METABOLIC DISRUPTION IMPAIRS RIBOSOMAL PROTEIN LEVELS, RESULTING IN ENHANCED AMINOGLYCOSIDE TOLERANCE

Rauf Shiraliyev and Mehmet A. Orman

William A. Brookshire Department of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering, University of Houston, Houston, TX, 77204
Corresponding author: Mehmet Orman, morman@central.uh.edu

ABSTRACT

Aminoglycoside antibiotics display broad-spectrum activity against Gram-negative and Gram-positive bacteria by targeting their ribosomes. Herein, we have demonstrated that energy metabolism plays a crucial role in aminoglycoside tolerance, as knockout strains associated with the tricarboxylic acid cycle (TCA) and the electron transport chain (ETC) exhibited increased tolerance to aminoglycosides in the mid-exponential growth phase of *Escherichia coli* cells. Given that aminoglycoside uptake relies on the energy-driven electrochemical potential across the cytoplasmic membrane, our initial expectation was that these genetic perturbations would decrease the proton motive force (PMF), subsequently affecting the uptake of aminoglycosides. However, our results did not corroborate this assumption. We found no consistent metabolic changes, ATP levels, cytoplasmic pH variations, or membrane potential differences in the mutant strains compared to the wild type. Additionally, intracellular concentrations of fluorophore-labeled gentamicin remained similar across all strains. To uncover the mechanism responsible for the observed tolerance in mutant strains, we employed untargeted mass spectrometry to quantify the proteins within these mutants and subsequently compared them to their wild-type counterparts. Our comprehensive analysis, which encompassed protein-protein association networks and functional enrichment, unveiled a noteworthy upregulation of proteins linked to the TCA cycle in the mutant strains during the mid-exponential growth phase, suggesting that these strains compensate for the perturbation in their energy metabolism by increasing TCA cycle activity to maintain their membrane potential and ATP levels. Furthermore, our pathway enrichment analysis shed light on local network clusters displaying downregulation across all mutant strains, which were associated with both large and small ribosomal binding proteins, ribosome biogenesis, translation factor activity, and the biosynthesis of ribonucleoside monophosphates. These findings offer a plausible explanation for the observed tolerance of

aminoglycosides in the mutant strains. Altogether, this research has the potential to uncover
mechanisms behind aminoglycoside tolerance, paving the way for novel strategies to combat
such cells.

INTRODUCTION

Global public health is currently confronted with a pressing challenge in the form of
antimicrobial resistance (Binder et al., 1999). Addressing this issue necessitates a comprehensive
understanding of the diverse mechanisms used by bacterial cells to survive antibiotic treatments.
These mechanisms can be broadly classified into two groups: reversible and irreversible.
Reversible mechanisms, often termed "tolerance" mechanisms, are not genetically inherited and
encompass alterations in bacterial growth and behavior. Within this category, antibiotic-tolerant
cells, such as persisters, viable but nonculturable cells, and stationary-phase cells, transiently
survive high antibiotic concentrations. Their formation is linked to stochastic and/or
deterministic processes triggered by various stress factors including the SOS response, stringent
response, reactive oxygen species, nutrient depletion, and overpopulation (Amato et al., 2013;
Germain et al., 2015; Helaine and Kugelberg, 2014; Molina-Quiroz et al., 2018; Theodore et al.,
2013; Van den Bergh et al., 2017; Wood et al., 2013). The reversible nature of these cells allows
them to switch between antibiotic-tolerant and sensitive states. On the other hand, irreversible
mechanisms, often termed "resistance" mechanisms, are heritable and related to mutagenic
processes (Balaban et al., 2019). Antibiotic-resistant mutants, falling into this category, can
emerge due to mutations in antibiotic target proteins or proteins that contribute to enhanced
repair mechanisms, cell dormancy, drug efflux systems, and alternative mechanisms that
circumvent the antibiotic target (C Reygaert, 2018; Darby et al., 2023; Lambert, 2005; Munita
and Arias, 2016; Okusu et al., 1996).

Aminoglycosides were among the earliest antibiotics used in clinical practice (Becker and
Cooper, 2013; Krause et al., 2016). These antibiotics are a class of naturally occurring or
semisynthetic amino-modified sugars known for their broad-spectrum activity against diverse
bacterial species (Aggen et al., 2010; Endimiani et al., 2009; Ikäheimo I et al., 2000; Karlowsky
et al., 2003; Landman et al., 2010; Ristuccia and Cunha, 1985; Sader et al., 2015). Since the
discovery of the first aminoglycoside antibiotic, streptomycin, which was isolated from

Streptomyces griseus (Kresge et al., 2004; Schatz et al., 2005; Woodruff, 2014), numerous other

aminoglycosides have been identified, including gentamicin, kanamycin, tobramycin, amikacin,

and neomycin (Becker and Cooper, 2013; Krause et al., 2016). Although the use of

aminoglycosides declined for a brief period with the advent of newer antibiotics (such as

fluoroquinolones) which were thought to have lower toxicity (Becker and Cooper, 2013; Krause

et al., 2016), the rise of resistance to these new drugs has revived interest in aminoglycosides and

the development of new ones with improved dosing schemes (Becker and Cooper, 2013; Krause

et al., 2016).

Studies on the mechanism of action of aminoglycosides against bacteria have shown that they

disrupt protein synthesis by targeting the ribosome. The primary action of aminoglycosides is to

bind to the 16S ribosomal RNA (rRNA) of bacteria, a component of the 30S ribosomal subunit

(Moazed and Noller, 1987; Recht et al., 1999; Woodcock et al., 1991). The initial entry of

antibiotics into cells causes misreading in protein synthesis as the antibiotics interact with the

ribosomes involved in chain elongation. Then, these misread proteins or polypeptides are

incorporated into the membrane, causing membrane damage (Davis et al., 1986). This increases

the amount of antibiotics entering the cells, leading to more misreading, and the formation of

more channels, and eventually the complete inhibition of protein synthesis (Davis et al., 1986).

Moreover, aminoglycosides potentially inhibit ribosome recycling by binding to RNA helix 69

(H69) of the 50S ribosomal subunit, which can also lead to the inhibition of mRNA and tRNA

translocation (Borovinskaya et al., 2007).

It has been demonstrated that antibiotic-tolerant cells can become susceptible to aminoglycosides

by metabolizing certain carbon sources (Allison et al., 2011). This susceptibility arises from an

enhanced drug uptake as a result of an increase in the electron transport chain activity and

membrane potential, facilitated by the breakdown of these specific carbon sources (Allison et al.,

2011). The process of aminoglycoside uptake is a unique, energy-requiring mechanism where the

electrochemical potential across the cytoplasmic membrane and electron flow through

membrane-bound respiratory chains are believed to be significant factors (Taber Harry W et al.,

1987). However, the bactericidal effect of aminoglycosides may not result from the downstream

impacts of voltage-dependent drug uptake, but rather from an irregular membrane potential

(Bruni and Kralj, 2020). Bruni and Kralj suggested that hyperpolarization, stemming from

changes in ATP flux due to the reversal of F1Fo-ATPase activity, could potentially intensify

aminoglycoside-mediated cell death (Bruni and Kralj, 2020).

Previous studies underscore the potential role of metabolic mutations in aminoglycoside
tolerance and resistance, a phenomenon that warrants further investigation (Muir et al., 1981;
Shan et al., 2015). A recent study, which analyzed genomic alterations in *E. coli* strains,
including uropathogenic UTI89 strains, following daily antibiotic exposure (Van den Bergh et
al., 2022), showed that mutations were predominantly detected in genes of the *nuo* operon, a
vital component of bacterial energy metabolism. This highlights a potential link between
metabolic adaptations and antibiotic tolerance. Additionally, research led by Collin's group
uncovered genes related to central metabolism that contribute to antibiotic resistance in *E. coli*
cells exposed to various antibiotics, including aminoglycosides (Lopatkin et al., 2021). These
findings are corroborated by similar mutations identified in clinical *E. coli* pathogens, as
evidenced by the examination of a comprehensive library of 7243 *E. coli* genomes from NCBI
Pathogen Detection (Lopatkin et al., 2021). In our study, we found that knockout strains with
genes related to the tricarboxylic acid cycle (TCA) and the electron transport chain (ETC)
displayed increased tolerance to aminoglycosides. Intriguingly, this increased tolerance was not
attributed to reduced proton motive force, which affects drug uptake, as evidenced by
insignificant alterations in ATP levels or membrane potential in the mutant strains compared to
the wild-type strain. We employed untargeted mass spectrometry to quantify proteins in the
mutant and wild-type strains, revealing a notable upregulation of proteins associated with the
TCA cycle in the mutants. This suggests that these strains compensate for the disruption in their
energy metabolism by altering TCA cycle activity to maintain their membrane potential and ATP
levels. Moreover, our pathway enrichment analysis underlined local network clusters that were
consistently downregulated across all mutant strains. These clusters were related to both large
and small ribosomal binding proteins, ribosome biogenesis, translation factor activity, and the
biosynthesis of ribonucleoside monophosphates. These findings provide a credible rationale for
the observed tolerance to aminoglycosides in the mutant strains.

RESULTS

Deletions of the TCA cycle and ETC genes increased tolerance to aminoglycosides.

Given the crucial role of energy metabolism in aminoglycoside tolerance, our initial objective

was to assess various knockout strains that involved the deletion of genes associated with the

TCA cycle, such as *sucA, gltA, mdh, sdhC, icd, acnB,* and *fumA,* as well as the NADH

dehydrogenase enzyme of ETC, including *nuoM* and *nuoI* from *E. coli* MG1655. To conduct the

experiments, both wild-type and mutant strains were cultured overnight and then diluted 100-

fold in fresh 2 milliliters (ml) Lysogeny Broth (LB) in test tubes. The cultures were grown until

the mid-exponential phase (t=3.5 hours) in a shaker at 37°C and 250 rpm. Subsequently, the

cultures were exposed to various aminoglycosides (50 µg/ml streptomycin, 50 µg/ml gentamicin,

and 50 µg/ml amikacin) for a duration of 5 hours. Samples were collected before and after the

treatments and plated on LB agar to quantify the surviving cell fractions. Analysis of the results

indicates that most of the knockout strains (Δ*sucA,* Δ*gltA,* Δ*mdh,* Δ*sdhC,* Δ*nuoM,* and Δ*nuoI*)

exhibited increased tolerance to streptomycin, gentamicin, and amikacin treatments when

compared to the wild-type strain (**Figure 1A-C**). However, it is worth noting that mutant strains

such as Δ*icd,* Δ*acnB,* and Δ*fumA* did not always exhibit increased tolerance (**Figure 1A-C**),

underscoring the complex interplay between energy metabolism and specific antibiotic tolerance,

as well as the pleiotropic effects of the gene deletions. Moreover, the surviving cells measured in

these assays may not necessarily represent antibiotic-resistant cells, as the antibiotic tolerance

assays were conducted at concentrations well above the Minimum Inhibitory Concentration

(MIC) levels. The MIC levels of the strains for the tested antibiotics displayed no drastic

alterations compared to those of the wild type, despite some minor variations among them

(**Supplementary file 1 - table 1**).

To gain a thorough understanding of the observed tolerance, we chose four mutant strains that

exhibit either high tolerance (*sucA, gltA,* and *nuoI*) or low tolerance (*icd*) to gentamicin for the

subsequent assays. Our initial objective was to delineate the time-dependent profiles of

antibiotic-tolerant cells within cultures. To achieve this, cells from overnight cultures were

diluted in fresh media and cultured for 6 hours in LB in flasks (see Materials and Methods).

Hourly samples were collected for cell quantification and antibiotic tolerance assays. We opted

for flow cytometry for precise cell quantification, a more reliable method than optical density

measurements (Mohiuddin et al., 2020) (**Figure 2A**). In the antibiotic tolerance assays, samples

were treated with gentamicin (50 µg/ml) for 5 hours and plated before and after the treatments

(**Figure 2B**). Notably, the observed tolerance in these mutant strains is not linked to cell growth.

For instance, the mutant strains associated with *sucA, gltA,* and *nuoI* genes exhibited higher

tolerance to aminoglycosides although they did not show significantly altered cell growth compared to the wild type (**Figure 2A, B**). These mutant strains displayed a substantial increase in the number of tolerant cells (more than 10^4-fold) between time points t=3 and t=4 hours during the mid-exponential growth phase when compared to the wild-type strain (**Figure 2B**). Conversely, while the *icd* mutant exhibited a reduction in cell growth, it was observed to be as sensitive to gentamicin as the wild type during the exponential growth phase and the formation of tolerant cells in this mutant strain was only evident after the time point t=5 hours (**Figure 2A, B**). We emphasize that the observed tolerance in the mutant strains is transient or reversible, as they all exhibit high sensitivity to aminoglycosides, similar to the wild type, during the lag phase of growth (**Figure 2B**). Given that antibiotic concentrations may influence the number of surviving cells, we also treated cells with 5 μg/ml gentamicin at the mid-exponential phase. Although we observed a greater number of surviving cells in all strains when lower gentamicin concentrations were employed compared to higher concentrations, we still observed a similar trend; Δ*sucA*, Δ*gltA*, and Δ*nuoI* exhibited higher levels of surviving cells compared to Δ*icd* and the wild-type strain (**Figure 1 – figure supplement 1**).

Energy-dependent aminoglycoside uptake is not a contributing factor.

Aminoglycoside uptake is a unique and energy-requiring mechanism that depends on the electrochemical potential across the cytoplasmic membrane (Taber Harry W et al., 1987). Initially, we hypothesized that the genetic perturbations in these strains may have decreased proton motive force (PMF) and aminoglycoside uptake. The mutant strains exhibiting increased aminoglycoside tolerance demonstrated no consistent pattern in metabolic activities during the mid-exponential growth phase, which was assessed using redox sensor green (RSG) dye for the indicated time points (t=3, 4, 5 and 6 hours) of the cell growth (**Figure 3A**). When RSG molecules are reduced by bacterial reductases, critical components of energy metabolism, these molecules emit green fluorescence. This fluorescence signal should be suppressed by the presence of a metabolic inhibitor, such as carbonyl cyanide 3-chlorophenylhydrazone (CCCP) (**Figure 3 – figure supplement 1**). Given that ATP is a pivotal product of PMF, we also quantified intracellular ATP levels in both wildtype and mutant strains, employing the BacTiter-Glo™ Microbial Cell Viability Assay (Catalog# G8230, Promega Corporation, Madison WI). This assay utilizes a single reagent to lyse cells and produce luminescence through the luciferase

reaction, with the luminescent signal being directly proportional to the ATP content (**Figure 3 –**
figure supplement 2). We found no consistent pattern in ATP levels between the antibiotic-
sensitive and tolerant strains, particularly during the mid-exponential growth phase (at t=3 and 4
hours) (**Figure 3B**). While the Δ*sucA* mutant generally showed reduced ATP levels, this was not
the case for the other strains, which showed increased ATP levels around t=6 hours (**Figure 3B**).

Furthermore, since the proton gradient is a vital element of PMF and a reduced H^+ ion gradient
across the cell membrane is linked to reduced membrane potential, we conducted measurements
of intracellular pH using the ratiometric pHluorin, known as a pH-sensitive derivative of green
fluorescent protein (GFP) (Miesenböck et al., 1998). This GFP variant exhibits a bimodal
excitation spectrum characterized by peaks at 410 nm and 470 nm, along with an emission
maximum at 530 nm (Martinez II et al., 2012). When subjected to acidification, the excitation at
410 nm diminishes while the excitation at 470 nm concurrently rises, which allows us to
construct standard curves for the measurement of intracellular pH (**Figure 3 – figure**
supplement 3). For these assessments, we introduced pGFPR01 plasmids, where pHluorin is
expressed under the arabinose-induced promoter, into the mutant strains utilized. Our results
revealed that there was no anticipated acidification of the cytoplasm in the TCA and ETC
mutants compared to the wild type, both during the mid-exponential and early stationary phases
(**Figure 3C**).

Finally, we utilized fluorophore-labeled aminoglycoside (Gentamicin-Texas Red, or GTTR) to
investigate the cellular uptake of the drug. Specifically, cells in the mid-exponential phase of
both wild-type and mutant strains (at t=3.5 hours when a significant increase in gentamicin
tolerance was observed in the mutant strains) were exposed to GTTR for an hour, followed by
the analysis of cells using flow cytometry. A short-term treatment was preferred, in line with a
previous study (Bruni and Kralj, 2020) as cells treated with aminoglycosides become
permeabilized at later time points (Bruni and Kralj, 2020), potentially introducing artificial
impacts on drug uptake. Notably, the deletion of genes related to the TCA cycle and the electron
transport chain did not induce a significant alteration in GTTR uptake in cells during the
exponential phase when compared to the wild type (**Figure 4A, B**). We note that the
aminoglycoside concentration utilized in this study exceeds that of previous studies
demonstrating the correlation between aminoglycoside uptake and PMF (Taber Harry W et al.,
1987). Given that higher concentrations of aminoglycosides (>30 µg/ml) might obscure energy-

dependent aminoglycoside uptake (Taber Harry W et al., 1987), we investigated a lower concentration of GTTR (5 µg/ml) and observed similar GTTR uptake across all tested strains (**Figure 4 – figure supplement 1**). Collectively, these findings from multiple approaches strongly suggest that energy-dependent drug uptake is not the primary determinant of the observed antibiotic tolerance.

Membrane potential dysregulation is not associated with the observed aminoglycoside tolerance.

Fluorescent sensors for voltage and calcium have been utilized to monitor electrophysiology in bacteria at the single-cell level (Bruni and Kralj, 2020), and the findings revealed that the dysregulated membrane voltage was not essential for aminoglycoside uptake or inner membrane pore formation in *E. coli*, but it proved crucial for bactericidal activity (Bruni and Kralj, 2020). To assess the significance of dysregulated PMF, we employed a well-established assay based on 3,3′-Dipropylthiadicarbocyanine Iodide [$DiSC_3(5)$] (Stokes et al., 2020; Wu et al., 1999), a fluorescent dye commonly used for monitoring cell membrane potential. During cell hyperpolarization, $DiSC_3(5)$ infiltrates the cell membrane, undergoing self-quenching and resulting in reduced fluorescence intensity. Conversely, during depolarization, the fluorescence intensity of $DiSC_3(5)$ increases as it exits the cell membrane. Polymyxin B served as a control (**Figure 5 – figure supplement 1**), as the polycationic peptide ring of polymyxin B binds to a negatively charged site within the lipopolysaccharide layer of the cellular membrane (Domingues et al., 2012), leading to the dissipation of the electric potential gradient which enhances the fluorescence intensity of $DiSC_3(5)$ (**Figure 5 – figure supplement 1**). To assess the effect of gentamicin on membrane potential, metabolically active cells from exponential phase cultures at time points t=3, 4, 5, and 6 hours were transferred to a buffer solution containing 1 µM $DiSC_3(5)$ (**Figure 5**). Upon reaching equilibrium, the cells were treated with gentamicin. At designated time points, samples were collected, and fluorescence levels were measured using a plate reader (**Figure 5**). Similar to Polymyxin B treatments, gentamicin disrupts the electric potential gradient of PMF, leading to an increase in the fluorescence intensity of $DiSC_3(5)$ (**Figure 5**). Despite significant and rapid alterations in membrane potential observed upon gentamicin treatment, there was no significant difference in membrane potential between aminoglycoside-sensitive and tolerant strains (**Figure 5**). Moreover, if any of the gene deletions had an impact on membrane potential, we would have expected to observe altered fluorescence

intensity in the specific knockout strain compared to that of the wild type during the equilibrium stage (before the addition of the drug), as previously reported (Mohiuddin et al., 2022). However, no significant difference in $DiSC_3(5)$ fluorescence intensities was observed among the strains tested during the equilibrium phase (the initial 20 minutes, as illustrated in **Figure 5**). Furthermore, we did not observe significant differences in PMF between mutant and wild-type strains when lower concentrations of gentamicin (5 µg/ml) were used (**Figure 5 – figure supplement 2**).

The membrane potential dysregulation induced by aminoglycosides was previously attributed to the combined activity of NADH dehydrogenase and a reversed F1Fo-ATPase (Bruni and Kralj, 2020), and the knockout strains targeting the proton-conducting Fo domain (Δ*atpB*, Δ*atpE*, Δ*atpF*), as well as Δ*atpG*, exhibited increased colony-forming units in response to aminoglycoside treatments in *E. coli* BW25113 (Bruni and Kralj, 2020). However, when we tested ATP synthase knockout strains of *E. coli* BW25113 from the Keio collection, we did not observe a comparable trend as reported earlier (Bruni and Kralj, 2020). In fact, the strains Δ*atpB*, Δ*atpE*, Δ*atpF*, and Δ*atpG* exhibited similar sensitivity to gentamicin compared to the wild type under the conditions studied here (**Figure 5 – figure supplement 3A**). Interestingly, we observed a drastic increase in gentamicin tolerance in the Δ*atpC* mutant strain (**Figure 5 – figure supplement 3A**), which was not reported in the previous study (Bruni and Kralj, 2020), possibly due to the different experimental conditions used here. The *atpC* gene encodes an F1 complex subunit of ATP synthase, promoting motor activity in the direction of ATP production rather than the reversed direction (Bruni and Kralj, 2020; Guo et al., 2019). We acknowledge potential variations between *E. coli* BW25113 and *E. coli* MG1655 strains, due to differences in their genomic DNA. To address this, we deleted two Fo components (Δ*atpA* and Δ*atpB*) and two F1 components (Δ*atpC* and Δ*atpD*) from *E. coli* MG1655 and assessed their gentamicin survival profiles. While a moderate increase in tolerance was observed for mutant strains of F1 components during the mid-exponential phase (t=3 and 4 hours) compared to the wild type, no clear trend was observed for mutant strains of Fo components (**Figure 5 – figure supplement 3B**). The upregulation of tolerance in one of the mutant strains of F1 components (Δ*atpD*) was also evident during the mid-exponential phase when cells were exposed to a lower concentration of gentamicin (**Figure 5 – figure supplement 4**). Overall, despite some variations in tolerance among ATP synthase mutants across different *E. coli* strains (MG1655 vs BW25113) and

277   gentamicin concentrations (**Figure 5 – figure supplement 3** and **Figure 5 – figure supplement**
278   **4**), the observed gentamicin-induced dysregulation in membrane potential may not be the
279   primary factor contributing to the differences in antibiotic tolerance levels between the wild-type
280   and TCA cycle mutant strains studied here.

Proteomic analysis reveals molecular responses in mutant strains, unveiling potential
mechanisms underlying aminoglycoside tolerance.

In order to gain further insights beyond the conducted experiments and elucidate the mechanism
responsible for the observed tolerance in TCA cycle and ETC mutants, we utilized untargeted
mass spectrometry to quantify proteins within these mutants and subsequently compared them to
their wild-type counterparts. Proteomics data analysis, specifically involving the determination
of protein fold-change and calculation of P-value (using F-test and t-test), was carried out
through a process involving data transformation, normalization, and statistical procedures, as
detailed elsewhere (Aguilan et al., 2020). Proteins exhibiting a positive $\log_2$(fold-change) and a
P-value less than 0.05 were categorized as significantly upregulated while those displaying a
negative $\log_2$(fold-change) and a P-value less than 0.05 were categorized as significantly
downregulated in the mutant strains compared to the wild type (see **Supplementary file 2 -**
tables 1-4 for upregulated proteins, and **Supplementary file 2 - tables 5-8** for downregulated
proteins). The STRING database was employed to predict both the physical and functional
interactions between proteins by inputting the upregulated and downregulated proteins into the
STRING interaction network (Szklarczyk et al., 2023). STRING carries out an automated
pathway-enrichment analysis, focusing on the proteins entered and identifying pathways that
occur more frequently than expected. The analysis is based on the statistical background of the
entire genome and encompasses Gene Ontology annotations (all three domains), KEGG
pathways, Uniprot keywords, and the hierarchical clustering of the STRING network itself (see
Supplementary file 2 - tables 9-16 for significantly altered pathways identified). When
discussing our findings, we primarily reference the STRING network, as it offers the advantage
of broader coverage, including potential novel modules that might not yet be classified as
pathways (Szklarczyk et al., 2019).

In the context of the upregulated protein-protein association networks in the Δ*sucA* and Δ*gltA*
mutant strains, the STRING analysis unveiled significant functional enrichments

(**Supplementary file 2 - tables 9 and 10**) in the TCA cycle, carbon and pyruvate metabolism,

formate c-acetyltransferase activity, and the fatty acid metabolic pathway (**Figure 6A-B,** and

Supplementary file 2 - tables 9 and 10). Specifically, key proteins associated with these

enrichments encompassed FumA, FumC, AcnA, AcnB, SdhA, SdhB, Dld, TalA, FbaB, FadA,

FadB, and Acs (**Figure 6A, B**). Additionally, the Δ*sucA* and Δ*gltA* mutants exhibited an

upregulated cluster of membrane proteins, particularly from the ABC transporter family (MalK,

RbsA, RbsB, DppA, OppA, UgpB, LuxS), with some proteins specialized in amino acid

transport across the plasma membrane (ArtL, LivJ, GltI, GlnQ, ArgT, HisJ) (**Figure 6A, B**). In

the case of the Δ*gltA* mutant, a smaller network of upregulated stress-induced proteins was also

observed, related to osmotic stress, oxidative stress, and starvation (OsmC, OsmY, YbaY, YgaU,

YjbJ, Dps, WrbA) (**Figure 6B**). Regarding the Δ*nuoI* mutant, the STRING analysis identified an

upregulated functional network associated with carbon and pyruvate metabolism, featuring

proteins SucD, FumA, SdhA, Crp, Eda, GalS, Crr, Mdh, PykF, Lpd, GapA, Pgk, PoxB, and

TalA, as well as upregulated flagellar proteins (FlgF, FlgK, FlgM, FliD, FliH, FliJ, FliK, FliN,

CheW) (**Figure 6C,** and **Supplementary file 2 – table 11**). Interestingly, our proteomics data on

these three mutant strains, which display higher gentamicin tolerance, reveals upregulation in

proteins associated with energy metabolism (e.g., TCA cycle, pyruvate metabolism) (**Figure 6**).

This suggests that these mutant strains compensate for metabolic perturbations by enhancing the

TCA cycle to preserve their ATP levels, redox activities, and PMF. Indeed, this proteomics data

aligns well with measurements of ATP, RSG, and PMF highlighted in previous sections

(**Figures 3-5**).

In the context of downregulated protein networks, the substantial functional enrichments

unveiled through analysis using the STRING database were found to be intricately associated

with both the large and small ribosomal subunits, denoted by the specific ribosomal proteins

RplX, RplM, RplT, RplY, RplP, RplS, RpsE, RpsG, RpsH, RpsM, RpsT, RpsI, RpsP, RpsK,

RpsC, RpsD, RpsL, RpmG, RpmF, and RpmB (**Figure 7A-C,** and **Supplementary file 2 –**

tables 13, 14, 15). These enrichments extended to domains encompassing translation factor

activities, translation processes, and protein export mechanisms, featuring proteins RimM, InfA,

InfC, Tsf, YeiP, NusG, Efp, PrfC, Mfd, GlyS, CysS, AspS, ArgS, and Tig (**Figure 7A-C**).

Additionally, a noteworthy connection was established with the ribonucleoside monophosphate

biosynthetic process, as characterized by proteins CarA, CarB, PyrD, PyrF, and PyrL (**Figure**

7A-C). The consistent downregulation of ribosomal binding proteins, ribosome biogenesis, translation factor activity, and the biosynthesis of ribonucleoside monophosphates is observed in the three mutant strains (Δ*sucA*, Δ*gltA*, and Δ*nuoI*), providing an explanation for the observed aminoglycoside tolerance in these mutants (**Figure 7A-C**). However, genome-level proteomics trends, specifically the drastic upregulation in energy metabolism and the drastic downregulation in ribosomal proteins, were not observed in the Δ*icd* mutant strain (**Figure 7 – figure supplement 1,** and **Supplementary file 2 – tables 12 and 16**). This may be expected, as the Δ*icd* mutant strain did not consistently exhibit an increased tolerance trend to aminoglycosides compared to Δ*sucA*, Δ*gltA*, and Δ*nuoI*.

DISCUSSION

Our study aimed to explore the impact of gene knockouts associated with the TCA cycle and NADH dehydrogenase enzyme on aminoglycoside tolerance in *E. coli* MG1655. Various knockout strains showed increased tolerance to streptomycin, gentamicin, and amikacin compared to the wild-type strain. However, mutants like Δ*icd*, Δ*acnB*, and Δ*fumA* did not consistently exhibit enhanced tolerance, highlighting the complex relationship between energy metabolism and antibiotic tolerance. The increased tolerance observed in certain TCA cycle gene deletions to gentamicin was also documented in a prior study (Shan et al., 2015). Our investigation further focused on four selected mutant strains (*sucA, gltA, nuoI,* and *icd*), revealing time-dependent profiles of antibiotic-tolerant cells, with a substantial increase observed in the Δ*sucA,* Δ*gltA, and* Δ*nuoI* mutants during the mid-exponential growth phase. The observed tolerance was not linked to altered cell growth, and it appeared to be transient or reversible, as all mutants regained sensitivity to aminoglycosides during the lag phase of growth.

We further investigated the factors influencing aminoglycoside tolerance in *E. coli* MG1655 by examining the role of energy-dependent drug uptake, membrane potential, and genetic perturbations. Studies have shown that cells tolerant to antibiotics can transition to susceptibility to aminoglycosides through the metabolism of specific carbon sources (Allison et al., 2011). This shift in susceptibility is attributed to increased drug uptake, facilitated by increased activity in the electron transport chain and membrane potential, both triggered by the breakdown of these particular carbon sources (Allison et al., 2011). Aminoglycoside uptake involves a three-step

process: initial ionic binding to cells, followed by two energy-dependent phases (EDP I and EDP

II) (Taber Harry W et al., 1987). The first step, concentration-dependent ionic binding, is not

affected by inhibitors of energized uptake (Taber Harry W et al., 1987). The EDP I phase is

gradual, requiring aminoglycoside concentration and a membrane potential for substantial uptake

(Taber Harry W et al., 1987). Elevated aminoglycoside concentrations can bypass EDP I. EDP II

involves rapid transport across the cytoplasmic membrane, relying on energy from electron

transport and potentially ATP hydrolysis. Once inside the cell, studies suggest bactericidal

effects through mistranslation and misfolding of membrane proteins, triggering oxidative stress

and hydroxyl radical formation, ultimately leading to cell death (Kohanski et al., 2008, 2007).

Despite hypothesizing that reduced PMF and aminoglycoside uptake might be linked to genetic

perturbations, the mutant strains with increased tolerance showed no consistent pattern in

metabolic activities in our study. Analysis of ATP levels, intracellular pH, and fluorophore-

labeled aminoglycoside uptake did not reveal a clear association with antibiotic tolerance.

Certainly, our proteomic analysis revealed significant enhancements in upregulated protein

networks linked to the TCA cycle, carbon metabolism, pyruvate metabolism, and fatty acid

pathways in the mutant strains exhibiting increased gentamicin tolerance. This sheds light on

how these mutants manage and maintain their ATP levels, redox activities, PMF, and drug

uptake, overcoming genetic disruptions in their metabolism. However, it is crucial to emphasize

that our study does not refute the PMF-dependent mechanism of aminoglycoside uptake. The

observation of similar drug uptake across all strains tested, including mutants and wild type, can

be attributed to the ability of the mutant strains to maintain their PMF under the conditions

studied here.

Bruni and Kralj postulated that the bactericidal action triggered by membrane potential might

involve mechanisms beyond drug uptake, potentially influenced by the combined effects of pore

formation by mistranslated proteins and membrane hyperpolarization (Bruni and Kralj, 2020).

Additionally, their study proposed that the sudden shift in energy demand resulting from

ribosome dissociation during aminoglycoside treatment could boost cellular ATP flux, leading to

hyperpolarization through the concerted activity of NADH dehydrogenase and a reversed F1F0-

ATPase (Bruni and Kralj, 2020). Interestingly, while our study documented dysregulation in

membrane potential after gentamicin treatment, no significant differences were noted between

gentamicin-sensitive and tolerant strains. Despite prior research implicating increased survival of

specific ATP synthase knockouts in response to aminoglycoside treatments (Bruni and Kralj,
2020), our study did not report a clear trend regarding the gentamicin tolerance of these knockout
strains. We acknowledge that variations in experimental conditions, such as medium
composition, bacterial strains, growth phase, treatment time, duration, and antibiotic
compositions, may account for the observed differences. Additionally, we recognize that genetic
perturbations can have pleiotropic effects, potentially altering cell survival and death
mechanisms, which may differ from those observed in the wild-type strain.

We conducted untargeted mass spectrometry to quantify proteins in the TCA-cycle and ETC
mutants. Utilizing the STRING database, we predicted functional protein interactions, revealing
significant enrichments in downregulated protein networks associated with ribosomal subunits,
translation factor activities, protein export mechanisms, and ribonucleoside monophosphate
biosynthesis in the mutant strains that displayed higher gentamicin tolerance. The altered levels
of ribosomal proteins observed in our mutant strains align well with a prior study that identified
respiratory complex I in *E. coli* as a critical mutational target for promoting persister cell
formation during the transition to a stationary phase (Van den Bergh et al., 2022). In that study,
point mutations in respiratory complex I, responsible for proton translocation, were found to
induce antibiotic tolerance (Van den Bergh et al., 2022). While they showed that mutations
compromised proton translocation, key components such as NADH oxidation, electron transfer,
and drug uptake remained largely unchanged (Van den Bergh et al., 2022). They also
demonstrated that the increased persistence correlated with an acidified cytoplasm during the
stationary phase, impacting protein translation and contributing to increased antibiotic tolerance,
yet no significant differences in pH and persistence were noted between mutant and wild-type
strains during the exponential phase (Van den Bergh et al., 2022). Similarly, our investigation
revealed that single gene deletions did not alter cellular PMF, redox activities, membrane
potential, and drug uptake. However, the downregulation of ribosomal protein levels reported in
our study may not be attributed to a reduction in cytoplasmic pH, as we did not observe
alterations in pH levels in the knockout strains compared to the wild type during the mid-
exponential phase. Certain genes linked to the deletion strains in our study, such as *icd, sucA,*
mdh, and *fumA,* do not encode membrane-bound respiratory proteins. Strain-specific differences
can significantly influence the outcomes and responses to various experimental conditions. Each
strain of *E. coli* or any other microorganism can harbor unique genetic backgrounds, mutation

profiles, and physiological characteristics, leading to distinct survival strategies in response to
environmental stresses, such as antibiotic exposure. This variability is particularly evident in the
icd deletion strain, as evidenced by its increased ciprofloxacin persistence in an independent
study conducted by Lewis' group (Manuse et al., 2021). Furthermore, the same group
demonstrated that the *icd* deletion strain exhibited increased sensitivity to gentamicin in another
study (Shan et al., 2015), consistent with our observation here.

In summary, our study revealed that deletions in TCA cycle and ETC genes, including *sucA,*
gltA, mdh, sdhC, icd, acnB, fumA, nuoM, and *nuoI*, increased aminoglycoside tolerance.
Analyses, including flow cytometry and proteomics, demonstrated enhanced tolerance without
significant changes in energy-dependent drug uptake or membrane potential. Our pathway
analysis identified a downregulation in large and small ribosomal binding proteins, ribosome
biogenesis, translation factor activity, and ribonucleoside monophosphate biosynthesis in all
mutant strains, offering a plausible explanation for the observed aminoglycoside tolerance in
these mutants. Altogether, our findings underscore the complexity of energy metabolism's role in
antibiotic tolerance, providing valuable insights into the molecular mechanisms of
aminoglycoside tolerance in specific mutant strains.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Key Resources Table				
Reagent type (species) or resource	**Designation**	**Source or reference**	**Identifiers**	**Additional information**
Strain, strain background (*Escherichia coli*)	*Escherichia coli* MG1655 Δ*sucA* mutant	other		Ngo HG, Mohiuddin SG, Ananda A, Orman MA. 2024. Unraveling Crp/cAMP-mediated metabolic regulation in Escherichia coli

				persister cells. *Under review.*
Strain, strain background (*Escherichia coli*)	*E. coli* MG1655 Δ*gltA* mutant	other		Ngo HG et al., 2024
Strain, strain background (*Escherichia coli*)	*E. coli* MG1655 Δ*nuoI* mutant	other		Ngo HG et al., 2024
Strain, strain background (*Escherichia coli*)	*E. coli* MG1655 Δ*icd* mutant	other		Ngo HG et al., 2024
Strain, strain background (*Escherichia coli*)	*E. coli* MG1655 Δ*sdhC* mutant	other		Ngo HG et al., 2024
Strain, strain background (*Escherichia coli*)	*E. coli* MG1655 Δ*mdh* mutant	other		Ngo HG et al., 2024
Strain, strain background (*Escherichia coli*)	*E. coli* MG1655 Δ*acnB* mutant	other		Ngo HG et al., 2024
Strain, strain background (*Escherichia coli*)	*E. coli* MG1655 Δ*fumA* mutant	other		Ngo HG et al., 2024
Strain, strain background (*Escherichia coli*)	*E. coli* MG1655 Δ*nuoM* mutant	other		Ngo HG et al., 2024

Strain, strain background (*Escherichia coli*)	*E. coli* MG1655 Δ*atpA* mutant	other		Ngo HG et al., 2024
Strain, strain background (*Escherichia coli*)	*E. coli* MG1655 Δ*atpB* mutant	other		Ngo HG et al., 2024
Strain, strain background (*Escherichia coli*)	*E. coli* MG1655 Δ*atpC* mutant	other		Ngo HG et al., 2024
Strain, strain background (*Escherichia coli*)	*E. coli* MG1655 Δ*atpD* mutant	other		Ngo HG et al., 2024
Strain, strain background (*Escherichia coli*)	*E. coli* K-12 BW25113 Δ*atpA* mutant	Horizon Discovery	Catalog # OEC4988	
Strain, strain background (*Escherichia coli*)	*E. coli* K-12 BW25113 Δ*atpB* mutant	Horizon Discovery	Catalog # OEC4988	
Strain, strain background (*Escherichia coli*)	*E. coli* K-12 BW25113 Δ*atpC* mutant	Horizon Discovery	Catalog # OEC4988	
Strain, strain background (*Escherichia coli*)	*E. coli* K-12 BW25113 Δ*atpD* mutant	Horizon Discovery	Catalog # OEC4988	
Strain, strain background (*Escherichia coli*)	*E. coli* K-12 BW25113 Δ*atpE* mutant	Horizon Discovery	Catalog # OEC4988	

Strain, strain background (*Escherichia coli*)	*E. coli* K-12 BW25113 Δ*atpF* mutant	Horizon Discovery	Catalog # OEC4988	
Strain, strain background (*Escherichia coli*)	*E. coli* K-12 BW25113 Δ*atpG* mutant	Horizon Discovery	Catalog # OEC4988	
Strain, strain background (*Escherichia coli*)	*E. coli* K-12 BW25113 Δ*atpH* mutant	Horizon Discovery	Catalog # OEC4988	
Strain, strain background (*Escherichia coli*)	*E. coli* K-12 BW25113 Δ*atpI* mutant	Horizon Discovery	Catalog # OEC4988	
Recombinant DNA Reagent	pGFPR01	Martinez II et al., 2012		
Commercial assay or kit	BacTiter-Glo Microbial Cell Viability assay	Promega Corporation	Catalog # G8230	
Commercial assay or kit	*Bac*Light Redox Sensor Green Vitality kit	Thermo Fisher	Catalog # B34954	
Chemical compound or drug	Gentamicin-Texas Red Conjugate	AAT Bioquest, Inc	Catalog # 24300	
Chemical compound or drug	Polymyxin B Sulfate	Millipore Sigma	Catalog # 52-91-1GM	

Chemical compound or drug	DiSC3(5) (3,3′-Dipropylthiadicarbocyanine Iodide)	TCI America	Catalog # D4456	
Other	MIC Test Strips	Fisher Scientific		
Software, algorithm	Prism (version 10.1.2)	GraphPad	RRID: SCR_002798	http://www.graphpad.com/
Software, algorithm	FlowJo (version 10.10.0)	Becton, Dickinson & Company	RRID: SCR_008520	https://www.flowjo.com/solutions/flowjo

Bacterial strains, chemicals, media, and growth conditions

We employed the in-house *Escherichia coli* K-12 MG1655 strain for our experiments. The gene deletions in *E. coli* K-12 MG1655 were established in our prior studies (Ngo et al., 2024) utilizing the Datsenko and Wanner method (Datsenko and Wanner, 2000). *E. coli* K-12 BW25113 and its corresponding knockout strains were procured from the Keio collection, purchased from Horizon Discovery (Catalog # OEC4988, Lafayette, CO). The validity of all deletions was confirmed through the use of check primers (Ngo et al., 2024). All chemicals utilized in the study were purchased from Fisher Scientific (Atlanta, GA) or VWR International (Pittsburg, PA) unless stated otherwise. The Gentamicin-Texas Red Conjugate (Catalog # 24300) was purchased from AAT Bioquest, Inc (CA, USA). The ATP measurement kit (Catalog # G8230) was acquired from Promega Corporation (Madison, WI). Standard Lysogeny Broth (LB) broth was prepared by dissolving 5 g yeast extract, 10 g tryptone, and 10 g sodium chloride in 1 liter of deionized (DI) water. LB agar was made by dissolving 40 g of pre-mixed LB agar powder in 1 liter DI water. Sterilization of LB broth and LB agar was done via autoclaving at 121°C and 103.421 kPa. To determine tolerant cells in cultures, gentamicin (50 μg/ml), streptomycin (50 μg/ml), and amikacin (50 μg/ml) were used, and their concentrations were selected to be much higher than the minimum inhibitory concentrations (MICs). Whenever specified, gentamicin at various concentrations (e.g., 5 μg/ml) was also tested. MICs of

antibiotics for *E. coli* (Andrews, 2001) were determined using MIC Test strips (Fisher Scientific) and the results were presented in **Supplementary Table S1**. Ampicillin (100 μg/ml) was utilized to maintain plasmids in the cells. 0.2% L-arabinose at 13.3 mM was added to the media to induce GFP expression. To decrease the antibiotic concentration below the MIC, a sterile 1X phosphate buffer saline (PBS) solution was utilized to wash the cells. Antibiotics were dissolved in DI water and sterilized using a 0.2 μm PES syringe filter. Overnight cultures were prepared in 14 ml round-bottom tubes (Catalog # 14-959-1B, Fisher Scientific) by incubating cells from a frozen 25% glycerol stock (-80°C) in 2 ml of LB at 37°C and 250 rpm. After 24 hours, cells from the overnight cultures were diluted 1:100 fold in 2 ml of fresh LB medium in 14-ml round bottom test tubes or 25 ml LB in 250-ml baffled flasks and cultured further to achieve the desired growth phase for the assays.

Cell growth assay

To prepare overnight precultures, cells from frozen stocks were inoculated into 2 ml of LB medium in 14-ml round-bottom tubes and cultured for 24 hours at 37°C with shaking at 250 rpm. The overnight precultures were then diluted 100-fold in 14-ml round-bottom tubes containing 2 ml of LB medium and incubated in the shaker at 250 rpm and 37°C. The growth of the cultures was determined by measuring the number of cells per ml using flow cytometry. To perform this task, cells were transferred to PBS at specified time points and analyzed with a flow cytometer (NovoCyte Flow Cytometer, NovoCyte 3000RYB, ACEA Biosciences Inc., San Diego, CA). The cell populations were delineated on flow diagrams utilizing the forward and side scatter parameters, with controls including PBS with cells and PBS without cells. The inclusion of a PBS solution without cells aids in noise determination. The instrument is capable of quantifying both the number of events and the volume of the solution under analysis. Roughly 30,000-50,000 events were analyzed for each sample.

Clonogenic survival assay

Overnight cultures were prepared in 14-ml round-bottom test tubes by incubating cells from a frozen 25% glycerol stock (-80°C) in 2 ml of LB at 37°C and 250 rpm. After 24 hours, cells from the overnight cultures were diluted 1:100 fold in 2 ml of fresh medium and cultured in the incubator at 37°C with shaking. At the designated growth phase or time points, cells were exposed to antibiotics at the specified concentrations (5 or 50 μg/ml gentamicin, 50 μg/ml

streptomycin, and 50 μg/ml amikacin) for a duration of 5 hours. To determine the number of live cells before antibiotic exposure, 10 μl of cell cultures were serially diluted in PBS and plated on an LB agar plate, which was incubated for 16 hours at 37°C. During the antibiotic treatments, 1 ml cultures were collected after 5 hours and washed twice with PBS through centrifugation at 13,300 rpm (17,000 g) for 3 minutes to remove antibiotics. After the final centrifugation, 900 μl of supernatant was removed using a pipette, and the cell pellets were resuspended in the remaining 100 μl of PBS. Next, 10 μl of the cell suspensions were serially diluted in PBS, and 10 μl of the diluted cell suspensions were spotted onto LB agar plates. The plates were then incubated at 37°C for at least 16 hours, and the colony-forming units (CFU) were counted to determine the number of live cells present in the cultures. Survival fractions were calculated by dividing the number of surviving cells (after treatment) by the initial number of cells (before treatment).

To generate survival time profiles, cells from the overnight cultures were diluted 1:100 in 25 ml of fresh LB medium in 250-ml baffled flasks and incubated in the incubator at 37°C with shaking. At the designated time points, 2 ml of cell cultures were transferred to 14-ml round-bottom test tubes and subjected to antibiotic treatment at the specified concentration (50 μg/ml gentamicin) for a duration of 5 hours. Following treatment, cells were collected, washed to remove antibiotics, and plated for CFU enumeration, as previously described.

Redox sensor green dye staining

To measure bacterial reductase and ETC (electron transport chain) activities, we used the *Bac*Light Redox Sensor Green Vitality kit (Catalog # B34954, Thermo Fisher) following the manufacturer's instructions. Overnight cultures were prepared in 14 ml Falcon tubes by incubating cells from a frozen 25% glycerol stock (-80°C) in 2 ml of LB at 37°C and 250 rpm. After 24 hours, cells from the overnight cultures were diluted 1:100 fold in 2 ml of fresh medium and cultured in the incubator at 37°C with shaking. For analyzing the cell populations during mid-exponential and early stationary phases (t = 3h, 4h, 5h, 6h), we diluted the cells in 1 ml of 0.85% sodium chloride solution in flow cytometry tubes (5 ml round bottom falcon tubes) by varying amounts (10-, 20-, 20-, 50-fold, respectively). After that, Redox Sensor Green (RSG) dye was added to the cells at a concentration of 1 μM, and the samples were incubated at 37°C for 10 minutes before flow cytometry analysis. For the negative controls, cell suspensions were

treated with 20 μM CCCP 5 minutes prior to RSG staining to disrupt membrane electron transport (**Supplementary Figure S2**). Positive controls consisted of mid-exponential-phase cells. The cell populations were gated on flow diagrams using the forward and side scatter parameters of unstained controls. Cells were excited at 488 nm with a solid-state laser, and green fluorescence was collected with a 530/30 bandpass filter.

ATP measurement

The BacTiter-Glo Microbial Cell Viability assay kit (Catalog # G8230, Promega Corporation) was used to measure the intracellular ATP levels of both *E. coli* MG1655 WT and mutant strains during a specified growth phase, following the manufacturer's instructions. To generate a standard curve, ATP solutions of known concentrations were used (**Supplementary Figure S2**). Background luminescence was measured using LB broth.

pH measurement

For pH measurements in *E. coli*, a pGFPR01 plasmid was used, in which the GFP derivative ratiometric pHluorin is expressed from the arabinose-induced promoter P_{BAD}. This plasmid was kindly provided by Keith A. Martinez II (Department of Biology, Kenyon College, Gambier, Ohio). A comprehensive pH measurement protocol was obtained from a prior study (Van den Bergh et al., 2022). Overnight cultures of WT and mutant strains were prepared in 14 ml tubes by incubating cells carrying the pGFPR01 plasmid from a frozen 25% glycerol stock (-80°C) in 2 ml of LB, 0.2% L-arabinose and 100 mg/ml ampicillin, at 37°C and 250 rpm. After 24 hours, cells from the overnight cultures were diluted 1:100 fold in 2 ml of fresh medium, 0.2% L-arabinose and 100 mg/ml ampicillin and cultured in the incubator at 37°C with shaking. Fluorescence was measured at 410 nm and 470 nm using a plate reader at different time points, after measuring and normalizing the optical density of cells in liquid culture. The 410/470 fluorescence ratios were recorded to determine the cytoplasmic pH using the standard curve (**Supplementary Figure S3**). To generate standard pH versus fluorescence ratio curves for *E. coli* MG1655 cells, transmembrane pH was collapsed by adding 40 mM potassium benzoate and 40 mM methylamine hydrochloride to the cells, equalizing the difference between the external and internal pH. The cultures were then buffered to different pH levels ranging from 5 to 10 using a 50 mM concentration of 2-(*N*-morpholino) ethanesulfonic acid (MES) or 3-(*N*-morpholino) propanesulfonic acid (MOPS), and corresponding fluorescence values at 410 nm

and 470 nm were obtained using a plate reader. The Boltzmann equation was used to establish

the standard curve for each bacterial strain based on the provided data (Martinez II et al., 2012).

DiSC$_3$(5) assay

The fundamental mechanism of this assay and the assessment of PMF components using

DiSC$_3$(5) have been extensively detailed elsewhere (Farha et al., 2013; Panta and Doerrler, 2021;

Stokes et al., 2020). *E. coli* MG1655 wild-type and mutant cells in both exponential and early

stationary phases (at time points t = 3 h, 4h, 5h, 6h) were collected and subjected to two washes

with an assay buffer containing 5 mM HEPES and 20 mM glucose. The cell density was set to

OD_{600}=0.1, and the cells were stained with 1 μM DiSC$_3$(5). Fluorescence readings were taken at

specified intervals using a plate reader, with excitation and emission wavelengths set at 620 nm

and 670 nm, respectively. Gentamicin (5 μg/ml, 50 μg/ml, or other specified concentrations) was

introduced 20 minutes after cells reached equilibrium. At this point, the probe was released into

the medium, leading to an upsurge in fluorescence. Polymyxin B (32 μg/ml) was included as

controls and administered 20 minutes after equilibrium to dissipate the electron gradient of PMF.

The concentration of polymyxin B was determined based on a prior study (Stokes et al., 2020).

Gentamicin uptake assay

Overnight cultures were prepared in 14 ml tubes by incubating cells from a frozen 25% glycerol

stock (-80°C) in 2 ml of LB at 37°C and 250 rpm. After 24 hours, cells from the overnight

cultures were diluted 1:100 fold in 2 ml of fresh medium and cultured in the incubator at 37°C

with shaking. At the mid-exponential phase (t=3.5 h), 100 μl of cell cultures for both wild-type

and mutants were exposed to gentamicin-Texas red (GTTR) at a final concentration of 25 μg/ml

or 5 μg/ml. Untreated mid-exponential phase cells were used as negative controls. The samples

containing GTTR were then incubated for 1 hour at 37°C with shaking at 250 rpm.

Subsequently, 20 μl from each sample was washed with 500 μl of PBS (1X). After the final

washing step, cell pellets were resuspended in 500 μl of PBS. All samples were subjected to

analysis using a flow cytometer equipped with lasers emitting light at a wavelength of 561 nm,

and the resulting red fluorescence was detected using a 615/20 nm bandpass filter.

Proteomics sample preparation and analysis

Cells from both wild-type and mutant strains at the mid-exponential phase (t=3.5 h) were collected after which protein extraction and digestion were carried out for Liquid Chromatography–Mass Spectrometry (LC-MS) analysis by following the Sample Preparation by Easy Extraction and Digestion (SPEED) procedure. The experiments were conducted at the University of Houston Mass Spectrometry Laboratory under a service fee. Comprehensive details regarding the protein isolation and digestion methods can be found elsewhere (Doellinger et al., 2020). Briefly, cell pellets were added 20 μg trifluoroacetic acid (TFA) and incubated at room temperature for 5 min followed by addition of 200 μl of 2M trisbase. After adding Tris(2-carboxyethyl) phosphine (10 mM) and 2-Chloroacetamide (40 mM), the reaction mixture was heated at 95 ℃ for 5 min. Digestion was performed by adding trypsin (1/40, w/w) and incubation at 37 ℃ overnight. The digested peptides were cleaned up using a C18 Ziptip and vacuum dried using a CentriVap (Labconco, Kansas City, MO). Each dried sample was resuspended in 2% acetonitrile (ACN) with 0.1% formic acid (FA) for LC-MS analysis. The method involving LC-MS has been detailed in a separate publication (Qin et al., 2022). Specifically, a NanoElute LC system connected to a timsTOF Pro (Bruker Daltonics, Germany) through a CaptiveSpray source was utilized. Samples were loaded onto an in-house packed column (75 μm x 20 cm, 1.9 μm ReproSil-Pur C18 particle from Dr. Maisch GmbH, Germany) with a column temperature of 40 °C. Mobile phases included buffer A (0.1% FA in water) and buffer B (0.1% FA in ACN). The short gradient was 0-17.8 minutes, from 2% B to 30% B, followed by 18.3 minutes to 95% B, and 20.7 minutes to 95% B. The parallel accumulation-serial fragmentation (PASEF) mode with 4 PASEF scans per cycle was employed. The electrospray voltage was set at 1.4 kV, and the ion transfer tube temperature was maintained at 180 °C. Full MS scans were conducted across the mass-to-charge (m/z) range of 150–1700. The target intensity value was 2.0×10^5 with a threshold of 2500. A fixed cycle time of 0.53 s was established, and a dynamic exclusion duration of 0.4 minutes with a ± 0.015 amu tolerance was applied. Only peaks with a charge state of ≥ 2 were chosen for fragmentation. The default settings of MSFragger, a database search tool designed for peptide identification in MS-based proteomics (Yu et al., 2020), were applied to analyze data obtained from the mentioned instrument. The UniProt-SwissProt *E. coli* K12 database (Taxon ID 83333, downloaded on 6/19/2023, 4518 entries) served as the reference. Fixed modification involved cysteine carbamidomethylation, while variable modifications included methionine oxidation and acetylation. Peptide length was restricted to 7-50, allowing

for 2 missed cleavages. Both precursor and product ion masses were set as monoisotopic. The

false discovery rate (FDR) was controlled at <1% at the peptide spectrum match, peptide, and

protein levels. The raw data of proteomics analysis is provided in **Supplementary file 3**.

Proteomics data analysis

The processing of proteomics data and the calculations of fold change were essentially carried

out following the methods described in the paper by Aguilan *et al* (Aguilan et al., 2020). In

summary, we utilized Excel spreadsheets for key stages of data transformation, normalization,

fold change, and P-value calculation. Initially, proteins lacking quantitative values were

excluded, and a logarithm transformation was applied to achieve a normal distribution of data.

Normalization, using both average and slope methods, was then employed to minimize

intragroup variation in technical replicates and log fold change calculations compared to the

transformed unnormalized data. Subsequently, missing values were imputed by replacing them

with approximated values using the Probabilistic Minimum Imputation method. Following

imputation, we determined the relative ratio of each protein in mutant and wild-type strains,

along with P-value calculation using the parametric *t*-test. The selection of the *t*-test type

involved an *F*-test to evaluate whether the replicates for each protein exhibited homoscedastic

(equal variances) or heteroscedastic (unequal variances) characteristics. For the identification of

significant networks among input proteins, we utilized the STRING tool V 12.0. This entailed

inputting proteins that were significantly upregulated and downregulated based on specified

thresholds, as detailed elsewhere (Szklarczyk et al., 2023).

Statistical analysis

All assays were conducted using at least three independent biological replicates. The figures

display the mean value and standard error for each data point. Statistical analysis was performed

using GraphPad Prism software, with one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) with Dunnett's

post hoc test to determine significance. The P value threshold was set at *, $P < 0.05$, **, $P < 0.01$,

, $P < 0.001$, *, and $P < 0.0001$.

REFERENCES

Aggen JB, Armstrong ES, Goldblum AA, Dozzo P, Linsell MS, Gliedt MJ, Hildebrandt DJ, Feeney LA, Kubo A, Matias RD, Lopez S, Gomez M, Wlasichuk KB, Diokno R, Miller GH, Moser HE. 2010. Synthesis and spectrum of the neoglycoside ACHN-490. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* **54**:4636–4642. doi:10.1128/AAC.00572-10

Aguilan JT, Kulej K, Sidoli S. 2020. Guide for protein fold change and: P-value calculation for non-experts in proteomics. *Mol Omics* **16**:573–582. doi:10.1039/d0mo00087f

Allison KR, Brynildsen MP, Collins JJ. 2011. Metabolite-enabled eradication of bacterial persisters by aminoglycosides. *Nature* **473**:216–220. doi:10.1038/nature10069

Amato SM, Orman MA, Brynildsen MP. 2013. Metabolic Control of Persister Formation in Escherichia coli. *Mol Cell* **50**:475–487. doi:10.1016/j.molcel.2013.04.002

Andrews JM. 2001. Determination of minimum inhibitory concentrations. *Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy* **48**:5–16. doi:10.1093/jac/48.suppl_1.5

Balaban NQ, Helaine S, Lewis K, Ackermann M, Aldridge B, Andersson DI, Brynildsen MP, Bumann D, Camilli A, Collins JJ, Dehio C, Fortune S, Ghigo JM, Hardt WD, Harms A, Heinemann M, Hung DT, Jenal U, Levin BR, Michiels J, Storz G, Tan MW, Tenson T, Van Melderen L, Zinkernagel A. 2019. Definitions and guidelines for research on antibiotic persistence. *Nat Rev Microbiol* **17**:441–448. doi:10.1038/s41579-019-0196-3

Becker B, Cooper MA. 2013. Aminoglycoside antibiotics in the 21st century. *ACS Chem Biol* **8**:105–115. doi:10.1021/cb3005116

Binder S, Levitt AM, Sacks JJ, Hughes JM. 1999. Emerging Infectious Diseases: Public Health Issues for the 21st Century. *Science (1979)* **284**:1311–1313. doi:10.1126/science.284.5418.1311

Borovinskaya MA, Pai RD, Zhang W, Schuwirth BS, Holton JM, Hirokawa G, Kaji H, Kaji A, Cate JHD. 2007. Structural basis for aminoglycoside inhibition of bacterial ribosome recycling. *Nat Struct Mol Biol* **14**:727–732. doi:10.1038/nsmb1271

Bruni GN, Kralj JM. 2020. Membrane voltage dysregulation driven by metabolic dysfunction underlies bactericidal activity of aminoglycosides. *Elife* **9**:1–25. doi:10.7554/ELIFE.58706

C Reygaert W. 2018. An overview of the antimicrobial resistance mechanisms of bacteria. *AIMS Microbiol* **4**:482–501. doi:10.3934/microbiol.2018.3.482

Darby EM, Trampari E, Siasat P, Gaya MS, Alav I, Webber MA, Blair JMA. 2023. Molecular mechanisms of antibiotic resistance revisited. *Nat Rev Microbiol* **21**:280–295. doi:10.1038/s41579-022-00820-y

Datsenko KA, Wanner BL. 2000. One-step inactivation of chromosomal genes in Escherichia coli K-12 using PCR products. *PNAS* **97**:6640–6645. doi:10.1073/pnas.120163297

Davis BD, Chen L, Tai PC. 1986. Misread protein creates membrane channels: An essential step in the bactericidal action of aminoglycosides. *PNAS* **83**:6164–6168. doi:10.1073/pnas.83.16.6164

Doellinger J, Schneider A, Hoeller M, Lasch P. 2020. Sample preparation by easy extraction and digestion (SPEED) - A universal, rapid, and detergent-free protocol for proteomics based on acid extraction. *Molecular and Cellular Proteomics* **19**:209–222. doi:10.1074/mcp.TIR119.001616

Domingues MM, Inácio RG, Raimundo JM, Martins M, Castanho MARB, Santos NC. 2012. Biophysical characterization of polymyxin B interaction with LPS aggregates and membrane model systems. *Biopolymers* **98**:338–344. doi:10.1002/bip.22095

Endimiani A, Hujer KM, Hujer AM, Armstrong ES, Choudhary Y, Aggen JB, Bonomo RA. 2009. ACHN-490, a neoglycoside with potent in vitro activity against multidrug-resistant

Klebsiella pneumoniae isolates. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* **53**:4504–4507. doi:10.1128/AAC.00556-09

Farha MA, Verschoor CP, Bowdish D, Brown ED. 2013. Collapsing the proton motive force to identify synergistic combinations against staphylococcus aureus. *Chem Biol* **20**:1168–1178. doi:10.1016/j.chembiol.2013.07.006

Germain E, Roghanian M, Gerdes K, Maisonneuve E. 2015. Stochastic induction of persister cells by HipA through (p)ppGpp-mediated activation of mRNA endonucleases. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **112**:5171–5176. doi:10.1073/pnas.1423536112

Guo H, Suzuki T, Rubinstein JL. 2019. Structure of a bacterial ATP synthase. *Elife* **8**:e43128. doi:10.7554/eLife.43128.001

Helaine S, Kugelberg E. 2014. Bacterial persisters: Formation, eradication, and experimental systems. *Trends Microbiol*. doi:10.1016/j.tim.2014.03.008

Ikäheimo I, Syrjälä H, Karhukorpi J, Schildt R, Koskela M. 2000. In vitro antibiotic susceptibility of Francisella tularensis isolated from humans and animals. *Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy* **46**:287–290. doi:10.1093/jac/46.2.287

Karlowsky JA, Draghi DC, Jones ME, Thornsberry C, Friedland IR, Sahm DF. 2003. Surveillance for antimicrobial susceptibility among clinical isolates of Pseudomonas aeruginosa and Acinetobacter baumannii from hospitalized patients in the United States, 1998 to 2001. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* **47**:1681–1688. doi:10.1128/AAC.47.5.1681-1688.2003

Kohanski MA, Dwyer DJ, Hayete B, Lawrence CA, Collins JJ. 2007. A Common Mechanism of Cellular Death Induced by Bactericidal Antibiotics. *Cell* **130**:797–810. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2007.06.049

Kohanski MA, Dwyer DJ, Wierzbowski J, Cottarel G, Collins JJ. 2008. Mistranslation of Membrane Proteins and Two-Component System Activation Trigger Antibiotic-Mediated Cell Death. *Cell* **135**:679–690. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2008.09.038

Krause KM, Serio AW, Kane TR, Connolly LE. 2016. Aminoglycosides: An overview. *Cold Spring Harb Perspect Med* **6**. doi:10.1101/cshperspect.a027029

Kresge N, Simoni RD, Hill RL. 2004. Selman Waksman: the Father of Antibiotics. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* **279**:e7–e8. doi:10.1016/s0021-9258(20)67861-9

Lambert PA. 2005. Bacterial resistance to antibiotics: Modified target sites. *Adv Drug Deliv Rev* **57**:1471–1485. doi:10.1016/j.addr.2005.04.003

Landman D, Babu E, Shah N, Kelly P, Bäcker M, Bratu S, Quale J. 2010. Activity of a novel aminoglycoside, ACHN-490, against clinical isolates of Escherichia coli and Klebsiella pneumoniae from New York City. *Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy* **65**:2123–2127. doi:10.1093/jac/dkq278

Lopatkin AJ, Bening SC, Manson AL, Stokes JM, Kohanski MA, Badran AH, Earl AM, Cheney NJ, Yang JH, Collins JJ. 2021. Clinically relevant mutations in core metabolic genes confer antibiotic resistance. *Science (1979)* **371**. doi:10.1126/science.aba0862

Manuse S, Shan Y, Canas-Duarte SJ, Bakshi S, Sun WS, Mori H, Paulsson J, Lewis K. 2021. Bacterial persisters are a stochastically formed subpopulation of low-energy cells. *PLoS Biol* **19**. doi:10.1371/journal.pbio.3001194

Martinez II KA, Kitko RD, Mershon JP, Adcox HE, Malek KA, Berkmen MB, Slonczewski JL. 2012. Cytoplasmic pH Response to Acid Stress in Individual Cells of Escherichia coli and Bacillus subtilis Observed by Fluorescence Ratio Imaging Microscopy. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **78**:3706–3714. doi:10.1128/AEM.00354-12

Miesenböck G, Angelis DA De, Rothman JE. 1998. Visualizing secretion and synaptic
transmission with pH-sensitive green fluorescent proteins. *Nature* **394**:192–195.
doi:10.1038/28190
Moazed D, Noller HF. 1987. Interaction of antibiotics with functional sites in 16S ribosomal
RNA. *Nature* **327**:389–394. doi:10.1038/327389a0
Mohiuddin SG, Kavousi P, Orman MA. 2020. Flow-cytometry analysis reveals persister
resuscitation characteristics. *BMC Microbiol* **20**:1–13. doi:10.1186/s12866-020-01888-3
Mohiuddin SG, Massahi A, Orman MA. 2022. High-Throughput Screening of a Promoter
Library Reveals New Persister Mechanisms in Escherichia Coli. *Microbiol Spectr*
10:e02253-21. doi:10.1128/spectrum.02253-21
Molina-Quiroz RC, Silva-Valenzuela C, Brewster J, Castro-Nallar E, Levy SB, Camilli A. 2018.
Cyclic AMP Regulates Bacterial Persistence through Repression of the Oxidative Stress
Response and SOS-Dependent DNA Repair in Uropathogenic Escherichia coli. *mBio*
9:e02144-17. doi:10.1128/mBio.02144-17
Muir ME, Hanwell DR, Wallace BJ. 1981. Characterization of a respiratory mutant of
Escherichia coli with reduced uptake of aminoglycoside antibiotics. *Biochim Biophys Acta*
638:234–241. doi:10.1016/0005-2728(81)90232-2
Munita JM, Arias CA. 2016. Mechanisms of Antibiotic Resistance. *Microbiol Spectr* **4**.
doi:10.1128/microbiolspec.VMBF-0016-2015
Ngo HG, Mohiuddin SG, Ananda A, Orman MA. 2024. Unraveling Crp/cAMP-mediated
metabolic regulation in Escherichia coli persister cells. *Under review*.
Okusu H, Ma D, Nikaido H. 1996. AcrAB Efflux Pump Plays a Major Role in the Antibiotic
Resistance Phenotype of Escherichia coli Multiple-Antibiotic-Resistance (Mar) Mutants. *J*
Bacteriol **178**:306–308. doi:10.1128/jb.178.1.306-308.1996
Panta PR, Doerrler WT. 2021. A Burkholderia thailandensis DedA Family Membrane Protein Is
Required for Proton Motive Force Dependent Lipid A Modification. *Front Microbiol*
11:618389. doi:10.3389/fmicb.2020.618389
Qin G, Zhang P, Sun M, Fu W, Cai C. 2022. Comprehensive spectral libraries for various rabbit
eye tissue proteomes. *Sci Data* **9**:111. doi:10.1038/s41597-022-01241-5
Recht MI, Douthwaite S, Dahlquist KD, Puglisi JD. 1999. Effect of Mutations in the A Site of 16
S rRNA on Aminoglycoside Antibiotic-Ribosome Interaction. *J Mol Biol* **286**:33–43.
doi:10.1006/jmbi.1998.2446
Ristuccia AM, Cunha BA. 1985. An overview of amikacin. *Ther Drug Monit* **7**:12–25.
doi:10.1097/00007691-198503000-00003
Sader HS, Rhomberg PR, Farrell DJ, Jones RN. 2015. Arbekacin activity against contemporary
clinical bacteria isolated from patients hospitalized with pneumonia. *Antimicrob Agents*
Chemother **59**:3263–3270. doi:10.1128/AAC.04839-14
Schatz A, Bugie E, Waksman SA. 2005. Streptomycin, a substance exhibiting antibiotic activity
against gram-positive and gram-negative bacteria. *Clin Orthop Relat Res* **437**:3–6.
doi:10.1097/01.blo.0000175887.98112.fe
Shan Y, Lazinski D, Rowe S, Camilli A, Lewis K. 2015. Genetic basis of persister tolerance to
aminoglycosides in Escherichia coli. *mBio* **6**. doi:10.1128/mBio.00078-15
Stokes JM, Yang K, Swanson K, Jin W, Cubillos-Ruiz A, Donghia NM, MacNair CR, French S,
Carfrae LA, Bloom-Ackerman Z, Tran VM, Chiappino-Pepe A, Badran AH, Andrews IW,
Chory EJ, Church GM, Brown ED, Jaakkola TS, Barzilay R, Collins JJ. 2020. A Deep

Learning Approach to Antibiotic Discovery. *Cell* **180**:688-702.e13. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2020.01.021

Szklarczyk D, Gable AL, Lyon D, Junge A, Wyder S, Huerta-Cepas J, Simonovic M, Doncheva NT, Morris JH, Bork P, Jensen LJ, Von Mering C. 2019. STRING v11: Protein-protein association networks with increased coverage, supporting functional discovery in genome-wide experimental datasets. *Nucleic Acids Res* **47**:D607–D613. doi:10.1093/nar/gky1131

Szklarczyk D, Kirsch R, Koutrouli M, Nastou K, Mehryary F, Hachilif R, Gable AL, Fang T, Doncheva NT, Pyysalo S, Bork P, Jensen LJ, Von Mering C. 2023. The STRING database in 2023: protein-protein association networks and functional enrichment analyses for any sequenced genome of interest. *Nucleic Acids Res* **51**:D638–D646. doi:10.1093/nar/gkac1000

Taber Harry W, Mueller JP, Miller PF, Arrow AS. 1987. Bacterial Uptake of Aminoglycoside Antibiotics. *Microbiol Rev* **51**:439–457. doi:10.1128/mr.51.4.439-457.1987

Theodore A, Lewis K, Vulić M. 2013. Tolerance of Escherichia coli to fluoroquinolone antibiotics depends on specific components of the SOS response pathway. *Genetics* **195**:1265–1276. doi:10.1534/genetics.113.152306

Van den Bergh B, Fauvart M, Michiels J. 2017. Formation, physiology, ecology, evolution and clinical importance of bacterial persisters. *FEMS Microbiol Rev* **41**:219–251. doi:10.1093/femsre/fux001

Van den Bergh B, Schramke H, Michiels JE, Kimkes TEP, Radzikowski JL, Schimpf J, Vedelaar SR, Burschel S, Dewachter L, Lončar N, Schmidt A, Meijer T, Fauvart M, Friedrich T, Michiels J, Heinemann M. 2022. Mutations in respiratory complex I promote antibiotic persistence through alterations in intracellular acidity and protein synthesis. *Nat Commun* **13**:546. doi:10.1038/s41467-022-28141-x

Wood TK, Knabel SJ, Kwan BW. 2013. Bacterial persister cell formation and dormancy. *Appl Environ Microbiol*. doi:10.1128/AEM.02636-13

Woodcock J, Moazed D, Cannon M, Davies J, Noller HF. 1991. Interaction of antibiotics with A-and P-site-specific bases in 16S ribosomal RNA. *EMBO J* **1**:3099–3103. doi:10.1002/j.1460-2075.1991.tb07863.x

Woodruff HB. 2014. Selman A. Waksman, winner of the 1952 nobel prize for physiology or medicine. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **80**:2–8. doi:10.1128/AEM.01143-13

Wu M, Maier E, Benz R, Hancock REW. 1999. Mechanism of interaction of different classes of cationic antimicrobial peptides with planar bilayers and with the cytoplasmic membrane of Escherichia coli. *Biochemistry* **38**:7235–7242. doi:10.1021/bi9826299

Yu F, Haynes SE, Teo GC, Avtonomov DM, Polasky DA, Nesvizhskii AI. 2020. Fast Quantitative Analysis of timsTOF PASEF Data with MSFragger and IonQuant. *Molecular and Cellular Proteomics* **19**:1575–1585. doi:10.1074/mcp.TIR120.002048

Data Availability

The proteomics data is provided in Supplementary file 3, and will be submitted to one of the NIH-designated repositories. All data generated or analyzed during this study have been incorporated into the manuscript and supplemental files.

Author Details

<u>Mehmet Orman</u>

William A. Brookshire Department of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering, University of
Houston

Contribution: Conceptualization, Data curation, Supervision, Funding acquisition, Investigation,
Writing - original draft, Project administration, Writing – review and editing

For correspondence: morman@central.uh.edu

Competing interests: No competing interests declared

<u>Rauf Shiraliyev</u>

William A. Brookshire Department of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering, University of
Houston

Contribution: Data curation, Investigation, Writing - original draft, review and editing

Competing interests: No competing interests declared

Acknowledgment

The authors would like to thank the members of Orman Lab for their help. This study was
supported by NSF CAREER 2044375 and NIH/NIAID R01-AI143643.

Proteomics experiments were conducted at the Mass Spectrometry Laboratory of Dr. Chengzhi
Cai at the University of Houston, with the associated service fees.

Figure Legends

Figure 1. Deletions of the TCA cycle and ETC genes enhanced tolerance to
aminoglycosides. In the mid-exponential phase (t=3.5 h), *E. coli* MG1655 wild-type and
knockout strains underwent (A) streptomycin, (B) gentamicin, and (C) amikacin treatments at a
concentration of 50 μg/ml for a duration of 5 hours in test tubes. Following the treatments, cells
were washed to eliminate the antibiotics and then plated on LB agar plates to quantify the
surviving cell fractions. CFU: Colony forming units. WT: Wild type. For pairwise comparisons,
one-way ANOVA with Dunnett's post hoc test was used where *, $P < 0.05$, **, $P < 0.01$, ***, P
< 0.001, and ****, $P < 0.0001$. N=3. Data points represent mean and standard error.

Figure 1 – figure supplement 1. Impact of lower gentamicin concentration on the tolerance
of the mutant strains. In the mid-exponential phase (t=3.5 hours), *E. coli* MG1655 wild-type
and knockout strains underwent gentamicin treatments at a concentration of 5 μg/ml for a
duration of 5 hours in test tubes. Following the treatments, cells were washed to eliminate the
antibiotics and then plated on LB agar plates to quantify the surviving cell fractions. For pairwise
comparisons, one-way ANOVA with Dunnett's post hoc test was used where ***, $P < 0.001$, and
****, $P < 0.0001$. N=4. Data points represent mean and standard error.

Figure 2. The observed tolerance in the mutant strains is not linked to cell growth. (A)
Growth of *E. coli* MG1655 wild type, Δ*sucA*, Δ*gltA*, Δ*nuoI*, and Δ*icd* strains was assessed by
measuring the number of cells per ml with flow cytometry. (B) The cells of both the *E. coli*
MG1655 WT and mutant strains were collected from culture flasks (see Materials and Methods
for details) at indicated time intervals and then subjected to gentamicin treatment. The figure
shows the colony-forming unit (CFU) levels of both the treated and untreated cultures, indicating
the surviving and total cell populations, respectively. N=3. Data points represent mean and
standard error.

Figure 3. Comparable ATP levels, redox activities, and cytoplasmic pH levels were
observed between the wild-type and mutant strains. (A) RSG staining was conducted by
suspending wild-type and mutant strains in 0.85% sodium chloride solution during the mid-
exponential and early stationary phases, as outlined in the Materials and Methods section. (B)
The ATP levels were measured in wild-type and mutant cells during the mid-exponential and
early stationary growth phases. (C) A comparison of the cytoplasmic pH of the WT and mutant
cells during the mid-exponential and early stationary phases was performed using the ratiometric
pHluorin. For pairwise comparisons, one-way ANOVA with Dunnett's post hoc test was used
where *, $P < 0.05$, **, $P < 0.01$, ***, and $P < 0.001$. N ≥ 3. Data points represent mean and
standard error.

Figure 3 – figure supplement 1. Control staining for bacterial redox activities. *E. coli*
MG1655 wild-type cells in the exponential phase (t=3.5 hours) were subjected to staining with 1
μM RSG for 10 minutes at 37°C, followed by analysis using flow cytometry. Controls included
unstained cells and cells treated with a combination of 20 μM CCCP and 1 μM RSG. CCCP was
introduced into cell suspensions 5 minutes before RSG staining, aiming to reduce cellular redox

activities. The presented panel serves as a representative biological replicate.

Figure 3 – figure supplement 2. The ATP standard curve. ATP was subjected to 10-fold
serial dilutions (10 µM, 1 µM, 100nM, and 10 nM), starting from an initial concentration of 10
µM in a culture medium. The resulting dilutions were then transferred to a 96-well plate, and an
equivalent volume of BacTiter-Glo™ Reagent was introduced in a 1:1 ratio. The combined
mixtures were incubated for 1 minute, followed by luminescence measurement using a plate
reader.

Figure 3 – figure supplement 3. The pH standard curve. The pH vs. fluorescence ratio (410
nm/470 nm) was established for *E. coli* MG1655. The standard curve data were fitted using a
Boltzmann sigmoid curve.

Figure 4. Deletion of genes associated with the TCA cycle and ETC did not alter the drug
uptake. (A) Exemplary quantification of GTTR uptake in cells during the exponential growth
phase (t=3.5 h). (B) GTTR assays were performed on cells in the mid-exponential phase for both
the wild-type and mutant strains, followed by fluorescence measurement using flow cytometry
after one hour. The concentration of GTTR is 25 µg/ml. For pairwise comparisons, one-way
ANOVA with Dunnett's post hoc test was used (no statistical significance was detected). N=3.
Data points represent mean and standard error.

Figure 4 – figure supplement 1. Deletion of genes associated with the TCA cycle and ETC
did not significantly alter the drug uptake. GTTR assays were performed on cells in the mid-
exponential phase (t= 3.5 hours) for both the wild-type and mutant strains, followed by
fluorescence measurement using flow cytometry. The concentration of GTTR is 5 µg/ml. For
pairwise comparisons, one-way ANOVA with Dunnett's post hoc test was used (no statistical
significance was detected). N=4. Data points represent mean and standard error.

Figure 5. The dysregulation of membrane potential is not correlated with the observed
aminoglycoside tolerance. Deletions of the TCA cycle and electron transport chain genes
resulted in no significant change in PMF. Mid-exponential and early stationary phase cells of
wild-type and mutant strains were stained with DiSC$_3$(5), and at specified time intervals their
fluorescence was measured using a plate reader. Cells were treated with gentamicin (50 µg/ml)
after 20 minutes and fluorescence was measured again. N=4. Data points represent mean and
standard error.

Figure 5 – figure supplement 1. Polymyxin B, functioning as a control, leads to the
disruption of the cytoplasmic membrane and PMF. This disruption results in the release of
$DiSC_3(5)$ from the membrane, accompanied by a concurrent increase in fluorescence. Polymyxin
B achieves this effect by attaching its polycationic peptide ring to a negatively charged site
within the lipopolysaccharide layer of the cellular membrane. N=3.

Figure 5 – figure supplement 2. The dysregulation of PMF is not correlated with the
observed aminoglycoside tolerance. The mutant and wild-type strains showed no significant
change in PMF. Mid-exponential phase cells of wild-type and mutant strains (t= 3.5 hours) were
stained with $DiSC_3(5)$, and at specified time intervals their fluorescence was measured using a
plate reader. Cells were treated with gentamicin (5 µg/ml) after 20 minutes and fluorescence was
measured again. N=4. Data points represent mean and standard error.

Figure 5 – figure supplement 3. Deletions of the ATP synthase genes did not confer a
reverse ATPase-mediated killing under the conditions studied here. (A) During the mid-
exponential phase (t=3.5 hours), ATP synthase knockout strains of *E. coli* BW25113 were
subjected to gentamicin treatment at a concentration of 50 μg/ml in test tubes for 5 hours. After
the treatments, cells were washed to remove the antibiotics and then plated on LB agar plates to
quantify the surviving cell fractions in terms of Colony Forming Units (CFU). (B) The cells of *E.*
coli MG1655 wild-type and ATP synthase knockout strains were collected from flasks at
indicated time intervals and then subjected to gentamicin treatment. The figure shows CFU
levels of both the treated and untreated cultures. For pairwise comparisons, a one-way ANOVA
with Dunnett's post hoc test was employed, where ****, P < 0.0001. N=3. Data points represent
mean and standard error.

Figure 5 – figure supplement 4. Deletions in the ATP synthase genes did not confer a
reverse ATPase-mediated killing under the conditions studied here. The cells of *E. coli*
MG1655 wild-type and ATP synthase knockout strains were exposed to 5 μg/ml gentamicin at
the mid-exponential phase (t= 3.5 hours) for 5 hours in test tubes. After the treatments, cells were
washed to remove the antibiotics and then plated on LB agar plates to quantify CFU levels. For
pairwise comparisons, one-way ANOVA with Dunnett's post hoc test was used where ****, P <
0.0001. N=4. Data points represent mean and standard error.

Figure 6. Proteomics data on the mutant strains, exhibiting increased gentamicin tolerance,

indicates upregulation in proteins linked to energy metabolism. Cells from both wild-type and mutant strains at the mid-exponential phase (t=3.5 h) were collected after which protein extraction and digestion were carried out for mass spectrometry analysis. The STRING visual network depicts upregulated protein interactions of Δ*sucA* (A), Δ*gltA* (B) and Δ*nuoI* (C) mutants, compared to those of the wild-type strain. The protein clusters and their corresponding gene names are visually distinguished through color-coding on the networks. N= 3.

Figure 7. Proteomics data on the mutant strains, exhibiting increased gentamicin tolerance, reveals downregulation in proteins associated with ribosomes. The STRING visual network displays down-regulated protein interactions for Δ*sucA* (A), Δ*gltA* (B), and Δ*nuoI* (C) mutants. N= 3.

Figure 7 – figure supplement 1. The proteomic analysis of the gentamicin-sensitive Δ*icd* mutant strain did not show a notable increase in energy metabolism or a decrease in proteins associated with ribosomes, in contrast to the observed patterns in Δ*sucA*, Δ*gltA*, and Δ*nuoI* mutants. The STRING visual networks depict both upregulated and downregulated protein interactions specific to the Δ*icd* mutant (A, B), respectively.

Unstained cells

Exponential-phase cells (CCCP+RSG)

Exponential-phase cells (RSG only)

$$y = 0.5899 + \frac{1.3351}{1 + \exp(\frac{7.184 - pH}{0.4670})}$$