Growth in early infancy drives optimal brain functional connectivity which predicts cognitive flexibility in later childhood

Short title: Early growth predicts brain networks development

Chiara Bulgarelli[1,2], Anna Blasi[2], Samantha McCann[3,4], Bosiljka Milosavljevic[5,6], Giulia Ghillia[3], Ebrima Mbye[4], Ebou Touray[4], Tijan Fadera[4], Lena Acolatse[4,8], Sophie E. Moore[3,4], Sarah Lloyd-Fox[5], Clare E. Elwell[2], Adam T. Eggebrecht[9] and the BRIGHT Study Team[10]

[1]Centre for Brain and Cognitive Development, Birkbeck, University of London, UK.
[2]Department of Medical Physics and Biomedical Engineering, University College London, UK.
[3]Department of Women and Children's Health, King's College London, UK.
[4]Medical Research Council Unit The Gambia at the London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine, The Gambia.
[5]Department of Psychology, University of Cambridge, UK.
[6]School of Biological and Experimental Psychology, Queen Mary University of London, UK.
[7]Department of Women and Children's Health, University of Liverpool, UK.
[8]Nutrition Innovation Centre for Food and Health, School of Biomedical Sciences, Ulster University, Ireland.
[9]Mallinckrodt Institute of Radiology, Washington University School of Medicine in St. Louis, USA.
[10]The BRIGHT team are (in alphabetic order): Muhammed Ceesay, Kassa Kora, Fabakary Njai, Andrew Prentice, Mariama Saidykhan.

Corresponding Author:
Dr. Chiara Bulgarelli
Email: c.bulgarelli@bbk.ac.uk
Address: Centre for Brain and Cognitive Development
Department of Psychological Sciences
Birkbeck, University of London
Malet Street
London, WC1E 7HX (UK)

Abstract
Functional brain network organization, measured by functional connectivity (FC), reflects key
neurodevelopmental processes for healthy development. Early exposure to adversity, e.g.
undernutrition, affects neurodevelopment, observable via disrupted FC, and leads to poorer
outcomes from preschool age onward. We assessed longitudinally the impact of early growth
trajectories on developmental FC in a rural Gambian population from age 5 to 24 months. To
investigate how these early trajectories relate to later childhood outcomes, we assessed
cognitive flexibility at 3-5 years. We observed that early physical growth before the fifth month
of life drove optimal developmental trajectories of FC that in turn predicted cognitive flexibility
at pre-school age. In contrast to previously studied developmental populations, this Gambian
sample exhibited long-range interhemispheric FC that decreased with age. Our results highlight
the measurable effects that poor growth in early infancy has on brain development and the
subsequent impact on pre-school age cognitive development, underscoring the need for early
life interventions throughout global settings of adversity.
64

Main Text

Introduction

The first 1000 days of life are of paramount importance for human brain (1) and body development (2, 3) Early adversity negatively impacts infant development, which leads to long-term consequences from delayed milestones in childhood development to lowered productivity at the individual and societal levels (4–6). Therefore, assessing trajectories of brain development in infants exposed to early adversity is essential to understand and mitigate effects on brain development and subsequent outcomes at school age and beyond (7, 8).

Early undernutrition engenders detrimental effects on a range of cognitive skills (9), with long-lasting effects through adulthood (10). Interventions using therapeutic feeding regimens and supplements have been shown to have a strong causal impact on childhood undernutrition, brain development, and subsequent neurodevelopmental outcomes (11–13). Despite numerous attempts of interventions, global rates of undernutrition remain high (14), with infants in low- and middle-income countries (LMICs) at greatest risk (15). Up to one-third of infants in LMICs are at risk of not meeting standard milestones of social, motor, linguistic, and cognitive development by pre-school age (16, 17), which can lead to lifelong consequences that impact social and economic development at individual, national, and global scales (18). Thus, it is essential to study neurodevelopment throughout the first two years in regions with high rates of undernutrition in order to optimize early interventions. To identify effective early interventions, we must first identify the mechanisms that link undernutrition to later cognitive outcomes. Effects of undernutrition on the developing brain may manifest through alterations in function brain networks (19), as shown in school-age children exposed to chronic poverty (20). However, our understanding of the relationships between early undernutrition, developmental trajectories of brain connectivity, and later cognitive outcomes is still very limited.

Functional brain network organization is commonly assessed with resting-state functional connectivity (FC) via temporal correlations in brain activity as measured with functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) (21, 22). Based on data from fMRI, current models hypothesize that FC patterns mature throughout early development (23–27), where in typically developing brains, adult-like networks emerge over the first years of life as long-range functional connections between pre-frontal, parietal, temporal, and occipital regions become stronger and more selective (28–31). This maturation in FC has been shown to be related to the cascading maturation of myelination and synaptogenesis (32, 33) - fundamental processes for healthy brain development (34). Therefore, disrupted patterns of FC may reflect disrupted anatomical maturation of brain circuits and systems. For example, preterm infants with severe diffuse white matter impairment exhibited lower levels of FC in executive function networks compared with preterm infants with no or mild white matter impairment (35). Importantly, normative developmental patterns may be disrupted and even reversed in clinical conditions that impact development; e.g., increased short-range and reduced long-range FC have been observed in preterm infants (36) and in children with autism spectrum disorder (37, 38).

While widely used neuroimaging modalities such as fMRI can elucidate typical and atypical developmental trajectories, they are often poorly suited for lower resource neuroimaging contexts due to limited portability, need for specialized facilities, and the high cost associated with these methods (28, 36, 39). To address the need for neuroimaging studies in lower resource environments including (but not limited to) in LMICs, researchers have increasingly turned to more portable tools, including electroencephalography (EEG) (40), functional near-infrared spectroscopy (fNIRS) (41–43) and diffuse correlation spectroscopy (DCS) (44). These tools enable the creation of mobile neuroimaging laboratories that can be

deployed virtually anywhere, eliminating practical constraints imposed by costly and immobile methods. Indeed, research based in The Gambia, Guinea-Bissau, Bangladesh and Ivory Coast have established feasibility of these tools to perform brain imaging studies outside of a specialized lab environment, with recent studies reporting altered cortical physiology related to early adversity and undernutrition (40, 43–45). For example, using EEG, Xie and colleagues showed that in 2-3 year old Bangladeshi children, growth faltering was associated with FC in the theta and beta frequency bands, which was negatively related to children's IQ score at 4 years (46). With regards to fNIRS, it has a better spatial resolution and anatomical specificity than EEG, thus providing more precise and reliable localization of brain networks (47, 48). Moreover, fNIRS facilitates testing in awake and engaged infants, making the results more comparable with adult FC studies that are performed on awake participants (49). Given these unique strengths, fNIRS has been used to study FC in longitudinal studies in awake infants in high-resource settings (31), and the portability and the low cost of this equipment has fostered implementation outside conventional labs and in LMICs (50). Because fNIRS overcomes logistical (MRI) and resolution (EEG) challenges of other common modalities, we chose it for this study to assess early life brain health of children in LMIC exposed to early severe undernutrition.

The goal of the study was to investigate early physical growth in infancy, developmental trajectories of brain FC across the first two years of life, and cognitive outcome at school age in a longitudinal cohort of infants and children from rural Gambia, an environment with high rates of maternal and child undernutrition. Specifically, we aimed to: (i) investigate whether differences in physical growth through the first two years of life are related to FC at 24 months, and (ii) investigate if trajectories of early FC have an impact on cognitive outcome at pre-school age in these children. Data were collected from N=204 children as part of the Brain Imaging for Global Health project (BRIGHT; globalfnirs.org/the-bright-project), a longitudinal study examining infant development from term birth to 24-months of age. We acquired longitudinal measurements of brain FC in awake Gambian infant participants at five age points (5, 8, 12, 18, and 24 months) using fNIRS as the infants watched calming videos. We also acquired anthropometric measures (i.e., weight and length) as indicators of nutritional status at birth, at 7-to-14 days, one month of age, and at all five fNIRS data acquisition time points. Additionally, because developmental delays interfere with everyday functioning starting within the pre-school age range (16), we assessed cognitive flexibility, a core executive function (51), at ages three and five years. We hypothesized that (i) long-range FC would increase and short-range FC would decrease throughout the first two years of life, (ii) that early positive physical growth would significantly predict long-range FC, and that (iii) long-range FC strength would predict cognitive flexibility performance in pre-schoolers. Our results provide novel insights into the developmental trajectory of the functional organization of the developing brain, its relationship with nutritional adversity exposure and status, and the subsequent effects on preschool-age cognitive outcomes.

Results
Gambian infants exhibit robust FC development throughout first two years
The repeated assessments of brain FC throughout the first two years of life allowed us to analyse developmental trajectories of the maturation of early brain networks and how they relate to measures of infants' growth as well as to cognitive flexibility at preschool age (**Figure 1A**). To estimate how FC changed between 5-24 months of age in Gambian children, we performed linear mixed models (LMM) using data from the 132 infants with valid data for at least two time points. To increase statistical power while minimizing errors due to variability in head anatomy and cap placement, we divided the array into six sections and

calculated functional connectivity (FC) between all possible 21 interhemispheric, intrahemispheric, fronto-posterior, and crossed connections (**Figure SI1**). Results on the Fisher-z transformed correlation coefficients (z-RHO) of the oxygenated haemoglobin (HbO_2) showed that frontal interhemispheric FC decreased with age ($F=11.03$, $p<0.001$), and that left ($F=5.8$, $p<0.001$) and right fronto-middle ($F=4.86$, $p<0.001$) and right fronto-posterior ($F=5.52$, $p<0.001$) FC increased with age (**Figure 2**). Results on the z-RHO scores of the deoxygenated haemoglobin (HHb) largely agreed with the results on HbO_2 (**Table 1 and Figure 2**). Frontal interhemispheric FC significantly decreased with age, showing positive correlations at five months, and negative values at 24 months (**Figure 2B and Figure 2C**). The FC between fronto-middle and frontal-posterior that significantly increased with time showed strong anticorrelation values at early ages that gradually decreased but were still negative at 24 months (**Figure 2B and Figure 2C**). Notably, results from LMMs performed on data pre-processed without the global signal regression (GSR) (see results in SI, **Table SI1** and **Figure SI2**) confirmed a decreasing trajectory of the frontal interhemispheric FC and an increasing trajectory of bilateral short-range FC with age.

Early growth trajectories predict functional connectivity at 24 months

To investigate the impact of early nutritional status on FC at 24 months, we used multiple regression with the infant growth trajectory (delta weight for length z-score between time points, ΔWLZ) and FC at 24 months, adjusting for WLZ at birth or HCZ at 7/14 days. To maximise power, we considered only those FC that showed a statistically significant change with age. The ΔWLZ between birth/1 month and older ages positively predicted frontal interhemispheric FC at 24 months (ΔWLZ birth with older ages all $p<0.02$ FDR corrected, ΔWLZ 1 month with older ages all $p<0.03$, see **Figure SI3** for an example scatterplot) and negatively predicted left and right fronto-middle FC at 24 months ($p<0.04$). The ΔWLZ between birth and 1 month positively predicted right fronto-posterior FC at 24 months ($p=0.006$, FDR corrected) while ΔWLZ between 1 month and older ages negatively predicted right fronto-posterior FC at 24 months (all $p<0.01$, FDR corrected). Interestingly, ΔWLZ between 5/8/12/18 months and older ages did not show statistically significant impacts on any of the FC assessed (**Table 2 and Figure 3A**).

Early functional connectivity predicts cognitive flexibility at preschool age

To investigate whether FC early in life predicted cognitive flexibility at preschool age, we used multiple regression of FC across the first two years of life against later cognitive flexibility in preschoolers at three and five years. As per the analysis above, we focused on only those FC that showed a statistically significant change with age. Our results showed that frontal interhemispheric connectivity at 5 months ($F(1,38)=4.21$, $p=0.047$, $R^2=0.102$), left frontal-middle ($F(1,33)=7.86$, $p=0.009$, $R^2=0.197$) and right frontal-posterior FC at 12 months ($F(1,38)=4.82$, $p=0.034$, $R^2=0.115$) positively predicted cognitive flexibility in young preschoolers. Right frontal-posterior FC at 8 months negatively predicted cognitive flexibility in young preschoolers ($F(1,32)=5.6$, $p=0.024$, $R^2=0.153$). Frontal interhemispheric connectivity at 18 months ($F(1,45)=4.82$, $p=0.030$, $R^2=0.115$), and right frontal-posterior FC at 24 months ($F(1,49)=4.85$, $p=0.032$, $R^2=0.092$) positively predicted cognitive flexibility in older preschoolers. Left front-middle FC at 18 months negatively predicted cognitive flexibility in older preschoolers ($F(1,45)=5.72$, $p=0.021$, $R^2=0.115$). While these associations between early functional connectivity and cognitive flexibility at preschool age show promise, none of these survived FDR correction for multiple comparisons (**Figure 3B**), and so should be considered as preliminary and useful for hypothesis generation for future studies.

Discussion

215
This study investigated how early adversity via undernutrition drives longitudinal changes in
brain functional connectivity at five time points throughout the first two years of life and how
these developmental trajectories are associated with cognitive flexibility at preschool age. To
capture the rapid neural development that takes place during early years in community LMIC
settings we used fNIRS to assess brain connectivity (22, 24, 26, 31). Additionally, we
recorded brain activity while infants were awake, thus making the results more comparable
with those from adult studies. Our results show: (i) healthy growth trajectories during early
infancy are crucial for healthy FC at 24 months; (ii) our cohort of Gambian infants exhibit
atypical developmental trajectories of functional connectivity (FC) in over the first two years
of life; and (iii) FC during the first two years of life may predict cognitive flexibility at
preschool age.
227
First, we found that while left and right intra-hemispheric fronto-middle and right
frontal-posterior FC increased with age, frontal inter-hemispheric FC decreased with age.
Additionally, we observed long-range right frontal-posterior FC increased with age and
exhibited positive correlations at 24 months, as consistent with previous infant studies (29,
31). Previous findings from typically developing infants measured in the US showed that
long-range FC gradually strengthened with age, indicating maturing of functional networks
that span distant brain regions (28, 29). Moreover, the presence of inter-hemispheric
connections are known to indicate healthy development of FC (52) consistent with the
continuous development of the corpus callosum from infancy until early adulthood (53).
Conversely, decreased inter-hemispheric FC has been observed where development can
follow a more divergent and/or heterogeneous path, such as in autism (54). The observed
decrease in frontal inter-hemispheric FC with increasing age may be due to the exposure to
early life undernutrition adversity. Finally, our results indicated that the Gambian infant
bilateral fronto-middle FC exhibited anticorrelation at five months, and then gradually
became less negative with age. This pattern suggests that this fronto-middle FC may become
in sync later after the second year of life. The fNIRS array covers regions over the front and
middle cortical regions, putatively belonging to the default mode network and fronto-parietal
network, which are normally anticorrelated (55), suggesting that the earlier observed
anticorrelation pattern might indeed be adaptive. While further investigations are needed to
confirm these findings, these results highlight a general disruption of the
integration/segregation process of network development among the infants in our study (56).
249
Second, a central goal of the current work was to investigate whether infant
nutritional status, as measured by change in weight for length z-scores (ΔWLZ), was
associated with functional connectivity at 24 months. First, we observed that ΔWLZ between
neonatal time points and older ages positively predicted frontal interhemispheric FC at 24
months, which supports the idea that the decreasing interhemispheric connectivity observed
with age might be atypical, as greater increases in ΔWLZ were found to be positively
associated with stronger interhemispheric FC. This is consistent with research showing an
impact of nutritional status on long-range connections and brain networks development (57–
59) and the decreased long-range FC found in preterm babies with faltering growth (60–62).
A common feature of the observed associations between ΔWLZ and FC is that they were
statistically significant only when ΔWLZ was calculated including measures collected
neonatally (i.e., at birth or one month of age). Changes in growth later in development (i.e.
ΔWLZ between 5, 8, 12, or 18 months and older ages) did not show statistically significant
impacts on any of the FC assessed. This provides support for the hypothesis that
undernutrition during the first months of life is more impactful on brain development than

undernutrition occurring later in infancy and early childhood. While the impact of undernutrition on brain development has been documented in LMICs (46), herein, we provided empirical evidence that growth faltering specifically in infants younger than five months of age impacts observable development of functional brain networks in the second year of life. This result also suggests that early undernutrition has a lasting impact on subsequent cognitive skills, even in children who show subsequent catch-up growth.

The other primary aim of this study was to investigate whether early FC development was related to cognitive flexibility in preschoolers at three and five years of age. Long range FC (interhemispheric and frontal-posterior) positively predicted performance in the cognitive flexibility task both in younger and older preschoolers. This is consistent with the fact that the integration of distant brain regions fosters cognitive development, especially in prefrontal cortex (63, 64), possibly driven by maturation within the fronto-parietal network that has been widely documented as a primary neural underpinning of cognitive flexibility (65). Additionally, left fronto-middle FC at 12 months positively predicted cognitive flexibility in young preschoolers, but the same connection at 18 months negatively predicted cognitive flexibility in older preschoolers. This shift in direction of the relationship between FC and cognitive flexibility might indicate the shift between short-range and long-range FC during development, where short-range FC still promotes cognitive development early in life, while becoming less impactful as the child ages possibly because children develop a wider range of strategies to support cognitive flexibility as they age. A recent review on the neural underpinnings of cognitive flexibility highlighted that right-lateralized activations underlying this skill increased with age, while activations over the left hemisphere decreased with age (51). Our findings are consistent with this view, suggesting an increase in functional specialisation and segregation with development.

Some limitations of the current study merit discussion. Although the BRIGHT sample is one of the largest longitudinal neuroimaging studies in LMIC to assess longitudinally this many age points during the first two years of life, the sample size may still be underpowered to assess the modest effects of the regression models. Second, additional factors may affect FC that were not considered in our models. Applying more advanced statistical modelling methods and structural equation modelling analyses may provide greater insight with further investigations in contexts of adversity and, in turn, establish which outcomes are predicted by FC. That said, results from the regression models were statistically robust, as most survived FDR correction for multiple comparisons, and were significant after including HCZ at 7-14 days and WLZ at birth in the models. It is also worth noting that in line with previous FC fNIRS studies (31, 66), linear mixed model results on the HbO_2 and the HHb signals showed consistency in the FC changes with age measured in the two chromophores, and similar trajectories when removing the global signal regression step from the pre-processing, suggesting that the results were reliable and statistically robust. Future collaborations between projects studying the impact of adversity on brain development in both high resource and LMIC settings are crucial to advance the field and therefore inform efficient strategies – including the timing - of intervention. Even given the large sample in our study, we were underpowered to test for group comparisons between sets of infants with distinct undernutrition growth profiles, e.g., infants with early poor growth that later resolved and infants with standard growth early that had a poor growth later. We were also underpowered to test the associations between early growth and FC on clinically undernourished infants (defined as having ΔWLZ two standard deviations below the mean). However, our findings provide a solid foundation for future research on the impacts of undernutrition during the first months of life on later brain health and cognitive abilities throughout childhood.

In conclusion, herein we showed that, as expected, positive growth trajectories during the first few months of life were positively associated with stronger interhemispheric frontal FC and negatively associated with bilateral short-range FC. Interestingly, while Gambian infants had expected increasing left and right intra-hemispheric fronto-middle and right frontal-posterior FC with age, frontal inter-hemispheric FC decreased with age, which is inconsistent with extant data from other populations studied in Europe and US. Of particular note, growth during the first few months after birth showed a significant impact on brain health, as measured with FC, while later growth changes were not related to variability in FC. Moreover, long-range FC predicted the performance on a cognitive flexibility task at preschool age. Taken together, our results show the impact that early growth has on functional brain development, which in turn affects cognitive flexibility in preschoolers. Finally, this study emphasizes the importance of the timing of interventions, for which benefits are visible at preschool age and beyond.

Materials and Methods
Experimental Design
Our study investigated the developmental trajectory of brain health as assessed with functional connectivity (FC) in Gambian infants and its relationship with early growth, as a proxy for nutritional status, and later cognitive outcomes. To do, so we estimated FC from data acquired with fNIRS longitudinally at five time points between age five and 24 months in the context of the BRIGHT Project. The BRIGHT project sought to provide brain function-for-age curves from the UK and Gambian infants and to gain insight into the effects that issues related to living in low-resource settings may have on brain development. We then tested for relationships between brain FC at age 24 months with measures of early growth, as indexed by changes in weight-for-length z-scores assessed at birth, at one month of age, and at each of the four subsequent visits (details provided below). We also tested for associations between brain FC at each time point with later cognitive outcome, as indexed by cognitive flexibility assessed ain three- and five-year-olds preschool-age children (**Figure 1A**). Ethical approval was granted by the joint Gambia Government - MRC Unit the Gambia Ethics Committee, and written informed consent was obtained from all parents prior to participation.

Participants
We used data from N=204 infants recruited in rural community settings of The Gambia as part of the BRIGHT project. The study was a prospective, longitudinal study with fNIRS assessments planned for awake infants at ages 5, 8, 12, 18 and 24 months. All infants were born full term (37–42 weeks gestation). fNIRS data were additionally acquired from 1-month-old infants while asleep. However, as sleep stages may impact connectivity measures (98), these data are not part of the current analysis. Reasons for exclusion from the fNIRS analysis were: i) fussiness; ii) experimental errors (missing pictures of the headgear placement or any other technical issue); iii) poor headgear placement (see supplementary information for *Assessment of headgear placement*); iv) low-quality data (more than 40% of the channels excluded as previously done (67–70)). **Table SI2** summarises the details of the included and excluded participants for each visit, showing an attrition rate within the standard range for infant fNIRS studies (71) (see **Table 3** for the demographic information of the participants included in the analyses at each age).

Anthropometric measures
Measurements of length, weight, and head circumference (HC) were collected on all infants at birth, at 7/14 days, 1 month, and in all visits from 5 to 24 months, by trained field workers using calibrated tools. Length was measured using a Harpenden Infantometer length board (Holtain Ltd) to a precision of 0.1 cm. Weight was obtained using an electronic baby scale (Model 336, SECA) to a precision of 0.01 kg. Finally, HC was measured around the maximum circumference of the head (forehead to occiput) using stretch-proof measuring tape (Model 201, SECA) to the nearest 0.1 cm. Each measure was taken in triplicate and the mean of the three measures was used in analyses.

fNIRS data acquisition
fNIRS data were collected using the NTS topography system (Gowerlabs Ltd., London, UK). This system uses two continuous wavelengths of near-infrared light (780 and 850 nm) to detect changes in HbO_2 and HHb concentrations, using a sampling rate of 10 Hz (72).

Infants were assessed using a custom-built fNIRS headgear consisting of two arrays, including 14 sources and 12 detectors to create a total of 34 channels, covering bilateral frontal, inferior-frontal and temporal regions, with a source-detector separation of 2 cm (**Figure 1B** and **Figure 1C**).

For each infant, head measurements were taken to enable the alignment of the
headgear with the 10–20 placement coordinates (73). Over the front of the head, the headgear
was placed so that a vertical red line marking the centre of the band aligned with the nasion
with the silicon band laying just above, and in line with, the eyebrows. The headgear was
placed so that the reference optode (the third lower optode from the back) was placed over
the tragus.
To model for the headgear placement offline, photographs of the participants (one
from the front and one from either side) were taken after the headgear was placed and again
at the end of the fNIRS session. To assess the headgear placement, photographs of the
participants had to be sufficiently good in quality (i.e. not blurred) and with the camera
pointing at the ear, at a position directly perpendicular to the infant's anatomical reference on
the side of the head being photographed.
Infants were excluded from further analysis if the band was excessively high over the
front above the eyebrows, by checking the displacement of the lower edge of the band with
respect of the eyebrows with a "traffic-light" code (red, orange, green). Three experimenters
independently classified the infants' frontal headgear placement, and discrepancies were
discussed until agreement was reached. Channels of those infants with valid placement over
the front but horizontal lateral displacement between the ideal and the actual position of the
reference (optode marked in green in **Figure 1B**) equal or greater than 1.6 cm were
renumbered, so that each channel was shifted either backward or forward one full channel
location in space
At each visit, the fNIRS data acquisition took place while infants sat on their parent's
lap. The parent was instructed to refrain from interacting with the infant during the stimuli
presentation. Videos were of male and female Gambian adults singing nursery rhymes in
Mandinka (for 70 seconds) and videos of toys in action (for 60 seconds), video blocks
alternated, with each type shown to the infant twice, with the aim of keeping the infant
awake, calm, attentive and as still as possible. The functional connectivity (FC) paradigm
formed part of a greater battery of fNIRS paradigms that ran in a continuous and consistent
order across all infants. The fNIRS FC acquisition lasted for a maximum of 520 seconds (two
rounds of 260 seconds each) or were stopped as soon as the participant became fussy. Stimuli
were presented using a MATLAB® custom-written stimulus presentation framework, Task
Engine (sites.google.com/site/taskenginedoc) and Psychtoolbox on an Apple Macintosh
computer.
412
fNIRS preprocessing and data-analysis
Data analyses were carried out using in-house programmes developed in MATLAB
(MathWorks, Natick, MA) (**Figure SI4** summarises fNIRS data preprocessing steps).
Stringent multi-level data-quality assessments were used to ensure all data included in the
group were of adequate quality. First, low-quality channels based on physiological indicators
of quality were pruned using QT-NIRS (https://github.com/lpollonini/qt-nirs). The software
takes advantage of the fact that the cardiac pulsation is also recorded in addition to
haemodynamic activity associated with brain-driven variance including task-based responses
and those contributing to functional connectivity (74), and quantifies its strength in the
spectral and temporal domains with two measures: the Scalp Coupling Index (SCI) and the
Peak Spectral Power (PSP) (for more details, see (75). For each infant, data quality was
assessed channel-by-channel, and SCI and PSP were calculated with sliding 3-second
windows (threshold SCI=0.70, threshold PSP=0.1, empirically defined and based on (76))
(75). Channels that had both SCI and PSP below threshold for more than 70% of the
windows (75), were excluded from further pre-processing steps.
Raw intensity data were converted to optical density via a logarithm after dividing by

the temporal mean of each channel (*hmrIntensity2OD.m* function from Homer2 tool (77)) and bandpass filtered (0.009 – 3 Hz) (*hmrBandpassFilt.m* function from Homer2 (77)). Using first a wide filter leaves in the signal contamination from the high bandwidth information (i.e. respiration, pulse, etc.) that we aim to regress out next. To help manage the systemic arterial signal that is well known to contaminate fNIRS signals (78), we regressed out the mean of the signals across the array, a method also known as global signal regression (GSR) (79, 80) (Results of analysis performed also without GSR are reported in the Supplementary Materials). Hereafter, optical density data underwent a second bandpass filtered (0.009 – 0.08 Hz), as previously described (47). Motion artefacts were then rejected using global variance of temporal derivatives (GVTD, STD=5) in the NeuroDOT toolbox (https://www.nitrc.org/projects/neurodot/) (81) (**Figure SI5** show how we tested the effect of different STD thresholds of GVTD on data inclusion). To further manage potential motion contamination of the data, we additionally removed five seconds before and after each motion artefact, and only chunks of data that were at least 20 seconds long were retained.

Optical density data were converted to relative concentrations of haemoglobin using the modified Beer–Lambert law (*hmrOD2Conc.m* function from Homer2 (77)). Differential path length factors were calculated (82) and adapted to wavelengths (780 and 850 nm) and ages (5 months = 5.24 and 4.25; 8 months = 5.26 and 4.26; 12 months = 5.27 and 4.28 ;18 months = 5.30 and 4.30; 24 months = 5.32 and 4.32).

To increase the statistical power of our analysis and to reduce the number of multiple comparisons for all statistical analysis to investigate developmental changes in connectivity, we averaged the concentration changes of the channels that survived pre-processing into sections. The sections were established via the 17 channels of each hemisphere which were grouped into front, middle and back (for a total of six regions) based on a previous co-registration of the BRIGHT fNIRS arrays onto age-appropriate templates (68) (**Figure SI1**). Each section represented a reasonable estimate of an anatomically consistent array coverage across the group, and was used to increase statistical power while minimizing errors due to variability in head anatomy and cap placement. For each participant, the Pearson-r correlation matrix between all the sections was calculated for both HbO_2 and HHb, resulting in a 6×6 matrix of section-pair correlations. We then applied a Fisher z-transformation on the correlation matrix for further statistical analyses. Infants with at least 250 seconds of valid data after pre-processing were considered for further analyses. To choose the optimal minimum amount of valid data, per each infant at each time point for both the HbO_2 and HHb signal, we correlated the connectivity matrices estimated in the first portion of data with the one in the last portion of data by increasing the seconds of data considered (i.e. the first 60 seconds with the last 60 seconds, the first 100 seconds with the last 100 seconds, the first 120 seconds with the last 120 seconds, etc.). We found that correlating the first and the last 250 seconds provided the highest percentage of infants with strong correlation between the first and the last portion of data, suggesting that FC patterns reached a usable stability after 250 seconds (**Figure SI6**).

Cognitive flexibility at preschool age

Gambian infants from BRIGHT were cross-sectionally assessed at the age of 3 or 5 years for cognitive flexibility using the "card sorting" task from the tablet-based Early Years Toolbox (83) (http://www.eytoolbox.com.au). In this task, children were asked to sort cards (i.e. red rabbits or blue boats) either by colour (red or blue) or shape (rabbits or boats), and to flexibly switch back and forth between these rules (84).

Due to disruptions to field work as a result of a political crisis in December 2016 - January 2017 that forced us to pause recruitment, data were collected in two ranges of preschool ages, younger preschoolers (age mean ± SD=47.96 ± 2.77 months) and older

479 preschoolers (age mean ± SD=57.58 ± 2.11 months) (85).
480
Statistical Analyses
To investigate developmental trajectories of functional connectivity between 5 and 24
months, we ran linear mixed models (86) which is the standard statistical test to analyse
repeated measures and longitudinal data with dropouts (87). Statistical analysis was
performed with SPSS Version 28.0 statistic software package. Compared to repeated
measures ANOVA, linear mixed models account for within-participant dependence and allow
for missing data by using only information from the individual at the other visits (88). All
possible interhemispheric, intrahemispheric, fronto-posterior, and crossed connections
between the six regions were inserted as dependent variables in a linear mixed model, for a
total of 21 linear mixed models. Functional connectivity was modelled as the dependent
variable as a function of age with a random participant effect and random errors. Intercept
and age were fixed effects, while within-participant dependence was modelled as a random
effect (89). This same procedure was used in other longitudinal studies that explored
developmental changes over time (31, 90, 91). The linear mixed model included the 132
infants who had valid data for at least two visits (55 infants contributed with data from two
visits, 51 infants contributed with data from three visits, 22 infants contributed with data from
four visits, four infants contributed with data from all of the five visits). The type of
covariance between the observations was specified as Autoregression (AR) as two measures
close in time of the same participant are likely to be correlated (92). To ensure statistical
reliability, significant results from the linear mixed models were corrected for multiple
comparisons using Bonferroni correction (p=0.00238).
Anthropometric measures were converted to age and sex adjusted z-scores that are
based on World Health Organization Child Growth Standards (93). Weight-for-Length
(WLZ) and Head Circumference-for-age (HCAZ) z-scores were computed. Delta WLZ
(ΔWLZ) were calculated for various periods (0-1 months, 0-5 months, etc.) by subtracting the
z-score at the later age from that at the previous age. The use of delta z-scores as outcome
measures allowed us to assess the impact of positive or negative deviation from the expected
growth trajectories. To investigate the impact of undernutrition on FC development, we used
ΔWLZ as independent variables in regression analyses on HbO_2 (as the chromophore with
the highest signal-to-noise ratio) FC at 24 months. To maximise power, we considered only
those FC that showed a statistically significant change with age. These analyses were
adjusted by WLZ at birth and HCAZ at 7/14 days. We used HCZ measured at 7/14 days and
not HCZ at birth as HC measures at birth are unreliable following vaginal delivery.
Therefore, HCAZ at 7/14 days is a more accurate head measure than HCAZ at birth. To
ensure statistical reliability, results from the regression analyses on each FC were corrected
for multiple comparisons using false discovery rate (FDR) (94).
To investigate whether FC early in life predicted cognitive flexibility at preschool
age, we regressed FC that showed a significant change across the first two years of life
against later cognitive flexibility.
520

References

1. H. J. Kang, *et al.*, Spatio-temporal transcriptome of the human brain. *Nature* **478**, 483–489 (2011).

2. M. M. Black, *et al.*, Early childhood development coming of age: science through the life course. *Lancet* **389**, 77–90 (2017).

3. M. S. Goyal, M. E. Raichle, Gene expression-based modeling of human cortical synaptic density. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **110**, 6571–6576 (2013).

4. C. A. Nelson, *The effects of early adversity on neurobehavioral development* (Psychology Press, 2000).

5. N. H. Rod, *et al.*, Trajectories of childhood adversity and mortality in early adulthood: a population-based cohort study. *Lancet* **396**, 489–497 (2020).

6. P. Kim, *et al.*, Effects of childhood poverty and chronic stress on emotion regulatory brain function in adulthood. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **110**, 18442–18447 (2013).

7. C. W. Kuzawa, *et al.*, Metabolic costs and evolutionary implications of human brain development. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **111**, 13010–13015 (2014).

8. R. A. Thompson, C. A. Nelson, Developmental science and the media: Early brain development. *Am. Psychol.* **56**, 5 (2001).

9. K. K. Kesari, R. Handa, R. Prasad, Effect of undernutrition on cognitive development of children. *Int. J. Food, Nutr. Public Heal.* **3**, 133–148 (2010).

10. C. G. Victora, *et al.*, Maternal and child undernutrition: consequences for adult health and human capital. *Lancet* (2008) https:/doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(07)61692-4.

11. S. M. Grantham-McGregor, C. A. Powell, S. P. Walker, J. H. Himes, Nutritional supplementation, psychosocial stimulation, and mental development of stunted children: the Jamaican Study. *Lancet* **338**, 1–5 (1991).

12. S. E. Cusick, M. K. Georgieff, Nutrient supplementation and neurodevelopment: timing is the key. *Arch. Pediatr. Adolesc. Med.* **166**, 481–482 (2012).

13. H. McKay, L. Sinisterra, A. McKay, H. Gomez, P. Lloreda, Improving cognitive ability in chronically deprived children. *Science (80-.).* **200**, 270–278 (1978).

14. S. E. Moore, Using longitudinal data to understand nutrition and health interactions in rural Gambia. *Ann. Hum. Biol.* **47**, 125–131 (2020).

15. H. M. Nabwera, A. J. Fulford, S. E. Moore, A. M. Prentice, Growth faltering in rural Gambian children after four decades of interventions: a retrospective cohort study. *Lancet Glob. Heal.* **5**, e208–e216 (2017).

16. D. C. McCoy, *et al.*, Early Childhood Developmental Status in Low- and Middle-Income Countries: National, Regional, and Global Prevalence Estimates Using Predictive Modeling. *PLoS Med.* (2016) https:/doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.1002034.

17. R. Martorell, The nature of child malnutrition and its long-term implications. *Food Nutr. Bull.* **20**, 288–292 (1999).

18. J. Hoddinott, J. A. Maluccio, J. R. Behrman, R. Flores, R. Martorell, Effect of a nutrition intervention during early childhood on economic productivity in Guatemalan adults. *Lancet* **371**, 411–416 (2008).

19. M. M. Black, "Impact of nutrition on growth, brain, and cognition" in *Recent Research in Nutrition and Growth*, (Karger Publishers, 2018), pp. 185–195.

20. R. K. Sripada, J. E. Swain, G. W. Evans, R. C. Welsh, I. Liberzon, Childhood poverty and stress reactivity are associated with aberrant functional connectivity in default mode network. *Neuropsychopharmacology* **39**, 2244–2251 (2014).

21. B. Biswal, F. Zerrin Yetkin, V. M. Haughton, J. S. Hyde, Functional connectivity in the motor cortex of resting human brain using echo-planar MRI. *Magn. Reson. Med.* **34**, 537–541 (1995).

22. W. Gao, *et al.*, Evidence on the emergence of the brain's default network from 2-

week-old to 2-year-old healthy pediatric subjects. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **106**, 6790–6795 (2009).

23. W. Gao, *et al.*, Evidence on the emergence of the brain's default network from 2-week-old to 2-year-old healthy pediatric subjects. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **106**, 6790–5 (2009).

24. W. Gao, S. Alcauter, J. K. Smith, J. Gilmore, W. Lin, Development of human brain cortical network architecture during infancy. *Brain Struct Funct* **220**, 1173–1186 (2015).

25. P. Fransson, *et al.*, Spontaneous brain activity in the newborn brain during natural sleep-an fMRI study in infants born at full term. *Pediatr. Res.* **66**, 301–305 (2009).

26. P. Fransson, *et al.*, Resting-state networks in the infant brain. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **104**, 15531–15536 (2007).

27. D. Asis-Cruz, M. Bouyssi-Kobar, I. Evangelou, G. Vezina, C. Limperopoulos, Functional properties of resting state networks in healthy full-term newborns. *Sci. Rep.* **5**, 1–15 (2015).

28. Smyser, C.D., A. Z. Snyder, J. J. Neil, Functional Connectivity MRI in Infants: Exploration of the Functional Organization of the Developing Brain. *Neuroimage* **1**, 1437–1452 (2011).

29. E. Damaraju, A. Caprihan, J. Lowe, Functional connectvity in the developing brain: A longitudinal study from 4 to 9 months of age. *Neuroimage* **84**, 1–28 (2014).

30. W. Gao, W. Lin, K. Grewen, J. H. Gilmore, Functional connectivity of the infant human brain: Plastic and modifiable. *Neuroscientist* **23**, 169–184 (2017).

31. C. Bulgarelli, *et al.*, The developmental trajectory of fronto-temporoparietal connectivity as a proxy of the default mode network: a longitudinal fNIRS investigation. *Hum. Brain Mapp.* (2020) https:/doi.org/10.1002/hbm.24974.

32. R. F. Betzel, *et al.*, Changes in structural and functional connectivity among resting-state networks across the human lifespan. *Neuroimage* **102**, 345–357 (2014).

33. C. J. Honey, *et al.*, Predicting human resting-state functional connectivity from structural connectivity. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **106**, 2035–40 (2009).

34. J. Dubois, *et al.*, The early development of brain white matter: A review of imaging studies in fetuses, newborns and infants. *Neuroscience* **276**, 48–71 (2014).

35. L. He, N. A. Parikh, Aberrant Executive and Frontoparietal Functional Connectivity in Very Preterm Infants With Diffuse White Matter Abnormalities. *Pediatr. Neurol.* **53**, 330–337 (2015).

36. C. D. Smyser, *et al.*, Longitudinal analysis of neural network development in preterm infants. *Cereb. Cortex* **20**, 2852–2862 (2010).

37. S. Khan, *et al.*, Local and long-range functional connectivity is reduced in concert in autism spectrum disorders. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **110**, 3107–3112 (2013).

38. D. R. Dajani, L. Q. Uddin, Local brain connectivity across development in autism spectrum disorder: A cross-sectional investigation. *Autism Res.* **9**, 43–54 (2016).

39. F. X. Shen, *et al.*, Emerging ethical issues raised by highly portable MRI research in remote and resource-limited international settings. *Neuroimage* **238**, 118210 (2021).

40. S. K. G. Jensen, *et al.*, Neural correlates of early adversity among Bangladeshi infants. *Sci. Rep.* **9**, 1–10 (2019).

41. S. Lloyd-Fox, *et al.*, Cortical specialisation to social stimuli from the first days to the second year of life: A rural Gambian cohort. *Dev. Cogn. Neurosci.* **25**, 92–104 (2017).

42. A. Blasi, S. Lloyd-Fox, L. Katus, C. E. Elwell, fNIRS for tracking brain development in the context of global health projects in *Photonics*, (MDPI, 2019), p. 89.

43. S. Lloyd-Fox, *et al.*, Habituation and novelty detection fNIRS brain responses in 5-and 8-month-old infants: The Gambia and UK. *Dev. Sci.* **22**, e12817 (2019).

44.   S. B. Roberts, *et al.*, A pilot randomized controlled trial of a new supplementary food
designed to enhance cognitive performance during prevention and treatment of
malnutrition in childhood. *Curr. Dev. Nutr.* **1**, e000885 (2017).
45.   K. K. Jasińska, S. Guei, Neuroimaging field methods using functional near infrared
spectroscopy (NIRS) neuroimaging to study global child development: Rural sub-
Saharan Africa. *J. Vis. Exp.* **2018**, 1–11 (2018).
46.   W. Xie, *et al.*, Growth faltering is associated with altered brain functional connectivity
and cognitive outcomes in urban Bangladeshi children exposed to early adversity.
BMC Med. **17**, 199 (2019).
47.   A. T. Eggebrecht, *et al.*, Mapping distributed brain function and networks with diffuse
optical tomography. *Nat. Photonics* **8**, 448–454 (2014).
48.   S. L. Ferradal, *et al.*, Functional imaging of the developing brain at the bedside using
diffuse optical tomography. *Cereb. cortex* **26**, 1558–1568 (2016).
49.   A. Mitra, *et al.*, Resting-state fMRI in sleeping infants more closely resembles adult
sleep than adult wakefulness. *PLoS One*, 1–19 (2017).
50.   A. Blasi, S. Lloyd-fox, L. Katus, C. E. Elwell, fNIRS for Tracking Brain Development
in the Context of Global Health Projects. *Photonics* **6**, 1–10 (2019).
51.   D. R. Dajani, L. Q. Uddin, Demystifying cognitive flexibility: Implications for clinical
and developmental neuroscience. *Trends Neurosci.* **38**, 571–578 (2015).
52.   S. L. Ferradal, *et al.*, Functional Imaging of the Developing Brain at the Bedside Using
Diffuse Optical Tomography. *Cereb. cortex*, 1–11 (2015).
53.   E. Luders, P. M. Thompson, A. W. Toga, The development of the corpus callosum in
the healthy human brain. *J. Neurosci.* **30**, 10985–10990 (2010).
54.   J. S. Anderson, *et al.*, Decreased interhemispheric functional connectivity in autism.
Cereb. cortex **21**, 1134–1146 (2011).
55.   M. D. Fox, *et al.*, The human brain is intrinsically organized into dynamic,
anticorrelated functional networks. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **102**, 9673–8 (2005).
56.   D. A. Fair, *et al.*, A method for using blocked and event-related fMRI data to study
"resting state" functional connectivity. *Neuroimage* **35**, 396–405 (2007).
57.   T. Talukdar, M. K. Zamroziewicz, C. E. Zwilling, A. K. Barbey, Nutrient biomarkers
shape individual differences in functional brain connectivity: Evidence from omega-3
PUFAs. *Hum. Brain Mapp.* **40**, 1887–1897 (2019).
58.   C. Algarin, *et al.*, Differences on brain connectivity in adulthood are present in
subjects with iron deficiency anemia in infancy. *Front. Aging Neurosci.* **9**, 54 (2017).
59.   M. K. Zamroziewicz, M. T. Talukdar, C. E. Zwilling, A. K. Barbey, Nutritional status,
brain network organization, and general intelligence. *Neuroimage* **161**, 241–250
(2017).
60.   P. G. Grieve, *et al.*, EEG functional connectivity in term age extremely low birth
weight infants. *Clin. Neurophysiol.* **119**, 2712–2720 (2008).
61.   N. Padilla, *et al.*, Intrinsic functional connectivity in preterm infants with fetal growth
restriction evaluated at 12 months corrected age. *Cereb. Cortex* **27**, 4750–4758 (2017).
62.   E. G. Duerden, *et al.*, Early protein intake predicts functional connectivity and
neurocognition in preterm born children. *Sci. Rep.* **11**, 1–8 (2021).
63.   R. Case, The role of the frontal lobes in the regulation of cognitive development. *Brain
Cogn.* **20**, 51–73 (1992).
64.   L. J. Hearne, J. B. Mattingley, L. Cocchi, Functional brain networks related to
individual differences in human intelligence at rest. *Sci. Rep.* **6**, 1–8 (2016).
65.   L. Q. Uddin, Cognitive and behavioural flexibility: neural mechanisms and clinical
considerations. *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.* **22**, 167–179 (2021).
66.   B. R. White, *et al.*, Resting-state functional connectivity in the human brain revealed

with diffuse optical tomography. *Neuroimage* **47**, 148–156 (2009).

67.   C. Bulgarelli, *et al.*, Standardising an infant fNIRS analysis pipeline to investigate
neurodevelopment in global health. *Biophotonics Congr. Biomed. Opt. 2020*
(Translational, Microsc. OCT, OTS, BRAIN) (2020).

68.   L. H. Collins-Jones, *et al.*, Longitudinal infant fNIRS channel-space analyses are
robust to variability parameters at the group-level: An image reconstruction
investigation. *Neuroimage* **237**, 118068 (2021).

69.   L. Katus, *et al.*, Longitudinal fNIRS and EEG metrics of habituation and novelty
detection are correlated in 1–18-month-old infants. *Neuroimage* **274**, 120153 (2023).

70.   S. Lloyd-Fox, *et al.*, Habituation and novelty detection fNIRS brain responses in 5-
and 8-month-old infants: The Gambia and UK. *Dev. Sci.*, e12817 (2019).

71.   S. Baek, *et al.*, Attrition rate in infant fNIRS research: A meta-analysis. *Infancy* **28**,
507–531 (2023).

72.   N. L. Everdell, *et al.*, A frequency multiplexed near-infrared topography system for
imaging functional activation in the brain. *Rev. Sci. Instrum.* **76** (2005).

73.   S. Lloyd-Fox, *et al.*, Coregistering functional near-infrared spectroscopy with
underlying cortical areas in infants. *Neurophotonics* **1**, 025006 (2014).

74.   I. Tachtsidis, F. Scholkmann, False positives and false negatives in functional NIRS: 7
issues, challenges and the way forward. *J. Biomed. Opt.*, 1–12 (2015).

75.   S. M. Hernandez, L. Pollonini, NIRSplot: A Tool for Quality Assessment of fNIRS
Scans. *Biophotonics Congr. Biomed. Opt. 2020 (Translational, Microsc. OCT, OTS,*
BRAIN) (2020).

76.   S. Montero Hernandez, J. Gervain, L. Pollonini, Data Quality Assessment for Infant
fNIRS Data. *Poster Present. fNIRS 2022 Bienn. Meet. Soc. fNIRS, Boston, USA*
(2022).

77.   T. J. Huppert, S. G. Diamond, M. A. Franceschini, D. A. Boas, HomER: a review of
time-series analysis methods for near-infrared spectroscopy of the brain. *Appl. Opt.* **48**,
280–298 (2009).

78.   N. M. Gregg, B. R. White, B. W. Zeff, A. J. Berger, J. P. Culver, Brain specificity of
diffuse optical imaging: improvements from superficial signal regression and
tomography. *Front. Neuroenergetics* **2**, 14 (2010).

79.   M. A. Yücel, *et al.*, Best practices for fNIRS publications. *Neurophotonics* **8**, 12101
(2021).

80.   K. Murphy, M. D. Fox, Towards a consensus regarding global signal regression for
resting state functional connectivity MRI. *Neuroimage* **154**, 169–173 (2017).

81.   A. Sherafati, *et al.*, Global Motion Detection and Censoring in High-Density Diffuse
Optical Tomography (2020).

82.   F. Scholkmann, M. Wolf, General equation for the differential pathlength factor of the
frontal human head depending on wavelength and age. *J. Biomed. Opt.* **18**, 105004
(2013).

83.   S. J. Howard, E. Melhuish, An early years toolbox for assessing early executive
function, language, self-regulation, and social development: Validity, reliability, and
preliminary norms. *J. Psychoeduc. Assess.* **35**, 255–275 (2017).

84.   B. Milosavljevic, *et al.*, Executive functioning skills and their environmental predictors
among pre-school aged children in South Africa and The Gambia. *Dev. Sci.* (2023).

85.   S. Lloyd-Fox, *et al.*, The Brain Imaging for Global Health (BRIGHT) Study: Cohort
Study Protocol. *Gates Open Res.* **7** (2023).

86.   A. L. Oberg, D. W. Mahoney, Linear mixed effects models. *Top. Biostat.*, 213–234
(2007).

87.   A. Cnaan, N. M. Laird, P. Slasor, Using the general linear mixed model to analyse

721   unbalanced repeated measures and longitudinal data. *Stat. Med.* **16**, 2349–2380 (1997).

722 88.   C. Krueger, L. Tian, A comparison of the general linear mixed model and repeated
723   measures ANOVA using a dataset with multiple missing data points. *Biol. Res. Nurs.*
724   **6**, 151–157 (2004).

725 89.   L. Meteyard, R. A. I. Davies, Best practice guidance for linear mixed-effects models in
726   psychological science. *J. Mem. Lang.* **112**, 104092 (2020).

727 90.   N. H. Pusponegoro, K. A. Notodiputro, B. Sartono, Linear mixed model for analyzing
728   longitudinal data: A simulation study of children growth differences. *Procedia*
729   *Comput. Sci.* **116**, 284–291 (2017).

730 91.   L. M. Wierenga, *et al.*, A multisample study of longitudinal changes in brain network
731   architecture in 4–13-year-old children. *Hum. Brain Mapp.* **39**, 157–170 (2018).

732 92.   J. P. Selig, T. D. Little, Autoregressive and Cross-Lagged Panel Analysis for
733   Longitudinal Data. *Handb. Dev. Res. Methods.* (2012).

734 93.   WHO Multicentre Growth Reference Study Group, *WHO Child Growth Standards:*
735   *Length/height-for-age, weight-for-age, weight-for- length, weight-for-height, and body*
736   *mass index-for-age: Methods and development.*, World Health Organization, Ed.
737   (2006).

738 94.   Y. Benjamini, Y. Hochberg, Controlling the false discovery rate: a practical and
739   powerful approach to multiple testing. *J. R. Stat. Soc. B* **57**, 289–300 (1995).

740 95.   S. Lloyd-Fox, *et al.*, Cortical specialisation to social stimuli from the first days to the
741   second year of life: A rural Gambian cohort. *Dev. Cogn. Neurosci.* **25**, 92–104 (2017).

Acknowledgments
We thank the parents and infants who took part in this study without whom this work would not have been possible. We also thank the broader team of staff at the MRC Keneba Field Station for supporting us in the collection of this data.

Funding: This study was supported by:
- a Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation Grant OPP1127625,
- core funding MC-A760-5QX00 to the International Nutrition Group by the Medical Research Council UK,
- the UK Department for International Development (DfID) under the MRC/DfID Concordant agreement. CB is supported by a Leverhulme Trust Early Career Fellowship (ECF-2021-174). BM is supported by an ESRC Secondary Data Analysis Initiative Grant (ES/V016601/1). SEM and SMC are supported by a Wellcome Trust Senior Research Fellowship award (to SEM) - 220225/Z/20/Z.
AE is supported by funding from the National Institute for Mental Health (R01MH122751).

Author contribution:
Conceptualization: CB, SEM, SLF, CEE, ATE
Methodology: CB, AB, SMC, BM, SEM, SLF, CEE, ATE
Investigation: SMC, GG, EM, ET, TF, LA
Visualization: CB, ATE
Supervision: SEM, SLF, CEE, ATE
Writing-original draft: CB, ATE
Writing-review & editing: SB, SMC, BM, SEM, SLF, CEE, ATE

Competing interests: Chiara Bulgarelli is a research consultant for Gowerlabs Ltd., the company that produces the NTS optical topography system used in this work.

Data and materials availability: Data supporting this paper will be made available subject to established data sharing agreements.

Figures and Tables

 Figure 1. Experimental design. (**A**) Measures taken in the BRIGHT project used in this work.
The measuring tape represents anthropometric measures, the brain represents fNIRS FC and
the test represents the cognitive flexibility assessment. (**B**) Schematic representation of the
spatial layout of the fNIRS array. Sources are marked with red stars, detectors are marked with
blue circles, channels are marked with grey lines and numbered with black circles. The
channels/optodes used as a reference for the tragus are highlighted in green. (**C**) Participants
undergoing fNIRS testing at different ages. Image reproduced with permission from (95).

790 **Table 1. FC that significantly changed with age**. Results are displayed in terms of
791   estimated betas, standard errors and p values that survived Bonferroni correction.

FC	F	p	Baseline (5 months) Betas (SE), p	5-8 change Betas (SE), p	5-12 change Betas (SE), p	5-18 change Betas (SE), p	5-24 change Betas (SE), p
HbO₂							
Frontal interhemispheric	11.03	<0.001	0.28 (0.03), <0.001	-0.09 (0.06), 0.12	-0.20 (0.05), <0.001	-0.25 (0.05), <0.001	-0.33 (0.05), <0.001
Left fronto-middle	5.8	<0.001	-0.28 (0.04), <0.001	0.06 (0.06), 0.293	0.09 (0.05), 0.102	0.19 (0.05), <0.001	0.23 (0.05), <0.001
Right fronto-middle	4.86	<0.001	-0.70 (0.29), 0.018	0.01 (0.05), 0.982	0.05 (0.05), 0.288	0.13 (0.05), 0.007	0.19 (0.05), <0.001
Right fronto-posterior	5.52	<0.001	-0.20 (0.03), <0.001	0.16 (0.06), 0.005	0.14 (0.05), 0.006	0.20 (0.05), <0.001	0.22 (0.05), <0.001
HHb							
Frontal interhemispheric	6.59	<0.001	0.32 (0.03), <0.001	-0.09 (0.06), 0.107	-0.22 (0.05), <0.001	-0.23 (0.05), <0.001	-0.22 (0.05), <0.001
Cross left fronto-right middle	5.17	<0.001	-0.32 (0.04), <0.001	0.06 (0.06), 0.328	0.07 (0.05), 0.188	0.19 (0.05), <0.001	0.22 (0.05), <0.001
Right fronto-middle	5.55	<0.001	-0.78 (0.32), 0.036	0.01 (0.06), 0.86	0.05 (0.05), 0.301	0.16 (0.05), 0.002	0.21 (0.05), <0.001
Right fronto-posterior	5.38	<0.001	-0.24 (0.04), <0.001	0.10 (0.06) 0.073	0.08 (0.05) 0.133	0.23 (0.05), <0.001	0.02 (0.05), <0.001

Figure 2. Linear mixed models results showing FC that displayed a statistically significant change with age. (**A**) Significant results of the linear mixed model, blue indicates connections that decreased with age, red indicates connections that increase with age. (**B**) Mean and standard error of the mean (SE) of the functional connections that changed with age. Error bars are 1 SE. (**C**) Violin plot showing the mean ± SD (red circles and lines) and the individual variability (coloured dots) of the FC that showed a change with time.

Table 2. Results of the regression analyses of the effect of ΔWLZ on FC at 24 months. Significant positive associations are in green, significant negative associations are in orange, and non-significant (NS) associations are in blue; * indicates regressions that are still significant after correcting for HCAZ at 7/14 days and ** indicates regressions that are still significant after correcting for neonatal HCAZ and WLZ. Regressions that survived FDR correction for multiple comparisons are in bold.

Frontal interhemispheric FC at 24 mo

ΔWLZ	birth	1 mo	5 mo	8 mo	12 mo	18 mo	24 mo
birth		NS	$F_{(1,68)}=8.69$, p=0.004*, $R^2=0.102$	$F_{(1,67)}=7.65$, p=0.007*, $R^2=0.104$	$F_{(1,69)}=10.4$, p=0.002**, $R^2=0.121$	$F_{(1,68)}=10.98$, p=0.001**, $R^2=0.142$	$F_{(1,59)}=5.40$, p=0.024*, $R^2=0.085$
1 mo			$F_{(1,77)}=5.59$, p=0.021**, $R^2=0.069$	NS	$F_{(1,78)}=4.74$, p=0.032**, $R^2=0.058$	$F_{(1,75)}=4.45$, p=0.038**, $R^2=0.057$	NS
5 mo				NS	NS	NS	NS
8 mo					NS	NS	NS
12 mo						NS	NS
18 mo							NS
24 mo							

Left fronto-middle FC at 24 mo

ΔWLZ	birth	1 mo	5 mo	8 mo	12 mo	18 mo	24 mo
birth		NS	NS	$F_{(1,67)}=4.07$, p=0.048**, $R^2=0.058$	NS	NS	$F_{(1,59)}=4.24$, p=0.044**, $R^2=0.068$
1 mo			NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
5 mo				NS	NS	NS	NS
8 mo					NS	NS	NS
12 mo						NS	NS
18 mo							NS
24 mo							

Right fronto-middle FC at 24 mo

ΔWLZ	birth	1 mo	5 mo	8 mo	12 mo	18 mo	24 mo
birth		NS	NS	$F_{(1,67)}=4.74$, p=0.033, $R^2=0.067$	NS	$F_{(1,68)}=5.29$, p=0.024**, $R^2=0.073$	NS
1 mo			$F_{(1,77)}=4.26$, p=0.042**, $R^2=0.053$	$F_{(1,75)}=6.66$, p=0.012**, $R^2=0.083$	NS	$F_{(1,75)}=5.33$, p=0.024**, $R^2=0.067$	NS
5 mo				NS	NS	NS	NS
8 mo					NS	NS	NS
12 mo						NS	NS
18 mo							NS
24 mo							

Right frontal-posterior FC at 24 mo

ΔWLZ	birth	1 mo	5 mo	8 mo	12 mo	18 mo	24 mo
birth		$F_{(1,66)}=8.26$, p=0.006**, $R^2=0.113$	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
1 mo			$F_{(1,69)}=4.86$, p=0.031*, $R^2=0.067$	$F_{(1,68)}=6.98$, p=0.01**, $R^2=0.094$	$F_{(1,71)}=8.86$, p=0.004**, $R^2=0.112$	$F_{(1,67)}=7.72$, p=0.007**, $R^2=0.105$	$F_{(1,57)}=7.03$, p=0.01**, $R^2=0.112$
5 mo				NS	NS	NS	NS
8 mo					NS	NS	NS
12 mo						NS	NS
18 mo							NS
24 mo							

809
810
Figure 3. **Associations between FC, early growth and later cognitive flexibility**. (**A**)
Significant positive associations are in green, significant negative associations are in orange,
and non-significant associations are in blue. * indicates regressions that survived FDR
correction for multiple comparisons. (**B**) Schematic representations of the early FC connections
shown to predict cognitive flexibility in preschoolers. Significant positive associations are in
green, significant negative associations are in orange.
817

Table 3. Demographic information per each age.

Age	Age in days (mean±SD)	Sex (M, F)	WLZ z-scores (mean±SD)
5 months	158.92±9.91	45, 42	-0.24±0.95
8 months	249.34±17.97	28, 25	-0.24±1.01
12 months	372.92±14.75	42, 40	-0.58±1.05
18 months	560.37±24.38	48, 49	-0.85±0.91
24 months	746.61±23.25	49, 47	-0.44±0.99

1   Supplementary Materials for
2
3   **Growth in early infancy drives optimal brain functional connectivity which predicts**
4   **cognitive flexibility in later childhood**
5

6   Chiara Bulgarelli[1,2], Anna Blasi[2], Samantha McCann[3,4], Bosiljka Milosavljevic[5,6], Giulia
7   Ghillia[3], Ebrima Mbye[4], Ebou Touray[4], Tijan Fadera[4], Lena Acolatse[4,8], Sophie E. Moore[3,4],
8   Sarah Lloyd-Fox[5], Clare E. Elwell[2], Adam T. Eggebrecht[9] and the BRIGHT Study Team[10]
9
[1]Centre for Brain and Cognitive Development, Birkbeck, University of London, UK.
[2]Department of Medical Physics and Biomedical Engineering, University College London,
UK.
[3]Department of Women and Children's Health, King's College London, UK.
[4]Medical Research Council Unit The Gambia at the London School of Hygiene and Tropical
Medicine, The Gambia.
[5]Department of Psychology, University of Cambridge, UK.
[6]School of Biological and Experimental Psychology, Queen Mary University of London, UK.
[7]Department of Women and Children's Health, University of Liverpool, UK.
[8]Nutrition Innovation Centre for Food and Health, School of Biomedical Sciences, Ulster
University, Ireland.
9Mallinckrodt Institute of Radiology, Washington University School of Medicine in St.
Louis, USA.
[10]The BRIGHT team are (in alphabetic order): Muhammed Ceesay, Kassa Kora, Fabakary
Njai, Andrew Prentice, Mariama Saidykhan.

25
26   **This PDF file includes:**
27

30   **Supplementary Results**
31
Linear mixed model results showing the developmental trajectories of functional
connectivity in Gambian infants over the first 2 years of life age (fNIRS pre-processing
without global signal regression)
To rule out that the global signal regression (GSR) performed as part of our fNIRS
preprocessing might had an impact on our results, we re-processed the data without this step.
All the other steps were kept the same. Hereafter, we reperformed the linear mixed models
(LMM) on all the possible 21 interhemispheric, intrahemispheric, fronto-posterior, and
crossed connections.
Results on the Fisher-z transformed correlation coefficients (z-RHO scores) on the
oxygenated haemoglobin (HbO_2) showed that left (F=10.4, p<0.001) and right fronto-middle
(F=4.82, p<0.001) FC increased with age. Results on the Fisher-z transformed correlation
coefficients (z-RHO scores) on the deoxygenated haemoglobin (HHb) showed that showed
that frontal interhemispheric FC decreased with age (F=3.5, p<0.002) (**Table SI1** and **Figure**
SI2).

48
49
50 **Figure SI1. Schematic representation of the fNIRS array and the functional connections**
51 **tested**. (**A**) Each dot represents a channel, colours on the left plot corresponds to colours on the
52 right on the baby's head. 6 sections. (**B**) The 21 connections tested in the linear mixed models.
53 Interhemispheric connections are in orange, intrahemispheric connections are in green, fronto-
54 posterior are in blue, and crossing interhemispheric connections are in yellow.

Figure SI2. Linear mixed models result showing FC that displayed a statistically significant change with age. (**A**) Results of the linear mixed model, blue indicates connections that decreased with age, red indicates connections that increase with age. (**B**) Mean and SE of the functional connections that changed with age. Error bars are 1 SE.

61
Figure SI3. An example scatterplot of the association between ΔWLZ and FC at 24 months. Scatterplot of the association between ΔWLZ between birth and 5 months and frontal interhemispheric connectivity at 24 months. The black line represents the line of best fit.

65
66 **Figure SI4. fNIRS preprocessing steps**. The column "infants included in the analyses" in
67 Table 3 refers to those participants whose data survived these preprocessing steps.

68
69
Figure SI5. **The effect of different thresholds for GVTD for motion detection and**
minimum valid data after pre-processing on data inclusion. (A) Percentage of data included
(left) and seconds of data included (right) per participant. Each line represents an infant, the
black line represents the mean value. These graphs are reported from the 12 months sample as
example. (B) Number of infants included in the LMM by varying STD threshold for GVTD
and minimum length of valid data at different ages.

76
77 **Figure SI6**. **Strength of correlation between FC in the first and last portion of data**. This
78 graph is reported from the age 12 month sample as example.

79 **Supplementary Tables**
80
81 **Table SI1. FC that significantly changed with age (fNIRS pre-processing without global**
82 **signal regression).** Results are displayed in terms of estimated betas, standard errors and p
83 values that survived Bonferroni correction.
84

FC	F	p	Baseline (5 months) Betas (SE), p	5-8 change Betas (SE), p	5-12 change Betas (SE), p	5-18 change Betas (SE), p	5-24 change Betas (SE), p
HbO$_2$							
Left fronto-middle	10.4	<0.001	-0.55 (0.29), <0.063	0.05 (0.05), 0.239	0.07 (0.04), 0.125	0.16 (0.04), <0.001	0.26 (0.04), <0.001
Right fronto-middle	4.82	<0.001	-0.24 (0.28), 0.398	0.03 (0.05), 0.535	0.05 (0.04), 0.246	0.08 (0.04), 0.051	0.18 (0.04), <0.001
HHb							
Frontal interhemispheric	3.5	0.002	0.18 (0.33) 0.591	-0.07 (0.06), 0.260	-0.07 (0.05), 0.214	-0.17 (0.05), 0.002	-0.17 (0.05), 0.002

Table SI2. Characteristics of included and excluded participants and seconds of data included in the analyses at each age. WD=withdrawn, D=deceased, MV=missed visit, DD=developmental delay, NIRS not undertaken = the participant was assessed but did want or could not perform the NIRS assessments, FC not undertaken = the participant was assessed with other NIRS task, but not FC, Fussed out = the participant wore the headband and the FC acquisition had started but the participant showed signs of fussiness soon after the start of the acquisition, MP=missing pictures of the headband placement, EM=missing event markers, TI=technical issues during the NIRS testing session.

Age	N - %	Not tested				NIRS not undertaken	FC not undertaken	Infants with FC data	Fussed out	Experimental errors			Headband Placement	Too many channels excluded	Not enough data after pre-processing	Infants included in the analyses	Seconds of data included in the analyses (mean±SD)
		WD	D	MV	DD					MP	EM	TI					
5 months	N	2	1	2	3	10	7	**179**	16	11	3	3	7	5	47	**87**	382.68±92.78
	%	0.98	0.49	0.98	1.47	4.90	3.43	**87.74**	8.93	5.39	1.67	1.67	5.58	2.79	26.25	**48.6**	
8 months	N	7	1	5	3	18	14	**156**	7	6	0	1	23	6	60	**53**	372.93±80.66
	%	3.43	0.49	2.45	1.47	8.82	6.86	**76.47**	4.48	3.84	0	0.64	14.7	3.84	38.46	**33.97**	
12 months	N	9	1	4	3	17	13	**157**	4	1	0	2	10	2	56	**82**	372.93±80.66
	%	4.41	0.49	1.96	1.47	8.33	6.37	**76.96**	2.54	0.63	0	1.27	6.36	1.27	35.66	**52.22**	
18 months	N	8	1	15	3	12	5	**160**	4	0	0	1	16	4	38	**97**	388.85±81.63
	%	3.92	0.49	7.35	1.47	5.88	2.45	**78.43**	2.5	0	0	0.62	10	2.5	23.75	**60.62**	
24 months	N	13	1	29	1	3	4	**153**	0	2	0	0	6	4	45	**96**	399.56±79.86
	%	6.37	0.49	14.2	0.49	1.47	1.96	**75**	0	1.30	0	0	3.92	2.61	29.41	**62.74**	