Full-length transcript sequencing traces the brain isoform diversity in house mouse
natural populations

Wenyu Zhang[1,2,3,*], Anja Guenther[3,4], Yuanxiao Gao[5], Kristian Ullrich[3], Bruno Huettel[6] and Diethard
Tautz[3,*]

[1] School of Ecology and Environment, Northwestern Polytechnical University, Xi'an 710129, China

[2] Shaanxi Key Laboratory of Qinling Ecological Intelligent Monitoring and Protection, School of Ecology
and Environment, Northwestern Polytechnical University, Xi'an 710129, China

[3] Department of Evolutionary Genetics, Max Planck Institute for Evolutionary Biology, Ploen 24306,
Germany

[4] Research Group Behavioural Ecology of Individual Differences, Max Planck Institute for Evolutionary
Biology, Ploen, 24306, Germany

[5] School of Mathematics & Data Science, Shaanxi University of Science & Technology, Xi'an 710021,
China

[6] Max-Planck-Genome-Centre Cologne, MPI for Plant Breeding Research, Cologne 50829, Germany

*Corresponding authors:

Wenyu Zhang; Phone: +86 029-8843-1769; E-mail: wyzhang@nwpu.edu.cn

Diethard Tautz; Phone: +49 4522-763-390; E-mail: tautz@evolbio.mpg.de

Running title: Isoform diversity in house mouse populations

Manuscript type: Resource

Abstract

The ability to generate multiple RNA isoforms of transcripts from the same gene is a general phenomenon in eukaryotes. However, the complexity and diversity of alternative isoforms in natural populations remains largely unexplored. Using a newly developed full-length transcripts enrichment protocol, we sequenced full-length RNA transcripts of 48 individuals from outbred populations and subspecies of *Mus musculus*, as well as the closely-related sister species *Mus spretus* and *Mus spicilegus* as outgroups. This represents the largest full-length high-quality isoform catalog at the population level to date. In total, we reliably identify 117,728 distinct transcripts, of which only 51% were previously annotated. We show that the population-specific distribution pattern of isoforms is phylogenetically informative and reflects the segregating SNP diversity between the populations. We find that ancient house-keeping genes are the major source to the overall isoform diversity, and the recruiting of alternative first exon plays the dominant role in generating new isoforms. Given that our data allow to distinguish between population-specific isoforms and isoforms that are conserved across multiple populations, it is possible to refine the annotation of the reference mouse genome to a set of about 40,000 isoforms that should be most relevant for comparative functional analysis across species.

Key words

Isoform diversity, full-length transcript sequencing, Mus musculus, natural populations, LRS Special Issue

Introduction

The ability to generate multiple RNA isoforms (or transcripts) from the same gene increases vastly the complexity of transcriptome and proteome in eukaryotes (Keren et al. 2010; Nilsen and Graveley 2010). Alternative isoforms with different coding sequences can be generated through multiple types of alternative splicing events (Naftaly et al. 2021), including skipped exon (SE), retained intron (RI), mutually exclusive exons (MX), alternative 5' (A5'), and 3' (A3') splice sites. Isoform diversity can also be expanded via the inclusion of alternative transcription start sites (TSSs) or transcription termination sites (TTSs), resulting in differences in the untranslated regions (UTRs) (Landry et al. 2003; Tian and Manley 2017).

By analyzing high-throughput EST and short-read RNA-Seq data across species, a number of comparative studies on the complexity of isoform landscape have been performed in plants (Ling et al. 2019), insects

(Malko et al. 2006), vertebrates (Mudge et al. 2011; Barbosa-Morais et al. 2012), birds (Rogers et al.
2021), mice (Harr and Turner 2010), and primates (Lin et al. 2010). These initial comparative studies have
provided important insights into the enormous diversity of alternative isoforms, but only at the local level
of splicing junction events, due to the limitation of the short-read sequencing technology.

The recent development of long-read single-molecule sequencing technologies has enabled the capture of
the full-length isoform diversity (Byrne et al. 2019), further facilitating the comparative analysis of
alternative isoforms at the global transcript level in different species. Leung SK et al. (Leung et al. 2021)
used long-read isoform sequencing to generate full-length transcript sequences in the human and mouse
cortex. They showed not only a similar global pattern of isoform diversity between human and mouse, but
also striking differences on certain genes between these two species. Another recent study from
Ferrandez-Peral L (Ferrandez-Peral et al. 2022) generated an extensive full-length isoform catalog in
primates, and found that a high isoform diversity in immune genes correlates with signals of positive
selection in the coding regions of the genes. These between-species comparison studies have provided
insights into the complexity of alternative isoforms at a deep evolutionary time scale. But the diversity and
pattern of alternative isoform landscape within natural populations remain largely unexplored (Verta and
Jacobs 2022).

Owing to its well-defined evolutionary history (Guenet and Bonhomme 2003; Phifer-Rixey and Nachman
2015), the house mouse (*Mus musculus*) has been shown to be a particularly suitable model system for
studying the dynamics of polymorphisms and recently originated genetic elements in natural populations.
Currently, three major lineages of the house mouse, which diverged roughly half a million years ago, are
distinguished as subspecies (Harr et al. 2016): the Western European house mouse *Mus musculus*
domesticus, the Eastern European house mouse *Mus musculus musculus*, and the Southeast Asian house
mouse *Mus musculus castaneus*. With a divergence time of fewer than 2 million years, closely-related
outgroup species (*e.g.*, *Mus spretus*) are also available to this model system (Harr et al. 2016). We have
previously used the house mouse system to study the evolutionary pattern of single nucleotide variants
(Staubach et al. 2012), gene copy number variants (Pezer et al. 2015), and gene retrocopy variants (Zhang
et al. 2021; Zhang and Tautz 2022). This made the house mouse an ideal system to directly trace the origin
and pattern of alternative isoforms in natural populations.

In this study, we exploit PacBio Iso-Seq and Illumina RNA-Seq technologies to explore the diversity of
alternative isoforms in the house moue natural populations and closely-related outgroup species. Here we
use brain as the source tissue for the transcriptome analysis, given that fact that it harbors the largest
diversity of cell types with an overall transcript diversity comparable to testis (Bekpen et al. 2018), but

with many more of these transcripts being likely to be functional in the brain compared to the testis, where
there is a lot of expression due to a transcriptionally permissive chromatin environment, especially in late
spermatogenic cell types (Murat et al. 2023). We develop an optimized protocol to capture predominantly
full-length transcripts and validated them with existing data. Applying this newly developed enrichment
protocol, we identify widespread brain isoform diversity in house mouse natural populations, with a
substantial fraction as novel transcripts not previously registered in the mouse genome annotations. We
show the individual isoform landscape can be used to successfully build the phylogenetic tree, and most of
the isoforms can serve as effective markers to differentiate house mouse natural populations. We further
analyze the origin of the isoform diversity, and find that ancient house-keeping genes contribute most to
the overall isoform diversity, and new 5'-exons from alternative promotors are the relatively most
abundant source of new transcripts. The transcriptomic data allow to define the set of conserved isoforms
across mouse species, which are of particular relevance for functional studies that use the mouse as a
biomedical model system in comparison to humans.

Results

An optimized experimental protocol to enrich full-length transcript

A key step for full-length RNA sequencing is the enrichment of intact transcripts without degradation,
ideally with hallmarks of both a 5' cap and a poly(A) tail. It has been reported that the TeloPrime full-
length cDNA Amplification kit could selectively synthesizes cDNA molecules from mRNAs carrying a 5'
cap, in complement to the standard PacBio cDNA library preparation protocol that could only selectively
synthesizes cDNA molecules from transcripts with a poly(A) tail (Cartolano et al. 2016). In this study, we
developed a new PacBio Iso-Seq library preparation protocol via combing the TeloPrime full-length
cDNA Amplification kit and an alternative oligo-dT primer from the standard PacBio cDNA synthesis kit,
thus can synthesize cDNA molecules from transcripts with both a 5' cap and a poly(A) tail.

To test the performance of TeloPrime-based protocol in an actual sample, we selected one mouse
individual from the Taiwan population (Supplementary Dataset S1A), and implemented three distinct
cDNA library preparation protocols for the RNA samples extracted from the brain (Figure 1A): i) standard
PacBio cDNA library preparation protocol; ii) TeloPrime-based protocol with cDNA Amplification Kit v1;
iii) TeloPrime-based protocol with cDNA Amplification Kit v2. TeloPrime-based kit v2 includes updated
reaction conditions in comparison with kit v1, while the method of cDNA generation remains unchanged.

Each of the three cDNA libraries was sequenced on one SMRT cell of the same sequencing run, and the

sequencing reads were analyzed and compared using the same computational approach (see Methods).

Figure 1 Performance evaluation of three types of full-length transcript enrichment protocols. (A) shows a
simplified flow chart of this evaluation experiment setup. (B) indicates the length distribution of sub-reads generated
from three PacBio Iso-Seq libraries. (C) illustrates the major categories of Iso-Seq transcripts based on their
alignment coordinates to the reference genome, and (D) for the distribution of these categories. (E) shows the
terminal truncation length of Iso-Seq transcripts from both 5' and 3' ends, compared to the reference annotation in
Ensembl v103. Only Iso-Seq transcripts with exact matches or wholly contained in reference annotation were taken
for analysis. The error bars indicate the standard error of means (SEM) of the length values. Statistical p-values were
computed using two-sided Wilcoxon rank sum tests.

As shown in Figure 1B, we found that the sub-reads generated from TeloPrime-based cDNA libraries are

on average longer (TeloPrime v1: 1,467bp; TeloPrime v2: 1,459bp), compared with those from standard

Clontech cDNA library (mean value: 1,085bp). Compared with that from the Clontech cDNA library

(32.6%), a much higher fraction of isoforms from the TeloPrime v1 cDNA library (56.5%) and TeloPrime

v2 cDNA library (57.3%) showed a complete and exact match of the intron chain as the annotated
reference transcripts (Figure 1C and 1D). Moreover, 50% of the isoforms from the Clontech cDNA library
were contained in the annotated reference transcripts (a truncation of terminal exons, likely the
degradation of the transcripts). In comparison, this value is only 15.9% in the TeloPrime v1 cDNA library
and 14.7% in the TeloPrime v2 cDNA library, suggesting that TeloPrime-based cDNA enrichment
protocols are more likely to enrich full-length transcripts. An in-depth investigation of the truncation
lengths showed that the isoforms from the Clontech cDNA library are actually at least 2-fold more
severely truncated from 5'end than those from TeloPrime-based cDNA libraries (similarly less extent
from 3'end, Figure 1E), which confirmed the reliability of TeloPrime-based cDNA kits to selectively
enrich mRNA transcripts carrying a 5' cap.

Overall, these findings suggest that the newly developed TeloPrime-based protocol indeed provides a
better solution to enrich full-length transcripts. Given the optimized reaction conditions, we applied
TeloPrime-based protocol with cDNA Amplification Kit v2 to generate cDNA libraries for PacBio Iso-
Seq for the following analysis.

Alternative isoform landscape in the wild mice brain transcriptome

With the above optimized experimental protocol, we analyzed the alternative isoform landscape in the
whole brain transcriptomes for forty-eight unrelated outbred wild-type mice individuals raised under
tightly controlled laboratory conditions (Supplementary Dataset S1 and Dataset S2). They included forty
house mouse (*Mus musculus*) individuals derived from five natural populations in the three major
subspecies (*M. m. domesticus*, *M. m. musculus*, and *M. m. castaneus*), as well as eight individuals from
two closely related outgroup species (*Mus spicilegus* and *Mus spretus*) (Figure 2A). To define high-quality
transcriptomes, we performed both PacBio Iso-Seq and Illumina RNA-Seq for each brain sample. As a
result, an average of 60.1 (SD: 9.6) million raw sub-reads and 28.2 (SD: 3.3) million raw read pairs per
sample were produced for PacBio Iso-Seq and Illumina RNA-Seq, respectively (Supplementary Table S1).
This dataset represents the largest full-length isoform category at a comparative population level to date.

An overview of the methodology to generate the high-quality transcriptome is given in Supplementary
Figure S1. In brief, the raw PacBio Iso-Seq subread data were processed to produce circular consensus
sequences (CCS), and further refined to generate full-length non-chimeric (FLNC) reads using the
IsoSeq3 pipeline. To identify high-confidence isoforms, the FLNC reads were subject to *de novo*
clustering using the same IsoSeq3 pipeline to generate an average of 49,147 (SD: 4,554) non-redundant

isoforms per sample (Supplementary Dataset S1B), with the support from at least two FLNC reads. We
aligned these unique isoforms of each sample to the GRCm39/mm39 reference genome using minimap2
(Li 2018), and used the TAMA program (Kuo et al. 2020) with optimized parameters (Supplementary
Text of Methods) to collapse and merge the transcript models across all 48 samples into a single non-
redundant transcriptome. We further refined a computational pipeline to filter out low-quality transcripts
and those of potential artifacts (see Methods), resulting in a total of 117,728 high-confidence distinct
transcripts derived from 15,012 distinct loci (Supplementary Dataset S3).

To evaluate the reliability of these transcripts, we assessed their quality for three distinct criteria
separately (Supplementary Figure S4A): i) Transcription start site (TSS); ii) Transcription Termination
Site (TTS); iii) Splice Junctions (SJ). Reliable TSSs are defined as those supported by Ensembl
GRCm39/mm39 reference genome annotation (Howe et al. 2021), collection of experimentally validated
TSS peaks (Abugessaisa et al. 2019), and a significantly greater coverage ratio between inside isoform and
outside isoform than null hypothesis (Tardaguila et al. 2018) (Supplementary Figure S3). Similarly,
reliable TTSs are defined as those supported by the reference genome annotation (Howe et al. 2021), and
collection of experimentally validated poly(A) sites and motifs (Herrmann et al. 2020). The reliable SJs
are defined as those present in reference genome annotation (Howe et al. 2021), and those belonging to the
canonical splicing signals (GT-AG, GC-AG, and AT-AC) (Parada et al. 2014). A more detailed discussion
on evaluating the reliability of the detected transcripts can be found in Supplementary Text of Methods.
Based on the above-defined criteria, we found that 98.7% of the TSSs, 94.4% of the TTSs, and 99.1% of
the SJs from all the detected transcripts are supported by respective previous evidence (Supplementary
Dataset S3), and a comparable large extent (>96% for all three features) of transcripts could be validated
for all the seven tested populations (Supplementary Figure S6).

Figure 2 Geographic locations and phylogenetic relations of sampled mice individuals. (A) Geographic locations for the sampled mouse populations in this study. Territory areas for each house mouse subspecies: *M. m. domesticus* (blue), *M. m. musculus* (green), and *M. m. castaneus* (brown). Black arrows indicate possible migration

routes, mainly during the spread of agriculture and trading. The inset figure shows the canonical phylogenetic relationships among the house mouse populations and outgroup species (branch lengths not scaled). Geographic locations: 1, Germany (GE); 2, France (FR); 3, Iran (IR); 4, Kazakhstan (KA); 5, Taiwan (TA). The sampling locations for the outgroup species are I, Slovakia (SL) for *M. spicilegus* and R, Spain (SP) for *M. spretus*. (B) shows the fraction of shared isoforms for pair-wise individual comparison. The fraction of shared isoforms between each pair of individuals is defined as the number of overlapping isoforms divided by the average number of detected isoforms of the two individuals to compare. Black boxes represent the interquartile range (IQR, distance between the first and third quartiles), with white dots in the middle to denote the median. The boundaries of the whiskers (also the ranges of violins) are based on the 1.5 IQR values for both sides. (C) shows the projection of the top two PCs of isoform variation in house mouse and outgroup individuals. Enlarged insets represent the results for the three populations from the subspecies of *M. m. domesticus*, as they cannot be well distinguished in the main figure. (D) and (E) show phylogenetic trees built on the basis of isoform and SNP variants fixed within each population, respectively. Split nodes marked in yellow are the ones with bootstrap support value >70%.

Alternative isoforms as population differentiation markers

Given the high numbers of isoforms in wild mice brain transcriptomes across populations (Table 1), we asked whether the isoform diversity landscape can distinguish individuals, *i.e.*, whether they could contribute to individual differences. If this is the case, they could also be used to trace the divergence trajectories of the populations.

A pairwise individual comparison of the sharing of isoforms reveals a higher fraction of shared isoforms for individuals from the same species/subspecies/population (Figure 2B). The overall principal component analysis (PCA) shows clear clusters of individuals according to their origin. Still, considerable diversity within each cluster implies that isoform diversity indeed confers a form of individuality to each animal (Figure 2C). We further used the presence/absence matrix of fixed isoforms within each population to build an overall phylogeny (Figure 2D), which has the same general topology as a phylogeny based on the SNP variants called from Illumina RNA-Seq datasets from the same set of mice individuals (Figure 2E).

Given these observations, we performed an isoform frequency-based approach to detect the isoforms as population-differentiating markers (see Methods). Each isoform was assigned a population differentiation index (PDI) to indicate its power to differentiate populations. After applying a false discovery rate (FDR) threshold of 0.05, we detected in total 113,008 isoforms (96% of all isoforms) that could differentiate populations from house mouse and outgroup species (with significantly larger PDI values compared with null distribution from 1,000 times random shuffling; see Supplementary Dataset S3). We conclude that isoforms can serve as effective markers to differentiate house mouse natural populations.

Table 1 Statistics on the detected transcripts in the house mouse populations and outgroups. The digit succeeding the character N indicates the number of sequenced mouse individuals in each population. The graphic depiction of isoform categories is shown in Figure 3A. Isoforms categorized as FSM and ISM are defined to be known transcripts, gene loci with any isoform not categorized as either Antisense or Intergenic to be annotated genes.

	M.m.dom			M.m.mus	M.m.cas	M.spicilegues	M.spretus
	GE (N: 8)	FR (N: 8)	IR (N: 8)	KA (N: 8)	TA (N: 8)	SL (N: 4)	SP (N: 4)
Unique genes	8,538 (SD:603)	8,282 (SD:640)	8,123 (SD:452)	8,304 (SD:200)	8,066 (SD:225)	7,840 (SD:254)	8,043 (SD:185)
Annotated	8,466 (SD:609)	8,215 (SD:651)	8,061 (SD:452)	8,226 (SD:198)	8,000 (SD:218)	7,745 (SD:261)	7,973 (SD:181)
Novel	72 (SD:13)	67 (SD:16)	62 (SD:18)	78 (SD:10)	67 (SD:16)	95 (SD:17)	70 (SD:6)
Unique transcripts	23,027 (SD:2,001)	21,609 (SD:2,315)	21,763 (SD:2,006)	22,380 (SD:1,553)	21,279 (SD:1,532)	20,644 (SD:858)	21,640 (SD:640)
Protein-coding	21,394 (SD:2,062)	20,024 (SD:2,431)	20,155 (SD:1,941)	20,798 (SD:1,486)	19,642 (SD:1,435)	18,786 (SD:929)	20,063 (SD:597)
Nonsense-mediated decay (NMD)	1,152 (SD:226)	1,071 (SD: 220)	1,098 (SD:209)	1,125 (SD:146)	1,142 (SD:216)	1,038 (SD:146)	1,131 (SD:46)
Non-protein-coding	1,634 (SD:239)	1,585 (SD:284)	1,608 (SD:324)	1,582 (SD:235)	1,637 (SD:261)	1,858 (SD:73)	1,577 (SD:63)
Known transcripts	17,266 (SD:1,216)	16,306 (SD: 1,419)	16,343 (SD:1,257)	16,487 (SD:861)	15,765 (SD:821)	15,202 (SD:512)	15,608 (SD:416)
Full Splice Match (FSM)	15,007 (SD:1,217)	14,245 (SD: 1,441)	13,654 (SD:1,053)	14,466 (SD:810)	13,561 (SD:602)	12,812 (SD:523)	13,621 (SD:297)
Incomplete Splice Match (ISM)	2,259 (SD:297)	2,060 (SD: 654)	2,689 (SD:531)	2,021 (SD:593)	2,204 (SD:313)	2,389 (SD:325)	1,988 (SD:154)
Novel transcripts	5,762 (SD:796)	5,304 (SD: 913)	5,421 (SD:778)	5,893 (SD:708)	5,514 (SD:768)	5,442 (SD:415)	6,032 (SD:237)
Not In Category (NIC)	3,765 (SD:599)	3,490(SD:651)	3,478 (SD:514)	3,611 (SD:459)	3,469 (SD:528)	3,204 (SD:318)	3,582 (SD:165)
Novel Not in Category (NNC)	1,811 (SD:202)	1,647 (SD: 271)	1,768 (SD:256)	2,066 (SD:254)	1,839 (SD:234)	2,015 (SD:120)	2,248 (SD:59)
Fusion (F)	74 (SD:11)	67 (SD:15)	78 (SD:11)	90 (SD:18)	93(SD:17)	65 (SD:7)	84 (SD:8)
Genic (G)	22 (SD:5)	19 (SD:4)	18 (SD:5)	22 (SD:4)	25 (SD:4)	24 (SD:1)	24 (SD:3)
Antisense (A)	35 (SD:8)	30 (SD:10)	33 (SD:12)	32 (SD:6)	30 (SD:10)	49 (SD:12)	31 (SD:3)
Intergenic (I)	54 (SD:7)	51 (SD:13)	44 (SD:14)	72 (SD:10)	59 (SD:15)	84 (SD:6)	62 (SD:10)

A high number of novel transcripts absent in the reference annotation

To further characterize the features of the 117,728 high-confidence distinct transcripts, we compared them
with those annotated in the GRCm39/mm39 reference genome from Ensembl v103 (Howe et al. 2021),
built largely based on a single C57BL/6 lab mouse inbred strain, which has predominantly a genomic *M.*
m. domesticus population background. On the basis of their alignment status to the Ensembl mouse
transcriptome v103 (Howe et al. 2021), these transcripts were classified into eight distinct structural
categories using SQANTI3 (Tardaguila et al. 2018) (Figure 3A): i) full splice match (FSM); ii) incomplete
splice match (ISM); iii) novel in category (NIC); iv) novel not in category (NNC); v) Fusion (F); vi) genic
(G); vii) antisense (A); viii) intergenic(I). The statistics on the number of transcripts from each structural
category at the population level can be found in Table 1.

In total, we found only 51% of the 117,728 distinct transcripts matching perfectly to a whole (FSM, 34.9%)
or subsection (ISM, 16.1%) of a reference annotated transcript, designated as known transcripts following
the convention in (Leung et al. 2021; Naftaly et al. 2021). The remaining 49% (57,730) of the identified
transcripts were missing in the Ensembl transcriptome (Figure 3B), including the ones deriving from the
rearrangement and modification of annotated exons (novel combination of known splice sites or NIC:
23.8%; novel splice sites or NNC: 22.5%; and merging of adjacent transcripts or Fusion: 1.0%), from
intronic regions (Genic: 0.2%), and from entirely novel gene loci (Antisense: 0.5%; Intergenic: 0.9%). Of
note, the novel transcripts exhibit comparable high quality and reliability as the known transcripts, based
on the confirmation of the transcription start site, splice junction, and transcription termination site
(Supplementary Figure S4C-E).

One may argue that the transcripts specific to outgroup species could contribute substantially to the novel
transcript category, as the GRCm39/mm39 reference genome was built largely based on a single C57BL/6
lab inbred strain. However, this is not the case, as we still found around 43% of the identified transcripts
were missing in the reference annotation after excluding those transcripts detected only in the individuals
of outgroup species (Supplementary Figure S8B).

Given that the current reference annotation is based on an isogenic strain, it represents nominally only the
isoforms of a single individual, but not the isoforms typical for a population or across populations. At the
same time, it includes isoforms that are restricted to its population of origin. We have therefore compiled a
set of 39,537 isoforms that are conserved in the house mouse and the outgroup species (Supplementary
Dataset S3). A substantial fraction (30.6%) of these isoforms is not included in the reference annotation
(Supplementary Figure S8C). We propose that this conserved set of isoforms should be the best reference
when comparing between mice and other species, *e.g.*, humans.

Figure 3 Characterization of all detected isoforms. (A) Types and illustrations of identified isoforms. (B) Fraction distribution of isoform structural categories. The detailed results across populations can be found in Supplementary Figure S9. (C)-(H) show the distributions of isoform types with respect to distinct features. Transcripts with expression were defined as the ones with non-zero TPM values, and the expression levels were computed on the basis of the number of supported FLNC reads using SQANTI3 (Tardaguila et al. 2018). Boxes represent the interquartile range (IQR, distance between the first and third quartiles), with white dots (or black lines) in the middle to denote the median. The boundaries of the whiskers (also the ranges of violins for panels C-E) are based on the 1.5 IQR values for both sides; black dots in G and H represent outliers.

In comparison to known transcripts (FSM, ISM), novel transcripts deriving from annotated exonic regions (NIC, NNC, and F - which constitute the bulk of the new transcripts) show comparable exon numbers (Figure 3C), transcript length (Figure 3D), CDS length (Figure 3E). The novel transcripts from intronic (G) and unannotated gene loci (A, I) show generally lower values for all these features (Supplementary Table S2). Notably, all the novel transcripts with coding potential have a significantly higher probability to

become degraded via the nonsense-mediated decay (NMD) process (Chang et al. 2007) due to premature

translation-termination codons (PTCs - detected by SQANTI3) than known transcripts (Figure 3F, mean

0.18 vs. 0.02, Fisher's exact test, p-value < 2.2 x 10^{-16}). Most novel transcripts were found to be more

restrictively expressed in a smaller number of individuals, with the exception of NIC, which are

comparable to ISM in this respect (Figure 3G). The general expression levels of the novel transcripts,

except A and I, are at a similar level as the known ISM transcripts (Figure 3H). In terms of absolute

transcript abundance, the newly discovered isoforms contribute about 6% to the total number of expressed

transcripts in the overall dataset, in contrast to 49% of identified unique transcripts as novel ones (Figure

3B).

Housekeeping genes as the major contributor to isoform diversity

To analyze the characteristics of the gene loci that contribute significantly to the overall isoform diversity,

we classified all the detected gene loci into three roughly similar-sized classes on the basis of their

splicing isoform numbers (Figure 4A): i) lightly spliced (LS, with no more than two isoforms, n = 5,372),

ii) moderately spliced (MS, with isoform number between three and eight, n = 5,012), and iii) heavily

spliced (HS, with no less than nine isoforms, n = 4,628). Among all the 117,728 isoforms detected in the

wild mice brain transcriptome, 4.3% are derived from LS genes, 18.2% from MS genes, and the largest

fraction (77.4%) from HS genes.

Based on the gene expression analysis of matched Illumina RNA-Seq dataset, we found that genes with

more splicing isoforms have a significantly higher expression level in the brain (Figure 4B, MS vs. LS: p-

value = 8.7 x 10^{-294}, HS vs. MS: p-value = 3.2 x 10^{-82}, two-sided Wilcoxon rank sum tests)**.** Additionally,

through analyzing the transcriptomic sequencing dataset from thirteen tissues of the *M. m. domesticus*

derived C57BL/6 inbred line (Lin et al. 2014), we observed a clear trend that genes with more splicing

isoforms tend to be expressed significantly more broadly, *i.e.*, with expression in more tissues (Figure 4C,

MS vs. LS: p-value = 8.2 x 10^{-129}, HS vs. MS: p-value = 4.2 x 10^{-17}, two-sided Wilcoxon rank sum tests).

Furthermore, gene ontology (GO) enrichment analysis on the HS gene group showed that they are

involved in a variety of biological processes for the maintenance of cellular function (Supplementary

Dataset S4), a common feature of housekeeping genes (Eisenberg and Levanon 2003). These findings

suggest that housekeeping genes contribute most to the overall isoform diversity.

Previous studies have shown that evolutionarily old genes usually have a broader tissue expression and

higher expression levels (Zhang et al. 2015), thus it can be hypothesized that genes with more splicing

isoforms tend to be evolutionarily older. We set out to test this hypothesis via a comparative analysis of

the gene age distribution pattern among the gene groups with different numbers of splicing isoforms, for

which the mouse gene age assignment data was retrieved from (Neme and Tautz 2013). Our analysis

indeed showed that there is a significant enrichment in evolutionarily older gene groups for those genes

with more splicing isoforms (Figure 4D, MS vs. LS: p-value = 4.1 x 10^{-15}, HS vs. MS: p-value = 1.5 x 10^{-7},

two-sided Wilcoxon rank sum tests).

Figure 4 Characteristics of gene loci with different numbers of isoforms. (A) Gene categories were based on the

number of isoforms of each gene. Three gene categories were classified with roughly the same number of genes in

each group. (B) Comparison of the average gene expression level among the three gene categories. The average gene

expression level was calculated based on the Illumina RNA-Seq generated in this study. (C) Comparison of the

number of tissues with expression among the three gene categories. The transcriptomic sequencing data from 13

tissues of the *Mus musculus* C57BL/6 inbred line was retrieved from (Lin et al. 2014). The detectable gene

expression in each tissue was defined as FPKM > 0. (D) Comparison of the average phylostratum (PS) values among

the three gene categories. The PS assignment data for mouse protein-coding genes were retrieved from (Neme and

Tautz 2013). The smaller PS values indicate the older gene groups. Error bars show standard errors of the mean

(SEM) values of average gene expression levels, number of tissues with expression, and average PS values,

respectively. Statistical p-values were computed using two-sided Wilcoxon rank sum tests.

Local alternative splicing events contribute to isoform diversity

The full-length isoforms appear as a combination of different types of local alternative splicing (AS) events, and thus it is useful to disentangle the relative contribution of each type of AS event to the overall isoform diversity. For this purpose, we used the SUPPA2 program (Trincado et al. 2018) to identify different types of splicing events in the full set of high-confidence transcripts, including skipped exon (SE), retained intron (RI), alternative 5' splice site (A5), alternative 3' splice site (A3), mutually exclusive exon (MX), alternative first exon (AFE), and alternative last exon (ALE) (Figure 5A).

Among all the 94,581 splicing events detected in all the transcripts (Figure 5B), AFE events contribute most to the overall isoform diversity (31.7%), followed by SE events (19.8%), RI events (14.1%), A5 (11.6%), A3 (10.1%), ALE (9.4%), and with MX as the least contributor (3.3%). This finding is in line with the previous report on the AFE as the most prevalent splicing event for the overall transcriptome in the inbred laboratory mouse cerebral cortex (Leung et al. 2021), suggesting the dominant role of using AFE to generate alternative isoforms in mice brain transcriptomes. The "alternative first exon" transcripts originate evidently from new promotors, suggesting that these can easily develop upstream of existing genes. This is in line with the realization that enhancers as regulatory elements can also assume promotor functions (Andersson and Sandelin 2020).

AFEs would not necessarily impact the coding sequences (Landry et al. 2003). To address this issue, we performed an additional analysis by collapsing the transcripts with the same coding sequence (*i.e.*, only transcripts with predicted ORFs were considered) into a single unique ORF. We indeed observed a much lower number (less than two-thirds) of unique ORFs than transcripts in each mouse individual (Supplementary Figure S10). Based on the landing position of the start codon in relation to local AS events (Figure 5C), we further enumerated the number of local AS events causing the change of the respective coding sequences. We found that only 46.5% (43,978) of all the local splicing events had an impact on the ORF structures (Figure 5D). Compared to AFE, SE and RI events are more prevalent among all the local AS types to contribute to ORF diversity. The majority of AFE events (55%) would cause no change in the coding sequences, while acting as a major source to generate isoform diversity due to the emergence of alternative promoters (Huang et al. 2021). These data illustrate the distinct roles of local AS events in contributing to isoform and ORF diversity in wild mice brain transcriptomes.

Figure 5 Distribution of different types of local AS events. (A) Types and illustration of AS local events. (B) The distribution of all types of local AS events. (C) An example of AFE events that change ORF structures, and similar situations for other types of local AS events. The dashed red lines indicate the in-frame start codon positions. (D) The distribution of all types of local AS events that impact respective ORF structures. The value above each bar in (B) and (D) indicates the number of respective types of local AS events.

Discussion

We used PacBio Iso-Seq and Illumina RNA-Seq to characterize the full-length isoform diversity of the brain transcriptomes in house mouse natural populations, resulting in the first and most comprehensive full-length isoform category representation at a comparative population level to date. Via implementation of a separate 5'cap selection step (Cartolano et al. 2016; Kuo et al. 2017), our optimized approach improved the performance to enrich genuine full-length transcripts.

Our overall results confirm the conclusions from previous studies that the diversity of alternatively spliced transcripts surpasses the current annotation level, even of exceptionally well-curated genomes, such as the one from the mouse (Leung et al. 2021). Our study finds double as many transcripts as are currently annotated, suggesting the widespread diversity of alternative isoforms in natural populations. Intriguingly,

these isoforms could be efficient markers to infer population relationships, and to resolve the phylogenetic tree of house mouse natural populations and outgroups. This suggests that the isoform diversity reflects directly the genetic polymorphisms segregating in these populations. Note that the mice used for this study were reared under tightly controlled laboratory conditions to cut out possible environmental influences on isoform diversity.

Interestingly, evolutionarily old housekeeping genes with overall high expression in many tissues show the largest number of new splice variants on average, likely due to the general longer gene length and larger number of exons for the evolutionarily old housekeeping genes (Zhang et al. 2015). Indeed, we found that heavily spliced genes are significantly longer in length (indicated by the longest transcript length) and have significantly more exons (Supplementary Figure S11), potentially allowing more space for alternative splicing machinery to act on.

The focus on full-length transcripts revealed that new 5'-exons from alternative promotors are the relatively most abundant source of new transcripts, followed by the "skipped exon" and "retained intron" variants, which were previously thought to be the most prevalent ones (Grau-Bove et al. 2018). The "alternative first exon" transcripts originate from new promotors that can easily develop upstream of existing genes. This is in line with the realization that enhancers as regulatory elements can also assume promotor functions (Andersson and Sandelin 2020). Only about half of the new splice variants affect the annotated ORF of the respective genes, implying that the ORF diversity generated through alternative splicing is lower than the one at the transcript level. However, new short ORFs or alternative ORFs that may arise in the alternatively spliced transcripts are yet to study (Leong et al. 2022).

Our dataset allows to define isoforms that should be of particular interest for functional comparisons across larger evolutionary distances, including humans. The currently annotated mouse genome includes also the isoforms that are population-specific for the subspecies from which the C57Bl/6 was derived. Most of these will have specifically arisen in this lineage and are therefore of less interest for broader functional comparisons. With our comparative data, however, we can define the set of isoforms that are typically found across multiple mouse species, including new ones that were so far missing from the annotation. We have compiled this more informative and more inclusive set of isoforms (Supplementary Dataset S3), which will be of particular use for broader comparative studies between mice and other species.

Methods

Sample collection and RNA extraction

A total of 49 male mice individuals were sampled in this study (Supplementary Dataset S1), including one individual being used in the Iso-Seq library preparation protocol optimization experiment. Eight individuals were chosen for each of the following populations covering all three major subspecies of the house mouse (*Mus musculus*): Germany, France, and Iran populations from *Mus mus domesticus*, Kazakhstan population from *Mus mus musculus*, and Taiwan population from *Mus mus castaneus*. We also included four individuals from each of the two outgroup species, *Mus spretus* and *Mus spicilegus*. All mice were derived from previously wild-caught founder mice, and were maintained in an outbred scheme under standard rearing techniques, which ensure a homogeneous environment (Harr et al. 2016). Each mouse individual was obtained from one distinct, unrelated breeding family, thus the relatedness between individuals, especially from the same population, should be minimized (see Supplementary Dataset S2 for pair-wise relatedness estimates).

Mice were sacrificed at approximately ten weeks of age by CO_2 asphyxiation followed immediately by cervical dislocation. The whole brain was dissected and immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen within 5 minutes post-mortem. Total RNAs were extracted and purified using RNeasy lipid tissue kits (Qiagen, The Netherlands). RNA was quantified using Qubit Fluorometers (Invitrogen, Thermo Scientific, USA), and RNA quality was assessed with 2100 Bioanalyzer (RNA Nanochip, Agilent Technologies, USA). All samples were with RIN values above 8.5 and then used for both PacBio and Illumina transcriptome sequencing at the Max Planck-Genome-Centre Cologne.

Selection of cDNA library enrichment protocol for PacBio Iso-Seq

It has been reported that the TeloPrime full-length cDNA Amplification kit, which selectively synthesizes cDNA molecules from mRNAs carrying a 5' cap in comparison to the standard PacBio cDNA library preparation protocol, provides a better solution to enrich for actual full-length transcripts (Cartolano et al. 2016). In this study, we selected one male mouse from the Taiwan population (Supplementary Dataset S1A) to test the performance of TeloPrime-based protocols in the real world.

We applied three distinct cDNA library enrichment protocols for the RNA sample extracted from the same mice individual: i) standard PacBio Clontech SMARTer PCR cDNA Synthesis kit (Clontech Laboratories, Inc.); ii) TeloPrime Full-Length cDNA Amplification Kit v1 (Lexogen GmbH), with the combination of

an alternative oligo-dT primer from the SMARTer PCR cDNA Synthesis kit; iii) TeloPrime Full-Length

cDNA Amplification Kit v2 (Lexogen GmbH), with the combination of an alternative oligo-dT primer

from the SMARTer PCR cDNA Synthesis kit. The former protocol selectively synthesizes cDNA

molecules from transcripts with a poly(A) tail. In contrast, the latter two protocols synthesize cDNA

molecules from transcripts with both a 5' cap and a poly(A) tail. TeloPrime-based kit V2 includes updated

reaction conditions in comparison with kit V1, while the method of cDNA generation remains unchanged.

For each protocol, we used 1 ug homogeneous RNA as input to generate the cDNA library. The cDNAs

were not size-selected, and PacBio libraries were prepared with the SMRTbell Template Prep Kit 1.0

(Pacific Biosciences) and sequenced on the PacBio Sequel I with Sequel DNA polymerase and binding kit

3.0 and sequencing chemistry version 3.0 for 1200 min. Each cDNA library was sequenced on one SMRT

cell of the same sequencing run.

We analyzed the sub-reads for each SMRT cell separately following the IsoSeq3 pipeline (v3.4.0;

https://github.com/PacificBiosciences/IsoSeq), and aligned the resulting isoforms to the mouse genome

(GRCm39/mm39) using minimap2 (v2.24-r1122) (Li 2018), with the computational protocol indicated in

the below text. The alignment results from the above three cDNA libraries were compared to the

coordinates of transcripts annotated in Ensembl (v103) using gffcompare (v0.12.2) (Pertea and Pertea

2020).

PacBio Iso-Seq and Illumina RNA-Seq sequencing

The initial experimental tests showed that our newly developed TeloPrime-based cDNA library

preparation protocol could provide a better solution to enrich for actual full-length transcripts, compared

to the standard PacBio cDNA library preparation protocol (Figure 1). Hence, the TeloPrime Full-Length

cDNA Amplification Kit v2 (Lexogen GmbH) was utilized to construct PacBio IsoSeq cDNA libraries.

One µg total RNA from each individual was used as input, and double-strand cDNA was produced by

following the manufacturer's instructions, except that an alternative oligo-dT primer from the SMARTer

PCR cDNA Synthesis kit (Clontech Laboratories, Inc.), which also included a random 10mer sequence as

a unique molecule identifier (UMI) after each sequence. The cDNAs were not size-selected, and PacBio

libraries were prepared with the SMRTbell Template Prep Kit 1.0 (Pacific Biosciences) and sequenced on

the PacBio Sequel I with Sequel DNA polymerase and binding kit 3.0 and sequencing chemistry version

3.0 for 1200 min. Each library was sequenced on three SMRT cells to achieve sufficient coverage.

Poly(A) RNA from each sample was enriched from 1 μg total RNA by the NEBNext® Poly(A) mRNA
Magnetic Isolation Module (Catalog #: E7490, New England Biolabs Inc.). RNA-Seq libraries were
prepared using NEBNext Ultra™ II Directional RNA Library Prep Kit for Illumina (Catalog #: E7760,
New England Biolabs Inc.), according to manufacturer's instructions. A total of eleven PCR cycles were
applied to enrich library concentration. Sequencing-by-synthesis was done at the HiSeq3000 system in
paired-end mode 2 x 150bp. Raw sequencing outputs were converted to fastq files with bcl2fastq
(v2.17.1.14).

RNA-Seq read QC and data processing

We trimmed and filtered the low-quality raw fastq reads for each sample separately using the fastp
program (v0.20.0; --cut_front --average_qual 20 --length_required 50) (Chen et al. 2018), and only
included the paired-end reads with a minimum length of 50bp and average quality score of 20 for further
analysis.

The filtered fastq reads were aligned to mouse GRCm39/mm39 reference genome sequence with STAR
aligner v2.7.0e (Dobin et al. 2013), taking the mouse gene annotation in Ensembl v103 (Howe et al. 2021)
into account at the stage of building the genome index (--runMode genomeGenerate --sjdbOverhang 149).
The STAR mapping procedure was performed in two-pass mode, and some of the filtering parameters
were tweaked (personal communication with STAR developer; --runMode alignReads –twopassMode
Basic --outFilterMismatchNmax 30 --scoreDelOpen -1 --scoreDelBase -1 --scoreInsOpen -1 --
scoreInsBase -1 --seedSearchStartLmax 25 --winAnchorMultimapNmax 100), in order to compensate the
sequence divergences of individuals from various populations and species (Zhang et al. 2021). With this
optimized mapping pipeline, a similar alignment rate was reached for all the samples (Supplementary
Dataset S1C). The alignment bam files were taken for further analysis.

SNP variants calling and individual relatedness analysis

We followed the general GATK version 4 Best Practices to call genetic variants from Illumina RNA-seq
data. We first sorted the above alignment bam data using samtools v1.9 (Li et al. 2009), and marked
duplicates by using PICARD v2.8.0 (http://broadinstitute.github.io/picard). Reads with N in the cigar were
split into multiple supplementary alignments and hard clips mismatching overhangs using the
SplitNCigarReads function in GATK v4.1.9. By using BaseRecalibrator and ApplyBQSR functions in
GATK, we further recalibrated base quality scores with SNP variants that were called with the genomic

sequencing dataset of the mice individuals from the same populations (Zhang et al. 2021) to get analysis-
ready reads. Following, we called raw genetic variants for each individual using the HaplotypeCaller
function in GATK, and jointly genotyped genetic variants for all the individuals using the
GenotypeGVCFs function. We only retained genetic variants that passed the hard filter "QD < 2.0 || FS >
60.0 || MQ < 40.0 || MQRankSum < -12.5 || ReadPosRankSum < -8.0 || SOR > 3.0" for further analysis
(Zhang et al. 2021).

We used the relatedness2 option of VCFtools v0.1.14 (Danecek et al. 2011) to assess pairwise individual
relatedness for all possible pairs of mice individuals, based on only bi-allelic SNP variants with no more
than 20% missing data and locating within complete linear chromosomes, thinned to 1 SNP every 1 Mb
(Harr et al. 2016). We defined that relatedness category based on the expected ranges of kinship
coefficients ('Phi'), according to the main reference of KING method (Manichaikul et al. 2010). The
detailed relatedness information of all possible individual pairs can be found in Supplementary Dataset S2.

Iso-Seq read QC and data processing

We analyzed the raw sub-reads for each SMRT cell separately following the IsoSeq3 pipeline (v3.4.0).
Circular consensus sequences (CCS) were generated from sub-reads using the CCS module in polish
mode (v6.0.0; --minPasses 3 --minLength 50 --maxLength 1000000 --minPredictedAccuracy 0.99) of the
IsoSeq3 pipeline, and the CCS reads generated in three SMRT cells for the same sample were merged. We
trimmed   cDNA   primers   (5'   TGGATTGATATGTAATACGACTCACTATAG;   3'
GTACTCTGCGTTGATACCACTGCTT) and orientated the CCS reads using the lima program with the
specialized IsoSeq mode (v2.0.0; --isoseq). The 10mer UMI following each CCS read was tagged and
removed using the tag module of the IsoSeq3 pipeline (--design T-10U). Following this, we identified the
processed CCS reads as full-length and non-chimeric (FLNC), based on the presence of a ploy(A) tail and
absence of concatemer using the refine module of the IsoSeq3 pipeline (--require-polya). We further
performed PCR deduplication based on the UMI tag information using the dedup module of the IsoSeq3
pipeline (default parameters). After this deduplication step, only one consensus FLNC sequence per
founder molecule in the sample was kept. We then performed *de novo* clustering of the above reads using
the cluster module of the IsoSeq3 pipeline, and kept only the high-confidence isoforms supported by at
least 2 FLNC reads for further analysis.

We aligned these isoforms of each sample to the GRCm39/mm39 reference genome sequence using the
minimap2 (v2.24-r1122; -ax splice:hq -uf --secondary=no -C5 -O6,24 -B4) (Li 2018), with parameter

setting following the best practice of Cupcake pipeline (Gordon et al. 2015). The alignment bam files were

further sorted using the samtools program (v1.9) (Li et al. 2009). We collapsed redundant transcript

models for each sample based on the above sorted alignment coordinate information using the collapse

module of the TAMA program (-d merge_dup -x capped -m 5 -a 1000 -z 30 -sj sj_priority) (Kuo et al.

2020). The rationales for defining redundant transcript models are shown in Supplementary Text of

Methods. Finally, isoforms across all 48 samples were merged into a single non-redundant transcriptome

using the merge module of the TAMA program (-d merge_dup -m 5 -a 1000 -z 30) (Kuo et al. 2020), with

the same parameter setting as the above collapse step.

Iso-Seq transcriptome classification and filtering

We performed the quality control analysis for the above merged non-redundant PacBio Iso-Seq

transcriptome using SQANTI3 v4.2 (Tardaguila et al. 2018), with the input datasets of Ensembl v103

(Howe et al. 2021) GRCm39/mm39 reference genome and gene annotation, FLNC read counts, Isoform

expression levels and STAR output alignment bam files and splice junction files from RNA-Seq short

reads, mouse transcription start sites (TSS) collected in refTSS database v3.1 (Abugessaisa et al. 2019),

and curated set of poly(A) sites and poly(A) motifs in PolyASite portal v2.0 (Herrmann et al. 2020).

We filtered out isoforms of potential artifacts mainly by following (Tardaguila et al. 2018). Mono-exonic

transcripts were excluded, as they tend more likely to be experimental or technical artifacts (Tardaguila et

al. 2018). Isoforms with unreliable 3'end because of a possible intrapriming event (intrapriming rate

above 0.6) were also removed from the dataset. We kept the remaining isoforms that met both of the

following criteria: 1) no junction is not labeled as RT-Switching; 2) all junctions are either canonical

(GT/AG; GC/AG; AT/AC) or supported by at least 3 spanning reads based on STAR junction output file.

All isoforms that passed the above filters were taken for further analysis.

Given their matching status to the Ensembl mouse transcriptome v103 (Howe et al. 2021), the above

transcripts were classified into eight distinct categories using SQANTI3 (Tardaguila et al. 2018): i) Full

Splice Match (FSM, matching perfectly to a known transcript); ii) Incomplete Splice Match (ISM,

matching to a subsection of a known transcript); iii) Novel In Category (NIC, with known splice sites but

novel splice junctions); iv) Novel Not in Category (NNC, with at least one unannotated splice site); v)

Fusion (F, fusion of adjacent transcripts); vi) Genic (G, overlapping with intron); vii) Antisense (A, on the

antisense strand of an annotated gene); viii) Intergenic (I, within the intergenic region). The transcripts

matching perfectly to a whole (FSM) or subsection (ISM) of reference annotated transcripts are designated

known transcripts, and the others as novel transcripts.

We evaluated the reliability of isoforms from each category, on the basis of reference annotation and

empirical information from three distinct aspects separately (Supplementary Text of Methods): i)

Transcription start site (TSS); ii) Transcription Termination Site (TTS); iii) splice junction (SJ). In

comparison to the well-support FSM transcripts, the transcripts from other categories show reduced

confidence levels in terms of TSS (Supplementary Figure S4B), but no reduction for TTS and SJ

(Supplementary Figure S4D and 4E). This might hint at a failure to capture accurate TSS for those

transcripts (Tardaguila et al. 2018). For instance, it is still possible that some ISM transcripts came from

partial fragments, due to the imperfect targeting of 5'-cap or the degradation of transcripts in the later

steps. To address this concern, we further excluded the non-FSM transcripts without support for TSSs

(Supplementary Figure S4C).

Association between transcript pattern and population divergence

We explored the association between individual transcript presence patterns and population divergence,

based on three lines of analyses. Firstly, we calculated and compared the fraction of shared transcripts

between all possible pairwise combinations from different species, from different subspecies but the same

species (n = 448), from different populations but the same subspecies, and from the same populations.

Secondly, we performed PCA on the individual transcript landscape using the R package ggfortify v0.4.16

(https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/ggfortify/index.html). Additional PCAs were conducted for the

subspecies *M. m. domesticus*, given that more closely-related populations were assayed in this study.

Lastly, we built a phylogenetic tree for the seven assayed populations using the R package "ape" v5.7-1

(Paradis and Schliep 2019), based on the presence/absence matrix of fixed transcript in each population.

Euclidean distance was used as the distance measure between each pair of populations, and the neighbor-

joining tree estimation function was used to build the phylogenetic relations. The boot.phylo function

implemented in the same R package was used to perform 1,000 bootstrap replications, and population split

nodes of high confidence were taken as the ones with at least 70% bootstrap support values. For

comparison, we constructed another phylogenetic tree with the SNP variants that were called from the

Illumina RNA-Seq dataset generated in this study (shown in the above text), for which the same set of

mice individuals was used. To reduce computation complexity, we performed LD pruning on the SNP

data set by using PLINK v1.90b4.6 (Purcell et al. 2007), removing one of a pair of SNPs with LD $\geqslant 0.2$ in

sliding window of 500 SNPs and step wise of 100 SNPs. The same procedure was followed to build the

phylogenetic tree, as it was done for the analysis of transcripts.

Detection of population differentiation transcript markers

We calculated population differentiation index (PDI) values for each transcript using a similar approach to

analyze population differentiating retroCNVs (Zhang and Tautz 2022). A larger PDI value generally

indicates greater power to use the presence status of the respective transcript to distinguish populations.

The computation of the PDI value for each transcript follows:

$$\text{PDI} = \frac{P(1-P) - \sum_{i=1}^{N} R_i * P_i * (1-P_i)}{P(1-P)}$$ (1)

$$R_i = N_i \ / \sum_{i=1}^{N} N_i$$ (2)

In the first equation, N represents the number of assayed populations (*i.e.*, 7), P_i is the frequency of the

focal transcript in the *i*th population, and P is the overall frequency in all the assayed populations. The

definition of R_i is shown in the second equation, and is computed as the number of individuals of the *i*th

population (N_i) divided by the total number of individuals from all populations. We tested the significance

of the PDI value for each transcript with a randomization test in which individual labels were randomly

shuffled 1,000 times, while population sizes remained the same. We calculated the p-value by comparing

the observed PDI for each transcript against the PDI values from the randomized null distribution.

Transcripts with significant PDI values after multiple testing corrections (FDR < 0.05) were considered

population differentiation transcript markers.

Feature characterization of all the transcripts

We characterized the features of all the detected transcripts from seven different aspects: i) exon number;

ii) transcript length; iii) CDS length; iv) fraction of coding transcripts; v) fraction of NMD transcripts; vi)

the number of individuals with expression; vii) transcript expression level. All these feature results were

extracted from the output of SQANTI3 analysis.

In the SQANTI3 pipeline (Tardaguila et al. 2018), the potential coding capacity and ORFs from the

transcript sequences were predicted using GeneMarkS-T (GMST) algorithm (Tang et al. 2015). An NMD

transcript is designated if there's a predicted ORF, and the CDS ends at least 50bp before the last junction

for the respective transcript. The expression level of each transcript for each sample was computed, on the

basis of the number of supported FLNC reads, and normalized in the unit of TPM (transcript per million).

Transcripts with expression in respective tissues were defined as the ones with non-zero TPM values. In

addition, we quantified the expression of each transcript using another program named Kallisto v0.46.2

(Bray et al. 2016), for which the expression quantification was based on the alignment of Illumina RNA-

Seq data to the merged isoform dataset derived from PacBio Iso-Seq data, as shown in the above text.

Feature characterization of gene loci with different number of isoforms

We classified all the detected gene loci into three roughly similar-sized classes, based on their splicing

isoform numbers: i) lightly spliced (LS, with no more than two isoforms, n = 5,372), ii) moderately

spliced (MS, with isoform number between three and eight, n = 5,012), and iii) heavily spliced (HS, with

no less than nine isoforms, n = 4,628). We characterized the features of the gene loci with different

numbers of isoforms from three aspects, *i.e.*, gene expression pattern, gene age distribution pattern, and

gene ontology (GO) functional term enrichment.

Firstly, we exploited two Illumina RNA-seq datasets for the gene expression pattern analysis: i) the one

generated in this study for the whole brain of 48 mice individuals; ii) a published dataset from thirteen

tissues (adipose tissue, adrenal gland, brain, heart, kidney, liver, lung, pancreas, sigmoid colon, small

intestine, spleen, ovary, and testis) of the *M .m. domesticus* C57BL/6 inbred line (Lin et al. 2014). The raw

fastq data for both datasets were trimmed, filtered, and aligned to the mouse GRCm39/mm39 reference

genome, as shown in the above text. We counted the fragments uniquely mapped to the annotated genes in

Ensembl v103 using featureCounts v1.6.3 (Liao et al. 2014) in reversely stranded mode, excluding multi-

mapping reads and those with alignment quality below 5 (-s 2 –Q 5). Gene expression levels were

calculated and transformed into a log2 scale of FPKM (Fragments Per Kilobase of transcript per Million

mapped fragments), on the basis of gene's transcript length and the number of mapped fragments in the

data set from each sample. For the first dataset, the average gene expression level was computed as the

mean value in all the assayed 48 samples. For the second dataset, the number of tissues with expression

for each gene was defined as the count of tissues with non-zero FPKM values. The statistical significances

of the expression patterns between pair-wise groups of gene sets were computed using two-sided

Wilcoxon rank sum tests.

Secondly, we analyzed and compared the evolutionary gene age pattern among three gene groups, taking

advantage of the mouse gene age assignment data from Neme *et al.* (Neme and Tautz 2013). In brief, each

mouse protein-coding gene was dated and given phylostratum (PS) assignment by inferring the absence

and presence of orthologs along the phylogenetic tree. The statistical significances of PS values between

pair-wise groups of gene set were computed using two-sided Wilcoxon rank sum tests.

Lastly, we performed GO enrichment analysis on the genes from the HS group using GOSeq v1.50.0

(Young et al. 2010), without correction of gene length differences. Only significant BP (biological process)

terms after multiple testing corrections (FDR < 0.05) were listed in this study.

Analysis of local AS events

We used the SUPPA2 program (Trincado et al. 2018) to identify local alternative splicing (AS) events in

the transcriptome. These local AS events are categorized into seven groups, including skipped exon (SE),

retained intron (RI), alternative 5' splice site (A5), alternative 3' splice site (A3), mutually exclusive exon

(MX), alternative first exon (AFE), and alternative last exon (ALE).

For the transcripts with predicted ORFs, we analyzed how the local AS events change the ORF structures.

In case the start codon position of the ORF lands in the region of a local AS event (Figure 5C), the focal

AS event is defined to cause a change in the respective coding sequence. On the other hand, in case the

start codon position of the ORF falls downstream of the local AS event, it does not influence the ORF

structure.

Data access

The raw PacBio Iso-Seq data and Illumina RNA-Seq data generated in this study have been submitted to

the European Nucleotide Archive (ENA; https://www.ebi.ac.uk/ena) under study accession number

PRJEB54001 (test data), PRJEB54000 and PRJEB53988 (the main data). Alignment bam files, GTF track

data, and SNP VCF files, and supplementary datasets are stored at the ftp site:

https://wwwuser.gwdg.de/~evolbio/evolgen/wildmouse/mouse_population_isoform/. All the essential

computing codes, parameters, and related data sets are available at GitLab:

https://gitlab.gwdg.de/wenyu.zhang/mouse_population_isoform/.

Competing interest statement

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interests.

Ethics statement

All the mice were kept according to FELASA (Federation of European Laboratory Animal Science Association) guidelines, with the permit from the Veterinäramt Kreis Plön: 1401-144/PLÖ-004697. Since only organ retrieval, but no animal experiments were involved, an ethical permit was not required, but the respective animal welfare officer at the University of Kiel (Prof. Schultheiss) was informed about the sacrifice of the mice individuals for this study, as required by law.

Acknowledgements

We appreciate Christine Pfeifle, Heike Harre, Milan Jovicic, and Mustafa Al-Ameer for mice breeding and handling. We thank Carsten Fortmann-Grote and Chen Xie for computing assistance, and other lab members for helpful discussion. We thank Henrik Kaessmann for helpful suggestions on the manuscript. Computing was supported by the Wallace high-performance computing cluster of the Max Planck Institute for Evolutionary Biology. This work was supported by institutional funding through the Max Planck Society to D.T. and W.Z., and the starting research funds by Northwestern Polytechnical University (grant number: G2022KY05106), and National Natural Science Foundation of China grants (grant number: 32370665) to W.Z.

References

Abugessaisa I, Noguchi S, Hasegawa A, Kondo A, Kawaji H, Carninci P, Kasukawa T. 2019. refTSS: A Reference Data Set for Human and Mouse Transcription Start Sites. *J Mol Biol* **431**: 2407-2422.

Andersson R, Sandelin A. 2020. Determinants of enhancer and promoter activities of regulatory elements. *Nat Rev Genet* **21**: 71-87.

Barbosa-Morais NL, Irimia M, Pan Q, Xiong HY, Gueroussov S, Lee LJ, Slobodeniuc V, Kutter C, Watt S, Colak R et al. 2012. The evolutionary landscape of alternative splicing in vertebrate species. *Science* **338**: 1587-1593.

Bekpen C, Xie C, Tautz D. 2018. Dealing with the adaptive immune system during de novo evolution of genes from intergenic sequences. *BMC Evol Biol* **18**: 121.

Bray NL, Pimentel H, Melsted P, Pachter L. 2016. Near-optimal probabilistic RNA-seq quantification. *Nat Biotechnol* **34**: 525-527.

Byrne A, Cole C, Volden R, Vollmers C. 2019. Realizing the potential of full-length transcriptome sequencing. *Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci* **374**: 20190097.

Cartolano M, Huettel B, Hartwig B, Reinhardt R, Schneeberger K. 2016. cDNA Library Enrichment of Full Length Transcripts for SMRT Long Read Sequencing. *PLoS One* **11**: e0157779.

Chang YF, Imam JS, Wilkinson MF. 2007. The nonsense-mediated decay RNA surveillance pathway. *Annu Rev Biochem* **76**: 51-74.

Chen S, Zhou Y, Chen Y, Gu J. 2018. fastp: an ultra-fast all-in-one FASTQ preprocessor. *Bioinformatics*
34: i884-i890.
Danecek P, Auton A, Abecasis G, Albers CA, Banks E, DePristo MA, Handsaker RE, Lunter G, Marth
GT, Sherry ST et al. 2011. The variant call format and VCFtools. *Bioinformatics* **27**: 2156-2158.
Dobin A, Davis CA, Schlesinger F, Drenkow J, Zaleski C, Jha S, Batut P, Chaisson M, Gingeras TR. 2013.
STAR: ultrafast universal RNA-seq aligner. *Bioinformatics* **29**: 15-21.
Eisenberg E, Levanon EY. 2003. Human housekeeping genes are compact. *Trends Genet* **19**: 362-365.
Ferrandez-Peral L, Zhan X, Alvarez-Estape M, Chiva C, Esteller-Cucala P, Garcia-Perez R, Julia E,
Lizano E, Fornas O, Sabido E et al. 2022. Transcriptome innovations in primates revealed by
single-molecule long-read sequencing. *Genome Res* doi:10.1101/gr.276395.121.
Gordon SP, Tseng E, Salamov A, Zhang J, Meng X, Zhao Z, Kang D, Underwood J, Grigoriev IV,
Figueroa M et al. 2015. Widespread Polycistronic Transcripts in Fungi Revealed by Single-
Molecule mRNA Sequencing. *PLoS One* **10**: e0132628.
Grau-Bove X, Ruiz-Trillo I, Irimia M. 2018. Origin of exon skipping-rich transcriptomes in animals
driven by evolution of gene architecture. *Genome Biol* **19**: 135.
Guenet JL, Bonhomme F. 2003. Wild mice: an ever-increasing contribution to a popular mammalian
model. *Trends Genet* **19**: 24-31.
Harr B, Karakoc E, Neme R, Teschke M, Pfeifle C, Pezer Z, Babiker H, Linnenbrink M, Montero I,
Scavetta R et al. 2016. Genomic resources for wild populations of the house mouse, Mus
musculus and its close relative Mus spretus. *Sci Data* **3**: 160075.
Harr B, Turner LM. 2010. Genome-wide analysis of alternative splicing evolution among Mus subspecies.
Mol Ecol **19 Suppl 1**: 228-239.
Herrmann CJ, Schmidt R, Kanitz A, Artimo P, Gruber AJ, Zavolan M. 2020. PolyASite 2.0: a
consolidated atlas of polyadenylation sites from 3' end sequencing. *Nucleic Acids Res* **48**: D174-
D179.
Howe KL, Achuthan P, Allen J, Allen J, Alvarez-Jarreta J, Amode MR, Armean IM, Azov AG, Bennett R,
Bhai J et al. 2021. Ensembl 2021. *Nucleic Acids Res* **49**: D884-D891.
Huang KK, Huang J, Wu JKL, Lee M, Tay ST, Kumar V, Ramnarayanan K, Padmanabhan N, Xu C, Tan
ALK et al. 2021. Long-read transcriptome sequencing reveals abundant promoter diversity in
distinct molecular subtypes of gastric cancer. *Genome Biol* **22**: 44.
Keren H, Lev-Maor G, Ast G. 2010. Alternative splicing and evolution: diversification, exon definition
and function. *Nat Rev Genet* **11**: 345-355.
Kuo RI, Cheng Y, Zhang R, Brown JWS, Smith J, Archibald AL, Burt DW. 2020. Illuminating the dark
side of the human transcriptome with long read transcript sequencing. *BMC Genomics* **21**: 751.
Kuo RI, Tseng E, Eory L, Paton IR, Archibald AL, Burt DW. 2017. Normalized long read RNA
sequencing in chicken reveals transcriptome complexity similar to human. *BMC Genomics* **18**:
323.
Landry JR, Mager DL, Wilhelm BT. 2003. Complex controls: the role of alternative promoters in
mammalian genomes. *Trends Genet* **19**: 640-648.
Leong AZ, Lee PY, Mohtar MA, Syafruddin SE, Pung YF, Low TY. 2022. Short open reading frames
(sORFs) and microproteins: an update on their identification and validation measures. *J Biomed
Sci* **29**: 19.
Leung SK, Jeffries AR, Castanho I, Jordan BT, Moore K, Davies JP, Dempster EL, Bray NJ, O'Neill P,
Tseng E et al. 2021. Full-length transcript sequencing of human and mouse cerebral cortex
identifies widespread isoform diversity and alternative splicing. *Cell Rep* **37**: 110022.
Li H. 2018. Minimap2: pairwise alignment for nucleotide sequences. *Bioinformatics* **34**: 3094-3100.
Li H, Handsaker B, Wysoker A, Fennell T, Ruan J, Homer N, Marth G, Abecasis G, Durbin R, Genome
Project Data Processing S. 2009. The Sequence Alignment/Map format and SAMtools.
Bioinformatics **25**: 2078-2079.

Liao Y, Smyth GK, Shi W. 2014. featureCounts: an efficient general purpose program for assigning sequence reads to genomic features. *Bioinformatics* **30**: 923-930.

Lin L, Shen S, Jiang P, Sato S, Davidson BL, Xing Y. 2010. Evolution of alternative splicing in primate brain transcriptomes. *Hum Mol Genet* **19**: 2958-2973.

Lin S, Lin Y, Nery JR, Urich MA, Breschi A, Davis CA, Dobin A, Zaleski C, Beer MA, Chapman WC et al. 2014. Comparison of the transcriptional landscapes between human and mouse tissues. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **111**: 17224-17229.

Ling Z, Brockmoller T, Baldwin IT, Xu S. 2019. Evolution of Alternative Splicing in Eudicots. *Front Plant Sci* **10**: 707.

Malko DB, Makeev VJ, Mironov AA, Gelfand MS. 2006. Evolution of exon-intron structure and alternative splicing in fruit flies and malarial mosquito genomes. *Genome Res* **16**: 505-509.

Manichaikul A, Mychaleckyj JC, Rich SS, Daly K, Sale M, Chen WM. 2010. Robust relationship inference in genome-wide association studies. *Bioinformatics* **26**: 2867-2873.

Mudge JM, Frankish A, Fernandez-Banet J, Alioto T, Derrien T, Howald C, Reymond A, Guigo R, Hubbard T, Harrow J. 2011. The origins, evolution, and functional potential of alternative splicing in vertebrates. *Mol Biol Evol* **28**: 2949-2959.

Murat F, Mbengue N, Winge SB, Trefzer T, Leushkin E, Sepp M, Cardoso-Moreira M, Schmidt J, Schneider C, Mossinger K et al. 2023. The molecular evolution of spermatogenesis across mammals. *Nature* **613**: 308-316.

Naftaly AS, Pau S, White MA. 2021. Long-read RNA sequencing reveals widespread sex-specific alternative splicing in threespine stickleback fish. *Genome Res* **31**: 1486-1497.

Neme R, Tautz D. 2013. Phylogenetic patterns of emergence of new genes support a model of frequent de novo evolution. *BMC Genomics* **14**: 117.

Nilsen TW, Graveley BR. 2010. Expansion of the eukaryotic proteome by alternative splicing. *Nature* **463**: 457-463.

Parada GE, Munita R, Cerda CA, Gysling K. 2014. A comprehensive survey of non-canonical splice sites in the human transcriptome. *Nucleic Acids Res* **42**: 10564-10578.

Paradis E, Schliep K. 2019. ape 5.0: an environment for modern phylogenetics and evolutionary analyses in R. *Bioinformatics* **35**: 526-528.

Pertea G, Pertea M. 2020. GFF Utilities: GffRead and GffCompare. *F1000Res* **9**.

Pezer Z, Harr B, Teschke M, Babiker H, Tautz D. 2015. Divergence patterns of genic copy number variation in natural populations of the house mouse (Mus musculus domesticus) reveal three conserved genes with major population-specific expansions. *Genome Res* **25**: 1114-1124.

Phifer-Rixey M, Nachman MW. 2015. Insights into mammalian biology from the wild house mouse Mus musculus. *Elife* **4**.

Purcell S, Neale B, Todd-Brown K, Thomas L, Ferreira MA, Bender D, Maller J, Sklar P, de Bakker PI, Daly MJ et al. 2007. PLINK: a tool set for whole-genome association and population-based linkage analyses. *Am J Hum Genet* **81**: 559-575.

Rogers TF, Palmer DH, Wright AE. 2021. Sex-Specific Selection Drives the Evolution of Alternative Splicing in Birds. *Mol Biol Evol* **38**: 519-530.

Staubach F, Lorenc A, Messer PW, Tang K, Petrov DA, Tautz D. 2012. Genome patterns of selection and introgression of haplotypes in natural populations of the house mouse (Mus musculus). *PLoS Genet* **8**: e1002891.

Tang S, Lomsadze A, Borodovsky M. 2015. Identification of protein coding regions in RNA transcripts. *Nucleic Acids Res* **43**: e78.

Tardaguila M, de la Fuente L, Marti C, Pereira C, Pardo-Palacios FJ, Del Risco H, Ferrell M, Mellado M, Macchietto M, Verheggen K et al. 2018. SQANTI: extensive characterization of long-read transcript sequences for quality control in full-length transcriptome identification and quantification. *Genome Res* doi:10.1101/gr.222976.117.

Tian B, Manley JL. 2017. Alternative polyadenylation of mRNA precursors. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol* **18**:
18-30.
Trincado JL, Entizne JC, Hysenaj G, Singh B, Skalic M, Elliott DJ, Eyras E. 2018. SUPPA2: fast,
accurate, and uncertainty-aware differential splicing analysis across multiple conditions. *Genome*
Biol **19**: 40.
Verta JP, Jacobs A. 2022. The role of alternative splicing in adaptation and evolution. *Trends Ecol Evol* **37**:
299-308.
Young MD, Wakefield MJ, Smyth GK, Oshlack A. 2010. Gene ontology analysis for RNA-seq:
accounting for selection bias. *Genome Biol* **11**: R14.
Zhang W, Landback P, Gschwend AR, Shen B, Long M. 2015. New genes drive the evolution of gene
interaction networks in the human and mouse genomes. *Genome Biol* **16**: 202.
Zhang W, Tautz D. 2022. Tracing the Origin and Evolutionary Fate of Recent Gene Retrocopies in
Natural Populations of the House Mouse. *Mol Biol Evol* **39**.
Zhang W, Xie C, Ullrich K, Zhang YE, Tautz D. 2021. The mutational load in natural populations is
significantly affected by high primary rates of retroposition. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **118**.