via full-length Atg11 showed a punctate

distribution, liposomes tethered via Atg11-Nterm uniformly coated the GUVs (**Figure 6C** zoom

image). Fluorescence intensity traces along the GUVs revealed that automix+PI3P liposomes tethered by full-length Atg11 showed discreet peaks. In comparison, liposomes tethered via Atg11-Nterm had a lower and more uniformly distributed intensity (**Figure 6C**). Distribution of liposomes can be quantitated by comparing the coefficient of variance (COV) of liposome fluorescence, calculated as mean by standard deviation, which measures the extent of clustering. We found that the COV for Atg11-Nterm is significantly lower than the COV for full-length Atg11 (**Figure 6D**), suggesting that while OMM-GUV bound Atg11-Nterm alone can tether autophagosomal-like liposomes, it fails to cluster these liposomes. Importantly, clustering of liposomes by Atg11 led to more efficient tethering of automix+PI3P liposomes as the liposome fluorescence per micron calculated along the surface of GUVs was significantly higher for full-length Atg11 than Atg11-Nterm (**Figure 6E**).

DISCUSSION

Our results demonstrate for the first time that Atg11 can bind highly curved membranes containing an autophagosomal-like lipid composition. Intriguingly, we observed that Atg11 membrane binding occurred in a punctate manner and that this clustering of Atg11 could be recapitulated in the absence of membrane. We also demonstrated that a putative amphipathic helix in the N-terminal region of Atg11 is the main membrane binding region and that a deletion of this helix results in a delay in mitophagy in yeast. To further explore the role of Atg11 membrane binding, we developed a novel method, termed GLT, to reconstitute membrane tethering in vitro which we believe will be broadly useful for studying organelle selective autophagy in vitro. We validated this method using an established model tether, demonstrating the general approach and usefulness of the assay. Lastly, using GLT we demonstrated that the interaction between Atg32 and Atg11

can lead to the recruitment of autophagosomal-like liposomes to OMM-GUVs. The recruitment of

liposomes to GUVs by Atg11 occurred in a punctate manner which required full length Atg11 for

liposome clustering as Atg11-Nterm was able to recruit liposomes but was unable to cluster them.

Our work demonstrating that Atg11 can directly interact with membranes is in contrast to a

previous study showing that Atg11 was unable to bind membranes[7]. However, two key differences

could account for the observed discrepancy in the membrane binding abilities of Atg11. First, we

recombinantly expressed and purified Atg11 from Freestyle 293 cells where it appears to be a

dimer as opposed to recombinant expression and purification from *E. coli* where Atg11 was

reported to be a monomer (**Figure S1B**). Second, we used liposomes containing YPL and

autophagosomal-like lipid compositions. Both of these mixtures contain approximately 42%

negatively charged lipids. In contrast, monomeric Atg11 was previously shown to be unable to

bind liposomes composed of 60% POPC, 20% Cholesterol, 10% POPE and 10% POPS which

contain only 10% negatively charged lipids[7]. Therefore, it is possible that Atg11 membrane

binding requires a high negative charge which is consistent with the composition of the

autophagosomal membrane[19].

We have previously demonstrated that another member of the autophagy initiation machinery,

Atg13, was able to bind liposomes containing 42.5% negatively charged lipids but not to liposomes

containing 19% negatively charged lipids[20,43]. We have also now shown that Atg11 can bind to

YPL or membranes containing greater than 37% negatively charged lipids. This begins to point

towards a possible common mechanism for membrane binding by some autophagy proteins,

wherein these proteins can preferentially bind to membranes with a high concentration of

negatively charged lipids that are present in the autophagosomal membrane but that are not present

in the cargo membrane during organelle selective autophagy. This may play an important role in

organizing autophagy proteins on the side of the autophagosomal membrane during

autophagosome expansion.

The interaction between Atg9 and Atg11 was previously shown to be only partially required for

the recruitment of Atg9 vesicles to cargo in yeast[8]. Our results support this in that Atg11 can bind

to autophagosomal-like membranes directly and tether them to OMM-GUVs in vitro in the absence

of Atg9. Given these results it is possible that mitochondrial-recruited Atg11 may participate in

the tethering of Atg9 vesicles to mitochondria by binding to both Atg9 and the autophagosomal

membrane directly. We also observed that deletion of the membrane binding helix of Atg11 results

in a delay in mitophagy initiation. Therefore, we propose that Atg11 membrane binding aids Atg9

vesicle recruitment which is essential for rapid initiation of selective autophagy (**Figure 7**). Atg11

could aid Atg9 vesicle recruitment in several possible ways. First, membrane association via the

amphipathic helix may work synergistically with the interaction between Atg11 and Atg9 thereby

enhancing the recruitment of Atg9 vesicles to selective autophagy initiation sites. Second, Atg9

localizes to various compartments along the vesicular transport pathway. Thus, co-incident

interactions of Atg11 with negatively charged, curved membranes and the presence of Atg9 could

facilitate its selective binding to Atg9 vesicles specifically at autophagy initiation sites. Third,

given the clustering properties of Atg11 and its important role in organizing Atg32 puncta, Atg11

membrane binding and clustering may also help to organize Atg9 vesicles on the surface of

mitochondria to enhance the efficiency of mitophagy[27]. However, which of these possibilities is

correct will need to be further explored in subsequent work.

Lastly, we also demonstrated the broad usefulness of GLT to quantitatively analyze membrane

tethering in vitro and monitor the localization of protein components at sites of tethering. This

assay can be easily applied to study homotypic or heterotypic tethering events making it ideal to

reconstitute and examine tethering occurring at organelle contact sites, vesicle trafficking, and

autophagosome biogenesis. We believe that GLT will serve as an excellent starting point for

reconstitution of organelle selective autophagy in vitro and enable future studies to explore the

complicated mechanisms of organelle selective autophagy.

Figure 1. Atg11 binds curved autophagosomal-like membranes. (**A**) Representative images showing binding of full-length GFP-Atg11 on GUVs containing yeast polar lipids (YPL, top panel) and autophagosomal-like membrane with PI3P (automix+PI3P) (bottom). Scale bar=10μm. (**B**) Quantification showing mean ± SD of GFP-Atg11 fluorescence normalized to membrane fluorescence from GUVs made of YPL and automix+PI3P. A total of 30 YPL and automix+PI3P GUVs each were analyzed (n=30). **** indicates p<0.0001 calculated using the non-parametric Mann-Whitney's test. (**C**) Representative images showing binding of GFP-Atg11 on an array of curved tubes and flat supported lipid bilayers (SLB). Membranes (magenta) are made of autophagosomal-like membrane with PI3P (Automix+PI3P, left panel) and without PI3P

(Automix, right panel). Scale bar=5μm. (**D**) Quantification of GFP-Atg11 fluorescence normalized
to the membrane fluorescence from tubes and SLB made with automix+PI3P and automix. A total
100 tubes or 2 to 4um^2 individual patches of SLB (n=100) were analyzed across two independent
repeats (N=2). Data represent mean $\pm$ SD. **** indicates p<0.0001 calculated using one-way
ANOVA. (**E**) Representative fluorescence micrographs showing the binding of GFP-Atg11-Nterm
and GFP-Atg11-Cterm on an array of tubes and SLB made of autophagosomal lipids with PI3P.
Scale bar=5μm. (**F**) Quantification of GFP-Atg11-Nterm or -Cterm normalized to membrane
fluorescence for tubes and SLB. A total of 87 tubes or 2 to 4um^2 individual patches of SLB (n=100)
were analyzed across two independent repeats (N=2). Data represent mean $\pm$ SD. **** indicates
p<0.0001 calculated using Kruskal-Wallis test. Autophagosomal-like membranes with PI3P
(automix+PI3P) were made of 43.2mol% PC, 19mol% PE, 32mol% PI, 5mol% PI3P with
0.1mol% RhPE. Autophagosomal-like membranes without PI3P (automix) were made similarly
except for the omission of PI3P and increase of PI to 37mol%.

Figure 2. A putative amphipathic helix mediates autophagosomal membrane binding by Atg11 and contributes to mitophagy. (**A**) Helical wheel representation of the predicted amphipathic helix in Atg11-Nterm. (**B**) Representative images showing GFP-Atg11-Nterm or GFP-Atg11-Nterm$^{\Delta612-646}$ binding on membrane tubes. Scale bar=5μm. **C**) Quantification of GFP-Atg11-Nterm or GFP-Atg11-Nterm$^{\Delta612-646}$ fluorescence normalized by membrane fluorescence

from **B**. Membrane tubes contained 43.2% PC, 19% PE, 32% PI, 5% PI3P with 0.1mol% RhPE
(automix+PI3P). Data represent mean ± SD of 67 tubes (n=67) analyzed across two independent
repeats (N=2). **** indicates p<0.0001 calculated using the non-parametric Mann-Whitney's test.
(**D**) *Atg11Δ* cells transformed with Atg11 or Atg11$^{\Delta612-646}$ were grown in media containing lactate
and shifted to nitrogen starvation media (SD-N) to induce mitophagy. Western blot showing
OM45-GFP at 0, 2, 4 and 6 hours after nitrogen starvation. Actin was used as a loading control.
(**E**) Quantification of the background subtracted free GFP band at 0, 2, 4 and 6 hours after nitrogen
starvation. Data represent mean ± SD from two independent repeats. * indicates p=0.0436. ns is
not significant as analyzed using Student t-test. (**F**) Western blot showing the expression of
2xGFP-Atg11 and 2xGFP-Atg11$^{\Delta612-646}$. (**G**) Timelapse fluorescence images showing *Atg11Δ*
cells expressing GFP-Atg32 with Atg11 (top panel) or Atg11$^{\Delta612-646}$ (bottom panel). Cells grown
in nutrient rich (SMD) media were attached to a concanavalin A-treated coverslip, assembled in a
flow cell maintained at 30°C. Nitrogen-starvation medium (SD-N) was introduced under
controlled laminar flow, and time-lapse images were acquired three minutes after the flow
initiation. Images were captured at 4 minutes interval. Yellow arrowheads indicate the onset of
GFP-Atg32 puncta formation. Scale bar=5μm. (**H**) Quantification of G showing percent of cells
with at least one GFP-Atg32 puncta. A total of 102 cells for Atg11 and 109 cells for Atg11$^{\Delta612-646}$
from four different fields were analyzed. Data points represent mean ± SD of percent cells with at
least one GFP-Atg32 puncta.

Figure 3. Atg11 self-associates in a salt and concentration dependent manner. Purified GFP-Atg11 was diluted into different buffers and imaged by confocal microscopy to detect the presence of Atg11 puncta. (**A**) GFP-Atg11 puncta formation at 1uM protein concentration in different NaCl concentrations (as indicated). Scale bar=5μm. (**B**) Quantification of A. (**C**) GFP-Atg11 puncta formation in 150 mM NaCl at different protein concentrations (as indicated). Scale bar=5μm. (**D**) Quantification of C. (**E**) GFP-Atg11 was diluted to 1 μM in 150 mM or 450 mM NaCl, then imaged. Samples were mixed to produce 300 mM NaCl at 1 μM protein concentration. (**F**) Quantification of E. (**G**) Representative images of clustering observed when GFP-Atg11 constructs (FL, Atg11-Nterm or Atg11-Cterm) were diluted to 1 μM in 150 mM NaCl and imaged as before. (**H**) Quantification of clustering observed when GFP-Atg11 constructs (FL, Atg11-Nterm or Atg11-Cterm) were diluted to 1 μM in 150 mM NaCl and imaged as before. Each experiment was conducted in 3 independent repeats, with 7 images acquired at each repeat, amounting to a total area of 30492 μm^2 per repeat. Puncta analyzed varied from ~30 to ~19,000,

463 depending on the group. The number of puncta was normalized to the area imaged, yielding
464 particles per mm^2. The data are presented as means, with error bars indicating standard deviation.
465 Groups were compared using a one-way ANOVA test with Tukey's correction for multiple
466 comparisons. * $p < 0.05$, ** $p < 0.01$, *** $p < 0.001$, **** $p < 0.0001$, ns is not significant.

Figure 4. Validation of GUV Liposome Tethering (GLT) Assay (A) Schematic showing
fluorescence microscopy-based <u>G</u>UV <u>l</u>iposome <u>t</u>ethering (GLT) assay. GUVs and liposomes
containing different fluorophores are mixed with candidate tethering protein capable of binding

both membranes. Tethering is visualized by the co-localization of liposomes and GUVs in
presence of a tethering protein. Representative images showing 400 nm-extruded liposomes mixed
with GUVs (**B**), GUVs and GST (**C**), or GUVs and GST-FYVE (**D**). Merged images show yellow
arrowheads indicating free liposomes and white arrowheads indicating liposomes tethered to
GUVs. Fluorescence intensity profiles for the GUV and liposome channels along the
circumference of the GUV are shown to the right of each representative image. (**E**) Scatter plots
showing the percent of liposome fluorescence per length of GUV normalized to the largest signal
from B to D. The data are presented as means, with error bars indicating standard deviation. Data
from 3 independent repeats (N=3) were pooled and analyzed using non-parametric Mann-
Whitney's test. **** indicates $p<0.0001$. n indicates total number of GUVs analyzed for each
condition. (**F**) Representative fluorescence images showing GUVs containing PI3P mixed with
GST-GFP-FYVE followed by incubation with PI3P-containing liposomes. (**G**) Fluorescence
intensity profiles for the liposome and protein fluorescence channels around the circumference of
the GUV shown in F. GUVs were made with 74.9 mol% DOPC, 20 mol% DOPS, 5 mol% PI3P
and 0.1 mol% rhodamine PE (RhPE). Liposomes were made with 74.9 mol% DOPC, 20 mol%
DOPS, 5 mol% PI3P and 0.1 mol% of the fluorescent lipophilic dye DiD. Scale bar=10μm.

Figure 5. Atg11 and Atg32 coordinate to tether curved autophagosomal membranes to cargo mimetics. (**A**) Schematic showing tethering of autophagosomal membranes to mitochondrial mimetic GUV reconstituted using GLT assay. OMM GUVs containing mitochondrial lipids and DGS-Ni^{+2}NTA (5 mol%) displaying the cytosolic domain of Atg32 (His-GFP-Atg32$_{1-381}$) are used as a mitochondria mimic to recruit Atg11. 35nm-automix+PI3P liposomes are then added to reconstitute heterotypic tethering by the Atg32-Atg11 complex. (**B**) Representative fluorescence images of GLT with GUVs containing 5% mol DGS-Ni^{+2}NTA displaying His-GFP-Atg32$_{1-381}$

which were sequentially incubated with Atg11 and automix+PI3P liposomes (top panel) or automix+PI3P liposomes alone (bottom panel). Scale bar=10μm. (**C**) Fluorescence intensity profiles from a line drawn along the GUV in B (top panel) showing liposome and GFP-Atg32$_{1-381}$ fluorescence intensities along the GUV. (**D**) Fluorescence intensity profiles from a line drawn along the GUV in B (bottom panel) showing liposome and GFP-Atg32$_{1-381}$ fluorescence intensities along the GUV. (**E**) Quantification of the ratio of liposomes to GFP-Atg32$_{1-381}$ fluorescence from B. Data are presented as means ± SD from 3 independent repeats (N=3). ****$p<0.0001$ analyzed using Mann-Whitney's test. n indicates total number of GUVs analyzed per condition. ns indicates not significant. (**F**) Representative image of a GUV containing 5% mol DGS-Ni^{+2}NTA displaying His-GFP-Atg32$_{1-381}$ sequentially incubated with Atg11 and 1μm-automix+PI3P liposomes. (**G**) Quantification of the ratio of liposomes to GFP-Atg32$_{1-381}$ fluorescence from F. Data are presented as means ± SD from 2 independent repeats (N=2). n indicates the total number of GUVs analyzed per condition. **** indicates $p<0.0001$ analyzed using non-parametric Mann-Whitney's test. Merge images show an overlay of GFP-Atg32$_{1-381}$ and liposomes fluorescence channels. OMM GUVs were made with 69.9% DOPC, 10% DOPE, 10% DOPS, 5% cardiolipin, 5% DGS-Ni^{+2}NTA, and 0.1% RhPE. Automix+PI3P were made of 43.2% PC, 19% PE, 32% PI, 5% PI3P with 0.1% DiD. Liposomes were either sonicated to obtain 30-50nm curved liposomes or extruded though a 1μm-filter.

Figure 6. Membrane binding by Atg11-Nterm and self-association promotes autophagosomal vesicle tethering by Atg11. OMM GUVs containing mitochondrial lipids displaying GFP-Atg32$_{1-381}$ were incubated with Atg11 alone, Atg11 with 20-fold molar excess of Atg11-Nterm or GST and subsequently mixed with autophagosomal liposomes. (**A**) Representative images of autophagosomal liposome recruitment to mitochondrial GUVs with Atg11 alone, Atg11 with excess of Atg11-Nterm or Atg11 with excess of GST. Scale bar=10μm. (**B**) Quantification of the ratio of liposomes to GFP-Atg32$_{1-381}$ fluorescence from A. Data are presented as mean ± SD. **** indicates p<0.0001 and ** indicates p<0.0026 calculated using Mann-Whitney's test. N indicates number of independent repeats and n indicates the total number of GUVs analyzed per condition. (**C**) Representative images of autophagosomal liposome

recruitment to mitochondrial GUVs displaying GFP-Atg32$_{1-381}$ with Atg11 or GFP-Atg11-Nterm alone (recruited via 6X-His tag). Scale bar=10µm. Fluorescence intensity profiles for the GUV and liposome channels along the circumference of the GUV are shown to the right of each representative image. (**D**) Quantification of the coefficient of variance (COV) for liposome fluorescence along the circumference of the GUV from C. Data are presented as mean ± SD from 2 independent repeats (N=2). n indicates the total number of GUVs analyzed per condition. **** indicates p<0.0001 analyzed using the non-parametric Mann-Whitney's test (**E**) Quantification of the ratio of liposomes to GFP-Atg32$_{1-381}$ fluorescence from C. Data are presented as mean ± SD from 2 independent repeats (N=2). n indicates the total number of GUVs analyzed per condition. **** indicates p<0.0001 analyzed using non-parametric Mann-Whitney's test. OMM GUVs were made with 69.9% DOPC, 10% DOPE, 10% DOPS, 5% cardiolipin, 5% DGS-Ni^{+2}NTA, and 0.1% RhPE. Sonicated Automix+PI3P were made of 43.2% PC, 19% PE, 32% PI, 5% PI3P with 0.1% DiD.

540 **Figure 7. Proposed role of Atg11 membrane binding in mitophagy initiation.** In response to
541 nitrogen starvation, Atg32 recruits Atg11 to mitochondria leading to their clustering into
542 mitophagy initiation sites. An amphipathic helix in Atg11 engages with negatively charged lipids
543 in Atg9 vesicles. This membrane binding may serve to enhance, stabilize or organize the
544 recruitment of Atg9 vesicles helping in rapid onset of mitophagy.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Recombinant protein expression and purification

All constructs used for protein purification in this paper are listed in **Table S1**. 6XHis-GST, 12XHis-GST-EEA1 FYVE, 12XHis-GST-GFP-EEA1 FYVE, and StrepII-GFP-Atg32(1-381)-10XHis were recombinantly expressed in *E. coli* Star cells (Invitrogen C601003). Cultures were grown in LB broth (Fisher Scientific, BP1426) to an OD600 of 0.6 to 0.8 at 37°C while shaking at 210 rpm. Cultures were cooled at 4°C for 20 min. Protein expression was induced by adding 1 mM isopropylthio-β-D-galactopyranoside (IBI Scientific, IB02125) and the cultures were grown for an additional 18 h at 18°C. Cells were harvested and cell pellets were stored at −80°C.

For purification of 6XHis-GST, 12XHis-GST-EEA1 FYVE, 12XHis-GST-GFP-EEA1 FYVE and StrepII-GFP-Atg32(1-381)-10XHis, cell pellets were thawed and resuspended in 50 mM Tris pH 8.0, 500 mM NaCl, 2.5mM imidazole, 5 mM $MgCl_2$, 1 mM phenylmethanesulfonyl fluoride (PMSF; VWR, 0754) and cOmplete Mini EDTA-free protease inhibitor tablets (Roche, 11836170001). Cells were lysed using 3 strokes of a microfluidizer at 18, 000 psi. Triton X- 100 (VWR, AAA16046-AE), was subsequently added to lysates at 1% vol/vol. Lysates were spun at 25,000 g for 30 minutes. The supernatant was then applied to pre-equilibrated Talon resin (Clontech, 635504). The resin was washed with 50 mM Tris pH 8.0, 500 mM NaCl, 10 mM imidazole. Bound proteins were eluted with 50 mM Tris pH8.0, 500 mM NaCl, 250 mM imidazole. For purification of 6XHis-GST, 12XHis-GST-EEA1 FYVE, 12XHis-GST-GFP-EEA1 FYVE, peak fractions containing protein were pooled and dialyzed against 20 mM Tris pH 8.0, 300 mM NaCl and 0.2 mM TCEP. Proteins were aliquoted, flash-frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at −80°C. For purification of StrepII-GFP-Atg32(1-381)- 10XHis, elutions from Talon resin were

pooled and incubated with pre- equilibrated Strep resin (IBA, 2-5030-025). The resin was washed

with 20 mM Tris pH 8.0 and 300 mM NaCl. Protein was eluted with 20 mM Tris pH 8.0, 300 mM

NaCl and 50 mM biotin. Peak fractions containing protein were dialyzed against 20 mM Tris pH

8.0, 300 mM NaCl and 0.2 mM TCEP. Dialyzed protein was aliquoted, flash-frozen in liquid

nitrogen and stored at −80°C until use.

Atg11 and GFP-Atg11, both with an N-terminal 2XStrep II tag, were recombinantly expressed in

Freestyle cells for 3 days post transfection. Cells were harvested by spinning by 1000 g for 15 min.

Cell pellets were flash frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at −80°C.

Cell pellets were resuspended and thawed in 50 mM Tris pH 8.0, 500 mM NaCl, 1 mM DTT, 1

mM PMSF and a cOmplete Mini protease inhibitor tablet (Roche, 11836170001). Cells were lysed

using 3 strokes of a microfluidizer at 18, 000 psi. Triton X-100 (VWR, AAA16046-AE), was

subsequently added to lysates at 1% vol/vol. Lysates were spun at 25,000 g for 30 min. Supernatant

was applied to Strep resin (IBA, 2- 5030-025) at 1 mL/min using a peristaltic pump. Resin was

washed with 20 mM Tris pH 8.0, 300 mM NaCl and 1 mM DTT. Bound protein was eluted with

20 mM Tris pH 8.0, 300 mM NaCl, 50 mM biotin and 1 mM DTT. Fractions containing protein

were pooled and concentrated to 2 µM and flash-frozen in liquid nitrogen. For GFP-Atg32 binding

experiments, Atg11 was fluorescently labeled with a three-fold molar excess of Alexa Fluor™ 594

C5 Maleimide (Invitrogen, A10256) for 1 h at room temperature. Labelling was quenched using 1

mM DTT. Unreacted dye was removed by dialyzing the labelled protein against 20 mM Tris pH

8.0, 300 mM NaCl, 0.2 mM TCEP and 1 mM DTT for 48 h. Fresh buffer was added after 24 h of

dialysis.

The sequences encoding for Atg11 amino acids 1-646 (Nterm) and 700-1178 (Cterm) were

subcloned into pET His6 GFP TEV LIC cloning vector (1GFP) which was a gift from Scott Gradia

(Addgene plasmid # 29663) to generate GFP-Atg11-Nterm and GFP-Atg11-Cterm, respectively.

The sequence encoding for Atg11 amino acids 1-646 was also subcloned into pET His6 MBP TEV

LIC cloning vector (1M) which was a gift from Scott Gradia (Addgene plasmid # 29656). Q5

mutagenesis (NEB, E0554) was used to remove amino acids 612-646 from the Atg11-Nterm

constructs to generate Atg11-Nterm$^{\Delta612-646}$ in the 1GFP and 1M vectors.

His6-GFP-Atg11-Nterm, His6-GFP-Atg11-Nterm$^{\Delta612-646}$, His6-GFP-Atg11-Cterm, His6-MBP-

Atg11-Nterm and His6-MBP-Atg11- Nterm$^{\Delta612-646}$ were all expressed in Rosetta2 *E. coli* cells.

Cells were grown to and OD$_{600}$ of 0.5-0.6 and induced with 0.1mM IPTG (His6-GFP-Atg11-

Nterm, His6-GFP-Atg11-Nterm$^{\Delta612-646}$, His6-GFP-Atg11-Cterm) or 1mM IPTG (His6-MBP-

Atg11-Nterm and His6-MBP-Atg11- Nterm$^{\Delta612-646}$) and allowed to grow for 16 hours at 18°C.

Cells were then harvested by spinning at 4000 g for 25 minutes. Cell pellets were then flash frozen

in liquid nitrogen and stored at −80°C.

Cell pellets were resuspended in resuspended and thawed in 50 mM Tris pH 8.0, 500 mM NaCl,

5 mM MgCl$_2$, 1 mM PMSF and a cOmplete EDTA free Mini protease inhibitor tablet (Roche,

11836170001). Cells were lysed using 3 strokes of a microfluidizer at 18, 000 psi. Triton X-100

(VWR, AAA16046-AE), was subsequently added to lysates at 1% vol/vol. Lysates were spun at

40,000 g for 45 minutes. Supernatant was applied to TALON resin (Takara, 35653) and allowed

to incubate with gentle rocking for 1 hour. Supernatant was then allowed to slowly flow via gravity

and the resin was washed with 50 mM Tris pH 8.0, 300 mM NaCl three times followed by one

wash of 50 mM Tris pH 8.0, 300 mM NaCl, 2.5mM imidazole. Bound protein was eluted with 50

mM Tris pH 8.0, 300 mM NaCl, 200 mM imidazole. For, His6-MBP-Atg11-Nterm and His6-

MBP-Atg11- Nterm$^{\Delta612-646}$ fractions containing protein were pooled and desalted into 50 mM Tris

pH 8.0, 300 mM NaCl and incubated with TEV protease at a 1:25 molar ratio at 4°C for 16 hours

to cleave off the His6-MBP tag. The cleaved protein was then subjected to size exclusion

chromatography using a HiLoad 16/60 Superdex 200 equilibrated with 20 mM Tris pH 7.4, 150

mM NaCl, 0.2 mM TCEP. Fractions containing Atg11-Nterm or Atg11- Nterm$^{\Delta612-646}$ were then

concentrated and flash frozen until further use. For His6-GFP-Atg11-Nterm, His6-GFP-Atg11-

Nterm$^{\Delta612-646}$ and His6-GFP-Atg11-Cterm fractions containing protein eluted from TALON resin

were pooled, concentrated and subjected to size exclusion chromatography using a HiLoad 16/60

Superdex 200 column equilibrated with 20 mM Tris pH 7.4, 150 mM NaCl, 0.2 mM TCEP.

Yeast strains, plasmids and culture conditions

Atg11Δ cell line was obtained from the *S. cerevisiae* knockout collection (Invitrogen). TKYM22

(SEY6210 OM45-GFP::TRP1) was a gift from Daniel Klionsky[44]. TKYM22 atg11Δ::NAT

(XXY003) was generated by replacing atg11 with the NAT cassette from pAG25 in TKYM22[27].

GFP-Atg32 and RFP-Atg32 (pRS415-MET25) plasmids were kind gifts from Dr. Benedikt

Westermann[45]. Plasmid expressing 2XGFP-Atg11 (yCPLAC33) and Atg11 (yCPLAC33) were

reported earlier[27]. Atg11$^{\Delta 612-646}$ was generated from Atg11 (yCPLAC33) by site directed

mutagenesis. Cells were grown in SMD (0.67% yeast nitrogen base, 2% glucose and supplemented

with the appropriate amino acid and vitamin mixture). CuGFP-ATG8(416) was a gift from Daniel

Klionsky (Addgene plasmid # 49423)[46].

Live imaging of GFP-Atg32 puncta formation

For GFP-Atg32 puncta formation experiments, cells expressing GFP-Atg32 under the MET25

promoter were grown to mid-log phase in SMD supplemented with 10 mg/mL methionine. GFP-

Atg32 expression was then induced by shifting cells to SMD without methionine for 1hr.

Subsequently, cells were transferred onto a concavalinA-coated glass coverslip assembled in a

temperature- and flow-controlled FCS2 chamber (Bioptechs). Chamber temperature was

maintained at 30 °C. To induce mitophagy, SD-N (0.17% yeast nitrogen base without amino acids

and ammonium sulfate; 2% glucose) prewarmed to 30 °C was flowed into the chamber at a

constant flowrate. Chamber was equilibrated with SD-N for 3 mins. Subsequently, timelapse

images were acquired at every 4 mins interval for a total of 30-40 mins.

OM45-GFP processing assay

The OM45-GFP processing assay was performed as described previously[31]. XXY003 cells were

transformed with Atg11 and Atg11$^{\Delta 612-646}$. Cells were grown at 30 °C in SMD to an OD600 of 0.8–

1.0. Cells were pelleted at 1000g for 10 min, washed and resuspended in SML (0.67% yeast

nitrogen base, 2% lactic acid and supplemented with the appropriate amino acid and vitamin

mixture) and grown for 16 hrs. Subsequently, cells were pelleted and resuspended in SD-N for 6

h. Finally, cells were harvested, lysed by bead beating and GFP was detected by western blot.

Liposome preparation

All lipids were purchased from Avanti Polar lipids. Chloroform stocks of lipid mixtures at a desired

ratio containing 0.1 mol% of the fluorescent lipophilic dye DiD were aliquoted in a clean glass

tube and dried under a gentle nitrogen stream until all the chloroform had evaporated. Residual

chloroform was removed under vacuum for 18 h. Dried lipids were resuspended in 20 mM Tris

pH 8.0, 150 mM NaCl to a final concentration of 1 mM. For experiments with Atg11,

automix+PI3P liposomes were resuspended in 20 mM Tris pH 8.0, 100 mM NaCl to a final

concentration on 1 mM. Liposomes were extruded using an Avanti Mini Extruder using 0.4 μm

Nuclepore Track-Etched membrane (Sigma Aldrich, WHA10417104). Automix+PI3P liposomes

were sonicated using a probe sonicator at 10% amplitude for 5 mins with 1 sec on and 1 sec off.

Sonication was carried out in an ice-water bath to prevent heating.

Giant unilamellar vesicle preparation

GUVs were made using the gel-assisted formation method[51]. Briefly, chloroform stocks containing

lipids mixed at desired ratios containing the fluorescent lipid RhPE were aliquoted in a glass tube.

Chloroform was dried under a stream of nitrogen followed by drying under vacuum (1 h). The

dried lipids were resuspended in fresh chloroform to make a 1 mM lipid mixture. Polyvinyl alcohol

(PVA, Mw = 14500; Sigma, 814894) was dissolved in boiling water to make a 5% stock and

degassed in a vacuum chamber. PVA solution (10 μL) was spread into a thin film on a glass

coverslip kept on a heating block set to 55°C. Chloroform-dissolved lipid mix (10 μL) was then

spread on the dried PVA film. The dried PVA-lipid films were peeled from the glass coverslips

and transferred to a 1.5-mL microcentrifuge tube. Films were hydrated in 300 μL assay buffer (20

mM Tris, pH 7.4, 150 mM NaCl) for 20 min, and GUVs were released by gentle tapping. The

PVA films were removed using a pipette.

GUV liposome tethering (GLT)

For GLT experiments, GUVs were first mixed with tethering protein or control protein in a 1.5

mL microcentrifuge tube for 10 minutes at room temperature. GUV mixtures were tapped to

resuspend settled GUVs and subsequently incubated with 10 μL of 400 nm extruded liposomes for

5mins at room temperature. Liposomes were used within 3 days of extrusion. The GLT mixtures

(300 μL total volume) were transferred to a BSA-passivated LabTek chamber (Nunc, Z734853)

for imaging. GUVs were allowed to settle for 5 minutes prior to imaging. LabTek chambers were

treated with 3 M NaOH for 10 minutes followed by extensive washes with milliQ water. NaOH-

treated chambers were then passivated by incubation with BSA (3 mg/mL). BSA was removed by

three washes with the assay buffer. All GLT experiments were performed in 20 mM Tris pH 7.4,

150 mM NaCl, except for GLT experiments with Atg11 which were performed in 20 mM Tris pH

7.4, 100 mM NaCl. All proteins were spun at 100,000 g prior to use to remove any aggregates.

Atg11 binding on SMrTs

SMrTs were prepared as described earlier[22,23]. Briefly, 3 µL of chloroform-dissolved automix and

automix+PI3P stocks (1mM) were spread on a PEGylated coverslip. Chloroform was dried using

a gentle stream of nitrogen. A ~35 µL flow cell (Bioptechs) was assembled by placing a 0.1 mm

silicone spacer between the PEGylated coverslip and an ITO-coated slide. Rehydration of the dried

lipid film with 20mM Tris pH 8 and 150mM NaCl yielded supported lipid bilayers and vesicles.

Lipid nanotubes were extruded from the vesicles using buffer flow. Subsequently, 300 µL of GFP-

Atg11 (0.5 µM), GFP-Atg11-Nterm (2 µM) or GFP-Atg11-Cterm (2 µM) were incubated with

SMrTs for 10 mins. Excess protein was washed with 3x300 µL of buffer and SMrTs were imaged.

All proteins were spun at 100,000 g prior to use to remove any aggregates.

Fluorescence microscopy of membrane binding and GLT

708   All images were acquired using a Nikon TiE microscope fitted with a Yokogawa CSU-W1

709   spinning disk system using a 100 X, 1.45 NA oil immersion objective. Images were acquired with

710   a Photometrics Prime BSI sCMOS camera using the NIS elements software.

Atg11 Clustering Assay

All buffers used for these assays contained 20 mM Tris pH 8.0 at 4°C and the indicated NaCl

concentrations. Purified GFP-Atg11 was thawed on ice and centrifuged at 20,000 x g for 15

minutes at 4°C. GFP-Atg11 was diluted to a concentration of 1 µM in buffer containing 50, 100,

150, 300 or 450 mM NaCl, or to a concentration of 0.05, 0.1, 0.5, 1 or 5 µM in buffer containing

150 mM NaCl. After dilution 40 µl of sample was spotted onto a glass coverslip, mounted on a 63

X oil immersion objective on a Zeiss LSM 880 microscope. Samples were left on the microscope

for 5 minutes before imaging. All images were acquired within 10 minutes after the sample was

applied to the coverslip to prevent evaporation and thus concentration of the sample. For

reversibility assays, GFP-Atg11 was diluted to 1µM in buffer containing 150 mM NaCl or 450

mM NaCl and imaged as described above. The remaining samples of 1µM GFP-Atg11 in 150 mM

NaCl and 450 mM NaCl were mixed in a 1:1 ratio to generate 1µM GFP-Atg11 in 300 mM NaCl.

For the comparison of GFP-Atg11, GFP-Atg11-Nterm and GFP-Atg11-Cterm protein was diluted

to 1 µM in buffer containing 150 mM NaCl and imaged as described above.

Atg11 clustering was imaged on a Zeiss Airyscan LSM 880 microscope using an alpha Plan-

Apochromatic 100 × oil lens with NA = 1.46 using Zeiss Immersol 518 F immersion oil at room

temperature. GFP was excited using a 488 argon laser. Emission was detected using a Zeiss

GaAsP-PMT detector.

Image analysis

Fluorescence microscopy images were analyzed using Fiji[52].

To analyze tethering in GLT, images were first background corrected by subtracting the mode

intensity value. Percent liposome fluorescence per length of GUV was calculated by normalizing

the liposome fluorescence, collected from a two-pixel wide segmented line drawn along a GUV,

to the length of the GUV. For calculating the liposome/protein fluorescence ratio, fluorescence

intensities in liposome and protein channels from a two-pixel wide segmented line drawn along a

GUV were collected. Mean liposome fluorescence was divided by mean protein fluorescence to

yield liposome/protein fluorescence ratios.

For analysis of protein bound on SMrTs, images were background corrected by subtracting the

mode value. Integrated density of protein and membrane fluorescence was measured from a 20x10

pixel rectangle placed centrally on the tubes or from a 2 to 4 μm^2 square box placed on SLBs.

GFP-Atg32 puncta were analyzed by placing a segmented line centered on the puncta. Only those

GFP-Atg32 puncta that were over 2.5-fold intensity of the background mitochondrial fluorescence

intensity were counted.

For Atg11 clustering analysis images were analyzed in Fiji. A threshold was set for each image

based on the average background intensity of the image. The analyze particle command was used

with parameters of 0.2 μm to infinity for the particle size and 0.8-1.0 for the circularity.

Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using GraphPad Prism (version 5.0a).

All experiments were performed in independent triplicates or duplicates. Liposomes and GUVs

were prepared independently for each GLT experiment using freshly aliquoted lipids. Data from

all repeats were pooled and plotted as scatterplots. Error bars represent standard deviation.

Significance was calculated using the non-parametric Mann-Whitney's test or one-way ANOVA

with Tukey's correction for multiple comparisons as appropriate.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

762   D.A., S.K., K.M.B., and M.J.R. conceived and planned the experiments. D.A., S.K., S.I.N. and

763   K.M.B. carried out the experiments. D.A. and M.J.R. drafted the manuscript. All authors edited

764   the manuscript.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by the National Institute of General Medical Sciences (NIGMS) grant

R35GM128663 to M.J.R. Expression of Atg11 was performed in the BioMT service center and

protein purification was performed in part performed at the BioMT Molecular Tools Core which

are both supported by NIGMS grant P20GM113132. Sequencing of plasmids was performed by

the Molecular Biology Shared Resource Center which is supported by NCI Cancer Center Support

Grant P30CA023108. We would also like to thank Ann Lavanway for her work and support at the

Dartmouth Imaging Facility. We thank Dr. Benedikt Westermann for the kind gift of GFP-Atg32

and RFP-Atg32 pRS415-MET25 plasmids.

REFERENCES

1. Wen X, Klionsky DJ. An overview of macroautophagy in yeast. J Mol Biol 2016; 428:1681-99. doi:10.1016/j.jmb.2016.02.021.

2. Germic N, Frangez Z, Yousefi S, Simon HU. Regulation of the innate immune system by autophagy: monocytes, macrophages, dendritic cells and antigen presentation. Cell Death Differ 2019; 26:715-27. doi:10.1038/s41418-019-0297-6.

3. Dikic I, Elazar Z. Mechanism and medical implications of mammalian autophagy. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 2018; 19:349-64. doi:10.1038/s41580-018-0003-4.

4. Lamark T, Johansen T. Mechanisms of Selective Autophagy. Annu Rev Cell Dev Biol 2021; 37:143-69. doi:10.1146/annurev-cellbio-120219-035530.

5. Adriaenssens E, Ferrari L, Martens S. Orchestration of selective autophagy by cargo receptors. Current Biology 2022; 32:R1357-R71. doi:10.1016/j.cub.2022.11.002.

6. Yorimitsu T, Klionsky DJ. Atg11 links cargo to the vesicle-forming machinery in the cytoplasm to vacuole targeting pathway. Mol Biol Cell 2005; 16:1593-605. doi:10.1091/mbc.e04-11-1035.

7. Matscheko N, Mayrhofer P, Rao Y, Beier V, Wollert T. Atg11 tethers Atg9 vesicles to initiate selective autophagy. PLoS Biol 2019; 17:e3000377. doi:10.1371/journal.pbio.3000377.

8. Coudevylle N, Banas B, Baumann V, Schuschnig M, Zawadzka-Kazimierczuk A, Kozminski W, et al. Mechanism of Atg9 recruitment by Atg11 in the cytoplasm-to-vacuole targeting pathway. J Biol Chem 2022; 298:101573. doi:10.1016/j.jbc.2022.101573.

9. Sawa-Makarska J, Baumann V, Coudevylle N, von Bülow S, Nogellova V, Abert C, et al. Reconstitution of autophagosome nucleation defines Atg9 vesicles as seeds for membrane formation. Science 2020; 369:1206-+. doi:ARTN eaaz771410.1126/science.aaz7714.

10. Mari M, Griffith J, Rieter E, Krishnappa L, Klionsky DJ, Reggiori F. An Atg9-containing compartment that functions in the early steps of autophagosome biogenesis. J Cell Biol 2010; 190:1005-22. doi:10.1083/jcb.200912089.

11. Melia TJ, Lystad AH, Simonsen A. Autophagosome biogenesis: From membrane growth to closure. J Cell Biol 2020; 219. doi:10.1083/jcb.202002085.

12. Maeda S, Otomo C, Otomo T. The autophagic membrane tether ATG2A transfers lipids between membranes. Elife 2019; 8. doi:ARTN e4577710.7554/eLife.45777.

13. Valverde DP, Yu S, Boggavarapu V, Kumar N, Lees JA, Walz T, et al. ATG2 transports lipids to promote autophagosome biogenesis. J Cell Biol 2019; 218:1787-98. doi:10.1083/jcb.201811139.

14. Jiang W, Chen X, Ji C, Zhang W, Song J, Li J, et al. Key Regulators of Autophagosome Closure. Cells 2021; 10. doi:10.3390/cells10112814.

15. He C, Song H, Yorimitsu T, Monastyrska I, Yen WL, Legakis JE, et al. Recruitment of Atg9 to the preautophagosomal structure by Atg11 is essential for selective autophagy in budding yeast. J Cell Biol 2006; 175:925-35. doi:10.1083/jcb.200606084.

16. Meyer MD, Winzeler J, Taylor SM, Kilgore A, Edicha K, Chitwood C, et al. Mapping Critical Residues in ATG11's Coiled-Coil 2 Domain that Block Multiple Interactions and Disrupt Selective Autophagy. Front Cell Dev Biol 2021; 9:775364. doi:10.3389/fcell.2021.775364.

820   17.   Shi X, Chang C, Yokom AL, Jensen LE, Hurley JH. The autophagy adaptor NDP52 and
821   the FIP200 coiled-coil allosterically activate ULK1 complex membrane recruitment. Elife
822   2020; 9. doi:10.7554/eLife.59099.
823   18.   Suzuki H, Noda NN. Biophysical characterization of Atg11, a scaffold protein essential for
824   selective autophagy in yeast. FEBS Open Bio 2018; 8:110-6. doi:10.1002/2211-
825   5463.12355.
826   19.   Schutter M, Giavalisco P, Brodesser S, Graef M. Local Fatty Acid Channeling into
827   Phospholipid Synthesis Drives Phagophore Expansion during Autophagy. Cell 2020;
828   180:135-49 e14. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2019.12.005.
829   20.   Hawkins WD, Leary KA, Andhare D, Popelka H, Klionsky DJ, Ragusa MJ. Dimerization-
830   dependent membrane tethering by Atg23 is essential for yeast autophagy. Cell Rep 2022;
831   39:110702. doi:10.1016/j.celrep.2022.110702.
832   21.   Popelka H, Reinhart EF, Metur SP, Leary KA, Ragusa MJ, Klionsky DJ. Membrane
833   Binding and Homodimerization of Atg16 Via Two Distinct Protein Regions is Essential
834   for Autophagy in Yeast. J Mol Biol 2021; 433:166809. doi:10.1016/j.jmb.2021.166809.
835   22.   Dar S, Kamerkar SC, Pucadyil TJ. A high-throughput platform for real-time analysis of
836   membrane fission reactions reveals dynamin function. Nat Cell Biol 2015; 17:1588-96.
837   doi:10.1038/ncb3254.
838   23.   Dar S, Kamerkar SC, Pucadyil TJ. Use of the supported membrane tube assay system for
839   real-time analysis of membrane fission reactions. Nat Protoc 2017; 12:390-400.
840   doi:10.1038/nprot.2016.173.
841   24.   Yamamoto H, Kakuta S, Watanabe TM, Kitamura A, Sekito T, Kondo-Kakuta C, et al.
842   Atg9 vesicles are an important membrane source during early steps of autophagosome
843   formation. J Cell Biol 2012; 198:219-33. doi:10.1083/jcb.201202061.
844   25.   Shi X, Yokom AL, Wang C, Young LN, Youle RJ, Hurley JH. ULK complex organization
845   in autophagy by a C-shaped FIP200 N-terminal domain dimer. J Cell Biol 2020; 219.
846   doi:10.1083/jcb.201911047.
847   26.   Jumper J, Evans R, Pritzel A, Green T, Figurnov M, Ronneberger O, et al. Highly accurate
848   protein structure prediction with AlphaFold. Nature 2021; 596:583-9. doi:10.1038/s41586-
849   021-03819-2.
850   27.   Margolis HK, Katzenell S, Leary KA, Ragusa MJ. The Third Coiled Coil Domain of Atg11
851   Is Required for Shaping Mitophagy Initiation Sites. J Mol Biol 2020; 432:5752-64.
852   doi:10.1016/j.jmb.2020.08.025.
853   28.   Turco E, Witt M, Abert C, Bock-Bierbaum T, Su MY, Trapannone R, et al. FIP200 Claw
854   Domain Binding to p62 Promotes Autophagosome Formation at Ubiquitin Condensates.
855   Mol Cell 2019; 74:330-46 e11. doi:10.1016/j.molcel.2019.01.035.
856   29.   Gallop JL, Jao CC, Kent HM, Butler PJ, Evans PR, Langen R, et al. Mechanism of
857   endophilin N-BAR domain-mediated membrane curvature. EMBO J 2006; 25:2898-910.
858   doi:10.1038/sj.emboj.7601174.
859   30.   Nishimura T, Lazzeri G, Mizushima N, Covino R, Tooze SA. Unique amphipathic helix
860   drives membrane insertion and enzymatic activity of ATG3. Sci Adv 2023; 9. doi:ARTN
861   eadh128110.1126/sciadv.adh1281.
862   31.   Kanki T, Kang D, Klionsky DJ. Monitoring mitophagy in yeast: the Om45-GFP processing
863   assay. Autophagy 2009; 5:1186-9. doi:10.4161/auto.5.8.9854.

32.   Kanki T, Wang K, Cao Y, Baba M, Klionsky DJ. Atg32 is a mitochondrial protein that
confers selectivity during mitophagy. Dev Cell 2009; 17:98-109.
doi:10.1016/j.devcel.2009.06.014.

33.   Okamoto K, Kondo-Okamoto N, Ohsumi Y. Mitochondria-anchored receptor Atg32
mediates degradation of mitochondria via selective autophagy. Dev Cell 2009; 17:87-97.
doi:10.1016/j.devcel.2009.06.013.

34.   Aoki Y, Kanki T, Hirota Y, Kurihara Y, Saigusa T, Uchiumi T, et al. Phosphorylation of
Serine 114 on Atg32 mediates mitophagy. Mol Biol Cell 2011; 22:3206-17.
doi:10.1091/mbc.E11-02-0145.

35.   Hollenstein DM, Licheva M, Konradi N, Schweida D, Mancilla H, Mari M, et al. Spatial
control of avidity regulates initiation and progression of selective autophagy. Nat Commun
2021; 12:7194. doi:10.1038/s41467-021-27420-3.

36.   Fujioka Y, Alam JM, Noshiro D, Mouri K, Ando T, Okada Y, et al. Phase separation
organizes the site of autophagosome formation. Nature 2020; 578:301-5.
doi:10.1038/s41586-020-1977-6.

37.   Chang C, Shi X, Jensen LE, Yokom AL, Fracchiolla D, Martens S, et al. Reconstitution of
cargo-induced LC3 lipidation in mammalian selective autophagy. Sci Adv 2021; 7.
doi:10.1126/sciadv.abg4922.

38.   Turco E, Savova A, Gere F, Ferrari L, Romanov J, Schuschnig M, et al. Reconstitution
defines the roles of p62, NBR1 and TAX1BP1 in ubiquitin condensate formation and
autophagy initiation. Nat Commun 2021; 12:5212. doi:10.1038/s41467-021-25572-w.

39.   Alam JM, Noda NN. In vitro reconstitution of autophagic processes. Biochem Soc Trans
2020; 48:2003-14. doi:10.1042/BST20200130.

40.   Dumas JJ, Merithew E, Sudharshan E, Rajamani D, Hayes S, Lawe D, et al. Multivalent
endosome targeting by homodimeric EEA1. Mol Cell 2001; 8:947-58. doi:10.1016/s1097-
2765(01)00385-9.

41.   Song H, Wickner W. Tethering guides fusion-competent trans-SNARE assembly. Proc
Natl Acad Sci U S A 2019; 116:13952-7. doi:10.1073/pnas.1907640116.

42.   Sperka-Gottlieb CD, Hermetter A, Paltauf F, Daum G. Lipid topology and physical
properties of the outer mitochondrial membrane of the yeast, Saccharomyces cerevisiae.
Biochim Biophys Acta 1988; 946:227-34. doi:10.1016/0005-2736(88)90397-5.

43.   Gatica D, Damasio A, Pascual C, Klionsky DJ, Ragusa MJ, Popelka H. The carboxy
terminus of yeast Atg13 binds phospholipid membrane via motifs that overlap with the
Vac8-interacting domain. Autophagy 2020; 16:1007-20.
doi:10.1080/15548627.2019.1648117.

44.   Kanki T, Klionsky DJ. Mitophagy in Yeast Occurs through a Selective Mechanism. Journal
of Biological Chemistry 2008; 283:32386-93. doi:10.1074/jbc.M802403200.

45.   Bockler S, Westermann B. Mitochondrial ER contacts are crucial for mitophagy in yeast.
Dev Cell 2014; 28:450-8. doi:10.1016/j.devcel.2014.01.012.

46.   Wang K, Yang Z, Liu X, Mao K, Nair U, Klionsky DJ. Phosphatidylinositol 4-kinases are
required for autophagic membrane trafficking. J Biol Chem 2012; 287:37964-72.
doi:10.1074/jbc.M112.371591.