The everting *Drosophila* wing disc is a shape-programmed material

Jana F. Fuhrmann[1,2†], Abhijeet Krishna[1,2,3†], Joris
Paijmans[4], Charlie Duclut[4,5], Suzanne Eaton[1,2,3,6], Marko
Popovic[2,3,4], Frank Julicher[2,3,4], Carl D. Modes[1,2,3*]
and Natalie A. Dye[1,2,7*]

[1]Max-Planck Institute for Molecular Cell Biology and Genetics,
MPI-CBG, Pfotenhauerstrasse 108, Dresden, 01307, Germany.
[2]Excellence Cluster, Physics of Life, Technische Universität
Dresden, Arnoldstrasse 18, Dresden, 01307, Germany.
[3]Center for Systems Biology, Pfotenhauerstrasse 108, Dresden,
01307, Germany.
[4]Max-Planck Institute for Physics of Complex Systems,
MPI-PKS, Nöthnitzer Str. 38, Dresden, 01187, Germany.
[5]Laboratoire Physico-Chimie Curie, CNRS UMR 168, Institut
Curie, Université PSL, Sorbonne Université, Paris, 75005, France.
[6]Biotechnologisches Zentrum, Technische Universität Dresden,
Tatzberg 47-49, Dresden, 01307, Germany.
[7]Mildred Scheel Nachwuchszentrum P2, Medical Faculty,
Technische Universität Dresden, Dresden, 01307, Germany.

*Corresponding author(s). E-mail(s): modes@mpi-cbg.de;
natalie_anne.dye@tu-dresden.de;
†These authors contributed equally to this work.

Keywords: morphogenesis, Drosophila, wing disc, shape programming, cell
rearrangements, MyoVI

Abstract

How complex 3D tissue shape emerges during animal development remains an important open question in biology and biophysics. Although it is well-established that tissue-scale patterns of in-plane cell behaviors can reshape a tissue in 2D, whether and how such patterns could also deform a tissue in 3D remains unclear. Meanwhile, recent advances in materials science, physics, and engineering have enhanced our ability to craft and understand inanimate "shape-programmable" materials capable of undergoing blueprinted 3D shape transformations arising from in-plane gradients of spontaneous strains. Here, we use this conceptual framework to understand eversion of the *Drosophila* wing disc, a complex 3D tissue reshaping event in wing development. Using quantification of spatial patterns of cell dynamics on an evolving 3D tissue surface, combined with physical modeling and genetic perturbation, we demonstrate that the wing can deform itself in 3D through large-scale patterning of in-plane cellular behaviors, primarily rearrangements. This work shows that concepts from shape-programming can be employed to understand animal tissue morphogenesis and suggests that there exist intricate patterns in nature that could present novel designs for shape-programmable materials.

Introduction

Epithelial tissues are sheets of tightly connected cells with apical-basal polarity that form the basic architecture of many animal organs. Deformations of animal epithelia in 3D can be mediated by external forces, either from neighboring tissue that induces buckling instabilities (e.g., [1–3]) or extracellular matrix that confines (e.g., [4]) or expands (e.g., [5]). Alternatively, local differences in mechanics at the apical and basal sides of the deforming epithelia itself can drive out-of-plane tissue shape changes (e.g., ventral furrow invagination in the *Drosophila* embryo (reviewed in [6]) and fold formation in *Drosophila* imaginal discs [7]).

Here, we describe a mechanism for generating complex 3D tissue shape involving tissue-scale patterning of in-plane deformations, analogous to the shape transformations of certain inanimate shape-programmable materials. These shape programmable materials, like hydrogels and nematic elastomers, experience *spontaneous strains* where the local preferred lengths change in response to stimuli in a desired way [8, 9]. Globally patterned spontaneous strains can create a geometric incompatibility with the original shape, triggering specific, desired 3D deformations like formation of a cone from a flat sheet [8, 10, 11]. These ideas have already proved insightful to the understanding of differential growth mediated plant morphogenesis [12, 13]. However, animal epithelia are more dynamic, changing cell shape and size, as well as rearranging tissue topology. As these behaviours cause in-plane changes in local

tissue dimensions, the ingredients for shape-programmability are, in principle, present.

To test these concepts in animal morphogenesis, we quantify tissue shape changes and cell behaviors in the *Drosophila* wing disc during a 3D morphogenetic process called eversion (Fig. 1a). Through eversion, the wing disc proper, an epithelial mono-layer, undergoes a shape deformation in which the future dorsal and ventral surfaces of the wing blade appose to form a bi-layer and escape the overlying squamous epithelium called the peripodial membrane. This process is triggered by a peak in circulating levels of the hormone 20-hydroxyecdysone (20E), analogous to an activator in shape-programming. This complex tissue shape change is independent of forces external to the wing disc, as demonstrated by its ability to occur in explant culture [14]. The shape changes of the disc proper also cannot be fully explained by removal of the peripodial membrane or extracellular matrix and appear to be self-sufficient, involving active cellular processes [15–19]. It has long been postulated that the eversion of wing (and leg) discs involves cell rearrangements and/or cell shape changes that resolve previously established morphological patterns [20–23]. Here, we test these hypotheses by systematic quantification and genetic perturbation of cell behaviors during eversion and demonstrate how cell behaviors contribute to tissue shaping using a physical model analogous to shape programming.

1 The wing pouch undergoes anisotropic curvature changes during eversion

We first sought to characterize the tissue shape changes happening during wing disc eversion. To this end, we explanted wing discs at fixed time intervals, from late larval stage (wL3) to 6 hours After Puparium Formation (hAPF). We imaged the wing discs using multi-angle light sheet microscopy and then reconstructed and analyzed the 3D image stack 7.3. In this way, we capture the complex 3D shape changes happening throughout the wing disc during eversion (Fig. 1b, Supplemental movie 1). Focusing on the prospective wing blade region (so-called wing disc pouch), the most dramatic tissue shape changes can be seen in a central cross-section along the axis perpendicular to the dorsal ventral boundary (DVB), referred to as "across-DVB" (Fig. 1c,d, Extended Data Fig. S1a-c). We observe that the tissue becomes flatter as the dorsal and ventral surfaces appose, with curvature increasing at the DVB. In the perpendicular plane, taken through DVB (referred to as "along-DVB"), the tissue does not change as significantly, preserving curvature in this direction (Extended Data Fig. S1c-e, S5b,d). These data provide a quantitative view of the robust tissue shape changes taking place in the wing pouch during eversion (Extended Data Fig. S1c,d): the tissue starts as an almost radially symmetric dome and ends up in a curved-fold shape, with curvature increasing strongly in one axis (across-DVB) but not as much in the other (along-DVB).

Fig. 1 a, Schematic cross-sections along the long axis of the wing disc before and after eversion. Before eversion, the wing disc resembles an epithelial sac with apical facing inwards. The tissue consists of the Disc Proper (DP), which is a folded, thick, pseudo-stratified monolayer, and the Peripodial Membrane (PM), a thin squamous monolayer. After eversion, the PM is removed and the former pouch region of the DP forms the wing bilayer with apical facing out and dorsal and ventral on opposing sides. **b**, Example of a 3D segmentation of the DP in a head-on and side view before eversion (left, wL3) and after bilayer formation (right, 2hAPF). Pouch: blue; across-DVB: solid line; along-DVB: dashed line. **c,d**, Representative images for stages of eversion. Wing discs are labelled with Ecadherin-GFP. The pouch region is highlighted, colored by time. **c**, Projection view showing the dorsal side for early pupal stages and dorsal (down), ventral (up), and DVB for wL3. The position of the DVB is indicated with a dashed line. **d**, Across-DVB cross-section. The position of the DVB is indicated in white. Asterisk shows the rupture point of the PM, which gets removed around 4hAPF. Minimum 5 wing discs were analyzed for each time point; hAPF = hours after puparium formation; wL3 = wandering larval stage, 3rd instar; scale bars = 100 μm.

2 Programmable spring network as a model for epithelial morphogenesis

We developed a coarse-grained model of tissue shape changes, leveraging an analogy between tissue remodelling by internal processes and spontaneous strain-driven shape programming of nematic elastomers [24–26]. We use a double layer of interconnected programmable springs representing the apical surface geometry and the material properties of an epithelial sheet, including a bending rigidity introduced by the thickness of the double layer (Methods 7.9, 7.14). As an initial configuration, we use a stress-free spherical cap and then assign new rest lengths to the springs. In a continuum limit, this corresponds

to introducing a spontaneous strain field $\underline{\underline{\lambda}}(\underline{X})$, which depends on the spatial coordinates $\underline{X}$ (Methods 7.10). To simplify notation, we write $\underline{\underline{\lambda}}$ for $\underline{\underline{\lambda}}(\underline{X})$ hereafter. To generate a final output shape, we quasi-statically relax the spring network (Methods 7.9, 7.10). As with conventional elastic strain tensors, $\underline{\underline{\lambda}}$ can be decomposed into isotropic (λ) and anisotropic ($\tilde{\lambda}$) modes (Fig. 2a).

We first wanted to understand how simple choices of spontaneous strain patterns induce a shape change in our model. A simple gradient of λ, for example, causes the spherical cap to balloon in the center or generate wrinkles at the periphery (Fig. 2b.i. and ii). Changing the directions and gradients of $\tilde{\lambda}$ leads to elongation of the cap, increase in the curvature at the tip or even flattening of the curvature in the center, eventually leading to a saddle shape (Fig. 2b.iii - viii.).

We propose that cell behaviors can give rise to a spontaneous strain field, thereby shape-programming the wing disc pouch and driving 3D shape changes during eversion. The strains measured from observed cell behaviors during eversion (referred to as observed strains, $\underline{\underline{\lambda}}^*$) can be used to infer spontaneous strains. By using coarse-grained spontaneous strains, the topology of the spring network remains unchanged [27]. For the isotropic component of observed strain, we focus on cell area changes (λ_A^*), as cell division and cell death are minimal in the everting wing disc (Fig. 2ci) [14, 28, 29]. The anisotropic components of observed strain capture contributions stemming from both changes in cell elongation ($\tilde{\lambda}_Q^*$) as well as from cell rearrangements ($\tilde{\lambda}_R^*$) (Fig. 2cii).

Our model can therefore relate cell behaviors to spontaneous strains in order to understand resulting tissue deformations. We now investigate these quantities in the everting wing disc.

Fig. 2 **a**, A thick spherical cap as a model for an epithelial tissue. We define a radial coordinate r and basis vectors $\underline{e}_r, \underline{e}_\phi$, and $\underline{e}_h$. The thickness of the spring network h is constant everywhere and introduces a bending rigidity. The model tissue is an elastic medium implemented as a spring network with an initially stress-free state. We change the rest lengths of the springs by imposing a spontaneous strain field $\underline{\underline{\lambda}}$ and allow subsequent relaxation to take a new 3D output shape. Top and bottom springs at any position in the lattice have their rest lengths updated by the same amount. The spontaneous strain field $\underline{\underline{\lambda}}$ consists of an isotropic component λ and an anisotropic component $\tilde{\lambda}$. These components cause changes in area (A_i to A_f) or area-preserving changes in shape (L_i to L_f), respectively. **b**, Model realizations with simple patterns of spontaneous strains. For each realization, the input pattern of spontaneous strains is displayed above, with the magnitude of strain encoded by color. For anisotropic strain ($\tilde{\lambda}$), the bars indicate the orientation. Below is the output shape. In **b.i-ii**, we vary the isotropic contribution λ and keep $\tilde{\lambda} = 1$, while in **b.iii-viii**, we vary $\tilde{\lambda}$ and keep $\lambda = 1$. We probe the model output from input linear radial gradients in λ or $\tilde{\lambda}$, giving rise to cones with varying degrees of sharpness at the tip (**i ,v ,vi ,vii**) or saddle shapes (**ii, viii**). Using a spatially homogeneous pattern of $\tilde{\lambda}$, we observe an elongated spherical cap when patterned along a fixed direction (**iii**) and a blunt cone when patterned radially (**iv**). **c**, Schematics showing the calculation of apparent spontaneous strains from observed cellular behaviors. **c.i** For a patch of cells going from area of A_i to A_f, we extract λ. **c.ii** A patch of cells undergoing anisotropic deformation due to cell elongation changes or neighbor exchanges causes the length scale in one direction to change from L_i to L_f. From this change, $\tilde{\lambda}$ is extracted, while $\lambda = 1$, as there is no isotropic contribution.

3 Spatial patterns of cell dynamics in the everting wing pouch

From larval stages, we know that cell morphology and behaviors in the pouch are organized radially in the region outside of the dorsal ventral boundary

(outDVB) and parallel to the boundary in the region closest to the dorsal 150
ventral boundary (DVB) [30–33]. During eversion, we observe that cell shapes 151
and sizes are patterned similarly. In early stages, cell area follows a radial 152
gradient that disappears by the end of eversion (4hAPF) (Fig. 3a, Methods 153
7.7). Cell elongation exhibits a global nematic order through 4hAPF before 154
disordering at 6hAPF (Fig. 3b, Methods 7.7). 155

To compare spatial patterns of cell behaviors over eversion time and across 156
experiments, we define a coordinate system on the evolving 3D geometry. To 157
this end, we use the cellular network topology to define the distance measure 158
on the tissue surface. The topological distance between two cells is defined as 159
the number of cells on the shortest path through the network from one cell to 160
the other (See Extended Data Fig. S2a). We then use topological distance to 161
define a coordinate system in the outDVB and DVB regions (Fig. 3c, Extended 162
Data Fig.S2 and S3a,b, and Methods 7.8). The outDVB region consists of the 163
dorsal and ventral halves, and we identify a single cell that defines the origin 164
in each half (O_D and O_V). In the DVB, we define the origin (O_{DV}) as a line 165
of cells transversing the DVB. The topological distance k to the origin defines 166
a radial topological coordinate in each region, see Fig. 3c,d. 167

During eversion, tissue previously hidden in the folds becomes visible. In 168
order to compare cell behaviors at different time points, we need to identify a 169
region of tissue that remains in the field of view throughout eversion. To this 170
end, we count the number of cells N_{ROI} within the largest visible topological 171
ring at wL3. The corresponding region of interest at later time points is then 172
defined to be centered at the origin and containing the same number of cells. 173
Since there are very few divisions and extrusions during eversion [14, 28], 174
and because cells cannot flow across the DVB [34, 35], we expect that our 175
regions of interest contain largely the same set of cells, and we refer to them 176
as topologically tracked regions (Fig. 3d,g, Extended Data Fig. S5a,b). 177

Next, we quantify patterns of cell area (A) and radial cell elongation ten- 178
sor ($\underline{\underline{Q}}$) as a function of topological coordinate k throughout eversion (See 179
Methods 7.7). We find that our topological coordinate system recapitulates 180
previously reported gradients in cell area and radial cell elongation at earlier 181
larval stages (Extended Data Fig. S3c,d). In outDVB at wL3, we observe a cell 182
area gradient that relaxes gradually until 4hAPF. At the same time, cell elon- 183
gation develops a gradient, with cells in the periphery elongating tangentially 184
(Fig. 3e,f). Between 4h and 6hAPF, cells dramatically expand their area and 185
tangential cell elongation completely relaxes. We do not observe gradients in 186
cell area or cell elongation in the DVB. Instead, cell area expands globally in 187
the DVB during eversion, while cell elongation along the DVB first increases 188
up to 2hAPF and then decreases at 4hAPF (Fig. 3e,f). 189

Using topological distance allows us to extract spatial patterns of oriented 190
cell rearrangements from snapshots of eversion. Radially oriented rearrange- 191
ments lead to a decrease in the number of cells per k, whereas tangentially 192
oriented rearrangements lead to an increase (see Fig. 3g). As a consequence, 193
$k(N_{\mathrm{ROI}})$ changes based on the orientation and magnitude of rearrangements. 194

We find that $k(N_{\mathrm{ROI}})$ increases with time (Fig. 3g), consistent with radially oriented cell rearrangements in outDVB and rearrangements oriented along the boundary in the DVB.

Together, these measured cell behaviors are a superposition of different radial patterns with the additional complexity of the DVB. Next, using our programmable spring model (Fig. 2), we asked how in-plane strains caused by these cell behaviors could drive 3D shape changes during eversion.

Fig. 3 a,b,d, Cell measurements highlighted on the surface of representative examples of everting wing discs over time. At wL3, the full pouch is visible, whereas only the dorsal side is shown here for early pupal stages. **a**, Cells are colored by apical cell area. **b**, Bars highlight the orientation of locally averaged cell elongation Q (projected onto 2D), and color indicates the elongation magnitude $|Q|$ averaged over patches of size $350\mu m^2$. **c**, Segmentation of a wL3 pouch; the origins for the topological coordinates k (O_D and O_V in the outDVB region and a center line O_{DV} for the DVB) are highlighted in dark blue. The arrows indicate the direction of spatial coordinates that result from these origins, transversing along the DVB and radially for the outDVB. The inset shows the center region of the same wing pouch, where each cell up to $k = 5$ is colored by k. The origin cells are at $k = 0$ (dark blue). Note that the origin is a single cell for each side of the outDVB and a line of cells for the DVB. Due to the 3D nature of the wing pouch, the topological coordinate system is defined in one view for larval stages and in 4 separate imaging angles for early pupal stages (see schematic, right). **d**, The maximum k depends on the size of the segmented region (upper row). For the topologically tracked region, the maximum k may change due to rearrangements and is denoted $k(N_{\mathrm{ROI}})$ (lower row). **e-f**, Cell area (e) or cell elongation (f) spatially averaged over k (minimum five wing discs per stage). Dorsal and ventral are averaged together into 'outDVB'. Geometric representations (top) show outDVB as half-circles and the DVB as a central rectangular box. **e**, Geometric representations highlight cell area gradients ($A/\langle A\rangle$) within each stage. Lower panels show the cell area (A) as a function of k for all time points. **f**, The component of cell elongation (Q_{rr} for cells in the outDVB and $Q_{\rho\rho}$ for cells in the DVB) is calculated relative to the origin for each cell of the respective region. This makes Q_{rr} the radial component of cell elongation, whereas $Q_{\rho\rho}$ is effectively the cell elongation along the DVB (cartoon insets on the lower panel, see also Methods 7.8). Q_{rr} and $Q_{\rho\rho}$ are calculated as a function of k. In the upper panel, magnitudes are represented by color. **g**, Schematic (top) showing how we estimate cell rearrangements using topology. Each circle represents a cell in the outDVB region of the wing disc, colored by topological distance at the initial time point. If the number of cells per k decreases, the deformation by rearrangements is radial. Plots (bottom) show the number of cells in the wing disc pouch N contained within k. The horizontal line shows N_{ROI} for the wL3 stage; the vertical lines show corresponding ($k(N_{\mathrm{ROI}})$) for each stage. In **e-g**, solid lines indicate the mean, and ribbons show 95% confidence of the mean.

4 Cell rearrangements drive wing pouch eversion

To be able to compare the output of the model to the 3D shape changes happening during eversion, we quantified the curvature and size dynamics of the apical surface of the wing pouch. We limit the analysis to the topologically tracked region and quantify the change in curvature from the wL3 stage along lines in the along-DVB and across-DVB directions (Fig. 1b-d, Extended Data Fig.S5, Methods 7.4). We focus on the stages between wL3 and 4hAPF, during which cell shape patterns have radial symmetry (Fig. 3b,e,f). We observe an overall curvature increase that is more pronounced in the across-DVB direction, peaking at the DVB, while flattening at the dorsal and ventral sides. Furthermore, the overall tissue area increases (Fig. 4a, Extended Data Fig. S5a).

Next, we measure the strain field ($\underline{\underline{\lambda}}^*$, Fig. 4b) resulting from cell behaviors as a function of the distance from the origin, r or ρ (Fig. 4b, Extended Data Fig. S6, Extended Data Fig. S7, Methods 7.12). We quantify the isotropic component resulting from cell area changes (λ_A^*). In the outDVB, we observe an area expansion ($\lambda_A^* > 1$) up to 2hAPF with a radially decreasing profile (Fig. 4b, Extended Data Fig. S7). In the DVB, we observe the buildup of a shallower gradient that is transiently paused from 0hAPF to 2hAPF. The contribution to the anisotropic component of $\underline{\underline{\lambda}}^*$ from changes in cell elongations $\tilde{\lambda}_Q^*$ is small compared to the contribution by cell rearrangements $\tilde{\lambda}_R^*$. While $\tilde{\lambda}_Q^*$ is tangential, following a shallow gradient, $\tilde{\lambda}_R^*$ is radial and increasing with the distance from origin in the outDVB and decreasing in the DVB (Fig. 4b, Extended Data Fig. S7, Methods 7.11).

We next use the programmable spring model to test how the observed in-plane cellular behaviors can cause tissue shape changes. We define the DVB and outDVB regions in the model, matching their relative sizes in the wing pouch (Fig. 4c, Extended Data Fig. S8). For each individual cell behavior and measured time point (wL3, 0hAPF, 2hAPF, and 4hAPF), we use the in-plane strain $\underline{\underline{\lambda}}^*$ that we infer from each observed class of cell behaviors as examples of spontaneous strain $\underline{\underline{\lambda}}$. We use these to program the spring lengths in the model. For each insertion of spontaneous strain (model time points: initial, t1, t2, final, corresponding to the experimental time points), we relax the spring network quasi-statically to a force balanced state (Methods 7.9, 7.10). As the effective bending modulus of the wing disc is experimentally inaccessible, we fit the thickness of the model in an example scenario where all observed cell behaviours are input as spontaneous strains and use the same thickness thereafter (Extended Data Fig. S9a, Methods 7.14).

We first consider cell rearrangements as a possible source of spontaneous strain. When we only input $\underline{\underline{\lambda}}_R = \{1, \tilde{\lambda}_R^*\}$ as spontaneous strain in the model, it alone creates a strong curvature increase, resembling many features of the data but without increasing tissue size (Fig. 4a, d). Note that $\underline{\underline{\lambda}}_R$ also introduces

a difference in curvature change between the two directions, across-DVB and 245
along-DVB, at the final stage.   246

After relaxing the spring network to a force balanced state, stresses due to 247
residual strains remain. The stresses corresponding to these residual strains 248
can drive passive responses in cell behaviors. The residual strains appear as a 249
mismatch of spontaneous strains (input to the model, $\underline{\lambda}$) and strains resulting 250
from changes in spring length during relaxation of the network, $\underline{\underline{F}}$ (Fig. 4e.i, 251
Methods 7.10).   252

When we calculate the residual strains generated by spontaneous strain 253
from rearrangements, we find that the anisotropic component of the residual 254
strain ($\tilde{\lambda}_R^{\mathrm{res}}$) is tangentially oriented (Fig. 4e.ii, Extended Data Fig. S10). This 255
tangentially oriented strain is similar to the pattern of cell elongation changes 256
($\tilde{\lambda}_Q^*$)(compare Fig. 4b and 4e.ii), suggesting that these cell elongation changes 257
are a passive response to spontaneous strain by rearrangements. To test this 258
idea, we next consider cell elongation as possible source of spontaneous strain. 259
When we only input $\underline{\underline{\lambda}}_Q = \{1, \tilde{\lambda}_Q^*\}$ as spontaneous strain in the model, we 260
observe that the spring network shape flattens at the center rather than curve, 261
and cell elongations themselves do not lead to any further residual strains (Fig. 262
4f,g, Extended Data Fig. S10). This result is consistent with cell elongation 263
changes being a passive response to cell rearrangements and not driving tissue 264
shape change during eversion.   265

Cell rearrangements as spontaneous strains also lead to residual isotropic 266
compression (λ_R^{res}, Fig. 4e.ii, Extended Data Fig. S10). This residual could 267
be compensated by spontaneous area change, which is also required by the 268
observation that overall tissue size increases during eversion.   269

When we only input the isotropic strain, $\underline{\underline{\lambda}}_A = \{\lambda_A^*, 1\}$, from observed cell 270
area changes as spontaneous strain in the model, overall size increases with 271
minimal curvature change (Fig. 4h). This result indicates that although cell 272
area changes are an active behavior and lead to overall size increase, they do 273
not significantly contribute to changes in tissue shape. However, there is a 274
transient effect of cell area changes on tissue curvature at time point t2 (note 275
dip in curve at t2 in Fig 4h). This transient effect in the scenario of spontaneous 276
area strain only arises from the experimentally observed pause in cell area 277
expansion in the DVB at 2hAPF as compared to the outDVB (Extended Data 278
Fig. S7c, compare DVB and outDVB). This curvature difference disappears 279
when the cell area in the DVB expands to match the outDVB at 4hAPF (Fig. 280
4h). Measuring $\underline{\underline{\lambda}}_A^{\mathrm{res}}$, we find that cell area changes themselves create a small 281
residual in the DVB (Fig. 4i, Extended Data Fig. S10). The anisotropic part 282
of this residual could also contribute to the observed passive cell elongations. 283

Using these examples, we next infer the spontaneous strain patterns that 284
drive tissue shape changes and govern cellular behaviors. We have found that 285
both cell rearrangements and cell area changes are active and contribute to 286
spontaneous strain. We therefore conclude that cell elongation is a passive 287
elastic response and does not contribute to spontaneous strain. The total spon- 288
taneous strain, therefore, is composed of the anisotropic part of the observed 289

strain due to rearrangements ($\tilde{\lambda}_R^*$, Fig. 4b) and the isotropic part of the observed cell area changes (λ_A^*, Fig. 4b) compensated by the isotropic part of the residual strain due to cell rearrangements (λ_R^{res}, Fig. 4e.ii). Fig. 4j shows the shape changes generated by this total spontaneous strain, which accounts for the curvature and size changes observed from wL3 to 4hAPF in the everting wing disc (see also Extended Data Fig. S9b, Supplemental movie 2). The good qualitative agreement between model output (Fig. 4j) and observed wing pouch curvature changes (Fig. 4a) indicates that the in-plane pattern of spontaneous strain by cell behaviors during eversion is sufficient to capture morphogenesis and that we have identified the most relevant active cellular events responsible for the pouch shape change during eversion. Furthermore, the patterns of residual strains generated by the model provide a prediction of the mechanical stresses present in the wing disc pouch after eversion. In particular, after eversion (at 4hAPF), cells experience elongation due to shear stress as well as area constriction due to compressive stresses (Fig. 4k, Extended Data Fig. S7).

Fig. 4 **a**, Overlay of a wL3 (white) and a 4hAPF (cyan) wing pouch (left) and plots of the average change in tissue curvature in the topologically tracked region in across-DVB (middle) and along-DVB (right) directions. **b**, Observed strain from cellular behaviors between time points wL3 to 4hAPF as a function of normalized distance from origin r and ρ. Observed strains arise from (left to right): rearrangements $(\tilde{\lambda}_R^*)$, cell elongation changes $(\tilde{\lambda}_Q^*)$, and cell area changes (λ_A^*). Half circles indicate the outDVB region; the rectangular box indicates the DVB. The color represents the magnitude of different strains; the bars visualize the direction of observed strain for $\tilde{\lambda}_R^*$ and $\tilde{\lambda}_Q^*$. **c**, The model coordinates are designed to match the geometry of spatial patterns in the wing disc pouch (See also Fig. 3c). **d,f,h** Observed in-plane strain from rearrangements (**d**, $\tilde{\lambda}_R^*$), cell elongation changes (**f**, $\tilde{\lambda}_Q^*$), and cell area changes (**h**, λ_A^*) are inserted in the model as spontaneous strains by a change in rest length of the springs (δ^o/δ^*). To compare the initial and final stages (corresponding to wL3 to 4hAPF), the model cross-section shows the shape in the across-DVB direction. The change in curvature of the model outcomes are plotted for all time points (right) in the across-DVB and along-DVB directions. The initial shape is a spherical cap with a radius resembling the wL3 stage. t1,t2, and final stages are the model results from the change in strains by 0,2, and 4hAPF. $\underline{\lambda}$ contains observed strains from the individual measured cell behaviors, while the other components (λ or $\tilde{\lambda}$) are set to 1. **e.i,g.i,i.i**, Input spontaneous strain for $\tilde{\lambda}_R^*$ (**e**), $\tilde{\lambda}_Q^*$ (**g**), and λ_A^* (**i**) at the final eversion time point (λ, $\tilde{\lambda}$) and the resulting strain that is achieved after relaxation of the model, which can be isotropic (F) and anisotropic ($\tilde{F}$). **e.ii,g.ii,i.ii**, Residual strain that remains at the final time point. The colors shows the magnitude of strain using the same range as indicated in Fig. 4b. Plots are split vertically to show the isotropic component (λ) on the left and the anisotropic component ($\tilde{\lambda}$) on the right. **j, k**, Model output and residual strains for the inferred spontaneous strains, following the same procedure as in **d-i**.

5 MyoVI knockdown alters active cell behaviors resulting in a tissue shape phenotype

So far, our analysis has revealed that active in-plane cell behaviors can drive tissue shape changes in the wing blade during eversion. We now use a genetic perturbation to confirm the role of active cell behaviors. Previous work in the wing disc pouch of earlier larval stages showed that cell rearrangements drive cell shape patterns [33]. This work suggested that patterns of active cell rearrangements self-organize via mechanosensitive feedback mediated by MyoVI. We therefore next investigate whether MyoVI knockdown in the wing pouch (Extended Data Fig. S11) alters cell rearrangements during eversion and leads to a tissue shape phenotype.

We observe that the MyoVI$^{\mathrm{RNAi}}$ wing disc fails to form a flat bi-layer after eversion, even though its initial shape is similar to the *wild type* (wt) (Fig. 5a.i). This phenotype is best captured in the behavior of curvature in the across-DVB direction (Fig. 5a.ii). Here, the curvature decreases in the center, in contrast to wt, where it increases. In the along-DVB direction, the curvature remains unchanged over time in the MyoVI$^{\mathrm{RNAi}}$ knockdown (Fig. 5a,b, Extended Data Fig. S11c, d).

Strikingly, other features of eversion, such as the opening of the folds and the removal of the peripodial membrane are unaffected by the MyoVI$^{\mathrm{RNAi}}$ knockdown (Fig. 5a, see 4hAPF), indicating that the cause for the altered shape is pouch-intrinsic. This result further supports the idea that tissue shape changes in the wing pouch during eversion are independent of other morphogenetic events happening in the wing disc and instead rely on active cell behaviors in the pouch.

Next, we quantified cell behaviors in MyoVI$^{\mathrm{RNAi}}$. While initially the gradients in cell areas and elongation are similar to wt (Extended Data Fig. S11e,f), the inferred strains from individual types of cell behaviors $\underline{\lambda}^*$ differ (Fig. 5c, Extended Data Fig. S12). From work in earlier larval stages, we expect oriented rearrangements to be reduced [33]. Indeed, we find that MyoVI$^{\mathrm{RNAi}}$ reduces the amount of radial cell rearrangements in the outDVB during eversion. However, in the DVB, rearrangements are of opposite orientation as compared to wt eversion. Notably, we also see a complete lack of cell area expansion in the DVB (Fig. 5c, Extended Data Fig.S11e). The pattern of cell elongations in the outDVB is similar to wt, but in the DVB it is of perpendicular orientation.

Using the programmable spring model, we test how the reduction of spontaneous strain due to cell rearrangements affects tissue shape changes. When we input $\underline{\lambda}_R = \{1, \tilde{\lambda}_R^*\}$ from cell rearrangements measured in MyoVI$^{\mathrm{RNAi}}$ as spontaneous strain in the model, we see only a slight increase in curvature in the final time point in both along- and across-DVB directions (Fig. 5d). Thus, we conclude that the reduction of cell rearrangements in MyoVI$^{\mathrm{RNAi}}$ as compared to wt contributes to the abnormal tissue shape changes happening during eversion in MyoVI$^{\mathrm{RNAi}}$. We find that the anisotropic component of the residual strain ($\tilde{\lambda}_R^{\mathrm{res}}$) is small and tangentially oriented in the outDVB and

radially in the DVB, similar to the cell elongation pattern (Fig. 5c,e, Extended 349
Data Fig. S13). If we input measured cell elongation changes as spontaneous 350
strain in the model ($\underline{\underline{\lambda}}_Q = \{1, \lambda_Q^*\}$), we do not recapitulate the observed tis- 351
sue shape changes (Extended Data Fig. S14). This result suggests that the 352
cell elongation changes in MyoVI[RNAi] are a passive response to spontaneous 353
strain by cell rearrangements, as in wt. 354

While the change in spontaneous strain due to rearrangements captures a 355
significant portion of the difference between the wt and MyoVI[RNAi] (compare 356
Fig. 5b with Fig. 5d), it fails to recapitulate the finer progression of shape from 357
wL3 to 4hAPF in MyoVI[RNAi]. In particular, the curvature at the final time 358
point of the model calculation is not flattened in the center of the across-DVB 359
direction, and the curvature increases slightly in both directions (Fig. 5b,d). 360

Thus, we proceed to input the observed cell area changes as spontaneous 361
strains in the model ($\underline{\underline{\lambda}}_A = \{\lambda_A^*, 1\}$). We find that they produce shape changes 362
over time similar to those observed during MyoVI[RNAi] eversion, recapitulating 363
both the decrease in curvature in the center of the across-DVB direction and 364
the lack of curvature change in the along-DVB direction (Fig. 5f, Supplemen- 365
tal movie 3). We conclude, therefore, that the subtle flattening in the pouch 366
center in MyoVI[RNAi] during eversion can be explained by the combination of 367
cell area expansion in the outDVB with no area expansion in the DVB. This 368
result highlights that, while cell area changes do not lead to a curvature change 369
in wt, the difference in area expansion between the tissue regions results in the 370
MyoVI[RNAi] shape. In addition, although we did not observe cell area expan- 371
sion in the DVB, the area expansion in the outDVB creates residual strains in 372
both regions (Fig. 5g, Extended Data Fig. S13). These residual strains have an 373
anisotropic component that, together with the residual strains from cell rear- 374
rangements, account for the measured cell elongation patterns in MyoVI[RNAi] 375
(compare Fig. 5c,e.ii,g.ii)). 376

In sum, the results from the MyoVI[RNAi] perturbation validate the idea 377
that the wing disc pouch deforms like a shape-programmable material. First, 378
by locally perturbing MyoVI, we show that we can alter the normal tissue 379
shape change, even though the tissue outside behaves normally, demonstrating 380
that the shape change is tissue autonomous. Second, we show that reducing 381
the active cell rearrangements in the pouch alters the tissue shape outcome, 382
consistent with our theoretical model. 383

Fig. 5 a, MyoVI^{RNAi} phenotype during eversion (scale bars $= 100~\mu m$). Representative across-DVB cross-sections (**a.i**) and comparison of apical shape between MyoVI^{RNAi} and control (**a.ii**). **b**, Overlay of a wL3 (white) and a 4hAPF (cyan) MyoVI^{RNAi} wing pouch (left) and plots of the average change in tissue curvature in the topologically tracked region for across-DVB (middle) and along-DVB (right) directions. **c**, Observed strain from cellular behaviors in MyoVI^{RNAi} wing discs between time points wL3 to 4hAPF. Plots are split vertically with the observed strains for *wild type* (WT) for comparison on the left and MyoVI^{RNAi} on the right. Measured strains come from $\tilde{\lambda}_R^*$, $\tilde{\lambda}_Q^*$, and λ_A^*. Quarter circles indicate the outDVB region, and the rectangular box indicates the DVB. The color represents the magnitude of different strains; the bars indicate the direction of observed strain for $\tilde{\lambda}*_R$ and $\tilde{\lambda}*_Q$. **d,f**, Observed in-plane behaviors are inserted in the model as spontaneous strains by a change in rest lengths of the springs (δ^o/δ^*). The initial stage is a spherical cap with the radius taken to resemble the shape at the *wild type* wL3 stage. t1,t2, and final stages are the model results after a change in spring rest length according to observed strains from 0,2, and 4hAPF for MyoVI^{RNAi}. $\underline{\underline{\lambda}}$ contains observed strains from rearrangements (**d**) or area changes (**f**), while the other components (λ_R or $\tilde{\lambda}_A$) are set to 1. **e.i,g.i**, Input spontaneous strain ((λ and $\tilde{\lambda}$) at the final eversion time point and comparison with the resulting strain that is achieved after relaxation of the model (F and $\tilde{F}$). **e.ii,g.ii**, Residual strain that remains from the difference between input and resulting spontaneous strain at the final time point, plotted in the same way as Fig. 4e,g,i,k.ii.

6 Discussion

In this work, we show that 3D epithelial tissue morphogenesis in the *Drosophila* wing disc is based on in-plane spontaneous strains generated by active cellular behaviors. We develop a metric-free, topological method to quantify patterns of cell dynamics on arbitrarily shaped tissue surfaces, as well as a theoretical

approach to tissue morphogenesis inspired by shape-programmable materials. 389
These advancements together reveal the mechanics of tissue shape changes 390
during wing disc eversion, showing that active rearrangements and active area 391
expansion govern the 3D tissue shape and size changes. 392

We hypothesize that the organization of active behaviors during wing ever- 393
sion arises from patterning during larval growth. First, the pre-patterned radial 394
cell area gradient resolves during eversion, giving rise to a gradient of spon- 395
taneous strain in the outDVB. Second, the orientation of cell rearrangements 396
follows that of earlier stages, indicating that the mechanosensitive feedback 397
that was revealed in previous work is still active during eversion. Overall, this 398
suggests a developmental mechanism through which mechanical cues at early 399
stages organize cell behavior patterns that later resolve, resulting in a tissue 400
shape change. Such behavior would resemble biochemical pre-patterning, in 401
which cell fates are often defined long before differentiation. 402

Active, patterned rearrangements can robustly give rise to a specific target 403
shape if the tissue is solid on the time scale of morphogenesis. Our work there- 404
fore reveals that the everting wing disc behaves as an elastic solid undergoing 405
plastic deformation and demonstrates that the mere presence of rearrange- 406
ments should not be taken as a sign of a fluid tissue with a vanishing elastic 407
modulus. Many animal tissues with dynamic rearrangements could thus be in 408
the solid regime and therefore be pre-patterned towards a target shape. Our 409
work, inspired by shape programmability of complex materials, reveals prin- 410
ciples of shape generation that could be quite general. We therefore propose 411
that many other morphogenetic events could and should be considered – and 412
better understood – through the lens of shape-programmability. 413

7 Methods   414

7.1 Experimental model   415

All experiments were performed with publicly available *Drosophila* 416
melanogaster lines. Flies were maintained at 25°C under 12hr light/dark cycle 417
and fed with standard food containing cornmeal, yeast extract, soy flour, malt, 418
agar, methyl 4-hydroxybenzoate, sugar beet syrup, and propionic acid. Adult 419
flies were transferred to fresh food 2-3 times per week. Only males were stud- 420
ied for consistency and due to their smaller size. As *wild type*, we used the F1 421
offspring of a cross between *w-;ecad::GFP* and *w;nub-Gal4,ecad::GFP;;*. 422

7.2 *Drosophila melanogaster* lines   423

genotype	construct	origin
w-; ecad::GFP;;	Ecadherin::GFP	Bloomington #60584
w; nub-Gal4, ecad::GFP;;	nub-Gal4	Bloomington #86108
w-; ecad::GFP, myoVI^{RNAi};;	MyoVI^{RNAi}	VDRC #37534

7.3 Image acquisition and processing

Sample preparation: Wing discs of larval stages were dissected in culture medium as previously described [36], without surface sterilization or antibiotics. Prepupal stages from 0 to 6 hAPF required a slightly different dissection strategy. Prepupae were marked by the time of white Pupa formation and collected with a wet brush after the required time interval. Next, pupae were placed on a wet tissue, cleaned with a wet brush to remove residual food, and transferred into glass staining blocks (see Ref. [36]) filled with dissection medium. To dissect the wing disc, a small cut was performed with fine surgical scissors (2.5 mm, FST 15000-08, Fine Science Tools GmbH) at the posterior end, which creates a small hole to release pressure. This allowed for the next cut to be performed at half the anterior-posterior length, separating the anterior and posterior halves. Next, the anterior part of the puparium was first opened at the anterior end by administering a cut just posterior to the spiracles and then a second cut was performed on the ventral side along the PD-axis. The pupal case was then held open with one forceps, and a second forceps in the other hand was used to remove the wing disc . To dissect wing discs from 0hAPF, the pupa is still soft enough to be turned inside-out after the cut that separates anterior and posterior halves, similar to larval stages.

Imaging: Imaging was performed with a Zeiss Lightsheet 1 system. Wing discs were mounted in capillaries (Zeiss, Capillary size 1, inner diameter ca. 0.68 mm) with 1 % low melting-point agarose (LMA, Serva, CAS 9012-36-6). LMA was prepared by mixing 1:1 of Grace's insect medium and a 2% LMA stock solution in water. Wing discs were transferred into mounting medium in U-glass dishes, aspirated into the capillary at room temperature, and imaged immediately after the LMA solidified. The imaging chamber was filled with Grace's insect medium (measured refractive index = 1.3424). For pupal stages, four imaging angles (dorsal, ventral, and 2 lateral) with 90° rotation were acquired; for larval stages, three imaging angles (dorsal and ventral in one, and 2 lateral) were acquired. Data from dual illumination was fused on the microscope using a mean fusion.

Multiview reconstruction: Multiview reconstruction was based on the BigStitcher plugin in Fiji [37, 38]. Images were acquired without fluorescent beads, and multiview reconstruction was done using a semi-automated approach. Individual views are manually pre-aligned. Thereafter, precise multiview alignment was computed based on bright spots in the data with an affine transformation model using the iterative Closest Point (ICP) algorithm. Next, images were oriented to show the apical side in XY and lateral in ZY. Lastly, images were deconvolved using point spread functions extracted from the bright spots and saved as tif files with a manually specified bounding box.

Surface extraction of 3D images for visualization: Surfaces shown in Fig. 1 and Supplemental movie were extracted from 2hAPF and wL3 images. To do so, we first trained a pixel classifier on the strong apical signal of Ecadherin-GFP of a different image of the same stage with napari-accelerated-pixel-and-object-classification [39, 40]. Feature sizes of 1-5 pixels were used to

predict the foreground on the target image. Next, we used the pyclesperanto 469
library [41] to select the largest labels and close gaps in the segmentation with 470
the closing sphere algorithm. For additional gap-filling in the 2hAPF time 471
point, we used vedo [42] to generate a pointcloud and extract the pointcloud 472
density. When necessary, we applied some manual pruning of the segmenta- 473
tion in napari. We repeated this processing on the weak Ecadherin-GFP signal 474
from the lateral membrane and subtracted the apical segmentation from the 475
output. As a result, we achieve a full tissue segmentation that stops just below 476
the apical junction layer. We then extracted the surface by the napari-process- 477
points-and-surfaces [43] library and applied smoothing and filling holes. The 478
visualizations were generated using Paraview [44]. Regions and directions of 479
the cross-sections were annotated in Illustrator. Supplemental movies were 480
created using paraview and Fiji [38]. 481

7.4 Quantifying curvature of cross-sections 482

Tissue shape analysis is performed on multi-angle fused SPIM images. We used 483
Fiji re-slicing tools to generate two orthogonal cross-sections along the apical- 484
basal direction. Across-DVB is a cross-section along the center of the long axis 485
of the wing disc. To find the center, we used the position of the sensory organ 486
precursors and general morphology. The along-DVB cross-section follows the 487
DVB and was identified by Ecadherin-GFP signal intensity. The apical pouch 488
shape was outlined manually along both directions over the pouch region up to 489
the HP-fold using custom Fiji macros. Subsequent pouch shape analysis was 490
performed in Python. The tissue shape information was extracted form Fiji 491
into Python using the Python 'read-roi' package. 492

The extracted apical shapes were aligned and rotated for each wing disc as 493
follows. First, starting from the left-most point in the curve, we measure the 494
arc length of the curve in the clockwise direction. The arc length of the ith 495
point on the curve is given by 496

$$s(i) = \sum_{i=2}^{n} \|\underline{x}_i - \underline{x}_{i-1}\|, \tag{1}$$

where n is the number of points in the discrete curve and $\underline{x}_i = (x_i, y_i)$ is the 497
position vector of the ith point. We keep $s(i=1) = 0$. 498

Next, we define the center of the curve at the middle and offset the arc 499
lengths to have $s = 0$ at the center. This leads to negative arc lengths on the 500
left side of the center and positive arc lengths on the right side of the center 501
(Extended Data Fig. S1, S5). 502

In order to compute a mean curve from different wing discs of the same 503
developmental stage, we translate and rotate the curves (Extended Data Fig. 504
S1b). We translate each curve by setting their midpoints as the origin $(0,0)$. To 505
rotate the curve, we compute the center of mass of the curve. Then, we define 506
the new y axis as the line that joins the center of mass to the origin. Finally, 507
for each curve, we smoothen and interpolate between the discrete points using 508

509 spline interpolation. We use the *scipy.interpolate.UnivariateSpline* function of
510 scipy [45]. To smoothen the spline, we define five knot points, one being the
511 mid-point of the curve, and two others being at three-fourth and half of length
512 from mid-point from either sides. Next, we compute the curvature of each
513 curve using the following expression

$$\kappa = \frac{x'y'' - y'x''}{(x'^2 + y'^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}}, \tag{2}$$

where $'$ refers to the derivative with respect to the parameter of the curve,
which is arc length in our case.
Finally, to compute an average curve, we get the average position vectors at
arc lengths starting from a minimum arc length until a maximum arc length in
intervals of $5\mu m$. We do similar averaging for curvature values to get average
curvature profiles.
To calculate the change in curvature, we normalize each curve from 0 to
1 and use a linear interpolation with 40 positions to subtract the initial from
subsequent curvatures. We then re-introduce the average arc length for each
each developmental stage for each of the normalized positions.

7.5 Segmentation of the apical junction network

To analyze cell shapes, we use four angles separated by 90° for the segmenta-
tion of early pupal stages, and a single angle for larval wing discs (Extended
Data Fig. S4). Z-stacks from each imaging angle were denoised if necessary,
by using the N2V algorithm [46], and the signal to background ratio was
further improved by background subtraction tools in Fiji [38]. We made 2D
projections of the Ecadherin-GFP signal in the Disc Proper layer as previously
described [47]. Importantly, this algorithm also outputs a height-map image,
which encodes the 3D information in the intensity of each pixel. The cells in
the wing pouch were segmented using Tissue Analyzer and manually corrected
[48]. We chose a bond length cutoff of 2 pixels ($\sim 0.46\mu m$). The ventral side
for 0 hAPF was excluded from the analysis, as at this stage, the ventral region
is never fully in view from any imaging angle. The number of wing discs per
time point and the images for each region are indicated in Table 1. Images were
rotated to orient distal down. Height-map images were rotated accordingly
using imagemagickTM software (ImageMagick Development Team, 2021). We
use Fiji macros included with TissueMiner [49] to manually specify regions of
interest (ROIs). The DVB is identified based on Ecadherin-GFP signal inten-
sity [50] and the dorsal vs. ventral pouch by their positions relative to global
tissue morphology. For larval stages, the DVB, dorsal, and ventral regions are
identified in one image. For images showing lateral views of pupal stages, the
DVB is identified, whereas for images showing the outDVB region, the dorsal
or ventral region and the cells next to the DVB are labelled. The cells next to
the DVB are required as a landmark for topological analysis but are otherwise

not analysed separately. We then run the TissueMiner workflow to create a   548
relational database.   549

Table 1 wing disc data

Genotype	Stage	Number of wing discs	ROI	Replicates
E-cad::GFP, nub-Gal4	96hAEL	7	DVB	7
			dorsal	7
			ventral	7
	120hAEL	5	DVB	5
			dorsal	5
			ventral	5
	wL3	5	DVB	5
			dorsal	5
			ventral	5
	0hAPF	7	DVB	7
			dorsal	7
	2hAPF	5	DVB	5
			dorsal	5
			ventral	5
	4hAPF	7	DVB	7
			dorsal	7
			ventral	7
	6hAPF	6	DVB	6
			dorsal	4
			ventral	4
E-cad::GFP, nub-Gal4, MyoVIRNAi	wL3	5	DVB	5
			dorsal	5
			ventral	5
	0hAPF	6	DVB	6
			dorsal	6
	2hAPF	6	DVB	6
			dorsal	5
			ventral	6
	4hAPF	5	DVB	5
			dorsal	5
			ventral	5
	6hAPF	7	DVB	7
			dorsal	6
			ventral	6

7.6 3D cellular network   550

We represent the configuration of the cellular network by positions of the cell   551
vertices, where three or more cell bonds meet, and their topological relations   552
as in TissueMiner [49]. We extend TissueMiner to the third dimension using   553
the information extracted from height-maps, as described in Methods 7.5.   554

7.7 Measurement of cell area and cell elongation tensor   555

Each cell α in the 3D network contains N^α vertices $\underline{v}_i^\alpha$, defining the network   556
geometry. For every cell, we define a centroid $\underline{R}^\alpha$, an area A^α, and a unit   557

558 normal vector $\underline{\hat{N}}^{\alpha}$ as

$$\underline{R}^{\alpha} = \frac{1}{N^{\alpha}} \sum_{i=1}^{N^{\alpha}} \underline{v}_i, \quad A^{\alpha} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N^{\alpha}} \|\underline{n}_i^{\alpha}\|, \quad \underline{\hat{N}}^{\alpha} = \frac{1}{\|\sum_{i=1}^{N^{\alpha}} \underline{n}_i^{\alpha}\|} \sum_{i=1}^{N^{\alpha}} \underline{n}_i^{\alpha}, \quad (3)$$

where $\underline{n}_i^{\alpha} = (\underline{v}_{i+1}^{\alpha} - \underline{v}_i^{\alpha}) \times (\underline{R}^{\alpha} - \underline{v}_i^{\alpha})$ is the normal vector on the triangle formed
by one edge of the cell and the vector pointing from the cell vertex to the cell
centroid. It has a norm equal to twice the area of the triangle.
We then create a subcelluar triangulation by connecting the two consec-
utive vertices in every cell with its centroid $\{\underline{v}_i, \underline{v}_{i+1}, \underline{R}^{\alpha}\}$. This creates a
complete triangulation that depends both on the vertex positions and the
centroids of the cellular network.
Each triangle is defined by its three vertices $\{\underline{R}_0, \underline{R}_1, \underline{R}_2\}$, which define two
triangle vectors $\underline{E}_1$, $\underline{E}_2$ and its unit normal vector $\underline{\hat{N}}$

$$\underline{E}_1 = \underline{R}_1 - \underline{R}_0, \quad \underline{E}_2 = \underline{R}_2 - \underline{R}_0, \quad \underline{\hat{N}} = \frac{\underline{E}_1 \times \underline{E}_2}{\|\underline{E}_1 \times \underline{E}_2\|}. \quad (4)$$

568 These vectors also define the local basis on the triangle. Using the triangle
569 vectors, we can define the area of the triangle and the rotation angles θ_x and
570 θ_y that rotate a vector parallel to the z-axis of the lab reference frame to the
571 vector normal to the plane of the triangle

$$A = \frac{1}{2} \|\underline{E}_1 \times \underline{E}_2\|, \quad \theta_x = -\arctan(N_y, N_z), \quad \theta_y = \arctan(N_x, 1 - N_x^2). \quad (5)$$

572 Here, $\arctan(x, y)$ is the element wised arc tangent of x/y, and N_i is a
573 component of the unit vector normal to the triangle plane.
574   For each triangle, we define the triangle shape tensor $\underline{\underline{S}}^{3d}$ as a tensor that
575 maps a reference equilateral triangle with area A_0 lying in the xy-plane, defined
576 by the vectors vectors $\underline{C}_i$ to the current triangle

$$\underline{E}_i = \underline{\underline{S}}^{3d} \underline{C}_i. \quad (6)$$

577 The vectors of the reference equilateral triangle are

$$\underline{C}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} l \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \underline{C}_2 = \begin{pmatrix} l/2 \\ \sqrt{3}/2l \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \underline{C}_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (7)$$

578 where the side length $l = \sqrt{4A_0/\sqrt{3}}$ with $A_0 = 1$.
579   The triangle shape tensor $\underline{\underline{S}}^{3d}$ can be written in terms of a planar state
580 tensor $\underline{\underline{S}}^{planar}$ in the reference frame of the triangle as

$$\underline{\underline{S}}^{3d} = \underline{\underline{R}}_x(\theta_x) \underline{\underline{R}}_y(\theta_y) \underline{\underline{S}}^{planar}, \quad (8)$$

where $\underline{\underline{R}}_x(\theta_x)$ and $\underline{\underline{R}}_y(\theta_y)$ are rotations around the x and y axis, respectively. 581
The angles θ_x and θ_y are defined in Eq. 5. The planar triangle state tensor, 582
represented by a 3x3 matrix with the z components set to 0, can be decomposed 583
as 584

$$\underline{\underline{S}}^{\text{planar}} = \sqrt{\frac{A}{A_0}} \underline{\underline{R}}_z(\phi) \exp(\|\underline{\underline{\widetilde{Q}}}\|\underline{\underline{\gamma}}) \underline{\underline{R}}_z(-\phi) \underline{\underline{R}}_z(\theta_z) \tag{9}$$

as in TissueMiner. Here, $\underline{\underline{\gamma}}$ is a diagonal matrix with diagonal elements 585
$\{1, -1, 0\}$, and $\underline{\underline{R}}_z$ is the rotation matrix around the z-axis. A is the area of 586
the triangle, $\|\underline{\underline{\widetilde{Q}}}\|$ the magnitude of the elongation tensor, ϕ the direction of 587
elongation in the xy-plane, and θ_z is the rotation angle around the z-axis rel- 588
ative to the reference unilateral triangle. The 3D elongation tensor $\underline{\underline{\widetilde{Q}}}$ in the 589
lab reference frame and the elongation tensor in the xy-plane of the triangle 590
$\underline{\underline{\widetilde{Q}}}^{\text{planar}}$ are related by 591

$$\underline{\underline{\widetilde{Q}}} = \underline{\underline{R}}_x(\theta_x)\underline{\underline{R}}_y(\theta_y)\underline{\underline{\widetilde{Q}}}^{\text{planar}}\underline{\underline{R}}_x(-\theta_y)\underline{\underline{R}}_y(-\theta_x) \tag{10}$$

$$\underline{\underline{\widetilde{Q}}}^{\text{planar}} = \underline{\underline{R}}_z(\phi) \exp(\|\underline{\underline{\widetilde{Q}}}\|\underline{\underline{\gamma}})\underline{\underline{R}}_x(-\phi). \tag{11}$$

The magnitude of elongation is calculated as [51] 593

$$\|\underline{\underline{\widetilde{Q}}}\| = \text{arcsinh}\left(\frac{\|\underline{\underline{S}}^{ts}\|}{\sqrt{\|\underline{\underline{S}}^{ta}\|^2 - \|\underline{\underline{S}}^{ts}\|^2}}\right). \tag{12}$$

where $\|\underline{\underline{S}}^{ta}\|$ and $\|\underline{\underline{S}}^{ts}\|$ are the norms of the trace-antisymmetric and traceless- 594
symmetric part of the planar triangle state tensor $\underline{\underline{S}}^{\text{planar}}$, respectively. The 595
angle of the elongation tensor is given by 596

$$\phi = \frac{1}{2}\text{arctan2}\,(B_{xy}, B_{xx}), \tag{13}$$

where B_{ij} are the components of the nematic part of the triangle state tensor 597
$\underline{\underline{S}}$ and arctan2$(x1, x2)$ the inverse tangent of $x1/x2$, where the sign of $x1$ and 598
$x2$ is taken into account. In this way, one can select the branch the multivalued 599
inverse tangent function that corresponds to the angle defined by the point 600
$(x1, x2)$ in a plane. 601

We now define the cell elongation tensor as the area-weighted average of 602
the corresponding triangle elongations 603

$$\underline{\underline{Q}}^\alpha = \frac{1}{A^\alpha} \sum_{t \in \text{cell}} a^t \underline{\underline{Q}}^t, \tag{14}$$

where A^α is the area of the cell, a^t the area of a triangle that overlaps with 604
the cell, and $\underline{\underline{Q}}^t$ is the elongation tensor of that triangle. 605

To calculate the radial component of the cell elongation tensor relative to the origin in cell α, we first define the radial direction. To this end, we use a 3D vector $\underline{r}$ connecting the origin to the cell centroid and we project its direction $\hat{r} = \underline{r}/\|\underline{r}\|$ into the tangent plane of the cell, which defines the in-plane radial direction $\hat{r}_{\text{tangent}}$. The tangent plane of the cell is defined by its normal vector $\hat{\underline{N}}$ defined in Eq. 3. We calculate the radial components of the cell elongation tensor as

$$Q_{rr} \equiv \hat{\underline{r}}_{\text{tangent}} \cdot \underline{\underline{Q}} \cdot \hat{\underline{r}}_{\text{tangent}} \tag{15}$$

relative to the origin.

In the DVB, multiple cells form the origin. To calculate $Q_{\rho\rho}$, the vector $\underline{\rho}$ connects the cell centroid to the averaged position of the topologically nearest cells of $k = 0$. We project its direction $\hat{\rho} = \underline{\rho}/\|\underline{\rho}\|$ into the tangent plane of the cell α, which defines the in-plane direction $\hat{\underline{\rho}}_{\text{tangent}}$ from DVB origin. We calculate the components of the cell elongation tensor as

$$Q_{\rho\rho} \equiv \hat{\underline{\rho}}_{\text{tangent}} \cdot \underline{\underline{Q}} \cdot \hat{\underline{\rho}}_{\text{tangent}}. \tag{16}$$

7.8 Topological distance coordinate system

To calculate topological distances between any two cells, we determine the topological network using the python-igraph library [52].

In each of the tissue regions, we define separate origins:

- outDVB region: To define the origin of the outDVB regions, we first determine the pouch margin cells as cells that live on the outermost row of the segmentation mask and do not overlap with the DVB ROI. Then, for each cell in the region, we calculate the shortest topological distance to the margin cells. This identifies the set of maximally distant cells that have the maximal shortest topological distance to the margin. The origin is then defined as the cell that is neighboring the DVB and is at the shortest metric distance to the averaged position of maximally distant cells. At larval stages, both dorsal and ventral sides of the outDVB region are visible, and an origin cell is defined on both sides.
- DVB region: We define the origin to consist of a line of cells transversing the DVB. At larval stages, the origin cells are defined as those cells within $\sqrt{A_{\text{cell}}/\pi} * 1.2$ distance to a straight line connecting the dorsal and ventral center cells. For pupal stages, the origin cells for the DVB are defined as the first row of cells next to the margin of the segmentation mask on the distal side.

The so-identified origin cells serve as the origin for the topological distance (k) for each cell in the tissue. In this way, k follows the radial direction along the surface for the outDVB and the path along the the DVB for the DVB.

3D visualization of cell properties: We visualize cellular properties and cell elongation tensors on the 3D segmentation mask using paraview [44].

To plot a rank 2 tensor, like the cell elongation tensor, we take the largest eigenvalue of $\underline{\underline{Q}}^{\alpha}$ as the norm of elongation and the corresponding eigenvector as the direction of elongation that we can plot to the surface. Note that for cells / patches that are reasonably flat, the eigenvector with the eigenvalue closest to zero is (almost) parallel to the normal vector on the patch.

Spatial analysis of cell properties: We acquired data for 5 to 7 wing discs of each developmental stage. Images that were not of segment-able quality were excluded from the analysis. We average cell properties by k between dorsal and ventral for the outDVB and between images from both sides of the DVB. We use a cell area-weighted average for elongation.

7.9 Mechanics of the programmable spring lattice

We use a programmable spring lattice in the shape of a spherical cap to model the wing disc pouch, which is an epithelial monolayer.

Approximating the wing disc pouch as a spherical cap: We calculate the average radius of curvature of the apical side of the wing disc pouch at wL3 stage in the topologically tracked region as $R = 77.66\mu m$. The angular size of the spherical cap, denoted by θ_M, is given by

$$\theta_M = \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{w_{DV} + 2w_{ODV}}{R}\right), \tag{17}$$

where w_{DV} is the width of the DVB and w_{ODV} is the average in-surface distance from the DVB to the periphery of the outDVB region (Extended Data Fig. S8a). We calculate $w_{DV} = 15\mu m$ and $w_{ODV} = 59.77\mu m$. Using these calculated dimensions, we determine $\theta_M = 49.63°$.

Generating the lattice : We first generate a triangular lattice in the shape of a hollow sphere, keeping the radius of curvature R calculated above. This lattice was obtained using the function *meshzoo.icosa_sphere* available in the Python package Meshzoo (www.github.com/meshpro/meshzoo). In this function, we set the argument $refine\_factor = 30$, which leads to edges of length $3.11 \pm 0.18\mu m$. This edge length was found to be small enough to prevent computational errors in the simulations of this study. We then cropped the spherical lattice to obtain a spherical cap of angular size θ_M (calculated above, Extended Data Fig. S8b). Next, we place a second layer at the bottom of this lattice at a separation of h. This new layer is identical to the original lattice in terms of the topology of the lattice network but is rescaled to have a radius of curvature of $R - h$. We connect the two layers with programmable springs using the topology shown in the inset of Extended Data Fig. S8c. The lattice obtained this way represents an elastic surface of thickness h, which can be changed to tune the bending rigidity of the model. Vertices typically have 13 neighbors (6 on their own layer and 7 on the other layer). However, six to eight

vertices out of about 3220 vertices in the whole network form point defects.
These vertices have 11 neighbors.
In order to remove any possible effects coming from the lattice structure
(angle of edges or degree of connectivity), we perform simulations for each
condition by taking spherical caps from 50 different regions of the sphere and
averaging the result. We see only very small variability in the final shape,
quantified by the standard deviation of the curvature change profiles in our
model results. Thus, we conclude that the lattice structure does not affect our
results.
Elastic energy of model: The edges of the lattice act as overdamped elastic
springs with rest lengths equal to their initial lengths. Hence, the model is
stress-free at $T = 0$

$$\delta_I^a = \|\Delta \underline{X}^a\|, \tag{18}$$

693 where a denotes a single spring; $\Delta \underline{X}^a$ denotes the spring vector given by $\underline{X}^\beta -$
694 $\underline{X}^\alpha$, where α and β are the vertices at the two ends of spring a and $\underline{X}^\alpha$ denotes
695 the position vector of vertex α. During a consequent time step T, the rest
696 length of spring a (δ_T^a) can differ from its current length δ. The elastic energy
697 of this state for the whole lattice is given by

$$W = \frac{1}{2} \sum_a k(\delta^a - \delta_T^a)^2, \tag{19}$$

698 where the sum is over all springs of the network and k represents the spring
699 constant. At each computational time step T, the model tries to find a preferred
700 configuration by minimizing W, hence T acts as a "quasi-static time step". To
701 minimize the energy of the model at a given T, we use overdamped dynamics
702 with smaller time steps τ, which restart for each new quasi-static time step T.

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d\mathbf{x}^\alpha}{d\tau} &= -\frac{1}{\gamma} \frac{\partial W}{\partial \mathbf{x}^\alpha} \\
&= -\frac{k}{\gamma} \sum_a (\delta^a - \delta_T^a) \, \hat{\underline{\delta}}^a .
\end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

Here, γ represents the friction coefficient. $\mathbf{x}^\alpha$ corresponds to the current posi-
tion of the vertex α. δ^a is the length of the springs connected to vertex α.
$\hat{\underline{\delta}}^a = (\mathbf{x}^\alpha - \mathbf{x}^\beta)/\delta^a = (\Delta \mathbf{x}^a)/\delta^a$ represents the unit vector along the spring a
that connects vertices α and β.
We relax the model at each quasi-static time step T to achieve force balance
by updating the positions of the particles using

$$\mathbf{x}^\alpha(\tau + d\tau) = \mathbf{x}^\alpha(\tau) - d\tau \frac{k}{\gamma} \sum_a (\delta^a - \delta_T^a) \, \hat{\underline{\delta}}^a , \tag{21}$$

709 where $d\tau \frac{k}{\gamma}$ was set to 0.01 (ensuring no numerical artifacts).

The particles were moved until the average movement of the particles 710
$\langle\|\mathbf{x}^\alpha(\tau+d\tau)-\mathbf{x}^\alpha(\tau)\|\rangle/R$ reduced to 10^{-9}, where R is the radius of curvature 711
of the outer surface of the spherical cap in the initial stress-free state. 712

7.10 Spontaneous strain tensor 713

Tissue shape change during development is modelled in this work as the 714
appearance of spontaneous strains, a change in the ground state of local length 715
scales. This notion can be captured with a spontaneous strain tensor field, 716
$\underline{\underline{\lambda}}(X)$, a rank 2 tensor. Each component corresponds to the multiplicative fac- 717
tor by which the rest lengths of the material changes in a particular direction. 718
In some general coordinate system, we can write $\underline{\underline{\lambda}}$ as 719

$$\underline{\underline{\lambda}} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_{11} & \lambda_{12} & \lambda_{13} \\ \lambda_{21} & \lambda_{22} & \lambda_{23} \\ \lambda_{31} & \lambda_{32} & \lambda_{33} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{22}$$

We choose the coordinate system so that it aligns with our desired deformation 720
pattern. In this case, $\underline{\underline{\lambda}}(X)$ is in a diagonal representation : 721

$$\underline{\underline{\lambda}} = \lambda_{11}(\underline{e}_1 \otimes \underline{e}_1) + \lambda_{22}(\underline{e}_2 \otimes \underline{e}_2) + \lambda_{33}(\underline{e}_3 \otimes \underline{e}_3), \tag{23}$$

where the basis vectors are chosen such that $\underline{e}_1, \underline{e}_2$ are surface tangents while 722
$\underline{e}_3$ is surface normal. In general, we keep $\lambda_{33} = 1$, since we do not input any 723
spontaneous strains along the thickness of the model. 724
The surface components of $\underline{\underline{\lambda}}$ can be further broken down into isotropic 725
and anisotropic components. Isotropic deformation changes the local area of 726
the surface by changing the local lengths equally in all directions. Anisotropic 727
deformation increases the local length in one direction while decreasing the 728
local length in the other direction so as to preserve the local area. Thus, 729
we decompose the deformation as a product of isotropic and anisotropic 730
contributions. 731

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_{11} &= \lambda\tilde{\lambda} \\ \lambda_{22} &= \lambda\tilde{\lambda}^{-1}. \end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

Then, the spontaneous deformation tensor can be written as 732

$$\underline{\underline{\lambda}} = \lambda\tilde{\lambda}(\underline{e}_1 \otimes \underline{e}_1) + \lambda\tilde{\lambda}^{-1}(\underline{e}_2 \otimes \underline{e}_2) + (\underline{e}_3 \otimes \underline{e}_3). \tag{25}$$

Finally, as $\underline{\underline{\lambda}}$ is a field, each of the components in the above equation generally 733
depend on the location on the surface, X. 734
Discretizing $\underline{\underline{\lambda}}$: As our spring lattice is discrete in nature, we use the following 735
strategy to discretize $\underline{\underline{\lambda}}$. For a single spring, $\underline{\underline{\lambda}}$ is an average of the value of $\underline{\underline{\lambda}}$ 736

737   on the two ends of the springs.

$$\underline{\underline{\lambda}}^a = \frac{1}{2}\left(\underline{\underline{\lambda}}(\underline{X}^\alpha) + \underline{\underline{\lambda}}(\underline{X}^\beta)\right), \tag{26}$$

738   where α and β are the two vertices of the spring a.

739   **Assigning new rest lengths to springs**: The initial length of spring a
740   connecting vertices α and β is given by

$$\delta_I^a = \|\underline{X}^\alpha - \underline{X}^\beta\| = \|\Delta\underline{X}^a\|. \tag{27}$$

741   To assign new rest lengths, we use

$$\delta_F^a = \|\underline{\underline{\lambda}}^a.\Delta\mathbf{X}^a\|. \tag{28}$$

Note that we assign a new rest length to any spring a based on the positions
of its vertices ($\underline{X}^\alpha$ and $\underline{X}^\beta$), independent of the layer in which these vertices
lie (top and bottom).

Implementing shape change over time: We increase the spontaneous
strain slowly to model the slow build up of stresses due to cell behaviours.
Hence, we first calculate the target rest length of springs (δ_F^a). At each time
step, we assign a rest length δ_T^a and minimize the energy of the model. We
increase δ_T^a in a simple linear manner from δ_I^a to δ_F^a

$$\delta_T^a = \delta_I^a + (\delta_F^a - \delta_I^a)\frac{T}{T_F}, \tag{29}$$

where T_F is the number of quasi-static time steps in which the whole simulation
takes place. Note that within each time step, the lattice is brought to a force
balance state. The simulations were performed for different choices of T_F (1, 2,
5), but we found that the differences in output shapes were undetectable. Still,
$T_F = 5$ was chosen to simulate the slow appearance of spontaneous strains.

Measuring resulting strains in model: In our spring model, displacements
are defined by positions of vertices and we define the deformation gradient
tensor $\underline{\underline{F}}^\alpha$ at each vertex α of the network.

For each spring a emerging from the vertex α, the deformation gradient
tensor should satisfy

$$\underline{x}^a = \underline{\underline{F}}^\alpha\underline{X}^a. \tag{30}$$

760   However, $\underline{\underline{F}}^\alpha$ contains 9 degrees of freedom, while there are 13 springs for each
761   vertex and therefore 13 independent equations to be satisfied. Note that six
762   to eight vertices out of about 3220 vertices in the whole network form point
763   defects and thus have 11 springs. Therefore, we define $\underline{\underline{F}}^\alpha$ as the tensor that

best satisfies conditions in Eqs. 30 by minimizing the sum of residuals squared 764

$$S = \sum_a \|\underline{\underline{F}}^\alpha \underline{X}^a - \underline{x}^a\|^2. \tag{31}$$

This is an ordinary least squares (OLS) problem split into three independent 765
basis vectors. We solved this OLS using the Numpy method *numpy.linalg.lstsq* 766
in cartesian coordinates [53]. We then express $\underline{\underline{F}}$ in the coordinate system cor- 767
responding to vertex α in the model explained above. From this, we calculate 768
the isotropic (F) and anisotropic $(\tilde{F})$ components using 769

$$F = \sqrt{F_{rr}F_{\phi\phi}} \tag{32}$$

$$\tilde{F} = \sqrt{F_{rr}/F_{\phi\phi}}. \tag{33}$$

Finally, we compute $\underline{\underline{\lambda}}^{\text{res}}$ as 770

$$\underline{\underline{\lambda}}^{\text{res}} = \underline{\underline{F}}\,\underline{\underline{\lambda}}^{-1}. \tag{34}$$

The isotropic (λ^{res}) and anisotropic components $(\tilde{\lambda}^{\text{res}})$ of $\underline{\underline{\lambda}}^{\text{res}}$ are calculated 771
in the same way as for $\underline{\underline{F}}$. 772

7.11 Nematic director pattern on spherical surface 773

In the initial state of the model, we specify a coordinate system on the spherical 774
surface in different regions (outDVB and DVB). These coordinate systems are 775
chosen such that the observed nematic patterns of spontaneous strains $(\tilde{\lambda})$ 776
align with the major axes of the chosen coordinate systems. 777

We first define the origins in our model similar to the origins defined in 778
the data. To do so, we first measure $\theta_D V$ (Extended Data Fig. 12 a). The 779
coordinates of O_D and O_V are then given by $(\pm R\sin(\theta_{DV}/2), 0, R\cos(\theta_{DV}/2))$ 780
in the cartesian coordinate system. The center for the DVB region is given by 781
the line O_{DV} which joins O_D and O_V. 782

In the outDVB region, we have a coordinate system in which the basis vec- 783
tors are given by $\underline{e}_r, \underline{e}_\phi, \underline{e}_h$ (Extended Data Fig. S8). $\underline{e}_h$ is simply the normal 784
vector on the spherical surface. To calculate $\underline{e}_r$ at a point, we draw a vector 785
from the origin in this region (O_D or O_V) to the point. We then take a pro- 786
jection of this vector onto the tangent plane of the surface and normalize it to 787
give us a unit vector. In this way, we calculate $\underline{e}_r$ as a surface tangent vector 788
emanating radially outwards from the origins of the outDVB regions. $\underline{e}_\phi$ is then 789
the direction perpendicular to $\underline{e}_r$ and $\underline{e}_h$. For each point in the outDVB region, 790
we calculate the geodesic distance between the point and the center point of 791
its region. We then normalize this distance by the maximum geodesic distance 792
from the center calculated in this region. This gives us a scalar coordinate r 793
which varies from 0 to 1. 794

In the DVB region, the basis vectors are given by $(\underline{e}_\rho, \underline{e}_w, \underline{e}_h)$ (Extended 795
Data Fig. S8). $\underline{e}_h$ is simply the normal vector on the spherical surface. To 796
calculate $\underline{e}_\rho$ at a point, we draw a vector from the nearest point on O_{DV} to 797

the point. We then take a projection of this vector onto the tangent plane of
the surface and normalize it to give us a unit vector. In this way, we calculate
$\underline{e}_\rho$ as a surface tangent vector emanating outwards from the center line of the
DVB region as well as parallel to the DVB. $\underline{e}_w$ is perpendicular to $\underline{e}_\rho$ and
$\underline{e}_h$. For each point in the outDVB region, we calculate the shortest distance
between the point and the center line of the DVB region. We then normalize
this distance by the maximum distance from the center line in the DVB region.
This gives us a scalar coordinate ρ.

For the simple examples presented in Fig 2c (except Fig 2c.iii), θ_{DV} was
set to be 0 to have a simple radial coordinate system. For Fig 2c.iii, $\theta_{DV} > \theta_M$.

7.12 Extracting the strain pattern from segmented images

To quantify the strain due to different cell behaviors along the basis vectors of
the chosen coordinate system, we compare cells within topologically tracked
bins between two different developmental stages.

Tracking location between developmental stages: We leverage the topo-
logical distance coordinate system to track locations between discs. Each
topological ring k is given a value N which denotes the cumulative number of
cells from the topological origin defined in each region (O_D, O_V, and O_{DV}).
We use N to track the location in our static images of different discs at differ-
ent developmental stages.

Observed strain due to cell area change: Cell area scales with square of
the distance between cell vertices. Thus, the factor by which the local lengths
change in all directions is given by

$$\lambda_A^*(N) = \sqrt{\frac{A(N, t + \Delta t)}{A(N, t)}}. \tag{35}$$

Here, t corresponds to an initial developmental stage, and $t + \Delta t$ corresponds
to a later developmental stage. A refers to the average cell area evaluated at N.

Observed strain due to cell elongation change: Each cell is given a
cell elongation tensor $\underline{\underline{Q}}$ that is the average of further subdivisions of the cell
polygon into triangles (Methods 7.7). Each triangle can be circumscribed by
an ellipse, the centroid of which coincides with the centroid of the triangle.
According to [54], the length of the long axis of the ellipse is given by $l = r_o \exp(\|\tilde{\underline{\underline{Q}}}\|)$, where r_o is the radius of a reference equilateral triangle. The
length of the short axis of the ellipse is given by $s = r_o \exp(-\|\tilde{\underline{\underline{Q}}}\|)$. The axes
of the ellipse match with the radial and tangential directions if the off-diagonal
components $Q_{r\phi}$ or $Q_{\rho\phi}$ are approximately 0. This was the case for our data as
well. The length scale associated with the radial direction is l if Q_{rr} or $Q_{\rho\rho}$ is
positive and s if Q_{rr} or $Q_{\rho\rho}$ is negative. Thus, we get a measure of the length

scales along the radial direction, which we denote by L and is given by   835

$$L = \exp\left(\sigma\|\tilde{\underline{\underline{Q}}}\|\right), \tag{36}$$

where σ is the sign of Q_{rr} or $Q_{\rho\rho}$.   836

We then average L within each ring and compute a ratio of the length scales   837
along the radial direction between two developmental stages by computing   838

$$\tilde{\lambda}_Q^*(N) = \frac{L(N, t + \Delta t)}{L(N, t)}. \tag{37}$$

Observed strain due to cell rearrangements: Rearrangements lead to   840
anisotropic deformation of the tissue. In our topological coordinate system,   841
radially oriented rearrangements lead to an increase in the number of rings   842
needed to accommodate some fixed number of cells (Extended Data Fig. S6).   843
Similarly, tangential rearrangements would lead to a decrease in the number of   844
topological rings. Thus, by measuring the change in the number of rings needed   845
to accommodate some fixed number of cells, we can estimate the deformation   846
due to the net effect of radial and tangential rearrangements.   847

In a tissue region at developmental stage t, let us consider a single ring with   848
index k and cumulative number of cells N. Ring k contains ΔN cells given by   849
$N(k, t) - N(k - 1, t)$. By construction, the number of rings needed to contain   850
ΔN cells at location N is given by $n(N, t) = 1$. For a later developmental stage,   851
$t + \Delta t$, we estimate $n(N, t + \Delta t)$ which is the number of rings that contain   852
ΔN cells at the location N. This is done by taking the difference between k 853
values evaluated at $t + \Delta t$ and at locations $N(k - 1, t)$ and $N(k, t)$ (see also   854
Extended Data Fig. S6)   855

$$n(N, t + \Delta t) = k(N(k, t), t + \Delta t) - k(N(k - 1, t), t + \Delta t). \tag{38}$$

As $n(N, t)$ and $n(N, t + \Delta t)$ are measures of the number of topological rings,   856
they represent the radial topological length scales that change due to cell   857
rearrangements. Thus, the strain due to cell rearrangements is quantified by   858

$$\lambda_R^*(N) = \frac{n(N, t + \Delta t)}{n(N, t)}. \tag{39}$$

$\lambda_R^*(N) > 1$ represents radial extension of the tissue due to radially oriented   859
rearrangements, while $\lambda_R^*(N) < 1$ represents tangential extension.   860
Observed strain due to combination of cell elongation change and   861
cell rearrangements: The combined strain due to cell elongation change and   862
cell rearrangements is given by   863

$$\tilde{\lambda}^*(N) = \tilde{\lambda}_Q^*(N)\tilde{\lambda}_R^*(N). \tag{40}$$

Mapping locations between wing disc images and model: In the model, we have a dimensionless scalar coordinate in the outDVB and DVB regions varying from 0 to 1. In the data as well, we prescribe a scalar coordinate to each topological bin. To do so, we calculate the path length in μm of the shortest path along cell centers from each cell to the origin and average this path length for each topological bin. For each bin, we normalize this path length by the average path length of the outermost topological bin in the corresponding region (DVB or outDVB). We call this normalized path length r for the outDVB region and ρ for the DVB region. Due to our normalization, r and ρ run from 0 to 1, similar to the model.

Thus, we are able to map any topological ring (identified by k and N) to a scalar coordinate r in outDVB or ρ in DVB. In Methods 7.11, we explain the mapping between r and ρ to the cartesian coordinates of the vertices in the model given by $\underline{X}^\alpha$, where α is a vertex. Using this mapping, any strain component, for example $\tilde{\lambda}_R^*(\underline{X}^\alpha)$, on the model vertex α can be evaluated from a corresponding $\tilde{\lambda}_R^*(N)$.

7.13 Quantifying curvature of model cross-sections

To quantify the curvature of the model output, we first isolate the top layer of the lattice. Then, we take the along-DVB cross-section (XZ plane) and the across-DVB cross-section (YZ plane). To take the cross-section, we record the points of intersection of the in-surface springs with the respective plane of the cross-section. From this, we get a discrete set of points that are ordered along their horizontal position to get a counter-clockwise curve. This curve data is now similar to the data we obtain from segmented images. Hence, we apply the exact same procedure describe above to quantify the curvature of the model output.

7.14 Tuning thickness

We tune the thickness of the model in order to change the bending modulus. We first perform simulations by inputting all cell behaviours (cell area changes, cell elongation changes and cell rearrangements) combined as spontaneous strains. We perform this simulation for different thicknesses $h/R = 0.05, 0.1, 0.15$, where h is the thickness of the model and R is the radius of curvature of the top surface of the initial state of the model (Extended Data Fig. S9a). We find that $h/R = 0.1$ gives us the best matching of the curvature change profiles with the wing disc pouch. Fixing $h/R = 0.1$, we perform further analysis to infer the spontaneous strains in the wing disc pouch. Inputting these inferred spontaneous strains, we again performed simulations for different thicknesses. We found $h/R = 0.1$ still matchs the wing disc pouch curvature change values best (Extended Data Fig. S9b).

Acknowledgements

We thank Christian Dahmann, Anne Classen, and past/present members of the Eaton, Dye, Modes, Julicher, and Popovic teams for discussions on the project prior to publication. Miki Ebisuya and Pavel Tomančák gave thoughtful feedback on the manuscript. We also thank the Light Microscopy Facility, the Computer Department, and the Fly Keepers of the MPI-CBG, as well as the Bioimage Analysis group at PoL for their support and expertise. This work was funded by Germany's Excellence Strategy – EXC-2068 – 390729961– Cluster of Excellence Physics of Life of TU Dresden, as well as grants awarded to SE from the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (SPP1782, EA4/10-2) and core funding of the Max-Planck Society. NAD additionally acknowledges funding from the Deutsche Krebshilfe (MSNZ P2 Dresden). AK was funded through the Elbe PhD program. CD acknowledges the support of a postdoctoral fellowship from the LabEx "Who Am I?" (ANR-11-LABX-0071) and the Université Paris Cité IdEx (ANR-18-IDEX-0001) funded by the French Government through its "Investments for the Future". We dedicate this work to our coauthor Prof. Dr. Suzanne Eaton, who tragically passed away near the beginning of the project.

Author Contributions

JFF: Conceptualization, Methodology, Software, Validation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Data Curation, Writing - Original Draft, Visualization
AK: Conceptualization, Methodology, Software, Validation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Data Curation, Writing - Original Draft, Visualization
JP: Methodology, Software, Validation, Data Curation
CD: Methodology, Validation
SE: Conceptualization, Resources, Funding acquisition, Supervision
MP: Methodology, Validation, Writing - Original Draft
FJ: Conceptualization, Writing - Original Draft, Supervision
CDM: Conceptualization, Methodology, Resources, Writing - Original Draft, Project administration, Supervision, Funding acquisition
NAD: Conceptualization, Methodology, Resources, Writing - Original Draft, Project administration, Supervision, Funding acquisition

References

[1] Miriam Osterfield, Xinxin Du, Trudi Schüpbach, Eric Wieschaus, and Stanislav Y. Shvartsman. Three-dimensional epithelial morphogenesis in the developing Drosophila egg. *Dev Cell*, 24(4):400–410, February 2013.

[2] Noah P Mitchell, Dillon J Cislo, Suraj Shankar, Yuzheng Lin, Boris I Shraiman, and Sebastian J Streichan. Visceral organ morphogenesis via calcium-patterned muscle constrictions. *eLife*, 11, May 2022.

[3] Amy E. Shyer, Tuomas Tallinen, Nandan L. Nerurkar, Zhiyan Wei, Eun Seok Gil, David L. Kaplan, Clifford J. Tabin, and L. Mahadevan. Villification: How the gut gets its villi. *Science*, 342(6155):212–218, October 2013.

[4] David Bilder and Saori L. Haigo. Expanding the morphogenetic repertoire: Perspectives from the drosophila egg. *Developmental Cell*, 22(1):12–23, January 2012.

[5] Akankshi Munjal, Edouard Hannezo, Tony Y.-C. Tsai, Timothy J. Mitchison, and Sean G. Megason. Extracellular hyaluronate pressure shaped by cellular tethers drives tissue morphogenesis. *Cell*, 184(26):6313–6325.e18, December 2021.

[6] Adam C Martin. The physical mechanisms of *Drosophila* gastrulation: Mesoderm and endoderm invagination. *Genetics*, 214(3):543–560, March 2020.

[7] Liyuan Sui, Silvanus Alt, Martin Weigert, Natalie Dye, Suzanne Eaton, Florian Jug, Eugene W. Myers, Frank Jülicher, Guillaume Salbreux, and Christian Dahmann. Differential lateral and basal tension drive folding of drosophila wing discs through two distinct mechanisms. *Nature Communications*, 9(1), November 2018.

[8] Carl Modes and Mark Warner. Shape-programmable materials. *Physics Today*, 69(1):32–38, January 2016. Publisher: American Institute of Physics.

[9] Jungwook Kim, James A. Hanna, Myunghwan Byun, Christian D. Santangelo, and Ryan C. Hayward. Designing responsive buckled surfaces by halftone gel lithography. *Science*, 335(6073):1201–1205, 2012.

[10] E. Efrati, E. Sharon, and R. Kupferman. Elastic theory of unconstrained non-euclidean plates. *Journal of the Mechanics and Physics of Solids*, 57(4):762–775, 2009.

[11] Taylor H. Ware, Michael E. McConney, Jeong Jae Wie, Vincent P. Tondiglia, and Timothy J. White. Voxelated liquid crystal elastomers. *Science*, 347(6225):982–984, 2015.

[12] Julien Dervaux and Martine Ben Amar. Morphogenesis of growing soft tissues. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 101:068101, Aug 2008.

[13] Utpal Nath, Brian C. W. Crawford, Rosemary Carpenter, and Enrico Coen. Genetic control of surface curvature. *Science*, 299(5611):1404–1407, 2003.

[14] James W. Fristrom, W. Robert Logan, and Collin Murphy. The synthetic and minimal culture requirements for evagination of imaginal discs of Drosophila melanogaster in vitro. *Developmental Biology*, 33(2):441–456, August 1973.

[15] L.I. Fessler, M.L. Condic, R.E. Nelson, J.H. Fessler, and J.W. Fristrom. Site-specific cleavage of basement membrane collagen IV during Drosophila metamorphosis. *Development*, 117(3):1061–1069, March 1993.

[16] Maria-del-Carmen Diaz-de-la Loza, Robert P. Ray, Poulami S. Ganguly, Silvanus Alt, John R. Davis, Andreas Hoppe, Nic Tapon, Guillaume Salbreux, and Barry J. Thompson. Apical and Basal Matrix Remodeling Control Epithelial Morphogenesis. *Developmental Cell*, 46(1):23–39.e5, July 2018.

[17] Eva Fekete, Dianne Fristrom, Istvan Kiss, and James W. Fristrom. The mechanism of evagination of imaginal discs of Drosophila melanogaster : II. Studies on trypsin-accelerated evagination. *Wilhelm Roux's Archives of Developmental Biology*, 178(2):123–138, June 1975.

[18] Silvia Aldaz, Luis M. Escudero, and Matthew Freeman. Dual role of myosin II during Drosophila imaginal disc metamorphosis. *Nature Communications*, 4:1761, 2013.

[19] D. Fristrom and C. Chihara. The mechanism of evagination of imaginal discs of Drosophila melanogaster. V. Evagination of disc fragments. *Developmental Biology*, 66(2):564–570, October 1978.

[20] Dianne Fristrom. The mechanism of evagination of imaginal discs of Drosophila melanogaster,: III. Evidence for cell rearrangement. *Developmental Biology*, 54(2):163–171, December 1976.

[21] M L Condic, D Fristrom, and J W Fristrom. Apical cell shape changes during Drosophila imaginal leg disc elongation: A novel morphogenetic mechanism. *Development*, 111:23–33, 1991.

[22] D. K. Fristrom and J. W. Fristrom. The metamorphic development of the adult epidermis. In *The Development of Drosophila Melanogaster*, volume 2, pages 843–897. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, 1993.

[23] Job Taylor and Paul N. Adler. Cell Rearrangement and Cell Division during the Tissue Level Morphogenesis of Evaginating Drosophila Imaginal Discs. *Developmental biology*, 313(2):739–751, January 2008.

[24] C. D. Modes and M. Warner. Blueprinting nematic glass: Systematically constructing and combining active points of curvature for emergent morphology. *Physical Review E*, 84(2), Aug 2011.

[25] Hillel Aharoni, Eran Sharon, and Raz Kupferman. Geometry of thin nematic elastomer sheets. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 113:257801, Dec 2014.

[26] C. D. Modes, K. Bhattacharya, and M. Warner. Gaussian curvature from flat elastica sheets. *Proceedings of the Royal Society A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences*, 467(2128):1121–1140, 2011.

[27] Carlos M. Duque and Carl D. Modes. Shape programming in entropic tissues, 2023.

[28] Margrit Schubiger and John Palka. Changing spatial patterns of DNA replication in the developing wing of Drosophila. *Developmental Biology*, 123(1):145–153, September 1987.

[29] Dianne Fristrom and James W. Fristrom. The mechanism of evagination of imaginal discs of Drosophila melanogaster: I. General considerations. *Developmental Biology*, 43(1):1–23, March 1975.

[30] Tinri Aegerter-Wilmsen, Alister C. Smith, Alix J. Christen, Christof M. Aegerter, Ernst Hafen, and Konrad Basler. Exploring the effects of mechanical feedback on epithelial topology. *Development (Cambridge, England)*, 137(3):499–506, February 2010.

[31] Loïc LeGoff, Hervé Rouault, and Thomas Lecuit. A global pattern of mechanical stress polarizes cell divisions and cell shape in the growing Drosophila wing disc. *Development*, 140(19):4051–4059, October 2013.

[32] Yanlan Mao, Alexander L Tournier, Andreas Hoppe, Lennart Kester, Barry J Thompson, and Nicolas Tapon. Differential proliferation rates generate patterns of mechanical tension that orient tissue growth. *The EMBO Journal*, 32(21):2790–2803, October 2013.

[33] Natalie A Dye, Marko Popović, K Venkatesan Iyer, Jana F Fuhrmann, Romina Piscitello-Gómez, Suzanne Eaton, and Frank Jülicher. Self-organized patterning of cell morphology via mechanosensitive feedback. *eLife*, 10:e57964, March 2021.

[34] Peter J. Bryant. Cell lineage relationships in the imaginal wing disc of Drosophila melanogaster. *Developmental Biology*, 22(3):389–411, October 1970.

[35] A. Garcia-Bellido, P. Ripoll, and G. Morata. Developmental Compartmentalisation of the Wing Disk of Drosophila. *Nature New Biology*, 245(147):251–253, October 1973.

[36] Natalie A. Dye. Cultivation and Live Imaging of Drosophila Imaginal Discs. *Methods in Molecular Biology (Clifton, N.J.)*, 2540:317–334, 2022.

[37] David Hoerl, Fabio Rojas Rusak, Friedrich Preusser, Paul Tillberg, Nadine Randel, Raghav K. Chhetri, Albert Cardona, Philipp J. Keller, Hartmann Harz, Heinrich Leonhardt, Mathias Treier, and Stephan Preibisch. BigStitcher: Reconstructing high-resolution image datasets of cleared and expanded samples. *Nat Methods*, 16(9):870–874, September 2019.

[38] Johannes Schindelin, Ignacio Arganda-Carreras, Erwin Frise, Verena Kaynig, Mark Longair, Tobias Pietzsch, Stephan Preibisch, Curtis Rueden, Stephan Saalfeld, Benjamin Schmid, Jean-Yves Tinevez, Daniel James White, Volker Hartenstein, Kevin Eliceiri, Pavel Tomancak, and Albert Cardona. Fiji: An open-source platform for biological-image analysis. *Nature Methods*, 9(7):676–682, June 2012.

[39] Jannis Ahlers, Daniel Althviz Moré, Oren Amsalem, Ashley Anderson, Grzegorz Bokota, Peter Boone, Jordão Bragantini, Genevieve Buckley, Alister Burt, Matthias Bussonnier, Ahmet Can Solak, Clément Caporal, Draga Doncila Pop, Kira Evans, Jeremy Freeman, Lorenzo Gaifas, Christoph Gohlke, Kabilar Gunalan, Hagai Har-Gil, Mark Harfouche, Kyle I. S. Harrington, Volker Hilsenstein, Katherine Hutchings, Talley Lambert, Jessy Lauer, Gregor Lichtner, Ziyang Liu, Lucy Liu, Alan Lowe, Luca Marconato, Sean Martin, Abigail McGovern, Lukasz Migas, Nadalyn Miller, Hector Muñoz, Jan-Hendrik Müller, Christopher Nauroth-Kreß, Juan Nunez-Iglesias, Constantin Pape, Kim Pevey, Gonzalo Peña-Castellanos, Andrea Pierré, Jaime Rodríguez-Guerra, David Ross, Loic Royer, Craig T. Russell, Gabriel Selzer, Paul Smith, Peter Sobolewski, Konstantin Sofiiuk, Nicholas Sofroniew, David Stansby, Andrew Sweet, Wouter-Michiel Vierdag, Pam Wadhwa, Melissa Weber Mendonça, Jonas Windhager, Philip Winston, and Kevin Yamauchi. Napari: A multi-dimensional image viewer for Python, July 2023.

[40] Robert Haase, Dohyeon Lee, Draga Doncila Pop, and Laura Žigutytė. Haesleinhuepf/napari-accelerated-pixel-and-object- classification: 0.14.0, October 2023.

[41] Robert Haase, Pradeep Rajasekhar, Talley Lambert, grahamross123, Juan Nunez-Iglesias, Lachie, Clement Caporal, Christophe Avenel, ENicolay, and Elissavet Sandaltzopoulou. clEsperanto/pyclesperanto_prototype: 0.24.1, April 2023.

[42] Marco Musy, Guillaume Jacquenot, Giovanni Dalmasso, Jaewook Lee, Ruben de Bruin, Johannes Soltwedel, Mikael Tulldahl, Ahinoam Pollack, Brandon Hacha, Federico Claudi, Codacy Badger, Anton Sol, RobinEnjalbert, Zhi-Qiang Zhou, Andrey Yershov, Bane Sullivan, Brian Lerner, Daniel Hrisca, Diego Volpatto, Evan, Franco Matzkin, mkerrinrapid, Nico Schlömer, RichardScottOZ, Xushan Lu, and Oliver Schneider.

1092   marcomusy/vedo: 2023.4.6, June 2023.

[43] Robert Haase, Johannes Soltwedel, and Kevin Yamauchi. Haesleinhuepf/napari-process-points-and-surfaces: 0.5.0, April 2023.

[44] Charles Law James Ahrens, Berk Geveci. Paraview: An end-user tool for large-data visualization. In Charles D. Hansen and Chris R. Johnson, editors, *Visualization Handbook*, pages 717–731. Butterworth-Heinemann, January 2005.

[45] Pauli Virtanen, Ralf Gommers, Travis E. Oliphant, Matt Haberland, Tyler Reddy, David Cournapeau, Evgeni Burovski, Pearu Peterson, Warren Weckesser, Jonathan Bright, Stéfan J. van der Walt, Matthew Brett, Joshua Wilson, K. Jarrod Millman, Nikolay Mayorov, Andrew R. J. Nelson, Eric Jones, Robert Kern, Eric Larson, C J Carey, İlhan Polat, Yu Feng, Eric W. Moore, Jake VanderPlas, Denis Laxalde, Josef Perktold, Robert Cimrman, Ian Henriksen, E. A. Quintero, Charles R. Harris, Anne M. Archibald, Antônio H. Ribeiro, Fabian Pedregosa, Paul van Mulbregt, and SciPy 1.0 Contributors. SciPy 1.0: Fundamental Algorithms for Scientific Computing in Python. *Nature Methods*, 17:261–272, 2020.

[46] Alexander Krull, Tim-Oliver Buchholz, and Florian Jug. Noise2Void - Learning Denoising from Single Noisy Images, April 2019.

[47] Natalie A. Dye, Marko Popović, Stephanie Spannl, Raphaël Etournay, Dagmar Kainmüller, Suhrid Ghosh, Eugene W. Myers, Frank Jülicher, and Suzanne Eaton. Cell dynamics underlying oriented growth of the *Drosophila* wing imaginal disc. *Development*, page dev.155069, January 2017.

[48] Benoit Aigouy, Daiki Umetsu, and Suzanne Eaton. Segmentation and Quantitative Analysis of Epithelial Tissues. *Methods in Molecular Biology (Clifton, N.J.)*, 1478:227–239, 2016.

[49] Raphaël Etournay, Matthias Merkel, Marko Popović, Holger Brandl, Natalie A Dye, Benoît Aigouy, Guillaume Salbreux, Suzanne Eaton, and Frank Jülicher. TissueMiner: A multiscale analysis toolkit to quantify how cellular processes create tissue dynamics. *eLife*, 5:e14334, May 2016.

[50] Manish Jaiswal, Namita Agrawal, and Pradip Sinha. Fat and Wingless signaling oppositely regulate epithelial cell-cell adhesion and distal wing development in Drosophila. *Development*, 133(5):925–935, March 2006.

[51] Matthias Merkel. From cells to tissues: Remodeling and polarity reorientation in epithelial tissues, 2014.

[52] Zanini, F., Nepusz, T., Horvát, S., and Traag, V. Igraph/python-igraph, January 2015.

[53] Charles R. Harris, K. Jarrod Millman, Stéfan J. van der Walt, Ralf Gommers, Pauli Virtanen, David Cournapeau, Eric Wieser, Julian Taylor, Sebastian Berg, Nathaniel J. Smith, Robert Kern, Matti Picus, Stephan Hoyer, Marten H. van Kerkwijk, Matthew Brett, Allan Haldane, Jaime Fernández del Río, Mark Wiebe, Pearu Peterson, Pierre Gérard-Marchant, Kevin Sheppard, Tyler Reddy, Warren Weckesser, Hameer Abbasi, Christoph Gohlke, and Travis E. Oliphant. Array programming with NumPy. *Nature*, 585(7825):357–362, September 2020.

[54] Matthias Merkel, Raphaël Etournay, Marko Popović, Guillaume Salbreux, Suzanne Eaton, and Frank Jülicher. Triangles bridge the scales: Quantifying cellular contributions to tissue deformation. *Physical Review E*, 95(3):032401, 2017.