A *WFS1* variant disrupting acceptor splice site uncovers the impact of alternative splicing on ER-stress independent β cell apoptosis in a patient with Wolfram syndrome.

Raniero Chimienti†[*1,2], Silvia Torchio†[*1,2], Valentina Zamarian[1], Gabriel Siracusano[1,2], Laura Monaco[1,2], Marta Tiffany Lombardo[1], Fabio Manenti[1], Federica Cuozzo[1], Greta Rossi[2,3], Paola Carrera[4,5], Valeria Sordi[1], Vania Broccoli[3,6], Riccardo Bonfanti[7], Giorgio Casari[2,8], Giulio Frontino[#7], Lorenzo Piemonti[#*1,2]

[1]Unit of Beta Cell Biology, Diabetes Research Institute, IRCCS San Raffaele Hospital, Milan, Italy

[2]Vita-Salute San Raffaele University, Milan, Italy

[3]Division of Neuroscience, IRCCS San Raffaele Scientific Institute, Milan, Italy

[4]Unit of Genomics for Human Disease Diagnosis, IRCCS San Raffaele Scientific Institute, Milan, Italy

[5]Laboratory of Molecular Genetics, IRCCS San Raffaele Scientific Institute, Milan, Italy

[6]National Research Council of Italy, Institute of Neuroscience, Milan, Italy

[7]Department of Pediatrics, IRCCS San Raffaele Hospital, Milan, Italy

[8]Unit of Genome-Phenome Relationship, IRCCS San Raffaele Scientific Institute, Milan, Italy

*Correspondence: Prof. Lorenzo Piemonti, Diabetes Research Institute (DRI), IRCCS San Raffaele Hospital, Via Olgettina 60, 20132, Milan, Italy (piemonti.lorenzo@hsr.it); Dr. Raniero Chimienti, PhD, Diabetes Research Institute (DRI), IRCCS San Raffaele Hospital, Via Olgettina 60, 20132, Milan, Italy (chimienti.raniero@hsr.it); Dr. Silvia Torchio, PhD, Diabetes Research Institute (DRI), IRCCS San Raffaele Hospital, Via Olgettina 60, 20132, Milan, Italy [current address: Genetics and Developmental Biology (UMR3215 / U934), Institut Curie, 11-13 rue Pierre et Marie Curie, 75005, Paris, France] (silvia.torchio@curie.fr).

†The authors wish it to be known that, in their opinion, the first two authors (Raniero Chimienti and Silvia Torchio) should be regarded as joint First Authors.

#The authors wish it to be known that, in their opinion, the last two authors (Giulio Frontino and Lorenzo Piemonti) should be regarded as joint Last Authors.

Abstract

Wolfram Syndrome 1 is an inherited condition manifesting in childhood-onset diabetes mellitus and progressive optic nerve atrophy, variable hearing impairment/deafness, diabetes insipidus, neurologic

defects and other psychiatric abnormalities. The causative gene is *WFS1*, which encodes for a master regulator of several cellular responses, named Wolframin. As over 200 mutations have been reported in association with a great clinical variability, a convincing genotype-phenotype correlation is crucial to deal with disease severity and identify effective therapy. Herein, we investigate a patient carrying the *WFS1* mutations c.316-1G>A and c.757A>T. By using iPSC-derived pancreatic β cells from this patient, we demonstrated that the allele carrying the acceptor splice site (ASS) mutation c.316-1G>A originates premature termination codon (PTC)-containing splicing variants, and two ORF-conserving mRNAs leading to N-terminally truncated polypeptides. We found that degradation of PTC-carrying transcripts is regulated by nonsense mediated decay (NMD) and inflammatory stress-induced changes in NMD-related genes result in over-expression of these aberrant *WFS1* mRNAs, predisposing β cells to unfolded protein response-independent apoptosis. Following Cas9-mediated recovering of ASS, we retrieved the canonical transcriptional landscape and rescued normal phenotype in patient-derived β cells. Overall, our study provides a model for the characterization of *WFS1* mutations, uncovering new therapeutic targets for this rare disease.

Introduction

Wolfram Syndrome 1 (WS1) is a progressive neurodegenerative disorder mainly characterized by co-morbidity of early-onset diabetes mellitus and optic nerve atrophy, in association or not with other endocrinological, urological and neurological abnormalities, with symptoms of highly variable onset, progression and severity (1, 2). Disease manifestations are attributable to pathogenetic variants of the *WFS1* gene, spanning 33.4kb of genomic DNA within the short arm of chromosome 4 (4p16). *WFS1* consists of eight exons, of which the first one is noncoding. The 3.6kb *WFS1* mRNA encodes for an 890 amino acids-long polypeptide, named Wolframin, having an apparent molecular weight of 100kDa. The protein displays subcellular localization to the endoplasmic reticulum (ER), where it plays a pivotal role in the control of the unfolded protein response (UPR), Ca^{++} homeostasis, endoplasmic reticulum (ER)-mitochondrial cross-talk and autophagy (3–6).

Wolframin structure and its interactome have not been entirely elucidated, but it has been reported to have protein-protein interaction domains at both N- and C-terminal, separated by nine transmembrane domains (TMDs) which allow the insertion in the ER membrane (7). Furthermore, the N-terminal domain is involved in the stabilization of tetrameric form, which is thought to be the most common and functional conformations of Wolframin (8). The 5'-untraslated region (UTR) of *WFS1* mRNA exhibits heterogeneity due to naturally occurring alternative splicing events (9, 10). More precisely, alternative splicing at the acceptor site of exon 2 causes a 4-bp deletion, enabling the differentiation of isoform 1 (aligned with the initial published sequence) from isoform 2, the latter starting four bases

before the canonical ATG translation codon (9). Despite both isoforms 1 and 2 generating the same protein, their biological significance remains unclear (11, 12).

To date, over 200 disease-causing mutations of *WFS1* have been identified along the entire sequence with no evidence of mutational hotspots (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/clinvar/?term=WFS1[gene], updated November 2023). As different *WFS1* variants mirror a wide range of clinical phenotypes, several genotype-phenotype association studies have been performed to aid clinicians in predicting more accurate prognoses and pave the way for personalized treatments (13–18). However, works investigating the molecular effects of specific *WFS1* variants on therapeutics are rare (19) and those proposing an in-depth characterization of the transcriptional/translational outcomes stemming from the disruption of splice sites and/or introduction of premature termination codon (PTC) have not been performed yet.

In our work, taking advantage of both induced pluripotent stem cells (iPSCs) and CRISPR/Cas9 technologies, we sought to decipher the consequences at the transcriptional and translational level of two recently discovered pathogenic *WFS1* mutations: c.316-1G>A, affecting the acceptor splice site (ASS) upstream exon 4, and c.757A>T, introducing a PTC in exon 7 (20, 21). Finally, we explored the maturation of *WFS1* mRNA in patient-derived iPSCs and after their differentiation into pancreatic β cells, evaluating the impact of *WFS1* PTC-carrying transcript accumulation upon exogenous stress and inflammation-dependent NMD inhibition on survival of the insulin-producing β cells.

Methods and Materials

PBMC isolation, reprogramming and cell culture

Healthy donor and patient-derived peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) were collected using Ficoll-Paque separation method on whole blood. WFS1 iPSCs were generated by reprogramming the CD34$^+$ fraction from the patient-derived PBMCs using the CytoTune-iPS 2.0 Sendai Reprogramming Kit (ThermoFisher) according to the manufacturer's indications. Reprogrammed cells were initially cultivated on a mouse embryonic fibroblast (MEF) layer. Emerging iPSC colonies were individually picked and expanded in mTeSR1 medium (Stemcell Tech.) onto a Vitronectin (Vitronectin [VTN-N] Recombinant Human Protein, Truncated, ThermoFisher) coating at 500 ng/cm^2. Stable clones were switched into the Essential 8 Flex medium (ThermoFisher) for long term cell culturing. Passages were performed every 3-4 days following dissociation with 0.5 mM EDTA (Ambion). The iPSC lines were routinely tested for mycoplasma contamination by using MycoAlert™ Mycoplasma Detection Kit by Lonza, according to the manufacturer's instructions. Karyotyping and aCGH analysis were performed by ISENET Biobanking service unit in Milan, Italy. All WFS1-derived iPSC clones and their gene edited counterpart used in the present study had normal karyotype. Control iPSCs with WT genotype

(CGTRCiB10) were already available and routinely employed in the laboratory. This WT iPSC line
was used as unrelated healthy control and its in-depth characterization is reported in our previously
publications (22, 23). Differentiation into pancreatic β cells was performed in adhesion following *in*
vitro protocol published by our group (24) on a subclone of WT iPSCs (#05), two clones of WFS1
iPSCs (#42 and #43) and three clones of WFS1$^{wt/316-1G>A}$ iPSCs (#B10, #C6 and #F4). At the end of
differentiation (day 24), iPSC-derived β cells were detached using 0.5 mM EDTA and displaced in
35 mm or 60 mm petri dishes on orbital shaker at 55 rpm to aggregate into clusters (pseudo-islets).
The pseudo-islets were maintained in suspension culture at 55 rpm until their use, using the following
medium: CMRL 1066 (Mediatech) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) (Lonza), 1%
Penicillin/Streptomycin (Pen/Strep), 1% L-Glutamine (Euroclone), 10 µM Alk5i II, 1 µM T3, 10 mM
Nicotinamide (Sigma), 10 µM H1152 (Euroclone), 100 U/ml DNase I (Sigma). Skin fibroblasts from
WS1 patient with genotype W189X/W189X and unrelated healthy control were gently provided by
Dr. Vania Broccoli's lab at IRCCS San Raffaele Hospital. Fibroblasts were kept in the Dulbecco's
modified Eagle's medium (DMEM) with high glucose, supplemented with 10% FBS, 1% Pen/Strep,
1% L-Glutamine. Passages were performed every week following detaching with Trypsin (Lonza).

RNA extraction, retrotranscription and PCR/RT-qPCR
Total RNA was extracted by using mirVana Isolation Kit (Ambion) and quantified by BioTek Epoch
Microplate Spectrophotometer (Agilent). About 1 µg of TURBO^{TM} DNAse (Thermo Fisher)-treated
RNA was reverse transcribed using SuperScript™ IV First-Strand Synthesis System (Thermo Fisher),
with oligo(dT) primers, according to the manufacturer's instructions. For the identification of *WFS1*
alternative splicing isoforms, 10 ng of cDNA was pre-amplified using *WFS1* primers listed in **Table**
1 (0.4 µM fw/rev) and the 1.25 U DreamTaq HS DNA Polymerase (Thermo Fisher), with 3.5 mM
MgCl$_2$ and 0.2 mM dNTPs, in 50 uL final volume reaction. Pre-amplification consisted of following
cycling conditions: 1 x 95°C 3', 15 x (95°C 30'', 60°C 30'', 72°C 45''), 1 x 72°C 5'. A total of 1 uL
of pre-amp reaction was used as template for further amplification. Second PCR was performed using
1.25 U DreamTaq HS DNA Polymerase, the same primers of pre-amp PCR (0.4 µM each), 2.0 mM
MgCl$_2$ and 0.2 mM dNTPs, with the following cycling conditions: 1 x 95°C 3', 35 x (95°C 30'', 60°C
30'', 72°C 45''), 1 x 72°C 5'. The PCR products were run on analytical pre-cast E-Gel™ Agarose
Gels with SYBR™ Safe DNA Gel Stain, 2% (ThermoFisher), using the GeneRuler 100 bp Plus DNA
Ladder (Thermo Fisher) as size markers. The PCR for screening of Cas9-edited clones and for XBP-
1 splicing assay was performed using 1.25 U DreamTaq HS DNA Polymerase, 2.0 mM MgCl$_2$ and
0.2 mM dNTPs, with the following cycling conditions: 1 x 95°C 3', 40 x (95°C 30'', 60°C 30'', 72°C
45''), 1 x 72°C 5'. The spliced/unspliced (s/u) XBP-1 ratio was measured by gel-electrophoresis and
densitometric analysis. For RT-qPCR experiments, PowerUp™ SYBR™ Green Master Mix was used

according to the manufacturer's protocol, and amplification with annealing temperature of 60°C was performed on a 7900HT Fast Real-Time PCR System (Applied Biosystem) with Fast 96-Well Block Module. Expression level of analyzed genes were normalized applying the 2-ΔCt method, using the GAPDH as housekeeping gene. Complete list of primers is reported in **Table 1**.

PCR product purification, cloning and Sanger sequencing

WFS1-derived PCR products obtained following amplification with Ex3fw-Ex5rev primer pair were separated on 2% agarose extraction gel prepared dissolving low-melt-temperature agarose in 1X TBE. Both 324bp- and 180bp-long amplicons were purified separately by NucleoSpin PCR & Gel Clean-up (Macherey Nagel) and cloned into pCR4-TOPO TA vector using TOPO™ TA Cloning™ Kits for Sequencing (Thermo Fisher), following manufacturer's instructions. The pCR4-TOPO construct was transformed into One Shot TOP10 (Thermo Fisher) chemically competent cells, then using LB-agar plates containing 100 µg/mL ampicillin as selection medium. The bacterial colonies were picked and analyzed for presence of insert by following amplification with the T3/T7 primers, and agarose gel electrophoresis. Positive transformants were expanded in LB added with 100 µg/mL ampicillin and pCR4-TOPO plasmid containing the PCR products was purified using NucleoSpin Plasmid, Mini kit for plasmid DNA (Macherey Nagel). The Sanger sequencing was performed from plasmid by the EZ-Seq service at Macrogen Europe. Electropherograms were visualized and sequence analyzed by using SnapGene v4.3.2 (Dotmatics).

Next Generation Sequencing

Following the patient's referral to a geneticist for further examination, Next Generation Sequencing (NGS) was performed on a panel of 31 monogenic diabetes-related genes: ABCC8 (NM_000352), AIRE (NM_000383), BLK (NM_001715), CEL (NM_001807), CISD2 (NM_001008388), EIF2AK3 (NM_004836), FOXP3 (NM_014009), GATA4 (NM_002052), GATA6 (NM_005257), GCK (NM_000162), GLIS3 (NM_001042413), HNF1A (NM_000545), HNF1B (NM_000458), HNF4A (NM_000457), IER3IP1 (NM_016097), INS (NM_000207), ISL1 (NM_002202), KCNJ11 (NM_000525), KLF11 (NM_003597), MNX1 (NM_005515), NEUROD1 (NM_002500), NEUROG3 (NM_020999), PAX4 (NM_006193), PAX6 (NM_000280), PDX1 (NM_000209), PTF1A (NM_178161), RFX6 (NM_173560), SIRT1 (NM_012238), SLC19A2 (NM_006996), SLC29A3 (NM_018344), SLC2A2 (NM_000340), WFS1 (NM_006005). Enrichment of fragments was performed with the TruSight One Sequencing kit (Illumina). Sequencing of coding regions and exon-intron junctions was done on NextSeq Illumina platform. Diagnosis of Wolfram Syndrome 1 was confirmed when mutations in *WFS1* gene were reported in the medical record of the patients, after comparison with variants deposited in the following databases: NCBI dbSNP, 1000 Genomes,

dbNSFP, ClinVar, LOVD. The deep sequencing analysis on PCR products obtained by amplifying *WFS1* sequence comprised between exon 3 and exon 5 was performed to confirm the sequence of the alternative splice variants deriving from c.316-1G>A-carrying allele in the WFS1 cells. PCR-derived fragments were purified by using NucleoSpin PCR & Gel Clean-up (Macherey Nagel). Libraries were obtained following the TruSeq Nano WGS (Illumina) protocol, deriving 250nt-long 4×10^6 fragments per sample. Paired-end sequencing was performed through MiSeq_500_v2 Illumina platform on two WFS1 iPSCs clones (#01 and #42) and one WT iPSCs clone (#05). The reads in R1 and R2 FASTQ files generated at the end of the sequencing were analyzed for the base quality. Adapter were removed by using Trimmomatic, v.0.32. Preliminary, explorative alignment was performed by STAR v2.5.3a, mapping reads to the reference human genome hg38, Gencode version 28. Percentages of uniquely mapped reads were about 70-77% for the two WFS1 samples and 85% for the WT sample. More than 99,99% of reads were assigned to the *WFS1* gene for all the three samples.

CRISPR/Cas9-mediated gene editing

CRISPR/Cas9-mediated correction of the point mutation located upstream exon 4 of *WFS1* gene was performed in patient-derived iPSCs. GeneArt™ Precision gRNA Synthesis Kit (ThermoFisher) was employed for *in vitro* synthesis of the gRNAs, whose sequences are reported in the **Table 1**. As donor templates a single strand oligodeoxynucleotide (ssODN) homologous to the region encompassing the *WFS1* intron 3 - exon 4 boundary junction was used. 20 µg of Cas9 protein (TrueCut™ Cas9 Protein v2, ThermoFisher) and 4 µg of *in vitro* transcribed (IVT) gRNAs were pre-incubated for 20 minutes at RT to form ribonucleoprotein (RNP) complex. An RNP:ssODN ratio of 1:1.5 was used by adding 36 µg of ssODN. Finally, 2 µg of pmaxGFP plasmid (Lonza) was added to the mix as selection marker for cell sorting. For Cas9-mediated gene editing, $1 \cdot 10^5$ iPSCs were electroporated by using the 4D-nucleofector with P3 electroporation solution (Lonza) and setting the CB-150 program, according to the manufacturer's instructions. The electroporated cells were replated and cultured in complete fresh media for 5 days. Single cell cloning was performed following cell sorting of GFP-positive cells.

Cell sorting and FACS analysis

Electroporated cells were analyzed with FACSAria™ Fusion Flow Cytometer (BD) and GFP-positive cells were sorted in Vitronectin-coated 96-well plate for single cell cloning. Sorted cells were cultured in Essential 8 Flex added with 10% added with CloneR™ (Stemcell Tech.) until colonies emerged. Single clone-derived colonies were screened by restriction enzyme digest as described below. FACS analysis was performed on trypsin-treated iPSCs and iPSC-derived β cells after incubation with the Live/Dead Fixable Violet Stain (ThermoFisher) in PBS at 4°C for 30' to exclude dead cells from the analysis. For intracellular staining, cells were fixed by using Cytofix/Cytoperm (Becton Dickinson,

BD), then permeabilized with Phosflow™ Perm Buffer III (BD), according to the manufacturer's instructions. Staining with conjugated antibody was performed for 30' at 4°C. The list of antibodies used for FACS analysis is reported in **Table 2**. For the ER-stress induction and immunotoxicity assay, early and late apoptosis were evaluated by using the FITC Annexin V Apoptosis Detection Kit I (BD), following to the manufacturers' instructions. Cells were inspected on the FACSCanto™ II System (BD) or CytoFLEX LX (Beckman Coulter) cytometer, and analyzed by FlowJo™ Software V.10 (FlowJo LLC, Ashland, Oregon, USA).

Homologous directed repair screening

Single colonies of growing clones were picked and transferred into direct PCR lysis buffer containing 150 mM NaCl, 10 mM Tris-HCl pH7.5, 5% Tween-20 and 0.4 mg/ml Proteinase K (Promega), then incubated for 6 hours at 55°C until complete lysis is achieved. Crude lysate was incubated for 45' at 85°C to inactivate Proteinase K. After centrifugation to collect debris, 1 µL of lysate was used per 50 µL genotyping PCR reaction with HR fw/rev primers reported in **Table 1**. The PCR product was purified using NucleoSpin PCR & Gel Clean-up (Macherey Nagel) and quantified by BioTek Epoch Microplate Spectrophotometer (Agilent). Up to 1 µg of DNA was digested with 10 U of SacI enzyme (NEB) in NEBuffer r1.1 for 1 hour at 37°C. Digested DNA derived from each clone was resolved on 2% agarose gel. Amplified sequence is long 272 bp. Enzymatic digestion of recombined sequence produces two bands of 152 bp and 119 bp. If homologous recombination with donor DNA involves one allele, the 272 bp-long and the 152/119 bp-long pair amplicons are observed (50% chance that the c.316-1G>A-carrying allele has been corrected). If homologous recombination occurs in both *WFS1* alleles, only digested products are displayed. For following experiments, only clones incurred in bi-allelic recombination were selected and evaluated for *WFS1* expression.

Immunofluorescence

For indirect immunofluorescence, cells were cultured on coated Falcon™ Chambered Cell Culture Slides. Cell monolayers were washed with PBS, fixed with paraformaldehyde (4%) in PBS for 20' at RT and then treated with 15 mM glycine for 5' at RT. After blocking and permeabilization with PBS supplemented with 0.4% Triton X-100, 2% BSA, 5% FBS for 45' at RT, cells were washed twice with PBS and then incubated overnight at 4°C with appropriate primary antibody reported in **Table 2** diluted in PBS supplemented with 2% BSA. Cells were washed 3 times with PBS and then incubated with appropriate secondary antibody diluted in the same dilution buffer as the primary antibody for 1 h at RT. After washing, the nuclei were counterstained with Hoechst 33342. Images were acquired using Olympus FluoVIEW FV 3000 (VivaScope Research) confocal microscope and analyzed using the Fiji software v.1.52p (25).

Immunoblot analysis

WT and WFS1 iPSCs, and WT and WFS1-KO fibroblasts were lysed in the M-PER™ Mammalian Protein Extraction Reagent and proteins were quantified by Pierce™ Rapid Gold BCA Protein Assay Kit. Protein extracts were resolved on SDS-PAGE (4-20%) using Novex™ Tris-Glycine Mini Protein Gels and electro-transferred onto a polyvinylidene difluoride (PVDF) membrane. Transfer efficiency was evaluated using Ponceau S (Sigma). After 1 h of incubation in blocking buffer (TBS, 0.1% Tween 20, 5% skim milk) at RT, the membranes were probed overnight at 4°C with the primary antibodies reported in **Table 2** diluted in TBS supplemented with 0.1% Tween 20 and 5% skim milk or BSA. Horseradish peroxidase-labeled antibodies (diluted 1:1000 in the same dilution buffer as the primary antibody) were used as secondary antibody, and revelation was performed using the SuperSignal™ West Pico PLUS chemiluminescent detection system according to the manufacturer's instructions by ChemiDoc MP (Biorad). Quantification of protein levels was performed using Fiji software. GADPH was used to ensure equal loadings and to normalize protein quantification. All the listed reagents were purchased from ThermoFisher unless otherwise specified.

Non-sense mediated decay inhibition

To determine whether NMD inhibition can increase the PTC-carrying *WFS1* transcript levels, iPSCs were cultured in 6-well dishes and once reached ~70% confluence were treated with 5 μM NMDI-14 (MedChemExpress) or with vehicle (DMSO 0.1%) for 16 hours. RNA was collected at the end of the treatment and processed following aforesaid methods.

ER-stress induction and immunotoxicity assay

For the thapsigargin (TG, Sigma)-induced ER-stress experiments iPSCs were treated for 8 hours with 100 nM TG, followed by 24 or 48 hours of stress recovery in fresh medium without TG. For iPSC-derived β cells, treatment lasted for a total of 16 hours with 50 nM TG before cell death analysis. The conditioning with inflammatory cytokines was performed on iPSC-derived β cells by adding 50 U/mL rhIL-1β, 1000 U/mL rhIFN-γ and 10 ng/mL rhTNF-α (PeproTech) to the culture medium. Cells were analyzed by FACS for positivity to Annexin V/P.I. at 8, 16, 24 and/or 48 hours, according to protocol described above.

Bioinformatic analysis

Inference of the alternative splicing events potentially occurring after disruption of the ASS at c.316-2 (AG) of *WFS1* coding sequence was conducted following preliminary examination of the cryptic splice sites within the exon 4 sequence (ENSE00000701011) ± 150 bp flanking intronic regions. Analysis of the canonical splice sites, branch points, cis-elements (exonic splicing enhancers - ESE - and exonic splicing silencer - ESS) and trans-acting splicing factor sequences, was performed by the

Human Splice Finder Pro (https://hsf.genomnis.com). To model the most thermodynamically favorite alternative splicing events following the c.316-1G>A transition the MaxEntScan framework (26) based on the maximum entropy principle was used. For the identification of alternative splicing isoforms from deep sequencing data, trimmed reads were initially aligned by STAR v2.5.3a to a custom reference genome that was built using the wild type *WFS1* mRNA and the three alternative splicing isoforms found by Sanger sequencing. Due to higher probability of sequencing errors associated with read length, -outFilterMismatchNmax parameter was set to 10. Reads that not aligned to the custom reference sequences were re-analyzed to identify other alternative splicing isoforms, if any, and used to generate the relative consensus sequence using the EMBOSS Cons software package (https://github.com/kimrutherford/EMBOSS). Multi-alignment to the custom reference genome were visualized with Integrative Genomics Viewer (IGV) v2.5. To infer the tridimensional (3D)-structure of Wolframin N-terminal domain, the entire aminoacidic sequence of the protein was used with Ginzu protocol (27) to predict the functional domains of the protein. The sequence of N-terminal domain (residues 1-402) was then submitted to Robetta server's RoseTTAFold (https://robetta.bakerlab.org/), following *ab initio* modeling pipeline. The structures of mutated isoforms were generated employing Rosetta's comparative modelling (28) and using the *de novo* modelled WT N-terminal structure as reference. The molecular dynamics simulations and conformational change analysis were performed by Gromacs v.2021.7 (29). The N-terminal domain structure was deposited in the ModelArchive (https://www.modelarchive.org). Raw data, procedures, ramachandran plots, inter-residue distance deviation and IDDT scores, and Gromacs configuration files are reported in the accompanying data at https://www.modelarchive.org/doi/10.5452/ma-cg3qd.

Statistical analysis

GraphPad Prism software (9.0.1 version) was employed to perform statistical analyses. Assuming the normal distribution of data, student's unpaired t-test was used for comparison between two groups, while to evaluate the effects of two independent variables on a dependent variable, two-way ANOVA with Dunn-Šídák correction for multiple comparison test was applied. In all cases, a p-value below 0.05 was considered as statistically significant. Data are graphed as mean±standard error of the mean (SEM) or as mean±standard deviation (SD). Error bar meaning and number of replicates are reported in the figure legends.

Results

Analysis of patient's *WFS1* mutations

The patient was diagnosed with WS1 by the Next Generation Sequencing (NGS)-based screening for monogenic diabetes-driving mutations, as extensively described in our previous paper (30). DNA-

sequencing patterns were compared to the sequence of *WFS1* transcript variant 1 in GenBank (NCBI Ref. Seq: NM_006005.3; Gene ID: 7466), leading to detection of the following mutations: c.316-1G>A and c.757A>T (**Figure 1A-B**). The c.316-1G>A transition disrupts the acceptor (3') splice site of exon 4 with uncertain outcome (**Figure 1A**) and was classified as likely pathogenic (LP) variant, according to the American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics (ACMG) guidelines (31). The c.757A>T transversion was also classified as a LP mutation and is located on the exon 7, where it gives rise to a premature termination codon (PTC) at the amino acid residue 253 (p.Lys253Ter), as shown in **Figure 1B**. Sanger sequencing on the PCR products from genomic DNA isolated from the family members confirmed that the patient inherited both c.316-1G>A and c.757A>T mutations from the father and the mother, respectively (**Figure 1C**). Since the parents have no symptoms related to the syndrome, we assumed that both mutations do not exert any dominant negative effect and were transmitted as an autosomal recessive trait. By querying the ClinVar archives of NCBI, the Human Gene Mutation Database (HGMD) and the Leiden Open Variation Database (LOVD) we found that splicing site variations of *WFS1* gene account for 2.9% of the total mutations and, among them, only 1 out of 3 involves an ASS or its proximal polypyrimidine (PY) tract. In **Figure 1D** we display the genomic position of the mutations carried by our patient and we highlight the previously reported ASS variants mapping to the intervening sequence (IVS)-1, IVS-4 and IVS-7 of the gene, as well (32, 33). To infer the effects of disruption of canonical AG ASS, we evaluated the cryptic splice sites along the *WFS1* exon 4 sequence (ENSE00000701011) ± 150 bp flanking intronic regions, by using the sequence analysis tool of the Human Splice Finder (**Figure 2A-C**) and we identified a total of 4 ASSs with an HSF score ≥ 75 (**Figure 2A, top** and **Table 3**). Among these, the acceptor splice motif located at 6288996 (CACTACCTGC<u>AG</u>TT; HSF Score: 81.29) might cause a 21 bp deletion (c.316_337del) that preserves the open reading frame (ORF) generating a protein lacking a 7 aa fragment (VGKHYLQ) with apparent molecular weight of 99.5 kDa. None of these alternative splice sites were confirmed using inhomogeneous Markov models as shown by plotting the MaxEntScores ≥ 4 (**Figure 2A, bottom** and **Table 3**). Interestingly, we observed that the exonic splicing auxiliary sequences ratio (ESR) resulted significantly higher (>15) along the cryptic acceptor splice sequence starting at 6289115 (CTTGGCGGAC<u>AG</u>AA; HSF Score 78.06) (**Figure 2B**). This positive ESR ratio was suggestive of an increased activity of exon splicing enhancer proteins as the analysis displayed the presence at the 5' of exon 4 of the recognition motifs of the SC35, SRp40 and 9G8 splicing factors (**Figure 2B**). We also find a total of 6 branch points (BP score > 65) along the sequence comprises between 6288948 and 6289146 that could be used from the cryptic ASSs (**Figure 2C** and **Table 3**). To infer which ASS could be selected by the spliceosome when the disruption of the wild type (WT) site occurs, we applied a method based on Maximum Entropy Principle (26). We expected the

abolishment of the WT ASS would drive the splicing machinery to utilize the cryptic sequence at position 6288996. However, since the c.316-1G>A mutation determines the 1 bp-shifting of the canonical AG motif, according to the Maximum Entropy model, this ASS was thermodynamically favoured when compared to the cryptic motif at 6288996 (**Figure 2C-D**). Nevertheless, the generation of the 1 bp-shifted splice site at position c.317 dramatically alters the open reading frame (ORF) and results in a PTC. Anyhow, the maximum entropy-based probabilistic model suggested the exon 4 skipping as the most probable outcome (MaxEntScore > 6) (**Figure 2C-D**) causing ORF breakdown and PTC formation as well (**Figure 2D**).

Characterization of the transcriptional outcomes from the c.316-1 G>A allele

To explore the transcriptional landscape arising from ASS disruption in IVS-3, we decided to exploit the stem cell technology. Specifically, we reprogrammed peripheral blood CD34$^+$ cells of the patient into iPSCs, as previously described by our group (24), successfully generating a total of eight iPSC syngeneic clones with normal karyotype and conserved *WFS1* heterozygous mutations. Details on genomic integrity and analysis of pluripotency are reported in **Figure S1**. We designed a PCR strategy to amplify *WFS1* transcripts along exons 3, 4 and 5, by using two pairs of primers as shown in **Figure 3A**. When the *WFS1* mRNA between exon 3 and 5 was amplified in both WT and WFS1 iPSCs, we highlighted a potential mutation-related alternative splicing isoform as we observed an amplicon of ~180bp in the WFS1 iPSCs (**Figure 3B**). Both cell lines also display the expected amplicon of 324 bp, which derives from normal gene in the WT cells, and likely from the c.757A>T-carrying allele in the WFS1 ones. The amplification of the sequence between exons 3 and 4 or between exon 4 and 5 generated a single amplicon in WFS1 iPSCs, supporting hypothesis that exon 4 skipping was the most probable event occurring in the c.316-1G>A-carrying pre-mRNA. (**Figure 3B**). To determine the sequences of the observed PCR products, we TA-cloned the WFS1-derived 324bp- and 180bp-long bands and >20 clones were analyzed by Sanger sequencing. We found both natural and alternative splicing variants, that we named according to Human Genome Variant Society (HGVS) nomenclature standard. Among the 324bp-long amplicons we identified two sequences: the WT (deriving from the c.757A>T-carrying allele) and the c.316del, corresponding to the maximum entropy-based prediction of alternative splicing resulting from the 1bp-shifting of ASS. Analyzing the 180bp-long amplicons we found two different sequences, one resulting from the skipping of the entire exon 4 (c.316_461del) and the other retaining the last four bases of the exon 4 (c.316_457del). Among the identified c.316-1 G>A-carrying allele-deriving isoforms only the c.316_457del preserved the ORF (**Figure 3C**). To exclude that reprogramming could alter the processing of *WFS1* pre-mRNA, as well as to rule out that observed isoforms were a specific characteristic of stem cells, due to a broader expression of splicing enhancer factors, we amplified the WT and WFS1 primary PBMC-derived cDNA by Ex3fw-

Ex5rev primer pair, observing a complex pattern of amplicons in patient-derived PBMCs (**Figure 3D**). Based on these findings, we generated a sequence library from both WT and WFS1-derived amplification products of the Ex3fw-Ex5rev primer pair and performed deep sequencing to identify all the potential alternative splicing isoforms occurring in the mutated cells. We performed paired-end sequencing on the PCR products from one WT subclone and two WFS1 clones. Assessment of read quality shown that R1 (forward) reads have poor quality after 210bp, while R2 (reverse) reads lose accuracy after 180bp. Preliminary STAR alignment to reference human genome hg38 revealed a reduced number of reads mapping to the *WFS1* gene in the affected cell-derived samples. This mirrored the percentage of trimmed paired-end reads per sample used to individuate the alternative splicing isoforms in WFS1 iPSCs (**Figure E**). Interestingly, a total of 4 c.316-1 G>A-carrying allele-deriving alternative splicing isoforms were identified in WFS1 iPSCs, whereas in WT cells the normal, correctly spliced transcript represented more than 99% of the mapped reads (**Figure 3F**). NGS analysis thus confirmed sequences identified by cloning and Sanger sequencing and allowed to identify an additional splice variant: c.271_513del. Among detected transcripts, the c.316del and c.316_461del isoforms resulted in frameshift and PTC generation, whereas the c.316_457del and c.271_513del isoforms conserved the ORF, missing part of the *WFS1* mRNA. Specifically, c.316_457del lost the entire exon 4 with the exception of the last four nucleotides (AGAG), while c.271_513del included a larger deletion comprising 3'-end of exon 3, the entire exon 4 and the first 52bp of exon 5 (**Figure 3G** and **Table 4**). Relative quantification of total amount of *WFS1* transcripts revealed that reduction in WFS1 iPSCs was not significant (p = 0.07), due to the high variability of *WFS1* expression in WT cells (**Figure 3H**). We speculated that stable low expression of WFS1 total mRNAs in affected iPSCs might result from post-transcriptional regulation by non-sense mediated decay (NMD) of the transcript deriving from the c.757A>T-carrying allele and the 2 out of 4 transcripts deriving from the c.316-1 G>A-carrying allele as well. Indeed, the two isoforms c.316del and c.316_461del were significantly upregulated by treatment with NMDI-14, a molecule that disrupts the SMG7-UPF1 interactions and strongly inhibits NMD (**Figure 3I**).

Characterization of the translational outcomes from the c.316-1 G>A allele

The ORF-conserving c.316_457del and c.271_513del isoforms induce an in-frame skipping of 141bp and 240bp, resulting in 47aa (Val106-Arg152) and 80aa (Val91-Thr170) losses, respectively. This would lead to the internally truncated forms of the protein, lacking part of the N-terminal domain but preserving transmembrane and C-terminal domains. To confirm the presence of mutated Wolframin, we performed immunoblot with two antibodies recognizing the N-terminal residues surrounding the Ala43 position and the Lys679-Phe783 C-terminal residues, respectively. The WT and WFS1-KO fibroblasts were used as controls of antibody specificity. As shown in **Figure 4A**, the C-terminal-

recognizing Ab confirmed that the Wolframin amount in the WFS1 iPSCs was ~50% lower than the WT counterpart. This data highlighted that residual protein in affected cells derived from the c.316-1 G>A-carrying allele only. Of note, the higher expression variability and the stable levels of Wolframin in WT and WFS1 iPSC clones, respectively, mirrored that observed at transcriptional level. As expected, the N-terminal-recognizing Ab did not detect altered isoforms in WFS1 iPSCs (**Figure 4B**). These results confirm that at least one of the splicing isoforms found in WFS1 iPSCs leads to residual protein production, albeit missing a portion of the N-terminal domain. To uncover the impact of the portions lost upon alternative splicing events, we refined the structure of the N-terminal domain (residues 1-402) by following the *ab initio* modeling pipeline of RoseTTAFold. We deposited the *de novo* model in the ModelArchive Database (doi: 10.5452/ma-cg3qd) and used it to perform homology-based, comparative modeling of the mutated isoforms p.Val106-Arg152del and p.Val91-Thr170del (**Figure 4C-D**). The p.Val106-Arg152del isoform partially preserved the WFS1-Calmodulin (CaM) binding site, whereas the p.Val91-Thr170 isoform lost the entire WFS1-CaM domain (34). Moreover, both isoforms resulted in altered N-terminal structure, potentially affecting interaction with other well-known WFS1 interactors, such as neuronal calcium sensor 1 (NCS1), that is involved in the mitochondria-associated membranes formation and calcium signaling (35). The dynamic simulation of predicted structures revealed higher instability of mutated N-terminal domain (> 0.5 Root Mean Standard Deviation, RMSD) compared to the WT ones (< 0.3 RMSD) after 10 ns into a salt-equilibrated aqueous solution (**Figure 4E**). Finally, a radius of gyration of more than 30Å of the p.Val106-Arg152del isoform suggested a reduced compactness of its N-terminal domain (**Figure 4F**). These *in silico* inferences support hypothesis that the observed residual proteins in WFS1 cells might display reduced binding with cytoplasmic interactors as well as altered capacity to form homotetramers, which are considered the most stable and functional forms of Wolframin in the ER membrane (7, 8).

CRISPR/Cas9-mediated gene correction of the c.316-1 G>A allele

To further confirm that the *WFS1* altered isoforms would derive from the c.316-1 G>A-carrying allele and to obtain a syngeneic allele-specific edited iPSC line to explore the functional impact of mutated transcripts, we performed a CRISPR/Cas9-mediated correction of the 316-1 G>A point mutation. We designed single guide RNA (sgRNA) to target exon 4 of *WFS1* gene and trigger homologous-directed repair (HR) of the upstream intron-exon junction by using a single stranded oligonucleotide (ssODN) as shown in **Figure 5A**. In the ssODN sequence we introduced A>G modification at c.316-1 to restore canonical ASS, and inserted four silent mutations at position p.113, 114, 115 and 116 (indicated in light blue in Figure 5A) to destroy the PAM sequence and the gRNA recognition motif, without altering aminoacidic code, to avoid re-targeting upon homologous recombination. Finally, we also

introduced a SacI cut site (GAGCTC) to allow identification of gene engineered cells. Indeed, the gene edited clones were screened by amplifying the targeted sequence, followed by digestion with the SacI restriction enzyme (**Figure 5B**). We obtained a total of six WFS1$^{wt/757A>T}$ iPSC clones in which canonical splicing of *WFS1* mRNA and full-length isoform expression of Wolframin were restored (**Figure 5C-D**). These results were confirmed by RT-qPCR showing the absence of the 4 alternative splicing isoforms in WFS1$^{wt/757A>T}$ iPSCs (**Figure 5E**). All gene edited clones maintained normal karyotype and displayed stemness properties as confirmed by expression of the pluripotency markers NANOG, OCT4 and SOX2 (**Figure S3A-D**).

Expression of c.316-1 G>A allele-derived *WFS1* isoforms in insulin-producing β cells

Since pancreatic tissue and, in particular, insulin-producing β cells are affected in WS1, we aimed at exploring the impact of aberrant isoforms in the iPSC-derived β cells (iβ). WFS1 and WFS1$^{wt/757A>T}$ iPSCs were differentiated into pancreatic β cells following 24-days *in vitro* differentiation protocol, and we did not observe significant differences in the number of PDX1-, NKX6.1- and INS-positive cells at the end of differentiation (**Figure S3E**). Evaluating the levels of the c.316-1 G>A-carrying allele-deriving mRNA isoforms we did not found any difference in c.316del and c.316_461del transcript expression between iPSCs and iβ, confirming that such isoforms are subjected to NMD also in terminally differentiated cells. Surprisingly, we did not observe any increase in ORF-maintaining isoforms after differentiation; conversely, the iβ displayed a significant reduction of c.271_513del expression, suggesting that other mechanisms might be involved in their post-transcriptional regulation (**Figure 6A**). Given the pivotal role played by Wolframin in maintaining homeostasis and promoting insulin biosynthesis in pancreatic β cells (1), the WFS1$^{wt/757A>T}$ iβ significantly upregulated *WFS1* expression compared to undifferentiated stage. We observed from 20 to 50-fold increase in *WFS1* mRNA levels after 24 days of differentiation, where the protein 3-fold exceeded GAPDH levels, demonstrating the importance of Wolframin in β cells. (**Figure 6B-D**). Contrarywise, WFS1 cells did not display significant changes in both mRNA and protein levels after differentiation. As Wolframin levels did not increase in the WFS1 iβ, we hypothesized that the residual protein could not be able to guarantee the Wolframin-related functions in β cell stressogenic conditions. Moreover, we observed the homotetrameric form of Wolframin in WFS1$^{wt/757A>T}$ iβ only, confirming that altered residual protein lacked the portion of the N-terminal domain involved in the tetramer formation in WFS1 cells (**Figure 6C**).

Impact of *WFS1* aberrant isoforms on iβ cell survival upon stress and inflammation

From a functional point of view, WS1 is characterized by aberrant ER stress responses and overall upregulation of ER stress-related genes in basal conditions (3). However, our data are in contrast with

those reported in literature, as several ER stress-related genes were similarly expressed in WFS1 and WFS1$^{wt/757A>T}$ cells. Interestingly, thapsigargin (TG) treatment did not significantly trigger ER stress-related pathways in WFS1 cells, as displayed by differential expression of *ATF4*, *HSPA5* and *DDIT3* genes, probably because residual protein activity is sufficient to control ER stress response (**Figure 7A**). Although TG treatment induces cell death, we observed that recovery from TG-induced apoptosis occurred in the WFS1$^{wt/757A>T}$ but not in WFS1 cells. We treated WFS1 and WFS1$^{wt/757A>T}$ for 8 hours, then measured the percentage of the Annexin V-positive cells (as indicator of early stage, reversible apoptosis) at the end of TG treatment (8 hours) and after 24 and 48 hours in fresh medium. After 8 hours of treatment with TG the levels of early apoptosis were similar between WFS1 and WFS1$^{wt/757A>T}$ cells. Conversely, the genetically corrected cells were able to reverse stress-induced apoptosis after 24 hours, while the percentage of Annexin-V-positive WFS1 cells remained around 40% up to 48 hours after stress induction (**Figure 7B**). We followed the increase of spliced XBP-1 (sXBP-1) over unspliced form (uXBP-1) after the TG treatment and during recovery phase up to 48 hours. As the XBP-1 mRNA is induced by ATF6 and spliced by IRE1α, it represents a good indicator of response to ER stress strictly related to IRE1- and ATF6-dependent pathways (36). However, WFS1 cells shown a significant decrease in sXBP-1/uXBP-1 ratio in comparison to WFS1$^{wt/757A>T}$ counterpart after 24 hours from TG treatment. Reduced sXBP-1 levels did not correlate with higher apoptotic rate WFS1 cells, supporting hypothesis that cell death may be a consequence of ineffective short ER stress response. (**Figure 7C**). The treatment of WFS1 and WFS1$^{wt/757A>T}$ iβ confirmed the susceptibility to TG-induced cell death of affected cells as well. After 16 hours WFS1 iβ displayed higher levels of early (Annexin V$^+$ cells) and late apoptosis (P.I.$^+$ cells) compared to WFS1$^{wt/757A>T}$ iβ (**Figure 7D**). Furthermore, we observed that an ER stress-independent cell death occurred in the WFS1 iβ after exposure to the inflammatory cytokines IL-1β, TNF-α and IFN-γ. Both WFS1 and WFS1$^{wt/757A>T}$ iβ were treated with the inflammatory cytokines up to 12 hours and early apoptosis was analyzed 48 hours later. Interestingly, the WFS1 iβ displayed significant increase in Annexin-V$^+$ cells after exposure to inflammatory cytokines (28,75±3,08% treated vs 12,53±0,78% untreated WFS1 iβ) and overall higher susceptibility to inflammatory signals compared to the gene edited counterpart (28,75±3,08% WFS1 vs 14,77±1,36% WFS1$^{wt/757A>T}$). At the same time point, the percentage of P.I.$^+$ WFS1 iβ were over two-fold of magnitude than the WFS1$^{wt/757A>T}$ ones (**Figure 7E**). Of note, as it has been shown that both ER stress induction and inflammation are able to inhibit NMD and increase PCT-carrying mRNAs (37, 38), we wondered whether this might affect the PTC-carrying *WFS1* isoforms, resulting in toxic accumulation of these aberrant transcripts. We therefore evaluated the expression of *UPF1* and *SMG7* genes, which encode for the main components of NMD complex, and as expected, treatment with stress inducers downregulates *SMG7*, but not *UPF1*, in

both WFS1 and WFS1$^{wt/757A>T}$ iβ (**Figure 7F**). Finally, the *SMG7* downmodulation resulted in 8-fold increase of the PTC-carrying splicing isoforms c.316del and c.316_461del, similarly to that observed after treatment with NMDI-14. A slight increase of the ORF-conserving isoforms c.316_457del and c.271_513del was also reported **(Figure 7G)**.

Discussion

Over the last few years, the WS1 is assuming the connotation of a spectrum of disorders, mainly due to the strong variability in terms of clinical manifestations and progression (39). Because of the role that Wolframin plays in a wide plethora of cellular processes, exploring the impact of *WFS1* variants on cell function and survival may represent a crucial step for their association with clinical phenotype. Previous attempts to discover genotype and phenotype correlations led to the classification of *WFS1* mutations according to their impact on the protein expression and stability (16, 40, 41). A robust classification of *WFS1* genetic variants by disease onset and spectrum and severity of symptoms has been recently published as well (18). Studying relationship between disease severity score reported by the Wolfram Unified Rating Scale (WURS) and *WFS1* variants, the metanalysis performed by Urano's group highlighted the importance of characterization of the classes of mutations for providing a more accurate prognoses of outcomes and a reliable therapy for patients with Wolfram Syndrome. The derivation of iPSCs from patients followed by their differentiation into disease-relevant cell types offers a great promise for patient-specific *in vitro* disease modeling, as well as for personalized drug screening and autologous cell replacement therapy. This technology, combined with the use of Cas9-based genetic engineering techniques, allows us to overcome the limitations imposed by other models, including murine ones, which are unable to recapitulate the genetic diversity of WS1 patients (42, 43). In our work, we aimed at studying a case of WS1 patient characterized by heterozygous mutations in the *WFS1* gene: a transversion introducing a PTC in exon 7 (c.757A>T) and a transition from G to A in the splice acceptor site of exon 4 (c.316-1G>A). The presence of a mutation in a splicing site represents an opportunity to understand the mechanisms that guide the maturation of the pre-mRNA and, in the presence of alternative splicing preserving the ORF, to understand the role of the different functional domains of the protein. Mutations in the splicing sites of *WFS1* are rather rare and the effects of alterations in splicing processes of such gene have not been investigated so far. Commonly, authors reporting a splice site mutation in *WFS1* gene presumed that abolishing of correct splicing events results in a truncated, dysfunctional protein, although its validation at the molecular level was not reported. Indeed, Van Den Ouweland and colleagues reported the homozygous intronic G to A transition in the donor splice site of exon 4, assuming that this mutation abolishes right splicing and results in a truncated protein of 157 amino acids (10). Elli and colleagues described a rare case of segmental paternal uniparental heterodisomy, causing the loss of an unspecified splicing motifs and

inducing the skipping of the translation start site; this mutant isoform has been hypothesized to be untranslated (44). Another group instead described a patient with GT-to-AT transition in donor splice site of exon 7, leading to a cryptic splice site activation, 86 bp insertion and severely premature protein truncation (45).

Here we managed to describe four novel isoforms of *WFS1* mRNA resulting from alternative splicing due to loss of the canonical ASS upstream the exon 4. In particular, 2 out of the 4 found isoforms were correctly predicted by using of probabilistic model based on the maximum entropy principle. Indeed, we inferred that the two most probable alternative splicing isoforms could be c.316del (deriving from 1-bp shifting of the ASS following the mutation in c.316-1) and c.316_461del (losing of the exon 4 due to the direct skipping to the ASS of exon 5). Otherwise, the other 2 isoforms c.316_457del and c.271_513del were not predicted by the *in silico* analysis, but we found that the sequence surrounding the cryptic uncanonical splicing site generating the c.316_457del isoform resulted particularly enriched in ESEs. We excluded that the alternative splicing events occurring in the investigated patients were a consequence of reprogramming of the somatic cells into iPSCs, refuting previous evidences reporting that PBMCs from the same patient displayed *WFS1* transcript originating from the c.757A>T-carrying allele only (46). Despite designing of primers to amplify *WFS1* mRNA was similar to that described by Panfili and colleagues, our protocol allows us to identify the alternative splicing isoforms deriving from the c.316-1G>A-carrying allele also in patient-derived primary PBMCs. Deep sequencing further confirmed the four isoforms, which we have chosen to classify based on the maintenance of the ORF and, therefore, on their ability to originate a protein, although with altered or reduced function.

Interestingly, the two ORF-maintaining isoforms c.316_457del and c.271_513del conserved ability to produce a protein with intact transmembrane and C-terminal domains, thus potentially preserving its subcellular localization and intraluminal interactions. We confirmed expression in WFS1 iPSCs of Wolframin lacking part of N-terminal domain, but still maintaining the C-terminal epitope. *In silico* modeling confirmed that aminoacidic sequence lost by both isoforms disrupts the binding sites with pivotal interactors such as CaM and NCS1, supporting hypothesis that the patient-derived iβ could be particularly impaired in their capability to regulate the calcium signaling and the mitochondria-ER calcium-dependent crosstalk. Conversely, maintenance of C-terminal domain could preserve other functions, such as interaction with ER stress-related protein ATF6 for regulation of the UPR and other cargo proteins involved in trafficking of proinsulin in the pancreatic β cells (3). As a matter of facts, we did not observe significant alterations in ER stress markers upon ER stress induction and of the ATF6 cleaved form, nor we reported impairments in insulin biosynthesis and secretion in comparison to unrelated WT or syngeneic gene edited iβ. However, we found that after differentiation into

pancreatic β cells, the *WFS1* gene in affected cells was unable to reach expression levels of the gene corrected clones. This resulted in low levels of residual proteins; therefore, we believe that the conserved functions in the N-terminal lacking protein are not capable to ensure long-term survival of cells carrying such mutations. However, investigating the functional aspects in this patient-derived cellular model could be important both to better elucidate the role of Wolframin and its functional domains in β cells, and to prove the validity of targeted therapies aimed at lengthening the half-life of the residual protein or compensating the missing functions. A similar rationale is highlighted in the cystic fibrosis field, another autosomal recessive disorder, where knowledge acquired from the genotype-phenotype association studies has helped in the identification of effective therapeutic strategies and improved the prognosis of patients (47–49).

Our work pointed out another important aspect concerning the physiologic mechanisms involved in the pancreatic β cells: NMD. Indeed, the integrity and accuracy of transcript processing is pivotal for β cells to meet the physiological demands and pathophysiological challenges they face (50).

We reported that the PTC-carrying c.316del and c.316_461del isoforms are regulated by NMD, as by inhibiting the UPF1/SMG7 interaction the degradation of such transcripts was prevented. Indeed, NMD orchestrates the Regulated Unproductive Splicing and Translation (RUST) mechanism to eliminate the PTC-containing transcript isoforms generated due to perturbed AS (51). Although the role of RUST in pancreatic β cells is still largely unknown, deregulation of NMD due to islet stress or inflammation influences RUST, leading to accumulation of unproductive transcript isoforms, which are implicates in β cell dysfunction, vulnerability, and death (50). Interestingly, we found that patient-derived cells were particularly susceptible to the TG-induced cell death due to impairments to recover from TG-mediated Sarco-Endoplasmic Reticulum Calcium ATPase (SERCA) inhibition. Time course of changes in fraction of sXBP-1 revealed that TG treatment induces rapid increase of IRE1α-mediated XBP-1 cleavage in both WFS1 and WFS1$^{wt/757A>T}$ cells. However, during recovery phase, s/u XBP-1 ratio significantly decreased in affected cells, suggesting that the ER stress response ends after the removal of the stressful stimulus, but cellular damage persists, still leading to activation of the apoptotic cascade.

Moreover, exposure to inflammatory cytokines increased the apoptotic rate in WFS1 iβ compared to its genetically corrected counterpart. A previous study on WS1 patient presented in this work reported a state of chronic inflammation associated to high levels of inflammatory cytokines, including IL-1β, TNF-α, IFN-γ and IL-6 (21). The increased secretion of inflammatory cytokines in the serum of the patient and susceptibility of *WFS1*-deficient β cells to exposure to pro-inflammatory factors could represent a new etiopathogenetic model for WS1-related diabetes. Both inflammation and other exogenous stress could be the cause of NMD inhibition and consequently of accumulation of aberrant

transcripts. Indeed, after exogenous stimulus, we observed a decrease in *SMG7* levels and a critical increase of *WFS1* PTC-containing isoforms. Accumulation of these transcripts could be the cause of β cell dysfunction, improper ER stress response and apoptosis. This evidence is strengthened by the low rate of apoptosis in the corrected cells upon stress, despite the decreasing in NMD activity.

The case study we reported represents a new model to study Wolframin from a functional point of view, highlighting how each single mutation of *WFS1* gene can determine dramatically different outcomes from a clinical point of view. We underline the need for a better classification of mutations in *WFS1* gene, in order to explain the penetrance and expressivity of the clinical characteristics of the disease. Further understanding of mechanisms by which *WFS1* variants functionally affect ER stress or inflammatory responses may aid in the identification of other potential therapeutic targets.

Finally, role of NMD in WS1 has not been considered until now, despite the majority of mutations in the *WFS1* gene introducing a PTC. Incomplete RUST leads to accumulation of *WFS1* unproductive transcripts whose translation into unfolded polypeptides overwhelms ER capacity and consequently may drive to unresolved ER stress. The role of NMD among the different cell contexts may explain susceptibility to *WFS1* mutations of specific cell types. Although further research is needed to validate this hypothesis, the NMD inhibition and PTC-carrying mRNA accumulation in WS1 could represent targetable mechanisms for development of new therapeutic strategies.

Data availability

All data are contained within the manuscript and/or supplementary files. WFS1 N-terminal domain structure has been deposited in ModelArchive (https://www.modelarchive.org) and accessible at the following address: https://www.modelarchive.org/doi/10.5452/ma-cg3qd. All raw data that were not directly included in the manuscript or that have not been deposited in online repositories, are available on request from the corresponding authors.

Acknowledgments

We thank the San Raffaele Scientific Institute Advanced Light and Electron Microscopy BioImaging Center (ALEMBIC), for confocal immunofluorescence images, and San Raffaele Scientific Institute Flow cytometry Resource, Advanced Cytometry Technical Applications Laboratory (FRACTAL), for cell sorting experiments. We also thank the Center for Omics Sciences (COSR) of the San Raffaele Scientific Institute to have provided support in deep sequencing and bioinformatic analysis. We are grateful to San Raffaele Telethon Institute for Gene Therapy (SR-TIGET) and to Angelo Lombardo for the access to BLS2 work areas and use of 4D-Nucleofector System.

Author contributions: study conception and experimental design, R.C., G.F. and L.P.; methodology, R.C. and S.T.; investigation, R.C., S.T., V.Z., G.S., L.M., M.T.L., F.M., F.C.; bioinformatic analysis, R.C.; formal analysis and interpretation of results, R.C., S.T., G.S. and L.P.; genetic testing in clinical practice, P.C.; medical valuation and patient specimen collection, G.F. and R.B.; cell reprogramming support and resources, G.R. and V.B.; stem cell differentiation, V.Z., L.M., M.T.L. and V.S.; writing - original draft, R.C. and S.T.; writing - review and editing, R.C., S.T., G.S., P.C., G.C., G.F. and L.P.; supervision, L.P.

Funding

This study was supported by private family donation financing investigations on Wolfram Syndrome 1 at the Diabetes Research Institute (DRI) of the IRCCS San Raffaele Hospital. We also extend many thanks to the Italian Ministry for University and Research for supporting part of activities through the funds of the National Recovery and Resilience Plan (PNRR-MR1-2022-12375914).

Conflict of interest

The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

References

1. Abreu,D., Asada,R., Revilla,J.M.P., Lavagnino,Z., Kries,K., Piston,D.W. and Urano,F. (2020) Wolfram syndrome 1 gene regulates pathways maintaining beta-cell health and survival. *Lab Invest*, **100**, 849–862.

2. Rigoli,L., Bramanti,P., Di Bella,C. and De Luca,F. (2018) Genetic and clinical aspects of Wolfram syndrome 1, a severe neurodegenerative disease. *Pediatr Res*, **83**, 921–929.

3. Fonseca,S.G., Fukuma,M., Lipson,K.L., Nguyen,L.X., Allen,J.R., Oka,Y. and Urano,F. (2005) WFS1 is a novel component of the unfolded protein response and maintains homeostasis of the endoplasmic reticulum in pancreatic beta-cells. *J Biol Chem*, **280**, 39609–39615.

4. Cagalinec,M., Liiv,M., Hodurova,Z., Hickey,M.A., Vaarmann,A., Mandel,M., Zeb,A., Choubey,V., Kuum,M., Safiulina,D., *et al.* (2016) Role of Mitochondrial Dynamics in Neuronal Development: Mechanism for Wolfram Syndrome. *PLoS Biol*, **14**, e1002511.

5. La Morgia,C., Maresca,A., Amore,G., Gramegna,L.L., Carbonelli,M., Scimonelli,E., Danese,A., Patergnani,S., Caporali,L., Tagliavini,F., *et al.* (2020) Calcium mishandling in absence of primary mitochondrial dysfunction drives cellular pathology in Wolfram Syndrome. *Sci Rep*, **10**, 4785.

6. Crouzier,L., Richard,E.M., Diez,C., Alzaeem,H., Denus,M., Cubedo,N., Delaunay,T., Glendenning,E., Baxendale,S., Liévens,J.-C., *et al.* (2022) Morphological, behavioral and cellular analyses revealed different phenotypes in Wolfram syndrome wfs1a and wfs1b zebrafish mutant lines. *Hum Mol Genet*, **31**, 2711–2727.

7. Wilf-Yarkoni,A., Shor,O., Fellner,A., Hellmann,M.A., Pras,E., Yonath,H., Shkedi-Rafid,S., Basel-Salmon,L., Bazak,L., Eliahou,R., *et al.* (2021) Mild Phenotype of Wolfram Syndrome Associated With a Common Pathogenic Variant Is Predicted by a Structural Model of Wolframin. *Neurol Genet*, **7**, e578.

8. Hofmann,S., Philbrook,C., Gerbitz,K.-D. and Bauer,M.F. (2003) Wolfram syndrome: structural and functional analyses of mutant and wild-type wolframin, the WFS1 gene product. *Hum Mol Genet*, **12**, 2003–2012.

9. Eller,P., Föger,B., Gander,R., Sauper,T., Lechleitner,M., Finkenstedt,G. and Patsch,J.R. (2001) Wolfram syndrome: a clinical and molecular genetic analysis. *Journal of medical genetics*, **38**.

10. van den Ouweland,J.M.W., Cryns,K., Pennings,R.J.E., Walraven,I., Janssen,G.M.C., Maassen,J.A., Veldhuijzen,B.F.E., Arntzenius,A.B., Lindhout,D., Cremers,C.W.R.J., *et al.* (2003) Molecular characterization of WFS1 in patients with Wolfram syndrome. *Journal of Molecular Diagnostics*, **5**, 88–95.

11. Inoue,H., Tanizawa,Y., Wasson,J., Behn,P., Kalidas,K., Bernal-Mizrachi,E., Mueckler,M., Marshall,H., Donis-Keller,H., Crock,P., *et al.* (1998) A gene encoding a transmembrane protein is mutated in patients with diabetes mellitus and optic atrophy (Wolfram syndrome). *Nature Genetics*, **20**, 143–148.

12. Strom,T.M., Hörtnagel,K., Hofmann,S., Gekeler,F., Scharfe,C., Rabl,W., Gerbitz,K.D. and Meitinger,T. (1998) Diabetes insipidus, diabetes mellitus, optic atrophy and deafness (DIDMOAD) caused by mutations in a novel gene (wolframin) coding for a predicted transmembrane protein. *Human Molecular Genetics*, **7**, 2021–2028.

13. Rohayem,J., Ehlers,C., Wiedemann,B., Holl,R., Oexle,K., Kordonouri,O., Salzano,G., Meissner,T.,
Burger,W., Schober,E., *et al.* (2011) Diabetes and neurodegeneration in Wolfram syndrome: a
multicenter study of phenotype and genotype. *Diabetes Care*, **34**, 1503–1510.

14. Chaussenot,A., Bannwarth,S., Rouzier,C., Vialettes,B., Mkadem,S.A.E., Chabrol,B., Cano,A., Labauge,P.
and Paquis-Flucklinger,V. (2011) Neurologic features and genotype-phenotype correlation in
Wolfram syndrome. *Ann Neurol*, **69**, 501–508.

15. Cano,A., Rouzier,C., Monnot,S., Chabrol,B., Conrath,J., Lecomte,P., Delobel,B., Boileau,P., Valero,R.,
Procaccio,V., *et al.* (2007) Identification of novel mutations in WFS1 and genotype-phenotype
correlation in Wolfram syndrome. *Am J Med Genet A*, **143A**, 1605–1612.

16. de Heredia,M.L., Clèries,R. and Nunes,V. (2013) Genotypic classification of patients with Wolfram
syndrome: insights into the natural history of the disease and correlation with phenotype. *Genet
Med*, **15**, 497–506.

17. Delvecchio,M., Iacoviello,M., Pantaleo,A. and Resta,N. (2021) Clinical Spectrum Associated with
Wolfram Syndrome Type 1 and Type 2: A Review on Genotype-Phenotype Correlations. *Int J
Environ Res Public Health*, **18**, 4796.

18. Lee,E.M., Verma,M., Palaniappan,N., Pope,E.M., Lee,S., Blacher,L., Neerumalla,P., An,W., Campbell,T.,
Brown,C., *et al.* (2023) Genotype and clinical characteristics of patients with Wolfram syndrome
and WFS1-related disorders. *Front Genet*, **14**, 1198171.

19. Kitamura,R.A., Maxwell,K.G., Ye,W., Kries,K., Brown,C.M., Augsornworawat,P., Hirsch,Y.,
Johansson,M.M., Weiden,T., Ekstein,J., *et al.* (2022) Multidimensional analysis and therapeutic
development using patient iPSC–derived disease models of Wolfram syndrome. *JCI Insight*, **7**.

20. Squitti,R., Cerchiaro,G., Giovannoni,I., Francalanci,P., Siotto,M., Maffei,P., Ricordi,C. and
Rongioletti,M.C. (2019) A case of a mild Wolfram Syndrome with concomitant ATP7B mutation.
CellR4 Repair Replace Regen Reprogram, **7**, e2735.

21. Panfili,E., Mondanelli,G., Orabona,C., Belladonna,M.L., Gargaro,M., Fallarino,F., Orecchini,E.,
Prontera,P., Proietti,E., Frontino,G., *et al.* (2021) Novel mutations in the WFS1 gene are associated
with Wolfram syndrome and systemic inflammation. *Hum Mol Genet*, **30**, 265–276.

22. Pellegrini,S., Manenti,F., Chimienti,R., Nano,R., Ottoboni,L., Ruffini,F., Martino,G., Ravassard,P.,
Piemonti,L. and Sordi,V. (2018) Differentiation of Sendai Virus-Reprogrammed iPSC into β Cells,
Compared with Human Pancreatic Islets and Immortalized β Cell Line. *Cell Transplantation*, **27**,
1548–1560.

23. Chimienti,R., Baccega,T., Torchio,S., Lombardo,A., Malnati,M., Correspondence,L.P., Manenti,F.,
Pellegrini,S., Cospito,A., Amabile,A., *et al.* (2022) Engineering of immune checkpoints B7-H3 and
CD155 enhances immune compatibility of MHC-I−/− iPSCs for β cell replacement. *Cell Reports*, **40**,
111423.

24. Pellegrini,S., Pipitone,G.B., Cospito,A., Manenti,F., Poggi,G., Lombardo,M.T., Nano,R., Martino,G.,
Ferrari,M., Carrera,P., *et al.* (2021) Generation of β Cells from iPSC of a MODY8 Patient with a Novel
Mutation in the Carboxyl Ester Lipase (CEL) Gene. *The Journal of Clinical Endocrinology &
Metabolism*, 10.1210/clinem/dgaa986.

25. Schindelin,J., Arganda-Carreras,I., Frise,E., Kaynig,V., Longair,M., Pietzsch,T., Preibisch,S., Rueden,C.,
Saalfeld,S., Schmid,B., *et al.* (2012) Fiji: An open-source platform for biological-image analysis.
Nature Methods, **9**, 676–682.

26. Yeo,G. and Burge,C.B. (2004) Maximum entropy modeling of short sequence motifs with applications to
RNA splicing signals. *J Comput Biol*, **11**, 377–394.

27. Kim,D.E., Chivian,D., Malmström,L. and Baker,D. (2005) Automated prediction of domain boundaries in
CASP6 targets using Ginzu and RosettaDOM. *Proteins*, **61 Suppl 7**, 193–200.

28. Kim,D.E., Chivian,D. and Baker,D. (2004) Protein structure prediction and analysis using the Robetta
server. *Nucleic Acids Research*, **32**, W526.

29. Van Der Spoel,D., Lindahl,E., Hess,B., Groenhof,G., Mark,A.E. and Berendsen,H.J.C. (2005) GROMACS:
fast, flexible, and free. *J Comput Chem*, **26**, 1701–1718.

30. Frontino,G., Raouf,T., Canarutto,D., Tirelli,E., Di Tonno,R., Rigamonti,A., Cascavilla,L.M., Baldoli,C.,
Scotti,R., Bonfanti,R., *et al.* (2021) Off-label Liraglutide use in children with Wolfram Syndrome type
1: extensive characterization of four patients.

31. Richards,S., Aziz,N., Bale,S., Bick,D., Das,S., Gastier-Foster,J., Grody,W.W., Hegde,M., Lyon,E., Spector,E.,
et al. (2015) Standards and Guidelines for the Interpretation of Sequence Variants: A Joint
Consensus Recommendation of the American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics and the
Association for Molecular Pathology. *Genetics in medicine : official journal of the American College
of Medical Genetics*, **17**, 405.

32. Astuti,D., Sabir,A., Fulton,P., Zatyka,M., Williams,D., Hardy,C., Milan,G., Favaretto,F., Yu-Wai-Man,P.,
Rohayem,J., *et al.* (2017) Monogenic diabetes syndromes: Locus-specific databases for Alström,
Wolfram, and Thiamine-responsive megaloblastic anemia. *Human Mutation*, **38**, 764.

33. Sandhu,M.S., Weedon,M.N., Fawcett,K.A., Wasson,J., Debenham,L., Daly,A., Lango,H., Frayling,T.M.,
Neumann,R.J., Sherva,R., *et al.* (2009) Common variants in WFS1 confer risk of type 2 diabetes.
Europe PMC Funders Group, **39**, 951–953.

34. Yurimoto,S., Hatano,N., Tsuchiya,M., Kato,K., Fujimoto,T., Masaki,T., Kobayashi,R. and Tokumitsu,H.
(2009) Identification and characterization of wolframin, the product of the wolfram syndrome gene
(WFS1), as a novel calmodulin-binding protein. *Biochemistry*, **48**, 3946–3955.

35. Angebault,C., Fauconnier,J., Patergnani,S., Rieusset,J., Danese,A., Affortit,C.A., Jagodzinska,J., Mégy,C.,
Quiles,M., Cazevieille,C., *et al.* (2018) ER-mitochondria cross-talk is regulated by the Ca2+ sensor
NCS1 and is impaired in Wolfram syndrome. *Sci Signal*, **11**, eaaq1380.

36. Yoshida,H., Matsui,T., Yamamoto,A., Okada,T. and Mori,K. (2001) XBP1 mRNA Is Induced by ATF6 and
Spliced by IRE1 in Response to ER Stress to Produce a Highly Active Transcription Factor. *Cell*, **107**,
881–891.

37. Li,Z., Vuong,J.K., Zhang,M., Stork,C. and Zheng,S. (2017) Inhibition of nonsense-mediated RNA decay by
ER stress. *Rna*, **23**, 378–394.

38. Usuki,F., Yamashita,A. and Fujimura,M. (2019) Environmental stresses suppress nonsense-mediated
mRNA decay (NMD) and affect cells by stabilizing NMD-targeted gene expression. *Scientific reports*,
9.

39. Urano,F. (2016) Wolfram Syndrome: Diagnosis, Management, and Treatment. *Curr Diab Rep*, **16**, 6.

40. Hu,K., Zatyka,M., Astuti,D., Beer,N., Dias,R.P., Kulkarni,A., Ainsworth,J., Wright,B., Majander,A., Yu-Wai-
Man,P., *et al.* (2022) WFS1 protein expression correlates with clinical progression of optic atrophy
in patients with Wolfram syndrome. *J Med Genet*, **59**, 65–74.

41. Majander,A., Jurkute,N., Burté,F., Brock,K., João,C., Huang,H., Neveu,M.M., Chan,C.M., Duncan,H.J.,
Kelly,S., *et al.* (2022) WFS1-Associated Optic Neuropathy: Genotype-Phenotype Correlations and
Disease Progression. *Am J Ophthalmol*, **241**, 9–27.

42. Ishihara,H., Takeda,S., Tamura,A., Takahashi,R., Yamaguchi,S., Takei,D., Yamada,T., Inoue,H., Soga,H.,
Katagiri,H., *et al.* (2004) Disruption of the WFS1 gene in mice causes progressive β-cell loss and
impaired stimulus - Secretion coupling in insulin secretion. *Human Molecular Genetics*, **13**, 1159–
1170.

43. Plaas,M., Seppa,K., Reimets,R., Jagomäe,T., Toots,M., Koppel,T., Vallisoo,T., Nigul,M., Heinla,I., Meier,R.,
et al. (2017) Wfs1-deficient rats develop primary symptoms of Wolfram syndrome: Insulin-
dependent diabetes, optic nerve atrophy and medullary degeneration. *Scientific Reports*, **7**, 1–16.

44. Elli,F.M., Ghirardello,S., Giavoli,C., Gangi,S., Dioni,L., Crippa,M., Finelli,P., Bergamaschi,S., Mosca,F.,
Spada,A., *et al.* (2012) A new structural rearrangement associated to Wolfram syndrome in a child
with a partial phenotype. *Gene*, **509**, 168–172.

45. Giuliano,F., Bannwarth,S., Monnot,S., Cano,A., Chabrol,B., Vialettes,B., Delobel,B. and Paquis-
Flucklinger,V. (2005) Wolfram syndrome in French population: characterization of novel mutations
and polymorphisms in the WFS1 gene. *Human mutation*, **25**, 99–100.

46. Panfili,E., Mondanelli,G., Orabona,C., Belladonna,M.L., Gargaro,M., Fallarino,F., Orecchini,E.,
Prontera,P., Proietti,E., Frontino,G., *et al.* (2021) Novel mutations in the WFS1 gene are associated
with Wolfram syndrome and systemic inflammation. *Human Molecular Genetics*, **30**, 265–276.

47. Cutting,G.R. (2015) Cystic fibrosis genetics: from molecular understanding to clinical application. *Nat
Rev Genet*, **16**, 45–56.

48. Claustres,M., Thèze,C., des Georges,M., Baux,D., Girodon,E., Bienvenu,T., Audrezet,M.-P.,
Dugueperoux,I., Férec,C., Lalau,G., *et al.* (2017) CFTR-France, a national relational patient database
for sharing genetic and phenotypic data associated with rare CFTR variants. *Hum Mutat*, **38**, 1297–
1315.

49. Noel,S., Servel,N., Hatton,A., Golec,A., Rodrat,M., Ng,D.R.S., Li,H., Pranke,I., Hinzpeter,A., Edelman,A., *et
al.* (2022) Correlating genotype with phenotype using CFTR-mediated whole-cell Cl- currents in
human nasal epithelial cells. *J Physiol*, **600**, 1515–1531.

50. Ghiasi,S.M. and Rutter,G.A. (2021) Consequences for Pancreatic β-Cell Identity and Function of
Unregulated Transcript Processing. *Frontiers in Endocrinology*, **12**.

51. McGlincy,N.J. and Smith,C.W.J. (2008) Alternative splicing resulting in nonsense-mediated mRNA decay:
what is the meaning of nonsense? *Trends Biochem Sci*, **33**, 385–393.

Figure 1.

(A-B) Sanger sequencing chromatograms showing the heterozygous c.316-1G>A transition and the c.757A>T transversion in *WFS1* gene of the patient. The modified nucleotides are highlighted in blue and red, respectively, as well as the resulting aminoacid substitution in the protein sequence, if known. **(C)** Genetic pedigree of the patient's family. The first line below each symbol represents generation and identification number. The heterozygous mutations found in the patient's father and mother are reported; the patient's brother carries no mutated allele. **(D)** Schematic representation of *WFS1* gene *(top)* and Wolframin protein *(bottom)*. The exons 1 (non-coding, grey), 2-7 (encoding for the N-terminal domain of wolframin, green boxes) and 8 (encoding for the transmembrane and C-terminal domains of wolframin, orange box) are reported. Genomic position of patient's mutations are highlighted and color-coded as in panels A-B. The previously reported ASS variants are reported as well. The N-terminal domain (green) and transmembrane/C-terminal domains (orange) are showed along with the c.757A>T transversion introducing a premature stop codon.

Figure 2

(A) Genomic positions of the natural and cryptic donor (green) and acceptor (red) splice sites along the *WFS1* exon 4 (145bp) and its flanking regions (150bp/each) as predicted by Human Splice Finder. Only the splice sites with an HSF score >75 *(top)* and a MaxEnt score >4 *(bottom)* are plotted. The coordinates indicate the base number counting from the p-arm telomere of chromosome 4 (according to the UCSC Genome Browser on Human GRCh38/hg38 Assembly). **(B)** Exonic splicing ratio (ESR) calculated as ratio between auxiliary splicing signals (ESE and ESS) along the same genomic region. The binding sites for the splicing factors SC35 (dark blue), SRp40 (purple) and 9G8 (light blue) are noted for the region of interest surrounding the cryptic ASSs inside exon 4. **(C)** Position of the natural and alternative branch points (light blue) along the analyzed genomic region. Only the branch points with a score >65 are plotted. **(D)** Results of maximum entropy modelling in presence of the wild type ASS *(left)* and after the c.316-1 G>A transition *(right)*. The two most likely alternatives upon the canonical splice site disruption are reported. ASSs scored by the model are underlined and highlighted in yellow. Exonic bases are in upper case letters; intronic bases in lower case letters. The grey area represents spliced-out sequence. Coordinates refers to the nucleotide position in the coding sequence.

Figure 3

(A) Schematic representation of PCR strategy for the amplification of the WFS1 cDNA among exons 3, 4 and 5. Colored arrows show the mapping of the four primers along the WFS1 gene sequence. The red cross indicates the mutated canonical ASS. The theoretical length of PCR products obtained by performing amplification with different combinations of the indicated primers is reported. **(B)**

Representative PCR results for the indicated primer pairs, performed on WT and five patient-derived clones. The red rectangle highlights the ~180bp-long amplicon obtained with Ex3fw-Ex5rev primer pair. **(C)** Electropherograms from Sanger sequencing of WFS1-deriving PCR products. **(D)** Agarose gel electrophoresis of amplification with Ex3fw-Ex5rev from WT donor- and WFS1 patient-derived PBMCs. The additional amplicons, including the 180bp-length amplicon observed in WFS1 iPSCs, are indicated by red triangles. **(E)** High-trhoughput evaluation of RNA-seq data quality and goodness of alignment: *(top, left)* the *sequence quality* graph, reporting the mean quality value (Phred score) across each base position in the R1 (green) and R2 (orange) reads; *(bottom, left)* the *per sequence quality score* graph, reporting the number of reads with average quality scores. Red, yellow and green backgrounds highlight the Phred score ranges of bad, poor and good quality, respectively; *(top, right)* *STAR alignment score*, reporting the number of mapped/unmapped reads per sample; *(bottom, right)* percentage of reads per sample after adapter removal by Trimmomatic. **(F)** Percentage of NGS reads aligned to the wild type or the four alternative splice isoform consensus sequences in WT *(top)* and WFS1 *(bottom)* iPSCs. Alignment data for WFS1 are reported as mean of the two analyzed clones. **(G)** Schematic representation of the *WFS1* alternative splicing variants found by NGS, showing the conserved exonic sequence (green) and spliced-out sequence (grey). Sequences at the bottom of each structure zoom at the donor/acceptor alternative splice sites. **(H)** RT-qPCR of total *WFS1* mRNA, in WT and WFS1, and of the **(I)** four isoforms, in WFS1 iPSCs after treatment with NMDI-14 or vehicle (untreated). Data are plotted as mean±SEM. N = 3; ** $p < 0.001$, **** $p < 0.0001$.

Figure 4

(A-B) Immunoblot analysis of Wolframin in WT and WFS1 iPSC clones recognized by polyclonal antibody targeting the C- and N-terminal domains. Relative quantification of Wolframin expression is reported on the right. N = 6. **** $p < 0.0001$. **(C-D)** The three-dimensional models of WT (residues 1-402), and mutated p.Val106-Arg152del (residues 1-355) and p.Val91-Thr170del (residues 1-322) N-terminal domains, including the first two transmembrane regions (TMR). Proteins are represented as ribbons. Region lost in mutated isoforms (green), the mutation-induced conformational changes (blue) and the unaltered portion of the domain (red) are highlighted. In the pictures displaying the mutated isoforms, magnifications show the superimposed view of TMR alpha helices in WT (light blue) and p.Val106-Arg152del (red) *(top, right)*, and the superimposed view of WFS1-CaM binding domain (yellow) loss in p.Val91-Thr170del *(bottom, right)*. In p.Val106-Arg152del, the partially conserved WFS1-CaM binding interface is shown as Connolly surface. **(E-F)** Results of molecular dynamics simulation, reporting RMSD of protein backbone atoms and radius of gyration (Rg) of the

WT (grey) and mutated (orange/purple) N-terminal domains. Flattening of the RMSD and Rg plots

of protein was observed around 10 ns at 300 K.

Figure 5

(A) Schematic representation of the c.316-1G>A point mutation correction strategy based on the

Cas9/gRNA-mediated targeting of *WFS1* exon 4. Mapping of the ssODN (blue) and the fw/rev primer

pair (dark/light orange) used for screening of the homology-directed repair is reported. Magnification

highlights the comparison between the pathogenic variant containing the c.316-1G>A mutation with

the ssODN sequence re-establishing the natural ASS upstream the exon 4. PAM sequence and gRNA

recognition site are emphasized in dark green. Silent mutations and SacI cut site in ssODN sequence

are reported in light blue and framed by pink rectangle, respectively. **(B)** Screening by SacI restriction

enzyme digestion of GFP-positive iPSC clones. **(C)** Immunoblot using the anti-WFS1 N-terminal

antibody to assess re-expression of full-length protein in the gene edited WFS1$^{wt/757A>T}$ iPSCs *(left)*.

Relative quantification of Wolframin in WFS1 and WFS1$^{wt/757A>T}$ iPSCs expressed as mean±SEM. N

= 3 for WFS1, N = 4 for WFS1$^{wt/757A>T}$ *(right)*. **(D)** RT-qPCR of total *WFS1* mRNA in WFS1 and

WFS1$^{wt/757A>T}$ iPSCs reported as mean±SEM. N = 4 for WFS1, N = 5 for WFS1$^{wt/757A>T}$; **(E)** RT-

qPCR of alternative splicing isoforms in WFS1 and WFS1$^{wt/757A>T}$ iPSCs expressed as mean±SEM.

N = 3. *** $p < 0.001$, **** $p < 0.0001$.

Figure 6

(A) Representative immunoblot showing Wolframin protein stained with the anti-WFS1 C-terminal

antibody before (day 0) and after (day 24) iPSCs differentiation into β cells. Monomeric form (100

kDa) and homotetrameric form (> 250 kDa) are indicated by orange and red triangles, respectively.

Signal was acquired at different exposure time to detect homotetramers. **(B)** Relative quantification

of Wolframin in WFS1 and WFS1$^{wt/757A>T}$ iPSCs (0) and iβ (24) expressed as mean±SEM. N=3 **(C)**

RT-qPCR on total *WFS1* mRNA in WFS1 and WFS1$^{wt/757A>T}$ iPSCs (0) versus iβ (24) reported as

mean±SEM. N = 4 for WFS1, N = 5 for WFS1$^{wt/757A>T}$. **(D)** Quantification of the alternative splicing

isoforms in iPSCs and iβ expressed as mean±SEM. N = 3. * $p < 0.05$, *** $p < 0.001$, **** $p < 0.0001$.

Figure 7

(A) Expression of *ATF4*, *HSPA5*, and *DDIT3* genes in WFS1 and WFS1$^{wt/757A>T}$ iβ, before and after

50nM TG treatment. Data are plotted as mean±SEM, N = 4. **(B)** Annexin V-positive cell percentages

in WFS1 and WFS1$^{wt/757A>T}$ iPSCs during treatment with 100 nM TG and in the recovery period with

fresh medium w/o TG. Data are plotted as mean±SEM. N = 3. **(C)** Time course response of XBP-1

splicing upon TG-induced stress in WFS1 and WFS1$^{wt/757A>T}$ iPSCs. Data are plotted as mean±SD. N

= 3. **(D-E)** Early and late apoptosis was measured as percentage of Annexin V and P.I.-positive cells, respectively, in WFS1 and WFS1$^{wt/757A>T}$ iβ after 50 nM TG or inflammatory cytokine (50 U/mL IL-1β, 1000 U/mL IFN-γ and 10 ng/mL TNF-α) exposure. Data are plotted as mean±SD. N = 3 for WFS1, N = 4 for WFS1$^{wt/757A>T}$. **(F)** Gene expression of the splicing factor UPF1 and SMG7 in WFS1 and WFS1$^{wt/757A>T}$ iβ upon stress induction. Data are expressed as fold change over untreated control *(dotted line)*. Asterisks indicate statistical difference compared to untreated cells. Error bar represents SEM. N = 3. **(G)** Relative quantification of alternative splicing isoforms in WFS1 iβ before and after stress induction. Data are plotted as mean±SEM. N = 3. * $p < 0.05$, ** $p < 0.01$, *** $p < 0.001$, **** $p < 0.0001$.

Figure S1

(A) Representative image of WFS1 iPSC colony (4x magnification). **(B)** Representative karyotype of stabilized WFS1 iPSCs. **(C)** Immunofluorescence analysis of pluripotency markers (SOX2, NANOG, OCT4 and SSEA4) in WFS1 iPSCs. The nuclei were counterstained with Hoechst. Scale bar 40μm.

Figure S2

(A) Representative image of WFS1$^{wt/757A>T}$ iPSC colonies (10x magnification). **(B)** Representative karyotype of stabilized WFS1$^{wt/757A>T}$ iPSCs. **(C)** Immunofluorescence analysis of the pluripotency markers (SOX2, NANOG and OCT4). Nuclei were counterstained with Hoechst. Scale bar 40μm. **(D)** Percentage of cells positive for pancreatic markers (PDX1, NKX6.1 and INS) after 24 days of pancreatic differentiation. Data are plotted as mean±SEM. N = 6 for WFS1, N = 9 for WFS1$^{wt/757A>T}$.

Table 1

List of PCR primers and other oligonucleotides employed in the study.

Table 2

List of antibodies employed in the study and respective mode of use.

Table 3

List of natural and cryptic donor and acceptor splicing sites, ESE/ESS signals and branch points along the WFS1 exon 4 and its 150bp-long flanking regions.

Table 4

Consensus sequences of alternative splicing variants from the c.316-1G>A-carrying allele as obtained by multi-alignment of NGS reads.

A

B

C **D**

Figure 2

A

150 bp ←——————————→   ←—————— 145 bp —————→   ←—————— 150 bp —————→

Exon 4

6288838   6288988   6289133   6289283

HSF score

MaxEnt score

○ Natural donor site   ● Donor site   ○ Natural acceptor site   ● Acceptor site

B

ESR profile

■ SC35   ■ SRp40   ■ 9G8

C

BP score

○ Natural branch point   ● Branch point

D

Wild type Ex. 4 Acc. Spl. Site	New canonical Ex. 4 Acc. Spl. Site	Canonical Ex. 5 Acc. Spl. Site

ggcttgcagGTGGGGA

-10   -5   -1   +5

Max Entropy Model: 8,62
Weight Matrix Model: 6,52

316-1 G>A →

ggcttgcaaGTGGGGA

-10   -5   -1   +5

Max Entropy Model: 1,56
Weight Matrix Model: 0,38

atccctcagGCATCAC

-5   -1   +5

Max Entropy Model: 6,32
Weight Matrix Model: 6,25

Figure 3

Figure 4

Figure 5

Figure 6

Figure 7

Table 1

Oligo ID	Sequence
ATF4_fw	GTTCTCCAGCGACAAGGCTA
ATF4_fw	ATCCTGCTTGCTGTTGTTGG
HSPA5_fw	TGTTCAACCAATTATCAGCAAACTC
HSPA5_rev	TTCTGCTGTATCCTCTTCACCAGT
DDIT3_fw	AGAACCAGGAAACGGAAACAGA
DDIT3_rev	TCTCCTTCATGCGCTGCTTT
GAPDH_fw	GTCTCCTCTGACTTCAACAGCG
GAPDH_rev	ACCACCCTGTTGCTGTAGCCAA
XBP-1_fw	CTGCCAGAGATCGAAAGAAGGC
XBP-1_rev	CTCCTGGTTCTCAACTACAAGGC
SMG7_fw	TTTCAGGAGGCAGTGGTGGATG
SMG7_rev	CAAACTCCTCTGGAAGTGGTGTC
UPF1_fw	AACGAGCACCAAGGCATTGGCT
UPF1_rev	GGCTGCTTTGATAGTGCCTTCG
c.316del_fw	CCCAAGGCACAGACTGAGGG
c.316del_rev	CGACATTCTCCAGCAGCTC
c.316del_461del_fw	CCCAAGGCACAGACTGAGGC
c.316del_461del_rev	CGACATTCTCCAGCAGCTC
c.316del_457del_fw	CTGGCCCTGGTGTTAGAGAC
c.316del_457del_rev	TCGGACGTGATGCCTCTCTC
c.271del_513del_fw	AGCAGGAGAGGAGCGAAAG
c.271del_513del_rev	CGCACGGCCCTCTCCAGTTC
WFS1_Ex3fw	GGGCCTACAAAGGGAGACAT
WFS1_Ex4fw	GGCGACACGGATTGAAGAACT
WFS1_Ex4rev	AGTTCTTCATCCGTGTCGCC
WFS1_Ex5rev	CCAGTACATGACCAGGGCTG
WFS1_gRNA_F1	TAATACGACTCACTATAGGAGTTCTTCATCCGTG
WFS1_gRNA_R1	TTCTAGCTCTAAAACGCGACACGGATGAAGAAC

WFS1_hr_fw	CTTCCTCCTCACCCAGCCTG
WFS1_hr_rev	GGGTCTTGGTCACTCACCTT
WFS1_ssODN	GAAAGGAGGTGGGCTGGCAGGGAGCATGGGGTGGGAGAGGGTCGGAGAATCTGGAGGCTGACTGGTGTCTGGCTTGCAGGTGGGGAAGCACTACCTGCAGTTGGCTGGAGATACAGATGAAGAGCTCAACAGCTGCACCGCTGTGGACTGGCTGGTCCTCGCCGCGAAGCAGGGCCGTCGCGAGGCTGTGAAGCTGCTTC

Table 2

Antibody	Species	Supplier	Cat Nr.	Use	Dilution
Anti-GAPDH [6C5]	Mouse	Abcam	ab8245	WB	1:5000
Alexa Fluor® 647 anti-Insulin [T56-706]	Mouse	BD	565689	FACS	$2\mu l \times 10^6$ cells
Anti-Nanog	Rabbit	R&D	AF1997	IF	1:100
PE anti-Nkx6.1 [R11-560]	Mouse	BD	563023	FACS	$5\mu l \times 10^6$ cells
Anti-Oct4 [486]	Mouse	Novus	NBP2-15052	IF	1:100
Alexa Fluor® 488 anti-PDX1 [658A5]	Mouse	BD	562274	FACS	$5\mu l \times 10^6$ cells
Anti-SSEA4 [MC813-70]	Mouse	Abcam	ab16287	IF	1:500
Anti-Sox2 [245610]	Mouse	R&D	MAB2018	IF	1:100
Anti-WFS1 C-terminal	Sheep	R&D	AF7417	WB	1:200
Anti-WFS1 N-terminal	Rabbit	Cell Signalling	8749	WB	1:1000
HRP-conjugated anti-mouse	Goat	R&D	HAF007	WB	1:1000
HRP-conjugated anti-rabbit	Goat	R&D	HAF008	WB	1:1000
HRP-conjugated anti-sheep	Donkey	R&D	HAF016	WB	1:1000
Alexa Fluor™ Plus 488 anti-mouse	Goat	Thermo Fisher	A32723	IF	1:500
Alexa Fluor™ Plus 488 anti-rabbit	Goat	Thermo Fisher	A32731	IF	1:500
Alexa Fluor™ Plus 488 anti-goat	Donkey	Thermo Fisher	A32814	IF	1:500
Alexa Fluor™ 546 anti-mouse	Goat	Thermo Fisher	A11030	IF	1:500
Alexa Fluor™ 546 anti-goat	Rabbit	Thermo Fisher	A21085	IF	1:500

Table 3

HSF_Signals			
Type	**Position**	**Motif**	**Value**
Donor splice site	6288849	CTGGTGTGA	81,53
Donor splice site	6288851	GGTGTGACC	66,49
Donor splice site	6288886	TGGGTGACA	76,86
Acceptor splice site	6288886	TGGGTGACAAAGGG	69,99
Donor splice site	6288895	AAGGGAAGT	72,72
Donor splice site	6288899	GAAGTGGGT	78,76
Acceptor splice site	6288901	AGTGGGTGAAAGGA	68,74
Donor splice site	6288903	TGGGTGAAA	77,31
Donor splice site	6288913	GAGGTGGGC	85,92
Acceptor splice site	6288916	GTGGGCTGGCAGGG	77,91
Acceptor splice site	6288933	ATGGGGTGGGAGAG	66,2
Donor splice site	6288935	GGGGTGGGA	80,07
Donor splice site	6288945	AGGGTCGGA	77,67
Acceptor splice site	6288952	GAGAATCTGGAGGC	69,92
Donor splice site	6288969	CTGGTGTCT	74,19
Donor splice site	6288971	GGTGTCTGG	66,26
(WT) Acceptor splice site	6288975	TCTGGCTTGCAGGT	88,95
Donor splice site	6288984	CAGGTGGGG	87,37
Acceptor splice site	6288996	CACTACCTGCAGTT	81,29
Donor splice site	6289004	GCAGTTGGC	66,32
Acceptor splice site	6289018	ACACGGATGAAGAA	66,66
Acceptor splice site	6289028	AGAACTCAACAGCT	72,98
Donor splice site	6289059	CTGGTCCTC	67,66
Acceptor splice site	6289065	CTCGCCGCGAAGCA	71,7
Acceptor splice site	6289068	GCCGCGAAGCAGGG	72,8
Acceptor splice site	6289080	GGCCGTCGCGAGGC	68,89
Acceptor splice site	6289089	GAGGCTGTGAAGCT	68,12
Donor splice site	6289092	GCTGTGAAG	67,2
Donor splice site	6289109	CCGGTGCTT	73,55
Acceptor splice site	6289115	CTTGGCGGACAGAA	78,06
(WT) Donor splice site	6289129	GAGGTGGGT	88,85
Donor splice site	6289133	TGGGTCTGT	78,98
Acceptor splice site	6289135	GGTCTGTGTGAGGC	72,8
Donor splice site	6289137	TCTGTGTGA	69,1
Donor splice site	6289139	TGTGTGAGG	76,44
Acceptor splice site	6289141	TGTGAGGCTTAGAA	72,09
Acceptor splice site	6289146	GGCTTAGAACAGCC	73,12
Acceptor splice site	6289155	CAGCCTCTGGAGGG	75,12
Acceptor splice site	6289162	TGGAGGGTTGAGCA	66,07
Donor splice site	6289165	AGGGTTGAG	68,21
Acceptor splice site	6289165	AGGGTTGAGCAGCT	71,81
Donor splice site	6289177	CTTGTAATG	71,43

Donor splice site	6289228	CTGGTGATG	79,1
Donor splice site	6289236	GCTGTTGGG	66,22
Acceptor splice site	6289242	GGGAAATTTCAGTT	74,65
Acceptor splice site	6289256	TCTGTTTTGCTGGT	65,33
Donor splice site	6289265	CTGGTGGCC	71,26

MaxEnt_Signals			
Type	**Position**	**Motif**	**Value**
Donor splice site	6288913	GAGGTGGGC	6,32
(WT) Acceptor splice site	6288967	GACTGGTGTCTGGCTTGCAGGTG	8,62
Donor splice site	6288984	CAGGTGGGG	6,92
(WT) Donor splice site	6289129	GAGGTGGGT	7,07

BP_Signals			
Type	**Position**	**Motif**	**Value**
Branch point	6288857	ACCCCAT	82,59
(WT) Branch point	6288948	GTCGGAG	72.02
Branch point	6288963	GGCTGAC	88,62
Branch point	6289019	CACGGAT	68,98
Branch point	6289030	AACTCAA	82,03
Branch point	6289063	TCCTCGC	68,61
Branch point	6289070	CGCGAAG	65,54
Branch point	6289118	GGCGGAC	73,53
Branch point	6289146	GGCTTAG	79,00
Branch point	6289157	GCCTCTG	65,78
Branch point	6289191	TGCTAAC	81,83
Branch point	6289195	AACTGAA	77,09
Branch point	6289203	AACTAAA	70,20
Branch point	6289210	ATCTTAC	80,32
Branch point	6289215	ACCAAAC	68,60
Branch point	6289220	ACCTAAC	83,69
Branch point	6289247	ATTTCAG	65,58
Branch point	6289274	TTCTCAT	90,98

ESE_Signals			
Name	**Position**	**Motif**	**CV**
ESE_9G8 (ESE Site)	6288839	GTGGAC	77,79
ESE_SRp40 (ESE Site)	6288846	TGCCTGG	79,13
ESE_9G8 (ESE Site)	6288853	TGTGAC	60,94
ESE_SC35 (ESE Site)	6288856	GACCCCAT	77,21
ESE_SC35 (ESE Site)	6288861	CATTTCTG	75,30
ESE_SRp40 (ESE Site)	6288863	TTTCTGC	85,17
ESE_SRp40 (ESE Site)	6288877	TTCCTGG	82,18
ESE_SC35 (ESE Site)	6288882	GGCCTGGG	75,06
ESE_9G8 (ESE Site)	6288888	GGTGAC	82,69
ESE_9G8 (ESE Site)	6288891	GACAAA	66,58
ESE_SRp40 (ESE Site)	6288892	ACAAAGG	84,22
ESE_ASF (ESE Site)	6288893	CAAAGGG	79,12
ESE_ASFB (ESE Site)	6288893	CAAAGGG	79,15

ESE_9G8 (ESE Site)	6288899	GAAGTG	65,31
ESE_9G8 (ESE Site)	6288905	GGTGAA	70,61
ESE_SRp40 (ESE Site)	6288907	TGAAAGG	85,59
ESE_ASF (ESE Site)	6288908	GAAAGGA	74,17
ESE_ASF (ESE Site)	6288911	AGGAGGT	76,09
ESE_9G8 (ESE Site)	6288913	GAGGTG	60,47
ESE_9G8 (ESE Site)	6288916	GTGGGC	61,82
ESE_SC35 (ESE Site)	6288919	GGCTGGCA	80,41
ESE_9G8 (ESE Site)	6288920	GCTGGC	62,42
ESE_SRp40 (ESE Site)	6288922	TGGCAGG	79,37
ESE_ASFB (ESE Site)	6288923	GGCAGGG	72,61
ESE_ASF (ESE Site)	6288923	GGCAGGG	80,75
ESE_9G8 (ESE Site)	6288929	GAGCAT	60,14
ESE_9G8 (ESE Site)	6288941	GGAGAG	71,82
ESE_ASF (ESE Site)	6288942	GAGAGGG	81,92
ESE_9G8 (ESE Site)	6288944	GAGGGT	65,91
ESE_SC35 (ESE Site)	6288947	GGTCGGAG	78,75
ESE_ASF (ESE Site)	6288950	CGGAGAA	77,78
ESE_ASFB (ESE Site)	6288950	CGGAGAA	81,61
ESE_9G8 (ESE Site)	6288951	GGAGAA	74,84
ESE_9G8 (ESE Site)	6288952	GAGAAT	61,35
ESE_9G8 (ESE Site)	6288960	GGAGGC	70,94
ESE_9G8 (ESE Site)	6288961	GAGGCT	62,49
ESE_9G8 (ESE Site)	6288964	GCTGAC	78,39
ESE_ASF (ESE Site)	6288965	CTGACTG	75,80
ESE_ASFB (ESE Site)	6288965	CTGACTG	76,15
ESE_SRp40 (ESE Site)	6288966	TGACTGG	93,50
ESE_SC35 (ESE Site)	6288967	GACTGGTG	84,89
ESE_9G8 (ESE Site)	6288971	GGTGTC	63,29
ESE_SRp40 (ESE Site)	6288973	TGTCTGG	87,21
ESE_SC35 (ESE Site)	6288978	GGCTTGCA	81,20
ESE_SRp40 (ESE Site)	6288981	TTGCAGG	82,42
ESE_ASFB (ESE Site)	6288982	TGCAGGT	72,61
ESE_ASF (ESE Site)	6288982	TGCAGGT	74,99
ESE_SRp55 (ESE Site)	6288982	TGCAGG	75,89
ESE_9G8 (ESE Site)	6288989	GGGGAA	70,00
ESE_9G8 (ESE Site)	6288992	GAAGCA	68,33
ESE_9G8 (ESE Site)	6288996	CACTAC	59,33
ESE_ASFB (ESE Site)	6289002	CTGCAGT	71,77
ESE_9G8 (ESE Site)	6289007	GTTGGC	62,42
ESE_SC35 (ESE Site)	6289010	GGCCGGCG	84,59
ESE_9G8 (ESE Site)	6289011	GCCGGC	66,04
ESE_ASFB (ESE Site)	6289012	CCGGCGA	76,23
ESE_9G8 (ESE Site)	6289014	GGCGAC	86,31
ESE_SRp40 (ESE Site)	6289016	CGACACG	90,98
ESE_ASFB (ESE Site)	6289017	GACACGG	72,00

ESE_ASF (ESE Site)	6289017	GACACGG	86,93
ESE_SRp55 (ESE Site)	6289019	CACGGA	79,54
ESE_ASF (ESE Site)	6289021	CGGATGA	81,63
ESE_ASFB (ESE Site)	6289021	CGGATGA	83,00
ESE_9G8 (ESE Site)	6289023	GATGAA	83,49
PESE (ESE Site)	6289025	TGAAGAAC	62,05
ESE_9G8 (ESE Site)	6289026	GAAGAA	87,72
ESE_Tra2 (ESE Site)	6289027	AAGAA	100,34
ESE_SC35 (ESE Site)	6289029	GAACTCAA	77,46
ESE_SRp40 (ESE Site)	6289031	ACTCAAC	78,83
ESE_SRp40 (ESE Site)	6289034	CAACAGC	79,13
ESE_Tra2 (ESE Site)	6289035	AACAG	83,54
ESE_SRp55 (ESE Site)	6289041	TGCACC	75,76
ESE_ASF (ESE Site)	6289043	CACCGCT	74,06
ESE_ASFB (ESE Site)	6289043	CACCGCT	78,38
ESE_SC35 (ESE Site)	6289043	CACCGCTG	79,42
ESE_ASF (ESE Site)	6289048	CTGTGGA	74,58
ESE_ASFB (ESE Site)	6289048	CTGTGGA	77,00
ESE_SRp55 (ESE Site)	6289049	TGTGGA	78,83
ESE_9G8 (ESE Site)	6289050	GTGGAC	77,79
ESE_SRp40 (ESE Site)	6289052	GGACTGG	78,53
ESE_SC35 (ESE Site)	6289061	GGTCCTCG	81,57
ESE_ASFB (ESE Site)	6289067	CGCCGCG	79,08
ESE_ASFB (ESE Site)	6289069	CCGCGAA	71,00
ESE_ASFB (ESE Site)	6289072	CGAAGCA	72,00
ESE_9G8 (ESE Site)	6289073	GAAGCA	68,33
ESE_ASF (ESE Site)	6289075	AGCAGGG	75,34
ESE_9G8 (ESE Site)	6289077	CAGGGC	59,67
ESE_ASF (ESE Site)	6289079	GGGCCGT	79,30
ESE_SC35 (ESE Site)	6289080	GGCCGTCG	79,61
ESE_9G8 (ESE Site)	6289081	GCCGTC	62,62
ESE_9G8 (ESE Site)	6289089	GAGGCT	62,49
ESE_9G8 (ESE Site)	6289097	GAAGCT	67,32
ESE_SRp55 (ESE Site)	6289102	TGCTTC	81,58
ESE_SC35 (ESE Site)	6289107	CGCCGGTG	76,04
ESE_ASF (ESE Site)	6289107	CGCCGGT	86,40
ESE_ASFB (ESE Site)	6289107	CGCCGGT	93,31
ESE_9G8 (ESE Site)	6289119	GCGGAC	77,79
ESE_ASF (ESE Site)	6289120	CGGACAG	77,08
ESE_ASFB (ESE Site)	6289120	CGGACAG	79,92
ESE_SC35 (ESE Site)	6289121	GGACAGAA	75,86
ESE_SRp40 (ESE Site)	6289123	ACAGAAG	79,90
ESE_ASFB (ESE Site)	6289124	CAGAAGA	80,00
ESE_ASF (ESE Site)	6289124	CAGAAGA	84,25
ESE_9G8 (ESE Site)	6289126	GAAGAG	84,70
ESE_ASF (ESE Site)	6289127	AAGAGGT	78,72

ESE_Tra2 (ESE Site)	6289127	AAGAG	89,41
ESE_9G8 (ESE Site)	6289129	GAGGTG	60,47
ESE_SRp55 (ESE Site)	6289133	TGGGTC	76,72
ESE_SC35 (ESE Site)	6289135	GGTCTGTG	85,81
ESE_SRp55 (ESE Site)	6289139	TGTGTG	75,05
ESE_SRp40 (ESE Site)	6289141	TGTGAGG	78,41
ESE_SRp55 (ESE Site)	6289143	TGAGGC	77,42
ESE_9G8 (ESE Site)	6289144	GAGGCT	62,49
ESE_SRp40 (ESE Site)	6289149	TTAGAAC	79,25
ESE_9G8 (ESE Site)	6289152	GAACAG	62,96
ESE_Tra2 (ESE Site)	6289153	AACAG	83,54
ESE_SC35 (ESE Site)	6289156	AGCCTCTG	84,15
ESE_SRp40 (ESE Site)	6289158	CCTCTGG	89,96
ESE_ASF (ESE Site)	6289159	CTCTGGA	76,04
ESE_ASFB (ESE Site)	6289159	CTCTGGA	79,92
ESE_9G8 (ESE Site)	6289164	GAGGGT	65,91
ESE_9G8 (ESE Site)	6289168	GTTGAG	63,29
ESE_SRp55 (ESE Site)	6289172	AGCAGC	75,18
ESE_SRp55 (ESE Site)	6289184	TGCTGC	76,40
ESE_9G8 (ESE Site)	6289199	GAACAA	65,98
ESE_Tra2 (ESE Site)	6289200	AACAA	94,47
ESE_Tra2 (ESE Site)	6289207	AAAAT	81,36
ESE_SRp40 (ESE Site)	6289216	CCAAACC	81,40
ESE_SRp40 (ESE Site)	6289221	CCTAACG	80,20
ESE_ASF (ESE Site)	6289226	CGCTGGT	77,90
ESE_ASFB (ESE Site)	6289226	CGCTGGT	83,69
ESE_9G8 (ESE Site)	6289230	GGTGAT	69,60
ESE_9G8 (ESE Site)	6289233	GATGCT	63,09
ESE_SC35 (ESE Site)	6289252	AGTTTCTG	79,24
ESE_SRp40 (ESE Site)	6289262	TTGCTGG	80,92
ESE_9G8 (ESE Site)	6289267	GGTGGC	66,72
ESS_Signals			
Name	**Position**	**Motif**	**CV**
ESS_hnRNPA1 (ESS Site)	6288837	TAGTGG	82,27
Sironi_motif2 (ESS Site)	6288838	AGTGGAC	66,73
Sironi_motif2 (ESS Site)	6288850	TGGTGTG	63,08
Sironi_motif2 (ESS Site)	6288851	GGTGTGA	69,67
Sironi_motif3 (ESS Site)	6288855	TGACCCCA	64,15
Sironi_motif3 (ESS Site)	6288856	GACCCCAT	61,86
Sironi_motif3 (ESS Site)	6288865	TCTGCCCC	73,33
Sironi_motif3 (ESS Site)	6288871	CCTTCCTT	65,62
Sironi_motif3 (ESS Site)	6288875	CCTTCCTG	68,80
Sironi_motif2 (ESS Site)	6288883	GCCTGGG	60,01
Sironi_motif2 (ESS Site)	6288884	CCTGGGT	61,65
Sironi_motif2 (ESS Site)	6288886	TGGGTGA	60,21
Sironi_motif1 (ESS Site)	6288892	ACAAAGGG	64,83

Sironi_motif2 (ESS Site)	6288894	AAAGGGA	62,87
ESS_hnRNPA1 (ESS Site)	6288894	AAAGGG	65,13
ESS_hnRNPA1 (ESS Site)	6288895	AAGGGA	85,13
Sironi_motif2 (ESS Site)	6288898	GGAAGTG	62,31
Sironi_motif2 (ESS Site)	6288899	GAAGTGG	60,55
Sironi_motif1 (ESS Site)	6288899	GAAGTGGG	65,72
ESS_hnRNPA1 (ESS Site)	6288900	AAGTGG	67,03
Sironi_motif2 (ESS Site)	6288901	AGTGGGT	81,60
Sironi_motif2 (ESS Site)	6288903	TGGGTGA	60,21
Sironi_motif1 (ESS Site)	6288907	TGAAAGGA	62,38
Sironi_motif2 (ESS Site)	6288907	TGAAAGG	65,39
ESS_hnRNPA1 (ESS Site)	6288909	AAAGGA	67,98
ESS_hnRNPA1 (ESS Site)	6288910	AAGGAG	73,94
Sironi_motif1 (ESS Site)	6288910	AAGGAGGT	76,76
Sironi_motif2 (ESS Site)	6288912	GGAGGTG	77,46
ESS_hnRNPA1 (ESS Site)	6288913	GAGGTG	74,41
Sironi_motif2 (ESS Site)	6288914	AGGTGGG	73,16
Sironi_motif2 (ESS Site)	6288915	GGTGGGC	87,38
Sironi_motif2 (ESS Site)	6288919	GGCTGGC	66,88
Sironi_motif2 (ESS Site)	6288923	GGCAGGG	69,72
Sironi_motif2 (ESS Site)	6288924	GCAGGGA	66,62
ESS_hnRNPA1 (ESS Site)	6288925	CAGGGA	85,13
Sironi_motif2 (ESS Site)	6288931	GCATGGG	69,50
Sironi_motif1 (ESS Site)	6288932	CATGGGGT	67,99
Sironi_motif2 (ESS Site)	6288932	CATGGGG	73,71
Sironi_motif2 (ESS Site)	6288934	TGGGGTG	71,04
Sironi_motif2 (ESS Site)	6288935	GGGGTGG	67,96
Sironi_motif2 (ESS Site)	6288936	GGGTGGG	76,91
Sironi_motif2 (ESS Site)	6288937	GGTGGGA	86,58
Sironi_motif2 (ESS Site)	6288939	TGGGAGA	60,21
Sironi_motif2 (ESS Site)	6288941	GGAGAGG	77,46
Sironi_motif1 (ESS Site)	6288941	GGAGAGGG	79,52
ESS_hnRNPA1 (ESS Site)	6288942	GAGAGG	74,65
Sironi_motif2 (ESS Site)	6288943	AGAGGGT	78,55
ESS_hnRNPA1 (ESS Site)	6288944	GAGGGT	87,98
Sironi_motif2 (ESS Site)	6288946	GGGTCGG	60,01
Sironi_motif2 (ESS Site)	6288947	GGTCGGA	71,43
ESS_hnRNPA1 (ESS Site)	6288952	GAGAAT	68,22
Sironi_motif2 (ESS Site)	6288957	TCTGGAG	66,68
Sironi_motif1 (ESS Site)	6288958	CTGGAGGC	78,22
Sironi_motif2 (ESS Site)	6288959	TGGAGGC	62,77
Sironi_motif2 (ESS Site)	6288960	GGAGGCT	65,40
ESS_hnRNPA1 (ESS Site)	6288961	GAGGCT	77,98
Sironi_motif2 (ESS Site)	6288966	TGACTGG	65,39
Sironi_motif2 (ESS Site)	6288973	TGTCTGG	68,44
Sironi_motif2 (ESS Site)	6288982	TGCAGGT	60,74

Sironi_motif2 (ESS Site)	6288983	GCAGGTG	60,55
ESS_hnRNPA1 (ESS Site)	6288984	CAGGTG	70,60
Sironi_motif2 (ESS Site)	6288985	AGGTGGG	73,16
Sironi_motif2 (ESS Site)	6288986	GGTGGGG	97,41
Sironi_motif2 (ESS Site)	6288988	TGGGGAA	60,21
Sironi_motif3 (ESS Site)	6288997	ACTACCTG	65,95
Sironi_motif2 (ESS Site)	6289006	AGTTGGC	75,67
ESS_hnRNPA1 (ESS Site)	6289019	CACGGA	65,84
Sironi_motif1 (ESS Site)	6289026	GAAGAACT	63,88
Sironi_motif1 (ESS Site)	6289034	CAACAGCT	73,34
Sironi_motif2 (ESS Site)	6289047	GCTGTGG	63,60
Sironi_motif2 (ESS Site)	6289049	TGTGGAC	73,56
Sironi_motif2 (ESS Site)	6289052	GGACTGG	62,31
Sironi_motif2 (ESS Site)	6289057	GGCTGGT	64,85
Sironi_motif3 (ESS Site)	6289064	CCTCGCCG	67,10
Sironi_motif2 (ESS Site)	6289075	AGCAGGG	65,97
Sironi_motif2 (ESS Site)	6289076	GCAGGGC	67,43
ESS_hnRNPA1 (ESS Site)	6289077	CAGGGC	83,22
Sironi_motif2 (ESS Site)	6289086	CGCGAGG	61,17
Sironi_motif1 (ESS Site)	6289086	CGCGAGGC	75,30
ESS_hnRNPA1 (ESS Site)	6289089	GAGGCT	77,98
Sironi_motif2 (ESS Site)	6289091	GGCTGTG	60,01
Sironi_motif2 (ESS Site)	6289094	TGTGAAG	66,68
Sironi_motif2 (ESS Site)	6289113	TGCTTGG	63,08
Sironi_motif2 (ESS Site)	6289114	GCTTGGC	62,52
Sironi_motif2 (ESS Site)	6289117	TGGCGGA	61,96
Sironi_motif1 (ESS Site)	6289123	ACAGAAGA	64,77
Sironi_motif2 (ESS Site)	6289126	GAAGAGG	60,55
Sironi_motif1 (ESS Site)	6289126	GAAGAGGT	89,73
ESS_hnRNPA1 (ESS Site)	6289127	AAGAGG	70,84
Sironi_motif2 (ESS Site)	6289128	AGAGGTG	73,70
ESS_hnRNPA1 (ESS Site)	6289129	GAGGTG	74,41
Sironi_motif2 (ESS Site)	6289130	AGGTGGG	73,16
Sironi_motif2 (ESS Site)	6289131	GGTGGGT	85,35
Sironi_motif2 (ESS Site)	6289139	TGTGTGA	72,75
Sironi_motif1 (ESS Site)	6289141	TGTGAGGC	65,65
Sironi_motif2 (ESS Site)	6289141	TGTGAGG	83,58
Sironi_motif2 (ESS Site)	6289143	TGAGGCT	68,47
ESS_hnRNPA1 (ESS Site)	6289144	GAGGCT	77,98
ESS_hnRNPA1 (ESS Site)	6289150	TAGAAC	78,70
Sironi_motif2 (ESS Site)	6289160	TCTGGAG	66,68
Sironi_motif1 (ESS Site)	6289161	CTGGAGGG	76,30
Sironi_motif2 (ESS Site)	6289162	TGGAGGG	72,80
Sironi_motif2 (ESS Site)	6289163	GGAGGGT	82,30
ESS_hnRNPA1 (ESS Site)	6289164	GAGGGT	87,98
Sironi_motif2 (ESS Site)	6289167	GGTTGAG	72,55

Sironi_motif2 (ESS Site)	6289170	TGAGCAG	63,63
ESS_hnRNPA1 (ESS Site)	6289181	TAATGC	66,08
Sironi_motif3 (ESS Site)	6289212	CTTACCAA	69,36
ESS_hnRNPA1 (ESS Site)	6289223	TAACGC	66,08
Sironi_motif2 (ESS Site)	6289227	GCTGGTG	63,60
Sironi_motif2 (ESS Site)	6289230	GGTGATG	63,60
Sironi_motif2 (ESS Site)	6289232	TGATGCT	60,51
Sironi_motif2 (ESS Site)	6289238	TGTTGGG	92,53
Sironi_motif2 (ESS Site)	6289239	GTTGGGA	69,67
Sironi_motif2 (ESS Site)	6289263	TGCTGGT	67,93
Sironi_motif2 (ESS Site)	6289264	GCTGGTG	63,60
Sironi_motif1 (ESS Site)	6289265	CTGGTGGC	62,62
Sironi_motif2 (ESS Site)	6289266	TGGTGGC	69,96
Sironi_motif2 (ESS Site)	6289267	GGTGGCC	70,48
Sironi_motif3 (ESS Site)	6289273	CTTCTCAT	62,47

Table 4

WFS1 splice variant	Consensus sequence
NM_006005.3_c.316del	GGGCCTACAAAGGGAGACATGGAAATCCCCTTTGAAGAAGTCCTGGAGAGGGCCAAGGCCGGGGACCCCAAGGCACAGACTGAGTGGGGAAGCACTACCTGCAGTTGGCCGGCGACACGGATGAAGAACTCAACAGCTGCACCGCTGTGGACTGGCTGGTCCTCGCCGCGAAGCAGGGCCGTCGCGAGGCTGTGAAGCTGCTTCGCCGGTGCTTGGCGGACAGAAGAGGCATCACGTCCGAGAACGAACGGGAGGTGAGGCAGCTCTCCTCCGAGACCGACCTGGAGAGGGCCGTGCGCAAGGCAGCCCTGGTCATGTACTGG
NM_006005.3_c.316_461del	GGGCCTACAAAGGGAGACATGGAAATCCCCTTTGAAGAAGTCCTGGAGAGGGCCAAGGCCGGGGACCCCAAGGCACAGACTGAGGCATCACGTCCGAGAACGAACGGGAGGTGAGGCAGCTCTCCTCCGAGACCGACCTGGAGAGGGCCGTGCGCAAGGCAGCCCTGGTCATGTACTGG
NM_006005.3_c.316_457del	GGGCCTACAAAGGGAGACATGGAAATCCCCTTTGAAGAAGTCCTGGAGAGGGCCAAGGCCGGGGACCCCAAGGCACAGACTGAGAGAGGCATCACGTCCGAGAACGAACGGGAGGTGAGGCAGCTCTCCTCCGAGACCGACCTGGAGAGGGCCGTGCGCAAGGCAGCCCTGGTCATGTACTGG
NM_006005.3_c.271_513del	GGGCCTACAAAGGGAGACATGGAAATCCCCTTTGAAGAACTGGAGAGGGCCGTGCGCAAGGCAGCCCTGGTCATGTACTGG