Insights into the complex formation of a trimeric autotransporter adhesin with a

peptidoglycan-binding periplasmic protein

Running title: Complex formation of a TAA with a periplasmic protein

Shogo Yoshimoto[1], Jun Sasahara[1], Atsuo Suzuki[1], Junichi Kanie[1], Kotaro Koiwai[1], Andrei

N. Lupas[2], Katsutoshi Hori[1,*]

[1] Department of Biomolecular Engineering, Graduate School of Engineering, Nagoya
University, Nagoya 464-8603, Aichi, Japan

[2] Department of Protein Evolution, Max Planck Institute for Biology, 72076 Tübingen,
Baden-Württemberg, Germany

* Correspondence: Katsutoshi Hori
Department of Biomolecular Engineering, Graduate School of Engineering, Nagoya
University, Furo-cho, Chikusa-ku, Nagoya, Aichi 464-8603, Japan.
Tel: +81-52-789-3339
Email: khori@chembio.nagoya-u.ac.jp

Abstract word count: 229 words

Text word count: 4847 words (excluding the references, table footnotes, and figure
legends)

Abstract
Trimeric autotransporter adhesins (TAAs) are outer membrane (OM) proteins that are
widely distributed in gram-negative bacteria and are involved primarily in adhesion to
biotic and abiotic surfaces, cell agglutination, and biofilm formation. TAAs consist of a
passenger domain, which is secreted onto the cell surface, and a transmembrane domain,
which forms a pore in the OM to secrete and anchor the passenger domain. Because the
interactions between TAAs and chaperones or dedicated auxiliary proteins during secretion
are short-lived, TAAs are thought to reside on the OM without forming complexes with
other proteins after secretion. In this study, we aimed to clarify the interactions between an
Acinetobacter TAA, AtaA, and a peptidoglycan (PG)-binding periplasmic protein, TpgA.
Pull-down assays using recombinant proteins identified the interacting domains. X-ray
crystallography at 2.6 Å resolution revealed an A3B3 heterohexameric complex structure
composed of the N-terminal domain of TpgA and the transmembrane domain of AtaA.
TpgA-N consists of two short α helices and three antiparallel β strands, yielding an ααβββ
topology similar to BamE. However, the regions corresponding to BamE interfaces with
BamA and BamD differ in TpgA-N. All-atom molecular dynamics simulations and
mutational assays revealed that both electrostatic and hydrophobic interactions contribute to
stable complex formation. Bioinformatic analyses indicate that the TAA-TpgA complex
occurs in a wide range of species. These findings will contribute to a better understanding
of TAAs and the cell envelope.
42

Importance
Gram-negative bacteria have specialized secretion systems (SSs) that translocate molecules
from the cytoplasm to the extracellular space. Type V SSs have a simpler structure
consisting of a functional passenger domain and a transmembrane domain involved in the
secretion and anchoring of the passenger domain. Here, we provide the first direct evidence
that a trimeric autotransporter adhesin (TAA) exported by a type Vc system forms a stable
complex with a peptidoglycan-binding periplasmic protein. The 2.6 Å structure of the A3B3
heterohexamer, together with simulation and mutational data, reveals complementary
electrostatic and hydrophobic contacts that stabilize flexible loops on the periplasmic face
of the TAA transmembrane barrel. Conservation of the *taa-tpgA* gene cassette and of key
interface residues across diverse genera suggests that this coupling is a common strategy
for tuning TAA stability and indicates that the envelope architecture of many TAAs,

55 including those related to pathogenicity, is more elaborate than previously appreciated.

Main Text

Introduction

Cells of gram-negative bacteria are enveloped by the inner membrane, peptidoglycan (PG),

and outer membrane (OM) (1). To translocate molecules from the cytoplasm to the

extracellular space across these barriers, gram-negative bacteria have specialized secretion

systems (SSs) known as type I to VI and VIII to XI (2-5). Molecules secreted through these

SSs are responsible for various functions, including cell adhesion, immune evasion, toxin

activity, stress response, motility, the transfer of genetic information, and substrate

degradation. Therefore, understanding the structure and function of these SSs is important

not only in microbiology but also for medical and bioengineering applications.

Type V SSs, known as autotransporters (6), have simpler architectures than that of the

other types of SSs. These proteins can be further divided into subclasses, type Va to Ve SSs,

which share a structure consisting of a passenger domain secreted into the extracellular

space and a transmembrane domain involved in the secretion of the passenger domain

through the OM and the anchoring of the passenger domain to the cell surface (Fig. S1)(7).

In the type Vb SS only, the passenger and transmembrane domains are produced as separate

molecules, and the other type V SSs are translated as a single molecule that contains both

domains in the same polypeptide chain. In the type Va SS, which secretes monomeric

autotransporters, the polypeptides synthesized in the cytoplasm pass through the inner

membrane via the Sec system, and periplasmic chaperones, such as SurA, Skp, DegP,

DnaK, and FkpA, assist this periplasmic transit (8-11). With the assistance of the β-barrel

assembly machinery (BAM) complex, the transmembrane domain inserts into the OM as a

12-stranded β-barrel, and the passenger domain of the same molecule is transported to the

extracellular milieu through a hybrid pore composed of the BAM complex and the

transmembrane domain with no need for external energy sources (7, 12-14). The overall

secretion process of the type Vc SS, another subtype of type V SSs that secretes trimeric

autotransporter adhesins (TAAs) (15), is thought to be similar to that of the type Va SS,

except that a trimeric intermediate is formed before membrane insertion (16). The

passenger domain of TAAs usually remains on the cell surface after secretion due to

anchoring by the transmembrane domain. In addition to the general periplasmic chaperones

and BAM complex, in the type Vc SS, genes that form an operon with *taa* in the genome

have also been reported to participate in the biogenesis of TAAs: the *Burkholderia*

heptosyltransferase BimC participates in the localization of BimA to the cell pole (17), and

the *Salmonella* inner membrane lipoprotein SadB participates in the outer membrane

insertion of SadA (18, 19). The interaction between TAAs and chaperones or dedicated

auxiliary proteins is transient (18), and TAAs are thought to reside on the outer membrane

through its transmembrane domain without forming complexes with other proteins after

secretion.

The gram-negative bacterium *Acinetobacter* sp. Tol 5 shows extremely high

adhesiveness to various material surfaces, including hydrophobic plastics, hydrophilic

glass, and metals, through its TAA, AtaA (20). The polypeptide chains of AtaA, consisting

of 3,630 amino acids, form a very large homotrimeric fibrous structure that is more than 1

MDa in molecular weight and 260 nm in length (21). We previously reported that the *tpgA*

gene, which forms a single operon with *ataA*, is involved in the biogenesis of AtaA (22).

TpgA is characterized as a periplasmic protein composed of the SmpA/OmlA-like N-

terminal domain and the OmpA_C-like C-terminal domain containing a PG-binding motif.

The interaction between TpgA and AtaA was shown by a pulldown assay without

crosslinking (22), suggesting that TpgA forms a stable complex with AtaA rather than a

transient interaction.

In this study, we aimed to clarify the interaction between TpgA and AtaA. This study

provides structural insights into PG-binding periplasmic proteins that form stable

complexes with TAAs.

Results

Identification of the interacting domains of AtaA and TpgA.

To identify which region of AtaA binds to TpgA, we performed a pull-down assay using

recombinant AtaA fragments containing several AtaA domains, as shown in Fig. 1A.

$AtaA_{723-806}$, $AtaA_{1221-1416}$, $AtaA_{2215-2395}$, and $AtaA_{2777-2903}$ were designed to connect to both

the N- and the C-terminal trimeric GCN4 adaptors, GCN4pII, which have been used to

stabilize coiled-coil domains of TAA recombinant proteins (23). $AtaA_{3524-3630}$ was designed

to be fused to the N-terminal OmpA signal sequence for secretion and presentation on the

outer membrane in *Escherichia coli* because it contains the transmembrane domain. We

also used previously constructed expression plasmids for $AtaA_{59-325}$, $AtaA_{2905-3168}$, and

$AtaA_{3170-3561}$ (24, 25). All of these recombinant proteins were connected to a C-terminal

His-tag except for $AtaA_{3524-3630}$ which was connected to the N-terminal signal sequence

followed by His-tag. Considering the repetitive sequences, these recombinant proteins

cover almost the entire region of AtaA. The cell lysate of Tol 5 $\Delta ataA$, which contained

native TpgA, was mixed with recombinant AtaA fragment-bound nickel-nitrilotriacetic acid

(Ni-NTA) beads, and the pulled-down proteins were subjected to SDS–PAGE and detected

by CBB staining and western blotting using an anti-TpgA antibody. All of the recombinant

proteins of AtaA fragments immobilized on the beads were detected by CBB staining, but

TpgA was detected only in the sample incubated with the beads to which $AtaA_{3524-3630}$ had

been bound (Fig. 1B). These results suggest that TpgA specifically binds to $AtaA_{3524-3630}$,

the C-terminal region containing the transmembrane domain.

Next, to determine which domain of TpgA binds to AtaA, we performed a pull-down

assay using recombinant TpgA or its domains. $TpgA_{24-264}$ have been previously designed

with its signal sequence removed. $TpgA_{24-138}$ and $TpgA_{139-264}$ consist of the N- and C-

terminal domains of TpgA, respectively. All of these recombinant proteins were connected

to a His-tag. The cell lysate of Tol 5 $\Delta tpgA$, which contained native AtaA, was mixed with

recombinant TpgA (or TpgA domain)-bound Ni-NTA beads, and the pulled-down proteins

were subjected to SDS–PAGE and detected by CBB staining and western blotting using an

anti-AtaA antiserum. AtaA was pulled down with $TpgA_{24-264}$ and $TpgA_{24-138}$ but not with

$TpgA_{139-264}$ (Fig. 1C). These results suggest that the transmembrane domain of AtaA (AtaA-

TM) forms a complex with the N-terminal domain of TpgA (TpgA-N).

Crystal structure of the transmembrane domain of AtaA in complex with the N-

terminal domain of TpgA.

To determine the structure of AtaA-TM in complex with TpgA-N, we attempted to purify

the protein complex of AtaA-TM and TpgA-N. The cell lysate of *E. coli* expressing His-

tagged $TpgA_{24-138}$ was added to a Ni-NTA column, which was washed to remove the

unbound proteins. The OmpA signal sequence-fused $AtaA_{3524-3630}$ without a His-tag was

expressed in *E. coli,* and the membrane fraction solubilized by a detergent was added to the

$TpgA_{24-138}$-bound Ni-NTA column for the formation of the protein complex. After the

unbound proteins were washed out, the protein complex was eluted with imidazole solution

and further purified by size-exclusion chromatography. As shown in Fig. 2A and 2B, highly

pure AtaA-TM in complex with TpgA-N was obtained at the elution peak corresponding to

82 kDa. This molecular weight was very close to that of three molecules of 11.1 kDa AtaA-

TM and three molecules of 14.9 kDa TpgA-N (78 kDa), suggesting that AtaA-TM and

TpgA-N form a stable A3B3 heterohexamer complex.

After crystallization screens using the purified protein complex, we determined the
structure of AtaA-TM in complex with TpgA-N at 2.6 Å resolution by X-ray
crystallography (Fig. 2C, PDB ID: 9VNJ). This is the first report showing a quaternary
structure of the transmembrane domain of TAA in complex with another protein. Three
molecules of TpgA-N bind to the periplasmic side of the homotrimeric transmembrane β-
barrel of AtaA-TM, forming the A3B3 heterohexamer, as estimated by size exclusion
chromatography. The monomer of AtaA-TM contains an N-terminal helix followed by a
four-stranded β-sheet, and a 12-stranded β-barrel is formed through homotrimerization. As
shown in Fig. 2D, the structure of AtaA-TM is very similar to the previously determined
structures of transmembrane domains of other TAAs, such as those of *Haemophilus*
influenzae Hia and *Yersinia enterocolitica* YadA (26, 27). The structure of TpgA-N consists
of two small α-helices and three antiparallel β-strands with an ααββ topology (Fig. 2E).
The two α-helices pack against the β-sheet, forming an α/β 2-layer sandwich architecture.
The most similar known protein is that of *E. coli* BamE (28). While they share 27% amino
acid sequence identity, the structures differ with a root-mean-square deviation (RMSD) of
3.7 Å, particularly in the N-terminal region and in the β1- and β2-strands, which are
involved in the binding of BamE to BamA and BamD (Figs. 2F and S2) (29).
172
Interaction between the transmembrane domain of AtaA and the N-terminal domain
of TpgA.
To investigate how AtaA and its TpgA complex behave in the outer membrane, we
conducted all-atom molecular dynamics (MD) simulations. The crystal structures of AtaA-
TM and the complex of AtaA-TM and TpgA-N were embedded in an asymmetric lipid
membrane: the upper leaflet consisted of lipopolysaccharides (LPS) derived from
Acinetobacter baumannii and the lower leaflet composed of a mixture of 1-palmitoyl-2-
oleoyl-*sn*-glycero-3-phosphoethanolamine (POPE) and 1-palmitoyl-2-oleoyl-*sn*-glycero-3-
phosphatidylglycerol (POPG) in a 4:1 ratio, which mimicked the reported *Acinetobacter*
lipid composition (30) (Fig. 3A). The structure of AtaA-TM reached equilibrium during the
500 ns simulation, and no significant difference was observed in its RMSD in the presence
or absence of TpgA (Fig. S3). Calculation of per-residue RMSF of AtaA-TM showed that
residues 3573 to 3576, which form the periplasm-exposed α-helix to β1 loop, and residues
3601 to 3604, which form the β2 to β3 loop, exhibited decreased RMSF upon TpgA
binding (Fig. 3B). In YadA from *Y. enterocolitica*, the β2 to β3 loop has also been reported

to be flexible (31). These data indicate that complex formation with TpgA stabilizes these
loops of AtaA-TM. The loops are positioned adjacent to the hydrophobic tip of TpgA
containing L80 and F81 and are in proximity to two electrostatic pairs, K64–D3601 and
D65–K3576, which likely provide the stabilizing contacts (Fig. 3C). To examine the extent
to which these interactions contribute to complex formation, we performed a pull-down
assay using point-mutated TpgA-N proteins. The cell lysate of Tol 5 Δ*tpgA*, which
contained native AtaA, was mixed with mutated TpgA-bound Ni-NTA beads, and the
pulled-down proteins were subjected to SDS–PAGE and detected by CBB staining. As
shown in Fig. 3D, the D65A and L80A mutations did not affect complex formation between
AtaA-TM and TpgA-N, but the K64A and F81A mutations reduced complex formation.
The K64A/D65A and L80A/F81A double mutations reduced the interaction. These results
indicate that both electrostatic and hydrophobic interactions caused by these residues are
important for complex formation.
201
Bioinformatic analysis of the AtaA-TpgA interaction.
To clarify whether the TAA-TpgA complex exists in a wide range of species, we
performed bioinformatic analysis. The amino acid sequences with similarity to that of
TpgA-N were collected using BLAST and clustered based on sequence similarity using
CLANS (32). As shown in Fig. 4A, eight clusters were observed. TpgA-like sequences
formed cluster I, which was different from clusters II and III containing a typical
SmpA/OmlA family protein, BamE. Cluster I included sequences from a wide range of
Pseudomonadota (Proteobacteria), such as *Altererythrobacter*, *Burkholderia*, *Neisseria*,
Acinetobacter, *Stenotrophomonas*, *Escherichia*, and *Campylobacter*, as shown in Table S1.
The domain composition of the sequences differed from cluster to cluster (Fig. 4A). In
cluster I, approximately 80% of the sequences have an OmpA_C domain in the C-terminus,
similar to that in TpgA, and most of the sequences in clusters II, III, IV, and V have no
extra domain. Those in clusters VI and VII have a domain of unknown function (DUF) in
the N-terminus, and those in cluster VIII have a DUF in the C-terminus. Thus, the domain
structure of the sequences in cluster I, including TpgA, which consists of an N-terminal
SmpA/OmlA domain and a C-terminal OmpA_C domain, is distinct and unique among
proteins that contain the SmpA/OmlA domain.
In addition, 91% of the sequences in cluster I were downstream of the *taa* gene in the
genome, although those in other clusters were rarely near the *taa* gene. This result is

consistent with a previous finding that the occurrence of *ata*, a TAA of *Acinetobacter*
baumannii, in the genome was tightly linked to that of *tpgA* (33). In the *taa-tpgA* gene
cassette found in cluster I, L80 and F81 of TpgA were conserved as hydrophobic and
aromatic residue pairs, respectively (Fig. 4B). Furthermore, K64 of TpgA and D3601 of
AtaA, which interact with each other, are also conserved (Fig. 4B, C). In contrast, D3601 is
not conserved in TAAs that do not form the *taa-tpgA* gene cassette, such as YadA (27),
BadA (34), or UpaG (35), except for Hia (26), suggesting that D3601 of TAAs for which
tpgA exists downstream in the genome, is conserved because of its interaction with TpgA.
These new findings suggest that the TAA-TpgA protein complex is formed in a wide range
of species.
231
232

Discussion
TAAs interact with various auxiliary proteins during secretion but are thought to consist of
simple components after secretion. In this study, we revealed that TpgA forms a
heterohexamer with AtaA (Fig. 2). This is the first report directly showing that the
transmembrane domain of TAA forms a stable complex with periplasmic proteins.
While each SS of type Va to Ve secretes a variety of passenger domains into the
extracellular space or onto the cell surface, they share a common feature in that the
transmembrane domain is anchored only to the OM, except for some type Ve SSs, which
have a PG-binding LysM domain on the periplasmic side of their own polypeptide chain
(Fig. S1) (36). AtaA does not have a PG-binding domain, but TpgA has a PG-binding
domain containing the OmpA_C-like sequence at the C-terminus, and it was shown that
TpgA actually isolated with PG (22). Considering that the N-terminal domain of TpgA
binds to the C-terminal transmembrane domain of AtaA (Figs. 1, 2), these proteins likely
form the AtaA-TpgA-PG complex (Fig. 5). In mammalian cells, the cell adhesion protein
cadherin also forms a complex with actin filaments via catenins (37, 38). This implies that
anchoring cell adhesion proteins to the structures involved in cell shape and strength, such
as the cytoskeleton or peptidoglycan, would be universally important for mechanical
stabilization.
The overall structure of TpgA-N was similar to that of BamE, but the structures of the
regions of BamE that bind to BamA and BamD (29) and the corresponding region of TpgA-
N were different (Figs. 2F and S2). Furthermore, clustering analysis revealed that the *tpgA-*

like genes formed a distinct cluster from other SmpA/OmlA family genes, such as *bamE*
(Fig. 4A), and the TpgA residues interacting with AtaA were not conserved in BamE (Fig.
S2). These results indicate that TpgA does not function as a subunit of the BAM complex.
When TpgA was used as bait to isolate the protein complex of AtaA-TM and TpgA-N, the
other β-barrel proteins were not co-isolated from *E. coli* lysate with TpgA-N (Fig. 2B). This
indicates that TpgA does not bind nonspecifically to β-barrel proteins but rather specifically
to AtaA, via the hydrophobic and electrostatic interactions (Fig. 3).
The *tpgA*-like genes were found widely in the strains belonging to Pseudomonadota
(Proteobacteria), and most of them were located downstream of the *taa* genes in the
genome (Fig. 4 and Table S1). These findings suggest that the TAA-TpgA protein complex
is formed in a wide range of species. Notably, the clinically relevant pathogen *A. baumannii*
also possesses a TAA-TpgA cassette as previously reported (33, 39), suggesting that TpgA
may promote TAA-dependent virulence in pathogenic bacteria; dedicated experimental
studies are still needed to confirm this possibility.
In conclusion, we revealed the structure of a PG-binding periplasmic protein that forms a
stable complex with the transmembrane domain of a TAA. Our findings will contribute to a
better understanding of the type Vc SS and the control of TAA-mediated adhesion, which is
important for medical and engineering applications.
272
273

Materials and Methods
Bacterial strains and growth conditions.
The bacterial strains and plasmids used in this study are listed in Table S2. *Acinetobacter*
sp. Tol 5 and its derivative strains were grown in basal salt medium supplemented with
toluene or in Luria–Bertani (LB) medium at 28°C, as described previously (20). *E. coli*
DH5α, BL21(DE3), and their derivative strains were grown in LB medium supplemented
with 100 μg/ml ampicillin for cells harboring pASK-IBA plasmids or with 50 μg/ml
kanamycin for cells harboring pET plasmids at 37°C.
282

Construction of plasmids.
The primers used in this study are listed in Table S3. To construct plasmids expressing a
recombinant AtaA fragment fused to a GCN4pII-tag at both the N- and C-termini and a
His-tag at the C-terminus, DNA fragments encoding $AtaA_{723-806}$, $AtaA_{1221-1416}$, $AtaA_{2215-2395}$,

or AtaA$_{2777\text{-}2903}$ were amplified via PCR and inserted into the BsaI site of the *E. coli*

expression vector pIBA-GCN4tri-His (23). To construct a plasmid expressing AtaA$_{3524\text{-}3630}$

fused to the His-tag at the N-terminus at the OM, the DNA fragment encoding this gene

was amplified via PCR and inserted between the NheI and HindIII sites of the *E. coli*

expression vector pASK-IBA12 (IBA Lifesciences, Göttingen, Germany), generating

pIBA-His-AtaA$_{3524\text{-}3630}$. To remove the His-tag sequence from pIBA-His-AtaA$_{3524\text{-}3630}$, a

DNA fragment was amplified via PCR using pIBA-His-AtaA$_{3524\text{-}3630}$ as a template with two

primers (TM-dHis-f/r) and then self-ligated, generating pIBA-AtaA$_{3524\text{-}3630}$. To construct

plasmids expressing TpgA$_{24\text{-}138}$, TpgA$_{139\text{-}264}$ or the point mutated TpgAs fused to a His-tag

at the C-terminus, a DNA fragment was amplified via PCR using pET28d::TpgA$_{24\text{-}264}$ (24)

as a template with corresponding primer sets and then self-ligated, generating

pET28d::TpgA$_{24\text{-}138}$, pET28d::TpgA$_{139\text{-}264}$, and plasmids for the point-mutated TpgAs.

Protein purification.

To purify the complex of TpgA-N and AtaA-TM, an overnight culture of BL21 (DE3;

pET28d::TpgA$_{24\text{-}264}$) was diluted 1:100 in LB medium and incubated for 3 h at 37°C. After

incubation, isopropyl β-D-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG) (final concentration: 0.1 mM) was

added to the medium, and the culture was further incubated at 18°C for 18 h. The cells were

harvested by centrifugation at 5,000×g at 4°C for 15 min, resuspended in lysis buffer (25

mM Tris-HCl, 150 mM NaCl, 20 mM imidazole, pH 9.0) supplemented with 0.1 mg/ml

lysozyme, and lysed using a high-pressure homogenizer (LAB 2000; SMT Co., Tokyo,

Japan) at 1,000 bar for 10 min. After centrifugation at 10,000×g at 4°C for 15 min, the

supernatant was loaded onto a Ni-NTA Superflow column (Qiagen, Venlo, Netherlands),

and the unbound proteins were washed off with lysis buffer. Subsequently, an overnight

culture of BL21 (DE3; pIBA-AtaA$_{3524\text{-}3630}$) was diluted 1:100 in LB medium and incubated

for 3 h at 37°C. After incubation, anhydrotetracycline (AHTC) (final concentration: 0.2

μg/ml) was added to the medium, and the mixture was further incubated at 28°C for 12 h.

The cells were harvested by centrifugation at 5,000×g at 4°C for 15 min, resuspended in

lysis buffer supplemented with 0.1 mg/ml lysozyme, and lysed using a high-pressure

homogenizer at 1,000 bar for 10 min. After centrifugation at 5,000×g at 4°C for 15 min, the

supernatant was ultracentrifuged at 100,000×g at 4°C for 2 h, and the precipitate was

solubilized with 5% Elugent (Merck, Darmstadt, Germany) and suspended in Elugent

buffer A (25 mM Tris-HCl, 150 mM NaCl, 20 mM imidazole, 0.5% Elugent, pH 9.0). The

suspension was loaded onto a TpgA-N-bound Ni-NTA column, and unbound proteins were washed off with Elugent buffer A. The bound proteins were eluted with Elugent buffer A supplemented with 300 mM imidazole and loaded onto a HiLoad 26/60 Superdex 75 pg column (Cytiva, Marlborough, MA) equilibrated with 25 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.5) buffer containing 10 mM NaCl and 0.5% Elugent. Peak fractions containing the protein complex were dialyzed using a 12-14 kD MWCO dialysis membrane (Spectrum Laboratories, Rancho Dominguez, CA) against Elugent buffer B (25 mM Tris-HCl, 10 mM NaCl, 0.5% Elugent, pH 9.0) and loaded onto a HiTrap Q HP column (Cytiva) equilibrated with Elugent buffer B. The column was washed three times with 0.6% tetraethylene glycol monooctyl ether (C8E4) containing 25 mM Tris-HCl (pH 9.0) and 10 mM NaCl and two times with 0.6% C8E4 containing 25 mM Tris-HCl (pH 9.0) and 100 mM NaCl. The bound proteins were eluted with 0.6% C8E4 containing 25 mM Tris-HCl (pH 9.0) and 500 mM NaCl, dialyzed against crystallization buffer (25 mM Tris-HCl, 10 mM NaCl, 0.6% C8E4, pH 8.0), and concentrated by ultrafiltration using a 10 kDa cutoff filter device (Amicon Ultra; Merck).

$TpgA_{24-264}$, $TpgA_{24-138}$ (TpgA-N), and $TpgA_{139-264}$ (TpgA-C) were purified as described previously (22). In brief, cells expressing each protein were harvested and lysed using a high-pressure homogenizer. After centrifugation, the protein was purified from the supernatant by Ni-affinity chromatography, ion-exchange chromatography, and size-exclusion chromatography.

Pull-down assay.

For the pull-down assay using recombinant AtaA fragments and cell lysate containing TpgA, an overnight culture of BL21 (DE3) cells harboring plasmids expressing recombinant AtaA fragments was diluted 1:100 in LB medium and incubated for 3 h at 37°C. After incubation, AHTC (final concentration: 0.2 μg/ml) was added to the medium and further incubated at 28°C for 12 h. The cells were harvested by centrifugation at 5,000×g at 4°C for 15 min, resuspended in pull-down buffer A (25 mM Tris-HCl, 10 mM NaCl, 0.1% Tween 20, 20 mM imidazole, pH 7.5), and lysed by sonication. After centrifugation at 10,000×g at 4°C for 10 min, 500 μl of the supernatant (2 mg/ml) was mixed with 10 μl of Ni-NTA Superflow. After 2 h of incubation on a rotary mixer (NRC-20D; Nissinrika, Tokyo, Japan) at 5 rpm and 4°C, the beads were pelleted by centrifugation at 1,000×g for 1 min at 4°C and rinsed three times with pull-down buffer A. The beads were

mixed with 500 µl of 5 mg/ml cell lysate from Tol 5 Δ*ataA* and incubated for 2 h on a

rotary mixer at 4°C. The beads were pelleted by centrifugation at $1,000 \times g$ for 1 min at 4°C

and rinsed three times with pull-down buffer A. The bound proteins were eluted with 50 µl

of 300 mM imidazole (pH 7.5), mixed with 50 µl of 2×SDS–PAGE sample buffer, and

boiled at 98°C for 10 min. The protein samples were separated by SDS–PAGE and

subjected to CBB staining and western blotting analysis with an anti-TpgA antibody (22).

For the pull-down assay using recombinant TpgA fragments and cell lysate containing

AtaA, 50 µg of His-tagged $TpgA_{24-264}$, His-tagged $TpgA_{24-138}$, or His-tagged $TpgA_{139-264}$

suspended in 300 µl of pull-down buffer A was mixed with 10 µl of Ni-NTA Superflow.

After 1 h of incubation on a rotary mixer at 4°C, the beads were pelleted by centrifugation

at $1,000 \times g$ for 1 min at 4°C, rinsed three times with pull-down buffer A, and resuspended in

300 µl of pull-down buffer A. To prepare the cell lysate of Tol 5 Δ*tpgA*, 100 ml of the cell

suspension at an OD_{660} of 0.6 was harvested by centrifugation at $5,000 \times g$ for 10 min at 4°C

and resuspended in 2 ml of BugBuster (Merck), and 50 µg/ml lysozyme and 1 mM

phenylmethanesulfonyl fluoride (PMSF) were added. Unlysed cells and debris were

removed by centrifugation at $10,000 \times g$ for 10 min at 4°C. Finally, 200 µl of the cell lysate

was mixed with 300 µl of TpgA fragment-bound Ni-NTA beads suspended in pull-down

buffer A and incubated on a rotary mixer at 4°C for 2 h. The beads were pelleted by

centrifugation at $1,000 \times g$ for 1 min at 4°C and rinsed with pull-down buffer A for removal

of unbound proteins. The bound proteins were eluted with 50 µl of 300 mM imidazole (pH

7.5), mixed with 50 µl of 2×SDS–PAGE sample buffer, and boiled at 98°C for 10 min. The

protein samples were separated via SDS–PAGE and subjected to CBB staining and western

blotting analysis with anti- $AtaA_{699-1014}$ antiserum.

For the pull-down assay using TpgA mutants and cell lysates containing AtaA-TM, 10 µg

of His-tagged TpgA variants suspended in 1 ml of pull-down buffer B (25 mM Tris-HCl,

150 mM NaCl, 20 mM imidazole, 0.6% Elugent, pH 7.0) was mixed with 10 µl of Ni-NTA

Superflow. After a 1-h incubation on a rotary mixer at 4°C, the beads were pelleted by

centrifugation at $1,000 \times g$ for 1 min at 4°C and rinsed three times with pull-down buffer B.

The beads were mixed with 1 ml of 1 mg/ml cell lysate containing AtaA-TM suspended in

pull-down buffer B and incubated for 1 h on a rotary mixer at 4°C. The beads were pelleted

by centrifugation at $1,000 \times g$ for 1 min at 4°C and rinsed three times with pull-down buffer

B. The bound proteins were then eluted with 25 µl of 500 mM imidazole (pH 7.5), mixed

with 25 µl of 2×SDS–PAGE sample buffer, and boiled at 98°C for 10 min. The protein

samples were separated by SDS–PAGE and subjected to CBB staining.

Crystallization and X-ray crystallography.

The crystallization conditions for the purified protein were first screened by using the

sitting-drop vapor-diffusion method at 20°C under 240 conditions using MemPlus

(Molecular Dimensions, Sheffield, UK), MemGold (Molecular Dimensions), and The

Protein Complex Suite (Qiagen). One microliter of 4.6 mg/ml protein sample suspended in

crystallization buffer (25 mM Tris-HCl, 10 mM NaCl, 0.6% C8E4, pH 8.0) and 1 μl of

reservoir solution were mixed and periodically observed for 2 weeks under a polarized

microscope (BH-2; Olympus). The final optimized crystallization conditions were as

follows: 0.2 M MES, 0.4 M calcium chloride, and 35% polyethylene glycol 400 at pH 5.0.

The crystal was loop-mounted and quenched in liquid nitrogen. The diffraction of the

crystals was measured under a cryo-condition at the synchrotron beamline NW-12A of the

Photon Factory (Tsukuba, Japan) by using a CCD detector (Quantum 270; ADSC, Poway,

CA). Diffraction images were processed and scaled by using HKL2000 (40). The CCP4

program suite was used for model building and refinement (41). The phases of all the

structures were determined by molecular replacement using MOLREP. The structure was

solved using the Hia transmembrane domain (PDB: 2GR7) as the search model. After the

molecular replacement, the structures were automatically built by ARP/wARP, manually

modeled with Coot, and refined by REFMAC5 and PHENIX (42). The crystallographic

parameters are summarized in Table S4. The final coordinates and structure factors that

support the findings of this study have been deposited in the PDB with the accession code

9VNJ.

Bioinformatics.

To obtain sequences with similarity to the N-terminal domain of TpgA, the NR70 database

was searched for the amino acid sequence of TpgA$_{24-138}$ using PSI-BLAST on the MPI

Bioinformatics Toolkit (43). We retrieved 1,461 sequences (E-value <0.001), and the

retrieved sequences were clustered using CLANS (32) at a P-value cutoff of 1e-10, an

attract value of 10 and a repulse value of 10. The multiple sequence alignment was

generated using ClustalO. The DNA sequence of *taa*, which is encoded upstream of the

tpgA-like gene, was collected from the NCBI nucleotide database. We searched for protein

structures similar to that of TpgA-N and calculated the root mean square deviation (RMSD)

via the Dali server (44).

Molecular dynamics (MD) simulations

Using the crystal structure of the protein complex of AtaA-TM and TpgA-N (PDB ID:

9VNJ), two simulation systems were constructed with CHARMM-GUI (45): one consisting

of the AtaA-TM and TpgA-N complex, and the other containing only AtaA-TM, with the

TM region embedded in a lipid membrane. The lipid membrane was positioned at the

center of the simulation box, which was then filled with water molecules. The overall

system size was 8.1 nm × 8.1 nm × 16.5 nm. The membrane was asymmetric: the upper

leaflet consisted of lipopolysaccharides (LPS) derived from *Acinetobacter baumannii*, with

Ca^{2+} ions used to neutralize the negatively charged lipid A and core oligosaccharide

regions, while the lower leaflet was composed of a mixture of 1-palmitoyl-2-oleoyl-*sn*-

glycero-3-phosphoethanolamine (POPE) and 1-palmitoyl-2-oleoyl-*sn*-glycero-3-

phosphatidylglycerol (POPG) in a 4:1 ratio. The LPS in the outer leaflet was modeled as a

smooth structure, with the following glycan sequence: α-D-Glc (1→2) β-D-Glc (1→4) β-

D-Glc (1→4) β-D-Glc (1→3) [β-D-GalN (1→4)] α-D-GlcNAc (1→4) [β-D-GlcN (1→7)]

α-D-Kdo (2→5) [α-D-Kdo (2→4)] α-D-Kdo (2→lipid A). The CHARMM36m force field

(46) in combination with the CHARMM-modified TIP3P water model (47) was used to

describe all systems. The concentration of sodium chloride ions was set to 0.15 M. All MD

simulations were performed using GROMACS 2020 (48). Simulations were conducted

under NPT ensemble periodic boundary conditions, where the temperature was controlled

at 301.15 K using the V-rescale method (49). The pressure was maintained at 1.0 bar using

the Parrinello–Rahman method (50). Bonds involving hydrogen atoms were constrained

using the LINCS method (51), and Coulomb interactions were calculated using the particle

mesh Ewald (PME) method (52). The Lennard–Jones interactions and real-space PME

calculations were set with a cutoff distance of 1.2 nm, and the grid size for the reciprocal-

space calculations was set to ensure a grid spacing of 0.1 nm. The time step for all

simulations was set to 2 fs. For each system, a 500 ns MD simulation was performed. The

RMSD of the atoms corresponding to AtaA-TM was calculated, and the root mean square

fluctuation (RMSF) was determined for each residue of AtaA-TM, followed by averaging

the RMSF across the three chains.

Data Availability

Crystallographic coordinates and structure factors have been deposited in the PDB under accession code 9VNJ.

Acknowledgments

We thank Jens Bassler, Ryuta Hiroshige, Ayumi Hayashi, and Eriko Kawamoto for their technical assistance. We also thank Dirk Linke of the University of Oslo and Masahito Ishikawa of Nagahama Institute of Bio-Science and Technology for helpful discussion. This work was supported by the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (JSPS) KAKENHI (Grant Numbers JP21H05227 and JP24H00043).

Author Contributions: K.H. and S.Y. designed the study and wrote the paper. S.Y., A.S., and K.K. prepared the recombinant proteins and conducted the structural analysis. S.Y. and A.L. conducted the bioinformatic analysis. J.S. conducted the molecular simulations. S.Y. and J.K. conducted the other biochemical experiments. All the authors reviewed the manuscript.

References

1.   Sun JW, Rutherford ST, Silhavy TJ, Huang KC. 2022. Physical properties of the bacterial outer membrane. Nature Reviews Microbiology 20:236-248.

2.   Costa TRD, Felisberto-Rodrigues C, Meir A, Prevost MS, Redzej A, Trokter M, Waksman G. 2015. Secretion systems in Gram-negative bacteria: structural and mechanistic insights. Nature Reviews Microbiology 13:343-359.

3.   Palmer T, Finney AJ, Saha CK, Atkinson GC, Sargent F. 2021. A holin/peptidoglycan hydrolase-dependent protein secretion system. Molecular Microbiology 115:345-355.

4.   Grossman AS, Mauer TJ, Forest KT, Goodrich-Blair H. 2021. A widespread bacterial secretion system with diverse substrates. Mbio 12.

5.   Lauber F, Deme JC, Lea SM, Berks B. 2018. Type 9 secretion system structures reveal a new protein transport mechanism. Nature 564:77-82.

6.   Klauser T, Pohlner J, Meyer TF. 1993. The secretion pathway of IgA protease-type proteins in gram-negative bacteria. Bioessays 15:799-805.

7.   Leo JC, Grin I, Linke D. 2012. Type V secretion: mechanism(s) of autotransport
through the bacterial outer membrane. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal
Society B-Biological Sciences 367:1088-1101.
8.   Purdy GE, Fisher CR, Payne SM. 2007. IcsA surface presentation in *Shigella*
flexneri requires the periplasmic chaperones DegP, Skp, and SurA. Journal of
Bacteriology 189:5566-5573.
9.   Ruiz-Perez F, Henderson IR, Leyton DL, Rossiter AE, Zhang YH, Nataro JP. 2009.
Roles of periplasmic chaperone proteins in the biogenesis of serine protease
autotransporters of *Enterobacteriaceae*. Journal of Bacteriology 191:6571-6583.
10.   Ruiz-Perez F, Henderson IR, Nataro- JP. 2010. Interaction of FkpA, a peptidyl-
prolyl cis/trans isomerase with EspP autotransporter protein. Gut Microbes 1:339-
344.
11.   Janakiraman A, Fixen KR, Gray AN, Niki H, Goldberg MB. 2009. A genome-scale
proteomic screen identifies a role for DnaK in chaperoning of polar autotransporters
in *Shigell*. Journal of Bacteriology 191:6300-6311.
12.   Voulhoux R, Bos MP, Geurtsen J, Mols M, Tommassen J. 2003. Role of a highly
conserved bacterial protein in outer membrane protein assembly. Science 299:262-
265.
13.   Doyle MT, Jimah JR, Dowdy T, Ohlemacher SI, Larion M, Hinshaw JE, Bernstein
HD. 2022. Cryo-EM structures reveal multiple stages of bacterial outer membrane
protein folding. Cell 185:1143-1156.
14.   Doyle MT, Bernstein HD. 2021. BamA forms a translocation channel for
polypeptide export across the bacterial outer membrane. Molecular Cell 81:2000-
2012.
15.   Linke D, Riess T, Autenrieth IB, Lupas A, Kempf VAJ. 2006. Trimeric
autotransporter adhesins: variable structure, common function. Trends in
Microbiology 14:264-270.
16.   Sikdar R, Peterson JH, Anderson DE, Bernstein HD. 2017. Folding of a bacterial
integral outer membrane protein is initiated in the periplasm. Nat Commun 8:1309.
17.   Lu Q, Xu Y, Yao Q, Niu M, Shao F. 2015. A polar-localized iron-binding protein
determines the polar targeting of *Burkholderia* BimA autotransporter and actin tail
formation. Cell Microbiol 17:408-424.
18.   Grin I, Hartmann MD, Sauer G, Hernandez Alvarez B, Schutz M, Wagner S,

Madlung J, Macek B, Felipe-Lopez A, Hensel M, Lupas A, Linke D. 2014. A
trimeric lipoprotein assists in trimeric autotransporter biogenesis in *Enterobacteria*.
J Biol Chem 289:7388-7398.

19. Hartmann MD, Grin I, Dunin-Horkawicz S, Deiss S, Linke D, Lupas AN, Alvarez
BH. 2012. Complete fiber structures of complex trimeric autotransporter adhesins
conserved in enterobacteria. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of
the United States of America 109:20907-20912.

20. Ishikawa M, Nakatani H, Hori K. 2012. AtaA, a new member of the trimeric
autotransporter adhesins from *Acinetobacter* sp. Tol 5 mediating high adhesiveness
to various abiotic surfaces. PLoS One 7:e48830.

21. Yoshimoto S, Nakatani H, Iwasaki K, Hori K. 2016. An *Acinetobacter* trimeric
autotransporter adhesin reaped from cells exhibits its nonspecific stickiness via a
highly stable 3D structure. Sci Rep 6:28020.

22. Ishikawa M, Yoshimoto S, Hayashi A, Kanie J, Hori K. 2016. Discovery of a novel
periplasmic protein that forms a complex with a trimeric autotransporter adhesin
and peptidoglycan. Mol Microbiol 101:394-410.

23. Alvarez BH, Hartmann MD, Albrecht R, Lupas AN, Zeth K, Linke D. 2008. A new
expression system for protein crystallization using trimeric coiled-coil adaptors.
Protein Engineering Design & Selection 21:11-18.

24. Koiwai K, Hartmann MD, Linke D, Lupas AN, Hori K. 2016. Structural basis for
toughness and flexibility in the C-terminal passenger domain of an *Acinetobacter*
trimeric autotransporter adhesin. J Biol Chem 291:3705-24.

25. Aoki S, Yoshimoto S, Ishikawa M, Linke D, Lupas A, Hori K. 2020. Native display
of a huge homotrimeric protein fiber on the cell surface after precise domain
deletion. J Biosci Bioeng 129:412-417.

26. Meng G, Surana NK, St Geme JW, 3rd, Waksman G. 2006. Structure of the outer
membrane translocator domain of the *Haemophilus influenzae* Hia trimeric
autotransporter. The EMBO Journal 25:2297-304.

27. Shahid SA, Nagaraj M, Chauhan N, Franks TW, Bardiaux B, Habeck M, Orwick-
Rydmark M, Linke D, van Rossum BJ. 2015. Solid-state NMR study of the YadA
membrane-anchor domain in the bacterial outer membrane. Angewandte Chemie
International Edition 54:12602-6.

28. Gu Y, Li H, Dong H, Zeng Y, Zhang Z, Paterson NG, Stansfeld PJ, Wang Z, Zhang

Y, Wang W, Dong C. 2016. Structural basis of outer membrane protein insertion by

the BAM complex. Nature 531:64-69.

29.   Kumar S, Konovalova A. 2023. BamE directly interacts with BamA and BamD

coordinating their functions. Molecular Microbiology 120:397-407.

30.   Tao Y, Acket S, Beaumont E, Galez H, Duma L, Rossez Y. 2021. Colistin treatment

affects lipid composition of *Acinetobacter baumannii*. Antibiotics 10:528.

31.   Yarava JR, Orwick-Rydmark M, Ryoo D, Hofstetter A, Gumbart JC, Habeck M, van

Rossum BJ, Linke D, Oschkinat H. 2025. Probing the dynamics of *Yersinia* adhesin

A (YadA) in outer membranes hints at requirements for β-barrel membrane

insertion. Journal of the American Chemical Society 147:8618-8628.

32.   Frickey T, Lupas A. 2004. CLANS: a Java application for visualizing protein

families based on pairwise similarity. Bioinformatics 20:3702-3704.

33.   Weidensdorfer M, Ishikawa M, Hori K, Linke D, Djahanschiri B, Iruegas R,

Ebersberger I, Riedel-Christ S, Enders G, Leukert L, Kraiczy P, Rothweiler F, Cinatl

J, Berger J, Hipp K, Kempf VAJ, Gottig S. 2019. The *Acinetobacter* trimeric

autotransporter adhesin Ata controls key virulence traits of *Acinetobacter*

baumannii. Virulence 10:68-81.

34.   Kaiser PO, Linke D, Schwarz H, Leo JC, Kempf VAJ. 2012. Analysis of the BadA

stalk from *Bartonella henselae* reveals domain-specific and domain-overlapping

functions in the host cell infection process. Cellular Microbiology 14:198-209.

35.   Valle J, Mabbett AN, Ulett GC, Toledo-Arana A, Wecker K, Totsika M, Schembri

MA, Ghigo JM, Beloin C. 2008. UpaG, a new member of the trimeric

autotransporter family of adhesins in uropathogenic *Escherichia coli*. Journal of

Bacteriology 190:4147-4161.

36.   Leo JC, Oberhettinger P, Chaubey M, Schutz M, Kuhner D, Bertsche U, Schwarz H,

Gotz F, Autenrieth IB, Coles M, Linke D. 2015. The Intimin periplasmic domain

mediates dimerisation and binding to peptidoglycan. Molecular Microbiology

95:80-100.

37.   Pokutta S, Weis WI. 2007. Structure and mechanism of cadherins and catenins in

cell-cell contacts. Annual Review of Cell and Developmental Biology 23:237-261.

38.   Gooding JM, Yap KL, Ikura M. 2004. The cadherin-catenin complex as a focal

point of cell adhesion and signalling: new insights from three-dimensional

structures. BioEssays 26:497-511.

39. Li SK, Jiang GL, Wang SK, Wang M, Wu YL, Zhang JZ, Liu X, Zhong L, Zhou M,
Xie SC, Ren Y, He P, Lou YL, Li H, Du JM, Zhou ZM. 2025. Emergence and global
spread of a dominant multidrug-resistant clade within *Acinetobacter baumannii*.
Nature Communications 16:2787.

40. Otwinowski Z, Minor W. 1997. Processing of X-ray diffraction data collected in
oscillation mode. Methods in Enzymology 276:307-326.

41. Agirre J, Atanasova M, Bagdonas H, Ballard CB, Baslé A, Beilsten-Edmands J,
Borges RJ, Brown DG, Burgos-Mármol JJ, Berrisford JM, Bond PS, Caballero I,
Catapano L, Chojnowski G, Cook AG, Cowtan KD, Croll TI, Debreczeni JÉ,
Devenish NE, Dodson EJ, Drevon TR, Emsley P, Evans G, Evans PR, Fando M,
Foadi J, Fuentes-Montero L, Garman EF, Gerstel M, Gildea RJ, Hatti K, Hekkelman
ML, Heuser P, Hoh SW, Hough MA, Jenkins HT, Jiménez E, Joosten RP, Keegan
RM, Keep N, Krissinel EB, Kolenko P, Kovalevskiy O, Lamzin VS, Lawson DM,
Lebedev AA, Leslie AGW, Lohkamp B, Long F, Maly M, et al. 2023. The CCP4
suite: integrative software for macromolecular crystallography. Acta
Crystallographica Section D Structural Biology 79:449-461.

42. Liebschner D, Afonine PV, Baker ML, Bunkóczi G, Chen VB, Croll TI, Hintze B,
Hung LW, Jain S, McCoy AJ, Moriarty NW, Oeffner RD, Poon BK, Prisant MG,
Read RJ, Richardson JS, Richardson DC, Sammito MD, Sobolev OV, Stockwell
DH, Terwilliger TC, Urzhumtsev AG, Videau LL, Williams CJ, Adams PD. 2019.
Macromolecular structure determination using X-rays, neutrons and electrons:
recent developments in Phenix. Acta Crystallographica Section D 75:861-877.

43. Zimmermann L, Stephens A, Nam SZ, Rau D, Kubler J, Lozajic M, Gabler F,
Soding J, Lupas AN, Alva V. 2018. A completely reimplemented MPI
Bioinformatics Toolkit with a new HHpred server at its core. Journal of Molecular
Biology 430:2237-2243.

44. Holm L. 2020. DALI and the persistence of protein shape. Protein Science 29:128-
140.

45. Jo S, Kim T, Iyer VG, Im W. 2008. CHARMM-GUI: a web-based graphical user
interface for CHARMM. J Comput Chem 29:1859-65.

46. Huang J, Rauscher S, Nawrocki G, Ran T, Feig M, de Groot BL, Grubmuller H,
MacKerell AD, Jr. 2017. CHARMM36m: an improved force field for folded and
intrinsically disordered proteins. Nat Methods 14:71-73.

47.   Jorgensen WL, Chandrasekhar J, Madura JD, Impey RW, Klein ML. 1983.
Comparison of Simple Potential Functions for Simulating Liquid Water. Journal of
Chemical Physics 79:926-935.
48.   Mark James Abraham TM, Roland Schulz, Szilárd Páll, Jeremy C. Smith, Berk
Hess, Erik Lindahl. 2015. GROMACS: High performance molecular simulations
through multi-level parallelism from laptops to supercomputers. SoftwareX 1-2:19-
25.
49.   Bussi G, Donadio, D., & Parrinello, M. 2007. Canonical sampling through velocity
rescaling. The Journal of chemical physics 126:014101.
50.   Parrinello M, Rahman A. 1981. Polymorphic Transitions in Single-Crystals - a New
Molecular-Dynamics Method. Journal of Applied Physics 52:7182-7190.
51.   Hess B, Bekker H, Berendsen HJC, Fraaije JGEM. 1997. LINCS: A linear
constraint solver for molecular simulations. Journal of Computational Chemistry
18:1463-1472.
52.   Darden T, York D, Pedersen L. 1993. Particle Mesh Ewald - an N.Log(N) Method
for Ewald Sums in Large Systems. Journal of Chemical Physics 98:10089-10092.
632
633

Figure legends

Figure 1. Identification of the interacting domains of AtaA and TpgA. (A) Schematic
structure of AtaA. The black lines show the region where the recombinant protein was
constructed, and the dotted lines show the region with high sequence similarity (>50%) to
the recombinant proteins. (B) Pull-down assay using recombinant AtaA fragments and
TpgA-containing cell lysate. The cell lysate of Tol 5 Δ*ataA* was mixed with recombinant
AtaA fragment-bound Ni-NTA beads or untreated beads (-). The pulled-down proteins were
subjected to SDS–PAGE and analyzed by western blotting to detect TpgA and CBB
staining to detect AtaA fragments. The solid triangles indicate recombinant AtaA
fragments. The upper numbers indicate the amino acid numbers of each recombinant AtaA
fragment immobilized onto Ni-NTA beads. (C) Pull-down assay using recombinant TpgA
fragments and AtaA-containing cell lysate. The cell lysate of Tol 5 Δ*tpgA* was mixed with
recombinant TpgA fragment-bound Ni-NTA beads or untreated beads (-). The pulled-down
proteins were subjected to SDS–PAGE and analyzed by western blotting to detect AtaA and
CBB staining to detect TpgA fragments. The open triangles indicate recombinant TpgA
fragments.

Figure 2. Crystal structure of the transmembrane domain of AtaA in complex with the

N-terminal domain of TpgA. (A) Size-exclusion chromatography of the complex of AtaA-

TM and TpgA-N. (B) The purified complex of AtaA-TM and TpgA-N was subjected to

SDS–PAGE followed by CBB staining. The solid and open triangles indicate the AtaA-TM

trimer and TpgA-N, respectively. (C) Overall structure of the AtaA-TM and TpgA-N

complex. The AtaA-TM monomers are shown in cyan, pink and yellow, and the TpgA-N

monomers are shown in orange, green and blue, respectively. (D) Comparison of the AtaA-

TM (yellow) with the transmembrane domain of *H. influenzae* Hia (2GR7; cyan). (E) A

topology diagram of TpgA-N. α-helices are shown as cylinders, and β-strands are shown as

arrows. (F) Comparison of TpgA-N (orange) with *E. coli* BamE (5D0Q; green).

Figure 3. Interaction between the transmembrane domain of AtaA and the N-terminal domain of TpgA.

(A) A snapshot from the MD simulation of AtaA-TM and TpgA-N. Polypeptides of AtaA-TM and TpgA-N are shown as cartoon models in blue, and phospholipids and lipopolysaccharides (LPS) are shown in line representation. (B) The root mean square fluctuation (RMSF) of each residue of AtaA-TM calculated from 500-ns simulation. (C) Enlarged views showing hydrophobic and electrostatic interactions between AtaA-TM (cyan) and TpgA-N (orange). The interacting residues are shown in stick representation. (D) Pull-down assay of AtaA-TM and mutated TpgA-N. Cell lysate containing AtaA-TM was mixed with His-tagged TpgA-N-bound Ni-NTA beads or untreated beads as a control (-). The pulled-down proteins were subjected to SDS–PAGE and detected by CBB staining. The solid and open triangles indicate the AtaA-TM and point-mutated TpgA-N proteins, respectively.

Figure 4. Bioinformatic analysis of the N-terminal domain of TpgA and the transmembrane domain of AtaA. (A) Cluster map of SmpA/OmlA family proteins. The map represents individual proteins and their sequence similarities as dots and gray lines, respectively. The positions of TpgA of Tol 5 and BamE of *E.coli* are indicated by arrows. (B) Amino acid sequence of the TpgA-N and TpgA-like proteins found in cluster I. Red boxes indicate residues that interact with AtaA. (C) Amino acid sequence of the C-terminal domain of AtaA; other TAAs found upstream of *tpgA*-like genes in the genome; and four representative TAAs (Hia, YadA, BadA, and UpaG) not related to TpgA. The red boxes indicate residues that interact with TpgA.

694 **Figure 5. Schematic representation of a canonical TAA and the AtaA-TpgA-**

695 **peptidoglycan complex.** The passenger and transmembrane domains of TAAs are shown in

696 red and blue, respectively. The N-terminal and C-terminal domains of TpgA are shown in

697 yellow and green, respectively.