Species-specific design of artificial promoters by transfer-learning

based generative deep-learning model

Yan Xia[1], Xiaowen Du[1], Bin Liu[2], Shuyuan Guo[1*], Yi-Xin Huo[1,3*]

[1] *Key Laboratory of Molecular Medicine and Biotherapy, School of Life Science,*

Beijing Institute of Technology, 100081 Beijing, China;

[2] *School of Computer Science and Technology, Beijing Institute of Technology, Beijing,*

China;

[3] *Tangshan Research Institute, Beijing Institute of Technology, 063611 Hebei, China;*

[*]Corresponding authors. E-mail addresses: guosy@bit.edu.cn (S. Guo)

huoyixin@bit.edu.cn (Y.-X. Huo).

Abstract

Native prokaryotic promoters share common sequence patterns, but are species

dependent. For understudied species with limited data, it is challenging to predict the

strength of existing promoters and generate novel promoters. Here, we developed

PromoGen, a collection of nucleotide language models to generate species-specific

functional promoters, across dozens of species in a data and parameter efficient way.

Twenty-seven species-specific models in this collection were finetuned from the

pretrained model which was trained on multi-species promoters. When systematically

compared with native promoters, the *Escherichia coli-* and *Bacillus subtilis*-specific

artificial PromoGen-generated promoters (PGPs) were demonstrated to hold all

essential features and distribution patterns of native promoters. A regression model was

developed to score generated either by PromoGen or by another competitive neural

network, and the overall score of PGPs is higher. Encouraged by *in silico* analysis, we

further experimentally characterized twenty-two *B. subtilis* PGPs *in vivo*, results

showed that four of tested PGPs reached the strong promoter level while all were active.

Furthermore, we developed a user-friendly website to generate species-specific

promoters for 27 different species by PromoGen. This work presented an efficient deep-

learning strategy for *de novo* species-specific promoter generation even with limited

datasets, providing valuable promoter toolboxes especially for the metabolic

engineering of understudied microorganisms.

Introduction

Regulating gene expression is essential to metabolic and biosynthetic engineering, as it

enables the optimization of the production of desired metabolites, biofuels,

pharmaceuticals, and other valuable compounds (1-4). Promoters are core regulatory

elements that initiate the gene transcription, regulating gene expression, and affecting

metabolic flux distribution in metabolic pathways (5,6). By gaining in-depth

understanding and flexibly manipulating promoters, scientists could redesign cells

creating gene circuits and synthetic biological systems tailored to specific requirements

(7). Although native promoters have been utilized to regulate gene expressions, their

regulatory scope is limited, lacking continuous regulatory strength (8). Therefore, many

efforts have been made to develop artificial or hybrid promoters for regulating gene

expressions (9-11).

The field of promoter engineering leverages techniques like random mutagenesis

and the re-assembly of promoter elements to develop libraries with new and varied

promoter sequences (12,13). Using random mutagenesis, bases could be mutated to

create a variety of expression levels in promoter region. For example, error-prone PCR

was applied to the PL-λ promoter in *Escherichia coli*, leading to a substantial 196-fold

variation in promoter activity identified through high-throughput screening (14). This

approach has also been successfully applied to other organisms, including *Lactococcus*

lactis, *Pichia pastoris*, and *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* Tef1 to generate active promoters

(15-17). Another strategy is the combination of core promoter elements, which has been

used to engineer synthetic promoter libraries with a wide range of transcriptional

activities up to 100-fold by altering native promoters like P_{veg}, P_{serA}, and P_{ymdA} in

Bacillus subtilis, specifically fixing the -10 and -35 regions (16,18). These methods are

pivotal for creating promoter libraries, but challenges persist in generating and

screening large-scale libraries for promoters with a broad and continuous range of

strengths (8).

Deep learning architectures have shown substantial promise for the manipulation

of both eukaryotic and prokaryotic promoter elements. These sophisticated models

excel in generating a lot of functional promoters by assimilating and analyzing

distribution patterns inherent in extensive native promoter sequences (19,20). A case in

point is the employment of Generative Adversarial Networks (GANs) for the *de novo*

generation of synthetic promoters, utilizing a 14,098 experimentally validated

promoters as a training dataset and 70.8% generated promoters showed activity in *E.*

coli (21). In a recent advancement, this model has been refined to not only produce

entire promoter sequences but also to engineer flanking regions while maintaining the

integrity of the pivotal -10 and -35 core regions in *E. coli* and mammalian cells (22). In

addition, a Variational Autoencoder (VAE) was trained using 3,712 promoter sequences,

as identified through differential RNA sequencing (dRNA-seq), to generated synthetic

promoters of varying efficacy in cyanobacteria (23). These models demonstrated robust

performance when supplied with extensive, high-quality experimental data. As the

scope of metabolic engineering broadens to encompass an array of non-model

prokaryotic organisms, the dearth of comprehensive and high-quality datasets emerges

as a formidable challenge.

Recently, the field of text generation has witnessed significant advancements

through the application of transfer learning, which encompasses the combination of

Generative Pre-trained Transformers (GPT) with instruction datasets for the purpose of

fine-tuning (24-26). This approach is exemplified by the success of ChatGPT (**Figure**

1A). In the initial phase, the model learns general patterns and distribution regularities

from a multitude of unlabeled datasets during pre-training. Thereafter, it undergoes a

fine-tuning process, steered by data related to specific tasks, thereby equipping the

model to adapt efficiently to a variety of application contexts (26). This methodology

is particularly beneficial in scenarios where data is limited, as transfer learning

effectively augments the precision of model and adaptability in such data-scarce

situations. Biological sequences including proteins and nucleotides are regarded similar

to natural languages as both of them use a set of symbols to represent information

(27,28). Some nucleotide language models were designed to understand the genetic

information, include DNABert, Nucleotide Transformers, GENA-LM, HyenaDNA

(27-29). By training on genome sequences, nucleotide language models learned

transferrable understandings of genomic sequences. However, most nucleotide

language models are BERT-like model trained to learn representations of nucleotide

sequences rather than generation (30). One of the current challenges in developing

generative model is to benchmark the quality of generated sequences. Typically, the

evaluation of generation quality relies on scores of third-party models *in silico* and

experimentally verification *in vivo* or *in vitro*. In silico evaluations commonly have a

much higher through-put than *in vivo* or *in vitro*, but the later are more reliable (31).

To address the challenge of insufficient promoter data of certain species, we

designed a pre-trained model based on Transformer, termed the PromoGen-pre. This

model was further refined with species-specific promoter data to yield 27 distinct

promoter-generating models for both model and non-model species. To validate the

effectiveness of our strategy, we evaluated the key characteristics of the generated

promoters including position weight matrix, 6-mer frequency correlation and -10 region

distribution in *E. coli* and *B. subtilis*. We developed a scoring model to evaluate the *E.*

coli promoters generated by our model and WGAN-GP, demonstrating the

competitiveness of our model. Most of the generated promoters exhibited confirmed

activities in *B. subtilis*. An online webserver was developed to enhance the accessibility

of the promoter-generative models where users could generate *de novo* promoters for

27 different species based on the PromoGen model. The related models and analysis

methods are listed in Supplementary Table S1. Via aforementioned transfer learning

approach for generating new promoters from scratch, we expanded the available

promoters for a specific species and offered potential candidates for use in metabolic

engineering even the available data is limited.

Results

PromoGen-pre is a generative pre-trained model for promoter sequences

Unsupervised language models have become the foundation of current advancement in

the natural language processing (NLP) field (32). Language model could be built and

trained based on the large number of unlabeled data, and be improved via transfer-

learning for performing downstream tasks with task-specific dataset. Transfer-learning

dependent strategy, which has been successfully exploited to generate functional

proteins across diverse families recently, has yet been reported to generate new

functional DNA elements. To investigate the potential of transfer learning on generating

DNA regulatory elements, we developed a decoder-only Transformer-based model,

termed PromoGen-pre, to specifically capture the characteristics of prokaryotic

promoter sequences (**Figure 1B**). Unlike the conventional byte-pair encoding (BPE) or

k-mer tokenization strategy (33,34), the DNA sequences were tokenized at the character

level in PromoGen-pre to ensure that the original location of each base in the DNA

sequence was kept within the position of each token, allowing the DNA generation

more controllable (**Supplementary Figure S1A**). The tokenized input sequence was

embedded as numerical representation $X_{emb} \in \mathbb{R}^{L \times D}$, where L is the sequence length

and D is the dimension of the hidden vector of each token. PromoGen model further

updated the numerical representation though GPT2Blocks with multi-head self-

attention (**Figure 1B, Supplementary Figure S1B**) (25).

When predicting the next token, the long-distance relation across the sequence

would be taken account by PromoGen-pre via the self-attention mechanism.

PromoGen-pre was trained by autoregressive approach, where the probability of each

nucleotide in the sequence was conditioned solely on the upstream nucleotides. Cross-

entropy loss was utilized to evaluate and adjust the performance of PromoGen-pre

during the model training process. The 10 million tokens from more than 129k

sequences that containing experimentally verified promoters and Transcriptional Start

Sites (TSS) in the Prokaryotic Promoter Database (PPD), which is one of the most

comprehensive promoter sequence databases containing sequences from more than 27

species, were subjected to the pretraining of PromoGen **(Figure 1C, D)** to ensure the

high quality and reduced deviation (**Figure 2A, 2B**) (35).

After the above training, we observed that the promoters from the same species

were clustered close to each other when the sequence representations encoded by

PromoGen-pre model were projected to a two-dimensional plan, indicating that the

model has learned to distinguish the promoters by species in the absence of supervision

related to species information (**Figure 2C**). For example, the sequences from *Haloferax*

were notably well distinguished from other species by PromoGen, highlighting the

differences of regulatory elements between Archaea and Bacteria (**Figure 2C**) and

confirming that PromoGen-pre is able to encode meaningful promoter representations.

On the other hand, some projected points representing promoters from different species

were also gathered together in the Figure 2C, indicating that some promoters from

distinguished species are sharing common patterns which is in agreement with the well-

known observation that bacteria are able to recognize certain heterologous genetic

elements. This observation reinforced our rationale to tackle the low-data challenge by

transfer learning.

Since PromoGen-pre has been trained to obtain meaningful representations from

native promoters, we investigated whether the trained PromoGen-pre could generate

functional artificial promoters. The results showed that the projected points of artificial

promoters generated by PromoGen-pre (pink points in Figure 2C) was dispersedly

distributed within, and did not form obvious cluster outside of, the ones of native

promoters, indicating that the generated promoters were likely to be functional as the

native ones. So far, the generated promoters were not species specific although the pre-

training stage allowed PromoGen-pre to learn the comprehensive features of promoters

from different species, encompassing a broader spectrum and evolutionary information.

Although previous works developed a collection of benchmarks including

eighteen genomic related prediction tasks (27), we find it is still challenging to evaluate

whether nucleotide language models could understand prokaryotic promoters because

currently only mouse and human promoters were involved in the benchmarks. On the

other hand, only promoter sequences, but not genomic chunks, were provided to

PromoGen-pre for training, making PromoGen-pre being intrinsically capable of

distinguishing native promoters and random sampled genomic chunks, which was a

challenging task for other models. Therefore, a benchmark dataset based on

experimentally verified synthetic promoters was needed for PromoGen-pre.

We first evaluated the ability of PromoGen-pre to distinguish whether a generated

sequence is a promoter in a zero-shot manner (36). The log-likelihood of each sequence

was calculated by PromoGen-pre to a predicted score. As a comparison, we also

evaluated the performance of the nucleotide transformer family models (NTFM) which

have number of parameters range from 50 million (M) to 2.5 billion (B) NTFM enabled

precise prediction of molecular phenotypes based on learned patterns from DNA

sequences (27). We computed the area under the receiver operating characteristic

(auROC) curves to predict the binary label from scores (37). The results showed that

the PromoGen-pre, a small model with solely 7.4 M parameters, has log-likelihood

auROC of 0.60, equally well, (if not better than) as the same level of the 2.5 B model

(**Figure 2D**), better than the Nucleotide Transformer 50 M, 100 M, 250 M, and 500 M.

This result showed that a relatively small amount of project-related high-quality data

could fulfill the task, enabling PromoGen to reach the performance of large model

trained with large dataset.

Finetune the pre-trained model based on datasets from 27 species

PromoGen-pre has been trained on multiple prokaryotic promoter sequence datasets, endowing it with the capability to generate promoters for various species. To adapt the model for species-specific applications, we conducted fine-tuning based on the datasets of 27 major species in the dataset, resulting in the development of 27 distinct promoter models, encompassing species such as *E. coli*, *B. subtilis*, *Sinorhizobium*, *Corynebacterium*, and so on. Such transfer learning methodology facilitated the development of a robust promoter generative model even with relatively small-size datasets.

Taking *E. coli* model as an example to evaluate the effectiveness of transfer learning, we then tried to score and compare the generation quality of PromoGen-eco and a GAN-based WGAN-GP model through independent predictive models which were designed to predict activities of promoters. To select the most reliable predictive model for predicting the activities of the generated promoters, we evaluated twelve machine learning based predictive models by calculating metrics including MAE, RMSE, Spearman correlation coefficient, and Pearson correlation coefficient (**Supplementary Figure S2**). the Bayesian Bridge model was selected as our final predictive model because it consistently demonstrated exceptional performance no matter which calculation methods were used for evaluation. The Bayesian Bridge model achieved a Spearman correlation coefficient of 0.69 or 0.58, and a Pearson correlation coefficient of 0.70 or 0.60 on training and test data, respectively,

demonstrating the accuracy of this model (**Figure 3A, 3B**).

We generated 200 promoter sequences by PromoGen-eco and WGAN-GP,

individually, and used Bayesian Bridge prediction model to predict the promoter

activities of these 400 promoters (21). As depicted in figure 3C, the average score of

PromoGen-eco generated promoters was significantly higher compared to the ones

generated by WGAN-GP, indicating the strong capability of PromoGen-eco in *de novo*

promoter generation. In addition, another prediction model employed in previous study

(21), Deep Promoter CNN, was utilized to assess the performance of PromoGen-eco.

The results indicated that PromoGen-eco exhibited high competitiveness when

compared with WGAN-GP (**Supplementary Figure S3**). Furthermore, analysis

utilizing PWM revealed that the promoters generated by PromoGen-eco exhibited a

distribution identical to that observed in native promoters while the distribution of

promoters generated by WGAN-GP displayed slight variations from the native

distribution (**Supplementary Figure S4**). To evaluate the similarity between natively

occurring promoters and those synthesized by PromoGen-eco, we counted the hexamer

frequencies of the promoters. The resulting Pearson and Spearman correlation

coefficients were 0.954 and 0.965, respectively, indicating a high degree of similarity

in the 6-mer frequency between the generated and native promoters (**Figure 3D**). These

findings collectively suggested that PromoGen-eco has successfully captured the

characteristics and distribution patterns of *E. coli* promoters, showcasing its strong

capability in *de novo* promoter generation.

Performance evaluation of PromoGen-bsu model

To further validate the effectiveness of various species specific PromoGen models, we performed promoter prediction and activity evaluation in *B. subtilis,* a species with higher promoter selectivity and is an important Gram-positive bacterium used in protein production and chemical manufacturing. We fine-tuned PromoGen-pre using 691 promoter sequences from *B. subtilis* to obtained PromoGen-bsu, which was then utilized to generate *B. subtilis* specific promoters (**Supplementary Figure S5**). To assess the quality of generated promoters, PromoGen-bsu generated promoters were randomly sampled and compared with native ones and PromoGen-pre generated ones. We utilized PWMs to depict the sequence motifs within the promoter regions derived from native sources, as well as those synthesized by PromoGen-pre and PromoGen-bsu (**Figure 4A**). Our investigation revealed a conserved motif within the -10 box, extending from position -13 to -8 in the native promoters. The conservation of this motif aligns with earlier studies conducted on a variety of bacterial species, including *B. subtilis*, *E. coli*, and cyanobacteria. Notably, in the -10 region of the PromoGen-bsu-generated promoters, we detected a sequence pattern mirroring that of the native promoters, suggesting that PromoGen-bsu has successfully replicated the essential features of this -10 motif. Additionally, the -35 region, located at the positions of -36 to -31, exhibited partial conservation in both the natively occurring and PromoGen-bsu-constructed promoter sequences. Contrasting with this, the sequence of PromoGen-pre attributes exhibited substantial deviation from the native *B. subtilis* promoters,

exhibiting only a marginal preference for T, A bases within the -10 region and no

preference within -35 region.

We conducted a quantitative assessment of hexamer frequencies in both natively

occurring promoters and those generated by PromoGen-pre and PromoGen-Bsu. The

analysis revealed a moderate correlation between the hexamer frequencies of native

promoters and those generated by PromoGen-pre, as indicated by Pearson and

Spearman correlation coefficients of 0.508 and 0.199, respectively. Conversely, a

significantly higher correlation was observed in sequences produced by PromoGen-bsu,

with Pearson and Spearman coefficients of 0.900 and 0.733, respectively, illustrating a

closer alignment with the hexamer frequency patterns found in native promoters

(**Figure 4B**). We noted a substantial consistency in high-frequency 6-mers between the

native and PromoGen-bsu generated promoters, specifically including sequences like

TAAAAT and TATAAT that encompass the -10 box. We also investigated the distance

distribution of TAAAAT and TATAAT sequences relative to the transcription start site

(TSS) (**Figure 4C**). The analysis of the promoters synthesized by both PromoGen-pre

and PromoGen-bsu revealed a distinguishable accumulation of hexamers, particularly

in the -10 region. This region exhibited a significantly higher frequency of these

sequences compared to other areas, aligning with the distribution observed in native

promoters. The sequences generated by PromoGen-bsu having a higher portion of both

TATAAT and TAAAAT as the -10 region comparing to PromoGen-pre. These findings

underscored the capability of the PromoGen-bsu model to accurately replicate the

spatial distribution patterns of conserved motifs inherent to native promoters, thereby

affirming its efficacy in reflecting the native positional preferences of these sequences.

The t-SNE visualization in Figure 4D illustrated the arrangement of promoter

sequences, *i.e.* native, PromoGen-pre, and PromoGen-bsu, which were projected from

a high-dimensional landscape into a two-dimensional plane. In this representation, the

promoters generated by the pre-trained model, depicted as orange dots, were dispersed

throughout the space, predominantly occupying the right side, which suggestsed a

distinct pattern from the rest. In contrast, the native and PromoGen-pre -generated

promoters, represented by green and blue dots respectively, were intermingled and

uniformly situated on the left side. This indicated a shared distribution pattern among

them, showing the PromoGen-bsu has learned the related distribution in *B. subtilis*

promoters.

The activity of generated promoters in *B. subtilis*

To assess the functionality of the synthesized promoters, we utilized PromoGen-bsu to

create 50 *de novo* designed promoters, each has 61 nucleotides in length (**Figure 5A**).

From this pool, 22 were arbitrarily chosen for experimental testing. As benchmarks, we

employed native promoters from *B. subtilis*, such as P_{43}, P_{veg}, and P_{lepA}, alongside three

random sequences to serve as controls, both positive and negative. We constructed 28

shuttle vectors expressing fluorescent proteins *sfGFP*, each harboring the replication

origins pBR322 and RepA for *E. coli* and *B. subtilis*, enabling *sfGFP* expression in both

hosts. The activities of the promoters were determined by the fluorescence intensity

emitted by *sfGFP*. Sampling was conducted during the logarithmic growth phase for

fluorescence measurement. A fluorescence reading higher or lower than that of the

randomly generated sequences indicated an active or inactive promoter, respectively.

As shown in Figure 5B, the results indicated that PromoGen-bsu could generate

active promoters although the strength of the promoters vary. Three random sequences

exhibited no activity. This result was expected because the range of promoter intensities

use in the training data vary also. Almost all of these promoters are active, with about

18% of them including A7, A9, A5, and A3 showed activities similar to the natively

strong promoters P_{43} and P_{veg}. Notably, the A7 promoter stands out, exhibiting an

activity rate 91% higher than that of P_{43}. The strength of the other promoters varies,

allowing for different levels of gene expression to be achieved. The bacterial strains

expressed the *sfGFP* gene were subjected to observation under a fluorescence

microscope. Notably, these organisms demonstrated notable fluorescence when

compared to counterparts utilizing random sequences as promoters (**Supplementary**

Figure S6). Furthermore, our investigation encompassed the analysis of t-SNE

distribution patterns, compared experimentally tested 22 promoters with 691 natively

promoters in *B. subtilis*. This analysis showed that the generated promoters were quite

dispersed and were significantly distant from strong promoters, indicating a

considerable difference (**Figure 5C**). Examination of the five most potent promoters

uncovered a shared sequence, TTG, within the -35 region, and adjacent sequences were

identified spanning positions 17-18 bp between the -35 and -10 regions (**Figure 5D**).

Additionally, the activities of these promoters were tested in *E. coli*. The experimental

results demonstrated that all promoters were active in *E. coli*, with 64% showing

enhanced activity compared to *B. subtilis* (**Supplemental Figure S7**). This finding

further validates that our model generates sequences that are not random but

functionally significant in biological contexts. Moreover, these results support the

concept of the universality of prokaryotic promoters, as discussed in studies from 2018.

Our research also indicates that promoters in *B. subtilis* exhibit greater selectivity

compared to those in other species.

To assess the similarity of the generated promoters to native promoters, a

comprehensive BLAST analysis was performed, comparing the experimentally

validated promoters against the entire genome of *B. subtilis*. The results showed that

the generated sequences do not have similarity. This finding implies that the sequences

were predominantly *de novo* designed by the models, rather than being a result of data

leaks. This suggested that PromoGen-bsu has effectively captured the distribution

patterns of active *B. subtilis* promoters, exploring a sequence space of 4^{61} and

showcasing the ability to generate new active species-specific promoters.

Development of a user-friendly web sever

To facilitate the rapid generation of promoter sequences, we have developed an online

web server (https://promogen1.cloudmol.org/) providing fine-tuned models for 27

prokaryotic organisms. As shown in **Fig. 5E**, the promoter sequences could be

generated after choosing the specific organism. The number and length of the generated

promoters could be flexibly customized according to the needs. The generated results

were presented in a sequence that ranked them according to decreasing values of the

log-likelihood. The repetition penalty, Top P, and temperature were commonly utilized

hyperparameters for controlling the diversity and quality of text generation. By

increasing the repetition penalty, we could prevent the generative model from

producing multiple repeated tokens. This strategy not only mitigates the occurrence of

hallucinations in the generative model but also reduces the complexity involved in

constructing plasmids. By adjusting the temperature and Top P settings, the diversity of

the generated sequences could be regulated, thereby mitigating the risk of converging

on local minima. This online platform offers a user-friendly interface for creating

customized promoters for diverse species, serving specific needs and thereby

facilitating the efficiency of subsequent experimental and research activities.

Discussion

Regulatory elements play a crucial role in metabolic engineering (38). By selecting

appropriate promoters, we could precisely control the gene expression of specific

metabolic pathways, enhancing or inhibiting the fluxes of particular metabolic routes

to increase the production of target chemicals. Identifying and verifying appropriate

regulatory elements in understudied microbes is frequently a challenging and time-

consuming task (18). Deep learning offers a promising approach for generating

promoters from scratch or predicting the activity of existing promoters. However, the

limited data available for understudied microbes poses a significant barrier to the

effective utilization of deep learning models. Here, we proposed a fast and convenient

solution based on generative self-supervised nucleotide language model, capable of

generating promoters with different strengths. We employed a transfer learning strategy,

which pre-trained the model using promoter sequences mainly from 27 species to learn

the general patterns and paradigms of the promoters. Subsequently, fine-tuning was

performed using species-specific promoter data, resulting in promoter models

applicable to 27 different species. Our PromoGen was pretrained on multi-species data,

making its adaptation to other species easier than WGAN-GP, which was only trained

to generate *E. coli* promoters. Even for *E. coli* promoters, our PromoGen worked as

accurate as, if not better, than the existing WGAN-GP model. Furthermore, under core

region analysis and experimental validation, PromoGen-bsu model exhibited

exceptional performance, with all of the generated promoters showing activities.

Consistent results from model evaluation, key region analysis, and experimental

validation indicated that PromoGen successfully learned promoter distribution patterns

and could be used for generating entirely new promoters. For user convenience, an

online webserver was developed containing data for 27 species, allowing users to

quickly generate promoter sequences for their desired species.

The quality of the dataset plays a crucial role in the performance of deep learning

models. Transfer learning offers an excellent solution for small-scale datasets. An

increasing number of microorganisms are being used for various applications, but

research on regulatory elements lags behind in non-model microorganisms. By utilizing

the pre-trained model PromoGen-pre, we are able to reduce the data requirements for

deep learning models, making it possible to *de novo* generate promoters for species

with limited data. Although there are some differences in promoters among different

species, the characteristics of the core region of prokaryotic promoters have been well-

learned by the pretrained model PromoGen-pre. The activity of generated promoters

could be enhanced by fixing key positions. Although the training data is limited

comparing with other models, the information about promoter sequences is highly

enriched. We observed DNA sequences differently from natural language in these

aspects because there is no evidence that there is a pre-organized grammar across all

species beside the Watson-Crick pairs. We also demonstrated that the zero-shot

prediction accuracy of PromoGen-pre on promoter activities were comparable or higher

than the ones of other nucleotide transformer models which are 6 to 330 times bigger

than PromoGen-pre. We anticipated that this information enrich strategy would be

helpful to projects with limited resources.

Although previous reports and our work demonstrated that it is effective to design

promoters using generative models, it is challenging to assess the quality of generated

promoters. The current methods for assessing generated sequences primarily

encompass wet lab verification and computational experiment evaluation. Wet lab

verification is the most precise approach, though large-scale experimental validations

are time-consuming and labor-intensive. The evaluation methods for computational

experiments include using comparative sample distributions and benchmark testing.

Deep learning is capable of learning shallow features of data, which facilitates easy

overfitting to match the sample distribution. Therefore, biologists should focus on

establishing high-quality assessment datasets that are comprehensive, covering a wide

array of scenarios and conditions, to guarantee the accuracy of evaluations.

Despite the fact that employing promoters of varying strengths is one of the most

crucial methods for regulating gene expressions, the integration of diverse regulatory

elements such as Ribosome Binding Sites (RBS), terminators, insulators, and others,

offers expanded options for gene expression modulation (39-41). Furthermore,

synthetic promoters, which establish a predetermined expression intensity, present

challenges in dynamically regulating gene expression during growth. Promoters that

are inducible or dependent on sigma factors could dictate the timing and intensity of

gene activation. In the future, the design and development of pertinent models carry

substantial promise for applications within the realm of synthetic biology.

In summary, we implemented a transfer learning strategy to address the challenges

posed by a limited dataset. By the combination of pre-training and finetune, 27 species-

generated models were developed for *de novo* design of promoter sequences, which

were evaluated by prediction model and experiments validation as promoters with

exceptional performance. Furthermore, we have developed a user-friendly web server

that enables rapid generation of promoters. Our work provided a novel strategy and a

universal pre-trained model PromoGen-pre, showcasing the potential of deep learning

methods to explore the sequence space of regulation elements, especially in situations

with limited data availability.

METHODS

Details of nucleotide language models

The vocabulary of PromoGen contains 7 tokens, including four bases A, T, C, G, and

special tokens including [PAD], [MASK], and [UNK]. By applying one-hot encoding,

the input sequences are transformed into numerical vectors that can be processed by

neural networks. The one-hot vectors are transformed into hidden state vectors of 320

dimensions:

$$x_{emb} = E(x_i) \tag{1}$$

To distinguish the positions of each token, a learnable positional embedding is added:

$$PE(pos, 2i) = sin(pos/10000^{2i/d_{model}}) \tag{2}$$

$$PE(pos, 2i+1) = cos(pos/10000^{2i/d_{model}}) \tag{3}$$

where the pos is the position of the context, i is the index of the dimension in the

encoding vector, and the d_{model} represents the dimensionality of the outputs in all layers

of the model. This position embedding is followed the original design of the GPT2

model (24).

The longest sequence allowed is 128. The hidden vectors are updated by 6 layers of

GPT2 Block (25). In a GPT2 block, the hidden vectors are updated with multi-head

self-attention, and a feed forward layer, with residual connections:

$$Multihead\ Attention(\mathbf{Q}, \mathbf{K}, \mathbf{V}) = softmax\left(\frac{(QK^T)}{\sqrt{d_k}}\right)\mathbf{V} \tag{4}$$

$\mathbf{Q}$ is query matrix, $\mathbf{K}$ is key matrix, $\mathbf{V}$ is value matrix, and d_K is dimension of the key

vectors. $\mathbf{W_1}$ and $\mathbf{W_2}$ is Weight matrices of the linear transformations. b_1 and b_2 is bias

terms of the linear transformations. The number of head in multi-head self-attention is
set to 4.

$$FFN(x) = f(xW_1 + b_1)W_2 + b_2 \qquad (5)$$

446 The feed forward layer (FFN) is consisted of two linear layers, to expend the dimension
447 by a factor of 2 and activated using the Gaussian Error Linear Unit (GELU) function.

$$Output = LayerNorm(x + Sublayer(x)) \qquad (6)$$

Residual connections and layer normalizations are applied in GPT2 block. The last
hidden vectors are transformed to logits of tokens by the language modeling head,
which is a linear layer has output dimension equal to the size of the vocabulary. The
final output of the model is the probability of each base at the next position and
generates promoter sequences by autoregression way from 5' to 3', one by one, which
the next base has relied on all previously generated bases.

$$p(x) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} p(S_n | S_1, \dots, S_{n-1}) \qquad (7)$$

Training of nucleotide language models

To train the PromoGen, we used 120k promoter sequences containing more than
27 species from the Prokaryotic Promoter Database (PPD). The PromoGen model is a
model trained using the GPT-2 method (25). To efficiently train this model, the batch
size was configured at 64, allowing for the simultaneous processing of 64 data samples.
We predict the probability of each token based on previous context, comparing these
predictions with actual data by computing cross-entropy loss to assess the prediction
accuracy of model. To optimize the performance of model, the Adam optimizer was
employed (42), renowned for its capability to dynamically adjust the learning rate,

thereby bolstering the training efficiency and overall efficacy. We set several key

parameters, including two decay rate parameters, β1 and β2, set to 0.9 and 0.999,

respectively, and a constant called epsilon, set to $5e^{-4}$. During the fine-tuning phase, the

weights from the pre-trained model are utilized as initial values. We configure the batch

size to be 32, and the learning rate for the Adam optimizer is set at $1e^{-5}$. Other

parameters remain consistent with those established in the PromoGen configuration.

Training and selection of predictive models

We systematically evaluated 12 machine learning models to accurately predict the

activity of promoters, including Extra Tree Regressor, Linear Regression, Gradient

Boosting Regressor, Ada Boost Regressor, Ridge Lasso K-Neighbors Regressor,

Random Forest Regressor, Bayesian Ridge, Support Vector Regression (SVR),

eXtreme Gradient Boosting (XGB) Regressor, and Decision Tree Regressor (43-50).

We extracted features from promoters using a nucleotide pre-trained model, employing

the features from the [CLS] token as the representation for the entire sequence, which

served as input to the machine learning models. To ensure a fair comparison of these

methods, we conducted 5-fold cross-validation across the entire dataset ensuring

independent predictions for all samples. At the same time, we calculated Mean Absolute

Error (MAE), Root Mean Square Error (RMSE), Spearman correlation, and Pearson

correlation coefficients (51,52).

To further enhance the accuracy of the predictive model, we conducted a

hyperparameter search for the Bayesian Bridge model. These hyperparameters included

maximum optimization iterations, alpha1, alpha2, lambda1, and lambda2, resulting in

a total of 3^5 combinations. Setting the maximum optimization iterations to 300 and

alpha1, alpha2, lambda1 as $1e^{-7}$, and lambda2 as $1e^{-5}$ yielded the best performance in

cross-validation. These optimal hyperparameters were used to Bayesian Bridge model

for predicting promoter strength, ensuring our model achieves the highest level of

accuracy.

The model evaluation by position weight matrix (PWM) and 6-mer frequency

analyses

We performed multiple sequence alignment separately for both native and model-

generated promoter sequences. We calculated the weight for each position using a

formula:

$$W_{i,j} = log_2\left(\frac{f_{i,j}}{p_i}\right) \tag{8}$$

where $W_{i,j}$ represents the weight score of the ith base at position j. $f_{i,j}$ indicates the

relative frequency of the base at position j, and p_i represents the background frequency

of that base. We utilized the obtained weight scores to create visualization using the

online website WebLogo.

In the analysis of 6-mer frequencies, we conducted a comprehensive scan of all 6-

mer sequences within both natural and synthetic promoters generated by PromoGen

and PromoGen-bsu, subsequently computing the frequency of each individual 6-mer.

During this process, particular attention was devoted to two pivotal 6-mer sequences,

TATAAT and TAAAAT, which frequently play crucial roles in the functionality of

promoters. To gain deeper insights into the representation of these key sequences across

various promoters, we specifically calculated their abundance distribution compared

with natural promoters.

Plasmid construction

This investigation employed a variety of plasmids, detailed in Supplementary

Table S2. All primer sequences were synthesized from Genewiz, located in Tianjin,

China (Supplementary Table S3). The shuttle plasmid, pXY43-sfGFP, was utilized as a

template, incorporating both pBR322 ori and repA ori for compatibility with *E. coli* and

B. subtilis respectively. A series of 22 generated promoter sequences were integrated

upstream of the superfolder green fluorescent protein (*sfGFP*) gene, replacing the

existing P_{43} promoter via polymerase chain reaction (PCR) techniques. The amplified

PCR constructs were subsequently cultured on LB agar plates containing 50 μg ml^{-1} of

kanamycin to facilitate clone selection. Three clones were selected to Sanger

sequencing for sequence verification in each plate. Furthermore, corresponding

plasmids containing the P_{veg} and P_{lepA} promoters from *B. subtilis* were also engineered,

alongside a set of plasmids with random sequences as promoters, to serve as negative

controls in the study.

Fluorescence measurements

The activity of these generated promoters was assessed based on the fluorescence

intensity emitted by *sfGFP*. The relevant promoter-plasmid were transformed into *B.*

subtilis strains, with single colonies subsequently cultivated in LB medium

supplemented with 20 µg ml^{-1} kanamycin, maintained at 37°C and agitated at 220 r.p.m

(Supplementary Table S4). After an overnight growth, these cultures were then

transferred into fresh LB medium containing a 1% (v/v) inoculum, followed by an 8-

hour incubation at 37°C. The fluorescence intensity was quantitatively measured using

a microplate reader (BioTek) set at an excitation wavelength of 488 nm and an emission

wavelength of 507 nm. Concurrently, the cell optical density (OD) was detected at 600

nm. All these experimental procedures were replicated thrice to ensure reliability.

Data availability: All necessary data to assess the conclusions in the paper are

presented within the paper itself and/or the Supplementary Materials.

Author contributions: Y.-X.H., S.Y.G., and Y.X. conceptualized the project. Y.X.

designed, built, and implemented deep models in this study. Y.X., and X.W.D. carried

out the wet experiments. Y.-X.H., S.Y.G., and Y.X. analyzed the experiments data, and

wrote the manuscript.

Acknowledgements: This work was supported by the National Key R&D Program of

China (2021YFC2100500), and the Natural Science Foundation of China (grant

number 32370095). Part of the experiments was carried out in the Biological & Medical

Engineering Core Facilities of the Beijing Institute of Technology. We would like to

thank Jinyuan Sun for insightful discussions.

Competing interests: The authors declare no competing interests.

References:

1.   Wang, H.H., Isaacs, F.J., Carr, P.A., Sun, Z.Z., Xu, G., Forest, C.R. and Church,
G.M. (2009) Programming cells by multiplex genome engineering and
accelerated evolution. *Nature*, **460**, 894-898.
2.   Keasling, J.D. (2010) Manufacturing molecules through metabolic engineering.
Science, **330**, 1355-1358.
3.   Xu, P., Li, L., Zhang, F., Stephanopoulos, G. and Koffas, M. (2014) Improving
fatty acids production by engineering dynamic pathway regulation and
metabolic control. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*, **111**, 11299-11304.
4.   Xu, X., Li, X., Liu, Y., Zhu, Y., Li, J., Du, G., Chen, J., Ledesma-Amaro, R. and
Liu, L. (2020) Pyruvate-responsive genetic circuits for dynamic control of
central metabolism. *Nat Chem Biol*, **16**, 1261-1268.
5.   Cases, I. and de Lorenzo, V. (2005) Promoters in the environment:
transcriptional regulation in its natural context. *Nat Rev Microbiol*, **3**, 105-118.
6.   Jones, J.A., Toparlak Ö, D. and Koffas, M.A. (2015) Metabolic pathway
balancing and its role in the production of biofuels and chemicals. *Curr Opin
Biotechnol*, **33**, 52-59.
7.   Carr, P.A. and Church, G.M. (2009) Genome engineering. *Nature
Biotechnology*, **27**, 1151-1162.
8.   Johns, N.I., Gomes, A.L.C., Yim, S.S., Yang, A., Blazejewski, T., Smillie, C.S.,
Smith, M.B., Alm, E.J., Kosuri, S. and Wang, H.H. (2018) Metagenomic mining
of regulatory elements enables programmable species-selective gene expression.
Nat Methods, **15**, 323-329.
9.   Kang, M.K., Lee, J., Um, Y., Lee, T.S., Bott, M., Park, S.J. and Woo, H.M. (2014)
Synthetic biology platform of CoryneBrick vectors for gene expression in
Corynebacterium glutamicum and its application to xylose utilization. *Appl
Microbiol Biotechnol*, **98**, 5991-6002.
10.   Markley, A.L., Begemann, M.B., Clarke, R.E., Gordon, G.C. and Pfleger, B.F.
(2015) Synthetic biology toolbox for controlling gene expression in the
cyanobacterium Synechococcus sp. strain PCC 7002. *ACS Synth Biol*, **4**, 595-

603.

11.   Elmore, J.R., Furches, A., Wolff, G.N., Gorday, K. and Guss, A.M. (2017)

Development of a high efficiency integration system and promoter library for

rapid modification of Pseudomonas putida KT2440. *Metab Eng Commun*, **5**, 1-

8.

12.   He, S., Zhang, Z. and Lu, W. (2023) Natural promoters and promoter

engineering strategies for metabolic regulation in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. *J*

Ind Microbiol Biotechnol, **50**.

13.   Cazier, A.P. and Blazeck, J. (2021) Advances in promoter engineering: Novel

applications and predefined transcriptional control. *Biotechnol J*, **16**, e2100239.

14.   Alper, H., Fischer, C., Nevoigt, E. and Stephanopoulos, G. (2005) Tuning

genetic control through promoter engineering. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*, **102**,

12678-12683.

15.   Hartner, F.S., Ruth, C., Langenegger, D., Johnson, S.N., Hyka, P., Lin-

Cereghino, G.P., Lin-Cereghino, J., Kovar, K., Cregg, J.M. and Glieder, A.

(2008) Promoter library designed for fine-tuned gene expression in Pichia

pastoris. *Nucleic Acids Res*, **36**, e76.

16.   Redden, H. and Alper, H.S. (2015) The development and characterization of

synthetic minimal yeast promoters. *Nat Commun*, **6**, 7810.

17.   Jensen, P.R. and Hammer, K. (1998) The sequence of spacers between the

consensus sequences modulates the strength of prokaryotic promoters. *Appl*

Environ Microbiol, **64**, 82-87.

18.   Guiziou, S., Sauveplane, V., Chang, H.J., Clerté, C., Declerck, N., Jules, M. and

Bonnet, J. (2016) A part toolbox to tune genetic expression in Bacillus subtilis.

Nucleic Acids Res, **44**, 7495-7508.

19.   Kotopka, B.J. and Smolke, C.D. (2020) Model-driven generation of artificial

yeast promoters. *Nat Commun*, **11**, 2113.

20.   Zrimec, J., Fu, X., Muhammad, A.S., Skrekas, C., Jauniskis, V., Speicher, N.K.,

Börlin, C.S., Verendel, V., Chehreghani, M.H., Dubhashi, D. *et al.* (2022)

Controlling gene expression with deep generative design of regulatory DNA.

Nat Commun, **13**, 5099.

21.   Wang, Y., Wang, H., Wei, L., Li, S., Liu, L. and Wang, X. (2020) Synthetic

promoter design in Escherichia coli based on a deep generative network.

Nucleic Acids Res, **48**, 6403-6412.

22. Zhang, P., Wang, H., Xu, H., Wei, L., Liu, L., Hu, Z. and Wang, X. (2023) Deep

flanking sequence engineering for efficient promoter design using DeepSEED.

Nat Commun, **14**, 6309.

23. Seo, E., Choi, Y.N., Shin, Y.R., Kim, D. and Lee, J.W. (2023) Design of

synthetic promoters for cyanobacteria with generative deep-learning model.

Nucleic Acids Res, **51**, 7071-7082.

24. Radford, A., Narasimhan, K., Salimans, T. and Sutskever, I. (2018) Improving

language understanding by generative pre-training.

25. Radford, A., Wu, J., Child, R., Luan, D., Amodei, D. and Sutskever, I. (2019)

Language models are unsupervised multitask learners. *OpenAI blog*, **1**, 9.

26. Brown, T., Mann, B., Ryder, N., Subbiah, M., Kaplan, J.D., Dhariwal, P.,

Neelakantan, A., Shyam, P., Sastry, G. and Askell, A. (2020) Language models

are few-shot learners. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, **33**,

1877-1901.

27. Dalla-Torre, H., Gonzalez, L., Revilla, J.M., Carranza, N.L., Grzywaczewski,

A.H., Oteri, F., Dallago, C., Trop, E., Sirelkhatim, H., Richard, G. *et al.* (2023)

The Nucleotide Transformer: Building and Evaluating Robust Foundation

Models for Human Genomics. *bioRxiv*, 2023.2001.2011.523679.

28. Ji, Y., Zhou, Z., Liu, H. and Davuluri, R.V. (2021) DNABERT: pre-trained

Bidirectional Encoder Representations from Transformers model for DNA-

language in genome. *Bioinformatics*, **37**, 2112-2120.

29. Fishman, V., Kuratov, Y., Petrov, M., Shmelev, A., Shepelin, D., Chekanov, N.,

Kardymon, O. and Burtsev, M. (2023) GENA-LM: A Family of Open-Source

Foundational Models for Long DNA Sequences. *bioRxiv*,

2023.2006.2012.544594.

30. Devlin, J., Chang, M.-W., Lee, K. and Toutanova, K. (2018) Bert: Pre-training

of deep bidirectional transformers for language understanding. *arXiv preprint*

arXiv:1810.04805.

31. Khakzad, H., Igashov, I., Schneuing, A., Goverde, C., Bronstein, M. and Correia,

B. (2023) A new age in protein design empowered by deep learning. *Cell*

Systems, **14**, 925-939.

32. Min, B., Ross, H., Sulem, E., Veyseh, A.P.B., Nguyen, T.H., Sainz, O., Agirre,

E., Heintz, I. and Roth, D. (2023) Recent Advances in Natural Language
Processing via Large Pre-trained Language Models: A Survey. *ACM Comput.*
Surv., **56**, Article 30.

33.   Bostrom, K. and Durrett, G. (2020) Byte pair encoding is suboptimal for
language model pretraining. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2004.03720.*

34.   Sanabria, M., Hirsch, J. and Poetsch, A.R. (2023) Distinguishing word identity
and sequence context in DNA language models. *bioRxiv*, 2023.2007.
2011.548593.

35.   Su, W., Liu, M.-L., Yang, Y.-H., Wang, J.-S., Li, S.-H., Lv, H., Dao, F.-Y., Yang,
H. and Lin, H. (2021) PPD: a manually curated database for experimentally
verified prokaryotic promoters. *Journal of Molecular Biology*, **433**, 166860.

36.   Li, B., Gou, Y., Liu, J.Z., Zhu, H., Zhou, J.T. and Peng, X. (2020) Zero-shot
image dehazing. *IEEE Transactions on Image Processing*, **29**, 8457-8466.

37.   Hanley, J.A. and McNeil, B.J. (1982) The meaning and use of the area under a
receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve. *Radiology*, **143**, 29-36.

38.   Ko, Y.-S., Kim, J.W., Lee, J.A., Han, T., Kim, G.B., Park, J.E. and Lee, S.Y.
(2020) Tools and strategies of systems metabolic engineering for the
development of microbial cell factories for chemical production. *Chemical*
Society Reviews, **49**, 4615-4636.

39.   de Almeida, B.P., Reiter, F., Pagani, M. and Stark, A. (2022) DeepSTARR
predicts enhancer activity from DNA sequence and enables the de novo design
of synthetic enhancers. *Nature Genetics*, **54**, 613-624.

40.   Wang, Z., Liu, Z., Zhang, W., Li, Y., Feng, Y., Lv, S., Diao, H., Luo, Z., Yan, P.,
He, M. *et al.* (2023) De novo design and optimization of aptamers with AptaDiff.
bioRxiv, 2023.2011.2025.568693.

41.   Ding, N., Zhang, G., Zhang, L., Shen, Z., Yin, L., Zhou, S. and Deng, Y. (2023)
Engineering an AI-based forward-reverse platform for the design of cross-
ribosome binding sites of a transcription factor biosensor. *Computational and*
Structural Biotechnology Journal, **21**, 2929-2939.

42.   Kingma, D.P. and Ba, J. (2014) Adam: A method for stochastic optimization.
arXiv preprint arXiv:1412.6980.

43.   Schneider, A., Hommel, G. and Blettner, M. (2010) Linear regression analysis:
part 14 of a series on evaluation of scientific publications. *Dtsch Arztebl Int*,

107, 776-782.

44.   Natekin, A. and Knoll, A. (2013) Gradient boosting machines, a tutorial.
Frontiers in neurorobotics, **7**, 21.

45.   Ridgeway, G., Madigan, D. and Richardson, T.S. (1999), *Seventh International*
Workshop on Artificial Intelligence and Statistics. PMLR.

46.   Breiman, L. (2001) Random forests. *Machine learning*, **45**, 5-32.

47.   McDonald, G.C. (2009) Ridge regression. *Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews:*
Computational Statistics, **1**, 93-100.

48.   Drucker, H., Burges, C.J., Kaufman, L., Smola, A. and Vapnik, V. (1996)
Support vector regression machines. *Advances in neural information processing*
systems, **9**.

49.   Chen, T. and Guestrin, C. (2016), *Proceedings of the 22nd acm sigkdd*
international conference on knowledge discovery and data mining, pp. 785-794.

50.   Loh, W.Y. (2011) Classification and regression trees. *Wiley interdisciplinary*
reviews: data mining and knowledge discovery, **1**, 14-23.

51.   Willmott, C.J. and Matsuura, K. (2005) Advantages of the mean absolute error
(MAE) over the root mean square error (RMSE) in assessing average model
performance. *Climate research*, **30**, 79-82.

52.   Akoglu, H. (2018) User's guide to correlation coefficients. *Turkish journal of*
emergency medicine, **18**, 91-93.

Figures

TOC

Figure 1. The framework of synthetic promoter design based on nucleotide language

model. (A) A pretrained GPT model is capable of generating accurate sentences but

may not always align with specific intentions or contexts. By finetuning a pretrained

GPT, it can be adapted to not only produce correct sentences but also ensure alignment

with the desired intent or context-specific requirements. (B) PromoGen-pre is a 7.4

million parameter neural network based on Transformer architecture, which relies on

the self-attention mechanism to capture the distribution of tokens conditioned on

context. (C) The PromoGen-pre is trained to generate artificial nucleotide sequence by

minimizing the cross-entropy loss on the PPD database. (D) A diagrammatic

representation depicts the formation of a transcription initiation complex, comprising

promoters and RNA polymerase (RNAP). Promoters are primarily composed of up

element, -35 element, flanking sequences, and -10 element. During the assembly of the

transcription initiation complex, the α subunit is responsible for anchoring RNAP to the

promoter region. The β and β' subunits are involved in recognizing the sigma factor,

while the ω subunit assists in the assembly of RNA polymerase.

Figure 2. The training of PromoGen-pre. (A) Evolutionary tree of 27 major species

included in PPD. (B)The number of promoter sequences contained in each species

within PPD. The others indicate the sum of promoters from species except the 27 major

ones. (C) The t-SNE, employed as a dimensionality reduction technique, was utilized

to illustrate the spatial distribution of both native and generated promoters in a two-

dimensional space. The distances between points represent the similarity. Blue, orange,

green, red, purple, and grey color points represent the promoter sequences of

Sinorhizobium, *Bacillus*, *Haloferax*, *Nostoc*, *Burkholderia*, and *Escherichia*,

respectively. The pink color points represent the generated sequences of PromoGen. (D)

The model likelihoods of PromoGen-pre can accurately predict the activity of generated

promoters compared with different parameter sizes of pre-trained nucleotide

transformers.

Figure 3. Comparison of PromoGen-eco with WGAN-GP model. (A) Density scatter

plot on train data by Bayesian Bridge prediction model. The depth of color points

represents density, with the x-axis and y-axis indicating predicted and actual promoter

strengths, respectively. (B) Density scatter plot on test data by Bayesian Bridge

prediction model. (C) The distribution of scores in PromoGen-eco and WGAN-GP. The

orange and blue color linear represent WGAN-GP and PromoGen-eco, respectively. (D)

The 6-mers frequency correlation between native and PromoGen-eco generated

promoter sequences. Each data point represents a specific 6-mers, with the x-axis and

y-axis indicating the frequency of the 6-mer in native and generated promoters,

respectively.

Figure 4. Evaluation of the PromoGen-pre and PromoGen-bsu generated promoters. (A)

The sequence logos of the PromoGen-pre-generated, native, and PromoGen-bsu-

generated promoters. The 0 represents the transcriptional start site (TSS). The key

regions -10 and -35 have been marked by red dotted lines. (B) Spearman and Pearson

values were used to assess the frequency of 6-mers between native and generated

promoters. Each data point represents a specific 6-mers, with the x-axis and y-axis

indicating the frequency of the 6-mer in native and generated promoters, respectively.

(C) The distribution patterns of the top two 6-mers in PromoGen-pre and PromoGen-

bsu within the -10 regions. The x-axis represents the distance from the TSS, and the y-

axis represents the count of the 6-mer at that specific location. (D) The native and

generated promoters are visualized in a two-dimensional space, with high-dimensional

756   data condensed through t-SNE. The distances between points reflect the degree of

757   relevance. Native promoters are depicted as green dots, while PromoGen-pre and

758   PromoGen-bsu generated promoters are represented by orange and blue dots,

759   respectively.

Figure 5. Validation of the generated promoter strength by *sfGFP* expression in *B.*

subtilis. (A) Schematic representation of *sfGFP* expression and detection. (B) Strength

of promoters were assessed by measuring the fluorescence intensity of *sfGFP* in *B.*

subtilis. Twenty-two PromoGen-bsu generated promoters were detected, alongside

three native promoters (P_{43}, P_{veg}, P_{lepA}) serving as positive controls, and three dummy

sequences as negative controls. (C) The native and validation promoters in the

embedding spaces. The grey dots represent the 691 native promoters in the dataset. The

orange and blue dots represent the native and generated validation promoters. The size

of the dots shows the activity of the promoter. (D) The five most active promoters in

the experiment were listed. Red and blue characters represent the -10 and -35 regions,

respectively. (E) The web server of generate promoter sequences in 27 prokaryotes.

When selecting the typical species, certain parameters could be adjusted, including the

quantity and length of generated promoter sequences, base repetition penalty, top P and

temperature.