Title: Highly-resolved within-species dynamics in the human facial skin
microbiome

Authors: Jacob S. Baker[1,2], Evan Qu[1,2], Christopher P. Mancuso[1,2], A. Delphine Tripp[1,2,3],
Arolyn Conwill[1,2], Tami D. Lieberman[1,2,4,5*]

Affiliations:

[1]Institute for Medical Engineering and Sciences, Massachusetts Institute of Technology;
Cambridge, MA 02139, USA.

[2]Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Massachusetts Institute of Technology;
Cambridge, MA 02139, USA.

[3]Department of Systems Biology, Harvard University; Cambridge, MA 02138, USA.

[4]Broad Institute of MIT and Harvard; Cambridge, MA 02139, USA.

[5]Ragon Institute of MGH, MIT, and Harvard; Cambridge, MA 02139, USA.

*Corresponding author. Email: tami@mit.edu

Abstract: Human facial skin microbiomes (FSMs) on adults are dominated by just two bacterial
species, *Cutibacterium acnes* and *Staphylococcus epidermidis.* Underlying this apparent
simplicity, each FSM harbors multiple strains of both species whose assembly dynamics on
individuals are unknown. Here, we use 4,055 isolate genomes and 360 metagenomes to trace the
dynamics of strains on individuals and their transmission. Strains are shared amongst family
members of all ages, but each individual harbors unique strain consortia. Strain stability changes
upon formation of the adult-type FSM: *S. epidermidis* lineage turnover slows, and the rate of *C.*
acnes colonization increases before stabilizing, suggesting this transitional window could
facilitate engraftment of therapeutic strains. Our work reveals previously undetectable
community dynamics and informs the design of therapeutic interventions.

One-Sentence Summary: Previously undetectable gain and loss of individual strains underlies
the species stability of important microbiome bacteria.

Main Text:
Although human facial skin is constantly exposed to the diverse microorganisms of our
surrounding environments, only select species and strains are able to colonize and persist (*1–5*).
Notably, two globally prevalent skin dwelling species, *Cutibacterium acnes* and *Staphylococcus*
epidermidis, predominate in nearly every adult facial skin microbiome (FSM) sampled to date
(together representing about ~80% of the average individual's relative abundance) (*4, 6*). The

universality of this composition across individuals and its simplicity relative to gut communities makes mechanistic study of this site's ecology more easily attainable.

Despite commonality at the species level and the potential for transmission across individuals, each person's FSM is composed of strains (groups within a species that share common ancestry (*7, 8*) which are distinct from those found on other individuals (*5*). This strain-level individuality indicates the presence of unknown ecological barriers which hinder the migration of new strains, as evidenced by the difficulty of achieving durable engraftment of topically applied *C. acnes (9)*. Determining the factors that facilitate successful colonization amidst unknown ecological barriers is of critical importance for developing durable probiotics, predicting the consequences of antibiotic interventions, and contextualizing the differences between healthy and diseased microbiomes (*10, 11*).

Intraspecies dynamics in microbial communities have remained difficult to measure due to the limited resolution of metagenomics when intraspecies diversity is high (*12*). Here, we use thousands of cultures from individual bacterial cells to obtain whole-genomes and avoid these limitations. This resolution enables us to cluster intraspecies populations into extremely closely-related clades that originate on individual people, which we refer to as lineages (*13, 14*). Cells from a lineage are separated by less than 100 point mutations across their entire genomes, reflecting their recent origin on an individual person, and are related by direct or indirect transmission (*7, 15–17*).

From a cohort of families we describe the species and lineage-level dynamics of the FSM during the transition to adulthood and beyond. While birth and infancy have received extensive attention as critical periods for the assembly of human microbiomes (*18–21*), the role of the years between infancy and adulthood in facilitating strain colonization is underexplored. There is a well-documented transformation of the skin during this time, during which lipid secretion surges (*22*) and bacterial density in the FSM increases more than 10,000-fold per cm^2 (*23–26*). We ask whether this transition is associated with changes in intraspecies composition, alters the stability of already colonizing lineages, or enhances the capacity of migrating lineages to successfully colonize. We amassed a unique collection comprising 2,025 *S. epidermidis* and 2,030 *C. acnes* isolates from 57 individuals, supplemented with associated metagenomes. This extraordinary resolution and depth enables analyses traversing taxonomic levels from species down to individual genotypes, providing mechanistic insights into the intraspecies dynamics associated with niche expansion in the FSM.

Fig. 1. Integrating isolate genomes with metagenomics to resolve within-species facial skin microbiomes at the lineage level. (A) We collected samples of the Facial Skin Microbiomes (FSMs) from four facial skin sites from 57 people belonging to 20 distinct nuclear families. **(B)** From 8 of these nuclear families (top), we obtained 4,055 high-quality genomes clustered in 167 distinct *C. acnes* and *S. epidermidis* lineages, and used their phylogenetic topologies to analyze transmission events. Concurrently (bottom) we sequenced metagenomic samples from all subjects and combined these reads with information obtained from isolate lineages (lineage-specific SNPs) to identify lineages in metagenomics tubes across samples for which we had no isolates, as well as other metrics of intraspecies and interspecies diversity.

Species-level formation of the adult-type FSM

We collected facial skin microbiome samples from children and their parents at a single

K-8 school across a 2.5 year period (Fig. 1). Individuals were sampled opportunistically on 5

different dates, and not every family member was sampled at the same time. In total, we sampled

30 children (aged 5 to 15) and 27 parents (aged 34 to 61). Basic health and demographic

information was acquired via a questionnaire (Methods); given the modest size of our cohort,

this information was primarily used for hypothesis generation.

Four facial locations were sampled from each subject using a pre-wet swab (forehead,

nose, cheek, and chin). To limit collection of surface contaminants, each subject's face was

washed using a gentle cleansing cloth, rinsed with a saline wipe, and air dried. This process

enabled repopulation of the surface from sebaceous secretions during the drying period (*1*, *27*).

From each sample, we profiled the entire community using metagenomic sequencing (mean non-

human reads/sample = 4.5×10^6), enabling study of both species-level and within-species

diversity. We found only minor compositional differences amongst facial sites (Fig. S1),

consistent with previous results (*4*, *13*); we therefore grouped metagenomic reads across sites for

each timepoint (herein called 'samples') to increase sensitivity to lowly abundant intraspecies

groups.

Fig. 2. Humans transition to the low-diversity adult-type FSM many years after birth. (A) UMAP projection of species-level communities (each dot represents one subject at one time point) shows a clear separation into 2 clusters which we call 'Facial Cutotypes' (FCs). **(B)** Child subjects classified as having the adult-type FSM (FC2 Children) are older than FC1 children, but lack a clear age threshold. P-value shows the result of a two-sided ranksum test. **(C)** Species-level diversity is lost when children transition from FC1 to FC2, and similarly within FC2 between children and parents. P-values show results of two-sided ranksum tests. **(D)** The relative abundance of *C. acnes* and *Streptococcus* species, but not *S. epidermidis*, are significantly higher in FC2 samples. P-values show results of two-sided Kolmogorov-Smirnov tests and are not corrected for multiple hypotheses. **(E)** The relative abundances of *C. acnes, S. epidermidis,* and *Streptococcus* are each significantly dynamic in children and do not change significantly in parents. Trendlines are first-degree exponentials for *C. acnes* and *S. epidermidis* in children, and linear elsewhere– P-values are for linear correlation (Pearson).

Consistent with the expectation of dramatic changes to the skin during development (*24*,
25), younger children and adults have distinct species-level FSM communities. Clustering of
relative species abundances from metagenomics using UMAP (*28*) and HDBSCAN (*29*)
revealed two distinct groups, herein called Facial Cutotypes (FCs) (Fig. 2A, Methods). FC1
communities are found primarily on samples from younger children (median =11.4 years). Only
one outlier parent had an FC1 community (11PA); this individual remained FC1 across each of
their three timepoints (Fig. S2A) and harbored an unusually high abundance of *S. epidermidis*.
Samples from all other parents, and most older children, have FC2 communities (median age
among samples from children =14.1 years). To categorize subjects by FC, we classified children
as FC2 ('FC2 Children' in figures) if at least 1 of their samples is classified as FC2 (Fig. S2B).
Children with only FC1 samples were classified as FC1 ('FC1 Children' in figures) and all
parents, including the outlier parent, were analyzed ('Parents' in figures). While FC2 children are
significantly older than FC1 children ($P<8.2\times10^{-4}$, Fig. 2B) there is not a clear boundary. It is
possible that sampling more individuals might have revealed a continuum rather than a
dichotomy; regardless, the clear separation between FC1 and FC2 found here enables us to
clearly delineate which children have not yet begun developing an adult-like FSM.
The transition to the adult-type FC2 community is distinguished by a dramatic loss of
species-level alpha diversity (Fig. 2C), driven by the dynamics of only a few key species (Fig.
S2C-D). Consistent with previous results (*26*) the relative abundance of *Cutibacterium acnes* is
significantly higher in the adult-type FC2 community (mean 15.9% vs 72.4%, $P=1.2\times10^{-22}$; Fig.
2D) and increases with age in children ($P=8.6\times10^{-4}$; Fig. 2E). The more diverse FC1
communities are dominated by various *Streptococcus* species, which decrease with age in
children. *Staphylococcus epidermidis* is found at similar relative abundance in FC1 and FC2
communities (7.6% vs 5.9%, P=.19). However, the absolute abundance of *S. epidermidis* was
significantly higher in parents than either FC1 or FC2 children (Fig. S2E, P<.05), highlighting
shifts in microbial density during the transition to adulthood.

Fig. 3. Multiple cells from lineages establish populations during transmission. (A) The farthest observed within-lineage distance for either species is only 90 SNPs across the entire genome, indicating a most recent common ancestor within the lifespan of an individual person. **(B)** For subjects with >25 isolates and >70% lineage-level assignment for a given species, we almost always observe multiple co-existing lineages in both species in metagenomics and/or isolate data (Fig. S3). Vertical bars represent the median. **(C)** The most similar genomes between co-existing lineages (for either species) are not more different than chance, which would be expected under metabolic niche partitioning. **(D)** (upper) Many lineages of both species are shared between two or more family members, but only a minority (dark green) have directionality which can be confidently inferred from one or more sources (lower left); the rest either have insufficient numbers of genotypes to be sure or have more complex topologies (lower right). **(E)** The minimum number of transmitted genotypes required to explain the diversity of lineages is often greater than 1 (median 2, vertical bars), suggesting the migration of multiple cells. Lineages shared by three or more individuals are counted once for each recipient. **(F)** Jaccard distances of lineage composition between pairs of subjects shows that lineages are shared extensively within and between parents and their children, rarely shared among unrelated children at the same school, and much more rarely shared between unrelated parents. These results indicate that exposure is predictive of transmission. Notably, parents of the same family share only a fraction of their lineages despite exposure. Horizontal bars represent the median. P-values show results of two-sided ranksum tests and are not corrected for multiple hypotheses.

Neutral lineage-level coexistence on individuals

111
112    We next characterized intraspecies diversity and dynamics at the lineage level. As
determining lineage membership requires whole-genome resolution, we employed a high-
throughput, culture-based, single-organism approach. We focused on 8 family units for which we
had samples from at least 3 subjects, including at least one parent and one child. From each
timepoint and individual, we obtained whole genomes from up to 133 *C. acnes* and 186 *S.*
epidermidis single-colony isolates, derived using mildly selective growth conditions (Methods).
We clustered 4,055 isolates into 167 lineages, each with at least 3 isolates (2,030 and 2,025
isolates, 89 and 78 lineages for *C. acnes* and *S. epidermidis,* respectively) using an approach that
guarantees isolates within a lineage are more closely related to one another than any are to
isolates from other lineages (Methods). While we observed evidence of gene content among
isolates from the same lineage, we focus here on single-nucleotide polymorphisms because of
their clock-like accumulation over time. Isolates from the same lineage are separated by ≤ 62
and ≤ 90 SNPs across the whole genome for *C. acnes* and *S. epidermidis*, respectively (Fig. 3A),
reflecting a common ancestor within the lifespan of an individual person (*7*).
126    To better detect lowly abundant lineages missed by culturing but shared between subjects
and get finer measurements of lineage abundances, we also assessed the composition of samples
using metagenomic data (Methods). This also enabled us to query intraspecies diversity, though
at a coarser resolution, from subjects from whom no isolates were obtained. Metagenomic-
inferred lineages and their abundances correspond well with isolate-inferred abundances in
subjects with sufficient data for both (Fig. 3B, Fig. S3). Across all subjects, we find that multi-
lineage colonization is common, with up to 9 lineages of either species coexisting on a person
simultaneously (medians: *C. acnes*: 6; *S. epidermidis*: 2; threshold of 1% relative abundance
within a species to be called as present). The large number of coexisting lineages for both species
suggests at least temporary openness of the FSM to new colonizations.
136    To test if co-existing lineages of the same species fill distinct functional niches, we
examined the differences in gene content and phylogenetic differences between co-existing
lineages. If lineages with similar functionality are less likely to coexist on a person, we would
expect to see overdispersion– a greater dissimilarity between pairs of lineages on a person than -
that expected from a random sampling of lineage. For both species, we find no signal for
overdispersion for either gene content or phylogenetic distance (Fig. 3C and Fig. S4), indicating
that closely-related lineages are able to co-exist. These results are consistent with a neutral model
of lineage coexistence (*30*) and suggest that functional niche partitioning is not the major driver
of lineage co-existence for *C. acnes* and *S. epidermidis* on facial skin.
145

Co-transmission of multiple genotypes in shared lineages

146
147    Lineages are extensively shared within members of the same family. Across all lineages,
44% and 46% are shared between 2 or more people for *C. acnes* and *S. epidermidis*, respectively,
as detected from metagenomic data (Methods). Lineages are overwhelmingly shared within
families; only 2 lineages are shared between non-family members (both *C. acnes*). In both cases

of between-family sharing, the lineages found at low (<5%) abundance on one of the two
individuals implicated, potentially indicating experimental or computational error. Many
lineages are shared between parents (12/35 of *C. acnes* and 1/24 of *S. epidermidis* lineages on
parents in families with 2 parents sampled), indicating that lineages can be shared in an
exposure-dependent manner as opposed to being strictly vertical.

To understand the directionality and number of cells involved in transmission, we turned
to isolate-based lineage phylogenies (Fig. 3D). Directionality, as evidenced by an individual's
isolates having a younger most recent common ancestor (MRCA) than that of another
individual's isolates, was inferrable for only a minority of lineages with sufficient isolates (Fig.
S5A, Methods). A parent was the donor in the majority of inferred directional transmissions (Fig.
S5B-C, 9/10 for *C. acnes* and 9/13 for *S. epidermidis*). In contrast, the majority of shared
lineages had complex topologies, emerging from the involvement of more than two individuals
or transmission of multiple genotypes (Fig 3D, Lineage 67). We conservatively estimate a
median of at least two transmitted genotypes (cells) per recipient per lineage for both species (up
to 11 transmitted genotypes, Fig 3E, Methods). The number of transmitted genotypes is
dependent on the number of recovered colonies for *C. acnes* (Fig S6), further supporting the
conservative nature of these estimates. The predominance of complex topologies in *C. acnes* is
consistent with our previous work indicating that each sebaceous follicle is independently
colonized, creating an archipelago-like community structure which maintains coexisting
genotypes by preventing direct competition (*13*). It is unclear if there is an anatomical basis for
the coexistence of multiple transmitted *S. epidermidis* genotypes, given the unknown fine-scale
spatial structure of *S. epidermidis* populations on human skin (*27, 31*). *C. acnes* and *S.*
epidermidis have significantly different within-lineage topologies, with *C. acnes* having a more
comb-like structure than *S. epidermidis*, which could indicate that populations of *S. epidermidis*
are more efficiently mixed (Fig. S5E, Methods). Regardless, the absence of single-celled
bottlenecks for either species suggests the possibility of a spatially structured environment that
facilitates stable transmission and co-existence of closely-related genotypes.

The apparent frequency of transmission between family members indicates that lineage-
level communities could, in theory, converge within families over time. However, the FCMs of
family members do not homogenize, and related individuals retain lineages that are undetectable
on other family members (Fig. S7). Furthermore, cohabitating parental pairs have significant
dissimilarity at the lineage level for both species (Fig. 3G). In the next sections, we dissect the
species-specific assembly dynamics that establish these person-specific communities.
184

Figure 4: Fast cycles of *S. epidermidis* lineage turnover are continuous and slow down over time. (A) For subjects with sufficient data across multiple timepoints, lineage-level communities from metagenomics show that the proportion of lineages and **(B)** total abundance of lineages lost between timepoints is significantly higher in *S. epidermidis* than *C. acnes*. P-values show results of two-sided ranksum tests. **(C)** Over our observation window of ~1.5 years, individuals' *S. epidermidis* lineage-level communities turn over faster than *C. acnes*. P-value shows result of a two-sided Kolmogorov-Smirnov test of the maximum dissimilarity values for each subject. **(D)** The age (tMRCA) of *S. epidermidis* lineages indicate that all colonizations are recent– no lineage has more than ~12.6 years since its most recent common ancestor and an average age of ~ 1.6 years. **(E)** While relatively recent, lineages on parents are older than both FC1 and FC2 children. These age estimates are not an artifact of sampling depth (Fig. S11). **(F)** The most recently colonized lineage per-subject (tMRCA$_{MIN}$), is similar across groups, indicating similar rates of new colonizations. **(G)** Intraspecies richness, as indicated by the number of coexisting sub-phylotypes of *S.*

epidermidis, is higher on parents than children, potentially due to an increased carrying capacity. P-values show results of two-sided ranksum tests. **(H)** Our observations suggest a model in which lineages of *S. epidermidis* are continuously gained and lost, but tend to persist for longer on adults, who have higher carrying capacity of both *S. epidermidis* cells and *S. epidermidis* diversity. The area between each set of lines represents the abundance of a lineage, and each lineage is colored by the time window in which it was acquired.

S. epidermidis lineages are continuously acquired and increasingly stable over time

Despite an overall trend of long-term persistence of *S. epidermidis* on individuals, we observe many turnover events of *S. epidermidis* lineages within the short time windows assessed here (max interval length = 1.5 years). Compared to *C. acnes*, we find that a significantly higher proportion of lineages and total abundance of lineage-level communities are lost across timepoints in *S. epidermidis.* (Fig 4A-B). This dynamism results in *S. epidermidis* communities more rapidly turning over than those in *C. acnes* (Fig. 4C). We observed similar fluctuations in both parents and children at the phylotype level (Fig. S8 and S9), suggesting that *S. epidermidis* are continually acquired and lost throughout life stages.

To understand *S. epidermidis* lineage stability beyond the limit of our sampling windows, we turned to SNP-level lineage phylogenetics and inferred the age of the MRCA for each lineage on each person. We first measured the rate at which *S. epidermidis* accumulates mutations using data from all subjects with longitudinal data grouped together, obtaining a value of 4.5 mutations/genome/year (Methods, Fig. S10). This value agrees well with those estimated previously from the literature (Range 4-10) (*14*, *32–34*). We then used this rate to estimate lineage tMRCAs, the times since the most recent common ancestor of each lineage on each subject.

Supporting a model of *S. epidermidis* lineage instability, all values of tMRCA were significantly smaller than their subjects' ages, with a maximum value of tMRCA of 12.2 years (Fig. 4D). The median tMRCA of 1.2 years indicates frequent *S. epidermidis* turnover and is not due to lineages with few isolates (Fig. S11). While low tMRCAs could alternatively reflect a recent selective sweep or neutral bottleneck that purged diversity (*14*), we do not find evidence of frequent adaptive evolution, as indicated by parallel evolution or ratios of nonsynonymous to synonymous mutations (Fig. S12). Together, these results indicate that *S. epidermidis* lineages generally persist on individuals for a duration much shorter than their human host's lifespan.

Notably, tMRCAs on parents were significantly older than those on either FC1 or FC2 children (Fig. 4E, median of 2.1 for parents vs. 0.52 and 1.2 years for FC1 and FC2 children, respectively). We note that this is not a trivial result from colonization at birth, as all children in our study were over 5 years of age. Thus, despite the capacity for constant turnover, *S. epidermidis* lineage colonization is generally more stable on parents. In contrast, we found no significant difference in the min tMRCA on a given subject (tMRCA$_{min}$) across groups (Fig. 4F). As tMRCA$_{min}$ reflects the age of the most recently acquired simple (non multi-cell) lineage acquisition per subject, these results support a model in which *S. epidermidis* lineages arrive on subjects of all ages at similar rates, with enhanced stability of individual lineages on parents.

These two results from tMRCA analyses– enhanced stability of lineages on parents and
no difference in the acquisition of new lineages– together suggest that more lineages could
coexist on adults than children at any given time. Indeed, we find that adults have more lineages
on average than children, though this is not statistically significant (median of 2 vs 3; P=.06; Fig
S13). When including subjects without cultured representatives via analysis at the sub-phylotype
level (taxonomic grouping between lineage and phylotype-level, Fig. S14), we find a significant
enrichment in intraspecies richness on parents (Fig. 4G). Together, our results indicate that *S.*
epidermidis lineages are acquired and lost from at least childhood through adulthood, with longer
persistence on adults (Fig. 4H).

Fig. 5. Rapid acquisition of *C. acnes* lineages during transition to FC2 suggests that population expansion temporarily alleviates ecological barriers to colonization. (A) The dMRCA of *C. acnes* lineages is not significantly correlated with age. **(B)** Moreover, the oldest lineage per subject (dMRCA$_{MAX}$) is not different between parents and children. The most extreme value from any child (inset phylogeny) appears to show two distinct co-existing sub-lineages, which could result from a recent transmission of two cells (triangles) despite the apparently long dMRCA. P-value shows result of two-sided ranksum test. **(C)** The age of diversity in the youngest lineage (most recently colonized) per subject, dMRCA$_{MIN}$, is significantly correlated with age, suggesting a decreasing rate of new colonizations in parents. **(D)** Parents' most recently colonized lineages are significantly older than those in all children, indicating less recent colonization. P-value shows result of two-sided ranksum test. **(E)** In contrast to **(C)**, the opposite trend is apparent in children, with older children more recently colonized than younger ones. **(F)** Adult *C. acnes* communities have a larger number of co-existing phylotypes. P-values show results of two-sided ranksum tests. **(G)** Illustrative model of *C. acnes* lineage dynamics throughout the lifespan. We propose that lineages are acquired most readily during the transition to an adult FSM and alongside a population expansion. The area between each set of lines represents the abundance of a lineage, and each lineage is colored by the time window in which it was acquired. All correlation coefficients and P-values are for lineage (Pearson) correlations.

C. acnes is readily acquired during population expansion

In contrast to *S. epidermidis*, *C. acnes* lineages were remarkably stable across individuals during the time intervals studied (Fig. 4C, P=.01, Methods). Lineages with turnover had lower confidence at detected timepoints than stable lineages (Fig. S15), suggesting these lineages may appear gained or lost due to fluctuations near the limit of detection. *C. acnes* communities remained more stable than *S. epidermidis* communities when examining all subjects (including those without isolates) using the broader taxonomic level of phylotypes (Fig. S8 and S9). This observation, despite the added statistical power from the larger number of phylotypes in *C. acnes* (9 vs 4), furthers evidence for the robustness of *C. acnes* colonizations over time.

Despite this stability, *C. acnes'* lineage dMRCAs did not significantly correlate with host age or FC type (Fig. 5A), and we were unable to identify a significant molecular clock signal to infer tMRCAs. The absence of a correlation between dMRCA and age could theoretically emerge from on-person selective sweeps, which purge accumulated diversity. However, we observe no evidence of selection in *C. acnes* (Fig. S12), consistent with prior results from our group (*13*). The consistency of dMRCAs across the lifespan may be due to recently co-transmitted *C. acnes* genotypes causing lineages to appear as old on recipients as their sources (Fig. 5B); in cases of multi-cell transmission, the MRCA of a recipient's lineage diversity could have emerged on the source individual, potentially even before a subject was born. Accordingly, we find deeply branching clades coexisting on young individuals (Fig. 5B, inset) and no significant difference in dMRCA$_{max}$ between children and parents (Fig. 5B). Multi-clade transmission may also play a role in preventing a significant molecular clock signal for *C. acnes* here (Fig. S10) and in previous data (*13*) for converting values of dMRCA to tMRCA.

We therefore turned to dMRCA$_{min}$ to assess the age of the most recently acquired simple lineage acquisition per subject. Across all subjects, *C. acnes* dMRCA$_{min}$ was significantly correlated with age (Fig. 5C). Moreover, children had significantly lower values of dMRCA$_{min}$ than parents (p=9.5x10^{-4}, Fig. 5D), consistent with more recent acquisitions. When considering only child subjects, dMRCA$_{min}$ was lower on FC2 subjects and negatively correlated with age (p=.011; Fig. 5E). Together, these trends support a model in which *C. acnes* lineages are acquired at a higher rate during the transition to FC2, concurrent with the increase in the size of the *C. acnes* population.

This influx of new lineages during the transition to an FC2 community could result in either replacement or addition to preexisting lineages. Consistent with a model of addition, and with an increased carrying capacity, the number of phylotypes is higher on parents than on children (Fig. 5F). While we were unable to obtain statistically significant assessments of *C. acnes* within-species diversity between FC1 and FC2 children due to the low abundance of *C. acnes* on FC1 children (Fig. S2E), we observe trends in agreement with a model of *C. acnes* lineage addition during adolescence.

Altogether, these results demonstrate distinct on-person dynamics for *C. acnes* and *S. epidermidis* lineages. The rate of *S. epidermidis* lineage turnover is higher throughout the lifespan. While we cannot determine the basis of this difference, it is interesting to note that *S.*

268   *epidermidis* lineages have a larger and more dynamic accessory genome (Fig. S16) and may
269   therefore undergo more between-lineage competition. Another notable difference is that only *C.*
270   *acnes* lineages appear to be most rapidly around the transition to an adult-like facial skin
271   microbiome. The more dramatic changes to *C. acnes* population size during this timespan (10^5-
272   fold vs. 10^2-fold) (*26*) may be responsible for this difference.

Discussion

275   Resolving assembly dynamics has remained difficult for intraspecies communities with
multiple lineages because of the technical limitations of metagenomics and short time course
data. Here, we applied single-organism genomics and evolutionary reconstruction to detail on-
person intraspecies diversity at whole-genome level, infer dynamics beyond the limits of our
sampling window, and build models of community assembly for the two most dominant bacteria
of the skin microbiome. We report that transmission between family members is frequent but
incomplete– with many lineages unshared despite ample opportunity. Coexisting lineages
display no strong evidence for niche-partitioning, implicating neutral forces like priority effect in
determining the success of lineages and genotype (*30*). We show that while both *C. acnes* and *S.*
epidermidis lineages are gained and lost throughout life, *C. acnes* lineages are more stable than
S. epidermidis lineages on adults and are acquired at particularly high rates during the population
expansion occurring in adolescence. The importance of this transitional period for *C. acnes* strain
acquisition highlights the critical role of life stages beyond birth and infancy for strain
acquisition.

289   For *C. acnes* the findings of incomplete lineage sharing and the primacy of adolescence
acquisition can potentially be rationalized in terms of its biology. *C. acnes* growth occurs
primarily within sebaceous follicles (pores), and the population within each pore is dominated by
the descendents of a neutral single cell bottleneck event (*13*). Pores which briefly become
accessible (due to physical disturbance, phage predation or immune clearing) are more likely to
be seeded by lineages that are abundant on that person, rather than lowly-abundant migrating
lineages (*30*). During adolescence, increased sebum production (*22*) supports a larger population
on the surface (*26*) , which could increase the likelihood that a newly opened pore *C. acnes* is
colonized by a migrating lineage– and therefore alleviate priority effects.

298   Noted differences between species underscore that the rules of colonization for different
human commensals depend on the particulars of their niches. Unlike *C. acnes*, relatively little is
known about the fine-scale spatial structure of *S. epidermidis* populations. Adolescence may be a
weaker force for *S. epidermidis* colonization than for *C. acnes* because the change in absolute
abundance during this time window is relatively marginal (10^2-fold vs. 10^5-fold, respectively)
(*26*), but other factors may be at play. More work will be needed to understand forces that shape
S. epidermidis person-specificity at the lineage level despite the potential for continual turnover.

305   Both of these species have been considered as potential probiotics (*9, 35*), and we
306   anticipate that the dynamics described here will be helpful in the design of microbiome therapies
307   involving these species. Notably, recent studies have raised the possibility that some strains of *C.*

acnes may be protective against acne vulgaris (*9, 36*). If some or all *C. acnes* are beneficial for preventing acne, providing colonization resistance to infection (*37*) , or treating other skin conditions, the openness of the skin microbiome to *C. acnes* colonization during adolescence suggests that probiotics applied during this time window may be more likely to result in long-lasting efficacy. Finally, it is tempting to contemplate whether recently acquired lineages interact with the host immune system differently, and we anticipate that future work will explore whether the lineage-level dynamics described here are consequential for *acne vulgaris* or other diseases.

Acknowledgments: We thank the participants of the study and Courtney Dickinson, Stephanie Friedhoff, Michael Hirsch, Sarah Zuckermann, and Joshua Schuler for assistance with study recruitment. We thank Tucker Lynn for experimental assistance and the BioMicroCenter at MIT for assistance with DNA sequencing. We thank Otto Cordero, Greg Fournier, and members of the Lieberman Lab for feedback on the manuscript.

This work was funded by a pilot grant from the MIT Center for Microbiome Informatics and Therapeutics, by a Smith Family Foundation Award for Excellence in Biomedical Research, and NIH grant 1DP2GM140922 (all to TDL).

Author contributions: Conceptualization: JSB, TDL; Methodology: JSB, EQ, CPM, ADT, AC, TDL; Investigation: JSB, EQ, CPM, ADT, AC, TDL; Funding acquisition: TDL; Writing – original draft: JSB, TDL; Writing – review & editing: JSB, EQ, CPM, ADT, AC, TDL.

Competing interests: Authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Data and materials availability: Sequencing data is available on the NCBI Sequence Read Archive under Bioproject #SUB14054091. All code needed to reproduce the analysis in this work can be found at https://github.com/jsbaker760/highres_dynamics.

Materials and Methods

Sample collection

      We opportunistically sampled subjects at a single K-12 School at five events over the course of 2.5 years. Consent was obtained from each individual under MIT IRB 1802230066. For children, both parental consent and child assent were obtained. In total, this work includes samples from 57 individuals belonging to 23 nuclear families, in addition to two researchers who were collecting samples. Before sampling, subjects filled out a questionnaire with basic health and demographic information, including the time since their most recent antibiotic use. This questionnaire was used primarily to identify potential outliers.

Each time a subject was sampled, swabs were collected from four locations (Forehead,
Nose, Cheek, and Chin) on the left-hand side of the face. Each set of four sampling sites is
referred to as a "kit" in the methods. To collect skin-dwelling bacteria while reducing the
collection of incidental surface-dwelling bacteria, debris, and cosmetics, we first firmly wiped all
four skin sites with a cleansing wipe (Cetaphil, DPCI: 037-12-0886), ensuring that a different
side of the wipe was used for each site. We then used saline cotton wipes (Winner #504021),
using the same technique, to remove any residue from the cleansing wipe. After a 1-minute
drying period, samples were taken from the forehead, nose, cheek and chin, in that order. During
the drying period, examiners recorded whether the subject had facial hair and noted the presence
of acne lesions and categorized the subject's skin at the time of sampling as dry, oily, or
combination. For each sample, a swab (Puritan, REF#25-1506 1PF 100) was first dipped into
sterile PBS, and then applied with light pressure with upward and downward strokes while
moving swabs laterally and rotating the swab. Each swab was immediately placed into 1.5mL
cryotubes containing 1000mL of storage solution (20:80 glycerol:PBS with .05% w/v Cysteine
which had been vacuum filtered at 0.22um and reduced in an anaerobic chamber). Upon
completion of a sampling kit, all samples were vortexed for 60s at maximum speed and placed
on dry ice. Samples were transferred to long-term storage in a -80°C freezer the same day they
were collected.
363
<u>Isolating colonies</u>
We isolated colonies on both LB agar plates cultured in anaerobic conditions (to enrich
for *Cutibacterium* species) and TSB agar plates cultured in aerobic media (to enrich for
Staphylococcus species). For each sampling kit cultured, tubes were thawed and resuspended by
vortexing for 60s at max speed. A serial dilution was prepared using 100µL of this solution and
plated onto petri dishes with disposable spreaders. After growth for 3 days aerobically (TSB
plates) or up to 7 days anaerobically (LB plates), colonies originating from each tube were
selected from the most dilute plate or plates possible to limit competition. When few isolates
could be obtained for the initial round of culturing, particularly common in younger subjects
with a lower abundance of bacteria, additional serial dilutions were made using lower dilutions.
Each colony was picked into a 1000µL of liquid medium (either LB or TSB) and grown
for 3 days aerobically or up to 7 days anaerobically at 37°C. Colonies were picked either using a
disposable loop or a PIXL colony picking robot (Singer Instruments, PIX-001). When picking by
hand, we randomly selected colonies which were far enough apart to avoid cross-contamination.
When using the PIXL to pick colonies, we implemented size, circularity, and radius filters to
randomly pick colonies that were sufficiently far apart while limiting cross-contamination. These
cultures were used for both DNA extraction and storage. For each round of culturing, we used
two types of negative controls to ensure the absence of contaminating bacteria. First, an entire
unused sampling tube from the sampling event poured onto a single petri dish to control for
batch contamination. Second, a plate with an aliquot of the PBS used during serial dilutions, after
each sample had been plated, to control for reagent contamination during plating. The first type

of negative controls never showed growth indicative of bacterial contamination in the collection
tubes. When contaminants were identified in the second type of negative controls due to reagent
contamination, all plates were discarded and the experiment was repeated.
388
DNA preparation for isolate genomes
Cells were spun down at 4200g for 10 minutes and the supernatant was removed. Pellets
were resuspended in 100µL of PBS and lysozyme (Sigma #L6876) was added to reach a final
concentration of 1000U/mL. Plates were sealed and vortexed until the suspension was
homogenous (at least 2 minutes), then incubated at 37°C overnight. To each well, 2µL of
20ug/µL proteinase K (NEB, #P8107S) and 2µL of 0.8% SDS solution were added, and plates
were then incubated at 55°C for an additional 3 hours, after which the cell suspensions had no
apparent turbidity. DNA was purified from these cell lysates using the standard protocol in the
DNA extraction kits (Zymo Research #D3010), except that DNA was resuspended in sterile
water in the final step. DNA was quantified using SYBR Safe (Thermo, #S33102) and
normalized the concentration of each sample to between 1 and 5 ng/µL.To prepare libraries for
Illumina sequencing, the tagmentation-based plexWell DNA library prep kits (Seqwell,
#PW096) was used according to the manufacturer's protocol.
402
DNA preparation for metagenomes
Lysates were prepared as above. All reagents were sterilized, vacuum filtered, and
autoclaved prior to use. DNA was purified using Purelink gDNA extraction kits (Thermo,
#K182104A) as they improved yield in these low-biomass samples. The only modification to the
Purelink protocol was elution of DNA into 10µL of sterile water three consecutive times,
incubating the plate for 2 minutes at 37°C each time. DNA libraries were prepared using
HackFlex (38) with the following modifications: (1) the tagmentation was scaled to start with
10µL of DNA; (2) the tagmentation-stop step was skipped; (3) we used standard Illumina
barcodes for plates MG1-3 and UDI primers (20091660) for plates MG4-7 (Supplemental table
3); (4) we used KAPA HiFi master mix (Roche, 07958935001) and 19 cycles of PCR.
413
DNA Sequencing and quality filtering
All samples were sequenced using the Illumina platform, on a variety of instruments and
either 75bp or 150bp reads. Some libraries were re-sequenced to achieve higher depth. Isolates
that met all below filters had a median depth of (8.6×10^5 reads). Metagenomic samples that met
all below filters had a median depth of 3.1×10^6 reads. Details for each sample are found in
(**tables S2** and S**3**). Raw reads were filtered and trimmed as previously described.
420
Species and genus- level microbiome composition from metagenomics
All reads from metagenomics samples were de-duplicated with the function dedupe.sh (-
Xmx100g) from BBMAP (v39) (39). Kraken2 (40) was used (v2.0.7-beta, standard db built
01/18/2019) to classify reads and Bracken (v2.5) (41) was used to estimate abundances at the

species level. Immediately after classification, reads labeled as *Homo sapiens* were discarded.
Reads labeled as *Aeromonas sp.* were also removed because they were suspected to emerge from
contamination due to their high abundance in negative control samples. These samples were
additionally filtered using culture-based lineage information before UMAP clustering (see
Filtering and selecting metagenomics samples**).**
Metagenomic reads from samples in the same kit were combined since we found minimal
compositional differences (Fig. S1). Bracken abundances were averaged and re-normalized,
resulting in 101 kit samples.
To determine the Facial Cutotype (FC) category of each subject, kit samples were
additionally filtered before clustering as follows: Abundances of taxa beginning with "Human"
or "*Toxoplasma*" and all taxa which were found at <.5% across all samples were removed from
every sample and abundances were re-normalized. The pairwise distances between samples were
calculated using maximum-coordinate (Chebychev) distance. Two clusters were identified using
this distance matrix and UMAP with the following parameters: min_dist=.1, spectral initiation,
n_epochs=10000, n_components=2, cluster_detail=adaptive, method=MEX. Cluster FC2
contains samples from older children and all parents except 11PA (Fig 2A). Cluster FC1
contained samples from younger children and parent 11PA.
Child subjects were categorized as an "FC2 Child" if any of their time point samples
were clustered into FC2. Subjects were only categorized as an "FC1 child" if all samples from
that subject were FC1. Subject 11PA was included as a parent for downstream analyses despite
their aberrant composition. To obtain per-subject average abundances, Bracken abundances from
kits were averaged and re-normalized as above.
447
<u>Calculating pairwise distances for clustering isolates</u>
We first filtered isolates that might have significant levels of contamination from other
species. We reasoned that high-quality samples would contain the core genes for that species, but
not core genes for different species. Genomes were assembled for each isolate using Spades
(v.3.15.3, --isolate -k 21,33,55,77,99,127 --phred-offset 33) (*42*) and then annotated with prokka
(v 1.14.6, --compliant --force --mincontiglen 500) (*43*). In total, 4621 isolate genome assemblies
were able to be annotated. CDHIT (v 4.8.1, -p .9) (*44*) was then used to cluster the homologous
protein-coding genes of these 4621 assemblies in addition to 79 publicly available reference
genomes from NCBI (28 *C. acnes*, 35 *S. epidermidis*, 10 *S. capitis*, and 6. *S. saccharolyticus*).
Core genes for each of these reference species were defined as CDHIT gene clusters which were
present in 100% of reference genomes for that species, but not found in other reference genomes.
Samples with >87% of *C. acnes* core genes and <10% of the core genomes of *S. epidermidis*
were included as *C. acnes*. For *S. epidermidis*, samples with >90% of the *S. epidermidis* core
gene clusters and <1% of the core genes of *S. capitis* and *C. acnes* and at least 2x mean coverage
from spades were included. This results in 2300 *C. acnes* and 2172 *S. epidermidis* isolates for
further analysis

Pairwise distances between samples were calculated by comparing their SNPs relative to
a reference genome. First, each *C. acnes* isolate was aligned to the Pacnes_C1 reference genome,
and each *S. epidermidis* sample was aligned to SepidermidisATCC12228 using bowtie2 (v2.2.6 -
-very-sensitive --threads 8 -X 2000 --no-mixed --dovetail -x). Samtools mpileup (v.1.5; -q30 -x -
s -O -d3000) was used to identify candidate SNPs for each representative isolate. For every
potential position in each sample, a nucleotide call was assigned to that position based on the
major allele nucleotide. To filter out low-quality polymorphisms and positions across lineages,
we first marked allele calls as ambiguous ("N") in a sample if the major allele frequency was
below 0.79, the FQ score produced by samtools was above -45, coverage was below 3. We then
masked positions across samples if the median coverage across isolates was less than 3, or 15%
or fewer of isolates had a non-ambiguous allele at that position. 11 *C. acnes* isolates were
removed because >50% of positions were N. Distance was calculated as the sum of positions
where both samples had different nucleotides and neither were N.
477
<u>Clustering isolates into lineages</u>
To cluster genomes which passed the above filters into closely-related clades consistent
with on-person evolution or recent transmission, we implemented a two-step process of running
clustering algorithms across a wide range of parameters and choosing with the most isolates in
self-consistent clusters. Clusters are considered consistent if and only if all isolates in each
cluster are more closely related to each other than to any isolate outside of their clusters. The
consistency criteria was inspired by expectations in our data set; namely that samples emerge
from unrelated families, such that large clusters of related strains with intermediate distances are
not expected. A parameter scanning process was required given the absence of solved methods
for clustering generally (*45*) and in this use case. Initial parameter ranges were chosen based on
the fact that the typical molecular clock range bacteria *in vivo* of about 1 mutation/core-
genome/year (*7*) and that we expect isolates from a family to have a single-celled ancestor within
the past 50-100 years.
491
Initialization
Sequencing reads for some isolates showed evidence of low-level contamination by
isolates from other strains. While low-level contamination only modestly impacts mutation
calling when performing within-lineage analysis (see: **Within lineage SNPs**), strict call-masking
when using a single reference genome across divergent isolates can artificially decrease inter-
isolate distances. To overcome this challenge, we used a network property called the clustering
coefficient (*46*), which represents how many of one's neighbors are neighbors with one another.
Only isolates with a clustering coefficient above .92 at a 91 SNP cutoff (*C. canes*) and a
clustering coefficient equal to 1 at a 90 SNP cutoff for *S. epidermidis* were included.
501
Clustering

Clustering was performed with a two step process: initial cluster generation and greedy
addition. Initial cluster generation was performed over a range of parameters for both ANCHOR,
a custom algorithm and the established method DBSCAN (*47*). The custom algorithm ANCHOR
was designed to produce self-consistent clusters while dealing with noisy distance metrics
emerging from low level contamination. ANCHOR is initialized by defining anchor points, each
of which represents a cluster to which isolates will later be added if they are within a given
distance (C1). Anchor points are distributed such that they are at least 2C+1 away from each
other as follows: The first anchor point is chosen. Then, and after each new anchor point is
added, the isolate furthest from all anchors is considered as a candidate anchor. Each candidate
anchor is added to the list of anchors if and only if it is at least 2C+1 away from every already
chosen anchor. When no further isolates can be added while meeting this condition, the
initialization step completes. We then added the isolates which could be unambiguously assigned
to these clusters (< C from only one cluster).

Greedy addition
The effects of the aforementioned noise, and as well as potential processes of accelerated
mutation like hypermutation, mean that isolates may be < C from more than one anchor even if
they are of high quality. We therefore implemented a greedy addition process to identify and
include these isolates. Greedy addition was attempted for all instances of clustering (both
algorithms, both species, across many parameters), which resulted in self consistent clusters, a
high number of clustered isolates (at least 1800 for *C. acnes* and 1600 for *S. epidermidis*) and a
number of lineages consistent with our early clustering attempts (96-150 for *C. acnes* and 100-
200 for *S. epidermidis*). We note that lineage number cutoffs are higher than the reported number
of lineages as we allowed singleton clusters at this step. at least
We next added unclustered isolates to each of these instances as follows: First, all
unclustered isolates are sorted in ascending order by their distance to the nearest cluster. Then,
for each of these, we added it to its nearest cluster and checked that the clusters are still self
consistent and this isolate is not >75 SNPs away from any isolate in its cluster. This process
terminates when no isolate can be added without violating either of these properties.
We then chose the self-consistent clustering instance which contained the highest number
of clustered isolates. Clusters with fewer than three isolates were excluded from all downstream
analyses as they could not confidently be distinguished from contamination, though clusters with
two isolates were used to build the PHLAME classifier. The full range of parameters testeed
used can be found in the github repository associated with this paper. For *S. epidermidis*,
DBSCAN (eps=90, minpts=3) gave the optimal result and ANCHOR (C1=91) gave the optimal
result for *C. acnes*. For *C. acnes*, 2164 of 2289 starting genomes were clustered in 98 lineages
with >2 isolates. For *S. epidermidis*, 2025 of 2172 starting genomes were clustered in 78 lineages
with >2 isolates. To confirm that we had not overclustered isolates and artificially broken up
related isolates into distinct lineages, we manually confirmed that nearby clusters were not
systematically from the same family (Fig. S17).

Constructing inter-lineage phylogenies

A single representative isolate from each lineage was chosen by picking the isolate in
each lineage with the highest average alignment coverage across the Pacnes_C1 and
SepidermidisATCC12228 reference genomes, respectively. To ensure a wide collection of
phylogenetic diversity for *C. acnes*, we also included a representative isolate from each of the 53
C. acnes lineages defined in (*13*), as well as 197 publicly available *C. acnes* isolates from NCBI.
Because the raw reads from some publicly available isolates were not available, we first
simulated reads from these genomes using wgsim (v.0.3.1-r13; -e 0.0 -d 500 -N 500000 -1 150 -2
150 -r 0.0 -R 0.0 -X 0.0) before aligning all isolates to their respective reference genome
(bowtie2 v.2.2.6; -X 2000 --no-mixed --dovetail). After alignment, samtools mpileup (v.1.5; -q30
-x -s -O -d3000) was used to identify candidate SNPs for each representative isolate. To filter out
low-quality polymorphisms and positions across lineages, we first marked allele calls as
ambiguous ("N") in a sample if the major allele frequency was below 0.85, the FQ score
produced by samtools was above -30, the coverage across either the forward or reverse reads was
below 2, or if greater than 50% of reads at a position supported an indel. We then masked
positions across samples if the median coverage across isolates was less than 3, or if more than
5% of isolates had an ambiguous allele at that position. We finally removed positions for which
the correlation of non-reference alleles within 300bp of each other across isolates was above
0.75, which suggests that these alleles were acquired through recombination or other horizontal
inheritance events. Positions which passed these filters and still contained at least one
polymorphism across isolates were used to generate a maximum-likelihood phylogenetic tree
under a GTR model of nucleotide substitution using RaXML (v. 8.2.12; -p 060782 -m
GTRCAT).

Detecting lineages and phylotypes from metagenomics

To identify lineages from metagenomics data, we first built a database for each species
by aligning all 2164 *C. acnes* and 2025 *S. epidermidis* isolate genomes which passed quality
filtering and clustering to the Pacnes_C1 and SepidermidisATCC12228 reference genomes,
respectively, using bowtie2 (v.2.2.6; -X 2000 --no-mixed --dovetail). Duplicate reads were
filtered out using samtools markdup (v.1.15; -d 100 --mode s). Samtools mpileup (v.1.5; -q30 -x
-s -O -d3000) was used to identify candidate polymorphisms. PHLAME (v.0.1) (*48*) was used
subsequently to build a database of lineage-specific alleles (common to all isolates of a lineage,
but not found in any other lineage) within core genome regions (found in >90% of isolates).
Alleles were additionally included if <10% of the isolates in a lineage were missing an
alignment position entirely, but the remaining isolates had a unanimous allele at that position.
For each sample, the number of reads covering each informative position (containing a
lineage-specific SNP) both with and without the allele were extracted and used to determine the
presence and frequency of lineages in each metagenomic sample. PHLAME avoids false positive
detections of lineages that occur when only a subset of any set of lineage-specific alleles are

covered by reads, which may instead represent the presence of an unknown but closely-related
lineage in a sample. Briefly, PHLAME models the number of reads covering a set of lineage-
specific SNPs as coming from a zero-inflated negative binomial (ZINB) distribution with rate
parameter λ, overdispersion parameter α, and zero-inflation parameter π. The π parameter
represents the proportion of lineage-specific SNPs that are systematically missing from a sample.
Gibbs sampling, implemented using JAGS v.4.3.0, was used to obtain posterior distributions
over these 3 parameters by sampling their full conditional distributions according to the
following hierarchical formulation of the ZINB distribution, where x_i represents the set of allele
specific counts:

Likelihoods:

$$x_i \sim Poisson(\lambda_i z_i)$$

595 $z_i \sim Bernoulli(1 - \pi)$

$$\lambda_i \sim Gamma(\alpha, \beta)$$

598 Priors:

599 $\beta = \frac{p}{1-p}$

$$\alpha \sim Gamma(a, b)$$

601 $p \sim Beta(1,1); \pi \sim Beta(1,1)$

603 Parameters for a prior for the overdispersion parameter α were obtained empirically for each
604 sample using the total number of reads at SNP-containing positions y_i, where $s_{y_i}{}^2$ is the sample
605 variance of y_i.

$$a \sim Gamma(a = \frac{y_i}{s_{y_i}{}^2} - y_i, b = 1)$$

This modeling was performed if the total number of reads supporting lineage-specific
alleles in the sample was >10, otherwise the frequency of that lineage was set to 0. Each chain
was run for 100,000 iterations with the first 10% discarded as burn-in. In order for a lineage to be
detected, 50% of the posterior density over π was required to be below 0.35, and the lower bound
of the 95% highest posterior density (HPD) interval of π was required to be <0.1. To increase
sensitivity (and therefore limit false observations of gains and losses), if we additionally counted
lineage detections where >50% of the posterior density of π was below <0.55 if that lineage was
detected using the stricter parameters on the same subject at another timepoint.
The relative abundance of each lineage was calculated as the maximum likelihood
estimate for λ for the allele-specific reads divided by the maximum likelihood estimate for λ for
all reads at the same positions. Because each lineage in a sample is modeled independently
without reference to others, the sum frequency of lineages in a sample can sum to <1 or rarely,

>1. If the sum frequency of calls was >1, we re-normalized frequencies down to 1 (the max sum
lineage frequency before this step was 1.05).
We also grouped lineages into major phylogenetic clades, deemed phylotypes, to search
for patterns in intraspecies diversity in subjects without isolates (which therefore are not
represented in the lineage database) and because some metagenomic samples were not sequenced
to a high enough depth to achieve complete lineage-level resolution. *C. acnes* phylotypes were
defined following an existing single locus strain-typing (SLST) scheme (*49*). To ensure a wide
collection of phylogenetic diversity for *C. acnes*, we also included four publicly available
isolates from *C. acnes* SLST L (ribotype III) into the reference database at the phylotype level
(NCBI strain names: Cacnes_PMH7, Cacnes_PMH5, Cacnes_JCM_18919,
Cacnes_JCM_18909). Because the raw reads for these genomes were not publicly available, we
first simulated reads from each genome using wgsim (v.0.3.1-r13; -e 0.0 -d 500 -N 500000 -1
150 -2 150 -r 0.0 -R 0.0 -X 0.0) before inputting into the PHLAME pipeline. Four *S. epidermidis*
phylotypes were determined manually by cutting at the longest branch lengths of the inter-
lineage phylogeny (which matches other *S. epidermidis* phylogenies; Fig. S14). Because there
were only four major *S. epidermidis* phylotypes, of which one (phylotype 4) included >75% of
the lineages, we also defined a more resolved sub-phylotype level for *S. epidermidis* by picking
the set of monophyletic clades that both split up phylotype 4 and led to the highest average
percent assigned across concatenated metagenomics samples (Fig. S14). Phylotypes and sub-
phylotypes were detected in a similar way to lineages, using instead a database of specific SNPs
common to all isolates in a phylotype but not found in any other phylotypes.
642
643 Filtering and selecting metagenomics samples
644
Filtering by sample
Lineage-level abundances from the preceding section were used to filter out potentially
contaminated metagenomics samples. Lineages were defined as "potentially contaminating
lineages" if they were found in any sample from any handler (researcher who collected or
processed primary samples) at >3% abundance. All tubes in which the total abundance of
potentially contaminating lineages was >5% were excluded (11 tubes). Next, samples from
subject 8PB from time point 1 were excluded since their questionnaire indicated that they were
currently taking topical antibiotics.
Samples with <50000 reads assigned at the genus-level from Bracken, across sequencing
runs, were excluded. Abundance data for samples which were sequenced twice or more was
averaged and re-normalized, for a total of 360 kit samples passing filters.
656
Excluding lineages
The abundances of two types of lineages were excluded from metagenomics samples: (1)
lineages for which we only had 1 or 2 corresponding isolates and (2) lineages which appeared to
be contaminants from mock community samples processed on the same plates. *C. acnes* lineages

43 and 116 and *S. epidermidis* lineages 6 and 45 were used in high-biomass mock communities
(isolated from 1AA, 4AA, and 5PA) on plates MG1, MG2, and MG3 (Sup. Fig. S18) and
identified in many samples. We therefore conservatively removed their abundances. In total, the
abundance data of 87 *C. acnes* and 76 *S. epidermidis* lineages with sufficient corresponding
isolate data and no evidence of contamination were used.
666
<u>Lineage sharing across subjects</u>
To identify lineages shared across subjects, the combined set of lineage calls was used to
determine how many lineages ever found on a subject are also found on other subjects. Only
subject/time point samples for which >70% of abundance could be assigned were used. Only
lineages with an inferred abundance of >=1% in were included as present to limit spurious calls
from computational or experimental errors. All lineages found across timepoints are included– a
lineage is 'shared' between two subjects even if it is found in a single time point for each.
674
<u>Within lineage SNPs</u>
Reference genomes were assembled for each lineage to identify mutations in genes
present in the flexible gene content of lineages but absent in the reference genomes Pacnes_C1
or SepidermidisATCC12228. First, the same number of reads from each sample (equal to the
number of reads in the sample with the least reads) were concatenated into individual files for
each lineage. Then, Spades was used (-m 500 -k 21,33,55,77 --phred-offset 33 --careful) to
assemble these reads into contigs. We then manually applied a minimum-coverage filter for
contigs in each assembly, which was usually at half the coverage of the longest contig.
In order to identify outgroups for each clade, the average pairwise distance between
lineages was obtained using the same distance matrix as the one used to cluster lineages above.
For each lineage, the 5 nearest lineages were identified, and the highest coverage sample from
each of these lineages was used as the outgroup. Reads from each sample (all isolates from the
lineage and all 5 outgroup samples) were realigned to the lineage's co-assembly for downstream
SNP identification and phylogeny construction using bowtie, as above.
To identify *de novo* SNPs in each lineage and build accurate phylogenies, calls were first
strictly filtered using the same general process as above to identify positions where most samples
have high-quality calls. To initially filter low-quality calls in the alignments to lineage co-
assemblies, we considered calls with a FQ score <30, major allele frequency < .75 or coverage
<4 as "N". Next, we excluded positions >40% of samples have an N in that position. To build
phylogenies, nucleotide calls at accepted positions were less strictly filtered to retain information
at these highly informative positions. Major-allele nucleotides were used as calls provided they
had a major allele frequency of 70% and a coverage of at 5 reads (forward or reverse).
To identify outgroup positions, we first considered positions where at least 2 outgroup
samples had the same call and there were no other alleles. If there were any remaining calls
without outgroup calls, the reference genome call was used. If there are any positions which are
N in the reference genome, these would be replaced by the modal call from the ingroup. In

addition to excluding low-quality positions and calls, we also excluded recombinant positions.
We excluded regions where SNPs occurred within a 500bp sliding window with a covariation
>.75. We constructed maximum-parsimony phylogenies for each lineage using DNApars.
704
705 <u>Identifying genes within and across lineage assemblies</u>
706 First, clade co-assemblies were annotated using Bakta (v1.6.1) (*50*). To identify which of
707 these genes were the same across lineage co-assemblies, Roary (v3.13.0, -i 90) (*51*) was used to
708 cluster protein sequences into homologous clusters. Genes from different lineage genomes were
709 considered the same gene if they were in the same Roary cluster.
710
<u>Subject dMRCAs</u>
To calculate $dMRCA_{SUBJECT}$ for a given lineage (Figs. 4 and 5), we only considered
instances where each subject had at least 5 isolates in that lineage. Each subject's isolates were
considered independently; as such, per-subject lineage dMRCAs ($dMRCA_{SUBJECT}$) were
calculated as the mean number of *de novo* mutations excluding mutations common to all
subjects' isolates.
717
<u>Directionality of transmission</u>
Directionality was only determined for lineages from which: (1) at least 2 subjects had at
least 3 isolates each in a lineage; (2) at least one subject had a dMRCA ($dMRCA_{SUBJECT}$) which
was less than the dMRCA of the clade (recipient); (3) and at least one subject's $dMRCA_{SUBJECT}$
was the same as the root of the lineage (source). Where we list each instance of inferrable
directionality in supplementary material (Fig. S5), we only show instances in which only
parent(s) or child(ren) are the source and /or recipient. For example, a clear instance of parent-to-
child transmission could include an instance where either parent could be the source, but the
child is clearly the recipient.
727
<u>Adaptation analysis</u>
To search for significantly mutated genes, we first counted how many times each gene
was mutated. We excluded mutations which appeared to be the result of recombination (see:
Within-lineage SNPs). We then computed the probability of observing at least as many
mutations as the number observed according to a Poisson distribution as done previously (*13*).
This calculation takes into account the differences in gene length, codon distribution, and
mutational spectrum across lineages by taking the average of each of these factors across
lineages with mutation in the gene under consideration. To measure the false-discovery rate, we
used the Benjamini-Hochberg procedure where each gene was treated as a separate hypothesis.
Calculations of dN/dS for each gene were also computed as previously described in (*13*).
SNPs in individual lineages and in homologous gene clusters across lineages are listed in table
S6.
740

Number of genotype transmissions

Since it was often not possible to determine which of the subjects were the source of the
lineage and which was the donor, we conservatively estimated the number of transmitted
genotypes with at least 5 isolates from each of at least 2 subjects using a parsimony assumption
as previously reported (*52*). For each lineage, the smallest number of genotypes required to
explain the diversity on all subjects was calculated for each potential source. The instance
(source) with the smallest total number of genotype transmission was chosen for each lineage.
The number of inferred transmitted genotypes for each lineage are listed with associated
metadata in table S4.

Molecular clock estimation

Molecular clock signals from individual people were calculated for all instances where a
subject had at least 5 isolates in a given lineage from at least 2 timepoints. For each of *C. acnes*
lineage, there was no significant correlation of the number of *de novo* mutations in isolates
versus time since initial sampling at a Benjamini-Hochberg false discovery rate (FDR) of 1% for
C. acnes. For *S. epidermidis,* 3 lineages had significant clock signals for *S. epidermidis* after
FDR correction. The estimated rates for *S. epidermidis* ranged from 4.8 to 13
SNPs/genome/year. Since these estimates varied by a factor of ~3, we also estimated a molecular
clock for *S. epidermidis* across lineages and subjects. For each lineage and for each subject with
at least 5 isolates at two timepoints, we plotted the average root-to-tip distance of isolates from
each timepoint against the time elapsed since the first sampled timepoint. Together, a significant
molecular clock signal of 4.51 mutations/genome/year was estimated, which was slightly slower
and therefore more conservative than the slowest of the three individually calculated clock rates
and consistent with published rates for this species (*14*, *32–34*).

References

1. E. K. Costello, C. L. Lauber, M. Hamady, N. Fierer, J. I. Gordon, R. Knight, Bacterial
community variation in human body habitats across space and time. *Science*. **326**, 1694–
1697 (2009).

2. M. R. Olm, C. T. Brown, B. Brooks, B. Firek, R. Baker, D. Burstein, K. Soenjoyo, B. C.
Thomas, M. Morowitz, J. F. Banfield, Identical bacterial populations colonize premature
infant gut, skin, and oral microbiomes and exhibit different in situ growth rates. *Genome*
Res. **27**, 601–612 (2017).

3. T. A. Harris-Tryon, E. A. Grice, Microbiota and maintenance of skin barrier function.
Science. **376**, 940–945 (2022).

4. J. Oh, A. L. Byrd, C. Deming, S. Conlan, NISC Comparative Sequencing Program, H. H.
Kong, J. A. Segre, Biogeography and individuality shape function in the human skin
metagenome. *Nature*. **514**, 59–64 (2014).

5. J. Oh, A. L. Byrd, M. Park, NISC Comparative Sequencing Program, H. H. Kong, J. A.
Segre, Temporal Stability of the Human Skin Microbiome. *Cell*. **165**, 854–866 (2016).

6. Human Microbiome Project Consortium, Structure, function and diversity of the healthy
human microbiome. *Nature*. **486**, 207–214 (2012).

7. T. D. Lieberman, Detecting bacterial adaptation within individual microbiomes. *Philos.*
Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B Biol. Sci. **377**, 20210243 (2022).

8. T. Van Rossum, P. Ferretti, O. M. Maistrenko, P. Bork, Diversity within species:
interpreting strains in microbiomes. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* **18**, 491–506 (2020).

9. B. Paetzold, J. R. Willis, J. Pereira de Lima, N. Knödlseder, H. Brüggemann, S. R. Quist, T.
Gabaldón, M. Güell, Skin microbiome modulation induced by probiotic solutions.
Microbiome. **7**, 95 (2019).

10. B. Koskella, L. J. Hall, C. J. E. Metcalf, The microbiome beyond the horizon of ecological
and evolutionary theory. *Nat Ecol Evol*. **1**, 1606–1615 (2017).

11. T. S. B. Schmidt, J. Raes, P. Bork, The Human Gut Microbiome: From Association to
Modulation. *Cell*. **172**, 1198–1215 (2018).

12. Z. Shen, L. Robert, M. Stolpman, Y. Che, K. J. Allen, R. Saffery, A. Walsh, A. Young, J.
Eckert, C. Deming, Q. Chen, S. Conlan, K. Laky, J. M. Li, L. Chatman, S. S. Kashaf, NISC
Comparative Sequencing Program, VITALITY team, H. H. Kong, P. A. Frischmeyer-
Guerrerio, K. P. Perrett, J. A. Segre, A genome catalog of the early-life human skin
microbiome. *Genome Biol*. **24**, 252 (2023).

13. A. Conwill, A. C. Kuan, R. Damerla, A. J. Poret, J. S. Baker, A. D. Tripp, E. J. Alm, T. D.
Lieberman, Anatomy promotes neutral coexistence of strains in the human skin

microbiome. *Cell Host Microbe*. **30**, 171–182.e7 (2022).

14.   F. M. Key, V. D. Khadka, C. Romo-González, K. J. Blake, L. Deng, T. C. Lynn, J. C. Lee,
I. M. Chiu, M. T. García-Romero, T. D. Lieberman, On-person adaptive evolution of
Staphylococcus aureus during treatment for atopic dermatitis. *Cell Host Microbe*. **31**, 593–
603.e7 (2023).

15.   F. Hildebrand, T. I. Gossmann, C. Frioux, E. Özkurt, P. N. Myers, P. Ferretti, M. Kuhn, M.
Bahram, H. B. Nielsen, P. Bork, Dispersal strategies shape persistence and evolution of
human gut bacteria. *Cell Host Microbe*. **29**, 1167–1176.e9 (2021).

16.   K. S. Xue, S. J. Walton, D. A. Goldman, M. L. Morrison, A. J. Verster, A. B. Parrott, F. B.
Yu, N. F. Neff, N. A. Rosenberg, B. D. Ross, D. A. Petrov, K. C. Huang, B. H. Good, D. A.
Relman, Prolonged delays in human microbiota transmission after a controlled antibiotic
perturbation. *bioRxiv* (2023), doi:10.1101/2023.09.26.559480.

17.   M. Valles-Colomer, A. Blanco-Míguez, P. Manghi, F. Asnicar, L. Dubois, D. Golzato, F.
Armanini, F. Cumbo, K. D. Huang, S. Manara, G. Masetti, F. Pinto, E. Piperni, M.
Punčochář, L. Ricci, M. Zolfo, O. Farrant, A. Goncalves, M. Selma-Royo, A. G. Binetti, J.
E. Becerra, B. Han, J. Lusingu, J. Amuasi, L. Amoroso, A. Visconti, C. M. Steves, M.
Falchi, M. Filosi, A. Tett, A. Last, Q. Xu, N. Qin, H. Qin, J. May, D. Eibach, M. V. Corrias,
M. Ponzoni, E. Pasolli, T. D. Spector, E. Domenici, M. C. Collado, N. Segata, The person-
to-person transmission landscape of the gut and oral microbiomes. *Nature*. **614**, 125–135
(2023).

18.   Y. C. Lou, M. R. Olm, S. Diamond, A. Crits-Christoph, B. A. Firek, R. Baker, M. J.
Morowitz, J. F. Banfield, Infant gut strain persistence is associated with maternal origin,
phylogeny, and traits including surface adhesion and iron acquisition. *Cell Rep Med*. **2**,
100393 (2021).

19.   M. Yassour, E. Jason, L. J. Hogstrom, T. D. Arthur, S. Tripathi, H. Siljander, J. Selvenius,
S. Oikarinen, H. Hyöty, S. M. Virtanen, J. Ilonen, P. Ferretti, E. Pasolli, A. Tett, F. Asnicar,
N. Segata, H. Vlamakis, E. S. Lander, C. Huttenhower, M. Knip, R. J. Xavier, Strain-Level
Analysis of Mother-to-Child Bacterial Transmission during the First Few Months of Life.
Cell Host Microbe. **24**, 146–154.e4 (2018).

20.   D. W. Chen, N. R. Garud, Rapid evolution and strain turnover in the infant gut microbiome.
Genome Res. **32**, 1124–1136 (2022).

21.   S. Nayfach, B. Rodriguez-Mueller, N. Garud, K. S. Pollard, An integrated metagenomics
pipeline for strain profiling reveals novel patterns of bacterial transmission and
biogeography. *Genome Res*. **26**, 1612–1625 (2016).

22.   P. E. Pochi, J. S. Strauss, D. T. Downing, Age-related changes in sebaceous gland activity.
J. Invest. Dermatol. **73**, 108–111 (1979).

23.   J. Oh, S. Conlan, E. C. Polley, J. A. Segre, H. H. Kong, Shifts in human skin and nares
microbiota of healthy children and adults. *Genome Med*. **4**, 77 (2012).

24. J. Park, N. H. Schwardt, J.-H. Jo, Z. Zhang, V. Pillai, S. Phang, S. M. Brady, J. A. Portillo,
M. A. MacGibeny, H. Liang, M. Pensler, S. J. Soldin, J. A. Yanovski, J. A. Segre, H. H.
Kong, Shifts in the Skin Bacterial and Fungal Communities of Healthy Children
Transitioning through Puberty. *J. Invest. Dermatol.* **142**, 212–219 (2022).

25. A. M. Schneider, Z. T. Nolan, K. Banerjee, A. R. Paine, Z. Cong, S. L. Gettle, A. L.
Longenecker, X. Zhan, G. W. Agak, A. M. Nelson, Evolution of the facial skin microbiome
during puberty in normal and acne skin. *J. Eur. Acad. Dermatol. Venereol.* **37**, 166–175
(2023).

26. J. J. Leyden, K. J. McGinley, O. H. Mills, A. M. Kligman, Age-related changes in the
resident bacterial flora of the human face. *J. Invest. Dermatol.* **65**, 379–381 (1975).

27. E. M. Acosta, K. A. Little, B. P. Bratton, J. G. Lopez, X. Mao, A. S. Payne, M. Donia, D.
Devenport, Z. Gitai, Bacterial DNA on the skin surface overrepresents the viable skin
microbiome. *Elife*. **12** (2023), doi:10.7554/eLife.87192.

28. L. McInnes, J. Healy, N. Saul, L. Großberger, UMAP: Uniform Manifold Approximation
and Projection. *J. Open Source Softw.* **3**, 861 (2018).

29. L. McInnes, J. Healy, S. Astels, hdbscan: Hierarchical density based clustering. *J. Open
Source Softw.* **2**, 205 (2017).

30. S. P. Hubbell, *The Unified Neutral Theory of Biodiversity and Biogeography* (Princeton
University Press, 2001).

31. W. Zhou, M. Spoto, R. Hardy, C. Guan, E. Fleming, P. J. Larson, J. S. Brown, J. Oh, Host-
Specific Evolutionary and Transmission Dynamics Shape the Functional Diversification of
Staphylococcus epidermidis in Human Skin. *Cell*. **180**, 454–470.e18 (2020).

32. U. Nübel, M. Nachtnebel, G. Falkenhorst, J. Benzler, J. Hecht, M. Kube, F. Bröcker, K.
Moelling, C. Bührer, P. Gastmeier, B. Piening, M. Behnke, M. Dehnert, F. Layer, W. Witte,
T. Eckmanns, MRSA transmission on a neonatal intensive care unit: epidemiological and
genome-based phylogenetic analyses. *PLoS One*. **8**, e54898 (2013).

33. B. C. Young, T. Golubchik, E. M. Batty, R. Fung, H. Larner-Svensson, A. A. Votintseva, R.
R. Miller, H. Godwin, K. Knox, R. G. Everitt, Z. Iqbal, A. J. Rimmer, M. Cule, C. L. C. Ip,
X. Didelot, R. M. Harding, P. Donnelly, T. E. Peto, D. W. Crook, R. Bowden, D. J. Wilson,
Evolutionary dynamics of *Staphylococcus aureus* during progression from carriage to
disease. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **109**, 4550–4555 (2012).

34. T. Golubchik, E. M. Batty, R. R. Miller, H. Farr, B. C. Young, H. Larner-Svensson, R.
Fung, H. Godwin, K. Knox, A. Votintseva, R. G. Everitt, T. Street, M. Cule, C. L. C. Ip, X.
Didelot, T. E. A. Peto, R. M. Harding, D. J. Wilson, D. W. Crook, R. Bowden, Within-host
evolution of Staphylococcus aureus during asymptomatic carriage. *PLoS One*. **8**, e61319
(2013).

35. C. Guan, P. J. Larson, E. Fleming, A. P. Tikhonov, S. Mootien, T. H. Grossman, C. Golino,

J. Oh, Engineering a "detect and destroy" skin probiotic to combat methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*. *PLoS One*. **17**, e0276795 (2022).

36. S. Fitz-Gibbon, S. Tomida, B.-H. Chiu, L. Nguyen, C. Du, M. Liu, D. Elashoff, M. C. Erfe, A. Loncaric, J. Kim, R. L. Modlin, J. F. Miller, E. Sodergren, N. Craft, G. M. Weinstock, H. Li, Propionibacterium acnes strain populations in the human skin microbiome associated with acne. *J. Invest. Dermatol.* **133**, 2152–2160 (2013).

37. J. Claesen, J. B. Spagnolo, S. F. Ramos, K. L. Kurita, A. L. Byrd, A. A. Aksenov, A. V. Melnik, W. R. Wong, S. Wang, R. D. Hernandez, M. S. Donia, P. C. Dorrestein, H. H. Kong, J. A. Segre, R. G. Linington, M. A. Fischbach, K. P. Lemon, A Cutibacterium acnes antibiotic modulates human skin microbiota composition in hair follicles. *Sci. Transl. Med.* **12** (2020), doi:10.1126/scitranslmed.aay5445.

38. D. Gaio, K. Anantanawat, J. To, M. Liu, L. Monahan, A. E. Darling, Hackflex: low-cost, high-throughput, Illumina Nextera Flex library construction. *Microb Genom*. **8** (2022), doi:10.1099/mgen.0.000744.

39. BBMap. *SourceForge* (2022), (available at https://sourceforge.net/projects/bbmap/).

40. D. E. Wood, J. Lu, B. Langmead, Improved metagenomic analysis with Kraken 2. *Genome Biol.* **20**, 257 (2019).

41. J. Lu, F. P. Breitwieser, P. Thielen, S. L. Salzberg, Bracken: estimating species abundance in metagenomics data. *PeerJ Comput. Sci.* **3**, e104 (2017).

42. A. Bankevich, S. Nurk, D. Antipov, A. A. Gurevich, M. Dvorkin, A. S. Kulikov, V. M. Lesin, S. I. Nikolenko, S. Pham, A. D. Prjibelski, A. V. Pyshkin, A. V. Sirotkin, N. Vyahhi, G. Tesler, M. A. Alekseyev, P. A. Pevzner, SPAdes: a new genome assembly algorithm and its applications to single-cell sequencing. *J. Comput. Biol.* **19**, 455–477 (2012).

43. T. Seemann, Prokka: rapid prokaryotic genome annotation. *Bioinformatics*. **30**, 2068–2069 (2014).

44. W. Li, A. Godzik, Cd-hit: a fast program for clustering and comparing large sets of protein or nucleotide sequences. *Bioinformatics*. **22**, 1658–1659 (2006).

45. J. Kleinberg, "An impossibility theorem for clustering" in *Proceedings of the 15th International Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems* (MIT Press, Cambridge, MA, USA, 2002), *NIPS'02*, pp. 463–470.

46. D. J. Watts, S. H. Strogatz, Collective dynamics of "small-world" networks. *Nature*. **393**, 440–442 (1998).

47. M. Ester, H.-P. Kriegel, J. Sander, X. Xu, "A density-based algorithm for discovering clusters in large spatial databases with noise" in *Proceedings of the Second International Conference on Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining* (AAAI Press, 1996), *KDD'96*, pp. 226–231.

48. *phlame_beta* (Github; https://github.com/quevan/phlame_beta).

49. C. F. P. Scholz, A. Jensen, H. B. Lomholt, H. Brüggemann, M. Kilian, A novel high-
resolution single locus sequence typing scheme for mixed populations of Propionibacterium
acnes in vivo. *PLoS One*. **9**, e104199 (2014).

50. O. Schwengers, L. Jelonek, M. A. Dieckmann, S. Beyvers, J. Blom, A. Goesmann, Bakta:
rapid and standardized annotation of bacterial genomes via alignment-free sequence
identification. *Microb Genom*. **7** (2021), doi:10.1099/mgen.0.000685.

51. A. J. Page, C. A. Cummins, M. Hunt, V. K. Wong, S. Reuter, M. T. G. Holden, M. Fookes,
D. Falush, J. A. Keane, J. Parkhill, Roary: rapid large-scale prokaryote pan genome
analysis. *Bioinformatics*. **31**, 3691–3693 (2015).

52. T. D. Lieberman, D. Wilson, R. Misra, L. L. Xiong, P. Moodley, T. Cohen, R. Kishony,
Genomic diversity in autopsy samples reveals within-host dissemination of HIV-associated
Mycobacterium tuberculosis. *Nat. Med.* **22**, 1470–1474 (2016).