The holocephalan ratfish endoskeleton shares trabecular and areolar mineralization patterns, but not tesserae, with elasmobranchs little skate and catshark

Oghenevwogaga J. Atake[1], Fidji Berio[2], Melanie Debiais-Thibaud[2], B. Frank Eames[1]

[1]Department of Anatomy, Physiology, and Pharmacology, University of Saskatchewan, Saskatoon, Canada

[2] Institut des Sciences de l'Evolution, University of Montpellier, CNRS, IRD, EPHE, Montpellier, France

Correspondence

B. F. Eames,

2D01-107 Wiggins Rd, Saskatoon, SK, S7N 5E5 Canada

Tel: +1 (306)966-6534

Email: b.frank@usask.ca

Website: https://www.eameslab.ca/

Abstract

Specific character traits of mineralized endoskeletal tissues need to be clearly defined and comprehensively examined among extant chondrichthyans (elasmobranchs, such as sharks and skates, and holocephalans, such as chimaeras) to understand their evolution. For example, tiles of mineralized polygonal structures called tesserae occur at cartilage surfaces in chondrichthyans, but recent studies showing trabecular mineralization at elasmobranch cartilage surfaces suggest that tesserae are not as common as previously thought. Also, while areolar mineralized tissue in elasmobranchs is generally considered a unique, shared chondrichthyan feature, some chondrichthyan species demonstrate bone-like tissues in both a specific region of tesserae termed the cap zone and continuous (not tiled) mineralized neural arches. To clarify the distribution of specific endoskeletal features among extant chondrichthyans, adult skeletal tissues in a holocephalan chimaera (spotted ratfish) and two elasmobranchs (small-spotted catshark and little skate) were characterized using synchrotron radiation and desktop micro-CT imaging, and histological and immunofluorescent assays. Endoskeletal mineralization in the ratfish, catshark, and little skate varied both quantitively in tissue mineral density (TMD), and qualitatively in the morphology and localization of mineralized structures and tissues. For example, TMD of several skeletal elements was significantly lower in ratfish, compared to catshark and little skate. Trabecular and areolar mineralization were shared among these extant chondrichthyan species, but tesserae and bone-like tissues were not. Interestingly, three separate analyses argued that the adult chimaera endoskeleton has features of the embryonic little skate endoskeleton. Generally, this

study proposes specific terminology for character states of the extant chondrichthyan endoskeleton and infers those states in ancestral chondrichthyans with reference to fossil data.

Introduction

The skeleton of chondrichthyans, or cartilaginous fishes, has long fascinated evolutionary biologists (Daniel, 1934; Janvier, 1996; Kölliker, 1860; Maisey, 2013; Ørvig, 1951; Smith and Hall, 1990; Wurmbach, 1932). However, only in the past two decades has an active research community revealed the histological and molecular complexity of extant chondrichthyan skeletal tissues. Even so, these studies are limited in scope, focussing mostly on specific skeletal features of the Elasmobranchii subclass of extant Chondrichthyes, such as sharks, skates, and rays (Atake et al., 2019; Dean et al., 2017; Eames et al., 2007; Johanson et al., 2012; Kemp and Westrin, 1979; Omelon et al., 2014; Porter et al., 2006; Seidel et al., 2016), with only a few including the Holocephali subclass, such as chimaera (Gillis et al., 2011; Herbert et al., 2022; Pears et al., 2020; Seidel et al., 2020; Smith et al., 2020). Unfortunately, an in-depth description of the extant holocephalan endoskeleton is lacking, leading to a deficit in understanding skeletal tissue character states across extant chondrichthyans.

Like most vertebrates, skeletal mineralization in extant chondrichthyans occurs by incorporation of biominerals into extracellular compartments of skeletal tissues (Golub, 2009; Lowenstam, 1981; Omelon et al., 2014), giving rise to diverse mineralized tissue types in several anatomical sites of the chondrichthyan endoskeleton (Table 1). Traditionally recognized as a defining endoskeletal feature of Chondrichthyes, tesserae form a mineralization pattern consisting of an array of distinct, polygonal units at the surface of cartilages (Kemp and Westrin, 1979; Maisey, 2013; Maisey et al., 2021). In skates, however, a recently characterized trabecular mineralization pattern lies directly underneath the polygonal pattern of tesserae (Atake et al., 2019). In fact, the cartilage surface in several endoskeletal regions of skates and

rays only contains the trabecular mineralization pattern (Atake et al., 2019; Jayasankar et al.,

2020), suggesting that polygonal tesserae may not be as common as traditionally thought. Like

polygonal tesserae, the little skate trabecular mineralization also forms an arrayed pattern, but

the repeating units are in the form of trabecular struts interspersed by unmineralized regions,

leading us to call them trabecular tesserae (Atake et al., 2019; Atake and Eames, 2021).

Descriptions of a trabecular mineralization pattern in the endoskeleton of sharks or chimaeras

are currently lacking, leaving open the possibility that this is a phenotype specific to the

Batoidea superorder (including skates and rays) of the subclass Elasmobranchii. In some

chimaeras, the surfaces of cartilage in the anterior fused vertebrae (synarcual) and

chondrocranium have sheets of mineralization that abut one another (Pears et al., 2020; Seidel

et al., 2020), but these do not have an arrayed pattern of repeating units, so perhaps they

should not be called tesserae.

Recent evidence suggests that histological features of tesserae may vary according to

the mineralization pattern. Traditional polygonal tesserae have distinct histological zones, with

a cap zone superficial to a body zone near the surface of chondrichthyan cartilage (Atake et al.,

2019; Berio et al., 2021; Kemp and Westrin, 1979; Seidel et al., 2016). While skates have

polygonal tesserae, trabecular tesserae in little skate have very small or even absent cap zones

overlying extensive body zones (Atake et al., 2019). Additionally, specific regions of tesserae

termed spokes are hypermineralized and often devoid of cells, and spokes appear to be a

common feature of tesserae regardless of their mineralization pattern (Atake et al., 2019; Pears

et al., 2020; Seidel et al., 2020; Seidel et al., 2016). Careful 3D spatial analyses are needed to

correlate histological zones and mineralization patterns of tesserae.

Some chondrichthyans have mineralized endoskeletal tissues that have been

characterized as bone-like (Atake and Eames, 2021). For example, the cap zone of tesserae

displays bony features, like elongate cell lacunae in a mineralized ECM of densely packed type I

collagen (Col1) fibers (Atake et al., 2019; Kemp and Westrin, 1979; Seidel et al., 2017; Seidel et

al., 2016). Unlike the cap zone, the body zone displays typical features of hyaline cartilage,

which is the main cartilage type in vertebrates (Goldring et al., 2006). The body zone consists of

round chondrocyte lacunae embedded in a mineralized matrix abundant in loosely packed type

II collagen (Col2) fibers and sulfated glycosaminoglycans (Enault et al., 2015; Seidel et al., 2017).

As another example of bone-like tissue, several elasmobranch species have a non-tiled,

mineralized perichondral tissue in their neural arches (Atake et al., 2019; Berio et al., 2021;

Bordat, 1987; Eames et al., 2007; Kemp and Westrin, 1979; Seidel et al., 2016). The neural arch

bone-like tissue demonstrates morphological and histological features of perichondral bone,

including a compact mineralization pattern, elongate cell lacunae, canaliculi-like channels

connecting adjacent lacunae, and tightly packed Col1 fibers (Bordat, 1987; Eames et al., 2007;

Kemp and Westrin, 1979; Peignoux-Deville et al., 1982; Rossert and de Crombrugghe, 2002;

Seidel et al., 2017). Bone-like tissues might be a defining feature of extant chondrichthyans, but

relevant data from chimaeras are needed.

Finally, areolar mineralized tissue is generally considered a unique feature of the extant

chondrichthyan endoskeleton, characterized mostly in elasmobranchs as large sheets of

mineralization in the notochordal sheath of the vertebral body (centrum) (Didier, 1995;

Ridewood and MacBride, 1921). Areolar mineralized tissue typically contains concentric

lamellae of elongate lacunae in a fibrocartilage-like extracellular matrix and a compact

mineralization pattern (Atake et al., 2019; Criswell et al., 2017; Dean and Summers, 2006;

Eames et al., 2007). Mineralized centra are not thought to be common in chimaeras, but when

present, they can appear as multiple mineralized rings in each vertebral segment, which

contrasts with the grossly biconcave morphology of segmented centra in elasmobranchs

(Gadow and Abbott, 1895).

With hopes of revealing shared and clade-specific features among chondrichthyans,

here we clarify many of the unresolved issues highlighted above, proposing specific terminology

for character states of the extant chondrichthyan endoskeleton (Table 1). Testing the

hypothesis that extant chondrichthyans have homologous histological and morphological traits

of endoskeletal mineralized tissues, adult tissues in several endoskeletal regions of the spotted

ratfish *Hydrolagus colliei* (chimaera), small-spotted catshark *Scyliorhinus canicula* (shark), and

little skate *Leucoraja erinacea* (skate) were characterized using synchrotron radiation and

desktop micro-CT imaging, and histological and immunofluorescent assays. Tesseral

mineralization, cap zones, and neural arch bone-like tissues were absent in the ratfish, but

present in these elasmobranchs. Three separate analyses argued that the endoskeleton of this

chimaera displays histological and morphological features of the embryonic little skate

endoskeleton. While additional chondrichthyan species can bolster these findings, the data

argue that trabecular and areolar mineralization patterns, but not tesserae, are shared among

extant chondrichthyans. Considering fossil outgroups, we finish by inferring ancestral and

derived character states of the chondrichthyan endoskeleton.

Table 1. Character states of the mineralized chondrichthyan endoskeleton. Traits do not apply

to all regions of a given fish's skeleton.

Character	State	Description
mineralization patterns	polygonal tesserae (PT)	array of distinct polygonal units between the perichondrium and trabecular tesserae
	trabecular tesserae (TT)	array of distinct trabecular struts, often with hypermineralized spokes, below the perichondrium
	non-tesseral trabeculae (NTT)	non-arrayed, distinct trabecular struts, often with hypermineralized spokes, below the perichondrium
	areolar (AR)	continuous, compact sheets or rings in the notochordal sheath
	bone-like tissue (BLT)	continuous, compact regions between the perichondrium and a cartilaginous core, often in neural arches and cap zones
histological features	body zone (BZ)	distinct region of mineralized tissue below the perichondrium, containing Col2, rounded cell lacunae, and low-cellularity spokes
	cap zone (CZ)	distinct region of mineralized tissue between the perichondrium and body zone, containing tightly-

		wound Col1 fibers and elongated cell lacunae
	bone-like tissue (BLT)	mineralized tissue of tightly-wound Col1 fibres and elongated cell lacunae connected by canaliculi-like channels

Results

Adult mineralized tissues in spotted ratfish had lower tissue mineral density (TMD) than small-spotted catshark or little skate

To assess whether chimaeras demonstrate skeletal mineralization in anatomical sites that are homologous to those of elasmobranchs, 3D rendering of micro-CT images of anterior regions of adult ratfish were qualitatively and quantitatively analyzed (Fig. 1A,B). Micro-CT images revealed mineralized tissues in several endoskeletal regions, including neural arches and centra of segmented vertebrae (Fig. 1C,D), skeletal elements in the pharyngeal skeleton (Fig. 1E), and the fused vertebral synarcual (Fig. 1F,G). Although elasmobranchs mineralize neural arches in their precaudal and caudal vertebrae (Atake et al., 2019; Berio et al., 2021; Eames et al., 2007), mineralized neural arches were present mainly in precaudal vertebrae of ratfish (Fig. 1C,D; data not shown). Mineralized skeletal elements in the pharyngeal skeleton included the basihyal, Meckel's, ceratohyal, basibranchial, hypobranchials, and ceratobranchial (Fig. 1E). Spinal nerve foramina are common in the synarcual of cartilaginous fishes and were present in the ventral sides of the ratfish synarcual (Fig. 1F; Claeson, 2011; Johanson et al., 2015; Pears et al., 2020). Unlike the synarcual in skates and other batoids, where mineralized centra are not

discernible (Atake et al., 2019; Claeson, 2011), the synarcual in ratfish had distinct mineralized

centra for its entire length (Fig. 1G).

TMDs of the synarcual, neural arches, and centra in ratfish, catshark, and little skate

were quantitated and compared, where possible, since sharks do not have a synarcual (Fig. 1H).

Because little skate does not have centra within the synarcual, centra in the ratfish synarcual

were digitally segmented and excluded for synarcual TMD data. The average TMD of the ratfish

synarcual was 0.31 ± 0.11 gHA/cm^3, significantly lower than the TMD of the little skate

synarcual, which was 0.94 ± 0.04 gHA/cm^3 (Fig. 1H; p= 6.5×10^{-4}). Similarly, the TMD of ratfish

neural arches (0.40 ± 0.05 gHA/cm^3) was significantly lower than the TMD of neural arches of

either catshark (0.81 ± 0.04 gHA/cm^3; p=9×10^{-6}) or little skate (0.96 ± 0.04 gHA/cm^3; p=4.5×10^{-7};

Fig. 1H). The average TMD of ratfish centra (0.42 ± 0.10 gHA/cm^3) was also significantly lower

than the TMD of centra of either catshark (0.84 ± 0.02 gHA/cm^3; p=1.1×10^{-4}) or little skate (0.88

± 0.05 gHA/cm^3; p=3.4×10^{-5}; Fig. 1H). In ratfish, TMD of segmented centra within the synarcual

was similar to that of centra in segmented vertebrae (0.46 ± 0.11 gHA/cm^3). These analyses

showed that the synarcual, neural arches, and centra in ratfish had significantly lower TMDs

compared to homologous elements in catshark and little skate.

Neural arches in ratfish lacked bone-like tissue

To clarify whether chimaeras have bone-like tissue like elasmobranchs, micro-CT and

histological analyses of neural arches in segmented precaudal vertebrae of ratfish were

compared to neural arches in segmented precaudal vertebrae of catshark and little skate.

Mineralization of neural arches in ratfish was organized in a non-continuous pattern of small

compact and trabecular regions (Fig. 2A). This mineralization pattern in spotted ratfish

contrasted with the continuous mineralization pattern displayed by the compact, bone-like

tissue in catshark and little skate (Fig. 2B,C; Atake et al., 2019; Berio et al., 2021). Furthermore,

cross-section views demonstrated that mineralized tissues in ratfish were restricted to the

medial and lateral periphery of the neural arches (Fig. 2D). By contrast, mineralization of bone-

like tissues in catshark and little skate extended much deeper in the neural arch (Fig. 2E,F).

Histologically, Alizarin red staining of calcium showed that mineralized tissues in ratfish

neural arches were much thinner and not continuous like bone-like tissues in catshark and little

skate neural arches (Fig. 2G-I). Alcian blue staining of sulfated proteoglycans marked cartilage in

most neural arch regions in ratfish, while only the cartilaginous core regions of catshark and

little skate neural arches were Alcian blue-positive (Fig. 2G-I). The neural arch core in catshark

was mostly unmineralized cartilage, while that of little skate was mineralized cartilage (Fig.

2H,I). Birefringence of picrosirius-stained, tightly packed collagen fibers (likely reticular) were

observed in muscles lateral to neural arches in all three species (Fig. 2J-L). Birefringence was not

observed in ratfish neural arches, whereas birefringence in neural arch bone-like tissues was

observed in catshark and little skate (Fig. 2K,L). Most regions (including unmineralized cartilage

and mineralized tissue) in ratfish neural arches demonstrated Col2 immunofluorescence (Fig.

2J). Only the cartilaginous core in neural arches of catshark and little skate demonstrated Col2

immunofluorescence (Fig. 2K,L). These results showed that bone-like tissue was absent in

neural arches of ratfish, and the non-continuous pattern of mineralized tissue in ratfish neural

arches was mineralized cartilage.

Novel characterization of non-tesseral trabecular mineralization in ratfish and catshark showed the absence of a bone-like cap zone

To clarify whether tesserae are defining endoskeletal features of extant chondrichthyans, morphological patterns and histological features of mineralized tissues were characterized in ceratohyals of ratfish, caudal vertebrae of catshark, and precaudal vertebrae and hyomandibulae of little skate. Desktop micro-CT renderings of ratfish ceratohyals and catshark haemal arches revealed mineralized tissues with a trabecular pattern like that of trabecular tesserae in the vertebral neural spine of little skate (Fig. 3A-G). However, the trabecular pattern in ratfish and catshark was not as clearly organized into arrays of repeating trabecular units like that of trabecular tesserae in little skate, and for this reason, trabecular patterns in ratfish and catshark were characterized as non-tesseral. In the hyomandibulae and other skeletal elements of little skate, including synarcual, propterygium, and vertebral transverse processes, a trabecular mineralization pattern occurred underneath the superficial polygonal tesseral pattern (Fig. 3D,H,H'; Atake et al., 2019). Notably, many regions in ratfish (vertebrae, pharyngeal skeleton, and the synarcual) and catshark (vertebrae and ceratohyals) did not exhibit the polygonal mineralization pattern typical of tesserae (Atake et al., 2019; Kemp and Westrin, 1979; Maisey, 2013; Marramà et al., 2019; Seidel et al., 2016; Wilga and Ferry, 2015).

To assess whether trabecular mineralized tissues in ratfish, catshark, and little skate exhibit similar histological features, cross sections of tissues with non-tesseral patterns were compared to those with tesseral patterns. Non-tesseral mineralized tissues in Alcian blue-positive perichondral regions in ratfish ceratohyals and catshark haemal arches stained with

Alizarin red (Fig. 3I,J; perichondrium marked by straight dashed line). The discrete organization

of mineralized tissues and Alcian blue and Alizarin red staining patterns of non-tesseral

mineralized tissues in ratfish and catshark were similar to the tesseral body zone in little skate

(Fig. 3I-L). However, Alcian blue-positive cartilage separated Alizarin red-positive non-tesseral

mineralized tissues from overlying perichondrium in ratfish and catshark (Fig. 3I,J). A bone-like

cap zone just below the perichondrium was only present in tesseral mineralized tissues in little

skate (Fig. 3K,L; perichondrium marked by straight dashed line). The bone-like cap zone stained

more intensely than the body zone with Alizarin red and was relatively smaller and larger in

trabecular tesserae and polygonal tesserae, respectively (Fig. 3K,L). Perichondrium of both non-

tesseral and tesseral mineralized tissues stained with the general connective tissue dye fast

green (Fig. 3M-P). Matrix of the little skate bone-like cap zone was fast green-positive and had

birefringent collagen fibers, but these staining patterns were not observed in non-tesseral

mineralized tissues in ratfish and catshark (Fig. 3M-T). Instead, regions just below the

perichondrium of ratfish ceratohyals and catshark haemal arches stained for sulfated

proteoglycans by Safranin O and were Col2-positive cartilage (Fig. 3M,N,Q,R). Staining patterns

of non-tesseral mineralized tissues in ratfish and catshark were generally consistent with those

of mineralized cartilage in the tesseral body zone of little skate, although chondrocyte lacunae

in these regions were not obvious in ratfish (Fig. 3I-T). Therefore, histological features of

trabecular mineralized tissues in ratfish ceratohyals and catshark haemal arches were similar to

only the body zones of tesserae in little skate, which consisted of mineralized cartilage.

240 **Spatial organization of histological features correlated with trabecular and polygonal**

241 **mineralization patterns**

242   Given that trabecular mineralized tissues typically have small or even absent cap zones,

243 and polygonal tesserae typically have large cap zones, histology and micro-CT segmentation

244 were used to see whether there was a correlation between histological features and

245 mineralization patterns in ratfish and little skate. After demineralization, non-tesseral tissues in

246 ratfish and tesseral tissues in little skate exhibited spokes, which are regions of very low

247 cellularity in the body zone that often appeared as tears or sectioning artifacts and did not stain

248 strongly with any Trichrome dye (Fig. 4A-C). Non-spoke regions of the body zone stained either

249 slightly blue for collagen fibers with Trichrome's aniline blue or magenta with Trichrome's acid

250 fuchsin, and these staining patterns were consistent in non-tesseral and tesseral tissues.

251 Tightly-wound collagen fibers were marked by Trichrome's acid fuchsin, but only in the larger

252 and smaller cap zones of little skate polygonal and trabecular tesserae, respectively (Fig. 4A-C).

253 Also, the presence of elongate lacunae distinguished the cap zone from other tesseral regions

254 (Fig. 4A-C).

255   Enough histological features were also visible in synchrotron radiation micro-CT

256 renderings (Fig. 4D-F), making it possible to segment and analyze the spatial correlation

257 between mineralization patterns and histological features, such as cap zone and spokes (red

258 and blue, respectively, in Fig. 4G-I). Segmentation of 3D renderings of deep surface views

259 showed that hypermineralized spokes were localized to non-tesseral and tesseral trabecular

260 mineralization patterns in ratfish and little skate, respectively (blue in Fig. 4J-L). Unlike the well-

261 organized spokes seen in trabecular patterns of little skate tesserae, spokes in ratfish trabecular

mineralized tissues were patchy and less-organized. Therefore, both non-tesseral and tesseral

trabecular mineralization patterns correlated with, and appeared to derive from, spokes and

non-spoke regions within body zones.

Unlike little skate polygonal tesserae, trabecular mineralized tissues in ratfish and

trabecular tesserae in skate both displayed identical trabecular mineralization patterns whether

viewed from the superficial or deep surfaces of the cartilage (Fig. 4J,K,M,N). The smaller cap

zones in trabecular tesserae were discernible on the superficial surface, but they were not as

laterally extensive as cap zones of polygonal tesserae (Fig. 4H,I,N,O). Thus, larger and laterally

extensive cap zones appeared to be the reason why polygonal tesserae displayed a superficial

polygonal mineralization pattern.

Ratfish centra contained areolar mineralized tissue

To clarify whether ratfish, catshark, and little skate have areolar mineralized tissue,

morphological and histological features of centra were revealed by desktop micro-CT and

section histology. Precaudal vertebrae in ratfish had multiple rings of centra per vertebral

segment (i.e., unit with paired neural arches and basidorsals; Fig. 5A). Each centrum in ratfish

precaudal vertebrae demonstrated a compact mineralization pattern like that of centra in the

catshark and little skate (Fig. 5A-C). However, no ratfish centrum displayed the typical

biconcave gross morphology associated with elasmobranch centra (Fig. 5A-C; (Atake et al.,

2019; Clement, 1992; Criswell et al., 2017).

Alizarin red and Alcian blue section histology showed that centra in ratfish, catshark,

and little skate comprised of compact mineralized tissue spanning different numbers of

centrum layers. The ratfish centrum only had mineralized tissue in the middle layer, but an

outer layer of the catshark centrum had mineralized cartilage, while inner and outer layers of

the little skate centrum contained mineralized cartilage (Fig. 5D-I). Areolar mineralized tissues

in the middle layer of the centrum of ratfish, catshark, and little skate had elongate cell lacunae

organized in concentric lamellae (inserts in Fig. 5G-I; Atake et al., 2019; Criswell et al., 2017;

Eames et al., 2007), and they were birefringent (data not shown). Markers of cartilage differed

in areolar mineralized tissue among ratfish, catshark, and little skate (Fig. 5J-O). In ratfish,

areolar mineralized tissue had relatively strong Safranin O staining and Col2

immunofluorescence (Fig. 5J,M). Areolar mineralized tissue in catshark demonstrated weak

Safranin O staining and Col2 immunofluorescence (Fig. 5K,N). By contrast, areolar mineralized

tissue in little skate did not display Safranin O staining or Col2 immunofluorescence (Fig. 5L,O).

Overall, these data suggested that ratfish have areolar mineralized tissue.

Qualitative and quantitative features of centra in the adult ratfish were similar to those of

little skate embryos

The biconcave centra morphology is thought to be acquired in elasmobranchs during

development when the perichordal sheath becomes constricted by mesenchymal cells (Arratia

et al., 2001; Gadow and Abbott, 1895; Kölliker, 1860). To shed light on differences in adult

centra morphology, morphological and histological features of centra in a developmental series

of little skate were compared to those of adult ratfish. In little skate embryos and hatched

juveniles, desktop micro-CT renderings showed mineralization in the centrum and pairs of

neural arches, basidorsals, and haemal arches of each vertebral segment (Fig. 6A-C). Expanding

upon recent work showing that anterior and posterior segments of somites fuse during skate

vertebral development, similar to tetrapods (Criswell and Gillis, 2020), independent anterior

and posterior mineralizations of each interneural appeared to later fuse during development.

For example, independent mineralization of the posterior and anterior interneurals were visible

in stage 32 little skate embryos, whereas the dorsal and ventral aspects of each appeared fused

by stage 33, and they were fully fused in a 6.5cm DW juvenile (Fig. 6A-C). In adult ratfish, each

vertebral segment of paired neural arches and basidorsals had an average of six centra (Fig.

6D). Digital segmentation of synchrotron radiation (SR) micro-CT renderings showed that centra

in little skate stage 32 embryos were slightly constricted in the middle of each element's

anterior-posterior axis, and the extent of this constriction progressed through 6.5 cm disc width

(DW) juveniles, when the classic biconcave morphology was present (Fig. 6E-G). SR micro-CT

renderings showed that adult ratfish centra were constricted to a similar extent as younger

centra in little skate embryos (Fig. 6E,F,H). In little skate embryos, Alcian blue and Alizarin red

section histology showed that the areolar mineralized tissue initiated in the middle layer of the

centrum and had elongate cell lacunae organized in concentric lamellae, similar to that

observed in adult ratfish (Fig. 5D; Fig. 6I,J). At later developmental stages of little skate, such as

6.5 cm DW juveniles, the outer layer of the centrum also demonstrated mineralized cartilage

(Fig. 6K).

To further confirm these morphological and histological similarities of centra in little

skate embryos and adult ratfish, TMDs of centra in the developmental series of little skate were

compared to that of adult ratfish. TMD of little skate centra increased significantly from stage

32 to stage 33 embryos (p= 9.5 x10^{-3}), while TMD of 6.5 cm DW juveniles was only significantly

higher than TMD of stage 32 embryos (Fig. 6L; p=9.6 x10^{-4}). TMD of adult ratfish centra was

significantly higher than TMD of centra in little skate stage 32 embryos, but it was statistically

indistinguishable from TMD of centra in little skate stage 33 embryos and 6.5 cm DW juveniles

(Fig. 6L). Therefore, adult ratfish centra had morphological, histological, and TMD similarities

with centra from little skate embryos, particularly those at stage 33.

Discussion

Which tissues are common in the extant chondrichthyan endoskeleton? Bone-like and

areolar tissues have been characterized in several members of the chondrichthyan subclass

Elasmobranchii, such as sharks, skates, and rays (Atake et al., 2019; Berio et al., 2021; Bordat,

1987; Kemp and Westrin, 1979; Ørvig, 1951; Peignoux-Deville et al., 1982; Seidel et al., 2016). In

addition, polygonal tesserae are traditionally associated with chondrichthyans (Atake et al.,

2019; Kemp and Westrin, 1979; Maisey, 2013; Marramà et al., 2019; Seidel et al., 2016; Wilga

and Ferry, 2015), but trabecular tesserae were recently characterized in skates and rays (Atake

et al., 2019; Jayasankar et al., 2020).

Two main qualities of this paper go a long way in revealing features of the ancestral

chondrichthyan endoskeleton. First, we explicitly identifed discrete character states of extant

chondrichthyan endoskeletons (Table 1), helping to unify terminology of important features for

the growing chondrichthyan research community. Second, we presented extensive, side-by-side

comparative data across various extant chondrichthyans, two elasmobranch species (small-

spotted catshark and little skate) and one chimaera/holocephalan (spotted ratfish). Including

ratfish is another critical aspect of this paper, because holocephalans are generally

understudied, but must be considered when inferring ancestral chondrichthyan traits.

Importantly, previous characterization of skeletal mineralization in other adult chimaeridae

neither focused on segmented neural arches to analyze bone-like tissues or areolar

mineralization, nor clarified histological zones of tesserae (Berio et al., 2021; Debiais-Thibaud,

2018; Pears et al., 2020; Seidel et al., 2020)

Our new data and other published data across various extant and fossil chondrichthyan

species can be compiled to compare character states of the extant endoskeleton and infer the

ancestral condition (Fig. 7). In what appears to be a novel character trait of crown

chondrichthyans, trabecular mineralization occurs in many endoskeletal regions in several

elasmobranch and chimaera species, including some fossil chondrichthyans (Atake et al., 2019;

Coates et al., 2018; Jayasankar et al., 2020; Maisey et al., 2021; Ørvig, 1951). Among extant

chondrichthyans, trabecular mineralization always forms near the surface of cartilage, but the

exact mineralization pattern varies. Little skate, along with all other batoids and some

selachians examined, have arrayed patterns of discrete trabecular units, similar to the

repeating polygonal units of traditional tesserae, supporting their description as trabecular

tesserae. On the other hand, a non-arrayed trabecular mineralization pattern, not emphasized

in chondrichthyans before, appears to be present in catshark and some other selachians and in

ratfish and all other chimaeras examined, including *Callorhinchus milii* and *Chimaera monstrosa*

(Pears et al., 2020; Seidel et al., 2020). To distinguish this pattern from the regular, arrayed

patterns of polygonal and trabecular tesserae, we propose the term "non-tesseral trabecular

mineralization". Given that some fossil chondrichthyans, such as *Gladbachus*, *Climatius*,

Doliodus, and *Cobelodus*, also appear to display this mineralization pattern in their

endoskeletons (Coates et al., 2018; Maisey et al., 2021; Ørvig, 1951), non-tesseral trabecular

mineralization might be a new plesiomorphic (ancestral) character of chondrichthyans (Maisey

et al., 2021).

Bone-like tissues do not appear to be shared among extant chondrichthyans, while

areolar mineralization does seem to be shared (Fig. 7). Morphological and histological features

of bone-like tissues in neural arches or tesseral cap zones are present in catshark, little skate,

and many other elasmobranch species, but absent in ratfish and *C. monstrosa* (Atake et al.,

2019; Berio et al., 2021; Eames et al., 2007; Kemp and Westrin, 1979; Seidel et al., 2020; Seidel

et al., 2017; Seidel et al., 2016; Wurmbach, 1932). While these data refute the hypothesis that

bone-like tissues generally are a symplesiomorphic (shared ancestral) feature of extant

chondrichthyans, they further support the hypothesis that neural arch perichondral bone-like

tissue is a synapomorphic (shared derived) character of elasmobranchs, but subsequently lost

in several lineages (Atake et al., 2019; Berio et al., 2021; Maisey et al., 2021). On the other

hand, similar features of centra are observed in ratfish, catshark, little skate, and many other

extant chondrichthyans examined (Atake et al., 2019; Criswell et al., 2017; Dean and Summers,

2006; Debiais-Thibaud, 2019; Eames et al., 2007; Porter et al., 2006). Since most data on areolar

mineralization focussed on elasmobranchs (Criswell et al., 2017; Eames et al., 2007; Ridewood

and MacBride, 1921), histological features of multiple-ringed centra in other chimaera should

be determined (Didier, 1995). Stem chondrichthyans did not appear to mineralize their centra,

so data from extant chondrichthyans argue that areolar mineralized tissue is likely a

synapomorphic character of crown chondrichthyans (Frey et al., 2019; Maisey et al., 2021;

Miles, 1970).

Cap and body zones are histological terms that traditionally describe chondrichthyan

tesserae (Dean and Summers, 2006; Kemp and Westrin, 1979), but data from adult samples of

ratfish, catshark, little skate, and several other species argue that the body zone is the only

histological zone of endoskeletal mineralization shared by extant chondrichthyans (Fig. 7).

Recent histological data of tesserae are few and limited to sharks, skates, and rays, where cap

and body zones have been reported (Atake et al., 2019; Berio et al., 2021; Eames et al., 2007;

Enault et al., 2015; Kemp and Westrin, 1979; Seidel et al., 2017). While birefringent fibers

marked the cap zones in both polygonal and trabecular tesserae in little skate, non-tesseral

trabeculae in catshark and ratfish did not have discernible cap zones. In contrast to commonly-

accepted knowledge, these catshark data suggest that even sharks do not always have the cap

zone. Taken alongside recent published data (Maisey et al., 2021; Pears et al., 2020; Seidel et

al., 2020), no living species of chimaera likely has cap zones. On the other hand, Safranin O

staining and Col2 immunofluorescence showed that histological body zones generated the

mineralized endoskeletal cartilage of ratfish, catshark, and little skate. In total, these data

suggest that the ancestral stem chondrichthyan generated most of its endoskeletal

mineralization with histological body zones, although as the next paragraph highlights, cap

zones might have been lost secondarily in chimaera and some shark species.

Digitally segmented 3D regions of micro-CT renderings showed, for the first time, exact

spatial correlations between histological zones and mineralization patterns, potentially

impacting histological interpretations of fossils. Strikingly, large and laterally extensive cap

zones were the basis of the traditional polygonal tesseral mineralization pattern in little skate.

Accordingly, similar polygonal tesserae recently described in fossil holocephalans likely have

cap zones in addition to body zones and a trabecular tesseral mineralization underlying the

polygonal mineralization pattern, and these might have been lost secondarily during

holocephalan evolution (Fig. 7; Atake et al., 2019; Pears et al., 2020). Increased descriptive

power from synchrotron imaging of polygonal tesserae in fossil elasmobranchs and

holocephalans can clarify this point. Two other correlations were identified here in body zones.

First, spokes were located in body zones. Second, spoke and non-spoke regions of the body

zone comprised the trabecular mineralization patterns, regardless of whether these were

organized as arrayed tesserae or not. Spokes appeared much more spatially organized in

polygonal tesserae and trabecular tesserae than in the non-tesseral trabecular mineralization

pattern. By extension of the body zone correlation with trabecular mineralization, trabecular

mineralization of some fossil elasmobranchs and holocephalans like *Palaeobates polaris* and

Cladoselache might derive solely from body zones (Maisey et al., 2021; Ørvig, 1951). Given such

dramatic variation in extant and fossil chondrichthyans, cellular and molecular mechanisms

underlying the evolution of tesseral and non-tesseral mineralization patterns is a wide open

field of future study.

Finally, three independent features suggested that the adult ratfish endoskeleton

shared features of the embryonic little skate endoskeleton. First, tissue mineral density (TMD)

was significantly lower in a number of adult ratfish endoskeletal elements, compared to adult

catshark and little skate. In fact, the TMD of adult ratfish centra was indistinguishable from the

TMD of embryonic little skate centra. Second, in contrast to previous assertions that

mineralized centra in chimaeras were unconstricted (Didier, 1995; Gadow and Abbott, 1895),

micro-CT renderings of ratfish centra showed slight constriction, which was like those of centra

in little skate embryos. Third, even histological similarities of centra in little skate embryos and

adult ratfish were discovered. Both had elongate cell lacunae organized in concentric lamellae

only in the mineralized middle layer of the centrum.

A tantalizing possibility is that the similarities between adult ratfish and embryonic little

skate endoskeletons represents the effects of a phenomenon termed paedomorphism, or the

derived condition of an adult species retaining embryonic traits of an ancestor (Garstang, 1922;

Liem, 2001). Given lower TMD in many adult ratfish endoskeletal elements, the ratfish might

generally have paedomorphic endoskeletal development, because as mineralized skeletal

tissues develop, their TMD tends to increase (Forbes, 1976). The genetic basis for reduced

skeletal mineralization in chimaeras should be investigated further, especially since the only

published full chondrichthyan genome was from *Callorhinchus milii* (Callorhinchidae)

(Venkatesh et al., 2014), and Callorhinchidae is the only chimaera family, for example, that does

not mineralize their centra (Fig. 7; Didier, 1995; Gadow and Abbott, 1895). Our findings on

slight constriction of centra in both adult ratfish and embryonic little skate independently

supported a previous assertion that the perichordal sheath in adult chimaeras remained at a

stage corresponding to that of embryonic elasmobranchs (Gadow and Abbott, 1895). Additional

future discoveries, however, would be needed to support the idea that the endoskeleton of

ratfish (perhaps even extant holocephalans generally) is paedomorphic with respect to that of

the common ancestor of holocephalans and elasmobranchs. First, these traits (lower

endoskeletal TMD, slight morphological constriction of centra, and elongated lacunae in the

only mineralized (middle) layer of centra) must be present in this last common ancestor.

Second, primitive holocephalans must have altered these traits. Hopefully, paleontologists can

resolve these issues.

In summary, analyses of extant and fossil data suggest different features of the

chondrichthyan endoskeleton appeared (and disappeared) at different times during evolution

of this fascinating clade (Fig. 7). The widespread distribution of non-tesseral trabecular

mineralization among fossil stem and extant crown chondrichthyans argue that this is a

symplesiomorphic chondrichthyan character. Areolar mineralization is widespread among

extant chondrichthyan species, but absent in early stem chondrichthyans, suggesting it evolved

in a later stem chondrichthyan that gave rise to holocephalans and elasmobranchs. The

presence of tesserae in extant elasmobranchs and the fossil holocephalan *Cobelodus* argues

that this trait was lost secondarily in extant holocephalans. Bone-like tissues in cap zones or

neural arches appears restricted to extant elasmobranchs. Extant species have correlations

between the histological body zone and any form of trabecular mineralization on the one hand,

and the cap zone and polygonal tesserae on the other. Given these correlations, the body zone

might also be symplesiomorphic for all chondrichthyans, while the cap zone might be

synapomorphic for crown chondrichthyans, secondarily lost in extant holocephalans.

Materials and Methods

Specimens

All samples were approved for use under the University of Saskatchewan ethical

protocol (AUP 20130092). Vertebrae (precaudal and caudal) from three samples of adult small-

spotted catshark with total lengths of 31 cm were provided by the University of Montpellier

Aquarium (Planet Ocean Montpellier). Adult, juvenile, and embryonic samples of the little skate

were obtained from Marine Biological Labs (Falmouth, MA, USA). Four samples of adult little

skate with total lengths (TL) ranging from 43.5 cm – 47.5 cm were sampled (SupTable 1). Little

skate juveniles (n=7) were staged by measuring their TL and disc widths (DW) and ranged from

5.5 cm DW, 10 cm TL to 6.5 cm DW, 11 cm TL (SupTable 1; Stehmann, 2002). The average DW

and TL of stage 32 embryos (n=6) and stage 33 embryos (n=6) were 3.2 cm DW, 7.5 cm TL and

3.5 cm DW, 8 cm TL, respectively (SupTable 1; Maxwell et al., 2008; Vazquez et al., 2020). Five

samples of adult spotted ratfish with total lengths ranging from 28.5 cm to 45 cm were

captured by deep-water trawl in the San Juan Islands, WA, frozen within one or two hours of

catch, and kept frozen for several years until thawed for experiments (SupTable 1).

Regions of interest, such as ceratohyal, synarcual, and precaudal and caudal vertebrae,

were dissected from the little skate, catshark, and ratfish samples (SupTable 1). Dissected

tissues were preserved by fixation in 4% paraformaldehyde in PBS (pH 7.4) and dehydrated

through a graded ethanol series.

Micro-CT imaging and data processing

Desktop micro-CT imaging of ratfish ROIs, little skate ROIs, and catshark precaudal

vertebrae was done using SkyScan 1172 desktop microtomograph (Bruker SkyScan, Kontich,

Belgium). Desktop micro-CT projections were acquired using a 0.5 mm aluminium filter at 40 kV

and 250 µA, 100 ms exposure time, and 10 µm voxel resolution, and reconstructed using

NRecon (Bruker SkyScan, Kontich, Belgium). A lower resolution projection (i.e., 26 µm voxel,

700 ms exposure time) of an anterior region of the ratfish was acquired in parts (Fig. 1A). Scans

from the different parts were later stitched together using Dragonfly v2021.1 (Object Research

Systems, Canada). Desktop imaging of catshark caudal vertebrae was performed using CT

scanner: EasyTom 150 scanner at 70 kV, 80 µA, 1.43 s exposure time, and 6 µm voxel

resolution. Reconstructions were done with Xact software (v11025) images were rendered with

Avizo Lite software (v2019.3). Tissue mineral density (TMD) of ratfish, little skate, and catshark

ROIs was quantitated on SkyScan 1172 desktop micro-CT images using CTAnalyzer v1.16 (CTAn,

Bruker SkyScan, Kontich, Belgium) as previously described (Atake et al., 2019).

Synchrotron radiation (SR)-based micro-CT imaging of ratfish and little skate was done

on the Biomedical Imaging and Therapy-Insertion Device 05ID-2 (BMIT-ID) line at the Canadian

Light Source. SR micro-CT projections were acquired with a 28 keV photon energy, 1.44 µm

voxel resolution, 1s exposure time, and a sample to detector distance of 9 cm. Reconstruction

of SR micro-CT projections and phase retrieval was done using UFO-KIT software

(https://github.com/ufo-kit/ufo-core.git). A delta/beta ratio of 200 was applied during phase

retrieval. 3D rendered volumes and 2D virtual slices of reconstructed data were generated with

Amira 6.0 (FEI Group, USA). 3D segmentation and color-coding of morphological features were

done using segmentation editor tools in Amira.

Histological and immunofluorescence assays

Tissues for section histology were not demineralized before sectioning. Tissues were

embedded in optimum cutting temperature compound (Tissue Tek, Torrance, CA, United

States), and serial tissue sections of 10 µm thickness were made with a Cryostar NX50 cryostat

(Fisher Scientific, United States).

Tissue sections were stained as described with Safranin O (Ferguson et al., 1998),

picrosirius red (Junqueira et al., 1978), or Milligan's Trichrome (Ashique et al., 2022). Alcian

blue/Alizarin red staining was done using a modified acid-free protocol (Eames et al., 2011).

Briefly, tissues sections were washed with 100 mM Tris pH 7.5/10 mM $MgCl_2$, stained with

0.04% Alcian blue/70%EtOH/10mM $MgCl_2$ pH 7.5, taken through graded EtOH series (80%

EtOH/100 mM Tris pH 7.5/10 mM MgCl2; 50% EtOH/100 mM Tris pH 7.5; 25% EtOH/100 mM

Tris pH 7.5), stained with 0.1% Alizarin red/0.1 % KOH pH 7.5, de-stained with two washes of

0.1% KOH, and dehydrated through 25% EtOH, 50% EtOH, 80% EtOH, and 100% EtOH series

before coverslipping. Tissue sections were demineralized using 5% EDTA for 10 mins before

staining with Safranin O to improve staining of mineralized cartilage.

To minimize the chances of tissues falling off slides, tissue sections for

immunofluorescence were incubated at 55°C for 15 mins before treatments and then washed

with PBST (1xPBS/0.5x triton-X) between subsequent treatments. Tissues were treated

separately with trypsin (0.1% in 5%EDTA/1xPBS) and hyaluronidase (0.5% in 1xPBS/0.5x triton-

X) at 37°C for 15 mins each. The tissues were blocked with 4% goat serum/2% sheep/1xPBS for

1 hr, and then incubated overnight at 4°C with either Col2 antibody (1:100, II-II6B3, Hybridoma

Bank) or blocking solution (negative control). Secondary antibody labelling was done using 488-

conjugated goat anti-mouse antibody (1:1000, A32723 ThermoFisher Scientific) for 3 hours.

Quantitative and statistical analyses

Statistical analyses of TMDs were performed using SPSS V.22 (SPSS). Shapiro–Wilk test was

used to test for normal distribution of data. To assess differences among means, one-way

analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used followed by Tukey HSD or Games-Howell post hoc

analyses, depending upon whether homogeneity of variance assumption (Levene's test) was

met or not, respectively.

Data availability

Raw data from microCT projections and quantitative measurements have been deposited at

Dryad (DOI: 10.5061/dryad.crjdfn3b9) and can be accessed at

https://datadryad.org/stash/share/gnKH8kfRoKdHRE9rFGDausGzBZ1VTuCK3DB5gRPkrnp

Acknowledgements

This work was funded by Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council (NSERC) grants

RGPIN 435655-201 and RGPIN 2014-05563 awarded to BFE. The authors are very thankful to

Adam Summers of Friday Harbor in the San Juan Islands for providing the spotted ratfish

samples and some feedback on the spotted ratfish data. Research described in this paper was

performed at the BMIT facility at the Canadian Light Source, which is supported by Canada

Foundation for Innovation, Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada, the

University of Saskatchewan, Western Economic Diversification Canada, the National Research

Council Canada, and the Canadian Institutes of Health Research. We acknowledge the MRI

platform member of the national infrastructure France-BioImaging supported by the French

National Research Agency (ANR-10-INBS- 04, "Investments for the future"), the labex CEMEB

(ANR-10-LABX-0004) and NUMEV (ANR-10-LABX-0020).

 Figure legends

 Figure 1. Mineralized tissues were abundant in the spotted ratfish, but they had lower tissue

 mineral density (TMD) compared to the little skate and the small-spotted catshark. (A)

 Photograph of the ratfish *Hydrolagus colliei* illustrating the anterior region that was micro-CT

 imaged. (**B-G**) Micro-CT 3D renderings of the anterior region of ratfish (B) showed mineralized

 tissues in neural arches, basidorsals, and centra in segmented vertebrae (C,D), in the ceratohyal

 and other skeletal elements in the pharyngeal skeleton (E), and in the fused vertebral synarcual

 (F,G). (**H**) Comparative quantitative analyses showed that TMD of the synarcual, neural arch,

 and centra in ratfish were significantly lower than TMDs of same regions in little skate and

 catshark. Abbreviations: A, anterior; bb, basibranchial; bd, basidorsal; bh, basihyal; c, centrum

 cb, ceratobranchial; ch, ceratohyal; D, dorsal; hbs, hypobranchials; L, left; MK, Meckel's; na,

 neural arch; P, posterior; R, right; snf, spinal nerve foramina; V, ventral. * indicates statistically

 significant comparison ($p<0.05$). Scale bars: as indicated.

 Figure 2. Ratfish lack neural arch bone-like tissue. (A-C) Micro-CT 3D renderings showed a

 non-continuous pattern of mineralized tissues in neural arches of segmented vertebrae in

 ratfish (A), compared to the continuous mineralization pattern of compact, bone-like tissue in

 catshark (B) and little skate (C). (**D-F**) Micro-CT 2D virtual slices showed that mineralized tissues

 (arrows) in ratfish neural arches were restricted to medial and lateral peripheries (D), whereas

 the bone-like tissue in catshark and little skate extended much deeper in the neural arch (E,F).

 (**G-I**) Alizarin red staining of histological sections confirmed micro-CT representation of

 mineralization patterns in ratfish (G), catshark (H), and little skate (I) neural arches, and Alcian

blue revealed cartilaginous regions. (**J-L**) Picrosirius red staining did not reveal birefringent

collagen fibers in ratfish neural arches (J), but such staining was abundant in bone-like tissues in

catshark (K) and little skate (L). Birefringent fibers (likely reticular) were present in muscles

(vertical arrows) on the lateral sides of neural arches in all species. Col2 immunostaining

confirmed Alcian blue staining, identifying cartilage regions in all species. The neural arch core

in catshark (K) and little skate (L) consisted of mostly unmineralized cartilage and mineralized

cartilage, respectively. Abbreviations: 2D, two-dimensional; 3D, three-dimensional; Ab, Alcian

blue; Ar, Alizarin red; BLT, bone-like tissue; c, cartilage; Col2, collagen type 2; D, dorsal; IF,

immunofluorescence; L, lateral; M, medial; MC, mineralized cartilage; PSR, picrosirius red; V,

ventral. Scale bars: as indicated.

Figure 3. Ratfish, catshark, and little skate all showed trabecular mineralization, but ratfish

and catshark did not have a bone-like cap zone. (**A-H**) Micro-CT renderings of the ratfish

ceratohyal (A,E) and catshark caudal vertebra (B,F) demonstrated non-tesseral trabecular

mineralization, while little skate hyomandibula had tesseral trabecular mineralization (C,G).

Little skate precaudal vertebra had tesseral polygonal mineralization (D,H) overlying tesseral

trabecular mineralization (H'). Regions for hi-mag views in panels E-H' are indicated in

corresponding panels of A-D. (**I-P**) Alcian blue/Alizarin red and Safranin O/fast green staining of

histological sections demonstrated that the body zone of non-tesseral or tesseral trabecular

mineralized tissues in ratfish (I,M), catshark (J,N), and little skate (K,L,O,P) was mineralized

cartilage, while the cap zone of tesseral polygonal mineralization was bone-like (K,L,O,P). (**Q-T**)

Col2 immunostaining of adjacent sections to panels I-P confirmed cartilage regions in all

species, while picrosirius red staining confirmed the bone-like tesseral cap zone in little skate.

Abbreviations: Ab, Alcian blue; Ar, Alizarin red; Bz, body zone; c, cartilage; Col2, collagen type 2;

Cz, cap zone; D, dorsal; IF, immunofluorescence; L, lateral; M, medial; PSR, picrosirius red; NA,

neural arch; NS, neural spine; V, ventral. Scale bars: as indicated.

Figure 4. Micro-CT segmentation clearly correlated histological features and mineralization

patterns. (**A-C**) Trichrome staining showed that spokes in the body zone of ratfish non-tesseral

trabeculae (A) or little skate trabecular (B) or polygonal (C) tesserae had low cellularity and

were unstained, while intense acid fuchsin staining marked the smaller and larger cap zones of

little skate trabecular (B) and polygonal (C) tesserae, respectively. (**D-I**) 3D renderings of

synchrotron micro-CT data showed that hypermineralized spokes (*, segmented in blue) were

in the body zone of ratfish non-tesseral trabeculae (D,G) or little skate trabecular (E,H) or

polygonal (F,I) tesserae. Tesseral cap zones could also be segmented clearly (red) in little skate

(E,F,H,I). (**J-O**) Segmented 3D renderings demonstrated that spokes in ratfish were patchy in

deep (J) or superficial (M) views, while little skate spokes displayed a radiating pattern

traversing most of the trabecular patterns in either trabecular (K,N) or polygonal (L,O) tesserae.

The smaller cap zone in trabecular tesserae (N) was not laterally extensive enough to display a

superficial polygonal pattern like that of polygonal tesserae (O). Abbreviations: Bz, body zone;

Cz, cap zone. Scale bars: as indicated.

Figure 5. Ratfish centra had the same features as areolar mineralized tissues in little skate

and catshark. (**A-C**) Micro-CT renderings demonstrated that centra in ratfish (A), catshark (B),

and little skate (C) all had a compact mineralization, but ratfish centra were organized in

multiple rings and did not display the gross biconcave morphology seen in catshark or little

skate. (**D-O**) Alcian blue/Alizarin red and Safranin O section histology and Col2 immunostaining

demonstrated that mineralized centra in ratfish (D,G,J), catshark (E,H,K), and little skate (F,I,L)

all comprised a middle layer with elongate lacunae in concentric lamellae, but in ratfish this was

mineralized cartilage, while catshark and little skate had little to no Safranin O or Col2 staining,

respectively, in this region. Catshark mineralized centra also comprised the outer layer, while

little skate mineralized centra also comprised both inner and outer layers. These additional

centra layers had rounded cells embedded in matrix of weak Safranin O staining and Col2

immunofluorescence. Regions for hi-mag views in panels G-O are indicated in corresponding

panels of D-F; regions for hi-mag inserts in panels G-I are indicated in those panels.

Abbreviations: A, anterior; Ab, Alcian blue; Ar, Alizarin red; c, cartilage; Col2, collagen type 2; D,

dorsal; IF, immunofluorescence; IL, inner layer; L, lateral; M, medial, OL, outer layer; P,

posterior; V, ventral. Scale bars: as indicated; inserts in G-I are 20 µm.

Figure 6. Morphological and histological features of centra were shared between little skate

embryos and juveniles and adult ratfish. (**A-D**) Desktop micro-CT renderings showed centra

and other mineralized structures in vertebral segments (dashed lines) of little skate embryos

and juveniles (A-C) and adult ratfish (D). (**E-H**) Synchrotron micro-CT renderings of centra in

little skate embryos and juveniles (E-G) and adult ratfish (H) showed that centra in the ratfish

were slightly constricted, like those of little skate embryos (red arrows). (**I-K**) Alcian

blue/Alizarin red section histology showed that areolar mineralized tissue constituted the only

centra layer in little skate embryos (I,J), whereas an outer mineralized centra layer was present

in 6.5 cm DW juveniles (K). (**L**) Tissue mineral density (TMD) of centra in ratfish was similar to

those of stage 33 embryos and 6.5 cm DW juveniles of little skate. Abbreviation: A, anterior; Ab,

Alcian blue; Ar, Alizarin red; bd, basidorsal; C, centrum; HA, haemal arch; L, lateral; M, medial;

NA, neural arch; OL, outer layer; P, posterior.* indicates statistically significant comparison

($p<0.05$). Scale bars: as indicated.

Figure 7. Character states of mineralized tissues in various extant and fossil chondrichthyan

species suggest shared and derived features. Non-tesseral trabecular mineralization (NTT) is

likely a symplesiomorphic (shared ancestral) character of stem and crown chondrichthyans,

because it is pervasive in fossil and extant chondrichthyans. Polygonal (PT) and trabecular (TT)

tesseral mineralization patterns appear to be absent in extant holocephalans and stem

chondrichthyans, but their presence in some extant elasmobranchs and a fossil holocephalan

suggest that these tesseral mineralizations are synapomorphic (shared derived) characters of

crown chondrichthyans, subsequently lost in extant holocephalans. Areolar (AR) mineralized

tissue is present in extant elasmobranchs and holocephalans, but its absence in stem

chondrichthyans suggests that it is a synapomorphic character of crown chondrichthyans. The

absence of neural arch bone-like tissue (BLT) in holocephalans further supports the conclusion

that it is a synapomorphic character of elasmobranchs. The presence of a histological body zone

(BZ) in either tesseral or non-tesseral mineralized tissues of stem and crown chondrichthyans

suggests that the body zone is a symplesiomorphic character of all chondrichthyans. The

histological cap zone (CZ) in some elasmobranchs, along with the inference that polygonal

tesserae in a fossil holocephalan means that they had cap zones, suggests that the cap zone is

also a synapomorphic character of crown chondrichthyans. 0, 1, and ? indicate absence,

presence, and unknown, respectively, of characters for the listed species. See Supplemental

Table 2 for downloadable character matrix.

References

Arratia, G., Schultze, H.P., Casciotta, J., 2001. Vertebral column and associated elements in dipnoans and
comparison with other fishes: development and homology. J Morphol 250, 101-172 DOI:
10.1002/jmor.1062.

Ashique, A.M., Atake, O.J., Ovens, K., Guo, R., Pratt, I.V., Detrich III, H.W., Cooper, D.M., Desvignes, T.,
Postlethwait, J.H., Eames, B.F., 2022. Bone microstructure and bone mineral density are not systemically
different in Antarctic icefishes and related Antarctic notothenioids. Journal of Anatomy 240, 34-49 DOI:
https://doi.org/10.1111/joa.13537.

Atake, O.J., Cooper, D.M.L., Eames, B.F., 2019. Bone-like features in skate suggest a novel elasmobranch
synapomorphy and deep homology of trabecular mineralization patterns. Acta Biomater 84, 424-436
DOI: 10.1016/j.actbio.2018.11.047.

Atake, O.J., Eames, B.F., 2021. Mineralized Cartilage and Bone-Like Tissues in Chondrichthyans Offer
Potential Insights Into the Evolution and Development of Mineralized Tissues in the Vertebrate
Endoskeleton. Frontiers in Genetics 12 DOI: 10.3389/fgene.2021.762042.

Berio, F., Broyon, M., Enault, S., Pirot, N., López-Romero, F.A., Debiais-Thibaud, M., 2021. Diversity and
Evolution of Mineralized Skeletal Tissues in Chondrichthyans. Frontiers in Ecology and Evolution 9, 223
DOI: 10.3389/fevo.2021.660767.

Bordat, C., 1987. Étude ultrastructurale de l'os des vertèbres du Sélacien Scyliorhinus canicula L.
Canadian Journal of Zoology 65, 1435-1444 DOI: 10.1139/z87-226.

Claeson, K.M., 2011. The synarcual cartilage of batoids with emphasis on the synarcual of Rajidae. J
Morphol 272, 1444-1463 DOI: 10.1002/jmor.10996.

Clement, J., 1992. Re-examination of the fine structure of endoskeletal mineralization in
chondrichthyans: implications for growth, ageing and calcium homeostasis. Marine and Freshwater
Research 43, 157-181.

Coates, M.I., Finarelli, J.A., Sansom, I.J., Andreev, P.S., Criswell, K.E., Tietjen, K., Rivers, M.L., La Riviere,
P.J., 2018. An early chondrichthyan and the evolutionary assembly of a shark body plan. Proc Biol Sci
285, 20172418 DOI: 10.1098/rspb.2017.2418.

Criswell, K.E., Coates, M.I., Gillis, J.A., 2017. Embryonic development of the axial column in the little
skate, *Leucoraja erinacea*. J Morphol 278, 300-320 DOI: 10.1002/jmor.20637.

Criswell, K.E., Gillis, J.A., 2020. Resegmentation is an ancestral feature of the gnathostome vertebral
skeleton. Elife 9, e51696 DOI: 10.7554/eLife.51696.

Daniel, J.F., 1934. The elasmobranch fishes. University of California press, Berkeley, California.

Dean, M.N., Bizzarro, J.J., Clark, B., Underwood, C.J., Johanson, Z., 2017. Large batoid fishes frequently
consume stingrays despite skeletal damage. Royal Society Open Science 4, 170674 DOI:
doi:10.1098/rsos.170674.

Dean, M.N., Summers, A.P., 2006. Mineralized cartilage in the skeleton of chondrichthyan fishes.
Zoology (Jena) 109, 164-168 DOI: 10.1016/j.zool.2006.03.002.

Debiais-Thibaud, M., 2018. The Evolution of Endoskeletal Mineralisation in Chondrichthyan Fish,
Evolution and development of fishes. Cambridge University Press, pp. 110-125.

Debiais-Thibaud, M., 2019. The Evolution of Endoskeletal Mineralisation in Chondrichthyan Fish:
Development, Cells and Molecules, in: Underwood, C., Richter, M., Johanson, Z. (Eds.), Evolution and
Development of Fishes. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, pp. 110-125.

Didier, D.A., 1995. Phylogenetic systematics of extant chimaeroid fishes (Holocephali, Chimaeroidei).
American Museum of Natural History, New York.

Eames, B.F., Allen, N., Young, J., Kaplan, A., Helms, J.A., Schneider, R.A., 2007. Skeletogenesis in the swell
shark *Cephaloscyllium ventriosum*. J Anat 210, 542-554 DOI: 10.1111/j.1469-7580.2007.00723.x.

Eames, B.F., Yan, Y.-L., Swartz, M.E., Levic, D.S., Knapik, E.W., Postlethwait, J.H., Kimmel, C.B., 2011.
Mutations in fam20b and xylt1 reveal that cartilage matrix controls timing of endochondral ossification
by inhibiting chondrocyte maturation. PLoS Genetics 7, e1002246 DOI: 10.1371/journal.pgen.1002246.

Enault, S., Munoz, D.N., Silva, W.T., Borday-Birraux, V., Bonade, M., Oulion, S., Venteo, S., Marcellini, S.,
Debiais-Thibaud, M., 2015. Molecular footprinting of skeletal tissues in the catshark *Scyliorhinus*
canicula and the clawed frog *Xenopus tropicalis* identifies conserved and derived features of vertebrate
calcification. Front Genet 6, 283 DOI: 10.3389/fgene.2015.00283.

Ferguson, C.M., Miclau, T., Hu, D., Alpern, E., Helms, J.A., 1998. Common molecular pathways in skeletal
morphogenesis and repair. Ann N Y Acad Sci 857, 33-42 DOI: 10.1111/j.1749-6632.1998.tb10105.x.

Forbes, G.B., 1976. Calcium accumulation by the human fetus. Pediatrics 57, 976-977 DOI:
10.1542/peds.57.6.976.

Frey, L., Coates, M., Ginter, M., Hairapetian, V., Rucklin, M., Jerjen, I., Klug, C., 2019. The early
elasmobranch *Phoebodus*: phylogenetic relationships, ecomorphology and a new time-scale for shark
evolution. Proc Biol Sci 286, 20191336 DOI: 10.1098/rspb.2019.1336.

Gadow, H.F., Abbott, E., 1895. IV. On the evolution of the vertebral column of fishes. Philosophical
Transactions of the Royal Society of London. (B.) 186, 163-221 DOI: 10.1098/rstb.1895.0004.

Garstang, W., 1922. The Theory of Recapitulation: A Critical Re-statement of the Biogenetic Law.
Zoological Journal of the Linnean Society 35, 81-101 DOI: 10.1111/j.1096-3642.1922.tb00464.x.

Gillis, J.A., Rawlinson, K.A., Bell, J., Lyon, W.S., Baker, C.V., Shubin, N.H., 2011. Holocephalan embryos
provide evidence for gill arch appendage reduction and opercular evolution in cartilaginous fishes. Proc
Natl Acad Sci U S A 108, 1507-1512 DOI: 10.1073/pnas.1012968108.

Goldring, M.B., Tsuchimochi, K., Ijiri, K., 2006. The control of chondrogenesis. J Cell Biochem 97, 33-44
DOI: 10.1002/jcb.20652.

Golub, E.E., 2009. Role of matrix vesicles in biomineralization. Biochim Biophys Acta 1790, 1592-1598
DOI: 10.1016/j.bbagen.2009.09.006.

Herbert, A.M., Dean, M.N., Summers, A.P., Wilga, C.D., 2022. Biomechanics of the jaws of spotted
ratfish. Journal of Experimental Biology 225 DOI: 10.1242/jeb.243748.

Janvier, P., 1996. Early vertebrates. Oxford University Press.

Jayasankar, A.K., Seidel, R., Hosny, A., Weaver, J.C., Fratzl, P., Chen, J., Dean, M.N., 2020. Multi-scale
modeling and mechanical performance characterization of stingray skeleton-inspired tessellations.
Journal of the Mechanics and Physics of Solids 138, 103906 DOI: 10.1016/j.jmps.2020.103906.

Johanson, Z., Boisvert, C., Maksimenko, A., Currie, P., Trinajstic, K., 2015. Development of the Synarcual
in the Elephant Sharks (Holocephali; Chondrichthyes): Implications for Vertebral Formation and Fusion.
PLoS One 10, e0135138 DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0135138.

Johanson, Z., Trinajstic, K., Carr, R., Ritchie, A., 2012. Evolution and development of the synarcual in
early vertebrates. Zoomorphology 132, 95-110 DOI: 10.1007/s00435-012-0169-9.

Junqueira, L.C., Cossermelli, W., Brentani, R., 1978. Differential staining of collagens type I, II and III by
Sirius Red and polarization microscopy. Arch Histol Jpn 41, 267-274 DOI: 10.1679/aohc1950.41.267.

Kemp, N.E., Westrin, S.K., 1979. Ultrastructure of calcified cartilage in the endoskeletal tesserae of
sharks. J Morphol 160, 75-109 DOI: 10.1002/jmor.1051600106.

Kölliker, A., 1860. Über das Ende der Wirbelsäule der Ganoiden und einiger Teleostier. W. Engelmann.

Liem, K.F., Bemis, Willam E, Walker, Warren F, and Grande, Lance, 2001. Functional anatomy of the
vertebrates: an evolutionary perspective, Third ed. Brooks/Cole, Belmont, CA.

Lowenstam, H.A., 1981. Minerals formed by organisms. Science 211, 1126-1131 DOI:
10.1126/science.7008198.

Maisey, J.G., 2013. The diversity of tessellated calcification in modern and extinct chondrichthyans.
Revue de Paléobiologie 32, 355-371.

Maisey, J.G., Denton, J.S.S., Burrow, C., Pradel, A., 2021. Architectural and ultrastructural features of
tessellated calcified cartilage in modern and extinct chondrichthyan fishes. J Fish Biol 98, 919-941 DOI:
10.1111/jfb.14376.

Marramà, G., Schultz, O., Kriwet, J., 2019. A new Miocene skate from the Central Paratethys (Upper
Austria): the first unambiguous skeletal record for the Rajiformes (Chondrichthyes: Batomorphii). J Syst
Palaeontol 17, 937-960 DOI: 10.1080/14772019.2018.1486336.

Maxwell, E.E., Fröbisch, N.B., Heppleston, A.C., 2008. Variability and Conservation in Late
Chondrichthyan Development: Ontogeny of the Winter Skate (Leucoraja ocellata). The Anatomical
Record 291, 1079-1087 DOI: https://doi.org/10.1002/ar.20719.

Miles, R.S., 1970. Remarks on the Vertebral Column and Caudal Fin of Acanthodian Fishes. Lethaia 3,
343-362 DOI: 10.1111/j.1502-3931.1970.tb00828.x.

Omelon, S., Georgiou, J., Variola, F., Dean, M.N., 2014. Colocation and role of polyphosphates and
alkaline phosphatase in apatite biomineralization of elasmobranch tesserae. Acta Biomater 10, 3899-
3910 DOI: 10.1016/j.actbio.2014.06.008.

Ørvig, T., 1951. The endoskeleton, with remarks on the hard tissues of lower vertebrates in general,
Histologic studies of Placoderms and fossil Elasmobranchs. Almqvist & Wiksell, Stockholm, pp. 321-454.

Pears, J.B., Johanson, Z., Trinajstic, K., Dean, M.N., Boisvert, C.A., 2020. Mineralization of the
Callorhinchus Vertebral Column (Holocephali; Chondrichthyes). Front Genet 11, 571694 DOI:
10.3389/fgene.2020.571694.

Peignoux-Deville, J., Lallier, F., Vidal, B., 1982. Evidence for the presence of osseous tissue in dogfish
vertebrae. Cell Tissue Res 222, 605-614 DOI: 10.1007/BF00213858.

Porter, M.E., Beltrán, J.L., Koob, T.J., Summers, A.P., 2006. Material properties and biochemical
composition of mineralized vertebral cartilage in seven elasmobranch species (Chondrichthyes). J Exp
Biol 209, 2920-2928 DOI: 10.1242/jeb.02325.

Ridewood, W., MacBride, E.W., 1921. VIII.—On the calcification of the vertebral centra in sharks and
rays. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London. Series B, Containing Papers of a
Biological Character 210, 311-407 DOI: 10.1098/rstb.1921.0008.

Rossert, J., de Crombrugghe, B., 2002. Type I collagen: structure, synthesis, and regulation, Principles of
bone biology. Elsevier, pp. 189-XVIII.

Seidel, R., Blumer, M., Chaumel, J., Amini, S., Dean, M.N., 2020. Endoskeletal mineralization in chimaera
and a comparative guide to tessellated cartilage in chondrichthyan fishes (sharks, rays and chimaera). J R
Soc Interface 17, 20200474 DOI: 10.1098/rsif.2020.0474.

Seidel, R., Blumer, M., Pechriggl, E.J., Lyons, K., Hall, B.K., Fratzl, P., Weaver, J.C., Dean, M.N., 2017.
Calcified cartilage or bone? Collagens in the tessellated endoskeletons of cartilaginous fish (sharks and
rays). J Struct Biol 200, 54-71 DOI: 10.1016/j.jsb.2017.09.005.

Seidel, R., Lyons, K., Blumer, M., Zaslansky, P., Fratzl, P., Weaver, J.C., Dean, M.N., 2016. Ultrastructural
and developmental features of the tessellated endoskeleton of elasmobranchs (sharks and rays). J Anat
229, 681-702 DOI: 10.1111/joa.12508.

Smith, M., Manzanares, E., Underwood, C., Healy, C., Clark, B., Johanson, Z., 2020. Holocephalan
(Chondrichthyes) dental plates with hypermineralized dentine as a substitute for missing teeth through
developmental plasticity. J Fish Biol 97, 16-27 DOI: 10.1111/jfb.14302.

Smith, M.M., Hall, B.K., 1990. Development and evolutionary origins of vertebrate skeletogenic and
odontogenic tissues. Biol Rev Camb Philos Soc 65, 277-373 DOI: 10.1111/j.1469-185x.1990.tb01427.x.

Stehmann, M.F., 2002. Proposal of a maturity stages scale for oviparous and viviparous cartilaginous
fishes (Pisces, Chondrichthyes). Archive of Fishery and Marine research 50, 23-48.

Vazquez, D.M., Díaz de Astarloa, J.M., Gabbanelli, V., Mabragaña, E., 2020. Comparative embryonic
development patterns in three deep-water skates from the southwest Atlantic. Deep Sea Research Part
I: Oceanographic Research Papers 161, 103301 DOI: 10.1016/j.dsr.2020.103301.

Venkatesh, B., Lee, A.P., Ravi, V., Maurya, A.K., Lian, M.M., Swann, J.B., Ohta, Y., Flajnik, M.F., Sutoh, Y.,
Kasahara, M., Hoon, S., Gangu, V., Roy, S.W., Irimia, M., Korzh, V., Kondrychyn, I., Lim, Z.W., Tay, B.-H.,
Tohari, S., Kong, K.W., Ho, S., Lorente-Galdos, B., Quilez, J., Marques-Bonet, T., Raney, B.J., Ingham,
P.W., Tay, A., Hillier, L.W., Minx, P., Boehm, T., Wilson, R.K., Brenner, S., Warren, W.C., 2014. Elephant
shark genome provides unique insights into gnathostome evolution. Nature 505, 174-179 DOI:
10.1038/nature12826.

Wilga, C.A.D., Ferry, L.A., 2015. 4 - Functional Anatomy and Biomechanics of Feeding in Elasmobranchs,
in: Shadwick, R.E., Farrell, A.P., Brauner, C.J. (Eds.), Fish Physiology. Academic Press, pp. 153-187.

Wurmbach, H., 1932. Das Wachstum des Selachierwirbels und seiner Gewebe. Zool Jahrb (Abt Anat Ont

Tiere) 55, 1-136.

Little skate

Ratfish

Embryonic stage 32 | Embryonic stage 33 | 6.5cm DW juvenile | Adult

Desktop micro-CT renderings

Synchrotron micro-CT renderings

Ab/Ar section histology

Ratfish (chimaera)

Vertebrae

Pharyngeal skeleton

Synarcual

H

Tissue Mineral Density (gHA/cm^3)

Species (adult)
- Ratfish
- Little skate
- Catshark

Regions of Interest: Synarcual, Neural arch, Centra

	Chimaera	**Elasmobranchs**	
	Ratfish	Catshark	Little skate

3D renderings · Desktop micro-CT

A — Non-continuous · 200µm

B — Continuous · 200µm

C — Continuous · 200µm

2D virtual sections · Desktop micro-CT

D — M↔L, D↕V · 200µm

E — M↔L, D↕V · BLT · BLT · 200µm

F — M↔L, D↕V · BLT · BLT · 200µm

Section histology/IF

G — Ab/Ar · MC · c · Muscle · 200µm

H — Ab/Ar · MC · BLT · c · BLT · Muscle · 100µm

I — Ab/Ar · MC · BLT · BLT · 100µm

J — PSR/Col2 · MC · c · 200µm

K — PSR/Col2 · MC · BLT · c · BLT · 100µm

L — PSR/Col2 · MC · BLT · BLT · 100µm

Chimaera
Ratfish
Ceratohyal

Elasmobranchs
Catshark
Caudal vertebra

Little skate
Precaudal vertebra   Hyomandibula

A   M↔L
B   NA   D↑V   HA
C   NS   NA   D↑V   2mm
D   M↔L

2mm   2mm   2mm

Desktop micro-CT renderings

Non-tesseral
E   Trabecular   100µm
F   Trabecular   100µm

Tesseral
G   Trabecular   200µm
H   Polygonal   500µm
H'   Trabecular   500µm

Section histology/IF

Ab/Ar
I   C   Bz   C   50µm
J   Bz   C   50µm
K   Cz   Bz   C   50µm
L   Cz   Bz   C   100µm

Safranin O
M   C   Bz   C   50µm
N   C   Bz   C   50µm
O   Cz   Bz   c   50µm
P   Cz   Bz   50µm

PSR/Col2
Q   C   Bz   c   50µm
R   C   Bz   c   50µm
S   Cz   Bz   c   50µm
T   Cz   Bz   50µm

| | Chimaera | Elasmobranchs | |
| | Ratfish | Catshark | Little skate |

Desktop Micro-CT renderings

A — Compact — A↔P, D↔V, 1mm

B — Compact — A↔P, D↔V, 1mm

C — Compact — A↔P, D↔V, 1mm

Ab/Ar

D — M↔L, D↔V, 1mm

E — M↔L, D↔V, 250μm

F — M↔L, D↔V, 500μm

G — Areolar, 200μm

H — Areolar, OL, C, 200μm

I — IL, Areolar, OL, C, 200μm

Safranin O

J — Areolar, 50μm

K — Areolar, OL, C, 50μm

L — IL, Areolar, OL, C, 50μm

Col2 IF

M — Areolar, 50μm

N — Areolar, OL, C, 50μm

O — IL, Areolar, OL, C, 50μm