Ratfish (chimaera)

A

D / A ←→ P / V

5cm

Vertebrae

B

F,G   C,D

E

5mm

C

na

bd

C

D   R ←→ L

na

C

2mm

Pharyngeal skeleton

E

Mk   bh   Mk

A ↕ P

ch   b   ch

b

cb   hbs   cb

7mm

Synarcual

F

D ↕ V

snf

G   R ←→ L

C

2mm

H

Tissue Mineral Density (gHA/cm^3)

Species (adult)
- Ratfish (red)
- Little skate (blue)
- Catshark (green)

Regions of Interest: Synarcual, Neural arch, Centra

Elasmobranchs

Little skate | Catshark

Chimaera

Ratfish

3D renderings

A — Compact
200μm

B — Compact
200μm

C — Irregular
200μm

Desktop micro-CT

2D virtual sections

D — M↔L, D↕V
BLT — BLT
200μm

E — M↔L, D↕V
BLT — BLT
200μm

F — M↔L, D↕V
200μm

Section histology/IF

Ab/Ar

G — BLT — MC — BLT
100μm

H — MC — c — BLT — BLT — Muscle
100μm

I — MC — c — Muscle
200μm

PSR/Col2

J — BLT — MC — BLT
100μm

K — MC — c — BLT — BLT
100μm

L — MC — c
200μm

Trichrome histology

Synchrotron micro- CT 3D renderings

Superficial surface view

Deep surface view

Little skate

Polygonal tesserae

A Cz Bz 100μm
B Cz Bz 150μm
C Cz Bz 150μm
D Cz 200μm
E Bz 200μm

Trabecular tesserae

F Bz Cz 100μm
G Bz Cz 150μm
H Bz Cz 150μm
I Bz Cz 200μm
J Bz 200μm

Ratfish

Trabecular mineralized tissue

K ITF Bz 50μm
L Bz 100μm
M Bz 100μm
N Bz 100μm
O Bz 100μm

Little skate

Embryonic stage 32   Embryonic stage 33   6.5cm DW juvenile

Ratfish

Adult

Desktop micro-CT renderings

A NA; D; V; C; A↔P; 250μm

B NA; C; HA; A↔P; 500μm

C NA; C; HA; A↔P; 500μm

D NA; bd; D; V; C; A↔P; 1mm

Synchrotron micro-CT renderings

E A↔P; M↔L; 500μm

F A↔P; M↔L; 500μm

G A↔P; M↔L; 250μm

H A↔P; 50μm

Ab/Ar section histology

I M↔L; Areolar; 75μm

J M↔L; Areolar; 75μm

K M↔L; Areolar; oL; 75μm

L Centra TMD (gHA/cm^3)

0.800
0.600
0.400
0.200
0.000

ES.32   ES.33   Juv.   Adult
Little skate   Ratfish

Extant cartilaginous fishes share trabecular and areolar mineralization patterns,

but not tesserae, and evidence for a paedomorphic chimaera skeleton

Oghenevwogaga J. Atake[1], Fidji Berio[2], Melanie Debiais-Thibaud[2], B. Frank Eames[1]

[1]Department of Anatomy, Physiology, and Pharmacology, University of Saskatchewan,

Saskatoon, Canada

[2] Institut des Sciences de l'Evolution, University of Montpellier, CNRS, IRD, EPHE, Montpellier,

France

Correspondence

B. F. Eames,

Department of Anatomy, Physiology, and Pharmacology, University of Saskatchewan, 2D01-107

Wiggins Rd, Saskatoon, SK, S7N 5E5 Canada

Tel: +1 (306)966-6534

Email: b.frank@usask.ca

Website: https://www.eameslab.ca/

Abstract

A comprehensive study is lacking that clearly defines and directly compares the diverse mineralized endoskeletal tissues exhibited by extant chondrichthyans (elasmobranchs, such as sharks and skates, and holocephalans, such as chimaeras). Tiles of mineralized polygonal structures called tesserae occur at cartilage surfaces in chondrichthyans, but recent studies showing trabecular structures suggest that tesserae are not as common as previously thought. A specific region of tesserae termed cap zone and continuous (not tiled) mineralized elasmobranch neural arches demonstrate bone-like tissues. Areolar mineralized tissue in elasmobranchs is generally considered a unique chondrichthyan feature. Despite these reports, it remains unclear what mineralized endoskeletal features define extant chondrichthyans. To address this question, adult skeletal tissues in two elasmobranchs (little skate and small-spotted catshark) and a chimaera (spotted ratfish) were characterized using synchrotron radiation and desktop micro-CT imaging, and histological and immunofluorescent assays. Data from these extant chondrichthyan representatives suggested that trabecular and areolar mineralization, but not tesserae and bone-like tissues, are shared features of the extant chondrichthyan endoskeleton. Interestingly, three separate analyses argued that the chimaera endoskeleton retains ancestral embryonic features (i.e., paedomorphic). This study further proposes general terminology for character states of the extant chondrichthyan endoskeleton and infers those states in ancestral chondrichthyans.

Key words: chondrichthyan endoskeleton, mineralization patterns, sharks, skates, chimaeras, tesserae, bone-like tissues, paedomorphism

Introduction

The skeleton of chondrichthyans, or cartilaginous fishes, has long fascinated evolutionary biologists (Janvier, 1996; Maisey, 2013; Ørvig, 1951; Smith and Hall, 1990). However, only in the past 15 years has an active research community revealed the histological and molecular complexity of extant chondrichthyan skeletal tissues. Even so, these studies are limited in scope, focussing mostly on specific skeletal features of the Elasmobranchii subclass of extant Chondrichthyes, such as sharks, skates, and rays (Atake et al., 2019; Dean et al., 2017; Eames et al., 2007; Johanson et al., 2012; Kemp and Westrin, 1979; Omelon et al., 2014; Porter et al., 2006; Seidel et al., 2016), with only a couple including the Holocephali subclass, such as chimaera (Gillis et al., 2011; Herbert et al., 2022; Pears et al., 2020; Seidel et al., 2020; Smith et al., 2020). Unfortunately, an in-depth description of the extant holocephalan endoskeleton is severely lacking, leading to a major deficit in understanding skeletal tissue character states across extant chondrichthyans.

Like most vertebrates, skeletal mineralization in extant chondrichthyans occurs by incorporation of biominerals into extracellular compartments of skeletal tissues (Golub, 2009; Lowenstam, 1981; Omelon et al., 2014), giving rise to diverse mineralized tissue types in several anatomical sites of the chondrichthyan endoskeleton. Traditionally recognized as a defining endoskeletal feature of Chondrichthyes, tesserae form a mineralization pattern consisting of an array of distinct, polygonal units at the surface of cartilages (Kemp and Westrin, 1979; Maisey, 2013; Maisey et al., 2021). In skates, however, a recently characterized trabecular mineralization pattern lies directly underneath the polygonal pattern of tesserae (Atake et al.,

2019). In fact, the cartilage surface in several endoskeletal regions of skates and rays only

contain the trabecular mineralization pattern (Atake et al., 2019; Jayasankar et al., 2020),

suggesting that polygonal tesserae may not be as common as traditionally thought. Like

polygonal tesserae, the little skate trabecular mineralization also forms an arrayed pattern, but

the repeating units are in the form of trabecular struts interspersed by unmineralized regions,

leading us to call them trabecular tesserae (Atake et al., 2019; Atake and Eames, 2021).

Descriptions of a trabecular mineralization pattern in the endoskeleton of sharks or chimaeras

are currently lacking, leaving open the possibility that this is a phenotype specific to the

Batoidea superorder (including skates and rays) of the subclass Elasmobranchii. In some

chimaeras, the surfaces of cartilage in the anterior fused vertebrae (synarcual) and

chondrocranium have sheets of mineralization that abut one another (Pears et al., 2020; Seidel

et al., 2020), but these do not have an arrayed pattern of repeating units, so perhaps they

should not be called tesserae.

Recent evidence suggests that histological features of tesserae may vary according to

the mineralization pattern. Traditional polygonal tesserae have distinct histological zones, with

a cap zone superficial to a body zone near the surface of chondrichthyan cartilage (Atake et al.,

2019; Berio et al., 2021; Kemp and Westrin, 1979; Seidel et al., 2016). While skates have

polygonal tesserae, trabecular tesserae in little skate have very small or even absent cap zones

overlying extensive body zones (Atake et al., 2019). Additionally, specific regions of tesserae

termed spokes are hypermineralized and often devoid of cells, and spokes appear to be a

common feature of tesserae regardless of their mineralization pattern (Atake et al., 2019; Pears

et al., 2020; Seidel et al., 2020; Seidel et al., 2016). Overall, these data strongly suggest that

histological zones of tesserae actually correlate with mineralization patterns.

Some chondrichthyans have mineralized endoskeletal tissues that have been

characterized as bone-like (Atake and Eames, 2021). For example, the cap zone of tesserae

displays bony features, like elongate cell lacunae in a mineralized ECM of densely packed Col1

fibers (Atake et al., 2019; Kemp and Westrin, 1979; Seidel et al., 2017; Seidel et al., 2016).

Unlike the cap zone, the body zone displays typical features of hyaline cartilage, which is the

main cartilage type in vertebrates (Goldring et al., 2006). The body zone consists of round

chondrocyte lacunae embedded in a mineralized matrix abundant in loosely packed type II

collagen (Col2) fibers and sulfated glycosaminoglycans (Enault et al., 2015; Seidel et al., 2017).

As another example of bone-like tissue, many elasmobranch species have a non-tiled,

mineralized perichondral tissue in their neural arches (Atake et al., 2019; Berio et al., 2021;

Bordat, 1987; Eames et al., 2007; Kemp and Westrin, 1979; Seidel et al., 2016). The neural arch

bone-like tissue demonstrates morphological and histological features of perichondral bone,

including a compact mineralization pattern, elongate cell lacunae, canaliculi-like channels

connecting adjacent lacunae, and tightly packed type I collagen (Col1) fibers (Bordat, 1987;

Eames et al., 2007; Kemp and Westrin, 1979; Peignoux-Deville et al., 1982; Rossert and de

Crombrugghe, 2002; Seidel et al., 2017). Bone-like tissues might be a defining feature of extant

chondrichthyans, but relevant data from chimaeras are needed.

Finally, areolar mineralized tissue is generally considered a unique feature of the extant

chondrichthyan endoskeleton, characterized mostly in elasmobranchs as large sheets of

mineralization in the notochordal sheath of the vertebral body (centrum) (Didier, 1995;

Ridewood and MacBride, 1921). Areolar mineralized tissue typically contains concentric

lamellae of elongate lacunae in a fibrocartilage-like extracellular matrix and a compact

mineralization pattern (Atake et al., 2019; Criswell et al., 2017; Dean and Summers, 2006;

Eames et al., 2007). Mineralized centra are not thought to be common in chimaeras, but when

present, they can appear as multiple mineralized rings in each vertebral segment, which

contrasts with the grossly biconcave morphology of segmented centra in elasmobranchs

(Gadow and Abbott, 1895).

With hopes of revealing shared and clade-specific features among chondrichthyans,

here we clarify many of the unresolved issues highlighted above. Testing the hypothesis that

extant chondrichthyans share histological and morphological features of endoskeletal

mineralized tissues, adult tissues in several endoskeletal regions of the small-spotted catshark

Scyliorhinus canicula (shark), little skate *Leucoraja erinacea* (skate), and spotted ratfish

Hydrolagus colliei (chimaera) were characterized using synchrotron radiation and desktop

micro-CT imaging, and histological and immunofluorescent assays. The data argue that

trabecular and areolar, but not polygonal, mineralization patterns are shared by extant

chondrichthyans. For ratfish, tesseral mineralization, cap zones, and neural arch bone-like

tissues were absent, and three separate analyses argued that this chimaera displays skeletal

paedomorphosis, or retention of ancestral embryonic features (Garstang, 1922). While

additional chondrichthyan representatives can bolster these findings, this study proposes

general terminology for character states of the extant chondrichthyan endoskeleton,

concluding that ancestral chondrichthyans had trabecular and areolar mineralization patterns,

with tesserae likely lost in various extant chondrichthyan clades.

Results

Adult mineralized tissues in spotted ratfish had lower tissue mineral density (TMD) than little skate or small-spotted catshark

To assess whether chimaeras demonstrate skeletal mineralization in anatomical sites that are homologous to those of elasmobranchs, 3D rendering of micro-CT images of anterior regions of adult ratfish were qualitatively and quantitatively analyzed (Fig. 1A,B). Micro-CT images revealed mineralized tissues in several endoskeletal regions including segmented vertebral neural arches and centra (Fig. 1C,D), several skeletal elements in the pharyngeal skeleton (Fig. 1E), and the fused vertebral synarcual (Fig. 1F,G). Although elasmobranchs mineralize neural arches in their precaudal and caudal vertebrae (Atake et al., 2019; Berio et al., 2021; Eames et al., 2007), mineralized neural arches were present mainly in precaudal vertebrae of ratfish (Fig. 1C,D; data not shown). Mineralized skeletal elements in the pharyngeal skeleton included the basihyal, Meckel's, ceratohyal, basibranchial, hypobranchials, and ceratobranchial (Fig. 1E). Spinal nerve foramina are common in the synarcual of cartilaginous fishes and were present in the ventral sides of the ratfish synarcual (Fig. 1F; Claeson, 2011; Johanson et al., 2015; Pears et al., 2020). Unlike the synarcual in skates and other batoids, where distinct vertebral parts are not discernible (Atake et al., 2019; Claeson, 2011), the synarcual in ratfish had distinct mineralized centra (Fig. 1G).

TMDs of the synarcual, neural arches, and centra in ratfish, little skate, and catshark were quantitated and compared, where possible, since sharks do not have a synarcual (Fig. 1H). To make TMD analysis of the synarcual in ratfish and little skate more comparable, distinct centra

in the ratfish synarcual were segmented and excluded. The average TMD of the ratfish

synarcual was 0.31± 0.11 gHA/cm^3, significantly lower than the TMD of the little skate

synarcual, which was 0.94 ± 0.04 gHA/cm^3 (Fig. 1H; p= 6.5x10^{-4}). Similarly, the TMD of ratfish

neural arches (0.40 ± 0.05 gHA/cm^3) was significantly lower than the TMD of neural arches of

either little skate (0.96 ± 0.04 gHA/cm^3; p=4.5x10^{-7}) or catshark (0.81 ± 0.04 gHA/cm^3; p=9 x10^{-6})

(Fig. 1H). The average TMD of ratfish centra (0.42 ± 0.10 gHA/cm^3) was also significantly lower

than the TMD of centra of either little skate (0.88 ± 0.05 gHA/cm^3; p=3.4 x10^{-5}) or catshark (0.84

± 0.02 gHA/cm^3; p=1.1 x10^{-4}) (Fig. 1H). TMD of segmented centra within the ratfish synarcual

was similar to that of centra in segmented vertebrae of ratfish (0.46 ± 0.11 gHA/cm^3). These

analyses showed that the synarcual, neural arches, and centra in ratfish had significantly lower

TMDs compared to homologous elements in little skate and catshark.

Neural arches in ratfish lacked bone-like tissue

To clarify whether chimaeras have bone-like tissue like elasmobranchs, micro-CT and

histological analyses of neural arches in segmented precaudal vertebrae of ratfish were

compared to neural arches in segmented precaudal vertebrae of little skate and catshark.

Unlike the compact mineralization pattern displayed by neural arch bone-like tissue in little

skate and catshark (Fig. 2A,B; Atake et al., 2019; Berio et al., 2021), mineralization of neural

arches in ratfish was organized in an irregular pattern of small compact and trabecular regions

(Fig. 2C). Neural arch bone-like tissues in little skate and catshark were extensively mineralized

and surrounded a cartilaginous core (Fig. 2D,E,G,H). By contrast, mineralized tissues in ratfish

were restricted to the medial and lateral periphery of the cartilaginous neural arches (Fig. 2F,I).

Histologically, mineralization of continuous, bone-like tissues in neural arches of little

skate and catshark was marked by Alizarin red (Fig. 2G,H). Alizarin red staining also marked

mineralized tissues in ratfish neural arches, but they were much thinner and not continuous

(Fig. 2I). Stained with both Alizarin red and Alcian blue, the neural arch core in little skate was

mineralized cartilage, while that of the catshark was mostly unmineralized cartilage (Fig. 2G,H).

Alcian blue staining also showed that most of the neural arches in ratfish was unmineralized

cartilage (Fig. 2I). Birefringence of tissue fibers was enhanced using picrosirius red staining and

observed under polarized light (Eames et al., 2007). Birefringent fibers (likely reticular) were

observed in muscles lateral to neural arches in all three species (Fig. 2J-L). Birefringence of

tightly packed collagen fibers in neural arch bone-like tissues was observed in little skate and

catshark (Fig. 2J,K), but no birefringence was observed in ratfish neural arches (Fig. 2L). Only the

cartilaginous core in neural arches of little skate and catshark demonstrated Col2

immunofluorescence (Fig. 2J,K), whereas most regions (including unmineralized cartilage and

mineralized tissue) in ratfish neural arches demonstrated Col2 immunofluorescence (Fig. 2L).

These results showed that bone-like tissue was absent in neural arches of ratfish, and the

irregular pattern of mineralized tissue in ratfish neural arches was mineralized cartilage.

Trabecular mineralization was present in little skate, catshark, and ratfish

To clarify whether polygonal or trabecular tesserae are defining endoskeletal features of

extant chondrichthyans, morphological patterns and histological features of mineralized tissues

were characterized in several endoskeletal regions, including hyomandibulae and precaudal

vertebrae of little skate, caudal vertebrae of catshark, and ceratohyals of ratfish (Fig. 3A-D).

Similar to many other skeletal elements in elasmobranchs (Atake et al., 2019; Kemp and

Westrin, 1979; Maisey, 2013; Marrama et al., 2019; Seidel et al., 2016; Wilga and Ferry, 2015),

desktop micro-CT renderings of hyomandibulae in little skate showed polygonal tesserae,

displaying a superficial, arrayed pattern of repeating polygonal units (Fig. 3A,E'; Atake et al.,

2019; Kemp and Westrin, 1979; Maisey, 2013; Marrama et al., 2019; Seidel et al., 2016; Wilga

and Ferry, 2015). In little skate, an arrayed pattern of repeating trabecular units was located

underneath the superficial polygonal tesseral pattern (Fig. 3E"; Atake et al., 2019). In multiple

regions examined in catshark (vertebrae and ceratohyals) and ratfish (vertebrae, pharyngeal

skeleton, and the synarcual), a polygonal mineralization pattern was not observed. Non-tesseral

mineralization patterns were observed in some regions of the catshark and ratfish. For

example, mineralized tissues in catshark haemal arches and ratfish ceratohyals had a trabecular

pattern, like that of trabecular tesserae in the vertebral neural spine of little skate, but the

trabecular pattern in catshark and ratfish was not as clearly organized into arrays of repeating

trabecular units (Fig. 3F-H).

To assess whether trabecular mineralization in catshark and ratfish was histologically

similar to trabecular mineralization of tesserae in little skate, features of histological cross

sections were compared to tesserae in little skate. Like polygonal and trabecular tesserae in

little skate, Alcian blue and Alizarin red staining showed mineralized tissues in discrete

perichondral regions of catshark haemal arches and ratfish ceratohyals (Fig. 3I-L; perichondrium

marked by straight dashed line). In little skate, a bone-like cap zone was just below the

perichondrium, stained more intensely than the body zone with Alizarin red, and was present in

both polygonal and trabecular tesserae (Fig. 3I,J). In catshark and ratfish, bone-like cap zones

were absent, and an unmineralized, Alcian blue-positive cartilage separated Alizarin red-

positive mineralized tissues from overlying perichondrium (Fig. 3K,L). Matrix of the little skate

bone-like cap zone was fast green-positive and had birefringent collagen fibers, but these

staining patterns were not observed in catshark and ratfish (Fig. 3M-T). Instead, regions just

below the perichondrium of catshark haemal arches and ratfish ceratohyals were Safranin O-

positive and Col2-positive cartilage (Fig. 3O,P,S,T). Staining patterns near the cartilage surface

of catshark and ratfish were consistent with those of mineralized cartilage in the tesseral body

zone of the little skate, although chondrocyte lacunae in these regions were not obvious in

ratfish (Fig. 3I-T). Therefore, histological features of trabecular mineralized tissues in catshark

haemal arches and ratfish ceratohyals were similar to only the body zones of tesserae in the

little skate, which consisted of mineralized cartilage.

Cap zones correlated with polygonal tesseral mineralization patterns, while body zones

correlated with trabecular mineralization patterns

Given that trabecular tesserae typically have small or even absent cap zones, and

polygonal tesserae typically have large cap zones, histology and micro-CT segmentation were

used to see whether tesseral histological features correlated with tesseral mineralization

patterns. In little skate, the larger and smaller cap zones in polygonal and trabecular tesserae,

respectively, were similarly marked by Trichrome's acid fuchsin and elongated lacunae (Fig.

4A,F). Spokes were located below the cap zone, distinguished on histological sections by the

facts that they often appeared as tears or sectioning artifacts when demineralized, and they did

not stain strongly with any Trichrome dye (Fig. 4A,F). Non-spoke regions of the body zone

stained either slightly blue with Trichrome's aniline blue or magenta with Trichrome's acid

fucshin.

Enough histological features of tesserae were also visible in synchrotron radiation

micro-CT renderings, making it possible to segment and analyze the spatial correlation between

tesseral mineralization patterns and histological features (Fig. 4A-J). Segmentation of 3D

renderings of polygonal tesserae showed clearly that the cap zones were responsible for the

superficial polygonal mineralization pattern (red in Fig. 4B-D). Hypermineralized spokes were

restricted to the body zone, and their segmentation from 3D renderings demonstrated that

they were responsible for the deeper trabecular mineralization pattern of polygonal tesserae

(blue in Fig. 4B,C,E). Unlike polygonal tesserae, trabecular tesserae in little skate displayed

identical trabecular mineralization patterns whether viewed from the superficial or deep

surfaces of the cartilage (Fig. 4I,J). The smaller cap zones in trabecular tesserae were discernible

on the superficial surface, but they were not as laterally extensive as cap zones of polygonal

tesserae (Fig. 4C,D,H,I). Thus, larger and laterally extensive cap zones appeared to be the

reason why polygonal tesserae displayed a superficial polygonal mineralization pattern.

Trabecular mineralization patterns in both polygonal and trabecular tesserae appeared to

derive mostly from spokes, but also from non-spoke regions, within body zones.

A cap zone was absent in ratfish, but Trichrome staining patterns and other histological

features of the body zone and spokes were similar to tesserae in little skate, so correlations

between spokes and trabecular mineralization patterns were also analyzed (Fig. 4K-O). Some

histological features of tesserae, such as body zones, spokes, and intertesseral fibers, were

apparent in the trabecular mineralization pattern of the ratfish ceratohyal (Fig. 2H; Fig. 4K;

Seidel et al., 2020). Like trabecular tesserae in little skate, the superficial and deep surfaces of

the trabecular mineralization in ratfish were identical (Fig. 4I,J,N,O). However, segmentation of

3D renderings showed that spokes in ratfish were patchy and did not display the arrayed

pattern seen in trabecular tesserae of little skate (Fig. 4A-O). Therefore, compared to little

skate, the less-organized spokes in body zones of ratfish correlated with the non-tesseral

trabecular mineralization pattern.

Ratfish centra contained areolar mineralized tissue

Some chimaeras were reported to mineralize their centra like elasmobranchs, but

areolar mineralized tissue has never been carefully characterized in chimaeras (Didier, 1995;

Gadow and Abbott, 1895; Ridewood and MacBride, 1921). To clarify whether chimaeras have

areolar mineralized tissue, morphological and histological features of ratfish centra were

compared to those of little skate and catshark, two elasmobranch species who are recognized

to have areolar mineralization (Atake et al., 2019; Clement, 1992; Criswell et al., 2017). Like

elasmobranchs, each centrum in ratfish precaudal vertebrae demonstrated a compact

mineralization pattern (Fig. 5A-C). However, precaudal vertebrae in ratfish had multiple rings of

centra per vertebral segment (i.e., unit with paired neural arches and basidorsals), and no

ratfish centrum displayed the typical biconcave gross morphology associated with

elasmobranch centra (Fig. 5A-C).

Alizarin red section histology showed that centra in little skate, catshark, and ratfish

comprised of rings of mineralized tissue spanning different numbers of centrum layers (Fig. 5D-

I). Areolar mineralized tissues in the middle layer of the centrum of little skate, catshark, and

ratfish had elongate cell lacunae organized in concentric lamellae (inserts in Fig. 5G-I; Atake et

al., 2019; Criswell et al., 2017; Eames et al., 2007), and they were birefringent (data not shown).

In addition to areolar mineralized tissue in the middle layer of the centrum, inner and outer

layers of the little skate centrum contained mineralized cartilage (Fig. 5G,J,M), while the outer

layer of the catshark centrum had mineralized cartilage (Fig. 5H,K,N). Markers of cartilage

differed in areolar mineralized tissue among little skate, catshark, and ratfish. In little skate,

areolar mineralized tissue did not display Safranin O staining or Col2 immunofluorescence (Fig.

5J,M). In catshark, areolar mineralized tissue demonstrated weak Safranin O staining and Col2

immunofluorescence (Fig. 5K,N). By contrast, areolar mineralized tissue in ratfish had relatively

strong Safranin O staining and Col2 immunofluorescence (Fig. 5L,O). Overall, these data

suggested that ratfish have areolar mineralized tissue, which had been previously characterized

mostly in elasmobranchs (Criswell et al., 2017; Eames et al., 2007; Ridewood and MacBride,

1921).

Embryological analyses of little skate centra suggested that adult ratfish had paedomorphic

centra

To shed light on differences in morphology between adult elasmobranch and ratfish

centra (Fig. 5A-C), morphological and histological features of centra in a developmental series

of little skate were compared to those of adult ratfish. Centra in elasmobranchs are thought to

acquire a biconcave morphology during development when the perichordal sheath becomes

constricted by mesenchymal cells (Gadow and Abbott, 1895). In little skate embryos and

juveniles, desktop micro-CT renderings showed mineralization in the centrum and pairs of

neural arches, basidorsals, and haemal arches of each vertebral segment (Fig. 6A-C). Expanding

upon recent work showing that anterior and posterior segments of somites fuse during skate

vertebral development, similar to tetrapods (Criswell and Gillis, 2020), independent anterior

and posterior mineralizations of each interneural appeared to later fuse during development. In

ratfish, each vertebral segment of paired neural arches and basidorsals had an average of six

centra (Fig. 6D). Synchrotron radiation (SR) micro-CT renderings showed that centra in little

skate stage 32 embryos were slightly constricted in the middle of each element's anterior-

posterior axis, and this constriction progressed through 6.5 cm disc width juveniles, when the

classic biconcave morphology became obvious (Fig. 6E-G). SR micro-CT renderings showed that

adult ratfish centra were constricted to a similar extent as centra in little skate embryos (Fig.

6E,F,H). In little skate embryos, Alcian blue and Alizarin red section histology showed that the

areolar mineralized tissue initiated in the middle layer of the centrum and had elongate cell

lacunae organized in concentric lamellae, similar to that observed in adult ratfish (Fig. 5F; Fig.

6I,J). At later developmental stages of little skate, such as 6.5 cm DW juveniles, the outer layer

of the centrum also demonstrated mineralized cartilage (Fig. 6K). These morphological and

histological similarities of centra in little skate embryos and adult ratfish suggested that adult

ratfish retained an embryonic form of centra, a phenomenon termed paedomorphism

(Garstang, 1922).

To further test the possibility that ratfish had paedomorphic centra, TMDs of centra in

the developmental series of little skate were compared to that of adult ratfish, because TMD of

skeletal elements tends to increase during development (Fig. 1H; Forbes, 1976). TMD of little

skate centra increased significantly from stage 32 to stage 33 embryos (p= 9.5 x10^{-3}), while TMD

of 6.5 cm DW juveniles was only significantly higher than TMD of stage 32 embryos (Fig. 6L;

p=9.6 x10^{-4}). TMD of adult ratfish centra was significantly higher than TMD of centra in little

skate stage 32 embryos, but it was statistically indistinguishable from TMD of centra in little

skate stage 33 embryos and 6.5 cm DW juveniles (Fig. 6L). In addition to morphological

similarities, similar TMDs of centra from little skate embryos and adult ratfish argued strongly

that ratfish had paedomorphic centra.

Discussion

Which tissues are common in the extant chondrichthyan endoskeleton? Bone-like and

areolar tissues have been characterized in several members of the chondrichthyan subclass

Elasmobranchii, such as sharks, skates, and rays, (Atake et al., 2019; Berio et al., 2021; Bordat,

1987; Kemp and Westrin, 1979; Ørvig, 1951; Peignoux-Deville et al., 1982; Seidel et al., 2016). In

addition, polygonal tesserae are traditionally associated with chondrichthyans (Atake et al.,

2019; Kemp and Westrin, 1979; Maisey, 2013; Marrama et al., 2019; Seidel et al., 2016; Wilga

and Ferry, 2015), but trabecular tesserae were recently characterized in skates and rays (Atake

et al., 2019; Jayasankar et al., 2020).

Two main qualities of this paper go a long way in revealing features of the ancestral

chondrichthyan endoskeleton. First, we clearly identifed discrete character states of the extant

chondrichthyan endoskeleton (Fig. 7), helping to unify terminology of important features for

the growing chondrichthyan research community. Second, we presented extensive, side-by-side

comparative data across selected representatives of extant chondrichthyans, two

elasmobranch species (little skate and small-spotted catshark) and one chimaera (spotted

ratfish). The inclusion of a representative of chimaeras is another critical aspect of this paper,

because the other chondrichthyan subclass Holocephali is a severely understudied clade that

must be considered when inferring ancestral chondrichthyan traits.

Our data provided a critical comparison of character states of the extant chondrichthyan

endoskeleton across various species (Fig. 7), especially since previous characterization of

skeletal mineralization in other adult chimaeridaes neither focused on segmented neural arches

nor clarified histological zones of tesserae (Berio et al., 2021; Debiais-Thibaud, 2018; Pears et

al., 2020; Seidel et al., 2020). Morphological and histological features of bone-like tissues in

neural arches or tesseral cap zones were present in little skate and catshark, but absent in

ratfish. While these data refute the hypothesis that bone-like tissues generally are a

symplesiomorphic (shared ancestral) feature of extant chondrichthyans, they further support

the hypothesis that neural arch perichondral bone-like tissue is a synapomorphic (shared

derived) character of elasmobranchs (Fig.7; Atake et al., 2019; Berio et al., 2021; Maisey et al.,

2021). On the other hand, similar histological features of centra were observed in little skate,

catshark, and ratfish, and histological features of multiple-ringed centra in other chimaera

should be determined (Fig. 5D-I; Fig. 7; Didier, 1995). Since stem chondrichthyans did not

typically mineralize their centra, areolar mineralized tissue is likely a synapomorphic character

of crown chondrichthyans (Fig. 7; Maisey et al., 2021; Miles, 1970).

In what appears to be a newly-defined symplesiomorphy of crown chondrichthyans,

trabecular mineralization occurs in many endoskeletal regions in several elasmobranch and

chimaera species, including some fossil chondrichthyans (Fig. 3; Fig. 7; Atake et al., 2019;

Coates et al., 2018; Frey et al., 2019; Jayasankar et al., 2020; Maisey et al., 2021). While

trabecular mineralization always occurred near the surface of cartilage, the exact mineralization

pattern varied among extant chondrichthyans. Little skate had arrayed patterns of discrete

trabecular units, similar to the repeating polygonal units of traditional tesserae, supporting

their description as trabecular tesserae (Fig. 7). On the other hand, trabecular mineralization in

catshark and ratfish did not show arrayed patterns of discrete units. While this non-arrayed

trabecular mineralization pattern had not been characterized before in extant chondrichthyans,

it is also evident in recent images published from the chimaeras *Callorhinchus milii* and

Chimaera monstrosa (Pears et al., 2020; Seidel et al., 2020). To distinguish this pattern from the

regular, arrayed patterns of polygonal and trabecular tesserae, we propose the term "non-

tesseral trabecular mineralization" as a new plesiomorphic (ancestral) character of

chondrichthyans (Fig. 7; Maisey et al., 2021). Interestingly, some fossil chondrichthyans also

appear to display non-tesseral trabecular mineralization in their endoskeletons (Coates et al.,

2018; Frey et al., 2019; Ørvig, 1951).

Cap and body zones are histological terms that traditionally describe chondrichthyan

tesserae (Dean and Summers, 2006; Kemp and Westrin, 1979), but data from adult samples of

little skate, catshark, and ratfish argued that the body zone is the only histological zone of

endoskeletal mineralization shared by extant chondrichthyans. Published histological data of

tesserae are few and limited to sharks, skates, and rays, where cap and body zones have been

reported (Atake et al., 2019; Berio et al., 2021; Eames et al., 2007; Enault et al., 2015; Kemp and

Westrin, 1979; Pears et al., 2020; Seidel et al., 2017). While Fast green staining and birefringent

fibers marked the cap zones in both polygonal and trabecular tesserae in little skate, non-

tesseral trabeculae in catshark and ratfish did not have discernible cap zones. In contrast to

commonly-accepted knowledge, these catshark data suggest that even sharks do not always

have the cap zone. Taken alongside recent published data (Maisey et al., 2021; Pears et al.,

2020; Seidel et al., 2020), no living species of chimaera likely has cap zones. On the other hand,

Safranin O staining and Col2 immunofluorescence showed that histological body zones

generated the mineralized endoskeletal cartilage of little skate, catshark, and ratfish. In total,

these data suggest that the ancestral stem chondrichthyan generated most of its endoskeletal

mineralization with histological body zones, although as the next paragraph highlights, cap

zones might have been lost secondarily in chimaera and some shark species (Fig. 7).

Digitally segmented 3D regions of micro-CT renderings showed, for the first time, exact

spatial correlations between histological zones and mineralization patterns, potentially

impacting histological interpretations of fossils. Strikingly, large and laterally extensive cap

zones were the basis of the traditional polygonal tesseral mineralization pattern in little skate.

Accordingly, similar polygonal tesserae recently described in fossil holocephalans likely have

cap zones in addition to body zones and a trabecular tesseral mineralization underlying the

polygonal mineralization pattern, and these might have been lost secondarily during

holocephalan evolution (Fig. 3E; Fig. 4; Fig. 7; Atake et al., 2019; Pears et al., 2020). Careful

description of polygonal tesserae in fossil elasmobranchs and holocephalans can clarify this

point. Two other correlations were identified here in body zones. First, spokes were located in

body zones. Second, spoke and non-spoke regions of the body zone underlie the trabecular

mineralization patterns, regardless of whether these were organized as arrayed tesserae or not.

Spokes appeared much more spatially organized in polygonal tesserae and trabecular tesserae

than in the non-tesseral trabecular mineralization pattern. By extension of the body zone

correlation with trabecular mineralization, we propose that trabecular mineralization of some

fossil elasmobranchs and holocephalans like *Palaeobates polaris* and *Cladoselache* derives

solely from body zones (Fig. 3G,H; Frey et al., 2019; Ørvig, 1951). Given such dramatic variation

in extant and fossil chondrichthyans, cellular and molecular mechanisms underlying the

evolution of tesseral and non-tesseral mineralization patterns is a wide open field of future

study.

Finally, three independent features of the adult ratfish endoskeleton argued that

chimaeras might have paedomorphic skeletal development, compared to elasmobranchs. First,

tissue mineral density (TMD) was significantly lower in a number of adult ratfish skeletal

elements, compared to adult little skate and catshark. Reduced TMD might reflect

paedomorphism, because as mineralized skeletal tissues develop, their TMD tends to increase

(Forbes, 1976). Indeed, the TMD of adult ratfish centra was comparable to the TMD of

embryonic little skate centra. Second, the morphology of adult ratfish mineralized centra was

comparable to the morphology of embryonic little skate mineralized centra. Mineralized centra

in chimaeras were thought to be unconstricted (Didier, 1995; Gadow and Abbott, 1895), but

micro-CT renderings of ratfish centra showed slight constriction, which was like those of centra

in little skate embryos. Finally, even histological similarities of centra in little skate embryos and

adult ratfish were discovered, since both had elongate cell lacunae organized in concentric

lamellae only in the mineralized middle layer of the centrum. These findings independently

supported a previous assertion that the perichordal sheath in adult chimaeras remained at a

stage corresponding to that of embryonic elasmobranchs (Gadow and Abbott, 1895), but also

extend it to apply to chimaera skeletal development generally.

Reduced skeletal mineralization in chimaeras should be investigated further, especially

since the only published full chondrichthyan genome was from *Callorhinchus milii*

(Callorhinchidae) (Venkatesh et al., 2014), and compared to other chimaera families,

callorhinchidaes appear to demonstrate less skeletal mineralization. For example,

callorhinchidaes are the only chimaera family that do not mineralize their centra (Fig. 7; Didier,

1995; Gadow and Abbott, 1895). The genetic basis of skeletal mineralization in vertebrates is

linked to the secretory calcium-binding phosphoprotein (SCPP) family of genes (Kawasaki et al.,

2004; Kawasaki and Weiss, 2003), none of which is present in the genome of *Callorhinchus milii*

(Venkatesh et al., 2014). Elasmobranch data are sparse, but catshark ameloblasts express an

SCPP gene (Leurs et al., 2022). Like elasmobranchs, the basal ray-fin fish order Acipenseriformes

(sturgeons, paddlefish) also have a predominantly cartilaginous skeleton, bone-like tissues, and

SCPP genes (Cheng et al., 2021; Leprevost et al., 2017; Mikami et al., 2022; Warth et al., 2017).

Future studies should carefully assess the potential role of *SCPP* genes in the mineralization of

diverse chondrichthyan mineralized tissue types.

Materials and Methods

Specimens

All samples were approved for use under the University of Saskatchewan ethical

protocol (AUP 20130092). Vertebrae from three samples of adult small-spotted catshark with

total lengths of 31 cm were provided by the University of Montpellier Aquarium (Planet Ocean

Montpellier). Adult, juvenile, and embryonic samples of the little skate were obtained from

Marine Biological Labs (Falmouth, MA, USA). Four samples of adult little skate with total lengths

(TL) ranging from 43.5 cm – 47.5 cm were sampled (SupTable 1). Little skate juveniles (n=7)

were staged by measuring their TL and disc widths (DW) and ranged from 5.5 cm DW, 10 cm TL

to 6.5 cm DW, 11 cm TL (SupTable 1; Stehmann, 2002). The average DW and TL of stage 32

embryos (n=6) and stage 33 embryos (n=6) were 3.2 cm DW, 7.5 cm TL and 3.5 cm DW, 8 cm TL,

respectively (SupTable 1; Maxwell et al., 2008; Vazquez et al., 2020). Five samples of adult

spotted ratfish with total lengths ranging from 28.5 cm to 45 cm were captured by deep-water

trawl in the San Juan Islands, WA (SupTable 1).

Regions of interest, such as ceratohyal, synarcual, and precaudal and caudal vertebrae,

were dissected from the little skate, catshark, and ratfish samples (SupTable 1). Dissected

tissues were preserved by fixation in 4% paraformaldehyde in PBS (pH 7.4) and dehydrated

through a graded ethanol series. Tissues for section histology were not demineralized before

sectioning. Tissues were embedded in optimum cutting temperature compound (Tissue Tek,

Torrance, CA, United States), and serial tissue sections of 10 µm thickness were made with a

Cryostar NX50 cryostat (Fisher Scientific, United States).

Micro-CT imaging and data processing

Desktop micro-CT imaging of little skate and ratfish tissues was done using SkyScan 1172

desktop microtomograph (Bruker SkyScan, Kontich, Belgium). Desktop micro-CT projections

were acquired using a 0.5 mm aluminium filter at 40 kV and 250 µA, 100 ms exposure time, and

10 µm voxel resolution, and reconstructed using NRecon (Bruker SkyScan, Kontich, Belgium). An

anterior region of the ratfish was cut into parts before scanning (Fig. 1A). Scans from the

different parts were later stitched together using Dragonfly v2021.1 (Object Research Systems,

Canada). Desktop imaging of catshark samples was performed as previously described (Berio et

al., 2021). Tissue mineral density (TMD) was quantitated on desktop micro-CT images using

CTAnalyzer v1.16 (CTAn, Bruker SkyScan, Kontich, Belgium) as previously described (Atake et al.,

2019).

Synchrotron radiation (SR)-based micro-CT imaging was done on the Biomedical Imaging

and Therapy-Insertion Device 05ID-2 (BMIT-ID) line at the Canadian Light Source. SR micro-CT

projections were acquired with a 28 keV photon energy, 1.44 µm voxel resolution, 1s exposure

time, and a sample to detector distance of 9 cm. Reconstruction of SR micro-CT projections and

phase retrieval was done using UFO-KIT software (https://github.com/ufo-kit/ufo-core.git). A

delta/beta ratio of 200 was applied during phase retrieval. 3D rendered volumes and 2D virtual

slices of reconstructed data were generated with Amira 6.0 (FEI Group, USA). 3D segmentation

and color-coding of morphological features were done using segmentation editor tools in

Amira.

Histological and immunofluorescence assays

Tissue sections were stained as described with Safranin O (Ferguson et al., 1998),

picrosirius red (Junqueira et al., 1978), or Milligan's Trichrome (Ashique et al., 2022). Alcian

blue/Alizarin red staining was done using a modified acid-free protocol (Eames et al., 2011).

Briefly, tissues sections were washed with 100 mM Tris pH 7.5/10 mM $MgCl_2$, stained with

0.04% Alcian blue/70%EtOH/10mM $MgCl_2$ pH 7.5, taken through graded EtOH series (80%

EtOH/100 mM Tris pH 7.5/10 mM MgCl2; 50% EtOH/100 mM Tris pH 7.5; 25% EtOH/100 mM

Tris pH 7.5), stained with 0.1% Alizarin red/0.1 % KOH pH 7.5, de-stained with two washes of

0.1% KOH, and dehydrated through 25% EtOH, 50% EtOH, 80% EtOH, and 100% EtOH series

before coverslipping. Tissue sections were demineralized using 5% EDTA for 10 mins before

staining with Safranin O to improve staining of mineralized cartilage.

To minimize the chances of tissues falling off slides, tissue sections for

immunofluorescence were incubated at 55°C for 15 mins before treatments and then washed

with PBST (1xPBS/0.5x triton-X) between subsequent treatments. Tissues were treated

separately with trypsin (0.1% in 5%EDTA/1xPBS) and hyaluronidase (0.5% in 1xPBS/0.5x triton-

X) at 37°C for 15 mins each. The tissues were blocked with 4% goat serum/2% sheep/1xPBS for

1 hr, and labelled with Col2 antibody (1:100, II-II6B3, Hybridoma Bank) overnight at 4°C.

Secondary antibody labelling was done using 488-conjugated goat anti-mouse antibody

(1:1000, A32723 ThermoFisher Scientific) for 3 hours.

Quantitative and statistical analyses

Statistical analyses of TMDs were performed using SPSS V.22 (SPSS). Shapiro–Wilk test was

used to test for normal distribution of data. To assess differences among means, one-way

analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used followed by Tukey HSD or Games-Howell post hoc

analyses, depending upon whether homogeneity of variance assumption was met or not,

respectively.

Data availability

Raw data from microCT projections and quantitative measurements have been deposited at

Dryad   (DOI: 10.5061/dryad.crjdfn3b9)   and   can   be   accessed   at

https://datadryad.org/stash/share/gnKH8kfRoKdHRE9rFGDausGzBZ1VTuCK3DB5gRPkrnp

Acknowledgements

This work was funded by Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council (NSERC) grants

RGPIN 435655-201 and RGPIN 2014-05563 awarded to BFE. The authors are very thankful to

Adam Summers of Friday Harbor in the San Juan Islands for providing the spotted ratfish

samples and some feedback on the spotted ratfish data. Research described in this paper was

performed at the BMIT facility at the Canadian Light Source, which is supported by Canada

Foundation for Innovation, Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada, the

University of Saskatchewan, Western Economic Diversification Canada, the National Research

Council Canada, and the Canadian Institutes of Health Research. We acknowledge the MRI

platform member of the national infrastructure France-BioImaging supported by the French

National Research Agency (ANR-10-INBS- 04, "Investments for the future"), the labex CEMEB

(ANR-10-LABX-0004) and NUMEV (ANR-10-LABX-0020).

Figure legends

Figure 1. Mineralized tissues were abundant in the spotted ratfish, but they had lower tissue

mineral density (TMD) compared to the little skate and the small-spotted catshark. (**A**)

Photograph of the ratfish *Hydrolagus colliei* illustrating the anterior region that was micro-CT

imaged. (**B-G**) Micro-CT 3D renderings of the anterior region of ratfish (B) showed mineralized

tissues in neural arches, basidorsals, and centra in segmented vertebrae (C,D), in the ceratohyal

and other skeletal elements in the pharyngeal skeleton (E), and in the fused vertebral synarcual

(F,G). (**H**) Comparative quantitative analyses showed that TMD of the synarcual, neural arch,

and centra in ratfish were significantly lower than TMDs of same regions in little skate and

catshark. Abbreviations: A, anterior; bb, basibranchial; bd, basidorsal; bh, basihyal; c, centrum

cb, ceratobranchial; ch, ceratohyal; D, dorsal; hbs, hypobranchials; L, left; MK, Meckel's; na,

neural arch; P, posterior; R, right; snf, spinal nerve foramina; V, ventral. * indicates statistically

significant comparison ($p<0.05$). Scale bars: as indicated.

Figure 2. Ratfish lack neural arch bone-like tissue. (**A-C**) Micro-CT 3D renderings showed a

compact mineralization pattern of bone-like tissue in neural arches of segmented vertebrae in

little skate (A) and catshark (B), and an irregular pattern of mineralized tissues in ratfish (C). (**D-**

F) Micro-CT 2D virtual slices showing that the bone-like tissue in little skate and catshark was

extensively mineralized and surrounded a neural arch core (D,E), whereas irregular mineralized

tissues in ratfish neural arches were restricted to medial and lateral periphery (F). (**G-L**) Section

histology showed Alizarin red staining of continuous mineralization and birefringent collagen

fibers in neural arch bone-like tissues in little skate (G,J) and catshark (H,K), but not in ratfish

(I,L). Picrosirius red staining also revealed some birefringent fibers (likely reticular) in muscles

on the lateral sides of neural arches in little skate, catshark, and ratfish (J-L). Irregular

mineralized tissue in the ratfish neural arch was discretely organized, Alizarin red-positive, and

Col2-positive mineralized cartilage (I,L). Other regions of ratfish were Alcian blue-positive and

Col2-positive unmineralized cartilage (I,L). The neural arch core in little skate and catshark

consisted of mineralized cartilage (MC) and mostly unmineralized cartilage, respectively (J,K).

Abbreviations: 2D, two-dimensional; 3D, three-dimensional; Ab, Alcian blue; Ar, Alizarin red;

BLT, bone-like tissue; c, cartilage; Col2, collagen type 2; D, dorsal; IF, immunofluorescence; L,

lateral; M, medial; MC, mineralized cartilage; PSR, picrosirius red; V, ventral. Scale bars: as

indicated.

Figure 3. Little skate, catshark, and ratfish all showed trabecular mineralization but without the

bone-like cap zone in catshark and ratfish. (**A-D**) Micro-CT renderings of the little skate

hyomandibula and precaudal vertebra (A,B), catshark caudal vertebra (C), and ratfish ceratohyal

(D). (**E-H**) Tesseral and non-tesseral mineralization patterns in little skate, catshark, and ratfish.

The little skate hyomandibula displayed a superficial polygonal tesseral pattern (E') and an

underlying trabecular tesseral pattern (E"), while regions of the little skate neural spine only

displayed a trabecular tesseral pattern (F). The catshark haemal arch (G), and ratfish ceratohyal

(H) displayed a non-tesseral trabecular pattern. Regions for hi-mag views in panels E'-H are

indicated in corresponding panels of A-D. (**I-T**) Histological and immunofluorescent features of

tesseral or non-tesseral mineralized tissues in little skate (I,J,M,N,Q,R), catshark (K,O,S), and

ratfish (L,P,T). Alizarin red staining, Safranin O staining, and Col2 immunofluorescence showed

that little skate, catshark, and ratfish had the body zone of mineralized cartilage (I-T). The bone-

like tesseral cap zone consisting of elongate lacunae in matrix that is mineralized (I,J), Safranin

O-negative (M,N), Col2-negative (Q,R), and contains birefringent collagen fibers (Q,R) was

present only in polygonal tesserae and trabecular tesserae in little skate. Abbreviations: Ab,

Alcian blue; Ar, Alizarin red; Bz, body zone; c, cartilage; Col2, collagen type 2; Cz, cap zone; D,

dorsal; IF, immunofluorescence; L, lateral; M, medial; PSR, picrosirius red; NA, neural arch; NS,

neural spine; V, ventral. Scale bars: as indicated.

Figure 4. Micro-CT segmentation clearly correlated histological features of mineralized tissues

with their mineralization patterns . (**A-J**) Trichrome's acid fucshin marked the larger and smaller

cap zones of little skate polygonal and trabecular tesserae, respectively (A,F). 3D renderings of

polygonal tesserae showed that the cap zone (Cz, segmented in red) was superficial and

reflected the polygonal pattern (B-D). The smaller cap zone in trabecular tesserae was not

laterally extensive enough to display a superficial polygonal pattern like that of polygonal

tesserae (B-D,G-I). Spokes in polygonal and trabecular tesserae did not stain with Trichrome (*

in A,F). 3D renderings showed that spokes (*, segmented in blue) in the deeper body zones

displayed a radiating pattern like the trabecular patterns in little skate polygonal and trabecular

tesserae (A-C,E,F-J). (**K-O**) Trichrome staining demonstrated that trabecular mineralized tissue

in ratfish lacked a cap zone but had other features, such as a body zone and intertesseral fibres

(K). Segmentation demonstrated that spokes in ratfish were patchy and did not display a

radiating trabecular pattern (K-O). Abbreviations: Bz, body zone; Cz, cap zone; ITF, intertesseral

fibres. Scale bars: as indicated.

Figure 5. Ratfish centra had the same features as areolar mineralized tissues in little skate and

catshark. (**A-C**) Micro-CT renderings demonstrated that centra in little skate and catshark had a

compact mineralization and a gross biconcave morphology (A,B), while centra in ratfish also

displayed a compact mineralization pattern but were organized in multiple rings (C). (**D-O**)

Consistent with areolar mineralized tissue in little skate (D,G) and catshark (E,H), Alcian

blue/Alizarin section histology (Ab/Ar) showed that mineralized centra in ratfish consisted of a

mineralized areolar centra layer with elongate lacunae in concentric lamellae (F,I). Regions for

hi-mag views in panels G-O are indicated in corresponding panels of D-F; regions for hi-mag

inserts in panels G-I are indicated in those panels. Areolar mineralized tissue in little skate

lacked Safranin O staining and Col2 immunofluorescence (J,M), while catshark areolar

mineralized tissue demonstrated weak Safranin O staining and Col2 immunofluorescence (K,N).

Safranin O staining and Col2 immunofluorescence highlighted cartilaginous matrix of areolar

mineralized tissue in ratfish (L,O). Little skate also had mineralization in both outer and inner

centra layers (G), while catshark also had an outer mineralized layer (H), and these additional

centra layers demonstrated weak Safranin O staining and Col2 immunofluorescence (J,M,K,N).

Abbreviations: A, anterior; Ab, Alcian blue; Ar, Alizarin red; c, cartilage; Col2, collagen type 2; D,

dorsal; IF, immunofluorescence; IL, inner layer; L, lateral; M, medial, OL, outer layer; P,

posterior; V, ventral. Scale bars: as indicated; inserts in G-I are 20 μm.

Figure 6. Morphological and histological features of centra in little skate embryos and juveniles

suggested that the adult ratfish demonstrated paedomorphic centra. (**A-D**) Desktop micro-CT

renderings showed centrum and other mineralized structures in vertebral segments (dashed

lines) of little skate embryos and juveniles (A-C) and adult ratfish (D). (**E-H**) Synchrotron

radiation micro-CT renderings of centra in little skate embryos and juveniles (E-G) and adult

ratfish (H) showed that centra in the ratfish were slightly constricted like those of little skate

embryos (red arrows). (**I-K**) Alcian blue/Alizarin red section histology showed that areolar

mineralized tissue constituted the only centra layer in little skate embryos (I,J), whereas an

outer mineralized centra layer was present in 6.5 cm DW juveniles (K). (**L**) Tissue mineral

density (TMD) of centra in ratfish was similar to those of stage 33 embryos and 6.5 cm DW

juveniles of little skate. Abbreviation: A, anterior; Ab, Alcian blue; Ar, Alizarin red; bd,

basidorsal; C, centrum; HA, haemal arch; L, lateral; M, medial; NA, neural arch; OL, outer layer;

P, posterior.* indicates statistically significant comparison ($p<0.05$). Scale bars: as indicated.

Figure 7. Character states of mineralized tissues in various chondrichthyan clades suggest

shared and derived features. The absence of neural arch bone-like tissue (BLT) in holocephalans

and ancestral crown chondrichthyans (from fossils) would further support the conclusion that

neural arch bone-like tissue is a synapomorphic (shared derived) character of elasmobranchs.

Polygonal (PT) and trabecular (TT) tesseral mineralization patterns appear to be absent in

extant holocephalans and stem chondrichthyans, but their presence in some elasmobranchs

and some fossil holocephalans suggest that these tesseral mineralizations are synapomorphic

characters of crown chondrichthyans. Non-tesseral trabecular mineralization (NTT) is a

symplesiomorphic (shared ancestral) character of stem and crown chondrichthyans, because it

is pervasive in ancestral and extant chondrichthyans. The histological cap zone in some

elasmobranchs, along with the inference that polygonal tesserae in fossil holocephalans means

that they had cap zones, suggests that the cap zone is also a synapomorphic character of crown

chondrichthyans. The presence of a histological body zone in either tesseral or non-tesseral

mineralized tissues of stem and crown chondrichthyans suggests that the body zone is a

symplesiomorphic character of all chondrichthyans. Areolar mineralized tissue is present in

elasmobranchs and holocephalans, but its absence in stem chondrichthyans suggests that it is a

synapomorphic character of crown chondrichthyans.

References

References

Ashique, A.M., Atake, O.J., Ovens, K., Guo, R., Pratt, I.V., Detrich III, H.W., Cooper, D.M., Desvignes, T.,

Postlethwait, J.H., Eames, B.F., 2022. Bone microstructure and bone mineral density are not systemically

different in Antarctic icefishes and related Antarctic notothenioids. Journal of Anatomy 240, 34-49 DOI:

https://doi.org/10.1111/joa.13537.

Atake, O.J., Cooper, D.M.L., Eames, B.F., 2019. Bone-like features in skate suggest a novel elasmobranch

synapomorphy and deep homology of trabecular mineralization patterns. Acta Biomater 84, 424-436

DOI: 10.1016/j.actbio.2018.11.047.

Atake, O.J., Eames, B.F., 2021. Mineralized Cartilage and Bone-Like Tissues in Chondrichthyans Offer

Potential Insights Into the Evolution and Development of Mineralized Tissues in the Vertebrate

Endoskeleton. Frontiers in Genetics 12 DOI: 10.3389/fgene.2021.762042.

Berio, F., Broyon, M., Enault, S., Pirot, N., López-Romero, F.A., Debiais-Thibaud, M., 2021. Diversity and

Evolution of Mineralized Skeletal Tissues in Chondrichthyans. Frontiers in Ecology and Evolution 9, 223

DOI: 10.3389/fevo.2021.660767.

Bordat, C., 1987. Étude ultrastructurale de l'os des vertèbres du Sélacien Scyliorhinus canicula L.

Canadian Journal of Zoology 65, 1435-1444 DOI: 10.1139/z87-226.

Cheng, P., Huang, Y., Lv, Y., Du, H., Ruan, Z., Li, C., Ye, H., Zhang, H., Wu, J., Wang, C., Ruan, R., Li, Y.,

Bian, C., You, X., Shi, C., Han, K., Xu, J., Shi, Q., Wei, Q., 2021. The American Paddlefish Genome Provides

Novel Insights into Chromosomal Evolution and Bone Mineralization in Early Vertebrates. Mol Biol Evol

38, 1595-1607 DOI: 10.1093/molbev/msaa326.

Claeson, K.M., 2011. The synarcual cartilage of batoids with emphasis on the synarcual of Rajidae. J

Morphol 272, 1444-1463 DOI: 10.1002/jmor.10996.

Clement, J., 1992. Re-examination of the fine structure of endoskeletal mineralization in

chondrichthyans: implications for growth, ageing and calcium homeostasis. Marine and Freshwater

Research 43, 157-181.

Coates, M.I., Finarelli, J.A., Sansom, I.J., Andreev, P.S., Criswell, K.E., Tietjen, K., Rivers, M.L., La Riviere,
P.J., 2018. An early chondrichthyan and the evolutionary assembly of a shark body plan. Proc Biol Sci
285, 20172418 DOI: 10.1098/rspb.2017.2418.

Criswell, K.E., Coates, M.I., Gillis, J.A., 2017. Embryonic development of the axial column in the little
skate, *Leucoraja erinacea*. J Morphol 278, 300-320 DOI: 10.1002/jmor.20637.

Criswell, K.E., Gillis, J.A., 2020. Resegmentation is an ancestral feature of the gnathostome vertebral
skeleton. Elife 9, e51696 DOI: 10.7554/eLife.51696.

Dean, M.N., Bizzarro, J.J., Clark, B., Underwood, C.J., Johanson, Z., 2017. Large batoid fishes frequently
consume stingrays despite skeletal damage. Royal Society Open Science 4, 170674 DOI:
doi:10.1098/rsos.170674.

Dean, M.N., Summers, A.P., 2006. Mineralized cartilage in the skeleton of chondrichthyan fishes.
Zoology (Jena) 109, 164-168 DOI: 10.1016/j.zool.2006.03.002.

Debiais-Thibaud, M., 2018. The Evolution of Endoskeletal Mineralisation in Chondrichthyan Fish,
Evolution and development of fishes. Cambridge University Press, pp. 110-125.

Didier, D.A., 1995. Phylogenetic systematics of extant chimaeroid fishes (Holocephali, Chimaeroidei).
American Museum of Natural History, New York.

Eames, B.F., Allen, N., Young, J., Kaplan, A., Helms, J.A., Schneider, R.A., 2007. Skeletogenesis in the swell
shark *Cephaloscyllium ventriosum*. J Anat 210, 542-554 DOI: 10.1111/j.1469-7580.2007.00723.x.

Eames, B.F., Yan, Y.-L., Swartz, M.E., Levic, D.S., Knapik, E.W., Postlethwait, J.H., Kimmel, C.B., 2011.
Mutations in fam20b and xylt1 reveal that cartilage matrix controls timing of endochondral ossification
by inhibiting chondrocyte maturation. PLoS Genetics 7, e1002246 DOI: 10.1371/journal.pgen.1002246.

Enault, S., Munoz, D.N., Silva, W.T., Borday-Birraux, V., Bonade, M., Oulion, S., Venteo, S., Marcellini, S.,
Debiais-Thibaud, M., 2015. Molecular footprinting of skeletal tissues in the catshark *Scyliorhinus*
canicula and the clawed frog *Xenopus tropicalis* identifies conserved and derived features of vertebrate
calcification. Front Genet 6, 283 DOI: 10.3389/fgene.2015.00283.

Ferguson, C.M., Miclau, T., Hu, D., Alpern, E., Helms, J.A., 1998. Common molecular pathways in skeletal
morphogenesis and repair. Ann N Y Acad Sci 857, 33-42 DOI: 10.1111/j.1749-6632.1998.tb10105.x.

Forbes, G.B., 1976. Calcium accumulation by the human fetus. Pediatrics 57, 976-977 DOI:
10.1542/peds.57.6.976.

Frey, L., Coates, M., Ginter, M., Hairapetian, V., Rucklin, M., Jerjen, I., Klug, C., 2019. The early
elasmobranch *Phoebodus*: phylogenetic relationships, ecomorphology and a new time-scale for shark
evolution. Proc Biol Sci 286, 20191336 DOI: 10.1098/rspb.2019.1336.

Gadow, H.F., Abbott, E., 1895. IV. On the evolution of the vertebral column of fishes. Philosophical
Transactions of the Royal Society of London. (B.) 186, 163-221 DOI: 10.1098/rstb.1895.0004.

Garstang, W., 1922. The Theory of Recapitulation: A Critical Re-statement of the Biogenetic Law.
Zoological Journal of the Linnean Society 35, 81-101 DOI: 10.1111/j.1096-3642.1922.tb00464.x.

Gillis, J.A., Rawlinson, K.A., Bell, J., Lyon, W.S., Baker, C.V., Shubin, N.H., 2011. Holocephalan embryos
provide evidence for gill arch appendage reduction and opercular evolution in cartilaginous fishes. Proc
Natl Acad Sci U S A 108, 1507-1512 DOI: 10.1073/pnas.1012968108.

Goldring, M.B., Tsuchimochi, K., Ijiri, K., 2006. The control of chondrogenesis. J Cell Biochem 97, 33-44
DOI: 10.1002/jcb.20652.

Golub, E.E., 2009. Role of matrix vesicles in biomineralization. Biochim Biophys Acta 1790, 1592-1598
DOI: 10.1016/j.bbagen.2009.09.006.

Herbert, A.M., Dean, M.N., Summers, A.P., Wilga, C.D., 2022. Biomechanics of the jaws of spotted
ratfish. Journal of Experimental Biology 225 DOI: 10.1242/jeb.243748.

Janvier, P., 1996. Early vertebrates. Oxford University Press.

Jayasankar, A.K., Seidel, R., Hosny, A., Weaver, J.C., Fratzl, P., Chen, J., Dean, M.N., 2020. Multi-scale
modeling and mechanical performance characterization of stingray skeleton-inspired tessellations.
Journal of the Mechanics and Physics of Solids 138, 103906 DOI: 10.1016/j.jmps.2020.103906.

Johanson, Z., Boisvert, C., Maksimenko, A., Currie, P., Trinajstic, K., 2015. Development of the Synarcual
in the Elephant Sharks (Holocephali; Chondrichthyes): Implications for Vertebral Formation and Fusion.
PLoS One 10, e0135138 DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0135138.

Johanson, Z., Trinajstic, K., Carr, R., Ritchie, A., 2012. Evolution and development of the synarcual in
early vertebrates. Zoomorphology 132, 95-110 DOI: 10.1007/s00435-012-0169-9.

Junqueira, L.C., Cossermelli, W., Brentani, R., 1978. Differential staining of collagens type I, II and III by
Sirius Red and polarization microscopy. Arch Histol Jpn 41, 267-274 DOI: 10.1679/aohc1950.41.267.

Kawasaki, K., Suzuki, T., Weiss, K.M., 2004. Genetic basis for the evolution of vertebrate mineralized
tissue. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 101, 11356-11361 DOI:
doi:10.1073/pnas.0404279101.

Kawasaki, K., Weiss, K.M., 2003. Mineralized tissue and vertebrate evolution: The secretory calcium-
binding phosphoprotein gene cluster. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 100, 4060-4065
DOI: doi:10.1073/pnas.0638023100.

Kemp, N.E., Westrin, S.K., 1979. Ultrastructure of calcified cartilage in the endoskeletal tesserae of
sharks. J Morphol 160, 75-109 DOI: 10.1002/jmor.1051600106.

Leprevost, A., Azaïs, T., Trichet, M., Sire, J.Y., 2017. Vertebral Development and Ossification in the
Siberian Sturgeon (Acipenser Baerii), with New Insights on Bone Histology and Ultrastructure of
Vertebral Elements and Scutes. Anat Rec (Hoboken) 300, 437-449 DOI: 10.1002/ar.23515.

Leurs, N., Martinand-Mari, C., Marcellini, S., Debiais-Thibaud, M., 2022. Parallel Evolution of
Ameloblastic scpp Genes in Bony and Cartilaginous Vertebrates. Molecular Biology and Evolution 39
DOI: 10.1093/molbev/msac099.

Lowenstam, H.A., 1981. Minerals formed by organisms. Science 211, 1126-1131 DOI:
10.1126/science.7008198.

Maisey, J.G., 2013. The diversity of tessellated calcification in modern and extinct chondrichthyans.
Revue de Paléobiologie 32, 355-371.

Maisey, J.G., Denton, J.S.S., Burrow, C., Pradel, A., 2021. Architectural and ultrastructural features of
tessellated calcified cartilage in modern and extinct chondrichthyan fishes. J Fish Biol 98, 919-941 DOI:
10.1111/jfb.14376.

Marrama, G., Schultz, O., Kriwet, J., 2019. A new Miocene skate from the Central Paratethys (Upper
Austria): the first unambiguous skeletal record for the Rajiformes (Chondrichthyes: Batomorphii). J Syst
Palaeontol 17, 937-960 DOI: 10.1080/14772019.2018.1486336.

Maxwell, E.E., Fröbisch, N.B., Heppleston, A.C., 2008. Variability and Conservation in Late
Chondrichthyan Development: Ontogeny of the Winter Skate (Leucoraja ocellata). The Anatomical
Record 291, 1079-1087 DOI: https://doi.org/10.1002/ar.20719.

Mikami, M., Ineno, T., Thompson, A.W., Braasch, I., Ishiyama, M., Kawasaki, K., 2022. Convergent losses
of SCPP genes and ganoid scales among non-teleost actinopterygians. Gene 811, 146091 DOI:
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gene.2021.146091.

Miles, R.S., 1970. Remarks on the Vertebral Column and Caudal Fin of Acanthodian Fishes. Lethaia 3,
343-362 DOI: 10.1111/j.1502-3931.1970.tb00828.x.

Omelon, S., Georgiou, J., Variola, F., Dean, M.N., 2014. Colocation and role of polyphosphates and
alkaline phosphatase in apatite biomineralization of elasmobranch tesserae. Acta Biomater 10, 3899-
3910 DOI: 10.1016/j.actbio.2014.06.008.

Ørvig, T., 1951. The endoskeleton, with remarks on the hard tissues of lower vertebrates in general,
Histologic studies of Placoderms and fossil Elasmobranchs. Almqvist & Wiksell, Stockholm, pp. 321-454.

Pears, J.B., Johanson, Z., Trinajstic, K., Dean, M.N., Boisvert, C.A., 2020. Mineralization of the
Callorhinchus Vertebral Column (Holocephali; Chondrichthyes). Front Genet 11, 571694 DOI:
10.3389/fgene.2020.571694.

Peignoux-Deville, J., Lallier, F., Vidal, B., 1982. Evidence for the presence of osseous tissue in dogfish
vertebrae. Cell Tissue Res 222, 605-614 DOI: 10.1007/BF00213858.

Porter, M.E., Beltrán, J.L., Koob, T.J., Summers, A.P., 2006. Material properties and biochemical
composition of mineralized vertebral cartilage in seven elasmobranch species (Chondrichthyes). J Exp
Biol 209, 2920-2928 DOI: 10.1242/jeb.02325.

Ridewood, W., MacBride, E.W., 1921. VIII.—On the calcification of the vertebral centra in sharks and
rays. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London. Series B, Containing Papers of a
Biological Character 210, 311-407 DOI: 10.1098/rstb.1921.0008.

Rossert, J., de Crombrugghe, B., 2002. Type I collagen: structure, synthesis, and regulation, Principles of
bone biology. Elsevier, pp. 189-XVIII.

Seidel, R., Blumer, M., Chaumel, J., Amini, S., Dean, M.N., 2020. Endoskeletal mineralization in chimaera
and a comparative guide to tessellated cartilage in chondrichthyan fishes (sharks, rays and chimaera). J R
Soc Interface 17, 20200474 DOI: 10.1098/rsif.2020.0474.

Seidel, R., Blumer, M., Pechriggl, E.J., Lyons, K., Hall, B.K., Fratzl, P., Weaver, J.C., Dean, M.N., 2017.
Calcified cartilage or bone? Collagens in the tessellated endoskeletons of cartilaginous fish (sharks and
rays). J Struct Biol 200, 54-71 DOI: 10.1016/j.jsb.2017.09.005.

Seidel, R., Lyons, K., Blumer, M., Zaslansky, P., Fratzl, P., Weaver, J.C., Dean, M.N., 2016. Ultrastructural
and developmental features of the tessellated endoskeleton of elasmobranchs (sharks and rays). J Anat
229, 681-702 DOI: 10.1111/joa.12508.

Smith, M., Manzanares, E., Underwood, C., Healy, C., Clark, B., Johanson, Z., 2020. Holocephalan
(Chondrichthyes) dental plates with hypermineralized dentine as a substitute for missing teeth through
developmental plasticity. J Fish Biol 97, 16-27 DOI: 10.1111/jfb.14302.

Smith, M.M., Hall, B.K., 1990. Development and evolutionary origins of vertebrate skeletogenic and
odontogenic tissues. Biol Rev Camb Philos Soc 65, 277-373 DOI: 10.1111/j.1469-185x.1990.tb01427.x.

Stehmann, M.F., 2002. Proposal of a maturity stages scale for oviparous and viviparous cartilaginous
fishes (Pisces, Chondrichthyes). Archive of Fishery and Marine research 50, 23-48.

Vazquez, D.M., Díaz de Astarloa, J.M., Gabbanelli, V., Mabragaña, E., 2020. Comparative embryonic
development patterns in three deep-water skates from the southwest Atlantic. Deep Sea Research Part
I: Oceanographic Research Papers 161, 103301 DOI: 10.1016/j.dsr.2020.103301.

Venkatesh, B., Lee, A.P., Ravi, V., Maurya, A.K., Lian, M.M., Swann, J.B., Ohta, Y., Flajnik, M.F., Sutoh, Y.,
Kasahara, M., Hoon, S., Gangu, V., Roy, S.W., Irimia, M., Korzh, V., Kondrychyn, I., Lim, Z.W., Tay, B.-H.,
Tohari, S., Kong, K.W., Ho, S., Lorente-Galdos, B., Quilez, J., Marques-Bonet, T., Raney, B.J., Ingham,

P.W., Tay, A., Hillier, L.W., Minx, P., Boehm, T., Wilson, R.K., Brenner, S., Warren, W.C., 2014. Elephant

shark genome provides unique insights into gnathostome evolution. Nature 505, 174-179 DOI:

10.1038/nature12826.

Warth, P., Hilton, E.J., Naumann, B., Olsson, L., Konstantinidis, P., 2017. Development of the skull and

pectoral girdle in Siberian sturgeon, *Acipenser baerii*, and Russian sturgeon, *Acipenser gueldenstaedtii*

(Acipenseriformes: Acipenseridae). J Morphol 278, 418-442 DOI: 10.1002/jmor.20653.

Wilga, C.A.D., Ferry, L.A., 2015. 4 - Functional Anatomy and Biomechanics of Feeding in Elasmobranchs,

in: Shadwick, R.E., Farrell, A.P., Brauner, C.J. (Eds.), Fish Physiology. Academic Press, pp. 153-187.

819