# Tryptophan Metabolites And Their Predicted Microbial Sources In Fecal Samples Of Healthy Individuals

Cynthia L. Chappell,[a#] Kristi L. Hoffman,[b] Philip L. Lorenzi,[c] Lin Tan[d], Joseph F. Petrosino[e], Richard A. Gibbs[f], Donna M. Muzny[g], Harsha Doddapaneni[h], Matthew C. Ross[i], Vipin K. Menon[j], Anil Surathu[k], Sara J. Javornik Cregeen[l], Anaid G. Reyes[m], Pablo C. Okhuysen[n]

[a] Center for Infectious Diseases, University of Texas School of Public Health, Houston, Texas

[b] The Alkek Center for Metagenomics & Microbiome Research, Department of Molecular Virology & Microbiology, Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, Texas

[c] Metabolomics Core Facility, Department of Bioinformatics and Computational Biology, The University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center, Houston, Texas

[d] Metabolomics Core Facility, Department of Bioinformatics and Computational Biology, The University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center, Houston, Texas

[e] The Alkek Center for Metagenomics & Microbiome Research, Department of Molecular Virology & Microbiology, Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, Texas

[f] The Human Genome Sequencing Center, Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, Texas

[g] The Human Genome Sequencing Center, Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, Texas

[h] The Human Genome Sequencing Center, Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, Texas

[I] The Alkek Center for Metagenomics & Microbiome Research, Department of Molecular Virology & Microbiology, Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, Texas

[j] The Human Genome Sequencing Center, Baylor College of Medine, Houston, Texas

[k] The Alkek Center for Metagenomics & Microbiome Research, Department of

Molecular Virology & Microbiology, Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, Texas

[l] The Alkek Center for Metagenomics & Microbiome Research, Department of Molecular

Virology & Microbiology, Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, Texas

[m] The Alkek Center for Metagenomics & Microbiome Research, Department of

Molecular Virology & Microbiology, Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, Texas

[n] Departments of Infectious Diseases, Infection Control and Employee Health, The

University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center, Houston, TX 77030, USA.

Running head: Tryptophan metabolites in human fecal samples

Keywords: indole, indole acetic acid, indole lactic acid, indole propionic acid, skatole,

indole acrylic acid, tryptamine, indole (carbox)aldehyde, microbiome

#Address correspondence to Cynthia L. Chappell, cynthia.l.chappell@uth.tmc.edu.

Abstract word count: 240

Importance word count: 149

Text word count: 4931

**ABSTRACT**

Gut microbiota produce tryptophan metabolites (TMs) important to homeostasis.

However, measuring TM levels in stool and determining their microbial sources can be

difficult. Here, we measured TMs from the indole pathway in fecal samples from 21

healthy adults with the goal to: 1) determine fecal TM concentrations in healthy

individuals; 2) link TM levels to bacterial abundance using 16S and whole genome

shotgun (WGS) sequencing data; and 3) predict likely bacterial sources of TM

production. Within our samples, we identified 151 genera (16S) and 592 bacterial

species (WGS). Eight TMs were found in ≥17 fecal samples, including four in all

persons. To our knowledge, we are the first to report fecal levels for indole-3-lactate,

indole-3-propionate, and 3-indoleacrylate levels in healthy persons. Overall, indole,

indole-3-acetate (IAA), and skatole accounted for 86% of the eight TMs measured.

Significant correlations were found between seven TMs and 29 bacterial species.

Predicted multiple TM sources support the notion of a complex network of TM

production and regulation. Further, the data suggest key roles for *Collinsella*

*aerofaciens* and IAA, a metabolite reported to maintain intestinal homeostasis through

enhanced barrier integrity and anti-inflammatory/antioxidant activities. These findings

extend our understanding of TMs and their relationship to the microbial species that act

as effectors and/or regulators in the healthy intestine and may lead to novel strategies

designed to manipulate tryptophan metabolism to prevent disease and/or restore health

to the dysbiotic gut.

**IMPORTANCE.** Tryptophan metabolites (TMs) of bacterial origin are increasingly recognized as important signaling molecules among gut microbiota and with the host. However, few reports exist for fecal TM levels in healthy humans, and reported levels vary widely. Further, the specific bacterial species producing TMs and the combinations of fecal TMs in healthy individuals are not well known.  Our research combines 16S and whole genome shotgun sequencing of gut bacteria with a sensitive method (LC/MS) for measuring TMs and a reported method to predict which species are likely TM contributors. To our knowledge, this combination of analyses has not been reported elsewhere and will add significantly to the existing literature. Understanding TM levels and their sources in the healthy intestine are fundamental to elucidating how TMs contribute to maintaining homeostasis. Such knowledge of gut microbiota and their metabolic products will inform novel strategies to maintain intestinal health and prevent or treat dysbioses.

## INTRODUCTION

The intestinal microbial community is shaped by diet, environment, age, ethnicity, health status, and the host immune response, among others (1-3). Attempts to define a "healthy" microbiome have led to the understanding that necessary functions can be fulfilled by a variety of species (4), a characteristic important in adaptation to new environments or conditions. This understanding extends to the molecular signals, including tryptophan metabolites (TMs), produced and secreted by commensal bacteria that contribute to gut homeostasis.

Resident gut microbiota are an important and often sole source of indole metabolites as evidenced by the lack of these metabolites in gnotobiotic (5) and antibiotic-treated mice (6). These metabolites influence a variety of functions within the microbial community by affecting biofilm formation, antimicrobial activity, drug resistance, and expression of virulence factors (reviewed in 7-8). Likewise, TMs (Figure 1) have profound effects on the host mucosal epithelium, modulation of the immune response, gut hormone secretion, and various other intestinal functions (reviewed in 7-11). Microbial-derived TMs have also been implicated as effectors in extraintestinal tissues, such as in the gut-brain axis (reviewed in 12; 13-14), and many studies to date have documented altered TM concentrations in multiple intestinal diseases and conditions (reviewed in 7,9). In addition, a body of literature has implicated microbial-derived TMs as effectors in extraintestinal tissues, such as in the gut-brain axis (reviewed in 12; 13-14).

Despite a growing recognition of their importance, fecal TMs and their microbial sources

in healthy humans are understudied.  Previous studies, although few in number,

identified up to five TMs in human stool, while two other metabolites, IPA and IA, were

detected in rodent feces (15; reviewed in 16; Table S1). Other reports of these

metabolites have been in the context of gastrointestinal disease or inflammation and

reference values from healthy controls are lacking.

This relative dearth of information is, in part, due to the difficulty of quantitating most of

these metabolites.  Only three TMs (indole,IND; skatole, SK; and indole acetic acid,

IAA) can be measured using spectrophotometric assays.  The Kovacs test, first

described in 1928 (17), detects indole and skatole together compared to hydroxylamine

assay, which specifically detects indole (18). IAA can be detected using a modification

(19) of the Salkowski assay (20), but the method is not specific and therefore not useful

for complex biological samples. Thus, TM detection and quantitation currently relies on

gas or liquid chromatography followed by mass spectrometry, a process that requires

sophisticated instrumentation and expertise. Thus, the actual number and identity of

bacterial species that contribute to the production of fecal TMs are not fully known.

In the past, identification of TM-producing bacteria relied on detecting the metabolites in

culture supernatants (reviewed in 7,21).  *In silico* studies expanded identification but, of

necessity, relied on bacteria for which a complete genome sequence existed (22).

Together, these studies revealed that not all species within a bacterial genus have the

capacity to produce TMs. The recent analytical tool TrpNet (Trpnet.ca)goes even further

using a Bayesian logistic regression model to assign a metabolite production probability

(MPP) for all known TMs associated with mouse and human gut bacteria (at different

taxonomic levels) (23). MPPs can then be applied to a taxon's relative abundance to

predict likely sources of a specific metabolite in individual fecal samples.  Past studies

have also related TM levels in body fluids to qualitative and/or quantitative changes in

the gut microbiome (reviewed in 7-8).

The overall purpose of this study was to add and/or extend information regarding TM

concentrations in the healthy human gut and to identify their potential microbial sources.

Specifically, our objectives were to: 1) obtain 16S and whole genome sequences from

21 healthy adults; 2) determine the concentrations of ten (10) biologically active

tryptophan metabolites in fecal samples; 3) identify potentially important taxa associated

with TM production; 4) use the new web-based tool TrpNet to predict specific microbial

sources of TMs and compare these species to observed TM concentrations. These

findings will add significantly to the literature regarding the role of gut bacteria and their

metabolites in human health and disease. Understanding the contribution of specific

bacterial species and their TMs will further support a rational approach to drug and/or

dietary interventions for preventing and/or treating dysbioses.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

***Study population and experimental approach***

Stool samples used in the present study were collected in 1993-1995 from healthy

adults as part of a *Cryptosporidium* challenge study approved by the Committee for the

Protection of Human Subjects at the University of Texas Health Science Center in

Houston (#HSC-MS-02-105). Volunteers underwent a series of examinations and tests

to verify that all were healthy with no underlying conditions or gastrointestinal illnesses

within the previous six months. Demographic information was recorded at the initial

enrollment in the study. Baseline stool samples were collected after enrolment and kept

on ice until delivery to the laboratory (within 24 hours). Samples were immediately

frozen and stored at -80C but later thawed, aliquoted, and refrozen for batch

processing.  One sample had additionally been used in an earlier study (18) where it

was partially thawed until a portion could be scrapped from the top, and then the

remainder was immediately refrozen at -80C.

### 159 *DNA extraction, library preparation, and sequencing*

Stool aliquots were delivered on dry ice to the Alkek Center for Metagenomics and

Microbiome Research at Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, TX (J. Petrosino) for

processing and sequencing. Total genomic DNA was extracted using the Qiagen

DNeasy PowerSoil Pro HT Kit on the Qiagen QIAcube HT liquid handling platform and

quantified using a Picogreen assay. Libraries for 16S rRNA gene sequencing were

prepared as previously described (24) and sequenced on the Illumina MiSeq platform

using the 2x250bp paired-end protocol.

WGS libraries were constructed beginning by first shearing extracted DNA (20 ng to 500

ng) was sheared into fragments of approximately 200-600 bp on a Covaris E220

system. Shearing was followed by double-size selection purification using AMPure XP

beads. DNA end repair, 3'-adenylation, ligation to Illumina multiplexing PE adaptors,

and ligation-mediated PCR (LM-PCR) were all completed using automated processes.

A set of 96 Unique dual index barcodes (Illumina TruSeq UD Indexes, # 20022370)

were utilized to barcode samples. Libraries were amplified for 13 PCR cycles in 50 µl

reactions containing 150 pmol of P1.1 (5'-AATGATACGGCGACCACCGAGA) and P3

(5'-CAAGCAGAAGACGGCATACGAGA) primer and Kapa HiFi HotStart Library

Amplification kit (Cat# kk2612, Roche Sequencing and Life Science). Library

quantification and size estimation were performed using a Fragment Analyzer (Agilent

Technologies, Inc.) electrophoresis system and the final library product was selected at

470-580bp.  Prepared libraries were then pooled and sequenced according to the

experimental plan and handed off for sequencing on an Illumina NovaSeq 6000 S4 flow

cell, using the 2x150 bp paired-end protocol.

**Bioinformatics processing**

Raw data files in binary base call (BCL) format were converted into FASTQs and

demultiplexed based on the dual-index barcodes using the Illumina 'bcl2fastq' software.

Demultiplexed raw fastq sequences were processed using BBDuk to quality trim,

remove Illumina adapters, and filter PhiX reads. Trimmed FASTQs were mapped to a

combined PhiX and host reference genome database using BBMap to determine and

remove host/PhiX reads. Taxonomic profiling of sequenced samples was determined

using MetaPhlAn3 following the recommended workflow with a modification to the read

mapping tool. Briefly, the processed FASTQ reads were mapped against the

MetaPhlAn3 marker gene database (mpa_v30_CHOCOPhlAn_201901) using BBMap

before processing through the MetaPhlAn3 algorithm. This created the default relative

abundance and estimated counts table per kingdom per sample.

**Rarefaction**

Rarefaction depths were 2272 (16S) and 1451921 estimated counts (WGS)

reads (Figure S1). The combined sequencing methods identified 324 genera (classified

and unclassified; 16S, 224; WGS, 197), and 97 genera identified by both methods.

Rare taxa, i.e. found in <20% of individuals, were excluded from analyses. The

remaining commonly occurring taxa accounted for a median relative abundance of

97.6% (16S) and 99.9% (WGS), ranging from 80.1 - 100% and 91.5 - 100%,

respectively, per sample. Likewise, WGS yielded 137 species identified in >20% of

subjects, representing a median of 99.3% (range, 89.7 - 99.9%).

**Tryptophan metabolite (TM) quantitation**

Indole compounds used in the study are listed along with their chemical structures

(Table 1). Purified metabolites for standard curves were purchased from: TCI America,

Portland OR (IA, IAA, TAM); Chem-Impex International Inc, Wood Dale, IL (ILA); Acros

Organics, Waltham, MA (IND, I-Ald, IAc); Alfa Aesar, ThermoFisher Scientific,

Tewksbury, MA (SK, I3S); and MP Biochemicals, LLC, Illkirch, France (IPA). Frozen

fecal samples (100-200 mg) were delivered on dry ice to the Metabolomics Facility at

M.D. Anderson Cancer Center (P. Lorenzi, Houston, TX) for extraction and testing.   To

determine the relative concentrations of tryptophan metabolites, extracts were prepared

and analyzed by liquid chromatography coupled with high-resolution mass spectrometry

(LC-HRMS). Samples were thawed on ice, and metabolites were extracted by adding

0.5 mL ice-cold 50/50 (v/v) methanol/acetonitrile and homogenizing with a Precellys

Tissue Homogenizer. The extract was centrifuged at 17,000 $g$ for 5 min at 4°C, and the

supernatant was transferred to a clean tube. A subsequent round of extraction was

performed by adding another 0.5 mL 0.1% formic acid in 50/50 (v/v) acetonitrile/water to

the same sample, then re-homogenized. The supernatant was combined with previous

step to get a total volume of 1.0 mL, followed by evaporation to dryness under nitrogen.

Samples were reconstituted in 0.1 mLs of 50/50 (v/v) methanol/water, then 10 µL was

injected into a Thermo Vanquish high pressure liquid chromatography (HPLC) system

containing a Waters XSELECT HSS T3 2.1x 150 mm column with 2.5 µm particle size.

Mobile phase A (MPA) was 0.1% formic acid in water. Mobile phase B (MPB) was 100%

methanol. The flow rate was 200 µL/min (at 35°C), and the gradient conditions were:

initial 5% MPB, increased to 95% MPB at 15 min, held at 95% MPB for 5 min, returned

to initial conditions and equilibrated for 5 min. The total run time was 25 min. Data were

acquired using a Thermo Orbitrap Fusion mass spectrometer under ESI positive and

negative ionization modes at a resolution of 240,000 with full scan mode. Raw data files

were imported into Thermo Trace Finder software for final analysis. The relative

concentration of each compound was normalized by stool weight, and data were

expressed as nmol/g. For the identified metabolites, total TM was calculated for each

sample by summing the metabolites (nmol/g) present. Relative metabolite levels (%) in

each sample were also calculated by using total TM level as the denominator.

***Correlation of tryptophan metabolite concentration and taxon relative abundance***

The relationship between TM level and taxon abundance was examined by linear

regression correlation. WGS identified a total of 197 genera (including unclassified) and

592 species in the study population with no restriction on % occupancy.  Of these, 77

genera were also identified by TrpNet as "TM-producers".  An expanded analysis

included all species (n=174) in these 77 genera regardless of their metabolite-producing

status.  Using *Agile Toolkit for Incisive Microbial Analyses* (ATIMA;

https://atima.research.bcm.edu), species %RA was plotted against each of eight

metabolite concentrations (nmol/g), and linear regression correlations ($r^2$) and FDR-

adjusted p values were obtained. A less specific, but broader approach using 16S data

was also undertaken so that no potentially important taxa were overlooked.  Using the

same selection process, we identified 33 TM-producing genera, which were analyzed as

above.

***Predicted microbial sources of tryptophan metabolites***

Potential producers of one or more TMs included genera (16S) and/or species (WGS)

identified by TrpNet (23, www.trpnet.ca), which also assigned metabolite production

probabilities (MPPs) for each TM. Since MPPs were not available for unclassified or

unnamed species, the probability for the next highest taxonomic level was used as an

estimate.  It should be noted that MPPs at the genus or higher taxonomic levels

represent an average MPP for each species, not all of which are TM producers. A

prediction score (PS), the likelihood of a taxon as a potential source of metabolite

production, was calculated per fecal sample for each taxon and TM as follows: MPP

(limited to >0.1 probability) X percent relative abundance (%RA). Further, to verify the

predictive value of the approach, regression analysis was used to plot PS for each

taxon against TM level (nmol/g) per sample, and the $r^2$ and curve of best fit were

determined.

***Data analysis and statistical tests***

Unless otherwise indicated, taxa were included in the analysis if they were found in

>20% (i.e. ≥5) of 21 individuals in the study population. Median values and non-

parametric tests were used when data were not normally distributed. Statistical tests are

indicated in the legends of figures and tables. Descriptive statistics and tests for

significance were done using Prism (GraphPad Prism version 9.2.0 for Windows,

GraphPad Software, San Diego, California USA, www.graphpad.com) or ATIMA.

Relationships with continuous variables were tested using R's base function for linear

regression models. All p-values were adjusted for multiple comparisons with Benjamini

and Hochberg's formula for the false discovery rate. Chord diagrams for correlations

and prediction scores were constructed using datasmith.org.

**RESULTS**

***Description of study population and experimental approach***

Historical samples used in the present study consisted of a single stool sample from

each of 21 healthy individuals. Mean age was 32.1 years (range 22-45) with males

significantly younger (median= 28.5; Mann-Whitney statistic, p= 0.029) than females

(median= 37); females constituted 61.9% of the subjects.  A majority were non-Hispanic

White (57.1%; 7 males, 5 females), with non-Hispanic Black (28.6%; 0 males, 6

females) and Hispanic individuals (14.3%; 1 male, 2 females) also represented (Table

S2).

The study design and analytical approach are outlined (Figure 2).  Fecal samples were

assessed for TM concentrations by mass spectroscopy, and bacteria were sequenced.

Using these datasets, four types of analyses were undertaken to: determine

relationships among TM concentrations (analysis step 1); indicate which taxa influenced

(or were influenced by) particular TMs (analysis step 2); generate prediction scores (PS)

indicating the relative likelihood of taxa as TM sources in individual samples (analysis

step 3); and lastly, test the strength of the predicted microbial sources in comparison to

observed TM levels (analysis step 4).

***Tryptophan metabolite quantitation in stool samples***

Eight TMs in the canonical indole pathway (Figure 1) were detected and measured by

LC-MS, including six of exclusive bacterial origin (Table 1).  IND, IAA, I-Ald, and IA were

detected in all subjects, while ILA, TAM, SK and IPA were found in 95, 90, and 81% of

subjects, respectively. Two metabolites, indoxyl-3-sulfate (I3S), and indole acetate

(IAc), were not detected in any fecal sample. Wide variation was observed for all

measured TMs both individually and as a collective (Figure 3A; Table S4). IND had the

highest relative concentration across all samples, followed by IAA, SK, and I-Ald, which

together constituted 95% of the total TMs measured (Figure 3B).  While IND was the

most abundant TM overall, a finding consistent with previous reports for human fecal

samples (15, reviewed in 16), another TM dominated samples from eight subjects: SK

(n=4 subjects), TAM (n=3), and I-Ald (n=1).  In one additional subject, IND was co-

dominant with IAA.  These variations in total and individual TM levels among healthy

adults suggest a redundancy of function among TMs or with other microbial metabolites.

Total TM concentrations (Figure 3A) showed that 71.4% had total TM values in the 20-

60 nmol/g range (Figure 3C).

Potential associations between TM levels and sex, race/ethnicity, or age were

examined. TM levels between the sexes showed a significant difference (p=0.021,

Mann-Whitney statistic) for ILA only. Males (n=8) had median ILA levels 8.5-fold higher

(3.16 vs 0.37 nmol/g) than females (n=13). However, this difference depended on

including data from non-White individuals (three Hispanics and one Black). When the

comparison was limited to White participants (female, n=5; male, n=7), no significant

differences were found for any TM alone or total TM levels. TM levels were then

compared among the three race/ethnic groups (Black, n=6; Hispanic, n=3; White, n=12),

and no significant associations were found. Further examination included females only

(Black, n=6; White, n=5) given the limited numbers of Hispanics (n=3) and Black males

(n=0). In that analysis, Black females had a 3.5-fold increase (Mann Whitney statistic,

p= 0.017) in I-Ald levels, while none of the other TMs were significantly different. Lastly,

the potential effect age was evaluated for the three race/ethnicity groups. Overall, no

significant associations for TM levels were found. However, when sex was taken into

consideration, IND in males (but not females) increased significantly ($r^2$= 0.972) with

age (range, 24-35 years).

Given the interconnectedness of metabolites in the indole pathway, we performed a

correlation analysis among TM levels, which revealed several significant relationships

(Figure 5, Table S5). Significant associations were: IAA with IPA (p= 0.002), IA (p=

0.019), and TAM (p= 0.021); TAM with IA (p= 0.014) (positive associations); and IND

with ILA (p=0.048, negative association). Interestingly, SK and ILA, both generated

solely through bacterial metabolism, were the only metabolites that did not significantly

correlate with any other TM, although a negative association (p= 0.086) between IAA

and SK was noted.

***Correlating microbiota and tryptophan metabolites***

Due to the age of our stool samples, we first evaluated their bacterial content at the

phylum level using 16S data for consistency with published reports. Microbial recovery

from historic samples was evaluated at the phylum level. Ten (10) phyla were identified,

the four most abundant accounting for >94% of total relative abundance. Compared to

published reports in other healthy populations (25-31), we noted a substantial reduction

in the relative abundance of Bacteroides in our samples. An apparent over-

representation of Actinobacteria was also observed and may be due to compositionality

effects related to the loss of Bacterioidetes, a phylum known to be more greatly

impacted by frozen storage time and freeze-thaw cycles (30, 32).  The relative

abundance of other phyla did not vary significantly from expected levels (Table S3).

We surmised that TM concentrations (nmol/g) would vary with the abundance (%RA) of

their microbial sources. Thus, we generated regression correlations (r) and FDR-

adjusted p values for each metabolite and microbial species with >20% occupancy.  In

total, twenty-one (21) taxa were significantly correlated with one or more TMs (FDR-

adjusted p <0.05; Figure 6), and each TM was associated with more than one taxon.

Three distinct sets of bacterial taxa were evident: a set (n=7) dominated by *Collinsella*

species, correlated with 3-6 TMs; and two taxa sets (n=7, n=6) each correlated with one

TM, the exception being *Enterococcus faecium* which correlated with two. Interestingly,

*Collinsella aerofaciens* was the only species that positively correlated with a metabolite

(IAA) and had an MPP >0.5.  A heat map illustrates the relative abundance of

significantly correlated taxa (Table 2, Table S6) and is shaded for %RA >1.  Of the

fourteen (14) taxa in this category, six (6) taxa had occupancies of 5-19. *Ruminococcus*,

*Blautia wexleri,* and *Collinsella aerofaciens* were in highest abundance (>10%) in

multiple samples, suggesting a key role in tryptophan metabolism.  It should be noted,

however, that even low abundance taxa may be important since samples contain

multiple TM-producing taxa that may act in concert.

**Predicting microbial sources of metabolites.**

A recent interactive website (www TrpNet.ca) assigns probabilities to all known TM

producers and allows for predictions based on taxon abundance in any biological

sample.  Twenty-four (24) species with metabolite-producing probabilities (MPPs) of

>0.5 were identified in our study samples. Using this criterion, predictions for each

sample were made for seven TMs (Figure 6, Table S7), resulting in prediction scores

(MPP X %RA) ranging from 1-32. The highest number of taxa (n=20) were predicted for

IAA production, and the most likely contributors by prediction score and occupancy

were *Collinsella aerofaciens*, *Bifidobacterium adolescentis*, *Akkermansia muciniphila*,

*Fecalibacterium prausnitzii, and Coprococcus comes*.  Further, each fecal sample

contained at least three taxa that could contribute to the observed IAA concentrations.

Predictions for other TMs included five taxa for IND, two for ILA, and one each for SK

and I-Ald, and all had <30% occupancy.  No microbial source was found for TAM (data

not shown), thus most, if not all, the observed TAM concentrations in the samples may

have originated from the host.  We then went one step further in the analysis by

comparing prediction scores to observed TM levels for each of the taxon and TM

combinations (Figure 7).  Strong trends were seen with *Collinsella aerofaciens* versus

IAA ($r^2$= 0.6586) or total TM levels ($r^2$= 0.8915). No correlation for any other taxon and

TM combination exceeded an $r^2$ of 0.33.

***Summary of findings***

Taken together, the data support the notion that multiple microbial species form a

network of interactions involved in producing and maintaining TM levels needed for gut

homeostasis.  However, IAA and *Collinsella aerofaciens*, stand out as important

components of the pathway. IAA was noteworthy in that it was: in high overall

concentration; significantly correlated with three other TMs (TAM, IA, IPA); occupied a

key position in the pathway; and had the largest number of taxa identified as predicted

IAA sources. Among these taxa, *Collinsella aerofaciens* was the only one with all of the

following characteristics: 100% occupancy; high MPP (0.516) for IAA production; high

relative abundance (mean RA 5.5%); predicted IAA source in 85.7% of subjects; and

positive trends between PS and IAA or total TM levels.  In a "proof of concept" exercise,

we constructed a hypothetical scenario in which *Collinsella aerofaciens* and IAA might

"drive" the pathway toward metabolites that are important in gut homeostasis (Figure 8).

TAM and/or IND arising from the host and/or microbial sources, respectively "feed"

substrates to *Collinsella aerofaciens* (and the other indicated sources) to produce IAA.

Increased IAA levels (which were positively associated with IA and IPA) could increase

IA and IPA concentrations to achieve biologically active levels. Previous studies have

shown that IA and IPA help to maintain the epithelial barrier, act as anti-inflammatory

signals, and are strong antioxidants.  In summary, this scenario illustrates an approach

that combines TM measurements and sequencing data from fecal samples with

metabolite production probabilities in a way that links tryptophan metabolites to

particular taxa and further predicts likely microbial metabolite sources.

**DISCUSSION**

The intestinal microbial community is shaped by diet, environment, age, ethnicity, and

the host immune response, among other factors.  In a "healthy" microbiome, necessary

functions can be fulfilled by multiple species, thus allowing adaptation to new

environments or conditions.  This notion extends to molecular signals, including TMs,

produced and secreted to maintain homeostasis.  Thus, the wide variations that we

found in TM levels among healthy individuals were not unexpected or unprecedented.

Our study focused on eight TMs of the indole pathway and, therefore, represent only a

portion, albeit an important one, of the greater metabolic "whole".  We fully recognize

the complexity of interactions regulating myriad gut functions, which are known to

involve both metabolites of microbial and host pathways, many presumably acting in

concert.

Intestinal microbiota are the primary, and often sole, sources of fecal TMs. Many TM-

producing taxa are known, but heretofore the tools for linking fecal TM levels to specific

taxa were not readily available for studying complex biological samples. We were able

to capture the most complete picture of the microbiota present in our samples by using

16S and WGS data, which rely on different sequencing techniques and databases to

assign taxonomic identities. In general, 16S yields a broader array of bacterial taxa but

lacks species specificity, whereas WGS provides specificity but is less comprehensive.

***TM measurements and relationships***

We included ten fecal metabolites with previously recognized intestinal functions

contributing to gut homeostasis as well as having anti-inflammatory roles in

extraintestinal tissues. Eight of these metabolites were found in 81 – 100% of samples

from healthy subjects but varied widely from person to person (Figure 3A). Many factors

likely contribute to the observed variations, but diet is recognized as playing a major role

in shaping the gut microbial community (31; reviewed in 33-34).  No dietary information

was collected on individuals in the study. IND, SK, and IAA were in highest overall

concentrations (Figure 3B). All TM levels we measured add significantly to the limited

literature, but to our knowledge, the ILA, IPA, and IA concentrations represent the first

reports from human fecal samples. Published human fecal TM levels vary with method

and population. In comparison, our study was generally consistent with a recent report

using the same methodology (15). Not surprisingly, we did not detect I3S or IAc in any

samples. I3S, produced in the liver, is associated with pathological conditions such as

chronic kidney disease (reviewed in 35), and indole acetate (IAc) is the transient base

conjugate of IAA hydrolyzed by host and bacterial esterases. We also note that MS-

based methods typically yielded lower TM concentrations than photometric methods.

The reasons for this difference were unclear but may be related to method specificity or

recovery efficiencies of sample preparation steps.

As expected, several significant associations were found among the TMs (Figure 4), but

IAA appeared to have a key role in the pathway since it was significantly associated

with three other metabolites (TAM, IA, and IPA). Further, IAA is a required step for two

other significantly associated metabolite pairs (IND and ILA, TAM and IA). Exploration

of relationships between TM levels with demographic categories was limited by sample

size and representation. No significant association was found between White males

and females. However, when all samples were included, males (87.5% White) had

significantly higher ILA levels (p=0.004). Race and age were also evaluated and

showed a difference in I-Ald (higher in Black versus White females, p=0.017) and IND

increases with age (males, $r^2$=0.972). However, these results are preliminary and

require verification in larger populations.

Armed with TM quantitation, our attention turned to identifying their potential sources.

To that end, we assumed that higher TM levels would correspond with higher relative

abundance, and correlation analyses did indeed point to multiple taxa associated with

each TM (Figure 5).  However, significant associations were almost always between a

TM and a taxon not known to produce it. Of nine IAA- or IND-producing taxa, only

*Collinsella* (16S) and *Collinsella aerofaciens* correlated with their product, IAA.

Interestingly, another study that showed IAA could alter concentrations of many

microbe-specific metabolites (36).  Further, twelve non-TM-producing taxa were also

significantly correlated with ≥1 TMs, suggesting that their relative abundances might

influence, or be influenced by, TM production, perhaps via a metabolic product not

included in the study.

Until recently, microbial metabolite production was established by assessment of

supernatants from purified cultures and/or *in silico* analysis of pathway genes (7, 21,

22). As a result, bacterial species were typically dichotomized as metabolite producers

or non-producers.  More recently, information gathered from all known public sources

has been incorporated into a Bayesian logistic regression model, which assigns a

metabolite production probability (MPP) for each step of the tryptophan pathway (23).

With this information, we identified bacterial species found in our dataset that were likely

sources of the observed fecal TM levels (Figure 7). While several potential sources

were found for IAA, that was not the case for other metabolites.  It is possible that

cutoffs used in the analyses (i.e. MPP >0.5, %RA >1) excluded low abundance taxa that

each contributed minimally but together might have accounted for the observed TM

levels. Lastly, the lack of higher prediction scores for IND and SK sources was not

unexpected due to the low recovery of *Bacteroides* spp., a rich source for these

metabolites.   Of further note, while prediction scores can provide insight into likely TM

sources, they do not necessarily predict the quantity of TMs produced.  Indeed, the

amount of metabolite produced by a particular taxon depends on such factors as having

the necessary metabolic machinery, abundance, substrate availability, and regulatory

signals from the microbial community and/or the host, among others.

***Limitations***

There are two main limitations in our study: the recovery of microbial taxa and

metabolites in historic fecal samples and the number of samples included in the study.

Long-term cryopreservation of bacteria is common in biobanking, and much has been

learned over the past two decades about enhancing the recovery of bacteria from

stored samples (reviewed in 37).  Fecal samples used in the study were stored at -80C

years before post-storage recovery techniques had been studied to any great degree.

Further, when the samples were collected, sequencing techniques were not available to

establish baselines. Microbial recovery was comparable to other studies in that

Firmicutes, Actinobacteria, Verrucomicrobia, and Proteobacteria were well preserved

(reviewed in 37), but Bacteroidetes were greatly decreased. The relative loss of

Bacteroidetes species was unfortunate since it is a major source of IND and SK and

compromised our ability to confirm the role of *Bacteroides spp.* as a significant source of

these compounds. On the other hand, by limiting *Bacteroides* abundance,

compositionality effects may have enhanced our ability to identify important

relationships with lower abundance taxa. Even with these limitations, our findings

demonstrate that long-term cryopreservation of fecal samples can be used to provide

useful information. Lastly, the number of fecal samples and TMs studied was limited by

the expense involved in mass spectroscopy but nevertheless exceeds the sample sizes

in many publications and significantly adds to the existing literature.

Finally, we then used our collective observations in a hypothetical scenario suggesting

that *Collinsella aerofaciens* (and four other taxa) play a leading role in producing high

IAA levels, driving the pathway toward increased IA and IPA, which then contribute to

maintaining epithelial integrity, acting as reactive oxygen scavengers, and decreasing

the gut inflammatory response.

In summary, using an approach such as the one we have presented here can be useful

in selecting microbial species for testing in animal models, thus supporting a rational

approach to developing "next-generation" probiotics.  Efforts using selected

combinations of microbial species designed to address specific dysbiosis are in the

early stages (38, 39) but promise a new era in treating a variety of serious health

conditions affecting a large portion of the population.

**ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

This work was supported by The National Institutes of Health, U19AI144297,(Joseph

Petrosino, program PI), and Pablo C. Okhuysen and Cynthia L Chappell, (subcontract

co-PIs).  We wish to thank Srikar Ranga and Gabriella Rodriguez for their technical

assistance.

**CO-AUTHOR ROLES**

Cynthia Chappell – conceptualization, investigation, formal analysis, project

administration, resources, validation, visualization, writing

Kristi Hoffman -- formal analysis, writing

Philip L. Lorenzi -- methodology, resources, validation

Lin Tan.  Investigation, methodology

Joseph Petrosino – funding acquisition, project administration, resources

Richard A. Gibbs– funding acquisition, project administration, resources

Donna M. Muzny – data curation, formal analysis

Harsha Doddapaneni – data curation, formal analysis

Matthew C. Ross – data curation, formal analysis

Vipin K. Menon – data curation, formal analysis

Anil Surathur -- data curation, formal analysis

Sara J. Javornik Cregeen -- formal analysis, visualization

Anaid G. Reyes -- validation

Pablo Okhuysen—conceptualization, project administration, resources, writing

**DISCLAIMERS**

**REFERENCES**

1. Forslund K, Hildebrand F, Nielsen T, Falony G, Le Chatelier E, Sunagawa S, Prifti E, Vieira-Silva S, Gudmundsdottir V, Pedersen HK, Arumugam M, Kristiansen K, Voigt AY, Vestergaard H, Hercog R, Costea PI, Kultima JR, Li J, Jørgensen T, Levenez F, Dore J; MetaHIT consortium; Nielsen HB, Brunak S, Raes J, Hansen T, Wang J, Ehrlich SD, Bork P, Pedersen O. 2015. Disentangling type 2 diabetes and metformin treatment signatures in the human gut microbiota. Nat Dec 10;528(7581):262-266. Epub 2015 Dec 2. Erratum in: Nature. 2017 May 3;545(7652):116.

2. Rothschild D, Weissbrod O, Barkan E, Kurilshikov A, Korem T, Zeevi D, Costea PI, Godneva A, Kalka IN, Bar N, Shilo S, Lador D, Vila AV, Zmora N, Pevsner-Fischer M, Israeli D, Kosower N, Malka G, Wolf BC, Avnit-Sagi T, Lotan-Pompan M, Weinberger A, Halpern Z, Carmi S, Fu J, Wijmenga C, Zhernakova A, Elinav E, Segal E. 2018. Environment dominates over host genetics in shaping human gut microbiota. Nat Mar 8;555(7695):210-215. Epub 2018 Feb 28.

3. Vangay P, Johnson AJ, Ward TL, Al-Ghalith GA, Shields-Cutler RR, Hillmann BM, Lucas SK, Beura LK, Thompson EA, Till LM, Batres R, Paw B, Pergament SL, Saenyakul P, Xiong M, Kim AD, Kim G, Masopust D, Martens EC, Angkurawaranon C, McGready R, Kashyap PC, Culhane-Pera KA, Knights D. 2018. US Immigration Westernizes the Human Gut Microbiome. Cell Nov 1;175(4):962-972.e10.

4. Lloyd-Price J, Abu-Ali G, Huttenhower C. 2016. The healthy human microbiome.

Genome Med Apr 27;8(1):51.

5. Wikoff WR, Anfora AT, Liu J, Schultz PG, Lesley SA, Peters EC, Siuzdak G. 2009.

Metabolomics analysis reveals large effects of gut microflora on mammalian blood

metabolites. PNAS USA 106:3698-3703.

6. Zheng X, Xie G, Zhao A, Zhao L, Yao C, Chiu NHL, Zhou Z, Bao Y, Jia W, Nicholson

JK, Jia W. 2011. The footprints of gut microbial-mammalian co-metabolism. J Proteome

Res 10:5512-5522.

7. Roager HM, Licht TR. 2018. Microbial tryptophan catabolites in health and disease.

Nat Commun. Aug 17;9(1):3294.

8. Liu M, Nieuwdorp M, de Vos WM, Rampanelli. 2022. Microbial tryptophan

metabolism tunes host immunity, metabolism, and extraintestinal disorders. Metabolites

12:834-857.

9. Hyland NP, Cavanaugh CR, Hornby PJ. 2022. Emerging effects of tryptophan

metabolites and intestinal microbiota on metabolism and intestinal function. Amino

Acids 2022 Jan;54(1):57-70.

10. Kumar P, Lee JH, Lee J. 2021. Diverse roles of microbial indole compounds in

eukaryotic systems. Biol Rev Camb Philos Soc Dec;96(6):2522-2545. Epub 2021 Jun

17.

11. Ornelas A, Dowdell AS, Lee JS, Colgan SP. 2022. Microbial metabolite regulation of

epithelial cell-cell interactions and barrier function. Cells. 2022 Mar 10;11(6):944.

12. Cryan JF, O'Riordan KJ, Cowan CSM, Sandhu KV, Bastiaanssen TFS, Boehme M,

Codagnone MG, Cussotto S, Fulling C, Golubeva AV, Guzzetta KE, Jaggar M, Long-

Smith CM, Lyte JM, Martin JA, Molinero-Perez A, Moloney G, Morelli E, Morillas E,

O'Connor R, Cruz-Pereira JS, Peterson VL, Rea K, Ritz NL, Sherwin E, Spichak S,

Teichman EM, van de Wouw M, Ventura-Silva AP, Wallace-Fitzsimons SE, Hyland N,

Clarke G, Dinan TG. 2019. The Microbiota-Gut-Brain Axis. Physiol Rev Oct

1;99(4):1877-2013.

13. Ahmed H, Leyrolle Q, Ville Koistinen, Kärkkäinen O, Layé S, Delzenne N,

Hanhineva K. 2022. Microbiota-derived metabolites as drivers of gut–brain

communication. Gut Microbes 14(1):2102878.

14. Zhou Y, Chen Y, He H, Peng M, Zeng M, Sun H. 2023. The role of the indoles in

microbiota-gut-brain axis and potential therapeutic targets: A focus on human

neurological and neuropsychiatric diseases. Neuropharmacology Nov 15;239:109690.

Epub 2023 Aug 22.

15. Dong F, Hao F, Murray IA, Philip B. Smith PB, Koo I, Tindall AM, Kris-Etherton PM, Gowda K, Amin SG, Patterson AD, Perdew GH. 2020. Intestinal microbiota-derived tryptophan metabolites are predictive of Ah receptor activity. Gut Microbes Nov 9;12(1):1-24.

16. Anderson GM. 2021. The quantitative determination of indolic microbial tryptophan metabolites in human and rodent samples: A systematic review. J Chromatogr B Analyt Technol Biomed Life Sci  Dec 1;1186:123008.

17. Kovacs, N. 1928. Eine vereinfachte Methode zum Nachweis der Indolbildung durch Bakterien. Z Immun Exp Ther, 55:311-315.

18. Darkoh C, Chappell CL, Gonzalez C, Okhuysen P. 2015. A rapid and specific method for the detection of indole in complex biological samples. Appl Environ Microbiol 81:8093-8097.

19. Tang YW, Bonner J. 1947. The enzymatic inactivation of indoleacetic acid. I. Some characteristics of the enzyme contained in pea seedlings. Arch Biochem 13:11–25.

20. Salkowski E. 1885. Ueber das verhalten der skatolcarbonsa¨ure im organismus. Z Physiol Chem 9:23–33.

21. Lee JH, Lee J. 2009. Indole as an intercellular signal in microbial communities.

FEMS Microbiol Rev. Jul;34(4):426-44. Epub 2009 Dec 15.

22. Kaur H, Bose C, Mande SS. 2019. Tryptophan metabolism by gut microbiome and

gut-brain-axis: An *in silico* analysis. Front Neurosci. 2019 Dec 18;13:1365.

23. Lu Y, Chong J, Shen S, Chammas J-B, Chalifour L, Xia J. 2022. TrpNet:

Understanding tryptophan metabolism across gut microbiome. Metabolites 12(1):10.

24. Caporaso JG, Lauber CL, Walters WA, Berg-Lyons D, Huntley J, Fierer N, Owens

SM, Betley J, Fraser L, Bauer M, Gormley N, Gilbert JA, Smith G, Knight R. 2012. Ultra-

high-throughput microbial community analysis on the Illumina HiSeq and MiSeq

platforms. ISME J. 2012 Aug;6(8):1621-4.

25. Eckburg PB, Bik EM, Bernstein CN, Purdom E, Dethlefsen L, Sargent M, Gill SR,

Nelson KE, Relman DA. 2005. Diversity of the human intestinal microbial flora. Science.

2005 Jun 10;308(5728):1635-8. doi: 10.1126/science.1110591. Epub Apr 14.

26. Frank DN, St Amand AL, Feldman RA, Boedeker EC, Harpaz N, Pace NR. 2007.

Molecular-phylogenetic characterization of microbial community imbalances in human

inflammatory bowel diseases Proc Natl Acad Sci USA, Aug 21;104:13780-5, Epub 2007

Aug 15.

27. Sartor RB. 2008. Microbial influences in inflammatory bowel diseases.

Gastroenterology. Feb; 134:577-94,

28. Arumugam, M, Raes J, Pelletier E, Le Paslier D, Yamada T, Mende DR, Fernandes

GR, Tap J, Bruls T, Batto J-M, Bertalan M, Borruel N, Casellas F, Fernandez L, Gautier

L, Hansen T, Hattori M, Hayashi T, Kleerebezem M, Kurokawa K, Leclerc M, Levenez

F, Manichanh C, Nielsen HB, Nielsen T, Pons N, Poulain J, Qin J, Sicheritz-Ponten T,

Tims S, Torrents D, Ugarte E, Zoetendal EG, Wang J, Guarner F, Pedersen O, de Vos

WM, Brunak S, Dore J, MetaHIT Consortium, Weissenbach J, Ehrlich SD, Bork P. 2011.

Enterotypes of the human gut microbiome. Nature 473**:**174–180.

29. Yatsunenko T, Rey FE, Manary MJ, Trehan I, Dominguez-Bello MG, Contreras M,

Magris M, Hidalgo G, Baldassano RN, Anokhin AP, Heath AC, Warner B, Reeder J,

Kuczynski J, Caporaso JG, Lozupone CA, Lauber C, Clemente JC, Knights D, Knight R,

Gordon JI. Human gut microbiome viewed across age and geography. 2012. Nature

May 9;486(7402):222-7.

30 Hsieh Y-H, Peterson CM, Raggio A, Keenan MJ, Martin R J, Ravussin E, Marco ML.

2016. Impact of different fecal processing methods on assessments of bacterial

diversity in the human intestine. Front Microbiol 7:1643.

31. Zhu C, Sawrey-Kubicek L, Beals E, Rhodes CH, Houts HE, Sacchi R, Zivkovic AM.

2020. Human gut microbiome composition and tryptophan metabolites were changed

differently by fast food and Mediterranean diet in 4 days: a pilot study. Nutr Res

May;77:62-72. Epub 2020 Mar 26.

32. Bahl MI, Bergstrom A, Licht TR. 2012. Freezing fecal samples prior to DNA

extraction affects the Firmicutes to Bacteroidetes ratio determined by downstream

quantitative PCR analysis. FEMS Microbiol Lett 329:193–197.

33. Lozupone CA, Stombaugh JI, Gordon JI, Jansson JK, Knight R. 2012. Diversity,

stability and resilience of the human gut microbiota. Nature 489:220–230.

34. Zmora N, Suez J, Elinav E. 2019. You are what you eat: diet, health and the gut

microbiota. Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol 16:35-56.

35. Gao Y, Li Y, Duan X, Wang Q, Zhang H. 2022. Research progress on the

relationship between IS and kidney disease and its complications. Int Urol Nephrol

54(11):2881-2890. Epub 2022 Apr 29.

36. Zhang P, Jin T, Sahu SK, Xu J , Shi Q, Liu H, Wang Y. 2019. The distribution of

tryptophan-dependent indole-3-acetic acid synthesis pathways in bacteria unraveled by

large-scale genomic analysis. Molecules 24:1411-1424.

37. Smirnova DV, Zalomova LV , Zagainova AV, Makarov VV, Mezhevikina LM,

Fesenko EE Jr, Yudin SM. 2019. Cryopreservation of the human gut microbiota: Current

state and perspectives. Int J Med Microbiol 309:259-269.

38. O'Toole PW, Marchesi JR, Hill C. 2017. Next-generation probiotics: the spectrum

from probiotics to live biotherapeutics. Nat Microbiol Apr 25;2:17057.

39. Khan MT, Dwibedi C, Sundh D, Pradhan M, Kraft JD, Caesar R, Tremaroli V,

Lorentzon M, Bäckhed F. 2023. Synergy and oxygen adaptation for development of

next-generation probiotics. Nature Aug;620(7973):381-385. Epub 2023 Aug 2.

*Figure 1. Canonical pathways for tryptophan metabolism in bacteria. The indole pathway is adapted from Lu et al., 2022; [www.TrpNet.ca](www.TrpNet.ca). Metabolites included in the present study are indicated. Orange and truncated lines indicate the metabolic connections to host specific pathways; pink color indicates an unknown enzymatic reaction.*

**Table 1. Tryptophan metabolites, their structures, abbreviations and sources.**

| Tryptophan metabolite | Structure | Abbreviation | Source |
|---|---|---|---|
| Indole | | IND | Bacteria |
| indole-3-lactic acid | | ILA | Bacteria |
| 3-indole acetic acid | | IAA | Bacteria, host |
| Tryptamine | | TAM | Bacteria, host |
| 3-methyl indole (skatole) | | SK | Bacteria |
| Indole-3-propionic acid | | IPA | Bacteria |
| Indoxyl acetate | | IAc | Bacteria |
| Indole-3-(carbox)aldehyde | | I-Ald | Bacteria |
| 3-indoleacrylic acid | | IA | Bacteria |
| Indoxyl-3-sulfate | | I3S | Host (via IND) |

*Figure 2. Study design and analytical approach. Analytical steps 1-4 are indicated*

*by color.*

**A.**

**B.**

**C.**

764    *Figure 3. Tryptophan metabolites (TM, n=8) in stool samples from 21 healthy*

765    *adults. A. TM levels are depicted as relative levels (%) per subject. Total TM levels*

766    *were summed for each subject and are indicated above the figure. B. Relative*

767    *levels of metabolite (median nmol/g). C. Frequency distribution of total metabolite*

768    *concentrations (nmol/g).  Abbreviations for TMs can be found in Table 1.Figure 4.*

**A. Positive correlations**

* IAA-IA,
* IAA-TAM
* TAM-IA
**IAA-IPA

**B. Negative Correlations**

*ILA-IND

*Figure 4. Significant relationships among tryptophan metabolites (nmol/g) in fecal samples from 21 healthy adults. Positive (A) and negative (B) correlations are shown. Data were generated using Spearman rank correlation (r); statistical significance (\*p=<0.05 or \*\*p= <0.01) is indicated.*

780     *Figure 5. Linear regression correlations of bacterial taxa (% relative abundance)*

781     *and tryptophan metabolite concentrations (nmol/g) in fecal samples from healthy*

782     *adults. Taxa with a >20% occupancy and an FDR-adjusted p <0.05 are shown.*

783     *Asterisks indicate taxa capable of producing IAA (†) or IND (††).*

*Table 2. Heat map of percent relative abundance (%RA) for taxa that are significantly correlated with one or more*

*tryptophan metabolites. Color scale represents relative abundance (%RA ≥1) for each subject.*

Taxa abbreviations:
Am—*Akkermansia muciniphila*
Ba--*Bifidobacterium adolescentis*
C—*Collinsella* (16s)
Ca—*Collinsella aerofaciens*
Cc—*Coprococcus comes*
Ec—*Escherichia coli*
Er—*[Eubacterium] rectale*
Fp—*Faecalibacterium prausnitzii*

*Figure 6. Predicted bacterial sources of tryptophan metabolites found in fecal samples from healthy adults. Mean prediction scores (see supplement 8) are shown for taxa with: >0.1 percent relative abundance (%RA); occupancy ≥5; and metabolite production probability (MPP) >0.5.*

802 *Figure 7. Correlation of fecal tryptophan metabolite concentrations with metabolite prediction*

803 *score. Each marker represents individual data from a total of 21 healthy adults. Polynomial*

804 *($2^{nd}$ order) curves of best fit are shown (dotted lines) for IAA levels (orange, $r^2 = 0.6586$) and*

805 *total levels of 8 tryptophan metabolites (blue, $r^2 = 0.8915$).*

815    *Figure 8. Major features of a hypothetical scenario leading to important functional outcomes*

816    *for the host.  The pathway is based on analyses of TM interactions, correlations between*

817    *relative levels of taxa and TMs, and predictions of potential bacterial sources.*