1   Identification of essential genes that support fitness of *Acinetobacter baumannii* efflux pump

2   overproducers in the presence of fluoroquinolone

6   Efrat Hamami[1], Wenwen Huo[1], Katherine Neal[3], Isabelle Neisewander[1], Edward Geisinger[1,2], Ralph R.
7   Isberg[1,*]

15   [1]Department of Molecular Biology and Microbiology, Tufts University School of Medicine, 150 Harrison
16   Ave., Boston, MA 02111, USA.

18   [2]Department of Biology, Northeastern University, Boston, MA, 02115, USA

20   [3]Department of Biochemistry, Curry College, Milton, MA, USA.

29   [*]Corresponding Author

Abstract

Acinetobacter baumannii is a nosocomial pathogen often associated with multidrug resistance (MDR) infections. Fluoroquinolone resistance (FQR) due to drug target site mutations and elevated expression of RND drug transporters is common among clinical isolates. We describe here a CRISPRi platform that identifies hypomorphic mutations that preferentially altered drug sensitivity in RND pump overproducers. An sgRNA library against essential genes of *A. baumannii* was constructed with single and double nucleotide mutations that produced titratable knockdown efficiencies and introduced into multiple strain backgrounds. Other than *nusG* depletions, there were few candidates in the absence of drug treatment that showed lowered fitness specifically in strains overexpressing clinically relevant RND efflux pumps AdeAB, AdeIJK, or AdeFGH. In the presence of ciprofloxacin, the hypomorphs causing hypersensitivity were predicted to result in outer membrane dysfunction, to which the AdeFGH overproducer appeared particularly sensitive. Depletions of either the outer membrane assembly BAM complex, LOS biogenesis proteins, or Lpt proteins involved in LOS transport to the outer membrane caused drug hypersensitivity in at least two of the three pump overproducers. On the other hand, depletions of translation-associated proteins, as well as components of the proton-pumping ATP synthase pump resulted in fitness benefits for at least two pump-overproducing strains in the presence of the drug. Therefore, pump overproduction exacerbated stress caused by defective outer membrane integrity, while the efficacy of drug resistance in efflux overproducers was enhanced by slowed translation or defects in ATP synthesis linked to the control of proton movement across the bacterial membrane.

Introduction

Acinetobacter baumannii is a Gram-negative nosocomial pathogen that presents as ventilator-associated pneumonia, bacteremia, and urinary tract infections (1-3). The difficulty in combating diseases caused by this organism, often associated with multidrug-resistant (MDR) isolates, has stimulated a demand for new antimicrobials or improved therapeutic strategies that can overcome the high rates of MDR among clinical isolates, making this organism a high priority for developing new therapeutic strategies (1, 3). Among the various determinants of resistance to currently available drugs, hyperexpression of drug efflux pumps is the one mechanism that is commonly associated with MDR across multiple species (4-9). The resistance-nodulation-division (RND) pump class provides the most clinically relevant strategy for extruding antibiotics in A. baumannii, with the AdeABC, AdeIJK, and AdeFGH efflux pumps being the most frequently associated with MDR (6-9). Therefore, strategies that target isolates overexpressing these pumps or which hypersensitize these strains to antibiotics are of great interest.

For Gram-negative organisms, the primary route to fluoroquinolone resistance is acquisition of target site mutations in the active sites of the major type II topoisomerases in the cell, DNA gyrase and topoisomerase IV (10). In most A. baumanni clinical strains that have been sequenced, mutations in both gyrA and parC are found, encoding the A subunits of gyrase and topo IV respectively (10). Based on MIC testing and sequencing of isolates, clinical strains also commonly have a third mutation in one of the regulatory genes that activate an RND antibiotic efflux pump. Mutations in these genes, encoded by adeS, adeN, or adeL, upregulate the AdeABC, AdeIJK, or AdeFGH efflux pumps respectively, and result in high fluoroquinolone resistance (FQR) (7, 11-15).

Previous work identified mutations that caused strain-specfic vulnerabilities of pump

overproduction in nonessential *A. baumannii* genes. These mutations caused retarded growth of

strains overproducing efflux pumps, or synergized with the fluoroquinolone ciprofloxacin to

hypersensitize the overproducers to the drug (16). Based on this work, lesions in nonessential

genes that are predicted to alter outer membrane integrity sensitize efflux pump overproducing

strains to ciprofloxacin treatment. Furthermore, strains having activating mutations in *adeL*

(AdeFGH overproduction) appeared to induce a stress response in the absence of antibiotics that

mimics what is observed in the presence of ciprofloxacin and other antibiotics (17). This may be

due to a special feature of the pump or because of extreme overexpression of the *adeFGH* locus

relative to other pump-overexpressing strains (16).

Most effective antimicrobial targets are in proteins that are essential for growth.

Therefore, when identifying mutations preferentially sensitizing strains that overproduce efflux

pumps, it is desirable to identify mutations in essential genes. To approach this problem, we usde

a CRISPRi approach in this work to target essential genes with sgRNAs. The library design took

advantage of a previously developed strategy that allowed the generation of multiple

hypomorphic alleles with varying fitness defects in each essential gene, to allow the discovery of

depletions that show strain-specific aggravation of drug sensitivity (18-20). Depletions that

cause drug hypersensitivity were found to predominantly target proteins involved in maintaining

outer membrane integrity, with most of these targets responsible for LOS biogenesis and

transport. Interestingly, interference with translation and the assembly of the proton pumping

F1F0 ATPase provided the FQR strains with a fitness advantage in the presence of drug, pointing

to targets that collaborate with efflux pump overexpression to promote drug tolerance. A recent

study has also used CRISPRi to identify candidates that have altered sensitivities to rifamycin

and polymyxins in *A. baumannii* (21).

Results

Development of a CRISPRi strategy to uncover hypomorphs with reduced fitness in

FQR strains. In our previous study (16), we identified transposon insertion mutations that

reduce the fitness of efflux pump overproducers or that specifically hypersensitize these variants

to ciprofloxacin. Except for very rare exceptions (22), insertion mutations could only be

analyzed in nonessential genes. This interfered with our ability to identify proteins encoded by

the essential gene set that could similarly sensitize pump overproducers. To overcome this

shortcoming, we devised a CRISPRi screening strategy to identify depletion variants with

decreased fitness in the presence of drug or showed fitness defects in a subset of strains, but still

allowed viability of the bacterium as previously described (Fig. 1) (18, 23).   Using a pool design

similar to that used for eukaryotic cells (18, 23), and sequencing data of a previously generated

dense transposon bank in the ATCC 17978UN strain background (16, 22, 24), the insertion

landscape was inspected to identify essential genes, based on open reading frames (ORFs)

having significantly reduced or no insertions (Materials and Methods (25, 26)). After comparison

to similar insertion mutation studies in *A. baumannii*, a strain list of 406 essential genes was

generated (24, 27, 28).

A single 24-nucleotide sgRNA was designed for each essential gene by identifying the

PAM site on the noncoding strand most proximal to the translational start. For each perfect

sgRNA, we also devised a set of 23 mismatch sgRNAs that differed from the original guide

sequence by 1 or 2 nucleotides at varying locations across the sequence, as previously generated

for mammalian systems (18). We also created 5 shuffle sgRNAs comprising the same

nucleotides in a disordered fashion to use as negative controls, anticipating that they would have

a neutral effect on fitness. An additional collection of approximately 200 shuffle sgRNAs were

created with minimal anticipated homology to the genome as well, called pool shuffles. The final

library consisted of approximately 12,000 sgRNAs that had a size and complexity similar to a

previously constructed model pool (Fig. 1) (18). To facilitate cloning, the pWH1266 shuttle

vector was converted into a GoldenGate-compatible plasmid to allow efficient construction of

the sgRNA library via insertion of the duplex target oligonucleotides from the pool into the site

generated by a Type II restriction enzyme (see Materials and Methods). This facilitated easy

reconstruction of individual clones for further analysis. Control of CRISPRi-dCas9 targeted

depletions was provided by a tetracycline inducible dCas9 introduced into the genomes of the

WT and FQR pump overexpressing strains as described previously ((24); Materials and

Methods). These strains were then transformed with the CRISPRi sgRNA-pDNA bank, ensuring

at least 10^6 colonies were pooled.

Strategy for identifying sgRNAs that result in a gradient of fitness defects. To

demonstrate that the CRISPRi-dCas9 strategy allows us to perform stoichiometric depletion of

essential genes based on using mismatched sgRNAs, the pool harbored in WT ATCC 17978UN

was grown in LB broth in the presence of anhydrotetracycline (aTc) to induce dCas9 expression

for 7-8 generations based on A_{600} measurements (Materials and Methods). This growth strategy

was chosen so that we could determine fitness values for each individual guide using the same

quantitative fitness measurements used for Tn-seq (Materials and Methods; (10, 22). Of note,

there was no discernable growth deficit of the overall pool after 7-8 generations of growth in the

presence of the aTc inducer when compared to growth in its absence in the WT *A. baumannii*

strain. Therefore, cultures were harvested either prior to addition of aTc (timepoint T1) or

following bank outgrowth for 7-8 generations after induction of dCas9 (timepoint T2). Plasmid

DNA harboring the sgRNA coding sequences was then extracted at both timepoints, the sgRNA

region was amplified from the extracted plasmid DNA, barcoded, and prepared for sequencing to

calculate fitness of each derivative (Materials and Methods; Fig. 1). Reads were then processed

and assigned to their respective guide in the sgRNA library to determine the abundance of each

unique sgRNA in all conditions. The data were used calculate the fitness of each derivative, and

displayed as statistical significance as a function of fitness compared to absence of induction

(Materials and Methods).

Investigating the read distribution for the sgRNAs in the sgRNA-pDNA library, we

observed a normal distribution of reads assigned (Supp. Fig. 1A). After introduction into the WT

A. baumannii strain, this distribution was maintained (Supp. Fig. 1B), and a similar distribution

was maintained in the shuffle sgRNAs after induction (Supp. Fig. 1C), consistent with

nontargeting behavior as expected for negative/neutral controls. We conclude that the bank can

be transferred and maintained in *A. baumannii* to allow analysis of CRISPRi-dCas9 depletions

for the purpose of constructing hypomorphic alleles in essential genes in multiple strain

backgrounds.

Strains show low fitness when harboring sgRNAs with perfect sequence identities to

essential genes. Fitness was calculated after stoichiometric depletion of target genes across

replicate cultures and fitness scores resulting from sgRNA depletion were calculated as

previously described for 7-8 generations of growth of Tn-seq pools (Materials and Methods (22,

29)). Fitness values were subsequently normalized to 23 shuffle sgRNAs that had no statistically

significant effect on fitness relative to the entire pool. The fitness values for this subset of shuffle

sgRNAs remained neutral despite the induction of dCas9 (Fig. 2A, yellow circles). On the other

hand, most sgRNAs with perfect homology to their essential gene targets diminished fitness

upon dCas9 induction (Fig. 2B). Although only a single 24 base region of each essential gene

was chosen for targeted knockdowns, the majority of perfect match oligonucleotides

(approximately 62% per Fig. 2D) showed fitness defects where the fitness difference due to

induction was less or equal to -0.1 after 7-8 generations of growth.

The sgRNAs with mismatched bases proximal to the PAM site showed improved

fitness relative to guides with perfect sequence identity. It has been demonstrated that

nucleotide mismatches closest to the 3' PAM site that are critical for dCas9 targeting were found

to reduce knockdown efficiency (18, 19). We observed this trend for fitness calculations as well

(Fig. 2B as compared to Fig. 2C), as 33% of the -1 or -2 mismatches had fitness defects ≤-0.1

relative to the mean (defects between -0.1 and -0.5), while for the perfect guides, 70% were in

this range while also passing the false discovery rate (FDR: q value ≤0.05 (Fig. 2D)). This

pattern can be seen more clearly by focusing on individual genes. In the case of *gyrA*, encoding

subunit A of DNA gyrase, the perfect guide sgRNA had a fitness of 0.44 if normalized to the

fitness score in the absence of induction (Fig. 2E, F respectively). On the other hand, mismatches

at -1 or -2 had mean fitnesses of 0.73-0.95, normalized to the fitness score in uninduced culture

(Fig. 2E, F respectively). We also found that guides with double mismatches close to the PAM

site resulted in particularly mild effects on fitness, with many of these being indistinguishable

from the shuffle guides (Fig. 2F).

Flexibility in choice of sgRNA sequence location with respect to translation start

codon. 25% of the perfect guides that generated fitness score differences >-0.1 (between 90-

189 100% the fitness of the mean; Fig. 2D) may have had mild impacts as a result of a variety of

190 factors, one of which may be attributed to the relative distance of the target sequence to its

191 translation start codon, but such a relationship was difficult to establish (Fig. 3A-D). For

192 instance, about 4.2% of the exact match guide sequences that were located 25-30 nucleotides

193 away from the start codon yielded fitness defects ranging between -0.5 to -0.4, while those with

194 fitness defects of 0.0 to -0.1showed a similar frequency (green bars; Fig. 3D). On the other hand,

195 about 13% and 16% of guides located 1-6 nucleotides away from the start codon yielded fitness

196 defects between -0.5 and -0.4 or -0.1 to 0 respectively (dark grey bars; Fig. 3D). This is

197 consistent with a slight skewing toward higher efficiency for targets closer to the translation start

198 site, but the fraction of guides located distant from the start site was too small to analyze

199 statistically. To determine if poor target depletion was responsible for the mild fitness effects

200 witnessed by these guides, transcript levels of four targets were determined by q-rtPCR alongside

201 an effective *gyrA* guide. The *gyrA* guide showed 96% depletion relative to the absence of aTc

202 addition, while the small fitness defect strains varied from 32%-90% depletion relative to that

203 seen in the absence of induction (Supp. Fig. 2). This is consistent with weak fitness defects being

204 caused by inefficient depletion.

205  **Extended outgrowth of the sgRNA bank amplifies subsequent changes in fitness.** As

206 70% of the perfect sgRNAs generated significant fitness effects and resulted in fitness values ≤-

207 0.1 relative to uninduced levels after 7-8 generations with inducer, fitness defects may be more

208 pronounced by allowing the bank to grow further than 8 generations. To test this hypothesis, five

209 replicate cultures of both the WT and *gyrAparCadeS* (GPS) strains were grown for 14-16

210 generations by diluting the cultures after 7-8 generations into fresh media and incubating a

211 further 7-8 generations in the absence and presence of aTc inducer. The fitness scores yielded by

each sgRNA after 14-16 generations were compared to those observed in 5 replicate cultures for

strains grown for 7-8 generations. Fitness of the depletion strains was then calculated identically

under both culture conditions, incorporating the different expansion factors from both outgrowth

experiments (Supp. Data 3).

It was evident that the longer culture period amplified the fitness changes observed, and

that the number of perfect guides that failed to show significant fitness defects was reduced to

just a few, particularly in the GPS background (Fig. 4F). This was consistent with the target

proteins being subjected to turnover and possibly dilution through bacterial division. Almost the

entire bank of hypomorphs showed lower fitness scores after 14-16 generations of induction

compared to 7-8 generations in both WT (Fig. 4A) and in GPS strains (Fig. 4B). Noteworthy is

the shift in fitness of the perfect guides after a longer incubation, as the population of perfect

guides which showed weak defects after 7-8 generations (Fig. 4C, E, black circles) joined a

tighter distribution of guides that had strong effects (Fig. 4D, F, black circles). After 7-8

generations of induction of the WT sgRNA bank, about 5% of perfect sgRNAs caused fitness

defects by at least -0.5, whereas approximately 40% reached this level after 14-16 generations

(Fig. 4C vs Fig. 4D). Similar results were found in the GPS strain background (Fig. 4E, F).

These data indicate that the defects observed in hypomorphs can be aggravated by challenging

the sgRNA banks for longer periods of time.

The fitness differences yielded by the shuffle guides did not shift substantially between

culturing for 7-8 versus 14-16 generations. While 0.09% of shuffles caused fitness differences

equal to or less than -0.5 after culturing the WT bank for 7-8 generations, only 0.76% do after

14-16 generations (Supp. Fig. 3A, B). This was similarly observed with the GPS bank (Supp.

Fig. 3C, D). These changes were minor compared to those witnessed by the perfect guides, as

would be expected of guides that have neutral effects on bacterial fitness.

Identification of hypomorphs with altered fitness in the FQR strain backgrounds.

From our initial screen (Fig. 2), fitness values derived from each sgRNA were compared

between the FQR (GPS) and WT drug-susceptible strains via multiple t-test analyses, comparing

each unique sgRNA individually rather than pooling all the data for each gene (Materials and

Methods). The difference in fitness generated by each sgRNA between the two strains was

calculated, and fitness scores were determined as described (Materials and Methods). No

candidates were identified using the mean of three cultures, indicating that candidate

identification required increasing the number of replicates analyzed, increasing the number of

generations, and/or the addition of drug stress. Growing additional cultures in parallel (n=10)

identified a number of targets that significantly influence the fitness of GPS compared to WT in

the absence of any drug pressure (Supp. Fig. 4A,B). Focusing on sgRNAs yielding fitness score

differences by at least 20% revealed that multiple different sgRNA depletions of anti-transcription

termination factor *nusG* showed profound reductions in GPS fitness when compared to WT

(Supp. Fig. 4A). Expanding the criteria for fitness differences to a minimum of 10% unveiled an

additional 27 targets that reduced GPS fitness relative to WT, including proteins involving

secretion across the inner membrane (*secY, secA, ffh)* as well as DNA replication, the electron

transport chain, and the TCA cycle (Supp. Fig. 4B). Comparing the fitness of the hypomorphs

after 14-16 generations of growth in the absence of drug between GPS and WT (n=5) also

uncovered a number of additional targets that showed strain-dependent fitness effects. Notably,

depletion of factors involved in competing processes resulted in opposite phenotypes. For

example, depletion of the transcription termination factor Rho improved fitness of the GPS

mutant relative to WT, while depletions of the anti-terminator NusG showed stronger fitness

defects in the GPS strain when compared to WT (*nusG* and *rho*; Supp. Fig. 4A, 4C, 4D).

Identification of targets that significantly alter the fitness of high-level FQR strains.

We next introduced the sgRNA pool into a panel of strains having the *gyrAparC* background

with either the *adeS, adeN,* or *adeL* pump overproducing mutations (GPS, GPN, and GPL

respectively), using increased numbers of replicates (Materials and Methods). The libraries in

four strains (WT, GPS, GPN, and GPL backgrounds) were grown in rich broth in the presence or

absence of the aTc inducer (for dCas9 expression) and in the absence or presence of sub-MIC

levels of ciprofloxacin using concentrations that resulted in 20-30% growth inhibition across the

strains. The statistical significance of each depletion was calculated as a function of the change

in fitness, determined by multiple t-test comparisons using an FDR of q value<5% (Supp. Data 4;

Materials and Methods)(30). Discoveries showing a significant fitness shift between each

comparison were sorted to identify sgRNAs yielding fitness difference scores ≥ 0.2 (knockdown

imparting a fitness benefit or alleviated effect) or ≤ -0.2 (knockdown causing a fitness defect or

aggravated effect) relative to WT. Among these, targets that were represented by 3 or more

guides from their sgRNA set were identified as top discoveries.

Identifying targets that are shared between multiple FQR strains. We next identified

the top discoveries (FDR<5%; fitness difference$\leq$-0.2 or $\geq$0.2), revealed by comparing the FQR

strains to WT in absence (Untx FQR-WT) or presence (Ciptx FQR-WT) of drug treatment. In

addition, the top discoveries were identified by fulfilling the same criteria (FDR<5%; fitness

difference$\leq$-0.2 or $\geq$0.2) in the presence vs absence of drug for each FQR strain (Ciptx-Untx)

(Figs. 5,6). Analysis of the data in the presence and absence of ciprofloxacin gave three notable

outcomes. First, in the absence of antibiotic, there were very few candidates that pass the

statistical bar set in these studies, further supporting the Tn-seq results indicating that *A.*

baumannii is quite tolerant of pump overproduction (16). This was particularly true of the GPS

strain, indicating that overproduction of the AdeAB pump is well tolerated by *A. baumannii* (Fig.

6B). This may be connected to the fact that, unlike the other FQR strains, the GPS mutant does

not overexpress the outer membrane component of the efflux system (11). Secondly,

knockdowns in *nusG* resulted in lowered fitness of all three drug efflux pump overproducers in

the absence of drug. The NusG protein supports transcription elongation in *E.coli* (31), most

thoroughly analyzed in the context of the ribosomal RNA operons (32). Thirdly, unique among

the three FQR strains, depletion of cell wall factors specifically resulted in fitness defects in the

adeL pump-overproducing mutant (Fig. 5). This supports the previous Tn-seq data in which loss

of cell envelope components selectively hypersensitized the GPL strain compared to the other

pump overproducers (16).

Heatmap analysis indicated that there were several targeted depletions that have similar

phenotypes in more than one pump overproducer (Fig. 5). Therefore, the entire dataset was

analyzed in the presence or absence of drug, and targets were identified that were shared by two

or more strains or which were unique to a single pump overproducer (Fig. 6, Supp. Data 4). This

analysis revealed that the presence of drug identified a number of loci that caused increased

sensitivity to drug relative to WT. Furthermore, it identified a number of essential genes whose

activities interfered with the fitness of FQR strains in the presence of drug.

Disruption of either ribosome biogenesis or F1F0 ATPase assembly shows inverted

consequences relative to depleted LOS biosynthesis. The analysis of the entire dataset of

fitness challenges for GPS (Fig. 7A), GPN (Fig. 7B), and GPL (Fig. 7C) compared to WT in the

presence or absence of ciprofloxacin, revealed a number of clear patterns. Consistent with all

analyses of fitness in the presence of ciprofloxacin, the GPL strain yielded the most depletions

that resulted in altered fitness (Figs. 5A), with only a few targets identified in the GPS

background (Fig. 5C). Depletion of *lpxC* and *lpxD* genes, encoding LOS biogenesis proteins,

uniformly caused fitness defects relative to WT in the presence of drug, even for the robust *adeS*

mutant (Fig. 7A, Supp. Fig 3). With GPN and GPL, the spectrum of depletions that caused

fitness defects was expanded to include a number of other loci involved in LOS biosynthesis

(*kdtA*, *kdsD*) (Figs. 7B, C, Supp. Fig. 5), as well as a large cluster of genes involved in LOS

transport to the surface (*lptA-D*, *lptG*) (Figs. 7B, C, Supp. Fig. 5). These data emphasize that

outer membrane integrity maintenance is required for FQR survival in the presence of drug, with

factors controlling the composition of LOS being particularly important. Of note is the fact that

depletions of BamD had weaker effects on the GPS overproducer than the other two FQR strains,

consistent with our observation that there were fewer hypomorphs interfering with composition

of the outer membrane that reduced GPS fitness (Fig. 6,7). Notably, activation of the *adeS*-

regulated system does not result in overexpression of its outer membrane protein component,

which would likely be linked to hypersensitizing defects in outer membrane biogenesis.

Our most surprising result is that depletion of a number of loci associated with translation

very strongly increased fitness of all three FQR strains relative to WT. Most of these loci are

involved in ribosome assembly or modification enzymes (*obgE, rimM*), consistent with slowing

translation and accumulating alarmones associated with translational stalling. Supporting this

hypothesis is the fact that in the GPL strain, depletion of *spoT,* predicted to prevent degradation

of ppGpp-associated alarmones and result in their accumulation, similarly increased fitness of

this pump overproducer (Fig. 5A).   There was also a clear demonstration of increased fitness

exhibited by depletions of the F_1F_0 proton-pumping ATPase/synthase in the presence of

ciprofloxacin, tying fitness to the overall proton gradient of the cell. Unlike *E. coli* (33), the ATP

proton pump is an essential protein for *A. baumannii* growing in rich culture medium, consistent

with it playing an important role in maintaining membrane potential. As the function of the

antibiotic efflux pumps is to antiport drugs in exchange for protons, decreased F_1F_0 ATP

synthase activity may remove an important competitor for protons or facilitate cytoplasmic pH

homeostasis.

Discussion

Understanding how *A. baumannii* MDR strains tolerate pump overproduction and what

proteins support pump hyperactivity is of great importance, because MDR is often driven by

hyperexpression of RND drug efflux pumps that can export a broad array of substrates. In this

work we devised a CRISPRi screen to find essential gene candidates that support the fitness of

FQR pump overproducing strains. This approach showed that depletions of genes that encode

LOS synthesis (multiple *lpx* loci, *kdtA*/*waaA*, and *kdsD*/*kpsF*), LOS transport proteins (multiple

lpt loci), as well as encoding a component required for proper outer membrane protein

localization (*bamD*) reduce fitness of pump overproducers in the presence of antibiotic

treatment.

BamD, which is important for FQR fitness in the presence of ciprofloxacin, is essential

for the assembly and proper insertion of outer membrane proteins (OMPs) (34). Interestingly, we

previously showed that transposon knockouts of SurA, a nonessential periplasmic chaperone

with similar function to BamD, reduces GPL strain fitness in the presence of Cip (16,34).

Depletion of BamD may interfere with the proper assembly of the pump outer membrane factor

and other OMPs that maintain the outer membrane barrier (35). Alternatively, the fact that

components of the complex involved in the presentation of unfolded precursors to BamA lower

fitness in the presence of drug is consistent with an unloaded Bam complex being specifically

toxic to the cell envelope. Perhaps a Bam complex unable to load precursors increases outer

membrane permeability, overwhelming the ability of the pump to efflux drug.

Targets involved in the synthesis and transport of LOS are likely candidates for

sensitization of pump-hyperexpressers for reasons similar to the Bam complex, as they

contribute to the stability of the transporter and membrane integrity. The Lpt proteins are part of

a large system that is essential to the transport of LOS to the outer membrane. Decreased levels

of these proteins compromise the membrane barrier and produce a defective cell envelope that

results in accumulation of material in the periplasmic space (36, 37). Mutations in *lpxC* and

lpxD, whose products act early in the LOS synthesis pathway just after LpxA, result in LOS

deficient cells (38, 39). *Acinetobacter baumannii* is one of three species that can survive without

LOS at a great fitness cost (38, 39), although the strains used in our study are derived from *A.*

baumanni ATCC 17978, which is likely inviable in the absence of LOS (40). Null mutations in

genes *pbpG* and *lpsB* reduce overall LOS levels and alter LOS composition respectively (16, 22),

and we previously identified each as fitness determinants of the FQR strains based on transposon

mutagenesis (16). Targeting these proteins that control LOS dynamics may diminish the integrity

of the outer membrane and facilitate drug entry, but this does not explain why fitness is more

severely compromised in the high-level FQR pump hyper-expressers than in the WT or in the GP

strains. One explanation is that there is direct binding of LOS to the RND transporter complex,

and the absence of this lipid results in destabilization of the outer membrane component of the

transporter. It is known that LPS can bind OMPs and that interfacing lipids may mediate OMP

self-association, likely contributing to outer membrane integrity (41, 42). Overall, the targets

revealed by this work indicate that the FQR pump hyperexpressors are more vulnerable to

changes in the outer membrane composition than strains lacking these pumps. Components that

support maintaining the integrity of the permeability barrier as well as stabilize the RND drug

transporters are likely to be important for survival during drug treatment.

Also notable in our work were hypomorphs that conferred a fitness advantage for strains

hyperexpressing efflux pumps in the presence of Cip, such as depletion of genes that direct the

assembly of the inner membrane-associated F_1F_0 ATPase/synthase (*atpI* and *atpF* in multiple

FQR strains, as well as five other *atp* genes in GPL) and translation (*fmt*, *rimM*, *der/engA*, *rnpA*).

The F_1F_0 ATPase/synthase complex is known to both synthesize and hydrolyze ATP, using

proton motive force (PMF) to pump protons in and generate ATP or reversibly hydrolyze ATP to

pump protons out of the cytosol (43-45). AtpF encodes the ATPase B subunit that links the F_1

catalytic domain to the F_0 membrane spanning proton translocator, which links ATP generation

and hydrolysis to proton pumping by F_1 (45-47). AtpI on the other hand functions as a

chaperone, a non-structural component that is poorly conserved, which promotes the formation

of the F_0 c-ring as well as the stability of the complex (48, 49). Perhaps targeting these

components is sufficient for the changes in fitness that we observe while limiting the lethality

resulting from inhibition of the complex. Overall, the F_1F_0 ATPase/synthase complex could play

a compensatory role for high pump activity during which proton influx accompanies substrate

egress, as the ATPase extrudes protons back out of the cell to establish PMF and alleviate

cytosolic acidification. This is consistent with our previous observation that there were

significantly reduced numbers of viable transposon insertion disruptions in the cytochrome b_o (3)

ubiquinol oxidase complex in the GPL strain, which similarly extrudes protons (16). Given the

importance of the ubiquinol oxidase complex, it is also possible that the ATPase/synthase acts as

a competitor for protons during ATP synthesis which are extruded by the RND drug egress

system. Removal of the competitor allows a source of protons for the drug antiporters.

There may be an explanation for the fitness advantage of ATP synthase depletion that is

consistent with the idea that increased fitness in the presence of the drug is associated with a

dormancy-like state. Perhaps allowing the GPL mutant to enter an ATP-depleted dormant state

allows it to transiently cope with both pump overproduction and drug stress. Interestingly, in our

RNA-seq experiments, we found that *atpE* transcription was significantly downregulated in the

GPL mutant when compared to the GP strain grown in the absence of drug (16). Although

depletion of this critical F_0 component was not shown to protect from drug action, it does

emphasize the similarity between drug stress and stress related to overproduction of the AdeFGH

pump. The abundance of fitness determinants and genes that are differentially regulated in the

GPL mutant portrays the consequence of pump overproduction in the presence and absence of

drug pressure. It also indicates that manipulation of the proton motive force may be a critical

determinant in protecting against both protein overproduction and antibiotic stresses.

Depletions that are predicted to reduce translation efficiency similarly promote fitness in

the pump overproducers in the presence of the drug. Both lowered ATP and reduced translation

have been associated with persister cell formation, allowing bacteria to better cope with the

stress they are experiencing during drug treatment and to survive drug activity that targets active

processes (50, 51). Pump hyperexpression has been documented to contribute to the development

of persistence (52), and hyperexpression of the AdeFGH efflux pump similarly promotes

persistence (53). Therefore, both reduced ATP synthesis and translation could be advantageous

for pump hyperexpressors, allowing survival in the presence of high levels of drug combined

with the stress imparted by elevated levels of transporter. Our data indicate that using drugs that

impede translation may antagonize ciprofloxacin treatment. Future efforts will be directed to

exploring these hypotheses in hopes of better understanding the limitations of pump over-

expression and identifying proteins that help support the viability of the MDR state.

Acknowledgements

This work is supported by NIAID grants U01AI124302, R21AI128328, and U19AI142780. We

thank Defne Surujon (Boston College) for the sam-to-wig file conversion script, Yunfei Dai

(Northeastern University) for communications regarding dCas9 strain generation, sgRNA design,

and TRANSIT, and for the pUC-Tn7-GentR-pTet::dCas9 and pWH1266-sgRNA plasmids,

Rebecca Batorsky at Tufts Technology Services for aiding with TRANSIT accessibility, and

Albert Tai at Tufts Genomics Core Facility for communications regarding sequencing and library

preparation.

Materials and Methods

Bacterial strains and growth conditions. Bacterial strains used in this work are listed in

Supplementary Data 1. All *Acinetobacter baumannii* strains are derived from ATCC 17978UN.

Cultures were grown in lysogeny broth (LB) (10 g/L tryptone, 5 g/L yeast extract, 10 g/L NaCl)

or on LB agar plates (LB supplemented with 15g/L agarose). For growth in broth culture,

bacteria were aerated at 37°C on a platform shaker or a roller drum, with growth monitored by

measuring absorbance via a spectrophotometer at 600 nm (A_{600}). Superoptimal broth with

catabolite repression (SOC) was used for recovery following all electroporations of *A.*

baumannii. Electroporations were performed using 0.1cm gap cuvettes and Bio Rad Gene Pulser,

with the settings 200 Ω, 25 μF, and 1.8 kV. LB was supplemented with antibiotics, as needed, at

the following concentrations: Carbenicillin (Carb): 50/100μg/mL, Gentamicin (Gent): 10μg/mL,

or Chloramphenicol (Cm): 10/25μg/mL.

Molecular cloning and mutant construction. Primers (IDT) and plasmids used

throughout this study are listed in Supplementary Data 1. The dCas9 construction is tetracycline

(Tet)-inducible and chromosomally embedded at the Tn7 site in the four strains of interest. The

plasmids and techniques used to create these strains are as previously described (23, 24). Briefly,

the mobile Tet-inducible dCas9 was introduced into the four *A. baumannii* recipient strains of

interest via four parental mating and Tn7 transposition. The recipient *A. baumannii* strains, the

HB101 RK600Cm^R helper, DH5a λpir (pTNS3) encoding the T7 transposase, and the DH5a

(pUC-Tn7-GentR-pTet::dCas9) donor strain were grown overnight in LB broth with appropriate

antibiotics. The following day the cultures were normalized to A_{600} of 2.0 and 100μl of each of

the three *E. coli* cultures were combined with 100μl of each *A. baumannii* recipient culture in

600μl LB broth, prewarmed to 37°C. The mixture was washed twice in 37°C LB broth, then

resuspended in 25μl of fresh broth and spotted on pre-warmed LB agar plates. These plates were

incubated at 37°C for approximately 5 hours then resuspended in 1mL sterile phosphate buffered

saline (PBS) before plating and overnight selection on Vogel-Bonner minimal medium (VBM)

containing 10μg/mL of Gent to select against E. coli strains and the parental Gm^S *A. baumannii.*

Exconjugants were colony-purified on VBM containing10μg/mL Gm, and screened on LB agar

with 100μg/mL Carb and on LB agar with 10μg/mL Gm. The insertion at the *att*Tn7 site

downstream the *glmS* gene was confirmed by colony PCR using the primers glmS2-F1 and

Tn7R-R1.

The vector pWH1266 used to introduce the sgRNAs is a shuttle plasmid previously described (24) containing origins of replication of pBR322 and an origin of replication from a natural *Acinetobacter* isolate (24) to allow for propagation in both *E. coli* and *A. baumannii* respectively. It encodes for the β-lactamase gene (*bla*) on the backbone and contains a sequence to be replaced by the sgRNA sequences upstream of the dCas9 handle and *S. pyogenes* transcriptional terminator, with the fusion driven by the constitutive synthetic promoter pJ23119. For efficient cloning of sgRNAs, the vector was made GoldenGate™-compatible by first removing the single BsaI Type II restriction site present in the *bla* gene and then introducing two BsaI sites between the promoter and dCas9 handle to allow for directional sgRNA oligo insertion. Using this backbone, all 24nt sgRNA oligos were constructed with additional 4nt overhangs that allowed for directional cloning. The sgRNA bank was designed by loosely following a previous strategy for stoichiometric knockdowns (18), and the vector and library design were used for construction of the sgRNA-plasmid library by contract (GeneWiz).

Identification of essential genes in *Acinetobacter baumannii*. Essential genes of *A. baumannii* ATCC 17978UN were determined using transposon mutagenesis sequence data in the WT strain (16, 22), and the data from that study was subjected to TRANSIT Gumbel analysis to identify the essential genes (24-26). Briefly, sequence mapped SAM files were converted to wig files with a custom python script bowtie_to_wig2.py provided by Defne Surujon from the Tim van Opijnen lab. The wig files were then used as input, using the TRANSIT Gumbel analysis pipeline (26) with default settings. Cross referencing our list of essential genes to those similarly identified in other studies in the same strain or the more recently isolated AB5075 clinical strain revealed 406 genes exhibiting a high likelihood of essentiality, given their classification as essential in at least one of the other published analyses as well (24, 27, 28).

sgRNA library design. The 24nt sgRNAs were principally designed (to target each essential gene) with the antisense strand sequence using a PAM site on the antisense strand that is as close as possible to the translational start site. The sgRNA pool was then expanded with an additional 23 mismatch sgRNAs that differ from each targeting sgRNA by 1 or 2 nucleotides, along with 5 shuffle sgRNAs that comprise the same nucleotides as the original target-homologous sgRNA but are shuffled to minimize the likelihood of off-target effects. An additional 216 "pool shuffle" sgRNAs of an array of nucleotides with the GC content of the targeted genes designed to minimize homology to any genomic sequence, bringing the final sgRNA pool to 12,000 sgRNAs total (Supp. Data 2). Mismatches were employed to introduce sgRNAs with reduced knockdown efficiencies, while the shuffles served as negative controls (anticipated to provide a neutral effect on fitness). A BLAST search did reveal that up to 12bp overlap with the genome was unavoidable among some members of the control pool. These mismatches and shuffle sgRNAs were designed as previously described (18), using the python script 'final sgRNA dirty pool design.ipynb' (GitHub).

Preparation of pools with sgRNA library. The sgRNA-pDNA library was introduced into the FQR pump hyper-expressors and WT strain with chromosomally encoded Tet-inducible dCas9 via electroporation. About 50ul electrocompetent cells were transformed with 23-46ng of the pDNA, the resuspended mixture diluted 1/10, and 200µl plated on five large pre-warmed SOC agar plates overlain with mixed cellulose ester filters (0.45µm pore size, 137mm diameter, Advantec). The mixture was spread on the filters using beads. This was repeated for a total of 3 transformations per strain background. These transformants were allowed to recover at 37°C for an hour on SOC agar plates before the filters were transferred to selective LB agar plates containing 50µg/mL Carb and incubated overnight at 37°C for about 13.5-14 hours. The

following day, filters were pooled to acquire somewhere around 250,000-350,000 CFUs,

estimated based on using image analysis of previous pools (ImageJ). Pooling entailed washing

colonies off with 100mL sterile PBS with gentle shaking in a sterile round bottom 3L beaker.

Glycerol was added to a final concentration of 10-20% and 1mL aliquots were placed into

cryotubes for -80°C storage until needed.

Identification of hypomorphs with fitness defects. Following previous protocols for

determining fitness using Tn-Seq pools (10, 22), each vial of *A. baumannii* P_{Tet}::dCas9 harboring

the sgRNA array was thawed on ice, diluted into 25mL LB broth to an A_{600}=0.1, and revived by

incubation at 37°C with shaking for 50min-60min until they reached around A_{600}=0.2. Each

culture was then diluted to an A_{600}=0.003 in 10mL LB broth in the absence or presence of either

50ng/mL, 100ng/mL, or 200ng/mL aTc for dCas9 induction and the fluoroquinolone

ciprofloxacin. Cultures were incubated for approximately 7-8 generations on the drum wheel at

37°C until densities reached A_{600}= 0.635-0.895 (average 0.75). The cultures were harvested both

before (T1) and after (T2) dCas9 induction and drug treatment to allow sgRNA fitness analyses.

Sample pellets were stored at -20°C until all were collected. Plasmid was extracted from each

sample using the QIAprep Spin Miniprep Kit (Qiagen) following manufacturer's protocol.

sgRNAs were amplified from harvested plasmid DNA using primers For2_sgRNA_Pool

and Rev_sgRNA_Pool at 0.6µM in a 50µl PCR reaction with 10µl 5x Q5 buffer and 0.5µl of Q5

hot start polymerase, and 1µl 100mM dNTPs (NEB), plus 100ng of the pDNA and UltraPure

water. The thermocycler settings were as follows with a heated lid: 98°C for 1min, 14 cycles of

98°C for 10sec, 70°C for 20sec, and 72°C for 1min, then finally 72°C for 2min. Barcodes were

then introduced with a second round PCR using a legacy Nextera kit of adaptors (Illumina,

compatible with FC-121-1031, FC-121-1030, FC-121-1012, FC-121-1011). The second PCR

was performed using 1µl of the first PCR product, 4µl UltraPure water (Fisher Scientific), 7.5µl

2x NEBNext High Fidelity Master Mix (NEB), and 1.25µl of each barcoded Nextera N5x and

N7x index primers per reaction. The thermocycler was set up to hold a heated lid while running

at 72°C for 3min, 98°C for 2:45min, and 8 cycles of 98°C for 15sec, 65°C for 30sec, and 72°C for

1:30min. The desired amplified product is 328nt in length. 5µl samples of the PCR2 products

were fractionated on a 2% agarose Tris-Acetate-EDTA (TAE) gel using Sybr Safe dye

(Invitrogen) alongside a set amount of 100bp DNA ladder (NEB) and run for 1hr at about 90V

for quantification. Samples were imaged and concentrations measured using a BioRad

quantitative gel doc system, with quantitation performed using Image J, or dedicated Bio-Rad

software so that approximately 100ng of each sample was pooled for sequencing. The samples

were PCR column purified (Qiagen) and their final concentrations determined via Nanodrop

prior to submission to Tufts University Core Facility of Genomics for sequencing. The pools

were sequenced via Illumina HiSeq 2500 SE100 using a legacy Nextera primer mixture.

The 5' sequence preceding the 24nt sgRNA was trimmed using Cutadapt (54). Reads

were assigned per sgRNA using Python script as described (19). To calculate fitness of the

hypomorphs, read counts for each sgRNA were first normalized to the total assigned reads per

sample. The sgRNAs with less than 20 cumulative reads at T1 were excluded from analyses in

the initial screening but included in the experiments that followed (with T1 counts noted). Any

counts of 0 were given a read count of 1 for downstream fitness calculations. Fitness yields from

each sgRNA was determined as described (29, 55). Fitness values were normalized to a set of 23

shuffle sgRNAs serving as negative/neutral controls by dividing each fitness score by the

average fitness of those sgRNAs in each sample. These shuffle sgRNAs were chosen as follows:

The median read counts were identified for all shuffle sgRNAs in each replicate sample tested.

These median values were then expanded to a range of read counts encompassing the center of

the bell-curve generated by plotting read count distributions vs inverse P value. The shuffle

sgRNAs that fell in this median range across all replicates and growth conditions in both the WT

and GPS strains were selected. Their fitness values were averaged from each sample, and the

fitness score yields from all sgRNAs in the pool of that sample were divided by this value.

Fitness values of each sgRNA were compared between induced and uninduced conditions

(preliminary screening) or between drug treated and untreated samples and the FQR and WT

strains where indicated. Multiple t test analyses were employed to identify significant differences

in fitness due to growth condition or strain background. The change in fitness generated by each

sgRNA due to induction, and the fitness difference of each hypomorph between strains was

calculated. Discoveries of fitness scores that significantly differ in these comparisons were

determined by the original FDR method of Benjamini and Hochberg with $q < 5\%$ (preliminary

screen, (56)) or via the two-stage step-up method of Benjamini, Krieger, and Yekutieli with $Q <$

5% (following screens, (30)). Findings characterized as top discoveries were targets represented

by 3 or more sgRNAs (those with <10 cumulative reads across replicate cultures at T1 prior to

outgrowth as well as ribosomal genes excluded). Data pertaining to the Cipro screen are shown

in Supplementary Data 4.

Author Contributions

R.R.I and E.H conceptualized and devised the study. E.H and W.H. designed the sgRNA library.

I.N and E.H. performed the initial screen. K.N. and E.H. performed and analyzed the WT vs GPS

screens in the absence of drug. E.H executed the Cip screen. W.H. tested depletion efficiency of

selected sgRNAs. E.G shared all strains, vectors, and methodologies for CRISPRi set up. E.H

and R.R.I interpreted the results and wrote the manuscript.

Figure legends

Figure 1: Identification of hypomorphs with reduced fitness in FQR strains. Diagram for

experimental approach and sgRNA library design (Materials & Methods). sgRNAs were

designed to target 406 essential genes in *A. baumannii* ("perfect" guides). For each perfect guide,

a set of 23 guides with 1 to 2 mismatched nucleotides were created to achieve titratable knock

down efficiencies as well as 5 sequence-shuffled guides as negative controls. Additional pool

shuffle sgRNAs were made to generate 12,000 guides. Cultures were harvested before (T1) and

after (T2) outgrowth with or without drug and dCas9 inducer. Plasmid was extracted and

prepared for sequencing to identify hypomorphs with altered fitness under tested conditions.

Hypomorph fitness scores were compared between control and experimental groups to determine

essential gene targets that generate altered fitness of high-level FQR strains relative to WT. FQ:

Fluoroquinolone; FQR: fluoroquinolone resistant; Ciptx: ciprofloxacin treated; Untx: untreated;

Ind: induced; using anhydrotetracycline, or aTc.

Figure 2: Most sgRNAs with perfect target homology yield low fitness scores. Volcano plots

are displayed to show statistical significance as a function of the change in fitness scores

resulting from sgRNA induction (50ng/mL aTc). All symbols refer to unique sgRNAs (light

grey). (A): Shuffle sgRNAs that serve as negative controls (mustard yellow) and set used for

fitness normalization (bright yellow). (B): The sgRNAs with perfect homology to their target

genome (teal). (C): The sgRNAs with nucleotides most proximal to the 3' PAM site mismatched

(purple; 1 to 2 nucleotides upstream). The horizontal dotted line: FDR or Q=5%. (D): Fraction of

perfect sgRNAs or mismatched (-1 or -2 to PAM) sgRNAs generating the indicated ranges of

fitness (induced vs uninduced). (E, F): The sgRNAs designed for *gyrA* (red, E). S: Shuffle

sgRNAs; P: Perfect guide. Positions of mismatched nucleotide in relation to the 3' PAM site,

with numbering starting one nucleotide upstream of the PAM site. (E) Display of mean fitness

difference of *gyrA* sgRNAs after induction vs. in absence of inducer (dashed line: FDR). (F)

Display of *gyrA* data, showing average fitness scores normalized to fitness in the absence of

inducer for single and double mutants as well as shuffle sgRNAs.

Figure 3: Relationship between target location in respect to ORF translational start and

influence on fitness. (A-C) Statistical significance plotted as a function of fitness differences in

the presence and absence of dCas9 induction in WT background (50ng/mL aTc). Light grey

circles: all sgRNAs. Black: overlapping with start codon. Red: downstream of start codon. Blue:

upstream of start codon. Dotted line: FDR or Q=5%. (D) Distribution of fitness of the various

sites chosen.

Figure 4: Outgrowth of banks to 14-16 generations enhances fitness defects. (A, B)

Statistical significance plotted as a function of fitness differences after growth for 7-8

generations compared to 14-16 generations in the presence (Black) or absence (Gray) of dCas9

induction (50ng/mL aTc) in WT (A) or GPS (B) backgrounds (50ng/mL aTc). (C-F) Statistical

significance plotted as a function of fitness differences after growth for 7-8 generations (C, E)

compared to 14-16 generations (D, F) of all hypomorphs upon dCas9 induction. Black: perfect

guides. Dark gray: statistically significant sgRNAs passing the FDR or Q=5% via multiple T test

analyses. Light gray: sgRNAs not statistically significant. Dotted red box to highlight shifts in

fitness that are observed between conditions. WT: Wildtype. GPS: *gyrAparCadeS*.

Figure 5: Targets yielding a significant influence on the fitness outcome of the FQR strains.

Fitness of all hypomorphs after outgrowth in the absence or presence of sub-MIC levels of

ciprofloxacin (Materials and Methods). Top discoveries uncovered from each comparison are

visualized with heat maps showing relative fitness difference scores representative of all

respective sgRNAs identified for each target noted. White boxes: depletions not causing change

in fitness based on selection parameters. Ciptx: ciprofloxacin treated. Untx: untreated. WT:

wildtype. GPL: *gyrAparCadeL*. GPN: *gyrAparCadeN*. GPS: *gyrAparCadeS*.

Figure 6: Identifying top discoveries that are in common between the FQR strains. The

Venn diagrams (made at https://bioinformatics.psb.ugent.be/cgi-

bin/liste/Venn/calculate_venn.htpl) display number of top discoveries comparing fitness of

hypomorphs between the ciprofloxacin treated (Cip) and untreated (Untx) growth conditions (A)

and between the FQR strains and the drug susceptible WT strain post Cip exposure (B).

Prominent targets are listed which showed a similar phenotype in all 3 or 2/3 FQR vs WT

comparisons and which showed up as top discoveries with similar phenotypes in all 3 or 2/3 Cip-

Untx comparisons in the FQR strains. Green font: depletion yields a fitness advantage. Blue

font: decreased fitness. FQR: Fluoroquinolone resistant. Cip: ciprofloxacin treated. Untx:

untreated. WT: wildtype. GPL: *gyrAparCadeL*. GPN: *gyrAparCadeN*. GPS: *gyrAparCadeS*.

Figure 7: Opposing effects of translation/ATP generation and LOS biogenesis on the fitness

of FQR strains in the presence of ciprofloxacin. (A-C) Statistical significance plotted as a

function of fitness score differences between FQR and WT strains or Ciptx and Untx conditions

in GPS (A), GPN (B), or GPL (C). Gray: Statistically significant sgRNAs passing the FDR or

Q=5% (30). 16 of the prominent targets from the Cip CRISPRi screen are highlighted. Dotted

lines: selection parameters wherein substantial changes in fitness are imparted by sgRNAs.

Ciptx: ciprofloxacin treated. Untx: untreated. WT: wildtype. GPL: *gyrAparCadeL*. GPN:

gyrAparCadeN. GPS: *gyrAparCadeS*.

Supplementary Figure 1: There is a normal distribution of reads mapped to all sgRNAs.

Bar graphs plot the mean read distribution for all sgRNAs of (A) the original pDNA library prior

to introduction into *A. baumannii*, (B) in the WT (wildtype) ATCC 17978UN *A. baumannii*

strain background at T1 prior to dCas9 induction, (C) all shuffle sgRNAs also in the WT strain

background. Reads were normalized to total mapped reads and transformed. Reads are binned by

increments of 10.

Supplementary Figure 2: Depletion efficiency of four essential gene targets yielding

minimal effect on fitness. Depletion efficiency is noted for each listed target as a percentage

against their respective uninduced controls.

Supplementary Figure 3: Outgrowth of banks to 14-16 generations does not substantially affect fitness of the shuffle guides. (A-D) Statistical significance plotted as a function of fitness differences after growth for 7-8 generations (A, C) compared to 14-16 generations (B, D) of all hypomorphs upon dCas9 induction. Black: perfect guides. Dark gray: statistically significant sgRNAs passing the FDR or Q=5% via multiple T test analyses. Light gray: sgRNAs not statistically significant. Dotted blue box to visualize shifts in fitness observed. WT: Wildtype. GPS: *gyrAparCadeS*.

Supplementary Figure 4: Using additional replicates or generations to identify targets specifically important for the fitness of GPS in the absence of drug. (A,C) Statistical significance plotted as a function of the difference in fitness scores for hypomorphs in GPS vs WT in the absence of drug after growth for 7-8 generations (A, n=10) or 14-16 generations (C, n=5). Of the statistically significant sgRNAs passing the FDR or Q=5% via multiple T test analyses (gray), the targets highlighted showed significant fitness difference scores by at least 20% and were represented by at least 3 sgRNAs (excluding ribosomal proteins). Dotted lines: selection parameters wherein substantial changes in fitness are imparted by sgRNAs. (B,D) Scatter plots exhibit the fitness generated by sgRNAs corresponding to targets identified from comparisons between WT (black) and GPS (gray) after 7-8 generations (B) or 14-16 generations (D). The targets noted were similarly identified as in A and C, however these showed significant fitness difference scores of at least 10%. The dotted line marks neutral fitness value of 1. Untx: untreated. WT: Wildtype. GPS: *gyrAparCadeS*.

Supplementary Figure 5: Fitness of the unique sgRNA that resulted in the classification of top targets having a significant impact on fitness of the FQR strains. (A) Scatter plots exhibit the fitness generated by sgRNAs corresponding to 16 of the top targets identified from comparisons between WT (black) and GPS (gray) or GPN (red) or GPL (blue) post Cip treatment. The dotted line marks neutral fitness value of 1. (B) Volcano plot shows the significance as a function of the difference in fitness scores of sgRNAs in WT after drug treatment for 7-8 generations vs in absence of drug stress. Top targets identified in the FQR strains causing significantly altered fitness than in the WT strain post Cip exposure are highlighted as described for Figure 7. Gray: Statistically significant sgRNAs passing the FDR or Q=5% via multiple T test analyses (30). The 16 prominent targets from the Cip CRISPRi screen are highlighted. Dotted lines: selection parameters wherein substantial changes in fitness are imparted by sgRNAs. Ciptx: ciprofloxacin treated. Untx: untreated. WT: wildtype. GPL: *gyrAparCadeL*. GPN: *gyrAparCadeN*. GPS: *gyrAparCadeS*.

References

1. CDC. 2019. Antibiotic Resistance Threats in the United States. Atlanta, GA: US Department of Health and Human Services, CDC.
2. CDC. 2022. COVID-19: U.S. Impact on Antimicrobial Resistance, Special Report 2022. Atlanta, GA: US Department of Health and Human Services, CDC.
3. Tacconelli E, Carrara E, Savoldi A, Harbarth S, Mendelson M, Monnet DL, Pulcini C, Kahlmeter G, Kluytmans J, Carmeli Y, Ouellette M, Outterson K, Patel J, Cavaleri M, Cox EM, Houchens CR, Grayson ML, Hansen P, Singh N, Theuretzbacher U, Magrini N, Group WHOPPLW. 2018. Discovery, research, and development of new antibiotics: the WHO priority list of antibiotic-resistant bacteria and tuberculosis. Lancet Infect Dis 18:318-327.
4. Sun J, Deng Z, Yan A. 2014. Bacterial multidrug efflux pumps: mechanisms, physiology and pharmacological exploitations. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 453:254-67.

5.   Poole K. 2004. Efflux-mediated multiresistance in Gram-negative bacteria. Clin
Microbiol Infect 10:12-26.
6.   Coyne S, Courvalin P, Perichon B. 2011. Efflux-mediated antibiotic resistance in
Acinetobacter spp. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 55:947-53.
7.   Pagdepanichkit S, Tribuddharat C, Chuanchuen R. 2016. Distribution and expression of
the Ade multidrug efflux systems in Acinetobacter baumannii clinical isolates. Can J
Microbiol 62:794-801.
8.   Rumbo C, Gato E, Lopez M, Ruiz de Alegria C, Fernandez-Cuenca F, Martinez-Martinez
L, Vila J, Pachon J, Cisneros JM, Rodriguez-Bano J, Pascual A, Bou G, Tomas M,
Spanish Group of Nosocomial I, Mechanisms of A, Resistance to A, Spanish Society of
Clinical M, Infectious D, Spanish Network for Research in Infectious D. 2013.
Contribution of efflux pumps, porins, and beta-lactamases to multidrug resistance in
clinical isolates of Acinetobacter baumannii. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 57:5247-57.
9.   Fernando D, Zhanel G, Kumar A. 2013. Antibiotic resistance and expression of
resistance-nodulation-division pump- and outer membrane porin-encoding genes in
Acinetobacter species isolated from Canadian hospitals. Can J Infect Dis Med Microbiol
24:17-21.
10.   Geisinger E, Vargas-Cuebas G, Mortman NJ, Syal S, Dai Y, Wainwright EL, Lazinski D,
Wood S, Zhu Z, Anthony J, van Opijnen T, Isberg RR. 2019. The Landscape of
Phenotypic and Transcriptional Responses to Ciprofloxacin in Acinetobacter baumannii:
Acquired Resistance Alleles Modulate Drug-Induced SOS Response and Prophage
Replication. mBio 10.
11.   Naidu V, Bartczak A, Brzoska AJ, Lewis P, Eijkelkamp BA, Paulsen IT, Elbourne LDH,
Hassan KA. 2023. Evolution of RND efflux pumps in the development of a successful
pathogen. Drug Resist Updat 66:100911.
12.   Magnet S, Courvalin P, Lambert T. 2001. Resistance-nodulation-cell division-type efflux
pump involved in aminoglycoside resistance in Acinetobacter baumannii strain BM4454.
Antimicrob Agents Chemother 45:3375-80.
13.   Coyne S, Rosenfeld N, Lambert T, Courvalin P, Perichon B. 2010. Overexpression of
resistance-nodulation-cell division pump AdeFGH confers multidrug resistance in
Acinetobacter baumannii. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 54:4389-93.
14.   Yoon EJ, Chabane YN, Goussard S, Snesrud E, Courvalin P, De E, Grillot-Courvalin C.
2015. Contribution of resistance-nodulation-cell division efflux systems to antibiotic
resistance and biofilm formation in Acinetobacter baumannii. mBio 6.
15.   Rosenfeld N, Bouchier C, Courvalin P, Perichon B. 2012. Expression of the resistance-
nodulation-cell division pump AdeIJK in Acinetobacter baumannii is regulated by AdeN,
a TetR-type regulator. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 56:2504-10.
16.   Hamami E, Huo W, Castaneda A, Bai J, Syal S, Ortiz-Marquez JC, Opijnen Tv,
Geisinger E, Isberg RR. 2023. Identification of Determinants that Allow Maintenance of
High-Level Fluoroquinolone Resistance in Acinetobacter baumannii.
bioRxiv doi:10.1101/2023.10.03.560562:2023.10.03.560562.
17.   Hooppaw AJ, McGuffey JC, Di Venanzio G, Ortiz-Marquez JC, Weber BS, Lightly TJ,
van Opijnen T, Scott NE, Cardona ST, Feldman MF. 2022. The Phenylacetic Acid
Catabolic Pathway Regulates Antibiotic and Oxidative Stress Responses in
Acinetobacter. mBio 13:e0186321.

18. Jost M, Santos DA, Saunders RA, Horlbeck MA, Hawkins JS, Scaria SM, Norman TM,
Hussmann JA, Liem CR, Gross CA, Weissman JS. 2020. Titrating gene expression using
libraries of systematically attenuated CRISPR guide RNAs. Nat Biotechnol 38:355-364.
19. Hawkins JS, Silvis MR, Koo BM, Peters JM, Osadnik H, Jost M, Hearne CC, Weissman
JS, Todor H, Gross CA. 2020. Mismatch-CRISPRi Reveals the Co-varying Expression-
Fitness Relationships of Essential Genes in Escherichia coli and Bacillus subtilis. Cell
Syst 11:523-535 e9.
20. Rousset F, Cui L, Siouve E, Becavin C, Depardieu F, Bikard D. 2018. Genome-wide
CRISPR-dCas9 screens in E. coli identify essential genes and phage host factors. PLoS
Genet 14:e1007749.
21. Ward RD, Tran JS, Banta AB, Bacon EE, Rose WE, Peters JM. 2023. Essential Gene
Knockdowns Reveal Genetic Vulnerabilities and Antibiotic Sensitivities in Acinetobacter
baumannii. bioRxiv doi:10.1101/2023.08.02.551708.
22. Geisinger E, Mortman NJ, Dai Y, Cokol M, Syal S, Farinha A, Fisher DG, Tang AY,
Lazinski DW, Wood S, Anthony J, van Opijnen T, Isberg RR. 2020. Antibiotic
susceptibility signatures identify potential antimicrobial targets in the Acinetobacter
baumannii cell envelope. Nat Commun 11:4522.
23. Peters JM, Koo BM, Patino R, Heussler GE, Hearne CC, Qu J, Inclan YF, Hawkins JS,
Lu CHS, Silvis MR, Harden MM, Osadnik H, Peters JE, Engel JN, Dutton RJ, Grossman
AD, Gross CA, Rosenberg OS. 2019. Enabling genetic analysis of diverse bacteria with
Mobile-CRISPRi. Nat Microbiol 4:244-250.
24. Bai J, Dai Y, Farinha A, Tang AY, Syal S, Vargas-Cuebas G, van Opijnen T, Isberg RR,
Geisinger E. 2021. Essential Gene Analysis in Acinetobacter baumannii by High-Density
Transposon Mutagenesis and CRISPR Interference. J Bacteriol 203:e0056520.
25. DeJesus MA, Ambadipudi C, Baker R, Sassetti C, Ioerger TR. 2015. TRANSIT--A
Software Tool for Himar1 TnSeq Analysis. PLoS Comput Biol 11:e1004401.
26. DeJesus MA, Zhang YJ, Sassetti CM, Rubin EJ, Sacchettini JC, Ioerger TR. 2013.
Bayesian analysis of gene essentiality based on sequencing of transposon insertion
libraries. Bioinformatics 29:695-703.
27. Gallagher LA, Ramage E, Weiss EJ, Radey M, Hayden HS, Held KG, Huse HK,
Zurawski DV, Brittnacher MJ, Manoil C. 2015. Resources for Genetic and Genomic
Analysis of Emerging Pathogen Acinetobacter baumannii. J Bacteriol 197:2027-35.
28. Wang N, Ozer EA, Mandel MJ, Hauser AR. 2014. Genome-wide identification of
Acinetobacter baumannii genes necessary for persistence in the lung. mBio 5:e01163-14.
29. van Opijnen T, Bodi KL, Camilli A. 2009. Tn-seq: high-throughput parallel sequencing
for fitness and genetic interaction studies in microorganisms. Nat Methods 6:767-72.
30. Benjamini Y, Krieger, A. M., & Yekutieli, D. 2006. Adaptive linear step-up procedures
that control the false discovery rate. Biometrika 93:491-507.
31. Yakhnin AV, Bubunenko M, Mandell ZF, Lubkowska L, Husher S, Babitzke P, Kashlev
M. 2023. Robust regulation of transcription pausing in Escherichia coli by the ubiquitous
elongation factor NusG. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 120:e2221114120.
32. Baniulyte G, Singh N, Benoit C, Johnson R, Ferguson R, Paramo M, Stringer AM, Scott
A, Lapierre P, Wade JT. 2017. Identification of regulatory targets for the bacterial Nus
factor complex. Nat Commun 8:2027.

33. Schemidt RA, Qu J, Williams JR, Brusilow WS. 1998. Effects of carbon source on
expression of F0 genes and on the stoichiometry of the c subunit in the F1F0 ATPase of
Escherichia coli. J Bacteriol 180:3205-8.
34. Xu Q, Guo M, Yu F. 2023. beta-Barrel Assembly Machinery (BAM) Complex as Novel
Antibacterial Drug Target. Molecules 28.
35. Sugawara E, Nikaido H. 2014. Properties of AdeABC and AdeIJK efflux systems of
Acinetobacter baumannii compared with those of the AcrAB-TolC system of Escherichia
coli. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 58:7250-7.
36. Ruiz N, Gronenberg LS, Kahne D, Silhavy TJ. 2008. Identification of two inner-
membrane proteins required for the transport of lipopolysaccharide to the outer
membrane of Escherichia coli. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 105:5537-42.
37. Sperandeo P, Cescutti R, Villa R, Di Benedetto C, Candia D, Deho G, Polissi A. 2007.
Characterization of lptA and lptB, two essential genes implicated in lipopolysaccharide
transport to the outer membrane of Escherichia coli. J Bacteriol 189:244-53.
38. Powers MJ, Trent MS. 2018. Phospholipid retention in the absence of asymmetry
strengthens the outer membrane permeability barrier to last-resort antibiotics. Proc Natl
Acad Sci U S A 115:E8518-E8527.
39. Simpson BW, Nieckarz M, Pinedo V, McLean AB, Cava F, Trent MS. 2021.
Acinetobacter baumannii Can Survive with an Outer Membrane Lacking
Lipooligosaccharide Due to Structural Support from Elongasome Peptidoglycan
Synthesis. mBio 12:e0309921.
40. Boll JM, Crofts AA, Peters K, Cattoir V, Vollmer W, Davies BW, Trent MS. 2016. A
penicillin-binding protein inhibits selection of colistin-resistant, lipooligosaccharide-
deficient Acinetobacter baumannii. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 113:E6228-E6237.
41. Arunmanee W, Pathania M, Solovyova AS, Le Brun AP, Ridley H, Basle A, van den
Berg B, Lakey JH. 2016. Gram-negative trimeric porins have specific LPS binding sites
that are essential for porin biogenesis. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 113:E5034-43.
42. Webby MN, Oluwole AO, Pedebos C, Inns PG, Olerinyova A, Prakaash D, Housden NG,
Benn G, Sun D, Hoogenboom BW, Kukura P, Mohammed S, Robinson CV, Khalid S,
Kleanthous C. 2022. Lipids mediate supramolecular outer membrane protein assembly in
bacteria. Sci Adv 8:eadc9566.
43. Sielaff H, Duncan TM, Borsch M. 2018. The regulatory subunit epsilon in Escherichia
coli F(O)F(1)-ATP synthase. Biochim Biophys Acta Bioenerg 1859:775-788.
44. Guo H, Courbon GM, Bueler SA, Mai J, Liu J, Rubinstein JL. 2021. Structure of
mycobacterial ATP synthase bound to the tuberculosis drug bedaquiline. Nature 589:143-
147.
45. Saw WG, Le KCM, Shin J, Kwek JHM, Wong CF, Ragunathan P, Fong TC, Muller V,
Gruber G. 2023. Atomic insights of an up and down conformation of the Acinetobacter
baumannii F(1) -ATPase subunit epsilon and deciphering the residues critical for ATP
hydrolysis inhibition and ATP synthesis. FASEB J 37:e23040.
46. Sobti M, Walshe JL, Wu D, Ishmukhametov R, Zeng YC, Robinson CV, Berry RM,
Stewart AG. 2020. Cryo-EM structures provide insight into how E. coli F(1)F(o) ATP
synthase accommodates symmetry mismatch. Nat Commun 11:2615.
47. Demmer JK, Phillips BP, Uhrig OL, Filloux A, Allsopp LP, Bublitz M, Meier T. 2022.
Structure of ATP synthase from ESKAPE pathogen Acinetobacter baumannii. Sci Adv
8:eabl5966.

48.   Koumandou VL, Kossida S. 2014. Evolution of the F0F1 ATP synthase complex in light
849   of the patchy distribution of different bioenergetic pathways across prokaryotes. PLoS
850   Comput Biol 10:e1003821.
851   49.   Liu J, Hicks DB, Krulwich TA. 2013. Roles of AtpI and two YidC-type proteins from
852   alkaliphilic Bacillus pseudofirmus OF4 in ATP synthase assembly and nonfermentative
853   growth. J Bacteriol 195:220-30.
854   50.   Manuse S, Shan Y, Canas-Duarte SJ, Bakshi S, Sun WS, Mori H, Paulsson J, Lewis K.
855   2021. Bacterial persisters are a stochastically formed subpopulation of low-energy cells.
856   PLoS Biol 19:e3001194.
857   51.   Shan Y, Brown Gandt A, Rowe SE, Deisinger JP, Conlon BP, Lewis K. 2017. ATP-
858   Dependent Persister Formation in Escherichia coli. mBio 8.
859   52.   El Meouche I, Dunlop MJ. 2018. Heterogeneity in efflux pump expression predisposes
860   antibiotic-resistant cells to mutation. Science 362:686-690.
861   53.   Huo W, Busch LM, Hernandez-Bird J, Hamami E, Marshall CW, Geisinger E, Cooper
862   VS, van Opijnen T, Rosch JW, Isberg RR. 2022. Immunosuppression broadens
863   evolutionary pathways to drug resistance and treatment failure during Acinetobacter
864   baumannii pneumonia in mice. Nat Microbiol 7:796-809.
865   54.   Martin M. 2011. Cutadapt removes adapter sequences from high-throughput sequencing
866   reads. 2011 17:3.
867   55.   van Opijnen T, Camilli A. 2013. Transposon insertion sequencing: a new tool for
868   systems-level analysis of microorganisms. Nat Rev Microbiol 11:435-42.
869   56.   Benjamini Y, & Hochberg, Y. 1995. Controlling the false discovery rate: a practical and
870   powerful approach to multiple testing. Journal of the Royal Statistical Society Series B
871   (Methodological):289-300.

A. baumannii harboring **12,000** sgRNA library

Target sgRNA x 406 essential gene targets

1hr

T1

Uninduced
Ind, Untx
Ind, Ciptx

7-8 gens

T2

Evaluate the fitness of hypomorphs

Determine essential gene targets that will synergize with FQ

Identify conditionally essential candidates in high-level FQR strains

1 "perfect" guide   5'-agttcgtcctcaatggcaatcggt-3'

                            5'-agttcgtcctcaatggcaatcgat-3'

23 mismatched

                            5'-agttcgtcctcaagggcaatcggt-3'

5 shuffles   5'-gtatccgtgtgggttaaccatacc-3'

+ additional pool shuffle sgRNAs

Fig 1

Fig 2

Fig 3

Fig 4

Fig 5

A

GPL GPS GPN WT

8 1

15 19 1

9 5

Ciptx vs. Untx

kdsD/kpsF	
cmk	*rimM*
lpxD	*rpoA*
lpxC	*fmt*
lptG	*rnpA*
lptABC	
kdtA/waaA	

bamD
atpF
atpI
pgk
der/engA

Statistically Significant Fitness Differences

≥ 0.2

≤ -0.2

B

GPL GPS

23 0 0

22 0

GPN

Ciptx FQR vs WT

lpxD
lpxC
rimM

	der/engA
cmk	*atpF*
lptABC	*fmt*
lptG	*rpoA*
bamD	*rnpA*
kdtA/waaA	*pgk*
kdsD/kpsF	*atpI*

Fig 6

Fig 7

Supp Fig 1

Supp Fig 2

Supp Fig 3

A

B

Supp Fig 4

Supp Fig 4