Peptidyl-tRNA hydrolysis rate influences the efficiency of nonsense-mediated mRNA decay

Divya Kolakada[1,2], Rui Fu[3#], Nikita Biziaev[4], Alexey Shuvalov[4], Mlana Lore[2], Amy E. Campbell[1], Michael A. Cortázar[1], Marcin P. Sajek[1], Jay R. Hesselberth[1,3], Neelanjan Mukherjee[1,3], Elena Alkalaeva[4], and Sujatha Jagannathan[1,3,5]*

[1]Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Genetics, University of Colorado Anschutz Medical Campus, Aurora, CO 80045, USA.

[2]Molecular Biology Graduate Program, University of Colorado Anschutz Medical Campus, Aurora, CO 80045, USA.

[3]RNA Bioscience Initiative, University of Colorado Anschutz Medical Campus, Aurora, CO 80045, USA.

[4]Engelhardt Institute of Molecular Biology, The Russian Academy of Sciences, 119991 Moscow, Russia.

[5]Lead contact

*Corresponding Author: sujatha.jagannathan@cuanschutz.edu

[#]Current Address: New York Genome Center, New York, NY

Keywords: NMD, PTC, translation, termination, splicing

SUMMARY

Nonsense-mediated mRNA decay (NMD) is a quality control mechanism that prevents the
accumulation of harmful truncated proteins by degrading transcripts with premature termination
codons (PTCs). NMD activity varies across many contexts, with the variation having critical
consequences during both normal development and disease. However, the factors that influence
this variability remain poorly understood. Here, we investigate the relationship between the
immediate sequence context of a PTC and NMD activity. We find an enrichment of glycine (Gly)
codons preceding a PTC in common nonsense variants in contrast with a depletion of Gly codons
preceding a normal termination codon (NTC). Furthermore, Gly-PTC enrichment is most
pronounced in genes that tolerate loss-of-function variants, suggesting a biological impact for Gly-
PTC contexts. Consistent with this idea, Gly-PTC contexts have higher NMD activity compared
to an alanine-PTC context. We used a massively parallel reporter assay to comprehensively
assess the effect of PTC sequence context on NMD activity, which revealed that peptidyl-tRNA
hydrolysis rate during translation termination was the most important feature in discriminating high
and low NMD activity. We show with biochemical assays in a eukaryotic system that Gly-TC
contexts have the slowest termination rate compared to other codons. Based on these findings,
we propose that NMD activity is modulated by the "window of opportunity" offered by peptidyl-
tRNA hydrolysis rate and thus, translation termination kinetics. Gly-PTC contexts may have
coevolved with NMD to ensure robust elimination of potentially toxic truncated proteins from non-
essential genes.

INTRODUCTION

RNA quality control mechanisms ensure fidelity of gene expression in all eukaryotes. One such mechanism, nonsense-mediated mRNA decay (NMD), eliminates transcripts that contain premature termination codons (PTCs), often causing loss of gene function. PTC-induced loss of gene function underlies many Mendelian diseases, including cystic fibrosis, Duchenne muscular dystrophy, and β-thalassemia (Miller & Pearce, 2014; Mort et al., 2008). Much of our understanding of NMD comes from studying disease-causing PTCs, including the "50-55 nt rule", which posits that PTCs trigger NMD when present >50-55 nucleotides (nts) upstream of the 3'-most exon-exon junction (Nagy & Maquat, 1998). When the terminating ribosome fails to evict the exon-junction complex (EJC), it serves as a potent trigger for NMD (Gerbracht et al., 2020; Lykke-Andersen et al., 2001). An alternative mode of NMD is triggered when a PTC creates a long 3′ untranslated region (UTR) where, in the absence of EJCs, RNA binding proteins (RBPs) such as UPF1 help to recruit decay machinery (Hogg & Goff, 2010; Kurosaki & Maquat, 2013). In both cases, the terminating ribosome, along with downstream RBPs in the 3′ UTR, serve as the platform for the assembly of NMD factors and are essential to trigger NMD.

Studies over the years have shown that the "50-55 nt rule" does not encompass the breadth of NMD activity observed across transcripts and even across different PTCs within the same transcript (Neu-Yilik et al., 2011; Sato & Singer, 2021; Zhang & Maquat, 1997). For example, the 50-55 nt rule only predicted the fate of ~50% of PTCs in the Cancer Genome Atlas database; while additional factors such as exon length could account for another ~20% of NMD variability, ~30% of NMD variability is still unexplained by any known rule (Lindeboom et al., 2016; Lindeboom et al., 2019). Another study that investigated nonsense variants that are highly frequent in the healthy human population found that ~50% of PTC-containing transcripts escape NMD via mechanisms including alternative splicing, stop codon readthrough, and alternative translation initiation (Jagannathan & Bradley, 2016). If every premature termination event that satisfies the 50-55 nt rule does not lead to NMD, what other factors influence the probability and activity of NMD?

One potential factor which varies and likely influences NMD is translation termination efficiency. Translation termination efficiency can be conceptualized in two ways: (1) the fidelity of termination, reflecting the likelihood of stop codon readthrough, and (2) the kinetics of termination, reflecting the dwell time of the terminating ribosome at the stop codon. Both these aspects are influenced by cis-acting elements (Baker & Hogg, 2017; Loughran et al., 2014; McCaughan et al., 1995; Pierson et al., 2016; Tork et al., 2004). For example, specific elements within the stop codon

sequence context, as well as certain viral structures, can reduce termination fidelity (Baker &
Hogg, 2017; Bonetti et al., 1995; Cridge et al., 2018; Loughran et al., 2014; Mangkalaphiban et
al., 2021; Tork et al., 2004). Additionally, the identity of the nucleotide immediately after the stop
codon and the peptide-tRNA hydrolysis rates of the amino acid preceding the stop codon impact
the rate of peptide release (McCaughan et al., 1995; Pierson et al., 2016). However, most of these
studies focus on normal termination, which has been proposed to be distinct from premature
termination (Amrani et al., 2004; Behm-Ansmant et al., 2007; Ivanov et al., 2016; Ivanov et al.,
2008), and thus, how various cis-acting elements influence NMD is unknown.

In this study, we hypothesized that investigating sequence contexts of PTCs that occur in
healthy individuals may offer novel insight into how these sequences influence NMD. Indeed, we
found that Gly-PTC, a context highly enriched in healthy individuals, enhances NMD activity. To
systematically assess the impact of PTC sequence context on NMD activity, we performed a
massively parallel reporter assay (MPRA) and found a broad range of NMD activities associated
with different sequences. Statistical modeling of the MPRA data identified peptidyl-tRNA
hydrolysis rate as the major predictor of NMD activity. We propose that variable peptidyl-tRNA
hydrolysis rates alter NMD activity by modulating the kinetic window available for NMD factors to
bind and enact NMD during translation termination.

RESULTS

Glycine codons are enriched preceding PTCs in non-essential genes. Healthy individuals
harbor ~100 putative loss of function genetic variants, most of which are protein-truncating
(MacArthur et al., 2012). In previous work, we showed that highly frequent PTCs (minor allele
frequency (MAF) > 5%) are enriched for NMD escape events (Jagannathan & Bradley, 2016).
Here, we focused on rare variants with MAF ≤ 1% among healthy individuals that would be
expected to undergo NMD but perhaps with varying efficiencies. We analyzed enrichment of
different sequences in PTC contexts of these variants within 10 amino acids preceding PTCs
found in the gnomAD database (n=151,332, v2.1.1), which contains genetic variant data for
>700,000 healthy humans (Karczewski et al., 2020) (**Fig. 1A, Supp. Fig. 1A)**. We compared the
enrichment of these amino acids to that of normal termination codon (NTC) contexts in the
reference genome (n=23,066, **Fig. 1A, Supp. Fig. 1B**). Gly codons stood out as the most
enriched at the -1 position of PTCs and least enriched before the NTC (**Fig. 1B-C,** and **Supp.**
Fig. 1). Threonine (Thr) and proline (Pro) were the next most enriched in PTC compared to NTC
(**Fig. 1D**). Other amino acids show the opposite trend –enriched before an NTC and depleted

before a PTC – including asparagine (Asn), valine (Val), and aspartic acid (Asp). Clearly, the
strongest effect was seen for Gly-NTC versus Gly-PTC contexts (**Fig. 1D**). These data suggest
that the enrichment of Gly immediately upstream of a PTC (Gly-PTC) has a functional impact that
allowed it to rise to high frequency in healthy individuals.

Figure 1. Gly is enriched preceding a PTC in non-essential genes. (**A**) Schematic for the analysis of LoF scores for rare PTCs in healthy individuals as well as PTCs in disease contexts. (**B-C**) Amino acid enrichment -1 to a PTC (B) or an NTC (C). (**D**) The frequency delta (enrichment before a PTC-NTC) for each amino acid at the -1 position. (**E**) The -1 amino acid enrichment of PTCs binned into 3 categories of probability of loss-of-function intolerance (pLI). The lower the pLI, the more tolerant the PTCs are to loss of function. (**F**) The -1 amino acid enrichment of PTCs in disease contexts.

To further explore the functional impact of Gly-PTC enrichment in healthy individuals, we analyzed the relative frequency of PTC-inducing variants binned in terms of the probability of LoF intolerance (pLI) score of their host genes (**Fig. 1A** and **1E**). The lower the pLI, the more tolerant to loss of function a gene is and the less essential. We found Gly-PTC contexts increased in enrichment with decreasing pLI, suggesting that these PTC-inducing variants were more tolerant to loss of function (**Fig. 1E**). We also examined the amino acids enriched immediately upstream of a PTC from the ClinVar database, which contains human genetic variants associated with disease (n=48,847, **Fig. 1A**) (Landrum et al., 2018). We found that Gly showed no enrichment at the -1 position of disease-associated PTCs (**Fig. 1F**). In summary, the Gly-PTC enrichment in non-essential genes rather than in essential or disease-relevant genes, suggests a non-pathogenic and homeostatic role for this sequence context.

Gly codons that precede a PTC enhance NMD activity compared to Ala. A previous study showed that Gly acts as a C-terminal degron and is rarely found at the C-terminal end of the normal proteome, suggesting that Gly-PTC enrichment in non-essential genes may be due to efficient Gly-end truncated protein degradation (Koren et al., 2018; Lin et al., 2018). It is also possible that Gly-PTC stimulates PTC readthrough, leading to NMD escape and some functionality of the protein product (Loughran et al., 2023), or that Gly-PTC enhances NMD and elimination of the mRNA transcript. Readthrough would confer a benefit to the organism as it would preserve gene function, while elimination of the truncated protein or encoding transcript might have a neutral effect if the gene is otherwise non-essential.

To distinguish between these hypotheses, we used a set of NMD reporters (Kolakada et al., 2024) that enabled the study of EJC-independent and EJC-enhanced forms of NMD, as well as NMD-associated mechanisms such as PTC readthrough and truncated protein degradation. The NMD-positive (NMD**+**) reporter consists of a bi-directional promoter that drives BFP as a transfection control, in addition to an RFP and GFP containing transcript (**Fig 2A**, left). A stop codon between RFP and GFP creates a long 3′ UTR on GFP, making the transcript a target of

the EJC-independent NMD. In another version of the NMD**+** reporter, an intron within the GFP
sequence renders the transcript an ideal EJC-enhanced NMD substrate (**Supp. Fig 2A**). A T2A
signal after the stop ensures independent expression of RFP and GFP proteins in the event of a
readthrough of the stop codon. Using this reporter, we varied the codon at the -1 position of the
PTC (TAA), testing two different glycine codons or an alanine codon. We also tested these
sequences at the NTC of an NMD-negative (NMD**–**) reporter, which lacks the GFP 3′ UTR and so
behaves as a normal transcript, allowing us to test the effect of glycine as a C-end degron
independent of NMD. (**Fig 2A**, middle).

If Gly-PTC promotes readthrough, we would expect to see production of GFP protein. If Gly-
PTC promotes efficient protein degradation, then we would expect to see much less RFP protein
accumulation in both NMD**+** and NMD**–** contexts compared to the Ala-PTC context. If Gly-PTC
promotes efficient NMD, we would expect to see less RFP protein levels compared to Ala-PTC
for the NMD**+** contexts but no difference for the NMD**–** contexts (**Fig 2A**, right). We transfected
HEK293T cells with these reporters, treating them with either DMSO vehicle control or SMG1i, an
inhibitor of the SMG1 kinase activity, and thus NMD. Transfected cells were imaged for BFP,
RFP, and GFP. We also included a control construct that lacks the stop codon between the RFP
and GFP, and therefore robustly expresses all three fluorescent proteins.

As shown in Fig 2B, all reporters yielded robust BFP expression. While the no-PTC control
construct produced GFP, there was no GFP protein detected with either the glycine or alanine
PTC contexts (**Fig 2B**), ruling out the hypothesis that a Gly-PTC context promotes readthrough.
Notably, both EJC-independent NMD**+** reporters with Gly-PTC resulted in less RFP protein
compared to the Ala-PTC reporter, a difference that could be recovered upon treatment with the
NMD inhibitor, SMG1i (quantified in **Fig 2C**). In comparison, only a modest reduction in RFP
protein was observed for the Gly-PTC NMD**–** reporters compared to the Ala-PTC reporter (**Fig
2B**), suggesting that a C-end glycine degron was not sufficient for the protein depletion we
observed in the NMD+ reporters. No RFP was detected from any of the EJC-enhanced NMD
reporters, consistent with their high NMD activity (**Supp. Fig 1B-C**). These data demonstrate that
varying the codon preceding the PTC can inherently influence NMD activity through a hitherto
unknown mechanism.

Figure 2. Gly-PTC contexts enhance NMD activity compared to Ala-PTC. (A) Schematic of NMD
reporter system used. Left: EJC-independent NMD**+** reporter, middle: NMD**–** reporter; right: expected
outcomes. **(B)** Fluorescence imaging of BFP, GFP, and RFP from cells transfected with NMD reporters

containing Gly-PTC or Ala-PTC reporters, treated with a DMSO as a vehicle control or SMG1i. Ctrl refers
to a construct that robustly expresses all three fluorescent proteins. Gly1 and Gly2 are the GGC and GGT
codons for Gly. The codon used for Ala was GCC. **(C)** Quantification of fluorescence from panel (B).

Massively parallel reporter assay (MPRA) captures widespread sequence-dependent

variation in NMD activity. Having established that Gly-PTC causes enhanced NMD compared

to Ala-PTC, we next sought to systematically examine the relationship between NMD activity and

the PTC sequence context. We used a massively parallel reporter assay wherein we varied the 6

nucleotides before the PTC, the PTC itself, and 1 nucleotide after the PTC in an unbiased fashion

(N_6TRRN; corresponding to 65,536 unique sequences; **Fig. 3A**) and asked how these sequences

impacted NMD activity in both EJC-independent and EJC-enhanced reporters. Because we

employed stop codons with "TRR" for array-based oligonucleotide synthesis, 25% of our

sequence library consists of a tryptophan (Trp) codon (UGG) instead of a PTC and serve as

control sequences that do not undergo NMD. All sequences were incorporated into both EJC-

independent and EJC-enhanced fluorescent reporter backbones (**Supp. Fig. 3A-B**) and

integrated into HEK293T cells via Cre-Lox mediated recombination (Khandelia et al., 2011).

These cells were then treated with either DMSO or SMG1i, harvested for RNA, and subjected to

targeted sequencing. Sequencing reads were analyzed by counting the occurrences of each

reporter within a sample. The NMD activity of an individual reporter sequence was the $\log_2$

transcript fold change between DMSO-treated cells (NMD active) and SMG1i-treated cells (NMD-

inhibited) calculated with DESeq2 (Love et al., 2014), thus a lower (negative) value means higher

NMD activity, and vice versa. The ~1/4th of control sequences containing the Trp codon rather

than a stop codon were used for normalization.

The NMD activity as measured by transcript fold changes for both the EJC-independent and

EJC-enhanced reporters had a bimodal distribution, corresponding to the stop codon identity of

the sequences in each population (**Fig. 3B**): sequences containing a stop codon were left-shifted

compared to the sequences containing the Trp control, indicating that the former were targets of

NMD. In the population of sequences undergoing NMD (i.e. those containing a stop codon) there

was a wide range of NMD activity for both the EJC-independent and the EJC-enhanced reporters.

EJC-enhanced reporter expression was reduced by 4.8 fold (log2FC: -2.27), which is greater than

the 3.3 fold decrease (log2FC: -1.71) for EJC-independent reporters (**Fig. 3B**), consistent with

EJC-enhanced targets undergoing more efficient NMD compared to EJC-independent targets

(Buhler et al., 2006; Chu et al., 2021; Singh et al., 2008). These data indicate that NMD activity

indeed varies based on PTC sequence context.

Next, we investigated if the PTC sequence context could explain the wide range of observed
NMD activity. Specifically, we were interested in the contribution of -2 and -1 amino acids, the -2
and -1 codons, the stop codon, and the +4 nucleotide. For each of these biological variables, we
constructed a linear model to determine the extent to which it explained the variation in NMD
activity (i.e. transcript fold change) of the associated sequences. The model allowed us to
evaluate the effect size (beta coefficient) and statistical significance (p-value) of individual
features within a variable on NMD activity relative to a control feature. For example, in the case
of stop codons, we evaluated the effect of TAA, TAG, or TGA on NMD activity relative to UGG
(Trp). Negative beta coefficients indicated stronger NMD activity, while positive beta coefficients
indicated weaker NMD activity.

As expected, our modeling showed that all stop codons caused significantly stronger NMD
activity compared to the Trp control for both EJC-independent and EJC-enhanced reporters (**Fig.
3C**). Notably, the TGA stop codon increased NMD activity by ~20% compared to the TAG stop
codon for the EJC-independent reporters. However, this difference was not observed for the EJC-
enhanced reporters where all stop codons elicit similar levels of NMD activity (**Fig. 3C**). For
subsequent analyses, only transcripts expected to undergo NMD (i.e. transcripts with stop
codons) were analyzed. When determining the effect of the +4 nt identity on NMD, we found that
T, G, and C promoted weaker (~6% decrease) NMD activity compared to A for the EJC-
independent reporters. A similar effect was present only for G compared to A for the EJC-
enhanced reporters (**Fig. 3D**). Overall, the nucleotide after the PTC does not critically impact the
extent of NMD activity.

The identity of the codon at the –2 position had a wide range of effects on NMD activity for
the EJC-independent reporters. As the –2 codon position is coded by randomized nucleotides
(NNN), it can include all three stop codons as well. We find that in fact a stop codon at the –2
position causes the strongest increase (~40%) in NMD activity compared to the control variable
Ala (**Fig. 3E**). Codons for amino acids caused smaller changes in NMD activity, increasing NMD
activity up to ~10% (Val) or reducing it up to 20% (Arg) compared to Ala. For the EJC-enhanced
reporters, most amino acid-encoding codons did not affect the efficiency of NMD. Interestingly,
the presence of a stop codon in the –2 position weakened NMD by ~10% compared to the control
variable Arg, opposite in trend to the EJC-independent reporters. Lys at the -2 position had the
largest effect compared to Arg, weakening EJC-enhanced NMD by ~20% (**Fig. 3E**). Altogether,
the codon at the -2 position can influence NMD activity, impacting the EJC-independent reporters
more than the EJC-enhanced.

Figure 3. MPRA shows a wide range of PTC context-dependent NMD efficiencies. (A) Design of the
MPRA. **(B)** Histogram of transcript fold change EJC-independent and EJC-enhanced NMD+ reporters
comparing DMSO treated cells to SMG1i treated cells. **(C-F)** Dot plots of the beta coefficient representing

the effect of an individual variable on EJC-independent and EJC-enhanced NMD activity. The variables are
the (C) stop codon identity, (D) the +4 nt identity, (E) the -2 amino acid, (F) and the -1 amino acid.

As with the -2 position, the codon at the -1 position is also encoded by randomized nucleotides
(NNN) and can thus include stop codons. Remarkably, when examining the effect of the codon at
the -1 position on NMD activity, we found that Gly-PTC (the same context enriched in the gnomAD
database; **Fig 1**) has the strongest effect on EJC-independent NMD activity, reducing it by 40%
compared to Ala (**Fig. 3F**). Thr followed closely after and both Gly-PTC and Thr-PTC cause
stronger NMD than a stop codon in the -1 position. In contrast, Tyr-PTC had the least efficient
NMD, weakening NMD by ~25% compared to Ala-PTC. These effects were not observed for the
EJC-enhanced reporters where the -1 amino acid identity did not cause any apparent effects (**Fig.**
3F).

The discrepancy in results between the EJC-independent and EJC-enhanced reporters could
stem from two causes: the highly efficient EJC-enhanced NMD is insensitive to other modifying
factors; or the PTC sequence context effect on NMD is transcript-specific and limited to the
fluorescent reporters. To distinguish between these possibilities, we switched to an independent,
luciferase-based reporter system (Kolakada et al., 2024). This system is identical to our
fluorescent reporter system except that the transfection control is Renilla luciferase and the NMD
readout is provided by Firefly luciferase (**Supp. Fig. 4A**).

To test whether the codon at the -1 position also affected NMD within the luciferase reporter
system we varied the amino acid in the -1 position of either the PTC or the NTC, choosing Gly,
Val, Ala, and Tyr, which display a range of NMD efficiencies from highest to lowest (**Supp. Fig.**
4A). As expected, luciferase activity was ~10-fold lower for the EJC-enhanced reporters
compared to EJC-independent (**Supp. Fig. 4B**). Notably, the relative trend in -1 amino acid-
dependent NMD variability was the same for both the EJC-independent and EJC-enhanced
reporters. The NMD activity varied depending on the -1 amino acid, with Gly having ~22% or
~29% more efficient NMD compared to Tyr for the EJC-independent and EJC-enhanced
reporters, respectively (**Supp. Fig. 4B**). Compared to the EJC-independent and EJC-enhanced
reporters, the NMD– reporters did not vary with the change in the -1 amino acid (**Supp. Fig. 4B**).
Taken together, these data demonstrate that the -1 amino acid effect can extend to both forms of
NMD, although it is unclear why it is not observed in the MPRA data with the fluorescent reporters.

Next, we asked if there is codon-level specificity to the effect of amino acids on EJC-
independent NMD activity. We found that the effects of the codons in the -2 and -1 positions were

largely grouped by the identity of the encoded amino acid, with only a few that were significantly different (**Supp. Fig. 5A-B**, all codons compared to Lys-AAA). An exception to this trend was Leu at the -1 position whose codon TTA seems to have the opposite effect on NMD compared to the rest of the Leu codons. Reassuringly, all the effects at the amino acid level were replicated at the codon level with the stop codons having the strongest NMD activity at the -2 position and Arg the weakest (**Supp. Fig. 5A**), and Gly having the strongest NMD activity at the -1 position and Tyr the weakest (**Supp. Fig. 5B**). Taken together these data suggest that the amino acids encoded primarily by the codon in the -1 position to the PTC are key to determining the efficiency of EJC-independent NMD.

tRNA-peptidyl hydrolysis is the most important feature for EJC-independent NMD substrate activity. To discover other sequence-dependent factors that could influence NMD activity, we expanded the set of biological variables and constructed a random forest classifier trained to discriminate between the high and low NMD activity (**Fig. 4A**). We selected the 3000 sequences with the highest (lowest Log2 FC) and lowest (highest Log2 FC) NMD activity for the EJC-independent and EJC-enhanced reporters.

We introduced additional variables such as the identities of the codons as well as their properties such as usage frequency (GenScript), tRNA binding strength, and optimality (Bazzini et al., 2016; Grosjean & Westhof, 2016); the identities of the amino acids as well as their physical properties such as charge, solubility, dissociation constants, and hydropathy (ThermoFisher Scientific); the GC and pyrimidine content of each of the sequences; the prokaryotic peptide release rates based on the identity of the amino acid encoded by the -1 codon, as these values are not available for eukaryotic systems (Pierson et al., 2016).

We trained two separate classifiers for the EJC-independent and EJC-enhanced reporters using the same variables. Classification performance for EJC-independent NMD activity was much better than for EJC-enhanced NMD activity (**Fig. 4B**), as indicated by the substantially higher area under the receiver operating characteristic curve and F1 scores for the EJC-independent (0.75 and 0.73, respectively) compared to the EJC-enhanced (0.57 and 0.37, respectively) classifiers. We concluded that only the classifier for EJC-independent NMD activity was robust and suitable for further interpretation (refer to Tables 1-2 for the confusion matrices and other model metrics). The poor performance of the EJC-enhanced classifier suggests that there are hitherto unknown variables missing in the classifier that may better predict these reporters' NMD activity.

Figure 4. Peptide release rates predict NMD activity (A) Data preparation and variables input into the Random Forest model. **(B)** ROC curves, AUC values, and F1 scores for the EJC-independent and EJC-enhanced classifiers. **(C)** Ranked feature importance for the EJC-independent model. **(D)** Density curves for sequences with slow and fast peptide release rates overlaid on the NMD activity distribution for the EJC-independent NMD+ sequences. Prokaryotic release rates used (Pierson et al., 2016), left: RF1 release rates, right: RF2 release rates. For RF1, slow release rates are defined as log (RF1 release rate) £ –0.5, fast release rates is defined as log (RF1 release rate) > 1. For RF2, slow release rates are defined as log (RF2 release rate) £ 0, fast release rates is defined as log (RF2 release rate) > 1.25. p, Wilcoxon test p-value **(E)** Scatterplot of the beta coefficients for each -1 amino acid obtained for the EJC-independent reporters against the RF1 (left) and RF2 (right) peptide release rates.

We focused on the features that were most important for the EJC-independent model. Prokaryotic release factor 1 (RF1) and release factor 2 (RF2) peptide release rates emerged as the most important predictor of NMD activity (**Fig 4C**). Although these rates were observed in a prokaryotic context, the similarity in peptide release mechanisms between prokaryotes and eukaryotes suggests that these findings may also apply to eukaryotic systems (Hellen, 2018). To examine the effect of peptide release rates on NMD activity, we compared the subpopulation of

sequences with the fastest and slowest dipeptide release rates versus all NMD targets (**Fig. 4D**).
The two populations were well-separated for RF1 peptide release rates and less robustly for the
RF2 peptide release rates (**Fig. 4D**). To examine this relationship more quantitatively we
compared the beta coefficients of the effect on NMD activity of the -1 amino acid identity to the
peptide release rates of RF1 and RF2 (**Fig 4E**). We observed a strong correlation for the RF1
peptide release rates ($r = 0.8$; $p = 1.95 \times 10^{-5}$) and a weaker but significant correlation for the RF2
peptide release rates ($r = 0.55$; $p = 0.01$) (**Fig 4E**). Taken together these data suggest that peptide
release rate may be a major effector of the -1 amino acid-dependent effect on NMD activity.

Eukaryotic translation termination efficiency varies with the identity of the amino acid
upstream of the stop codon *in vitro*. The peptide release rate values used in the Random
Forest classifier (**Fig. 4**) came from a prokaryotic system and we wanted to see if it reflected the
peptidyl tRNA hydrolysis rate in eukaryotic cells (Pierson et al., 2016). To determine if the rate of
peptide release varied based on the identity of the -1 amino acid in a eukaryotic system, we used
the *in vitro* Termi-Luc assay (**Fig. 5A**) in the excess of mutated eukaryotic release factor 1
(eRF1(AGQ)). This protein is able to recognize stop codons, but unable to induce peptidyl-tRNA
hydrolysis (Frolova et al., 1999). eRF1(AGQ) binding allows to freeze translation at the
termination stage and obtain pretermination ribosomal complexes (preTCs). PreTCs are then
purified from eRF1(AGQ) by sucrose gradient centrifugation, followed by the addition of release
factors (working concentrations determined in **Supp. Fig. 6A**). The real-time release kinetics of
the synthesized NLuc are then measured to determine the translation termination rate of the
transcript. We used this system to test the termination rates when Gly, Val, Ala, or Tyr codons
(which represent the full range of observed NMD efficiencies from high to low) are present at the
-1 position to the stop codon. If peptide release rate influences the time of residence of the
terminating ribosome, we would expect to see a difference in termination rate when different
amino acids are present upstream of the stop codon.

First, control experiments were performed to ensure that substitution of an amino acid residue
did not affect the activity, translation efficiency, or preTC stability of NLuc. To determine whether
NLuc activity was impacted by altering the last amino acid, we purified all tested peptide variants
as recombinant proteins and determined their maximum luminescence. All NLuc peptide variants
had the same activity (**Fig. 6B**). To determine whether translation efficiency was impacted by
changing the last amino acid, we calculated the maximal derivative (slope) of the linear portion of
the luminescence production curve. The identity of the last amino acid affected translation

efficiency with Tyr having the lowest and Ala having the highest efficiency (**Fig. 6C**). To determine

preTC instability, the rate of peptide release of each peptide variant was calculated without the

addition of any release factors. These data showed that Gly had the most unstable preTC, while

Tyr had the most stable preTC (**Fig. 6D**). Finally, we performed the Termi-Luc assay on each

peptide variant starting with equal amounts of preTC complexes to account for the variability in

translation efficiency and preTC stability. We found that Gly had the slowest release rate, and Tyr

had the fastest release rate, a difference which was statistically significant and correlated with

high and low NMD activity, respectively (**Fig. 6E**). Taken together, these data show that peptide

release rates indeed vary based on the identity of the last amino acid in a eukaryotic system, with

glycine showing the slowest termination rate and tyrosine the fastest, among the amino acids

tested.

Figure 5. Peptide release rate in an *in vitro* eukaryotic system varies with the identity of the amino
acid upstream of the stop codon. (A) Schematic of the Termi-Luc peptide release assay. This assay was
performed for nanoluciferase reporters with different amino acids -1 to the stop codon. **(B)** Tested *in vitro*
luminescence activity of recombinant NLuc variants. **(C)** Translation efficiency of each reporter in the *in*
vitro system. **(D)** Relative rate of peptide release when no release factors are added to the termination
reaction i.e., preTC instability. **(E)** Relative peptide release rates for each reporter.

Key NMD and translation factors do not influence the -1 amino acid dependent variation in

NMD activity. Finally, we wanted to ask whether any trans factors played a role in the -1 amino

acid-dependent effect on NMD activity. To this end, we utilized shRNAs to knock down various NMD- and translation-related factors and test the effect of the knockdown on reporter levels using the EJC-independent reporters.

We investigated the UPF proteins (UPF1, UPF2, and UPF3B), which are key effectors of NMD (Kashima et al., 2006; Yamashita et al., 2009); SMG1, which phosphorylates UPF1 to trigger NMD; and SMG6, which is responsible for cleaving the transcript when NMD is initiated (Arias-Palomo et al., 2011; Yamashita et al., 2009) (**Fig. 6A-B**). Reporter protein levels increased upon knockdown of all NMD factors except for UPF3B, likely because UPF3B is known to be redundant to UPF3A (Wallmeroth et al., 2022). However, the relative difference in expression of the reporters based on -1 amino acid identity largely remained the same (**Fig. 6B**; **Supp. Fig. 7A**).

Figure 6. Key NMD and translation factors do not influence the -1 amino acid dependent variation in NMD activity. (A) Model of NMD factors tested. **(B)** shRNA knockdown of key NMD factors and their effect on the luminescence-based EJC-independent reporters. **(C)** Models of the mechanisms of translation termination, ribosome recycling, and protein degradation factors tested. **(D)** shRNA knockdown of key translation-related factors and their effect on the luminescence-based EJC-independent reporters.

Next, we investigated key translation and protein quality control related factors, CUL2, ZNF598, ABCE1, EIF3J, and EIF5A using the same approach (**Fig. 6C-D**). CUL2 forms a key part of the protein complex that recognizes C-terminal Gly degrons (Koren et al., 2018; Lin et al., 2018). ZNF598 recognizes the interface of collided disomes, thus playing a role in ribosome-

associated quality control substrate recognition (Juszkiewicz et al., 2018). It is possible that some

sequences would promote ribosome collision at the end of a transcript, which would then influence

NMD. ABCE1 is a ribosome recycling factor that acts during normal and premature termination

(**Fig. 6C**) (Hellen, 2018; Jackson et al., 2012; Zhu et al., 2020). EIF3J facilitates the binding of the

release factors to the ribosome, and thus stimulates peptide release (Egorova et al., 2021).

Finally, EIF5A is an elongation factor that aids the ribosome in translating Gly/Pro sequences.

EIF5A accumulates at the ribosome during translation termination and is hypothesized to bind at

the E-site when the deacylated tRNA leaves (Pelechano & Alepuz, 2017; Schrader et al., 2006;

Schuller et al., 2017). EIF5A binding to the termination complex may be influenced by the

transcript sequence within the ribosome. Similar to the NMD factors, none of these conditions

eliminated the differences in reporter level expression based on -1 amino acid identity (**Fig. 6D**;

knockdown validation **Supp. Fig. 7B**), suggesting that observed variability is the result of the

inherent termination kinetics at the PTC.

Taken together, our data supports a model where slower peptide release rate offers a longer

kinetic window for NMD factors to assemble and degrade a transcript, thus causing highly efficient

NMD (**Fig. 7**).

DISCUSSION

NMD is often considered a binary outcome that relies on the presence of triggering factors

downstream of the PTC. However, recent results increasingly suggest that NMD is tunable

(Jagannathan & Bradley, 2016; Lindeboom et al., 2019). However, the factors influencing this

variability are incompletely understood. While translation termination is a pre-requisite for NMD,

it is not thought to quantitatively influence NMD activity. Our data support a window of opportunity

model where the time of residence of the terminating ribosome, which serves as the platform for

the assembly of NMD machinery, determines the effectiveness of NMD (**Fig. 7**). Consistent with

this model, slower peptidyl-tRNA hydrolysis rate enhances NMD activity, while faster rate leads

to less efficient NMD. Together with the RBP cues that trigger NMD, termination kinetics could be

a key modifier of the probability with which a prematurely terminating ribosome leads to NMD.

Figure 7. A kinetic model for the variability in NMD activity dependent upon the codon preceding the PTC. Window of opportunity model for how NMD activity is influenced by peptide release rates. A slower peptide release rate gives NMD factors a larger window to bind an act on a target, and vice versa.

Several lines of evidence point to the significance of the Gly-PTC context leading to higher efficiency of NMD. We show that Gly codons are most enriched before a PTC and least enriched before an NTC, cause the most efficient NMD, and have the slowest associated peptide release rate. Further, PTCs with Gly-PTC contexts are enriched among variants that are tolerant to loss of function in healthy individuals, while they are not enriched among disease-causing variants. Gly is also a C-terminal degron, which suggests that Gly-end proteins, truncated or otherwise, are more efficiently cleared (Koren et al., 2018; Lin et al., 2018). Thus, the preponderance of glycine codons at the ends of truncated proteins may be the result of co-evolution of PTCs alongside processes that efficiently eliminate Gly-end proteins at both the RNA and protein levels.

Other amino acids having patterns similar to Gly are also consistent with our model. For example, Thr and Pro are also enriched before a PTC in healthy individuals and depleted before an NTC. They both cause efficient NMD (less efficient than Gly but more efficient than most other amino acids) and have slow peptide release rates. On the other end of the spectrum, Tyr which had the lowest NMD activity in our experiments, was enriched before an NTC but not a PTC. It also has the fastest peptide release rate. PTCs with Tyr at the -1 of a PTC are depleted among variants that are tolerant to loss of function and are enriched in disease contexts.

Surprisingly, we also find that the stop codon TGA caused 20% more efficient EJC-independent NMD compared to TAG. TGA also emerged as an important predictor of EJC-independent NMD in the Random Forest classifier. This observation is counterintuitive since TGA is known to be the stop codon with the lowest fidelity i.e. it is most likely to be readthrough, which usually stabilizes an NMD target (Tate & Mannering, 1996). Our results suggest there are kinetic

differences in termination at different stop codons. Additional factors, such as RBPs or other
sequence elements, may influence whether the stop is readthrough or whether it causes efficient
NMD.

In summary, our results point to a key role for the kinetics of translation in determining NMD
activity, which may also extend to other translation-associated quality control processes.
Moreover, the effect of various amino acids upstream of the PTC on NMD activity and their pattern
of enrichment in healthy and diseased populations suggest that NMD has played a key role in
shaping the evolution of nonsense variants. These findings can be used to better model the
phenotypic outcomes of PTCs and thus, develop more effective strategies to counteract PTC-
associated diseases.

LIMITATIONS OF THE STUDY

Linear modeling and the Random Forest classifier of the MPRA data suggested that PTC-
sequence context mattered more for EJC-independent NMD compared to EJC-enhanced NMD.
In contrast, individual luciferase reporters demonstrated that the -1 amino acid effect applied to
both EJC-independent and EJC-enhanced forms of NMD. The cause for this apparent
discrepancy in the results from these two analyses is unclear. We know that EJC-independent
NMD is weaker than EJC-enhanced NMD (Buhler et al., 2006; Chu et al., 2021; Kolakada et al.,
2023; Singh et al., 2008) and has distinct triggers. Thus, the window of opportunity during
termination may matter more for a weaker, EJC-independent target, than a stronger EJC-
enhanced target. It is also possible that different reporter genes respond distinctly to PTC
sequence context in their NMD activity. Further studies are needed to understand what other
variables may influence the window of opportunity model as it applies to the two modes of NMD.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank Matthew Taliaferro for the gift of HEK293T cells with the loxP cassette. We thank Timothy Stasevich, Tatsuya Morisaki, and Laura White for exploratory studies on glycine's impact on NMD. We thank Monkol Lek and Sander Pajusalu for helpful discussions on gnomAD analysis. We thank Srinivas Ramachandran, Olivia Rissland, and all members of the Jagannathan laboratory for insightful manuscript feedback. This work was supported by the RNA Bioscience Initiative (R. F.), AHA Award #831183 (D.K.), 5T32GM136444-03 (M.L.), Polish National Agency for Academic Exchange Bekker Program PPN/BEK/2019/1/00173 (M.P.S.), R35 GM119550 (J.H.), R35GM147025 (N.M.), University of Colorado School of Medicine Translational Research Scholars Program (S.J.), and the National Institutes of Health grant R35GM133433 (S.J.), The study of the effect of the stop codon 5' context on the efficiency of translation termination was supported by the Russian Science Foundation grant 22-14-00279 (E.A.).

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Conceptualization (D.K., R.F., S.J.); Data curation (D.K., R.F., A.E.C., S.J.); Formal analysis (D.K., R.F., S.J.); Funding acquisition (D.K., E.A., S.J.); Investigation (D.K., R.F., N.B., A.S., M.L., A.E.C., S.J.); Project administration (S.J.); Software (D.K., R.F., M.P.S., M.A.C., N.M., S.J.); Supervision (J.H., N.M., E.A., S.J.); Validation (D.K., A.E.C.); Visualization (D.K., R.F., A.E.C., S.J.); Writing – original draft (D.K., S.J.); Writing – review and editing (D.K., R.F., A.E.C., M.A.C, M.P.S., J.H., N.M., E.A., J S.J.).

DECLARATION OF INTERESTS

The authors declare no competing interests.

STAR METHODS

RESOURCE AVAILABILITY

Lead contact

Further information and requests for resources and reagents should be directed to and will be fulfilled by the lead contact, Sujatha Jagannathan (sujatha.jagannathan@cuanschutz.edu).

Materials availability

All unique reagents generated in this study are available from the lead contact with a completed Material Transfer Agreement.

Data and code availability

- All sequencing data have been deposited at GEO (GSE261151) and will be publicly available as of the date of publication.
- All original code is available at the GitHub repository: https://github.com/jagannathan-lab/2024-kolakada_et_al.
- Any additional information required to reanalyze the data reported in this paper is available from the lead contact upon request.

EXPERIMENTAL MODEL AND STUDY PARTICIPANT DETAILS

Cell lines and culture conditions

HEK293T cells (female) were obtained from ATCC (CRL-3216; RRID:CVCL_0063). HEK293T Lox2272/LoxP cells were obtained from Taliaferro laboratory. All cell lines were determined to be free of mycoplasma by PCR screening. HEK293T and HEK293T cells with Lox2272/LoxP cells were maintained in Dulbecco's Modified Eagle Medium (DMEM) (Thermo Fisher Scientific) supplemented with 10% EqualFETAL (Atlas Biologicals).

METHOD DETAILS

gnomAD and ClinVar analysis

Rare (frequency < 0.01) SNP variants from gnomAD v2.1.1 exome database that pass random forest classification quality filtering were analyzed, filtering for "Stop-gained" consequence via bcftools. Using "cDNA_position" field pre-annotated by VEP, the sequence and codons surrounding variants from Gencode v19 transcript FASTA file was then extracted using bedtools getfasta. For other contexts such as normal stop codons, custom code (found in: https://github.com/jagannathan-lab/2024-kolakada_et_al) was used to extract sequence and codon information using Biostrings and BSgenome in R. Codon enrichment is calculated as observed frequency of a particular codon/amino acid normalized against normal occurrence frequency of the codon/amino acid in the last 10 positions of all normal coding genes, similar to Koren et al (Koren et al., 2018). Gene-level loss of function scores were retrieved from gnomAD LoF constraint scores. Clinvar data from 2022/07/30 was processed similarly to gnomAD, but with sequence extraction done in R.

Cloning

The NMD reporter plasmids have been previously described (Kolakada et al., 2024). For individual fluorescent and luminescent reporters containing Gly, Val, Ala, and Tyr amino acids before the PTC, oligos containing these sequences (Integrated DNA Technologies) and matching the EcoRI (NEB, R3101S) and XhoI (NEB, R0146S) restriction sites were synthesized. These oligos were annealed and ligated using the Quick Ligation Kit (NEB, M2200L) into EJC-independent and EJC-enhanced fluorescent and luminescent backbones digested with EcoRI and XhoI. To make the NMD– reporters for each sequence, each EJC-independent reporter was digested with EcoRI and MfeI (NEB, R3589S), the sticky ends were filled in using Klenow Fragment (NEB, M0212S) and the blunt ends were ligated together using the Quick Ligation Kit (NEB), removing the GFP 3′ UTR. The oligos synthesized for each sequence tested are as follows.

Oligo	Sequence (5' – 3')
G1_Top	AATTCAGAACCACCAGAACCACCTTAGCCAGAACCACCAGAACCACCC
G2_Top	AATTCAGAACCACCAGAACCACCTTAACCAGAACCACCAGAACCACCC
A_Top	AATTCAGAACCACCAGAACCACCTTAGGCAGAACCACCAGAACCACCC
V_Top	AATTCAGAACCACCAGAACCACCTTACACAGAACCACCAGAACCACCC
Y_Top	AATTCAGAACCACCAGAACCACCTTAGTAAGAACCACCAGAACCACCC
G1_Bottom	TCGAGGGTGGTTCTGGTGGTTCTGGCTAAGGTGGTTCTGGTGGTTCTG
G2_Bottom	TCGAGGGTGGTTCTGGTGGTTCTGGTTAAGGTGGTTCTGGTGGTTCTG

A_Bottom	TCGAGGGTGGTTCTGGTGGTTCTGCCTAAGGTGGTTCTGGTGGTTCTG
V_Bottom	TCGAGGGTGGTTCTGGTGGTTCTGTGTAAGGTGGTTCTGGTGGTTCTG
Y_Bottom	TCGAGGGTGGTTCTGGTGGTTCTTACTAAGGTGGTTCTGGTGGTTCTG

MPRA plasmid library

An oligo library (Eurofins) of sequence CTA GCA AAC TGG GGC ACA GCC TCG AGG

GTG GTT CTG GTG GTN NNN NNT RRN GTG GTT CTG GTG GTT CTG AAT TCG ACT ACA

AGG ACC ACG ACG G was synthesized, where N refers to equal proportions of the A, C, G, and

T nucleotides, and R refers to equal portions of the A and G nucleotides. This library was

resuspended in water to 100 μM. The oligo libraries were then filled in (1 amplification cycle) using

the Q5 High-Fidelity DNA Polymerase (NEB, M0492S) according to manufacturer's instructions,

using 100 μmols of oligos, and 100 μmols of reverse primer (sequence:

CACCGTCGTGGTCCTTGTAGTC), in 2x PCR reactions of 25 μL each. After amplification, the

PCR reaction was digested with Exonuclease I, at 37°C for 3 h to digest any remaining single

stranded DNA. The DNA was purified using AMPure XP beads (Beckman, A63882), as per

manufacturer's instructions.

The EJC-independent and EJC-enhanced fluorescent reporter backbones were linearized

using EcoRI (NEB) and XhoI (NEB) at room temperature overnight. Digested plasmid DNA was

gel purified using the NucleoSpin Gel and PCR Clean-up kit (Takara Bio, 740609.250). The oligo

library was then cloned into the digested backbones using the Gibson Assembly Master Mix (NEB,

E2611L) using an insert:vector molar ratio of 7:1 for the EJC-independent backbone and 3:1 for

the EJC-enhanced backbone. The reactions were incubated at 50°C for 1 h. The reactions were

then purified using AMPure XP beads to remove excess salts and a total of 200 ng of plasmid

DNA was transformed into MegaX DH10B T1R Electrocompetent Cells (ThermoFisher,

C640003), using a Biorad GenePulser electroporator. The transformed cells were recovered in

the recovery medium provided with the electrocompetent cells at 37°C for 1 h, then plated on 33

pre-warmed 15 cm Luria broth (LB) agar-Carbenicillin (RPI, C46000-5.0) plates and incubated at

37°C overnight. The next day colonies were collected by scraping plates, spun-down, and then

midi-prepped (NucleoBond Xtra Midi EF kit, 740420.50) to extract the plasmid DNA.

Plasmid transfections

Transfections for fluorescent and luminescent reporters were performed differently since
fluorescent proteins have longer maturation rates than luciferase proteins (Mazo-Vargas et al.,
2014). For the fluorescent reporters, cells were split 24 h after transfection equally into two new
6-wells. Forty-eight hours after transfection, one set of cells was harvested for RNA and the other
set for flow cytometry. For the luminescent reporters, transfections were performed in 24-well
plates at a 40% confluency with 500 ng of plasmid DNA using Lipofectamine 2000 (ThermoFisher
Scientific, 11668027), as per manufacturer's instructions. All cells were harvested for luciferase
assays.

Fluorescence imaging

Fluorescent reporters were imaged before harvest for RFP, GFP, and BFP using the
Cytation Multimode Imaging plate reader (Agilent, CYT5MFW-SN). Images were processed and
quantified using the Gen5 software (Agilent).

Generating NMD+ reporter cell lines for the MPRA

To create the EJC-independent and EJC-enhanced reporter cell lines HEK293T cells
containing a Lox2272 and LoxP enclosing a blasticidin cassette (courtesy Taliaferro Lab, CU
Anschutz), were co-transfected with 97.5% NMD reporter plasmid library and 2.5% Cre-plasmid
(Addgene plasmid #27493). These transfections were performed in 60-70% confluent 10 cm
plates using 11,800 ng of plasmid library and 295 ng of Cre plasmid per plate for 20 plates.
Twenty-four hours after transfection, each plate of cells was split equally into two new plates and
puromycin (2 μg/mL) was added to the media to begin selection. Puromycin selection lasted
between 1-2 weeks, during which the media was replaced with fresh media supplemented with
puromycin every 1-2 days and cells were consolidated into fewer plates when their confluency
got too low. Once selection was complete, cells were frozen down in medium containing 10%
DMSO. These cells were thawed at a later time for the MPRA.

Targeted sequencing of MPRA libraries

To obtain MPRA sequencing libraries, frozen EJC-independent and EJC-enhanced
reporter cell lines were thawed. These cells were split into 6, 15 cm plates, 3 of which were treated
with DMSO and the other 3 treated with 0.5 μM SMG1i (technical replicates). These plates were
harvested for RNA in TRIzol Reagent (ThermoFisher Scientific, 15596018) after 24 hrs. TRIzol
extractions were performed for RNA as per manufacturer's instructions. A DNase digest was
performed on 10 μg of RNA from each sample using the Turbo DNA-free kit (ThermoFisher
Scientific, AM1907), including reaction inactivation. Following this, 2 μg of digested RNA was

used to make cDNA using Superscript II Reverse Transcriptase in duplicate 20 μL reactions
(ThermoFisher Scientific, 18064014).

For library preparation, each cDNA sample was split into 20 PCR reactions (2 μL
cDNA/PCR) and amplified using reporter-specific forward and reverse primers with UMIs (forward
primer: ACACTCTTTCCCTACACGACGCTCTTCCGATCTGCAGACTTCCTCTGCCCTC;
reverse primer: AGACGTGTGCTCTTCCGATCTNNNNNNNNNtggggcacagcctcga, where the Ns
refer to the nucleotides for the UMIs). PCR reactions were performed using Kapa HotStart PCR
Kit (Roche, KAPA KK2502) using an annealing temperature of 69°C and 32x cycles. The 20 PCR
reactions were pooled and 100 μL of all reactions were purified using AMPure XP beads. A
second PCR reaction was performed on 25 ng of the purified product with primers containing
Illumina adaptors. Once again, the Kapa HotStart PCR Kit was used, this time with an annealing
temperature of 65°C and 8x cycles. Reactions were purified using AMPure XP beads and samples
were run on an agarose gel to verify the library size. Libraries were sequenced using the Illumina
sequencing platform NovaSeq 6000 (paired-end 2x150 cycles). A total of 50 million and 30 million
reads were requested for the EJC-independent and EJC-enhanced libraries, respectively.

MPRA data analysis

To process the MPRA sequencing libraries, the UMI-tools repository was used to add the
UMIs to the name of each read in the FASTA files (Smith et al., 2017). Following this, Cutadapt
was used to trim the 5′ and 3′ends of the reads in a sequence dependent manner, up to the 10 nt
context of interest (Martin, 2011); ~25% of reads were lost in this process. SeqPrep was used to
merge sequencing reads 1 and 2, only accepting reads that perfectly align
(https://github.com/jstjohn/SeqPrep); ~5% of reads were lost in this process. Bowtie was used to
map the reads to a reference file of all sequence contexts (Langmead & Salzberg, 2012); 1% of
reads were lost in this process. Samtools was used to create a BAM file of mapped reads, followed
by deduplicating the BAM files based on UMIs and converting the BAM files to BED files (Li et al.,
2009). Around 10% of reads were lost upon deduplication of UMIs. A custom python script was
used to remove reads on the negative strand and to get a bed file of reads in the correct
orientation.

The NMD activity of an individual reporter transcript was the log2-fold change in between
DMSO-treated cells to SMG1i-treated cells calculated with DESeq2 (Love et al., 2014). The
reporter transcripts that do not undergo NMD, i.e. Trp codon rather than a stop codon at the PTC
position, were used similar to housekeeping normalization controls within DESeq2. Both linear
models and random forest classifiers were performed in R. Random Forest models were built

utilizing the caret R package (doi:10.18637/jss.v028.i05). First, features with variance close to

zero were eliminated, after which the linear correlation for all numeric features was calculated.

For the features with correlation ≥ 0.85 one feature was randomly selected, and the others

discarded from the model. Data were divided into training and validation set using 0.8:0.2 ratio.

Hyperparameters tuning was performed for train set using 5x train-test cross validation for the

following model parameters: mtry, maxnodes and ntree. Performance of final model was

measured using F1 scores and on validation set. The code and packages used for these analyses

can be found in this repository: https://github.com/jagannathan-lab/2024-kolakada_et_al.

Luciferase assays

For cells harvested from 24-well transfections, the media was aspirated, 400 µL of 1x PLB

from the Dual Luciferase Reporter Assay System (Promega, E1980) was added to the cellular

monolayer per well, and the plate was frozen for subsequent luciferase assays. The frozen cells

were brought to room temperature, transferred to tubes, and lysed thoroughly via vortexing for 30

s per sample. 20µL of this dilution was pipetted into a 96-well plate, in triplicate, per sample. The

LAR II and Stop & Glo reagents were prepared as per the manufacturer's instructions, using 50

µL per sample, each. Luciferase assay was performed using the Glomax Navigator (Promega,

GM2010) as per the Dual Luciferase Assay Protocol.

Lentiviral transductions

Knockdowns were conducted using shRNAs. Plasmids containing shRNAs were first

packaged into lentivirus. To do this, HEK293T cells were transfected with 4 µg of the relevant

shRNA vector (Functional Genomics Core, CU Anschutz), 3 µg of psPAX2 (Addgene plasmid

#12260), and 1 µg of pMD2.G (Addgene plasmid #12259), in 80% confluent 6 cm plates. The

shRNAs used were for scrambled (SCH016), UPF1 (TRCN0000022254), UPF2

(TRCN0000151381), UPF3B (TRCN0000152769), SMG1 (TRCN0000194827), SMG6

(TRCN0000040014), ZNF598 (TRCN0000073159), EIF5A (TRCN0000062552), EIF3J

(TRCN0000062013), CUL2 (TRCN0000006523), and ABCE1 (TRCN0000158417). Twenty-four

hours after transfection the media was replaced with 3 mL of fresh media. Forty-eight hours after

transfection media containing lentivirus was harvested and spun down at 1200 rpm for 5 minutes.

Aliquots of the supernatant were frozen at -80°C for later use.

For the knockdown experiments with the luciferase reporters, cells were seeded at a 40%

confluency in 6-wells and infected with 50 µL of virus. Twenty-four hours post transduction, the

cells were split into 24-wells at a 20% confluency. Forty-eight hours post transduction, the

luciferase reporters were transfected into cells as described above. Seventy-two hours post-transduction the cells were harvested for luciferase assays. For knockdown validation experiments, the same procedure was followed without the transfection of luciferase reporters.

RNA extraction and RT-qPCR

For RT-qPCR used to validate knockdowns of SMG1, ZNF598, and EIF3J, RNA was extracted from cells using TRIzol Reagent (ThermoFisher Scientific). DNase digestion was performed with DNaseI (ThermoFisher Scientific, 18068-015) on 1 µg of extracted RNA. This was followed by cDNA synthesis using the SuperScript III First-Strand Synthesis kit, using random hexamers. A no-RT sample was included as a control to make sure there was no genomic DNA contamination. The cDNA was then diluted 1:4 and 2 µL were used per 10 µL qPCR reaction. qPCR was performed using iTaq Universal SYBR Green Supermix and primers specific for SMG1, ZNF598, EIF3J, and the housekeeping gene RPL27, were used at a final concentration of 0.25 µM. The following primers were used for each gene:

Oligo	Sequence (5' – 3')
RPL27_F	GCAAGAAGAAGATCGCCAAG
RPL27_R	TCCAAGGGGATATCCACAGA
SMG1_F	TGGGAAAGACCACCACTGCACA
SMG1_R	TGCATGTGTTGACTGGCCTGCT
ZNF598_F	TCGTTGGTGGCGAAGACTAC
ZNF598_R	TCGGTCCTCTTCTTCCCTTT
EIF3J_F	GCAGATAAACTGCGGCTAAAGA
EIF3J_R	TCTCTTGAAGATGGGTTCATAGCA

Reactions were set up in triplicate per sample and plated in 384-well plates. The plates were then run on the OPUS Bio-Rad qPCR machine (Bio-Rad, 12011319) using the 2-step Amplification and melting curve protocol. For the knockdown validations, the Livak method (Livak & Schmittgen, 2001) was used to quantify differences in RNA levels: SMG1, ZNF598, EIF3J, were normalized to RPL27. Mean RNA levels of 3 different transfections or 3 technical replicates of transductions were plotted, respectively. Error was calculated using standard error of the mean (fluorescent/luminescent reporters) or standard deviation (knockdowns).

Protein isolation and western blotting

Cells were harvested in RIPA buffer supplemented with complete protease inhibitor (Roche, 11836170001). Protein was run on NuPAGE Bis-Tris precast polyacrylamide gels (Thermo Fisher Scientific, NP0323BOX) alongside PageRuler Plus Prestained Protein Ladder

(Thermo Fisher Scientific, 26619) and transferred to Odyssey nitrocellulose membrane (LI-COR
Biosciences, 926-31092). Membranes were blocked in Intercept (PBS) Blocking Buffer (LI-COR
Biosciences, 927-70001) before overnight incubation at 4°C with primary antibodies diluted in
Blocking Buffer containing 0.2% Tween 20. Membranes were incubated with IRDye-conjugated
secondary antibodies (LI-COR Biosciences) for 1 h and fluorescent signal visualized using a
Sapphire Biomolecular Imager (Azure Biosystems) and Sapphire Capture software (Azure
Biosystems). When appropriate, membranes were stripped with Restore Western Blot Stripping
Buffer (ThermoFisher Scientific) before being re-probed. Primary antibodies include anti-UPF1
(Abcam, ab109363), anti-UPF2 (Cell Signaling, 11875S), anti-UPF3B (Abcam, ab134566), anti-
SMG6 (ABclonal, A10141), anti-CUL2 (Bethyl Laboratories, A302-476A), anti-ABCE1 (Abcam,
ab185548), anti-EIF5A (Abcam, ab32407), and anti-GAPDH (Abcam, ab9484). Secondary
antibodies used include IRDye 650 Goat anti-Mouse IgG Secondary Antibody (LI-COR
Biosciences, 926-65010) and IRDye 800CW Goat anti-Rabbit IgG Secondary Antibody (LI-COR
Biosciences, 926-32211).

Luminescent reporters for *in vitro* assays

A pNL-globine vector derived from pNL1.1 vector (Promega), with a β-globin 5′UTR
addition before nanoluciferase (NLuc) coding sequence was used (Shuvalov et al., 2021).
Additional constructs were created based on pNL-globin, encoding NLuc with various
substitutions of the codon before the stop codon using the QuikChange Site-Directed
Mutagenesis Kit (Agilent Technologies, cat. 200518-5) was used. Primers were selected in the
manufacturer's recommended web-based QuikChange Primer Design Program
(www.agilent.com/genomics/qcpd). For obtaining mRNA, the fragment of the plasmid were
amplified using RV3L (CTAGCAAAATAGGCTGTCCCCAG) and FLA50
(TTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTAACTTGTTTATTGCAGC
TTATAATGG) primers, as described in Shuvaolv et al., 2021 (Shuvalov et al., 2021). Templates
were run-off transcribed with the T7 RiboMAX ™ Large Scale RNA Production System (Promega,
P1320) kit according to the manufacturer's protocol. The mRNA was then purified sequentially by
isolation in acidic phenol, precipitation with 3 M LiCl followed by 80% ethanol wash.

Expression and purification of eRF1 and eRF3

Recombinant eRF1 was expressed from the plasmid pET-SUMO-eRF1. To obtain pET-
SUMO-eRF1, the eRF1 coding sequence was amplified from the plasmid pET23b-eRF1 (Frolova
et al., 2002) using primers petSUMO_eRF1_F
(GAGAACAGATTGGTGGTATGGCGGACGACCCCAG) and petSUMO_eRF1_R

(CCGAATAAATACCTAAGCTCTAGTAGTCATCAAGGTCAAAAAATTCATCGTCTCCTCC).

eRF1 was expressed in E. coli BL21(DE3) cells. The lysate was prepared by ultrasonification of

the pelleted cells in a buffer composed of 20 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 500 mM KCl, 10% glycerol,

0.1% Triton X-100, 0.5 mM PMSF, and 1 mM DTT. Following this, His-SUMO tag was cleaved by

His-tagged Ulp1 protease. Untagged eRF1 was further purified by anion-exchange

chromatography (HiTrap Q HP, Cytiva). Fractions enriched with eRF1 were collected, dialyzed in

storage buffer, frizzed in liquid nitrogen, and stored at −70 ºC. Recombinant eRF3A cloned into

baculovirus vector EMBacY from a MultiBac expression system was expressed in the insect cell

line Sf21. Following this, recombinant proteins were purified using Ni-NTA agarose and ion-

exchange chromatography, as described previously (Ivanov et al., 2016).

Expression, purification, and determination of luminescence or recombinant
nanoluciferase

To obtain recombinant NLuc with varying amino acids in the position -1 to the stop codon,

corresponding constructs of pNL-globine were created using the petSUMO vector (Invitrogen, cat.

K30001). Each vector was assembled via Gibson Assembly (NEB, cat. E2611L) using PCR

products of the petSUMO backbone (forward primer:

AGCTTAGGTATTTATTCGGCGCAAAGTG; reverse primer:

ACCACCAATCTGTTCTCTGTGAGC) and pNL-globine inserts (forward primer:

GAGAACAGATTGGTGGTATGGTCTTCACACTCGAAGATTTCGTTGG; reverse primer:

CCGAATAAATACCTAAGCTTACGCCAGAATGCGTTCGCA) amplified using Q5 High-Fidelity

DNA Polymerase (NEB, cat. M0491L). The resulting plasmids were expressed in *E. coli*

BL21(DE3) and His-SUMO-Nluc was purified using Ni-affinity chromatography. Recombinant

6xHis-ULP1 protease was added to purify 6xHisSUMO-Nluc and incubated for 2 hours. The

6xHisSUMO fragment and 6xHis-ULP1 were then removed with Ni-NTA agarose to obtain purified

Nluc without tags. 0.1 femtomole of recombinant Nluc was incubated in storage buffer, with the

addition of BSA up to 500 µg/mL and 0.5 % NanoGlo (Promega). Luminescence was measured

at 30°C using a Tecan Infinite 200 Pro (Tecan, Männedorf, Switzerland) in a 40 min time period.

The luminescence of NLuc was calculated as a maximum of relative luminescence units (RLU_{max}).

Purification of the preTC-NLuc and Termi-Luc Assay

For the Termi-Luc assay, preTCs translating NLuc (preTC-NLuc) were purified using

previously published methods (Shuvalov et al., 2021; Susorov et al., 2020). 100% RRL lysate

was preincubated in a mixture containing 1 mM $CaCl_2$ and 3 U/µL Micrococcal nuclease

(Fermentas) at 30°C for 10 min, followed by the addition of EGTA to a final concentration of 4

mM. The lysate was then diluted to 70% (v/v) and supplemented with 20 mM HEPES-KOH (pH

7.5), 80 mM KOAc, 0.5 mM Mg(OAc)$_2$, 0.3 mM ATP, 0.2 GTP, 0.04 mM of each of 20 amino acids

(Promega), 0.5 mM spermidine, 0.45 µM aminoacylated total rabbit tRNA, 10 mM creatine

phosphate, 0.003 U/µL creatine kinase (Sigma), 2 mM DTT, and 0.2 U/µL Ribolock

(ThermoFisher) (70% RRL mix).

For preTC-NLuc assembly, 220 µL of 70% RRL mix was preincubated in the presence of

1.7 μM ERF1 G183A mutant (Alkalaeva et al., 2006) at 30° C for 10 min, followed by the addition

of 10.5 pmol of NLuc mRNA. The mixture was incubated at 30°C for 40 min. The KOAc

concentration was then adjusted to 300 mM and the mixture was layered on 5 ml of a 10–35%

linear sucrose gradient in a buffer containing 50 mM HEPES-KOH, pH 7.5, 7.5 mM Mg(OAc)$_2$,

300 mM KOAc, 2 mM DTT. The gradient was centrifuged in a SW55-Ti (Beckman Coulter) rotor

at 55 000 rpm (367 598 g$_{max}$) for 1 h. The gradient was fractionated in 15 fractions of 150 μL from

bottom to top and the remaining sucrose was collected separately. Fractions of the first peak,

enriched with the preTC-Nluc were analyzed by the Termi-Luc assay, merged, flash-frozen in

liquid nitrogen, and stored at −70°C.

A peptide release assay with the preTC-NLuc (Termi-Luc) was performed as previously

described with some modifications (Susorov et al., 2020). Peptide release was performed in a

solution containing 1.5 pM preTC-Nluc, 45 mM HEPES-KOH pH 7.5, 1.4 mM Mg$_2$OAc, 56 mM

KOAc pH 7.0, 1 mM DTT, 177 µM spermidine, 1.5 % (w/w) sucrose, 0.8 mM MgCl$_2$, 0.2 mM GTP

supplemented with equimolar MgCl$_2$, and 0.5 % NanoGlo (Promega), in the absence or the

presence of release factors eRF1 and eRF3a at various concentrations. Luminescence was

measured at 30°C using a Tecan Infinite 200 Pro (Tecan, Männedorf, Switzerland) for 12 min.

The translation efficiency was calculated as the maximal derivative of the growing linear section

of the luminescence curve (v$_0$, RLU/min). For comparison of different preTCs, a single working

concentration of 3 nM of eRF1-eRF3A was chosen in the linear section. The instability of the

preTC-NLuc was evaluated in Termi-Luc assay in the absence of release factors.

The amount of preTC-Nluc was calculated as a maximum of relative luminescence

(RLU$_{max}$). To determine concentration of preTC-Nluc, 1.25 µL of preTC sample were incubated in

the presence of excess of eRF1 and eRF3a (100 nM). Luminescence was measured at 30°C

using a Tecan Infinite 200 Pro (Tecan, Männedorf, Switzerland) for 40 min. PreTC concentration

was considered in the translation termination rate experiments.

Translation of NLuc in RRL lysates

For translation of NLuc, 19 μL of 70% RRL lysate prepared as described in Termi-Luc

section, was mixed with 0.19 μL of NanoGlo and 0.25 pmol of NLuc mRNA. Luminescence was

measured at 30°C using a Tecan Infinite 200 Pro (Tecan, Männedorf, Switzerland) for 60 min.

The translation efficiency was calculated as a maximal derivative of the growing linear section of

the luminescence curve (v_0, RLU/min).

QUANTIFICATION AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

Data analysis, statistical tests, and visualization

All experiments were performed with a sample size of at least n=3. For transient

transfections, each replicate represents an independent transfection. For stably integrated cells,

the same cell line was plated in 3 different wells, from which RNA and protein were harvested.

Statistical significance between various populations was calculated using a student's t-test in R

and p-values were two-sided (*$p < 0.05$, **$p < 0.005$, ***$p < 0.0005$). Statistical details of specific

experiments can be found in the Results, Methods, and/or Figure Legends. Plots were generated

using R plotting functions and/or the ggplot2 package. The exact code and packages used for

these analyses can be found in this repository: https://github.com/jagannathan-lab/2024-

kolakada_et_al.

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURES

Figure S1

A

B

835
836
837
838 **Figure S1. Amino acids enriched from the -10 to the -1 position before a termination codon.**
839 (**A**) Left: Schematic to indicate PTCs analysed in the gnomAD database, right: amino acid
840 enrichment before the PTC. (**B**) Left: Schematic to indicate NTCs analyzed in the reference
841 genome, right: amino acid enrichment before the NTC. Gly is colored in red.
842

Figure S2

Figure S2. EJC-enhanced reporters do not express RFP in any context. (A) Schematic of EJC-enhanced NMD reporter system used. (B) Fluorescence imaging of BFP, GFP, and RFP from cells transfected with EJC-enhanced NMD reporters containing Gly-PTC or Ala-PTC reporters, treated with a DMSO as a control or SMG1i. Ctrl refers to a construct that robustly

expresses all three fluorescent proteins. Gly1 and Gly2 are the GGC and GGT codons for Gly.

The codon used for Ala was GCC.

Figure S3

Figure S3. MPRA library representation. (**A**) Plasmid library representation for the EJC-

independent NMD**+** reporter library. (**B**) The same as (A) but for the EJC-enhanced NMD**+**

reporter library.

Figure S4

Figure S4. The codon identity at the -1 position of the PTC alters NMD activity of EJC-
independent and EJC-enhanced luminescence-based reporters. (**A**) Schematic of
luminescence based NMD reporters used (right) as well as the amino acids tested in the -1
position to the PTC/NTC (left). (**B**) Luciferase activity for each of the reporters in EJC-
independent, EJC-enhanced, and NMD– contexts.

Figure S5

Figure S5. Codon based linear modeling of MPRA data. (**A**) Heat map depicting the linear
modeling coefficients for the EJC-independent library for each codon -2 to a PTC. (**B**) The same
as A but for the -1 codon.

Figure S6.

Figure S6. Determining the optimum concentration of release factors to use for the Termi-
Luc assay.

Figure S7.

Figure S7. Knockdown validations for all shRNAs used. (**A**) Top: western blot validating
UPF1, UPF2, and UPF3B knockdowns; middle: western blot validating SMG6 knockdown;
bottom: qPCR validation of SMG1 knockdown. (**B**) Top left: western blot for CUL2 knockdown
validation; top right: western blot for ABCE1 knockdown validation; middle left: western blot for
EIF5A knockdown validation; bottom left: qPCR validation of ZNF598 knockdown; bottom right:
qPCR validation for EIF3J knockdown. GAPDH was the loading control for all western blots.
RPL27 was the housekeeping gene for all qPCRs. qPCRs were performed in triplicate.

SUPPLEMENTARY TABLES

Table 1: Confusion matrices for the EJC-independent and EJC-enhanced classifier

Prediction	Reference	n
EJC-Independent		
NMD Escape	NMD Escape	504
Strong NMD	NMD Escape	96
NMD Escape	Strong NMD	271
Strong NMD	Strong NMD	329
EJC-Enhanced		
NMD Escape	NMD Escape	166
Strong NMD	NMD Escape	434
NMD Escape	Strong NMD	131
Strong NMD	Strong NMD	469

891 **Table 2:** Model metrics for the EJC-independent and EJC-enhanced classifier

EJC-Independent	
Sensitivity	0.84
Specificity	0.55
Pos Pred Value	0.65
Neg Pred Value	0.77
Precision	0.65
Recall	0.84
F1	0.73
Prevalence	0.50
Detection Rate	0.42
Detection Prevalence	0.65
Balanced Accuracy	0.69
EJC-Enhanced	
Sensitivity	0.28
Specificity	0.78
Pos Pred Value	0.56
Neg Pred Value	0.52
Precision	0.56
Recall	0.28
F1	0.37
Prevalence	0.50
Detection Rate	0.14
Detection Prevalence	0.25
Balanced Accuracy	0.53

892   **SUPPLEMENTARY DATA**

894   **Supplementary Table 1.** DEseq2 results for the EJC-independent library of sequences
895   representing the fold change of DMSO over SMG1i treatment of cells.
896
897   **Supplementary Table 2.** DEseq2 results for the EJC-enhanced library of sequences
898   representing the fold change of DMSO over SMG1i treatment of cells.

REFERENCES

Alkalaeva, E. Z., Pisarev, A. V., Frolova, L. Y., Kisselev, L. L., & Pestova, T. V. (2006, Jun 16). In vitro reconstitution of eukaryotic translation reveals cooperativity between release factors eRF1 and eRF3. *Cell, 125*(6), 1125-1136. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2006.04.035

Amrani, N., Ganesan, R., Kervestin, S., Mangus, D. A., Ghosh, S., & Jacobson, A. (2004, Nov 4). A faux 3'-UTR promotes aberrant termination and triggers nonsense-mediated mRNA decay. *Nature, 432*(7013), 112-118. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature03060

Arias-Palomo, E., Yamashita, A., Fernandez, I. S., Nunez-Ramirez, R., Bamba, Y., Izumi, N., Ohno, S., & Llorca, O. (2011, Jan 15). The nonsense-mediated mRNA decay SMG-1 kinase is regulated by large-scale conformational changes controlled by SMG-8. *Genes Dev, 25*(2), 153-164. https://doi.org/10.1101/gad.606911

Baker, S. L., & Hogg, J. R. (2017). A system for coordinated analysis of translational readthrough and nonsense-mediated mRNA decay | PLOS ONE. *PLoS ONE, 12(3): e0173980*. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0173980

Bazzini, A. A., Del Viso, F., Moreno-Mateos, M. A., Johnstone, T. G., Vejnar, C. E., Qin, Y., Yao, J., Khokha, M. K., & Giraldez, A. J. (2016, Oct 4). Codon identity regulates mRNA stability and translation efficiency during the maternal-to-zygotic transition. *EMBO J, 35*(19), 2087-2103. https://doi.org/10.15252/embj.201694699

Behm-Ansmant, I., Gatfield, D., Rehwinkel, J., Hilgers, V., & Izaurralde, E. (2007, Mar 21). A conserved role for cytoplasmic poly(A)-binding protein 1 (PABPC1) in nonsense-mediated mRNA decay. *EMBO J, 26*(6), 1591-1601. https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.emboj.7601588

929   Bonetti, B., Fu, L., Moon, J., & Bedwell, D. M. (1995, Aug 18). The efficiency of translation
930   termination is determined by a synergistic interplay between upstream and downstream
931   sequences in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. *J Mol Biol, 251*(3), 334-345.
932   https://doi.org/10.1006/jmbi.1995.0438
933

934   Buhler, M., Steiner, S., Mohn, F., Paillusson, A., & Muhlemann, O. (2006, May). EJC-
935   independent degradation of nonsense immunoglobulin-mu mRNA depends on 3' UTR
936   length. *Nat Struct Mol Biol, 13*(5), 462-464. https://doi.org/10.1038/nsmb1081
937

938   Chu, V., Feng, Q., Lim, Y., & Shao, S. (2021, Dec 1). Selective destabilization of polypeptides
939   synthesized from NMD-targeted transcripts. *Mol Biol Cell, 32*(22), ar38.
940   https://doi.org/10.1091/mbc.E21-08-0382
941

942   Cridge, A. G., Crowe-McAuliffe, C., Mathew, S. F., & Tate, W. P. (2018, Feb 28). Eukaryotic
943   translational termination efficiency is influenced by the 3' nucleotides within the
944   ribosomal mRNA channel. *Nucleic Acids Res, 46*(4), 1927-1944.
945   https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkx1315
946

947   Egorova, T., Biziaev, N., Shuvalov, A., Sokolova, E., Mukba, S., Evmenov, K., Zotova, M.,
948   Kushchenko, A., Shuvalova, E., & Alkalaeva, E. (2021, Nov 8). eIF3j facilitates loading of
949   release factors into the ribosome. *Nucleic Acids Res, 49*(19), 11181-11196.
950   https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkab854
951

952   Frolova, L., Seit-Nebi, A., & Kisselev, L. (2002, Feb). Highly conserved NIKS tetrapeptide is
953   functionally essential in eukaryotic translation termination factor eRF1. *RNA, 8*(2), 129-
954   136. https://doi.org/10.1017/s1355838202013262
955

956 Frolova, L. Y., Tsivkovskii, R. Y., Sivolobova, G. F., Oparina, N. Y., Serpinsky, O. I., Blinov, V.
957   M., Tatkov, S. I., & Kisselev, L. L. (1999, Aug). Mutations in the highly conserved GGQ
958   motif of class 1 polypeptide release factors abolish ability of human eRF1 to trigger
959   peptidyl-tRNA hydrolysis. *RNA, 5*(8), 1014-1020.
960   https://doi.org/10.1017/s135583829999043x
961

962 Gerbracht, J. V., Boehm, V., Britto-Borges, T., Kallabis, S., Wiederstein, J. L., Ciriello, S.,
963   Aschemeier, D. U., Kruger, M., Frese, C. K., Altmuller, J., Dieterich, C., & Gehring, N. H.
964   (2020, Sep 4). CASC3 promotes transcriptome-wide activation of nonsense-mediated
965   decay by the exon junction complex. *Nucleic Acids Res, 48*(15), 8626-8644.
966   https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkaa564
967

968 Grosjean, H., & Westhof, E. (2016, Sep 30). An integrated, structure- and energy-based view of
969   the genetic code. *Nucleic Acids Res, 44*(17), 8020-8040.
970   https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkw608
971

972 Hellen, C. U. T. (2018, Oct 1). Translation Termination and Ribosome Recycling in Eukaryotes.
973   *Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol, 10*(10). https://doi.org/10.1101/cshperspect.a032656
974

975 Hogg, J. R., & Goff, S. P. (2010, Oct 29). Upf1 senses 3'UTR length to potentiate mRNA decay.
976   *Cell, 143*(3), 379-389. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2010.10.005
977

978 Ivanov, A., Mikhailova, T., Eliseev, B., Yeramala, L., Sokolova, E., Susorov, D., Shuvalov, A.,
979   Schaffitzel, C., & Alkalaeva, E. (2016, Sep 19). PABP enhances release factor
980   recruitment and stop codon recognition during translation termination. *Nucleic Acids
981   Res, 44*(16), 7766-7776. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkw635
982

983 Ivanov, P. V., Gehring, N. H., Kunz, J. B., Hentze, M. W., & Kulozik, A. E. (2008, Mar 5).
984   Interactions between UPF1, eRFs, PABP and the exon junction complex suggest an
985   integrated model for mammalian NMD pathways. *EMBO J, 27*(5), 736-747.
986   https://doi.org/10.1038/emboj.2008.17
987

988 Jackson, R. J., Hellen, C. U., & Pestova, T. V. (2012). Termination and post-termination events
989   in eukaryotic translation. *Adv Protein Chem Struct Biol, 86*, 45-93.
990   https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-386497-0.00002-5
991

992 Jagannathan, S., & Bradley, R. K. (2016, Dec). Translational plasticity facilitates the
993   accumulation of nonsense genetic variants in the human population. *Genome Res,*
994   *26*(12), 1639-1650. https://doi.org/10.1101/gr.205070.116
995

996 Juszkiewicz, S., Chandrasekaran, V., Lin, Z., Kraatz, S., Ramakrishnan, V., & Hegde, R. S.
997   (2018, Nov 1). ZNF598 Is a Quality Control Sensor of Collided Ribosomes. *Mol Cell,*
998   *72*(3), 469-481 e467. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molcel.2018.08.037
999

Karczewski, K. J., Francioli, L. C., Tiao, G., Cummings, B. B., Alfoldi, J., Wang, Q., Collins, R.
L., Laricchia, K. M., Ganna, A., Birnbaum, D. P., Gauthier, L. D., Brand, H., Solomonson,
M., Watts, N. A., Rhodes, D., Singer-Berk, M., England, E. M., Seaby, E. G., Kosmicki,
J. A., Walters, R. K., Tashman, K., Farjoun, Y., Banks, E., Poterba, T., Wang, A., Seed,
C., Whiffin, N., Chong, J. X., Samocha, K. E., Pierce-Hoffman, E., Zappala, Z.,
O'Donnell-Luria, A. H., Minikel, E. V., Weisburd, B., Lek, M., Ware, J. S., Vittal, C.,
Armean, I. M., Bergelson, L., Cibulskis, K., Connolly, K. M., Covarrubias, M., Donnelly,
S., Ferriera, S., Gabriel, S., Gentry, J., Gupta, N., Jeandet, T., Kaplan, D., Llanwarne,
C., Munshi, R., Novod, S., Petrillo, N., Roazen, D., Ruano-Rubio, V., Saltzman, A.,
Schleicher, M., Soto, J., Tibbetts, K., Tolonen, C., Wade, G., Talkowski, M. E., Genome
Aggregation Database, C., Neale, B. M., Daly, M. J., & MacArthur, D. G. (2020, May).
The mutational constraint spectrum quantified from variation in 141,456 humans. *Nature,*
581(7809), 434-443. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-020-2308-7

1013

1014 Kashima, I., Yamashita, A., Izumi, N., Kataoka, N., Morishita, R., Hoshino, S., Ohno, M.,
1015   Dreyfuss, G., & Ohno, S. (2006, Feb 1). Binding of a novel SMG-1-Upf1-eRF1-eRF3
1016   complex (SURF) to the exon junction complex triggers Upf1 phosphorylation and
1017   nonsense-mediated mRNA decay. *Genes Dev, 20*(3), 355-367.
1018   https://doi.org/10.1101/gad.1389006

1019

1020 Khandelia, P., Yap, K., & Makeyev, E. V. (2011, Aug 2). Streamlined platform for short hairpin
1021   RNA interference and transgenesis in cultured mammalian cells. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S*
1022   *A, 108*(31), 12799-12804. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1103532108

1023

1024 Kolakada, D., Campbell, A. E., Galvis, L. B., Li, Z., Lore, M., & Jagannathan, S. (2023). A
1025   system of reporters for comparative investigation of EJC-independent and EJC-
1026   enhanced nonsense-mediated mRNA decay. *bioRxiv*, 2023.2011.2014.567061.
1027   https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.11.14.567061

1028

1029 Kolakada, D., Campbell, A. E., Galvis, L. B., Li, Z., Lore, M., & Jagannathan, S. (2024, Feb 20).
1030   A system of reporters for comparative investigation of EJC-independent and EJC-
1031   enhanced nonsense-mediated mRNA decay. *Nucleic Acids Res*.
1032   https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkae121

1033

1034 Koren, I., Timms, R. T., Kula, T., Xu, Q., Li, M. Z., & Elledge, S. J. (2018, Jun 14). The
1035   Eukaryotic Proteome Is Shaped by E3 Ubiquitin Ligases Targeting C-Terminal Degrons.
1036   *Cell, 173*(7), 1622-1635 e1614. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2018.04.028

1037

1038 Kurosaki, T., & Maquat, L. E. (2013, Feb 26). Rules that govern UPF1 binding to mRNA 3'
1039   UTRs. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A, 110*(9), 3357-3362.
1040   https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1219908110

1041

1042   Landrum, M. J., Lee, J. M., Benson, M., Brown, G. R., Chao, C., Chitipiralla, S., Gu, B., Hart, J.,
1043   Hoffman, D., Jang, W., Karapetyan, K., Katz, K., Liu, C., Maddipatla, Z., Malheiro, A.,
1044   McDaniel, K., Ovetsky, M., Riley, G., Zhou, G., Holmes, J. B., Kattman, B. L., & Maglott,
1045   D. R. (2018, Jan 4). ClinVar: improving access to variant interpretations and supporting
1046   evidence. *Nucleic Acids Res, 46*(D1), D1062-D1067.
1047   https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkx1153
1048

1049   Langmead, B., & Salzberg, S. L. (2012, Mar 4). Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2. *Nat
1050   Methods, 9*(4), 357-359. https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.1923
1051

1052   Li, H., Handsaker, B., Wysoker, A., Fennell, T., Ruan, J., Homer, N., Marth, G., Abecasis, G.,
1053   Durbin, R., & Genome Project Data Processing, S. (2009, Aug 15). The Sequence
1054   Alignment/Map format and SAMtools. *Bioinformatics, 25*(16), 2078-2079.
1055   https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btp352
1056

1057   Lin, H. C., Yeh, C. W., Chen, Y. F., Lee, T. T., Hsieh, P. Y., Rusnac, D. V., Lin, S. Y., Elledge,
1058   S. J., Zheng, N., & Yen, H. S. (2018, May 17). C-Terminal End-Directed Protein
1059   Elimination by CRL2 Ubiquitin Ligases. *Mol Cell, 70*(4), 602-613 e603.
1060   https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molcel.2018.04.006
1061

1062   Lindeboom, R. G., Supek, F., & Lehner, B. (2016, Oct). The rules and impact of nonsense-
1063   mediated mRNA decay in human cancers. *Nat Genet, 48*(10), 1112-1118.
1064   https://doi.org/10.1038/ng.3664
1065

1066   Lindeboom, R. G. H., Vermeulen, M., Lehner, B., & Supek, F. (2019, Nov). The impact of
1067   nonsense-mediated mRNA decay on genetic disease, gene editing and cancer

1068   immunotherapy. *Nat Genet, 51*(11), 1645-1651. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41588-019-

1069   0517-5

1070

1071   Livak, K. J., & Schmittgen, T. D. (2001, Dec). Analysis of relative gene expression data using

1072   real-time quantitative PCR and the 2(-Delta Delta C(T)) Method. *Methods, 25*(4), 402-

1073   408. https://doi.org/10.1006/meth.2001.1262

1074

1075   Loughran, G., Chou, M. Y., Ivanov, I. P., Jungreis, I., Kellis, M., Kiran, A. M., Baranov, P. V., &

1076   Atkins, J. F. (2014, Aug). Evidence of efficient stop codon readthrough in four

1077   mammalian genes. *Nucleic Acids Res, 42*(14), 8928-8938.

1078   https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gku608

1079

1080   Loughran, G., Li, X., O'Loughlin, S., Atkins, J. F., & Baranov, P. V. (2023, Jan 11). Monitoring

1081   translation in all reading frames downstream of weak stop codons provides mechanistic

1082   insights into the impact of nucleotide and cellular contexts. *Nucleic Acids Res, 51*(1),

1083   304-314. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkac1180

1084

1085   Love, M. I., Huber, W., & Anders, S. (2014). Moderated estimation of fold change and

1086   dispersion for RNA-seq data with DESeq2. *Genome Biol, 15*(12), 550.

1087   https://doi.org/10.1186/s13059-014-0550-8

1088

1089   Lykke-Andersen, J., Shu, M. D., & Steitz, J. A. (2001, Sep 7). Communication of the position of

1090   exon-exon junctions to the mRNA surveillance machinery by the protein RNPS1.

1091   *Science, 293*(5536), 1836-1839. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1062786

1092

1093   MacArthur, D. G., Balasubramanian, S., Frankish, A., Huang, N., Morris, J., Walter, K., Jostins,

1094   L., Habegger, L., Pickrell, J. K., Montgomery, S. B., Albers, C. A., Zhang, Z. D., Conrad,

1095   D. F., Lunter, G., Zheng, H., Ayub, Q., DePristo, M. A., Banks, E., Hu, M., Handsaker, R.

1096  E., Rosenfeld, J. A., Fromer, M., Jin, M., Mu, X. J., Khurana, E., Ye, K., Kay, M.,

1097  Saunders, G. I., Suner, M. M., Hunt, T., Barnes, I. H., Amid, C., Carvalho-Silva, D. R.,

1098  Bignell, A. H., Snow, C., Yngvadottir, B., Bumpstead, S., Cooper, D. N., Xue, Y.,

1099  Romero, I. G., Genomes Project, C., Wang, J., Li, Y., Gibbs, R. A., McCarroll, S. A.,

1100  Dermitzakis, E. T., Pritchard, J. K., Barrett, J. C., Harrow, J., Hurles, M. E., Gerstein, M.

1101  B., & Tyler-Smith, C. (2012, Feb 17). A systematic survey of loss-of-function variants in

1102  human protein-coding genes. *Science, 335*(6070), 823-828.

1103  https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1215040

1104

1105 Mangkalaphiban, K., He, F., Ganesan, R., Wu, C., Baker, R., & Jacobson, A. (2021, Apr).

1106  Transcriptome-wide investigation of stop codon readthrough in Saccharomyces

1107  cerevisiae. *PLoS Genet, 17*(4), e1009538. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1009538

1108

1109 Martin, M. (2011, 2011-05-02). Cutadapt removes adapter sequences from high-throughput

1110  sequencing reads [next generation sequencing; small RNA; microRNA; adapter

1111  removal]. *2011, 17*(1), 3. https://doi.org/10.14806/ej.17.1.200

1112

1113 Mazo-Vargas, A., Park, H., Aydin, M., & Buchler, N. E. (2014, Nov 5). Measuring fast gene

1114  dynamics in single cells with time-lapse luminescence microscopy. *Mol Biol Cell, 25*(22),

1115  3699-3708. https://doi.org/10.1091/mbc.E14-07-1187

1116

1117 McCaughan, K. K., Brown, C. M., Dalphin, M. E., Berry, M. J., & Tate, W. P. (1995, Jun 6).

1118  Translational termination efficiency in mammals is influenced by the base following the

1119  stop codon. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A, 92*(12), 5431-5435.

1120  https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.92.12.5431

1121

1122 Miller, J. N., & Pearce, D. A. (2014, Oct-Dec). Nonsense-mediated decay in genetic disease:

1123  friend or foe? *Mutat Res Rev Mutat Res, 762*, 52-64.

1124  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mrrev.2014.05.001

1125

1126 Mort, M., Ivanov, D., Cooper, D. N., & Chuzhanova, N. A. (2008, 2008 Aug). A meta-analysis of
1127   nonsense mutations causing human genetic disease. *Human mutation, 29*(8).
1128   https://doi.org/10.1002/humu.20763

1129

1130 Nagy, E., & Maquat, L. E. (1998, Jun). A rule for termination-codon position within intron-
1131   containing genes: when nonsense affects RNA abundance. *Trends Biochem Sci, 23*(6),
1132   198-199. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0968-0004(98)01208-0

1133

1134 Neu-Yilik, G., Amthor, B., Gehring, N. H., Bahri, S., Paidassi, H., Hentze, M. W., & Kulozik, A. E.
1135   (2011, May). Mechanism of escape from nonsense-mediated mRNA decay of human
1136   beta-globin transcripts with nonsense mutations in the first exon. *RNA, 17*(5), 843-854.
1137   https://doi.org/10.1261/rna.2401811

1138

1139 Pelechano, V., & Alepuz, P. (2017, Jul 7). eIF5A facilitates translation termination globally and
1140   promotes the elongation of many non polyproline-specific tripeptide sequences. *Nucleic
1141   Acids Res, 45*(12), 7326-7338. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkx479

1142

1143 Pierson, W. E., Hoffer, E. D., Keedy, H. E., Simms, C. L., Dunham, C. M., & Zaher, H. S. (2016,
1144   Sep 27). Uniformity of Peptide Release Is Maintained by Methylation of Release Factors.
1145   *Cell Rep, 17*(1), 11-18. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2016.08.085

1146

1147 Sato, H., & Singer, R. H. (2021, Dec 10). Cellular variability of nonsense-mediated mRNA
1148   decay. *Nat Commun, 12*(1), 7203. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-021-27423-0

1149

1150 Schrader, R., Young, C., Kozian, D., Hoffmann, R., & Lottspeich, F. (2006, Nov 17).
1151   Temperature-sensitive eIF5A mutant accumulates transcripts targeted to the nonsense-

1152   mediated decay pathway. *J Biol Chem, 281*(46), 35336-35346.

1153   https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M601460200

1154

1155   Schuller, A. P., Wu, C. C., Dever, T. E., Buskirk, A. R., & Green, R. (2017, Apr 20). eIF5A

1156   Functions Globally in Translation Elongation and Termination. *Mol Cell, 66*(2), 194-205

1157   e195. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molcel.2017.03.003

1158

1159   Shuvalov, A., Shuvalova, E., Biziaev, N., Sokolova, E., Evmenov, K., Pustogarov, N.,

1160   Arnautova, A., Matrosova, V., Egorova, T., & Alkalaeva, E. (2021, Nov 12). Nsp1 of

1161   SARS-CoV-2 stimulates host translation termination. *RNA Biol, 18*(sup2), 804-817.

1162   https://doi.org/10.1080/15476286.2021.1999103

1163

1164   Singh, G., Rebbapragada, I., & Lykke-Andersen, J. (2008, Apr 29). A competition between

1165   stimulators and antagonists of Upf complex recruitment governs human nonsense-

1166   mediated mRNA decay. *PLoS Biol, 6*(4), e111.

1167   https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.0060111

1168

1169   Smith, T., Heger, A., & Sudbery, I. (2017, Mar). UMI-tools: modeling sequencing errors in

1170   Unique Molecular Identifiers to improve quantification accuracy. *Genome Res, 27*(3),

1171   491-499. https://doi.org/10.1101/gr.209601.116

1172

1173   Susorov, D., Egri, S., & Korostelev, A. A. (2020, Dec). Termi-Luc: a versatile assay to monitor

1174   full-protein release from ribosomes. *RNA, 26*(12), 2044-2050.

1175   https://doi.org/10.1261/rna.076588.120

1176

1177   Tate, W. P., & Mannering, S. A. (1996, Jul). Three, four or more: the translational stop signal at

1178   length. *Mol Microbiol, 21*(2), 213-219. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-

1179   2958.1996.6391352.x

1180

1181   Tork, S., Hatin, I., Rousset, J. P., & Fabret, C. (2004). The major 5' determinant in stop codon
1182   read-through involves two adjacent adenines. *Nucleic Acids Res, 32*(2), 415-421.
1183   https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkh201
1184

1185   Wallmeroth, D., Lackmann, J. W., Kueckelmann, S., Altmuller, J., Dieterich, C., Boehm, V., &
1186   Gehring, N. H. (2022, May 16). Human UPF3A and UPF3B enable fault-tolerant
1187   activation of nonsense-mediated mRNA decay. *EMBO J, 41*(10), e109191.
1188   https://doi.org/10.15252/embj.2021109191
1189

1190   Yamashita, A., Izumi, N., Kashima, I., Ohnishi, T., Saari, B., Katsuhata, Y., Muramatsu, R.,
1191   Morita, T., Iwamatsu, A., Hachiya, T., Kurata, R., Hirano, H., Anderson, P., & Ohno, S.
1192   (2009, May 1). SMG-8 and SMG-9, two novel subunits of the SMG-1 complex, regulate
1193   remodeling of the mRNA surveillance complex during nonsense-mediated mRNA decay.
1194   *Genes Dev, 23*(9), 1091-1105. https://doi.org/10.1101/gad.1767209
1195

1196   Zhang, J., & Maquat, L. E. (1997, Feb 17). Evidence that translation reinitiation abrogates
1197   nonsense-mediated mRNA decay in mammalian cells. *EMBO J, 16*(4), 826-833.
1198   https://doi.org/10.1093/emboj/16.4.826
1199

1200   Zhu, X., Zhang, H., & Mendell, J. T. (2020, Jul 14). Ribosome Recycling by ABCE1 Links
1201   Lysosomal Function and Iron Homeostasis to 3' UTR-Directed Regulation and
1202   Nonsense-Mediated Decay. *Cell Rep, 32*(2), 107895.
1203   https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2020.107895
1204

1205