Role of Ethanolamine Utilization and Bacterial Microcompartment Formation in *Listeria monocytogenes* Intracellular Infection

Ayan Chatterjee[a], Karan Gautam Kaval[a]*, and Danielle A. Garsin[a]#

[a]Department of Microbiology and Molecular Genetics, The University of Texas Health Science Center at Houston, Houston, Texas, USA

Running Title: ethanolamine utilization in *L. monocytogenes*

#Address correspondence to Danielle A. Garsin, Danielle.A.Garsin@uth.tmc.edu

*Present address: Department of Molecular Biology, University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center, Dallas, Texas, USA

ABSTRACT

Ethanolamine (EA) affects the colonization and pathogenicity of certain human bacterial

pathogens in the gastrointestinal tract. However, EA can also affect the intracellular survival and

replication of host-cell invasive bacteria such as *Listeria monocytogenes* (LMO) and *Salmonella*

enterica serovar Typhimurium (*S.* Typhimurium). The EA utilization (*eut)* genes can be broadly

categorized as regulatory, enzymatic, or structural, and previous work in LMO showed that loss

of genes encoding functions for the enzymatic breakdown of EA inhibited LMO intracellular

replication. In this work, we sought to further characterize the role of EA utilization during LMO

infection of host cells. Unlike what was previously observed for *S.* Typhimurium, in LMO, an EA

regulator mutant (*ΔeutV)* was equally deficient in intracellular replication compared to an EA

metabolism mutant (*ΔeutB),* and this was consistent across Caco-2, RAW 264.7 and THP-1 cell

lines*.* The structural genes encode proteins that self-assemble into bacterial microcompartments

(BMCs) that encase the enzymes necessary for EA metabolism. For the first time, native EUT

BMCs were fluorescently tagged, and EUT BMC formation was observed in vitro, and in vivo.

Interestingly, BMC formation was observed in bacteria infecting Caco-2 cells, but not the

macrophage cell lines. Finally, the cellular immune response of Caco-2 cells to infection with *eut*

mutants was examined, and it was discovered that *ΔeutB* and *ΔeutV* mutants similarly elevated

the expression of inflammatory cytokines. In conclusion, EA sensing and utilization during LMO

intracellular infection are important for optimal LMO replication and immune evasion but are not

always concomitant with BMC formation.

 INTRODUCTION

Ethanolamine (EA) is a metabolically useful compound that arises from the membrane lipid

phosphatidylethanolamine contained in all bacterial and eukaryotic cells (1, 2). Because of the

natural turnover of the epithelium and the cells of the microbiota, the gastrointestinal environment

is particularly rich in EA with concentrations as high as 2mM (3, 4). As this compound can serve

as a useful source of carbon and/or nitrogen, there is a correlation between bacteria that contain

the genes to catabolize this EA and those found in the gut including species of *Enterococcus,*

Escherichia, Clostridium, Salmonella and *Listeria* (reviewed by (5, 6)). However, expression of

the *eut* genes has been documented in other host environments, including the intracellular one,

and may be of relevance for *Listeria monocytogenes* (LMO) (7, 8)*.*

LMO is a facultative, intracellular pathogen, capable of invading, surviving, and multiplying inside

various host cell types including cells of the gastrointestinal epithelium and the innate immune

cells. Upon attachment, invasion is mediated by engulfment and internalization into a vacuole.

Depending on the host cell environment, LMO can disrupt the phagosome, escape into the host

cytoplasm, replicate, and spread using actin-based motility (reviewed by (9)). In the host

environment of human colon epithelial (Caco-2) cells, a microarray analysis found that the *eut*

operon of LMO was upregulated (8). Furthermore, a study of LMO gene expression under several

different host conditions, discovered strong upregulation of the *eut* genes in the intestines of

infected mice and weaker, but still significant levels of expression in human blood (7).

The EA utilization genes are usually found together in an operon or locus and encode the

necessary regulators, enzymes, and structural proteins (5, 6)). Specifically, *Listeria*

monocytogenes possesses 17 genes involved in EA metabolism (Fig. 1A) (10). The regulation of

the *eut* genes for this bacterium and other Gram-positives such as *Enterococcus* involves an EA-

responsive sensor kinase, encoded by *eutW,* a response regulator of the ANTAR family, encoded

by *eutV,* and an AdoCbl-dependent riboswitch (10–13). To metabolize EA, an ammonia lyase,

composed of two sub-units encoded by *eutBC*, is required for the initial catabolic step that

generates acetaldehyde and ammonia. To concentrate these products for the downstream

reactions and to prevent interaction of toxic acetaldehyde with the cytoplasm, some, including

LMO, also encode for structural proteins that self-assemble into enclosed, proteinaceous

structures called bacterial microcompartments (BMCs) (reviewed by (5, 6)).

In addition to upregulation of the *eut* genes, a contribution of ethanolamine metabolism to LMO

intracellular replication in Caco-2 cells was noted; a *eutB* mutant was deficient compared to the

parental strain (8). Furthermore, in an intravenous (i.v.) mouse infection model, a *eutB* mutant

was deficient in dissemination to the spleen and liver (10). Since EutB is one of the subunits that

comprise the ammonia lyase, these data suggest EA catabolism promotes survival in both

gastrointestinal epithelial cells and during bloodstream infection (8, 10). Interestingly and in

contrast, replication of a *eutB* mutant strain of *Salmonella enterica* serovar Typhimurium was not

deficient in host cells. However, replication was diminished for a strain deleted for *eutR*, which

encodes the EA-sensing transcriptional regulator (14). This was attributed to the fact that EutR

regulates genes associated with pathogenicity in *S.* Typhymurium – the SPI-2 pathogenicity

island. Further work by the Kendall group established the importance of the EutH permease for

S. Typhimurium (15). EutH is required for EA entry under acidic conditions such as those

encountered in the phagosome (16). Interestingly, a slight phenotype for a *eutH* mutant in

intracellular replication was also shown for LMO, but regulatory or metabolism mutants were not

directly compared (15). Whether a LMO *eutV* mutant strain would display a greater deficiency

than a *eutB* mutant strain in intracellular replication like *S.* Typhimurium is unknown.

As mentioned above, EA metabolism is frequently, but not always encased in an organelle-like

structure called a bacterial microcompartment (BMC) (reviewed by (6, 17, 18)). Rather than

having a selectively permeable membrane, these "organelles" have a selectively permeable

protein shell made up of structural components. A feature of the assembly is that some of the

enzymatic proteins have "encapsulation peptides" (EPs) that interact with the structural proteins

to enable BMC formation. Native EUT BMCs have been visualized in several species of bacteria

including LMO by transmission electron microscopy (TEM) (19). However, fluorescent-tagging of

EUT BMCs to our knowledge was only done in a heterologous system in which *eut* genes

encoding the structural proteins of *S.* Typhimurium were over-expressed in *E. coli* using a

constitutively active promoter, and BMCs were visualized by tagging an encapsulation peptide

with GFP (20–22). Here we report the fluorescent tagging of native EUT proteins under the control

of *eut* promoters to permit the visualization of endogenous BMC formation in vitro and in host

cells.

In this work, we sought to further characterize the role of EA utilization during LMO infection of

host cells. Unlike what was previously observed with *S.* Typhimurium (14), an EA metabolism

mutant (*ΔeutB*) was equally deficient in intracellular replication compared to an EA regulator

mutant (*ΔeutV),* and this was consistent across a number of cell types*.* To visualize EUT BMC

formation, native structural proteins were fluorescently tagged and expressed under *eut*

promoters. EUT BMC formation was observed in vitro, and for the first time, in vivo, in some, but

not all host cells tested. Finally, it was found that LMO *ΔeutB* and *ΔeutV* mutants similarly affected

the cellular immune response; comparable increases in several inflammatory cytokines were

observed.

RESULTS

Establishment of conditions in which LMO can utilize ethanolamine (EA) as a nitrogen source dependent on the *eut* genes:

Previous work demonstrated that LMO can utilize EA as a source of carbon and/or nitrogen dependending on the media conditions (19, 23). We optimized a similar chemically defined medium that we named MMWB (Modified MWB) (Table S1) (24–27). MMWB contained 4.1mM glutamine (Gln) as the nitrogen source allowing for robust growth of LMO (Fig. 1B). EA could be substituted for Gln, which requires the bacteria to utilize EA as a nitrogen source. As expected, no growth was observed in the absence of Gln, underscoring the necessity of a nitrogen source (Fig. 1B). To testif EA could substitute as a nitrogen source in the medium, we replaced Gln with 16mM EA. However, no growth was observed until we additionally supplemented the medium with 200µM of AdoCbl (Fig. 1B). The data aligns with previous research demonstrating a requirement for AdoCbl as a co-factor in the metabolism of EA and in the induction of the *eut* genes (reviewed by (6)). In conclusion, we show that LMO can grow in MMWB utilizing EA as a nitrogen source.

As described in the introduction and illustrated in Fig. 1A, the *eut* locus of LMO contains 17 genes that encode the regulators, enzymes and structural shell proteins necessary for EA metabolism. To verify induction of these genes in MMWB + EA, expression of a subset was measured by qRT-PCR. As expected, all genes measured, *eutV, A, B, K,* and *M,* displayed increased expression following 4 hours of growth in MMWB + EA relative to MMWB + Gln. Of note, the expression was specific for *eut* genes associated with EA metabolism; genes associated with propanediol (PDU) metabolism, *pduA* and *pduQ,* were not induced (Fig 1C).

To further verify the role of the *eut* genes in LMO's use of EA as a nitrogen source in MMWB, we

generated strains containing *ΔeutV* (LMAC030) and *ΔeutB* (LMAC031) non-polar, in-frame,

deletion mutations in the parental background (Fig 1D and 1E). When grown in the presence of

Gln as the nitrogen source, these mutant strains exhibited growth comparable to the parental

strain. However, they did not grow when EA was provided as a nitrogen source, indicating that

the response regulator, EutV, and the ammonia lyase subunit, EutB, were indispensable for

growth in the presence of EA in vitro, as expected. To ensure that the defects in growth were due

to the introduced mutations, we complemented these strains. In order to retain EA-inducibility, the

complementation constructs included the regulatory sequences upstream of *eutV* or *eutG.* Both

these regions contain predicted promoters followed by ANTAR binding sites that are the hallmarks

of antitermination regulation by EutV (Fig 1A) (10). Growth in EA was partially restored for the

ΔeutV strain when *eutV,* under the control of the *eutV* promoter, was introduced as a transgene

into a chromosomal site outside of the EA locus (see Materials and Methods for details), (Fig 1D

and S1A). Note that successful complementation of *ΔeutV* required using the combined *eutVW*

sequence; attempts to complement with the *eutV* sequence alone were unsuccessful. As the *eutV*

and *eutW* genes overlap and appear to be co-transcribed, it is possible that a *eutV* transcript

alone is unstable. Growth in EA was also restored in the *ΔeutV* background, but to a lesser

degree, when the *eutG* promoter was utilized (Fig S1A). In contrast, successful complementation

was only achieved in the *ΔeutB* strain with the *eutG* promoter driving the expression of *eutB*; use

of the *eutV* promoter did not enable growth in EA (Fig 1E and S1B). We speculate that these

differences in the promoters' abilities to restore growth in EA is due to differences in the levels of

EutV and EutB that are required for EA metabolism and achieved by the promoters. Overall, these

results establish conditions in which LMO can utilize EA as a source of nitrogen dependent on

the positive regulation of the *eut* genes (EutV) and the ability to metabolize EA (EutB).

LMO EUT BMC formation is dependent on EA, EutV, and EutB:

EA utilization of has been associated with BMC formation for many EA-utilizing bacteria including *L. monocytogenes* (6, 19). Specifically, LMO EUT BMCs were previously visualized under anaerobic conditions (19). To test for BMC formation in aerobic MMWB + EA conditions, transmission electron microscopy (TEM) was utilized. Structures resembling BMCs were readily visible in the parental LMO background following 6 hours growth in medium containing EA but not Gln (Fig. 2A). As dependence of the formation of these structures on EutV and EutB had not been previously reported in LMO, we also examined *ΔeutV* and *ΔeutB* deletion mutant strains grown in EA; both strains failed to form BMCs (Fig. 2B and 2C). The complemented strains both restored BMC formation, as predicted, indicating that the deletions did not have polar effects on the expression of the downstream structural genes (Fig. 2B, 2C and 1A).

In order to visualize EUT BMC formation in live cells, we introduced a *eutK::mCherry* translational fusion under the control of the EA inducible *eutG* promoter into an ectopic, chromosomal site in wild-type, *ΔeutV* and *ΔeutB* backgrounds (see Materials and Methods). To ensure that introduction of the protein fusion did not disrupt the ability of the strain to utilize EA, growth curves were performed, and the strain was found to grow in EA with kinetics similar to the parental strain (Fig. S1C). Following six hours of incubation in medium containing EA, distinct red punctate structures were observed corresponding to BMC-like formations (Fig. 3A). Notably, when bacterial cells were cultivated in a medium containing Gln, these BMC-like structures were not evident under confocal microscopy. (Fig. 3B). By performing live cell imaging of this strain, the dynamics of these structures forming and dissipating was revealed (Mov. S1). Consistent with the TEM observations, BMCs were rarely visible in the *ΔeutV* and *ΔeutB* strain backgrounds (Fig. 3C and 3D). In addition to the *eutK::mCherry* translational fusion, *eutM::mCherry* and a *eutK::mNeonGreen* fusions were additionally generated. They presented with a similar punctate

fluorescent pattern when grown in EA, indicating that the observed localization was unlikely to be

artifactual due to a specific fusion or tag (S2A and S2B). In conclusion, these experiments

demonstrate that EUT BMC formation is dependent on EA, EutV, and EutB and can be visualized

with fluorescent tags in *L. monocytogenes.*

Loss of EA utilization impairs intracellular replication of LMO:

As LMO invades the cells of the gastrointestinal epithelium in humans, the Caco-2 human

colorectal adenocarcinoma cell line is frequently used to model LMO intracellular infections (28,

29). Previous work on strain LMO EGDe documented that a strain with an insertion mutant in *eutB*

was deficient in Caco-2 intracellular replication (8). To verify the phenotype with LMO 10403S,

we infected Caco-2 cells with the parental strain and the *ΔeutV* and *ΔeutB* strains. Following a

one-hour infection at an MOI of 5, the cells were washed, and medium containing gentamycin

was added for one hour to kill extracellular bacteria. At the zero-time point, defined as when the

extracellular bacteria were removed and the cells washed, the number of intracellular bacteria

between the strains was not significantly different, indicating no defect in bacterial invasion (Fig.

4A). However, at three hours post-infection, there was approximately half-a-log less CFU of the

ΔeutV and *ΔeutB* strains compared to wild type, demonstrating a defect in intracellular replication.

We additionally observed that there was no significant difference in the level of attenuation

between the two mutant strains.

Following enterocyte invasion, *L. monocytogenes* can infect recruited immune cells such as

macrophages, which can lead to systemic infections (9). A LMO mutant in *eutH,* which encodes

for an EA transporter thought to be necessary for EA utilization in acidic environments, was

previously shown to have a slight defect in intracellular replication in bone marrow-derived

macrophages (BMDMs), suggesting that EA utilization might play a role in this process (15). To

further test the efficiency of intracellular replication of the *eut* mutant strains in immune cells, we

infected RAW 264.7 and differentiated THP-1 cells, mouse and human derived macrophages

respectively (Fig. 4B and 4C). Replication of the *ΔeutV* and *ΔeutB* strains was attenuated by

about 0.5 logs in these cells. Again, there was no significant difference in the degree of deficiency

between the two strains. In conclusion, the *ΔeutV* and *ΔeutB* strains are deficient in intracellular

replication to an equal degree in a range of cell types suggesting that EA utilization positively

contributes to LMO infection efficiency.

LMO forms EUT BMCs during intracellular infection of Caco-2 cells:

To test for BMC formation in the intracellular environment, Caco-2 cells were infected with the

strains expressing the *eutK::mCherry* fusion. Strains containing the *eutK::mCherry* translational

fusion were utilized for the in vivo experiments because they were brighter compared to the

eutM::mCherry strains (Fig. S2A) and were less prone than mNeonGreen to interference from

background autofluorescence (Fig. S2B). The samples were fixed at 2-, 4-, 6- and 8-hours post-

infection, and bacterial cells were visualized using an antibody specific to *L. monocytogenes.* Host

cell actin was visualized by staining with phalloidin. At 4, 6, and 8 hours, punctate, red

fluorescence inside some of the bacterial cells from the parental strain was visible, indicative of

BMC formation (Fig. 5A and Fig. S3). As expected, little to no signal was observed in samples

from the *ΔeutV* and *ΔeutB* strains containing the *eutK::mCherry* fusion (Fig. 5B-C and Fig S3).

The number and the intensity of the punctate, red fluorescence in the parental strain cells

increased over time, peaking at 8 hours (Fig. 5A). Time points later than 8 hours were not captured

due to host cell lysis. However, as shown by imaging the larger fields (Fig. S3), not all bacteria

within the host cells presented with visible BMCs, and while the host cells were stained with actin,

we did not observe actin clouds or tails around the bacterial cells, hallmarks of *L. monocytogenes*

escape into the host cell cytoplasm (9). Our Caco-2 cells were not highly passaged, and our

observations align with the published literature asserting that only highly passaged strains of

Caco-2 cells are conducive to *L. monocytogenes* phagosomal escape (29, 30). From these data

we conclude that the *eut* genes are expressed and EUT BMCs form in *L. monocytogenes* inside

Caco-2 cells.

Confocal imaging was also performed on RAW 264.7 cells infected with the *eutK*-mCherry strain.

Time points were taken at 4, and 6 hours; later time points were not possible due to cell lysis.

Unlike Caco-2 cells, phagosomal escape was evident by the formation of actin clouds and tails

visualized by staining with phalloidin (Fig. S4A). However, despite the *eut* genes contributing to

higher intracellular replication in these cells (Figure 3B), we were unable to detect any mCherry

signal. To test if the lack of visible staining was due to an absence of BMC formation as a result

phagosomal escape, we differentiated THP-1 monocytes into the M1 phenotype, previously

shown to prevent phagosomal escape of LMO (31). When infected with LMO, there was no

evidence of cytoplasmic LMO as indicated by actin cloud or tail formation. However, no mCherry

expression indicative of BMC formation was visible (Fig. S4B). These results suggest that the

presence or absence of visible BMCs is unrelated to phagosomal escape.

Loss of EA utilization increases host cell inflammatory responses:

To further investigate the role of EA utilization in LMO's interaction with host cells, we examined

the inflammatory response of Caco-2 cells following infection. Specifically, the expression of

genes encoding for inflammatory cytokines, vital signaling mediators that orchestrate and amplify

the immune response by recruiting immune cells and enhancing antimicrobial capabilities, were

measured (32, 33). Caco-2 cell cultures were subjected to infection with the LMO parental or the

ΔeutV and *ΔeutB* mutants as before, and host cell RNA was extracted at four hours post-infection.

The expression levels of specific inflammatory cytokines, interleukin-1 beta (IL-1β), tumor

necrosis factor-alpha (TNF-α), interleukin-6 (IL-6), interleukin-8 (IL-8), and chemokines CXCL2

and MCP-1 were examined by qRT-PCR as described previously for Caco-2 cells (34). A 2-4-fold

increase in the expression of these factors by Caco-2 cells infected with the *ΔeutV* and *ΔeutB*

mutant strains was observed in comparison to those infected with the parental strain (Figure 6).

There were no significant differences in the expression levels of these cytokines between the

ΔeutV and *ΔeutB* mutant strains, suggesting that the observed differences are solely due to the

loss of ethanolamine utilization. The stronger inflammatory response coupled with the reduced

intracellular replication observed during infection with the mutant strains (Figure 3A) suggests that

loss of ethanolamine utilization reduces LMO's ability to evade the host immune response.

DISCUSSION

In this study, we demonstrated that the catabolism of EA contributes to the efficacy of intracellular

replication of LMO in a variety of host cells. In *S.* Typhimurium, by contrast, the ability to sense,

but not catabolize EA, was shown to enhance intracellular survival in macrophages; a *eutR*

regulatory mutant was deficient in intracellular survival, but a *eutB* metabolic mutant was *not.* (14).

Here, a direct comparison between LMO *eutV* regulatory and *eutB* metabolic mutants revealed

indistinguishable phenotypes, both exhibited the same level of depressed intracellular replication

and caused similar changes to the host cell immune response (Fig. 3 and 6). The difference could

be related to the scope of the genes regulated by EutR and EutV. EutR is a DNA binding

transcriptional regulator. In addition to binding its promoter in the *eut* locus, EutR directly binds

the promoter of the *ssrB* gene in *S.* Typhimurium encoding a transcriptional regulator. SsrB

activates the expression of the Salmonella pathogenicity island 2 (SPI-2) genes that are required

for *S.* Typhimurium intracellular replication and survival (14). EutR in EHEC also regulates

virulence related genes outside of the *eut* locus (35, 36). In contrast, EutV is an ANTAR (AmiR

and NasR transcriptional antiterminator regulators) response regulator that binds specific

sequences in mRNA leaders that overlap terminators, preventing their formation (37, 38) In LMO,

there is no evidence that EutV directly regulates genes other than those related to EA utilization

(10, 37). The observation that strains containing *eutV* and *eutB* deletions cause identical effects

on LMO intracellular replication as well as on host cell immune responses is consistent with the

phenotypes being caused by the loss of the ability to catabolize EA in this bacterium, unlike in *S.*

Typhimurium. How exactly the loss of EA metabolism causes these changes in LMO's

interactions with host cells is of future interest.

By fluorescently tagging BMC structural proteins, we were able to visualize BMC formation in vitro

and in vivo. The goal was to observe the formation of BMCs as a marker for when and where EA

metabolism occurs during LMO interaction with host cells. However, we observed BMCs forming

in only a subset of the bacteria inside Caco-2 cells, and we did not observe any forming in the

RAW 264.7 and THP-1 cell lines. Based on the data, we postulate two possible explanations for

the lack of visible BMC formation in these monocyte/macrophage cell lines. One is that, that BMCs

were generated, but were unable to be visualized due to low expression of the *eutK::mCherry*

transgene. Another is that the metabolism of ethanolamine is occurring at a lower level in these

cells that does not require BMC formation. Recall that some bacterial species, like *Pseudomonas*

aeruginosa and *Acinetobacter baumannii* encode for the core EA metabolic enzymes, but lack

the structural proteins (38, 39). Even in bacteria such as *S. typhimurium* that contain the full

complement of structural proteins, it has been shown that they are still able to metabolize EA,

albeit with less efficiency, when they are deleted for the microcompartment-encoding genes (40,

41). Perhaps there are differences in the amount of free EA available in these different host cells

that determine whether BMC formation is necessary for EA utilization or not. Future work using

transcriptomics and metabolomics to further understand the host cell environments and the

bacterial response may provide more insight into these observations.

Another surprising finding in this study was the lack of BMC formation in the *ΔeutB* mutant. We

expected that no structures would form in the *ΔeutV* mutant that lacks the regulator necessary for

expression of all the *eut* genes but were surprised that a strain missing a single catalytic enzyme

failed to form BMCs. However, it has been reported that the shell proteins of the carbon-fixing β-

carboxysomes condense around key catalytic enzymes necessary for nucleation of the BMC

structure (18, 42). In contrast, the α-carboxysomes can assemble either shell-first or undergo

concomitant shell–core assembly, and the propanediol (PDU) metabolizing BMCs form

independent cargo and shell aggregates (43–45). While there have been studies in heterologous

systems to examine the requirements for EUT BMC assembly (20–22), the dynamics have not

been determined in a natural system. Therefore, it is possible that core assembly requiring EutB

is necessary for shell protein condensation. However, our previous work in *E. faecalis*

documented normal BMC formation by TEM in strains with *eutB* mutations (46), raising the

possibility of species-specific differences. Undoubtedly, it will be of interest to further study EUT

BMC formation and elucidate the assembly pathway(s). More broadly, the field should investigate

if the assembly of BMCs varies in a manner dependent on species and environmental context,

including host environments. In conclusion, EA utilization contributes importantly to LMO

intracellular replication, but may not always require EUT BMC assembly.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Bacterial strains and media

Listeria monocytogenes 10403s (LMO) strain (source: Bei resources) was used in this study and all mutations and recombinants were constructed in this background. All LMO strains were grown in brain heart infusion (BHI) broth or on BHI agar (1.5% agar) plates supplemented with streptomycin (50 µg /ml) in 37 °C. The chemically defined medium MMWB (Table S1) was prepared by modifying the original recipe reported by Welshimer (24). Medium was always prepared fresh, and filter sterilized with a 0.22micron filter. MMWB medium was supplemented with either glutamine (Gln: 4.1mM) or ethanolamine (EA: 16mM) and VitB12 (200µM) as needed. Cloning was done in either *E. coli* Top10 or DH5α. All *E. coli* strains were grown in Luria-Bertani (LB) broth and were supplemented with antibiotics [Ampicillin (100 µg /ml) or Kanamycin (50 µg/ml)] wherever required. For recombinant LMO strains, appropriate antibiotics [Kanamycin (50 µg/ml) and streptomycin (50 µg/ml)] were used.

Generation of recombinant, *ΔeutV*, *ΔeutB* and the various complemented strains in LMO

All primers used in this study were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich and are listed in Table S2. PCR reactions were performed using Platinum™ SuperFi II DNA Polymerase (ThermoFisher Scientific: 12361010) according to the manufacturer's protocol. Restriction free cloning was conducted using NEBuilder® HiFi DNA Assembly (NEB: E2621L). Isolation of plasmids and purification of PCR products were conducted by using commercially available kits from either Qiagen or Macherey-Nagel. Sequencing was conducted at Genewiz, and the results visualized and verified using SnapGene (version 5.2.5.1). For creating the *ΔeutV* (LMAC030) and *ΔeutB* (LMAC031)*,* the respective upstream and downstream regions were cloned into pMAD and electroporated into LMO as reporter earlier (47). Electrocompetent cells of LMO were prepared as described (48).

The complemented strains *ΔeutV::eutV* (LMAC037) *and ΔeutB::eutB* (LMAC034) were created

by cloning the respective gene along with promoter region of either *eutV* or *eutG* in pIMK plasmid

followed by electroporation. For creating the recombinant strain expressing mCherry tagged to

EutK (LMAC038), the *eutK* coding sequence was driven by the *eutG* promoter and fused to the

mCherry coding sequence. It was then cloned into the pIMK plasmid to create the construct

AC038 followed by electroporation as described by Monk *et al*, 2008. Similarly, AC038 was

electroporated into LMAC030 (*ΔeutV*) and LMAC031 (*ΔeutB*) to generate strains LMAC040

(*eutK::mCherry*; *ΔeutV*) and LMAC043 (*eutK::mCherry*; *ΔeutB*), respectively.

Growth curves

LMO and the mutants were grown overnight in 10 ml of BHI broth at 37°C with aeration. Bacterial

cells were harvested and washed thrice with PBS followed by a wash with MMWB medium devoid

of glutamine or EA. Cultures were then seeded on a 48 well plate from Falcon® (Corning)

containing the desired medium with a starting OD_{600} of 0.05. Plates were sealed with Breathe-

Easy® sealing membranes (Diversified Biotech) and the growth curve was monitored on a

Cytation™ 5 plate reader system (BioTek Instruments Inc) for 72 hours, with OD readings taken

every hour.

Transmission Electron Microscopy

Bacterial strains were grown in BHI broth to midlog phase at 37°C with aeration. Bacterial cells

were then harvested, washed, and processed as mentioned above. The strains were

resuspended in MMWB medium containing either Gln (4.1mM) or EA (16mM) and Vit B12

(200µM) as needed. Cells were then harvested, fixed, processed and sliced (12). Imaging was

conducted in JEOL 1400 TEM system, equipped with the Gatan 2k x 2k CCD camera, and

accompanying software.

Cell culture and infection

All the cell lines were purchased from Sigma. The murine macrophage RAW264.7 cell line and Human colon adenocarcinoma Caco-2 cell line was cultured in DMEM (Gibco: 11995065) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) (Gibco: 10082147) at 37°C in a humidified atmosphere at 5% CO_2. For culturing the Caco-2 cell line, DMEM medium was additionally supplemented with non-essential amino acids (NEAA) (Gibco-11140050). The human monocytic leukemia THP-1 cell line was thawed and cultured in RPMI media supplemented with 20% FBS and 2-mercaptoethanol (Gibco: 21985023) to a final concentration of 0.05 mM at 37°C in a humidified atmosphere at 5% CO_2. Once the culture was established, the FBS concentration was reduced to 10% for further subculturing. PMA was used at a final concentration of 1000ng/ml for 48 hours to differentiate the THP-1 cells. This was followed by incubating the differentiated THP-1 cell in PMA free media for 24hr. Polarization of the differentiated THP-1 macrophages to M1 phenotype was done by adding 10ng/ml LPS and 50ng/ml IFN-γ (31). For RAW264.7 and THP-1 macrophage intracellular survival assay, 5×10^5 cells were seeded into 12 well plates the day before the experiment. For Caco-2 cells, 5×10^5 cells were seeded into 12 well plates and allowed to grow for two weeks until tight junctions were formed (49). LMO strains were grown overnight in BHI broth at 37°C. The next day the overnight cultures were inoculated into fresh BHI to a starting OD_{600} of 0.05 and grown for 2 h at 37°C till an OD_{600} of ~0.2. Cell lines were infected with a MOI of 5. The infection was conducted for 1 hour. The wells were then washed with Dulbecco's phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) (prewarmed at 37°C), and extracellular bacteria were killed by incubating with DMEM containing 40 µg/ml gentamicin for 1 hour. Medium was changed after 1 hour and intracellular bacteria was harvested by lysing the host cells with 1 ml of ice-cold PBS containing 0.1% Triton X-100. Several serial dilutions were plated on BHI agar plates and kept at

37°C for the bacterial colonies to appear. Colonies were counted manually as well as using the

software openCFU (version 3.9.0) (Geissmann, 2013).

cDNA preparation and qRT PCR

All RNA extraction was carried out using NucleoSpin RNA Plus kit (Macherey Nagel) according

to manufacturer's protocol. cDNA was synthesized from RNA using the RevertAid reverse

transcriptase for first strand cDNA synthesis (ThermoFisher Scientific: K1622). qRT-PCR was

performed using SYBR green based iTaq Universal SYBR Green Supermix (Biorad: 1725121),

using appropriate primers (Table S2) on a Biorad C1000 thermocycle equipped with CFX96

Optical Reaction Module. The relative expression of various genes was normalized to that of the

endogenous reference 16S rRNA gene and the fold change in expression was calculated using

the comparative Ct method. For host qRT-PCR, the normalization was done using ACTH as

endogenous reference. p-values were calculated using the Two-way ANOVA with Bonferroni's

multiple comparison test.

Confocal microscopy

To visualize the expression of EutK-mCherry, the recombinant LMO strains LMAC038

(*eutK::mCherry)*, LMAC040 ((*eutK::mCherry*; *ΔeutV*) and LMAC043 (*eutK::mCherry; ΔeutB*),

were initially grown overnight in BHI broth at 37°C. Bacterial cells were then washed with sterile

PBS and reconstituted in MMWB medium containing either Gln (4.1mM) or EA (16mM) and VitB12

(200µM) as needed and incubated at 37°C on a shaker (180 rpm). Cells were harvested by

centrifugation (5000x g) at desired timepoints and were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde for 10

minutes at 25°C. Cells were then washed thrice with PBS and smear dried on a Poly-L-lysine

coated slide (Electron Microscopy Science: 63410), mounted with Prolong Diamond Antifade

Mountant (Invitrogen: P36965), covered with #1.5H glass cover slips (Electron Microscopy

Sciences), and imaged using the Olympus FLUOVIEW FV3000 confocal microscope equipped

with the Fluoview FV315-SW software. Images were acquired in Z-stacks using a step size of

0.20 µm, which were then processed using the Olympus cellSens Dimension software.

Caco-2, RAW264.7 and THP-1 cells were thawed and seeded as mentioned earlier on 6 well

plates containing #1.5H glass cover slips (Electron Microscopy Sciences). Cells were grown and

maintained as described above. The infection was conducted for 1 hour at an MOI of 5, followed

by gentamycin treatment as described above. After infection, the cells were fixed with 4%

paraformaldehyde for 10 minutes at 25°C followed by permeabilization with 0.01% Triton X-100

in PBS for 10 minutes at 25°C. The cells were then treated with 5% BSA in PBS for 90 minutes

at 25°C followed by treatment with anti-Listeria antibody (Abcam: ab35132) at 4°C overnight. The

next day, cells were then washed with PBS and treated with Alexa488 conjugated secondary

antibody (Invitrogen: A27034) for 90 minutes at 25°C. Cells were then washed and stained for

actin using Alexa Fluor plus 405 Phalloidin (Invitrogen: A30104) for 20 minutes at 25°C. Finally,

cells were again washed with PBS, mounted, and imaged as described above.

Statistical Analysis

Statistical Analyses were done using GraphPad Prism, v9.5.1. P values were calculated using

two-way ANOVA with Bonferroni's multiple comparison test.

Data Availability

No large datasets were generated that required deposition into public repositories. All data is in

the manuscript with the source data underlying the Figures is in Table S3.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank S. Kolodziej and P. Navarro for sectioning samples for TEM. We also thank Dr.

Chenggang Wu and Ms. Mara Blaise Willis for help with strain design and troubleshooting. Finally,

we thank the following members of the LMO community for strains, protocols and advice: D.

Portnoy, J.D. Sauer, S. Halbedel and M. O'Riordan. This work was supported by the National

Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases of the National Institutes of Health under award

number R21AI167124 to DAG. The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does

not necessarily represent the official views of the National Institutes of Health. Author

contributions: A.C., K.K., and D.A.G. designed research; A.C and K.K performed experiments;

A.C and D.A.G analyzed data and wrote the paper.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. Randle CL, Albro PW, Dittmer JC. 1969. The phosphoglyceride composition of Gram-negative bacteria and the changes in composition during growth. Biochim Biophys Acta 187:214–220.

2. White DA. 1973. Phospholipid composition of mammalian tissues, p. 441–482. *In* Ansell, GB, Hawthorne, JN, Dawson, RMC (eds.), Form and function of phospholipids. Elsevier Publishing Company, New York.

3. Bertin Y, Girardeau JP, Chaucheyras-Durand F, Lyan B, Pujos-Guillot E, Harel J, Martin C. 2011. Enterohaemorrhagic Escherichia coli gains a competitive advantage by using ethanolamine as a nitrogen source in the bovine intestinal content. Environ Microbiol2010/09/21. 13:365–377.

4. Thiennimitr P, Winter SE, Winter MG, Xavier MN, Tolstikov V, Huseby DL, Sterzenbach T, Tsolis RM, Roth JR, Baumler AJ. 2011. Intestinal inflammation allows Salmonella to use ethanolamine to compete with the microbiota. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A2011/10/05. 108:17480–17485.

5. Garsin DA. 2010. Ethanolamine utilization in bacterial pathogens: roles and regulation. Nat Rev Microbiol 8:290–295.

6. Kaval KG, Garsin DA. 2018. Ethanolamine Utilization in Bacteria. mBio 9.

7. Toledo-Arana A, Dussurget O, Nikitas G, Sesto N, Guet-Revillet H, Balestrino D, Loh E, Gripenland J, Tiensuu T, Vaitkevicius K, Barthelemy M, Vergassola M, Nahori MA, Soubigou G, Regnault B, Coppee JY, Lecuit M, Johansson J, Cossart P. 2009. The Listeria transcriptional landscape from saprophytism to virulence. Nature.

8.   Joseph B, Przybilla K, Stuhler C, Schauer K, Slaghuis J, Fuchs TM, Goebel W. 2006.

Identification of Listeria monocytogenes genes contributing to intracellular replication by

expression profiling and mutant screening. J Bacteriol 188:556–568.

9.   Pizarro-Cerda J, Cossart P. 2018. Listeria monocytogenes: cell biology of invasion and

intracellular growth. Microbiol Spectr2018/12/14. 6.

10. Mellin JR, Koutero M, Dar D, Nahori MA, Sorek R, Cossart P. 2014. Riboswitches.

Sequestration of a two-component response regulator by a riboswitch-regulated noncoding

RNA. Science (1979) 345:940–943.

11. DebRoy S, Gao P, Garsin DA, Harvey BR, Kos V, Nes IF, Solheim M. 2014. Transcriptional

and Post Transcriptional Control of Enterococcal Gene Regulation, p. . *In* Gilmore, MS,

Clewell, DB, Ike, Y, Shankar, N (eds.), Enterococci: From Commensals to Leading Causes

of Drug Resistant Infection. Boston.

12. DebRoy S, Gebbie M, Ramesh A, Goodson JR, Cruz MR, van Hoof A, Winkler WC, Garsin

DA. 2014. Riboswitches. A riboswitch-containing sRNA controls gene expression by

sequestration of a response regulator. Science (1979) 345:937–940.

13. Chen J, Gottesman S. 2014. RNA. Riboswitch regulates RNA. Science (1979) 345:876–

877.

14. Anderson CJ, Clark DE, Adli M, Kendall MM. 2015. Ethanolamine Signaling Promotes

Salmonella Niche Recognition and Adaptation during Infection. PLoS Pathog

11:e1005278.

15. Anderson CJ, Satkovich J, Koseoglu VK, Agaisse H, Kendall MM. 2018. The Ethanolamine

Permease EutH Promotes Vacuole Adaptation of Salmonella enterica and Listeria

monocytogenes during Macrophage Infection. Infect Immun2018/03/14. 86.

16. Penrod JT, Mace CC, Roth JR. 2004. A pH-sensitive function and phenotype: evidence

that EutH facilitates diffusion of uncharged ethanolamine in Salmonella enterica. J Bacteriol

186:6885–6890.

17. Bobik TA, Lehman BP, Yeates TO. 2015. Bacterial microcompartments: widespread

prokaryotic organelles for isolation and optimization of metabolic pathways. Mol Microbiol

98:193–207.

18. Kerfeld CA, Aussignargues C, Zarzycki J, Cai F, Sutter M. 2018. Bacterial

microcompartments. Nat Rev Microbiol2018/03/06. 16:277–290.

19. Zeng Z, Boeren S, Bhandula V, Light SH, Smid EJ, Notebaart RA, Abee T. 2021. Bacterial

Microcompartments Coupled with Extracellular Electron Transfer Drive the Anaerobic

Utilization of Ethanolamine in Listeria monocytogenes. mSystems 6.

20. Quin MB, Perdue SA, Hsu SY, Schmidt-Dannert C. 2016. Encapsulation of multiple cargo

proteins within recombinant Eut nanocompartments. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol2016/07/28.

100:9187–9200.

21. Choudhary S, Quin MB, Sanders MA, Johnson ET, Schmidt-Dannert C. 2012. Engineered

protein nano-compartments for targeted enzyme localization. PLoS One 7:e33342.

22. Held M, Kolb A, Perdue S, Hsu SY, Bloch SE, Quin MB, Schmidt-Dannert C. 2016.

Engineering formation of multiple recombinant Eut protein nanocompartments in E. coli.

Sci Rep2016/04/12. 6:24359.

23. Kutzner E, Kern T, Felsl A, Eisenreich W, Fuchs TM. 2016. Isotopologue profiling of the

listerial N-metabolism. Mol Microbiol 100.

24. WELSHIMER HJ. 1963. VITAMIN REQUIREMENTS OF LISTERIA MONOCYTOGENES.

J Bacteriol 85.

25. Premaratne RJ, Lin WJ, Johnson EA. 1991. Development of an improved chemically

defined minimal medium for Listeria monocytogenes. Appl Environ Microbiol 57.

26.   Tsai HN, Hodgson DA. 2003. Development of a Synthetic Minimal Medium for Listeria

monocytogenes. Appl Environ Microbiol 69.

27.   FRIEDMAN ME, KAUTTER DA. 1962. Effect of nutrition on the respiratory virulence of

Listeria monocytogenes. J Bacteriol 83.

28.   Gaillard JL, Berche P, Mounier J, Richard S, Sansonetti P. 1987. In vitro model of

penetration and intracellular growth of Listeria monocytogenes in the human enterocyte-

like cell line Caco-2. Infect Immun1987/11/01. 55:2822–2829.

29.   Mounier J, Ryter A, Coquis-Rondon M, Sansonetti PJ. 1990. Intracellular and cell-to-cell

spread of Listeria monocytogenes involves interaction with F-actin in the enterocytelike cell

line Caco-2. Infect Immun1990/04/01. 58:1048–1058.

30.   Rajabian T, Gavicherla B, Heisig M, Müller-Altrock S, Goebel W, Gray-Owen SD, Ireton K.

2009. The bacterial virulence factor InlC perturbs apical cell junctions and promotes cell-

to-cell spread of Listeria. Nat Cell Biol 11.

31.   Ouadrhiri Y, Scorneaux B, Sibille Y, Tulkens PM. 1999. Mechanism of the intracellular

killing and modulation of antibiotic susceptibility of Listeria monocytogenes in THP-1

macrophages activated by gamma interferon. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 43.

32.   Borish LC, Steinke JW. 2003. Borish, L. C., & Steinke, J. W. (2003). 2. Cytokines and

chemokines. The Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology, 111(2 Suppl), S460–S475.

http://doi.org/10.1067/mai.2003.1082. Cytokines and chemokines. J Allergy Clin Immunol

111.

33.   Kany S, Vollrath JT, Relja B. 2019. Cytokines in inflammatory disease. Int J Mol Sci

https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms20236008.

34.   Xie M, Ding C, Guo L, Chen G, Zeng H, Liu Q. 2018. Evaluation of Caco-2 cells response

to Listeria monocytogenes virulence factors by RT-PCR. Microb Pathog 120.

35. Kendall MM, Gruber CC, Parker CT, Sperandio V. 2012. Ethanolamine controls expression

of genes encoding components involved in interkingdom signaling and virulence in

enterohemorrhagic Escherichia coli O157:H7. mBio 3.

36. Garsin DA. 2012. Ethanolamine: a signal to commence a host-associated lifestyle?

mBio2012/07/05. 3:e00172–12.

37. Ramesh A, DebRoy S, Goodson JR, Fox KA, Faz H, Garsin DA, Winkler WC. 2012. The

mechanism for RNA recognition by ANTAR regulators of gene expression. PLoS

Genet2012/06/12. 8:e1002666.

38. Tsoy O, Ravcheev D, Mushegian A. 2009. Comparative genomics of ethanolamine

utilization. J Bacteriol.

39. Villa EA, Escalante-Semerena JC. 2022. Acinetobacter baumannii Catabolizes

Ethanolamine in the Absence of a Metabolosome and Converts Cobinamide into

Adenosylated Cobamides. mBio 13.

40. Penrod JT, Roth JR. 2006. Conserving a volatile metabolite: a role for carboxysome-like

organelles in Salmonella enterica. J Bacteriol 188:2865–2874.

41. Brinsmade SR, Paldon T, Escalante-Semerena JC. 2005. Minimal functions and

physiological conditions required for growth of salmonella enterica on ethanolamine in the

absence of the metabolosome. J Bacteriol 187:8039–8046.

42. Cameron JC, Wilson SC, Bernstein SL, Kerfeld CA. 2013. Biogenesis of a bacterial

organelle: the carboxysome assembly pathway. Cell 155:1131–1140.

43. Oltrogge LM, Chaijarasphong T, Chen AW, Bolin ER, Marqusee S, Savage DF. 2020.

Multivalent interactions between CsoS2 and Rubisco mediate α-carboxysome formation.

Nat Struct Mol Biol https://doi.org/10.1038/s41594-020-0387-7.

44. Yang M, Wenner N, Dykes GF, Li Y, Zhu X, Sun Y, Huang F, Hinton JCD, Liu LN. 2022.

Biogenesis of a bacterial metabolosome for propanediol utilization. Nat Commun 13.

45.   Kennedy NW, Mills CE, Abrahamson CH, Archer AG, Shirman S, Jewett MC, Mangan NM,

Tullman-Ercek D. 2022. Linking the Salmonella enterica 1,2-Propanediol Utilization

Bacterial Microcompartment Shell to the Enzymatic Core via the Shell Protein PduB. J

Bacteriol 204.

46.   Kaval KG, Singh K V, Cruz MR, DebRoy S, Winkler WC, Murray BE, Garsin DA. 2018.

Loss of Ethanolamine Utilization in Enterococcus faecalis Increases Gastrointestinal Tract

Colonization. mBio2018/05/10. 9.

47.   Arnaud M, Chastanet A, Debarbouille M. 2004. New vector for efficient allelic replacement

in naturally nontransformable, low-GC-content, gram-positive bacteria. Appl Environ

Microbiol2004/11/06. 70:6887–6891.

48.   Monk IR, Gahan CG, Hill C. 2008. Tools for functional postgenomic analysis of listeria

monocytogenes. Appl Environ Microbiol2008/04/29. 74:3921–3934.

49.   Natoli M, Leoni BD, D'Agnano I, Zucco F, Felsani A. 2012. Good Caco-2 Cell Culture

Practices. Toxicology in Vitro 26.

50.   Geissmann Q. 2013. OpenCFU, a New Free and Open-Source Software to Count Cell

Colonies and Other Circular Objects. PLoS One 8.

FIGURE LEGENDS

Fig. 1: MMWB plus EA supports LMO10403s growth dependent on *eutV* and *eutB*. (A)

Graphical representation of the organization and regulation of the *eut* operon in LMO. See text

for details. (B) Growth curve of LMO when grown in MMWB containing either glutamine (Gln) or

ethanolamine (EA) as a source of nitrogen. (C) Relative expression of the *eut* genes when LMO

bacteria were grown in the presence of EA with respect to Gln. (D) Growth curve comparison of

ΔeutB and ΔeutB complemented *(ΔeutB::eutB)* when grown in the presence of Gln or EA. (E)

Growth curve comparison of *ΔeutV and ΔeutV* complemented *(ΔeutV::eutV)* when grown in the

presence of Gln or EA. Shown is the average of three replicates and error bars represent the

standard deviation (SD).

Fig 2: EUT BMCs form in medium containing EA. TEM images showing the formation of EUT

BMCs when LMO was grown in MMWB with (A) Gln or EA. No such structures were visible in the

ΔeutV (B) and *ΔeutB* (C) strains grown under the same conditions. Complementation of *ΔeutV*

and *ΔeutB* partially restored the formation of BMC structures (B and C right panel respectively).

Scale bar represents 500nm and yellow asterisks indicate the BMCs.

Fig 3: EUT BMCs can be fluorescently tagged. Confocal images of the LMO *eutK::mCherry*

strain when grown in in MMWB with either EA (A) or Gln (B) as a nitrogen source. (B) Confocal

images of LMO strains containing the *eutK::mCherry* transgene (red) in *ΔeutV* (C) and *ΔeutB* (D)

backgrounds following growth in MMWB with EA. Scale bar represents 10μm.

Fig. 4: *eut* mutants are deficient in intracellular replication: Bacterial CFUs were quantified

in Caco-2 cells (A), RAW264.7 macrophages (B) and M1 polarized THP-1 macrophages (C). At

the indicated time points post-infection, the cells were washed, lysed, and serial dilutions of the

lysates were plated as described in methods. The mean of three replicates were calculated with

the error bars indicating the standard deviation. 2-way ANOVA with Bonferroni multiple testing

correction was used to calculate the significance of the indicated comparisons. *$P < 0.05$; **$P <$

0.01; ***$P < 0.001$; ****$P < 0.0001$.

Fig. 5: LMO forms visible BMCs in Caco-2 cells dependent on *eutV* and *eutB*. Caco-2 cells

were infected with LMO strains containing the *eutK::mCherry* transgene (red) in the wild type (A),

ΔeutV (B) and *ΔeutB* (C) backgrounds. The cells were fixed at the indicated time points and

stained with phalloidin to visualize actin (blue) and α-LMO antibody to visualize the bacteria

(green). Representative confocal images are shown. Scale bar represents 1µm.

Fig 6: *eut* mutants increase pro-inflammatory signatures during Caco-2 infection. Following

infection of Caco-2 cells with the indicated strains, host cell RNA was isolated and qRT-PCR

analysis revealed a significant elevation in the expression of genes encoding inflammatory

cytokines interleukin-1 beta (IL-1β), tumor necrosis factor-alpha (TNF-α), interleukin-6 (IL-6),

interleukin-8 (IL-8), and chemokines CXCL-2 and MCP-1. The mean of three replicates were

calculated with the error bars indicating the standard deviation. 2-way ANOVA with Bonferroni

multiple testing correction was used to calculate the significance of the indicated comparisons. *P

< 0.05; **$P < 0.01$; ***$P < 0.001$; ****$P < 0.0001$.

Fig. S1: Growth curves of engineered strains in MMWB with EA or Gln. Growth of *ΔeutV* (A)

and *ΔeutB* (B) mutants complemented using different *eut* promoters. (C) Growth of

eutK::mCherry.

Fig. S2: EUT BMCs can be fluorescently tagged. (D) LMO expressing *eutM::mCherry* showed
the formation of BMCs in vitro. (E) LMO expressing *eutK::mNeonGreen* also showed the
formation of BMCs in vitro. Scale bar represents 10µm.

Fig. S3: LMO forms visible BMCs in Caco-2 cells dependent on *eutV* and *eutB*. Full-frame,
representative, confocal images showing Caco-2 cells infected with LMO strains containing the
eutK::mCherry transgene (red) in the wild type, *ΔeutV,* and *ΔeutB* backgrounds. The cells were
fixed at the indicated time points and stained with phalloidin to visualize actin (blue) and an α-
LMO antibody to visualize the bacteria (green). Scale bar represents 10µm.

Fig. S4: LMO does not form visible BMCs in RAW 264.7 and THP-1 cells. Representative
images showing RAW 264.7 and THP-1 cells infected with the LMO strain containing the
eutK::mCherry transgene (red). The cells were fixed at the indicated time points and stained with
phalloidin to visualize actin (blue) and an α-LMO antibody to visualize the bacteria (green). Scale
bar represents 5µm.

Table S1: Composition of minimal media (MMWB).

Table S2: List of bacterial strains and primers used in the study.

Table S3: Source data underlying figures.

Movie S1: Live cell imaging of EUT BMC formation. LMO strains containing the *eutK::mCherry*
transgene (red) was layered onto an agarose pad made with Luria-Bertani medium containing
55mM EA and 200µM AdoCbl. An Olympus FV3000 confocal microscope equipped with TOKAI

HIT STX stage top incubator was used for acquiring a time-lapse image with an interval of 15

minutes per image.

Table S1: Composition of minimal media (MMWB)
678

Components	Concentration
$Na_2HPO4.7H_2O$	11.55mM
KH_2PO_4	4.82mM
$MgSO_4.7H_2O$	1.7mM
MOPS (pH 7.4)	100mM
Glucose	55mM
Riboflavin	1.33µM
Thiamine	2.96 µM
Biotin	2.05 µM
Lipoic acid	24pM
Methionine	0.67mM
Cysteine	0.82mM
Leucine	0.76mM
Isoleucine	0.76mM
Valine	0.85mM
Arginine	0.57mM
Histidine	0.64mM
*Glutamine	4.1mM
*Vitamin B12	200µM
*Ethanolamine	16mM

679
680   * These were added as required. Both Glutamine and Ethanolamine are used as a nitrogen
681   source. Vitamin B12 was added when Ethanolamine was used as a nitrogen source.
682
683
684   **Table S2: List of bacterial strains and primers used in the study.**
685
686   Bacterial strains
687

Strain name	Purpose	Comments
E. coli TOP10	cloning	
E. coli DH5α	cloning	
Listeria monocytogenes 10403S (LMO)	Study organism	Source: Bei Resource; wild type

LMAC030: *ΔeutV*	Study organism	*ΔeutV* constructed on LMO 10403s background
LMAC031: *ΔeutB*	Study organism	*ΔeutB* constructed on LMO 10403s background
LMAC007: *eutK::mNeonGreen*	Study organism	LMO expressing *eutK::mNG*
LMAC024: *ΔeutB::eutB(eutV promoter)*	Study organism	*ΔeutB::eutB; ΔeutB* complemented with a copy of *eutB* gene under the control of *eutV* promoter.
LMAC026: *ΔeutV::eutV(eutG promoter)*	Study organism	*ΔeutV::eutVW; ΔeutV* complemented with a copy of *eutVW* gene under the control of *eutG* promoter.
LMAC034: *ΔeutB::eutB(eutG promoter)*	Study organism	*ΔeutB::eutB; ΔeutB* complemented with a copy of *eutB* gene under the control of eutG promoter with riboswitch.
LMAC037: *ΔeutV::eutV(eutV promoter)*	Study organism	*ΔeutV::eutVW; ΔeutV* complemented with a copy of *eutVW* gene under the control of *eutV* promoter.
LMAC038: *eutK::mCherry*	Study organism	LMO expressing *eutK::mCherry*
LMAC039: *eutM::mCherry*	Study organism	LMO expressing *eutM::mCherry*
LMAC040: *eutK::mCherry*; *ΔeutV*	Study organism	LMAC030 expressing *eutK::mCherry*
LMAC043: *eutK::mCherry*; *ΔeutB*	Study organism	LMAC031 expressing *eutK::mCherry*

688
689 List of primers cloning, knockout (KO) generation, complementation (CT)
690

Primer sequence	Purpose	Comment
TGGGGATCGGAATTCGAGCTGACGGATGCACTGCAACAAATC	*eutV* promoter_fwd	
CATTTGGCATTCTATAGAAGCATTTACTTGAGCGAAAG	*eutV* promoter_rev	LMAC007: *eutK::mNeonGreen*
CTTCTATAGAATGCCAAATGAAGCGCTTG	*eutK* coding_fwd	
AGCTCCCGCTCCTGCGCCGTTTTCACTCCTTCCATAAATATCTTTTAG	*eutK* coding_rev	
GGCGCAGGAGCGGGAGCTATGGTGAGCAAGGGCGAGGAGGATA	mNeonGreen_fwd	

CGAATTCCTGCAGCCCGGGGGTTACTTGTACAGCTCGTCCATGCCCATCAC	mNeonGreen_rev	
TGGGGATCGGAATTCGAGCTGACGGATGCACTGCAACAAATC	*eutV* promoter_fwd	LMAC024: *ΔeutB::eutB(eutV promoter)*
TTAAAATCATTCTATAGAAGCATTTACTTGAGCGAAAG	*eutV* promoter_rev	
CTTCTATAGAATGATTTTAAAAACGAATTTATTCG	*eutB* coding_fwd	
CGAATTCCTGCAGCCCGGGGTTATTTTAGGAAAATAGATGCATC	*eutB* coding_rev	
TGGGGATCGGAATTCGAGCTAGCAAATTCTTCTTGCAAG	*eutG*Promoter_fwd	LMAC026: *ΔeutV::eutV(eutG promoter)*
ACAAAATCATGAGATTACCTCCTAGTTTTTTAAAATTAAAAAAG	*eutG*Promoter_rev	
AGGTAATCTCATGATTTTGTCCTATATTGTGAC	*eutVW* coding_fwd	
CGAATTCCTGCAGCCCGGGGCTACTTCTTTGTAGCATGG	*eutVW* coding_rev	
ACAGATCTATCGATGCATGCAAAAGCAAATGATTACTCGG	*eutV* upstream_fwd	LMAC030: *ΔeutV*
ATCATGTTGTTCTATAGAAGCATTTACTTGAG	*eutV* upstream_rev	
CTTCTATAGAACAACATGATTCGTAATCTG	*eutV* downstream_fwd	
CCTCGCGTCGGGCGATATCGTCATAATAAAGTAATTCCCGG	*eutV* downstream_rev	
ACAGATCTATCGATGCATGCTTAGGTGAAATGGTTGAG	*eutB* upstream_fwd	LMAC031: *ΔeutB*
CCATTTTCGCTCGATAAATCCTCCTCTC	*eutB* upstream_rev	
GATTTATCGAGCGAAAATGGTAAATTAACTAGC	*eutB* downstream_fwd	
CCTCGCGTCGGGCGATATCGAACTGTACGACGAGCTTC	*eutB* downstream_rev	

Sequence	Name	Construct
<u>TGGGGATCGGAATTCGAGCT</u>AGCAAATTCTTCTTGCAAG	*eutG* promoter_fwd	
<u>TTAAAATCAT</u>GAGATTACCTCCTAGTTTTTTAAAATTAAAAAAG	*eutG* promoter _rev	LMAC034: *ΔeutB::eutB(eutG promoter)*
<u>AGGTAATCTC</u>ATGATTTTAAAAACGAATTTATTCG	*eutB* coding_fwd	
<u>CGAATTCCTGCAGCCCGGGG</u>TTATTTTAGGAAAATAGATGCATC	*eutB* coding_rev	
<u>TGGGGATCGGAATTCGAGCT</u>GACGGATGCACTGCAACAAATC	*eutVW-* promoter+ coding_fwd	LMAC037: *ΔeutV::eutV(eutV promoter)*
<u>CGAATTCCTGCAGCCCGGGG</u>CTACTTCTTTGTAGCATGGATAGAATTG	*eutVW-* promoter+ coding_rev	
<u>TGGGGATCGGAATTCGAGCT</u>AGCAAATTCTTCTTGCAAG	*eutG* promoter_fwd	AC038 construct. Electroporated to LMO to generate LMAC038: (*eutK::mCherry*); LMAC040 (*eutK::mCherry*; *ΔeutV*) and LMAC043(*eutK::mCherry*; *ΔeutB*)
CATTTGGCATGAGATTACCTCCTAGTTTTTTAAAATTAAAAAAG	*eutG* promoter_rev	
<u>AGGTAATCTC</u>ATGCCAAATGAAGCGCTTG	*eutK* coding_fwd	
<u>TACTAACCAT</u>GTTTTCACTCCTTCCATAAATATCTTTTAG	*eutK* coding_rev	
<u>GAGTGAAAAC</u>ATGGTTAGTAAAGGTGAAG	mCherry coding_fwd	
CGAATTCCTGCAGCCCGGGGTTATTTTATATAATTCATCCATACCAC	mCherry coding_rev	
<u>TGGGGATCGGAATTCGAGCT</u>AGCAAATTCTTCTTGCAAG	*eutG* promoter-fwd	LMAC039: *eutM::mCherry*
<u>CGTTTGCCAT</u>GAGATTACCTCCTAGTTTTTTAAAATTAAAAAAG	*eutG* promoter-rev	
AGGTAATCTCATGGCAAACGCAAACGCATTAG	*eutM* coding_fwd	
<u>TACTAACCAT</u>TTCAGCGCTTTTTGGTAGAATTG	*eutM* coding_rev	
<u>AAGCGCTGAA</u>ATGGTTAGTAAAGGTGAAG	*mCherry* coding_fwd	
<u>CGAATTCCTGCAGCCCGGGG</u>TTATTTTATATAATTCATCCATACCAC	*mCherry* coding_rev	

691
692

693 List of primers used for qRT PCR:
694

Primer name	Primer sequence
ACTBqPCR1S	CACCATTGGCAATGAGCGGTTC
ACTTBqPCR1AS	AGGTCTTTGCGGATGTCCACGT
IL6qPCR1S	AGACAGCCACTCACCTCTTCAG
IL6qPCR1AS	TTCTGCCAGTGCCTCTTTGCTG
IL8qPCR1S	GAGAGTGATTGAGAGTGGACCAC
IL8qPCR1AS	CACAACCCTCTGCACCCAGTTT
IL1bqPCR1S	CCACAGACCTTCCAGGAGAATG
IL1bqPCR1AS	GTGCAGTTCAGTGATCGTACAGG
ITNFaqPCR1S	CTCTTCTGCCTGCTGCACTTTG
ITNFaqPCR1AS	ATGGGCTACAGGCTTGTCACTC
CXCL2qPCR1S	GGCAGAAAGCTTGTCTCAACCC
CXCL2qPCR1AS	CTCCTTCAGGAACAGCCACCAA
MCP1qPCR1S	AGAATCACCAGCAGCAAGTGTCC
MCP1qPCR1AS	TCCTGAACCCACTTCTGCTTGG
eutV qPCR1S	TGTTGTAGGGGAAGCGACAG
eutV qPCR1AS	GATAATTCCGCCAGCAAGCC
eutA qPCR1S	GGAGGCGTTGCAGACTGTATT
eutA qPCR1AS	CGACCACTGTTGCCCGTAT
eutB qPCR1S	GCTCATTTCGGCGTAGACCA
eutB qPCR1AS	CCGGCGCGAATTACTTGTTT
eutK qPCR1S	GCTTTCTTGGTGCCGTTGTT
eutK qPCR1AS	TTCAGTTGCCGCTTTTCCTG
eutM qPCR1S	CAAGTTGGTGGCGGTCTAGT
eutM qPCR1AS	TTGCGTCTACTTCGCTGTGT
16s qPCR1S	GGGGCTAATACCGAATGATAGAATG
16s qPCR1AS	TATGCATCGTTGCCTTGGTAG
pduA qPCR1S	TCGGGTCTGGACTGGTTACT
pduA qPCR1AS	CCACATCAGTATGCGGACGA
pduQ qPCR1S	CAGGCAAAATCCAACCGCAA
pduQ qPCR1AS	GCTGTTACTTCCGAGCCTGT

695
696
697

Fig. 1: MMWB plus EA supports LMO10403s growth dependent on *eutV* and *eutB*. (A) Graphical representation of the organization and regulation of the *eut* operon in LMO. See text for details. (B) Growth curve of LMO when grown in MMWB containing either glutamine (Gln) or ethanolamine (EA) as a source of nitrogen. (C) Relative expression of the *eut* genes when LMO bacteria were grown in the presence of EA with respect to Gln. (D) Growth curve comparison of *ΔeutB and ΔeutB* complemented *(ΔeutB::eutB)* when grown in the presence of Gln or EA. (E) Growth curve comparison of *ΔeutV and ΔeutV* complemented *(ΔeutV::eutV)* when grown in the presence of Gln or EA. Shown is the average of three replicates and error bars represent the standard deviation (SD).

Fig 2: EUT BMCs form in medium containing EA. TEM images showing the formation of EUT BMCs when LMO was grown in MMWB with (A) Gln or EA. No such structures were visible in the *ΔeutV* (B) and *ΔeutB* (C) strains grown under the same conditions. Complementation of *ΔeutV* and *ΔeutB* partially restored the formation of BMC structures (B and C right panel respectively). Scale bar represents 500nm and yellow asterisks indicate the BMCs.

Fig 3: EUT BMCs can be fluorescently tagged. Confocal images of the LMO *eutK::mCherry* strain when grown in in MMWB with either EA (A) or Gln (B) as a nitrogen source. (B) Confocal images of LMO strains containing the *eutK::mCherry* transgene (red) in *ΔeutV* (C) and *ΔeutB* (D) backgrounds following growth in MMWB with EA. Scale bar represents 10μm.

Fig. 4: *eut* mutants are deficient in intracellular replication: Bacterial CFUs were quantified in Caco-2 cells (A), RAW264.7 macrophages (B) and M1 polarized THP-1 macrophages (C). At the indicated time points post-infection, the cells were washed, lysed, and serial dilutions of the lysates were plated as described in methods. The mean of three replicates were calculated with the error bars indicating the standard deviation. 2-way ANOVA with Bonferroni multiple testing correction was used to calculate the significance of the indicated comparisons. *P < 0.05; **P < 0.01; ***P < 0.001; ****P < 0.0001.

Fig. 5: LMO forms visible BMCs in Caco-2 cells dependent on *eutV* and *eutB*. Caco-2 cells were infected with LMO strains containing the *eutK::mCherry* transgene (red) in the wild type (A), *ΔeutV* (B) and *ΔeutB* (C) backgrounds. The cells were fixed at the indicated time points and stained with phalloidin to visualize actin (blue) and α-LMO antibody to visualize the bacteria (green). Representative confocal images are shown. Scale bar represents 1μm.

Fig 6: *eut* mutants increase pro-inflammatory signatures during Caco-2 infection. Following infection of Caco-2 cells with the indicated strains, host cell RNA was isolated and qRT-PCR analysis revealed a significant elevation in the expression of genes encoding inflammatory cytokines interleukin-1 beta (IL-1β), tumor necrosis factor-alpha (TNF-α), interleukin-6 (IL-6), interleukin-8 (IL-8), and chemokines CXCL-2 and MCP-1. The mean of three replicates were calculated with the error bars indicating the standard deviation. 2-way ANOVA with Bonferroni multiple testing correction was used to calculate the significance of the indicated comparisons. *P < 0.05; **P < 0.01; ***P < 0.001; ****P < 0.0001.

Fig. S1: Growth curves of engineered strains in MMWB with EA or Gln. Growth of *ΔeutV* (A) and *ΔeutB* (B) mutants complemented using different *eut* promoters. (C) Growth of *eutK::mCherry.*

(A)   (B)

eutM::mCherry   *eutK::mNeonGreen*

Fig. S2: EUT BMCs can be fluorescently tagged. (D) LMO expressing *eutM::mCherry* showed the formation of BMCs in vitro. (E) LMO expressing *eutK::mNeonGreen* also showed the formation of BMCs in vitro. Scale bar represents 10μm.

Actin
Phalloidin 405

Bacteria
Alexa Fluor
488

BMC
mCherry

Merged

Fig. S3: LMO forms visible BMCs in Caco-2 cells dependent on *eutV* and *eutB*. Full-frame, representative, confocal images showing Caco-2 cells infected with LMO strains containing the *eutK::mCherry* transgene (red) in the wild type, *ΔeutV,* and *ΔeutB* backgrounds. The cells were fixed at the indicated time points and stained with phalloidin to visualize actin (blue) and an a-LMO antibody to visualize the bacteria (green). Scale bar represents 10μm.

(A)

RAW 264.7 macrophages infected with *eutK::mCherry*

	Actin Phalloidin 405	Bacteria Alexa Fluor 488	BMC mCherry	Merged

(B)

THP-1 (M1) macrophages infected with *eutK::mCherry*

	Actin Phalloidin 405	Bacteria Alexa Fluor 488	BMC mCherry	Merged

Fig. S4: LMO does not form visible BMCs in RAW 264.7 and THP-1 cells. Representative images showing RAW 264.7 and THP-1 cells infected with the LMO strain containing the *eutK::mCherry* transgene (red). The cells were fixed at the indicated time points and stained with phalloidin to visualize actin (blue) and an α-LMO antibody to visualize the bacteria (green). Scale bar represents 5μm.