Insights into the complex formation of a trimeric autotransporter adhesin with a

peptidoglycan-binding periplasmic protein

Shogo Yoshimoto[1], Atsuo Suzuki[1], Junichi Kanie[1], Kotaro Koiwai[1], Andrei N. Lupas[2],

Katsutoshi Hori[1,*]

[1] Department of Biomolecular Engineering, Graduate School of Engineering, Nagoya
University, Nagoya 464-8603, Aichi, Japan

[2] Department of Protein Evolution, Max Planck Institute for Biology, 72076 Tübingen,
Baden-Württemberg, Germany
10

* Correspondence: Katsutoshi Hori
Department of Biomolecular Engineering, Graduate School of Engineering, Nagoya
University, Furo-cho, Chikusa-ku, Nagoya, Aichi 464-8603, Japan.
Tel: +81-52-789-3339
Email:　khori@chembio.nagoya-u.ac.jp

Author Contributions: K.H. and S.Y. designed the study and wrote the paper. S.Y., A.S.,
and K.K. prepared the recombinant proteins and conducted the structural analysis. S.Y. and
A.L. conducted the bioinformatic analysis. S.Y. and J.K. conducted the other biochemical
experiments. All the authors reviewed the manuscript.

Competing Interest Statement: The authors declare no competing interests.

Keywords: outer membrane protein, type V secretion system, bacterial adhesion,
Acinetobacter

This PDF file includes:

Main Text
Figures 1 to 6

Abstract

Trimeric autotransporter adhesin (TAA) is an outer membrane (OM) protein that is widely distributed in gram-negative bacteria and is involved primarily in adhesion to biotic and abiotic surfaces, cell agglutination, and biofilm formation. TAAs are secreted onto the OM by the type Vc secretion system (SS). Because the interactions between TAAs and chaperones or special assistant proteins during secretion are short-lived, it is thought that TAAs reside on the OM without forming complexes with other proteins after secretion. In this study, we aimed to clarify the interactions between an *Acinetobacter* TAA, AtaA, and a peptidoglycan (PG)-binding periplasmic protein, TpgA, and to identify additional roles of TpgA based on these interactions. Pull-down assays using recombinant proteins identified the interacting domains. X-ray crystallography revealed the A3B3 heterohexameric complex structure of the N-terminal domain of TpgA with the transmembrane domain of AtaA and showed that both electrostatic and hydrophobic interactions contribute to stable complex formation. Bioinformatic analysis suggested that the TAA-TpgA complex is formed in a wide range of species that harbor the *taa-tpgA* gene cassette in their genome. Furthermore, the absence of TpgA increased the release of AtaA from the cell surface, suggesting that TpgA prevents the release of TAA from the cell surface via its anchoring to the PG. We propose that the TAAs that form a complex with TpgA be assigned to type Vc_2 SS, a new subtype of type Vc SS.

Significance

Gram-negative bacteria have specialized secretion systems (SSs) that translocate molecules from the cytoplasm to the extracellular space. This is the first report directly demonstrating that the transmembrane domain of a trimeric autotransporter adhesin (TAA), which is secreted by the type Vc SS, forms a stable complex with a peptidoglycan-binding periplasmic protein. This complex prevents the release of TAA from the cell surface. These results suggest that the secretion and architecture of some TAAs are more complex than was previously understood. Our work provides structural and functional insights into bacterial SSs that are important not only in microbiology but also for medical and bioengineering applications.

Main Text

Introduction

Gram-negative bacteria are enveloped by the inner membrane, peptidoglycan (PG), and outer membrane (OM) (1). To translocate molecules from the cytoplasm to the extracellular space across these barriers, gram-negative bacteria have specialized secretion systems (SSs) known as type I to VI and VIII to XI. Molecules secreted through these SSs are responsible for various functions, including cell adhesion, immune evasion, toxin activity, stress response, motility, the transfer of genetic information, and substrate degradation (2-5). Therefore, understanding the structure and function of these SSs is important not only in microbiology but also for medical and bioengineering applications.

Type V SSs have simpler architectures than that of the other types of SSs. These proteins can be further divided into subclasses, type Va to Ve SSs, which share a structure consisting of a passenger domain secreted into the extracellular space and a transmembrane domain involved in the passage of the passenger domain through the OM. (6). In the type Va SS, which secretes monomeric autotransporters, the polypeptides synthesized in the cytoplasm pass through the inner membrane via the Sec system, and periplasmic chaperones, such as SurA, Skp, and DegP, assist this periplasmic transit (7, 8). With the assistance of the β-barrel assembly machinery (BAM) complex, the transmembrane domain inserts into the OM as a 12-stranded β-barrel, and the passenger domain is transported to the extracellular milieu through the pore of the β-barrel or through a hybrid pore composed of the BAM complex and the transmembrane domain with no need for external energy sources such as ATP or a proton gradient (9). The overall secretion process of the type Vc SS, another subtype of type V SSs that secretes trimeric autotransporter adhesins (TAAs) (10), is thought to be similar to that of the type Va SS, except that a trimeric intermediate is formed before membrane insertion (11). In addition to the general periplasmic chaperones and BAM complex, in the type Vc SS, genes that form an operon with *taa* in the genome have also been reported to participate in the biogenesis of TAAs: the *Burkholderia* heptosyltransferase BimC participates in the localization of BimA to the cell pole (12), and the *Salmonella* inner membrane lipoprotein SadB participates in the outer membrane insertion of SadA (13, 14). Because the interaction between TAAs and chaperones or special assistant proteins is short-lived, TAAs are thought to reside on the outer membrane without forming stable complexes with other proteins after secretion.

The gram-negative bacterium *Acinetobacter* sp. Tol 5 shows extremely high adhesiveness to various material surfaces, including hydrophobic plastics, hydrophilic glass, and metals, through its TAA, AtaA (15). The polypeptide chains of AtaA, consisting of 3,630 amino acids, form a very large homotrimeric fibrous structure that is more than 1 MDa in molecular weight and 260 nm in length (16). We previously reported that *tpgA*, which forms a single operon with *ataA*, is involved in the secretion of AtaA (17). TpgA is a periplasmic protein composed of the SmpA/OmlA-like N-terminal domain and the OmpA_C-like C-terminal domain containing a PG-binding motif. Easy detection of the interaction between TpgA and AtaA by a pulldown assay without crosslinking suggests that TpgA binds to AtaA for a longer period than other proteins that assist in the secretion of TAAs.

In this study, we aimed to clarify the interaction between TpgA and AtaA and to identify additional roles of TpgA. This study provides structural and functional insights into PG-binding periplasmic proteins that form stable complexes with TAAs. Because the *taa-tpgA* gene cassette is found in a wide range of Pseudomonadota species, we propose that the TAAs that form a complex with TpgA be named type Vc_2 SS.

Results

Identification of the interacting domains of AtaA and TpgA.

To identify which region of AtaA binds to TpgA, we performed a pull-down assay using recombinant AtaA fragments containing several AtaA domains, as shown in Fig. 1A. $AtaA_{723-806}$, $AtaA_{1221-1416}$, $AtaA_{2215-2395}$, and $AtaA_{2777-2903}$ were designed to connect to both the N- and the C-terminal trimeric GCN4 adaptors, GCN4pII, which have been used to stabilize coiled-coil domains of TAA recombinant proteins (18). $AtaA_{3524-3630}$ was designed to be fused to the N-terminal OmpA signal sequence for secretion and presentation on the outer membrane in *Escherichia coli* because it contains the transmembrane domain. We also used previously constructed expression plasmids for $AtaA_{59-325}$, $AtaA_{2905-3168}$, and $AtaA_{3170-3561}$ (19, 20). All of these recombinant proteins were connected to a His-tag. Considering the repetitive sequences, these recombinant proteins cover almost the entire region of AtaA. The cell lysate of Tol 5 Δ*ataA*, which contained native TpgA, was mixed with recombinant AtaA fragment-bound nickel-nitrilotriacetic acid (Ni-NTA) beads, and the pulled-down proteins were subjected to SDS–PAGE and detected by CBB staining and western blotting using an anti-TpgA antibody. All of the recombinant proteins of AtaA

fragments immobilized on the beads were detected by CBB staining, but TpgA was

detected only in the sample incubated with the beads to which AtaA$_{3524\text{-}3630}$ had been bound

(Fig. 1B). These results suggest that TpgA specifically binds to AtaA$_{3524\text{-}3630}$, the C-terminal

region containing the transmembrane domain.

Next, to determine which domain of TpgA binds to AtaA, we performed a pull-down

assay using recombinant TpgA or its domains. TpgA$_{24\text{-}264}$ have been previously designed

with its signal sequence removed. TpgA$_{24\text{-}138}$ and TpgA$_{139\text{-}264}$ consist of the N- and C-

terminal domains of TpgA, respectively. All of these recombinant proteins were connected

to a His-tag. The cell lysate of Tol 5 Δ*tpgA*, which contained native AtaA, was mixed with

recombinant TpgA (or TpgA domain)-bound Ni-NTA beads, and the pulled-down proteins

were subjected to SDS–PAGE and detected by CBB staining and western blotting using an

anti-AtaA antiserum. AtaA was pulled down with TpgA$_{24\text{-}264}$ and TpgA$_{24\text{-}138}$ but not with

TpgA$_{139\text{-}264}$ (Fig. 1C). These results suggest that the transmembrane domain of AtaA (AtaA-

TM) forms a complex with the N-terminal domain of TpgA (TpgA-N).

Crystal structure of the transmembrane domain of AtaA in complex with the N-

terminal domain of TpgA.

To determine the structure of AtaA-TM in complex with TpgA-N, we attempted to purify

the protein complex of AtaA-TM and TpgA-N. The cell lysate of *E. coli* expressing His-

tagged TpgA$_{24\text{-}138}$ was added to a Ni-NTA column, which was washed to remove the

unbound proteins. The OmpA signal sequence-fused AtaA$_{3524\text{-}3630}$ without a His-tag was

expressed in *E. coli,* and the membrane fraction solubilized by a detergent was added to the

TpgA$_{24\text{-}138}$-bound Ni-NTA column for the formation of the protein complex. After the

unbound proteins were washed out, the protein complex was eluted with imidazole solution

and further purified by size-exclusion chromatography. As shown in Fig. 2A and 2B, highly

pure AtaA-TM in complex with TpgA-N was obtained at the elution peak corresponding to

82 kDa. This molecular weight was very close to that of 3 molecules of 11.1 kDa AtaA-TM

and 3 molecules of 14.9 kDa TpgA-N (78 kDa), suggesting that AtaA-TM and TpgA-N

form a stable A3B3 heterohexamer complex.

After crystallization screens using the purified protein complex, we determined the

structure of AtaA-TM in complex with TpgA-N at 2.6 Å resolution by X-ray

crystallography (Fig. 2C, PDB ID: 8WX9). This is the first report showing a quaternary

structure of the transmembrane domain of TAA in complex with another protein. Three

molecules of TpgA-N bind to the periplasmic side of the homotrimeric transmembrane β-barrel of AtaA-TM, forming the A3B3 heterohexamer, as estimated by size exclusion chromatography. The monomer of AtaA-TM contains an N-terminal helix followed by a four-stranded β-sheet, and a 12-stranded β-barrel is formed through homotrimerization. As shown in Figure 2D, the structure of AtaA-TM is very similar to the previously determined structures of folded transmembrane domains of other TAAs, such as those of *Haemophilus influenzae* Hia and *Yersinia enterocolitica* YadA (21, 22). The structure of TpgA-N consists of two small α-helices and three antiparallel β-strands with an ααββ topology (Fig. 2E). The two α-helices pack against the β-sheet, forming an α/β 2-layer sandwich architecture. The most similar known structure is that of *E. coli* BamE (23), which shares 27% sequence identity (Fig. 2F). However, the structures differ, particularly in the N-terminal region and in the β1- and β2-strands, which are involved in the binding of BamE to BamA and BamD (24).

Interaction between the transmembrane domain of AtaA and the N-terminal domain of TpgA.

Several interactions between AtaA-TM and TpgA-N were observed in the crystal structure (Fig. 3A). The charged side chains of K64 and D65 in the α2-helix of TpgA electrostatically interact with the side chains of D3601 in the β2-β3 loop and K3576 in the β1-strand of AtaA, respectively. The α2-β1 loop of TpgA extends to the transmembrane region of the β-barrel of AtaA-TM, and the hydrophobic tip composed of L80 and F81 of TpgA interacts with hydrophobic residues on the outside of the AtaA β-barrel. To examine the extent to which these interactions contribute to complex formation, we performed a pull-down assay using point-mutated TpgA-N proteins. The cell lysate of Tol 5 Δ*tpgA*, which contained native AtaA, was mixed with mutated TpgA-bound Ni-NTA beads, and the pulled-down proteins were subjected to SDS–PAGE and detected by CBB staining. As shown in Fig. 3B, the D65A and L80A mutations did not affect complex formation between AtaA-TM and TpgA-N, but the K64A and F81A mutations reduced complex formation. The K64A/D65A and L80A/F81A double mutations markedly reduced the interaction. These results indicate that both electrostatic and hydrophobic interactions caused by these residues, especially K64 and F81 of TpgA, are important for complex formation.

Bioinformatic analysis of the AtaA-TpgA interaction.

To clarify whether the TAA-TpgA complex exists in a wide range of species, we
performed bioinformatic analysis. The amino acid sequences with similarity to that of
TpgA-N were collected using BLAST and clustered based on sequence similarity using
CLANS (25). As shown in Fig. 4A, eight clusters were observed. TpgA-like sequences
formed cluster I, which was different from clusters II and III containing a typical
SmpA/OmlA family protein, BamE. Cluster I included sequences from a wide range of
Pseudomonadota (Proteobacteria), such as *Altererythrobacter*, *Burkholderia*, *Neisseria*,
Acinetobacter, *Stenotrophomonas*, *Escherichia*, and *Campylobacter*, as shown in Table S1.
The domain composition of the sequences differed from cluster to cluster (Fig. 4A). In
cluster I, approximately 80% of the sequences have an OmpA_C domain in the C-terminus,
similar to that in TpgA, and most of the sequences in clusters II, III, IV, and V have no
extra domain. Those in clusters VI and VII have a domain of unknown function (DUF) in
the N-terminus, and those in cluster VIII have a DUF in the C-terminus. Thus, the domain
structure of the sequences in cluster I, including TpgA, which consists of an N-terminal
SmpA/OmlA domain and a C-terminal OmpA_C domain, is distinct and unique among
proteins that contain the SmpA/OmlA domain.
In addition, 91% of the sequences in cluster I were downstream of the *taa* gene in the
genome, although those in other clusters were rarely near the *taa* gene. This result is
consistent with a previous finding that the occurrence of *ata*, a TAA of *Acinetobacter*
baumannii, in the genome was tightly linked to that of *tpgA* (26). In the *taa-tpgA* gene
cassette found in cluster I, L80 and F81 of TpgA were conserved as hydrophobic and
aromatic residue pairs, respectively (Fig. 4B). Furthermore, K64 of TpgA and D3601 of
AtaA, which interact with each other, are also conserved (Fig. 4B, C). In contrast, D3601 is
not conserved in TAAs that do not form the *taa-tpgA* gene cassette, such as YadA, BadA, or
UpaG, except for Hia, suggesting that D3601 of TAAs for which *tpgA* exists downstream in
the genome, is conserved because of its interaction with TpgA. These results suggest that
the TAA-TpgA protein complex is formed in a wide range of species that have a *taa-tpgA*
gene cassette in their genome.
218
AtaA is more easily released from the cell surface in the *tpgA*-deficient strain.
Because TpgA not only binds to PG (17) but also forms a stable complex with AtaA-TM,
AtaA may be tightly anchored to the outer membrane by TpgA. We therefore investigated
whether the deletion of *tpgA* would facilitate the release of AtaA from the cell surface under

shear stress, which causes a large mechanical load on AtaA due to its adhesiveness. When

the cell suspensions were mixed by inversion using a rotary mixer in BS-N buffer and

subjected to SDS–PAGE, only a small amount of AtaA was detected in the supernatant of

the Tol 5 WT cell suspension, but a large amount of AtaA was detected in the supernatant of

the *tpgA*-deficient strain cell suspension (Fig. 5). In contrast, after mixing by inversion in

BS-N buffer supplemented with 1% casamino acids, an adhesion inhibitor of AtaA (27) that

reduces the mechanical load on AtaA, no AtaA was detected in the supernatant of either

strain. These results indicate that TpgA plays a role in anchoring AtaA tightly to the cell

surface and preventing the release of AtaA under shear stress.

Discussion

TAAs interact with various assistant proteins during secretion but are thought to consist of

simple components after secretion. In this study, we revealed that the N-terminal domain of

TpgA forms a heterohexamer with the folded AtaA-TM (Fig. 2). This is the first report

directly showing that the transmembrane domain of TAA forms a stable complex with

periplasmic proteins. During the secretion of TAAs, the partially folded trimer in the

periplasmic space is likely inserted into the outer membrane, after which the PSD passes

through the open-state pore, and the β-barrel closes to complete secretion (11). Considering

that TpgA promotes secretion after insertion into the outer membrane (17), TpgA binds to

the partially folded trimer of AtaA-TM, forming an open-state pore and promoting the

passage of PSD through the pore. After the secretion of the PSD, TpgA continues to bind to

the closed AtaA-TM pore and prevent the release of AtaA. Therefore, the secretion process

of TAAs, at least in part, may be more complex than previously expected.

While each SS of type Va to Ve secretes a variety of passenger domains into the

extracellular space, they share a common feature in that the transmembrane domain is

anchored only to the OM, except for some type Ve SSs, which have a PG-binding LysM

domain on the periplasmic side (28). TpgA also contains another type of PG-binding

domain, the OmpA_C-like domain, at the C-terminus, which actually binds to PG (17).

Considering that the N-terminal domain of TpgA binds to the C-terminal transmembrane

domain of AtaA (Figs. 1, 2), these proteins likely form the AtaA-TpgA-PG complex. In

mammalian cells, the cell adhesion protein cadherin also forms a complex with an actin

filament via catenins (29, 30). This implies that anchoring cell adhesion proteins to the

structures involved in cell shape and strength, such as the cytoskeleton or peptidoglycan,

would be universally important for stabilizing these proteins under mechanical load.

The overall structure of TpgA-N was similar to that of BamE, but the structures of the

regions of BamE that bind to BamA and BamD and the corresponding region of TpgA-N

were different (Fig. 2F). Furthermore, clustering analysis revealed that the *tpgA*-like genes

formed a distinct cluster from other SmpA/OmlA family genes, such as *bamE* (Fig. 4A).

These results indicate that the function of TpgA is completely different from that of BamE

as a subunit of the BAM complex. In fact, TpgA was found to participate in anchoring AtaA

to the OM as well as in secretion (Fig. 5). In addition, *tpgA*-like genes were found in a wide

range of Pseudomonadota (Proteobacteria), and most of them were located downstream of

the *taa* genes in the genome (Fig. 4 and Table S1). Therefore, considering the uniqueness

and generality of TpgA, we propose that TAAs that form a complex with TpgA be named

type Vc_2 SS, a new subtype of type Vc (Fig. 6).

In conclusion, we revealed the structure of a PG-binding periplasmic protein that forms a

stable complex with the transmembrane domain of a TAA and demonstrated that this

protein participates in anchoring the TAA to the cell surface. Our findings will contribute to

a better understanding of the type Vc SS and the control of TAA-mediated adhesion, which

is important for medical and engineering applications.

Materials and Methods

Bacterial strains and growth conditions.

The bacterial strains and plasmids used in this study are listed in Table S2. *Acinetobacter*

sp. Tol 5 and its derivative strains were grown in basal salt medium supplemented with

toluene or in Luria–Bertani (LB) medium at 28°C, as described previously (15). *E. coli*

DH5α, BL21(DE3), and their derivative strains were grown in LB medium supplemented

with 100 μg/ml ampicillin for cells harboring pASK-IBA plasmids or with 50 μg/ml

kanamycin for cells harboring pET plasmids at 37°C.

Construction of plasmids.

The primers used in this study are listed in Table S3. To construct plasmids expressing a

recombinant AtaA fragment fused to a GCN4pII-tag at both the N- and C-termini and a

His-tag at the C-terminus, DNA fragments encoding $AtaA_{723-806}$, $AtaA_{1221-1416}$, $AtaA_{2215-2395}$,

or $AtaA_{2777-2903}$ were amplified via PCR and inserted into the BsaI site of the *E. coli*

expression vector pIBA-GCN4tri-His (18). To construct a plasmid expressing AtaA$_{3524\text{-}3630}$

fused to the His-tag at the N-terminus at the OM, the DNA fragment encoding this gene

was amplified via PCR and inserted between the NheI and HindIII sites of the *E. coli*

expression vector pASK-IBA12 (IBA Lifesciences, Göttingen, Germany), generating

pIBA-AtaA$_{3524\text{-}3630}$-His. To remove the His-tag sequence from pIBA-AtaA$_{3524\text{-}3630}$-His, a

DNA fragment was amplified via PCR using pIBA-AtaA$_{3524\text{-}3630}$-His as a template with two

primers (TM-dHis-f/r) and then self-ligated, generating pIBA-AtaA$_{3524\text{-}3630}$. To construct

plasmids expressing TpgA$_{24\text{-}138}$ or TpgA$_{139\text{-}264}$ fused to a His-tag, a DNA fragment was

amplified via PCR using pET28d::TpgA$_{24\text{-}264}$ (19) as a template with two primer sets

(TpgA-N-f/r or TpgA-C-f/r) and then self-ligated, generating pET28d::TpgA$_{24\text{-}138}$ and

pET28d::TpgA$_{139\text{-}264}$.

Protein purification.

To purify the complex of TpgA-N and AtaA-TM, an overnight culture of BL21 (DE3;

pET28d::TpgA$_{24\text{-}264}$) was diluted 1:100 in LB medium and incubated for 3 h at 37°C. After

incubation, isopropyl β-D-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG) (final concentration: 0.1 mM) was

added to the medium, and the culture was further incubated at 18°C for 18 h. The cells were

harvested by centrifugation at 5,000×*g* at 4°C for 15 min, resuspended in lysis buffer (25

mM Tris-HCl, 150 mM NaCl, 20 mM imidazole, pH 9.0) supplemented with 0.1 mg/ml

lysozyme, and lysed using a high-pressure homogenizer (LAB 2000; SMT Co., Tokyo,

Japan) at 1,000 bar for 10 min. After centrifugation at 10,000×*g* at 4°C for 15 min, the

supernatant was loaded onto a Ni-NTA Superflow column (Qiagen, Venlo, Netherlands),

and the unbound proteins were washed off with lysis buffer. Subsequently, an overnight

culture of BL21 (DE3; pIBA-AtaA$_{3524\text{-}3630}$) was diluted 1:100 in LB medium and incubated

for 3 h at 37°C. After incubation, anhydrotetracycline (AHTC) (final concentration: 0.2

µg/ml) was added to the medium, and the mixture was further incubated at 28°C for 12 h.

The cells were harvested by centrifugation at 5,000×*g* at 4°C for 15 min, resuspended in

lysis buffer supplemented with 0.1 mg/ml lysozyme, and lysed using a high-pressure

homogenizer at 1,000 bar for 10 min. After centrifugation at 5,000×*g* at 4°C for 15 min, the

supernatant was ultracentrifuged at 100,000×*g* at 4°C for 2 h, and the precipitate was

solubilized with 5% Elugent (Merck, Darmstadt, Germany) and suspended in Elugent

buffer A (25 mM Tris-HCl, 150 mM NaCl, 20 mM imidazole, 0.5% Elugent, pH 9.0). The

suspension was loaded onto a TpgA-N-bound Ni-NTA column, and unbound proteins were

washed off with Elugent buffer A. The bound proteins were eluted with Elugent buffer A

supplemented with 300 mM imidazole and loaded onto a HiLoad 26/60 Superdex 75 pg

column (Cytiva, Marlborough, MA) equilibrated with 25 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.5) buffer

containing 10 mM NaCl and 0.5% Elugent. Peak fractions containing the protein complex

were dialyzed using a 12-14 kD MWCO dialysis membrane (Spectrum Laboratories,

Rancho Dominguez, CA) against Elugent buffer B (25 mM Tris-HCl, 10 mM NaCl, 0.5%

Elugent, pH 9.0) and loaded onto a HiTrap Q HP column (Cytiva) equilibrated with Elugent

buffer B. The column was washed three times with 0.6% tetraethylene glycol monooctyl

ether (C8E4) containing 25 mM Tris-HCl (pH 9.0) and 10 mM NaCl and two times with

0.6% C8E4 containing 25 mM Tris-HCl (pH 9.0) and 100 mM NaCl. The bound proteins

were eluted with 0.6% C8E4 containing 25 mM Tris-HCl (pH 9.0) and 500 mM NaCl,

dialyzed against crystallization buffer (25 mM Tris-HCl, 10 mM NaCl, 0.6% C8E4, pH

8.0), and concentrated by ultrafiltration using a 10 kDa cutoff filter device (Amicon Ultra;

Merck).

TpgA$_{24-264}$, TpgA$_{24-138}$ (TpgA-N), and TpgA$_{139-264}$ (TpgA-C) were purified as described

previously (17). In brief, cells expressing each protein were harvested and lysed using a

high-pressure homogenizer. After centrifugation, the protein was purified from the

supernatant by Ni-affinity chromatography, ion-exchange chromatography, and size-

exclusion chromatography.

Pull-down assay.

For the pull-down assay using recombinant AtaA fragments and cell lysate containing

TpgA, an overnight culture of BL21 (DE3) cells harboring plasmids expressing

recombinant AtaA fragments was diluted 1:100 in LB medium and incubated for 3 h at

37°C. After incubation, AHTC (final concentration: 0.2 μg/ml) was added to the medium

and further incubated at 28°C for 12 h. The cells were harvested by centrifugation at

5,000×g at 4°C for 15 min, resuspended in pull-down buffer A (25 mM Tris-HCl, 10 mM

NaCl, 0.1% Tween 20, 20 mM imidazole, pH 7.5), and lysed by sonication. After

centrifugation at 10,000×g at 4°C for 10 min, 500 μl of the supernatant (2 mg/ml) was

mixed with 10 μl of Ni-NTA Superflow. After 2 h of incubation on a rotary mixer (NRC-

20D; Nissinrika, Tokyo, Japan) at 5 rpm and 4°C, the beads were pelleted by centrifugation

at 1,000×g for 1 min at 4°C and rinsed three times with pull-down buffer A. The beads were

mixed with 500 μl of 5 mg/ml cell lysate from Tol 5 Δ*ataA* and incubated for 2 h on a

rotary mixer at 4°C. The beads were pelleted by centrifugation at $1,000{\times}g$ for 1 min at 4°C

and rinsed three times with pull-down buffer A. The bound proteins were eluted with 50 μl

of 300 mM imidazole (pH 7.5), mixed with 50 μl of 2×SDS–PAGE sample buffer, and

boiled at 98°C for 10 min. The protein samples were separated by SDS–PAGE and

subjected to CBB staining and western blotting analysis with an anti-TpgA antibody (17).

For the pull-down assay using recombinant TpgA fragments and cell lysate containing

AtaA, 50 μg of His-tagged $TpgA_{24-264}$, His-tagged $TpgA_{24-138}$, or His-tagged $TpgA_{139-264}$

suspended in 300 μl of pull-down buffer A was mixed with 10 μl of Ni-NTA Superflow.

After 1 h of incubation on a rotary mixer at 4°C, the beads were pelleted by centrifugation

at $1,000{\times}g$ for 1 min at 4°C, rinsed three times with pull-down buffer A, and resuspended in

300 μl of pull-down buffer A. To prepare the cell lysate of Tol 5 Δ*tpgA*, 100 ml of the cell

suspension at an OD_{660} of 0.6 was harvested by centrifugation at $5,000{\times}g$ for 10 min at 4°C

and resuspended in 2 ml of BugBuster (Merck), and 50 μg/ml lysozyme and 1 mM

phenylmethanesulfonyl fluoride (PMSF) were added. Unlysed cells and debris were

removed by centrifugation at $10,000{\times}g$ for 10 min at 4°C. Finally, 200 μl of the cell lysate

was mixed with 300 μl of TpgA fragment-bound Ni-NTA beads suspended in pull-down

buffer A and incubated on a rotary mixer at 4°C for 2 h. The beads were pelleted by

centrifugation at $1,000{\times}g$ for 1 min at 4°C and rinsed with pull-down buffer A for removal

of unbound proteins. The bound proteins were eluted with 50 μl of 300 mM imidazole (pH

7.5), mixed with 50 μl of 2×SDS–PAGE sample buffer, and boiled at 98°C for 10 min. The

protein samples were separated via SDS–PAGE and subjected to CBB staining and western

blotting analysis with anti- $AtaA_{699-1014}$ antiserum.

For the pull-down assay using TpgA mutants and cell lysates containing AtaA-TM, 10 μg

of His-tagged TpgA variants suspended in 1 ml of pull-down buffer B (25 mM Tris-HCl,

150 mM NaCl, 20 mM imidazole, 0.6% Elugent, pH 7.0) was mixed with 10 μl of Ni-NTA

Superflow. After a 1-h incubation on a rotary mixer at 4°C, the beads were pelleted by

centrifugation at $1,000{\times}g$ for 1 min at 4°C and rinsed three times with pull-down buffer B.

The beads were mixed with 1 ml of 1 mg/ml cell lysate containing AtaA-TM suspended in

pull-down buffer B and incubated for 1 h on a rotary mixer at 4°C. The beads were pelleted

by centrifugation at $1,000{\times}g$ for 1 min at 4°C and rinsed three times with pull-down buffer

B. The bound proteins were then eluted with 25 μl of 500 mM imidazole (pH 7.5), mixed

with 25 μl of 2×SDS–PAGE sample buffer, and boiled at 98°C for 10 min. The protein

samples were separated by SDS–PAGE and subjected to CBB staining.

Crystallization and X-ray crystallography.

The crystallization conditions for the purified protein were first screened by using the
sitting-drop vapor-diffusion method at 20°C under 240 conditions using MemPlus
(Molecular Dimensions, Sheffield, UK), MemGold (Molecular Dimensions), and The
Protein Complex Suite (Qiagen). One microliter of 4.6 mg/ml protein sample suspended in
crystallization buffer (25 mM Tris-HCl, 10 mM NaCl, 0.6% C8E4, pH 8.0) and 1 μl of
reservoir solution were mixed and periodically observed for 2 weeks under a polarized
microscope (BH-2; Olympus). The final optimized crystallization conditions were as
follows: 0.2 M MES, 0.4 M calcium chloride, and 35% polyethylene glycol 400 at pH 5.0.
The crystal was loop-mounted and quenched in liquid nitrogen. The diffraction of the
crystals was measured under a cryo-condition at the synchrotron beamline NW-12A of the
Photon Factory (Tsukuba, Japan) by using a CCD detector (Quantum 270; ADSC, Poway,
CA). Diffraction images were processed and scaled by using HKL2000. The CCP4
program suite was used for model building and refinement. The phases of all the structures
were determined by molecular replacement using MOLREP. The structure was solved using
the Hia transmembrane domain (PDB: 2GR7) as the search model. After the molecular
replacement, the structures were automatically built by ARP/wARP, manually modeled
with Coot, and refined by REFMAC5 and PHENIX. The crystallographic parameters are
summarized in Table S4. The final coordinates and structure factors that support the
findings of this study have been deposited in the PDB with the accession code 8WX9.

Bioinformatics.

To obtain sequences with similarity to the N-terminal domain of TpgA, the NR70 database
was searched for the amino acid sequence of TpgA$_{24-138}$ using PSI-BLAST on the MPI
Bioinformatics Toolkit (31). We retrieved 1461 sequences (E-value <0.001), and the
retrieved sequences were clustered using CLANS (25) at a P-value cutoff of 1e-10, an
attract value of 10 and a repulse value of 10. The multiple sequence alignment was
generated using ClustalO. The DNA sequence of *taa*, which is encoded upstream of the
tpgA-like gene, was collected from the NCBI nucleotide database. We searched for protein
structures similar to that of TpgA-N via the Dali server (32).

Protein release assay.

One milliliter of bacterial cell suspension in BS-N buffer (34.5 mM Na_2HPO_4, 14.7 mM KH_2PO_4, 15.5 mM K_2SO_4, pH 7.2) or BS-N buffer supplemented with 1% casein hydrolysate (casamino acids technical grade; Becton, Dickinson and Company, NJ, USA) at an OD_{660} of 4.0 was transferred to a ProteoSave SS 1.5-mL centrifuge tube (Sumitomo Bakelite, Tokyo, Japan). The cell suspension was then mixed by inversion using a rotary mixer (RT-50; Taitec, Saitama, Japan) at 4°C for 36 h at 30 rpm. After incubation, the suspension was centrifuged at 5,000×g and 4°C for 10 min to remove cells. The supernatant was carefully transferred to a new tube and centrifuged at 15,000×g at 4°C for 15 min to remove debris. Then, 900 μl of the clarified supernatant was transferred to a new 1.5 ml tube. Proteins in the supernatant were concentrated by trichloroacetic acid (TCA) precipitation and subsequently analyzed via SDS–PAGE.

Data Availability

Crystallographic coordinates and structure factors have been deposited in the PDB under accession code 8WX9.

Acknowledgments

We thank Jens Bassler, Ryuta Hiroshige and Ayumi Hayashi for their technical assistance. We also thank Dirk Linke of the University of Oslo and Masahito Ishikawa of Nagahama Institute of Bio-Science and Technology for helpful discussion. This work was supported by the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (JSPS) KAKENHI (Grant Number JP21H05227).

References

1. J. W. Sun, S. T. Rutherford, T. J. Silhavy, K. C. Huang, Physical properties of the bacterial outer membrane. *Nat Rev Microbiol* **20**, 236-248 (2022).

2. T. R. D. Costa *et al.*, Secretion systems in Gram-negative bacteria: structural and mechanistic insights. *Nat Rev Microbiol* **13**, 343-359 (2015).

3. T. Palmer, A. J. Finney, C. K. Saha, G. C. Atkinson, F. Sargent, A holin/peptidoglycan hydrolase-dependent protein secretion system. *Mol Microbiol* **115**, 345-355 (2021).

4. A. S. Grossman, T. J. Mauer, K. T. Forest, H. Goodrich-Blair, A widespread bacterial secretion system with diverse substrates. *Mbio* **12**, e0195621 (2021).

5.   A. M. Lasica, M. Ksiazek, M. Madej, J. Potempa, The type IX secretion system
(T9SS): Highlights and recent insights into its structure and function. *Front Cell*
Infect Microbiol **7**, 215 (2017).

6.   J. C. Leo, I. Grin, D. Linke, Type V secretion: mechanism(s) of autotransport
through the bacterial outer membrane. *Philos T R Soc B* **367**, 1088-1101 (2012).

7.   G. E. Purdy, C. R. Fisher, S. M. Payne, IcsA surface presentation in *Shigella flexneri*
requires the periplasmic chaperones DegP, Skp, and SurA. *J Bacteriol* **189**, 5566-
5573 (2007).

8.   F. Ruiz-Perez *et al.*, Roles of periplasmic chaperone proteins in the biogenesis of
serine protease autotransporters of *Enterobacteriaceae*. *J Bacteriol* **191**, 6571-6583
(2009).

9.   H. D. Bernstein, Type V secretion in Gram-negative bacteria. *EcoSal Plus* **8**,
doi:10.1128/ecosalplus.ESP-0031-2018 (2019).

10.   D. Linke, T. Riess, I. B. Autenrieth, A. Lupas, V. A. J. Kempf, Trimeric
autotransporter adhesins: variable structure, common function. *Trends Microbiol* **14**,
264-270 (2006).

11.   R. Sikdar, J. H. Peterson, D. E. Anderson, H. D. Bernstein, Folding of a bacterial
integral outer membrane protein is initiated in the periplasm. *Nat Commun* **8**, 1309
(2017).

12.   Q. Lu, Y. Xu, Q. Yao, M. Niu, F. Shao, A polar-localized iron-binding protein
determines the polar targeting of *Burkholderia* BimA autotransporter and actin tail
formation. *Cell Microbiol* **17**, 408-424 (2015).

13.   I. Grin *et al.*, A trimeric lipoprotein assists in trimeric autotransporter biogenesis in
Enterobacteria. *J Biol Chem* **289**, 7388-7398 (2014).

14.   M. D. Hartmann *et al.*, Complete fiber structures of complex trimeric
autotransporter adhesins conserved in enterobacteria. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **109**,
20907-20912 (2012).

15.   M. Ishikawa, H. Nakatani, K. Hori, AtaA, a new member of the trimeric
autotransporter adhesins from *Acinetobacter* sp. Tol 5 mediating high adhesiveness
to various abiotic surfaces. *PLoS One* **7**, e48830 (2012).

16.   S. Yoshimoto, H. Nakatani, K. Iwasaki, K. Hori, An *Acinetobacter* trimeric
autotransporter adhesin reaped from cells exhibits its nonspecific stickiness via a
highly stable 3D structure. *Sci Rep* **6**, 28020 (2016).

17. M. Ishikawa, S. Yoshimoto, A. Hayashi, J. Kanie, K. Hori, Discovery of a novel
periplasmic protein that forms a complex with a trimeric autotransporter adhesin
and peptidoglycan. *Mol Microbiol* **101**, 394-410 (2016).

18. B. H. Alvarez *et al.*, A new expression system for protein crystallization using
trimeric coiled-coil adaptors. *Protein Eng Des Sel* **21**, 11-18 (2008).

19. K. Koiwai, M. D. Hartmann, D. Linke, A. N. Lupas, K. Hori, Structural basis for
toughness and flexibility in the C-terminal passenger domain of an *Acinetobacter*
trimeric autotransporter adhesin. *J Biol Chem* **291**, 3705-3724 (2016).

20. S. Aoki *et al.*, Native display of a huge homotrimeric protein fiber on the cell
surface after precise domain deletion. *J Biosci Bioeng* **129**, 412-417 (2020).

21. G. Meng, N. K. Surana, J. W. St Geme, 3rd, G. Waksman, Structure of the outer
membrane translocator domain of the *Haemophilus influenzae* Hia trimeric
autotransporter. *EMBO J* **25**, 2297-2304 (2006).

22. S. A. Shahid *et al.*, Solid-state NMR study of the YadA membrane-anchor domain in
the bacterial outer membrane. *Angew Chem Int Ed* **54**, 12602-12606 (2015).

23. Y. Gu *et al.*, Structural basis of outer membrane protein insertion by the BAM
complex. *Nature* **531**, 64-69 (2016).

24. S. Kumar, A. Konovalova, BamE directly interacts with BamA and BamD
coordinating their functions. *Mol Microbiol* **120**, 397-407 (2023).

25. T. Frickey, A. Lupas, CLANS: a Java application for visualizing protein families
based on pairwise similarity. *Bioinformatics* **20**, 3702-3704 (2004).

26. M. Weidensdorfer *et al.*, The *Acinetobacter* trimeric autotransporter adhesin Ata
controls key virulence traits of *Acinetobacter baumannii*. *Virulence* **10**, 68-81
(2019).

27. Y. Ohara, S. Yoshimoto, K. Hori, Control of AtaA-mediated bacterial
immobilization by casein hydrolysates. *J Biosci Bioeng* **128**, 544-550 (2019).

28. J. C. Leo *et al.*, The Intimin periplasmic domain mediates dimerisation and binding
to peptidoglycan. *Mol Microbiol* **95**, 80-100 (2015).

29. S. Pokutta, W. I. Weis, Structure and mechanism of cadherins and catenins in cell-
cell contacts. *Annu Rev Cell Dev Biol* **23**, 237-261 (2007).

30. J. M. Gooding, K. L. Yap, M. Ikura, The cadherin-catenin complex as a focal point
of cell adhesion and signalling: new insights from three-dimensional structures.
BioEssays **26**, 497-511 (2004).

31.   L. Zimmermann *et al.*, A completely reimplemented MPI Bioinformatics Toolkit
with a new HHpred server at its core. *J Mol Biol* **430**, 2237-2243 (2018).
32.   L. Holm, DALI and the persistence of protein shape. *Protein Sci* **29**, 128-140
(2020).
525
526

Figure legends

 Figure 1. Identification of the interacting domains of AtaA and TpgA. (A) Schematic

structure of AtaA. The black lines show the region where the recombinant protein was

constructed, and the dotted lines show the region with high sequence similarity (>50%) to

the recombinant proteins. (B) Pull-down assay using recombinant AtaA fragments and

TpgA-containing cell lysate. The cell lysate of Tol 5 Δ*ataA* was mixed with recombinant

AtaA fragment-bound Ni-NTA beads or untreated beads (-). The pulled-down proteins were

subjected to SDS–PAGE and analyzed by western blotting to detect TpgA and CBB

staining to detect AtaA fragments. The solid triangles indicate recombinant AtaA

fragments. The upper numbers indicate the amino acid numbers of each recombinant AtaA

fragment immobilized onto Ni-NTA beads. (C) Pull-down assay using recombinant TpgA

fragments and AtaA-containing cell lysate. The cell lysate of Tol 5 Δ*tpgA* was mixed with

recombinant TpgA fragment-bound Ni-NTA beads or untreated beads (-). The pulled-down

proteins were subjected to SDS–PAGE and analyzed by western blotting to detect AtaA and

CBB staining to detect TpgA fragments. The open triangles indicate recombinant TpgA

fragments.

Figure 2. Crystal structure of the transmembrane domain of AtaA in complex with the

N-terminal domain of TpgA. (A) Size-exclusion chromatography of the complex of AtaA-

TM and TpgA-N. (B) The purified complex of AtaA-TM and TpgA-N was subjected to

SDS–PAGE followed by CBB staining. The solid and open triangles indicate the AtaA-TM

trimer and TpgA-N, respectively. (C) Overall structure of the AtaA-TM and TpgA-N

complex. The AtaA-TM monomers are shown in cyan, pink and yellow, and the TpgA-N

monomers are shown in orange, green and blue, respectively. (D) Comparison of AtaA-TM

(yellow) with the transmembrane domain of *H. influenzae* Hia (2GR7; cyan). (E) A

topology diagram of TpgA-N. α-helices are shown as cylinders, and β-strands are shown as

arrows. (F) Comparison of TpgA-N (orange) with *E. coli* BamE (5D0Q; green).

Figure 3. Interaction between the transmembrane domain of AtaA and the N-terminal domain of TpgA. (A) Enlarged views showing hydrophobic and electrostatic interactions between AtaA-TM (cyan) and TpgA-N (orange). The interacting residues are shown in stick representation. (B) Pull-down assay of AtaA-TM and mutated TpgA-N. Cell lysate containing AtaA-TM was mixed with His-tagged TpgA-N-bound Ni-NTA beads or untreated beads as a control (-). The pulled-down proteins were subjected to SDS–PAGE and detected by CBB staining. The solid and open triangles indicate the AtaA-TM and point-mutated TpgA-N proteins, respectively.

Figure 4. Bioinformatic analysis of the N-terminal domain of TpgA and the transmembrane domain of AtaA. (A) Cluster map of SmpA/OmlA family proteins. The map represents individual proteins and their sequence similarities as dots and gray lines, respectively. Note that the TpgA of Tol 5 is located in cluster I. (B) Amino acid sequence of the TpgA-N and TpgA-like proteins found in cluster I. Red boxes indicate residues that interact with AtaA. (C) Amino acid sequence of the C-terminal domain of AtaA; other TAAs found upstream of *tpgA*-like genes in the genome; and four representative TAAs (Hia, YadA, BadA, and UpaG) not related to TpgA. The red boxes indicate residues that interact with TpgA.

581
582 **Figure 5. Proteins released into the supernatant.** Whole-cell proteins and proteins
583 released into the supernatant after 36 h of incubation with Tol 5 or Tol 5 derivative cells
584 mixed by inversion were separated by SDS–PAGE followed by CBB staining. The black
585 arrowhead indicates bands corresponding to AtaA. CA: casamino acids, an adhesion
586 inhibitor of AtaA.
587
588

591 **Figure 6. Schematic representation of the type Vc$_2$ SS.** The passenger and
592 transmembrane domains of TAAs are shown in red and blue, respectively. The N-terminal
593 and C-terminal domains of TpgA are shown in yellow and green, respectively.
594