Phenylketonuria: modelling cerebral amino acid and neurotransmitter metabolism

Agnieszka B. Wegrzyn[1$], Danique van Vliet[2$], Karen van Eunen[1], M. Rebecca Heiner-Fokkema[3], Eddy A. van der Zee[4], Francjan J. van Spronsen[2#], Barbara M. Bakker[1#]

[$, #]equal contributions

[1] Systems Medicine of Metabolism and Signalling, Laboratory of Paediatrics, University of Groningen, University Medical Centre Groningen, Groningen, The Netherlands

[2] Division of Metabolic Diseases, Beatrix Children's Hospital, University of Groningen, University Medical Center Groningen, Groningen, The Netherlands.

[3] Department of Laboratory Medicine, University of Groningen, University Medical Center Groningen, Groningen The Netherlands.

[4] Groningen Institute for Evolutionary Life Sciences (GELIFES), Department of Molecular Neurobiology, University of Groningen, Groningen, The Netherlands.

ABSTRACT

Objective

Phenylketonuria (PKU) is a metabolic disorder characterised by deficient hepatic
phenylalanine hydroxylase activity, leading to elevated phenylalanine levels. Despite
adherence to a phenylalanine-restricted diet, many adult PKU patients continue to experience
executive function deficits, likely linked to high cerebral phenylalanine concentrations and
deficiencies in monoaminergic neurotransmitters. Given the complexity of the interaction
between diet and brain neurotransmitter metabolism, we employed computational metabolic
modelling alongside experimental data from dietary intervention studies in PKU mice to
identify key metabolic drivers underlying these deficits. Our goal was to provide a mechanistic,
model-based foundation to support and optimise dietary therapies in PKU.

Method

We developed a computational model simulating large neutral amino acid (LNAA) transport
across the blood-brain barrier and the subsequent metabolism of cerebral amino acids and
monoaminergic neurotransmitters. The model was validated using direct measurements of
brain amino acid concentrations in PKU mice subjected to various dietary regimens.

Results

The model predicts that cerebral amino acid levels are primarily influenced by their plasma
concentrations and, to a lesser extent, by competition among LNAAs for transport mechanisms.

Notably, it suggests that cerebral monoaminergic neurotransmitter levels are more significantly
affected by elevated phenylalanine levels, likely through non-competitive inhibition of
hydroxylase enzymes, than by the availability of precursor amino acids. Consequently, the
model indicates that reducing phenylalanine levels, in conjunction with supplementing tyrosine
and tryptophan, is more effective in restoring neurotransmitter levels than precursor
supplementation alone.

Conclusion

This study presents the first comprehensive model integrating LNAA transport and cerebral
neurotransmitter metabolism in PKU. The model enhances our understanding of the disease's
pathophysiology and highlights the importance of combined therapeutic strategies that target
both phenylalanine reduction and precursor amino acid supplementation. Furthermore, it
identifies knowledge gaps in LNAA transport mechanisms and offers a framework applicable
to other neurological disorders involving diet-gene-neurotransmitter interactions.

KEY WORDS

Phenylketonuria (PKU), blood-brain-barrier, large neutral amino acids (LNAA),
neurotransmitter metabolism, computational modelling, dietary therapy, systems biology

INTRODUCTION

Phenylketonuria (PKU; OMIM 261600) is the classic example of an inborn error of amino acid
metabolism. It is caused by a deficiency of the hepatic phenylalanine hydroxylase (PAH),
which converts phenylalanine into tyrosine [1]. As the distinguishment between 'PKU',
'classical PKU' and other forms of PAH deficiency is rather unclear, we here refer to PAH
deficiency causing cerebral pathophysiology referred to as 'PKU'. If left untreated, high
plasma phenylalanine rather than low tyrosine concentrations have been associated with PKU
symptomatology. The latter is almost exclusively restricted to brain functioning, including
severe intellectual disability, seizures, motor deficits, and psychiatric problems. Today,
neonatal screening allows PKU diagnosis and initiation of treatment shortly after birth. The
cornerstone of the treatment is to reduce phenylalanine concentrations in blood and brain by a
severe phenylalanine-restricted diet. This diet consists of three parts: 1) a diet very low in
natural protein; 2) a protein substitute, supplementing all amino acids except phenylalanine
(and tyrosine-enriched) and other micronutrients that are normally present in high natural
protein containing food, and 3) low-protein food (that especially supplies patients with energy)
[2,3].

Additionally, some patients respond to tetrahydrobiopterin supplementation.
Tetrahydrobiopterin is a natural co-substrate of hepatic PAH, and of (cerebral) tyrosine and
tryptophan hydroxylases. In addition, to being their redox co-substrate, it may act as a
pharmaceutical chaperone of PAH, supporting its conformational stability and preventing
degradation [3,4]. Furthermore, in 2018 FDA has approved an injectable pegylated
phenylalanine ammonia lyase, which can lower the phenylalanine levels in most patients.
However, the leads to several, difficult to manage side effects [3]. While the phenylalanine-
restricted diet and existing pharmacological treatments can prevent severe intellectual
disability [5], the clinical outcome remains suboptimal and warrants additional/alternative
pathophysiology-based treatment strategies [1].

In PKU pathophysiology, the blood-brain barrier (BBB) is considered to play a central role
[6,7]. Phenylalanine, as well as all other large neutral amino acids (LNAA), such as tyrosine
and tryptophan, are exchanged across the BBB by the large neutral amino acid transporter 1
(LAT1) [8]. This causes competition between the different LNAA for LAT1. Consequently,
excessive plasma phenylalanine concentrations may not only lead to increased brain
phenylalanine levels but also outcompete the transport of other LNAA across the BBB and
thereby impair their brain availability [9–11]. While high brain phenylalanine levels are
neurotoxic and affect brain metabolism [12–16], insufficient brain availability of non-
phenylalanine LNAA has been related to impaired cerebral protein synthesis [11,17].
Moreover, tyrosine and tryptophan are the precursors for the cerebral monoaminergic
neurotransmitters dopamine, norepinephrine, and serotonin, respectively [18]. High cerebral
phenylalanine content is known to inhibit (cerebral) tyrosine and tryptophan hydroxylases,
which are the enzymes performing the rate-limiting steps in the synthesis of dopamine and
serotonin [19–22]. Thus, a combination of high phenylalanine and low tyrosine and tryptophan
may lead to low concentrations of monoaminergic neurotransmitters. This has been suggested
to play an important role in the mood and psychosocial problems of PKU patients [23–25].
However, while phenylalanine neurotoxicity, impaired cerebral protein synthesis and reduced
cerebral monoaminergic neurotransmitter synthesis have all been associated with brain
dysfunction in PKU patients [6]. Both cerebral protein synthesis and cerebral monoaminergic
neurotransmitter synthesis show correlations with the plasma phenylalanine concentration that
comprises the main treatment target and biomarker in today's PKU management.

Based on this pathophysiological concept, supplementation of non-phenylalanine LNAA
instead of restricting dietary phenylalanine intake has been suggested as a possible alternative
non-pharmaceutical treatment strategy [6,26]. Such LNAA treatment has been shown in PKU
mice to (1) reduce brain phenylalanine, (2) increase brain non-phenylalanine LNAA, and (3)

increase brain monoaminergic neurotransmitter concentrations [27]. To ultimately establish the
adequate dose of different LNAA, a better understanding of the pathophysiology of brain
dysfunction in PKU, and especially of the relationships between plasma and brain amino acid
concentrations, and between these amino acid and monoamine concentrations, is essential.

The competition of amino acids for the LAT1 transporter and the involvement of additional
amino acid transporters complicate the understanding of the effect of different diets on the
brain amino acid and neurotransmitter composition. Such complex systems can be studied
holistically using computational models. Two distinct modelling approaches exist that allow
mechanistic studies of metabolic networks: 1) genome-scale constraint-based models, and 2)
kinetic, ordinary differential equation (ODE)-type models. The genome-scale models comprise
the entirety of the known metabolic network, including reaction stoichiometry and mass and
charge balance. However, due to their scale and in contrast to kinetic models, they lack kinetic
information and regulation [28]. Kinetic models usually represent only smaller pathways, due
to their increased mechanical complexity and difficulty of obtaining accurate kinetic
parameters [29].

To address the problem of substrate competition for LAT1 amino acid transport in PKU,
several computational models have been constructed that describe the kinetic behaviour of
pathways involved in neurotransmitter metabolism or LNAA transport across the BBB [30–
35]. However, none of these models integrates the dopaminergic and serotonergic pathways in
the brain with the amino acid transport across the BBB. Constraint-based stoichiometric
reconstructions of human metabolism, such as Recon 2 and Recon 3D, do include amino acid
and neurotransmitter metabolism. Recon 2 correctly reproduced the elevated phenylalanine
levels in PKU patients [36]. Recon 2 and its successor Recon 3D [37], however, lack the kinetic
information that is required to grasp the impact of substrate competition for the LAT1 exchange
transporter and the possible existence of other transporters or exchangers. Therefore, to study
the consequences of the substrate competition for the LAT1 transporter, we decided to
construct a kinetic, ODE-type model.

Here we present the first, detailed, kinetic model of LNAA transport across the BBB, together
with the brain dopaminergic and serotonergic metabolic pathways. In this model, we studied
the effects of dietary interventions on brain amino acid composition, neurotransmitter
metabolism, and protein synthesis in PKU, as related to experimental studies in PKU mice.
The model was validated by comparison to a comprehensive dataset from our own research
group consisting of plasma and brain amino acid and monoaminergic neurotransmitter levels
in PKU mice subjected to several dietary interventions. Furthermore, we simulated the impact
of modulating individual dietary amino acids concentrations and the way this could alleviate

the pathophysiological cascade towards brain dysfunction as observed in PKU. Since the model

is generic, it can be readily applied to other inherited defects of amino acid metabolism and

neurological disorders in which the relation between amino acid and neurotransmitter

metabolism and the transport across the BBB is important, such as Tyrosinemia type 1 [38],

maple syrup urine disease, urea cycle defects, depression [39], autism [40], Alzheimer's [41],

and Parkinson's disease [42].

MATERIALS AND METHODS

ETHICS STATEMENT

130 Experiments were approved by the Ethics Committees for Animal Experiments of the

131 University of Groningen (Permit Number: 6504D).

COMPUTATIONAL METHODS

The computational model, consisting of a set of 26 Ordinary Differential Equations (ODEs),

was built and analysed in Copasi 4.39 [43]. COPASI is a widely used open open-source

software package used in modelling biological systems, because it enables easy construction,

simulation, and analysis of the models. It has a graphical user interface (GUI) that enables non-

expert programmers to study metabolic networks behaviours using many built-in tools for the

optimisation, parameter scans, steady state analysis, local sensitivity analysis and metabolic

control analysis. Time simulations were performed using the LSODA algorithm for a duration

of 100 s simulation time, with relative tolerance of $1 \cdot 10^{-6}$, absolute tolerance of $1 \cdot 10^{-12}$, and

maximally 10,000 internal steps. Steady states were calculated using a combination of

methods, according to the default settings in Copasi. The solutions fulfilled the criterion that

all-time derivatives of metabolite concentrations approached zero ($< 10^{-11}$). No alternative

steady states were found when different initial metabolite concentrations were used. As an

input for the steady-state algorithm, the endpoint of the time simulation was used. The detailed

model description is available in Text S1. Copasi's 'Sensitivities' algorithm was used to

calculate the response coefficients of brain metabolite concentrations to changes in model

parameters and blood amino acid concentrations. The model is publicly available together with

all the supplementary data in our GitHub repository (https://github.com/WegrzynAB/Papers/

in the folder "2024_mouse_PKU_model_diets").

INDEPENDENT TEST AND VALIDATION

As a part of our standard quality control procedures, the model was independently tested by

another researcher to assure that the results are reproducible, and the model description (Text

S1) agrees to the Copasi script. A thorough comparison between model description and Copasi
file was made to check the correctness of all equations and parameter values. Subsequently, a
subset of important model simulations was repeated to check if the output reproduced the
presented results.

DIETARY INTERVENTIONS IN MICE

Experiments were performed in BTBR *Pah-enu2* (PKU) and corresponding WT mice, as
previously described [19]. In brief, male and female PKU mice received 1 of 5 different LNAA
supplemented diets beginning at postnatal day 45. Control groups included PKU mice
receiving an isonitrogenic and isocaloric high-protein diet, and PKU and WT mice receiving
normal chow. After 6 weeks, brain and plasma amino acid profiles and brain monoaminergic
neurotransmitter concentrations were measured.

BIOCHEMICAL ANALYSIS

Cerebrum and blood samples were processed for the analyses of brain and plasma amino acid
and monoamine concentrations, as described previously [27]. Monoamines and related
metabolites analysed in the brain included dopamine, norepinephrine, 3-methoxytyramine and
normetanephrine in the catecholamine pathway, and serotonin and 5-hydroxyindoleacetic acid
(5-HIAA) in the serotonergic pathway.

RESULTS

MODEL CONSTRUCTION

We constructed a computational model that describes the transport of LNAA across the BBB
and the metabolism of cerebral amino acid and monoamines in mice (Fig.1). The computational
model consists of ordinary differential equations (ODEs) and is based on biochemical rate
equations. It is focused on the transport of phenylalanine, tyrosine, and tryptophan between
blood and brain and on their cerebral metabolism, but also includes the transport of other
LNAA to fulfil the requirements for cerebral protein synthesis. We defined three
compartments: 1) blood, with fixed amino acid concentrations; 2) the BBB, a cell layer with
active protein synthesis, transport processes, and variable amino acid concentrations; and 3)
brain, with active protein synthesis [11], transport reactions, monoamine metabolism, and
variable metabolite concentrations. Fig.1 gives an overview of all enzymatic reactions and
transport processes in the model.

Figure 1. Model of amino acid transport via the blood-brain-barrier and neurotransmitter metabolism inside the brain. The transporter LAT1 is twice as abundant on the luminal (blood-cell) side than on the abluminal (cell-brain) side of the blood-brain-barrier, while the y^+ transporter displays a reversed distribution. F – phenylalanine, Y – tyrosine, W – tryptophan, H- histidine, Q – glutamine, L – leucine, I -isoleucine, M – methionine, V – valine, T – threonine, 5-Hiaa – 5-Hydroxyindoleacetic acid.

The primary LNAA transporter at the BBB is LAT1. LAT1 is a Na^+- and pH-independent antiporter, which forms a heterodimeric complex with CD98 glycoprotein and exchanges one amino acid for another. According to Napolitano et al., LAT1 binds the two amino acids on the opposite sides of the membrane in a random order [34]. In the model, the mechanism of LAT1 is described by random-order two-substrates two-products kinetics. This kinetic equation has been extended to account for competition between all LAT1 substrates (Eq. 1 and 2 in Text S1). Since LAT1 is an antiporter, it does not lead to a net import of amino acids. However, due to the different affinities of LAT1 for specific amino acids, it leads to an altered composition of the amino acid pool. In contrast, the so-called y^+ system is a facilitated diffusion transporter, which catalyses the net transport of LNAA. This transporter shows the highest affinity towards cationic amino acids, but at the same time, it is inhibited by various LNAA [44]. Since no exact

mechanism is known, reversible Michaelis-Menten kinetics with an equilibrium constant of 1

and competition between the various substrates was used (Eq. 3 and 4 in Text S1). Finally, we

included the Na^+-dependent large neutral amino acid transporter (Na^+-LNAA), which actively

transports amino acids out of the brain. It transports a range of substrates similar to that of

LAT1, but in contrast to LAT1, Na^+-LNAA is only expressed on the abluminal side of the

BBB. Together with the y^+ system, Na^+-LNAA controls the total LNAA content of the brain

[45]. In our model, the Na^+-LNAA kinetics are described by an irreversible Michaelis-Menten

equation with competition between the various substrates (Eq. 5 in Text S1).

To account for the imbalance of cerebral monoamines, including neurotransmitters, in PKU

patients, we included monoamine metabolism in the model (Fig.1). It consists of two main

pathways: 1) tyrosine metabolism to L-dopa, dopamine (DA), norepinephrine (NE), 3-

methoxytyramine (3-MT), and normetanephrine (NMN); and 2) tryptophan metabolism to 4-

hydroxytryptophan (4-HTP), serotonin (HT) and 5-hydroxyindoleacetic acid (5-HIAA). The

first step in both pathways is catalysed by an amino acid hydroxylase. Both tyrosine

hydroxylase and tryptophan hydroxylase (TH and TPH2, respectively in Fig.1) are inhibited

non-competitively and competitively by phenylalanine [46]. Since phenylalanine is not only

an inhibitor, but also a substrate for these enzymes, it can be converted at a low rate to tyrosine

in the brain [47]. We modified the kinetic mechanism proposed by Ogawa and Ichinose [46]

to include not only the role of phenylalanine, but also competitive inhibition by L-dopa [48],

NE, and DA [49] for tyrosine hydroxylase, and by 4-HTP [50], L-dopa, and DA [51] for

tryptophan hydroxylase (Eq. 6 and 7 in Text S1). Tetrahydrobiopterin was assumed to be

available at a saturating concentration for both hydroxylases and therefore not included in the

model. Subsequent metabolic steps were described by Michaelis-Menten kinetics, with

substrate competition where applicable (see detailed description in Text S1).

Lastly, brain-protein synthesis is affected both in PKU patients [11] and in PAH-deficient

mouse models [17]. We included the synthesis of protein starting from the amino acids that

were already in the model. Implicitly, we thereby assumed the other amino acids to be present

in excess. The amino acid stoichiometry in protein synthesis was calculated from the mouse

exome [52]. The affinity constants (K_m values) used in the protein-biosynthesis equation reflect

the affinity of each of the amino acids to its cognate tRNA-ligase.

All parameters used in the model, except for the Vmax of LAT1, were taken from the literature.

Where available, we prioritised murine data, as specified in Table S2 in Text S1. All enzyme

rates were normalised per total mouse brain. The value of Vmax of LAT1 enzyme was found

by a manual fitting to the WT data, since no value was available that could be related reliably

to total mouse protein. Based on the above, we constructed a model of 26 variable metabolite

concentrations, 105 reactions, and 89 parameters. Model simulations predicted fluxes and

metabolite concentrations both as functions of time and at steady state. Detailed information

about the parameter values and their source can be found in the model description (Text S1).

EXPERIMENTAL VALIDATION OF THE MODEL: THE EFFECT OF DISEASE AND DIET

ON BRAIN AMINO ACIDS

To better understand the relationship between plasma amino acids and brain biochemistry in

PKU, we simulated the effect of different diets that were previously given to PKU mice [53].

The blood concentrations in the model were fixed and set to the values measured in the specific

mice groups (see Table S3 in Text S1). PAH, the defective enzyme in PKU, is expressed in the

liver and kidney, but not in the brain. The PKU model is therefore distinguished from the WT

model by the altered concentrations of amino acids in the blood compartment. Notably, blood

phenylalanine is high and blood tyrosine low in PKU compared to WT mice on normal chow

diet (Table S4).

Figure 2. Comparison between experimental data and model predictions for changes in the brain
levels of (A) phenylalanine, (B) tryptophan, and (C) tyrosine, in PKU mice with dietary
interventions, relative to the WT values. For the experimental data, each bar represents a mean (ns =
16) with a standard error of the man. Significant differences between diets and normal chow PKU mice
have been marked with *.

Our model distinguishes between the BBB compartment (called CELL) and the brain itself

(BR) (Fig.1). The experimental data used for model optimisation and validation, however, had

been obtained from total brain samples that also included the BBB. Therefore, we calculated as model output a weighted average of the concentrations in the CELL and BR compartments, considering the difference in the volume of these two compartments (for details see Text S1). Furthermore, since the modelled and experimental data for brain concentrations had different units (µmol/g wet weight and µM, respectively), we compared only values relative to the WT in both experimental data, and model predictions.

The simulated data resembled the experimental data for cerebral amino acid concentrations, based on low normalised difference scores. The most accurate predictions were obtained for glutamine, tyrosine and tryptophan (Fig.S1). The model simulations correctly predicted that cerebral phenylalanine accumulated in PKU mice compared to WT, when both were kept on a non-supplemented chow diet (black bars in Fig.2A). The accumulation of phenylalanine was larger in the model than in the experiment, which is also visible in its large relative difference score (Fig.S1). Nevertheless, the relative increase predicted by the model was within the values reported in the literature (Table 1). Furthermore, we observed a 17% decrease in the protein synthesis rate in the brain compartment and a 27% decrease in the BBB compartment in the PKU model compared to WT, in line with previously published experimental data in PKU mice [17] (Fig.S3).

Table 1. Comparison between the model predictions and literature data on cerebral amino acid and neurotransmitter levels. Relative (PKU/WT) values are shown. BTBR and C57BL/6 denominate the background mice strain, M and F are used to describe males and females, respectively.

	model	van Vliet et al.[25] BTBR	Pascucci et al.[46] BTBR	Scherer et al.[47] C57BL/6	Berguig et al.[48] C57BL/6	Winn et al.[49] C57BL/6	
		M + F	M	M	M	M	F
Phenylalanine	778%	414%	469%	609%	684%	648%	954%
Tyrosine	59%	66%	60%		69%	78%	103%
Tryptophan	87%	69%			70%	70%	89%
Dopamine	48%	85%	60%		75%	103%	78%
Norepinephrine	47%	61%	50%		59%		
Serotonin	23%	46%	35%	reduced	33%	57%	48%
5-HIAA	23%	28%		reduced	11%	43%	33%

Dietary supplementation of PKU mice with all LNAA (with or without threonine) reduced phenylalanine levels in the brain, similarly to what is seen in the experiment (light and dark blue versus black bars in Fig.2A). Furthermore, this intervention restored the protein synthesis rate in the model to the WT levels (Fig.S3). This may suggest that the other LNAAs compete effectively with phenylalanine for transport by LAT1 and other transporters, both in the model and the experiment. We note, however, that the blood concentrations of phenylalanine were

also lower in the LNAA supplemented groups (Table S4.4 and [27])). Supplementation of only
tyrosine and tryptophan had no effect on brain phenylalanine, neither in the model nor in the
experiment (yellow versus black bars in Fig.2A). Experimentally, supplementation of leucine
plus isoleucine reduced the phenylalanine concentration in the brain. This effect was attenuated
in the model (orange bars, Fig.2A). Previously, the strong impact of leucine and isoleucine was
attributed to their high affinities for LAT1 [54]. We have implemented these affinities in the
model. In line with this, supplementation of leucine and isoleucine increased their brain
concentrations substantially (Fig.S2.). Apparently, however, their high affinities are not
sufficient to explain their impact on brain phenylalanine in the experiments, suggesting that
leucine and isoleucine act at least in part via another unknown mechanism. Next, threonine
supplementation has been given in one study showing a decrease of phenylalanine in blood
hypothesising that this decrease in blood phenylalanine levels would also result in a decrease
in cerebral phenylalanine [55]. However, this effect was neither seen in experimental data nor
in model simulations (red bars, Fig.2A). Finally, a high protein diet served as a positive control
in which all amino acids are abundant. Experimental data, as well as model simulations,
showed a further increase in the brain phenylalanine levels in PKU mice on a high protein diet
(grey bars, Fig.2A).

Subsequently, the impact of the different diets on tryptophan and tyrosine in the brain was
accurately predicted by the model (Fig.2B and C). In both model and experiment, tryptophan
and tyrosine were most increased by the addition of these amino acids to the diet, while
selective supplementation of threonine or leucine plus isoleucine had no effect on the brain
concentrations of tryptophan or tyrosine. The brain concentrations of the other amino acids are
shown in Fig.S2. First, the concentration of glutamine did not change much on any of the
experimental diets in either model or experiment (Fig.S2A). The model missed, however, the
increased brain concentration of histidine that was experimentally found in the PKU mice
(Fig.S2B). The brain concentrations of leucine and isoleucine did not respond very strongly to
either PKU or dietary supplementation of other amino acids. This was qualitatively reproduced
by the model (Fig.S2C and D). However, the model predicted a much higher response of brain
leucine and isoleucine to supplementation with these amino acids (leucine+isoleucine diet)
than the experimental data showed. Finally, the brain concentrations of methionine plus valine
(MV) and threonine (Fig.S2E and F) showed similar profiles in the model simulations and the
mouse experiment. Particularly, the threonine concentration increased strongly in the brain if
supplemented in the diet, either with or without other LNAAs (dark blue and red bars in
Fig.S2). Furthermore, except for glutamine and histidine, we observed a correlation between

plasma and brain levels of all amino acids, both in the experimental data and in the model

predictions (Fig.S2).

EXPERIMENTAL VALIDATION OF THE MODEL: THE EFFECT OF DISEASE AND DIET

ON NEUROTRANSMITTERS

Subsequently, we compared the brain monoaminergic neurotransmitter levels between model

and experiments. The computational model correctly predicted the decrease in both tryptophan-

and tyrosine-derived neurotransmitter levels in PKU mice compared to the wild-type controls

(Fig.3,4, and Table 1). In general, the decrease was stronger in the model than in the

experiments, especially in the dopaminergic pathway (Fig.4, and Table 1). The experimental

data shows only a mild decrease in the dopamine levels, followed by a stronger response in the

norepinephrine and normetanephrine levels, whilst no change was seen in the 3-

methoxytyramine levels. This contrasts with our model predictions where all the dopaminergic

metabolites show the same decline in their levels in the PKU model compared with the WT

(Fig.4, and Table 1).

Figure 3. Model validation for the levels of the tryptophan-derived neurotransmitter serotonin

(A), and its metabolite 5-HIAA (B) in PKU mice with dietary interventions, relative to the WT

values. For the experimental data, each bar represents a mean (ns = 16) with a standard error of the

mean. Significant differences between diets and normal chow PKU mice have been marked with *.

Subsequently, we analysed the response of the neurotransmitter concentrations to different diets. Qualitatively, the tryptophan-derived neurotransmitters showed the same dietary profiles in experiments and simulations, but in the simulations the response was attenuated compared to the experiments (Fig.3). In both experiments and simulations serotonin and 5-hydroxyindoleacetic acid levels were effectively increased by supplementation with LNAA plus threonine (Fig.3). In the model, the LNAA diet with threonine increased these neurotransmitters slightly more than the LNAA diet without threonine. The difference was smaller, however, than the experimental error.

Figure 4. Model validation for the levels of the tyrosine-derived neurotransmitters: (A) dopamine, and (B) norepinephrine, as well as their metabolites: (C) 3-methoxytyramine, and (D) normetanephrine in PKU mice with dietary interventions, relative to the WT values. For the experimental data, each bar represents a mean (ns = 16) with a standard error of the mean. Significant differences between diets and normal chow PKU mice have been marked with *.

According to the model, the LNAA diet with threonine was the only diet with a strong stimulatory effect on the dopamine pathway (Fig. 4). The simulated tyrosine- plus tryptophan-enriched diet even further decreased the levels of the dopaminergic metabolites slightly if compared to the PKU without treatment. This is, however, not seen in the experimental data, which showed a modest increase over normal chow (PKU) in brain dopamine and norepinephrine on the tyrosine- plus tryptophan-enriched diet compared to normal chow. This

suggests that we miss a mechanism in the model that buffers the dopaminergic neurotransmitter

concentrations in mice *in vivo*.

BRAIN AMINO ACID AND NEUROTRANSMITTER LEVELS, AND PROTEIN

SYNTHESIS RATES ARE SENSITIVE TO THE BLOOD CONCENTRATIONS OF AMINO

ACIDS

In vivo, it is not feasible to assess the impact of each individual amino acid in the blood

systematically. Since the model provided a fairly good representation of the *in vivo* situation,

we calculated the response coefficients (Fig. 5A) of the clinically relevant output variables

towards changes in the concentrations of individual amino acids in the blood. A positive

response coefficient means that an increase of the blood amino acid concentration increases

the output variable, whereas a negative response coefficient would decrease it.

Figure 5. Brain levels of phenylalanine, tryptophan, and tyrosine are susceptible to the changes
in the corresponding blood levels of these amino acids in both (A) WT, and (B) PKU models. Bars
represent positive and negative response coefficients of brain phenylalanine, tyrosine, tryptophan, as
well as dopamine, serotonin, and protein synthesis, to the changes in the blood amino acid
concentrations.

The response coefficients were qualitatively similar between WT and PKU model predictions

(Fig. 5) as well as in the different diets (Fig. S5). However, in the PKU model, the dopamine

and serotonin levels responded more sensitively to the changes in individual amino acid

concentrations (cf. Fig. 5A and B). In the following, we will focus on the PKU model (Fig.

5B).

Blood phenylalanine had by far the strongest impact on its own brain concentration as well as
on that of the neurotransmitters. The brain tyrosine and tryptophan levels responded only
weakly to blood phenylalanine. Surprisingly, these amino acid levels were even increased by
increased blood phenylalanine (Fig 5 and Fig. S10). Furthermore, LAT1 reactions showed only
a minor concentration control coefficient for brain amino acid levels (Fig. S11). This suggests
that competition for LAT1, at least in the present model, is not a dominant factor for these
amino acids. The sensitive response of the neurotransmitters, but not of their precursors,
suggests that their synthesis is mostly affected by phenylalanine inhibition, rather than by the
lack of precursors, at least in the model.

Supplementation of tyrosine or tryptophan had a positive impact on their own brain levels, as
indicated by the relatively large, positive response coefficients. Neither had a strong effect on
the phenylalanine concentration, confirming that the interaction between phenylalanine on the
one hand and tyrosine and tryptophan on the other, was weak. Tryptophan had a positive impact
on serotonin, albeit less than the negative effect of phenylalanine. In contrast, the precursor
tyrosine had little effect on dopamine. Dopamine is overall less sensitive to blood amino acid
levels than serotonin. This may explain why the impact of PKU on dopamine is less than on
serotonin in the first place, both in experiments and model. A counterintuitive finding was the
negative response of dopamine to plasma tyrosine concentration in the WT, caused by substrate
inhibition of tyrosine hydroxylase by tyrosine (Fig. 5B and Fig. S6C). Tyrosine titration
showed that this effect was not present in the PKU model since the latter operated at the point
where substrate stimulation and substrate inhibition were just balanced (Fig. S6C).

The other amino acids, notably threonine, histidine, leucine, and isoleucine, reduced the brain
phenylalanine concentration. Individually they had a low response coefficient, but together
they could have a substantial impact (Fig. 5B). They also impacted positively on dopamine and
serotonin, presumably through alleviating the inhibition by phenylalanine. To test this
hypothesis, we modelled the impact of doubling the plasma concentrations of threonine,
histidine, leucine, and isoleucine (THLI perturbation) in the PKU normal chow diet.
Furthermore, we tested scenarios where only one of these AAs was increased so that the sum
of threonine, histidine, leucine, and isoleucine was the same as in the THLI perturbation.
Similarly, we tested the effect of a proportional increase in all non-phenylalanine LNAAs. Last,
we tested extension of THLI perturbation by additional supplementation of tyrosine and
tryptophan to their WT normal chow plasma levels. As response coefficient analysis suggested,
THLI perturbation was able to substantially decrease the brain phenylalanine levels more than
any of the amino acids alone (Fig. S12A). However, the effect of THLI perturbation on brain

levels of neurotransmitters was only minimal (Fig. S12J-O). Since the leucine only diet showed

the least improvement, we decided to test a scenario where only threonine, histidine, and

isoleucine (THI perturbation) were added (maintaining the sum of threonine, histidine, and

isoleucine equal to the one in THLI perturbation). With THI only supplementation, we saw an

even bigger decrease in phenylalanine levels as well as an increase in neurotransmitter levels

(Fig S12A and J-O). Additional supplementation of tyrosine and tryptophan in both THLI and

THI perturbations showed a further decrease in phenylalanine and an increase in

neurotransmitter levels (Fig S12A and J-O).

Furthermore, all amino acids are shown to stimulate protein synthesis in the brain with histidine

and isoleucine having the biggest impact in the PKU model (Fig 5B). A drawback of

supplementation of these amino acids, however, would be their negative impact on the brain

concentrations of tyrosine and tryptophan, most likely through competition for LAT1.

However, this may be alleviated by an increase in the tyrosine and tryptophan in the diet

(Fig.S12). Interestingly, histidine-only perturbation showed that at high brain histidine

concentrations the weak inhibition of DBH enzyme by histidine could lead to a reduction of

norepinephrine and normetanephrine levels while dopamine levels remain stable Fig. S12J-M).

INCREASE IN THE BLOOD PHENYLALANINE LEVELS ALONE DOES NOT DECREASE THE

BRAIN TYROSINE AND TRYPTOPHAN LEVELS, BUT IT DOES INHIBIT THE SYNTHESIS

OF NEUROTRANSMITTERS.

In PKU mice, the blood concentrations of multiple amino acids were changed simultaneously

compared to the WT. To disentangle the effect of the increased phenylalanine concentration

from that of the other amino acids, we performed an *in silico* experiment. To this end, all blood

amino acid concentrations were fixed at the levels measured in either WT or PKU mice without

dietary supplementation. Then, Phe was increased to PKU level in the WT (Phe 1803,

corresponding to 1803 μM), or to WT level in the PKU model (Phe 304, corresponding to 304

μM) (Fig. 6). Brain phenylalanine accumulates in response to the change in the blood

phenylalanine levels as expected (cf. WT Phe 304 to WT 1803; or PKU Phe 304 to PKU 1803

in Fig. 6A). In contrast, brain phenylalanine did not respond to other amino acids that were

altered in PKU (cf. WT Phe 304 to PKU Phe 304; or WT Phe 1803 to PKU Phe 1803 in Fig.

6A). Tyrosine and tryptophan hardly responded to the change in phenylalanine but decreased

in response to alterations of the other amino acids in PKU (cf. WT to PKU at either Phe

concentration in Fig. 6A).

Figure 6. Changes to the brain phenylalanine, tyrosine, tryptophan, dopamine, and serotonin levels in response to the blood phenylalanine increase/decrease in WT and PKU model simulations (A), in comparison with the experimental data from mice (B). WT or PKU indicate that all blood amino acid concentrations except those of phenylalanine were equal to those measured in WT or PKU, respectively. Phe 304 indicates that the WT phenylalanine concentration of 304 μM was used, whereas Phe 1803 indicates that the PKU phenylalanine concentration of 1803 μM was used.

The brain neurotransmitters decreased strongly in response to the increased blood phenylalanine levels (Fig. 6A and Fig. S7C and D) in line with the experimental data (Fig. 6B). However, the dopamine to serotonin ratio in the model was opposite to that of the one seen in the experimental data (Fig. 6B). Even when the blood concentrations of tyrosine and tryptophan were doubled or quadrupled, the strong inhibition of dopamine and serotonin levels by phenylalanine synthesis persisted (Fig. S7-S9). Only when blood phenylalanine concentration was lowered to 750 μM (Phe 750, halfway between WT and PKU values) the

465 combined addition of tyrosine and tryptophan had a positive effect on serotonin, while
466 dopamine levels were still lower than in the WT (Phe 750, Fig. S9).

DISCUSSION

In this work, we present the first dynamic model that links the LNAA transport system across
the BBB to the subsequent brain neurotransmitter metabolism and protein synthesis (Fig. 1).
In particular, we included extensive competition between the substrates of the LAT1
transporter across the BBB. The model was designed to ultimately understand and optimise
how dietary treatment affects the brain biochemistry in PKU patients.

As the mouse brain is experimentally more accessible than the human brain, and PKU mouse
models closely resemble the genetics, biochemistry, and neurobiology of human PKU, the
detailed mechanism of PKU pathophysiology has mostly been studied in PKU mice. Therefore,
the model was based on data from the BTBR mouse strain and validated against data of the
PKU mice in the same background strain. Considering the large number and heterogeneity of
the kinetic parameters (Table S2) the correspondence between model predictions and
experimental data was remarkably good. Moreover, while not all metabolic fates of Phe,
tyrosine and tryptophan were included in the model, we included the most important metabolic
pathways. Kinetic parameters were not fitted to the desired outcome but based on biochemical
data of specific enzymes. Models of this type give insight in how complete our biochemical
knowledge is to understand functional properties, such as the neurotransmitter levels [56,57].

Qualitatively, the model largely reproduced the impact of PKU and most of the dietary
treatments on brain biochemistry (Figures 2-4, and Table 1) as seen in the mice with PKU. The
most conspicuous exception was the leucine plus isoleucine diet, which reduced phenylalanine
levels in PKU mouse experiments, but barely in the PKU model. The affinity of LAT1 for
leucine and isoleucine in the model was high, in accordance with the biochemical data, and
these amino acids readily crossed the BBB. Yet, their calculated impact on phenylalanine
uptake was limited. This discrepancy led us to conclude that leucine and isoleucine probably
affect brain phenylalanine levels via another mechanism besides the simple competition for
LAT1. So far, existing research on the metabolic role of branched-chain amino acids shows
that leucine can stimulate protein synthesis and decrease protein breakdown [58,59]. Indeed,
in our simulations, we saw a small increase in protein synthesis rate in leucine and isoleucine
diet (Fig. S3). Since phenylalanine is more abundant than tyrosine and tryptophan in mouse
protein [52], stimulation of protein synthesis could decrease free phenylalanine levels, without
depleting the free tyrosine and tryptophan levels. Another possibility might be that LAT1 is

not the only transporter but that other transporters - such as LAT2 of which the function and
the location of in brain is still debated - are not sufficiently considered.

Another qualitative discrepancy between model and experiment was the finding that the
tyrosine plus tryptophan diet increased the neurotransmitter levels experimentally, but not in
the model. This might well be explained by the fact that, in the model, brain phenylalanine
levels in PKU compared to WT were much more increased than seen in the PKU mouse
experiments. On the contrary, the reduction of brain tyrosine and tryptophan levels in PKU
compared to WT in the model was less than observed in the mouse experiments.

Quantitatively, the calculated effect of PKU on most brain metabolites in the model was larger
than the measured effects in the mouse experiments, with was especially true for brain
phenylalanine levels. The fundamental reason why a quantitative agreement is beyond reach at
this stage could be the compartmentation of the brain. The available validation data are in
μmol/g wet weight of the total brain, whereas the model predicts local concentrations in
μmol/L. The model already includes some compartmentation, specifically the blood
compartment, the endothelial cells of the BBB, and the brain itself. To relate the model
outcome to the validation dataset, a weighted average between the BBB and the brain
compartment was made and an estimate of the cytosolic volume relative to the brain wet
weight. Most likely, the uncertainty in this conversion is an important reason for the
quantitative discrepancy. Naturally, uncertainties in the biochemical parameters may also play
a role, taken into account that only few of the parameters were mouse specific. However, not
all the parameters exert strong control on the brain concentrations of neurotransmitters and
amino acids, and in general, we found the biochemical literature of high quality. Further
progress could be made by using an intermediate experimental system that is closer to the
model. Taslimifar et al. [30,35] proposed further compartmentation of their brain model into
blood, endothelial cells, cerebral spinal fluid, and dopaminergic and serotonergic neurons. To
validate such a model experimentally, novel organ-on-chip technology holds great promise,
particularly since different tissue- and or cell-type-specific chips can be coupled functionally
[60,61].

At this stage, the qualitative agreement between model and mice experiments already allows
us to interrogate the model. For many mechanistic and clinical questions, it is sufficient to rank
the impact of different interventions and to predict in which direction they could work. Given
the complexity of the system, modelling can provide non-trivial answers. A particular
advantage is that, in a model, the impact of individual amino acids in the blood can be

investigated one by one, in contrast to the different diets which change multiple amino acid
levels in the blood at the same time.

Firstly, the model correctly predicted the altered brain levels of amino acids in PKU (Fig. 2).
Notably, the phenylalanine concentration was increased, whereas tyrosine and tryptophan were
decreased in the brain. The model suggests that the decrease of cerebral tyrosine and tryptophan
is not primarily due to competition with the high phenylalanine concentration for the LAT1
transporter. Rather, tyrosine and tryptophan were already decreased in the blood (Table S4).
According to the model, this decrease of blood tyrosine and tryptophan appeared to be a
prerequisite for the decrease of their cerebral concentrations (Fig. 6). The lower blood levels
of tyrosine in PKU mice and patients can be attributed to impaired production in the liver due
to the PAH deficiency, but may also be caused by alterations the intestinal uptake, altered
microbiota composition or metabolism [62,63]. The lower blood levels of tryptophan in PKU
mice and patients are less well understood, but altered metabolism of tryptophan via the
kynurenine pathway has been suggested to play a role [64].

Second, the model qualitatively reproduced the decline of brain neurotransmitter levels that
was observed in PKU mice [19,65]. The fact that the dopamine pattern mimics that of tyrosine,
while the serotonin pattern mimics that of tryptophan, may seem to suggest that
neurotransmitter levels are controlled by precursor levels. However, the response coefficients
in the model (Fig. 5) showed the opposite: both cerebral serotonin and dopamine were strongly
negatively controlled by blood phenylalanine, most likely via the strong negative inhibition of
tyrosine and tryptophan hydroxylases by phenylalanine (Fig. 1).

Third, the response coefficients showed that isoleucine, leucine, histidine, threonine, and
tyrosine all contributed individually to a reduction of the phenylalanine levels and an increase
of neurotransmitter levels in the brain of PKU mice (Fig. 5, Fig. S12). This is surprising since
neither the leucine plus isoleucine nor the threonine diet affected brain phenylalanine and
neurotransmitter levels in the model (Fig. 2). We should keep in mind, however, that the effect
of individual amino acids that compete for LAT1 was very small compared to that of
phenylalanine. When supplemented together, however, as in the complete LNAA
supplementation, they have a strong impact (Fig. 2 and 3, Fig. S12).

A final striking result was the weak, but significantly positive, effect of blood phenylalanine
on the brain concentrations of tyrosine and tryptophan in the model. This was seen in the
positive response coefficients of blood phenylalanine on tryptophan in PKU (Figure 5B) as
well as in a minor increase of tryptophan and tyrosine when the impact of elevated

phenylalanine in PKU was simulated without the concomitant decrease of the other amino

acids (Figure 6A). The effect can be explained from the fact that LAT1 is an antiporter;

phenylalanine does not only compete for LAT1 at the blood side but also serves as a counter

metabolite at the brain side as seen in the increased rates of tyrosine and tryptophan transport

to the brain with an increase of phenylalanine (Figure S10). The inverse effect was not

observed: when tryptophan or tyrosine were increased separately in the model, their negative

effect through competition for LAT1 dominated the uptake of phenylalanine (Figure 5B).

What do these results mean for a clinical application of the LNAA diet? To answer this

question, we must emphasise that we did not simulate the altered diets used in the PKU mice

per se, but rather the impact of altered blood concentrations of amino acids on the brain in the

PKU mice. For a more complete insight into the impact of diets, we should also include

intestinal uptake and passage through the liver. Nevertheless, the model may help to pinpoint

blood amino acids that are important to monitor and optimise in LNAA treatment.

Our present modelling confirmed that the clinical importance of reduction of blood

phenylalanine is not only to avoid direct phenylalanine toxicity in the brain but also - albeit to

an unknown degree of importance - to reduce the inhibition of tyrosine and tryptophan

hydroxylases by cerebral phenylalanine. Furthermore, we gave a theoretical underpinning of

the LNAA diet: even though each individual amino acid had a small effect on brain

phenylalanine, together they had a strong impact. On the other hand, some discrepancies have

been identified between our previous experimental data and the current modelling. These

discrepancies reveal the gaps in our current understanding of the pathophysiological

mechanisms underlying brain amino acid and neurotransmitter deficiencies in PKU. Our work

suggests that future studies should focus on the mechanism through which the leucine plus

isoleucine diet reduces brain phenylalanine, on brain compartmentation, and on the kinetic

regulation of neurotransmitter biosynthesis. Increased future understanding of these

mechanisms should aim to provide an even more solid advice on the optimal LNAA content

for trials in PKU patients.

In conclusion, this first detailed, dynamic model of the LNAA transport and subsequent brain

neurotransmitter metabolism gives a good, albeit qualitative, description of the impact of

dietary treatment of PKU mice. In the future, it may be optimised towards the human patient

situation. This can be readily done by changing the model parameters to be human-specific,

since the biochemical architecture of the network is thought to be the comparable between mice

and man [66]. Moreover, its generic nature makes it applicable to other diseases in which the

balance of amino acids and neurotransmitters is affected, such as Alzheimer's [41] or Parkinson's Disease [42], depression [39], and autism [40].

FUNDING

Agnieszka B. Wegrzyn and Barbara M. Bakker reports financial support was provided by Marie Curie Initial Training Networks (ITN) action PerFuMe, project number 316723. Barbara M. Bakker reports financial support was provided by the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under the Marie Skłodowska-Curie grant agreement No 812968.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

Francjan van Spronsen reports a relationship with Agios, AlltRNA, Arla Food Int, BioMarin, Eurocept, Homology, Illumina, LogicBio, Lucane, Nestle-Codexis Alliance, Moderna, Nutricia, Orphan Europe, Origin Biosciences, Travere, Ultragenyx, and Sanofi that includes: board membership. Francjan van Spronsen reports a relationship with BioMarin, ESPKU, NPKUA, NPKUV, Nutricia, Sobi, Tyrosinemia Foundation, and ZonMW that includes: funding grants. Francjan van Spronsen reports a relationship with Applied Pharma Research SA, Alexion, Axcella, BioMarin, LogicBio, Nutricia, Orphan Europe, Pluvia Biotech, and PTC that includes: consulting or advisory. Francjan van Spronsen reports a relationship with BioMarin, Nutricia, Vitaflo, and Applied Pharma Research SA that includes: speaking and lecture fees. If there are other authors, they declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

REFERENCES

1. Van Spronsen FJ, Blau N, Harding C, Burlina A, Longo N, Bosch AM. Phenylketonuria. Nat Rev Dis Primers. 2021;7: 36. doi:10.1038/s41572-021-00267-0

2. Daly A, Evans S, Pinto A, Ashmore C, MacDonald A. Protein Substitutes in PKU; Their Historical Evolution. Nutrients. 2021;13: 484. doi:10.3390/nu13020484

3. Bailey NA, Mackay L. Phenylketonuria—Past, Present, and Future Directions. OBM Genetics. 2024;08: 256. doi:10.21926/obm.genet.2403256

4. Thöny B, Ding Z, Martínez A. Tetrahydrobiopterin protects phenylalanine hydroxylase activity in vivo: Implications for tetrahydrobiopterin-responsive hyperphenylalaninemia. FEBS Letters. 2004;577: 507–511. doi:10.1016/j.febslet.2004.10.056

5. Evers RAF, Vliet D, Spronsen FJ. Tetrahydrobiopterin treatment in phenylketonuria: A
repurposing approach. Jrnl of Inher Metab Disea. 2020;43: 189–199.
doi:10.1002/jimd.12151

6. van Spronsen FJ, Hoeksma M, Reijngoud D-J. Brain dysfunction in phenylketonuria: Is
phenylalanine toxicity the only possible cause? Journal of Inherited Metabolic Disease.
2009;32: 46–51. doi:10.1007/s10545-008-0946-2

7. de Groot MJ, Hoeksma M, Blau N, Reijngoud DJ, van Spronsen FJ. Pathogenesis of
cognitive dysfunction in phenylketonuria: Review of hypotheses☆. Molecular Genetics
and Metabolism. 2010;99: S86–S89. doi:10.1016/j.ymgme.2009.10.016

8. Smith QR. Transport of Glutamate and Other Amino Acids at the Blood-Brain Barrier. The
Journal of Nutrition. 2000;130: 1016S-1022S. doi:10.1093/jn/130.4.1016S

9. Möller HE, Weglage J, Bick U, Wiedermann D, Feldmann R, Ullrich K. Brain imaging and
proton magnetic resonance spectroscopy in patients with phenylketonuria. Pediatrics.
2003;112: 1580–3.

10. Landvogt C, Mengel E, Bartenstein P, Buchholz HG, Schreckenberger M, Siessmeier T, et
al. Reduced Cerebral Fluoro-l-Dopamine Uptake in Adult Patients Suffering from
Phenylketonuria. Journal of Cerebral Blood Flow & Metabolism. 2008;28: 824–831.
doi:10.1038/sj.jcbfm.9600571

11. de Groot MJ, Hoeksma M, Reijngoud D-J, de Valk HW, Paans AMJ, Sauer PJJ, et al.
Phenylketonuria: reduced tyrosine brain influx relates to reduced cerebral protein
synthesis. Orphanet journal of rare diseases. 2013;8: 133. doi:10.1186/1750-1172-8-133

12. de Groot MJ, Sijens PE, Reijngoud D-J, Paans AM, van Spronsen FJ. Phenylketonuria: brain
phenylalanine concentrations relate inversely to cerebral protein synthesis. Journal of
cerebral blood flow and metabolism. 2015;35: 200–205. doi:10.1038/jcbfm.2014.183

13. Martynyuk AE, Glushakov AV, Sumners C, Laipis PJ, Dennis DM, Seubert CN. Impaired
glutamatergic synaptic transmission in the PKU brain. Molecular Genetics and
Metabolism. 2005;86: 34–42. doi:10.1016/j.ymgme.2005.06.014

14. Glushakov AV, Glushakova O, Varshney M, Bajpai LK, Sumners C, Laipis PJ, et al. Long-
term changes in glutamatergic synaptic transmission in phenylketonuria. Brain: A Journal
of Neurology. 2005;128: 300–307. doi:10.1093/brain/awh354

15. Hörster F, Schwab MA, Sauer SW, Pietz J, Hoffmann GF, Okun JG, et al. Phenylalanine
reduces synaptic density in mixed cortical cultures from mice. Pediatric Research.
2006;59: 544–548. doi:10.1203/01.pdr.0000203091.45988.8d

16. Shefer S, Tint GS, Jean-Guillaume D, Daikhin E, Kendler A, Nguyen LB, et al. Is there a
relationship between 3-hydroxy-3-methylglutaryl coenzyme a reductase activity and

forebrain pathology in the PKU mouse? Journal of Neuroscience Research. 2000;61: 549–
563. doi:10.1002/1097-4547(20000901)61:5<549::AID-JNR10>3.0.CO;2-0

17. Smith CB, Kang J. Cerebral protein synthesis in a genetic mouse model of phenylketonuria.
Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences. 2000;97: 11014–11019.
doi:10.1073/pnas.97.20.11014

18. Wurtman RJ, Hefti F, Melamed E. Precursor control of neurotransmitter synthesis.
Pharmacological reviews. 1980;32: 315–35.

19. Van Vliet D, Bruinenberg VM, Mazzola PN, Van Faassen MHJR, De Blaauw P, Pascucci T,
et al. Therapeutic brain modulation with targeted large neutral amino acid supplements
in the Pah-enu2 phenylketonuria mouse model. American Journal of Clinical Nutrition.
2016;104: 1292–1300. doi:10.3945/ajcn.116.135996

20. Andolina D, Conversi D, Cabib S, Trabalza A, Ventura R, Puglisi-Allegra S, et al. 5-
Hydroxytryptophan during critical postnatal period improves cognitive performances and
promotes dendritic spine maturation in genetic mouse model of phenylketonuria. The
International Journal of Neuropsychopharmacology. 2011;14: 479–489.
doi:10.1017/S1461145710001288

21. Hommes FA, Lee JS. The control of 5-hydroxytryptamine and dopamine synthesis in the
brain: a theoretical approach. Journal of Inherited Metabolic Disease. 1990;13: 37–57.

22. Pascucci T, Giacovazzo G, Andolina D, Conversi D, Cruciani F, Cabib S, et al. In vivo
catecholaminergic metabolism in the medial prefrontal cortex of ENU2 mice: an
investigation of the cortical dopamine deficit in phenylketonuria. Journal of Inherited
Metabolic Disease. 2012;35: 1001–1009. doi:10.1007/s10545-012-9473-2

23. Lou H. Large doses of tryptophan and tyrosine as potential therapeutic alternative to
dietary phenylalanine restriction in phenylketonuria. Lancet (London, England). 1985;2:
150–1.

24. Lykkelund C, Nielsen JB, Lou HC, Rasmussen V, Gerdes AM, Christensen E, et al. Increased
neurotransmitter biosynthesis in phenylketonuria induced by phenylalanine restriction or
by supplementation of unrestricted diet with large amounts of tyrosine. European Journal
of Pediatrics. 1988;148: 238–245. doi:10.1007/BF00441411

25. Boot E, Hollak CEM, Huijbregts SCJ, Jahja R, van Vliet D, Nederveen AJ, et al. Cerebral
dopamine deficiency, plasma monoamine alterations and neurocognitive deficits in
adults with phenylketonuria. Psychological Medicine. 2017;47: 2854–2865.
doi:10.1017/S0033291717001398

26. van Spronsen FJ, de Groot MJ, Hoeksma M, Reijngoud D-JJ, van Rijn M. Large neutral
amino acids in the treatment of PKU: from theory to practice. Journal of Inherited
Metabolic Disease. 2010;33: 671–676. doi:10.1007/s10545-010-9216-1

27. Van Vliet D, Bruinenberg VM, Mazzola PN, Van Faassen MHJR, De Blaauw P, Kema IP, et
al. Large neutral amino acid supplementation exerts its effect through three synergistic
mechanisms: Proof of principle in phenylketonuria mice. PLoS ONE. 2015;10: 1–18.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0143833

28. Pantziri MDA, Klapa MI. Standardization of Human Metabolic Stoichiometric Models:
Challenges   and   Directions.   Front   Syst   Biol.   2022;2:   899980.
doi:10.3389/fsysb.2022.899980

29. Bruggeman FJ, Westerhoff HV. The nature of systems biology. Trends in Microbiology.
2007;15: 45–50. doi:10.1016/j.tim.2006.11.003

30. Taslimifar M, Buoso S, Verrey F, Kurtcuoglu V. Functional Polarity of Microvascular Brain
Endothelial Cells Supported by Neurovascular Unit Computational Model of Large Neutral
Amino   Acid   Homeostasis.   Frontiers   in   Physiology.   2018;9:   171.
doi:10.3389/fphys.2018.00171

31. Kaufman S. A model of human phenylalanine metabolism in normal subjects and in
phenylketonuric patients. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United
States of America. 1999;96: 3160–4. doi:10.1073/pnas.96.6.3160

32. Stavrum A-K, Heiland I, Schuster S, Puntervoll P, Ziegler M. Model of tryptophan
metabolism, readily scalable using tissue-specific gene expression data. The Journal of
biological chemistry. 2013;288: 34555–66. doi:10.1074/jbc.M113.474908

33. Rios-Avila L, Nijhout HF, Reed MC, Sitren HS, Gregory JF, III. A mathematical model of
tryptophan metabolism via the kynurenine pathway provides insights into the effects of
vitamin B-6 deficiency, tryptophan loading, and induction of tryptophan 2,3-dioxygenase
on   tryptophan   metabolites.   The   Journal   of   nutrition.   2013;143:   1509–19.
doi:10.3945/jn.113.174599

34. Napolitano L, Galluccio M, Scalise M, Parravicini C, Palazzolo L, Eberini I, et al. Novel
insights into the transport mechanism of the human amino acid transporter LAT1
(SLC7A5). Probing critical residues for substrate translocation. Biochimica et Biophysica
Acta (BBA) - General Subjects. 2017;1861: 727–736. doi:10.1016/j.bbagen.2017.01.013

35. Taslimifar M, Buoso S, Verrey F, Kurtcuoglu V. Propagation of Plasma L-Phenylalanine
Concentration Fluctuations to the Neurovascular Unit in Phenylketonuria: An in silico
Study. Frontiers in Physiology. 2019;10: 360. doi:10.3389/fphys.2019.00360

36. Sahoo S, Franzson L, Jonsson JJ, Thiele I, Sahoo S, Franzson L, et al. A compendium of
inborn errors of metabolism mapped onto the human metabolic network. Molecular
BioSystems. 2012;8: 2545. doi:10.1039/c2mb25075f

37. Brunk E, Sahoo S, Zielinski DC, Altunkaya A, Dräger A, Mih N, et al. Recon3D enables a
three-dimensional view of gene variation in human metabolism. Nature Biotechnology.
2018;36: 272–281. doi:10.1038/nbt.4072

38. Van Ginkel WG, Jahja R, Huijbregts SCJ, Van Spronsen FJ. Neurological and
Neuropsychological Problems in Tyrosinemia Type I Patients. Tanguay RM, editor.
Hereditary Tyrosinemia. 2017;959: 111–122. doi:10.1007/978-3-319-55780-9_10

39. Baranyi A, Amouzadeh-Ghadikolai O, Von Lewinski D, Rothenhäusler H-B, Theokas S,
Robier C, et al. Branched-Chain Amino Acids as New Biomarkers of Major Depression - A
Novel Neurobiology of Mood Disorder. Seedat S, editor. PLoS ONE. 2016;11: e0160542.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0160542

40. Smith AM, King JJ, West PR, Ludwig MA, Donley ELR, Burrier RE, et al. Amino Acid
Dysregulation Metabotypes: Potential Biomarkers for Diagnosis and Individualized
Treatment for Subtypes of Autism Spectrum Disorder. Biological Psychiatry. 2019;85:
345–354. doi:10.1016/j.biopsych.2018.08.016

41. Griffin JWD, Bradshaw PC. Amino Acid Catabolism in Alzheimer's Disease Brain: Friend or
Foe? Oxidative Medicine and Cellular Longevity. 2017;2017: 1–15.
doi:10.1155/2017/5472792

42. Masato A, Plotegher N, Boassa D, Bubacco L. Impaired dopamine metabolism in
Parkinson's disease pathogenesis. Molecular Neurodegeneration. 2019;14: 35.
doi:10.1186/s13024-019-0332-6

43. Hoops S, Sahle S, Gauges R, Lee C, Pahle J, Simus N, et al. COPASI—a COmplex PAthway
SImulator. Bioinformatics. 2006;22: 3067–3074. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btl485

44. O'Kane RL, Viña JR, Simpson I, Zaragozá R, Mokashi A, Hawkins R a. Cationic amino acid
transport across the blood-brain barrier is mediated exclusively by system y +. American
Journal of Physiology-Endocrinology and Metabolism. 2006;291: E412–E419.
doi:10.1152/ajpendo.00007.2006

45. Hawkins RA, O'Kane RL, Simpson IA, Viña JR. Structure of the Blood–Brain Barrier and Its
Role in the Transport of Amino Acids. The Journal of Nutrition. 2006;136: 218S-226S.
doi:10.1093/jn/136.1.218S

46. Ogawa S, Ichinose H. Effect of metals and phenylalanine on the activity of human
tryptophan hydroxylase-2: Comparison with that on tyrosine hydroxylase activity.
Neuroscience Letters. 2006;401: 261–265. doi:10.1016/j.neulet.2006.03.031

47. Ikeda M, Levitt M, Udenfriend S. Phenylalanine as substrate and inhibitor of tyrosine
hydroxylase. Archives of biochemistry and biophysics. 1967;120: 420–427.
doi:10.1016/0003-9861(67)90259-7

48. Haavik J. L-DOPA is a substrate for tyrosine hydroxylase. Journal of neurochemistry.
1997;69: 1720–8.

49. Chaube R, Joy KP. In Vitro Effects of Catecholamines and Catecholestrogens on Brain
Tyrosine Hydroxylase Activity and Kinetics in the Female Catfish Heteropneustes fossilis.
Journal of Neuroendocrinology. 2003;15: 273–279. doi:10.1046/j.1365-
2826.2003.01002.x

50. Kowlessur D, Kaufman S. Cloning and expression of recombinant human pineal
tryptophan hydroxylase in Escherichia coli: purification and characterization of the cloned
enzyme. Biochimica et biophysica acta. 1999;1434: 317–30.

51. Naoi M, Maruyama W, Takahashi T, Ota M, Parvez H. Inhibition of tryptophan hydroxylase
by dopamine and the precursor amino acids. Biochemical pharmacology. 1994;48: 207–
11. doi:10.1016/0006-2952(94)90243-7

52. Piper MDW, Soultoukis GA, Blanc E, Mesaros A, Herbert SL, Juricic P, et al. Matching
Dietary Amino Acid Balance to the In Silico-Translated Exome Optimizes Growth and
Reproduction without Cost to Lifespan. Cell Metabolism. 2017;25: 610–621.
doi:10.1016/j.cmet.2017.02.005

53. Van Vliet D, Van Der Goot E, Bruinenberg VM, Van Faassen M, De Blaauw P, Kema IP, et
al. Large neutral amino acid supplementation as an alternative to the phenylalanine-
restricted diet in adults with phenylketonuria: evidence from adult Pah-enu2 mice ☆.
2017 [cited 14 Oct 2018]. doi:10.1016/j.jnutbio.2017.09.020

54. Oldendorf WH. Saturation of Blood Brain Barrier Transport of Amino Acids in
Phenylketonuria. Archives of Neurology. 1973;28: 45–48.
doi:10.1001/archneur.1973.00490190063008

55. Sanjurjo P, Aldamiz L, Georgi G, Jelinek J, Ruiz JI, Boehm G. Dietary Threonine Reduces
Plasma Phenylalanine Levels in Patients With Hyperphenylalaninemia. Journal of Pediatric
Gastroenterology and Nutrition. 2003;36: 23–26. doi:10.1097/00005176-200301000-
00007

56. van Eunen K, Kiewiet JAL, Westerhoff HV, Bakker BM. Testing Biochemistry Revisited: How
In Vivo Metabolism Can Be Understood from In Vitro Enzyme Kinetics. Beard DA, editor.
PLoS Computational Biology. 2012;8: e1002483. doi:10.1371/journal.pcbi.1002483

57. Teusink B, Passarge J, Reijenga CA, Esgalhado E, van der Weijden CC, Schepper M, et al.
Can yeast glycolysis be understood in terms of in vitro kinetics of the constituent
enzymes? Testing biochemistry. European Journal of Biochemistry. 2000;267: 5313–
5329. doi:10.1046/j.1432-1327.2000.01527.x

58. Dobrowolski SF, Lyons-Weiler J, Spridik K, Vockley J, Skvorak K, Biery A, et al. DNA
methylation in the pathophysiology of hyperphenylalaninemia in the PAHenu2 mouse

model of phenylketonuria. Molecular Genetics and Metabolism. 2016;119: 1–7.
doi:10.1016/j.ymgme.2016.01.001

59. Kimball SR, Shantz LM, Horetsky RL, Jefferson LS. Leucine Regulates Translation of Specific
mRNAs in L6 Myoblasts through mTOR-mediated Changes in Availability of eIF4E and
Phosphorylation of Ribosomal Protein S6. Journal of Biological Chemistry. 1999;274:
11647–11652. doi:10.1074/jbc.274.17.11647

60. Maoz BM, Herland A, FitzGerald EA, Grevesse T, Vidoudez C, Pacheco AR, et al. A linked
organ-on-chip model of the human neurovascular unit reveals the metabolic coupling of
endothelial and neuronal cells. Nature Biotechnology. 2018;36: 865–874.
doi:10.1038/nbt.4226

61. Wevers NR, Kasi DG, Gray T, Wilschut KJ, Smith B, Vught R, et al. A perfused human blood-
brain barrier on-a-chip for high-throughput assessment of barrier function and antibody
transport. Fluids and Barriers of the CNS. 2018;15: 23. doi:10.1186/s12987-018-0108-3

62. Yarbro MT, Anderson JA. L-tryptophan metabolism in phenylketonuria. The Journal of
Pediatrics. 1966;68: 895–904. doi:10.1016/S0022-3476(66)80208-1

63. Drummond KN, Michael AF, Good RA. Tryptophan metabolism in a patient with
phenylketonuria and scleroderma: a proposed explanation of the indole defect in
phenylketonuria. Canadian Medical Association journal. 1966;94: 834–8.

64. Ney DM, Murali SG, Stroup BM, Nair N, Sawin EA, Rohr F, et al. Metabolomic changes
demonstrate reduced bioavailability of tyrosine and altered metabolism of tryptophan via
the kynurenine pathway with ingestion of medical foods in phenylketonuria. Molecular
Genetics and Metabolism. 2017;121: 96–103. doi:10.1016/j.ymgme.2017.04.003

65. Berguig GY, Martin NT, Creer AY, Xie L, Zhang L, Murphy R, et al. Of mice and men: Plasma
phenylalanine reduction in PKU corrects neurotransmitter pathways in the brain.
Molecular Genetics and Metabolism. 2019 [cited 20 Nov 2019].
doi:10.1016/j.ymgme.2019.08.004

66. Dijkstra AM, Van Vliet N, Van Vliet D, Romani C, Huijbregts SCJ, Van Der Goot E, et al.
Correlations of blood and brain biochemistry in phenylketonuria: Results from the Pah-
enu2 PKU mouse. Molecular Genetics and Metabolism. 2021;134: 250–256.
doi:10.1016/j.ymgme.2021.09.004

 SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

Figure S1. A detailed comparison between model prediction and experimental data.
Heatmap shows normalised difference scores between the model prediction for a specific amino acid value relative to WT, and its experimental value relative to WT, for each diet. *Normalised difference score$_{n,m}$ = $\left(|norm.\,simulation_{n,m} - norm.\,data_{n,m}|\right) \cdot norm.\,data_{n,m}^{-1}$* , where n = specific amino acid, m = specific diet. If normalised difference score = 0 data and simulation are identical.

843
844 **Figure S2. Comparison between experimental data and model predictions for changes in other**
845 **amino acid levels after the dietary intervention.** For the experimental data, each bar represents a
846 mean (ns = 16) with a standard error of the mean.

848 **Figure S3. Comparison between the protein synthesis flux in the model in response to different**
849 **diets.**

851
852 **Figure S4. Sensitivities of the brain amino acids to the changes in the model parameters.** Top 15
853 parameters with the most control over the amino acids and neurotransmitters concentrations in WT mice
854 are shown.

Figure S5. Brain levels of phenylalanine, tryptophan, and tyrosine are susceptible to the changes in the corresponding blood levels of these amino acids in other diets. Bars represent positive and negative response coefficients of brain Phe, Tyr, Trp, as well as dopamine, serotonin, and protein synthesis in the brain (protSyn_B), to the changes in the blood amino acid concentrations. Each graph represents response coefficients calculated based on different dietary conditions as starting points.

Figure S6. Changes to the amino acid and neurotransmitters concentrations in response to the increasing concentration of tyrosine in the brain. All other amino acid concentrations were fixed at the levels measured in WT or untreated PKU mice, respectively. The arrows indicate the blood concentrations of tyrosine and tryptophan in WT and PKU mice.

Figure S7. Changes to the amino acid and neurotransmitters concentrations in response to the increasing concentration of phenylalanine in the brain. All other amino acid concentrations were

fixed at the levels measured in WT or PKU mice, respectively. The arrows indicate the blood
concentrations of tyrosine and tryptophan in WT and PKU mice.

Figure S8. Changes to the amino acid and neurotransmitters concentrations in response to the
increasing concentration of tryptophan in the brain. All other amino acid concentrations were fixed
at the levels measured in WT or PKU mice, respectively. The arrows indicate the blood concentrations
of tyrosine and tryptophan in WT and PKU mice.

Figure S9. Changes to the amino acid and neurotransmitters concentrations in response to
phenylalanine (F) increase and addition of tyrosine (Y) and tryptophan (W) in the WT
background.

Figure S10. Flux rates of LAT1 exchange between phenylalanine and tryptophan and tyrosine at
increasing blood phenylalanine concentrations in WT background.

Figure S11. Heatmap of concentration control coefficients for all reactions at WT normal chow AA plasma concentrations, and PKU normal chow AA plasma concentrations. Concentration control coefficients for reactions of transporters have been summarised.

888
889
890

892
Figure S12. Changes to the amino acid concentrations in response to changes in the plasma amino acid composition. All non_Phe – non-Phe LNAA; THLI – threonine, histidine, leucine, isoleucine; THI -threonine, histidine, isoleucine; THLI + wt(WY) – THLI with wild type levels of tyrosine and tryptophan; THI + wt(WY) – THI with wild type levels of tyrosine and tryptophan